



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ****$$

The parity has not actually been measured, but + is of course expected.

Ξ^0 MASS

The fit uses the Ξ^0 , Ξ^- , and Ξ^+ masses and the $\Xi^- - \Xi^0$ mass difference. It assumes that the Ξ^- and Ξ^+ masses are the same.

| VALUE (MeV) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------|-------------|------|----------------------|
| 1314.86 ± 0.20 OUR FIT | | | | |
| 1314.82 ± 0.06 ± 0.20 | 3120 | FANTI | 00 | NA48 p Be, 450 GeV |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | | |
| 1315.2 ± 0.92 | 49 | WILQUET | 72 | HLBC |
| 1313.4 ± 1.8 | 1 | PALMER | 68 | HBC |

$m_{\Xi^-} - m_{\Xi^0}$

The fit uses the Ξ^0 , Ξ^- , and Ξ^+ masses and the $\Xi^- - \Xi^0$ mass difference. It assumes that the Ξ^- and Ξ^+ masses are the same.

| VALUE (MeV) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------|-------------|------|---------------------|
| 6.85 ± 0.21 OUR FIT | | | | |
| 6.3 ± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| 6.9 ± 2.2 | 29 | LONDON | 66 | HBC |
| 6.1 ± 0.9 | 88 | PJERROU | 65B | HBC |
| 6.8 ± 1.6 | 23 | JAUNEAU | 63 | FBC |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | | |
| 6.1 ± 1.6 | 45 | CARMONY | 64B | HBC See PJERROU 65B |

Ξ^0 MEAN LIFE

| VALUE (10^{-10} s) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------|---------------------|------|---------------------------|
| 2.90 ± 0.09 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| 2.83 ± 0.16 | 6300 | ¹ ZECH | 77 | SPEC Neutral hyperon beam |
| 2.88 ^{+0.21} _{-0.19} | 652 | BALTAY | 74 | HBC 1.75 GeV/c $K^- p$ |
| 2.90 ^{+0.32} _{-0.27} | 157 | ² MAYEUR | 72 | HLBC 2.1 GeV/c K^- |
| 3.07 ^{+0.22} _{-0.20} | 340 | DAUBER | 69 | HBC |
| 3.0 ± 0.5 | 80 | PJERROU | 65B | HBC |
| 2.5 ^{+0.4} _{-0.3} | 101 | HUBBARD | 64 | HBC |
| 3.9 ^{+1.4} _{-0.8} | 24 | JAUNEAU | 63 | FBC |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | | |
| 3.5 ^{+1.0} _{-0.8} | 45 | CARMONY | 64B | HBC See PJERROU 65B |

¹The ZECH 77 result is $\tau_{\Xi^0} = [2.77 - (\tau_{\Lambda} - 2.69)] \times 10^{-10}$ s, in which we use $\tau_{\Lambda} = 2.63 \times 10^{-10}$ s.
²The MAYEUR 72 value is modified by the erratum.

Ξ^0 MAGNETIC MOMENT

See the “Quark Model” review.

| VALUE (μ_N) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN |
|--|------|-------------|---------|
| -1.250 ± 0.014 OUR AVERAGE | | | |
| -1.253 ± 0.014 | 270k | COX | 81 SPEC |
| -1.20 ± 0.06 | 42k | BUNCE | 79 SPEC |

Ξ^0 DECAY MODES

| Mode | Fraction (Γ_i/Γ) | Confidence level |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------|
| $\Gamma_1 \Lambda\pi^0$ | $(99.524 \pm 0.012) \%$ | |
| $\Gamma_2 \Lambda\gamma$ | $(1.17 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ | |
| $\Gamma_3 \Lambda e^+ e^-$ | $(7.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$ | |
| $\Gamma_4 \Sigma^0 \gamma$ | $(3.33 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$ | |
| $\Gamma_5 \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$ | $(2.52 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$ | |
| $\Gamma_6 \Sigma^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ | $(2.33 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-6}$ | |

