

$\eta_c(1S)$  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$  **$\eta_c(1S)$  MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2984.1 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
2985.01 ± 0.17 ± 0.89	35k	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$
2983.9 ± 0.7 ± 0.1		<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	20H LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow bX \rightarrow p\bar{p}X$
2985.9 ± 0.7 ± 2.1	1705	ABLIKIM	19AV BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$
2984.6 ± 0.7 ± 2.2	2673	XU	18 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$
2986.7 ± 0.5 ± 0.9	11k	<sup>2</sup> AAIJ	17AD LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow B^+X \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+X$
2982.8 ± 1.0 ± 0.5	6.4k	<sup>3</sup> AAIJ	17BB LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow b\bar{b}X \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)X$
2982.2 ± 1.5 ± 0.1	2.0k	<sup>4</sup> AAIJ	15BI LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)X$
2983.5 ± 1.4 ± 1.6		<sup>5</sup> ANASHIN	14 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
2979.8 ± 0.8 ± 3.5	4.5k	<sup>6,7</sup> LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
2984.1 ± 1.1 ± 2.1	900	<sup>6,7,8</sup> LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
2984.3 ± 0.6 ± 0.6		<sup>9,10</sup> ABLIKIM	12F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
2984.49 ± 1.16 ± 0.52	832	<sup>6</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ hadrons
2982.7 ± 1.8 ± 2.2	486	ZHANG	12A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$
2984.5 ± 0.8 ± 3.1	11k	DEL-AMO-SA..	11M BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
2985.4 ± 1.5 ± 0.5	920	<sup>10</sup> VINOUKROVA	11 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm(K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp)$
2982.2 ± 0.4 ± 1.6	14k	<sup>11</sup> LEES	10 BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$
2985.8 ± 1.5 ± 3.1	0.9k	AUBERT	08AB BABR	$B \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)K^{(*)} \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi K^{(*)}$
2986.1 ± 1.0 ± 2.5	7.5k	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow$ hadrons
2970 ± 5 ± 6	501	<sup>12</sup> ABE	07 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi(c\bar{c})$
2971 ± 3 ± 2	195	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+$
2974 ± 7 ± 2	20	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^+$
2981.8 ± 1.3 ± 1.5	592	ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$
2984.1 ± 2.1 ± 1.0	190	<sup>13</sup> AMBROGIANI	03 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2982.5 ± 0.4 ± 1.4	12k	<sup>14</sup> DEL-AMO-SA..	11M BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$
2982.2 ± 0.6		<sup>15</sup> MITCHELL	09 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
2982 ± 5	270	<sup>16</sup> AUBERT	06E BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X_{c\bar{c}}$
2982.5 ± 1.1 ± 0.9	2.5k	<sup>17</sup> AUBERT	04D BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
2977.5 ± 1.0 ± 1.2		<sup>15,18</sup> BAI	03 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
2979.6 ± 2.3 ± 1.6	180	<sup>19</sup> FANG	03 BELL	$B \rightarrow \eta_c K$
2976.3 ± 2.3 ± 1.2		<sup>15,20</sup> BAI	00F BES	$J/\psi, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$

2976.6 $\pm$ 2.9 $\pm$ 1.3	140 <sup>15,21</sup>	BAI	00F	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$
2980.4 $\pm$ 2.3 $\pm$ 0.6	22	BRANDENB...	00B	CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
2975.8 $\pm$ 3.9 $\pm$ 1.2	21	BAI	99B	BES	Sup. by BAI 00F
2999 $\pm$ 8	25	ABREU	980	DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- + \text{hadrons}$
2988.3 $\pm$ 3.3 - 3.1		ARMSTRONG	95F	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
2974.4 $\pm$ 1.9	15,23	BISELLLO	91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
2969 $\pm$ 4 $\pm$ 4	80 15	BAI	90B	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
2956 $\pm$ 12 $\pm$ 12	15	BAI	90B	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^0_S K^0_L$
2982.6 $\pm$ 2.7 - 2.3	12	BAGLIN	87B	SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
2980.2 $\pm$ 1.6	15,23	BALTRUSAIT..	86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
2984 $\pm$ 2.3 $\pm$ 4.0	15	GAISER	86	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
2976 $\pm$ 8	15,24	BALTRUSAIT..	84	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow 2\phi\gamma$
2982 $\pm$ 8	18 25	HIMEL	80B	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$
2980 $\pm$ 9		PARTRIDGE	80B	CBAL	$e^+ e^-$

<sup>1</sup> AAIJ 20H report  $m_{J/\psi} - m_{\eta_c}(1S) = 113.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.1$  MeV. We use the current value  $m_{J/\psi} = 3096.900 \pm 0.006$  MeV to obtain the quoted mass.

<sup>2</sup> AAIJ 17AD report  $m_{J/\psi} - m_{\eta_c}(1S) = 110.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.9$  MeV. We use the current value  $m_{J/\psi} = 3096.900 \pm 0.006$  MeV to obtain the quoted mass.

<sup>3</sup> From a fit of the  $\phi\phi$  invariant mass with the mass and width of  $\eta_c(1S)$  as free parameters.

<sup>4</sup> AAIJ 15BI reports  $m_{J/\psi} - m_{\eta_c}(1S) = 114.7 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.1$  MeV from a sample of  $\eta_c(1S)$  and  $J/\psi$  produced in  $b$ -hadron decays. We have used current value of  $m_{J/\psi} = 3096.900 \pm 0.006$  MeV to arrive at the quoted  $m_{\eta_c}(1S)$  result.

<sup>5</sup> Taking into account an asymmetric photon lineshape.

<sup>6</sup> With floating width.

<sup>7</sup> Ignoring possible interference with the non-resonant  $0^-$  amplitude.

<sup>8</sup> Using both,  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  and  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  decays.

<sup>9</sup> From a simultaneous fit to six decay modes of the  $\eta_c$ .

<sup>10</sup> Accounts for interference with non-resonant continuum.

<sup>11</sup> Taking into account interference with the non-resonant  $J^P = 0^-$  amplitude.

<sup>12</sup> From a fit of the  $J/\psi$  recoil mass spectrum. Supersedes ABE,K 02 and ABE 04G.

<sup>13</sup> Using mass of  $\psi(2S) = 3686.00$  MeV.

<sup>14</sup> Not independent from the measurements reported by LEES 10.

<sup>15</sup> MITCHELL 09 observes a significant asymmetry in the lineshapes of  $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$  and  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$  transitions. If ignored, this asymmetry could lead to significant bias whenever the mass and width are measured in  $\psi(2S)$  or  $J/\psi$  radiative decays.

<sup>16</sup> From the fit of the kaon momentum spectrum. Systematic errors not evaluated.

<sup>17</sup> Superseded by LEES 10.

<sup>18</sup> From a simultaneous fit of five decay modes of the  $\eta_c$ .

<sup>19</sup> Superseded by VINOUKOVA 11.

<sup>20</sup> Weighted average of the  $\psi(2S)$  and  $J/\psi(1S)$  samples. Using an  $\eta_c$  width of 13.2 MeV.

<sup>21</sup> Average of several decay modes. Using an  $\eta_c$  width of 13.2 MeV.

<sup>22</sup> Superseded by ASNER 04.

<sup>23</sup> Average of several decay modes.

<sup>24</sup>  $\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi$ .

<sup>25</sup> Mass adjusted by us to correspond to  $J/\psi(1S)$  mass = 3097 MeV.

