

$\omega(782)$ 


$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

 **$\omega(782)$  MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>782.66±0.13 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 2.0. See the ideogram below.		
777.9 ±2.2 $\begin{smallmatrix} +4.3 \\ -2.2 \end{smallmatrix}$	900k	ANDREEV 20	H1	$e p \rightarrow e \pi^+ \pi^- p$
783.20±0.13±0.16	18680	AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	$0.60-1.38 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$
782.68±0.09±0.04	11200	<sup>1</sup> AKHMETSHIN 04	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
782.79±0.08±0.09	1.2M	<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV 03D	RVUE	$0.44-2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
781.96±0.17±0.80	11k	<sup>3</sup> AMSLER 94C	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \omega \eta \pi^0$
782.08±0.36±0.82	3463	<sup>4</sup> AMSLER 94C	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \omega \eta \pi^0$
781.96±0.13±0.17	15k	AMSLER 93B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 \pi^0$
782.4 ±0.2	270k	WEIDENAUER 93	ASTE	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$
782.4 ±0.5	7000	<sup>5</sup> KEYNE 76	CNTR	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
782.83±0.02±0.05		<sup>6</sup> FEDOTOVICH 25A	CMD3	$0.66-0.98 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
782.43±0.03	34M	<sup>7</sup> IGNATOV 24	CMD3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
782.58±0.03±0.01		<sup>8</sup> HOID 20	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$
781.68±0.09±0.03		<sup>9</sup> COLANGELO 19	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
782.63±0.03±0.01		<sup>10</sup> HOFERICHT... 19	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
782.4 ±0.8	384k	<sup>11</sup> ADAMCZYK 17A	STAR	$Au Au \rightarrow Au Au \pi^+ \pi^-$
781.91±0.24		<sup>12</sup> LEES 12G	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
782.7 ±0.1 ±1.5	19500	<sup>13</sup> WURZINGER 95	SPEC	$1.33 p d \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} \omega$
781.78±0.10		<sup>13</sup> BARKOV 87	CMD	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
782.2 ±0.4	1488	<sup>14</sup> KURDADZE 83B	OLYA	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
783.3 ±0.4	433	CORDIER 80	DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
782.5 ±0.8	33260	ROOS 80	RVUE	$0.0-3.6 \bar{p} p$
782.6 ±0.8	3000	BENKHEIRI 79	OMEG	$9-12 \pi^\pm p$
781.8 ±0.6	1430	COOPER 78B	HBC	$0.7-0.8 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 5\pi$
782.7 ±0.9	535	VANAPEL... 78	HBC	$7.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \bar{p} p \omega$
783.5 ±0.8	2100	GESSAROLI 77	HBC	$11 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$
782.5 ±0.8	418	AGUILAR-... 72B	HBC	$3.9, 4.6 K^- p$
783.4 ±1.0	248	BIZZARRI 71	HBC	$0.0 p \bar{p} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \omega$
781.0 ±0.6	510	BIZZARRI 71	HBC	$0.0 p \bar{p} \rightarrow K_1 K_1 \omega$
783.7 ±1.0	3583	<sup>15</sup> COYNE 71	HBC	$3.7 \pi^+ p \rightarrow p \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
784.1 ±1.2	750	ABRAMOVI... 70	HBC	$3.9 \pi^- p$
783.2 ±1.6		<sup>16</sup> BIGGS 70B	CNTR	$<4.1 \gamma C \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- C$
782.4 ±0.5	2400	BIZZARRI 69	HBC	$0.0 \bar{p} p$

<sup>1</sup> Update of AKHMETSHIN 00C.<sup>2</sup> From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the  $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  and ANTONELLI 92 on the  $\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$  final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.<sup>3</sup> From the  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  decay.

- 4 From the  $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$  decay.
- 5 Observed by threshold-crossing technique. Mass resolution = 4.8 MeV FWHM.
- 6 From a VMD fit of the Born cross section allowing for interference of  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\omega(1420)$ , and  $\omega(1650)$ . The parameters of  $\rho$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\omega(1420)$ , and  $\omega(1650)$  are fixed to their PDG 20 values.
- 7 From a fit of the pion form factor in the energy range  $0.32 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$  GeV using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the  $\rho - \omega$  interference leaving  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit. Systematic errors not estimated.
- 8 The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization. Inclusion of vacuum polarization gives  $782.736 \pm 0.024$  MeV.
- 9 The  $\omega$  mass was extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization, the  $\omega$  width fixed at  $8.49 \pm 0.08$  MeV. The value does not include vacuum polarization which would shift the mass to  $781.81 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.03$  MeV. The mixing parameter is assumed real valued.
- 10 The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization.
- 11 From the parametrization according to SOEDING 66 with an additional relativistic Breit-Wigner component to account for  $\omega$  photoproduction. Systematic errors not evaluated.
- 12 From the  $\rho - \omega$  interference in the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass spectrum using the Breit-Wigner for the  $\omega$  and leaving its mass and width as free parameters of the fit.
- 13 Systematic uncertainties underestimated.
- 14 Systematic uncertainties not estimated.
- 15 From best-resolution sample of COYNE 71.
- 16 From  $\omega - \rho$  interference in the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass spectrum assuming  $\omega$  width 12.6 MeV.



## $\omega(782)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>8.68±0.13 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
8.68±0.23±0.10	11200	<sup>1</sup> AKHMETSHIN 04	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.68±0.04±0.15	1.2M	<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV 03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
8.62±0.06±0.09		<sup>3</sup> FEDOTOVICH 25A	CMD3	0.66–0.98 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.57±0.06	34M	<sup>4</sup> IGNATOV 24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
8.65±0.06±0.01		<sup>5</sup> HOID 20	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
8.71±0.04±0.04		<sup>6</sup> HOFERICHT... 19	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.13±0.45		<sup>7</sup> LEES 12G	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
8.2 ±0.3	19500	<sup>8</sup> WURZINGER 95	SPEC	1.33 $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\omega$
8.4 ±0.1		<sup>9</sup> AULCHENKO 87	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.30±0.40		<sup>8</sup> BARKOV 87	CMD	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
9.8 ±0.9	1488	<sup>10</sup> KURDADZE 83B	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
9.0 ±0.8	433	<sup>8</sup> CORDIER 80	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
12 ±2	1430	COOPER 78B	HBC	0.7–0.8 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi$
9.4 ±2.5	2100	GESSAROLI 77	HBC	11 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega n$
10.22±0.43	20000	<sup>11</sup> KEYNE 76	CNTR	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega n$
13.3 ±2	418	AGUILAR-... 72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^-p$
9.1 ±0.8	451	<sup>8</sup> BENAKSAS 72B	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
10.5 ±1.5		BORENSTEIN 72	HBC	2.18 $K^-p$
7.70±0.9 ±1.15	940	BROWN 72	MMS	2.5 $\pi^-p \rightarrow n\text{MM}$
10.3 ±1.4	510	BIZZARRI 71	HBC	0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K_1^-K_1^-\omega$
12.8 ±3.0	248	BIZZARRI 71	HBC	0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K^+K^-\omega$
9.5 ±1.0	3583	COYNE 71	HBC	3.7 $\pi^+p \rightarrow p\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> Update of AKHMETSHIN 00c.