$\Delta S = \Delta Q$ (SQ) violating modes or $\Delta S = 2$ forbidden (S2) modes

| | | | | |
|--|----|-------|------------------|-----|
| $\Gamma_7 \Sigma^- e^+ \nu_e$ | SQ | < 9 | $\times 10^{-4}$ | 90% |
| $\Gamma_8 \Sigma^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ | SQ | < 9 | $\times 10^{-4}$ | 90% |
| $\Gamma_9 \rho\pi^-$ | S2 | < 8 | $\times 10^{-6}$ | 90% |
| $\Gamma_{10} \rho e^- \bar{\nu}_e$ | S2 | < 1.3 | $\times 10^{-3}$ | |
| $\Gamma_{11} \rho \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ | S2 | < 1.3 | $\times 10^{-3}$ | |

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 5 branching ratios uses 11 measurements and one constraint to determine 5 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 7.5$ for 7 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

| | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| x_2 | -57 | | | |
| x_4 | -82 | 0 | | |
| x_5 | -7 | 0 | 0 | |
| x_6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | x_1 | x_2 | x_4 | x_5 |

Ξ^0 BRANCHING RATIOS $\Gamma(\Lambda\gamma)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

| VALUE (units 10^{-3}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|
|--------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|

1.17±0.07 OUR FIT**1.17±0.07 OUR AVERAGE**1.17±0.05±0.06 672 ³ LAI 04A NA48 p Be, 450 GeV1.91±0.34±0.19 31 ⁴ FANTI 00 NA48 p Be, 450 GeV

1.06±0.12±0.11 116 JAMES 90 SPEC FNAL hyperons

³ LAI 04A used our 2002 value of 99.5% for the $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$ branching fraction to get $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (1.16 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. We adjust slightly to go back to what was directly measured.

⁴ FANTI 00 used our 1998 value of 99.5% for the $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$ branching fraction to get $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (1.90 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-3}$. We adjust slightly to go back to what was directly measured.

 $\Gamma(\Lambda e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

| VALUE (units 10^{-6}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|
|--------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|

7.6±0.4±0.5 397 ± 21 ⁵ BATLEY 07C NA48 p Be, 400 GeV

⁵ This BATLEY 07C result is consistent with internal bremsstrahlung.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

| VALUE (units 10^{-3}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|
|--------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|

3.35±0.10 OUR FIT**3.35±0.10 OUR AVERAGE**3.34±0.05±0.09 4045 ALAVI-HARATI01C KTEV p nucleus, 800 GeV3.16±0.76±0.32 17 ⁶ FANTI 00 NA48 p Be, 450 GeV

3.56±0.42±0.10 85 TEIGE 89 SPEC FNAL hyperons

⁶ FANTI 00 used our 1998 value of 99.5% for the $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$ branching fraction to get $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (3.14 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}$. We adjust slightly to go back to what was directly measured.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

| VALUE (units 10^{-4}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|
|--------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|

2.52±0.08 OUR FIT**2.53±0.08 OUR AVERAGE**2.51±0.03±0.09 6101 BATLEY 07 NA48 p Be, 400 GeV2.55±0.14±0.10 419 ⁷ BATLEY 07 NA48 p Be, 400 GeV2.71±0.22±0.31 176 AFFOLDER 99 KTEV p nucleus, 800 GeV

⁷ This BATLEY 07 result is for $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \bar{\Sigma}^- e^+ \nu_e$ events.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

| VALUE (units 10^{-6}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|
|--------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|

2.3 ±0.4 OUR FIT**2.17±0.32±0.17** 66 ⁸ BATLEY 13 NA48 p Be, 400 GeV

⁸ BATLEY 13 used $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$ decay as a normalization mode and its branching fraction value of $(2.51 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$ from BATLEY 07.

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu) / \Gamma(\Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e)$ Γ_6 / Γ_5

| VALUE | CL% | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----|------|-------------|------|-------------------------------|
| 0.0092 ± 0.0015 OUR FIT | | | | | |
| 0.018 ^{+0.007}_{-0.005} ± 0.002 | 90 | 9 | ABOUZAID | 05 | KTEV <i>p</i> nucleus 800 GeV |

$\Gamma(\Sigma^- e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$ Γ_7 / Γ_1

Test of $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ rule.

| VALUE (units 10 ⁻³) | CL% | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----|------|-------------|------|---------------------------|
| <0.9 | 90 | 0 | YEH | 74 | HBC Effective denom.=2500 |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| <1.5 | | | DAUBER | 69 | HBC |
| <6 | | | HUBBARD | 66 | HBC |

$\Gamma(\Sigma^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$ Γ_8 / Γ_1

Test of $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ rule.

