



$$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{1}{2}^+)$$

$$\text{Charge} = \frac{2}{3} e \quad \text{Charm} = +1$$

c-QUARK MASS


The c -quark mass corresponds to the “running” mass $m_c(\mu = m_c)$ in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme. We have converted masses in other schemes to the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme using two-loop QCD perturbation theory with $\alpha_s(\mu=m_c) = 0.38 \pm 0.03$. The value 1.2729 ± 0.0045 GeV for the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ mass corresponds to 1.65 ± 0.02 GeV for the pole mass (see the “Note on Quark Masses”).

$\overline{\text{MS}}$ MASS (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
1.2729 ± 0.0045 (CL = 90%)		OUR EVALUATION	See the ideogram below.
1.2716 ± 0.0082		1 COLQUHOUN 26	LATT
1.316 ± 0.022 ^{+0.019} / _{-0.010}		2 ALEXANDROU21	LATT
1.296 ± 0.019		3 HEITGER 21	LATT
1.2723 ± 0.0078		4 HATTON 20	LATT
1.266 ± 0.006		5 NARISON 20	THEO
1.290 ^{+0.077} / _{-0.053}		6 ABRAMOWICZ18	HERA
1.273 ± 0.010		7 BAZAVOV 18	LATT
1.2737 ± 0.0077		8 LYTLE 18	LATT
1.223 ± 0.033		9 PESET 18	THEO
1.279 ± 0.008		10 CHETYRKIN 17	THEO
1.272 ± 0.008		11 ERLER 17	THEO
1.246 ± 0.023		12 KIYO 16	THEO
1.288 ± 0.020		13 DEHNADI 15	THEO
1.348 ± 0.046		14 CARRASCO 14	LATT
1.24 ± 0.03 ^{+0.03} / _{-0.07}		15 ALEKHIN 13	THEO
1.159 ± 0.075		16 SAMOYLOV 13	NOMD
1.278 ± 0.009		17 BODENSTEIN 11	THEO
1.28 ^{+0.07} / _{-0.06}		18 LASCHKA 11	THEO
1.196 ± 0.059 ± 0.050		19 AUBERT 10A	BABR
1.25 ± 0.04		20 SIGNER 09	THEO
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1.263 ± 0.014		21 NARISON 18A	THEO
1.264 ± 0.006		22 NARISON 18B	THEO
1.335 ± 0.043 ^{+0.040} / _{-0.011}		23 BERTONE 16	THEO
1.2715 ± 0.0095		24 CHAKRABOR..15	LATT
1.26 ± 0.05 ± 0.04		25 ABRAMOWICZ13C	COMB
1.282 ± 0.011 ± 0.022		26 DEHNADI 13	THEO
1.286 ± 0.066		27 NARISON 13	THEO
1.36 ± 0.04 ± 0.10		28 ALEKHIN 12	THEO
1.261 ± 0.016		29 NARISON 12A	THEO
1.01 ± 0.09 ± 0.03		30 ALEKHIN 11	THEO
1.28 ± 0.04		31 BLOSSIER 10	LATT
1.299 ± 0.026		32 BODENSTEIN 10	THEO

1.273 ±0.006	33	MCNEILE	10	LATT
1.261 ±0.018	34	NARISON	10	THEO
1.279 ±0.013	35	CHETYRKIN	09	THEO
1.268 ±0.009	36	ALLISON	08	LATT
1.286 ±0.013	37	KUHN	07	THEO
1.295 ±0.015	38	BOUGHEZAL	06	THEO
1.24 ±0.09	39	BUCHMUEL...	06	THEO
1.224 ±0.017 ±0.054	40	HOANG	06	THEO
1.33 ±0.10	41	AUBERT	04X	THEO
1.29 ±0.07	42	HOANG	04	THEO
1.319 ±0.028	43	DEDIVITIIS	03	LATT
1.19 ±0.11	44	EIDEMULLER	03	THEO
1.289 ±0.043	45	ERLER	03	THEO
1.26 ±0.02	46	ZYABLYUK	03	THEO

WEIGHTED AVERAGE

1.2729±0.0027 (Error scaled by 1.0)



¹ COLQUHOUN 26 value comes from lattice computation of the pseudoscalar correlator. Quenched QED corrections are included.

