b-baryon ADMIXTURE (Λ_b , Ξ_b , Ω_b)

b-baryon ADMIXTURE MEAN LIFE

Each measurement of the *b*-baryon mean life is an average over an admixture of various *b* baryons which decay weakly. Different techniques emphasize different admixtures of produced particles, which could result in a different *b*-baryon mean life. More *b*-baryon flavor specific channels are not included in the measurement.

| $VALUE (10^{-12} \text{ s})$ | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | | TECN | COMMENT |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|---|
| $\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the | e following | data for averages | , fits, | limits, e | etc. • • • |
| $1.218^{+0.130}_{-0.115}{\pm}0.042$ | | 1 ABAZOV | 07 S | D0 | Repl. by ABAZOV 120 |
| $1.22 \begin{array}{c} +0.22 \\ -0.18 \end{array} \pm 0.04$ | | ¹ ABAZOV | 05 C | D0 | Repl. by ABAZOV 075 |
| $1.16 \ \pm 0.20 \ \pm 0.08$ | | ² ABREU | 99W | DLPH | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ |
| $1.19\ \pm 0.14\ \pm 0.07$ | | ³ ABREU | 99W | DLPH | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ |
| $1.14 \ \pm 0.08 \ \pm 0.04$ | | ⁴ ABREU | 99W | DLPH | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ |
| $1.11 \begin{array}{c} +0.19 \\ -0.18 \end{array} \pm 0.05$ | | ⁵ ABREU | 99W | DLPH | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ |
| $1.29 \begin{array}{c} +0.24 \\ -0.22 \end{array} \pm 0.06$ | | ⁵ ACKERSTAFF | 98 G | OPAL | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ |
| $1.20 \ \pm 0.08 \ \pm 0.06$ | | ⁶ BARATE | 98 D | ALEP | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ |
| 1.21 ± 0.11 | | ⁵ BARATE | 98 D | ALEP | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ |
| $1.32 \ \pm 0.15 \ \pm 0.07$ | | ⁷ ABE | 9 6M | CDF | <i>р</i> рат 1.8 ТеV |
| $1.46 \begin{array}{c} +0.22 \\ -0.21 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} +0.07 \\ -0.09 \end{array}$ | | ABREU | 96 D | DLPH | Repl. by ABREU 99W |
| $1.10 \begin{array}{c} +0.19 \\ -0.17 \end{array} \pm 0.09$ | | ⁵ ABREU | 96 D | DLPH | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ |
| $1.16 \ \pm 0.11 \ \pm 0.06$ | | ⁵ AKERS | 96 | OPAL | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ |
| $1.27 \begin{array}{c} +0.35 \\ -0.29 \end{array} \pm 0.09$ | | ABREU | 95 S | DLPH | Repl. by ABREU 99W |
| $1.05 \begin{array}{c} +0.12 \\ -0.11 \end{array} \pm 0.09$ | 290 | BUSKULIC | 95L | ALEP | Repl. by BARATE 98D |
| $1.04 \begin{array}{c} +0.48 \\ -0.38 \end{array} \pm 0.10$ | 11 | ⁸ ABREU | 93F | DLPH | Excess $\Lambda\mu^-$, decay lengths |
| $1.05 \begin{array}{c} +0.23 \\ -0.20 \end{array} \pm 0.08$ | 157 | ⁹ AKERS | 93 | OPAL | Excess $\Lambda \ell^-$, decay lengths |
| $1.12 \begin{array}{c} +0.32 \\ -0.29 \end{array} \pm 0.16$ | 101 | ¹⁰ BUSKULIC | 921 | ALEP | - |
| 1 | | 0 | | | • |

¹Measured mean life using fully reconstructed $\Lambda_h^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \Lambda$ decays.

²Measured using $\Lambda \ell^-$ decay length.

³Measured using $p\ell^-$ decay length.

⁴ This ABREU 99W result is the combined result of the $\Lambda \ell^-$, $p\ell^-$, and excess $\Lambda \mu^-$ impact parameter measurements.

⁵ Measured using $\Lambda_{c} \ell^{-}$ and $\Lambda \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$.

⁶Measured using the excess of $\Lambda \ell^-$, lepton impact parameter.

⁷ Measured using $\Lambda_c \ell^-$.

