

Further States

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

This section contains states observed by a single group or states poorly established that thus need confirmation.

QUANTUM NUMBERS, MASSES, WIDTHS, AND BRANCHING RATIOS

X(360) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^?+)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
360±7±9	64 ± 18	2.3k	¹ ABRAAMYAN 09	CNTR	2.75 dC → $\gamma\gamma X$

¹Not seen in $pC \rightarrow \gamma\gamma X$ at 5.5 GeV/c.

X(1070) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(0^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1072±1	3.5 ± 0.5	¹ VLADIMIRSK...08	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n + m\pi^0$

¹Supersedes GRIGOR'EV 05.

X(1110) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(\text{even } ++)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1107±4	111 ± 8 ± 15	DAFTARI 87	DBC	0. $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

f₀(1200–1600) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1323± 8	237 ± 20	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1480 ⁺¹⁰⁰ ₋₁₅₀	1030 ^{+ 80} ₋₁₇₀	¹ ANISOVICH 03	SPEC	
1530 ^{+ 90} ₋₂₅₀	560 ± 40	² ANISOVICH 03	SPEC	

¹K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$, $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$, $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.

²K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$, $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ at rest.

X(1420) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 2^+(0^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1420±20	160 ± 10	FILIPPI 00	OBLX	0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

X(1545) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(??^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1545 ± 3	6.0 ± 2.5	¹ VLADIMIRSK...08	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n + m\pi^0$

¹ Supersedes VLADIMIRSKII 00.**X(1575)** $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(1^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1576 ⁺⁴⁹⁺⁹⁸ ₋₅₅₋₉₁	818 ⁺²²⁺⁶⁴ ₋₂₃₋₁₃₃	¹ ABLIKIM	06s	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ A broad peak observed at $K^+ K^-$ invariant mass. Mass and width above are its pole position. The observed branching ratio is $B(J/\psi \rightarrow X \pi^0) B(X \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (8.5 \pm 0.6^{+2.7}_{-3.6}) \times 10^{-4}$.**X(1600)** $I^G(J^{PC}) = 2^+(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1600 ± 100	400 ± 200	¹ ALBRECHT 91F	ARG	10.2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

¹ Our estimate.**X(1650)** $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(??^-)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1652 ± 7	< 50	100	PROKOSHKIN 96	GAM2	32,38 $\pi p \rightarrow \omega \eta n$

a₀(1700) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1704 ± 5 ± 2	110 ± 15 ± 11	LEES	21A $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

X(1730) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(??^+)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1731.0 ± 1.2 ± 2.0	3.2 ± 0.8 ± 1.3	58	VLADIMIRSK...07	SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$

f₂(1750) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1755 ± 10	67 ± 12	870	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
17 ± 5	870	² SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.13 ± 0.04	870	² SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.3 ± 1.0	870	² SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta)$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.0 ± 0.5	870	² SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.² From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV and using SU(3) relations. **$X(1775)$** $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(?^-+)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1763 ± 20	192 ± 60	CONDO 91	SHF	$\gamma p \rightarrow (p\pi^+)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-)$
1787 ± 18	118 ± 60	CONDO 91	SHF	$\gamma p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$

 $X(1850 - 3100)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(1^{--})$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)B(X \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<120	90	¹ ANASHIN	11	KEDR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ This limit is center-of-mass energy dependent. We quote the most stringent one. **$X(1855)$** $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1856.6 ± 5	20 ± 5	BRIDGES	86D	SPEC $0. \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi\pi N$

 $X(1870)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(2^{??})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1870 ± 40	250 ± 30	ALDE	86D	GAM4 $100 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta X$

 $a_3(1875)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(3^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1874 \pm 43 \pm 96$	$385 \pm 121 \pm 114$	CHUNG	02	B852 $18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$

 $B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow f_2(1270)\pi)/B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow \rho\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.8 ± 0.2	¹ CHUNG 02	B852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$

¹ Using the observable fractions of 50.0% $\rho\pi$, 56.5% $f_2\pi$, and 11.8% $\rho_3\pi$.

$B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow \rho_3(1690)\pi)/B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow \rho\pi)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.9 ± 0.3	¹ CHUNG	02	B852 $18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

¹ Using the observable fractions of 50.0% $\rho\pi$, 56.5% $f_2\pi$, and 11.8% $\rho_3\pi$.