| VALUE (units 10 ⁻³) | CL% | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----|------|-------------|------|---------------------------|
| <0.9 | 90 | 0 | YEH | 74 | HBC Effective denom.=2500 |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| <1.5 | | | DAUBER | 69 | HBC |
| <6 | | | HUBBARD | 66 | HBC |

$\Gamma(p \pi^-) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$ Γ_9 / Γ_1

$\Delta S=2$. Forbidden in first-order weak interaction.

| VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁶) | CL% | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----|------|-------------|------|---------------------------|
| < 8.2 | 90 | | WHITE | 05 | HYCP <i>p</i> Cu, 800 GeV |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| < 36 | 90 | | GEWENIGER | 75 | SPEC |
| <1800 | 90 | 0 | YEH | 74 | HBC Effective denom.=1300 |
| < 900 | | | DAUBER | 69 | HBC |
| <5000 | | | HUBBARD | 66 | HBC |

$\Gamma(p e^- \bar{\nu}_e) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$ Γ_{10} / Γ_1

$\Delta S=2$. Forbidden in first-order weak interaction.

| VALUE (units 10 ⁻³) | CL% | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----|------|-------------|------|--------------------------|
| <1.3 | | | DAUBER | 69 | HBC |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| <3.4 | 90 | 0 | YEH | 74 | HBC Effective denom.=670 |
| <6 | | | HUBBARD | 66 | HBC |

$\Gamma(p \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$ Γ_{11} / Γ_1

$\Delta S=2$. Forbidden in first-order weak interaction.

| VALUE (units 10 ⁻³) | CL% | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----|------|-------------|------|--------------------------|
| <1.3 | | | DAUBER | 69 | HBC |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| <3.5 | 90 | 0 | YEH | 74 | HBC Effective denom.=664 |
| <6 | | | HUBBARD | 66 | HBC |

Ξ^0 DECAY PARAMETERS

See the “Note on Baryon Decay Parameters” in the neutron Listings.

$\alpha(\Xi^0) \alpha_-(\Lambda)$

This is a product of the $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$ and $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ asymmetries.

| <u>VALUE</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| −0.261±0.006 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| −0.276±0.001±0.035 | 4M | BATLEY | 10B NA48 | p Be, 400 GeV |
| −0.260±0.004±0.005 | 300k | HANDLER | 82 SPEC | FNAL hyperons |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | |
| −0.317±0.027 | 6075 | BUNCE | 78 SPEC | FNAL hyperons |
| −0.35 ±0.06 | 505 | BALTAY | 74 HBC | $K^- p$ 1.75 GeV/ c |
| −0.28 ±0.06 | 739 | DAUBER | 69 HBC | $K^- p$ 1.7–2.6 GeV/ c |

α FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$

The above average, $\alpha(\Xi^0)\alpha_-(\Lambda) = -0.261 \pm 0.006$, divided by our current average $\alpha_-(\Lambda) = 0.732 \pm 0.014$, gives the following value for $\alpha(\Xi^0)$:

| <u>VALUE</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| −0.356±0.011 OUR EVALUATION | |

ϕ ANGLE FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$

($\tan\phi = \beta/\gamma$)

| <u>VALUE (°)</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| 21±12 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| 16±17 | 652 | BALTAY | 74 HBC | 1.75 GeV/ c $K^- p$ |
| 38±19 | 739 | ⁹ DAUBER | 69 HBC | |
| − 8±30 | 146 | ¹⁰ BERGE | 66 HBC | |

⁹ DAUBER 69 uses $\alpha_\Lambda = 0.647 \pm 0.020$.

¹⁰ The errors have been multiplied by 1.2 due to approximations used for the Ξ polarization; see DAUBER 69 for a discussion.

RADIATIVE HYPERON DECAYS

Revised July 2011 by J.D. Jackson (LBNL).

