

J = 1

## See the related review(s):

Mass and Width of the W Boson

### **W** MASS

The W-mass listed here corresponds to the mass parameter in a Breit-Wigner distribution with mass-dependent width. To obtain the world average, common systematic uncertainties between experiments are properly taken into account. The LEP-2 average W mass based on published results from ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, and OPAL is  $80.376 \pm 0.033$  GeV [SCHAEL 13A]. The combined Tevatron data from CDF and D0 yields an average W mass of 80.387  $\pm$  0016 GeV [AALTONEN 13N]. Assuming a common systematic error of 9 MeV due to PDF uncertainty, the combined LHC data from ATLAS [AABOUD 18J] and LHCb [AAIJ 22C] yields an average W mass of 80.366  $\pm$  0.017 GeV [J. Erler and A. Freitas, " Electroweak Model and Constraints on New Physics" review, PDG 22]. Assuming 7 MeV as the common systematic uncertainty between the LHC and Tevatron results, the average W mass from the two hadron colliders is estimated to be 80.377  $\pm$  0.013 GeV. Combining this result with the LEP-2 value assuming no correlations, the world average W mass of  $80.377 \pm 0.012$  GeV is obtained [Ibid].

PDG 2022 pre AALTONEN 22 CDF quotes this value for the W mass.

More information is given in M. Grunewald and A. Gurtu, "Mass and Width of the W Boson" review [PDG 22].

In April 2022 the CDF collaboration published a determination of the W mass based on their full Run-2 dataset of 8.8 fb $^{-1}$  [AALTONEN 22], with much reduced uncertainty: 80433.5  $\pm$  9.4 MeV. This new CDF result, which includes the data of their previous result [AALTONEN 12E] and thus supersedes it, is of higher precision than our world average quoted above. However, the two determinations disagree significantly.

The Tevatron-LHC W-boson mass combination working group, consisting of experts from the hadron collider experiments, ATLAS, CDF, CMS, D0, and LHCb, is examining in detail all aspects of the measurements, paying attention to corrections and correlated uncertainties in order to treat all results on an equal footing and properly account for correlations in various averages. The report from the combination group is awaited.

VALUE (GeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
80.377 $\pm$ 0.012 OUR FIT	`	•	EN 22	2 CDF)	
<b>80.4335</b> ± <b>0.0094</b> (AALTO	ONEN 22 (	CDF)			
$80.354 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.022$					· · · ·
$80.4335 \pm \ 0.0064 \pm 0.0069$	4.2M	<sup>2</sup> AALTONEN	22	CDF	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
$80.370 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.017$	13.7M	<sup>3</sup> AABOUD			Citi
$80.387 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.015$	1095k	<sup>4</sup> AALTONEN	12E	CDF	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
https://pdg.lbl.gov	F	Ρασε 1	C	reated:	12/4/2023 14:09

80.375	± 0.011	$\pm0.020$	2177k	<sup>5</sup> ABAZOV	12F	D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}}=1.96\;TeV$
80.336	± 0.055	$\pm 0.039$	10.3k	<sup>6</sup> ABDALLAH	08A	DLPH	$E_{\rm cm}^{\rm ee} = 161-209$
80.415	± 0.042	$\pm 0.031$	11830	<sup>7</sup> ABBIENDI	06	OPAL	GeV E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 170–209
80.270	± 0.046	$\pm 0.031$	9909	<sup>8</sup> ACHARD	06	L3	$egin{aligned} GeV \ E^{ee}_cm &= 161 – 209 \ GeV \end{aligned}$
80.440	± 0.043	$\pm0.027$	8692	<sup>9</sup> SCHAEL	06	ALEP	$E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{geV}} = 161-209$ GeV
80.483	± 0.084		49247	<sup>10</sup> ABAZOV	<b>02</b> D	D0	$E_{\rm cm}^{\overline{p}} = 1.8 \text{ TeV}$
80.433	± 0.079		53841	<sup>11</sup> AFFOLDER	01E	CDF	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}} = 1.8 \; TeV$
• • • '	We do not	use the f	ollowing c	lata for averages, fit	s, lim	its, etc.	• • •
80.520	± 0.070	$\pm0.092$		<sup>12</sup> ANDREEV	18A	H1	$e^{\pm}p$
80.367	± 0.013	$\pm0.022$	1677k	<sup>13</sup> ABAZOV	12F	D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}}=1.96\;TeV$
80.401	± 0.021	$\pm 0.038$	500k	<sup>14</sup> ABAZOV	09AE	3 D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
80.413	± 0.034	$\pm 0.034$	115k	<sup>15</sup> AALTONEN	07F	CDF	$E_{cm}^{\overline{p}}=1.96\;TeV$
82.87	± 1.82	$^{+0.30}_{-0.16}$	1500	<sup>16</sup> AKTAS	06	H1	$e^{\pm} p  ightarrow \overline{ u}_e( u_e) X, \ \sqrt{s} pprox 300 \; { m GeV}$
80.3 ±	$2.1 \pm 1.2$	$2\pm1.0$	645	<sup>17</sup> CHEKANOV	<b>02</b> C	ZEUS	$e^-p \rightarrow \nu_e X, \sqrt{s} = 318 \text{ GeV}$
81.4 + 2	$\frac{2.7}{2.6} \pm 2.0$	+3.3 -3.0	1086	<sup>18</sup> BREITWEG	<b>00</b> D	ZEUS	$e^+ p  ightarrow \overline{ u}_e X$ ,
80.84	± 0.22	±0.83	2065	<sup>19</sup> ALITTI	<b>92</b> B	UA2	$\sqrt{s} \approx 300 \text{ GeV}$ See $W/Z$ ratio <u>b</u> elow
80.79	± 0.31	$\pm 0.84$		<sup>20</sup> ALITTI	<b>90</b> B	UA2	$E_{\rm cm}^{{\overline p}{\overline p}} = 546,630 \text{ GeV}$
80.0	± 3.3	$\pm 2.4$	22	<sup>21</sup> ABE	891	CDF	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.8 \; TeV$
82.7	± 1.0	$\pm 2.7$	149	<sup>22</sup> ALBAJAR	89	UA1	$E_{\rm cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 546,630 \text{ GeV}$
81.8	$^{+}$ 6.0 $^{-}$ 5.3	$\pm 2.6$	46	<sup>23</sup> ALBAJAR	89	UA1	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 546,630 \; GeV$
89	± 3	$\pm 6$	32	<sup>24</sup> ALBAJAR	89	UA1	$E_{\rm cm}^{p\overline{p}}$ = 546,630 GeV
81.	± 5.		6	ARNISON	83	UA1	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 546 GeV
80.	$^{+10.}_{-6.}$		4	BANNER	<b>83</b> B	UA2	Repl. by

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  AAIJ  $^22\mathrm{C}$  analyse W production in the muon decay channel, with the transverse momentum of the muon required to be between 28 and 52 GeV. Analysing the distribution of the muon charge divided by the muon transverse momentum of approximately 2.4 million selected W candidates, a value of  $M_W=80354\pm23(\mathrm{stat.})\pm10(\mathrm{exp.})\pm17(\mathrm{theo.})\pm9(\mathrm{PDF})$  MeV is obtained; we combine the three systematic uncertainties in quadrature.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  AALTONEN 22 select a data sample of about 4 million W boson candidates in 8.8 fb $^{-1}$  of Run-II data. The mass is determined using the transverse mass, transverse lepton momentum and transverse missing momentum distributions of W decays into electrons or muons, accounting for correlations. This measurement supersedes AALTONEN 12E, but it is not used in the evaluation of OUR FIT (PDG 2022 pre AALTONEN 22 CDF) value.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>AABOUD 18J select 4.61M  $W^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ , 3.40M  $W^+ \to e^+ \nu_e$ , 3.23M  $W^- \to \mu^- \overline{\nu}_\mu$  and 2.49M  $W^- \to e^- \overline{\nu}_e$  events in 4.6 fb $^{-1}$  pp data at 7 TeV. The W mass is determined using the transverse mass and transverse lepton momentum distributions, accounting for correlations. The systematic error includes 0.011 GeV experimental and 0.014 GeV modelling uncertainties.

- <sup>4</sup> AALTONEN 12E select 470k  $W \to e \nu$  decays and 625k  $W \to \mu \nu$  decays in 2.2 fb<sup>-1</sup> of Run-II data. The mass is determined using the transverse mass, transverse lepton momentum and transverse missing energy distributions, accounting for correlations. This result supersedes AALTONEN 07F. AALTONEN 14D gives more details on the procedures followed by the authors. This measurement is superseded by AALTONEN 22, but it is used in the evaluation of OUR FIT (PDG 2022 pre AALTONEN 22 CDF) value.
- $^{5}$  Combination of results from ABAZOV 12F and ABAZOV 09AB as quoted in ABAZOV 12F.
- <sup>6</sup> ABDALLAH 08A use direct reconstruction of the kinematics of  $W^+W^- \to q \overline{q} \ell \nu$  and  $W^+W^- \to q \overline{q} q \overline{q}$  events for energies 172 GeV and above. The W mass was also extracted from the dependence of the WW cross section close to the production threshold and combined appropriately to obtain the final result. The systematic error includes  $\pm 0.025$  GeV due to final state interactions and  $\pm 0.009$  GeV due to LEP energy uncertainty.
- <sup>7</sup> ABBIENDI 06 use direct reconstruction of the kinematics of  $W^+W^- \to q \overline{q} \ell \nu_\ell$  and  $W^+W^- \to q \overline{q} q \overline{q}$  events. The result quoted here is obtained combining this mass value with the results using  $W^+W^- \to \ell \nu_\ell \ell' \nu_{\ell'}$  events in the energy range 183–207 GeV (ABBIENDI 03C) and the dependence of the WW production cross-section on  $m_W$  at threshold. The systematic error includes  $\pm 0.009$  GeV due to the uncertainty on the LEP beam energy.
- <sup>8</sup> ACHARD 06 use direct reconstruction of the kinematics of  $W^+W^- \to q \overline{q} \ell \nu_\ell$  and  $W^+W^- \to q \overline{q} q \overline{q}$  events in the C.M. energy range 189–209 GeV. The result quoted here is obtained combining this mass value with the results obtained from a direct W mass reconstruction at 172 and 183 GeV and with those from the dependence of the WW production cross-section on  $m_W$  at 161 and 172 GeV (ACCIARRI 99).
- <sup>9</sup>SCHAEL 06 use direct reconstruction of the kinematics of  $W^+W^-\to q\overline{q}\ell\nu_\ell$  and  $W^+W^-\to q\overline{q}q\overline{q}$  events in the C.M. energy range 183–209 GeV. The result quoted here is obtained combining this mass value with those obtained from the dependence of the W pair production cross-section on  $m_W$  at 161 and 172 GeV (BARATE 97 and BARATE 97s respectively). The systematic error includes  $\pm 0.009$  GeV due to possible effects of final state interactions in the  $q\overline{q}q\overline{q}$  channel and  $\pm 0.009$  GeV due to the uncertainty on the LEP beam energy.
- <sup>10</sup> ABAZOV 02D improve the measurement of the W-boson mass including  $W \to e \nu_e$  events in which the electron is close to a boundary of a central electromagnetic calorimeter module. Properly combining the results obtained by fitting  $m_T(W)$ ,  $p_T(e)$ , and  $p_T(\nu)$ , this sample provides a mass value of 80.574  $\pm$  0.405 GeV. The value reported here is a combination of this measurement with all previous DØ W-boson mass measurements.
- <sup>11</sup> AFFOLDER 01E fit the transverse mass spectrum of 30115  $W \rightarrow e \nu_e$  events ( $M_W = 80.473 \pm 0.065 \pm 0.092$  GeV) and of 14740  $W \rightarrow \mu \nu_\mu$  events ( $M_W = 80.465 \pm 0.100 \pm 0.103$  GeV) obtained in the run IB (1994-95). Combining the electron and muon results, accounting for correlated uncertainties, yields  $M_W = 80.470 \pm 0.089$  GeV. They combine this value with their measurement of ABE 95P reported in run IA (1992-93) to obtain the quoted value.
- <sup>12</sup>ANDREEV 18A obtain this result in a combined electroweak and QCD analysis using all deep-inelastic  $e^+p$  and  $e^-p$  neutral current and charged current scattering cross sections published by the H1 Collaboration, including data with longitudinally polarized lepton beams.
- $^{13}$  ABAZOV 12F select 1677k  $W \rightarrow e \nu$  decays in 4.3 fb $^{-1}$  of Run-II data. The mass is determined using the transverse mass and transverse lepton momentum distributions, accounting for correlations.
- <sup>14</sup> ABAZOV 09AB study the transverse mass, transverse electron momentum, and transverse missing energy in a sample of 0.5 million  $W \to e \nu$  decays selected in Run-II data. The quoted result combines all three methods, accounting for correlations.
- <sup>15</sup> AALTONEN 07F obtain high purity  $W \to e \nu_e$  and  $W \to \mu \nu_\mu$  candidate samples totaling 63,964 and 51,128 events respectively. The W mass value quoted above is

- derived by simultaneously fitting the transverse mass and the lepton, and neutrino  $\mathbf{p}_T$  distributions.
- $^{16}$  AKTAS 06 fit the  $\mathrm{Q}^2$  dependence (300 <  $\mathrm{Q}^2$  < 30,000 GeV $^2$ ) of the charged-current differential cross section with a propagator mass. The first error is experimental and the second corresponds to uncertainties due to input parameters and model assumptions.
- $^{17}$  CHEKANOV 02C fit the  $Q^2$  dependence (200< $Q^2$ <60000 GeV $^2$ ) of the charged-current differential cross sections with a propagator mass fit. The last error is due to the uncertainty on the probability density functions.
- $^{18}$  BREITWEG 00D fit the  $Q^2$  dependence (200 <  $Q^2$  < 22500 GeV $^2$ ) of the charged-current differential cross sections with a propagator mass fit. The last error is due to the uncertainty on the probability density functions.
- $^{19}$  ALITTI 92B result has two contributions to the systematic error ( $\pm 0.83$ ); one ( $\pm 0.81$ ) cancels in  $m_W/m_Z$  and one ( $\pm 0.17$ ) is noncancelling. These were added in quadrature. We choose the ALITTI 92B value without using the LEP  $m_Z$  value, because we perform our own combined fit.
- There are two contributions to the systematic error ( $\pm 0.84$ ): one ( $\pm 0.81$ ) which cancels in  $m_W/m_Z$  and one ( $\pm 0.21$ ) which is non-cancelling. These were added in quadrature.
- $^{21}$  ABE 891 systematic error dominated by the uncertainty in the absolute energy scale.
- $^{22}$  ALBAJAR 89 result is from a total sample of 299 W 
  ightarrow e 
  u events.
- <sup>23</sup> ALBAJAR 89 result is from a total sample of 67  $W \to \mu \nu$  events.
- $^{24}$  ALBAJAR 89 result is from W 
  ightarrow ~ au 
  u events.

# W/Z MASS RATIO

VALUE	<u>EVTS</u>	DOCUMENT ID	)	TECN	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.88145 \pm 0.00013$		$^{ m 1}$ PDG	22		
• • • We do not use the follo	wing data	a for averages, fits	, limits	, etc. ●	• •
$0.8821\ \pm0.0011\ \pm0.0008$	28323	<sup>2</sup> ABBOTT	98N	D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}} = 1.8 \; TeV$
$0.88114 \!\pm\! 0.00154 \!\pm\! 0.00252$	5982	<sup>3</sup> ABBOTT	<b>98</b> P	D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}} = 1.8 \; TeV$
$0.8813 \pm 0.0036 \pm 0.0019$	156	<sup>4</sup> ALITTI	<b>92</b> B	UA2	$E_{ m cm}^{p\overline{p}}=$ 630 GeV

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  This value was obtained using the world average values of  $m_{Z}$  and  $m_{W}$  as listed in these listings.

### $m_Z - m_W$

VALUE (GeV)		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
10.81	1±0.01	2	<sup>1</sup> PDG	22		
• • •	We do	not use	ne following data for average	es, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •
10.4	$\pm 1.4$	$\pm 0.8$	ALBAJAR	89	UA1	$E_{ m cm}^{p\overline{p}}=$ 546,630 GeV
11.3	+1.3	+0.9	ANSARI	87	UA2	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 546.630 \text{ GeV}$

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  This value was obtained using the world average values of  $m_{Z}$  and  $m_{W}$  as listed in these listings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>ABBOTT 98N obtain this from a study of 28323  $W \to e \nu_e$  and 3294  $Z \to e^+ e^-$  decays. Of this latter sample, 2179 events are used to calibrate the electron energy scale.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ABBOTT 98P obtain this from a study of 5982  $W \rightarrow e \nu_e$  events. The systematic error includes an uncertainty of  $\pm 0.00175$  due to the electron energy scale.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Scale error cancels in this ratio.

$$m_{W^+} - m_{W^-}$$

Test of CPT invariance.

VALUE (GeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.029±0.028 OUR A	/ERAGE				
$-0.029\!\pm\!0.013\!\pm\!0.025$	13.7M	$^{ m 1}$ AABOUD			$E_{cm}^{pp} = 7 \; TeV$
$-0.19\ \pm0.58$	1722	ABE	<b>90</b> G	CDF	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.8 \; TeV$
<sup>1</sup> AABOUD 18J selec	t 4.61M	$W^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$	3.40M	$W^+$ $\rightarrow$	$e^+ \nu_e$ , 3.23M $W^- \rightarrow$
$\mu^- \overline{\nu}_\mu$ and 2.49M $V$ is determined using accounting for corre 0.024 GeV modelling	lations	The systematic err	6 fb <sup>-1</sup> ansverse or incli	<i>pp</i> dat e lepton udes 0.0	a at 7 TeV. The <i>W</i> mass momentum distributions, 07 GeV experimental and

## **W** WIDTH

The W width listed here corresponds to the width parameter in a Breit-Wigner distribution with mass-dependent width. To obtain the world average, common systematic uncertainties between experiments are properly taken into account. The LEP-2 average W width based on published results is 2.195  $\pm$  0.083 GeV [SCHAEL 13A]. The combined Tevatron data yields an average W width of 2.046  $\pm$  0.049 GeV [FERMILAB-TM-2460-E].

OUR FIT uses these average LEP and Tevatron width values and combines them assuming no correlations.

VALUE (GeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.085±0.042 OUR FIT	-				
$2.028\!\pm\!0.072$	5272	$^{ m 1}$ ABAZOV	09AK		$E_{CM}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; GeV$
$2.032\!\pm\!0.045\!\pm\!0.057$	6055	<sup>2</sup> AALTONEN	<b>08</b> B	CDF	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
$2.404 \pm 0.140 \pm 0.101$	10.3k	<sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH	08A	DLPH	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 183–209 GeV
$1.996 \pm 0.096 \pm 0.102$	10729	<sup>4</sup> ABBIENDI	06	OPAL	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 170–209 GeV
$2.18 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.09$	9795	<sup>5</sup> ACHARD	06	L3	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 172 - 209 \; {\rm GeV}$
$2.14 \ \pm 0.09 \ \pm 0.06$	8717	<sup>6</sup> SCHAEL	06	ALEP	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee} = 183 – 209 \; {\rm GeV}$
$2.23 \ ^{+ 0.15}_{- 0.14} \ \pm 0.10$	294	<sup>7</sup> ABAZOV	02E		$E_{cm}^{oldsymbol{p}\overline{oldsymbol{p}}}=1.8\;TeV$
$2.05 \ \pm 0.10 \ \pm 0.08$	662	<sup>8</sup> AFFOLDER	00м	CDF	$E_{CM}^{oldsymbol{p}\overline{oldsymbol{p}}}=1.8\;TeV$
• • • We do not use t	he followin	g data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
$2.152\pm0.066$ $2.064\pm0.060\pm0.059$	79176	<sup>9</sup> ABBOTT <sup>10</sup> ABE	00в 95w	D0 CDF	Extracted value Extracted value
$2.10 \ ^{+ 0.14}_{- 0.13} \ \pm 0.09$	3559	<sup>11</sup> ALITTI	92	UA2	Extracted value
$2.18 \ ^{+0.26}_{-0.24} \ \pm 0.04$		<sup>12</sup> ALBAJAR	91	UA1	Extracted value

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ABAZOV 09AK obtain this result fitting the high-end tail (100-200 GeV) of the transverse mass spectrum in  $W \rightarrow e\nu$  decays.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  AALTONEN 08B obtain this result fitting the high-end tail (90–200 GeV) of the transverse mass spectrum in semileptonic  $W\to~e\nu_e$  and  $W\to~\mu\nu_\mu$  decays.

- <sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH 08A use direct reconstruction of the kinematics of  $W^+W^-\to q\overline{q}\ell\nu$  and  $W^+W^-\to q\overline{q}q\overline{q}$  events. The systematic error includes  $\pm 0.065$  GeV due to final state interactions.
- <sup>4</sup> ABBIENDI 06 use direct reconstruction of the kinematics of  $W^+W^-\to q\overline{q}\ell\nu_\ell$  and  $W^+W^-\to q\overline{q}q\overline{q}$  events. The systematic error includes  $\pm 0.003$  GeV due to the uncertainty on the LEP beam energy.
- <sup>5</sup> ACHARD 06 use direct reconstruction of the kinematics of  $W^+W^- \to q \overline{q} \ell \nu_\ell$  and  $W^+W^- \to q \overline{q} q \overline{q}$  events in the C.M. energy range 189–209 GeV. The result quoted here is obtained combining this value of the width with the result obtained from a direct W mass reconstruction at 172 and 183 GeV (ACCIARRI 99).
- <sup>6</sup> SCHAEL 06 use direct reconstruction of the kinematics of  $W^+W^-\to q\overline{q}\ell\nu_\ell$  and  $W^+W^-\to q\overline{q}q\overline{q}$  events. The systematic error includes  $\pm 0.05$  GeV due to possible effects of final state interactions in the  $q\overline{q}q\overline{q}$  channel and  $\pm 0.01$  GeV due to the uncertainty on the LEP beam energy.
- <sup>7</sup> ABAZOV 02E obtain this result fitting the high-end tail (90–200 GeV) of the transverse-mass spectrum in semileptonic  $W \to e \nu_e$  decays.
- <sup>8</sup> AFFOLDER 00M fit the high transverse mass (100–200 GeV)  $W \to e \nu_e$  and  $W \to \mu \nu_\mu$  events to obtain  $\Gamma(W)$ = 2.04  $\pm$  0.11(stat)  $\pm$  0.09(syst) GeV. This is combined with the earlier CDF measurement (ABE 95C) to obtain the quoted result.
- <sup>9</sup> ABBOTT 00B measure  $R=10.43\pm0.27$  for the  $W\to e\nu_e$  decay channel. They use the SM theoretical predictions for  $\sigma(W)/\sigma(Z)$  and  $\Gamma(W\to e\nu_e)$  and the world average for B( $Z\to ee$ ). The value quoted here is obtained combining this result (2.169  $\pm$  0.070 GeV) with that of ABBOTT 99H.
- $^{10}$  ABE 95W measured  $R=10.90\pm0.32\pm0.29.$  They use  $m_{W}{=}80.23\pm0.18$  GeV,  $\sigma(W)/\sigma(Z)=3.35\pm0.03,\; \Gamma(W\to e\nu)=225.9\pm0.9$  MeV,  $\Gamma(Z\to e^+e^-)=83.98\pm0.18$  MeV, and  $\Gamma(Z)=2.4969\pm0.0038$  GeV.
- <sup>11</sup> ALITTI 92 measured  $R=10.4^{+0.7}_{-0.6}\pm0.3$ . The values of  $\sigma(Z)$  and  $\sigma(W)$  come from  $O(\alpha_s^2)$  calculations using  $m_W=80.14\pm0.27$  GeV, and  $m_Z=91.175\pm0.021$  GeV along with the corresponding value of  $\sin^2\!\theta_W=0.2274$ . They use  $\sigma(W)/\sigma(Z)=3.26\pm0.07\pm0.05$  and  $\Gamma(Z)=2.487\pm0.010$  GeV.
- $^{12}$  ALBAJAR 91 measured  $R=9.5^{\,+\,1.1}_{\,-\,1.0}$  (stat. + syst.).  $\sigma(W)/\sigma(Z)$  is calculated in QCD at the parton level using  $m_W=80.18\pm0.28$  GeV and  $m_Z=91.172\pm0.031$  GeV along with  $\sin^2\!\theta_W=0.2322\pm0.0014$ . They use  $\sigma(W)/\sigma(Z)=3.23\pm0.05$  and  $\Gamma(Z)=2.498\pm0.020$  GeV. This measurement is obtained combining both the electron and muon channels.

#### W<sup>+</sup> DECAY MODES

 $W^-$  modes are charge conjugates of the modes below.

	Mode	Fraction $(\Gamma_i/\Gamma)$	Confidence level
$\overline{\Gamma_1}$	$\ell^+ \nu$	[a] (10.86± 0.09) %	_
$\Gamma_2$	$e^+ u$	$(10.71 \pm \ 0.16) \%$	
_	$\mu^+ \nu$	$(10.63 \pm \ 0.15) \%$	
$\Gamma_4$	$ au^+ u$	$(11.38 \pm \ 0.21) \%$	
$\Gamma_5$	hadrons	$(67.41 \pm 0.27) \%$	
$\Gamma_6$	$\pi^+\gamma$	< 7 × 1	$0^{-6}$ 95%
$\Gamma_7$	$D_s^+ \gamma$	< 1.3 × 1	$0^{-3}$ 95%
Γ <sub>8</sub>	cX	(33.3 $\pm$ 2.6 ) %	

$$\Gamma_9$$
  $c\overline{s}$   $(31 \ ^{+13}_{-11} \ )\%$ 
 $\Gamma_{10}$  invisible  $[b]$   $(1.4 \pm 2.9 \ )\%$ 
 $\Gamma_{11}$   $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^ < 1.01 \times 10^{-6}$  95%

- [a]  $\ell$  indicates each type of lepton  $(e, \mu, \text{ and } \tau)$ , not sum over them.
- [b] This represents the width for the decay of the W boson into a charged particle with momentum below detectability, p< 200 MeV.

## W PARTIAL WIDTHS

 $\Gamma(\text{invisible})$   $\Gamma_{10}$ 

This represents the width for the decay of the W boson into a charged particle with momentum below detectability, p< 200 MeV.

VALUE (MeV)DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT $30^{+52}_{-48} \pm 33$ 1 BARATE99IALEP $E^{ee}_{cm} = 161 + 172 + 183$  GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<sup>2</sup> BARATE 99L ALEP  $E_{cm}^{ee} = 161 + 172 + 183 \text{ GeV}$ 

#### W BRANCHING RATIOS

Overall fits are performed to determine the branching ratios of the W boson. Averages on  $W \to e\nu$ ,  $W \to \mu\nu$ , and  $W \to \tau\nu$ , and their correlations are obtained by combining results from the four LEP experiments properly taking into account the common systematic uncertainties and their correlations [SCHAEL 13A]. A first fit determines the three individual leptonic braching ratios  $B(W \to e\nu)$ ,  $B(W \to \mu\nu)$ , and  $B(W \to \tau\nu)$ . This fit has a  $\chi^2 = 6.3$  for 9 degrees of freedom. The correlation coefficients between the branching fractions are 0.14  $(e-\mu)$ , -0.20  $(e-\tau)$ , -0.12  $(\mu-\tau)$ . A second fit assumes lepton universality and determines the leptonic branching ratio  $B(W \to \ell\nu)$  and the hadronic branching ratio is derived as  $B(W \to \text{hadrons}) = 1-3$   $B(W \to \ell\nu)$ . This fit has a  $\chi^2 = 15.4$  for 11 degrees of freedom.

 $\Gamma(\ell^+\nu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\ell$  indicates average over  $e, \mu$ , and  $\tau$  modes, not sum over modes.

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$10.86\pm0.09$ OUR FIT					
$10.89\!\pm\!0.01\!\pm\!0.08$		TUMASYAN	22F	CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 13 \; TeV$
$10.86 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.08$	16438	ABBIENDI	07A	OPAL	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee} = 161 – 209 \; {\rm GeV}$
$10.85 \!\pm\! 0.14 \!\pm\! 0.08$	13600	ABDALLAH	04G	DLPH	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee} = 161 – 209 \; {\rm GeV}$
$10.83 \!\pm\! 0.14 \!\pm\! 0.10$	11246	ACHARD	<b>04</b> J	L3	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee} = 161 – 209 \; {\rm GeV}$
$10.96 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05$	16116	SCHAEL	04A	ALEP	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 183-209 \; {\rm GeV}$
https://pdg.lbl.gov		Page 7		Created	d: 12/4/2023 14:09

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> BARATE 99I measure this quantity using the dependence of the total cross section  $\sigma_{WW}$  upon a change in the total width. The fit is performed to the WW measured cross sections at 161, 172, and 183 GeV. This partial width is < 139 MeV at 95%CL.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> BARATE 99L use W-pair production to search for effectively invisible W decays, tagging with the decay of the other W boson to Standard Model particles. The partial width for effectively invisible decay is < 27 MeV at 95%CL.

