



$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{3}{2}^+)$ Status: ****

The unambiguous discovery in both production and decay was by BARNES 64. The quantum numbers follow from the assignment of the particle to the baryon decuplet. DEUTSCHMANN 78 and BAUBILLIER 78 rule out $J = 1/2$ and find consistency with $J = 3/2$. AUBERT,BE 06 finds from the decay angular distributions of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- K^+$ and $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- K^+$ that $J = 3/2$; this depends on the spins of the Ξ_c^0 and Ω_c^0 being $J = 1/2$, their supposed values.

ABLIKIM 21E determines the Ω^- spin to be $J = 3/2$ from the decay angular distributions of the complete decay chain $\psi(3686) \rightarrow \Omega^- \bar{\Omega}^+$, with subsequent decays $\Omega^- \rightarrow K^- \Lambda$ and $\bar{\Omega}^+ \rightarrow K^+ \bar{\Lambda}$.

We have omitted some results that have been superseded by later experiments. See our earlier editions.

Ω^- MASS

The fit assumes the Ω^- and $\bar{\Omega}^+$ masses are the same, and averages them together.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1672.45 ± 0.29 OUR FIT				
1672.43 ± 0.32 OUR AVERAGE				
1673 ± 1	100	HARTOUNI	85	SPEC 80–280 GeV $K_L^0 C$
1673.0 ± 0.8	41	BAUBILLIER	78	HBC 8.25 GeV/c $K^- p$
1671.7 ± 0.6	27	HEMINGWAY	78	HBC 4.2 GeV/c $K^- p$
1673.4 ± 1.7	4	¹ DIBIANCA	75	DBC 4.9 GeV/c $K^- d$
1673.3 ± 1.0	3	PALMER	68	HBC $K^- p$ 4.6, 5 GeV/c
1671.8 ± 0.8	3	SCHULTZ	68	HBC $K^- p$ 5.5 GeV/c
1674.2 ± 1.6	5	SCOTTER	68	HBC $K^- p$ 6 GeV/c
1672.1 ± 1.0	1	² FRY	55	EMUL
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1671.43 ± 0.78	13	³ DEUTSCH...	73	HBC $K^- p$ 10 GeV/c
1671.9 ± 1.2	6	³ SPETH	69	HBC See DEUTSCHMANN 73
1673.0 ± 8.0	1	ABRAMS	64	HBC $\rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^0$
1670.6 ± 1.0	1	² FRY	55B	EMUL
1615	1	⁴ EISENBERG	54	EMUL

¹DIBIANCA 75 gives a mass for each event. We quote the average.

²The FRY 55 and FRY 55B events were identified as Ω^- by ALVAREZ 73. The masses assume decay to ΛK^- at rest. For FRY 55B, decay from an atomic orbit could Doppler shift the K^- energy and the resulting Ω^- mass by several MeV. This shift is negligible for FRY 55 because the Ω decay is approximately perpendicular to its orbital velocity, as is known because the Λ strikes the nucleus (L.Alvarez, private communication 1973). We have calculated the error assuming that the orbital n is 4 or larger.

³Excluded from the average; the Ω^- lifetimes measured by the experiments differ significantly from other measurements.

⁴ The EISENBERG 54 mass was calculated for decay in flight. ALVAREZ 73 has shown that the Ω interacted with an Ag nucleus to give $K^- \Xi \text{Ag}$.

$\bar{\Omega}^+$ MASS

The fit assumes the Ω^- and $\bar{\Omega}^+$ masses are the same, and averages them together.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1672.45 ± 0.29 OUR FIT				
1672.5 ± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE				
1672 ± 1	72	HARTOUNI	85	SPEC 80–280 GeV $K_L^0 C$
1673.1 ± 1.0	1	FIRESTONE	71B	HBC 12 GeV/c $K^+ d$

$$(m_{\Omega^-} - m_{\bar{\Omega}^+}) / m_{\Omega^-}$$

A test of CPT invariance.

