

$\Lambda(1690) \ 3/2^-$  $I(J^P) = 0(\frac{3}{2}^-)$  Status: \*\*\*\*

The measurements of the mass, width, and elasticity published before 1974 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They were last listed in our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

 **$\Lambda(1690)$  POLE POSITION****REAL PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1680 to 1700 (<math>\approx 1690</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
$1683 \pm 3$	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
$1697^{+6}_{-6}$	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1689	ZHANG	13A	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.			

**-2×IMAGINARY PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>60 to 80 (<math>\approx 70</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
$72 \pm 5$	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
$65 \pm 14$	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
53	ZHANG	13A	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.			

 **$\Lambda(1690)$  POLE RESIDUES**

The normalized residue is the residue divided by  $\Gamma_{pole}/2$ .

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1690) \rightarrow N\bar{K}$** 

MODULUS	PHASE ( $^\circ$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.24 <math>\pm</math> 0.05</b>	<b>-28 <math>\pm</math> 5</b>	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.251	3	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel
<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.				

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1690) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$** 

MODULUS	PHASE ( $^\circ$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.35 <math>\pm</math> 0.07</b>	<b>175 <math>\pm</math> 6</b>	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.315	-173	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.				

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1690) \rightarrow \Lambda\eta$** 

MODULUS	PHASE ( $^\circ$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.05 <math>\pm</math> 0.02</b>	<b>88 <math>\pm</math> 8</b>	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.00567      81      <sup>1</sup>KAMANO      15      DPWA      Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

### Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1690) \rightarrow \Lambda\sigma$

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.08±0.02</b>	<b>-10 ± 6</b>	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

### Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1690) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$ , S-wave

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.11 ±0.06</b>	<b>170 ± 70</b>	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.134      168      <sup>1</sup>KAMANO      15      DPWA       $\bar{K}N$  multichannel

<sup>1</sup>From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

### Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1690) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$ , D-wave

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.06 ±0.04</b>	<b>164 ± 15</b>	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.319      -22      <sup>1</sup>KAMANO      15      DPWA       $\bar{K}N$  multichannel

<sup>1</sup>From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

### Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1690) \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*(892)$ , S-wave

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.05±0.04</b>	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

### Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1690) \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*(892)$ , D-wave

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.18±0.05@-110±45</b>	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

## $\Lambda(1690)$ MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

### 1685 to 1695 (≈ 1690) OUR ESTIMATE

1689 ±3	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1691 ±3	ZHANG	13A	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1695.7±2.6	KOISO	85	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
1690 ±5	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1692 ±5	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1690 ±3	HEPP	76B	DPWA $K^-N \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
1689 ±1	KANE	74	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1690 ±5	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1687 or 1689	<sup>1</sup> MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1692 ±4	CARROLL	76	DPWA Isospin-0 total $\sigma$

<sup>1</sup>The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit. Another  $D_{03}$   $\Lambda$  at 1966 MeV is also suggested by MARTIN 77, but is very uncertain.

**$\Lambda(1690)$  WIDTH**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>60 to 80 (<math>\approx 70</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
75 $\pm$ 5	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
54 $\pm$ 5	ZHANG 13A	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
67.2 $\pm$ 5.6	KOISO 85	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
61 $\pm$ 5	GOPAL 80	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
64 $\pm$ 10	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
82 $\pm$ 8	HEPP 76B	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
60 $\pm$ 4	KANE 74	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
60 $\pm$ 5	GOPAL 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
62 or 62	<sup>1</sup> MARTIN 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
38	CARROLL 76	DPWA	Isospin-0 total $\sigma$

<sup>1</sup>The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit. Another  $D_{03}$   $\Lambda$  at 1966 MeV is also suggested by MARTIN 77, but is very uncertain.

 **$\Lambda(1690)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $N\bar{K}$	20–30 %
$\Gamma_2$ $\Sigma \pi$	20–40 %
$\Gamma_3$ $\Lambda \sigma$	(5.0 $\pm$ 2.0) %
$\Gamma_4$ $\Lambda \pi \pi$	$\sim$ 25 %
$\Gamma_5$ $\Sigma \pi \pi$	$\sim$ 20 %
$\Gamma_6$ $\Lambda \eta$	
$\Gamma_7$ $\Sigma(1385)\pi$ , $S$ -wave	(9 $\pm$ 5) %
$\Gamma_8$ $\Sigma(1385)\pi$ , $D$ -wave	(3.0 $\pm$ 2.0) %
$\Gamma_9$ $N\bar{K}^*(892)$ , $S=1/2$ , $D$ -wave	
$\Gamma_{10}$ $N\bar{K}^*(892)$ , $S=3/2$ , $S$ -wave	
$\Gamma_{11}$ $N\bar{K}^*(892)$ , $S=3/2$ , $D$ -wave	