<sup>2</sup> From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  and ANTONELLI 92 on the  $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$  final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

<sup>3</sup> From a VMD fit of the born cross section allowing for interference of  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\omega(1420)$ , and  $\omega(1650)$ . The parameters of  $\rho$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\omega(1420)$ , and  $\omega(1650)$  are fixed to their PDG 20 values.

<sup>4</sup> From a fit of the pion form factor in the energy range  $0.32 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$  GeV using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the  $\rho - \omega$  interference leaving  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit. Systematic errors not estimated.

<sup>5</sup> The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization. Inclusion of vacuum polarization gives  $8.63 \pm 0.05$  MeV.

<sup>6</sup> The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization.

<sup>7</sup> From the  $\rho - \omega$  interference in the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass spectrum using the Breit-Wigner for the  $\omega$  and leaving its mass and width as free parameters of the fit.

<sup>8</sup> Systematic uncertainties underestimated.

<sup>9</sup> Relativistic Breit-Wigner includes radiative corrections. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

<sup>10</sup> Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

<sup>11</sup> Observed by threshold-crossing technique. Mass resolution = 4.8 MeV FWHM.

**$\omega(782)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Scale factor/ Confidence level
$\Gamma_1$ $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(89.2 $\pm$ 0.7) %	
$\Gamma_2$ $\pi^0\gamma$	( 8.33 $\pm$ 0.25) %	S=2.1
$\Gamma_3$ $\pi^+\pi^-$	( 1.53 $\pm$ 0.12) %	S=1.2
$\Gamma_4$ neutrals (excluding $\pi^0\gamma$ )	( 7 $\begin{smallmatrix} +7 \\ -5 \end{smallmatrix}$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1
$\Gamma_5$ $\eta\gamma$	( 4.5 $\pm$ 0.4 ) $\times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1
$\Gamma_6$ $\pi^0e^+e^-$	( 7.7 $\pm$ 0.6 ) $\times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_7$ $\pi^0\mu^+\mu^-$	( 1.34 $\pm$ 0.18) $\times 10^{-4}$	S=1.5
$\Gamma_8$ $\eta e^+e^-$		
$\Gamma_9$ $e^+e^-$	( 7.41 $\pm$ 0.19) $\times 10^{-5}$	S=1.8
$\Gamma_{10}$ $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$	< 2 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{11}$ $\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$	< 2.8 $\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{12}$ $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$	< 3.6 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=95%
$\Gamma_{13}$ $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$	< 1 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{14}$ $\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$	( 6.7 $\pm$ 1.1 ) $\times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_{15}$ $\eta\pi^0\gamma$	< 3.3 $\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{16}$ $\mu^+\mu^-$	( 7.4 $\pm$ 1.8 ) $\times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_{17}$ $3\gamma$	< 1.9 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=95%
<b>Charge conjugation (C) violating modes</b>		
$\Gamma_{18}$ $\eta\pi^0$	C < 2.1 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{19}$ $2\pi^0$	C < 2.2 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{20}$ $3\pi^0$	C < 2.3 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{21}$ invisible	< 7 $\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%

**CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION**

An overall fit to 15 branching ratios uses 49 measurements and one constraint to determine 10 parameters. The overall fit has a  $\chi^2 = 48.9$  for 40 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients  $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$ , in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions,  $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ . The fit constrains the  $x_i$  whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x <sub>2</sub>	24								
x <sub>3</sub>	-18	-4							
x <sub>4</sub>	-93	-55	1						
x <sub>5</sub>	7	16	-1	-12					
x <sub>6</sub>	-1	0	0	0	0				
x <sub>7</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0			
x <sub>9</sub>	-28	-59	5	44	-28	0	0		
x <sub>14</sub>	1	4	0	-2	1	0	0	-2	
x <sub>16</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	x <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>	x <sub>3</sub>	x <sub>4</sub>	x <sub>5</sub>	x <sub>6</sub>	x <sub>7</sub>	x <sub>9</sub>	x <sub>14</sub>

### $\omega(782)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

#### $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$

$\Gamma_2$

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
880 ± 50	7815	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV 13	SND	1.05–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
788 ± 12 ± 27	36500	<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV 03	SND	0.60–0.97 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
764 ± 51	10625	DOLINSKY 89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> Systematic uncertainty not estimated.

<sup>2</sup> Using  $\Gamma_\omega = 8.44 \pm 0.09$  MeV and  $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma)$  from ACHASOV 03.

#### $\Gamma(\eta\gamma)$

$\Gamma_5$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
6.1 ± 2.5	<sup>1</sup> DOLINSKY 89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $\Gamma_\omega = 8.4 \pm 0.1$  MeV and  $B(\omega \rightarrow \eta\gamma)$  from DOLINSKY 89.

#### $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$

$\Gamma_9$

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.60 ± 0.02 OUR EVALUATION</b>				
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.591 ± 0.015	11200	<sup>1,2</sup> AKHMETSHIN 04	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.653 ± 0.003 ± 0.021	1.2M	<sup>3</sup> ACHASOV 03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.600 ± 0.031	10625	DOLINSKY 89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = 0.891 \pm 0.007$  and  $\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 8.44 \pm 0.09$  MeV.

<sup>2</sup> Update of AKHMETSHIN 00c.

<sup>3</sup> Using ACHASOV 03, ACHASOV 03D and  $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = (1.70 \pm 0.28)\%$ .

### $\omega(782) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

#### $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_1\Gamma_9/\Gamma$


VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>569.8 ± 3.1 ± 8.2</b>	<sup>1</sup> LEES 21B	BABR	10.5 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> From the cross section for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  with contributions from  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\omega(782)$ ,  $\phi(1020)$ ,  $\omega(1420)$ , and  $\omega(1650)$ .

$\omega(782) \Gamma(e^+e^-)\Gamma(i)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_0/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b>6.61±0.16 OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 2.0.			
<b>6.45±0.15 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.			
6.82±0.04±0.23	123k	<sup>1</sup> IGNATOV	24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
6.24±0.11±0.08	11.2k	<sup>2</sup> AKHMETSHIN	04	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
6.74±0.04±0.24	1.2M	<sup>3,4</sup> ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
6.37±0.35		<sup>3</sup> DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
6.20±0.13		<sup>5</sup> BENAYOUN	10	RVUE	0.4–1.05 $e^+e^-$
6.70±0.06±0.27		<sup>6</sup> AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
6.45±0.24		<sup>7</sup> BARKOV	87	CMD	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
5.79±0.42	1488	<sup>8</sup> KURDADZE	83B	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
5.89±0.54	433	<sup>7</sup> CORDIER	80	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
7.54±0.84	451	<sup>7</sup> BENAKSAS	72B	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE  
6.45±0.15 (Error scaled by 1.5)



<sup>1</sup> From a fit of the cross section using a VMD model which includes the interference of the  $\omega$  and  $\phi$  and non-resonant background, with some parameters, including  $\phi$  mass and width, fixed at their PDG 22 values.