² ALEXANDROU 21 determines the quark mass using a lattice calculation of the meson and baryon masses with a twisted mass fermion action. We have converted $\overline{m}_c(3 \text{ GeV}) = 1.036 \pm 0.017^{+0.015}_{-0.008}$ to $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c)$. The simulations are carried out using 2+1+1 dynamical quarks with $m_u = m_d \neq m_s \neq m_c$, including gauge ensembles close to the physical pion point.

- ³ HEITGER 21 determines the charm quark mass using a $n_f = 2+1$ flavor lattice QCD simulation with non-perturbatively $O(a)$ improved Wilson fermions. They also determine $\overline{m}_c(3 \text{ GeV}) = 1.007 \pm 0.016 \text{ GeV}$.
- ⁴ HATTON 20 determines the charm quark mass with a lattice QCD + quenched QED simulation using the HISQ action and including $n_f = 2+1+1$ flavors of sea quarks. m_c is tuned from the J/ψ meson mass giving $\overline{m}_c(3 \text{ GeV}) = 0.9841 \pm 0.0051 \text{ GeV}$.
- ⁵ NARISON 20 determines the quark mass using QCD Laplace sum rules from the B_c mass, combined with previous determinations of the QCD condensates and c and b masses.
- ⁶ ABRAMOWICZ 18 determine $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c) = 1.290^{+0.046+0.062+0.003}_{-0.041-0.014-0.031}$ from the production of c quarks in ep collisions at HERA using combined H1 and ZEUS data. The experimental/fitting errors, and those from modeling and parameterization have been combined in quadrature.
- ⁷ BAZAVOV 18 determine the quark masses using a lattice computation with staggered fermions and four active quark flavors.
- ⁸ LYTLE 18 combined with CHAKRABORTY 15 determine $\overline{m}_c(3 \text{ GeV}) = 0.9874(48) \text{ GeV}$ from a lattice simulation with $n_f = 2+1+1$ flavors. They also determine the quoted value $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c)$ for $n_f = 4$ dynamical flavors.
- ⁹ PESET 18 determine $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c)$ and $\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b)$ using an N3LO calculation of the η_c , η_b and B_c masses.
- ¹⁰ CHETYRKIN 17 determine $\overline{m}_c(\mu = 3 \text{ GeV}) = 0.993 \pm 0.008 \text{ GeV}$ and $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c)$ from a four-loop sum-rule computation of the cross-section for $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons in the charm threshold region.
- ¹¹ ERLER 17 determine $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c) = 1.272 \pm 0.008 \text{ GeV}$ from a three-loop QCD sum-rule computation of the vector current correlator. This result is for fixed $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1182$. Including an α_s uncertainty of ± 0.0016 , the charm mass error increases from 8 to 9 MeV.
- ¹² KIYO 16 determine $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c)$ from the $J/\psi(1S)$ mass at order α_s^3 (N3LO).
- ¹³ DEHNADI 15 determine $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c)$ using sum rules for $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons at order α_s^3 (N3LO), and fitting to both experimental data and lattice results.
- ¹⁴ CARRASCO 14 is a lattice QCD computation of light quark masses using $2 + 1 + 1$ dynamical quarks, with $m_u = m_d \neq m_s \neq m_c$. The u and d quark masses are obtained separately by using the K meson mass splittings and lattice results for the electromagnetic contributions.
- ¹⁵ ALEKHIN 13 determines m_c from charm production in deep inelastic scattering at HERA using approximate NNLO QCD.
- ¹⁶ SAMOYLOV 13 determines m_c from a study of charm dimuon production in neutrino-iron scattering using the NLO QCD result for the charm quark production cross section.
- ¹⁷ BODENSTEIN 11 determine $\overline{m}_c(3 \text{ GeV}) = 0.987 \pm 0.009 \text{ GeV}$ and $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c) = 1.278 \pm 0.009 \text{ GeV}$ using QCD sum rules for the charm quark vector current correlator.
- ¹⁸ LASCHKA 11 determine the c mass from the charmonium spectrum. The theoretical computation uses the heavy $Q\overline{Q}$ potential to order $1/m_Q$ obtained by matching the short-distance perturbative result onto lattice QCD result at larger scales.
- ¹⁹ AUBERT 10A determine the b - and c -quark masses from a fit to the inclusive decay spectra in semileptonic B decays in the kinetic scheme (and convert it to the \overline{MS} scheme).
- ²⁰ SIGNER 09 determines the c -quark mass using non-relativistic sum rules to analyze the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow c\overline{c}$ cross-section near threshold. Also determine the PS mass $m_{PS}(\mu_F = 0.7 \text{ GeV}) = 1.50 \pm 0.04 \text{ GeV}$.
- ²¹ NARISON 18A determines simultaneously $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c)$ and the 4-dimension gluon condensate using QCD exponential sum rules and their ratios evaluated at the optimal scale $\mu = 2.85 \text{ GeV}$ at N2LO-N3LO of perturbative QCD and including condensates up to dimension 6–8 in the (axial-)vector and (pseudo-)scalar charmonium channels.
- ²² NARISON 18B determines $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c)$ using QCD vector moment sum rules and their ratios at N2LO-N3LO of perturbative QCD and including condensates up to dimension 8.