The weak radiative decays of spin-1/2 hyperons, $B_i \rightarrow B_f\gamma$, yield information about matrix elements (form factors) similar to that gained from weak hadronic decays. For a polarized spin-1/2 hyperon decaying radiatively via a $\Delta Q = 0$, $\Delta S = 1$ transition, the angular distribution of the direction $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ of the final spin-1/2 baryon in the hyperon rest frame is

$$\frac{dN}{d\Omega} = \frac{N}{4\pi} (1 + \alpha_\gamma \mathbf{P}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}) . \quad (1)$$

Here \mathbf{P}_i is the polarization of the decaying hyperon, and α_γ is the asymmetry parameter. In terms of the form factors $F_1(q^2)$,

$F_2(q^2)$, and $G(q^2)$ of the effective hadronic weak electromagnetic vertex,

$$F_1(q^2)\gamma_\lambda + iF_2(q^2)\sigma_{\lambda\mu}q^\mu + G(q^2)\gamma_\lambda\gamma_5 ,$$

α_γ is

$$\alpha_\gamma = \frac{2 \operatorname{Re}[G(0)F_M^*(0)]}{|G(0)|^2 + |F_M(0)|^2} , \quad (2)$$

where $F_M = (m_i - m_f)[F_2 - F_1/(m_i + m_f)]$. If the decaying hyperon is unpolarized, the decay baryon has a longitudinal polarization given by $P_f = -\alpha_\gamma$ [1].

The angular distribution for the weak hadronic decay, $B_i \rightarrow B_f\pi$, has the same form as Eq. (1), but of course with a different asymmetry parameter, α_π . Now, however, if the decaying hyperon is unpolarized, the decay baryon has a longitudinal polarization given by $P_f = +\alpha_\pi$ [2,3]. The difference of sign is because the spins of the pion and photon are different.

$\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$ decay—The radiative decay $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$ of an unpolarized Ξ^0 uses the hadronic decay $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ as the analyzer. As noted above, the longitudinal polarization of the Λ will be $P_\Lambda = -\alpha_{\Xi\Lambda\gamma}$. Let α_- be the $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ asymmetry parameter and $\theta_{\Lambda p}$ be the angle, as seen in the Λ rest frame, between the Λ line of flight and the proton momentum. Then the hadronic version of Eq. (1) applied to the $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ decay gives

$$\frac{dN}{d \cos \theta_{\Lambda p}} = \frac{N}{2} (1 - \alpha_{\Xi\Lambda\gamma} \alpha_- \cos \theta_{\Lambda p}) \quad (3)$$

for the angular distribution of the proton in the Λ frame. Our current value, from the CERN NA48/1 experiment [4], is $\alpha_{\Xi\Lambda\gamma} = -0.704 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.064$.

$\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \gamma$ *decay*—The asymmetry parameter here, $\alpha_{\Xi\Sigma\gamma}$, is measured by following the decay chain $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \gamma$, $\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \gamma$, $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$. Again, for an unpolarized Ξ^0 , the longitudinal polarization of the Σ^0 will be $P_\Sigma = -\alpha_{\Xi\Sigma\gamma}$. In the $\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \gamma$ decay, a parity-conserving magnetic-dipole transition, the polarization of the Σ^0 is transferred to the Λ , as may be seen as follows. Let $\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}$ be the angle seen in the Σ^0 rest frame between the Σ^0 line of flight and the Λ momentum. For Σ^0 helicity $+1/2$, the probability amplitudes for positive and negative spin states of the Σ^0 *along the Λ momentum* are $\cos(\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}/2)$ and $\sin(\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}/2)$. Then the amplitude for a negative helicity photon and a negative helicity Λ is $\cos(\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}/2)$, while the amplitude for positive helicities for the photon and Λ is $\sin(\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}/2)$. For Σ^0 helicity $-1/2$, the amplitudes are interchanged. If the Σ^0 has longitudinal polarization P_Σ , the probabilities for Λ helicities $\pm 1/2$ are therefore

$$p(\pm 1/2) = \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp P_\Sigma) \cos^2(\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}/2) + \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm P_\Sigma) \sin^2(\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}/2), \quad (4)$$

and the longitudinal polarization of the Λ is

$$P_\Lambda = -P_\Sigma \cos \theta_{\Sigma\Lambda} = +\alpha_{\Xi\Sigma\gamma} \cos \theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}. \quad (5)$$

Using Eq. (1) for the $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ decay again, we get for the joint angular distribution of the $\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \gamma$, $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ chain,

$$\frac{d^2 N}{d \cos \theta_{\Sigma\Lambda} d \cos \theta_{\Lambda p}} = \frac{N}{4} (1 + \alpha_{\Xi\Sigma\gamma} \cos \theta_{\Sigma\Lambda} \alpha_- \cos \theta_{\Lambda p}). \quad (6)$$

Our current average for $\alpha_{\Xi\Sigma\gamma}$ is -0.69 ± 0.06 [4,5].