<sup>2</sup> Update of AKHMETSHIN 00c.

<sup>3</sup> Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak.

<sup>4</sup> From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  and ANTONELLI 92 on the  $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$  final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

<sup>5</sup> A simultaneous fit of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \pi^0\gamma, \eta\gamma$  data.

<sup>6</sup> Superseded by LEES 21B.

<sup>7</sup> Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak. Systematic uncertainties underestimated.

<sup>8</sup> Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

### $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_2/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-6}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**6.17 ± 0.16 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 2.0.

**6.34 ± 0.10 OUR AVERAGE**

6.336 ± 0.056 ± 0.089		<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV 16A	SND	0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
-----------------------	--	--------------------------	-----	--

6.47 ± 0.14 ± 0.39	18k	AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
--------------------	-----	---------------	------	--

6.34 ± 0.21 ± 0.21	10k	<sup>2</sup> DOLINSKY 89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
--------------------	-----	--------------------------	----	----------------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

6.80 ± 0.13		<sup>3</sup> BENAYOUN 10	RVUE	0.4–1.05 $e^+e^-$
-------------	--	--------------------------	------	-------------------

6.50 ± 0.11 ± 0.20	36k	<sup>4</sup> ACHASOV 03	SND	0.60–0.97 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
--------------------	-----	-------------------------	-----	--

<sup>1</sup> From the VMD model with the interfering  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\omega(782)$ ,  $\phi(1020)$ , and an additional resonance describing the total contribution of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\omega(1420)$  states. Supersedes ACHASOV 03.

<sup>2</sup> Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak.

<sup>3</sup> A simultaneous fit of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \pi^0\gamma, \eta\gamma$  data.

<sup>4</sup> Using  $\sigma(\phi \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma)$  from ACHASOV 00 and  $m_\omega = 782.57$  MeV in the model with the energy-independent phase of  $\rho$ - $\omega$  interference equal to  $(-10.2 \pm 7.0)^\circ$ .

### $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_3/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-6}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**1.225 ± 0.030 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.

1.204 ± 0.013 ± 0.023	34M	<sup>1</sup> IGNATOV 24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
-----------------------	-----	-------------------------	------	---------------------------------

1.318 ± 0.051 ± 0.021		<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV 21	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
-----------------------	--	-------------------------	-----	---------------------------------

1.225 ± 0.058 ± 0.041	800k	<sup>3</sup> ACHASOV 06	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
-----------------------	------	-------------------------	-----	---------------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.166 ± 0.036		<sup>4</sup> BENAYOUN 13	RVUE	0.4–1.05 $e^+e^-$
---------------	--	--------------------------	------	-------------------

1.05 ± 0.08		<sup>5</sup> DAVIER 13	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)$
-------------	--	------------------------	------	---

<sup>1</sup> From a fit of the pion form factor in the energy range  $0.32 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$  GeV using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the  $\rho$ - $\omega$  interference with  $\omega$  and  $\phi$  masses and widths constrained by the values and their errors from PDG 22, and leaving  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit.


<sup>2</sup> From a fit of the cross section in the energy range  $0.525 < \sqrt{s} < 0.883$  GeV parameterized by the sum of the Breit-Wigner amplitudes for the  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\omega$  and  $\rho(1450)$  resonances. The measured phase of the  $\rho(770)$ - $\omega$  interference is  $(110.7 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.0)^\circ$ .

<sup>3</sup> Supersedes ACHASOV 05A.

<sup>4</sup> A simultaneous fit to  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \pi^0\gamma, \eta\gamma, K\bar{K}$ , and  $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$  data. Supersedes BENAYOUN 10.

<sup>5</sup> From  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)$  data of LEES 12G.

WEIGHTED AVERAGE  
 $1.225 \pm 0.030$  (Error scaled by 1.3)



$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-8}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>3.32 \pm 0.28</math></b>		<b>OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
<b><math>3.18 \pm 0.28</math></b>		<b>OUR AVERAGE</b>		
$3.10 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.11$	33k	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV 07B	SND	0.6–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
$3.17^{+1.85}_{-1.31} \pm 0.21$	17.4k	<sup>2</sup> AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
$3.41 \pm 0.52 \pm 0.21$	23k	<sup>3,4</sup> AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
$4.50 \pm 0.10$		<sup>5</sup> BENAYOUN 10	RVUE	0.4–1.05 $e^+e^-$

<sup>1</sup> From a combined fit of  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma)$  with  $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$  and  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ , and fixing  $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) / B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = 1.44 \pm 0.04$ . Recalculated by us from the cross section at the peak. Supersedes ACHASOV 00D and ACHASOV 06A.

<sup>2</sup> From the  $\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma$  decay and using  $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 39.43 \pm 0.26\%$ .

<sup>3</sup> From the  $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$  decay and using  $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.24 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}$ .

<sup>4</sup> The combined fit from 600 to 1380 MeV taking into account  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\omega(782)$ ,  $\phi(1020)$ , and  $\rho(1450)$  (mass and width fixed at 1450 MeV and 310 MeV respectively).

<sup>5</sup> A simultaneous fit of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \pi^0\gamma, \eta\gamma$  data.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{16}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-9}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>4.3 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.2</math></b>	4.5M	<sup>1</sup> ANASTASI 17	KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> From a fit of the real part of the vacuum polarization by a sum of the leptonic and hadronic contributions, where the hadronic contribution is parametrized as a sum of Breit-Wigner resonances  $\omega(782)$ ,  $\phi(1020)$  and using a GOUNARIS 68 parametrization for the  $\rho(770)$ , and a non-resonant term.

$\omega(782)$  BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_1/\Gamma$   
 NIECKNIG 12 describes final-state interactions between the three pions in a dispersive framework using data on the  $\pi\pi$   $P$ -wave scattering phase shift.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.9024 ± 0.0019		<sup>1</sup> AMBROSINO	08G KLOE	1.0–1.03 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0, 2\pi^0\gamma$
0.8965 ± 0.0016 ± 0.0048	1.2M	<sup>2,3</sup> ACHASOV	03D RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.880 ± 0.020 ± 0.032	11200	<sup>3,4</sup> AKHMETSHIN	00C CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.8942 ± 0.0062		<sup>3</sup> DOLINSKY	89 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> Not independent of  $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma) / \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$  from AMBROSINO 08G.