## $\eta_c(1S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>30.5 \pm 0.5</math> OUR FIT</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
<b><math>30.5 \pm 0.5</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
29.7 $\pm$ 0.5 $\pm$ 0.2	35k	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$
33.8 $\pm$ 1.6 $\pm$ 4.1	1705	ABLIKIM	19AV BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$
$30.8^{+2.3}_{-2.2} \pm 2.9$	2673	XU	18 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
34.0 $\pm$ 1.9 $\pm$ 1.3	11k	AAIJ	17AD LHCb	$p p \rightarrow B^+ X \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+ X$
31.4 $\pm$ 3.5 $\pm$ 2.0	6.4k	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	17BB LHCb	$p p \rightarrow b\bar{b} X \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)X$
$27.2 \pm 3.1^{+5.4}_{-2.6}$		<sup>2</sup> ANASHIN	14 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
25.2 $\pm$ 2.6 $\pm$ 2.4	4.5k	<sup>3,4</sup> LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
34.8 $\pm$ 3.1 $\pm$ 4.0	900	<sup>3,4,5</sup> LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
32.0 $\pm$ 1.2 $\pm$ 1.0		<sup>6,7</sup> ABLIKIM	12F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
36.4 $\pm$ 3.2 $\pm$ 1.7	832	<sup>3</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ hadrons
$37.8^{+5.8}_{-5.3} \pm 3.1$	486	ZHANG	12A BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
36.2 $\pm$ 2.8 $\pm$ 3.0	11k	DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$35.1 \pm 3.1^{+1.0}_{-1.6}$	920	<sup>7</sup> VINOUKROVA	11 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$
31.7 $\pm$ 1.2 $\pm$ 0.8	14k	<sup>8</sup> LEES	10 BABR	$10.6 \frac{e^+ e^-}{e^+ e^- K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp} \rightarrow$
$36.3^{+3.7}_{-3.6} \pm 4.4$	0.9k	AUBERT	08AB BABR	$B \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) K^{(*)} \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi K^{(*)}$
28.1 $\pm$ 3.2 $\pm$ 2.2	7.5k	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow$ hadrons
$48^{+8}_{-7} \pm 5$	195	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+$
$40 \pm 19 \pm 5$	20	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda} K^+$
24.8 $\pm$ 3.4 $\pm$ 3.5	592	ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
$20.4^{+7.7}_{-6.7} \pm 2.0$	190	AMBROGIANI	03 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
$23.9^{+12.6}_{-7.1}$		ARMSTRONG	95F E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
32.1 $\pm$ 1.1 $\pm$ 1.3	12k	<sup>9</sup> DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
34.3 $\pm$ 2.3 $\pm$ 0.9	2.5k	<sup>10</sup> AUBERT	04D BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
17.0 $\pm$ 3.7 $\pm$ 7.4		<sup>11</sup> BAI	03 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
$29 \pm 8 \pm 6$	180	<sup>12</sup> FANG	03 BELL	$B \rightarrow \eta_c K$
11.0 $\pm$ 8.1 $\pm$ 4.1		<sup>13</sup> BAI	00F BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$ and $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
27.0 $\pm$ 5.8 $\pm$ 1.4		<sup>14</sup> BRANDENB...	00B CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
$7.0^{+7.5}_{-7.0}$	12	BAGLIN	87B SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
$10.1^{+33.0}_{-8.2}$	23	<sup>15</sup> BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
11.5 $\pm$ 4.5		GAISER	86 CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
$< 40$ 90% CL	18	HIMEL	80B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$
$< 20$ 90% CL		PARTRIDGE	80B CBAL	$e^+ e^-$

- <sup>1</sup> From a fit of the  $\phi\phi$  invariant mass with the mass and width of  $\eta_c(1S)$  as free parameters.  
<sup>2</sup> Taking into account an asymmetric photon lineshape.  
<sup>3</sup> With floating mass.  
<sup>4</sup> Ignoring possible interference with the non-resonant  $0^-$  amplitude.  
<sup>5</sup> Using both,  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  and  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  decays.  
<sup>6</sup> From a simultaneous fit to six decay modes of the  $\eta_c$ .  
<sup>7</sup> Accounts for interference with non-resonant continuum.  
<sup>8</sup> Taking into account interference with the non-resonant  $J^P = 0^-$  amplitude.  
<sup>9</sup> Not independent from the measurements reported by LEES 10.  
<sup>10</sup> Superseded by LEES 10.  
<sup>11</sup> From a simultaneous fit of five decay modes of the  $\eta_c$ .  
<sup>12</sup> Superseded by VINOKUROVA 11.  
<sup>13</sup> From a fit to the 4-prong invariant mass in  $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$  and  $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$  decays.  
<sup>14</sup> Superseded by ASNER 04.  
<sup>15</sup> Positive and negative errors correspond to 90% confidence level.

## $\eta_c(1S)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Scale factor/ Confidence level
<b>Decays involving hadronic resonances</b>		
$\Gamma_1 \eta'(958)\pi\pi$	( 2.0 $\pm$ 0.4 ) %	S=1.4
$\Gamma_2 \eta'(958)K\bar{K}$	( 1.73 $\pm$ 0.35 ) %	
$\Gamma_3 \eta'(958)\eta\eta$	( 3.4 $\pm$ 0.6 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_4 \rho\rho$	( 1.8 $\pm$ 0.4 ) %	
$\Gamma_5 K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	( 1.8 $\pm$ 0.5 ) %	
$\Gamma_6 K^*(892)\bar{K}^*(892)$	( 7.0 $\pm$ 1.2 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_7 K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	( 1.4 $\pm$ 0.6 ) %	
$\Gamma_8 \phi K^+ K^-$	( 3.3 $\begin{array}{l} +1.2 \\ -1.1 \end{array}$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_9 \phi\phi$	( 1.8 $\pm$ 0.4 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=2.3
$\Gamma_{10} \phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	< 4 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{11} a_0(980)\pi$	seen	
$\Gamma_{12} a_2(1320)\pi$	seen	
$\Gamma_{13} K^*(892)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.28 %	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{14} f_2(1270)\eta$	seen	
$\Gamma_{15} f_2(1270)\eta'$	seen	
$\Gamma_{16} \omega\omega$	( 2.7 $\pm$ 0.9 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=2.1
$\Gamma_{17} \omega\phi$	< 2.5 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{18} f_2(1270)f_2(1270)$	( 1.08 $\pm$ 0.27 ) %	
$\Gamma_{19} f_2(1270)f'_2(1525)$	( 9.7 $\pm$ 3.2 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{20} f_0(500)\eta$	seen	
$\Gamma_{21} f_0(500)\eta'$	seen	
$\Gamma_{22} f_0(980)\eta$	seen	
$\Gamma_{23} f_0(980)\eta'$	seen	

$\Gamma_{24}$	$f_0(1500)\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_{25}$	$f_0(1710)\eta'$	seen
$\Gamma_{26}$	$f_0(2100)\eta'$	seen
$\Gamma_{27}$	$f_0(2200)\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_{28}$	$a_0(1320)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{29}$	$a_0(1450)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{30}$	$a_2(1700)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{31}$	$a_0(1710)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{32}$	$a_0(1950)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{33}$	$K_0^*(1430)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen
$\Gamma_{34}$	$K_2^*(1430)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen
$\Gamma_{35}$	$K_0^*(1950)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen
$\Gamma_{36}$	$K_0^*(2600)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen

**Decays into stable hadrons**

$\Gamma_{37}$	$K\bar{K}\pi$	( 7.1 $\pm$ 0.4 ) %	S=1.1
$\Gamma_{38}$	$K\bar{K}\eta$	( 1.32 $\pm$ 0.15 ) %	
$\Gamma_{39}$	$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	( 1.6 $\pm$ 0.4 ) %	
$\Gamma_{40}$	$\eta 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	( 4.3 $\pm$ 1.3 ) %	
$\Gamma_{41}$	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$	( 8.3 $\pm$ 1.8 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.9
$\Gamma_{42}$	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	( 3.4 $\pm$ 0.6 ) %	
$\Gamma_{43}$	$K^0K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^++\text{c.c.}$	( 5.4 $\pm$ 1.5 ) %	
$\Gamma_{44}$	$K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	( 8.4 $\pm$ 2.4 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{45}$	$2(K^+K^-)$	( 1.4 $\pm$ 0.4 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.4
$\Gamma_{46}$	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	< 4 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{47}$	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$	( 4.6 $\pm$ 1.0 ) %	
$\Gamma_{48}$	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	( 9.6 $\pm$ 1.5 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.4
$\Gamma_{49}$	$2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$	( 15.9 $\pm$ 2.0 ) %	
$\Gamma_{50}$	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	( 1.89 $\pm$ 0.34 ) %	
$\Gamma_{51}$	$p\bar{p}$	( 1.33 $\pm$ 0.11 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1
$\Gamma_{52}$	$p\bar{p}\pi^0$	( 3.4 $\pm$ 1.3 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{53}$	$p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-$	( 3.7 $\pm$ 0.5 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{54}$	$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$	( 1.10 $\pm$ 0.28 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.5
$\Gamma_{55}$	$K^+\bar{p}\Lambda+\text{c.c.}$	( 2.5 $\pm$ 0.4 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{56}$	$\bar{\Lambda}(1520)\Lambda+\text{c.c.}$	( 3.0 $\pm$ 1.3 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{57}$	$\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$	( 2.6 $\pm$ 0.5 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{58}$	$\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+$	( 1.07 $\pm$ 0.24 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	

**Radiative decays**

$\Gamma_{59}$	$\gamma\gamma$	( 1.66 $\pm$ 0.13 ) $\times 10^{-4}$	S=1.2
---------------	----------------	--------------------------------------	-------

**Charge conjugation (*C*), Parity (*P*),  
Lepton Family number (*LF*) violating modes**

$\Gamma_{60}$	$\pi^+ \pi^-$	$P, CP < 1.3$	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{61}$	$\pi^0 \pi^0$	$P, CP < 4$	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{62}$	$K^+ K^-$	$P, CP < 7$	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{63}$	$K_S^0 K_S^0$	$P, CP < 4$	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

**FIT INFORMATION**

A multiparticle fit to  $\eta_c(1S)$ ,  $J/\psi(1S)$ ,  $\psi(2S)$ ,  $h_c(1P)$ , and  $B^\pm$  with the total width, 10 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 38 branching ratios uses 113 measurements to determine 19 parameters. The overall fit has a  $\chi^2 = 184.6$  for 94 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients  $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$ , in percent, from the fit to parameters  $p_i$ , including the branching fractions,  $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ .

$x_6$	14																		
$x_9$	11	13																	
$x_{16}$	7	8	8																
$x_{18}$	9	11	11	7															
$x_{37}$	25	25	22	12	17														
$x_{38}$	13	13	11	6	9	51													
$x_{41}$	7	7	6	4	5	15	8												
$x_{45}$	5	5	5	2	3	12	6	4											
$x_{48}$	13	17	17	10	15	26	13	8	5										
$x_{51}$	19	20	20	11	16	39	20	11	11	24									
$x_{53}$	7	7	8	4	5	22	11	5	10	8									
$x_{54}$	5	7	7	4	6	12	6	3	4	10									
$x_{59}$	-38	-35	-27	-16	-22	-63	-32	-17	-12	-31									
$\Gamma$	-1	-1	-1	0	-1	-2	-1	0	0	-1									
	$x_1$	$x_6$	$x_9$	$x_{16}$	$x_{18}$	$x_{37}$	$x_{38}$	$x_{41}$	$x_{45}$	$x_{48}$									
$x_{53}$	21																		
$x_{54}$	13	9																	
$x_{59}$	-47	-17	-11																
$\Gamma$	1	0	0	-20															
	$x_{51}$	$x_{53}$	$x_{54}$	$x_{59}$															

**$\eta_c(1S)$  PARTIAL WIDTHS** $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$  $\Gamma_{59}$ 

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>5.1 ± 0.4 OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5.8 ± 1.1	486	<sup>1</sup> ZHANG	12A BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
5.2 ± 1.2	273 ± 43	<sup>2,3</sup> AUBERT	06E BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X_c \bar{c}$
5.5 ± 1.2 ± 1.8	157 ± 33	<sup>4</sup> KUO	05 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow p\bar{p}$
7.4 ± 0.4 ± 2.3		<sup>5</sup> ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
13.9 ± 2.0 ± 3.0	41	<sup>6</sup> ABDALLAH	03J DLPH	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c$
3.8 ± 1.1 ± 1.9	190	<sup>7</sup> AMBROGIANI	03 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
7.6 ± 0.8 ± 2.3		<sup>5,8</sup> BRANDENB...	00B CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
6.9 ± 1.7 ± 2.1	76	<sup>9</sup> ACCIARRI	99T L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta_c$
27 ± 16 ± 10	5	<sup>5</sup> SHIRAI	98 AMY	58 $e^+ e^-$
6.7 ± 2.4 ± 2.3		<sup>4</sup> ARMSTRONG	95F E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
11.3 ± 4.2		<sup>10</sup> ALBRECHT	94H ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta_c$
8.0 ± 2.3 ± 2.4	17	<sup>11</sup> ADRIANI	93N L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta_c$
5.9 ± 2.1 ± 1.9		<sup>7</sup> CHEN	90B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta_c$
6.4 ± 5.0		<sup>12</sup> AIHARA	88D TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- X$
4.3 ± 3.4 ± 2.4		<sup>4</sup> BAGLIN	87B SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
28 ± 15		<sup>5,13</sup> BERGER	86 PLUT	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming there is no interference with the non-resonant background.<sup>2</sup> Calculated by us using  $\Gamma(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) \times \Gamma(\eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) / \Gamma = 0.44 \pm 0.05$  keV from PDG 06 and  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = (8.5 \pm 1.8)\%$  from AUBERT 06E.<sup>3</sup> Systematic errors not evaluated.<sup>4</sup> Normalized to  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow p\bar{p}) = (1.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$ .<sup>5</sup> Normalized to  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)$ .<sup>6</sup> Average of  $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ ,  $\pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$ , and  $2(K^+ K^-)$  decay modes.<sup>7</sup> Normalized to the sum of  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)$ ,  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$ , and  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$ .<sup>8</sup> Superseded by ASNER 04.<sup>9</sup> Normalized to the sum of 9 branching ratios.<sup>10</sup> Normalized to the sum of  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)$ ,  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi)$ ,  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$ , and  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$ .<sup>11</sup> Superseded by ACCIARRI 99T.<sup>12</sup> Normalized to the sum of  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)$ ,  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2K^+ 2K^-)$ ,  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$ , and  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$ .<sup>13</sup> Re-evaluated by AIHARA 88D.

$\eta_c(1S) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

$$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>102 ± 18 OUR FIT</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.5.
<b>98.1 ± 3.9 ± 11.7</b>	2673	XU	18	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$75.8^{+6.3}_{-6.2} \pm 8.4$  486 <sup>1</sup>ZHANG 12A BELL  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> Superseded by XU 18.

$$\Gamma(\rho\rho) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<39	90	< 1556	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)\bar{K}^*(892)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_6\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>35 ± 6 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>32.4 ± 4.2 ± 5.8</b>	882 ± 115	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$

$$\Gamma(\phi\phi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>9.2 ± 2.2 OUR FIT</b>				Error includes scale factor of 2.7.
<b>7.75 ± 0.66 ± 0.62</b>	386 ± 31	<sup>1</sup> LIU	12B	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$6.8 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.3$  132 ± 23 UEHARA 08 BELL  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

<sup>1</sup> Supersedes UEHARA 08. Using  $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ .

$$\Gamma(\omega\omega) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{16}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>13 ± 5 OUR FIT</b>				Error includes scale factor of 2.2.
<b>8.67 ± 2.86 ± 0.96</b>	85 ± 29	<sup>1</sup> LIU	12B	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$ .

