

$\rho(1450)$

$$J^{PC} = 1^{+}(1^{-})^{-}$$

$\rho(1450)$ MASS

$\rho(1450)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

1465±25 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

$\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

1506±11	13.4k	¹ GRIBANOV 20	CMD3	1.1–2.0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1500±10	7.4k	² ACHASOV 18	SND	1.22–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1497±14		³ AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
1421±15		⁴ AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1470±20		ANTONELLI 88	DM2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1446±10		FUKUI 88	SPEC	8.95	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

¹ Mass and width of the $\rho(770)$ fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays used.

² From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π , 0 and π , respectively.

³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$.

⁴ Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed.

$\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

1510±7	10.2k	¹ ACHASOV 16D	SND	1.05–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1544±22 ⁺¹¹ ₋₄₆	821	² MATVIENKO 15	BELL		$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
1491±19	7815	³ ACHASOV 13	SND	1.05–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1582±17±25	2382	⁴ AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1349±25 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₅	341	⁵ ALEXANDER 01B	CLE2		$B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
1523±10		⁶ EDWARDS 00A	CLE2		$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^- \nu_\tau$
1463±25		⁷ CLEGG 94	RVUE		
1250		⁸ ASTON 80C	OMEG	20–70	$\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
1290±40		⁸ BARBER 80C	SPEC	3–5	$\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.

² Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.

- ³ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.
- ⁴ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the $\omega\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass dependence of the total width. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.
- ⁵ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming the $\omega\pi^-$ mass dependence for the total width.
- ⁶ Mass-independent width parameterization. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.
- ⁷ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.
- ⁸ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect.

4 π MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$1385 \pm 14 \pm 36$	¹ ACHARYA 26	ALCE	5 TeV PbPb \rightarrow PbPb4 π
1435 ± 40	ABELE 01B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^- 2\pi^0 \pi^+$
1350 ± 50	ACHASOV 97	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1449 ± 4	² ARMSTRONG 89E	OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

- ¹ From a two Breit-Wigner resonance fit to the 4 π mass distribution produced in ultraperipheral Pb–Pb collisions.
- ² Not clear whether this observation has $l=1$ or 0.

$\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1350 ± 20		¹ AAIJ 25AG	LHCB	5 TeV PbPb \rightarrow PbPb $\pi^+ \pi^-$
1226.22 ± 24.76	34M	² IGNATOV 24	CMD3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1326.35 ± 3.46		³ BARTOS 17	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1342.31 ± 46.62		⁴ BARTOS 17A	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1373.83 ± 11.37		⁵ BARTOS 17A	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1429 ± 41	20k	⁶ LEES 17C	BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1350 ± 20	$^{+20}_{-30}$ 63.5k	⁷ ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+ \pi^- p$
1493 ± 15		⁸ LEES 12G	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1446 ± 7	± 28 5.4M	^{9,10} FUJIKAWA 08	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1328 ± 15		¹¹ SCHAEEL 05C	ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1406 ± 15	87k	^{9,12} ANDERSON 00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
~ 1368		¹³ ABELE 99C	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1348 ± 33		BERTIN 98	OBLX	0.05–0.405 $\bar{n}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ \pi^-$
1411 ± 14		¹⁴ ABELE 97	CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
1370	$^{+90}_{-70}$	ACHASOV 97	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1359 ± 40		¹² BERTIN 97C	OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1282 ± 37		BERTIN 97D	OBLX	0.05 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1424 ± 25		BISELLO 89	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1265.5 ± 75.3		DUBNICKA 89	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1292 ± 17		¹⁵ KURDADZE 83	OLYA	0.64–1.4 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$

- ¹ Using the parametrisation as in ANDREEV 20 with ρ - ω interference with the additional presence of two Breit-Wigner resonances for excited ρ states.
- ² From a fit of the pion form factor using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho - \omega$ interference leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. The fit uses also data from CMD-2 and DM2 experiments. Systematic errors not estimated.
- ³ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.
- ⁴ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.
- ⁵ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.
- ⁶ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ masses and widths floating.
- ⁷ Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting ρ - ω interference.
- ⁸ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.
- ⁹ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.
- ¹⁰ $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.
- ¹¹ From the combined fit of the τ^- data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAEEL 05C and e^+e^- data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1713 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively. Supersedes BARATE 97M.
- ¹² $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.
- ¹³ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1780 MeV and 275 MeV respectively.
- ¹⁴ T-matrix pole.
- ¹⁵ Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
•••					We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••
1208 $\pm 8 \pm 9$	190k	¹ AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1422.8 ± 6.5	27k	² ABELE	99D	CBAR \pm	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parameterization with fixed width.

² K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1420)$.

$K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
•••				We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••
1502 ± 11	9.1k	¹ FEDOTOVICH 25	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1505 $\pm 19 \pm 7$		AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

¹ Using a vector dominance fit with contributions from $\phi(1680)$ and $\rho(1450)$.