References

1. R.E. Behrends, *Phys. Rev.* **111**, 1691 (1958); see Eq. (7) or (8).

- In ancient times, the signs of the asymmetry term in the angular distributions of radiative and hadronic decays of polarized hyperons were sometimes opposite. For roughly 50 years, however, the overwhelming convention has been to make them the same. The aim, not always achieved, is to remove ambiguities.
- For the definition of α_π , see the note on “Baryon Decay Parameters” in the Neutron Listings.
- J.R. Batley *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B693**, 241 (2010).
- A. Alavi-Harati *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3239 (2001).

α FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$

See the note above on “Radiative Hyperon Decays.”

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--|------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|
| $-0.704 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.064$ | 52k | ¹¹ BATLEY | 10B NA48 | p Be, 400 GeV |
| $-0.78 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.06$ | 672 | LAI | 04A NA48 | See BATLEY 10B |
| -0.43 ± 0.44 | 87 | ¹² JAMES | 90 SPEC | FNAL hyperons |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹¹ BATLEY 10B also measured the $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\gamma$ asymmetry to be -0.798 ± 0.064 (no systematic error given) with 4769 events.

¹² The sign has been changed; see the erratum, JAMES 02.

α FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda e^+ e^-$

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|
| -0.8 ± 0.2 | 397 ± 21 | ¹³ BATLEY | 07C NA48 | p Be, 400 GeV |

¹³ This BATLEY 07C result is consistent with the asymmetry α for $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$, as expected if the mechanism is internal bremsstrahlung.

α FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0\gamma$

See the note above on “Radiative Hyperon Decays.”

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--|------|----------------------|----------|----------------------|
| -0.69 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| $-0.729 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.076$ | 15k | ¹⁴ BATLEY | 10B NA48 | p Be, 400 GeV |
| $-0.63 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05$ | 4045 | ALAVI-HARATI01C | KTEV | p nucleus, 800 GeV |
| $+0.20 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.05$ | 85 | ¹⁵ TEIGE | 89 SPEC | FNAL hyperons |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹⁴ BATLEY 10B also measured the $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \bar{\Sigma}^0\gamma$ asymmetry to be -0.786 ± 0.104 (no systematic error given) with 1404 events.

¹⁵ This result has been withdrawn, due to an error. See the erratum, TEIGE 02.

$g_1(0)/f_1(0)$ FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------|-------------------------------|---------|----------------------|
| 1.22 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| 1.21 ± 0.05 | | BATLEY | 13 NA48 | p Be, 400 GeV |
| $1.32^{+0.21}_{-0.17} \pm 0.05$ | 487 | ¹⁶ ALAVI-HARATI01I | KTEV | p nucleus, 800 GeV |
| $1.20 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03$ | 6520 | ¹⁷ BATLEY | 07 NA48 | See BATLEY 13 |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹⁶ ALAVI-HARATI 01I assumes here that the second-class current is zero and that the weak-magnetism term takes its exact SU(3) value.

¹⁷ This BATLEY 07 result uses our 2006 value of V_{US} from semileptonic kaon decays as input.

$g_2(0)/f_1(0)$ FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$

| VALUE | EPTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|------------------------------|------|-------------------------------|------|----------------------|
| $-1.7^{+2.1}_{-2.0} \pm 0.5$ | 487 | ¹⁸ ALAVI-HARATI01I | KTEV | p nucleus, 800 GeV |

¹⁸ ALAVI-HARATI 01I thus assumes that $g_2 = 0$ in calculating g_1/f_1 , above.

