

Further States

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

This section contains states observed by a single group or states poorly established that thus need confirmation.

QUANTUM NUMBERS, MASSES, WIDTHS, AND BRANCHING RATIOS

X(360) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^?+)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$360 \pm 7 \pm 9$	64 ± 18	2.3k	¹ ABRAAMYAN 09	CNTR	$2.75 dC \rightarrow \gamma\gamma X$

¹ Not seen in $pC \rightarrow \gamma\gamma X$ at 5.5 GeV/c.

X(1070) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(0^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
1072 ± 1	3.5 ± 0.5	¹ VLADIMIRSK...08	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n + m\pi^0$

¹ Supersedes GRIGOR'EV 05.

X(1110) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(\text{even } ++)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1107 ± 4	$111 \pm 8 \pm 15$	DAFTARI 87	DBC	$0. \bar{p}n \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

f₀(1200–1600) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1323 ± 8	237 ± 20	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1480^{+100}_{-150}	1030^{+80}_{-170}	¹ ANISOVICH 03	SPEC	
1530^{+90}_{-250}	560 ± 40	² ANISOVICH 03	SPEC	

¹ K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$, $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$, $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.

² K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$, $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ at rest.

X(1420) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 2^+(0^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1420 ± 20	160 ± 10	FILIPPI 00	OBLX	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

X(1545) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(??++)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1545 ± 3	6.0 ± 2.5	¹ VLADIMIRSK...08		40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n + m\pi^0$

¹ Supersedes VLADIMIRSKII 00.

X(1575) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(1^{--})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1576 ⁺⁴⁹⁺⁹⁸ ₋₅₅₋₉₁	818 ⁺²²⁺⁶⁴ ₋₂₃₋₁₃₃	¹ ABLIKIM	06s	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ A broad peak observed at $K^+ K^-$ invariant mass. Mass and width above are its pole position. The observed branching ratio is $B(J/\psi \rightarrow X \pi^0) B(X \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (8.5 \pm 0.6^{+2.7}_{-3.6}) \times 10^{-4}$.

X(1600) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 2^+(2^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1600 ± 100	400 ± 200	¹ ALBRECHT 91F ARG	10.2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

¹ Our estimate.

X(1650) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(??^-)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1652 ± 7	<50	100	PROKOSHKIN 96	GAM2	32,38 $\pi p \rightarrow \omega \eta n$

X(1730) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(??^+)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1731.0 ± 1.2 ± 2.0	3.2 ± 0.8 ± 1.3	58	VLADIMIRSK...07	SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$

f₂(1750) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1755 ± 10	67 ± 12	870	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
17 ± 5	870	² SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.13 ± 0.04	870	² SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.3 ± 1.0	870	² SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.0 ± 0.5	870	² SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.

² From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV and using SU(3) relations.

X(1775) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(?^-+)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1763 ± 20	192 ± 60	CONDO 91	SHF	$\gamma p \rightarrow (p\pi^+)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-)$
1787 ± 18	118 ± 60	CONDO 91	SHF	$\gamma p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$

X(1850 - 3100) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(1^{--})$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \cdot B(X \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<120	90	¹ ANASHIN 11	KEDR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ This limit is center-of-mass energy dependent. We quote the most stringent one.

X(1855) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1856.6 ± 5	20 ± 5	BRIDGES 86D	SPEC	$0. \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi\pi N$

X(1870) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(2^{??})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1870 ± 40	250 ± 30	ALDE 86D	GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta X$

$a_3(1875)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(3^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1874 \pm 43 \pm 96$	$385 \pm 121 \pm 114$	CHUNG 02	B852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$

$B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow f_2(1270)\pi) / B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow \rho\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.8 ± 0.2	¹ CHUNG 02	B852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$

¹ Using the observable fractions of 50.0% $\rho\pi$, 56.5% $f_2\pi$, and 11.8% $\rho_3\pi$.

$B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow \rho_3(1690)\pi) / B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow \rho\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.9 ± 0.3	¹ CHUNG 02	B852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$

¹ Using the observable fractions of 50.0% $\rho\pi$, 56.5% $f_2\pi$, and 11.8% $\rho_3\pi$.