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

$11.02 \pm 0.52$	11858	$^{ m 1}$ ABBOTT	99H D0	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.8 \; TeV$
$10.4 \pm 0.8$	3642	<sup>2</sup> ABE	92ı CDF	$E_{\rm cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.8 \text{ TeV}$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>ABBOTT 99H measure  $R \equiv [\sigma_W \ {\rm B}(W \to \ell \nu_\ell)]/[\sigma_Z \ {\rm B}(Z \to \ell \ell)] = 10.90 \pm 0.52$  combining electron and muon channels. They use  $M_W = 80.39 \pm 0.06$  GeV and the SM theoretical predictions for  $\sigma(W)/\sigma(Z)$  and  ${\rm B}(Z \to \ell \ell)$ .

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  1216  $\pm$  38  $^{+\,27}_{-\,31}$  W  $\rightarrow$   $~\mu\nu$  events from ABE 92I and 2426 W  $\rightarrow$   $~e\nu$  events of ABE 91C. ABE 92I give the inverse quantity as 9.6  $\pm$  0.7 and we have inverted.

$\Gamma(e^+ u)/\Gamma_{total}$					$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$
VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
10.71±0.16 OUR FIT					
$10.92 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.10$		THMACVAN	OUL CIVE	EPP _ 12 TaV	

22F CMS  $E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}$  $10.83 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.10$ TUMASYAN 07A OPAL  $E_{\mathsf{cm}}^{ee} = 161 – 209 \; \mathsf{GeV}$ 2374 ABBIENDI  $10.71 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.11$ 04G DLPH  $E_{
m cm}^{\it ee}=$  161–209 GeV  $10.55 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.14$ 1804 ABDALLAH  $E_{cm}^{ee} = 161-209 \text{ GeV}$  $10.78 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.13$ 1576 **ACHARD** 04J L3 04A ALEP  $E_{cm}^{ee} = 183-209 \text{ GeV}$  $10.78 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.10$ 2142 SCHAEL

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $10.61\pm0.28$  1 ABAZOV 04D TEVA  $E_{\text{cm}}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.8 \text{ TeV}$ 

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  ABAZOV 04D take into account all correlations to properly combine the CDF (ABE 95W) and DØ (ABBOTT 00B) measurements of the ratio R in the electron channel. The ratio R is defined as  $[\sigma_W \cdot \text{B}(W \to e \nu_e)] \ / \ [\sigma_Z \cdot \text{B}(Z \to e e)]$ . The combination gives  $\text{R}^{Tevatron} = 10.59 \pm 0.23. \ \sigma_W \ / \ \sigma_Z$  is calculated at next–to–next–to–leading order (3.360  $\pm$  0.051). The branching fraction B(Z  $\to e e$ ) is taken from this Review as (3.363  $\pm$  0.004)%.

$\Gamma(\mu^+ u)/\Gamma_{total}$	$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$
<b>(</b> , ), coca.	-,

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.63±0.15 OUR FIT					
$10.94\!\pm\!0.01\!\pm\!0.08$		TUMASYAN	22F	CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 13 \; TeV$
$10.78\!\pm\!0.24\!\pm\!0.10$	2397	ABBIENDI	07A	OPAL	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee} = 161 – 209 \; {\rm GeV}$
$10.65\!\pm\!0.26\!\pm\!0.08$	1998	ABDALLAH	<b>04</b> G	DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 161209 \; GeV$
$10.03\!\pm\!0.29\!\pm\!0.12$	1423	ACHARD	<b>04</b> J	L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 161209 \; GeV$
$10.87 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.08$	2216	SCHAEL	04A	ALEP	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 183-209 \; {\rm GeV}$

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\nu)/\Gamma(e^+\nu)$   $\Gamma_3/\Gamma_2$ 

VALUE	<u>EVTS</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.002 ± 0.006 OUR A	VERAGE				
$1.009 \pm 0.009$		TUMASYAN	22F	CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 13 \; TeV$
$1.003\!\pm\!0.010$		<sup>1</sup> AABOUD	17Q	ATLS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 7 \; TeV$
$0.980 \!\pm\! 0.018$		<sup>2</sup> AAIJ			$E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \; TeV$
$0.993\!\pm\!0.019$		SCHAEL	13A	LEP	$E_{ m cm}^{ m ee} = 130 – 209 \; { m GeV}$
$0.89 \pm 0.10$	13k	<sup>3</sup> ABACHI			$E_{CM}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.8 \; TeV$
$1.02 \pm 0.08$	1216	<sup>4</sup> ABE			$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.8 \; TeV$
$1.00 \ \pm 0.14 \ \pm 0.08$	67	ALBAJAR	89	UA1	$E_{\rm cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 546,630 \; {\rm GeV}$

https://pdg.lbl.gov Page 8 Created: 12/4/2023 14:09

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $1.24 \ ^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$  14 ARNISON 84D UA1 Repl. by ALBAJAR 89

<sup>2</sup> AAIJ 16AJ make precise measurements of forward  $W \to e \nu$  and  $W \to \mu \nu$  production in proton-proton collisions at 8 TeV and determine the ratio of the W branching fractions  $B(W \to e \nu)/B(W \to \mu \nu) = 1.020 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.019$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> ABE 92I obtain  $\sigma_W$ B( $W \to \mu\nu$ )= 2.21  $\pm$  0.07  $\pm$  0.21 and combine with ABE 91C  $\sigma_W$ B( $W \to e\nu$ )) to give a ratio of the couplings from which we derive this measurement.

$D((VV \rightarrow eV))$ to §	give a ratio	o or the couplings i	rom w	mich we	derive this measurement.
$\Gamma( au^+ u)/\Gamma_{ m total}$					Γ <sub>4</sub> /Γ
$VALUE$ (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	)	TECN	COMMENT
11.38±0.21 OUR FIT					
$10.77 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.21$		TUMASYAN	221	CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 13 \; TeV$
$11.14 \!\pm\! 0.31 \!\pm\! 0.17$	2177	ABBIENDI	07	A OPAL	$E_{ m cm}^{ee} = 161 – 209 \; { m GeV}$
$11.46\!\pm\!0.39\!\pm\!0.19$	2034	ABDALLAH	040	G DLPF	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 161-209 \text{ GeV}$
$11.89\!\pm\!0.40\!\pm\!0.20$	1375	ACHARD	04.	J L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 161209 \; GeV$
$11.25\!\pm\!0.32\!\pm\!0.20$	2070	SCHAEL	04	A ALEP	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 183-209 \; {\rm GeV}$
$\Gamma( au^+ u)/\Gamma(e^+ u)$					$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_2$
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID			COMMENT
1.015±0.020 OUR AVE	ERAGE I	Error includes scale	facto	r of 1.3.	See the ideogram below.
$0.994 \pm 0.021$		TUMASYAN	22F	CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 13 \; TeV$
$1.063 \pm 0.027$		SCHAEL	13A	LEP	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 130–209 GeV
$0.961\!\pm\!0.061$	980				$E_{CM}^{ar{p}} = 1.8 \; TeV$
$0.94 \pm 0.14$	179	<sup>2</sup> ABE	92E	CDF	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{\overline{p}}}$ $= 1.8 \; TeV$
$1.04 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.08$	754	<sup>3</sup> ALITTI	92F	UA2	<i>E</i> <sup>p<u>p</u></sup> = 630 GeV
$1.02 \ \pm 0.20 \ \pm 0.12$	32	ALBAJAR	89	UA1	$E_{ m cm}^{p\overline{p}} =$ 546,630 GeV
• • • We do not use the	ne followin	ng data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
$0.995\!\pm\!0.112\!\pm\!0.083$	198	ALITTI	<b>91</b> C	UA2	Repl. by ALITTI 92F
$1.02 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.10$	32	ALBAJAR	87	UA1	Repl. by ALBAJAR 89

<sup>02</sup>  $\pm$ 0.20  $\pm$ 0.10 32 ALBAJAR 87 UA1 Repl. by ALBAJAR 89  $^1$  ABBOTT 00D measure  $\sigma_W \times$  B(  $W \to ~\tau \, \nu_\tau$  ) = 2.22  $\pm$  0.09  $\pm$  0.10  $\pm$  0.10 nb. Using the

Created: 12/4/2023 14:09

ABBOTT 00B result  $\sigma_W \times B(W \rightarrow e \nu_e) = 2.31 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.10$  nb, they quote


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> AABOUD 17Q make a precise determination of  $W \to e \nu$  and  $W \to \mu \nu$  production in the follwoing fiducial phase space: lepton pseudo-rapidity range  $|\eta| < 2.5$ , lepton and neutrino transverse momenta larger than 25 GeV each, and W transverse mass larger than 25 GeV. They determine the ratio of the W branching fractions B( $W \to e \nu$ )/B( $W \to \mu \nu$ ) = 0.9967  $\pm$  0.0004  $\pm$  0.0101 = 0.997  $\pm$  0.010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>ABACHI 95D obtain this result from the measured  $\sigma_W$ B( $W \to \mu \nu$ )= 2.09  $\pm$  0.23  $\pm$  0.11 nb and  $\sigma_W$ B( $W \to e \nu$ )= 2.36  $\pm$  0.07  $\pm$  0.13 nb in which the first error is the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty, the second reflects the uncertainty in the luminosity.

the ratio of the couplings from which we derive this measurement.  $^2$  ABE 92E use two procedures for selecting  $W\to \tau\nu_{\mathcal{T}}$  events. The missing E  $_{\mathcal{T}}$  trigger leads to  $132\pm14\pm8$  events and the  $\tau$  trigger to  $47\pm9\pm4$  events. Proper statistical and systematic correlations are taken into account to arrive at  $\sigma \text{B}(W\to \tau\nu)=2.05\pm0.27$  nb. Combined with ABE 91C result on  $\sigma \text{B}(W\to e\nu)$ , ABE 92E quote a ratio of the couplings from which we derive this measurement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This measurement is derived by us from the ratio of the couplings of ALITTI 92F.






$\Gamma(\tau^+ u)/\Gamma(\mu^+ u)$			$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_3$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.002\pm0.020$ OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale	factor of 2.0.	See the ideogram below.
$0.985 \pm 0.020$	TUMASYAN	22F CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 13 \; TeV$
$0.992 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.011$	<sup>1</sup> AAD	21AN ATLS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 13 \; TeV$
$1.070 \pm 0.026$	SCHAEL	13A LEP	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 130-209 \; {\rm GeV}$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>AAD 21AN study  $t\overline{t}$  production, with the W bosons in top-quark decay decaying to electrons or taus, with the tau decaying further into a muon. Analyzing the muon impact parameter and its transverse momentum, the contributions from prompt muons (arising from W decay) and non-prompt muons (arising from tau decay) are separated, allowing a measurement of the ratio of the W branching fractions into taus and muons,  $R(\tau/\mu) = 0.992 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.011$  where the first error is statistical and the second systematic.





 $\Gamma(\text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  OUR FIT value is obtained by a fit to the lepton branching ratio data assuming lepton universality.

$VALUE$ (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
67.41±0.27 OUR FIT					
$67.32\!\pm\!0.02\!\pm\!0.23$		TUMASYAN	22F	CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 13 \; TeV$
$67.41 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.23$	16438	ABBIENDI	07A	OPAL	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee} = 161 – 209 \; {\rm GeV}$
$67.45 \!\pm\! 0.41 \!\pm\! 0.24$	13600	ABDALLAH	<b>04</b> G	DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 161209 \; GeV$
$67.50 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.30$	11246	ACHARD	<b>04</b> J	L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 161209 \; GeV$
$67.13 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.15$	16116	SCHAEL	04A	ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 183209 \; GeV$
$\Gamma(\pi^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{ m total}$					Γ <sub>6</sub> /Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		COMMEN	<u>IT</u>
$< 1.50 \times 10^{-5}$	95	$^{ m 1}$ SIRUNYAN	211	$E_{\rm cm}^{pp} =$	13 TeV

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  SIRUNYAN 211 search for the rare decay of a W boson into a charged pion accompanied by a photon. A signal is not observed, and an upper limit on the branching fraction  ${\rm B}(W\to~\pi\gamma)<~1.50\times10^{-5}$  is obtained at 95% C.L.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\gamma)/\Gamma(e^+ u)$					$\Gamma_6/\Gamma_2$
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$< 6.4 \times 10^{-5}$	95	AALTONEN	12W CDF	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}} = 1.96 \; Tev$	
$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	95	ABE	98н CDF	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.8 \; TeV$	

$$<$$
 4.9  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> 95  $^{1}$  ALITTI 92D UA2  $E_{\rm cm}^{p\overline{p}}=$  630 GeV  $<$  58  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> 95  $^{2}$  ALBAJAR 90 UA1  $E_{\rm cm}^{p\overline{p}}=$  546, 630 GeV

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  ALITTI 92D limit is 3.8  $\times$  10  $^{-3}$  at 90%CL.  $^{2}$  ALBAJAR 90 obtain < 0.048 at 90%CL.

$\Gamma(D_s^+\gamma)/\Gamma(e^+ u)$						$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_2$
<u>VALUE</u>	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
<1.2 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	95	ABE	98P	CDF	$E_{\rm cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.8 \text{ TeV}$	

 $\Gamma(cX)/\Gamma(hadrons)$ VALUE

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECH COMMENT

 VALUE
 EVTS
 DOCUMENT ID
 TECN
 COMMENT

  $0.49 \pm 0.04$  OUR AVERAGE
  $0.481 \pm 0.042 \pm 0.032$  3005 1 ABBIENDI
 00V OPAL
  $E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 183 + 189$  GeV

  $0.51 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.03$  746 2 BARATE
 99M ALEP
  $E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 172 + 183$  GeV

 $^2$  BARATE 99M tag c jets using a neural network algorithm. From this measurement  $|V_{cs}|$  is determined to be 1.00  $\pm$  0.11  $\pm$  0.07.

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10<sup>-6</sup>)

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

COMMENT

1 SIRUNYAN

19BG CMS

 $E_{\text{cm}}^{pp} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ 

$$R_{cs} = \Gamma(c\overline{s})/\Gamma(\text{hadrons})$$
 $VALUE$ 
 $DOCUMENT ID$ 
 $TECN$ 
 $COMMENT$ 
 $COMMENT$ 
 $TECN$ 
 $TECN$ 
 $TECM$ 
 $T$ 

### AVERAGE PARTICLE MULTIPLICITIES IN HADRONIC W DECAY

Summed over particle and antiparticle, when appropriate.

 $\langle N_{\pi^{\pm}} \rangle$ VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN
COMMENT

1 ABREU,P

00F DLPH  $E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 189 \text{ GeV}$ 

Created: 12/4/2023 14:09

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Page 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>ABBIENDI 00V tag  $W \to cX$  decays using measured jet properties, lifetime information, and leptons produced in charm decays. From this result, and using the additional measurements of  $\Gamma(W)$  and  $B(W \to hadrons)$ ,  $|V_{CS}|$  is determined to be 0.969  $\pm$  0.045  $\pm$  0.036.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SIRUNYAN 19BG search for the rare decay of a W boson into three charged pions. Three pion candidates are required in each event, with transverse momentum larger than 35 GeV, 35 GeV, 18 GeV, respectively, while the transverse momentum of the three-pion system is required to be larger than 40 GeV. Analyzing the three-pion invariant mass, no excess is observed in the W mass region, leading to the 95% C.L. upper limit on the branching fraction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>ABREU 98N tag c and s jets by identifying a charged kaon as the highest momentum particle in a hadronic jet. They also use a lifetime tag to independently identify a c jet, based on the impact parameter distribution of charged particles in a jet. From this measurement  $|V_{cs}|$  is determined to be  $0.94^{+0.32}_{-0.26} \pm 0.13$ .

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  ABREU,P 00F measure  $\langle N_{\pi^\pm} \rangle = 31.65 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.76$  and  $15.51 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.40$  in the fully hadronic and semileptonic final states respectively. The value quoted is a weighted average without assuming any correlations.

⟨N <sub>K±</sub> ⟩ VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.20±0.19	1 ABREU,P	00F	DLPH	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee}$ = 189 GeV

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>ABREU,P 00F measure  $\langle N_{K^{\pm}} \rangle = 4.38 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.12$  and  $2.23 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.17$  in the fully hadronic and semileptonic final states respectively. The value quoted is a weighted average without assuming any correlations.

# $\langle N_p \rangle$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.92±0.14	<sup>1</sup> ABREU,P	00F	DLPH	$E_{\rm cm}^{\rm ee} = 189 \; {\rm GeV}$

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  ABREU,P 00F measure  $\langle N_p \rangle = 1.82 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.16$  and 0.94  $\pm$  0.23  $\pm$  0.06 in the fully hadronic and semileptonic final states respectively. The value quoted is a weighted average without assuming any correlations.

# $\langle N_{\rm charged} \rangle$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
19.39±0.08 OUR AVERAGE				
$19.38 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.08$	$^{ m 1}$ ABBIENDI	06A	OPAL	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee} = 189 – 209 \; {\rm GeV}$
$19.44 \pm 0.17$	<sup>2</sup> ABREU,P	00F	DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 183 + 189 \text{ GeV}$
$19.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3$	<sup>3</sup> ABBIENDI	99N	OPAL	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee}=183~{\rm GeV}$
$19.23 \pm 0.74$	<sup>4</sup> ABREU	98C	DLPH	$E_{\rm cm}^{\rm ee} = 172 \; {\rm GeV}$

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  ABBIENDI 06A measure  $\left< N_{\rm charged} \right> = 38.74 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.26$  when both W bosons decay hadronically and  $\left< N_{\rm charged} \right> = 19.39 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.09$  when one W boson decays semileptonically. The value quoted here is obtained under the assumption that there is no color reconnection between W bosons; the value is a weighted average taking into account correlations in the systematic uncertainties.

# TRIPLE GAUGE COUPLINGS (TGC'S)

Revised April 2017 by M.W. Grünewald (U. College Dublin) and A. Gurtu (Formerly Tata Inst.).

Fourteen independent couplings, seven each for ZWW and  $\gamma WW$ , completely describe the VWW vertices within the most general framework of the electroweak Standard Model (SM) consistent with Lorentz invariance and U(1) gauge invariance. Of each of the seven TGCs, three conserve C and P individually, three violate CP, and one violates C and P individually

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  ABREU,P 00F measure  $\langle \textit{N}_{charged} \rangle = 39.12 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.36$  and  $38.11 \pm 0.57 \pm 0.44$  in the fully hadronic final states at 189 and 183 GeV respectively, and  $\langle \textit{N}_{charged} \rangle = 19.49 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.27$  and  $19.78 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.43$  in the semileptonic final states. The value quoted is a weighted average without assuming any correlations.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  ABBIENDI 99N use the final states  $W^+\,W^- o \,q\,\overline{q}\,\ell\overline{
u}_\ell$  to derive this value.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> ABREU 98C combine results from both the fully hadronic as well semileptonic *W W* final states after demonstrating that the *W* decay charged multiplicity is independent of the topology within errors.

while conserving CP. Assumption of C and P conservation and electromagnetic gauge invariance reduces the number of independent VWW couplings to five: one common set [1,2] is  $(\kappa_{\gamma}, \kappa_{Z}, \lambda_{\gamma}, \lambda_{Z}, g_{1}^{Z})$ , where  $\kappa_{\gamma} = \kappa_{Z} = g_{1}^{Z} = 1$  and  $\lambda_{\gamma} = \lambda_{Z} = 0$  in the Standard Model at tree level. The parameters  $\kappa_{Z}$  and  $\lambda_{Z}$  are related to the other three due to constraints of gauge invariance as follows:  $\kappa_{Z} = g_{1}^{Z} - (\kappa_{\gamma} - 1) \tan^{2}\theta_{W}$  and  $\lambda_{Z} = \lambda_{\gamma}$ , where  $\theta_{W}$  is the weak mixing angle. The W magnetic dipole moment,  $\mu_{W}$ , and the W electric quadrupole moment,  $q_{W}$ , are expressed as  $\mu_{W} = e (1 + \kappa_{\gamma} + \lambda_{\gamma})/2M_{W}$  and  $q_{W} = -e (\kappa_{\gamma} - \lambda_{\gamma})/M_{W}^{2}$ .

Precision measurements of suitable observables at LEP1 has already led to an exploration of much of the TGC parameter space. At LEP2, the VWW coupling arises in W-pair production via s-channel exchange, or in single W production via the radiation of a virtual photon off the incident  $e^+$  or  $e^-$ . At the Tevatron and the LHC, hard-photon bremsstrahlung off a produced W or Z signals the presence of a triple-gauge vertex. In order to extract the value of one TGC, the others are generally kept fixed to their SM values. While most analyses use the above gauge constraints in the extraction of TGCs, one analysis of W-pair events also determines the real and imaginary parts of all 14 couplings using unconstrained single-parameter [3]. The results are consistent. Some experiments have determined limits on the couplings under various non-LEP scenarios and assuming different values of the form factor  $\Lambda$ , where the coupling parameters are scaled by  $1/(1+s/\Lambda^2)^2$ . For practical reasons it is not possible to quote all such determinations in the listings. For that the individual papers may be consulted. Recently, EFT-inspired sets of couplings [4,5], such as  $c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2$ ,  $c_W/\Lambda^2$ ,  $c_B/\Lambda^2$  which are linearly related to the

couplings discussed above, are also determined by the LHC experiments.

## References

- 1. K. Hagiwara et al., Nucl. Phys. **B282**, 253 (1987).
- 2. G. Gounaris et al., CERN 96-01 p. 525.
- 3. S. Schael *et al.* (ALEPH Collab.), Phys. Lett. **B614**, 7 (2005).
- 4. K. Hagiwara et al., Phys. Rev. **D48**, 2182 (1993).
- 5. C. Degrande et al., Annals Phys. 335 (2013) 21-32.



OUR FIT below is taken from [SCHAEL 13A].

```
DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
                                  EVTS
0.984^{+0.018}_{-0.020} OUR FIT
0.975 ^{\,+\, 0.033}_{\,-\, 0.030}
                                                  <sup>1</sup> ABDALLAH
                                                                                    DLPH E_{cm}^{ee} = 189-209 \text{ GeV}
                                   7872
                                                  <sup>2</sup> SCHAEL
                                                                            05A ALEP E_{cm}^{ee} = 183-209 \text{ GeV}
                                  9310
1.001 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.013
0.987 + 0.034
                                                                                                E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 183-209 \; {\rm GeV}
                                                  <sup>3</sup> ABBIENDI
                                                                            04D OPAL
                                   9800
         -0.033
0.966^{\,+\,0.034}_{\,-\,0.032}\,\pm\,0.015
                                                  <sup>4</sup> ACHARD
                                                                            04D L3
                                                                                                E_{cm}^{ee} = 161-209 \text{ GeV}
                                  8325
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •
                                                  <sup>5</sup> SIRUNYAN
                                                                            20BA CMS
                                                                                                E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}
                                                                                                E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}
                                                  <sup>6</sup> SIRUNYAN
                                                                            19CL CMS
                                                                                                E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}
                                                  <sup>7</sup> SIRUNYAN
                                                                            18<sub>BZ</sub> CMS
                                                                                                E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=7+8~{\rm TeV}
                                                  <sup>8</sup> AABOUD
                                                                            17s ATLS
                                                                                                E_{\mathsf{cm}}^{pp} = 8 \; \mathsf{TeV}
                                                  <sup>9</sup> AABOUD
                                                                            17U ATLS
                                                                                                E_{\mathsf{cm}}^{pp} = 8 \; \mathsf{TeV}
                                                <sup>10</sup> KHACHATRY...170 CMS
                                                                                                E_{\mathsf{cm}}^{pp} = 8 \; \mathsf{TeV}
                                                <sup>11</sup> SIRUNYAN
                                                                            17X CMS
                                                                                                E_{\mathsf{cm}}^{pp} = 8 \; \mathsf{TeV}
                                                12 AAD
                                                                            16AR ATLS
                                                                                                E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=8~{\rm TeV}
                                                <sup>13</sup> AAD
                                                                            16P ATLS
                                                                                                E_{\mathsf{cm}}^{pp} = 8 \; \mathsf{TeV}
                                                <sup>14</sup> AAD
                                                                            14Y ATLS
                                                                                                E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=7~{\rm TeV}
                                                <sup>15</sup> AAD
                                                                            13AL ATLS
                                                                                                E_{
m cm}^{pp}=7~{
m TeV}
                                                <sup>16</sup> CHATRCHYAN 13BF CMS
                                                                                                E_{\mathsf{cm}}^{pp} = 7 \; \mathsf{TeV}
                                                ^{17} AAD
                                                                            12CD ATLS
                                                <sup>18</sup> AALTONEN
                                                                                                E_{\rm cm}^{p\overline{p}}=1.96~{\rm TeV}
                                                                            12AC CDF
                                                                                                E_{\rm cm}^{p\overline{p}}=1.96~{\rm TeV}
                                                <sup>19</sup> ABAZOV
                                                                            12AG D0
                                                                                                E_{\rm cm}^{p\overline{p}}=1.96~{\rm TeV}
                                                <sup>20</sup> ABAZOV
                                                                            11 D0
                                      34
```

	334	<sup>21</sup> AALTONEN		$E_{cm}^{ar{p}}=1.96\;TeV$
$1.04 \pm 0.09$		<sup>22</sup> ABAZOV	09AD D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
		<sup>23</sup> ABAZOV	09AJ D0	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
$1.07 \begin{array}{c} +0.08 \\ -0.12 \end{array}$	1880	<sup>24</sup> ABDALLAH	08c DLPH	Superseded by ABDAL- LAH 10
	13	<sup>25</sup> ABAZOV		$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
	2.3	<sup>26</sup> ABAZOV	05s D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
$0.98 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.01$	2114	<sup>27</sup> ABREU	01ı DLPH	$E_{\mathrm{cm}}^{\mathrm{ee}} = 183 + 189 \; \mathrm{GeV}$
	331	<sup>28</sup> ABBOTT	99ı D0	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.8 \; TeV$

<sup>1</sup> ABDALLAH 10 use data on the final states  $e^+e^- \to jj\ell\nu, jjjj, jjX, \ell X$ , at center-of-mass energies between 189–209 GeV at LEP2, where j= jet,  $\ell=$  lepton, and X represents missing momentum. The fit is carried out keeping all other parameters fixed at their SM values.

 $^2$  SCHAEL 05A study single-photon, single-W, and WW-pair production from 183 to 209 GeV. The result quoted here is derived from the WW-pair production sample. Each parameter is determined from a single-parameter fit in which the other parameters assume their Standard Model values.

3 ABBIENDI 04D combine results from  $W^+W^-$  in all decay channels. Only *CP*-conserving couplings are considered and each parameter is determined from a single-parameter fit in which the other parameters assume their Standard Model values. The 95% confidence interval is  $0.923 < g_1^Z < 1.054$ .

<sup>4</sup> ACHARD 04D study  $\overline{WW}$ -pair production, single–W production and single–photon production with missing energy from 189 to 209 GeV. The result quoted here is obtained from the WW-pair production sample including data from 161 to 183 GeV, ACCIA-RRI 99Q. Each parameter is determined from a single–parameter fit in which the other parameters assume their Standard Model values.

 $^5$  SIRUNYAN 20BA study electroweak production of a W boson in association with two jets, using W decays in the electron or muon channel. The isolated muons (electrons) are required to have a transverse momentum larger than 25 (30) GeV, while the transverse momentum of the two jets has to be larger than 50 and 30 GeV. A total of 2.382 (1.051) million events are selected in the muon (electron) channel, with a Standard Model expectation of  $2.39\pm0.17$  (1.054 $\pm0.058$ ) million events. Analyzing the transverse momentum distribution of the charged leptons from W decay, the following 95% C.L. limit is obtained:  $0.971 < g_1^Z < 1.044$ . Combining this result with that from the closely-related electroweak Z-jet-jet production SIRUNYAN 18BZ, the limit becomes:  $0.979 < g_1^Z < 1.034$ .

 $^6$  SIRUNYAN 19CL study  $W\,W$  and  $W\,Z$  production in lepton + jet events, with one W boson decaying leptonically (electron or muon), and another W or Z boson decaying hadronically, reconstructed as a single massive large-radius jet. In the electron channel 2,456 (2,235) events are selected in the  $W\,W(W\,Z)$  category, while in the muon channel 3,996 (3572) events are selected in the  $W\,W(W\,Z)$  category. Analysing the di-boson invariant mass distribution, the following 95% C.L. limit is obtained: 0.9939  $< \,\,g_1^Z < 1.0074$ .