$$\Gamma(\omega\phi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{17}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
<0.49	90	<sup>1</sup> LIU	12B	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$  and  $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$ .

$$\Gamma(f_2(1270)f_2(1270)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{18}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>55 ± 14 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>69 ± 17 ± 12</b>	3182 ± 766	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

$$\Gamma(f_2(1270)f'_2(1525)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{19}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>49 ± 9 ± 13</b>	1128 ± 206	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{37}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$			
VALUE (keV)	CL% EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.360±0.022 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			
<b>0.396±0.016 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.386±0.008±0.021	12k	DEL-AMO-SA..11M BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	
0.374±0.009±0.031	14k	<sup>1</sup> LEES	10 BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.407±0.022±0.028		2,3 ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.60 ± 0.12 ± 0.09	41	3,4 ABDALLAH	03J DLPH	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1.47 ± 0.87 ± 0.27		<sup>3</sup> SHIRAI	98 AMY	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
0.84 ± 0.21		<sup>3</sup> ALBRECHT	94H ARG	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
0.60 ± 0.23 - 0.20		<sup>3</sup> CHEN	90B CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
1.06 ± 0.41 ± 0.27	11	<sup>3</sup> BRAUNSCH...	89 TASS	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
1.5 ± 0.60 - 0.45 ± 0.3	7	<sup>3</sup> BERGER	86 PLUT	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.418±0.044±0.022		3,5 BRANDENB... 00B CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$	
<0.63	95	<sup>3</sup> BEHREND	89 CELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
<4.4	95	ALTHOFF	85B TASS	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$

<sup>1</sup> From the corrected and unfolded mass spectrum.<sup>2</sup> Calculated by us from the value reported in ASNER 04 that assumes  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = 5.5 \pm 1.7\%$ <sup>3</sup> We have multiplied  $K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$  measurement by 3 to obtain  $K\bar{K}\pi$ .<sup>4</sup> Calculated by us from the value reported in ABDALLAH 03J, which uses  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (1.5 \pm 0.4)\%$ .<sup>5</sup> Superseded by ASNER 04.

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{41}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$			
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>42 ± 9 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 2.1.			
<b>27 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
25.7± 3.2± 4.9	2019±248	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
280 ± 100 ± 60	42	<sup>1</sup> ABDALLAH	03J DLPH	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
170 ± 80 ± 20	13.9±6.6	ALBRECHT	94H ARG	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
<sup>1</sup> Calculated by us from the value reported in ABDALLAH 03J, which uses $B(\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-) = (2.0 \pm 0.7)\%$ .				
$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{42}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$			
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.190±0.006±0.028</b>	11k	DEL-AMO-SA..11M BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	

$\Gamma(2(K^+ K^-)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{45}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$			
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>7.2 ± 2.1 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			
<b>5.8 ± 1.9 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
5.6 ± 1.1 ± 1.6	216 ± 42	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$
350 ± 90 ± 60	46	<sup>1</sup> ABDALLAH	03J	DLPH $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$
231 ± 90 ± 23	9.1 ± 3.3	<sup>2</sup> ALBRECHT	94H	ARG $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$
<sup>1</sup> Calculated by us from the value reported in ABDALLAH 03J, which uses $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-) = (2.1 \pm 1.2)\%$ .				
<sup>2</sup> Includes all topological modes except $\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi$ .				

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{48}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$			
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>48 ± 7 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			
<b>42 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
40.7 ± 3.7 ± 5.3	5381 ± 492	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
180 ± 70 ± 20	21.4 ± 8.6	ALBRECHT	94H	ARG $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{51}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$			
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>6.7 ± 0.6 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
<b>6.2 +1.1 -1.0 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
7.20 ± 1.53 <sup>+0.67</sup> <sub>-0.75</sub>	157 ± 33	<sup>1</sup> KUO	05	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow p\bar{p}$
4.6 <sup>+1.3</sup> <sub>-1.1</sub> ± 0.4	190	AMBROGIANI	03	E835 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
8.1 <sup>+2.9</sup> <sub>-2.0</sub>		ARMSTRONG	95F	E760 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> Not independent from the  $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$  reported by the same experiment.

## $\eta_c(1S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

### — HADRONIC DECAYS —

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi\pi)$	$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.859 ± 0.052 ± 0.043</b>	<sup>1</sup> LEES	21A	BABR $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta' K^+ K^-$ , $\eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> Based on Dalitz-plot analysis of the  $\eta_c \rightarrow \eta' K^+ K^-$ ,  $\eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$  final states where the fit fractions and relative phases are determined for numerous two-body intermediate states.

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$		
VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>3.4 ± 0.5 ± 0.3</b>	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	21C	BES3 $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta\eta'$
<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 21C reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta'(958)\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (4.86 \pm 0.62 \pm 0.45) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.			

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_4/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
1.1 $\pm 0.5 \pm 0.1$	72	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	05L	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
2.3 $\pm 0.5 \pm 0.2$	113	<sup>2,3</sup> BISELLO	91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0 \rho^0$
2.1 $\pm 1.0 \pm 0.2$	32	<sup>4,5</sup> BISELLO	91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \rho^+ \rho^-$
<14	90	<sup>6</sup> BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3		$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 05L reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.6 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

<sup>2</sup> BISELLO 91 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (3.30 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

<sup>3</sup> The value reported by BISELLO 91 has been multiplied by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>4</sup> BISELLO 91 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (3.0 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

<sup>5</sup> The value reported by BISELLO 91 has been multiplied by 3/2 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>6</sup> Using  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$ .

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
1.8 $\pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$	63	<sup>1</sup> BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (2.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_7/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
135 $\pm 57 \pm 13$	45	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	06A	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*0} \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 06A reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.91 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\phi K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_8/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---------------------------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.9^{+0.9}_{-0.8} \pm 1.1$        $14.1^{+4.4}_{-3.7}$       <sup>1</sup> HUANG      03 BELL       $B^+ \rightarrow (\phi K^+ K^-) K^+$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.25 \pm 0.12^{+0.10}_{-0.12}) \times 10^{-3}$  from FANG 03 and  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = (5.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-2}$ .

 $\Gamma(\phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;40</b>	90	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	06A BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 06A reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] < 0.603 \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$ .

 $\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen		AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$
seen		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$
<b>seen</b>		LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<0.02$       90      <sup>1,2</sup> BALTRUSAIT..86      MRK3       $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> The quoted branching ratio uses  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$ .

<sup>2</sup> We are assuming  $B(a_0(980) \rightarrow \eta \pi) > 0.5$ .

 $\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>seen</b>		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<0.02$       90      <sup>1</sup> BALTRUSAIT..86      MRK3       $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> The quoted branching ratio uses  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$ .

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;0.0128</b>	90	BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
$<0.0132$	90	<sup>1</sup> BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> The quoted branching ratios use  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$ .

 $\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>seen</b>		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<0.011$       90      <sup>1</sup> BALTRUSAIT..86      MRK3       $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> The quoted branching ratio uses  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$ .

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$
<b>seen</b>	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$ ; $K^+ K^- \eta'$	

 $\Gamma(\omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$
$< 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	1 ABLIKIM	17P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$	
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>					
$< 17 \times 10^{-4}$	90	2 ABLIKIM	05L BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$	
<sup>1</sup> Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) = 0.017 \pm 0.004$ .					
<sup>2</sup> The quoted branching ratio uses $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$ .					