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-1.44 ± 7.98		CHAN	98	E756 p Be, 800 GeV

Ω^- MEAN LIFE

Measurements with an error $> 0.1 \times 10^{-10}$ s have been omitted. The fit assumes the Ω^- and $\bar{\Omega}^+$ mean lives are the same, and averages them together.

VALUE (10^{-10} s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.821 ± 0.011 OUR FIT				
0.821 ± 0.011 OUR AVERAGE				
0.817 $\pm 0.013 \pm 0.018$	6934	CHAN	98	E756 p Be, 800 GeV
0.811 ± 0.037	1096	LUK	88	SPEC p Be 400 GeV
0.823 ± 0.013	12k	BOURQUIN	84	SPEC SPS hyperon beam
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
0.822 ± 0.028	2437	BOURQUIN	79B	SPEC See BOURQUIN 84

$\bar{\Omega}^+$ MEAN LIFE

The fit assumes the Ω^- and $\bar{\Omega}^+$ mean lives are the same, and averages them together.

VALUE (10^{-10} s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.821 ± 0.011 OUR FIT				
$0.823 \pm 0.031 \pm 0.022$	1801	CHAN	98	E756 p Be, 800 GeV

$$(\tau_{\Omega^-} - \tau_{\bar{\Omega}^+}) / \tau_{\Omega^-}$$

A test of *CPT* invariance. Our calculation, from the averages in the preceding two data blocks.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
0.00±0.05 OUR ESTIMATE	

Ω^- MAGNETIC MOMENT

<u>VALUE (μ_N)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-2.02 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE				
-2.024±0.056	235k	WALLACE	95	SPEC Ω^- 300–550 GeV
-1.94 ±0.17 ±0.14	25k	DIEHL	91	SPEC Spin-transfer production

Ω^- DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \Lambda K^-$	(67.7 ±0.7) %	
$\Gamma_2 \Xi^0 \pi^-$	(24.3 ±0.7) %	S=1.5
$\Gamma_3 \Xi^- \pi^0$	(8.55±0.33) %	
$\Gamma_4 \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	(3.7 $^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$) $\times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_5 \Xi(1530)^0 \pi^-$	< 7 $\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_6 \Xi^0 e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	(5.6 ±2.8) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_7 \Xi^- \gamma$	< 4.6 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Delta S = 2$ forbidden (S2) modes		
$\Gamma_8 \Lambda \pi^-$	$S2 < 2.9 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_9 \Sigma^0 \pi^-$	< 5.4 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{10} n K^-$	< 2.4 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

Ω^- BRANCHING RATIOS

The BOURQUIN 84 values (which include results of BOURQUIN 79B, a separate experiment) are much more accurate than any other results, and so the other results have been omitted.

<u>$\Gamma(\Lambda K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$</u>	<u>Γ_1/Γ</u>
<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>
67.7±0.7 OUR AVERAGE	
66.3±0.8±2.0	9.0k
67.8±0.7	14k
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
68.6±1.3	1920
BOURQUIN	79B SPEC See BOURQUIN 84

$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
24.3 ± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.5.
25.03 ± 0.44 ± 0.53	5.4k	ABLIKIM	23BJ BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3686) \rightarrow \Omega \bar{\Omega}$
23.6 ± 0.7	1947	BOURQUIN	84 SPEC	SPS hyperon beam
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
23.4 ± 1.3	317	BOURQUIN	79B SPEC	See BOURQUIN 84

 $\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.55 ± 0.33 OUR AVERAGE				
8.43 ± 0.52 ± 0.28	794	ABLIKIM	23BJ BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3686) \rightarrow \Omega \bar{\Omega}$
8.6 ± 0.4	759	BOURQUIN	84 SPEC	SPS hyperon beam
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
8.0 ± 0.8	145	BOURQUIN	79B SPEC	See BOURQUIN 84

 $\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.74 ± 0.67	100	5 KAMAEV	10 HYCP	p Cu, 800 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4.3 +3.4 -1.3	4	BOURQUIN	84 SPEC	SPS hyperon beam

⁵This KAMAEV 10 value uses $76 \Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $24 \bar{\Omega}^+ \rightarrow \Xi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$ decays. The Ω^- and $\bar{\Omega}^+$ branching fractions measurements are statistically equal. The errors given combine statistical and systematic contributions. The CP branching-fraction asymmetry, $(\Omega^- - \bar{\Omega}^+)/\text{sum}$, is $+0.12 \pm 0.20$.