 $^7$  SIRUNYAN 18BZ study  $pp \to Z$  jet jet events at 13 TeV where  $Z \to e^+e^-/\mu^+\mu^-$ . Isolated electrons and muons are selected with  $p_T$  of the leading/sub-leading lepton > 30/20 GeV and  $|\eta| <$  2.4, with the di-lepton invariant mass within 15 GeV of the Z mass. The two highest  $p_T$  jets are selected with  $p_T$  of the leading/sub-leading jet > 50/30 GeV respectively and dijet invariant mass > 200 GeV. Templates in the transverse momentum of the Z are utilized to set limits on the triple gauge couplings in the EFT and the LEP parametrizations. The following 95% C.L. limit is obtained: 0.965 <  $g_1^Z$  < 1.042.

 $^8$ AABOUD 17S analyze electroweak production of a W boson in association with two jets at high dijet invariant mass, with the W boson decaying to electron or muon plus

- neutrino. In the signal region of dijet mass larger than 1 TeV and leading-jet transverse momentum larger than 600 GeV, 30 events are observed in the data with 39  $\pm$  4 events expected in the Standard Model, yielding the following limit at 95% CL for the form factor cut-off scale  $\Lambda_{FF} \rightarrow \infty$ : 0.87  $< g_1^Z < 1.12$ .
- <sup>9</sup> AABOUD 17U analyze production of WW or WZ boson pairs with one W boson decaying to electron or muon plus neutrino, and the other W or Z boson decaying hadronically. The hadronic decay system is reconstructed as either a resolved two-jet system or as a single large jet. Analysing the transverse momentum distribution of the hadronic system above 100 GeV yields the following limit at 95% CL for the form factor cut-off scale  $\Lambda_{FF} \to \infty$ :  $0.979 < g_1^Z < 1.024$ .
- $^{10}$  KHACHATRYAN 170 analyse WZ production where each boson decays into electrons or muons. Events are required to have a tri-lepton invariant mass larger than 100 GeV, with one of the lepton pairs having an invariant mass within 20 GeV of the Z boson mass. The Z transverse momentum spectrum is analyzed to set a 95% C.L. limit of:  $0.982 \ < \ g_1^Z \ < 1.035$ .
- $^{11}$  SIRUNYAN 17x study  $pp \to WW/WZ \to \ell\nu\,q\overline{q}$  production at 8 TeV where  $\ell$  is an electron or muon with  $p_T > 30$  or 25 GeV respectively. Suitable cuts are put on the  $p_T$  of the dijet system and the missing  $E_T$  of the event yielding a total of 285 and 204 WV events observed in the electron and muon channels. The following 95% C.L. limit is obtained: 0.9913  $< g_1^Z < 1.024$ .
- $^{12}$  AAD 16AR study  $W\,W$  production in  $p\,p$  collisions and select 6636  $W\,W$  candidates in decay modes with electrons or muons with an expected background of 1546  $\pm$  157 events. Assuming the LEP formulation and setting the form-factor  $\Lambda$  to infinity, a fit to the transverse momentum distribution of the leading charged lepton, leads to a 95% C.L. range of 0.984  $< g_1^Z < 1.027$ .
- $^{13}$  AAD 16P study WZ production in pp collisions and select 2091 WZ candidates in 4 decay modes with electrons and muons, with an expected background of 1825  $\pm$  7 events. Analyzing the WZ transverse momentum distribution, the resulting 95% C.L. limit is: 0.981<  $g_1^Z < 1.029$ .
- <sup>14</sup> AAD 14Y determine the electroweak Z-dijet cross section in 8 TeV pp collisions.  $Z \rightarrow ee$  and  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  decays are selected with the di-lepton  $p_T > 20$  GeV and mass in the 81–101 GeV range. Minimum two jets are required with  $p_T > 55$  and 45 GeV and no additional jets with  $p_T > 25$  GeV in the rapidity interval between them. The normalized  $p_T$  balance between the Z and the two jets is required to be < 0.15. This leads to a selection of 900 events with dijet mass > 1 TeV. The number of signal and background events expected is 261 and 592 respectively. A Poisson likelihood method is used on an event by event basis to obtain the 95% CL limit  $0.5 < g_1^Z < 1.26$  for a form factor value  $\Lambda = \infty$ .
- $^{15}$  AAD 13AL study  $W\,W$  production in  $p\,p$  collisions and select 1325  $W\,W$  candidates in decay modes with electrons or muons with an expected background of 369  $\pm$  61 events. Assuming the LEP formulation and setting the form-factor  $\Lambda=$  infinity, a fit to the transverse momentum distribution of the leading charged lepton, leads to a 95% C.L. range of 0.961  $<~g_1^Z~<1.052.$  Supersedes AAD 12AC.
- <sup>16</sup> CHATRCHYAN 13BF determine the  $W^+W^-$  production cross section using unlike sign di-lepton (e or  $\mu$ ) events with high  $p_T'$ . The leptons have  $p_T>20$  GeV/c and are isolated. 1134 candidate events are observed with an expected SM background of 247  $\pm$  34. The  $p_T$  distribution of the leading lepton is fitted to obtain 95% C.L. limits of 0.905  $\leq g_1^Z \leq 1.095$ .
- $^{17}$  AAD 12CD study W Z production in pp collisions and select 317 W Z candidates in three  $\ell\nu$  decay modes with an expected background of 68.0  $\pm$  10.0 events. The resulting 95% C.L. range is: 0.943 <  $g_1^Z <$  1.093. Supersedes AAD 12V.
- <sup>18</sup> AALTONEN 12AC study WZ production in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions and select 63 WZ candidates in three  $\ell\nu$  decay modes with an expected background of 7.9  $\pm$  1.0 events. Based on

- the cross section and shape of the Z transverse momentum spectrum, the following 95% C.L. range is reported:  $0.92 < g_1^Z < 1.20$  for a form factor of  $\Lambda = 2$  TeV.
- $^{19}$  ABAZOV 12AG combine new results with already published results on  $W\gamma,~WW$  and WZ production in order to determine the couplings with increased precision, superseding ABAZOV 08R, ABAZOV 11AC, ABAZOV 09AJ, ABAZOV 09AD. The 68% C.L. result for a formfactor cutoff of  $\Lambda=2$  TeV is  $g_{1}^{Z}=1.022_{-0.030}^{+0.032}$ .
- $^{20}$  ABAZOV 11 study the  $p\overline{p}\to 3\ell\nu$  process arising in WZ production. They observe 34 WZ candidates with an estimated background of 6 events. An analysis of the  $p_T$  spectrum of the Z boson leads to a 95% C.L. limit of 0.944  $<~g_1^Z < 1.154$ , for a form factor  $\Lambda=2$  TeV.
- $^{21}$  AALTONEN 10K study  $p\overline{p}\to W^+W^-$  with  $W\to e/\mu\nu.$  The  $p_T$  of the leading (second) lepton is required to be > 20 (10) GeV. The final number of events selected is 654 of which 320  $\pm$  47 are estimated to be background. The 95% C.L. interval is 0.76  $< g_1^Z < 1.34$  for  $\Lambda = 1.5$  TeV and 0.78  $< g_1^Z < 1.30$  for  $\Lambda = 2$  TeV.
- $^{22}$  ABAZOV 09AD study the  $p\overline{p}\to\ell\nu$  2jet process arising in WW and WZ production. They select 12,473 (14,392) events in the electron (muon) channel with an expected di-boson signal of 436 (527) events. The results on the anomalous couplings are derived from an analysis of the  $p_T$  spectrum of the 2-jet system and quoted at 68% C.L. and for a form factor of 2 TeV. This measurement is not used for obtaining the mean as it is for a specific form factor. The 95% confidence interval is 0.88  $< g_1^Z < 1.20$ .
- $^{23}$  ABAZOV 09AJ study the  $p\overline{p}\to 2\ell 2\nu$  process arising in WW production. They select 100 events with an expected WW signal of 65 events. An analysis of the  $p_T$  spectrum of the two charged leptons leads to 95% C.L. limits of 0.86  $<~g_1^Z<1.3$ , for a form factor  $\Lambda=2$  TeV.
- ABDALLAH 08C determine this triple gauge coupling from the measurement of the spin density matrix elements in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow (q\,q)(\ell\nu)$ , where  $\ell=e$  or  $\mu$ . Values of all other couplings are fixed to their standard model values.
- $^{25}$  ABAZOV 07Z set limits on anomalous TGCs using the measured cross section and  $p_{\mathcal{T}}(Z)$  distribution in WZ production with both the W and the Z decaying leptonically into electrons and muons. Setting the other couplings to their standard model values, the 95% C.L. limit for a form factor scale  $\Lambda=2$  TeV is  $0.86 < g_1^Z < 1.35$ .
- $^{26}$  ABAZOV 05S study  $\overline{p}\,p \to W\,Z$  production with a subsequent trilepton decay to  $\ell\nu\ell'\overline{\ell}'$  ( $\ell$  and  $\ell'=e$  or  $\mu$ ). Three events (estimated background 0.71  $\pm$  0.08 events) with WZ decay characteristics are observed from which they derive limits on the anomalous WWZ couplings. The 95% CL limit for a form factor scale  $\Lambda=1.5$  TeV is 0.51 <  $g_1^Z$  < 1.66, fixing  $\lambda_Z$  and  $\kappa_Z$  to their Standard Model values.
- $^{27}$  ABREU 011 combine results from  $e^+e^-$  interactions at 189 GeV leading to  $W^+W^-$  and  $W\,e\,\nu_e$  final states with results from ABREU 99L at 183 GeV. The 95% confidence interval is 0.84  $< g_1^Z < 1.13$ .
- <sup>28</sup> ABBOTT 99I perform a simultaneous fit to the  $W\gamma$ ,  $WW \rightarrow$  dilepton,  $WW/WZ \rightarrow e\nu jj$ ,  $WW/WZ \rightarrow \mu\nu jj$ , and  $WZ \rightarrow$  trilepton data samples. For  $\Lambda=2.0$  TeV, the 95%CL limits are  $0.63 < g_1^Z < 1.57$ , fixing  $\lambda_Z$  and  $\kappa_Z$  to their Standard Model values, and assuming Standard Model values for the  $WW\gamma$  couplings.

 $\kappa_{m{\gamma}}$ 

OUR FIT below is taken from [SCHAEL 13A].

DOCUMENT ID

**TECN** 

**COMMENT** 

**EVTS** 

VALUE	EVIS	DOCUMENT ID		TECIV	COMMENT
0.982±0.042 OUR FIT					
$1.024 ^{+ 0.077}_{- 0.081}$	7872	$^{ m 1}$ ABDALLAH	10	DLPH	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 189–209 GeV
$0.971\!\pm\!0.055\!\pm\!0.030$	10689	<sup>2</sup> SCHAEL	05A	ALEP	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee} = 183 – 209 \; {\rm GeV}$
$0.88 \begin{array}{l} +0.09 \\ -0.08 \end{array}$	9800	<sup>3</sup> ABBIENDI	<b>04</b> D	OPAL	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 183–209 GeV
$1.013 { + 0.067 \atop -0.064 } \pm 0.026$	10575	<sup>4</sup> ACHARD	<b>04</b> D	L3	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 161–209 GeV
• • • We do not use t	he followin	g data for averages,	fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
		<sup>5</sup> AABOUD	<b>17</b> U	ATLS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \; TeV$
		<sup>6</sup> SIRUNYAN	17X	CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \; TeV$
		<sup>7</sup> CHATRCHYAN	<b>14</b> AB	CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 7 \; TeV$
		<sup>8</sup> AAD	13AN	ATLS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 7 \; TeV$
		<sup>9</sup> CHATRCHYAN	<b>13</b> BF	CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 7 \; TeV$
		<sup>10</sup> ABAZOV	<b>12</b> AG	D0	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
		<sup>11</sup> ABAZOV	<b>11</b> AC	D0	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
		<sup>12</sup> CHATRCHYAN	11M	CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 7 \; TeV$
	334	<sup>13</sup> AALTONEN	<b>10</b> K	CDF	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
	53	<sup>14</sup> AARON	<b>09</b> B	H1	$E_{cm}^{ep} = 0.3 \; TeV$
$1.07 \begin{array}{l} +0.26 \\ -0.29 \end{array}$		<sup>15</sup> ABAZOV	<b>09</b> AD	D0	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
		<sup>16</sup> ABAZOV	<b>09</b> AJ	D0	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
		<sup>17</sup> ABAZOV	<b>08</b> R	D0	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
$0.68 \begin{array}{l} +0.17 \\ -0.15 \end{array}$	1880	<sup>18</sup> ABDALLAH	080	DLPH	Superseded by ABDAL- LAH 10
	1617	<sup>19</sup> AALTONEN	07L	CDF	$E_{\rm cm}^{p\overline{p}}=1.96~{\rm GeV}$
	17	<sup>20</sup> ABAZOV	06н	D0	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
	141	<sup>21</sup> ABAZOV	<b>05</b> J	D0	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
$1.25 \ ^{+0.21}_{-0.20} \ \pm 0.06$	2298	<sup>22</sup> ABREU	01ı	DLPH	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 183+189 GeV
		<sup>23</sup> BREITWEG	00	ZEUS	$e^+ p \rightarrow e^+ W^{\pm} X$ , $\sqrt{s} \approx 300 \text{ GeV}$
$0.92 \pm 0.34$	331	<sup>24</sup> ABBOTT	991	D0	$\sqrt[N]{s} \approx 300 \text{ GeV}$ $E_{\text{cm}}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.8 \text{ TeV}$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>ABDALLAH 10 use data on the final states  $e^+e^- \to jj\ell\nu, jjjjj, jjX, \ell X$ , at center-of-mass energies between 189–209 GeV at LEP2, where j= jet,  $\ell=$  lepton, and X represents missing momentum. The fit is carried out keeping all other parameters fixed at their SM values.

Created: 12/4/2023 14:09

**VALUE** 

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ SCHAEL 05A study single–photon, single–W, and WW–pair production from 183 to 209 GeV. Each parameter is determined from a single–parameter fit in which the other parameters assume their Standard Model values.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  ABBIENDI 04D combine results from  $W^+\,W^-$  in all decay channels. Only *CP*-conserving couplings are considered and each parameter is determined from a single-parameter fit in which the other parameters assume their Standard Model values. The 95% confidence interval is 0.73  $<\kappa_\gamma<1.07.$ 

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  ACHARD 04D study WW-pair production, single–W production and single–photon production with missing energy from 189 to 209 GeV. The result quoted here is obtained including data from 161 to 183 GeV, ACCIARRI 99Q. Each parameter is determined

- from a single–parameter fit in which the other parameters assume their Standard Model values.
- $^5$  AABOUD 170 analyze production of WW or WZ boson pairs with one W boson decaying to electron or muon plus neutrino, and the other W or Z boson decaying hadronically. The hadronic decay system is reconstructed as either a resolved two-jet system or as a single large jet. Analysing the transverse momentum distribution of the hadronic system above 100 GeV yields the following limit at 95% CL for the form factor cut-off scale  $\Lambda_{FF} \rightarrow \infty$ : 0.939  $< \kappa_{\gamma} < 1.064$ .
- <sup>6</sup> SIRUNYAN 17X study  $pp \to WW/WZ \to \ell \nu q \overline{q}$  production at 8 TeV where  $\ell$  is an electron or muon with  $p_T > 30$  or 25 GeV respectively. Suitable cuts are put on the  $p_T$  of the dijet system and the missing  $E_T$  of the event yielding a total of 285 and 204 WV events observed in the electron and muon channels. The following 95% C.L. limit is obtained:  $0.956 < \kappa_{\gamma} < 1.063$ .
- $^7$  CHATRCHYAN 14AB measure  $W\,\gamma$  production cross section for  $p_T^{\gamma}>15$  GeV and R( $\ell\gamma)>0.7$ , which is the separation between the  $\gamma$  and the final state charged lepton (e or  $\mu$ ) in the azimuthal angle-pseudorapidity  $(\phi-\eta)$  plane. After background subtraction the number of  $e\nu\gamma$  and  $\mu\nu\gamma$  events is determined to be 3200  $\pm$  325 and 4970  $\pm$  543 respectively, compatible with expectations from the SM. This leads to a 95% CL limit of 0.62  $<\kappa_{\gamma}<$  1.29, assuming other parameters have SM values.
- $^8$  AAD 13AN study  $W\gamma$  production in pp collisions. In events with no additional jet, 4449 (6578) W decays to electron (muon) are selected, with an expected background of 1662  $\pm$  262 (2538  $\pm$  362) events. Analysing the photon  $p_T$  spectrum above 100 GeV yields a 95% C.L. limit of 0.59 <  $\kappa_{\gamma}$  < 1.46. Supersedes AAD 12BX.
- <sup>9</sup> CHATRCHYAN 13BF determine the  $W^+W^-$  production cross section using unlike sign di-lepton (e or  $\mu$ ) events with high  $p_T'$ . The leptons have  $p_T>20$  GeV/c and are isolated. 1134 candidate events are observed with an expected SM background of 247  $\pm$  34. The  $p_T$  distribution of the leading lepton is fitted to obtain 95% C.L. limits of 0.79  $\leq k_\gamma \leq 1.22$ .
- $^{10}$  ABAZOV 12AG combine new results with already published results on  $W\gamma,~WW$  and WZ production in order to determine the couplings with increased precision, superseding ABAZOV 08R, ABAZOV 11AC, ABAZOV 09AJ, ABAZOV 09AD. The 68% C.L. result for a formfactor cutoff of  $\Lambda=2$  TeV is  $\kappa_{\gamma}=1.048^{+}_{-0.105}$ .
- $^{11}$  ABAZOV 11AC study  $W\gamma$  production in  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at 1.96 TeV, with the W decay products containing an electron or a muon. They select 196 (363) events in the electron (muon) mode, with a SM expectation of 190 (372) events. A likelihood fit to the photon  $E_T$  spectrum above 15 GeV yields at 95% C.L. the result: 0.6  $<\kappa_{\gamma}<$  1.4 for a formfactor  $\Lambda=2$  TeV.
- $^{12}$  CHATRCHYAN 11M study  $W\,\gamma$  production in  $p\,p$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=7$  TeV using  $36~{\rm pb}^{-1}$   $p\,p$  data with the W decaying to electron and muon. The total cross section is measured for photon transverse energy  $E_T^{\gamma}>10$  GeV and spatial separation from charged leptons in the plane of pseudo rapidity and azimuthal angle  $\Delta R(\ell,\gamma)>0.7$ . The number of candidate (background) events is 452 (228  $\pm$  21) for the electron channel and 520 (277  $\pm$  25) for the muon channel. Setting other couplings to their standard model value, they derive a 95% CL limit of  $-0.11~<\kappa_{\gamma}<2.04$ .
- $^{13}$  AALTONEN 10K study  $p\overline{p}\to W^+W^-$  with  $W\to e/\mu\nu.$  The  $p_T$  of the leading (second) lepton is required to be > 20 (10) GeV. The final number of events selected is 654 of which 320  $\pm$  47 are estimated to be background. The 95% C.L. interval is 0.37  $<\kappa_{\gamma}<1.72$  for  $\Lambda=1.5$  TeV and 0.43  $<\kappa_{\gamma}<1.65$  for  $\Lambda=2$  TeV.
- $^{14}$  AARON 09B study single-W production in  $e\,p$  collisions at 0.3 TeV C.M. energy. They select 53  $W\to e/\mu$  events with a standard model expectation of 54.1  $\pm$  7.4 events. Fitting the transverse momentum spectrum of the hadronic recoil system they obtain a 95% C.L. limit of  $-3.7<\kappa_{\gamma}<-1.5$  or 0.3<  $\kappa_{\gamma}<1.5$ , where the ambiguity is due to the quadratic dependence of the cross section to the coupling parameter.

- $^{15}$  ABAZOV 09AD study the  $p\overline{p}\to\ell\nu$  2jet process arising in WW and WZ production. They select 12,473 (14,392) events in the electron (muon) channel with an expected di-boson signal of 436 (527) events. The results on the anomalous couplings are derived from an analysis of the  $p_T$  spectrum of the 2-jet system and quoted at 68% C.L. and for a form factor of 2 TeV. This measurement is not used for obtaining the mean as it is for a specific form factor. The 95% confidence interval is 0.56  $<\kappa_{\gamma}<1.55$ .
- $^{16}$  ABAZOV 09AJ study the  $p\overline{p}\to 2\ell 2\nu$  process arising in WW production. They select 100 events with an expected WW signal of 65 events. An analysis of the  $p_T$  spectrum of the two charged leptons leads to 95% C.L. limits of 0.46  $<\kappa_{\gamma}<1.83$ , for a form factor  $\Lambda=2$  TeV.
- 17 ABAZOV 08R use 0.7 fb $^{-1}$   $p\overline{p}$  data at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV to select 263  $W\gamma+X$  events, of which 187 constitute signal, with the W decaying into an electron or a muon, which is required to be well separated from a photon with  $E_T>9$  GeV. A likelihood fit to the photon  $E_T$  spectrum yields a 95% CL limit 0.49  $<\kappa_\gamma<1.51$  with other couplings fixed to their Standard Model values.
- ABDALLAH 08C determine this triple gauge coupling from the measurement of the spin density matrix elements in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow (q\,q)(\ell\nu)$ , where  $\ell=e$  or  $\mu$ . Values of all other couplings are fixed to their standard model values.
- $^{19}$  AALTONEN 07L set limits on anomalous TGCs using the  $p_T(W)$  distribution in WW and WZ production with the W decaying to an electron or muon and the Z to 2 jets. Setting other couplings to their standard model value, the 95% C.L. limits are 0.54  $<\kappa_{\gamma}<1.39$  for a form factor scale  $\Lambda=1.5$  TeV.
- $^{20}$  ABAZOV 06H study  $\overline{p}p \to WW$  production with a subsequent decay  $WW \to e^+\nu_e\,e^-\overline{\nu}_e,\,WW \to e^\pm\nu_e\,\mu^\mp\nu_\mu$  or  $WW \to \mu^+\nu_\mu\,\mu^-\overline{\nu}_\mu.$  The 95% C.L. limit for a form factor scale  $\Lambda=1$  TeV is  $-0.05<\kappa_\gamma<2.29$ , fixing  $\lambda_\gamma=0$ . With the assumption that the  $WW\gamma$  and WWZ couplings are equal the 95% C.L. one-dimensional limit ( $\Lambda=2$  TeV) is  $0.68<\kappa<1.45$ .
- $^{21}$  ABAZOV 05J perform a likelihood fit to the photon  $E_T$  spectrum of  $W\gamma+{\rm X}$  events, where the W decays to an electron or muon which is required to be well separated from the photon. For  $\Lambda=2.0$  TeV the 95% CL limits are 0.12 <  $\kappa_{\gamma}$  < 1.96. In the fit  $\lambda_{\gamma}$  is kept fixed to its Standard Model value.
- $^{22}$  ABREU 011 combine results from  $e^+\,e^-$  interactions at 189 GeV leading to  $W^+\,W^-$ ,  $W\,e\,\nu_e$ , and  $\nu\overline{\nu}\gamma$  final states with results from ABREU 99L at 183 GeV. The 95% confidence interval is 0.87  $<\kappa_\gamma<1.68$ .
- $^{23}$  BREITWEG 00 search for W production in events with large hadronic  $p_T$ . For  $p_T > 20$  GeV, the upper limit on the cross section gives the 95%CL limit  $-3.7 < \kappa_\gamma < 2.5$  (for  $\lambda_\gamma = 0$ ).
- <sup>24</sup> ABBOTT 99I perform a simultaneous fit to the  $W\gamma$ ,  $WW \rightarrow$  dilepton,  $WW/WZ \rightarrow e\nu jj$ ,  $WW/WZ \rightarrow \mu\nu jj$ , and  $WZ \rightarrow$  trilepton data samples. For  $\Lambda=2.0$  TeV, the 95%CL limits are  $0.75 < \kappa_{\gamma} < 1.39$ .

# $\lambda_{\gamma}$

OUR FIT below is taken from [SCHAEL 13A].

VALUE	<b>EVTS</b>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.022±0.019 OUR F	Т				
$0.002\!\pm\!0.035$	7872	$^{ m 1}$ ABDALLAH	10	DLPH	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee} = 189 – 209 \; {\rm GeV}$
$-0.012\!\pm\!0.027\!\pm\!0.011$	10689	<sup>2</sup> SCHAEL	05A	ALEP	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 183–209 GeV
$-0.060 ^{\displaystyle +0.034}_{\displaystyle -0.033}$	9800	<sup>3</sup> ABBIENDI	<b>04</b> D	OPAL	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 183–209 GeV
$-0.021^{+0.035}_{-0.034}{\pm}0.017$	10575	<sup>4</sup> ACHARD	<b>04</b> D	L3	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 161–209 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		<sup>5</sup> CHATRCHYAN	N 14AB CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 7 \; TeV$
		<sup>6</sup> AAD	13AN ATLS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 7 \; TeV$
		<sup>7</sup> ABAZOV	12AG D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}}=1.96\;TeV$
		<sup>8</sup> ABAZOV	11AC D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}}=1.96\;TeV$
		<sup>9</sup> CHATRCHYAN	N11M CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 7 \; TeV$
	53	<sup>10</sup> AARON	09в <b>Н</b> 1	$E_{cm}^{ep} = 0.3\;TeV$
$0.00\ \pm0.06$		<sup>11</sup> ABAZOV	09AD D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}}=1.96\;TeV$
		<sup>12</sup> ABAZOV	09AJ D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}}=1.96\;TeV$
		<sup>13</sup> ABAZOV	08R D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}}=1.96\;TeV$
$0.16 \begin{array}{l} +0.12 \\ -0.13 \end{array}$	1880	<sup>14</sup> ABDALLAH	08C DLPH	Superseded by ABDAL-
	1617	<sup>15</sup> AALTONEN	07L CDF	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}}=1.96\;GeV$
	17	<sup>16</sup> ABAZOV	06н D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}}=1.96\;TeV$
	141	<sup>17</sup> ABAZOV	05J D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}}=1.96\;TeV$
$0.05 \ \pm 0.09 \ \pm 0.01$	2298	<sup>18</sup> ABREU	01ı DLPH	$E_{\mathrm{cm}}^{\mathrm{ee}} = 183 + 189 \; \mathrm{GeV}$
		<sup>19</sup> BREITWEG	00 ZEUS	$e^{+} p \rightarrow e^{+} W^{\pm} X, \ \sqrt{s} \approx 300 \text{ GeV}$
$0.00 \begin{array}{l} +0.10 \\ -0.09 \end{array}$	331	<sup>20</sup> ABBOTT	99ı D0	$E_{cm}^{ar{p}} = 1.8 \; TeV$

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  ABDALLAH 10 use data on the final states  ${\rm e^+\,e^-}\to jj\ell\nu,\,jjjj,\,jjX,\,\ell X,$  at center-of-mass energies between 189–209 GeV at LEP2, where  $j={\rm jet},\,\ell={\rm lepton},$  and X represents missing momentum. The fit is carried out keeping all other parameters fixed at their SM values.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>SCHAEL 05A study single–photon, single–W, and WW–pair production from 183 to 209 GeV. Each parameter is determined from a single–parameter fit in which the other parameters assume their Standard Model values.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  ABBIENDI 04D combine results from  $W^+\,W^-$  in all decay channels. Only CP-conserving couplings are considered and each parameter is determined from a single-parameter fit in which the other parameters assume their Standard Model values. The 95% confidence interval is  $-0.13 < \lambda_\gamma < 0.01$ .

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  ACHARD 04D study WW-pair production, single–W production and single–photon production with missing energy from 189 to 209 GeV. The result quoted here is obtained including data from 161 to 183 GeV, ACCIARRI 99Q. Each parameter is determined from a single–parameter fit in which the other parameters assume their Standard Model values.

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  CHATRCHYAN 14AB measure  $W\gamma$  production cross section for  $p_T^{\gamma}>15$  GeV and R( $\ell\gamma)>0.7$ , which is the separation between the  $\gamma$  and the final state charged lepton (e or  $\mu$ ) in the azimuthal angle-pseudorapidity  $(\phi-\eta)$  plane. After background subtraction the number of  $e\nu\gamma$  and  $\mu\nu\gamma$  events is determined to be 3200  $\pm$  325 and 4970  $\pm$  543 respectively, compatible with expectations from the SM. This leads to a 95% CL limit of  $-0.050~<\lambda_{\gamma}<0.037$ , assuming all other parameters have SM values.

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  AAD 13AN study  $W\gamma$  production in pp collisions. In events with no additional jet, 4449 (6578) W decays to electron (muon) are selected, with an expected background of 1662  $\pm$  262 (2538  $\pm$  362) events. Analysing the photon  $p_T$  spectrum above 100 GeV yields a 95% C.L. limit of  $-0.065 < \lambda_{\gamma} < 0.061$ . Supersedes AAD 12BX.

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  ABAZOV 12AG combine new results with already published results on  $W\gamma,~WW$  and WZ production in order to determine the couplings with increased precision, superseding ABAZOV 08R, ABAZOV 11AC, ABAZOV 09AJ, ABAZOV 09AD. The 68% C.L. result for a formfactor cutoff of  $\Lambda=2$  TeV is  $\lambda_{\gamma}=0.007^{+}_{-}0.021$ .