 $\Gamma(f_0(500)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma$
<b>seen</b>	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	

 $\Gamma(f_0(500)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma$
<b>seen</b>	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$	

 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{22}/\Gamma$
<b>seen</b>	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	
<b>seen</b>	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$	

 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{23}/\Gamma$
<b>seen</b>	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$ , $K^+ K^- \eta'$	

 $\Gamma(f_0(1500)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{24}/\Gamma$
<b>seen</b>	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	
<b>seen</b>	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$	

 $\Gamma(f_0(1710)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{25}/\Gamma$
<b>seen</b>	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'$	

 $\Gamma(f_0(2100)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$
<b>seen</b>	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	

 $\Gamma(f_0(2200)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{27}/\Gamma$
<b>seen</b>	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$	

$\Gamma(a_0(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_{28}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$	
<b>seen</b>	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$	

$\Gamma(a_0(1450)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_{29}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$	
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	
<b>seen</b>	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$	

$\Gamma(a_2(1700)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_{30}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>seen</b>	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$	

$\Gamma(a_0(1710)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_{31}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$	
<b>seen</b>	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$	

$\Gamma(a_0(1950)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_{32}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$
<b>seen</b>	12k	<sup>1</sup> LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$

<sup>1</sup> From a model-independant partial wave analysis.

$\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	12k	<sup>1</sup> LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
<b>seen</b>		LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta/\pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> From a model-independant partial wave analysis.

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_{34}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$	
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'$	
<b>seen</b>	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$	

$\Gamma(K_0^*(1950)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_{35}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen		AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$
seen		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'$
seen	12k	<sup>1</sup> LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
<b>seen</b>		LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta/\pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> From a Dalitz plot analysis using an isobar model.

$\Gamma(K_0^*(2600)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{36}/\Gamma$		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma$			
VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>7.1±0.4 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
<b>7.4±0.6 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
6.9±0.7±0.6	146	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	19AP BES3	$h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$
7.8±0.6±0.6	267	<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM	19AP BES3	$h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
6.1±1.2±0.6	55	<sup>3,4</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
7.6±1.3±0.8	107	<sup>5,6</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K_S^0 K^\mp \pi^\pm$
8.5±1.8		<sup>7</sup> AUBERT	06E BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X_c \bar{c}$
4.7±1.2±0.5	0.6k	<sup>8,9</sup> BAI	04 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$
6.2±1.7±0.6	33	<sup>10,11</sup> BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
4.9±1.2±0.5	68	<sup>12,13</sup> BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$
4.8±1.7	95	<sup>14,15</sup> BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
5.5±2.1±0.5	32	<sup>16,17</sup> BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
4.0±1.1±0.4	63	<sup>18,19</sup> BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$
13 $\begin{array}{l} +7 \\ -5 \end{array}$ $\pm 2$	20	HIMEL	80B MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
< 10.7 90% CL	15	PARTRIDGE	80B CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 19AP quotes  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.15 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$  which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 19AP quotes  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (2.60 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$  which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>3</sup> ABLIKIM 12N quotes  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (4.54 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-6}$  which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>4</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (27.24 \pm 4.56 \pm 2.88) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best values  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

<sup>5</sup> ABLIKIM 12N quotes  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (11.35 \pm 1.25 \pm 1.50) \times 10^{-6}$  which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>6</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (34.05 \pm 3.75 \pm 4.50) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best values  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

<sup>7</sup> Determined from the ratio of  $B(B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \eta_c) B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = (7.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$  reported in AUBERT, B 04B and  $B(B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \eta_c) = (8.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-3}$  reported in AUBERT 06E.

<sup>8</sup> BAI 04 reports  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (2.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$  which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>9</sup> BAI 04 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (6.6 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) =$

- $(1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- <sup>10</sup> BISELLO 91 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (8.76 \pm 1.80 \pm 1.68) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- <sup>11</sup> BISELLO 91 reports  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.46 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$  which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.
- <sup>12</sup> BISELLO 91 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (6.9 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- <sup>13</sup> BISELLO 91 reports  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (2.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$  which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.
- <sup>14</sup> Average from  $K^+ K^- \pi^0$  and  $K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$  decay channels.
- <sup>15</sup> The quoted branching ratios use  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$ . Where relevant, the error in this branching ratio is treated as a common systematic in computing averages.
- <sup>16</sup> BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (7.8 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- <sup>17</sup> BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$  which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.
- <sup>18</sup> BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (5.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- <sup>19</sup> BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$  which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.
- <sup>20</sup> HIMEL 80B reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (4.5^{+2.4}_{-1.8}) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (3.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\phi K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$		$\Gamma_8/\Gamma_{37}$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.052<sup>+0.016</sup><sub>-0.014</sub> ± 0.014</b>	7	<sup>1</sup> HUANG	03 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \phi\phi$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.25 \pm 0.12^{+0.10}_{-0.12}) \times 10^{-3}$  from FANG 03 and  $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = (5.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-2}$ .

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_{38}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
0.9 ± 0.5 ± 0.1		7	<sup>1,2</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta K^+ K^-$
<3.1		90	<sup>3</sup> BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N quotes  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta) = (2.11 \pm 1.01 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-6}$  which we multiply by 2 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (4.22 \pm 2.02 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best values  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

<sup>3</sup> The quoted branching ratios use  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$ .

### $\Gamma(K\bar{K}\eta)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ $\Gamma_{38}/\Gamma_{37}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.186 ± 0.018 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.190 ± 0.008 ± 0.017</b>	5.4k	<sup>1</sup> LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta/\pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> LEES 14E reports  $B(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta)/B(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = 0.571 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.051$ , which we divide by 3 to account for isospin symmetry. It uses both  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  and  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  decays.

### $\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{39}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.6 ± 0.4 ± 0.2</b>	33	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
5.4 ± 2.0	75	<sup>2</sup> BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
3.7 ± 1.3 ± 2.0	18	<sup>2</sup> PARTRIDGE	80B CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (7.22 \pm 1.47 \pm 1.11) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best values  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

<sup>2</sup> The quoted branching ratios use  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$ . Where relevant, the error in this branching ratio is treated as a common systematic in computing averages.

### $\Gamma(\eta 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{40}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>4.3 ± 1.2 ± 0.4</b>	39	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (19.17 \pm 3.77 \pm 3.72) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ , $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.				

### $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ $\Gamma_{42}/\Gamma_{37}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.477 ± 0.017 ± 0.070</b>	11k	<sup>1</sup> DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> We have multiplied the value of  $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$  reported in DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 11M by a factor 1/3 to obtain  $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ . Not independent from other measurements reported in DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 11M.

$\Gamma(K^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{43}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>5.4±1.4±0.5</b>	43	1,2 ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K_S^0 K^- \pi^- 2\pi^\pm$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N quotes  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- \pi^- 2\pi^\pm) = (12.01 \pm 2.22 \pm 2.04) \times 10^{-6}$  which we multiply by 2 to take c.c. into account.

<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (24.02 \pm 4.44 \pm 4.08) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best values  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{44}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

**8.4±2.4 OUR AVERAGE**

8 ± 4 ± 1	10	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
8.6 ± 2.8 ± 0.8	100	<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM	06A BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (3.60 \pm 1.71 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best values  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 06A reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (1.21 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{46}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

<b>&lt;4 × 10<sup>-4</sup></b>	90	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	17AJ BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
--------------------------------	----	----------------------	-----------	---

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 17AJ reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] < 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 3.6 \times 10^{-3}$ .