 $\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^0 \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.7	90		KAMAEV	10 HYCP	p Cu, 800 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
6.4 +5.1 -2.0	4	6 BOURQUIN	84 SPEC	SPS hyperon beam	

⁶The same 4 events as in the previous mode, with the isospin factor to take into account $\Xi(1530)^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 \pi^0$ decays included. BOURQUIN 84 adopted a theoretical assumption that $\Xi(1530)^0 \pi^-$ would dominate $\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay.

 $\Gamma(\Xi^0 e^- \bar{\nu}_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.6 ± 2.8	14	BOURQUIN	84 SPEC	SPS hyperon beam
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 10	3	BOURQUIN	79B SPEC	See BOURQUIN 84

 $\Gamma(\Xi^- \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 4.6	90	0	ALBUQUERQ...94	E761	Ω^- 375 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<22	90	9	BOURQUIN	84 SPEC	SPS hyperon beam
<31	90	0	BOURQUIN	79B SPEC	See BOURQUIN 84

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_8/Γ
$\Delta S=2$. Forbidden in first-order weak interaction.					
VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
< 2.9	90	WHITE	05	HYCP	p Cu, 800 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 190	90	BOURQUIN	84	SPEC	SPS hyperon beam
< 1300	90	BOURQUIN	79B	SPEC	See BOURQUIN 84
$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_9/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<5.4 × 10⁻⁴	90	ABLIKIM	24AI	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3686) \rightarrow \Omega\bar{\Omega}$
$\Gamma(nK^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{10}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<2.4 × 10⁻⁴	90	ABLIKIM	24AI	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3686) \rightarrow \Omega\bar{\Omega}$

Ω^- DECAY PARAMETERS

$\alpha(\Omega^-) \alpha_-(\Lambda)$ FOR $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Lambda K^-$

Some early results have been omitted.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0115 ± 0.0015 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0133 ± 0.0033 ± 0.0052	960k	7 CHEN	05	HYCP p Cu, 800 GeV
0.0114 ± 0.0012 ± 0.0010	4.5M	7 LU	05A	HYCP p Cu, 800 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.018 ± 0.030	6953	CHAN	98	E756 p Be, 800 GeV
-0.022 ± 0.051	1743	LUK	88	SPEC p Be 400 GeV
-0.016 ± 0.018	12k	BOURQUIN	84	SPEC SPS hyperon beam

⁷ The results of CHEN 05 and LU 05A are from different experimental runs.

α FOR $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Lambda K^-$

The above average, $\alpha(\Omega^-)\alpha_-(\Lambda) = 0.0115 \pm 0.0015$, divided by our current average $\alpha_-(\Lambda) = 0.749 \pm 0.008$ gives $\alpha(\Omega^-)$:

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
0.0154 ± 0.0020 OUR EVALUATION	

$\bar{\alpha}$ FOR $\bar{\Omega}^+ \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} K^+$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.0181 ± 0.0028 ± 0.0026	1.89M	LU	06	HYCP p Cu, 800 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
+0.017 ± 0.077	1823	CHAN	98	E756 p Be, 800 GeV

$(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})/(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})$ in $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Lambda K^-$, $\bar{\Omega}^+ \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} K^+$

Zero if CP is conserved.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.016 ± 0.092 ± 0.089	8 LU	06	HYCP p Cu, 800 GeV

⁸ This value uses the results of CHEN 05, LU 05A, and LU 06.