- <sup>8</sup> ABAZOV 11AC study  $W\gamma$  production in  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at 1.96 TeV, with the W decay products containing an electron or a muon. They select 196 (363) events in the electron (muon) mode, with a SM expectation of 190 (372) events. A likelihood fit to the photon  $E_T$  spectrum above 15 GeV yields at 95% C.L. the result:  $-0.08 < \lambda_{\gamma} < 0.07$  for a formfactor  $\Lambda=2$  TeV.
- $^9$  CHATRCHYAN 11M study  $W\,\gamma$  production in  $p\,p$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=7$  TeV using  $36~{\rm pb}^{-1}\,p\,p$  data with the W decaying to electron and muon. The total cross section is measured for photon transverse energy  $E_T^\gamma>10$  GeV and spatial separation from charged leptons in the plane of pseudo rapidity and azimuthal angle  $\Delta R(\ell,\gamma)>0.7$ . The number of candidate (background) events is 452 (228  $\pm$  21) for the electron channel and 520 (277  $\pm$  25) for the muon channel. Setting other couplings to their standard model value, they derive a 95% CL limit of  $-0.18~<~\lambda_\gamma<0.17$ .
- $^{10}$  AARON 09B study single-W production in  $e\,p$  collisions at 0.3 TeV C.M. energy. They select 53  $W\to~e/\mu$  events with a standard model expectation of 54.1  $\pm$  7.4 events. Fitting the transverse momentum spectrum of the hadronic recoil system they obtain a 95% C.L. limit of  $-2.5<\lambda_{\gamma}<2.5.$
- $^{11}$  ABAZOV 09AD study the  $p\overline{p}\to\ell\nu$  2jet process arising in WW and WZ production. They select 12,473 (14,392) events in the electron (muon) channel with an expected di-boson signal of 436 (527) events. The results on the anomalous couplings are derived from an analysis of the  $p_T$  spectrum of the 2-jet system and quoted at 68% C.L. and for a form factor of 2 TeV. This measurement is not used for obtaining the mean as it is for a specific form factor. The 95% confidence interval is  $-0.10<\lambda_{\gamma}<0.11$ .
- $^{12}$  ABAZOV 09AJ study the  $p\overline{p}\to 2\ell 2\nu$  process arising in WW production. They select 100 events with an expected WW signal of 65 events. An analysis of the  $p_T$  spectrum of the two charged leptons leads to 95% C.L. limits of  $-0.14<\lambda_\gamma<0.18$ , for a form factor  $\Lambda=2$  TeV.
- $^{13}$  ABAZOV 08R use 0.7 fb $^{-1}$   $p\overline{p}$  data at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV to select 263  $W\gamma+X$  events, of which 187 constitute signal, with the W decaying into an electron or a muon, which is required to be well separated from a photon with  $E_T>9$  GeV. A likelihood fit to the photon  $E_T$  spectrum yields a 95% CL limit  $-0.12<\lambda_\gamma<0.13$  with other couplings fixed to their Standard Model values.
- <sup>14</sup> ABDALLAH 08C determine this triple gauge coupling from the measurement of the spin density matrix elements in  $e^+e^- \to W^+W^- \to (q\,q)(\ell\nu)$ , where  $\ell=e$  or  $\mu$ . Values of all other couplings are fixed to their standard model values.
- $^{15}$  AALTONEN 07L set limits on anomalous TGCs using the  $p_T(W)$  distribution in WW and WZ production with the W decaying to an electron or muon and the Z to 2 jets. Setting other couplings to their standard model value, the 95% C.L. limits are  $-0.18 < \lambda_{\gamma} < 0.17$  for a form factor scale  $\Lambda = 1.5$  TeV.
- $^{16}$  ABAZOV 06H study  $\overline{p}p \to WW$  production with a subsequent decay  $WW \to e^+\nu_e\,e^-\overline{\nu}_e,\,WW \to e^\pm\nu_e\,\mu^\mp\nu_\mu$  or  $WW \to \mu^+\nu_\mu\,\mu^-\overline{\nu}_\mu.$  The 95% C.L. limit for a form factor scale  $\Lambda=1$  TeV is  $-0.97<\lambda_\gamma<1.04$ , fixing  $\kappa_\gamma=1.$  With the assumption that the  $WW\gamma$  and WWZ couplings are equal the 95% C.L. one-dimensional limit ( $\Lambda=2$  TeV) is  $-0.29<\lambda<0.30.$
- $^{17}$  ABAZOV 05J perform a likelihood fit to the photon  $E_T$  spectrum of  $W\gamma+{\rm X}$  events, where the W decays to an electron or muon which is required to be well separated from the photon. For  $\Lambda=2.0$  TeV the 95% CL limits are  $-0.20<\lambda_{\gamma}<0.20.$  In the fit  $\kappa_{\gamma}$  is kept fixed to its Standard Model value.
- $^{18}$  ABREU 011 combine results from  $e^+\,e^-$  interactions at 189 GeV leading to  $W^+\,W^-$ ,  $W\,e\,\nu_e$ , and  $\nu\overline{\nu}\gamma$  final states with results from ABREU 99L at 183 GeV. The 95% confidence interval is  $-0.11<\lambda_\gamma<0.23$ .
- $^{19}$  BREITWEG 00 search for W production in events with large hadronic  $p_T$ . For  $p_T>\!\!20$  GeV, the upper limit on the cross section gives the 95%CL limit  $-3.2<\lambda_\gamma<3.2$  for  $\kappa_\gamma$  fixed to its Standard Model value.

 $^{20}$  ABBOTT 991 perform a simultaneous fit to the  $W\gamma,~WW\to~$  dilepton,  $WW/WZ\to e\nu jj,~WW/WZ\to~\mu\nu jj,$  and  $WZ\to~$  trilepton data samples. For  $\Lambda=2.0$  TeV, the 95%CL limits are  $-0.18<\lambda_{\gamma}<0.19.$ 

This coupling is *CP*-conserving (*C*- and *P*- separately conserving).

VALUE	<b>EVTS</b>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.924^{+0.059}_{-0.056}\pm0.024$	7171	<sup>1</sup> ACHARD	<b>04</b> D	L3	$E_{\sf cm}^{\it ee} = 189 – 209 \; {\sf GeV}$
• • • We do not use	the follow	ing data for averag	es, fit	s, limits,	etc. • • •
		<sup>2</sup> SIRUNYAN	<b>20</b> BA	CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 13 \; TeV$
		<sup>3</sup> SIRUNYAN	<b>19</b> CL	CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 13 \; TeV$
		<sup>4</sup> AABOUD	<b>17</b> S	ATLS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 7 + 8 \; TeV$
		<sup>5</sup> KHACHATRY.	170	CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \; TeV$
		<sup>6</sup> AAD	<b>16</b> AR	ATLS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \; TeV$
		<sup>7</sup> AAD	<b>16</b> P	ATLS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \; TeV$
		<sup>8</sup> AAD	13AL	ATLS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 7 \; TeV$
		<sup>9</sup> AAD			$E_{\mathrm{cm}}^{pp}=7~\mathrm{TeV}$
		<sup>10</sup> AALTONEN			$E_{ m cm}^{p\overline{p}}=1.96~{ m TeV}$
	34	<sup>11</sup> ABAZOV	11	D0	$E_{ m cm}^{p\overline{p}}=1.96~{ m TeV}$
	17	<sup>12</sup> ABAZOV	06н	D0	$E_{ m cm}^{p\overline{p}}=1.96~{ m TeV}$
	2.3	<sup>13</sup> ABAZOV	<b>05</b> S	D0	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}}=1.96\;TeV$

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  ACHARD 04D study  $WW-{\rm pair}$  production, single–W production and single–photon production with missing energy from 189 to 209 GeV. The result quoted here is obtained using the  $WW-{\rm pair}$  production sample. Each parameter is determined from a single–parameter fit in which the other parameters assume their Standard Model values.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  SIRUNYAN 20BA study electroweak production of a W boson in association with two jets, using W decays in the electron or muon channel. The isolated muons (electrons) are required to have a transverse momentum larger than 25 (30) GeV, while the transverse momentum of the two jets has to be larger than 50 and 30 GeV. A total of 2.382 (1.051) million events are selected in the muon (electron) channel, with a Standard Model expectation of  $2.39\pm0.17$  (1.054 $\pm0.058$ ) million events. Analysing the transverse momentum distribution of the charged leptons from W decay, the following 95% C.L. limit is obtained:  $0.956 < \kappa_Z < 1.044$ . Combining this result with that from the closely-related electroweak Z-jet-jet production SIRUNYAN 18BZ, the limit becomes:  $0.957 < \kappa_Z < 1.042$ .

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  SIRUNYAN 19CL study W W and W Z production in lepton + jet events, with one W boson decaying leptonically (electron or muon), and another W or Z boson decaying hadronically, reconstructed as a single massive large-radius jet. In the electron channel 2,456 (2,235) events are selected in the W W W W category, while in the muon channel 3,996 (3572) events are selected in the W W W W category. Analysing the di-boson invariant mass distribution, the following 95% C.L. limit is obtained: 0.9921 <  $\kappa_Z$  < 1.0082.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>AABOUD 17s analyze electroweak production of a W boson in association with two jets at high dijet invariant mass, with the W boson decaying to electron or muon plus neutrino. In the signal region of dijet mass larger than 1 TeV and leading-jet transverse momentum larger than 600 GeV, 30 events are observed in the data with 39  $\pm$  4 events expected in the Standard Model, yielding the following limit at 95% CL for the form factor cut-off scale  $\Lambda_{FF} \to \infty$ : 0.85  $< \kappa_{Z} < 1.16$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>KHACHATRYAN 170 analyse W Z production where each boson decays into electrons or muons. Events are required to have a tri-lepton invariant mass larger than 100 GeV,

- with one of the lepton pairs having an invariant mass within 20 GeV of the Z boson mass. The Z transverse momentum spectrum is analyzed to set a 95% C.L. limit of: 0.79  $<\kappa_{Z}<1.25$ .
- $^6$  AAD 16AR study WW production in pp collisions and select 6636 WW candidates in decay modes with electrons or muons with an expected background of 1546  $\pm$  157 events. Assuming the LEP formulation and setting the form-factor  $\Lambda$  to infinity, a fit to the transverse momentum distribution of the leading charged lepton, leads to a 95% C.L. range of 0.975  $<\kappa_{7}<1.020.$
- <sup>7</sup>AAD 16P study WZ production in pp collisions and select 2091 WZ candidates in 4 decay modes with electrons and muons, with an expected background of  $1825\pm7$  events. Analyzing the WZ transverse momentum distribution, the resulting 95% C.L. limit is:  $0.81 < \kappa_Z < 1.30$ .
- $^8$  AAD 13AL study WW production in pp collisions and select 1325 WW candidates in decay modes with electrons or muons with an expected background of 369  $\pm$  61 events. Assuming the LEP formulation and setting the form-factor  $\Lambda=$  infinity, a fit to the transverse momentum distribution of the leading charged lepton, leads to a 95% C.L. range of 0.957 <  $\kappa_Z$  < 1.043. Supersedes AAD 12AC.
- $^9$  AAD 12CD study WZ production in pp collisions and select 317 WZ candidates in three  $\ell\nu$  decay modes with an expected background of 68.0  $\pm$  10.0 events. The resulting 95% C.L. range is: 0.63 <  $\kappa_{7}$  < 1.57. Supersedes AAD 12V.
- $^{10}$  AALTONEN 12AC study WZ production in  $p\overline{p}$  collisions and select 63 WZ candidates in three  $\ell\nu$  decay modes with an expected background of 7.9  $\pm$  1.0 events. Based on the cross section and shape of the Z transverse momentum spectrum, the following 95% C.L. range is reported:  $0.61 < \kappa_Z < 1.90$  for a form factor of  $\Lambda = 2$  TeV.
- $^{11}$  ABAZOV 11 study the  $p\overline{p}\to 3\ell\nu$  process arising in WZ production. They observe 34 WZ candidates with an estimated background of 6 events. An analysis of the  $p_T$  spectrum of the Z boson leads to a 95% C.L. limit of 0.600  $<\kappa_Z<$  1.675, for a form factor  $\Lambda=2$  TeV.
- 12 ABAZOV 06H study  $\overline{p}p \to WW$  production with a subsequent decay  $WW \to e^+\nu_e\,e^-\overline{\nu}_e$ ,  $WW \to e^\pm\nu_e\,\mu^\mp\nu_\mu$  or  $WW \to \mu^+\nu_\mu\,\mu^-\overline{\nu}_\mu$ . The 95% C.L. limit for a form factor scale  $\Lambda=2$  TeV is 0.55  $<\kappa_Z<$  1.55, fixing  $\lambda_Z=$ 0. With the assumption that the  $WW\gamma$  and WWZ couplings are equal the 95% C.L. one-dimensional limit ( $\Lambda=2$  TeV) is 0.68  $<\kappa<1.45$ .
- 13 ABAZOV 05S study  $\overline{p}\,p \to WZ$  production with a subsequent trilepton decay to  $\ell\nu\ell'\overline{\ell}'$  ( $\ell$  and  $\ell'=e$  or  $\mu$ ). Three events (estimated background 0.71  $\pm$  0.08 events) with WZ decay characteristics are observed from which they derive limits on the anomalous WWZ couplings. The 95% CL limit for a form factor scale  $\Lambda=1$  TeV is  $-1.0<\kappa_Z<3.4$ , fixing  $\lambda_Z$  and  $g_1^Z$  to their Standard Model values.

# $\lambda_{Z}$

This coupling is *CP*-conserving (*C*- and *P*- separately conserving).

VALUE	<b>EVTS</b>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$-0.088^{\displaystyle +0.060}_{\displaystyle -0.057}\!\pm\!0.023$	7171	<sup>1</sup> ACHARD	<b>04</b> D	L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 189209 \; GeV$

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Page 25

	9	AAD	<b>16</b> AR	ATLS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \; TeV$
	10	AAD	<b>16</b> P	ATLS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \; TeV$
	11	AAD	14Y	ATLS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \; TeV$
	12	AAD	13AL	ATLS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 7 \; TeV$
	13	CHATRCHYAN	13BF	CMS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 7 \; TeV$
	14	AAD	<b>12</b> CD	ATLS	$E_{cm}^{pp} = 7 \; TeV$
	15	AALTONEN	<b>12</b> AC	CDF	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
34	16	ABAZOV	11	D0	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
334	17	AALTONEN	10K	CDF	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
13	18	ABAZOV	07Z	D0	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
17	19	ABAZOV	06н	D0	$E_{cm}^{p\overline{p}} = 1.96 \; TeV$
2.3	20	ABAZOV	<b>05</b> S	D0	$E_{\rm cm}^{p\overline{p}}=1.96~{\rm TeV}$

- $^1$  ACHARD 04D study WW-pair production, single–W production and single–photon production with missing energy from 189 to 209 GeV. The result quoted here is obtained using the WW-pair production sample. Each parameter is determined from a single–parameter fit in which the other parameters assume their Standard Model values.
- $^2$  SIRUNYAN 20BA study electroweak production of a W boson in association with two jets, using W decays in the electron or muon channel. The isolated muons (electrons) are required to have a transverse momentum larger than 25 (30) GeV, while the transverse momentum of the two jets has to be larger than 50 and 30 GeV. A total of 2.382 (1.051) million events are selected in the muon (electron) channel, with a Standard Model expectation of  $2.39\pm0.17$  (1.054  $\pm0.058$ ) million events. Analysing the transverse momentum distribution of the charged leptons from W decay, the following 95% C.L. limit is obtained:  $-0.0088 < \lambda_Z < 0.0095$ . Combining this result with that from the closely-related electroweak Z-jet-jet production SIRUNYAN 18BZ, the limit becomes:  $-0.0071 < \lambda_Z < 0.0076$ .
- $^3$  SIRUNYAN  $^19$ CL study W W and W Z production in lepton + jet events, with one W boson decaying leptonically (electron or muon), and another W or Z boson decaying hadronically, reconstructed as a single massive large-radius jet. In the electron channel 2,456 (2,235) events are selected in the W W W Z category, while in the muon channel 3,996 (3572) events are selected in the W W W Z category. Analysing the di-boson invariant mass distribution, the following 95% C.L. limit is obtained:  $-0.0065 < \lambda_Z < 0.0066$ .
- <sup>4</sup> SIRUNYAN 18BZ study  $pp \to Z$  jet jet events at 13 TeV where  $Z \to e^+e^-/\mu^+\mu^-$ . Isolated electrons and muons are selected with  $p_T$  of the leading/sub-leading lepton > 30/20 GeV and  $|\eta| <$  2.4, with the di-lepton invariant mass within 15 GeV of the Z mass. The two highest  $p_T$  jets are selected with  $p_T$  of the leading/sub-leading jet > 50/30 GeV respectively and dijet invariant mass > 200 GeV. Templates in the transverse momentum of the Z are utilized to set limits on the triple gauge couplings in the EFT and the LEP parametrizations. The following 95% C.L. limit is obtained  $-0.010 < \lambda_Z < 0.010$ .
- $^5$  AABOUD 17s analyze electroweak production of a W boson in association with two jets at high dijet invariant mass, with the W boson decaying to electron or muon plus neutrino. In the signal region of dijet mass larger than 1 TeV and leading-jet transverse momentum larger than 600 GeV, 30 events are observed in the data with 39  $\pm$  4 events expected in the Standard Model, yielding the following limit at 95% CL for the form factor cut-off scale  $\Lambda_{FF} \rightarrow \infty \colon -0.053 < \lambda_Z < 0.042.$
- <sup>6</sup>AABOUD 17U analyze production of WW or WZ boson pairs with one W boson decaying to electron or muon plus neutrino, and the other W or Z boson decaying hadronically. The hadronic decay system is reconstructed as either a resolved two-jet system or as a single large jet. Analysing the transverse momentum distribution of the hadronic system above 100 GeV yields the following limit at 95% CL for the form factor cut-off scale  $\Lambda_{FF} \to \infty$ :  $-0.013 < \lambda_Z < 0.013$ .

- $^7$  KHACHATRYAN 170 analyse W Z production where each boson decays into electrons or muons. Events are required to have a tri-lepton invariant mass larger than 100 GeV, with one of the lepton pairs having an invariant mass within 20 GeV of the Z boson mass. The Z transverse momentum spectrum is analyzed to set a 95% C.L. limit of:  $-0.018 < \lambda_{\it T} < 0.016$ .
- <sup>8</sup> SIRUNYAN 17x study  $pp \to WW/WZ \to \ell \nu q \overline{q}$  production at 8 TeV where  $\ell$  is an electron or muon with  $p_T > 30$  or 25 GeV respectively. Suitable cuts are put on the  $p_T$  of the dijet system and the missing  $E_T$  of the event yielding a total of 285 and 204 WV events observed in the electron and muon channels. The following 95% C.L. limit is obtained:  $-0.011 < \lambda_7 < 0.011$ .
- $^9$  AAD 16AR study WW production in pp collisions and select 6636 WW candidates in decay modes with electrons or muons with an expected background of 1546  $\pm$  157 events. Assuming the LEP formulation and setting the form-factor  $\Lambda$  to infinity, a fit to the transverse momentum distribution of the leading charged lepton, leads to a 95% C.L. range of  $-0.019 < \lambda_Z < 0.019$ .
- $^{10}$  AAD 16P study WZ production in pp collisions and select 2091 WZ candidates in 4 decay modes with electrons and muons, with an expected background of 1825  $\pm$  7 events. Analyzing the WZ transverse momentum distribution, the resulting 95% C.L. limit is:  $-0.016 < \lambda_Z < 0.016$ .
- <sup>11</sup> AAD 14Y determine the electroweak Z-dijet cross section in 8 TeV pp collisions.  $Z \rightarrow ee$  and  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  decays are selected with the di-lepton  $p_T > 20$  GeV and mass in the 81–101 GeV range. Minimum two jets are required with  $p_T > 55$  and 45 GeV and no additional jets with  $p_T > 25$  GeV in the rapidity interval between them. The normalized  $p_T$  balance between the Z and the two jets is required to be < 0.15. This leads to a selection of 900 events with dijet mass > 1 TeV. The number of signal and background events expected is 261 and 592 respectively. A Poisson likelihood method is used on an event by event basis to obtain the 95% CL limit  $-0.15 < \lambda_Z < 0.13$  for a form factor value  $\Lambda = \infty$ .
- $^{12}$  AAD 13AL study WW production in pp collisions and select 1325 WW candidates in decay modes with electrons or muons with an expected background of 369  $\pm$  61 events. Assuming the LEP formulation and setting the form-factor  $\Lambda=$  infinity, a fit to the transverse momentum distribution of the leading charged lepton, leads to a 95% C.L. range of  $-0.062 < ~\lambda_Z ~< 0.059.$  Supersedes AAD 12AC.
- <sup>13</sup> CHATRCHYAN 13BF determine the  $W^+W^-$  production cross section using unlike sign di-lepton (e or  $\mu$ ) events with high  $p_T$ . The leptons have  $p_T>20$  GeV/c and are isolated. 1134 candidate events are observed with an expected SM background of 247  $\pm$  34. The  $p_T$  distribution of the leading lepton is fitted to obtain 95% C.L. limits of  $-0.048 \leq \lambda_Z \leq 0.048$ .
- $^{14}$  AAD 12CD study WZ production in pp collisions and select 317 WZ candidates in three  $\ell\nu$  decay modes with an expected background of 68.0  $\pm$  10.0 events. The resulting 95% C.L. range is:  $-0.046~<~\lambda_{\it T}<0.047$ . Supersedes AAD 12V.
- $^{15}$  AALTONEN 12AC study WZ production in  $p\overline{p}$  collisions and select 63 WZ candidates in three  $\ell\nu$  decay modes with an expected background of 7.9  $\pm$  1.0 events. Based on the cross section and shape of the Z transverse momentum spectrum, the following 95% C.L. range is reported:  $-0.08 < \lambda_Z < 0.10$  for a form factor of  $\Lambda = 2$  TeV.
- $^{16}$  ABAZOV 11 study the  $p\overline{p}\to 3\ell\nu$  process arising in WZ production. They observe 34 WZ candidates with an estimated background of 6 events. An analysis of the  $p_T$  spectrum of the Z boson leads to a 95% C.L. limit of  $-0.077<\lambda_Z<0.093$ , for a form factor  $\Lambda=2$  TeV.
- $^{17}$  AALTONEN 10K study  $p\overline{p}\to W^+W^-$  with  $W\to e/\mu\nu.$  The  $p_T$  of the leading (second) lepton is required to be > 20 (10) GeV. The final number of events selected is 654 of which 320  $\pm$  47 are estimated to be background. The 95% C.L. interval is  $-0.16 < \lambda_Z < 0.16$  for  $\Lambda = 1.5$  TeV and  $-0.14 < \lambda_Z < 0.15$  for  $\Lambda = 2$  TeV.
- $^{18}$  ABAZOV 07Z set limits on anomalous TGCs using the measured cross section and  $p_{T}(Z)$  distribution in WZ production with both the W and the Z decaying leptonically into electrons and muons. Setting the other couplings to their standard model values, the 95% C.L. limit for a form factor scale  $\Lambda=2\,\text{TeV}$  is  $-0.17~<\lambda_{Z}<0.21.$

- $^{19}$  ABAZOV 06H study  $\overline{\rho}p \to WW$  production with a subsequent decay  $WW \to e^+\nu_e\,e^-\overline{\nu}_e,\,WW \to e^\pm\nu_e\,\mu^\mp\nu_\mu$  or  $WW \to \mu^+\nu_\mu\,\mu^-\overline{\nu}_\mu.$  The 95% C.L. limit for a form factor scale  $\Lambda=2$  TeV is  $-0.39 < \lambda_Z < 0.39$ , fixing  $\kappa_Z=1$ . With the assumption that the  $WW\gamma$  and WWZ couplings are equal the 95% C.L. one-dimensional limit ( $\Lambda=2$  TeV) is  $-0.29 < \lambda < 0.30$ .
- ABAZOV 05S study  $\overline{p}\,p \to WZ$  production with a subsequent trilepton decay to  $\ell\nu\ell'\overline{\ell}'$  ( $\ell$  and  $\ell'=e$  or  $\mu$ ). Three events (estimated background  $0.71\pm0.08$  events) with WZ decay characteristics are observed from which they derive limits on the anomalous WWZ couplings. The 95% CL limit for a form factor scale  $\Lambda=1.5$  TeV is  $-0.48<\lambda_Z<0.48$ , fixing  $g_1^Z$  and  $\kappa_Z$  to their Standard Model values.



This coupling is CP-conserving but C- and P-violating.

VALUE	<b>EVTS</b>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$-0.07\pm0.09$ OUR A	WERAGE	Error includes so	ale fa	ctor of 1	1.
$-0.04^{+0.13}_{-0.12}$	9800	<sup>1</sup> ABBIENDI	<b>04</b> D	OPAL	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 183–209 GeV
$0.00\!\pm\!0.13\!\pm\!0.05$	7171	<sup>2</sup> ACHARD	<b>04</b> D	L3	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee} = 189 – 209 \; {\rm GeV}$
$-0.44^{\color{red}+0.23}_{\color{red}-0.22}\!\pm\!0.12$	1154	<sup>3</sup> ACCIARRI	99Q	L3	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 161+172+ 183 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $-0.31\pm0.23$  4 EBOLI 00 THEO LEP1, SLC+ Tevatron

- $^1$  ABBIENDI 04D combine results from  $W^+\,W^-$  in all decay channels. Only  $\it CP$ -conserving couplings are considered and each parameter is determined from a single-parameter fit in which the other parameters assume their Standard Model values. The 95% confidence interval is  $-0.28\,<\,g_5^Z\,<+0.21.$
- $^2$  ACHARD 04D study WW—pair production, single—W production and single—photon production with missing energy from 189 to 209 GeV. The result quoted here is obtained using the WW—pair production sample. Each parameter is determined from a single—parameter fit in which the other parameters assume their Standard Model values.
- $^3$  ACCIARRI 99Q study W-pair, single-W, and single photon events.
- <sup>4</sup> EBOLI 00 extract this indirect value of the coupling studying the non-universal one-loop contributions to the experimental value of the  $Z \rightarrow b \bar{b}$  width ( $\Lambda$ =1 TeV is assumed).



This coupling is CP-violating (C-violating and P-conserving).

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.30±0.17 OUR AVE	RAGE				
$-0.39^{+0.19}_{-0.20}$	1880	<sup>1</sup> ABDALLAH	080	DLPH	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 189–209 GeV
$-0.02^{igoplus 0.32}_{igoplus 0.33}$	1065	<sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI	01н	OPAL	<i>E</i> <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 189 GeV

- <sup>1</sup> ABDALLAH 08C determine this triple gauge coupling from the measurement of the spin density matrix elements in  $e^+e^- \to W^+W^- \to (q\,q)(\ell\nu)$ , where  $\ell=e$  or  $\mu$ . Values of all other couplings are fixed to their standard model values.
- <sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI 01H study W-pair events, with one leptonically and one hadronically decaying W. The coupling is extracted using information from the W production angle together with decay angles from the leptonically decaying W.

## $\widetilde{\kappa}_{Z}$

This coupling is *CP*-violating (*C*-conserving and *P*-violating).

VALUE	<u>EVTS</u>	DOCUMENT ID		<u>TECN</u>	COMMENT
$-0.12^{f +0.06}_{f -0.04}$ our ave	RAGE				
$-0.09^{+0.08}_{-0.05}$	1880	<sup>1</sup> ABDALLAH	080	DLPH	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 189–209 GeV
$-0.20^{+0.10}_{-0.07}$	1065	<sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI	01н	OPAL	<i>E</i> <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 189 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$$^3$$
 AABOUD 17S ATLS  $E_{
m cm}^{pp}=$  7+8 TeV  $^4$  BLINOV 11 LEP  $E_{
m cm}^{ee}=$  183–207 GeV

# $\widetilde{\lambda}_{Z}$

This coupling is *CP*-violating (*C*-conserving and *P*-violating).

