

$a_2(1700)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$$

 $a_2(1700)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1698 ± 44		¹ AMSLER 02	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1686 ± 22 $^{+19}_{-7}$		² KOPF 21	RVUE	0.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 K^+ K^-$ and 191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$
1638.9 ± 2.3 $^{+57.4}_{-0.1}$		³ ALBRECHT 20	RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 K^+ K^-$
1722 ± 15 ± 67		⁴ RODAS 19	JPAC	191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)} \pi^- p$
1681 $^{+22}_{-35}$	46M	^{5,6} AGHASYAN 18B	COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1720 ± 10 ± 60		⁷ JACKURA 18	JPAC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
1726 ± 12 ± 25		⁶ ABLIKIM 17K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
1675 ± 25		ANISOVICH 09	RVUE	0.0 $\bar{p}p$, πN
1722 ± 9 ± 15	18k	⁸ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1702 ± 7	80k	⁹ UMAN 06	E835	5.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
1721 ± 13 ± 44	145k	LU 05	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
1737 ± 5 ± 7		ABE 04	BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ $e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
1767 ± 14	221	¹⁰ ACCIARRI 01H	L3	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$, $E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} =$ 91, 183–209 GeV
1660 ± 40		⁶ ABELE 99B	CBAR	1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
~ 1775		¹¹ GRYGOREV 99	SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1752 ± 21 ± 4		ACCIARRI 97T	L3	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ T-matrix pole.² From T-matrix pole based on combined fit of Crystal Barrel and $\pi\pi$ scattering data (ALBRECHT 20), and COMPASS data (ADOLPH 15), using a coupled-channel model of $\eta\pi$, $\eta'\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ systems.³ T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 2 channels ($\pi\eta$, $K\bar{K}$).⁴ The coupled-channel analysis of both the $\eta\pi$ and $\eta'\pi$ systems using ADOLPH 15 data. The mass is extracted from the T-matrix pole.⁵ Statistical error negligible.⁶ Breit-Wigner mass.⁷ Superseded by RODAS 19.⁸ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.⁹ Statistical error only.¹⁰ Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be $I=1$.¹¹ Possibly two $J^P = 2^+$ resonances with isospins 0 and 1. **$a_2(1700)$ WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
265 ± 55		¹ AMSLER 02	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

421	± 75	$\begin{matrix} +64 \\ -57 \end{matrix}$	2	KOPF	21	RVUE	0.9	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta\eta,$ $\pi^0K^+K^-$ and 191 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+p$	
224.0	\pm	$\begin{matrix} 2.5^+ 1.8 \\ -48.3 \end{matrix}$	3	ALBRECHT	20	RVUE	0.9	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta,$ $\pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0K^+K^-$	
247	± 17	± 63	4	RODAS	19	JPAC	191	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)}\pi^-p$	
436	$\begin{matrix} + 20 \\ - 16 \end{matrix}$		46M	5,6	AGHASYAN	18B	COMP	190	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-p$
280	± 10	± 70		7	JACKURA	18	JPAC	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^-p$	
190	± 18	± 30		6	ABLIKIM	17K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	
270	$\begin{matrix} + 50 \\ - 20 \end{matrix}$				ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	0.0	$\bar{p}p, \pi N$
336	± 20	± 20	18k	8	SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	
417	± 19		80k	9	UMAN	06	E835	5.2	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
279	± 49	± 66	145k		LU	05	B852	18	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\pi^0p$
151	± 22	± 24			ABE	04	BELL	10.6	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $e^+e^-K^+K^-$
187	± 60		221	10	ACCIARRI	01H	L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0K_S^0, E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} =$ 91, 183–209 GeV	
280	± 70			6	ABELE	99B	CBAR	1.94	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta$
150	± 110	± 34			ACCIARRI	97T	L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	

¹ T-matrix pole.

² From T-matrix pole based on combined fit of Crystal Barrel and $\pi\pi$ scattering data (ALBRECHT 20), and COMPASS data (ADOLPH 15), using a coupled-channel model of $\eta\pi, \eta'\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ systems.

³ T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 2 channels ($\pi\eta, K\bar{K}$).

⁴ The coupled-channel analysis of both the $\eta\pi$ and $\eta'\pi$ systems using ADOLPH 15 data. The width is extracted from the T-matrix pole.

