


$D_2^*(2460)$ $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^+)$ **$D_2^*(2460)$ MASS**

The fit includes D^\pm , D^0 , D_s^\pm , $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
2461.1±0.8 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 6.3.			
2461.1±0.7 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 5.2. See the ideogram below.			
2463.7±0.4±0.7	28k	¹ AAIJ	16AH LHCb	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
2464.0±1.4±0.5	2k	² AAIJ	15V LHCb	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ K^- \pi^-$
2465.6±1.8±1.3		³ AAIJ	15X LHCb	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+ \pi^-$
2468.6±0.6±0.3		⁴ AAIJ	15Y LHCb	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
2460.4±0.4±1.2	82k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	0	$p p \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
2460.4±0.1±0.1	675k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	0	$p p \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
2463.1±0.2±0.6	342k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	+	$p p \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
2462.5±2.4 ^{+1.3} _{-1.1}	2.3k	⁵ ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$
2460.6±4.4 ^{+3.6} _{-0.8}	1371	⁶ ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	+	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)0} \pi^+ X$
2462.2±0.1±0.8	243k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
2465.4±0.2±1.1	111k	⁷ DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
2460.4±1.2±2.2	3.4k	AUBERT	09AB BABR	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
2465.7±1.8 ^{+1.4} _{-4.8}	2909	KUZMIN	07 BELL	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
2461.6±2.1±3.3		⁸ ABE	04D BELL	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
2464.5±1.1±1.9	5.8k	⁸ LINK	04A FOCS	0	γA
2465 ±3 ±3	486	AVERY	94C CLE2	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
2463 ±3 ±3	310	BERGFELD	94B CLE2	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
2453 ±3 ±2	128	FRABETTI	94B E687	0	$\gamma Be \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
2453 ±3 ±2	185	FRABETTI	94B E687	+	$\gamma Be \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
2461 ±3 ±1	440	AVERY	90 CLEO	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
2455 ±3 ±5	337	ALBRECHT	89B ARG	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
2469 ±4 ±6		ALBRECHT	89F ARG	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
2459 ±3 ±2	153	ANJOS	89C TPS	0	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2468.1±0.6±0.5		⁹ AAIJ	15Y LHCb	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
2469.1±3.7 ^{+1.2} _{-1.3}	1.5k	¹⁰ CHEKANOV	09 ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$
2463.3±0.6±0.8	20k	ABULENCIA	06A CDF	0	$1900 p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
2467.6±1.5±0.8	3.5k	¹¹ LINK	04A FOCS	+	γA
2461 ±6	126	¹² ABREU	98M DLPH	0	$e^+ e^-$
2466 ±7	1	ASRATYAN	95 BEBC	0	$53,40 \nu(\bar{\nu}) \rightarrow p X, d X$

¹ From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$, $D_1^*(2680)^0$, $D_3^*(2760)^0$, and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.



$D_2^*(2460)$ mass (MeV)

² From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, nonresonant spin-0 and spin-1 components as well as the $D_0^*(2400)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$ and $D_1^*(2760)^0$ resonances.

³ From the Dalitz plot analysis including various K^* and D^{**} mesons as well as broad structures in the $K\pi$ S -wave and the $D\pi$ S - and P -waves.

⁴ Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S -wave with the Isobar formalism.

⁵ From the combined fit of the $M(D^+ \pi^-)$ and $M(D^{*+} \pi^-)$ distributions. and A_{D_2} fixed to the theoretical prediction of -1.

⁶ From the fit of the $M(D^0 \pi^+)$ distribution. The widths of the D_1^+ and D_2^{*+} are fixed to 25 MeV and 37 MeV, and A_{D_1} and A_{D_2} are fixed to the theoretical predictions of 3 and -1, respectively.

⁷ At a fixed width of 50.5 MeV.

⁸ Fit includes the contribution from $D_0^*(2400)^0$.

- 9 Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S -wave with the K-matrix formalism.
 10 Calculated using the mass difference $m(D_2^{*0}) - m(D^{*+})_{PDG}$ reported below and $m(D^{*+})_{PDG} = 2010.27 \pm 0.17$ MeV. The 0.17 MeV uncertainty of the PDG mass value should be added to the experimental uncertainty of $+1.2$ MeV.
 11 Fit includes the contribution from $D_0^*(2400)^{\pm}$. Not independent of the corresponding mass difference measurement, $(m_{D_2^*(2460)^{\pm}}) - (m_{D_2^*(2460)^0})$.
 12 No systematic error given.
-

$m_{D_2^*(2460)^0} - m_{D^+}$

The fit includes D^{\pm} , D^0 , D_s^{\pm} , $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^{\pm}$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
591.5 \pm 0.8 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 6.0.		
593.9 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5	20k	ABULENCIA	06A CDF	1900 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$