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{47}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

<b>4.6±0.9±0.5</b>	118	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$
--------------------	-----	----------------------	----------	--

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (20.31 \pm 2.20 \pm 3.33) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best values  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{49}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

**15.9±2.0 OUR AVERAGE**

15.3 ± 1.8 ± 1.8	333	ABLIKIM	19AP BES3	$h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$
16.8 ± 2.8 ± 1.7	175	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (75.13 \pm 7.42 \pm 9.99) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best values  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{50}/\Gamma$
--	----------------------

math>\Gamma(3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}	math>\Gamma_{50}/\Gamma			
<b><math>18.9 \pm 3.4</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
20 $\pm 5$ $\pm 2$	51	1 ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
18 $\pm 4$ $\pm 2$	479	2 ABLIKIM	06A BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (8.82 \pm 1.57 \pm 1.59) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best values  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 06A reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (2.59 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.47) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma$
--	----------------------

math>\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}	math>\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma			
<b><math>13.3 \pm 1.1</math> OUR FIT</b> Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
<b><math>12.0 \pm 2.6 \pm 1.5</math></b>	34	ABLIKIM	19AP BES3	$h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
15 $\pm 5$ $\pm 1$	15	1 ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p\bar{p}$
$12.9^{+1.8}_{-2.1} \pm 0.8$	195	2 WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+$
$13.5 \pm 3.0 \pm 1.3$	213	3 BAI	04 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
$9.2 \pm 3.5 \pm 0.9$	18	4 BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
10 $\pm 5$ $\pm 1$	23	5 BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
$22^{+22}_{-11} \pm 3$		6 HIMEL	80B MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (0.65 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best values  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

<sup>2</sup> WU 06 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)] = (1.42 \pm 0.11^{+0.16}_{-0.20}) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.10 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

<sup>3</sup> BAI 04 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (1.9 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

<sup>4</sup> BISELLO 91 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (0.13 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) =$

$(1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

<sup>5</sup> BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

<sup>6</sup> HIMEL 80B reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (8^{+8}_{-4}) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (3.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

### $\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

### $\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.24 <math>\pm 0.07</math> OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.9.		
<b>4.0 <math>\pm 3.5</math></b>	BAGLIN	89	SPEC $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-K^+K^-$

### $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

### $\Gamma_{52}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.34 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.03$       14      <sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM      12N BES3  $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p\bar{p}\pi^0$   
<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.53 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best values  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

### $\Gamma(K^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

### $\Gamma_{55}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2.46 <math>\pm 0.33 \pm 0.16</math></b>	157	<sup>1</sup> LU	19	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{p}\Lambda K^+ K^+$

<sup>1</sup> LU 19 reports  $(2.83^{+0.36}_{-0.34} \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3}$  from a measurement of  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)]$  assuming  $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (9.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$ , which we rescale to our best value  $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.10 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

### $\Gamma(\bar{\Lambda}(1520)\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

### $\Gamma_{56}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>3.0 <math>\pm 1.3 \pm 0.2</math></b>	43	<sup>1</sup> LU	19	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{p}\Lambda K^+ K^+$

<sup>1</sup> LU 19 reports  $(3.48 \pm 1.48 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-3}$  from a measurement of  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}(1520)\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)]$  assuming  $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (9.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$ , which we rescale to our best value  $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.10 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{57}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$2.6 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$	112	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	13C BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0$
${}^1 \text{ ABLIKIM } 13\text{C} \text{ reports } [\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (3.60 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-5} \text{ which we divide by our best value } B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}. \text{ Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.}$				

 $\Gamma(\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{58}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$1.07 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.10$	78	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	13C BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$
${}^1 \text{ ABLIKIM } 13\text{C} \text{ reports } [\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.51 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-5} \text{ which we divide by our best value } B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}. \text{ Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.}$				

---

 RADIATIVE DECAYS 

---

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>1.66 \pm 0.13</math> OUR FIT</b>					Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
$3.2 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.3$			<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	13I BES3	
$0.9 \pm 1.9 \pm 0.1$		$1.2 \pm 2.8$	<sup>2</sup> ADAMS	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
$2.0 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.1$		13	<sup>3</sup> WICHT	08 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm\gamma\gamma$
$1.87 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.95$			<sup>4</sup> AMBROGIANI	03 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
$2.80 \pm 0.67 \pm 1.0$			<sup>4</sup> ARMSTRONG	95F E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
$< 9$		90	<sup>5</sup> BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$
$6 \pm 4 \pm 4$			<sup>4</sup> BAGLIN	87B SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
$< 18$		90	<sup>6</sup> BLOOM	83 CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c\gamma$

${}^1 \text{ ABLIKIM } 13\text{I} \text{ reports } [\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (4.5 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6} \text{ which we divide by our best value } B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}. \text{ Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.}$

${}^2 \text{ ADAMS } 08 \text{ reports } [\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.2 \pm 2.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6} \text{ which we divide by our best value } B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}. \text{ Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.}$

${}^3 \text{ WICHT } 08 \text{ reports } [\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)] = (2.2 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-7} \text{ which we divide by our best value } B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.10 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}. \text{ Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.}$

<sup>4</sup> Not independent from the values of the total and two-photon width quoted by the same experiment.

<sup>5</sup> The quoted branching ratios use  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$ .

<sup>6</sup> Using  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$ .

### $\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

### $\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-6}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.221 ± 0.019 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
<b>0.26 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			
0.224 <sup>+0.038</sup> <sub>-0.037</sub> ± 0.020	190	AMBROGIANI 03	E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
0.336 <sup>+0.080</sup> <sub>-0.070</sub>		ARMSTRONG 95F	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
0.68 <sup>+0.42</sup> <sub>-0.31</sub>	12	BAGLIN	87B SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

### — Charge conjugation (*C*), Parity (*P*), — — Lepton family number (*LF*) violating modes —

### $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

### $\Gamma_{60}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<13	90	1 ABLIKIM	11G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
<80	90	2 ABLIKIM	06B BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 11G reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 1.82 \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$ .

<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 06B reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$ .

### $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

### $\Gamma_{61}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 4	90	1 ABLIKIM	11G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
<50	90	2 ABLIKIM	06B BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 11G reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 6.0 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$ .				
<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 06B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 0.71 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$ .				

### $\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

### $\Gamma_{62}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<70	90	1 ABLIKIM	06B BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\gamma$
<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 06B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 0.96 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$ .				

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{63}/\Gamma$
$\text{VALUE (units } 10^{-5}\text{)}$	$\text{CL\%}$
<b>&lt;40</b>	90
	${}^1 \text{ABLIKIM}$
	06B
	BES2
	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \gamma$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$	
<32	90
	${}^{2,3} \text{UEHARA}$
	13
	BELL
	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
< 5.6	90
	${}^{4,5} \text{UEHARA}$
	13
	BELL
	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 06B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 0.53 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$ .	
<sup>2</sup> Using $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)(\eta_c) = 5.3 \pm 0.5$ keV. UEHARA 13 reports $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times B(K_S^0 K_S^0) < 1.6$ eV.	
<sup>3</sup> Taking into account interference with the non-resonant continuum.	
<sup>4</sup> Using $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)(\eta_c) = 5.3 \pm 0.5$ keV. UEHARA 13 reports $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times B(K_S^0 K_S^0) < 0.29$ eV.	
<sup>5</sup> Neglecting interference with the non-resonant continuum.	

### $\eta_c(1S)$ CROSS-PARTICLE BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta'(958)\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

$\text{VALUE (units } 10^{-4}\text{)}$	$\text{EVTS}$	$\text{DOCUMENT ID}$	$\text{TECN}$	$\text{COMMENT}$
<b>2.8 <math>\pm 0.5</math> OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			
<b>5.25 <math>\pm 1.65</math></b>	14	${}^1 \text{BALTRUSAIT..86}$	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> The value reported by BALTRUSAITIS 86 has been multiplied by 3/2 to account for isospin symmetry.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

$\text{VALUE (units } 10^{-4}\text{)}$	$\text{EVTS}$	$\text{DOCUMENT ID}$	$\text{TECN}$	$\text{COMMENT}$
<b>2.6 <math>\pm 0.6</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
1.6 $\pm 0.6$ $\pm 0.4$	72	ABLIKIM	05L	BES2
3.30 $\pm 0.30 \pm 0.60$	113	${}^1 \text{BISELLO}$	91	DM2
3.0 $\pm 1.3$ $\pm 0.6$	32	${}^2 \text{BISELLO}$	91	DM2
				$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
				$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0 \rho^0$
				$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \rho^+ \rho^-$