$m_{\rho(1450)^0} - m_{\rho(1450)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
•••			We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••
-31.53 ± 47.99	¹ BARTOS	17A	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$

¹ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

$\rho(1450)$ WIDTH **$\rho(1450)$ WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

400 ± 60 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

480 ± 180	¹ ACHASOV	10D	SND	1.075–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
-----------	----------------------	-----	-----	--

¹From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. Systematic errors not evaluated.

 $\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

321 ± 27	13.4k	¹ GRIBANOV	20	CMD3	1.1–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
280 ± 20	7.4k	² ACHASOV	18	SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
226 ± 44		³ AKHMETSHIN	01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
211 ± 31		⁴ AKHMETSHIN	00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
230 ± 30		ANTONELLI	88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
60 ± 15		FUKUI	88	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

¹Mass and width of the $\rho(770)$ fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays used.

²From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π , 0 and π , respectively.

³Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$.

⁴Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed.

 $\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

440 ± 40	10.2k	¹ ACHASOV	16D	SND	1.05–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
303 ⁺ _– 31 ⁺ _– 69 ⁺ _– 52 [–] _– 7	821	² MATVIENKO	15	BELL	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
429 ± 42 ± 10	2382	³ AKHMETSHIN	03B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
547 ± 86 ⁺ _– 46 ⁺ _– 45	341	⁴ ALEXANDER	01B	CLE2	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
400 ± 35		⁵ EDWARDS	00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$
311 ± 62		⁶ CLEGG	94	RVUE	
300		⁷ ASTON	80C	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
320 ± 100		⁷ BARBER	80C	SPEC	3–5 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

¹From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.

²Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.

- ³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the $\omega\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass dependence of the total width. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.
- ⁴ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming the $\omega\pi^-$ mass dependence for the total width.
- ⁵ Mass-independent width parameterization. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.
- ⁶ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.
- ⁷ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect.

4 π MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$431 \pm 36 \pm 82$	¹ ACHARYA	26	ALCE 5 TeV PbPb \rightarrow PbPb4 π
325 ± 100	ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^- 2\pi^0 \pi^+$

¹ From a two Breit-Wigner resonance fit to the 4 π mass distribution produced in ultraperipheral Pb–Pb collisions.

$\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

320 ± 40		¹ AAIJ	25AG	LHCB 5 TeV PbPb \rightarrow PbPb $\pi^+\pi^-$
272.97 ± 45.53	34M	² IGNATOV	24	CMD3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
324.13 ± 12.01		³ BARTOS	17	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
492.17 ± 138.38		⁴ BARTOS	17A	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
340.87 ± 23.84		⁵ BARTOS	17A	RVUE $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
576 ± 29	20k	⁶ LEES	17C	BABR $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$460 \pm 30 \begin{smallmatrix} +40 \\ -45 \end{smallmatrix}$	63.5k	⁷ ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^-p$
427 ± 31		⁸ LEES	12G	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
$434 \pm 16 \pm 60$	5.4M	^{9,10} FUJIKAWA	08	BELL $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
468 ± 41		¹¹ SCHAEEL	05C	ALEP $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
455 ± 41	87k	^{9,12} ANDERSON	00A	CLE2 $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
~ 374		¹³ ABELE	99C	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$
275 ± 10		BERTIN	98	OBLX 0.05–0.405 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
343 ± 20		¹⁴ ABELE	97	CBAR $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
310 ± 40		¹² BERTIN	97C	OBLX 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
236 ± 36		BERTIN	97D	OBLX 0.05 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
269 ± 31		BISELLO	89	DM2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
391 ± 70		DUBNICKA	89	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
218 ± 46		¹⁵ KURDADZE	83	OLYA 0.64–1.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Using the parametrisation as in ANDREEV 20 with ρ - ω interference with the additional presence of two Breit-Wigner resonances for excited ρ states.

² From a fit of the pion form factor using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho - \omega$ interference leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. The fit uses also data from CMD-2 and DM2 experiments. Systematic errors not estimated.

³ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.

- ⁴ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.
- ⁵ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.
- ⁶ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ masses and widths floating.
- ⁷ Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting ρ - ω interference.
- ⁸ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.
- ⁹ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.
- ¹⁰ $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.
- ¹¹ From the combined fit of the τ^- data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAEEL 05C and e^+e^- data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1713 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively. Supersedes BARATE 97M.
- ¹² $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.
- ¹³ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1780 MeV and 275 MeV respectively.
- ¹⁴ T-matrix pole.
- ¹⁵ Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	-----	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$410 \pm 19 \pm 35$	190k	¹ AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
146.5 ± 10.5	27k	² ABELE	99D	CBAR \pm	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parameterization with fixed mass.

² K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1420)$.

$K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

315 ± 27	9.1k	¹ FEDOTOVICH 25	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
$418 \pm 25 \pm 4$		AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

¹ Using a vector dominance fit with contributions from $\phi(1680)$ and $\rho(1450)$.

