

$\rho(1450)$ 

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$$

## $\rho(1450)$ MASS

### $\rho(1450)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

**1465±25 OUR ESTIMATE** This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

### $\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

1506±11	13.4k	<sup>1</sup> GRIBANOV 20	CMD3	1.1–2.0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1500±10	7.4k	<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV 18	SND	1.22–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1497±14		<sup>3</sup> AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
1421±15		<sup>4</sup> AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1470±20		ANTONELLI 88	DM2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1446±10		FUKUI 88	SPEC	8.95	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

<sup>1</sup> Mass and width of the  $\rho(770)$  fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2,  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decays used.<sup>2</sup> From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$  and  $\rho(2150)$  with the parameters of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  floating and the mass and width of the  $\rho(2150)$  fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are  $\pi$ , 0 and  $\pi$ , respectively.<sup>3</sup> Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$ , AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ .<sup>4</sup> Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  mesons assumed.

### $\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

1510±7	10.2k	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV 16D	SND	1.05–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1544±22 <sup>+11</sup> <sub>-46</sub>	821	<sup>2</sup> MATVIENKO 15	BELL		$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
1491±19	7815	<sup>3</sup> ACHASOV 13	SND	1.05–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1582±17±25	2382	<sup>4</sup> AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1349±25 <sup>+10</sup> <sub>-5</sub>	341	<sup>5</sup> ALEXANDER 01B	CLE2		$B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
1523±10		<sup>6</sup> EDWARDS 00A	CLE2		$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^- \nu_\tau$
1463±25		<sup>7</sup> CLEGG 94	RVUE		
1250		<sup>8</sup> ASTON 80C	OMEG	20–70	$\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
1290±40		<sup>8</sup> BARBER 80C	SPEC	3–5	$\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

<sup>1</sup> From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$ . The  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.<sup>2</sup> Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the  $\rho(1450)$  and assuming equal probabilities of the  $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$  and  $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$  decays.

- <sup>3</sup> From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.
- <sup>4</sup> Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the  $\omega\pi^0$  and  $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass dependence of the total width.  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.
- <sup>5</sup> Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the  $\rho(1450)$  and assuming the  $\omega\pi^-$  mass dependence for the total width.
- <sup>6</sup> Mass-independent width parameterization.  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.
- <sup>7</sup> Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.
- <sup>8</sup> Not separated from  $b_1(1235)$ , not pure  $J^P = 1^-$  effect.

#### 4 $\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1435 ± 40	ABELE 01B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^- 2\pi^0 \pi^+$
1350 ± 50	ACHASOV 97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1449 ± 4	<sup>1</sup> ARMSTRONG 89E	OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow p\rho 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

<sup>1</sup> Not clear whether this observation has  $l=1$  or 0.

#### $\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1326.35 ± 3.46		<sup>1</sup> BARTOS 17	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1342.31 ± 46.62		<sup>2</sup> BARTOS 17A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1373.83 ± 11.37		<sup>3</sup> BARTOS 17A	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
1429 ± 41	20k	<sup>4</sup> LEES 17C	BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1350 ± 20	$\begin{smallmatrix} +20 \\ -30 \end{smallmatrix}$ 63.5k	<sup>5</sup> ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^-p$
1493 ± 15		<sup>6</sup> LEES 12G	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1446 ± 7	$\pm 28$ 5.4M	<sup>7,8</sup> FUJIKAWA 08	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
1328 ± 15		<sup>9</sup> SCHAEEL 05C	ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
1406 ± 15	87k	<sup>7,10</sup> ANDERSON 00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
~ 1368		<sup>11</sup> ABELE 99C	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$
1348 ± 33		BERTIN 98	OBLX	0.05–0.405 $\bar{n}p \rightarrow$ $2\pi^+\pi^-$
1411 ± 14		<sup>12</sup> ABELE 97	CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
1370 $\begin{smallmatrix} +90 \\ -70 \end{smallmatrix}$		ACHASOV 97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1359 ± 40		<sup>10</sup> BERTIN 97C	OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1282 ± 37		BERTIN 97D	OBLX	0.05 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-$
1424 ± 25		BISELLO 89	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1265.5 ± 75.3		DUBNICKA 89	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1292 ± 17		<sup>13</sup> KURDADZE 83	OLYA	0.64–1.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.

<sup>2</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.