$a_1(1930)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1930^{+30}_{-70}	155 ± 45	ANISOVICH 01F	SPEC	$2.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

X(1935) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{-?})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1935 ± 20	215 ± 30	EVANGELIS... 79	OMEG	10,16 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \bar{p} p n$

$\rho_2(1940)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(2^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1940 ± 40	155 ± 40	¹ ANISOVICH 02	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$\omega_3(1945)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1945 ± 20	115 ± 22	¹ ANISOVICH 02B	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$a_2(1950)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1950 ⁺³⁰ ₋₇₀	180 ⁺³⁰ ₋₇₀	¹ ANISOVICH 01F	SPEC	1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

$\omega(1960)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1960 ± 25	195 ± 60	¹ ANISOVICH 02B	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$b_1(1960)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{+-})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1960 ± 35	230 ± 50	¹ ANISOVICH 02	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$h_1(1965)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{+-})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1965 ± 45	345 ± 75	¹ ANISOVICH 02B	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$f_1(1970)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1971 ± 15	240 ± 45	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC

$X(1970)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1970 ± 10	40 ± 20	CHLIAPNIK...	80	HBC 32 $K^+ p \rightarrow 2K_S^0 2\pi X$

$X(1975)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1973 ± 15	80	30	CASO	70	HBC 11.2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \rho 2\pi$

$\omega_2(1975)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(2^{--})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1975 ± 20	175 ± 25	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$a_2(1990)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2050 ± 10 ± 40	190 ± 22 ± 100	18k	¹ SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
2003 ± 10 ± 19	249 ± 23 ± 32		LU	05	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\pi^0 p$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.11 ± 0.04 ± 0.05	18k	¹ SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

$\rho(2000)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2000 ± 30	260 ± 45	¹ BUGG	04C	RVUE Compilation
~ 1988	~ 244	HASAN	94	RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$f_2(2000)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2001 ± 10	312 ± 32	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC
~ 1996	~ 134	HASAN	94	RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

X(2000) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(?^?+)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1964 ± 35	225 ± 50	¹ ARMSTRONG 93D	E760		$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~ 2100	~ 500	¹ ANTIPOV 77	CIBS	-	25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\pi^- \rho_3$
2214 ± 15	355 ± 21	² BALTAY 77	HBC	0	15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} 3\pi$
2080 ± 40	340 ± 80	KALELKAR 75	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p\pi^+ \rho_3$

¹ Cannot determine spin to be 3.
² BALTAY 77 favors $J^P = ,3^+$.

X(2000) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(4^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1998 ± 3 ± 5	<15	VLADIMIRSK...03	SPEC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 M M$

η(2010) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
2010 ⁺³⁵ ₋₆₀	270 ± 60	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC

π₁(2015) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{-+})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2014 ± 20 ± 16	230 ± 32 ± 73	145k	LU	05	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^- \pi^0 p$
2001 ± 30 ± 92	333 ± 52 ± 49	69k	KUHN	04	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

a₀(2020) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
2025 ± 30	330 ± 75	ANISOVICH	99C SPEC

X(2020) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2015 ± 3	10 ± 4	FERRER	99	RVUE $\pi p \rightarrow p\rho\bar{p}\pi(\pi)$

h₃(2025) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{+-})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2025 ± 20	145 ± 30	¹ ANISOVICH 02B	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

b₃(2030) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{+-})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2032 ± 12	117 ± 11	¹ ANISOVICH 02	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$a_2(2030)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2030 ± 20	205 ± 30	¹ ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

$a_3(2030)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(3^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2031 ± 12	150 ± 18	¹ ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

$\eta_2(2030)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2030 ± 5 ± 15	205 ± 10 ± 15	ANISOVICH	00E SPEC	

$B(a_2\pi)_{L=0}/B(a_2\pi)_{L=2}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.05 ± 0.03	¹ ANISOVICH	11 SPEC	0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$

