

$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$

$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ Status: ***

The $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ mode is largely, and perhaps entirely, $\Sigma_c \pi$, which is just at threshold; since the Σ_c has $J^P = 1/2^+$, the J^P here is almost certainly $1/2^-$. This result is in accord with the theoretical expectation that this is the charm counterpart of the strange $\Lambda(1405)$.

$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$ MASS

The mass is obtained from the $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ - \Lambda_c^+$ mass-difference measurements below.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
2592.25 ± 0.28 OUR FIT	

$\Lambda_c(2595)^+ - \Lambda_c^+$ MASS DIFFERENCE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
305.79 ± 0.24 OUR FIT				
305.79 ± 0.14 ± 0.20	3.5k	AALTONEN	11H CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
305.6 ± 0.3		¹ BLECHMAN	03	Threshold shift
309.7 ± 0.9 ± 0.4	19	ALBRECHT	97 ARG	$e^+e^- \approx 10$ GeV
309.2 ± 0.7 ± 0.3	14 ± 4.5	FRABETTI	96 E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
307.5 ± 0.4 ± 1.0	112 ± 17	EDWARDS	95 CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

¹ BLECHMAN 03 finds that a more sophisticated treatment than a simple Breit-Wigner for the proximity of the threshold of the dominant decay, $\Sigma_c(2455)\pi$, lowers the $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ - \Lambda_c^+$ mass difference by 2 or 3 MeV. The analysis of AALTONEN 11H bears this out.

$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.59 ± 0.30 ± 0.47	3.5k	² AALTONEN	11H CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.9 ^{+2.9} _{-2.1} ^{+1.8} _{-1.4}	19	ALBRECHT	97 ARG	$e^+e^- \approx 10$ GeV
3.9 ^{+1.4} _{-1.2} ^{+2.0} _{-1.0}	112 ± 17	EDWARDS	95 CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

² AALTONEN 11H treats the three charged modes $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_c(2455)^{++}\pi^-$, $\Sigma_c(2455)^+\pi^0$, $\Sigma_c(2455)^0\pi^+$ separately in terms of a common coupling constant h_2 and obtains $h_2^2 = 0.36 \pm 0.08$. From this the width is determined.

$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$ DECAY MODES

$\Lambda_c^+ \pi \pi$ and its submode $\Sigma_c(2455) \pi$ — the latter just barely — are the only strong decays allowed to an excited Λ_c^+ having this mass; and the submode seems to dominate.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	[a] —
$\Gamma_2 \quad \Sigma_c(2455)^{++} \pi^-$	$24 \pm 7 \%$
$\Gamma_3 \quad \Sigma_c(2455)^0 \pi^+$	$24 \pm 7 \%$
$\Gamma_4 \quad \Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ 3-body	$18 \pm 10 \%$
$\Gamma_5 \quad \Lambda_c^+ \pi^0$	[b] not seen
$\Gamma_6 \quad \Lambda_c^+ \gamma$	not seen

[a] See AALTONEN 11H, Fig. 8, for the calculated ratio of $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$ and $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ partial widths as a function of the $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ - \Lambda_c^+$ mass difference. At our value of the mass difference, the ratio is about 4.

[b] A test that the isospin is indeed 0, so that the particle is indeed a Λ_c^+ .

 $\Lambda_c(2595)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_1/Γ**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.85	90	ABLIKIM	24BC BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 4.918 , 4.950 GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^{++} \pi^-)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$ **Γ_2/Γ_1**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.36$\pm$0.10 OUR AVERAGE			
0.37 $\pm$ 0.12 $\pm$ 0.13	ALBRECHT	97	ARG $e^+ e^- \approx 10$ GeV
0.36 $\pm$ 0.09 $\pm$ 0.09	EDWARDS	95	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$ **Γ_3/Γ_1**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.37$\pm$0.10 OUR AVERAGE			
0.29 $\pm$ 0.10 $\pm$ 0.11	ALBRECHT	97	ARG $e^+ e^- \approx 10$ GeV
0.42 $\pm$ 0.09 $\pm$ 0.09	EDWARDS	95	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

$[\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^{++} \pi^-) + \Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^0 \pi^+)]/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$ **$(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3)/\Gamma_1$**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.66 $^{+0.13}_{-0.16}$ $\pm$ 0.07		ALBRECHT	97	ARG $e^+ e^- \approx 10$ GeV
>0.51	90	³ FRABETTI	96	E687 γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV

³ The results of FRABETTI 96 are consistent with this ratio being 100%.

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$

Γ_5/Γ_1

$\Lambda_c^+ \pi^0$ decay is forbidden by isospin conservation if this state is in fact a Λ_c .

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.53	90	EDWARDS	95 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$

Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.98	90	EDWARDS	95 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	24BC	PR D109 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AALTONEN	11H	PR D84 012003	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
BLECHMAN	03	PR D67 074033	A.E. Blechman <i>et al.</i>	(JHU, FLOR)
ALBRECHT	97	PL B402 207	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
FRABETTI	96	PL B365 461	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
EDWARDS	95	PRL 74 3331	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)