			0 (	0		Ο,	
VALUE		<b>EVTS</b>	<u>DOCUI</u>	MENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$-0.09\pm0.07$	<b>OUR AVE</b>	RAGE					
$-0.08 \pm 0.07$		1880	<sup>1</sup> ABDA	ALLAH	<b>08</b> C	DLPH	$E_{ m cm}^{\it ee} = 189 – 209 \; { m GeV}$
$-0.18^{+0.24}_{-0.16}$		1065	<sup>2</sup> ABBII	ENDI	01н	OPAL	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 189 GeV

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

$$^3$$
 AABOUD 17S ATLS  $E_{\mathrm{cm}}^{pp}=7+8~\mathrm{TeV}$   $^4$  BLINOV 11 LEP  $E_{\mathrm{cm}}^{ee}=183-207~\mathrm{GeV}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ABDALLAH 08C determine this triple gauge coupling from the measurement of the spin density matrix elements in  $e^+e^- \to W^+W^- \to (q\,q)(\ell\nu)$ , where  $\ell=e$  or  $\mu$ . Values of all other couplings are fixed to their standard model values.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI 01H study W-pair events, with one leptonically and one hadronically decaying W. The coupling is extracted using information from the W production angle together with decay angles from the leptonically decaying W.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  AABOUD 17s analyze electroweak production of a W boson in association with two jets at high dijet invariant mass, with the W boson decaying to electron or muon plus neutrino. In the signal region of dijet mass larger than 1 TeV and leading-jet transverse momentum larger than 600 GeV, 30 events are observed in the data with 39  $\pm$  4 events expected in the Standard Model, yielding the following limit at 95% CL for the form factor cut-off scale  $\Lambda_{FF} \rightarrow \infty \colon -0.56 < \widetilde{\kappa}_Z < 0.56.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> BLINOV 11 use the LEP-average  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$  cross section data for  $\sqrt{s}=183$ –207 GeV to determine an upper limit on the TGC  $\widetilde{\kappa}_Z$ . The average values of the cross sections as well as their correlation matrix, and standard model expectations of the cross sections are taken from the LEPEWWG note hep-ex/0612034. At 95% confidence level  $|\widetilde{\kappa}_Z|<0.13$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ABDALLAH 08C determine this triple gauge coupling from the measurement of the spin density matrix elements in  $e^+e^- \to W^+W^- \to (q\,q)(\ell\nu)$ , where  $\ell=e$  or  $\mu$ . Values of all other couplings are fixed to their standard model values.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>ABBIENDI 01H study W-pair events, with one leptonically and one hadronically decaying W. The coupling is extracted using information from the W production angle together with decay angles from the leptonically decaying W.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> AABOUD 17S analyze electroweak production of a W boson in association with two jets at high dijet invariant mass, with the W boson decaying to electron or muon plus neutrino. In the signal region of dijet mass larger than 1 TeV and leading-jet transverse momentum larger than 600 GeV, 30 events are observed in the data with 39  $\pm$  4 events expected in the Standard Model, yielding the following limit at 95% CL for the form factor cut-off scale  $\Lambda_{FF} \to \infty$ :  $-0.047 < \widetilde{\lambda}_{Z} < 0.046$ .

<sup>4</sup> BLINOV 11 use the LEP-average  $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-$  cross section data for  $\sqrt{s}=183$ –207 GeV to determine an upper limit on the TGC  $\widetilde{\lambda}_Z$ . The average values of the cross sections as well as their correlation matrix, and standard model expectations of the cross sections are taken from the LEPEWWG note hep-ex/0612034. At 95% confidence level  $|\widetilde{\lambda}_Z|<0.31$ .

#### W ANOMALOUS MAGNETIC MOMENT

The full magnetic moment is given by  $\mu_W=e(1+\kappa+\lambda)/2m_W$ . In the Standard Model, at tree level,  $\kappa=1$  and  $\lambda=0$ . Some papers have defined  $\Delta\kappa=1-\kappa$  and assume that  $\lambda=0$ . Note that the electric quadrupole moment is given by  $-e(\kappa-\lambda)/m_W^2$ . A description of the parameterization of these moments and additional references can be found in HAGIWARA 87 and BAUR 88. The parameter  $\Lambda$  appearing in the theoretical limits below is a regularization cutoff which roughly corresponds to the energy scale where the structure of the W boson becomes manifest.

$VALUE(e/2m_W)$	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.22^{f +0.20}_{f -0.19}$	2298	<sup>1</sup> ABREU	011	DLPH	E <sup>ee</sup> <sub>cm</sub> = 183+189 GeV

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.

<sup>2</sup> ABE	<b>95</b> G	CDF
<sup>3</sup> ALITTI	92C	UA2
<sup>4</sup> SAMUEL	92	THEO
<sup>5</sup> SAMUEL	91	THEO
<sup>6</sup> GRIFOLS	88	THEO
<sup>7</sup> GROTCH	87	THEO
<sup>8</sup> VANDERBIJ	87	THEO
<sup>9</sup> GRAU	85	THEO
<sup>10</sup> SUZUKI	85	THEO
<sup>11</sup> HERZOG	84	THEO

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  ABREU 011 combine results from  $e^+\,e^-$  interactions at 189 GeV leading to  $W^+\,W^-$ ,  $W\,e\,\nu_e$ , and  $\nu\overline{\nu}\gamma$  final states with results from ABREU 99L at 183 GeV to determine  $\Delta g_1^Z$ ,  $\Delta\kappa_\gamma$ , and  $\lambda_\gamma$ .  $\Delta\kappa_\gamma$  and  $\lambda_\gamma$  are simultaneously floated in the fit to determine  $\mu_W$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ABE 95G report  $-1.3 < \kappa < 3.2$  for  $\lambda = 0$  and  $-0.7 < \lambda < 0.7$  for  $\kappa = 1$  in  $p\overline{p} \to e\nu_e\gamma X$  and  $\mu\nu_\mu\gamma X$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$  TeV.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  ALITTI 92C measure  $\kappa=1^{+2.6}_{-2.2}$  and  $\lambda=0^{+1.7}_{-1.8}$  in  $p\overline{p}\to \ e\nu\gamma+$  X at  $\sqrt{s}=630$  GeV. At 95%CL they report  $-3.5<\kappa<5.9$  and  $-3.6<\lambda<3.5.$ 

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  SAMUEL 92 use preliminary CDF and UA2 data and find  $-2.4 < \kappa < 3.7$  at 96%CL and  $-3.1 < \kappa < 4.2$  at 95%CL respectively. They use data for  $W\,\gamma$  production and radiative W decay.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> SAMUEL 91 use preliminary CDF data for  $p\overline{p} \to W\gamma X$  to obtain  $-11.3 \le \Delta \kappa \le 10.9$ . Note that their  $\kappa = 1 - \Delta \kappa$ .

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  GRIFOLS 88 uses deviation from ho parameter to set limit  $\Delta\kappa \lesssim$  65  $(M_W^2/\Lambda^2)$ .

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  GROTCH 87 finds the limit  $-37 < \Delta \kappa < 73.5$  (90% CL) from the experimental limits on  $e^+\,e^- \to \, \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$  assuming three neutrino generations and  $-19.5 < \Delta \kappa < 56$  for four generations. Note their  $\Delta \kappa$  has the opposite sign as our definition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> VANDERBIJ 87 uses existing limits to the photon structure to obtain  $|\Delta\kappa| < 33$   $(m_W/\Lambda)$ . In addition VANDERBIJ 87 discusses problems with using the  $\rho$  parameter of the Standard Model to determine  $\Delta\kappa$ .

- <sup>9</sup> GRAU 85 uses the muon anomaly to derive a coupled limit on the anomalous magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole ( $\lambda$ ) moments  $1.05 > \Delta \kappa \ln(\Lambda/m_W) + \lambda/2 > -2.77$ . In the Standard Model  $\lambda = 0$ .
- 10 SUZUKI 85 uses partial-wave unitarity at high energies to obtain  $|\Delta\kappa|\lesssim 190$   $(m_W/\Lambda)^2$ . From the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, SUZUKI 85 obtains  $|\Delta\kappa|\lesssim 2.2/\ln(\Lambda/m_W)$ . Finally SUZUKI 85 uses deviations from the  $\rho$  parameter and obtains a very qualitative, order-of-magnitude limit  $|\Delta\kappa|\lesssim 150~(m_W/\Lambda)^4$  if  $|\Delta\kappa|\ll 1$
- <sup>11</sup> HERZOG 84 consider the contribution of W-boson to muon magnetic moment including anomalous coupling of  $WW\gamma$ . Obtain a limit  $-1 < \Delta\kappa < 3$  for  $\Lambda \gtrsim 1$  TeV.

# $c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2$ , $c_W/\Lambda^2$ , $c_B/\Lambda^2$

These couplings are used in EFT-based approaches to anomalous couplings. They are linearly related to the couplings discussed above.

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • <sup>1</sup> TUMASYAN 22AB CMS  $E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}$  $E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}$ <sup>2</sup> TUMASYAN 22E CMS 21AC ATLS  $E_{cm}^{pp} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ 3 AAD 21W ATLS  $E_{cm}^{pp} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ <sup>4</sup> AAD 21G CMS  $E_{\rm CM}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}$ <sup>5</sup> SIRUNYAN 20BA CMS  $E_{cm}^{pp} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ <sup>6</sup> SIRUNYAN  $E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}$ <sup>7</sup> SIRUNYAN 20BF CMS <sup>8</sup> AABOUD 19BA ATLS  $E_{CM}^{pp}=13 \text{ TeV}$ 19AD CMS  $E_{\text{cm}}^{pp} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ <sup>9</sup> SIRUNYAN 19CL CMS  $E_{
m cm}^{pp}=$  13 TeV <sup>10</sup> SIRUNYAN 18Q ATLS  $E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}$ <sup>11</sup> AABOUD  $E_{\mathsf{CM}}^{pp} = 13 \; \mathsf{TeV}$ <sup>12</sup> SIRUNYAN 18BZ CMS <sup>13</sup> AABOUD 17S ATLS  $E_{cm}^{pp} = 7+8 \text{ TeV}$ 170 ATLS  $E_{\mathsf{cm}}^{pp} = 8 \; \mathsf{TeV}$ <sup>14</sup> AABOUD  $^{15}$  KHACHATRY...170 CMS  $E_{
m cm}^{pp}=$  8 TeV 17X CMS  $E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ <sup>16</sup> SIRUNYAN 16AR ATLS  $E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \text{ TeV}$  $^{17}AAD$ 16P ATLS  $E_{\mathsf{cm}}^{pp} = 8 \; \mathsf{TeV}$ 18 AAD  $^{19}$  KHACHATRY...16BI CMS  $E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=$  8 TeV

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  TUMASYAN 22AB study WZ production, measuring cross sections and various distributions. Analysing the WZ invariant mass distribution, the following 95% C.L. limits are derived in units of TeV $^{-2}$ :  $-2.5 < c_W/\Lambda^2 < 0.3, -1.0 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 1.2, -43 < c_b/\Lambda^2 < 113, -0.62 < \tilde{c}_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 0.53, -32 < \tilde{c}_W/\Lambda^2 < 32.$ 

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  TUMASYAN 22E measure  $W\gamma$  production where the W boson decays to electrons or muons. Analysing the photon transverse momentum distribution in bins of lepton azimuth, the following 95% C.L. limit is derived in units of TeV $^{-2}$ :  $-0.062 < c_{3W}/\Lambda^2 < 0.052$ . This limit is derived including the non-SM, SM and their interference effects.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ AAD 21AC study the differential cross-section for the electroweak production of dijets in association with a Z boson, where the Z boson decays to electrons or muons. The

number of events selected in the data is 10,870 (12,125) in the electron (muon) channel. Analyzing the distribution of the azimuthal separation of the two jets, the following 95% C.L. limits are derived in units of TeV $^{-2}$ :  $-2.7 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 5.8, -1.6 < \tilde{c}_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 2.0, -0.19 < c_{W}/\Lambda^2 < 0.41, -0.11 < \tilde{c}_{W}/\Lambda^2 < 0.14, -6.31 < c_{HWB}/\Lambda^2 < 1.01, 0.23 < \tilde{c}_{HWB}/\Lambda^2 < 2.35.$ 

- <sup>4</sup> AAD 21W analyze  $W^+W^-$  production in association with at least one jet. Events with exactly one oppositely-charged electron-muon pair and at least one hadronic jet of transverse momentum larger than 30 GeV (120 GeV) are selected. In the data, 89,239 (5,825) events are found, with a total Standard-Model expectation of 91600  $\pm$  2500 (5980  $\pm$  150). Analyzing the electron-muon invariant mass distribution, the following limit at 95% C.L. is obtained:  $-0.33 < c_W/\Lambda^2 < 0.33 \ (-0.60 < c_W/\Lambda^2 < 0.58)$ , for a fixed choice of  $\Lambda=1$  TeV.
- for a fixed choice of  $\Lambda=1$  TeV.  $^{77}$  SIRUNYAN 21G measure  $W\gamma$  production where the W decays into electrons or muons. In the data, 385,224 (395,818) events are selected in the electron (muon) channel, with a total Standard-Model expectation of  $396913\pm54686$  ( $396257\pm22837$ ) events. Analysing the photon transverse momentum distribution, the following 95% C.L. limits are derived in units of TeV $^{-2}$ :  $-0.90 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 0.91, -40 < c_{B}/\Lambda^2 < 41, -0.45 < c_{\overline{W}WW}/\Lambda^2 < 0.45, -20 < c_{\overline{W}}/\Lambda^2 < 20.$
- $^6$  SIRUNYAN 20BA study electroweak production of a W boson in association with two jets, using W decays in the electron or muon channel. The isolated muons (electrons) are required to have a transverse momentum larger than 25 (30) GeV, while the transverse momentum of the two jets has to be larger than 50 and 30 GeV. A total of 2.382 (1.051) million events are selected in the muon (electron) channel, with a Standard Model expectation of  $2.39\pm0.17$  (1.054 $\pm0.058$ ) million events. Analysing the transverse momentum distribution of the charged leptons from W decay, the following 95% C.L. limits are obtained in units of TeV $^{-2}$ :  $-2.3 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 2.5, -8.8 < c_{W}/\Lambda^2 < 16, -45 < c_{B}/\Lambda^2 < 46$ . Combining these results with those from the closely-related electroweak Z-jet-jet production SIRUNYAN 18BZ, the limits become:  $-1.8 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 2.0, -5.8 < c_{W}/\Lambda^2 < 10, -43 < c_{B}/\Lambda^2 < 45$ .
- $^7$  SIRUNYAN 20BF study  $W^+\,W^-$  production with the W bosons decaying to electrons or muons. The leading (subleading) lepton is required to have a transverse momentum larger than 25 (20) GeV. Events with a same-flavor di-lepton invariant mass within 15 GeV of the Z mass are rejected, as are event with a third lepton of transverse momentum larger than 10 GeV. In the same- (different-) flavor category a total of 9,604 (20,270) events are selected while the number of expected events is 9640  $\pm$  490 (20280  $\pm$  430). Analyzing the different-flavor di-lepton invariant mass distribution, the following 95% C.L. limits are obtained in units of TeV $^{-2}$ : -1.8  $< c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 1.8, -3.6 < c_{W}/\Lambda^2 < 2.8, -9.4 < c_{B}/\Lambda^2 < 8.5$ .
- <sup>8</sup> AABOUD 19BA study WW production in decay modes with an electron and a muon. The charged leptons are each required to have a transverse momentum larger than 27 GeV and rapidity less than 2.5. The electron-muon system is required to have a mass larger than 55 GeV and a transverse momentum larger than 30 GeV. The missing transverse energy must be larger than 20 GeV. Events containing a jet with transverse momentum exceeding 35 GeV and rapidity smaller than 4.5 are rejected. A total of 12,659 events are selected in the data, with an expected background of 4240  $\pm$  477 events. Analysing the transverse momentum spectrum of the leading charged lepton, the following 95% C.L. limits are derived in units of TeV $^{-2}$ :  $-3.4 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 3.3, -7.4 < c_{W}/\Lambda^2 < 4.1, -21 < c_{B}/\Lambda^2 < 18, -1.6 < c_{\overline{W}WW}/\Lambda^2 < 1.6, -76 < c_{\overline{W}}/\Lambda^2 < 76.$
- <sup>9</sup> SIRUNYAN 19AD study inclusive WZ production, with W and Z decaying to electrons or muons. The leading (subleading) charged lepton candidate from the Z boson decay is required to have a transverse momentum larger than 25 GeV (10 GeV). The charged lepton candidate from the W boson decay is required to have a transverse momentum larger than 25 GeV. The invariant mass of the two leptons from Z decay is required to be within 15 GeV of the Z mass, while the invariant mass of the tri-lepton system

- is required to exceed 100 GeV. A total of 3,831 tri-lepton events are observed, with a fitted SM WZ signal of 3166  $\pm$  62 events and a fitted background of 666  $\pm$  45 events. The approximated WZ invariant mass distribution is analyzed to set 95% C.L. limits as follows:  $-4.1 < c_W/\Lambda^2 < 1.1, -2.0 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 2.1, -100 < c_B/\Lambda^2 < 160$ , in units of TeV $^{-2}$ .
- $^{10}$  SIRUNYAN 19CL study W W and W Z production in lepton + jet events, with one W boson decaying leptonically (electron or muon), and another W or Z boson decaying hadronically, reconstructed as a single massive large-radius jet. In the electron channel 2,456 (2,235) events are selected in the W W W Z category, while in the muon channel 3,996 (3572) events are selected in the W W W Z category. Analysing the di-boson invariant mass distribution, the following 95% C.L. limits are obtained in units of TeV $^{-2}$ :  $-1.58 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 1.59, -2.00 < c_{W}/\Lambda^2 < 2.65, -8.78 < c_{B}/\Lambda^2 < 8.54.$
- <sup>11</sup> AABOUD 18Q study  $pp \to ZZ$  events at  $\sqrt{s}=13$  TeV with  $Z \to e^+e^-$  or  $Z \to \mu^+\mu^-$ . The number of events observed in the 4e, 2e  $2\mu$ , and  $4\mu$  channels is 249, 465, and 303 respectively. Analysing the  $p_T$  spectrum of the leading Z boson, the following the following 95% C.L. limits are derived in units of TeV $^{-4}$ :  $-5.9 < c_{\widetilde{B}W}/\Lambda^4 < 5.9$ ,
  - $-3.0 < c_{WW}/\Lambda^4 < 3.0, -3.3 < c_{BW}/\Lambda^4 < 3.3, -2.7 < c_{BB}/\Lambda^4 < 2.8.$
- $^{12}$  SIRUNYAN 18BZ study  $p\,p\to Z\,jet\,jet$  events at 13 TeV where  $Z\to e^+e^-/\mu^+\mu^-$ . Isolated electrons and muons are selected with  $p_T$  of the leading/sub-leading lepton > 30/20 GeV and  $|\eta|<$  2.4, with the di-lepton invariant mass within 15 GeV of the Z mass. The two highest  $p_T$  jets are selected with  $p_T$  of the leading/sub-leading jet > 50/30 GeV respectively and dijet invariant mass > 200 GeV. Templates in the transverse momentum of the Z are utilized to set limits on the triple gauge couplings in the EFT and the LEP parametrizations. The following 95% C.L. limits are obtained in units of TeV $^{-2}$ :  $-2.6 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 2.6$  and  $-8.4 < c_W/\Lambda^2 < 10.1$ .
- $^{13}$  AABOUD 17s analyze electroweak production of a W boson in association with two jets at high dijet invariant mass, with the W boson decaying to electron or muon plus neutrino. In the signal region of dijet mass larger than 1 TeV and leading-jet transverse momentum larger than 600 GeV, 30 events are observed in the data with 39  $\pm$  4 events expected in the Standard Model, yielding the following limits at 95% CL for the form factor cut-off scale  $\Lambda_{FF} \rightarrow \infty$ :  $-33 < c_W/\Lambda^2 < 30, -170 < c_B/\Lambda^2 < 160, <math display="inline">-13 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 9, -580 < c_{\widetilde{W}}/\Lambda^2 < 580, -11 < c_{\widetilde{W}WW}/\Lambda^2 < 11,$  in units of TeV $^{-2}$ .
- AABOUD 170 analyze production of WW or WZ boson pairs with one W boson decaying to electron or muon plus neutrino, and the other W or Z boson decaying hadronically. The hadronic decay system is reconstructed as either a resolved two-jet system or as a single large jet. Analysing the transverse momentum distribution of the hadronic system above 100 GeV yields the following limits at 95% CL for the form factor cut-off scale  $\Lambda_{FF} \to \infty$ :  $-3.1 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 3.1$ ,  $-19 < c_B/\Lambda^2 < 20$ ,  $-5.1 < c_W/\Lambda^2 < 5.8$ , in units of TeV $^{-2}$ .
- $^{15}$  KHACHATRYAN 170 analyse WZ production where each boson decays into electrons or muons. Events are required to have a tri-lepton invariant mass larger than 100 GeV, with one of the lepton pairs having an invariant mass within 20 GeV of the Z boson mass. The Z transverse momentum spectrum is analyzed to set 95% C.L. limits of:  $-260 < c_B/\Lambda^2 < 210, -4.2 < c_W/\Lambda^2 < 8.0, -4.6 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 4.2,$  in units of TeV $^{-2}$ .
- $^{16}$  SIRUNYAN 17x study  $pp \to WW/WZ \to \ell\nu\,q\overline{q}$  production at 8 TeV where  $\ell$  is an electron or muon with  $p_T > 30$  or 25 GeV respectively. Suitable cuts are put on the  $p_T$  of the dijet system and the missing  $E_T$  of the event yielding a total of 285 and 204 WV events observed in the electron and muon channels. The following 95% C.L. limits in units of TeV $^{-2}$  are obtained:  $-2.7 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 2.7, -14 < c_B/\Lambda^2 < 17, -2.0 < c_W/\Lambda^2 < 5.7.$

- $^{17}$  AAD  $^{16}$ AR study WW production in pp collisions and select 6636 WW candidates in decay modes with electrons or muons with an expected background of  $1546\pm157$  events. Assuming an EFT formulation, a fit to the transverse momentum distribution of the leading charged lepton, leads to 95% C.L. ranges of:  $-4.61 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 4.60$ ,  $-5.87 < c_{W}/\Lambda^2 < 10.54$  and  $-20.9 < c_{B}/\Lambda^2 < 26.3$ ,in units of TeV $^{-2}$ .
- $^{18}$  AAD  $^{16}$ P study WZ production in pp collisions and select 2091 WZ candidates in 4 decay modes with electrons and muons, with an expected background of  $1825\pm7$  events. Analyzing the WZ transverse momentum distribution, the resulting 95% C.L. limits are:  $-3.9 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 4.0, \; -4.3 < c_{W}/\Lambda^2 < 6.8, \; \text{and} \; -320 < c_{B}/\Lambda^2 < 210, \; \text{in} \; \text{units of TeV}^{-2}.$
- HACHATRYAN 16BI determine the  $W^+W^-$  production cross section using unlike sign di-lepton (e or  $\mu$ ) events with high  $p_T$ . The leptons have  $p_T > 20$  GeV/c and are isolated. Events are required to have no jets above  $p_T$  of 30 GeV/c. 4847 (2233) events are selected with different (same) flavor leptons, with an expected total background of  $1179 \pm 123$  ( $643 \pm 73$ ) events. Analysing the di-lepton invariant mass spectrum, the following values are obtained:  $c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 = 0.1 \pm 3.2$ ,  $c_W/\Lambda^2 = -3.6^{+5.0}_{-4.5}$  and  $c_B/\Lambda^2 = -3.2^{+15.0}_{-14.5}$ , in units of TeV $^{-2}$ . The limits at 95% C.L. are:  $-5.7 < c_{WWW}/\Lambda^2 < 5.9$ ,  $-11.4 < c_W/\Lambda^2 < 5.4$  and  $-29.2 < c_B/\Lambda^2 < 23.9$ , in units of TeV $^{-2}$ .

# ANOMALOUS W/Z QUARTIC COUPLINGS

Revised November 2015 by M.W. Grünewald (U. College Dublin) and A. Gurtu (Formerly Tata Inst.).

Quartic couplings, WWZZ,  $WWZ\gamma$ ,  $WW\gamma\gamma$ , and  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$ , were studied at LEP and Tevatron at energies at which the Standard Model predicts negligible contributions to multiboson production. Thus, to parametrize limits on these couplings, an effective theory approach is adopted which supplements the Standard Model Lagrangian with higher dimensional operators which include quartic couplings. The LEP collaborations chose the lowest dimensional representation of operators (dimension 6) which presumes the  $SU(2)\times U(1)$  gauge symmetry is broken by means other than the conventional Higgs scalar doublet [1–3]. In this representation possible quartic couplings,  $a_0, a_c, a_n$ , are expressed in terms of the following dimension-6 operators [1,2];

$$\begin{split} L_6^0 &= -\frac{e^2}{16\Lambda^2} \; a_0 \; F^{\mu\nu} \; F_{\mu\nu} \vec{W^{\alpha}} \cdot \vec{W_{\alpha}} \\ L_6^c &= -\frac{e^2}{16\Lambda^2} \; a_c \; F^{\mu\alpha} \; F_{\mu\beta} \vec{W^{\beta}} \cdot \vec{W_{\alpha}} \\ L_6^n &= -i \frac{e^2}{16\Lambda^2} \; a_n \epsilon_{ijk} \; W_{\mu\alpha}^{(i)} \; W_{\nu}^{(j)} \; W^{(k)\alpha} F^{\mu\nu} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{L}_{6}^{0} &= -\frac{e^{2}}{16\Lambda^{2}} \, \widetilde{a}_{0} \, F^{\mu\nu} \, \widetilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \vec{W}^{\alpha} \cdot \vec{W}_{\alpha} \\ \widetilde{L}_{6}^{n} &= -i \frac{e^{2}}{16\Lambda^{2}} \, \widetilde{a}_{n} \epsilon_{ijk} \, W_{\mu\alpha}^{(i)} \, W_{\nu}^{(j)} \, W^{(k)\alpha} \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \end{split}$$

where F,W are photon and W fields,  $L_6^0$  and  $L_6^c$  conserve C, P separately ( $\widetilde{L}_6^0$  conserves only C) and generate anomalous  $W^+W^-\gamma\gamma$  and  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  couplings,  $L_6^n$  violates CP ( $\widetilde{L}_6^n$  violates both C and P) and generates an anomalous  $W^+W^-Z\gamma$  coupling, and  $\Lambda$  is an energy scale for new physics. For the  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  coupling the CP-violating term represented by  $L_6^n$  does not contribute. These couplings are assumed to be real and to vanish at tree level in the Standard Model.

Within the same framework as above, a more recent description of the quartic couplings [3] treats the anomalous parts of the  $WW\gamma\gamma$  and  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  couplings separately, leading to two sets parametrized as  $a_0^V/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_c^V/\Lambda^2$ , where V=W or Z.

With the discovery of a Higgs at the LHC in 2012, it is then useful to go to the next higher dimensional representation (dimension 8 operators) in which the gauge symmetry is broken by the conventional Higgs scalar doublet [3,4]. There are 14 operators which can contribute to the anomalous quartic coupling signal. Some of the operators have analogues in the dimension 6 scheme. The CMS collaboration, [5], have used this parametrization, in which the connections between the two schemes are also summarized:

$$\mathcal{L}_{AQGC} = -\frac{e^2}{8} \frac{a_0^W}{\Lambda^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} W^{+a} W_a^-$$

$$-\frac{e^2}{16} \frac{a_c^W}{\Lambda^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu a} (W^{+\nu} W_a^- + W^{-\nu} W_a^+)$$

$$-e^2 g^2 \frac{\kappa_0^W}{\Lambda^2} F_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu\nu} W^{+a} W_a^-$$

$$-\frac{e^2 g^2}{2} \frac{\kappa_c^W}{\Lambda^2} F_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu a} (W^{+\nu} W_a^- + W^{-\nu} W_a^+)$$

$$+\frac{f_{T,0}}{\Lambda^4} Tr[\widehat{W}_{\mu\nu} \widehat{W}^{\mu\nu}] \times Tr[\widehat{W}_{\alpha\beta} \widehat{W}^{\alpha\beta}]$$

The energy scale of possible new physics is  $\Lambda$ , and  $g = e/\sin(\theta_W)$ , e being the unit electric charge and  $\theta_W$  the Weinberg angle. The field tensors are described in [3,4].

The two dimension 6 operators  $a_0^W/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_c^W/\Lambda^2$  are associated with the  $WW\gamma\gamma$  vertex. Among dimension 8 operators,  $\kappa_0^W/\Lambda^2$  and  $\kappa_c^W/\Lambda^2$  are associated with the  $WWZ\gamma$  vertex, whereas the parameter  $f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4$  contributes to both vertices. There is a relationship between these two dimension 6 parameters and the dimension 8 parameters  $f_{M,i}/\Lambda^4$  as follows [3]:

$$\frac{a_0^W}{\Lambda^2} = -\frac{4M_W^2}{g^2} \frac{f_{M,0}}{\Lambda^4} - \frac{8M_W^2}{{g'}^2} \frac{f_{M,2}}{\Lambda^4}$$

$$\frac{a_c^W}{\Lambda^2} = -\frac{4M_W^2}{g^2} \frac{f_{M,1}}{\Lambda^4} - \frac{8M_W^2}{{q'}^2} \frac{f_{M,3}}{\Lambda^4}$$

where  $g'=e/\cos(\theta_W)$  and  $M_W$  is the invariant mass of the W boson. This relation provides a translation between limits on dimension 6 operators  $a_{0,c}^W$  and  $f_{M,j}/\Lambda^4$ . It is further required [4] that  $f_{M,0}=2f_{M,2}$  and  $f_{M,1}=2f_{M,3}$  which suppresses contributions to the  $WWZ\gamma$  vertex. The complete set of Lagrangian contributions as presented in [4] corresponds to 19 anomalous couplings in total  $-f_{S,i}$ ,  $i=1,2,f_{M,i}$ ,  $i=0,\ldots,8$  and  $f_{T,i}$ ,  $i=0,\ldots,9$  – each scaled by  $1/\Lambda^4$ .