<sup>1</sup> The value reported by BISELLO 91 has been multiplied by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>2</sup> The value reported by BISELLO 91 has been multiplied by 3/2 to account for isospin symmetry.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_5/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

$\text{VALUE (units } 10^{-4}\text{)}$	$\text{EVTS}$	$\text{DOCUMENT ID}$	$\text{TECN}$	$\text{COMMENT}$
<b>2.6 <math>\pm 0.6</math></b>	63	BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892)\bar{K}^*(892))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_6/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

**0.99±0.17 OUR FIT****1.17±0.29 OUR AVERAGE**

1.4 ± 0.3 ± 0.5	60	ABLIKIM	05L	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1.04±0.36±0.18	14	<sup>1</sup> BISELLO	91	DM2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
1.2 ± 0.6	9	<sup>1</sup> BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> The reported value has been multiplied by 2 to account for isospin symmetry.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^*(892)^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_7/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1.91±0.64±0.48</b>	45	ABLIKIM	06A	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^*{}^0\bar{K}^*{}^0\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_8/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{270}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma^{B^\pm}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-6}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>3.6^{+1.1}_{-0.9}\pm0.8</math></b>	$14.1^{+4.4}_{-3.7}$	HUANG	03	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow (\phi K^+ K^-) K^+$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-5}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

**2.6±0.6 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 2.2.**4.1±0.6 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

4.3±0.5 <sup>+0.5</sup> <sub>-1.2</sub>	1.2k	ABLIKIM	17P	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
3.3±0.6±0.6	72	ABLIKIM	05L	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
3.9±0.9±0.7	19	BISELLO	91	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
3.8 <sup>+2.3</sup> <sub>-1.5</sub> ±0.7	5	BISELLO	91	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_L^0$
9.3±2.0±1.6	80	BAI	90B	MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
8.5±2.7±1.8		BAI	90B	MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_L^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.3±0.6±0.6	357	<sup>1</sup> BAI	04	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
-------------	-----	------------------	----	---

<sup>1</sup> Superseded by ABLIKIM 05L.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{270}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma^{B^\pm}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-6}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

**2.0±0.5 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 2.2. **$3.3^{+1.2}_{-1.0}$  OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.5.

4.7±1.2±0.5		AUBERT,B	04B	BABR $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \eta_c$
2.2 <sup>+1.0</sup> <sub>-0.7</sub> ±0.5	7	HUANG	03	BELL $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \phi\phi$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-5}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>3.7 ±1.2 OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 2.1.		
<b>4.90±0.17±0.77</b>	1705	ABLIKIM	19AV BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow f_2(1270)f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1.5±0.4 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>1.3±0.3<sup>+0.3</sup><sub>-0.4</sub></b>	$91.2 \pm 19.8$	ABLIKIM	04M BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>10.1 ±0.9 OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.5.		

**6.7 ±0.8 OUR AVERAGE**

6.6 ±0.9 ±1.5	0.6k	<sup>1</sup> BAI	04	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
8.76±1.80±1.68	33	<sup>2</sup> BISELLO	91	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
6.9 ±1.2 ±1.2	68	<sup>3</sup> BISELLO	91	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$
7.8 ±3.0	32	<sup>4</sup> BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
5.7 ±1.5	63	<sup>5</sup> BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$

<sup>1</sup> BAI 04 reports  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (2.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$  which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>2</sup> BISELLO 91 reports  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.46 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$  which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>3</sup> BISELLO 91 reports  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (2.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$  which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>4</sup> BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$  which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>5</sup> BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$  which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{270}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma^{B^\pm}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-5}</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>7.9 ±0.5 OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.	

**7.5 ±0.8 OUR AVERAGE**

$8.01 \pm 0.42^{+1.71}_{-1.65}$	<sup>1</sup> VINOKUROVA 11	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$7.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.7$	AUBERT,B	04B BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \eta_c$

<sup>1</sup> VINOKUROVA 11 reports  $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+, \eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (26.7 \pm 1.4^{+2.9}_{-2.6} \pm 4.9) \times 10^{-6}$ , where the first uncertainty is statistical, the second is due to systematics, and the third comes from interference of  $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$  with nonresonant  $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ . We combined both systematic uncertainties to single values. We multiply the reported result by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{184}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2.6±0.4 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		
<b>4.5<sup>+2.4</sup><sub>-1.8</sub></b>	HIMEL	80B MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>4.28±0.34 OUR FIT</b>				

#### 4.1 ±0.6 OUR AVERAGE

$$3.7 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.3 \quad 55 \quad ^{1,2} \text{ABLIKIM} \quad 12N \quad \text{BES3} \quad \psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$$

$$4.6 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.3 \quad 107 \quad ^{3,4} \text{ABLIKIM} \quad 12N \quad \text{BES3} \quad \psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K_S^0 K^\mp \pi^\pm$$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N quotes  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (4.54 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-6}$  which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (27.24 \pm 4.56 \pm 2.88) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

<sup>3</sup> ABLIKIM 12N quotes  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (11.35 \pm 1.25 \pm 1.50) \times 10^{-6}$  which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>4</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (34.05 \pm 3.75 \pm 4.50) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{38}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>7.9±1.0 OUR FIT</b>				

$$**5.7<sup>+2.9±0.4</sup>**  $7 \quad ^{1,2} \text{ABLIKIM} \quad 12N \quad \text{BES3} \quad \psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta K^+ K^-$$$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N quotes  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta) = (2.11 \pm 1.01 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-6}$  which we multiply by 2 to account for isospin symmetry.

<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (4.22 \pm 2.02 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{39}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>9.7<sup>+2.5±0.7</sup></b>	33	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (7.22 \pm 1.47 \pm 1.11) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{39}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>4.2±0.9 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
4.6±1.1	75	BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c\gamma$
3.1±1.1±1.5	18	PARTRIDGE 80B	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{40}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>2.6±0.7±0.2</b>	39	1 ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma\eta 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (19.17 \pm 3.77 \pm 3.72) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{41}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1.17±0.26 OUR FIT</b> Error includes scale factor of 2.0.				
<b>1.9 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 2.4.
1.5 ± 0.2 ± 0.2	0.4k	BAI 04	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$
2.7 ± 0.4	110	BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c\gamma$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{41}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{184}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-5}</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>3.0±0.8 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.7.		
<b>4.0<sup>+6.0</sup><sub>-2.5</sub></b>	HIMEL 80B	MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c\gamma$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{41}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>5.0±1.0 OUR FIT</b> Error includes scale factor of 1.7.				
<b>5.6±1.3±0.4</b>	38	1 ABLIKIM 12N BES3		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$
<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (4.16 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.59) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^0K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^++\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{43}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>3.2±0.8±0.2</b>	1,2 ABLIKIM 12N BES3		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma K_S^0 K^\mp\pi^\mp 2\pi^\pm$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N quotes  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+) = (12.01 \pm 2.22 \pm 2.04) \times 10^{-6}$  which we multiply by 2 to take c.c. into account.