- 23 BERTONE 16 determine $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c)$ from HERA deep inelastic scattering data using the FONLL scheme. Also determine $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c) = 1.318 \pm 0.054^{+0.490}_{-0.022}$ using the fixed flavor number scheme.
- 24 CHAKRABORTY 15 is a lattice QCD computation using 2+1+1 dynamical flavors. Moments of pseudoscalar current-current correlators are matched to α_s^3 -accurate QCD perturbation theory with the η_c meson mass tuned to experiment.
- 25 ABRAMOWICZ 13C determines m_c from charm production in deep inelastic ep scattering, using the QCD prediction at NLO order. The uncertainties from model and parameterization assumptions, and the value of α_s , of ± 0.03 , ± 0.02 , and ± 0.02 respectively, have been combined in quadrature.
- 26 DEHNADI 13 determines m_c using QCD sum rules for the charmonium spectrum and charm continuum to order α_s^3 (N3LO). The statistical and systematic experimental errors of ± 0.006 and ± 0.009 have been combined in quadrature. The theoretical uncertainties ± 0.019 from truncation of the perturbation series, ± 0.010 from α_s , and ± 0.002 from the gluon condensate have been combined in quadrature.
- 27 NARISON 13 determines m_c using QCD spectral sum rules to order α_s^2 (NNLO) and including condensates up to dimension 6.
- 28 ALEKHIN 12 determines m_c from heavy quark production in deep inelastic scattering at HERA using approximate NNLO QCD.
- 29 NARISON 12A determines m_c using sum rules for the vector current correlator to order α_s^3 , including the effect of gluon condensates up to dimension eight.
- 30 ALEKHIN 11 determines m_c from heavy quark production in deep inelastic scattering using fixed target and HERA data, and approximate NNLO QCD.
- 31 BLOSSIER 10 determines quark masses from a computation of the hadron spectrum using $n_f=2$ dynamical twisted-mass Wilson fermions.
- 32 BODENSTEIN 10 determines $\overline{m}_c(3 \text{ GeV}) = 1.008 \pm 0.026 \text{ GeV}$ using finite energy sum rules for the vector current correlator. The authors have converted this to $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c)$ using $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1189 \pm 0.0020$.
- 33 MCNEILE 10 determines m_c by comparing the order α_s^3 perturbative results for the pseudo-scalar current to lattice simulations with $n_f = 2+1$ sea-quarks by the HPQCD collaboration.
- 34 NARISON 10 determines m_c from ratios of moments of vector current correlators computed to order α_s^3 and including the dimension-six gluon condensate.
- 35 CHETYRKIN 09 determine m_c and m_b from the $e^+e^- \rightarrow Q\overline{Q}$ cross-section and sum rules, using an order α_s^3 computation of the heavy quark vacuum polarization. They also determine $m_c(3 \text{ GeV}) = 0.986 \pm 0.013 \text{ GeV}$.
- 36 ALLISON 08 determine m_c by comparing four-loop perturbative results for the pseudo-scalar current correlator to lattice simulations by the HPQCD collaboration. The result has been updated in MCNEILE 10.
- 37 KUHN 07 determine $\overline{m}_c(\mu = 3 \text{ GeV}) = 0.986 \pm 0.013 \text{ GeV}$ and $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c)$ from a four-loop sum-rule computation of the cross-section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons in the charm threshold region.
- 38 BOUGHEZAL 06 result comes from the first moment of the hadronic production cross-section to order α_s^3 .
- 39 BUCHMUELLER 06 determine m_b and m_c by a global fit to inclusive B decay spectra.
- 40 HOANG 06 determines $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c)$ from a global fit to inclusive B decay data. The B decay distributions were computed to order $\alpha_s^2\beta_0$, and the conversion between different m_c mass schemes to order α_s^3 .
- 41 AUBERT 04X obtain m_c from a fit to the hadron mass and lepton energy distributions in semileptonic B decay. The paper quotes values in the kinetic scheme. The \overline{MS} value has been provided by the BABAR collaboration.