- <sup>3</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.
- <sup>4</sup> From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  masses and widths floating.
- <sup>5</sup> Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting  $\rho$ - $\omega$  interference.
- <sup>6</sup> Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ , and  $\rho(2150)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit.
- <sup>7</sup> From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.
- <sup>8</sup>  $|F_\pi(0)|^2$  fixed to 1.
- <sup>9</sup> From the combined fit of the  $\tau^-$  data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAEEL 05c and  $e^+e^-$  data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05.  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1713 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively. Supersedes BARATE 97M.
- <sup>10</sup>  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.
- <sup>11</sup>  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1780 MeV and 275 MeV respectively.
- <sup>12</sup> T-matrix pole.
- <sup>13</sup> Using for  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width  $1600 \pm 20$  and  $300 \pm 10$  MeV respectively.

### $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1208 ± 8 ± 9	190k	<sup>1</sup> AAJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1422.8 ± 6.5	27k	<sup>2</sup> ABELE	99D	CBAR ±	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> Using the GOUNARIS 68 parameterization with fixed width.  
<sup>2</sup> K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be  $\omega(1420)$ .

### $K\bar{K}^*(892) + c.c.$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1505 ± 19 ± 7	AUBERT	08S BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

### $m_{\rho(1450)^0} - m_{\rho(1450)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
-31.53 ± 47.99	<sup>1</sup> BARTOS	17A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$

<sup>1</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

## $\rho(1450)$ WIDTH

### $\rho(1450)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>400 ± 60 OUR ESTIMATE</b>	This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.		
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
480 ± 180	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	10D SND	1.075-2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states  $\omega(1420)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\omega(1650)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$ . Systematic errors not evaluated.

**$\eta\rho^0$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$321 \pm 27$	13.4k	<sup>1</sup> GRIBANOV 20	CMD3	$1.1\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$280 \pm 20$	7.4k	<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV 18	SND	$1.22\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$226 \pm 44$		<sup>3</sup> AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \gamma$
$211 \pm 31$		<sup>4</sup> AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$230 \pm 30$		ANTONELLI 88	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$60 \pm 15$		FUKUI 88	SPEC	$8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$

<sup>1</sup> Mass and width of the  $\rho(770)$  fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2,  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decays used.

<sup>2</sup> From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$  and  $\rho(2150)$  with the parameters of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  floating and the mass and width of the  $\rho(2150)$  fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are  $\pi$ , 0 and  $\pi$ , respectively.

<sup>3</sup> Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \gamma$ , AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ .

<sup>4</sup> Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  mesons assumed.

 **$\omega\pi$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$440 \pm 40$	10.2k	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV 16D	SND	$1.05\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
$303^{+31}_{-52} \pm 69_{-7}$	821	<sup>2</sup> MATVIENKO 15	BELL	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \omega \pi^-$
$429 \pm 42 \pm 10$	2382	<sup>3</sup> AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
$547 \pm 86^{+46}_{-45}$	341	<sup>4</sup> ALEXANDER 01B	CLE2	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \omega \pi^-$
$400 \pm 35$		<sup>5</sup> EDWARDS 00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \nu_\tau$
$311 \pm 62$		<sup>6</sup> CLEGG 94	RVUE	
300		<sup>7</sup> ASTON 80C	OMEG	$20\text{--}70 \gamma p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 p$
$320 \pm 100$		<sup>7</sup> BARBER 80C	SPEC	$3\text{--}5 \gamma p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 p$

<sup>1</sup> From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$ . The  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.

<sup>2</sup> Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the  $\rho(1450)$  and assuming equal probabilities of the  $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$  and  $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$  decays.

<sup>3</sup> Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the  $\omega\pi^0$  and  $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass dependence of the total width.  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.

<sup>4</sup> Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the  $\rho(1450)$  and assuming the  $\omega\pi^-$  mass dependence for the total width.

<sup>5</sup> Mass-independent width parameterization.  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.

<sup>6</sup> Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

<sup>7</sup> Not separated from  $b_1(1235)$ , not pure  $J^P = 1^-$  effect.