¹ Reanalysis of ADOEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

$B(a_0\pi)/B(a_2\pi)_{L=2}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.10 ± 0.08	¹ ANISOVICH	11 SPEC	0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$

¹ Reanalysis of ADOEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

$B(f_2\eta)/B(a_2\pi)_{L=2}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.13 ± 0.06	¹ ANISOVICH	11 SPEC	0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$

¹ Reanalysis of ADOEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

$f_3(2050)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(3^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2048 ± 8	213 ± 34	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC	2.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$

$f_0(2060)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
~ 2050	~ 120	¹ OAKDEN	94 RVUE	0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2060	~ 50	¹ OAKDEN	94 RVUE	0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

¹ See SEMENOV 99 and KLOET 96.

$\pi(2070)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2070 ± 35	310 ⁺¹⁰⁰ ₋₅₀	ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

X(2075) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2075 ± 12 ± 5	90 ± 35 ± 9	¹ ABLIKIM	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^- \rho \bar{\Lambda}$

¹ From a fit in the region $M_{\rho \bar{\Lambda}} - M_{\rho} - M_{\Lambda} < 150$ MeV. S-wave in the $\rho \bar{\Lambda}$ system preferred.
A similar near-threshold enhancement in the $\rho \bar{\Lambda}$ system is observed in $B^+ \rightarrow \rho \bar{\Lambda} \bar{D}^0$ by CHEN 11F.

X(2080) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2080 ± 10	110 ± 20	KREYMER	80 STRC	13 $\pi^- d \rightarrow p \bar{p} n(n_s)$

X(2080) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(3^-?)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2080 ± 10	190 ± 15	ROZANSKA	80 SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \rho \bar{p} n$

a₁(2095) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2096 ± 17 ± 121	451 ± 41 ± 81	69k	KUHN	04 B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

B(a₁(2095) → f₁(1285)π) / B(a₁(2095) → a₁(1260))

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.18 ± 0.64	69k	KUHN	04 B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

η(2100) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2050 ⁺³⁰⁺⁷⁵ ₋₂₄₋₂₆	250 ⁺³⁶⁺¹⁸¹ ₋₃₀₋₁₆₄		¹ ABLIKIM	16N BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+$ $K^- K^+ K^-$
2103 ± 50	187 ± 75	586	² BISELLO	89B DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi \gamma$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \phi \phi$, for which the primary signal is $\eta(2225) \rightarrow \phi \phi$, and that also finds significant signals for for 0^{-+} phase space, $f_0(2100)$, $f_2(2010)$, $f_2(2300)$, $f_2(2340)$, and a previously unseen 0^{-+} state X(2500) ($M = 2470^{+15+101}$ ₋₁₉₋₂₃ MeV, $\Gamma = 230^{+64+56}$ ₋₃₅₋₃₃ MeV).
² ASTON 81B sees no peak, has 850 events in Ajinenko+Barth bins. ARESTOV 80 sees no peak.

X(2100) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(0^{??})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2100 ± 40	250 ± 40	ALDE	86D GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta X$

X(2110) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{-?})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2110 ± 10	330 ± 20	EVANGELIS...	79 OMEG	10,16 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \bar{p} p n$

X(2120) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(0^{??})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTs</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2122.4 ± 6.7 ^{+4.7} _{-2.7}	83 ± 16 ⁺³¹ ₋₁₁	647	ABLIKIM	11C BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$

f₂(2140) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTs</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2141 ± 12	49 ± 28	389	GREEN	86 MPSF	400 pA → 4K X

X(2150) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(2^{+?})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2150 ± 10	260 ± 10	ROZANSKA	80 SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\bar{p}n$

a₂(2175) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2175 ± 40	310 ⁺⁹⁰ ₋₄₅	ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

η(2190) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2190 ± 50	850 ± 100	BUGG	99 BES

ω₂(2195) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(2^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2195 ± 30	225 ± 40	¹ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

ω(2205) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2222 ± 7 ± 2	59 ± 30 ± 6	¹ ABLIKIM	22I BES3	2.0–3.8 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0$
2205 ± 30	350 ± 90	² ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the fit to the cross section by the coherent sum of resonant component parametrized by a modified Breit-Wigner amplitude and a phase-space contribution for the continuum. The observed structure can be also due to ω(2290) or ω(2330).