<sup>2</sup> Using ACHASOV 03, ACHASOV 03D and  $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = (1.70 \pm 0.28)\%$ .

<sup>3</sup> Not independent of the corresponding  $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$ .

<sup>4</sup> Using  $\Gamma(e^+e^-) = 0.60 \pm 0.02$  keV.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

8.88 ± 0.18		<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	16A SND	0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
8.09 ± 0.14		<sup>2</sup> AMBROSINO	08G KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0, 2\pi^0\gamma$
9.06 ± 0.20 ± 0.57	18k	<sup>3,4</sup> AKHMETSHIN	05 CMD2	0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
9.34 ± 0.15 ± 0.31	36k	<sup>4</sup> ACHASOV	03 SND	0.60–0.97 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
8.65 ± 0.16 ± 0.42	1.2M	<sup>5,6</sup> ACHASOV	03D RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.39 ± 0.24	9k	<sup>7</sup> BENAYOUN	96 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
8.88 ± 0.62	10k	<sup>4</sup> DOLINSKY	89 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $B(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-)$  from PDG 15. Supersedes ACHASOV 03.

<sup>2</sup> Not independent of  $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma) / \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$  from AMBROSINO 08G.

<sup>3</sup> Using  $B(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (7.14 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-5}$ .

<sup>4</sup> Not independent of the corresponding  $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$ .

<sup>5</sup> Using ACHASOV 03, ACHASOV 03D and  $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = (1.70 \pm 0.28)\%$ .

<sup>6</sup> Not independent of the corresponding  $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$ .

<sup>7</sup> Reanalysis of DRUZHININ 84, DOLINSKY 89, DOLINSKY 91 taking into account the triangle anomaly contributions.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$   $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-------------	------	---------

**9.33 ± 0.28 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 2.3.

**9.05 ± 0.27 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.8.

8.97 ± 0.16		AMBROSINO	08G KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0, 2\pi^0\gamma$
9.94 ± 0.36 ± 0.38		<sup>1</sup> AULCHENKO	00A SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0, 2\pi^0\gamma$
8.4 ± 1.3		KEYNE	76 CNTR	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega n$
10.9 ± 2.5		BENAKSAS	72C OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
8.1 ± 2.0		BALDIN	71 HLBC	2.9 $\pi^+p$
13 ± 4		JACQUET	69B HLBC	2.05 $\pi^+p \rightarrow \pi^+p\omega$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

9.7 ± 0.2 ± 0.5		<sup>2,3</sup> ACHASOV	03D RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
9.9 ± 0.7		<sup>2</sup> DOLINSKY	89 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> From  $\sigma_0^{\omega\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma}(m_\phi)/\sigma_0^{\omega\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0}(m_\phi)$  with a phase-space correction factor of 1/1.023.

<sup>2</sup> Not independent of the corresponding  $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$ .

<sup>3</sup> Using ACHASOV 03. Based on 1.2M events.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$

See also  $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ .

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.53±0.12 OUR FIT</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
<b>1.49±0.13 OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.
1.46±0.12±0.02	900k	<sup>1</sup> AKHMETSHIN 07		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1.30±0.24±0.05	11.2k	<sup>2</sup> AKHMETSHIN 04	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
2.38 <sup>+1.77</sup> <sub>-0.90</sub> ±0.18	5.4k	<sup>3</sup> ACHASOV	02E SND	1.1–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
2.3 ±0.5		BARKOV	85 OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1.6 <sup>+0.9</sup> <sub>-0.7</sub>		QUENZER	78 DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
3.6 ±1.9		BENAKSAS	72 OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1.29±0.22±0.03	970k	<sup>4,5</sup> ABLIKIM	18C BES3	$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1.28±0.22±0.03	970k	<sup>6,7</sup> ABLIKIM	18C BES3	$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1.52±0.08		<sup>8</sup> HANHART	18 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1.75±0.11	4.5M	<sup>9</sup> ACHASOV	05A SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
2.01±0.29		<sup>10</sup> BENAYOUN	03 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1.9 ±0.3		<sup>11</sup> GARDNER	99 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
2.3 ±0.4		<sup>12</sup> BENAYOUN	98 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \mu^+\mu^-$
1.0 ±0.11		<sup>13</sup> WICKLUND	78 ASPK	3,4,6 $\pi^\pm N$
1.22±0.30		ALVENSLEB...	71C CNTR	Photoproduction
1.3 <sup>+1.2</sup> <sub>-0.9</sub>		MOFFEIT	71 HBC	2.8,4.7 $\gamma p$
0.80 <sup>+0.28</sup> <sub>-0.20</sub>		<sup>14</sup> BIGGS	70B CNTR	4.2 $\gamma C \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- C$

<sup>1</sup> A combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 07, AULCHENKO 06, and AULCHENKO 05.

<sup>2</sup> Update of AKHMETSHIN 02.

<sup>3</sup> From the  $m_{\pi^+\pi^-}$  spectrum taking into account the interference of the  $\rho\pi$  and  $\omega\pi$  amplitudes.

<sup>4</sup> From a fit to  $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass using  $\rho(770)$  (parametrized with the Gounaris-Sakurai approach),  $\omega(782)$ , and box anomaly components.


<sup>5</sup> ABLIKIM 18C reports  $[\Gamma(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \omega\gamma)] = (3.25 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \omega\gamma) = (2.52 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value.

<sup>6</sup> From a fit to  $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass using  $\rho(770)$  (parametrized with the Gounaris-Sakurai approach),  $\omega(782)$ , and  $\rho(1450)$  components.

<sup>7</sup> ABLIKIM 18C reports  $[\Gamma(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \omega\gamma)] = (3.22 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-4}$  which we divide by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \omega\gamma) = (2.52 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value.

<sup>8</sup> Dispersive analysis. Value extracted from average of data from AUBERT 09AS, AKHMETSHIN 07, ACHASOV 06, AMBROSINO 11A, BABUSCI 13D, ABLIKIM 16B normalised by PDG 16 evaluation for  $\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-)$ .

- 9 Using  $\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$  from the 2004 Edition of this Review (PDG 04).
- 10 Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 02 in the hidden local symmetry model.
- 11 Using the data of BARKOV 85.
- 12 Using the data of BARKOV 85 in the hidden local symmetry model.
- 13 From a model-dependent analysis assuming complete coherence.
- 14 Re-evaluated under  $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$  by BEHREND 71 using more accurate  $\omega \rightarrow \rho$  photoproduction cross-section ratio.



**$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$**

**$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$**

See also  $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ .

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.0172±0.0014 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
<b>0.026 ±0.005 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.021 <sup>+0.028</sup> / <sub>-0.009</sub>	1,2 RATCLIFF 72	ASPK	15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi$
0.028 ±0.006	1 BEHREND 71	ASPK	Photoproduction
0.022 <sup>+0.009</sup> / <sub>-0.01</sub>	3 ROOS 70	RVUE	

- <sup>1</sup> The fitted width of these data is 160 MeV in agreement with present average, thus the  $\omega$  contribution is overestimated. Assuming  $\rho$  width 145 MeV.
- <sup>2</sup> Significant interference effect observed. NB of  $\omega \rightarrow 3\pi$  comes from an extrapolation.
- <sup>3</sup> ROOS 70 combines ABRAMOVICH 70 and BIZZARRI 70.

**$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^0 \gamma)$**

**$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_2$**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.20±0.04</b>	1.98M	1 ALOISIO 03	KLOE	1.02 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

- <sup>1</sup> Using the data of ALOISIO 02D.

$\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.091±0.006 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.081±0.011 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.075±0.025		BIZZARRI	71 HBC	0.0 $p\bar{p}$
0.079±0.019		DEINET	69B OSPK	1.5 $\pi^- p$
0.084±0.015		BOLLINI	68C CNTR	2.1 $\pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.073±0.018	42	BASILE	72B CNTR	1.67 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$   $(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.102±0.008 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.103<sup>+0.011</sup><sub>-0.010</sub> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.15 ±0.04	46	AGUILAR-...	72B HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
0.10 ±0.03	19	BARASH	67B HBC	0.0 $\bar{p}p$
0.134±0.026	850	DIGIUGNO	66B CNTR	1.4 $\pi^- p$
0.097±0.016	348	FLATTE	66 HBC	1.4 – 1.7 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda MM$
0.06 <sup>+0.05</sup> <sub>-0.02</sub>		JAMES	66 HBC	2.1 $\pi^+ p$
0.08 ±0.03	35	KRAEMER	64 DBC	1.2 $\pi^+ d$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.11 ±0.02	20	BUSCHBECK	63 HBC	1.5 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{neutrals})$   $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.78±0.07		<sup>1</sup> DAKIN	72 OSPK	1.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nMM$
>0.81	90	DEINET	69B OSPK	

<sup>1</sup> Error statistical only. Authors obtain good fit also assuming  $\pi^0\gamma$  as the only neutral decay.

$\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma(\text{charged particles})$   $(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_3)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.100±0.008 OUR FIT</b>			
<b>0.124±0.021</b>	FELDMAN	67C OSPK	1.2 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10<sup>-4</sup>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>4.5 ±0.4 OUR FIT</b> Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
<b>6.3 ±1.3 OUR AVERAGE</b> Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
6.6 ±1.7		<sup>1</sup> ABELE	97E CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\gamma$
8.3 ±2.1		ALDE	93 GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$
3.0 <sup>+2.5</sup> <sub>-1.8</sub>		<sup>2</sup> ANDREWS	77 CNTR	6.7–10 $\gamma Cu$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4.2 ±0.4 ±0.1	33k	<sup>3</sup> ACHASOV	07B SND	0.6–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
4.44 <sup>+2.59</sup> <sub>-1.83</sub> ±0.28	17.4k	<sup>4,5</sup> AKHMETSHIN	05 CMD2	0.60-1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

5.10±0.72±0.34	23k	<sup>6</sup> AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
0.7 to 5.5		<sup>7</sup> CASE	00	CBAR $0.0 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\eta\gamma$
6.56 <sup>+2.41</sup> <sub>-2.55</sub>	3525	<sup>2,8</sup> BENAYOUN	96	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
7.3 ±2.9		<sup>2,4</sup> DOLINSKY	89	ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> No flat  $\eta\eta\gamma$  background assumed.

<sup>2</sup> Solution corresponding to constructive  $\omega$ - $\rho$  interference.

<sup>3</sup> ACHASOV 07B reports  $[\Gamma(\omega(782) \rightarrow \eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow e^+e^-)] = (3.10 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-8}$  which we divide by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (7.41 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value. Supersedes ACHASOV 00D and ACHASOV 06A.

<sup>4</sup> Not independent of the corresponding  $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$ .

<sup>5</sup> Using  $B(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (7.14 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-5}$  and  $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 39.43 \pm 0.26\%$ .

<sup>6</sup> Using  $B(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (7.07 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$  and using  $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.24 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}$ . Solution corresponding to constructive  $\omega$ - $\rho$  interference. The combined fit from 600 to 1380 MeV taking into account  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\omega(782)$ ,  $\phi(1020)$ , and  $\rho(1450)$  (mass and width fixed at 1450 MeV and 310 MeV respectively). Not independent of the corresponding  $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$ .

<sup>7</sup> Depending on the degree of coherence with the flat  $\eta\eta\gamma$  background and using  $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma) = (8.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$ .

<sup>8</sup> Reanalysis of DRUZHININ 84, DOLINSKY 89, DOLINSKY 91 taking into account the triangle anomaly contributions.

### $\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$

$\Gamma_5/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.0098±0.0024	<sup>1</sup> ALDE	93	GAM2 $38\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$
0.0082±0.0033	<sup>2</sup> DOLINSKY	89	ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
0.010 ±0.045	APEL	72B	OSPK $4-8 \pi^- p \rightarrow n3\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> Model independent determination.

<sup>2</sup> Solution corresponding to constructive  $\omega$ - $\rho$  interference.

### $\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_6/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

**7.7 ±0.6 OUR FIT**

**7.7 ±0.6 OUR AVERAGE**

7.61±0.53±0.64		ACHASOV	08	SND $0.36-0.97 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+e^-$
8.19±0.71±0.62		AKHMETSHIN 05A	CMD2	0.72-0.84 $e^+e^-$
5.9 ±1.9	43	DOLINSKY	88	ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+e^-$

### $\Gamma(\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_7/\Gamma$


<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

**1.34±0.18 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.5.

**1.34±0.19 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.

1.41±0.09±0.15		ARNALDI	16	NA60 400 GeV ( $p$ -A) collisions
1.72±0.25±0.14	3k	ARNALDI	09	NA60 158A In-In collisions
0.96±0.23		DZHELYADIN	81B	CNTR 25-33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE  
 $1.34 \pm 0.19$  (Error scaled by 1.5)



**$\Gamma(\eta e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

**$\Gamma_8 / \Gamma$**

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
<1.1	AKHMETSHIN 05A	CMD2	0.72-0.84 $e^+ e^-$

**$\Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

**$\Gamma_9 / \Gamma$**

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>0.741 \pm 0.019</math></b>	<b>OUR FIT</b> Error includes scale factor of 1.8.			
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$0.700 \pm 0.016$	11200	<sup>1,2</sup> AKHMETSHIN 04	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$0.752 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.024$	1.2M	<sup>2,3</sup> ACHASOV	03D RVUE	$0.44-2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$0.714 \pm 0.036$		<sup>2</sup> DOLINSKY	89 ND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$0.72 \pm 0.03$		<sup>2</sup> BARKOV	87 CMD	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$0.64 \pm 0.04$	1488	<sup>2</sup> KURDADZE	83B OLYA	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$0.675 \pm 0.069$	433	<sup>2</sup> CORDIER	80 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$0.83 \pm 0.10$	451	<sup>2</sup> BENAKSAS	72B OSPK	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$0.77 \pm 0.06$		<sup>4</sup> AUGUSTIN	69D OSPK	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$0.65 \pm 0.13$	33	<sup>5</sup> ASTVACAT...	68 OSPK	Assume SU(3)+mixing

<sup>1</sup> Using  $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = 0.891 \pm 0.007$ . Update of AKHMETSHIN 00C.