 $a_1(1930) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1930^{+30}_{-70}	155 ± 45	ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC $2.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

 $X(1935) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{-?})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1935 ± 20	215 ± 30	EVANGELIS...	79	OMEG $10,16 \pi^- p \rightarrow \bar{p}pn$

 $\rho_2(1940) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(2^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1940 ± 40	155 ± 40	¹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC $0.6-1.9 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

 $\omega_3(1945) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1945 ± 20	115 ± 22	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC $0.6-1.9 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

 $a_2(1950) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1950^{+30}_{-70}	180^{+30}_{-70}	¹ ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC $1.96-2.41 \bar{p}p$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

 $\omega(1960) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1960 ± 25	195 ± 60	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC $0.6-1.9 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

 $b_1(1960) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{+-})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1960 ± 35	230 ± 50	¹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC $0.6-1.9 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$h_1(1965)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{+-})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1965±45	345 ± 75	¹ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $\rho\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B. **$f_1(1970)$** $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
1971±15	240 ± 45	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC

 $X(1970)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1970±10	40 ± 20	CHLIAPNIK...	80 HBC	32 $K^+p \rightarrow 2K_S^0 2\pi X$

 $X(1975)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1973±15	80	30	CASO	70 HBC	11.2 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \rho 2\pi$

 $\omega_2(1975)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(2^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1975±20	175 ± 25	¹ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $\rho\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B. **$a_2(1990)$** $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2050±10±40	190 ± 22 ± 100	18k	¹ SCHEGELSKY	06 RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
2003±10±19	249 ± 23 ± 32		LU	05 B852	18 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\pi^0 p$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV. **$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) / \Gamma(\text{total})$**

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.11±0.04±0.05	18k	¹ SCHEGELSKY	06 RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV. **$\rho(2000)$** $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2000±30	260 ± 45	¹ BUGG	04C RVUE	Compilation
~ 1988	~ 244	HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$f_2(2000)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2001±10	312 ± 32	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC	
~ 1996	~ 134	HASAN	94	RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

$X(2000)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(??^+)$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1964±35	225 ± 50	1 ARMSTRONG	93D	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~ 2100	~ 500	1 ANTIPOV	77	CIBS	- 25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\pi^- \rho_3$
2214±15	355 ± 21	2 BALTAY	77	HBC	0 15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} 3\pi$
2080±40	340 ± 80	KALELKAR	75	HBC	+ 15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p\pi^+ \rho_3$

¹ Cannot determine spin to be 3.
² BALTAY 77 favors $J^P = ,3^+$.

$X(2000)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(4^{++})$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
1998±3±5	<15	VLADIMIRSK...03	SPEC		$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 M M$

$\eta(2010)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$		
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	
2010 ⁺³⁵ ₋₆₀	270 ± 60	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC

$\pi_1(2015)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{-+})$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2014±20±16	230 ± 32 ± 73	145k	LU	05	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^- \pi^0 p$
2001±30±92	333 ± 52 ± 49	69k	KUHN	04	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

$a_0(2020)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{++})$		
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	
2025±30	330 ± 75	ANISOVICH	99C	SPEC

$X(2020)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2015±3	10 ± 4	FERRER	99	RVUE	$\pi p \rightarrow p p \bar{p} \pi (\pi)$

$h_3(2025)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{+-})$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2025±20	145 ± 30	1 ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$$b_3(2030) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{+-})$$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2032±12	117 ± 11	¹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$$a_2(2030) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2030±20	205 ± 30	¹ ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC 1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

$$a_3(2030) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(3^{++})$$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2031±12	150 ± 18	¹ ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC 1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

$$\eta_2(2030) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{-+})$$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2030±5±15	205 ± 10 ± 15	ANISOVICH	00E	SPEC

$$B(a_2\pi)_{L=0}/B(a_2\pi)_{L=2}$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.05±0.03	¹ ANISOVICH	11	SPEC 0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$

¹Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

$$B(a_0\pi)/B(a_2\pi)_{L=2}$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.10±0.08	¹ ANISOVICH	11	SPEC 0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$

¹Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

$$B(f_2\eta)/B(a_2\pi)_{L=2}$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.13±0.06	¹ ANISOVICH	11	SPEC 0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$

¹Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

$$f_3(2050) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(3^{++})$$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2048±8	213 ± 34	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC 2.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$

$f_0(2060)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
~ 2050	~ 120	¹ OAKDEN	94	RVUE 0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2060	~ 50	¹ OAKDEN	94	RVUE 0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