² From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

Γ(ω(2205) → e⁺e⁻) × Γ(ω(2205) → ωπ⁰π⁰) / Γ(total)

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.3 ± 0.1 ± 0.1	¹ ABLIKIM	22I BES3	2.0–3.8 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From a solution of the fit to the cross section by the coherent sum of resonant component parametrized by a modified Breit-Wigner amplitude and a phase-space contribution for the continuum. The observed structure can be also due to ω(2290) or ω(2330). The other solution gives 13.8 ± 6.6 ± 5.2 eV.

X(2210) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2210 ⁺⁷⁹ ₋₂₁	203 ⁺⁴³⁷ ₋₈₇	EVANGELIS...	79B OMEG	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
2207 ± 22	130	CASO	70 HBC	11.2 $\pi^- p$

X₂(2210) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2210 ± 60	360 ± 120	¹ KLEMP	22 RVUE	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0,$ $\gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ Fit of the tensor partial waves from BES3 in the multipole basis. Might be a cluster of $J^{PC} = 2^{++}$ resonances. The ratio of decay widths $K K^- / \pi \pi$ is 0.23 ± 0.05 .

h₁(2215) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{+-})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2215 ± 40	325 ± 55	¹ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \eta, \omega \pi^0 \pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

ρ₂(2225) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(2^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2225 ± 35	335 ⁺¹⁰⁰ ₋₅₀	¹ ANISOVICH	02 SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \pi^0,$ $\omega \eta \pi^0, \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

ρ₄(2230) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(4^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2230 ± 25	210 ± 30	¹ ANISOVICH	02 SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \pi^0,$ $\omega \eta \pi^0, \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

b₁(2240) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{+-})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2240 ± 35	320 ± 85	¹ ANISOVICH	02 SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \pi^0,$ $\omega \eta \pi^0, \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

f₂(2240) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2240 ± 15	241 ± 30	¹ ANISOVICH	00J SPEC	1.92–2.41 $p\bar{p}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 2226 ~ 226 HASAN 94 RVUE $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi\pi$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99F, ANISOVICH 99J, ANISOVICH 99K, and ANISOVICH 00B. See also ANISOVICH 12.

$b_3(2245)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{+-})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2245 ± 50	320 ± 70	¹ BUGG	04C RVUE

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$\eta_2(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2248 ± 20	280 ± 20	ANISOVICH	00I SPEC
2267 ± 14	290 ± 50	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC

$\pi_4(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(4^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2250 ± 15	215 ± 25	ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

$\omega_4(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(4^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2250 ± 30	150 ± 50	¹ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$\omega_5(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(5^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2250 ± 70	320 ± 95	¹ BUGG	04 RVUE

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$\omega_3(2255)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2255 ± 15	175 ± 30	¹ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$a_4(2255)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(4^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2237 ± 5	291 ± 12	UMAN	06 E835	5.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
2255 ± 40	330 ⁺¹¹⁰ ₋₅₀	¹ ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

$a_2(2255)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2255 ± 20	230 ± 15	¹ ANISOVICH	01G SPEC	1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, ANISOVICH 01F, and ANISOVICH 01G.

$X(2260)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(4^{+?})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2260 ± 20	400 ± 100	EVANGELIS...	79 OMEG	10,16 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \bar{p}pn$

$\rho(2270)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2265 ± 40	325 ± 80	¹ ANISOVICH	02 SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
2280 ± 50	440 ± 110	ATKINSON	85 OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$a_1(2270)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2270^{+55}_{-40}	305^{+70}_{-40}	ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

$h_3(2275)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{+-})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2275 ± 25	190 ± 45	¹ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$a_3(2275)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(3^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2275 ± 35	350^{+100}_{-50}	¹ ANISOVICH	01G SPEC	1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

¹From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, ANISOVICH 01F, and ANISOVICH 01G.