- 42 HOANG 04 determines $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c)$ from moments at order α_s^2 of the charm production cross-section in e^+e^- annihilation.
- 43 DEDIVITIIS 03 use a quenched lattice computation of heavy-heavy and heavy-light meson masses.
- 44 EIDEMULLER 03 determines m_b and m_c using QCD sum rules.
- 45 ERLER 03 determines m_b and m_c using QCD sum rules. Includes recent BES data.
- 46 ZYABLYUK 03 determines m_c by using QCD sum rules in the pseudoscalar channel and comparing with the η_c mass.

m_c/m_s MASS RATIO


The ratio is that of the \overline{MS} masses at a common scale, for four dynamical quark flavors.

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN
11.761±0.047 (CL = 90%) OUR EVALUATION See the ideogram below.

11.48 ±0.12 ^{+0.25} / _{-0.19}	1	ALEXANDROU21	LATT
11.783±0.025	2	BAZAVOV 18	LATT
11.652±0.065	3	CHAKRABOR...15	LATT
11.62 ±0.16	4	CARRASCO 14	LATT
11.27 ±0.30 ±0.26	5	DURR 12	LATT
11.85 ±0.16	6	DAVIES 10	LATT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

11.747±0.019 ^{+0.059} / _{-0.043}	7	BAZAVOV 14A	LATT
12.0 ±0.3	8	BLOSSIER 10	LATT



¹ ALEXANDROU 21 determines the quark mass using a lattice calculation of the meson and baryon masses with a twisted mass fermion action. The simulations are carried out

using 2+1+1 dynamical quarks with $m_u = m_d \neq m_s \neq m_c$, including gauge ensembles close to the physical pion point.