The ATLAS collaboration [6], on the other hand, follows a K-matrix driven approach of Ref. 7 in which the anomalous couplings can be expressed in terms of two parameters  $\alpha_4$  and  $\alpha_5$ , which account for all BSM effects.

It is the early stages in the determination of quartic couplings by the LHC experiments. It is hoped that the two collaborations, ATLAS and CMS, will agree to use at least one common set of parameters to express these limits to enable the

reader to make a comparison and allow for a possible LHC combination.

### References

- 1. G. Belanger and F. Boudjema, Phys. Lett. **B288**, 201 (1992).
- 2. J.W. Stirling and A. Werthenbach, Eur. Phys. J. C14, 103 (2000);
  - J.W. Stirling and A. Werthenbach, Phys. Lett. **B466**, 369 (1999);
  - A. Denner *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **C20**, 201 (2001);
  - G. Montagna et al., Phys. Lett. **B515**, 197 (2001).
- 3. G. Belanger et al., Eur. Phys. J. C13, 283 (2000).
- 4. O.J.P. Éboli, M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, and S.M. Lietti, Phys. Rev. **D69**, 095005 (2004);
  - O.J.P. Éboli, M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, and J.K. Mizukoshi, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 073005 (2006).
- S. Chatrchyan et al., Phys. Rev. **D90**, 032008 (2014);
   S. Chatrchyan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 051801 (2015).
- 6. G. Aad et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 141803 (2014).
- 7. A. Albateanu, W. Killian, and J. Reuter, JHEP **0811**, 010 (2008).

$$a_0/\Lambda^2$$
,  $a_c/\Lambda^2$ ,  $a_n/\Lambda^2$ ,  $\kappa_0^W/\Lambda^2$ ,  $\kappa_c^W/\Lambda^2$ ,  $f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M,i}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $\alpha_4$ ,  $\alpha_5$ ,  $F_{S,i}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $F_{M,i}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $F_{T,i}/\Lambda^4$ 

Anomalous W quartic couplings are measured by the experiments at LEP, the Tevatron, and the LHC. Some of the recent results from the Tevatron and LHC experiments individually surpass the combined LEP-2 results in precision (see below). As discussed in the review on the "Anomalous W/Z quartic couplings (QGCS)," the measurements are typically done using different operator expansions which then do not allow the results to be compared and averaged. At least one common framework should be agreed upon for the use in the future publications by the experiments.

Some publications from LHC experiments derive limits for various assumed values of the form-factor cutoff  $\Lambda_{FF}$ . The values quoted below are for  $\Lambda_{FF} \to \infty$ .

Created: 12/4/2023 14:09

VALUE <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

```
<sup>1</sup> SIRUNYAN
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}
                                  CMS
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}
 <sup>2</sup> TUMASYAN
                          21A CMS
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}
 <sup>3</sup> TUMASYAN
                          21B CMS
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}
 <sup>4</sup> SIRUNAYN
                                  CMS
 <sup>5</sup> SIRUNYAN
                                             E_{\mathsf{cm}}^{pp} = 13 \; \mathsf{TeV}
                          20AL CMS
                                             E_{\mathsf{cm}}^{pp} = 13 \; \mathsf{TeV}
 <sup>6</sup> SIRUNYAN
                          20BD CMS
 <sup>7</sup> SIRUNYAN
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}
                          19вм СМS
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{\it pp}=13~{\rm TeV}
 <sup>8</sup> SIRUNYAN
                          19BP CMS
 <sup>9</sup> SIRUNYAN
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}
                          19cq CMS
<sup>10</sup> SIRUNYAN
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}
                          18cc CMS
^{11}\,\mathrm{AABOUD}
                          17AA ATLS E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \text{ TeV}
                          17AG ATLS E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \text{ TeV}
<sup>12</sup> AABOUD
                          17D ATLS E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=8~{\rm TeV}
<sup>13</sup> AABOUD
<sup>14</sup> AABOUD
                          17J ATLS E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \text{ TeV}
                          17M ATLS E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \text{ TeV}
<sup>15</sup> AABOUD
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=8~{\rm TeV}
<sup>16</sup> KHACHATRY...17AA CMS
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=8~{\rm TeV}
<sup>17</sup> KHACHATRY...17M CMS
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=13~{\rm TeV}
<sup>18</sup> SIRUNYAN
                          17AD CMS
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=8~{\rm TeV}
<sup>19</sup> SIRUNYAN
                          17AR CMS
                          16E ATLS E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \text{ TeV}
<sup>20</sup> AABOUD
^{21} AAD
                          16Q ATLS E_{cm}^{pp} = 8 \text{ TeV}
<sup>22</sup> KHACHATRY...16AX CMS
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=8~{\rm TeV}
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{pp}=8~{\rm TeV}
<sup>23</sup> AAD
                          15N ATLS
                                             E_{\rm cm}^{pp} = 8 \text{ TeV}
<sup>24</sup> KHACHATRY...15D CMS
25 AAD
                          14AM ATLS
<sup>26</sup> CHATRCHYAN 14Q CMS
<sup>27</sup> ABAZOV
                          13D D0
<sup>28</sup> CHATRCHYAN 13AA CMS
<sup>29</sup> ABBIENDI
                          04B OPAL
<sup>30</sup> ABBIENDI
                          04L OPAL
<sup>31</sup> HEISTER
                          04A ALEP
<sup>32</sup> ABDALLAH
                                 DLPH
33 ACHARD
                          02F L3
```

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  SIRUNYAN  $^21$  study electroweak Z-pair production in association with two jets, with the Z bosons decaying to oppositely-charged electron or muon pairs. Leptons with high transverse momentum are selected, with the di-lepton invariant mass of the two Z boson candidates between 60 GeV and 120 GeV, and the four-lepton invariant mass larger than 180 GeV. A total of 365 events are selected in the data, while the number of expected events is  $370\pm48$ . Analyzing the four-lepton invariant mass distribution, the following 95% C.L. limits are derived:  $-0.24 < {\rm f}_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 0.22, -0.31 < {\rm f}_{T,1}/\Lambda^4 < 0.31, -0.63 < {\rm f}_{T,2}/\Lambda^4 < 0.59, -0.43 < {\rm f}_{T,8}/\Lambda^4 < 0.43, -0.92 < {\rm f}_{T,9}/\Lambda^4 < 0.92,$  in units of  ${\rm TeV}^{-4}$ .

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  TUMASYAN 21A study electroweak  $Z\gamma$  production in association with two jets, where the Z boson decays to electron or muon pairs and the pair of two jets has high invariant

mass, superseeding SIRUNYAN 20AL. The number of observed (expected) electron events in the barrel and endcap regions are 375 (349  $\pm$  9) and 174 (166  $\pm$  6) events, respectively, while for muon events the respective numbers are 584 (612  $\pm$  13) and 320 (303  $\pm$  8). Analysing the  $Z\gamma$  invariant mass distribution, the following 95% C.L. limits are derived:  $-15.8 < f_{M,0}/\Lambda^4 < 16.0, -35.0 < f_{M,1}/\Lambda^4 < 34.7, -6.55 < f_{M,2}/\Lambda^4 < 6.49, -13.0 < f_{M,3}/\Lambda^4 < 13.0, -13.0 < f_{M,4}/\Lambda^4 < 12.7, -22.2 < f_{M,5}/\Lambda^4 < 21.3, -56.6 < f_{M,7}/\Lambda^4 < 55.9, -0.64 < f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 0.57, -0.81 < f_{T,1}/\Lambda^4 < 0.90, -1.68 < f_{T,2}/\Lambda^4 < 1.54, -0.58 < f_{T,5}/\Lambda^4 < 0.64, -1.30 < f_{T,6}/\Lambda^4 < 1.33, -2.15 < f_{T,7}/\Lambda^4 < 2.43, -0.47 < f_{T,8}/\Lambda^4 < 0.47, -0.91 < f_{T,9}/\Lambda^4 < 0.91, in units of TeV<math display="inline">^{-4}$ 

units of TeV<sup>-4</sup>. 
TUMASYAN 21B measure W or Z boson production in association with two photons, using the leptonic decays modes of W and Z with electrons or muons. The number of selected  $W \to e(\mu)\nu$  events is 1987 (2384) and the number of selected  $Z \to e\,e\,(\mu\mu)$  events is 110 (272) respectively. Analyzing the transverse momentum of the di-photon system, the following 95 % C.L. limits are derived in units of TeV<sup>-4</sup>: In the W production channel, the observed limits are:  $-39.9 < f_{M,2}/\Lambda^4 < 39.5, -63.8 < f_{M,3}/\Lambda^4 < 65.0, -1.30 < f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 1.30, -1.70 < f_{T,1}/\Lambda^4 < 1.66, -3.64 < f_{T,2}/\Lambda^4 < 3.64, -0.52 < f_{T,5}/\Lambda^4 < 0.60, -0.60 < f_{T,6}/\Lambda^4 < 0.68, -1.16 < f_{T,7}/\Lambda^4 < 1.16$ . In the Z production channel, the observed limits are:  $-5.70 < f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 5.46, -11.4 < f_{T,2}/\Lambda^4 < 10.9, -2.92 < f_{T,5}/\Lambda^4 < 2.92, -3.80 < f_{T,6}/\Lambda^4 < 3.88, -7.88 < f_{T,7}/\Lambda^4 < 7.72, -1.06 < f_{T,8}/\Lambda^4 < 1.10, -1.82 < f_{T,9}/\Lambda^4 < 1.82, in units of TeV<sup>-4</sup>.$ 

 $^4$  SIRUNAYN 20 study WZ and same-sign WW production in association with two jets, using the leptonic decays modes of the W and Z bosons with electrons or muons. Overall,  $524\ WW$  events and  $229\ WZ$  events are selected, with a Standard Model expectation of  $535\pm52$  and  $216\pm21$  events, respectively. Analyzing the transverse mass spectrum of the di-boson system and the di-jet invariant mass, the following 95% C.L. limits are derived, not using any unitarization procedure:  $-0.25\ < f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4\ < 0.28,\ -0.12\ < f_{T,1}/\Lambda^4\ < 0.14,\ -0.35\ < f_{T,2}/\Lambda^4\ < 0.48,\ -2.7\ < f_{M,0}/\Lambda^4\ < 2.9,\ -4.1\ < f_{M,1}/\Lambda^4\ < 4.2,\ -5.4\ < f_{M,6}/\Lambda^4\ < 5.8,\ -5.7\ < f_{M,7}/\Lambda^4\ < 6.0,\ -5.7\ < f_{S,0}/\Lambda^4\ < 6.1,\ -16\ < f_{S,1}/\Lambda^4\ < 17,$  in units of TeV $^{-4}$ . The article also reports limits on these couplings by cutting the EFT expansion at the unitarity limit.

<sup>5</sup> SIRUNYAN 20AL study electroweak production of a *Z* boson and a photon in association with two jets in the electron and muon decay modes of the *Z*. A signal with a significance of 3.9 standard deviations is observed, compared to a Standard Model expectation of 5.2 standard deviations. Combining with KHACHATRYAN 17AA data at 8 TeV the final observed and expected signal significance is 4.7 and 5.5 standard deviations. Analyzing the *Z*-photon invariant mass distribution, the following 95% C.L. limits are derived:  $-19.5 < f_{M,0}/\Lambda^4 < 20.3, -40.5 < f_{M,1}/\Lambda^4 < 39.5, -8.22 < f_{M,2}/\Lambda^4 < 8.10, -17.7 < f_{M,3}/\Lambda^4 < 17.9, -15.3 < f_{M,4}/\Lambda^4 < 15.8, -25.1 < f_{M,5}/\Lambda^4 < 24.5, -38.9 < f_{M,6}/\Lambda^4 < 40.6, -60.3 < f_{M,7}/\Lambda^4 < 62.5, -0.74 < f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 0.69, -0.98 < f_{T,1}/\Lambda^4 < 0.96, -1.97 < f_{T,2}/\Lambda^4 < 1.86, -0.70 < f_{T,5}/\Lambda^4 < 0.75, -1.64 < f_{T,6}/\Lambda^4 < 1.68, -2.59 < f_{T,7}/\Lambda^4 < 2.82, -0.47 < f_{T,8}/\Lambda^4 < 0.47, -1.27 < f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 1.27, in units of TeV^-4.$ 

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  SIRUNYAN 20BD study electroweak  $W\gamma$  production in association with two jets, where the W boson decays to electron or muon and the two jets have high invariant mass. The number of observed (expected) electron events with the photon in the barrel and

endcap regions are 393 (397.1  $\pm$  18.5) and 159 (145.2  $\pm$  10.0) respectively, while for muon events the respective numbers are 565 (537.9  $\pm$  21.4) and 201 (188.2  $\pm$  10.5). Analyzing the  $W\gamma$  invariant mass distribution, the following 95% C.L. limits are derived:  $-8.1 < {\rm f}_{M,0}/\Lambda^4 < 8.0, -12 < {\rm f}_{M,1}/\Lambda^4 < 12, -2.8 < {\rm f}_{M,2}/\Lambda^4 < 2.8, -4.4 < {\rm f}_{M,3}/\Lambda^4 < 4.4, -5.0 < {\rm f}_{M,4}/\Lambda^4 < 5.0, -8.3 < {\rm f}_{M,5}/\Lambda^4 < 8.3, -16 < {\rm f}_{M,6}/\Lambda^4 < 16, -21 < {\rm f}_{M,7}/\Lambda^4 < 20, -0.6 < {\rm f}_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 0.6, -0.4 < {\rm f}_{T,1}/\Lambda^4 < 0.4, -1.0 < {\rm f}_{T,2}/\Lambda^4 < 1.2, -0.5 < {\rm f}_{T,5}/\Lambda^4 < 0.5, -0.4 < {\rm f}_{T,6}/\Lambda^4 < 0.4, -0.9 < {\rm f}_{T,7}/\Lambda^4 < 0.9, in units of TeV^{-4}.$ 

- $^7$  SIRUNYAN 19BM search for the final state  $W^+\,W^-\,W^\pm$  using W decays to electrons or muons. Two event samples are considered, events with three leptons, or events with two oppositely charged leptons accompanied by two jets. In a kinematic region selected to enhance the effect of anomalous couplings, no events are selected in the data, and 95% C.L. upper limits are obtained as follows:  $-1.2 < {\rm f}_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 1.2, -3.3 < {\rm f}_{T,1}/\Lambda^4 < 3.3, -2.7 < {\rm f}_{T,2}/\Lambda^4 < 2.6,$  in units of TeV $^{-4}$  and without application of a form factor
- <sup>8</sup> SIRUNYAN 19BP study WZ plus 2 jets production, using W and Z decay channels with electrons or muons. In the data, 75 events are selected, with a fitted SM signal of  $15.1\pm1.6$  events and a fitted background of  $62.4\pm2.8$  events. The transverse mass distribution of the WZ system is analyzed to set the following limits at 95% C.L., in units of TeV $^{-4}$ :  $-9.15 < f_{M,0}/\Lambda^4 < 9.15$ ,  $-9.15 < f_{M,1}/\Lambda^4 < 9.45$ ,  $-26.5 < f_{S,0}/\Lambda^4 < 27.5$ ,  $-41.2 < f_{S,1}/\Lambda^4 < 42.8$ ,  $-0.75 < f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 0.81$ ,  $-0.49 < f_{T,1}/\Lambda^4 < 0.55$ ,  $-1.49 < f_{T,2}/\Lambda^4 < 1.85$ .
- <sup>9</sup> SIRUNYAN 19CQ search for anomalous electroweak production of vector boson pairs in association with two jets. Events are selected by requiring two jets with a large invariant mass and rapidity separation, one or two leptons (electrons or muons), and a W or Z boson decaying hadronically. In the W V (Z V) channel, 347 (47) events are selected in the data, with a total expected background of 352  $\pm$  19 (50.3  $\pm$  5.8) events. Analysing the mass distribution of the W V or Z V system, the following 95% C.L. limits are obtained:  $-2.7 < f_{S,0}/\Lambda^4 < 2.7$ ,  $-3.4 < f_{S,1}/\Lambda^4 < 3.4$ ,  $-0.69 < f_{M,0}/\Lambda^4 < 0.70$ ,  $-2.0 < f_{M,1}/\Lambda^4 < 2.1$ ,  $-1.3 < f_{M,6}/\Lambda^4 < 1.3$ ,  $-3.4 < f_{M,7}/\Lambda^4 < 3.4$ ,  $-0.12 < f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 0.11$ ,  $-0.12 < f_{T,1}/\Lambda^4 < 0.13$ ,  $-0.28 < f_{T,2}/\Lambda^4 < 0.28$ , in units of TeV $^{-4}$ .
- $^{10}$  SIRUNYAN 18CC study pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=13$  TeV leading to a pair of same-sign W pairs decaying leptonically (e or  $\mu$ ) associated with a pair of jets. Isolated leptons with  $p_T>25$  (20) GeV for the leading (trailing) lepton, with  $|\eta|<2.5$  (2.4) for e ( $\mu$ ) and jets with  $p_T>30$  GeV,  $|\eta|<5.0, |\Delta\eta_{jj}|>2.5$  and  $m_{jj}>500$  GeV is required. Further cuts are applied to minimize  $Z\to ee$  events, non-prompt leptons and hadronically decaying taus. The number of selected events is 201, with an expected SM signal of  $66.9\pm2.4$  and background of  $138\pm13$  events. Analysing the dilepton invariant mass spectrum the following 95% C.L. limits are derived:  $-7.7 < f_{S,0}/\Lambda^4 < 7.7,$   $-21.6 < f_{S,1}/\Lambda^4 < 21.8,$   $-6.0 < f_{M,0}/\Lambda^4 < 5.9,$   $-8.7 < f_{M,1}/\Lambda^4 < 9.1,$   $-11.9 < f_{M,6}/\Lambda^4 < 11.8,$   $-13.3 < f_{M,7}/\Lambda^4 < 12.9,$   $-0.62 < f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 0.65,$   $-0.28 < f_{T,1}/\Lambda^4 < 0.31,$   $-0.89 < f_{T,2}/\Lambda^4 < 1.02.$
- <sup>11</sup> AABOUD 17AA analyze  $W^\pm W^\pm$  production in association with two jets and W decay modes with electrons or muons. In the kinematic region of VBS the effect of anomalous QGCs is enhanced by requiring the transverse mass of the WW system to be larger than 400 GeV. In the data, 8 events are selected with a total background expected from SM processes of  $3.8\pm0.6$  events. Assuming the other QGC coupling to have the SM

- value of zero, the observed event yield is used to determine 95% CL limits on the QGCs:  $-0.14<\alpha_{4}<0.15$  and  $-0.22<\alpha_{5}<0.22.$  Supersedes AAD 14AM.
- $^{12}$  AABOUD 17AG determine the  $W\,W\,\gamma$  and  $W\,Z\,\gamma$  cross sections in 8 TeV  $p\,p$  interactions by studying the final states  $e\,\nu\,\mu\,\nu\,\gamma$  and  $e\,\nu\,j\,j\,\gamma$  or  $\mu\,\nu\,j\,j\,\gamma$ . Upper limits on the production cross sections are derived in a fiducial region optimized for BSM physics. These are used to derive the following 95% C.L. upper limits for quartic couplings assuming the form scale factor,  $\Lambda_{FF}=\infty$  (all in units of  $10^3~{\rm TeV}^{-4}$ ):  $-0.3~<{\rm f}_{M,0}/\Lambda^4~<0.3,$   $-0.5~<{\rm f}_{M,1}/\Lambda^4~<0.5,$   $-1.8~<{\rm f}_{M,2}/\Lambda^4~<1.8,$   $-1.1~<{\rm f}_{M,4}/\Lambda^4~<1.1,$   $-1.7~<{\rm f}_{M,5}/\Lambda^4~<1.7,$   $-0.6~<{\rm f}_{M,6}/\Lambda^4~<0.6,$   $-1.1~<{\rm f}_{M,7}/\Lambda^4~<1.1,$   $-0.1~<{\rm f}_{T,0}/\Lambda^4~<0.1,$   $-0.2<{\rm f}_{T,1}/\Lambda^4~<0.2,$   $-0.4~<{\rm f}_{T,4}/\Lambda^4~<0.4,$   $-1.5~<{\rm f}_{T,5}/\Lambda^4~<1.6,$   $-1.9~<{\rm f}_{T,6}/\Lambda^4~<1.9,$   $-4.3~<{\rm f}_{T,7}/\Lambda^4~<4.3.$
- $^{13}$  AABOUD 17D analyze electroweak diboson (  $W\,V,\,V=W,\,Z$  ) production in association with a high-mass dijet system. In the data, 32 events are selected with an expected total background of 32  $\pm$  12 events. Analysing the transverse mass distribution of the  $W\,V$  system, the following limits are set at 95% C.L.:  $-0.024 < \alpha_4 < 0.030$  and  $-0.028 < \alpha_5 < 0.033$ .
- $^{14}$  AABOUD 17J analyze the  $Z\gamma$  production in association with a high-mass dijet system, with the Z boson decaying into a pair of electrons, muons, or neutrinos. In the charged lepton (neutrino) channel, events are selected with a dijet mass larger than 500 (600) GeV and a transverse photon energy larger than 250 (150) GeV, with 2 (4) events selected in the data and 0.30  $\pm$  0.08 (1.6  $\pm$  0.5) expected background events. The observed event yield is used to determine 95% CL limits as follows:  $-4.1\times10^3<$  from  $f_{T,9}/\Lambda^4<4.2\times10^3$ ,  $-1.9\times10^3<f_{T,8}/\Lambda^4<2.1\times10^3$ ,  $-1.9\times10^1<f_{T,9}/\Lambda^4<1.6\times10^1$ ,  $-1.6\times10^2<f_{M,0}/\Lambda^4<1.8\times10^2$ ,  $-3.5\times10^2<f_{M,1}/\Lambda^4<3.4\times10^2$ ,  $-8.9\times10^2<f_{M,2}/\Lambda^4<8.9\times10^2$ ,  $-1.7\times10^3<f_{M,1}/\Lambda^4<3.4\times10^2$ ,  $-8.9\times10^2<f_{M,2}/\Lambda^4<8.9\times10^2$ ,  $-1.7\times10^3<f_{M,1}/\Lambda^4<3.4\times10^2$ ,  $-1.7\times10^3$ , in units of TeV $^{-4}$  and without application of a form factor.
- $^{15}$  AABOUD 17M analyze tri-boson  $W^{\pm}\,W^{\pm}\,W^{\mp}$  production in decay channels with three charged leptons or two like-sign charged leptons with two jets, where the lepton can be an electron or muon. In the data, 24 tri-lepton events and 21 di-lepton plus jets events are selected, compared to a total event yield expected in the SM of  $30.8\pm3.0$  and  $21.9\pm2.0$ , respectively. Analysing the tri-lepton transverse mass or the transverse momentum sum of the two leptons, two jets and the missing transverse energy, the following limits at 95% CL are derived for the form factor cut-off scale  $\Lambda_{FF} \rightarrow \infty$ :  $-0.13 < f_{S,0}/\Lambda^4 < 0.18$ ,  $-0.21 < f_{S,1}/\Lambda^4 < 0.27$ , in units of  $10^4~{\rm TeV}^{-4}$ , which are converted into the following limits:  $-0.49 < \alpha_{4} < 0.75$  and  $-0.48 < \alpha_{5} < 0.62$ .
- \$\$^{16}\$ KHACHATRYAN 17AA analyse electroweak production of \$Z\gamma\$ in association with two hadronic jets, with the \$Z\$ boson decaying to electron or muon pairs. Events with photon transverse momentum larger than 60 GeV and di-jet invariant mass larger than 400 GeV are selected. The \$Z\gamma\$ inavariant mass spectrum is analysed to set 95% C.L. limits as follows: \$-71 < f\_{M,0}/\Lambda^4 < 75, -190 < f\_{M,1}/\Lambda^4 < 182, -32 < f\_{M,2}/\Lambda^4 < 31, -58 < f\_{M,3}/\Lambda^4 < 59, -3.8 < f\_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 3.4, -4.4 < f\_{T,1}/\Lambda^4 < 4.4, -9.9 < f\_{T,2}/\Lambda^4 < 9.0, -1.8 < f\_{T,8}/\Lambda^4 < 1.8, -4.0 < f\_{T,9}/\Lambda^4 < 4.0, in units of TeV^{-4} and without application of a form factor.
- $^{17}$  KHACHATRYAN  $^{17}$ M analyse electroweak production of  $W\gamma$  in association with two hadronic jets, with the W boson decaying to electrons or muons. Events with photon transverse momentum larger than 200 GeV and di-jet invariant mass larger than 200 GeV are selected. The W transverse momentum spectrum is analysed to set 95% C.L. limits as follows:  $-77 < {\rm f}_{M,0}/\Lambda^4 < 74, -125 < {\rm f}_{M,1}/\Lambda^4 < 129, -26 < {\rm f}_{M,2}/\Lambda^4 < 26, -43 < {\rm f}_{M,3}/\Lambda^4 < 44, -40 < {\rm f}_{M,4}/\Lambda^4 < 40, -65 < {\rm f}_{M,5}/\Lambda^4 < 65, -129 < {\rm f}_{M,6}/\Lambda^4 < 65, -129$

- of a form factor. 18 SIRUNYAN 17AD study pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=13$  TeV to determine the cross section of ZZjj with the Z decaying to ee or  $\mu\mu$ . The ZZ mass distribution is used to set upper limits on the anomalous quartic couplings. The 95% upper limits for the relevant quartic couplings in units of TeV $^{-4}$  are:  $-0.46 < f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 0.44, -0.61 < f_{T,1}/\Lambda^4 < 0.61, -1.2 < f_{T,2}/\Lambda^4 < 1.2, -0.84 < f_{T,8}/\Lambda^4 < 0.84, -1.8 < f_{T,9}/\Lambda^4 < 1.8.$
- $^{19}$  SIRUNYAN 17AR study pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=8$  TeV to determine the cross section of  $pp \to W \gamma \gamma$  and  $pp \to Z \gamma \gamma$  where  $W \to \ell \nu$  and  $Z \to \ell^+ \ell^-$ ,  $\ell$  being an electron or a muon. The number of W events in the e and  $\mu$  channels is 63 and 108 respectively, and the number of Z events in the e and  $\mu$  channels is 117 and 141. To increase sensitivity, the transverse momentum of the leading photon is required to be larger than 70 GeV. The 95% C.L. upper limits in units of TeV $^{-4}$  are  $-701 < f_{M,2}/\Lambda^4 < 683, -1170 < f_{M,3}/\Lambda^4 < 1220, -33.5 < f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 34.0, -44.3 < f_{T,1}/\Lambda^4 < 44.8, -93.8 < f_{T,2}/\Lambda^4 < 93.2.$
- $^{20}$  AABOUD 16E study WW production in two-photon mediated pp collisions at 8 TeV where the W boson decays into an electron or muon, probing the  $\gamma\gamma WW$  vertex for anomalous quartic gauge couplings. The lepton  $p_T$  is required to be larger than 30 GeV. Limits on anomalous couplings are determined from events with  $p_T$  larger than 120 GeV where the aQGC effect is enhanced and the SM background reduced; in the data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $20.2 {\rm fb}^{-1}$ , 1 event is selected with an expected SM background of  $0.37 \pm 0.13$  events. The 95% C.L. limits without a form-factor cutoff ( $\Lambda_{\rm cutoff} \to \infty$ ) are as follows:  $-1.7 < a_0^W/\Lambda^2 < 1.7$  and  $-6.4 < a_C^W/\Lambda^2 < 6.3$  in units of  $10^{-6}~{\rm GeV}^{-2}$ . In terms of another set of variables:  $-6.6 < {\rm f}_{M,0}/\Lambda^4 < 6.6$  and  $-24 < {\rm f}_{M,1}/\Lambda^4 < 25$  in units of  $10^{-11}~{\rm GeV}^{-4}$ .
- $^{21}$  AAD 16Q study  $Z\,\gamma\gamma$  production in  $p\,p$  collisions. In events with no additional jets, 29 (22) Z decays to electron (muon) pairs are selected, with an expected background of 3.3  $\pm$  1.1 (6.5  $\pm$  2.0) events, as well as 19 Z decays to netrino pairs with an expected background of 8.3  $\pm$  4.4 events. Analysing the photon transverse momentum distribution for  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  above 200 GeV (300 GeV) for lepton (neutrino) events, yields the 95% C.L. limits:  $-1.6\times10^4~<{\rm f}_{M,2}/\Lambda^4~<1.6\times10^4, -2.9\times10^4~<{\rm f}_{M,3}/\Lambda^4~<2.7\times10^4, -0.86\times10^2~<{\rm f}_{T,0}/\Lambda^4~<1.03\times10^2, -0.69\times10^3~<{\rm f}_{T,5}/\Lambda^4~<0.68\times10^3, -0.74\times10^4~<{\rm f}_{T,9}/\Lambda^4~<0.74\times10^4~{\rm in~units~of~TeV}^{-4}$  and without application of a form factor  $\Lambda_{\rm FF}$ .
- <sup>22</sup> KHACHATRYAN 16AX searches for anomalous  $WW\gamma\gamma$  quartic gauge couplings in the two-photon-mediated process  $pp\to ppWW$ , assuming the  $WW\gamma$  triple gauge boson couplings to be at their Standard Model values. 13 events containing an  $e^\pm \mu^\mp$  pair with  $p_T(e,\mu)>30$  GeV are selected in a total luminosity of 19.7 fb $^{-1}$ , with an expected  $\gamma\gamma\to WW$  signal of  $5.3\pm0.1$  events and an expected background of  $3.9\pm0.5$  events. When combining with the data collected at 7 TeV (CHATRCHYAN 13AA), and not assuming a form factor, the following 1-parameter limits at 95% C.L. are obtained from the  $p_T(e,\mu)$  spectrum:  $|a_0^W/\Lambda^2|<1.1\times10^{-6}~{\rm GeV}^{-2}$  ( $a_C^W=0$ ), and  $|a_C^W/\Lambda^2|<1.1\times10^{-6}~{\rm GeV}^{-2}$  ( $a_C^W=0$ ). In terms of another set of variables:  $|f_{M,0}/\Lambda^4|<1.2\times10^{-12}~{\rm GeV}^{-4}$ ,  $|f_{M,1}/\Lambda^4|<1.6\times10^{-12}~{\rm GeV}^{-4}$ ,  $|f_{M,2}/\Lambda^4|<1.1\times10^{-12}~{\rm GeV}^{-4}$ ,  $|f_{M,3}/\Lambda^4|<1.1\times10^{-12}~{\rm GeV}^{-4}$ .
- <sup>23</sup> AAD 15N study  $W\gamma\gamma$  events in 8 TeV pp interactions, where the W decays into an electron or a muon. The events are characterized by an isolated lepton, a missing

transverse energy due to the decay neutrino, and two isolated photons, with the  $p_T$  of the lepton and the photons being > 20 GeV. The number of candidate events observed in the electron channel for N(jet)  $\geq \,$  0 and N(jet) = 0 is 47 and 15, the corresponding numbers for the muon channel being 110 and 53. The backgrounds expected are 30.2  $\pm$  7.4, 8.7  $\pm$  3.0, 52.1  $\pm$  12.2, and 24.4  $\pm$  8.3 respectively. The 95% C.L. limits on the values of the parameters  $f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M,2}/\Lambda^4$  and  $f_{M,3}/\Lambda^4$  are -0.9–0.9  $\times$  10², -0.8–0.8  $\times$  10⁴, and -1.5–1.4  $\times$  10⁴ respectively, without application of a form factor  $\Lambda_{FF}$ .