$\pi_2(2285)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$2285 \pm 20 \pm 25$	$250 \pm 20 \pm 25$	¹ ANISOVICH	11 SPEC	0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$

¹Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

$\omega_3(2285)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2278±28	224 ± 50	¹ BUGG	04A	RVUE
2285±60	230 ± 40	² ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ Partial wave analysis of the data on $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$ from BARNES 00.

² From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$\omega(2290)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2290±20	275 ± 35	¹ BUGG	04A RVUE

¹ Partial wave analysis of the data on $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$ from BARNES 00.

$f_2(2295)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2293±13	216 ± 37	¹ ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC 1.92–2.41 $p\bar{p}$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99F, ANISOVICH 99J, ANISOVICH 99K, and ANISOVICH 00B. See also ANISOVICH 12.

$f_3(2300)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(3^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2334±25	200 ± 20	¹ BUGG	04A RVUE

¹ Partial wave analysis of the data on $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$ from BARNES 00.

$f_1(2310)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2310±60	255 ± 70	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC

$\eta(2320)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2320±15	230 ± 35	¹ ANISOVICH	00M SPEC

¹ From the combined analysis of $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\eta$ from ANISOVICH 00M and $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ from ANISOVICH 00J.

$\eta_4(2330)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(4^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2328±38	240 ± 90	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC 2.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$

$\omega(2330)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2330±30	435 ± 75	ATKINSON	88	OMEG 25–50 $\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^\pm \rho^0 \pi^\mp$

X(2340) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2340 ± 20	180 ± 60	126	¹ BALTAY	75 HBC	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 5\pi$

¹ Dominant decay into $\rho^0 \rho^0 \pi^+$. BALTAY 78 finds confirmation in $2\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$ events which contain $\rho^+ \rho^0 \pi^0$ and $2\rho^+ \pi^-$.

$\pi(2360)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^-+)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2360 ± 25	300 ⁺¹⁰⁰ ₋₅₀	ANISOVICH 01F	SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta'$

X(2360) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(4+?)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2360 ± 10	430 ± 30	ROZANSKA 80	SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \bar{p} n$

X(2440) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(5-?)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2440 ± 10	310 ± 20	ROZANSKA 80	SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \bar{p} n$

$a_6(2450)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(6^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2450 ± 130	400 ± 250	CLELAND 82B	SPEC	50 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$

X(2540) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2539 ± 14 ⁺³⁸ ₋₁₄	274 ⁺⁷⁷⁺¹²⁶ ₋₆₁₋₁₆₃	UEHARA	13 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times B(K\bar{K})$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
40 ⁺⁹⁺¹⁷ ₋₇₋₄₀	UEHARA 13	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

X(2600) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2618.3 ± 2.0 ^{+16.3} _{-1.4}	195 ± 5 ⁺²⁶ ₋₁₇	ABLIKIM	22G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$

$B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X(2600)) \times B(X(2600) \rightarrow f_0(1500) \eta') \times B(f_0(1500) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁵)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.09 ± 0.21 ^{+1.14} _{-0.77}	¹ ABLIKIM	22G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$

¹ The $\pi^+ \pi^-$ mass spectrum is described by a coherent sum of two Breit-Wigner resonances, $f_0(1500)$ and a new $X(1540)$ with mass $1540.2 \pm 7.0^{+36.3}_{-6.1}$ MeV and width $157 \pm 19⁺¹¹₋₇₇$ MeV.

$B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X(2600)) \times B(X(2600) \rightarrow X(1540)\eta') \times B(X(1540) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.69 \pm 0.19^{+0.38}_{-1.21}$	¹ ABLIKIM	22G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$

¹The $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum is described by a coherent sum of two Breit-Wigner resonances, $f_0(1500)$ and a new $X(1540)$ with mass $1540.2 \pm 7.0^{+36.3}_{-6.1}$ MeV and width $157 \pm 19^{+11}_{-77}$ MeV.