¹See SEMENOV 99 and KLOET 96. **$\pi(2070)$** $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2070 ± 35	310^{+100}_{-50}	ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

 $X(2075)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$2075 \pm 12 \pm 5$	$90 \pm 35 \pm 9$	¹ ABLIKIM	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^- p \bar{\Lambda}$

¹From a fit in the region $M_{p\bar{\Lambda}} - M_p - M_{\Lambda} < 150$ MeV. S-wave in the $p\bar{\Lambda}$ system preferred.A similar near-threshold enhancement in the $p\bar{\Lambda}$ system is observed in $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{\Lambda}\bar{D}^0$ by CHEN 11F. **$X(2080)$** $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2080 ± 10	110 ± 20	KREYMER	80 STRC	13 $\pi^- d \rightarrow p\bar{p}n(n_S)$

 $X(2080)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(3^{-?})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2080 ± 10	190 ± 15	ROZANSKA	80 SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\bar{p}n$

 $a_1(2095)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$2096 \pm 17 \pm 121$	$451 \pm 41 \pm 81$	69k	KUHN	04 B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$

 $B(a_1(2095) \rightarrow f_1(1285)\pi) / B(a_1(2095) \rightarrow a_1(1260))$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.18 ± 0.64	69k	KUHN	04 B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$

 $\eta(2100)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2050^{+30+75}_{-24-26}	$250^{+36+181}_{-30-164}$		¹ ABLIKIM	16N BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
2103 ± 50	187 ± 75	586	² BISELLO	89B DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$

¹From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\phi\phi$, for which the primary signal is $\eta(2225) \rightarrow \phi\phi$, and that also finds significant signals for for 0^{-+} phase space, $f_0(2100)$, $f_2(2010)$, $f_2(2300)$, $f_2(2340)$, and a previously unseen 0^{-+} state $X(2500)$ ($M = 2470^{+15+101}_{-19-23}$ MeV, $\Gamma = 230^{+64+56}_{-35-33}$ MeV).

² ASTON 81B sees no peak, has 850 events in Ajinenko+Barth bins. ARESTOV 80 sees no peak.

X(2100) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(0^{??})$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2100±40	250 ± 40	ALDE	86D	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta X$

X(2110) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{-?})$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2110±10	330 ± 20	EVANGELIS...	79	OMEG	10,16 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \bar{p} p n$

f₂(2140) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2141±12	49 ± 28	389	GREEN	86	MPSF 400 $pA \rightarrow 4KX$

X(2150) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(2^{+?})$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2150±10	260 ± 10	ROZANSKA	80	SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\bar{p}n$

a₂(2175) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2175±40	310 ⁺⁹⁰ ₋₄₅	ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

η(2190) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2190±50	850 ± 100	BUGG	99	BES	

ω₂(2195) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(2^{--})$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2195±30	225 ± 40	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

ω(2205) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2205±30	350 ± 90	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

X(2210) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2210 ⁺⁷⁹ ₋₂₁	203 ⁺⁴³⁷ ₋₈₇	EVANGELIS...	79B	OMEG 10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$

X(2210) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2207±22	130	CASO	70	HBC 11.2 $\pi^- p$

h₁(2215) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^+ -)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2215±40	325 ± 55	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0, \pi^0$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.**ρ₂(2225)** $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(2^- -)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2225±35	335 ⁺¹⁰⁰ ₋₅₀	¹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.**ρ₄(2230)** $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(4^- -)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2230±25	210 ± 30	¹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.**b₁(2240)** $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^+ -)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2240±35	320 ± 85	¹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.**f₂(2240)** $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^+ +)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2240±15	241 ± 30	¹ ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC 1.92–2.41 $p\bar{p}$

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

~ 2226 ~ 226 HASAN 94 RVUE $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi\pi$ ¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99F, ANISOVICH 99J, ANISOVICH 99K, and ANISOVICH 00B. See also ANISOVICH 12.

$b_3(2245)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{+-})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2245 ± 50	320 ± 70	¹ BUGG	04C RVUE

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

 $\eta_2(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2248 ± 20	280 ± 20	ANISOVICH	00I SPEC
2267 ± 14	290 ± 50	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC

 $\pi_4(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(4^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2250 ± 15	215 ± 25	ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

 $\omega_4(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(4^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2250 ± 30	150 ± 50	¹ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

 $\omega_5(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(5^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2250 ± 70	320 ± 95	¹ BUGG	04 RVUE

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

 $\omega_3(2255)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2255 ± 15	175 ± 30	¹ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

 $a_4(2255)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(4^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2237 ± 5	291 ± 12	UMAN	06 E835	5.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
2255 ± 40	330 ⁺¹¹⁰ ₋₅₀	¹ ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

 $a_2(2255)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2255 ± 20	230 ± 15	¹ ANISOVICH	01G SPEC	1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, ANISOVICH 01F, and ANISOVICH 01G.