⁵ Statistical error negligible.

⁶ Breit-Wigner width.

⁷ Superseded by RODAS 19.

⁸ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

⁹ Statistical error only.

¹⁰ Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be $l=1$.

$a_2(1700)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\eta\pi$	(3.6 \pm 1.1) %
Γ_2 $\eta'\pi$	
Γ_3 $\gamma\gamma$	(1.13 \pm 0.30) $\times 10^{-6}$
Γ_4 $\rho\pi$	seen
Γ_5 $f_2(1270)\pi$	seen
Γ_6 $K\bar{K}$	(1.9 \pm 1.2) %
Γ_7 $\omega\pi^-\pi^0$	seen
Γ_8 $\omega\rho$	seen

$a_2(1700)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\eta\pi)$					Γ_1
VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
9.5 ± 2.0	870	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	

¹From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $a_2(1700)$ mass of 1730 MeV and width of 340 MeV, and SU(3) relations.

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$					Γ_3
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.30 ± 0.05	870	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	

¹From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $a_2(1700)$ mass of 1730 MeV and width of 340 MeV, and SU(3) relations.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})$					Γ_6
VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
5.0 ± 3.0	870	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	

¹From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $a_2(1700)$ mass of 1730 MeV and width of 340 MeV, and SU(3) relations.

 $a_2(1700)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$[\Gamma(\rho\pi) + \Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)] \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$(\Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)\Gamma_3/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$0.29 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02$		ACCIARRI	97T L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.37^{+0.12}_{-0.08} \pm 0.10$	18k	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
---------------------------------	-----	----------------------------	------	--

¹From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_6\Gamma_3/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$20.6 \pm 4.2 \pm 4.6$	¹ ABE	04 BELL	10.6	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
$49 \pm 11 \pm 13$	² ACCIARRI	01H L3		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0, E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91, 183\text{--}209 \text{ GeV}$

¹Assuming spin 2.

²Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be $I=1$.

 $a_2(1700)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$					Γ_4/Γ_5
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$3.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1$	18k	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
-----------------------	-----	----------------------------	------	--

¹From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\eta\pi)$ Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.029 ± 0.04 $^{+0.011}_{-0.012}$	¹ KOPF	21	RVUE 0.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta,$ $\pi^0 K^+ K^-$ and 191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$
---------------------------------------	-------------------	----	--

4.134 ± 0.106 $^{+4.909}_{-2.988}$	² ALBRECHT	20	RVUE 0.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta,$ $\pi^0 K^+ K^-$
--	-----------------------	----	---

¹ From T-matrix pole based on combined fit of Crystal Barrel and $\pi\pi$ scattering data (ALBRECHT 20), and COMPASS data (ADOLPH 15), using a coupled-channel model of $\eta\pi, \eta'\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ systems.

² Residues from T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 2 channels ($\pi\eta, K\bar{K}$).

 $\Gamma(\eta'\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.035 ± 0.044 $^{+0.069}_{-0.012}$	¹ KOPF	21	RVUE 0.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta,$ $\pi^0 K^+ K^-$ and 191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$
--	-------------------	----	--

¹ From T-matrix pole based on combined fit of Crystal Barrel and $\pi\pi$ scattering data (ALBRECHT 20), and COMPASS data (ADOLPH 15), using a coupled-channel model of $\eta\pi, \eta'\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ systems.

 $a_2(1700)$ REFERENCES

KOPF	21	EPJ C81 1056	B. Kopf <i>et al.</i>	(BOCH)
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
RODAS	19	PRL 122 042002	A. Rodas <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC Collab.)
AGHASYAN	18B	PR D98 092003	M. Aghasyan <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
JACKURA	18	PL B779 464	A. Jackura <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC and COMPASS Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17K	PR D95 032002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ADOLPH	15	PL B740 303	M. Adolph <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
SCHEGELSKY	06	EPJ A27 199	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
SCHEGELSKY	06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
LU	05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ABE	04	EPJ C32 323	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AMSLER	02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	
ACCIARRI	01H	PL B501 173	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ABELE	99B	EPJ C8 67	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
GRYGOREV	99	PAN 62 470	V.K. Grygorev <i>et al.</i>	
ACCIARRI	97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)