- ²BAZAVOV 18 determine the quark masses using a lattice computation with staggered fermions and four active quark flavors.
- ³CHAKRABORTY 15 is a lattice QCD computation on gluon field configurations with 2+1+1 dynamical flavors of HISQ quarks with u/d masses down to the physical value. m_c and m_s are tuned from pseudoscalar meson masses.
- ⁴CARRASCO 14 is a lattice QCD computation of light quark masses using 2 + 1 + 1 dynamical quarks, with $m_u = m_d \neq m_s \neq m_c$. The u and d quark masses are obtained separately by using the K meson mass splittings and lattice results for the electromagnetic contributions.
- ⁵DURR 12 determine m_c/m_s using a lattice computation with $n_f = 2$ dynamical fermions. The result is combined with other determinations of m_c to obtain $m_s(2 \text{ GeV}) = 97.0 \pm 2.6 \pm 2.5 \text{ MeV}$.
- ⁶DAVIES 10 determine m_c/m_s from meson masses calculated on gluon fields including u , d , and s sea quarks with lattice spacing down to 0.045 fm. The Highly Improved Staggered quark formalism is used for the valence quarks.
- ⁷BAZAVOV 14A is a lattice computation using 4 dynamical flavors of HISQ fermions.
- ⁸BLOSSIER 10 determine m_c/m_s from a computation of the hadron spectrum using $n_f = 2$ dynamical twisted-mass Wilson fermions.

m_b/m_c MASS RATIO

The ratio is that of the $\overline{M_S}$ masses at a common scale, for four dynamical quark flavors.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
4.58 ± 0.01 OUR EVALUATION		
4.580 ± 0.007 OUR AVERAGE		
4.586 ± 0.012	¹ HATTON 21	LATT
4.578 ± 0.008	² BAZAVOV 18	LATT
4.528 ± 0.054	³ CHAKRABOR..15	LATT

- ¹HATTON 21 determine $\overline{m}_b(\mu)/\overline{m}_c(\mu) = 4.586 \pm 0.012$ at $\mu = 3 \text{ GeV}$ with a lattice QCD + quenched QED simulation using the HISQ action and including $n_f = 2+1+1$ flavors of sea quarks. The ratio depends weakly on μ because of QED effects.
- ²BAZAVOV 18 determine the quark masses using a lattice computation with staggered fermions and four active quark flavors for the u , d , s , c quarks and five active flavors for the b quark.
- ³CHAKRABORTY 15 is a lattice computation using 4 dynamical quark flavors.

$m_b - m_c$ QUARK MASS DIFFERENCE

<u>VALUE (GeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
3.45 ± 0.05 OUR EVALUATION		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
3.472 ± 0.032	¹ AUBERT 10A	BABR
3.42 ± 0.06	² ABDALLAH 06B	DLPH
3.44 ± 0.03	³ AUBERT 04X	BABR
3.41 ± 0.01	³ BAUER 04	THEO

- ¹AUBERT 10A determine the b - and c -quark masses from a fit to the inclusive decay spectra in semileptonic B decays in the kinetic scheme.
- ²ABDALLAH 06B determine $m_b - m_c$ from moments of the hadron invariant mass and lepton energy spectra in semileptonic inclusive B decays.
- ³Determine $m_b - m_c$ from a global fit to inclusive B decay spectra.