X(2260) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(4^{+?})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2260 ± 20	400 ± 100	EVANGELIS... 79	OMEG	10,16 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \bar{p} p n$

ρ (2270) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2265 ± 40	325 ± 80	¹ ANISOVICH 02	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
2280 ± 50	440 ± 110	ATKINSON 85	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

a_1 (2270) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2270 ⁺⁵⁵ ₋₄₀	305 ⁺⁷⁰ ₋₄₀	ANISOVICH 01F	SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

h_3 (2275) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{+-})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2275 ± 25	190 ± 45	¹ ANISOVICH 02B	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

a_3 (2275) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(3^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2275 ± 35	350 ⁺¹⁰⁰ ₋₅₀	¹ ANISOVICH 01G	SPEC	1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, ANISOVICH 01F, and ANISOVICH 01G.

π_2 (2285) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{-+})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2285 ± 20 ± 25	250 ± 20 ± 25	¹ ANISOVICH 11	SPEC	0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$

¹Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

ω_3 (2285) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{--})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2278 ± 28	224 ± 50	¹ BUGG 04A	RVUE	
2285 ± 60	230 ± 40	² ANISOVICH 02B	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹Partial wave analysis of the data on $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$ from BARNES 00.
²From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

ω (2290) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2290 ± 20	275 ± 35	¹ BUGG 04A	RVUE	

¹Partial wave analysis of the data on $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$ from BARNES 00.

$f_2(2295)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2293±13	216 ± 37	¹ ANISOVICH	00J SPEC	1.92–2.41 $\rho\bar{p}$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99F, ANISOVICH 99J, ANISOVICH 99K, and ANISOVICH 00B. See also ANISOVICH 12.

$f_3(2300)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(3^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2334±25	200 ± 20	¹ BUGG	04A RVUE

¹Partial wave analysis of the data on $\rho\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$ from BARNES 00.

$f_1(2310)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2310±60	255 ± 70	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC

$\eta(2320)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2320±15	230 ± 35	¹ ANISOVICH	00M SPEC

¹From the combined analysis of $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\eta$ from ANISOVICH 00M and $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ from ANISOVICH 00J.

$\eta_4(2330)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(4^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2328±38	240 ± 90	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC	2.0 $\rho\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$

$\omega(2330)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2330±30	435 ± 75	ATKINSON	88 OMEG	25–50 $\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^\pm\rho^0\pi^\mp$

$X(2340)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2340±20	180 ± 60	126	¹ BALTAY	75 HBC	15 $\pi^+p \rightarrow p5\pi$

¹Dominant decay into $\rho^0\rho^0\pi^+$. BALTAY 78 finds confirmation in $2\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$ events which contain $\rho^+\rho^0\pi^0$ and $2\rho^+\pi^-$.

$\pi(2360)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2360±25	300^{+100}_{-50}	ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

X(2360) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(4^{+?})$		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>			
2360±10	430 ± 30	ROZANSKA 80	SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\bar{p}n$

X(2440) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(5^{-?})$		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>			
2440±10	310 ± 20	ROZANSKA 80	SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\bar{p}n$

a₆(2450) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(6^{++})$		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>			
2450±130	400 ± 250	CLELAND 82B	SPEC	50 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$

X(2540) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>			
2539±14 ⁺³⁸ ₋₁₄	274 ⁺⁷⁷⁺¹²⁶ ₋₆₁₋₁₆₃	UEHARA 13	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times B(K\bar{K})$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
40 ⁺⁹⁺¹⁷ ₋₇₋₄₀	UEHARA 13	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

X(2632) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>			
2635.2±3.3		¹ EVDOKIMOV 04	SELX	$X(2632) \rightarrow D_S^+ \eta$
2631.6±2.1	< 17	² EVDOKIMOV 04	SELX	$X(2632) \rightarrow D_S^0 K^+$

¹ From a mass difference to D_S^+ of 666.9 ± 3.3 MeV.

² From a mass difference to D_S^0 of 767.0 ± 2.0 MeV.