 **$\Lambda(1690)$  BRANCHING RATIOS**

<u><math>\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}</math></u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<b>0.20 to 0.28 OUR ESTIMATE</b>				
0.23 $\pm$ 0.05	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	
0.25 $\pm$ 0.04	ZHANG 13A	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	
0.23 $\pm$ 0.03	GOPAL 80	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	
0.22 $\pm$ 0.03	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.239	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	
0.24 $\pm$ 0.03	GOPAL 77	DPWA	See GOPAL 80	
0.28 or 0.26	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	

<sup>1</sup>From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

<sup>2</sup>The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit. Another  $D_{03}$   $\Lambda$  at 1966 MeV is also suggested by MARTIN 77, but is very uncertain.

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.50 ± 0.10</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.387	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.			

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_6/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
~ 0.01	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	Multichannel
<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.			

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\sigma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_3/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.05 ± 0.02</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi, S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.09 ± 0.05</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.062	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.			

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_8/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.03 ± 0.02</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.308	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.			

 $\Gamma(N\bar{K}^*(892), S=1/2, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_9/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
not seen	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.			

 $\Gamma(N\bar{K}^*(892), S=3/2, S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.003	KAMANO 15	DPWA	Multichannel

 $\Gamma(N\bar{K}^*(892), S=3/2, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	Multichannel
<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.			

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1690) \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$				$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2)^{1/2} / \Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$-0.27 \pm 0.03$	ZHANG	13A	DPWA	Multichannel
$-0.34 \pm 0.02$	KOISO	85	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
$-0.25 \pm 0.03$	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\bar{K} N$ multichannel
$-0.29 \pm 0.03$	HEPP	76B	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
$-0.28 \pm 0.03$	LONDON	75	HLBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \pi^0$
$-0.28 \pm 0.02$	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$-0.30$  or  $-0.28$  <sup>1</sup>MARTIN 77 DPWA  $\bar{K} N$  multichannel

<sup>1</sup>The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit. Another  $D_{03}$   $\Lambda$  at 1666 MeV is also suggested by MARTIN 77, but is very uncertain.

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1690) \rightarrow \Lambda \pi \pi$				$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_4)^{1/2} / \Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.25 \pm 0.02$  <sup>1</sup>BARTLEY 68 HDBC  $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi \pi$

<sup>1</sup>BARTLEY 68 uses only cross-section data. The enhancement is not seen by PREVOST 71.

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1690) \rightarrow \Sigma \pi \pi$				$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_5)^{1/2} / \Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.21	ARMENTEROS68C	HDBC	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma \pi \pi$	

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1690) \rightarrow \Lambda \eta$				$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_6)^{1/2} / \Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$0.00 \pm 0.03$	BAXTER	73	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow$ neutrals

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1690) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$ , S-wave				$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_7)^{1/2} / \Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$-0.28 \pm 0.06$	ZHANG	13A	DPWA	Multichannel
$+0.27 \pm 0.04$	PREVOST	74	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$

## $\Lambda(1690)$ REFERENCES

SARANTSEV	19	EPJ A55 180	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
KAMANO	15	PR C92 025205	H. Kamano <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, OSAK)
ZHANG	13A	PR C88 035205	H. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(KSU)
KOISO	85	NP A433 619	H. Koiso <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, MASA)
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL) IJP
ALSTON-...	78	PR D18 182	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
Also		PRL 38 1007	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
MARTIN	77	NP B127 349	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock, R.G. Moorhouse	(LOUC+) IJP
Also		NP B126 266	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC)
Also		NP B126 285	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC) IJP
CARROLL	76	PRL 37 806	A.S. Carroll <i>et al.</i>	(BNL) I

HEPP	76B	PL 65B 487	V. Hepp <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEIDH, MPIM) IJP
LONDON	75	NP B85 289	G.W. London <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CERN, EPOL+)
KANE	74	LBL-2452	D.F. Kane	(LBL) IJP
PREVOST	74	NP B69 246	J. Prevost <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, CERN, HEID)
BAXTER	73	NP B67 125	D.F. Baxter <i>et al.</i>	(OXF) IJP
PREVOST	71	Amsterdam Conf.	J. Prevost	(CERN, HEID, SACL)
ARMENTEROS	68C	NP B8 216	R. Armenteros <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEID, SACL) I
BARTLEY	68	PRL 21 1111	J.H. Bartley <i>et al.</i>	(TUFTS, FSU, BRAN) I

---