<sup>2</sup> Not independent of the corresponding  $\Gamma(e^+ e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$ .

<sup>3</sup> Using ACHASOV 03, ACHASOV 03D and  $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (1.70 \pm 0.28)\%$ .

<sup>4</sup> Rescaled by us to correspond to  $\omega$  width 8.4 MeV. Systematic errors underestimated.

<sup>5</sup> Not resolved from  $\rho$  decay. Error statistical only.

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2$	90	ACHASOV 09A	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••				
$< 200$	90	KURDADZE 86	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM 25CI	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\eta$

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 0.0036$	95	WEIDENAUER 90	ASTE	$\rho\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••				
$< 0.004$	95	BITYUKOV 88B	SPEC	$32\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma X$

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \qquad \Gamma_{12}/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••				
$< 0.066$	90	KALBFLEISCH 75	HBC	$2.18 K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
$< 0.05$	90	FLATTE 66	HBC	$1.2 - 1.7 K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	KURDADZE 88	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$

$$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>6.7 ± 1.1 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>6.5 ± 1.2 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
$6.4^{+2.4}_{-2.0} \pm 0.8$	190	<sup>1</sup> AKHMETSHIN 04B	CMD2	$0.6-0.97 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$6.6^{+1.4}_{-1.3} \pm 0.6$	295	ACHASOV 02F	SND	$0.36-0.97 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••				
$11.8^{+2.1}_{-1.9} \pm 1.4$	190	<sup>2</sup> AKHMETSHIN 04B	CMD2	$0.6-0.97 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$7.8 \pm 2.7 \pm 2.0$	63	<sup>1,3</sup> ACHASOV 00G	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$12.7 \pm 2.3 \pm 2.5$	63	<sup>2,3</sup> ACHASOV 00G	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> In the model assuming the  $\rho \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$  decay via the  $\omega\pi$  and  $f_0(500)\gamma$  mechanisms.

<sup>2</sup> In the model assuming the  $\rho \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$  decay via the  $\omega\pi$  mechanism only.

<sup>3</sup> Superseded by ACHASOV 02F.

$$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \qquad \Gamma_{14}/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 0.00045$	90	DOLINSKY 89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••				
$< 0.08$	95	JACQUET 69B	HLBC	$2.05 \pi^+p \rightarrow \pi^+p\omega$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$   $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma_2$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	------	-------------	------	---------

**8.0±1.3 OUR FIT**

**8.5±2.9**

40 ± 14

ALDE

94B

GAM2

38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma n$

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

< 50	90	DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
<1800	95	KEYNE	76	CNTR	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$
<1500	90	BENAKSAS	72C	OSPK	$e^+e^-$
<1400		BALDIN	71	HLBC	2.9 $\pi^+ p$
<1000	90	BARMIN	64	HLBC	1.3–2.8 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{neutrals})$   $\Gamma_{14}/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

0.22±0.07		<sup>1</sup> DAKIN	72	OSPK	1.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nMM$
<0.19	90	DEINET	69B	OSPK	

<sup>1</sup> See  $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{neutrals})$ .

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

**<3.3**

90

AKHMETSHIN 04B

CMD2

0.6–0.97  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**7.4±1.8 OUR FIT**

**7.4±1.8 OUR AVERAGE**

6.6±1.4±1.7      4.5M      <sup>1</sup> ANASTASI      17      KLOE       $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$

9.0±2.9±1.1      18      HEISTER      02c      ALEP       $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- + X$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming lepton universality in the decay  $\omega \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$  and correcting for different phase space between electron and muon final states.

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$   $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma_1$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

**<0.2**

90

WILSON

69

OSPK

12  $\pi^- C \rightarrow Fe$

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

<1.7	74	FLATTE	66	HBC	1.2 – 1.7 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\mu^+\mu^-$
<1.2		BARBARO-...	65	HBC	2.7 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma_{16}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

1.2±0.6      30      <sup>1</sup> DZHELYADIN      79      CNTR      25–33  $\pi^- p$

<sup>1</sup> Superseded by DZHELYADIN 81B result above.

$\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b>&lt;1.9</b>	95	<sup>1</sup> ABELE	97E	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\gamma$
----------------	----	--------------------	-----	---

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<2	90	<sup>1</sup> PROKOSHKIN	95	GAM2 38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\gamma n$
----	----	-------------------------	----	---

<sup>1</sup> From direct  $3\gamma$  decay search.

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma$

Violates C conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.001	90	ALDE	94B	GAM2 38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0 n$
--------	----	------	-----	---

$[\Gamma(\eta\gamma) + \Gamma(\eta\pi^0)]/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$   $(\Gamma_5 + \Gamma_{18})/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b>&lt;0.016</b>	90	<sup>1</sup> FLATTE	66	HBC 1.2 – 1.7 $K^- p \rightarrow$
------------------	----	---------------------	----	-----------------------------------

$\Lambda\pi^+\pi^- \text{MM}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.045	95	JACQUET	69B	HLBC 2.05 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p\omega$
--------	----	---------	-----	---

<sup>1</sup> Restated by us using  $B(\eta \rightarrow \text{charged modes}) = 29.2\%$ .

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$   $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma_2$

Violates C conservation.

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b>&lt;2.6</b>	90	<sup>1</sup> STAROSTIN	09	CRYM $\gamma p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0 p$
----------------	----	------------------------	----	---

<sup>1</sup> STAROSTIN 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\omega(782) \rightarrow \eta\pi^0)/\Gamma(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma)] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.01 \times 10^{-3}$  which we divide by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .

$\Gamma(2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$   $\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma_2$

Violates C conservation and Bose-Einstein statistics.

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b>&lt;2.59</b>	90	STAROSTIN	09	CRYM $\gamma p \rightarrow 2\pi^0 p$
-----------------	----	-----------	----	--------------------------------------

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma$

Violates C conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< $3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	PROKOSHKIN	95	GAM2 38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 n$
----------------------	----	------------	----	--

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$   $\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma_2$

Violates C conservation.