 **$4\pi$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$325 \pm 100$	ABELE 01B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} n \rightarrow 2\pi^- 2\pi^0 \pi^+$

**$\pi\pi$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$324.13 \pm 12.01$		<sup>1</sup> BARTOS	17 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$492.17 \pm 138.38$		<sup>2</sup> BARTOS	17A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$340.87 \pm 23.84$		<sup>3</sup> BARTOS	17A RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
$576 \pm 29$	20k	<sup>4</sup> LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$460 \pm 30$	$^{+40}_{-45}$ 63.5k	<sup>5</sup> ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^-p$
$427 \pm 31$		<sup>6</sup> LEES	12G BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
$434 \pm 16$	$\pm 60$ 5.4M	<sup>7,8</sup> FUJIKAWA	08 BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
$468 \pm 41$		<sup>9</sup> SCHAEEL	05C ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
$455 \pm 41$	87k	<sup>7,10</sup> ANDERSON	00A CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
$\sim 374$		<sup>11</sup> ABELE	99C CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$
$275 \pm 10$		BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
$343 \pm 20$		<sup>12</sup> ABELE	97 CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
$310 \pm 40$		<sup>10</sup> BERTIN	97C OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$236 \pm 36$		BERTIN	97D OBLX	$0.05 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-$
$269 \pm 31$		BISELLO	89 DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$391 \pm 70$		DUBNICKA	89 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$218 \pm 46$		<sup>13</sup> KURDADZE	83 OLYA	$0.64-1.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.

<sup>2</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.

<sup>3</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.

<sup>4</sup> From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  masses and widths floating.

<sup>5</sup> Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting  $\rho-\omega$  interference.

<sup>6</sup> Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ , and  $\rho(2150)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit.

<sup>7</sup> From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

<sup>8</sup>  $|F_\pi(0)|^2$  fixed to 1.

<sup>9</sup> From the combined fit of the  $\tau^-$  data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAEEL 05C and  $e^+e^-$  data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05.  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1713 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively. Supersedes BARATE 97M.

<sup>10</sup>  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.

<sup>11</sup>  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1780 MeV and 275 MeV respectively.

<sup>12</sup> T-matrix pole.

<sup>13</sup> Using for  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width  $1600 \pm 20$  and  $300 \pm 10$  MeV respectively.

 **$K\bar{K}$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$410 \pm 19 \pm 35$	190k	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	16N LHCB		$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
$146.5 \pm 10.5$	27k	<sup>2</sup> ABELE	99D CBAR	$\pm$	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> Using the GOUNARIS 68 parameterization with fixed mass.

<sup>2</sup> K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be  $\omega(1420)$ .

### $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c. MODE}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$418 \pm 25 \pm 4$	AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

### $\Gamma_{\rho(1450)^0} - \Gamma_{\rho(1450)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$151.30 \pm 140.42$	<sup>1</sup> BARTOS	17A RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

<sup>1</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

### $\rho(1450)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $\pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2$ $\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3$ $4\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_4$ $\omega\pi$	
$\Gamma_5$ $a_1(1260)\pi$	
$\Gamma_6$ $h_1(1170)\pi$	
$\Gamma_7$ $\pi(1300)\pi$	
$\Gamma_8$ $\rho\rho$	
$\Gamma_9$ $\rho(\pi\pi)$ S-wave	
$\Gamma_{10}$ $e^+e^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{11}$ $\eta\rho$	seen
$\Gamma_{12}$ $a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_{13}$ $K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_{14}$ $K^+K^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{15}$ $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	possibly seen
$\Gamma_{16}$ $\pi^0\gamma$	
$\Gamma_{17}$ $\eta\gamma$	seen
$\Gamma_{18}$ $f_0(500)\gamma$	not seen
$\Gamma_{19}$ $f_0(980)\gamma$	not seen
$\Gamma_{20}$ $f_0(1370)\gamma$	not seen
$\Gamma_{21}$ $f_2(1270)\gamma$	not seen

### $\rho(1450) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_1\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$
0.12	<sup>1</sup> DIEKMAN	88 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	

$0.027^{+0.015}_{-0.010}$   $^2$  KURDADZE 83 OLYA  $0.64\text{--}1.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> Using total width = 235 MeV.

<sup>2</sup> Using for  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width  $1600 \pm 20$  and  $300 \pm 10$  MeV respectively.

**$\Gamma(\eta\rho) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{11}\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$**

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-------------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$335 \pm 27 \pm 20$	13.4k	<sup>1</sup> GRIBANOV 20	CMD3	$1.1\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$210 \pm 24 \pm 10$		<sup>2</sup> LEES 18	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$74 \pm 20$		<sup>3</sup> AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$91 \pm 19$		ANTONELLI 88	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> Mass and width of the  $\rho(770)$  fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2,  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decays used.