c-QUARK REFERENCES

COLQUHOUN 26	PR D113 074522	B. Colquhoun <i>et al.</i>	(HPQCD Collab.)
ALEXANDROU 21	PR D104 074515	C. Alexandrou <i>et al.</i>	(ETM Collab.)
HATTON 21	PR D103 114508	D. Hatton <i>et al.</i>	(HPQCD Collab.)
HEITGER 21	JHEP 2105 288	J. Heitger, F. Joswig, S. Kuberski	(ALPHA Collab.)
HATTON 20	PR D102 054511	D. Hatton <i>et al.</i>	(HPQCD Collab.)
NARISON 20	PL B802 135221	S. Narison	(MONP)
ABRAMOWICZ 18	EPJ C78 473	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(H1 and ZEUS Collabs.)
BAZAVOV 18	PR D98 054517	A. Bazavov <i>et al.</i>	(Fermilab Lattice, MILC, TUMQCD)
LYTLE 18	PR D98 014513	A.T. Lytle <i>et al.</i>	(HPQCD Collab.)
NARISON 18A	IJMP A33 1850045	S. Narison	(MONP)
NARISON 18B	PL B784 261	S. Narison	(MONP)
PESET 18	JHEP 1809 167	C. Peset, A. Pineda, J. Segovia	(BARC, TUM)
CHETYRKIN 17	PR D96 116007	K.G. Chetyrkin <i>et al.</i>	
ERLER 17	EPJ C77 99	J. Erler, P. Masjuan, H. Spiesberger	
BERTONE 16	JHEP 1608 050	V. Bertone <i>et al.</i>	(xFitter Developers)
KIYO 16	PL B752 122	Y. Kiyo, G. Mishima, Y. Sumino	
CHAKRABOR... 15	PR D91 054508	B. Chakraborty <i>et al.</i>	(HPQCD Collab.)
DEHNADI 15	JHEP 1508 155	B. Dehnadi, A.H. Hoang, V. Mateu	
BAZAVOV 14A	PR D90 074509	A. Bazavov <i>et al.</i>	(Fermi-LAT and MILC Collabs.)
CARRASCO 14	NP B887 19	N. Carrasco <i>et al.</i>	(European Twisted Mass Collab.)
ABRAMOWICZ 13C	EPJ C73 2311	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(H1 and Zeus Collabs.)
ALEKHIN 13	PL B720 172	S. Alekhin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, DESYZ, WUPP+)
DEHNADI 13	JHEP 1309 103	B. Dehnadi <i>et al.</i>	(SHRZ, VIEN, MPIM+)
NARISON 13	PL B718 1321	S. Narison	(MONP)
SAMOYLOV 13	NP B876 339	O. Samoylov <i>et al.</i>	(NOMAD Collab.)
ALEKHIN 12	PL B718 550	S. Alekhin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, WUPP, DESY+)
DURR 12	PRL 108 122003	S. Durr, G. Koutsou	(WUPP, JULI, CYPR)
NARISON 12A	PL B706 412	S. Narison	(MONP)
ALEKHIN 11	PL B699 345	S. Alekhin, S. Moch	(DESY, SERP)
BODENSTEIN 11	PR D83 074014	S. Bodenstein <i>et al.</i>	
LASCHKA 11	PR D83 094002	A. Laschka, N. Kaiser, W. Weise	
AUBERT 10A	PR D81 032003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BLOSSIER 10	PR D82 114513	B. Blossier <i>et al.</i>	(ETM Collab.)
BODENSTEIN 10	PR D82 114013	S. Bodenstein <i>et al.</i>	
DAVIES 10	PRL 104 132003	C.T.H. Davies <i>et al.</i>	(HPQCD Collab.)
MCNEILE 10	PR D82 034512	C. McNeile <i>et al.</i>	(HPQCD Collab.)
NARISON 10	PL B693 559	S. Narison	(MONP)
Also	PL B705 544 (errat.)	S. Narison	(MONP)
CHETYRKIN 09	PR D80 074010	K.G. Chetyrkin <i>et al.</i>	(KARL, BNL)
SIGNER 09	PL B672 333	A. Signer	(DURH)
ALLISON 08	PR D78 054513	I. Allison <i>et al.</i>	(HPQCD Collab.)
KUHN 07	NP B778 192	J.H. Kuhn, M. Steinhauser, C. Sturm	
ABDALLAH 06B	EPJ C45 35	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
BOUGHEZAL 06	PR D74 074006	R. Boughezal, M. Czakon, T. Schutzmeier	
BUCHMUEL... 06	PR D73 073008	O.L. Buchmueller, H.U. Flacher	(RHBL)
HOANG 06	PL B633 526	A.H. Hoang, A.V. Manohar	
AUBERT 04X	PRL 93 011803	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BAUER 04	PR D70 094017	C. Bauer <i>et al.</i>	
HOANG 04	PL B594 127	A.H. Hoang, M. Jamin	
DEDIVITIIS 03	NP B675 309	G.M. de Divitiis <i>et al.</i>	
EIDEMULLER 03	PR D67 113002	M. Eidemuller	
ERLER 03	PL B558 125	J. Erler, M. Luo	
ZYABLYUK 03	JHEP 0301 081	K.N. Zybalyuk	(ITEP)