<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (24.02 \pm 4.44 \pm 4.08) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{44}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.21 ± 0.32 ± 0.24</b>	100	ABLIKIM	06A BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{44}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>4.8 ± 2.5 ± 0.3</b>	10	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (3.60 \pm 1.71 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{45}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{270}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma^{B^\pm}$$

VALUE (units $10^{-6}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.6 ± 0.4 OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.4.		
<b>1.8 ± 0.6 -0.5</b>	$14.5^{+4.6}_{-3.0}$	HUANG	03 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-) K^+$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{45}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.85 ± 0.24 OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		

1.3 ± 0.5 ± 0.1	7	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 2(K^+ K^-)$
<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (0.94 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{47}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2.7 ± 0.5 ± 0.2</b>	118	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (20.31 \pm 2.20 \pm 3.33) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{48} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)} / \Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.35 ± 0.19 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.			
<b>1.36 ± 0.23 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
1.3 ± 0.2 ± 0.4	0.5k	BAI	04	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1.33 ± 0.22 ± 0.20	137	BISELLO	91	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1.6 ± 0.6	25	BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{48} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{184}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>3.4 ± 0.7 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		
<b>5.7<sup>+3.9</sup><sub>-2.4</sub></b>	HIMEL	80B MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{48} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)} / \Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.58 ± 0.09 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.			
<b>1.01 ± 0.19 ± 0.07</b>	100	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (7.51 \pm 0.85 \pm 1.11) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{49} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)} / \Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>10.1 ± 1.7 ± 0.7</b>	175	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (75.13 \pm 7.42 \pm 9.99) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{50} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)} / \Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2.59 ± 0.32 ± 0.47</b>	471	ABLIKIM	06A BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{50}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1.19±0.30±0.08</b>	51	1 ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (8.82 \pm 1.57 \pm 1.59) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-5}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1.88±0.18 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			

### **1.61±0.29 OUR AVERAGE**

1.9 ± 0.3 ± 0.3	213	BAI	04	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
1.3 ± 0.4 ± 0.3	18	BISELLO	91	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
1.4 ± 0.7	23	BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>8.0±0.8 OUR FIT</b>				

### **8.7±2.9±0.6**

15	1 ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p\bar{p}$
<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (0.65 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.			

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{184}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-6}</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>4.8±0.7 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		

<b>8 +8 -4</b>	HIMEL	80B MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
--------------------	-------	----------	--------------------------------------

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{270}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma^{B^\pm}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-6}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1.47±0.12 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			

### **1.54±0.19 OUR AVERAGE**

1.42±0.11 <sup>+0.16</sup> <sub>-0.20</sub>	195	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+$
1.8 +0.3 -0.2	±0.2	AUBERT,B	05L BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{52}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}}$$

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>2.1±0.7±0.1</b>	1 ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p\bar{p}\pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (1.53 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{53}/\Gamma} \times \frac{\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>2.19±0.30 OUR FIT</b>				

**3.1 ±1.0 ±0.2**      19      <sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM      12N BES3       $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p\bar{p}\pi^+ \pi^-$   
<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 12N reports  $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (2.30 \pm 0.65 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{53}/\Gamma} \times \frac{\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{270}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma^{B^\pm}}$$

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-6}$ )	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>4.0 ±0.4 OUR FIT</b>			
<b>3.94<sup>+0.41+0.22</sup><sub>-0.39-0.18</sub></b>	CHILIKIN	19	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-5}$ )	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1.5 ± 0.4 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.		
<b>1.98±0.21±0.32</b>	ABLIKIM	12B	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\gamma$

$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$        $\Gamma_{54}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{270}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma^{B^\pm}$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTID	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.21 ± 0.30 OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.5.		
<b>0.95</b> <b>-0.22</b> <b>+0.25</b> <b>-0.11</b> <b>+0.08</b>	20	WU	06	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^+$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$= 57/\Gamma \times \frac{\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}_{245}}{\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}} / \Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-5}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>3.60 <math>\pm</math> 0.48 <math>\pm</math> 0.31</b>	112	ABLIKIM	13c BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Xi^- \Xi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					
$\Gamma_{58}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$					
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-5}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>1.51 <math>\pm 0.27 \pm 0.14</math></b>	78	ABLIKIM	13C	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-$
$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					
$\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$					
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-6}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>2.34 <math>\pm 0.35</math> OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
<b>3.8 <math>\pm 1.3</math></b>	OUR AVERAGE			Error includes scale factor of 1.1.	
4.5 $\pm 1.2$ $\pm 0.6$		ABLIKIM	13I	BES3	
1.2 $\pm 2.7$ $\pm 0.3$	1.2 $\pm 2.8$ $\pm 1.1$	ADAMS	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					
$\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{270}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma^{B^\pm}$					
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-6}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>0.183 <math>\pm 0.022</math> OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
<b>0.22 <math>\pm 0.09</math> <math>\pm 0.04</math></b>	13	WICHT	08	BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \gamma \gamma$

## $\eta_c(1S)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	23AH	PR D108	032010	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21C	PR D103	012009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	21A	PR D104	072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AAIJ	20H	EPJ C80	191	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AP	PR D100	012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AV	PR D100	052012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
CHILIKIN	19	PR D100	012001	K. Chilikin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LU	19	PR D99	032003	P.-C. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
XU	18	PR D98	072001	Q.N. Xu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	17AD	PL B769	305	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17BB	EPJ C77	609	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17AJ	PR D96	112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17P	PR D95	092004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	16A	PR D93	012005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AAIJ	15BI	EPJ C75	311	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ANASHIN	14	PL B738	391	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
LEES	14E	PR D89	112004	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13C	PR D87	012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13I	PR D87	032003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
UEHARA	13	PTEP	2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12B	PR D86	032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12F	PRL	108 222002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12N	PR D86	092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LIU	12B	PRL	108 232001	Z.Q. Liu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ZHANG	12A	PR D86	052002	C.C. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11G	PR D84	032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	11M	PR D84	012004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
VINOKUROVA	11	PL	B706 139	A. Vinokurova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	10	PR	D81 052010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
MITCHELL	09	PRL	102 011801	R.E. Mitchell <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ADAMS	08	PRL	101 101801	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	08AB	PR	D78 012006	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
UEHARA	08	EPJ	C53 1	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
WICHT	08	PL	B662 323	J. Wicht <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE	07	PRL	98 082001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06A	PL	B633 19	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06B	EPJ	C45 337	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)

AUBERT	06E	PRL 96 052002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
WU	06	PRL 97 162003	C.-H. Wu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	05L	PR D72 072005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AUBERT,B	05L	PR D72 051101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
KUO	05	PL B621 41	C.C. Kuo <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE	04G	PR D70 071102	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	04M	PR D70 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ASNER	04	PRL 92 142001	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	04D	PRL 92 142002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,B	04B	PR D70 011101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BAI	04	PL B578 16	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABDALLAH	03J	EPJ C31 481	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
AMBROGIANI	03	PL B566 45	M. Ambrogiani <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)
BAI	03	PL B555 174	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
FANG	03	PRL 90 071801	F. Fang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
HUANG	03	PRL 91 241802	H.-C. Huang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE,K	02	PRL 89 142001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BAI	00F	PR D62 072001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BRANDENB...	00B	PRL 85 3095	G. Brandenburg <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99T	PL B461 155	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BAI	99B	PR D60 072001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABREU	98O	PL B441 479	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
SHIRAI	98	PL B424 405	M. Shirai <i>et al.</i>	(AMY Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	95F	PR D52 4839	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
ALBRECHT	94H	PL B338 390	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ADRIANI	93N	PL B318 575	O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BISELLLO	91	NP B350 1	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BAI	90B	PRL 65 1309	Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
CHEN	90B	PL B243 169	W.Y. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BAGLIN	89	PL B231 557	C. Baglin, S. Baird, G. Bassompierre	(R704 Collab.)
BEHREND	89	ZPHY C42 367	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
BRAUNSCH...	89	ZPHY C41 533	W. Braunschweig <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
AIHARA	88D	PRL 60 2355	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC Collab.)
BAGLIN	87B	PL B187 191	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(R704 Collab.)
BALTRUSAIT...	86	PR D33 629	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
BERGER	86	PL 167B 120	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)
GAISER	86	PR D34 711	J. Gaiser <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ALTHOFF	85B	ZPHY C29 189	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
BALTRUSAIT...	84	PRL 52 2126	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, UCSC+) JP
BLOOM	83	ARNS 33 143	E.D. Bloom, C. Peck	(SLAC, CIT)
HIMEL	80B	PRL 45 1146	T.M. Himel <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL, UCB)
PARTRIDGE	80B	PRL 45 1150	R. Partridge <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)