α FOR $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^0 \pi^-$		α FOR $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^0$		
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.09±0.14	1630	BOURQUIN 84	SPEC	SPS hyperon beam
α FOR $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^0$				
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.05±0.21	614	BOURQUIN 84	SPEC	SPS hyperon beam

Ω^- REFERENCES

We have omitted some papers that have been superseded by later experiments. See our earlier editions.

ABLIKIM ABLIKIM ABLIKIM KAMAEV AUBERT,BE LU CHEN LU WHITE CHAN WALLACE ALBUQUERQ... DIEHL LUK HARTOUNI BOURQUIN Also BOURQUIN BAUBILLIER DEUTSCH... HEMINGWAY DIBIANCA ALVAREZ DEUTSCH... FIRESTONE SPETH PALMER SCHULTZ SCOTTER ABRAMS BARNES FRY FRY EISENBERG	24AI 23BJ 21E 10 06 06 05 05A 05 98 95 94 91 88 85 84 79B 78 78 78 78 75 73 73 73 71B 69 68 68 68 64 64 55 55B 54	JHEP 2405 141 PR D108 L091101 PRL 126 092002 PL B693 236 PRL 97 112001 PRL 96 242001 PR D71 051102 PL B617 11 PRL 94 101804 PR D58 072002 PRL 74 3732 PR D50 18 PRL 67 804 PR D38 19 PRL 54 628 NP B241 1 PL 87B 297 PL 88B 192 PL 78B 342 PL 73B 96 NP B142 205 NP B98 137 PR D8 702 NP B61 102 PRL 26 410 PL 29B 252 PL 26B 323 PR 168 1509 PL 26B 474 PRL 13 670 PRL 12 204 PR 97 1189 NC 2 346 PR 96 541	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i> M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i> M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i> O. Kamaev <i>et al.</i> B. Aubert <i>et al.</i> L.C. Lu <i>et al.</i> Y.C. Chen <i>et al.</i> L.C. Lu <i>et al.</i> C.G. White <i>et al.</i> A.W. Chan <i>et al.</i> N.B. Wallace <i>et al.</i> I.F. Albuquerque <i>et al.</i> H.T. Diehl <i>et al.</i> K.B. Luk <i>et al.</i> E.P. Hartouni <i>et al.</i> M.H. Bourquin <i>et al.</i> M.H. Bourquin <i>et al.</i> M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i> M. Deutschmann <i>et al.</i> R.J. Hemingway <i>et al.</i> F.A. Dibianca, R.J. Endorf L.W. Alvarez M. Deutschmann <i>et al.</i> I. Firestone <i>et al.</i> R. Speth <i>et al.</i> R.B. Palmer <i>et al.</i> P.F. Schultz <i>et al.</i> D. Scotter <i>et al.</i> G.S. Abrams <i>et al.</i> V.E. Barnes <i>et al.</i> W.F. Fry, J. Schneps, M.S. Swami W.F. Fry, J. Schneps, M.S. Swami Y. Eisenberg	(BESIII Collab.) (BESIII Collab.) (BESIII Collab.) (FNAL HyperCP Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (FNAL HyperCP Collab.) (FNAL HyperCP Collab.) (FNAL HyperCP Collab.) (FNAL E756 Collab.) (MINN, ARIZ, MICH+) (FNAL E761 Collab.) (RUTG, FNAL, MICH+) (RUTG, WISC, MICH, MINN) (COLU, ILL, FNAL) (BRIS, GEVA, HEIDP+) (BRIS, GEVA, HEIDP+) (BRIS, GEVA, HEIDP+) (BIRM, CERN, GLAS+) J (AACH3, BERL, CERN+) J (CERN, ZEEM, NIJM+) (CMU) (LBL) (ABCLV Collab.) (LRL) (AACH, BERL, CERN, LOIC+) (BNL, SYRA) (ILL, ANL, NWES+) (BIRM, GLAS, LOIC+) (UMD, NRL) (BNL) (WISC) (WISC) (CORN)
---	---	---	---	---