<sup>2</sup> Includes non-resonant contribution. The selected fit model includes three  $\rho$  excited states. Model uncertainty is 20%.

<sup>3</sup> Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  mesons assumed.

**$\Gamma(\eta\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{17}\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$**

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<16.4$	<sup>1</sup> AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	$0.60\text{--}1.38 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
$2.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3$	<sup>2</sup> AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> From  $2\gamma$  decay mode of  $\eta$  using 1465 MeV and 310 MeV for the  $\rho(1450)$  mass and width. Recalculated by us.

<sup>2</sup> Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$ , AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ . Recalculated by us using width of 226 MeV.

**$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{15}\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$**

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$127 \pm 15 \pm 6$	AUBERT	08S	BABR $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$
--------------------	--------	-----	---

**$\rho(1450) \Gamma(i)/\Gamma(\text{total}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$**

**$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$**

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-6}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.1 \pm 0.4$	10.2k	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV 16D	SND	$1.05\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$5.3 \pm 0.4$	7815	<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV 13	SND	$1.05\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$ . The  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.

<sup>2</sup> From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-7}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$7.3 \pm 0.3$	7.4k	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	18	SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$4.3^{+1.1}_{-0.9} \pm 0.2$	4.9k	<sup>2</sup> AULCHENKO	15	SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup>From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$  and  $\rho(2150)$  with the parameters of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  floating and the mass and width of the  $\rho(2150)$  fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are  $\pi$ , 0 and  $\pi$ , respectively.

<sup>2</sup>From a fit to the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$  cross section with vector meson dominance model including  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$  decaying exclusively via  $\eta\rho(770)$ . Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.

$\Gamma(f_0(500)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-9}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b>&lt;4.0</b>	90	ACHASOV	11	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
----------------	----	---------	----	-----	---------------------------------------

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-9}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.3 \pm 1.4$	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	10D	SND	1.075–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
---------------	----------------------	-----	-----	--

<sup>1</sup>From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states  $\omega(1420)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\omega(1650)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$ . Systematic errors not evaluated.

$\Gamma(f_0(980)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-9}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b>&lt;2.6</b>	90	ACHASOV	11	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
----------------	----	---------	----	-----	---------------------------------------

$\Gamma(f_0(1370)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-9}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b>&lt;3.5</b>	90	ACHASOV	11	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
----------------	----	---------	----	-----	---------------------------------------

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-9}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b>&lt;0.8</b>	90	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	11	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
----------------	----	----------------------	----	-----	---------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup>Using Breit-Wigner parametrization of the  $\rho(1450)$  with mass and width of 1465 MeV and 400 MeV, respectively.

**$\rho(1450)$  BRANCHING RATIOS**

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$   $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_3$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.37 \pm 0.10$	<sup>1,2</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
-----------------	----------------------	-----	------	---------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> $\omega\pi$  not included.

<sup>2</sup>Using ABELE 97.



$\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma_2$ 

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$30.7 \pm 8.4 \pm 8.2$	20k	<sup>1</sup> LEES	17C	BABR $J/\psi \rightarrow h^+ h^- \pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> From Dalitz plot analyses in isobar models. $\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_4/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
seen	821	<sup>1</sup> MATVIENKO	15	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \omega \pi^-$
seen	1.6k	ACHASOV	12	SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
$\sim 0.21$		CLEGG	94	RVUE

<sup>1</sup> Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the  $\rho(1450)$  and assuming equal probabilities of the  $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$  and  $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$  decays. $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$   $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_4$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●		
$\sim 0.32$	CLEGG	94 RVUE

 $\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$   $\Gamma_4/\Gamma_3$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●		
$< 0.14$	CLEGG	88 RVUE

 $\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_3$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.27 \pm 0.08$	<sup>1</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

<sup>1</sup>  $\omega\pi$  not included. $\Gamma(h_1(1170)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$   $\Gamma_6/\Gamma_3$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.08 \pm 0.04$	<sup>1</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

<sup>1</sup>  $\omega\pi$  not included. $\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma_3$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.37 \pm 0.13$	<sup>1</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

<sup>1</sup>  $\omega\pi$  not included. $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$   $\Gamma_8/\Gamma_3$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.11 \pm 0.05$	<sup>1</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

<sup>1</sup>  $\omega\pi$  not included.

$\Gamma(\rho(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(4\pi)$  $\Gamma_9/\Gamma_3$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.17 \pm 0.09$	<sup>1</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p} n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>1</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

 $\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	35	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	14	SND $1.15\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.04		DONNACHIE	87B	RVUE
<sup>1</sup> From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.				