⁸ABREU 93F superseded by ABREU 96D.

https://pdg.lbl.gov

10

⁹AKERS 93 superseded by AKERS 96. ¹⁰BUSKULIC 92I superseded by BUSKULIC 95L.

b-baryon ADMIXTURE DECAY MODES $(\Lambda_b, \Xi_b, \Omega_b)$

These branching fractions are actually an average over weakly decaying *b*-baryons weighted by their production rates at the LHC, LEP, and Tevatron, branching ratios, and detection efficiencies. They scale with the *b*-baryon production fraction $B(b \rightarrow b$ -baryon).

The branching fractions B(b-baryon $\to \Lambda \ell^- \overline{
u}_\ell$ anything) and B($\Lambda^0_h \to$

 $\Lambda_c^+ \ell^- \overline{\nu}_\ell$ anything) are not pure measurements because the underlying measured products of these with B($b \rightarrow b$ -baryon) were used to determine B($b \rightarrow b$ -baryon), as described in the note "Production and Decay of *b*-Flavored Hadrons."

For inclusive branching fractions, e.g., $B \rightarrow D^{\pm}$ anything, the values usually are multiplicities, not branching fractions. They can be greater than one.

| | Mode | Fraction (Γ_i/Γ) | Scale factor |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--------------|
| Γ_1 | $p\mu^-\overline{ u}$ anything | (5.8 + 2.3) % | |
| 0 | $p\ell \overline{ u}_\ell$ anything p anything $\Lambda \ell^- \overline{ u}_\ell$ anything | $egin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | |
| Г ₅ Г ₆ | $\Lambda\ell^+ u_\ell$ anything Λ anything | $(3.2\pm 0.8)\%$ $(39\pm 7)\%$ | |
| 17 | $\Xi^-\ell^-\overline{ u}_\ell$ anything | $(4.6\pm1.4)	imes10^{-3}$ | 1.2 |

b-baryon ADMIXTURE (Λ_b , Ξ_b , Ω_b) BRANCHING RATIOS

| $\Gamma(p\mu^-\overline{ u})/\Gamma_{total}$ | | | | | | |
|--|------|--------------------|-------------|------|------------------------|--|
| VALUE (%) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | | TECN | COMMENT | |
| $5.8^{+2.2}_{-1.9}\pm0.8$ | 125 | ¹ ABREU | 95 S | DLPH | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ | |

¹ABREU 95S reports $[\Gamma(b\text{-baryon} \rightarrow p\mu^{-}\overline{\nu}\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon})]$ = 0.0049 ± 0.0011^{+0.0015}_{-0.0011} which we divide by our best value $B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}) = (8.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

| $(p\ell \nu_\ell anything)/1_{total}$ | | | | | 1 ₂ /1 |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-----|------|------------------------|-------------------|
| VALUE (%) | DOCUMENT ID | | TECN | COMMENT | |
| 5.6±0.9±0.7 | ¹ BARATE | 98v | ALEP | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ | |

¹BARATE 98V reports [$\Gamma(b\text{-baryon} \rightarrow p\ell \overline{\nu}_{\ell} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [$B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon})$] = (4.72 ± 0.66 ± 0.44) × 10⁻³ which we divide by our best value B($\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}$) = (8.4 ± 1.1) × 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

https://pdg.lbl.gov

\ /=

. . .

$\Gamma(\rho \ell \overline{\nu}_{\ell} \text{ anything}) / \Gamma(\rho \text{ anything})$

| VALUE (%) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|-------------|-------------|------|------------------------|
| 8.0±1.2±1.4 | BARATE 98V | ALEP | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ |

$\Gamma(\Lambda \ell^- \overline{\nu}_\ell \text{ anything}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

The values and averages in this section serve only to show what values result if one assumes our $B(b \rightarrow b$ -baryon). They cannot be thought of as measurements since the underlying product branching fractions were also used to determine $B(b \rightarrow b$ -baryon) as described in the note on "Production and Decay of *b*-Flavored Hadrons."