$X(2632)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2635.2 ± 3.3		¹ EVDOKIMOV 04	SELX	$X(2632) \rightarrow D_s^+\eta$
2631.6 ± 2.1	< 17	² EVDOKIMOV 04	SELX	$X(2632) \rightarrow D_s^0 K^+$

¹From a mass difference to D_s^+ of 666.9 ± 3.3 MeV.

²From a mass difference to D_s^0 of 767.0 ± 2.0 MeV.

$B(X(2632) \rightarrow D^0 K^+)/B(X(2632) \rightarrow D_s^+\eta)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
0.14 ± 0.06	¹ EVDOKIMOV 04	SELX

¹Possible interpretation of this decay pattern is discussed by YASUI 07.

$X(2680)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2676 ± 27	150	CASO	70 HBC	$11.2 \pi^- p \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$

$X(2710)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(6^{+?})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2710 ± 20	170 ± 40	ROZANSKA	80 SPRK	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow p \bar{p} n$

$X(2750)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(7^{-?})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2747 ± 32	195 ± 75	DENNEY	83 LASS	$10 \pi^+ p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ p$

$f_6(3100)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(6^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3100 ± 100	700 ± 130	BINON	05 GAMS	$33 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta n$

$X(3250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$ 3-Body Decays

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3250 \pm 8 \pm 20$	45 ± 18	ALEEV	93 BIS2	$X(3250) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} K^+$
$3265 \pm 7 \pm 20$	40 ± 18	ALEEV	93 BIS2	$X(3250) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p K^-$

X(3250)		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$ 4-Body Decays			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
3245 ± 8 ± 20	25 ± 11	ALEEV 93	BIS2	X(3250) → Λ \bar{p} K ⁺ π [±]	
3250 ± 9 ± 20	50 ± 20	ALEEV 93	BIS2	X(3250) → Λ \bar{p} K ⁻ π [∓]	
3270 ± 8 ± 20	25 ± 11	ALEEV 93	BIS2	X(3250) → K _S ⁰ ρ \bar{p} K [±]	

X(3350)		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3350 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₂₀ ± 20	70 ⁺⁴⁰ ₋₃₀ ± 40	50 ± 10	¹ GABYSHEV	06A	BELL B ⁻ → Λ _c ⁺ \bar{p} π ⁻

¹ A similar enhancement in the Λ_c⁺ \bar{p} final state is also reported by BABAR collaboration in AUBERT 10H.

REFERENCES for Further States

ABLIKIM 22G	PRL 129 042001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 22I	PR D105 032005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
KLEMPPT 22	PL B830 137171	E. Klempt <i>et al.</i>	(BONN)
ABLIKIM 16N	PR D93 112011	M. Ablikim	(BESIII Collab.)
UEHARA 13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ANISOVICH 12	PR D85 014001	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ABLIKIM 11C	PRL 106 072002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ANASHIN 11	PL B703 543	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
ANISOVICH 11	EPJ C71 1511	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RAL, PNPI)
CHEN 11F	PR D84 071501	P. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT 10H	PR D82 031102	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ABRAAMYAN 09	PR C80 034001	Kh.U. Abraamyan <i>et al.</i>	
VLADIMIRSK... 08	PAN 71 2129	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
	Translated from YAF 71 2166.		
VLADIMIRSK... 07	PAN 70 1706	V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	
	Translated from YAF 70 1751.		
YASUI 07	PR D76 034009	S. Yasui, M. Oka	
ABLIKIM 06S	PRL 97 142002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
GABYSHEV 06A	PRL 97 242001	N. Gabyshev <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SCHEGELSKY 06	EPJ A27 199	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
SCHEGELSKY 06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
UMAN 06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
VLADIMIRSK... 06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)
	Translated from YAF 69 515.		
BINON 05	PAN 68 960	F. Binon <i>et al.</i>	
	Translated from YAF 68 998.		
GRIGOR'EV 05	PAN 68 1271	V.K. Grigor'ev <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
	Translated from YAF 68 1324.		
LU 05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ABLIKIM 04J	PRL 93 112002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BUGG 04	PL B595 556 (errat.)	D.V. Bugg	
BUGG 04A	EPJ C36 161	D.V. Bugg	
BUGG 04C	PRPL 397 257	D.V. Bugg	
EVDOKIMOV 04	PRL 93 242001	A.V. Evdokimov <i>et al.</i>	(SELEX Collab.)
KUHN 04	PL B595 109	J. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ANISOVICH 03	EPJ A16 229	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
VLADIMIRSK... 03	PAN 66 700	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	
	Translated from YAF 66 729.		
ANISOVICH 02	PL B542 8	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 02B	PL B542 19	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
CHUNG 02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ANISOVICH 01C	PL B507 23	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 01D	PL B508 6	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 01E	PL B513 281	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 01F	PL B517 261	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 01G	PL B517 273	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 00B	NP A662 319	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 00D	PL B476 15	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 00E	PL B477 19	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	