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b>&lt;2.72</b>	90	STAROSTIN	09	CRYM $\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 p$
-----------------	----	-----------	----	--------------------------------------

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$   $\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma_1$

Violates C conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.009	90	BARBERIS	01 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 3\pi^0 p_s$
--------	----	----------	--

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

$\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	18S BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\eta \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

**PARAMETER  $\Lambda$  IN  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$  DECAY**

In the pole approximation the electromagnetic transition form factor for a resonance of mass  $M$  is given by the expression:

$$|F|^2 = (1 - M^2/\Lambda^2)^{-2},$$

where for the parameter  $\Lambda$  vector dominance predicts  $\Lambda = M_p \approx 0.770$  GeV. The ARNALDI 09 measurement is in obvious conflict with this expectation. Note that for  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\mu^+\mu^-$  decay ARNALDI 09 and DZHELYADIN 80 obtain the value of  $\Lambda$  consistent with vector dominance.

**PARAMETER  $\Lambda$  IN  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\mu^+\mu^-$  DECAY**

VALUE (GeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.670 ± 0.006 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.6707 ± 0.0039 ± 0.0056		<sup>1</sup> ARNALDI	16 NA60	400 GeV ( $p$ -A) collisions
0.668 ± 0.009 ± 0.003	3k	<sup>2</sup> ARNALDI	09 NA60	158A In-In collisions
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.65 ± 0.03		DZHELYADIN	81B CNTR	25-33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$
<sup>1</sup> ARNALDI 16 reports $\Lambda^{-2}(\omega) = 2.223 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.037$ GeV <sup>-2</sup> which we converted to the quoted $\Lambda$ value.				
<sup>2</sup> ARNALDI 09 reports $\Lambda^{-2}(\omega) = 2.24 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.02$ GeV <sup>-2</sup> which we converted to the quoted $\Lambda$ value.				

**PARAMETER  $\Lambda$  IN  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0e^+e^-$  DECAY**

VALUE (GeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.709 ± 0.037</b>	1.1k	<sup>1</sup> ADLARSON	17B A2MM	$\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$
<sup>1</sup> ADLARSON 17B reports $\Lambda^{-2}(\omega\pi^0) = 1.99 \pm 0.21$ GeV <sup>-2</sup> that we converted to the quoted $\Lambda$ value.				

**ENERGY DEPENDENCE OF  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  DALITZ PLOT**

The following experiments fit to one or more of the coefficients  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  for  $|\text{matrix element}|^2 \propto P(1 + 2\alpha Z + 2\beta Z^{3/2} \sin(3\phi) + 2\gamma Z^2 + O(Z^{5/2}))$  where  $P$  is the  $P$ -wave phase-space factor and  $Z, \phi$  are kinematical variables as defined in ADLARSON 17.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.133 ± 0.008 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.1321 ± 0.0067 ± 0.0046	260k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	18AD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\eta$
0.147 ± 0.036	44k	ADLARSON	17 WASA	$\alpha$ in $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He } \omega,$ $pp \rightarrow pp\omega$
<sup>1</sup> Keeping a term linear in $Z$ only. A fit with the terms proportional to $Z$ and $Z^{3/2}$ gives $\alpha = 0.133 \pm 0.041$ and $\beta = 0.037 \pm 0.054$ .				

$\omega(782)$  REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	25CI	CP C49 103002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
FEDOTOVICH	25A	PPN 56 814	G.V. Fedotovitch <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
IGNATOV	24	PR D109 112002	F.V. Ignatov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	21	JHEP 2101 113	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LEES	21B	PR D104 112003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ANDREEV	20	EPJ C80 1189	V. Andreev <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
HOID	20	EPJ C80 988	B.-L. Hoid, M. Hoferichter, B. Kubis	(BONN, BERN)
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
COLANGELO	19	JHEP 1902 006	G. Colangelo, M. Hoferichter, P. Stoffer	(BERN+)
HOFERICHT...	19	JHEP 1908 137	M. Hoferichter, B.-L. Hoid, B. Kubis	(WASH, BONN)
ABLIKIM	18AD	PR D98 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18C	PRL 120 242003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18S	PR D98 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
HANHART	18	EPJ C78 450	C. Hanhart <i>et al.</i>	
ADAMCZYK	17A	PR C96 054904	L. Adamczyk <i>et al.</i>	(STAR Collab.)
ADLARSON	17	PL B770 418	P. Adlarson <i>et al.</i>	(WASA-at-COSY Collab.)
ADLARSON	17B	PR C95 035208	P. Adlarson <i>et al.</i>	(A2 Collab. at MAMI)
ANASTASI	17	PL B767 485	A. Anastasi <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16B	PL B753 103	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	16A	PR D93 092001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ARNALDI	16	PL B757 437	R. Arnaldi <i>et al.</i>	(NA60 Collab.)
PDG	16	CP C40 100001	C. Patrignani <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
PDG	15	RPP 2015 at pdg.lbl.gov		(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	13	PR D88 054013	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
BABUSCI	13D	PL B720 336	D. Babusci <i>et al.</i>	(CATA, CALB, BARI)
BENAYOUN	13	EPJ C73 2453	M. Benayoun, P. David, L. DelBuono	(PARIN, BERLIN+)
DAVIER	13	EPJ C73 2597	M. Davier <i>et al.</i>	
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
NIECKNIG	12	EPJ C72 2014	F. Niecknig, B. Kubis, S.P. Schneider	(BONN)
AMBROSINO	11A	PL B700 102	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
BENAYOUN	10	EPJ C65 211	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	09A	JETP 109 379	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ARNALDI	09	Translated from ZETF 136 442.	R. Arnaldi <i>et al.</i>	(NA60 Collab.)
AUBERT	09AS	PRL 103 231801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
STAROSTIN	09	PR C79 065201	A. Starostin <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab. at MAMI)
ACHASOV	08	JETP 107 61	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AMBROSINO	08G	PL B669 223	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
ACHASOV	07B	PR D76 077101	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	07	PL B648 28	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	06	JETP 103 380	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	06A	Translated from ZETF 130 437.	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO	06	PR D74 014016	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO	06	JETPL 84 413	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	05A	Translated from ZETFP 84 491.	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	05A	JETP 101 1053	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	05	Translated from ZETF 128 1201.		
AKHMETSHIN	05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	05A	PL B613 29	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AULCHENKO	05	JETPL 82 743	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	04	Translated from ZETFP 82 841.		
AKHMETSHIN	04	PL B578 285	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	04B	PL B580 119	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AUBERT,B	04N	PR D70 072004	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	03	PL B559 171	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	03D	PR D68 052006	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ALOISIO	03	PL B561 55	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
BENAYOUN	03	EPJ C29 397	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	02E	PR D66 032001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	02F	PL B537 201	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	02	PL B527 161	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALOISIO	02D	PL B537 21	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
HEISTER	02C	PL B528 19	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ACHASOV	01E	PR D63 072002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	01B	PL B509 217	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
BARBERIS	01	PL B507 14	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	00	EPJ C12 25	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)