| VALUE (%) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | | TECN | COMMENT | | | |
|---|------|-----------------------|-------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| 3.8±0.6 OUR AVERAGE | | | | | | | | |
| $3.9\!\pm\!0.5\!\pm\!0.5$ | | ¹ BARATE | 98 D | ALEP | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ | | | |
| $3.5\!\pm\!0.4\!\pm\!0.5$ | | ² AKERS | 96 | OPAL | Excess of $\Lambda\ell^-$ over $\Lambda\ell^+$ | | | |
| $3.6\!\pm\!0.9\!\pm\!0.5$ | 262 | ³ ABREU | 95 S | DLPH | Excess of $\Lambda\ell^-$ over $\Lambda\ell^+$ | | | |
| $7.3\!\pm\!1.4\!\pm\!1.0$ | 290 | ⁴ BUSKULIC | 95L | ALEP | Excess of $\Lambda\ell^-$ over $\Lambda\ell^+$ | | | |
| ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$ | | | | | | | | |
| seen | 157 | ⁵ AKERS | 93 | OPAL | Excess of $\Lambda\ell^-$ over $\Lambda\ell^+$ | | | |
| $8.3 {\pm} 2.5 {\pm} 1.1$ | 101 | ⁶ BUSKULIC | 921 | ALEP | Excess of $\Lambda\ell^-$ over $\Lambda\ell^+$ | | | |

¹BARATE 98D reports [$\Gamma(b\text{-baryon} \rightarrow \Lambda \ell^- \overline{\nu}_\ell \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}$)] = 0.00326 ± 0.00016 ± 0.00039 which we divide by our best value B($\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}$) = (8.4 ± 1.1) × 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Measured using the excess of $\Lambda \ell^-$, lepton impact parameter.

- ²AKERS 96 reports [$\Gamma(b$ -baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda \ell^- \overline{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything)/ Γ_{total}] \times [B($\overline{b} \rightarrow b$ -baryon)] = 0.00291 \pm 0.00023 \pm 0.00025 which we divide by our best value B($\overline{b} \rightarrow b$ -baryon) = (8.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- ³ABREU 95S reports [$\Gamma(b\text{-baryon} \rightarrow \Lambda \ell^- \overline{\nu}_{\ell} \text{ anything}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}$)] = 0.0030 ± 0.0006 ± 0.0004 which we divide by our best value B($\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}$) = (8.4 ± 1.1) × 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- ⁴ BUSKULIC 95L reports [$\Gamma(b\text{-baryon} \rightarrow \Lambda \ell^- \overline{\nu}_{\ell} \text{ anything}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}$)] = 0.0061 ± 0.0006 ± 0.0010 which we divide by our best value B($\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}$) = (8.4 ± 1.1) × 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- ⁵ AKERS 93 superseded by AKERS 96.
- ⁶ BUSKULIC 92I reports $[\Gamma(b\text{-baryon} \rightarrow \Lambda \ell^- \overline{\nu}_{\ell} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon})]$ = 0.0070 ± 0.0010 ± 0.0018 which we divide by our best value $B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}) = (8.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Superseded by BUSKULIC 95L.

$\Gamma(\Lambda \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything}) / \Gamma(\Lambda \text{ anything})$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT8.0±1.2±0.8ABBIENDI99LOPAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.• • •7.0±1.2±0.7ACKERSTAFF 97NOPALRepl. by ABBIENDI 99L

https://pdg.lbl.gov

 Γ_5/Γ_6

Γ4/Γ

 Γ_2/Γ_3

| Γ(Λanything)/Γ _{total} | | | | | | Г ₆ /Г |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------|----------------------|---|-------------------|
| VALUE (%) | DOCUMENT ID | | TECN | COMMENT | | |
| 39± 7 OUR AVERAGE | | | | | | |
| $42\pm~6\pm5$ | ¹ ABBIENDI | 99L | OPAL | $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ | Ζ | |
| $27^{+15}_{-9} \pm 3$ | ² ABREU | 95 C | DLPH | $e^+e^- ightarrow$ | Ζ | |
| | | | | | | |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $47\pm7\pm6$ ³ ACKERSTAFF 97N OPAL Repl. by ABBIENDI 99L

¹ABBIENDI 99L reports [$\Gamma(b\text{-baryon} \rightarrow \Lambda \text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [$B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon})$] = 0.035 ± 0.0032 ± 0.0035 which we divide by our best value $B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon})$ = (8.4 ± 1.1) × 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. ²ABREU 95C reports 0.28+0.17 from a measurement of [$\Gamma(b\text{-baryon} \rightarrow \Lambda \text{anything})/\Gamma(b\text{-baryon})$