$m_{D_2^*(2460)^0} - m_{D^{*+}}$

The fit includes D^{\pm} , D^0 , D_s^{\pm} , $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^{\pm}$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
450.9 \pm 0.8 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 6.0.		
458.8 \pm 3.7 $^{+1.2}_{-1.3}$	1.5k	CHEKANOV	09 ZEUS	$e^{\pm} p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$

$m_{D_2^*(2460)^{\pm}} - m_{D_2^*(2460)^0}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.4 \pm 1.7 OUR AVERAGE			
3.1 \pm 1.9 \pm 0.9	LINK	04A FOCS	γA
- 2 \pm 4 \pm 4	BERGFELD	94B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0 \pm 4	FRABETTI	94B E687	$\gamma Be \rightarrow D\pi X$
14 \pm 5 \pm 8	ALBRECHT	89F ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$

$D_2^*(2460)$ WIDTH


VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
47.3 \pm 0.8 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.			
47.0 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.0	28k	¹ AAIJ	16AH LHCb	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
43.8 \pm 2.9 \pm 1.8	2k	² AAIJ	15V LHCb	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ K^- \pi^-$
46.0 \pm 3.4 \pm 3.2		³ AAIJ	15X LHCb	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+ \pi^-$
47.3 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.7		⁴ AAIJ	15Y LHCb	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
43.2 \pm 1.2 \pm 3.0	82k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	0	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
45.6 \pm 0.4 \pm 1.1	675k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	0	$pp \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
48.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.9	342k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	+	$pp \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$

$46.6 \pm 8.1^{+5.9}_{-3.8}$	2.3k	5 ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)} + \pi^- X$
$50.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.7$	243k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
$41.8 \pm 2.5 \pm 2.9$	3.4k	AUBERT	09AB	BABR	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
$49.7 \pm 3.8 \pm 6.4$	2909	KUZMIN	07	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
$49.2 \pm 2.3 \pm 1.3$	20k	ABULENCIA	06A	CDF	$1900 p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
$45.6 \pm 4.4 \pm 6.7$		6 ABE	04D	BELL	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
$38.7 \pm 5.3 \pm 2.9$	5.8k	6 LINK	04A	FOCS	γA
$34.1 \pm 6.5 \pm 4.2$	3.5k	7 LINK	04A	FOCS	γA
$28^{+8}_{-7} \pm 6$	486	AVERY	94C	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
$27^{+11}_{-8} \pm 5$	310	BERGFELD	94B	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
$25 \pm 10 \pm 5$	128	FRAEBETTI	94B	E687	$\gamma Be \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
$23 \pm 9 \pm 5$	185	FRAEBETTI	94B	E687	$\gamma Be \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
$20^{+9}_{-12}^{+9}_{-10}$	440	AVERY	90	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
$15^{+13}_{-10}^{+5}_{-10}$	337	ALBRECHT	89B	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
$20 \pm 10 \pm 5$	153	ANJOS	89C	TPS	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$$46.0 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.8 \quad 8 \text{ AAIJ} \quad 15Y \text{ LHCb} \quad + \quad B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 47.3 ± 0.8 (Error scaled by 1.5)



$D_2^*(2460)$ width (MeV)

- ¹ From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+\pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$, $D_1^*(2680)^0$, $D_3^*(2760)^0$, and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.
- ² From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+\pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, nonresonant spin-0 and spin-1 components as well as the $D_0^*(2400)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$ and $D_1^*(2760)^0$ resonances.
- ³ From the Dalitz plot analysis including various K^* and D^{**} mesons as well as broad structures in the $K\pi$ S -wave and the $D\pi$ S - and P -waves.
- ⁴ Modeling the $\pi^+\pi^-$ S -wave with the Isobar formalism.
- ⁵ From the combined fit of the $M(D^+\pi^-)$ and $M(D^{*+}\pi^-)$ distributions. and A_{D_2} fixed to the theoretical prediction of -1 .
- ⁶ Fit includes the contribution from $D_0^*(2400)^0$.
- ⁷ Fit includes the contribution from $D_0^*(2400)^{\pm}$.
- ⁸ Modeling the $\pi^+\pi^-$ S -wave with the K-matrix formalism.