 $\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$  $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_4$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.081 \pm 0.020$	<sup>1,2</sup> AULCHENKO	15	SND $1.22\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$\sim 0.24$	<sup>3</sup> DONNACHIE	91	RVUE
>2	FUKUI	91	SPEC $8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 n$
<sup>1</sup> From a fit to the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section with vector meson dominance model including $\rho(770)$ , $\rho(1450)$ , and $\rho(1700)$ decaying exclusively via $\eta\rho(770)$ . Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.			
<sup>2</sup> Reports the inverse of the quoted value as $12.3 \pm 3.1$ .			
<sup>3</sup> Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.			

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\rho)$  $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_{11}$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.3 \pm 0.4$	<sup>1</sup> AULCHENKO	15	SND $1.22\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
<sup>1</sup> From a fit to the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section with vector meson dominance model including $\rho(770)$ , $\rho(1450)$ , and $\rho(1700)$ decaying exclusively via $\eta\rho(770)$ . Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.			

 $\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	AMELIN	00	VES $37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$  $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma_4$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.08	<sup>1</sup> DONNACHIE	91	RVUE
<sup>1</sup> Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.			

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
possibly seen	COAN	04	CLEO $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau$

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b>seen</b>	35	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	14	SND	1.15–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

<sup>1</sup>From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\phi(1680)$  masses and widths from the PDG 12.

## $\rho(1450)$ REFERENCES

GRIBANOV	20	JHEP 2001 112	S.S. Gribanov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV	18	PR D97 012008	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LEES	18	PR D97 052007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BARTOS	17	PR D96 113004	E. Bartos <i>et al.</i>	
BARTOS	17A	IJMP A32 1750154	E. Bartos <i>et al.</i>	
LEES	17C	PR D95 072007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AAIJ	16N	PR D93 052018	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16C	PL B753 629	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	16D	PR D94 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO	15	PR D91 052013	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
MATVIENKO	15	PR D92 012013	D. Matvienko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ACHASOV	14	PR D90 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	13	PR D88 054013	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ABRAMOWICZ	12	EPJ C72 1869	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ACHASOV	12	JETPL 94 734	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from ZETFP 94 796.		
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	11	JETP 113 75	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 140 87.		
AMBROSINO	11A	PL B700 102	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
ACHASOV	10D	PR D98 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
DUBNICKA	10	APS 60 1	S. Dubnicka, A.Z. Dubnickova	
AUBERT	09AS	PRL 103 231801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
FUJIKAWA	08	PR D78 072006	M. Fujikawa <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	07	PL B648 28	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	06	JETP 103 380	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 130 437.		
AKHMETSHIN	05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALOISIO	05	PL B606 12	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
SCHAEEL	05C	PRPL 421 191	S. Schaeel <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	04	PL B578 285	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
COAN	04	PRL 92 232001	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	01B	PL B509 217	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALEXANDER	01B	PR D64 092001	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ANDERSON	00A	PR D61 112002	S. Anderson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
EDWARDS	00A	PR D61 072003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABELE	99C	PL B450 275	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	97	PL B391 191	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	97	PR D55 2663	N.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVM)
BARATE	97M	ZPHY C76 15	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN	97D	PL B414 220	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
BISELLO	91B	NPBPS B21 111	D. Bisello	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
DONNACHIE	91	ZPHY C51 689	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
FUKUI	91	PL B257 241	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
KUHN	90	ZPHY C48 445	J.H. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
ARMSTRONG	89E	PL B228 536	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BISELLO	89	PL B220 321	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)

DUBNICKA	89	JP G15 1349	S. Dubnicka <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, SLOV)
ANTONELLI	88	PL B212 133	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
CLEGG	88	ZPHY C40 313	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(MCHS, LANC)
DIEKMAN	88	PRPL 159 99	B. Diekmann	(BONN)
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
ALBRECHT	87L	PL B185 223	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
DONNACHIE	87B	ZPHY C34 257	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
DOLINSKY	86	PL B174 453	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BARKOV	85	NP B256 365	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
KURDADZE	83	JETPL 37 733	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 37 613.		
ASTON	80C	PL 92B 211	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
BARBER	80C	ZPHY C4 169	D.P. Barber <i>et al.</i>	(DARE, LANC, SHEF)
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	

---