- <sup>24</sup>KHACHATRYAN 15D study vector-boson-scattering tagged by two jets, requiring two same-sign charged leptons arising from  $W^{\pm}$   $W^{\pm}$  production and decay. The two jets must have a transverse momentum larger than 30 GeV, while the leptons, electrons or muons, must have a transverse momentum > 20 GeV. The dijet mass is required to be > 500 GeV, the dilepton mass > 50 GeV, with additional requirement of differing from the Z mass by > 15 GeV. In the two categories  $W^+$   $W^+$  and  $W^ W^-$ , 10 and 2 data events are observed in a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 19.4 fb<sup>-1</sup>, with an expected background of  $3.1\pm0.6$  and  $2.6\pm0.5$  events. Analysing the distribution of the dilepton invariant mass, the following limits at 95% C.L. are obtained, in units of TeV<sup>-4</sup>: -38 < F $_{S,0}/\Lambda^4$  < 40, -118 < F $_{S,1}/\Lambda^4$  < 120, -33 < F $_{M,0}/\Lambda^4$  < 32, -44 < F $_{M,1}/\Lambda^4$  < 47, -65 < F $_{M,6}/\Lambda^4$  < 63, -70 < F $_{M,7}/\Lambda^4$  < 66, -4.2 < F $_{T,0}/\Lambda^4$  < 4.6, -1.9 < F $_{T,1}/\Lambda^4$  < 2.2, -5.2 < F $_{T,2}/\Lambda^4$  < 6.4.
- $^{25}$  AAD 14AM analyze electroweak production of W W jet jet same-charge diboson plus two jets production, with the W bosons decaying to electron or muon, to study the quartic W W W coupling. In a kinematic region enhancing the electroweak production over the strong production, 34 events are observed in the data while  $29.8\pm2.4$  events are expected with a backgound of  $15.9\pm1.9$  events. Assuming the other QGC coupling to have the SM value of zero, the observed event yield is used to determine 95% CL limits on the quartic gauge couplings:  $-0.14 < \alpha_4 < 0.16$  and  $-0.23 < \alpha_5 < 0.24$ .
- $^{26}$  CHATRCHYAN 14Q study W V  $\gamma$  production in 8 TeV  $p\,p$  collisions, in the single lepton final state, with  $W\to\ell\nu,\,Z\to$  dijet or  $W\to\ell\nu,\,W\to$  dijet, the dijet mass resolution precluding differentiation between the W and Z.  $p_T$  and pseudo-rapidity cuts are put on the lepton, the photon and the two jets to minimize backgrounds. The dijet mass is required to be between 70–100 GeV and  $|\Delta\eta_{jj}|<1.4$ . The selected number of muon (electron) events are 183 (139), with SM expectation being 194.2  $\pm$  11.5 (147.9  $\pm$  10.7) including signal and background. The photon  $E_T$  distribution is used to set limits on the anomalous quartic couplings. The following 95% CL limits are deduced (all in units of TeV $^{-2}$  or TeV $^{-4}$ ): -21 <  $a_0^W/\Lambda^2<$  20, -34 <  $a_c^W/\Lambda^2<$  32, -12 <  $\kappa_0^W/\Lambda^2<$  10 and -18 <  $\kappa_c^W/\Lambda^2<$  17; and -25 <  $f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4<$  24 TeV $^{-4}$ .
- ABAZOV 13D searches for anomalous  $WW\gamma\gamma$  quartic gauge couplings in the two-photon-mediated process  $pp\to ppWW$ , assuming the  $WW\gamma$  triple gauge boson couplings to be at their Standard Model values. 946 events containing an  $e^+e^-$  pair with missing energy are selected in a total luminosity of 9.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>, with an expectation of 983  $\pm$  108 events from Standard-Model processes. The following 1-parameter limits at 95% CL are otained:  $|a_0^W/\Lambda^2| < 4.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$  ( $a_c^W=0$ ),  $|a_c^W/\Lambda^2| < 1.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$  ( $a_0^W=0$ ).
- <sup>28</sup> CHATRCHYAN 13AA searches for anomalous  $WW\gamma\gamma$  quartic gauge couplings in the two-photon-mediated process  $pp\to ppWW$ , assuming the  $WW\gamma$  triple gauge boson couplings to be at their Standard Model values. 2 events containing an  $e^\pm\mu^\mp$  pair with  $p_T(e,\mu)>30$  GeV are selected in a total luminosity of 5.05 fb<sup>-1</sup>, with an expected ppWW signal of  $2.2\pm0.4$  events and an expected background of  $0.84\pm0.15$  events. The following 1-parameter limits at 95% CL are otained from the  $p_T(e,\mu)$  spectrum:  $\left|a_0^W/\Lambda^2\right| < 4.0\times10^{-6}~{\rm GeV}^{-2}~\left(a_c^W=0\right),\,\left|a_c^W/\Lambda^2\right| < 1.5\times10^{-5}~{\rm GeV}^{-2}~\left(a_0^W=0\right).$

- $^{29}$  ABBIENDI 04B select 187  $e^+e^-\to W^+W^-\gamma$  events in the C.M. energy range 180–209 GeV, where  $E_\gamma>$  2.5 GeV, the photon has a polar angle  $|\cos\!\theta_\gamma|<0.975$  and is well isolated from the nearest jet and charged lepton, and the effective masses of both fermion-antifermion systems agree with the W mass within 3  $\Gamma_W$ . The measured differential cross section as a function of the photon energy and photon polar angle is used to extract the 95% CL limits:  $-0.020~{\rm GeV}^{-2} < a_0/\Lambda^2 < 0.020~{\rm GeV}^{-2}, -0.053~{\rm GeV}^{-2} < a_c/\Lambda^2 < 0.037~{\rm GeV}^{-2}$  and  $-0.16~{\rm GeV}^{-2} < a_n/\Lambda^2 < 0.15~{\rm GeV}^{-2}.$
- GeV and 176  $e^+e^- o q \overline{q} \gamma \gamma$  events in the energy range 180–209 GeV and 176  $e^+e^- o q \overline{q} \gamma \gamma$  events in the energy range 130–209 GeV. These samples are used to constrain possible anomalous  $W^+W^-\gamma \gamma$  and  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  quartic couplings. Further combining with the  $W^+W^-\gamma$  sample of ABBIENDI 04B the following one-parameter 95% CL limits are obtained:  $-0.007 < a_0^Z/\Lambda^2 < 0.023 \ {\rm GeV}^{-2}, -0.029 < a_c^Z/\Lambda^2 < 0.029 \ {\rm GeV}^{-2}, -0.052 < a_c^W/\Lambda^2 < 0.037 \ {\rm GeV}^{-2}$
- 31 In the CM energy range 183 to 209 GeV HEISTER 04A select 30  $e^+\,e^-\to\nu\overline{\nu}\gamma\gamma$  events with two acoplanar, high energy and high transverse momentum photons. The photon–photon acoplanarity is required to be  $>5^\circ$ ,  $E_\gamma/\sqrt{s}>0.025$  (the more energetic photon having energy  $>0.2~\sqrt{s}$ ),  ${\rm p}_{T\gamma}/{\rm E}_{\rm beam}>0.05$  and  $\left|\cos\theta_\gamma\right|<0.94$ . A likelihood fit to the photon energy and recoil missing mass yields the following one–parameter 95% CL limits:  $-0.012< a_0^Z/\Lambda^2<0.019~{\rm GeV}^{-2}, -0.041< a_c^Z/\Lambda^2<0.044~{\rm GeV}^{-2}, -0.060< a_0^W/\Lambda^2<0.055~{\rm GeV}^{-2}, -0.099< a_c^W/\Lambda^2<0.093~{\rm GeV}^{-2}.$
- $^{32}$  ABDALLAH 03I select 122  $e^{+}\,e^{-}\to W^{+}\,W^{-}\,\gamma$  events in the C.M. energy range 189–209 GeV, where  $E_{\gamma}>$ 5 GeV, the photon has a polar angle  $\left|\cos\theta_{\gamma}\right|<$  0.95 and is well isolated from the nearest charged fermion. A fit to the photon energy spectra yields  $a_{c}/\Lambda^{2}=0.000^{+0.019}_{-0.040}~{\rm GeV}^{-2},~a_{0}/\Lambda^{2}=-0.004^{+0.018}_{-0.010}~{\rm GeV}^{-2},~\widetilde{a}_{0}/\Lambda^{2}=-0.007^{+0.019}_{-0.008}~{\rm GeV}^{-2},~a_{n}/\Lambda^{2}=-0.09^{+0.16}_{-0.05}~{\rm GeV}^{-2},~{\rm and}~\widetilde{a}_{n}/\Lambda^{2}=+0.05^{+0.07}_{-0.15}~{\rm GeV}^{-2},~{\rm keeping}~{\rm the}~{\rm other}~{\rm parameters}~{\rm fixed}~{\rm to}~{\rm their}~{\rm Standard}~{\rm Model}~{\rm values}~(0).$  The 95% CL limits are:  $-0.063~{\rm GeV}^{-2}< a_{c}/\Lambda^{2}<+0.032~{\rm GeV}^{-2},~-0.020~{\rm GeV}^{-2}< a_{0}/\Lambda^{2}<+0.020~{\rm GeV}^{-2},~-0.020~{\rm GeV}^{-2}< a_{0}/\Lambda^{2}<+0.020~{\rm GeV}^{-2},~-0.16~{\rm GeV}^{-2}< a_{n}/\Lambda^{2}<+0.17~{\rm GeV}^{-2}.$  33 ACHARD 02F select 86  $e^{+}e^{-}\to W^{+}W^{-}\gamma$  events at 192–207 GeV, where  $E_{\gamma}>5$ 
  - GeV and the photon is well isolated. They also select 43 acoplanar  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma \gamma$  events in this energy range, where the photon energies are >5 GeV and >1 GeV and the photon polar angles are between 14° and 166°. All these 43 events are in the recoil mass region corresponding to the Z (75–110 GeV). Using the shape and normalization of the photon spectra in the  $W^+W^-\gamma$  events, and combining with the 42 event sample from 189 GeV data (ACCIARRI 00T), they obtain:  $a_0/\Lambda^2=0.000\pm0.010$  GeV<sup>-2</sup>,  $a_c/\Lambda^2=-0.013\pm0.023$  GeV<sup>-2</sup>, and  $a_n/\Lambda^2=-0.002\pm0.076$  GeV<sup>-2</sup>. Further combining the analyses of  $W^+W^-\gamma$  events with the low recoil mass region of  $\nu \overline{\nu} \gamma \gamma$  events (including samples collected at 183 + 189 GeV), they obtain the following one-parameter 95% CL limits: -0.015 GeV<sup>-2</sup>  $< a_0/\Lambda^2 < 0.015$  GeV<sup>-2</sup>, -0.048 GeV<sup>-2</sup>  $< a_c/\Lambda^2 < 0.026$  GeV<sup>-2</sup>, and -0.14 GeV<sup>-2</sup>  $< a_n/\Lambda^2 < 0.13$  GeV<sup>-2</sup>.

#### **W** REFERENCES

AAIJ	22C	JHEP 2201 036	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AALTONEN	22	SCI 376 170	T. Aaltonen et al.	(CDF Collab.)
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman et al.	(PDG Collab.)
TUMASYAN	22AB	JHEP 2207 032	A. Tumasyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
TUMASYAN	22E	PR D105 052003	A. Tumasyan et al.	(CMS Collab.)

TUMASYAN	22F	PR D105 072008	A. Tumasyan <i>et al.</i>		(CMS Collab.)
AAD	21AC	EPJ C81 163	G. Aad et al.		(ATLAS Collab.)
					(ATLAC CILL)
AAD		NATP 17 813	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>		(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	21W	JHEP 2106 003	G. Aad et al.		(ATLAS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	21	PL B812 135992	A.M. Sirunyan et al.		` (CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	21G	PRL 126 252002	A.M. Sirunyan et al.		(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	211	PL B819 136409	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>		(CMS Collab.)
TUMASYAN	21A	PR D104 072001	A. Tumasyan et al.		(CMS Collab.)
	21B				
TUMASYAN		JHEP 2110 174	A. Tumasyan et al.		(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNAYN	20	PL B809 135710	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>		(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	20AI	JHEP 2006 076	A.M. Sirunyan et al.		(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	-	EPJ C80 43			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			A.M. Sirunyan et al.		(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	20BD	PL B811 135988	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>		(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	20BF	PR D102 092001	A.M. Sirunyan et al.		(CMS Collab.)
AABOUD	10RA	EPJ C79 884	M. Aaboud et al.		(ATLAS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	19AD	JHEP 1904 122	A.M. Sirunyan et al.		(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	19BG	PRL 122 151802	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>		(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	10RM	PR D100 012004	A.M. Sirunyan et al.		(CMS Collab.)
			,		
SIRUNYAN		PL B795 281	A.M. Sirunyan et al.		(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	19CL	JHEP 1912 062	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>		(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	19CQ	PL B798 134985	A.M. Sirunyan et al.		(CMS Collab.)
AABOUD	18J	EPJ C78 110	M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>		(ATLAS Collab.)
	101				
Also		EPJ C78 898 (errat.)	M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>		(ATLAS Collab.)
AABOUD	18Q	PR D97 032005	M. Aaboud et al.		(ATLAS Collab.)
ANDREEV	18A	EPJ C78 777	V. Andreev et al.		(H1 Collab.)
SIRUNYAN		EPJ C78 589	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>		(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	18CC	PRL 120 081801	A.M. Sirunyan et al.		(CMS Collab.)
AABOUD	17ΔΔ	PR D96 012007	M. Aaboud et al.		(ATLAS Collab.)
AABOUD		EPJ C77 646	M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>		(ATLAS Collab.)
AABOUD	17D	PR D95 032001	M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>		(ATLAS Collab.)
AABOUD	17J	JHEP 1707 107	M. Aaboud et al.		(ATLAS Collab.)
AABOUD					
		EPJ C77 141	M. Aaboud et al.		(ATLAS Collab.)
AABOUD	17Q	EPJ C77 367	M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>		(ATLAS Collab.)
AABOUD	17S	EPJ C77 474	M. Aaboud et al.		(ATLAS Collab.)
AABOUD	17U	EPJ C77 563	M. Aaboud et al.		(ATLAS Collab.)
					(CMC C II I
KHACHATRY			V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>		(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY	17M	JHEP 1706 106	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>		(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY	170	EPJ C77 236	V. Khachatryan et al.		(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN		PL B774 682	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>		
					(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	1/AR	JHEP 1710 072	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>		(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	17X	PL B772 21	A.M. Sirunyan et al.		(CMS Collab.)
AABOUD	16E	PR D94 032011	M. Aaboud et al.		(ATLAS Collab.)
					(ATLAC Callala)
AAD		JHEP 1609 029	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>		(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	16P	PR D93 092004	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>		(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	16Q	PR D93 112002	G. Aad et al.		(ATLAS Collab.)
AAIJ		JHEP 1610 030	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>		(LHCb Collab.)
-			•		
		JHEP 1608 119	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>		(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY	16BI	EPJ C76 401	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>		(CMS Collab.)
AAD	15N	PRL 115 031802	C And at al		
KHACHATRY					. `
		DDI 114 0E1001	G. Aad et al.		(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	-		V. Khachatryan et al.		(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.)
AAD	-	PRL 114 051801 PRL 113 141803			(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
AALTONEN	14AM	PRL 113 141803	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i> G. Aad <i>et al.</i>		(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
	14AM 14Y	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i> G. Aad <i>et al.</i> G. Aad <i>et al.</i>		(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
	14AM 14Y 14D	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i> G. Aad <i>et al.</i> G. Aad <i>et al.</i> T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>		(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i> G. Aad <i>et al.</i> G. Aad <i>et al.</i>		(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i> G. Aad <i>et al.</i> G. Aad <i>et al.</i> T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>		(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008	<ul> <li>V. Khachatryan et al.</li> <li>G. Aad et al.</li> <li>G. Aad et al.</li> <li>T. Aaltonen et al.</li> <li>S. Chatrchyan et al.</li> <li>S. Chatrchyan et al.</li> </ul>		(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al.		(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.)	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al.		(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al.		(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al.		(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAD Also	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.)	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAD Also AALTONEN	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) and D0 Collabs.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAD Also AALTONEN ABAZOV	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN 13N 13D	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018 PR D88 012005	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. V.M. Abazov et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAD Also AALTONEN ABAZOV CHATRCHYAN	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN 13N 13D 13AA	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018 PR D88 012005 JHEP 1307 116	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) and DO Collabs.) (CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAD Also AALTONEN ABAZOV	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN 13N 13D 13AA	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018 PR D88 012005 JHEP 1307 116	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. V.M. Abazov et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) and DO Collabs.) (CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAL AALTONEN ABAZOV CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN 13D 13AA 13BF	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018 PR D88 012005 JHEP 1307 116 EPJ C73 2610	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. V.M. Abazov et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAD Also AALTONEN ABAZOV CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN SCHAEL	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN 13D 13AA 13BF 13A	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018 PR D88 012005 JHEP 1307 116 EPJ C73 2610 PRPL 532 119	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. V.M. Abazov et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Schael et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAD Also AALTONEN ABAZOV CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN SCHAEL AAD	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN 13D 13AA 13BF 13A 12AC	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018 PR D88 012005 JHEP 1307 116 EPJ C73 2610 PRPL 532 119 PL B712 289	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. V.M. Abazov et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Schael et al. G. Aad et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (COLLAB.) (COLLAB.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAD Also AALTONEN ABAZOV CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN SCHAEL	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN 13D 13AA 13BF 13A 12AC	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018 PR D88 012005 JHEP 1307 116 EPJ C73 2610 PRPL 532 119	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. V.M. Abazov et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Schael et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAD Also AALTONEN ABAZOV CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN SCHAEL AAD	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN 13D 13AA 13BF 13A 12AC 12BX	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018 PR D88 012005 JHEP 1307 116 EPJ C73 2610 PRPL 532 119 PL B712 289 PL B717 49	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. V.M. Abazov et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Schael et al. G. Aad et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AALONEN ABAZOV CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN SCHAEL AAD AAD AAD	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN 13D 13AA 13BF 13A 12AC 12BX 12CD	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D81 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018 PR D88 012005 JHEP 1307 116 EPJ C73 2610 PRPL 532 119 PL B717 49 EPJ C72 2173	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. V.M. Abazov et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAD Also AALTONEN ABAZOV CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN SCHAEL AAD AAD AAD AAD	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN 13D 13AA 13BF 13A 12AC 12BX 12CD 12V	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018 PR D88 012005 JHEP 1307 116 EPJ C73 2610 PRPL 532 119 PL B712 289 PL B717 49 EPJ C72 2173 PL B709 341	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. V.M. Abazov et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Schael et al. G. Aad et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAL Also AALTONEN ABAZOV CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN SCHAEL AAD AAD AAD AAD AALTONEN	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN 13D 13AA 13BF 13A 12AC 12BX 12CD 12V 12AC	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018 PR D88 012005 JHEP 1307 116 EPJ C73 2610 PRPL 532 119 PL B712 289 PL B712 289 PL B717 49 EPJ C72 2173 PL B709 341 PR D86 031104	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. V.M. Abazov et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Schael et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. T. Aaltonen et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAD Also AALTONEN ABAZOV CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN SCHAEL AAD AAD AAD AAD	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN 13D 13AA 13BF 13A 12AC 12BX 12CD 12V	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018 PR D88 012005 JHEP 1307 116 EPJ C73 2610 PRPL 532 119 PL B712 289 PL B717 49 EPJ C72 2173 PL B709 341	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. V.M. Abazov et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Schael et al. G. Aad et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAL Also AALTONEN ABAZOV CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN SCHAEL AAD AAD AAD AAD AALTONEN	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN 13D 13AA 13BF 13A 12AC 12BX 12CD 12V 12AC	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018 PR D88 012005 JHEP 1307 116 EPJ C73 2610 PRPL 532 119 PL B712 289 PL B717 49 EPJ C72 2173 PL B709 341 PR D86 031104 PRL 108 151803	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. V.M. Abazov et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Schael et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. T. Aaltonen et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN AAD Also AAISO AALTONEN ABAZOV CHATRCHYAN CHATRCHYAN SCHAEL AAD AAD AAD AAD AAD AALTONEN AALTONEN AALTONEN	14AM 14Y 14D 14AB 14Q 13AL 13AN 13D 13AA 13BF 13A 12AC 12BX 12CD 12V 12AC 12E	PRL 113 141803 JHEP 1404 031 PR D89 072003 PR D89 092005 PR D90 032008 PR D87 112001 PR D88 079906 (errat.) PR D87 112003 PR D91 119901 (errat.) PR D88 052018 PR D88 012005 JHEP 1307 116 EPJ C73 2610 PRPL 532 119 PL B712 289 PL B717 49 EPJ C72 2173 PL B709 341 PR D86 031104 PRL 108 151803	V. Khachatryan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. V.M. Abazov et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. S. Chatrchyan et al. G. Aad et al. T. Aaltonen et al. T. Aaltonen et al. T. Aaltonen et al. T. Aaltonen et al.	(CDF	(ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)

ABAZOV	12AG	PL B718 451	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	12F	PRL 108 151804	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
	121		V.M. Abazov et al.	
Also		PR D89 012005		(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	11	PL B695 67	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV		PRL 107 241803	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
BLINOV	11	PL B699 287	A.E. Blinov, A.S. Rudenko	(NOVO)
CHATRCHYAN	11M	PL B701 535	S. Chatrchyan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
AALTONEN	10K	PRL 104 201801	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
Also		PRL 105 019905(errat.)	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABDALLAH	10	EPJ C66 35	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
AARON	09B	EPJ C64 251	F.D. Aaron et al.	(H1 Collab.)
ABAZOV		PRL 103 141801	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	09AD	PR D80 053012	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	09AJ	PRL 103 191801	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	09AK	PRL 103 231802	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
AALTONEN	08B	PRL 100 071801	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV	08R	PRL 100 241805	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
	08A		J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	
ABDALLAH		EPJ C55 1		(DELPHI Collab.)
ABDALLAH	08C	EPJ C54 345	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
AALTONEN	07F	PRL 99 151801	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
Also		PR D77 112001	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	07L	PR D76 111103	T. Aaltonen et al.	(CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV	07Z	PR D76 111104	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABBIENDI	07A	EPJ C52 767	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
	06H			`
ABAZOV	ООП	PR D74 057101	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
Also		PR D74 059904(errat.)	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBIENDI	06	EPJ C45 307	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	06A	EPJ C45 291	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACHARD	06	EPJ C45 569	P. Achard et al.	(L3 Collab.)
AKTAS	06	PL B632 35	A. Aktas <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
SCHAEL	06	EPJ C47 309	S. Schael <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
	05J	PR D71 091108	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	
ABAZOV				(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	05S	PRL 95 141802	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
SCHAEL	05A	PL B614 7	S. Schael <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABAZOV	04D	PR D70 092008	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBIENDI	04B	PL B580 17	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	04D	EPJ C33 463	G. Abbiendi et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	04L	PR D70 032005	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABDALLAH	04G	EPJ C34 127	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
			P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	
ACHARD	04D	PL B586 151		(L3 Collab.)
ACHARD	04J	PL B600 22	P. Achard et al.	(L3 Collab.)
HEISTER	04A	PL B602 31	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
SCHAEL	04A	EPJ C38 147	S. Schael <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABBIENDI	03C	EPJ C26 321	G. Abbiendi <i>et al</i> .	(OPAL Collab.)
ABDALLAH	031	EPJ C31 139	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABAZOV	02D	PR D66 012001	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	02E	PR D66 032008	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ACHARD	02F		P. Achard et al.	(L3 Collab.)
CHEKANOV	02C	PL B539 197	S. Chekanov et al.	(ZEUS Collab.)
ABBIENDI	01H	EPJ C19 229	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABREU	011	PL B502 9	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
AFFOLDER	01E	PR D64 052001	T. Affolder et al.	(CDF Collab.)
ABBIENDI	00V	PL B490 71	G. Abbiendi et al.	(ÒPAL Collab.)
ABBOTT	00B	PR D61 072001	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT	00D	PRL 84 5710	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	3= 1
				(DO Collab.)
ABREU,P	00F	EPJ C18 203	P. Abreu et al.	(DELPHI Collab.)
Also		EPJ_C25_493 (errat.)	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	T00	PL B490 187	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AFFOLDER	M00	PRL 85 3347	T. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
BREITWEG	00	PL B471 411	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ŽEUS Collab.)
BREITWEG	00D	EPJ C12 411	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
EBOLI	00	MPL A15 1	O. Eboli, M. Gonzalez-Garcia, S.	
ABBIENDI	99N	PL B453 153	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBOTT	99H	PR D60 052003	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT	991	PR D60 072002	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABREU	99L	PL B459 382	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99	PL B454 386	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99Q	PL B467 171	M. Acciarri et al.	(L3 Collab.)
BARATE	991	PL B453 107	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE	99L	PL B462 389	R. Barate et al.	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE	99M	PL B465 349	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
		. = 0.0		(