²ABREU 95C reports $0.28^{+0.17}_{-0.12}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(b\text{-baryon} \rightarrow \Lambda \text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon})]$ assuming $B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}) = 0.08 \pm 0.02$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}) = (8.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ACKERSTAFF 97N reports $[\Gamma(b\text{-baryon} \rightarrow \Lambda \text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon})]$ = 0.0393 ± 0.0046 ± 0.0037 which we divide by our best value $B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}) = (8.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\Xi^-\ell^-\overline{\nu}_\ell \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \text{VALUE (units 10^{-3})} & \hline \text{DOCUMENT ID} & \hline \text{TECN} & \text{COMMENT} \\ \hline \textbf{4.6 \pm 1.4 \text{ OUR AVERAGE}} & \text{Error includes scale factor of } 1.2. \\ \hline \textbf{3.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.5} & 1 & \text{ABDALLAH} & 05\text{C} & \text{DLPH} & e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^0 \\ \hline \textbf{6.4 \pm 1.6 \pm 0.8} & 2 & \text{BUSKULIC} & 96\text{T} & \text{ALEP} & \text{Excess } \Xi^-\ell^- & \text{over } \Xi^-\ell^+ \\ \hline \textbf{\bullet} \bullet \bullet \text{ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. } \bullet \bullet \\ \hline \textbf{7.0 \pm 2.8 \pm 0.9} & 3 & \text{ABREU} & 95\text{V} & \text{DLPH} & \text{Repl. by ABDALLAH 05C} \end{array}$

¹ABDALLAH 05C reports $[\Gamma(b\text{-baryon} \rightarrow \Xi^- \ell^- \overline{\nu}_\ell \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon})] = (3.0 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}) = (8.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² BUSKULIC 96T reports $[\Gamma(b\text{-baryon} \rightarrow \Xi^- \ell^- \overline{\nu}_\ell \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon})] = (5.4 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}) = (8.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ABREU 95V reports [$\Gamma(b\text{-baryon} \rightarrow \Xi^- \ell^- \overline{\nu}_\ell \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}$)] = (5.9 ± 2.1 ± 1.0) × 10⁻⁴ which we divide by our best value B($\overline{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}$) = (8.4 ± 1.1) × 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

b-baryon ADMIXTURE (Λ_b , Ξ_b , Ω_b) REFERENCES

| ABAZOV | 12U | PR D85 112003 | V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i> | (D0 Collab.) |
|------------|-----|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| ABAZOV | 07S | PRL 99 142001 | V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i> | (D0 Collab.) |
| ABAZOV | 05C | PRL 94 102001 | V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i> | (D0 Collab.) |
| ABDALLAH | 05C | EPJ C44 299 | J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i> | (DELPHI Collab.) |
| ABBIENDI | 99L | EPJ C9 1 | G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> | (OPAL Collab.) |
| ABREU | 99W | EPJ C10 185 | P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> | (DELPHI Collab.) |
| ACKERSTAFF | 98G | PL B426 161 | K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i> | (OPAL Collab.) |
| BARATE | 98D | EPJ C2 197 | R. Barate <i>et al.</i> | (ALEPH Collab.) |
| | | | | |

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Page 4

Created: 5/31/2023 09:12

 Γ_7/Γ

| BARATE | 98V | EPJ C5 205 | R. Barate <i>et al.</i> | (ALEPH Collab.) |
|------------|-----|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| ACKERSTAFF | 97N | ZPHY C74 423 | K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i> | (OPAL Collab.) |
| ABE | 96M | PRL 77 1439 | F. Abe <i>et al.</i> | (CDF Collab.) |
| ABREU | 96D | ZPHY C71 199 | P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> | (DELPHI Collab.) |
| AKERS | 96 | ZPHY C69 195 | R. Akers <i>et al.</i> | `(OPAL Collab.) |
| BUSKULIC | 96T | PL B384 449 | D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i> | (ÀLEPH Collab.) |
| ABREU | 95C | PL B347 447 | P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> | (DELPHI Collab.) |
| ABREU | 95S | ZPHY C68 375 | P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> | (DELPHI Collab.) |
| ABREU | 95V | ZPHY C68 541 | P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> | (DELPHI Collab.) |
| BUSKULIC | 95L | PL B357 685 | D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i> | (ALEPH Collab.) |
| ABREU | 93F | PL B311 379 | P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> | (DELPHI Collab.) |
| AKERS | 93 | PL B316 435 | R. Akers <i>et al.</i> | (OPAL Collab.) |
| BUSKULIC | 92I | PL B297 449 | D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i> | (ÀLEPH Collab.) |
| | | | | 、 |

Citation: R.L. Workman et al. (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2022, 083C01 (2022) and 2023 update