ACHASOV	00D	JETPL 72 282	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETFP 72 411.		
ACHASOV	00G	JETPL 71 355	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETFP 71 519.		
AKHMETSHIN	00C	PL B476 33	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AULCHENKO	00A	JETP 90 927	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 117 1067.		
CASE	00	PR D61 032002	T. Case <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	99E	PL B462 365	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
GARDNER	99	PR D59 076002	S. Gardner, H.B. O'Connell	
BENAYOUN	98	EPJ C2 269	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>	(IPNP, NOVO, ADLD+)
ABELE	97E	PL B411 361	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BENAYOUN	96	ZPHY C72 221	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>	(IPNP, NOVO)
PROKOSHKIN	95	PD 40 273	Y.D. Prokoshkin, V.D. Samoilenko	(SERP)
		Translated from DANS 342 610.		
WURZINGER	95	PR C51 443	R. Wurzinger <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, ORSAY, SACL+)
ALDE	94B	PL B340 122	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)
AMSLER	94C	PL B327 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ALDE	93	PAN 56 1229	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, LAPP, LANL, BELG+)
		Translated from YAF 56 137.		
Also		ZPHY C61 35	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, LAPP, LANL, BELG+)
AMSLER	93B	PL B311 362	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
WEIDENAUER	93	ZPHY C59 387	P. Weidenauer <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
WEIDENAUER	90	ZPHY C47 353	P. Weidenauer <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
DOLINSKY	89	ZPHY C42 511	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BITYUKOV	88B	SJNP 47 800	S.I. Bitjukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 47 1258.		
DOLINSKY	88	SJNP 48 277	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 48 442.		
KURDADZE	88	JETPL 47 512	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 47 432.		
AULCHENKO	87	PL B186 432	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 46 132.		
KURDADZE	86	JETPL 43 643	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 43 497.		
BARKOV	85	NP B256 365	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
DRUZHININ	84	PL 144B 136	V.P. Druzhinin <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
KURDADZE	83B	JETPL 36 274	A.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 36 221.		
DZHELADIN	81B	PL 102B 296	R.I. Dzhelezhadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
CORDIER	80	NP B172 13	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
DZHELADIN	80	PL 94B 548	R.I. Dzhelezhadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
ROOS	80	LNC 27 321	M. Roos, A. Pellinen	(HELS)
BENKHEIRI	79	NP B150 268	P. Benkheiri <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, CERN, CDEF+)
DZHELADIN	79	PL 84B 143	R.I. Dzhelezhadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
COOPER	78B	NP B146 1	A.M. Cooper <i>et al.</i>	(TATA, CERN, CDEF+)
QUENZER	78	PL 76B 512	A. Quenzer <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
VANAPEL...	78	NP B133 245	G.W. van Apeldoorn <i>et al.</i>	(ZEEM)
WICKLUND	78	PR D17 1197	A.B. Wicklund <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)
ANDREWS	77	PRL 38 198	D.E. Andrews <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH)
GESSAROLI	77	NP B126 382	R. Gessaroli <i>et al.</i>	(BGNA, FIRZ, GENO+)
KEYNE	76	PR D14 28	J. Keyne <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)
		Also PR D8 2789	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)
KALBFLEISCH	75	PR D11 987	G.R. Kalbfleisch, R.C. Strand, J.W. Chapman	(BNL+)
AGUILAR...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
APEL	72B	PL 41B 234	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(KARLK, KARLE, PISA)
BASILE	72B	Phil. Conf. 153	M. Basile <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
BENAKSAS	72	PL 39B 289	D. Benaksas <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
BENAKSAS	72B	PL 42B 507	D. Benaksas <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
BENAKSAS	72C	PL 42B 511	D. Benaksas <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
BORENSTEIN	72	PR D5 1559	S.R. Borenstein <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, MICH)
BROWN	72	PL 42B 117	R.M. Brown <i>et al.</i>	(ILL, ILLC)
DAKIN	72	PR D6 2321	J.T. Dakin <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN)
RATCLIFF	72	PL 38B 345	B.N. Ratcliff <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
ALVENSLEB...	71C	PRL 27 888	H. Alvensleben <i>et al.</i>	(DESY)
BALDIN	71	SJNP 13 758	A.B. Baldin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
		Translated from YAF 13 1318.		
BEHREND	71	PRL 27 61	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, CORN, FNAL)
BIZZARRI	71	NP B27 140	R. Bizzarri <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)
COYNE	71	NP B32 333	D.G. Coyne <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
MOFFEIT	71	NP B29 349	K.C. Moffeit <i>et al.</i>	(LRL, UCB, SLAC+)

ABRAMOVI...	70	NP B20 209	M. Abramovich <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
BIGGS	70B	PRL 24 1201	P.J. Biggs <i>et al.</i>	(DARE)
BIZZARRI	70	PRL 25 1385	R. Bizzarri <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, SYRA)
ROOS	70	DNPL/R7 173	M. Roos	(CERN)
Proc. Daresbury Study Weekend No. 1.				
AUGUSTIN	69D	PL 28B 513	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
BIZZARRI	69	NP B14 169	R. Bizzarri <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)
DEINET	69B	PL 30B 426	W. Deinet <i>et al.</i>	(KARL, CERN)
JACQUET	69B	NC 63A 743	F. Jacquet <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, BERG)
WILSON	69	Private Comm.	R. Wilson	(HARV)
Also		PR 178 2095	A.A. Wehmann <i>et al.</i>	(HARV, CASE, SLAC+)
ASTVACAT...	68	PL 27B 45	R.G. Astvatsaturov <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, MOSU)
BOLLINI	68C	NC 56A 531	D. Bollini <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BGNA, STRB)
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	
BARASH	67B	PR 156 1399	N. Barash <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
FELDMAN	67C	PR 159 1219	M. Feldman <i>et al.</i>	(PENN)
DIGIUGNO	66B	NC 44A 1272	G. Di Giugno <i>et al.</i>	(NAPL, FRAS, TRST)
FLATTE	66	PR 145 1050	S.M. Flatte <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
JAMES	66	PR 142 896	F.E. James, H.L. Kraybill	(YALE, BNL)
SOEDING	66	PL B19 702	P. Soeding	(HAMB)
BARBARO-...	65	PRL 14 279	A. Barbaro-Galtieri, R.D. Tripp	(LRL)
BARMIN	64	JETP 18 1289	V.V. Barmin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
Translated from ZETF 45 1879.				
KRAEMER	64	PR 136 B496	R.W. Kraemer <i>et al.</i>	(JHU, NWES, WOOD)
BUSCHBECK	63	Siena Conf. 1 166	B. Buschbeck <i>et al.</i>	(VIEN, CERN, ANIK)

---