ANISOVICH	00I	PL B491 40	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)
ANISOVICH	00M	PL B496 145	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BARNES	00	PR C62 055203	P.D. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	
FILIPPI	00	PL B495 284	A. Filippi <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Experiment)
VLADIMIRSKII	00	JETPL 72 486	V.V. Vladimirkii <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from ZETFP 72 698.		
ANISOVICH	99C	PL B452 173	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	99E	PL B452 187	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	99F	NP A651 253	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	99J	PL B471 271	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	99K	PL B468 309	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BUGG	99	PL B458 511	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	
FERRER	99	EPJ C10 249	A. Ferrer <i>et al.</i>	
SEMENOV	99	SPU 42 847	S.V. Semenov	
		Translated from UFN 42 937.		
ADOMEIT	96	ZPHY C71 227	J. Adomeit <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
KLOET	96	PR D53 6120	W.M. Kloet, F. Myhrer	(RUTG, NORD)
PROKOSHKIN	96	PD 41 247	Y.D. Prokoshkin, V.D. Samoilenko	(SERP)
		Translated from DANS 348 481.		
HASAN	94	PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
OAKDEN	94	NP A574 731	M.N. Oakden, M.R. Pennington	(DURH)
ALEEV	93	PAN 56 1358	A.N. Aleev <i>et al.</i>	(BIS-2 Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 56 100.		
ARMSTRONG	93D	PL B307 399	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
ALBRECHT	91F	ZPHY C50 1	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
CONDO	91	PR D43 2787	G.T. Condo <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC Hybrid Collab.)
BISELLO	89B	PR D39 701	G. Busetto <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
ATKINSON	88	ZPHY C38 535	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
DAFTARI	87	PRL 58 859	I.K. Daftari <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA)
ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)
BRIDGES	86D	PL B180 313	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA, BNL, CASE+)
GREEN	86	PRL 56 1639	D.R. Green <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, ARIZ, FSU+)
ATKINSON	85	ZPHY C29 333	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
DENNEY	83	PR D28 2726	D.L. Denney <i>et al.</i>	(IOWA, MICH)
CLELAND	82B	NP B208 228	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
ASTON	81B	NP B189 205	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS+)
ARESTOV	80	IHEP 80-165	Y.I. Arestov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
CHLIAPNIK...	80	ZPHY C3 285	P.V. Chliapnikov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BRUX, MONS)
KREYMER	80	PR D22 36	A.E. Kreymer <i>et al.</i>	(IND, PURD, SLAC+)
ROZANSKA	80	NP B162 505	M. Rozanska <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN)
EVANGELIS...	79	NP B153 253	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
EVANGELIS...	79B	NP B154 381	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
BALTAY	78	PR D17 52	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING)
ANTIPOV	77	NP B119 45	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, GEVA)
BALTAY	77	PRL 39 591	C. Baltay, C.V. Cautis, M. Kalelkar	(COLU)
BALTAY	75	PRL 35 891	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING)
KALELKAR	75	Thesis Nevis 207	M.S. Kalelkar	(COLU)
CASO	70	LNC 3 707	C. Caso <i>et al.</i>	(GENO, HAMB, MILA, SACL)