$D_2^*(2460)$ DECAY MODES

$\overline{D}_2^*(2460)$ modes are charge conjugates of modes below.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $D\pi^-$	seen
Γ_2 $D^*(2010)\pi^-$	seen
Γ_3 $D\pi^+\pi^-$	
Γ_4 $D^*\pi^+\pi^-$	

$D_2^*(2460)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>CHG</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
seen	3.4k AUBERT 09AB BABR 0 $B^- \rightarrow D^+\pi^-\pi^-$
seen	337 ALBRECHT 89B ARG 0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+\pi^-X$
seen	ALBRECHT 89F ARG + $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0\pi^+X$
seen	ANJOS 89C TPS 0 $\gamma N \rightarrow D^+\pi^-X$

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_2/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>CHG</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
seen	ACKERSTAFF 97W OPAL 0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-X$
seen	AVERY 90 CLEO 0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-X$
seen	ALBRECHT 89H ARG 0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^*\pi^-X$

$\Gamma(D\pi^-)/\Gamma(D^*(2010)\pi^-)$	Γ_1/Γ_2
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>CHG</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
1.52 \pm 0.14 OUR AVERAGE	
1.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3 2.3k ¹ ABRAMOWICZ13 ZEUS 0 $e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+}\pi^-X$	
1.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2 1371 ² ABRAMOWICZ13 ZEUS + $e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)0}\pi^+X$	
1.47 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.16 379k DEL-AMO-SA..10P BABR 0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{(*)+}\pi^-X$	

2.8 ± 0.8	$\begin{array}{c} +0.5 \\ -0.6 \end{array}$	1.5k	CHEKANOV	09	ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$
2.2 ± 0.7	± 0.6		AVERY	94C	CLE2	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
1.9 ± 1.1	± 0.3		BERGFELD	94B	CLE2	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
2.3 ± 0.8			AVERY	90	CLEO	0	$e^+ e^-$
3.0 ± 1.1	± 1.5		ALBRECHT	89H	ARG	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^* \pi^- X$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$							
1.9 ± 0.5			ABE	04D	BELL	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- \pi^-$

¹ From the combined fit of the $M(D^+ \pi^-)$ and $M(D^{*+} \pi^-)$ distributions. and A_{D_2} fixed to the theoretical prediction of -1 .

² From the fit of the $M(D^0 \pi^+)$ distribution. The widths of the D_1^+ and D_2^{*+} are fixed to 25 MeV and 37 MeV, and A_{D_1} and A_{D_2} are fixed to the theoretical predictions of 3 and -1 , respectively.

$\Gamma(D\pi^-)/[\Gamma(D\pi^-) + \Gamma(D^*(2010)\pi^-)]$	$\Gamma_1/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2)$				
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
$0.62 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02$	8414	¹ AUBERT	09Y	BABR	0 $B^+ \rightarrow D_2^{*0} \ell^+ \nu_\ell$
$0.62 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02$	3361	¹ AUBERT	09Y	BABR	+ $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D_2^{*+} \ell^- \nu_\ell$
¹ Assuming $\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^+ B^-) / \Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0) = 1.065 \pm 0.026$ and equal partial widths for charged and neutral D_2^* mesons.					

$D_2^*(2460)$ POLARIZATION AMPLITUDE A_{D_2}

A polarization amplitude A_{D_2} is a parameter that depends on the initial polarization of the D_2 . For D_2 decays the helicity angle, θ_H , distribution varies like $1 + A_{D_2} \cos^2(\theta_H)$, where θ_H is the angle in the D^* rest frame between the two pions emitted by the $D_2 \rightarrow D^* \pi$ and $D^* \rightarrow D \pi$.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
-1.16 ± 0.35	2.3k	¹ ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$
consistent with -1	243k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
$-0.74 \begin{array}{l} +0.49 \\ -0.38 \end{array}$	2	AVERY	94C	CLE2	$0 \quad e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$

¹ From the combined fit of the $M(D^+ \pi^-)$ and $M(D^{*+} \pi^-)$ distributions.

² Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

$D_2^*(2460)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	16AH	PR D94 072001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	15V	PR D91 092002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
Also		PR D93 119901 (errat.)	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	15X	PR D92 012012	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	15Y	PR D92 032002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	13CC	JHEP 1309 145	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABRAMOWICZ	13	NP B866 229	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	10P	PR D82 111101	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09AB	PR D79 112004	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09Y	PRL 103 051803	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
CHEKANOV	09	EPJ C60 25	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)

KUZMIN	07	PR D76 012006	A. Kuzmin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABULENCIA	06A	PR D73 051104	A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	04D	PR D69 112002	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LINK	04A	PL B586 11	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FOCUS Collab.)
ABREU	98M	PL B426 231	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97W	ZPHY C76 425	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ASRATYAN	95	ZPHY C68 43	A.E. Asratyan <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, BELG, CERN+)
AVERY	94C	PL B331 236	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BERGFELD	94B	PL B340 194	T. Bergfeld <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FRAEBETTI	94B	PRL 72 324	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
AVERY	90	PR D41 774	P. Avery, D. Besson	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	89B	PL B221 422	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.) JP
ALBRECHT	89F	PL B231 208	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	89H	PL B232 398	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.) JP
ANJOS	89C	PRL 62 1717	J.C. Anjos <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)