 $B(X(2632) \rightarrow D_S^0 K^+)/B(X(2632) \rightarrow D_S^+ \eta)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
0.14±0.06	¹ EVDOKIMOV 04	SELX

¹ Possible interpretation of this decay pattern is discussed by YASUI 07.

X(2680) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>			
2676±27	150	CASO 70	HBC	11.2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$

X(2710) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(6^{+?})$		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>			
2710±20	170 ± 40	ROZANSKA 80	SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\bar{p}n$

X(2750) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(7^{-?})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2747 ± 32	195 ± 75	DENNEY	83	LASS	10 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ p$

f₆(3100) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(6^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
3100 ± 100	700 ± 130	BINON	05	GAMS	33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta n$

X(3250) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$ 3-Body Decays					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
3250 ± 8 ± 20	45 ± 18	ALEEV	93	BIS2	X(3250) → $\Lambda \bar{p} K^+$
3265 ± 7 ± 20	40 ± 18	ALEEV	93	BIS2	X(3250) → $\bar{\Lambda} p K^-$

X(3250) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$ 4-Body Decays					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
3245 ± 8 ± 20	25 ± 11	ALEEV	93	BIS2	X(3250) → $\Lambda \bar{p} K^+ \pi^\pm$
3250 ± 9 ± 20	50 ± 20	ALEEV	93	BIS2	X(3250) → $\bar{\Lambda} p K^- \pi^\mp$
3270 ± 8 ± 20	25 ± 11	ALEEV	93	BIS2	X(3250) → $K_S^0 p \bar{p} K^\pm$

X(3350) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3350 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₂₀ ± 20	70 ⁺⁴⁰ ₋₃₀ ± 40	50 ± 10	¹ GABYSHEV	06A	BELL $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \bar{p} \pi^-$

¹ A similar enhancement in the $\Lambda_c^+ \bar{p}$ final state is also reported by BABAR collaboration in AUBERT 10H.

Z_{cs}(4000)⁺ $I(J^P) = 1/2(1^+)$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
4003 ± 6 ⁺⁴ ₋₁₄	131 ± 15 ± 26	^{1,2} AAIJ	21E	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
3982.5 ^{+1.8} _{-2.6} ± 2.1	12.8 ^{+5.3} _{-4.4} ± 3.0	^{2,3} ABLIKIM	21G	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ (D_s^- D^{*0} + D_s^{*-} D^0)$

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 15 σ .

² Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on "Heavy Non- $q\bar{q}$ Mesons."

³ Pole mass, width for a mass-,width-dependent Breit-Wigner fit to the mass, width spectrum recoiling against K^+ at center of mass energies between 4.628 and 4.698 GeV, with a significance of 5.3 σ .

Z_{cs}(4220)⁺ $I(J^P) = 1/2(1^+)$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
4216 ± 24 ⁺⁴³ ₋₃₀	233 ± 52 ⁺⁹⁷ ₋₇₃	^{1,2} AAIJ	21E	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.9 σ .

² Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on "Heavy Non- $q\bar{q}$ Mesons."

$X(6900)$	$I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$6886 \pm 11 \pm 11$	$168 \pm 33 \pm 69$	¹ AAIJ	20AY LHCb	$p p \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi X$

¹ Assuming the interference of a Breit-Wigner shape with non-resonant single-parton scattering. Without interference, the mass and width are $6905 \pm 11 \pm 7$ and $80 \pm 19 \pm 33$ MeV. State incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure. See the review on "Heavy Non- $q\bar{q}$ Mesons."