ABE	ABBOTT ABBOTT ABE ABE ABREU ABREU BARATE BARATE ABACHI ABE ABE ABE Also	98N 98P 98H 98P 98C 98N 97 97S 95D 95C 95G	PR D58 092003 PR D58 012002 PR D58 031101 PR D58 091101 PL B416 233 PL B439 209 PL B401 347 PL B415 435 PRL 75 1456 PRL 74 341 PRL 74 1936 PRL 75 11 PR D52 4784	B. Abbott et al. B. Abbott et al. F. Abe et al. F. Abe et al. P. Abreu et al. P. Abreu et al. R. Barate et al. R. Barate et al. F. Abe et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ABE         92E         PRL 68 3398         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ABE         92I         PRL 69 28         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ABIE         92I         PRL 69 28         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALITTI         92B         PL B276 365         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ALITTI         92B         PL B277 194         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ALITTI         92D         PL B277 203         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ALITTI         92D         PL B280 137         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           SAMUEL         92         PL B280 124         M.A. Samuel et al.         (OKSU, CARL.)           ABE         91C         PR D44 29         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         91         PL B253 503         C. Albajar et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ALBAJAR         91         PL B267 9         J. Alitti et al.         (OKSU, CARL.)           Also         PRL 67 9200 (erratum)         M.A. Samuel et al.         (OKSU, CARL.)           ABE         90G         PRL 65 2243         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR		95W			,
ABE         92I         PRL 69 28         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALITTI         92         PL B276 365         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ALITTI         92B         PL B276 354         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ALITTI         92C         PL B277 194         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ALITTI         92D         PL B277 203         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ALITTI         92P         PL B280 137         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ALITTI         92F         PL B280 124         M.A. Samuel et al.         (OKSU, CARL)           ABE         91C         PR D44 29         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         91         PL B253 503         C. Albajar et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ALITTI         91C         ZPHY C52 209         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ABE         91         PRL 67 99         M.A. Samuel et al.         (OKSU, CARL)           Also         PRL 67 2920 (erratum)         M.A. Samuel et al.         (OKSU, CARL)           ABE         90         PL B241 283         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ALB					,
ALITTI 92 PL B276 365 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 92B PL B276 354 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 92C PL B277 194 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 92D PL B277 194 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 92P PL B277 203 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 92F PL B280 137 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 92F PL B280 137 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) SAMUEL 92 PL B280 124 M.A. Samuel et al. (OKSU, CARL) ABE 91C PR D44 29 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.) ALITTI 91C ZPHY C52 209 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 91C ZPHY C52 209 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 91C ZPHY C52 209 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ABE 91 PRL 67 9 M.A. Samuel et al. (UA2 Collab.) ABS PRL 67 2920 (erratum) ABE 90G PRL 65 2243 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.) ALITTI 90B PL B241 283 C. Albajar et al. (CDF Collab.) ALITTI 90B PL B241 150 J. Alitti et al. (UA1 Collab.) ALITTI 90B PL B241 150 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ABE 891 PRL 62 1005 F. Abe et al. (UA1 Collab.) ABBE 891 PRL 62 1005 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.) ABBAJAR 89 ZPHY C44 15 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ALBAJAR 89 ZPHY C44 15 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) BAUR 88 NP B308 127 U. Baur, D. Zeppenfeld (FSU, WISC) GRIFOLS 88 IJMP A3 225 J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola (BARC, DESY) Also PL B197 437 J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola (BARC, DESY) ALBAJAR 87 PL B185 233 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) GROTCH 87 PR D36 2153 H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett (PSU) HAGIWARA 87 NP B282 253 K. Hagiwara et al. (UA2 Collab.) GROTCH 87 PR D36 1088 J.J. van der Bij (FNAL) GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC) SUZUKI 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC) SUZUKI 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC) SUZUKI 85 PL 154B 283 F. Herzog (WISC) ARNISON 84D PL 134B 469 G.T.J. Arnison et al. (UA1 Collab.)		-			,
ALITTI 92B PL B276 354 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 92C PL B277 194 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 92D PL B277 203 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 92D PL B277 203 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 92F PL B280 137 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) SAMUEL 92 PL B280 124 M.A. Samuel et al. (OKSU, CARL) ABE 91C PR D44 29 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.) ALBAJAR 91 PL B253 503 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ALITTI 91C ZPHY C52 209 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) SAMUEL 91 PRL 67 9 M.A. Samuel et al. (OKSU, CARL) Also PRL 67 920 (erratum) M.A. Samuel et al. (OKSU, CARL) Also PR D43 2070 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.) ALBAJAR 90 PL B241 283 C. Albajar et al. (CDF Collab.) ALITTI 90B PL B241 150 J. Alitti et al. (UA1 Collab.) ALBAJAR 89 ZPHY C44 15 C. Albajar et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALBAJAR 89 ZPHY C44 15 C. Albajar et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALBAJAR 89 ZPHY C44 15 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ALBAJAR 88 NP B308 127 U. Baur, D. Zeppenfeld (FSU, WISC) GRIFOLS 88 IJMP A3 225 J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola (BARC, DESY) ALBAJAR 87 PL B185 233 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ANSARI 87 PL B186 440 R. Ansari et al. (UA2 Collab.) ANSARI 87 PL B186 440 R. Ansari et al. (UA2 Collab.) ANSARI 87 PL B186 253 K. Hagiwara et al. (UA1 Collab.) ANSARI 87 PR D36 2153 H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett (PSU) HAGIWARA 87 NP B282 253 K. Hagiwara et al. (UA2 Collab.) GROTCH 87 PR D36 1253 H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett (PSU) VANDERBIJ 87 PR D35 1088 J.J. van der Bij (FNAL) GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC, DESY) ALBAJON 84D PL 134B 469 G.T.J. Arnison et al. (UA1 Collab.) ARNISON 84D PL 134B 469 G.T.J. Arnison et al. (UA1 Collab.) ARNISON 84D PL 134B 469 G.T.J. Arnison et al. (UA1 Collab.)					,
ALITTI 92C PL B277 194 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 92D PL B277 203 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 92F PL B280 137 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 92F PL B280 137 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) AMUEL 92 PL B280 124 M.A. Samuel et al. (OKSU, CARL) ABE 91C PR D44 29 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.) ALBAJAR 91 PL B253 503 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ALITTI 91C ZPHY C52 209 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ASMUEL 91 PRL 67 9 M.A. Samuel et al. (OKSU, CARL) ABE 90G PRL 67 2920 (erratum) ABE 90G PRL 65 2243 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.) ALBAJAR 90 PL B241 283 C. Albajar et al. (CDF Collab.) ALBAJAR 90 PL B241 150 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 90B PL B241 150 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALBAJAR 89 ZPHY C44 15 C. Albajar et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALBAJAR 89 ZPHY C44 15 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ALBAJAR 89 ZPHY C44 15 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ALBAJAR 89 PL B197 437 J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola (BARC, DESY) Also PL B197 437 J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola (BARC, DESY) ALBAJAR 87 PL B185 233 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ANSARI 87 PL B185 233 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ANSARI 87 PL B186 440 R. Ansari et al. (UA1 Collab.) ANSARI 87 PL B186 440 R. Ansari et al. (UA2 Collab.) GROTCH 87 PR D36 2153 H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett (PSU) HAGIWARA 87 NP B282 253 K. Hagiwara et al. (UA2 Collab.) GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC, DESY) VANDERBIJ 87 PR D35 1088 J.J. van der Bij (FNAL) GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC) SUZUKI 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols GRAU 85 PL 155B 468 (erratum) F. Herzog G.T.J. Arn					` '
ALITTI 92D PL B277 203 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALITTI 92F PL B280 137 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) SAMUEL 92 PL B280 124 M.A. Samuel et al. (OKSU, CARL) ABE 91C PR D44 29 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.) ALBAJAR 91 PL B253 503 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ALITTI 91C ZPHY C52 209 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) SAMUEL 91 PRL 67 9 M.A. Samuel et al. (OKSU, CARL) Also PRL 67 2920 (erratum) M.A. Samuel et al. ABE 90G PRL 65 2243 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.) Also PR D43 2070 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.) ALITTI 90B PL B241 283 C. Albajar et al. (CDF Collab.) ALITTI 90B PL B241 150 J. Alitti et al. (UA1 Collab.) ABE 891 PRL 62 1005 F. Abe et al. (UA2 Collab.) ABBAJAR 89 ZPHY C44 15 C. Albajar et al. (CDF Collab.) ALBAJAR 89 ZPHY C44 15 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ALBAJAR 89 ZPHY C44 15 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ALBAJAR 88 NP B308 127 U. Baur, D. Zeppenfeld (FSU, WISC) GRIFOLS 88 IJMP A3 225 J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola (BARC, DESY) Also PL B197 437 J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola (BARC, DESY) ALBAJAR 87 PL B185 233 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) GROTCH 87 PR D36 2153 H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett (PSU) HAGIWARA 87 NP B282 253 K. Hagiwara et al. (WA2 Collab.) GROTCH 87 PR D36 2153 H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett (PSU) HAGIWARA 87 NP B282 253 K. Hagiwara et al. (KEK, UCLA, FSU) VANDERBIJ 87 PR D36 2153 H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett (PSU) HAGIWARA 85 PL 1548 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC, DESY) CRAU 85 PL 1548 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols GRAU 85 PL 1548 289 M. Suzuki (LBL) ARNISON 84D PL 1348 469 G.T.J. Arnison et al. (UA1 Collab.) HERZOG 84 PL 1488 355 F. Herzog (WISC) ARNISON 83 PL 122B 103 G.T.J. Arnison et al. (UA1 Collab.)					•
ALITTI 92F PL B280 137 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) SAMUEL 92 PL B280 124 M.A. Samuel et al. (OKSU, CARL) ABE 91C PR D44 29 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.) ALBAJAR 91 PL B253 503 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ALITTI 91C ZPHY C52 209 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) SAMUEL 91 PRL 67 9 M.A. Samuel et al. (OKSU, CARL) Also PR D43 2070 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.) Also PR D43 2070 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.) ALBAJAR 90 PL B241 283 C. Albajar et al. (CDF Collab.) ALBAJAR 90 PL B241 150 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ABE 891 PRL 62 1005 F. Abe et al. (UA2 Collab.) ALBAJAR 89 ZPHY C44 15 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) BAUR 88 NP B308 127 U. Baur, D. Zeppenfeld (FSU, WISC) GRIFOLS 88 IJMP A3 2255 J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola (BARC, DESY) ALBAJAR 87 PL B185 233 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ANSARI 87 PL B185 233 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ANSARI 87 PL B186 440 R. Ansari et al. (UA2 Collab.) GROTCH 87 PR D36 2153 H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett (PSU) WANDERBIJ 87 PR D36 1253 H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett (PSU) WANDERBIJ 87 PR D35 1088 J.J. van der Bij (FNAL) GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC, DESY) VANDERBIJ 87 PR D35 1088 J.J. van der Bij (FNAL) GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC) GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC) SUZUKI 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC) ARNISON 84D PL 134B 469 G.T.J. Arnison et al. (UA1 Collab.) HERZOG 84 PL 148B 355 F. Herzog (WISC) ARNISON 840 PL 155B 468 (erratum) F. Herzog (WISC)					` '
SAMUEL         92         PL B280 124         M.A. Samuel et al.         (OKSU, CARL)           ABE         91C         PR D44 29         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         91         PL B253 503         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ALITTI         91C         ZPHY C52 209         J. Alitit et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           SAMUEL         91         PRL 67 9         M.A. Samuel et al.         (OKSU, CARL)           Also         PRL 67 2920 (erratum)         M.A. Samuel et al.         (CDF Collab.)           Also         PR D43 2070         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         90         PL B241 283         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ALITTI         90B         PL B241 150         J. Alitit et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ABE         89I         PRL 62 1005         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         89         ZPHY C44 15         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ALBAJAR         89         ZPHY C44 15         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           GRIFOLS         88         IJMP A3 225         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           ALBA					` ,
ABE         91		-			` ,
ALBAJAR       91       PL B253 503       C. Albajar et al.       (UA1 Collab.)         ALITTI       91C       ZPHY C52 209       J. Alitti et al.       (UA2 Collab.)         SAMUEL       91       PRL 67 9       M.A. Samuel et al.       (OKSU, CARL)         Also       PRL 67 2920 (erratum)       M.A. Samuel et al.       (CDF Collab.)         ABE       90G       PRL 65 2243       F. Abe et al.       (CDF Collab.)         ALBAJAR       90       PL B241 283       C. Albajar et al.       (UA1 Collab.)         ALITTI       90B       PL B241 150       J. Alitti et al.       (UA2 Collab.)         ABE       89I       PRL 62 1005       F. Abe et al.       (CDF Collab.)         ALBAJAR       89       ZPHY C44 15       C. Albajar et al.       (UA1 Collab.)         ALBAJAR       89       ZPHY C44 15       C. Albajar et al.       (UA1 Collab.)         GRIFOLS       88       IJMP A3 225       J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola       (BARC, DESY)         Also       PL B197 437       J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola       (BARC, DESY)         ALBAJAR       87       PL B185 233       C. Albajar et al.       (UA1 Collab.)         ANSARI       87       PL B186 440       R. Ansari et al.       (UA					,
ALITTI 91C ZPHY C52 209 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.)  SAMUEL 91 PRL 67 9 M.A. Samuel et al. (OKSU, CARL)  Also PRL 67 2920 (erratum) M.A. Samuel et al.  ABE 90G PRL 65 2243 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.)  Also PR D43 2070 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.)  ALBAJAR 90 PL B241 283 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.)  ALITTI 90B PL B241 150 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.)  ABE 89I PRL 62 1005 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.)  ALBAJAR 89 ZPHY C44 15 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.)  BAUR 88 NP B308 127 U. Baur, D. Zeppenfeld (FSU, WISC)  GRIFOLS 88 IJMP A3 225 J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola (BARC, DESY)  Also PL B197 437 J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola (BARC, DESY)  ALBAJAR 87 PL B185 233 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.)  ANSARI 87 PL B185 233 C. Albajar et al. (UA2 Collab.)  GROTCH 87 PR D36 2153 H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett (PSU)  HAGIWARA 87 NP B282 253 K. Hagiwara et al. (KEK, UCLA, FSU)  VANDERBIJ 87 PR D35 1088 J.J. van der Bij (FNAL)  GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC)  SUZUKI 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC)  SUZUKI 85 PL 154B 289 M. Suzuki (LBL)  ARNISON 84D PL 134B 469 G.T.J. Arnison et al. (UA1 Collab.)  HERZOG 84 PL 148B 355 F. Herzog (WISC)  ARNISON 83 PL 122B 103 G.T.J. Arnison et al. (UA1 Collab.)		-			` '
SAMUEL         91         PRL 67         9         M.A. Samuel et al.         (OKSU, CARL)           Also         PRL 67         2920 (erratum)         M.A. Samuel et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ABE         90G         PRL 65         2243         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         90         PL B241         283         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ALITTI         90B         PL B241         150         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ABE         89I         PRL 62         1005         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         89         ZPHY C44         15         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           BAUR         88         NP B308         127         U. Baur, D. Zeppenfeld         (FSU, WISC)           GRIFOLS         88         IJMP A3         225         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           ALBAJAR         87         PL B197         437         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           ALBAJAR         87         PL B185         233         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ALBAJAR         87         PL B1864         400		-		3	` ,
Also         PRL 67 2920 (erratum)         M.A. Samuel et al.           ABE         90G         PRL 65 2243         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           Also         PR D43 2070         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         90         PL B241 283         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ALITTI         90B         PL B241 150         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ABE         89I         PRL 62 1005         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         89         PRL 62 1005         F. Abe et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ALBAJAR         89         ZPHY C44 15         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           BAUR         88         NP B308 127         U. Baur, D. Zeppenfeld         (FSU, WISC)           GRIFOLS         88         IJMP A3 225         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           ALBAJAR         87         PL B185 233         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ANSARI         87         PL B186 440         R. Ansari et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           GROTCH         87         PR D36 2153         H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett         (PSU)           HAGIWARA         87		-			` ,
ABE         90G         PRL 65 2243         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           Also         PR D43 2070         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         90         PL B241 283         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ALITTI         90B         PL B241 150         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ABE         89I         PRL 62 1005         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         89         ZPHY C44 15         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           BAUR         88         NP B308 127         U. Baur, D. Zeppenfeld         (FSU, WISC)           GRIFOLS         88         IJMP A3 225         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           Also         PL B197 437         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           ALBAJAR         87         PL B185 233         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ANSARI         87         PL B186 440         R. Ansari et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           GROTCH         87         PR D36 2153         H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett         (PSU)           HAGIWARA         87         NP B282 253         K. Hagiwara et al.         (KEK, UCLA, FSU)		91			(OK30, CARL)
Also         PR D43 2070         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         90         PL B241 283         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ALITTI         90B         PL B241 150         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ABE         89I         PRL 62 1005         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         89         ZPHY C44 15         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           BAUR         88         NP B308 127         U. Baur, D. Zeppenfeld         (FSU, WISC)           GRIFOLS         88         IJMP A3 225         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           Also         PL B197 437         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           ALBAJAR         87         PL B185 233         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ANSARI         87         PL B186 440         R. Ansari et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           GROTCH         87         PR D36 2153         H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett         (PSU)           HAGIWARA         87         NP B282 253         K. Hagiwara et al.         (KEK, UCLA, FSU)           VANDERBIJ         87         PR D35 1088         J.J. van der Bij         (FNAL)		906			(CDE Collab.)
ALBAJAR         90         PL B241 283         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ALITTI         90B         PL B241 150         J. Alitti et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ABE         89I         PRL 62 1005         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         89         ZPHY C44 15         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           BAUR         88         NP B308 127         U. Baur, D. Zeppenfeld         (FSU, WISC)           GRIFOLS         88         IJMP A3 225         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           Also         PL B197 437         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           ALBAJAR         87         PL B185 233         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ANSARI         87         PL B186 440         R. Ansari et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           GROTCH         87         PR D36 2153         H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett         (PSU)           HAGIWARA         87         NP B282 253         K. Hagiwara et al.         (KEK, UCLA, FSU)           VANDERBIJ         87         PR D35 1088         J.J. van der Bij         (FNAL)           GRAU         85         PL 154B 283         A. Grau, J.A. Grifols         (BARC)		900			
ALITTI 90B PL B241 150 J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collab.) ABE 89I PRL 62 1005 F. Abe et al. (CDF Collab.) ALBAJAR 89 ZPHY C44 15 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) BAUR 88 NP B308 127 U. Baur, D. Zeppenfeld (FSU, WISC) GRIFOLS 88 IJMP A3 225 J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola (BARC, DESY) Also PL B197 437 J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola (BARC, DESY) ALBAJAR 87 PL B185 233 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ANSARI 87 PL B186 440 R. Ansari et al. (UA2 Collab.) GROTCH 87 PR D36 2153 H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett (PSU) HAGIWARA 87 NP B282 253 K. Hagiwara et al. (KEK, UCLA, FSU) VANDERBIJ 87 PR D35 1088 J.J. van der Bij (FNAL) GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC) SUZUKI 85 PL 153B 289 M. Suzuki (LBL) ARNISON 84D PL 134B 469 G.T.J. Arnison et al. (UA1 Collab.) HERZOG 84 PL 148B 355 F. Herzog (WISC) Also PL 155B 468 (erratum) F. Herzog (WISC) ARNISON 83 PL 122B 103 G.T.J. Arnison et al. (UA2 Collab.)		90			
ABE         89I         PRL 62 1005         F. Abe et al.         (CDF Collab.)           ALBAJAR         89         ZPHY C44 15         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           BAUR         88         NP B308 127         U. Baur, D. Zeppenfeld         (FSU, WISC)           GRIFOLS         88         IJMP A3 225         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           Also         PL B197 437         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           ALBAJAR         87         PL B185 233         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ANSARI         87         PL B186 440         R. Ansari et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           GROTCH         87         PR D36 2153         H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett         (PSU)           HAGIWARA         87         NP B282 253         K. Hagiwara et al.         (KEK, UCLA, FSU)           VANDERBIJ         87         PR D35 1088         J.J. van der Bij         (FNAL)           GRAU         85         PL 154B 283         A. Grau, J.A. Grifols         (BARC)           SUZUKI         85         PL 153B 289         M. Suzuki         (LBL)           ARNISON         84D         PL 134B 469         G.T.J. Arnison et al.         (WISC)	-				` ,
ALBAJAR       89       ZPHY C44 15       C. Albajar et al.       (UA1 Collab.)         BAUR       88       NP B308 127       U. Baur, D. Zeppenfeld       (FSU, WISC)         GRIFOLS       88       IJMP A3 225       J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola       (BARC, DESY)         Also       PL B197 437       J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola       (BARC, DESY)         ALBAJAR       87       PL B185 233       C. Albajar et al.       (UA1 Collab.)         ANSARI       87       PL B186 440       R. Ansari et al.       (UA2 Collab.)         GROTCH       87       PR D36 2153       H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett       (PSU)         HAGIWARA       87       NP B282 253       K. Hagiwara et al.       (KEK, UCLA, FSU)         VANDERBIJ       87       PR D35 1088       J.J. van der Bij       (FNAL)         GRAU       85       PL 154B 283       A. Grau, J.A. Grifols       (BARC)         SUZUKI       85       PL 153B 289       M. Suzuki       (LBL)         ARNISON       84D       PL 134B 469       G.T.J. Arnison et al.       (UA1 Collab.)         HERZOG       Also       PL 155B 468 (erratum)       F. Herzog       (WISC)         ARNISON       83       PL 122B 103       G.T.J. Arnison et al.					` ,
BAUR         88         NP B308 127         U. Baur, D. Zeppenfeld         (FSU, WISC)           GRIFOLS         88         IJMP A3 225         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           Also         PL B197 437         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           ALBAJAR         87         PL B185 233         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ANSARI         87         PL B186 440         R. Ansari et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           GROTCH         87         PR D36 2153         H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett         (PSU)           HAGIWARA         87         NP B282 253         K. Hagiwara et al.         (KEK, UCLA, FSU)           VANDERBIJ         87         PR D35 1088         J.J. van der Bij         (FNAL)           GRAU         85         PL 154B 283         A. Grau, J.A. Grifols         (BARC)           SUZUKI         85         PL 153B 289         M. Suzuki         (LBL)           ARNISON         84D         PL 134B 469         G.T.J. Arnison et al.         (WISC)           Also         PL 155B 468 (erratum)         F. Herzog         (WISC)           ARNISON         83         PL 122B 103         G.T.J. Arnison et al.         (UA1 Collab.)					
GRIFOLS         88         IJMP A3 225         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           Also         PL B197 437         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           ALBAJAR         87         PL B185 233         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ANSARI         87         PL B186 440         R. Ansari et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           GROTCH         87         PR D36 2153         H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett         (PSU)           HAGIWARA         87         NP B282 253         K. Hagiwara et al.         (KEK, UCLA, FSU)           VANDERBIJ         87         PR D35 1088         J.J. van der Bij         (FNAL)           GRAU         85         PL 154B 283         A. Grau, J.A. Grifols         (BARC, DESY)           SUZUKI         85         PL 153B 289         M. Suzuki         (LBL)           ARNISON         84D         PL 134B 469         G.T.J. Arnison et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           HERZOG         84         PL 148B 355         F. Herzog         (WISC)           ARNISON         83         PL 122B 103         G.T.J. Arnison et al.         (UA1 Collab.)					
Also         PL B197 437         J.A. Grifols, S. Peris, J. Sola         (BARC, DESY)           ALBAJAR         87         PL B185 233         C. Albajar et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ANSARI         87         PL B186 440         R. Ansari et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           GROTCH         87         PR D36 2153         H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett         (PSU)           HAGIWARA         87         NP B282 253         K. Hagiwara et al.         (KEK, UCLA, FSU)           VANDERBIJ         87         PR D35 1088         J.J. van der Bij         (FNAL)           GRAU         85         PL 154B 283         A. Grau, J.A. Grifols         (BARC)           SUZUKI         85         PL 153B 289         M. Suzuki         (LBL)           ARNISON         84D         PL 134B 469         G.T.J. Arnison et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           HERZOG         84         PL 148B 355         F. Herzog         (WISC)           ARNISON         83         PL 122B 103         G.T.J. Arnison et al.         (UA1 Collab.)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. `
ALBAJAR       87       PL B185 233       C. Albajar et al.       (UA1 Collab.)         ANSARI       87       PL B186 440       R. Ansari et al.       (UA2 Collab.)         GROTCH       87       PR D36 2153       H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett       (PSU)         HAGIWARA       87       NP B282 253       K. Hagiwara et al.       (KEK, UCLA, FSU)         VANDERBIJ       87       PR D35 1088       J.J. van der Bij       (FNAL)         GRAU       85       PL 154B 283       A. Grau, J.A. Grifols       (BARC)         SUZUKI       85       PL 153B 289       M. Suzuki       (LBL)         ARNISON       84D       PL 134B 469       G.T.J. Arnison et al.       (UA1 Collab.)         HERZOG       84       PL 148B 355       F. Herzog       (WISC)         Also       PL 155B 468 (erratum)       F. Herzog       (WISC)         ARNISON       83       PL 122B 103       G.T.J. Arnison et al.       (UA1 Collab.)		00			
ANSARI 87 PL B186 440 R. Ansari et al. (UA2 Collab.) GROTCH 87 PR D36 2153 H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett (PSU) HAGIWARA 87 NP B282 253 K. Hagiwara et al. (KEK, UCLA, FSU) VANDERBIJ 87 PR D35 1088 J.J. van der Bij (FNAL) GRAU 85 PL 154B 283 A. Grau, J.A. Grifols (BARC) SUZUKI 85 PL 153B 289 M. Suzuki (LBL) ARNISON 84D PL 134B 469 G.T.J. Arnison et al. (UA1 Collab.) HERZOG 84 PL 148B 355 F. Herzog (WISC) Also PL 155B 468 (erratum) F. Herzog (WISC) ARNISON 83 PL 122B 103 G.T.J. Arnison et al. (UA1 Collab.)		87			·
GROTCH         87         PR D36 2153         H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett         (PSU)           HAGIWARA         87         NP B282 253         K. Hagiwara et al.         (KEK, UCLA, FSU)           VANDERBIJ         87         PR D35 1088         J.J. van der Bij         (FNAL)           GRAU         85         PL 154B 283         A. Grau, J.A. Grifols         (BARC)           SUZUKI         85         PL 153B 289         M. Suzuki         (LBL)           ARNISON         84D         PL 134B 469         G.T.J. Arnison et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           HERZOG         84         PL 148B 355         F. Herzog         (WISC)           ARNISON         83         PL 122B 103         G.T.J. Arnison et al.         (UA1 Collab.)				3	
HAGIWARA       87       NP B282 253       K. Hagiwara et al.       (KEK, UCLA, FSU)         VANDERBIJ       87       PR D35 1088       J.J. van der Bij       (FNAL)         GRAU       85       PL 154B 283       A. Grau, J.A. Grifols       (BARC)         SUZUKI       85       PL 153B 289       M. Suzuki       (LBL)         ARNISON       84D       PL 134B 469       G.T.J. Arnison et al.       (UA1 Collab.)         HERZOG       84       PL 148B 355       F. Herzog       (WISC)         ARNISON       83       PL 122B 103       G.T.J. Arnison et al.       (UA1 Collab.)					`
VANDERBIJ         87         PR D35 1088         J.J. van der Bij         (FNAL)           GRAU         85         PL 154B 283         A. Grau, J.A. Grifols         (BARC)           SUZUKI         85         PL 153B 289         M. Suzuki         (LBL)           ARNISON         84D         PL 134B 469         G.T.J. Arnison et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           HERZOG         84         PL 148B 355         F. Herzog         (WISC)           Also         PL 155B 468 (erratum)         F. Herzog         (WISC)           ARNISON         83         PL 122B 103         G.T.J. Arnison et al.         (UA1 Collab.)		87			
GRAU         85         PL 154B 283         A. Grau, J.A. Ğrifols         (BARC)           SUZUKI         85         PL 153B 289         M. Suzuki         (LBL)           ARNISON         84D         PL 134B 469         G.T.J. Arnison et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           HERZOG         84         PL 148B 355         F. Herzog         (WISC)           Also         PL 155B 468 (erratum)         F. Herzog         (WISC)           ARNISON         83         PL 122B 103         G.T.J. Arnison et al.         (UA1 Collab.)	VANDERBIJ	87	PR D35 1088	<u> </u>	
ARNISON       84D       PL 134B 469       G.T.J. Arnison et al.       (UA1 Collab.)         HERZOG       84       PL 148B 355       F. Herzog       (WISC)         Also       PL 155B 468 (erratum)       F. Herzog       (WISC)         ARNISON       83       PL 122B 103       G.T.J. Arnison et al.       (UA1 Collab.)	GRAU	85	PL 154B 283	A. Grau, J.A. Grifols	
HERZOG       84       PL 148B 355       F. Herzog       (WISC)         Also       PL 155B 468 (erratum)       F. Herzog       (WISC)         ARNISON       83       PL 122B 103       G.T.J. Arnison et al.       (UA1 Collab.)	SUZUKI	85	PL 153B 289	M. Suzuki	(LBL)
Also         PL 155B 468 (erratum)         F. Herzog         (WISC)           ARNISON         83         PL 122B 103         G.T.J. Arnison et al.         (UA1 Collab.)	ARNISON	84D	PL 134B 469	G.T.J. Arnison et al.	(UA1 Collab.)
ARNISON 83 PL 122B 103 G.T.J. Arnison et al. (UA1 Collab.)	HERZOG	84	PL 148B 355	F. Herzog	(WISC)
` '				9	( )
BANNER 83B PL 122B 476 M. Banner et al. (UA2 Collab.)					(
	BANNER	83B	PL 122B 476	M. Banner <i>et al.</i>	(UA2 Collab.)