$f_2(0)/f_1(0)$ FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$

| VALUE | EPTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------|-----------------|------|----------------------|
| 2.0 ± 0.9 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| 2.0 ± 1.3 | | BATLEY 13 | NA48 | p Be, 400 GeV |
| $2.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.5$ | 487 | ALAVI-HARATI01I | KTEV | p nucleus, 800 GeV |

Ξ^0 REFERENCES

| | | | | |
|--------------|-----|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| BATLEY | 13 | PL B720 105 | J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i> | (CERN NA48/1 Collab.) |
| BATLEY | 10B | PL B693 241 | J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i> | (CERN NA48/1 Collab.) |
| BATLEY | 07 | PL B645 36 | J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i> | (CERN NA48/1 Collab.) |
| BATLEY | 07C | PL B650 1 | J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i> | (CERN NA48 Collab.) |
| ABOUZAID | 05 | PRL 95 081801 | E. Abouzaid <i>et al.</i> | (FNAL KTeV Collab.) |
| WHITE | 05 | PRL 94 101804 | C.G. White <i>et al.</i> | (FNAL HyperCP Collab.) |
| LAI | 04A | PL B584 251 | A. Lai <i>et al.</i> | (CERN NA48 Collab.) |
| JAMES | 02 | PRL 89 169901 (err.) | C. James <i>et al.</i> | (MINN, MICH, WISC, RUTG) |
| TEIGE | 02 | PRL 89 169902 (err.) | S. Teige <i>et al.</i> | (RUTG, MICH, MINN) |
| ALAVI-HARATI | 01C | PRL 86 3239 | A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i> | (FNAL KTeV Collab.) |
| ALAVI-HARATI | 01I | PRL 87 132001 | A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i> | (FNAL KTeV Collab.) |
| FANTI | 00 | EPJ C12 69 | V. Fanti <i>et al.</i> | (CERN NA48 Collab.) |
| AFFOLDER | 99 | PRL 82 3751 | A. Affolder <i>et al.</i> | (FNAL KTeV Collab.) |
| JAMES | 90 | PRL 64 843 | C. James <i>et al.</i> | (MINN, MICH, WISC, RUTG) |
| TEIGE | 89 | PRL 63 2717 | S. Teige <i>et al.</i> | (RUTG, MICH, MINN) |
| HANDLER | 82 | PR D25 639 | R. Handler <i>et al.</i> | (WISC, MICH, MINN+) |
| COX | 81 | PRL 46 877 | P.T. Cox <i>et al.</i> | (MICH, WISC, RUTG, MINN+) |
| BUNCE | 79 | PL 86B 386 | G.R.M. Bunce <i>et al.</i> | (BNL, MICH, RUTG+) |
| BUNCE | 78 | PR D18 633 | G.R.M. Bunce <i>et al.</i> | (WISC, MICH, RUTG) |
| ZECH | 77 | NP B124 413 | G. Zech <i>et al.</i> | (SIEG, CERN, DORT, HEIDH) |
| GEWENIGER | 75 | PL 57B 193 | C. Geweniger <i>et al.</i> | (CERN, HEIDH) |
| BALTAY | 74 | PR D9 49 | C. Baltay <i>et al.</i> | (COLU, BING) J |
| YEH | 74 | PR D10 3545 | N. Yeh <i>et al.</i> | (BING, COLU) |
| MAYEUR | 72 | NP B47 333 | C. Mayeur <i>et al.</i> | (BRUX, CERN, TUFTS, LOUC) |
| Also | | NP B53 268 (erratum) | C. Mayeur | |
| WILQUET | 72 | PL 42B 372 | G. Wilquet <i>et al.</i> | (BRUX, CERN, TUFTS+) |
| DAUBER | 69 | PR 179 1262 | P.M. Dauber <i>et al.</i> | (LRL) |
| PALMER | 68 | PL 26B 323 | R.B. Palmer <i>et al.</i> | (BNL, SYRA) |
| BERGE | 66 | PR 147 945 | J.P. Berge <i>et al.</i> | (LRL) |
| HUBBARD | 66 | Thesis UCRL 11510 | J.R. Hubbard | (LRL) |
| LONDON | 66 | PR 143 1034 | G.W. London <i>et al.</i> | (BNL, SYRA) |
| PJERROU | 65B | PRL 14 275 | G.M. Pjerrou <i>et al.</i> | (UCLA) |
| Also | | Thesis | G.M. Pjerrou | (UCLA) |
| CARMONY | 64B | PRL 12 482 | D.D. Carmony <i>et al.</i> | (UCLA) |
| HUBBARD | 64 | PR 135 B183 | J.R. Hubbard <i>et al.</i> | (LRL) |
| JAUNEAU | 63 | PL 4 49 | L. Jauneau <i>et al.</i> | (EPOL, CERN, LOUC+) |
| Also | | Siena Conf. 1 1 | L. Jauneau <i>et al.</i> | (EPOL, CERN, LOUC+) |