REFERENCES for Further States

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21G	PRL 126 102001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	21A	PR D104 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AAIJ	20AY	SCIB 65 1983	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16N	PR D93 112011	M. Ablikim	(BESIII Collab.)
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ANISOVICH	12	PR D85 014001	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANASHIN	11	PL B703 543	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
ANISOVICH	11	EPJ C71 1511	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RAL, PNPI)
CHEN	11F	PR D84 071501	P. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	10H	PR D82 031102	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ABRAAMYAN	09	PR C80 034001	Kh.U. Abraamyan <i>et al.</i>	
VLADIMIRSK...	08	PAN 71 2129	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
		Translated from YAF 71 2166.		
VLADIMIRSK...	07	PAN 70 1706	V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 70 1751.		
YASUI	07	PR D76 034009	S. Yasui, M. Oka	
ABLIKIM	06S	PRL 97 142002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
GABYSHEV	06A	PRL 97 242001	N. Gabyshev <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SCHEGELSKY	06	EPJ A27 199	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
SCHEGELSKY	06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
VLADIMIRSK...	06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)
		Translated from YAF 69 515.		
BINON	05	PAN 68 960	F. Binon <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 68 998.		
GRIGOR'EV	05	PAN 68 1271	V.K. Grigor'ev <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
		Translated from YAF 68 1324.		
LU	05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	04J	PRL 93 112002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BUGG	04	PL B595 556 (errata.)	D.V. Bugg	
BUGG	04A	EPJ C36 161	D.V. Bugg	
BUGG	04C	PRPL 397 257	D.V. Bugg	
EVDOKIMOV	04	PRL 93 242001	A.V. Evdokimov <i>et al.</i>	(SELEX Collab.)
KUHN	04	PL B595 109	J. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ANISOVICH	03	EPJ A16 229	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
VLADIMIRSK...	03	PAN 66 700	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 66 729.		
ANISOVICH	02	PL B542 8	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	02B	PL B542 19	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ANISOVICH	01C	PL B507 23	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01D	PL B508 6	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01E	PL B513 281	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01F	PL B517 261	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01G	PL B517 273	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	00B	NP A662 319	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	00D	PL B476 15	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	00E	PL B477 19	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	00I	PL B491 40	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)
ANISOVICH	00M	PL B496 145	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BARNES	00	PR C62 055203	P.D. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	
FILIPPI	00	PL B495 284	A. Filippi <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Experiment)
VLADIMIRSKII	00	JETPL 72 486	V.V. Vladimirovskii <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from ZETFP 72 698.		

ANISOVICH	99C	PL B452 173	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	99E	PL B452 187	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	99F	NP A651 253	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	99J	PL B471 271	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	99K	PL B468 309	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BUGG	99	PL B458 511	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	
FERRER	99	EPJ C10 249	A. Ferrer <i>et al.</i>	
SEMENOV	99	SPU 42 847	S.V. Semenov	
		Translated from UFN 42 937.		
ADOMEIT	96	ZPHY C71 227	J. Adomeit <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
KLOET	96	PR D53 6120	W.M. Kloet, F. Myhrer	(RUTG, NORD)
PROKOSHKIN	96	PD 41 247	Y.D. Prokoshkin, V.D. Samoilenko	(SERP)
		Translated from DANS 348 481.		
HASAN	94	PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
OAKDEN	94	NP A574 731	M.N. Oakden, M.R. Pennington	(DURH)
ALEEV	93	PAN 56 1358	A.N. Aleev <i>et al.</i>	(BIS-2 Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 56 100.		
ARMSTRONG	93D	PL B307 399	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
ALBRECHT	91F	ZPHY C50 1	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
CONDO	91	PR D43 2787	G.T. Condo <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC Hybrid Collab.)
BISELLO	89B	PR D39 701	G. Busetto <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
ATKINSON	88	ZPHY C38 535	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
DAFTARI	87	PRL 58 859	I.K. Daftari <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA)
ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)
BRIDGES	86D	PL B180 313	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA, BNL, CASE+)
GREEN	86	PRL 56 1639	D.R. Green <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, ARIZ, FSU+)
ATKINSON	85	ZPHY C29 333	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
DENNEY	83	PR D28 2726	D.L. Denney <i>et al.</i>	(IOWA, MICH)
CLELAND	82B	NP B208 228	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
ASTON	81B	NP B189 205	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS+)
ARESTOV	80	IHEP 80-165	Y.I. Arestov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
CHLIAPNIK...	80	ZPHY C3 285	P.V. Chliapnikov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BRUX, MONS)
KREYMER	80	PR D22 36	A.E. Kreymer <i>et al.</i>	(IND, PURD, SLAC+)
ROZANSKA	80	NP B162 505	M. Rozanska <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN)
EVANGELIS...	79	NP B153 253	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
EVANGELIS...	79B	NP B154 381	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
BALTAY	78	PR D17 52	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING)
ANTIPOV	77	NP B119 45	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, GEVA)
BALTAY	77	PRL 39 591	C. Baltay, C.V. Cautis, M. Kalelkar	(COLU)
BALTAY	75	PRL 35 891	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING)
KALELKAR	75	Thesis Nevis 207	M.S. Kalelkar	(COLU)
CASO	70	LNC 3 707	C. Caso <i>et al.</i>	(GENO, HAMB, MILA, SACL)