$\Gamma_{\rho(1450)^0} - \Gamma_{\rho(1450)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

151.30 ± 140.42	¹ BARTOS	17A	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
---------------------	---------------------	-----	---

¹ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

$\rho(1450)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_3 4π	seen
Γ_4 $\omega\pi$	
Γ_5 $a_1(1260)\pi$	
Γ_6 $h_1(1170)\pi$	
Γ_7 $\pi(1300)\pi$	
Γ_8 $\rho\rho$	
Γ_9 $\rho(\pi\pi)$ S-wave	
Γ_{10} e^+e^-	seen
Γ_{11} $\eta\rho$	seen
Γ_{12} $a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen
Γ_{13} $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_{14} K^+K^-	seen
Γ_{15} $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	possibly seen
Γ_{16} $\pi^0\gamma$	seen
Γ_{17} $\eta\gamma$	seen
Γ_{18} $f_0(500)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{19} $f_0(980)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{20} $f_0(1370)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{21} $f_2(1270)\gamma$	not seen

$\rho(1450) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.12	¹ DIEKMAN	88	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
0.027 ^{+0.015} _{-0.010}	² KURDADZE	83	OLYA $0.64\text{--}1.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Using total width = 235 MeV.

² Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{11}\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-------------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

335±27±20	13.4k	¹ GRIBANOV	20	CMD3 $1.1\text{--}2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
210±24±10		² LEES	18	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
74±20		³ AKHMETSHIN	00D	CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
91±19		ANTONELLI	88	DM2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Mass and width of the $\rho(770)$ fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays used.

- ²Includes non-resonant contribution. The selected fit model includes three ρ excited states. Model uncertainty is 20%.
³Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{15}\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
119±14±15	9.1k	¹ FEDOTOVICH 25	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
127±15±6		AUBERT	08S BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

¹Using a vector dominance fit with contributions from $\phi(1680)$ and $\rho(1450)$.

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{17}\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
<16.4	¹ AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	0.60-1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
2.2±0.5±0.3	² AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

¹From 2γ decay mode of η using 1465 MeV and 310 MeV for the $\rho(1450)$ mass and width. Recalculated by us.

²Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$. Recalculated by us using width of 226 MeV.

$\rho(1450) \Gamma(i)/\Gamma(\text{total}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2.1±0.4	10.2k	¹ ACHASOV	16D SND	1.05-2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
5.3±0.4	7815	² ACHASOV	13 SND	1.05-2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

¹From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.

²From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
7.3±0.3	7.4k	¹ ACHASOV	18 SND	1.22-2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
4.3 ^{+1.1} _{-0.9} ±0.2	4.9k	² AULCHENKO	15 SND	1.22-2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

¹From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π , 0 and π , respectively.

²From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section with vector meson dominance model including $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$ decaying exclusively via $\eta\rho(770)$. Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{16}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-9})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.3 ± 1.4	¹ ACHASOV	10D	SND $1.075\text{--}2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
---------------	----------------------	-----	--

¹From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. Systematic errors not evaluated.

$\Gamma(f_0(500)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-9})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

<4.0	90	ACHASOV	11	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
----------------	----	---------	----	---

$\Gamma(f_0(980)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{19}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-9})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

<2.6	90	ACHASOV	11	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
----------------	----	---------	----	---

$\Gamma(f_0(1370)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{20}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-9})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

<3.5	90	ACHASOV	11	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
----------------	----	---------	----	---

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{21}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-9})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

<0.8	90	¹ ACHASOV	11	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
----------------	----	----------------------	----	---

¹Using Breit-Wigner parametrization of the $\rho(1450)$ with mass and width of 1465 MeV and 400 MeV, respectively.

$\rho(1450)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi) \qquad \Gamma_1/\Gamma_3$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.37 ± 0.10	^{1,2} ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
-----------------	----------------------	-----	--------------------------------------

¹ $\omega\pi$ not included.
²Using ABELE 97.

$\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-) \qquad \Gamma_{14}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
------------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

$30.7 \pm 8.4 \pm 8.2$	20k	¹ LEES	17C	BABR $J/\psi \rightarrow h^+h^-\pi^0$
--	-----	-------------------	-----	---------------------------------------

¹From Dalitz plot analyses in isobar models.

$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_4/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	821	¹ MATVIENKO	15	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
seen	1.6k	ACHASOV	12	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
~ 0.21		CLEGG	94	RVUE

¹Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$ Γ_1/Γ_4 VALUE _____ DOCUMENT ID _____ TECN _____

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 0.32 CLEGG 94 RVUE

 $\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_3 VALUE _____ DOCUMENT ID _____ TECN _____

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.14 CLEGG 88 RVUE

 $\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_3 VALUE _____ DOCUMENT ID _____ TECN _____ COMMENT _____

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.27±0.08 ¹ ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$ ¹ $\omega\pi$ not included. $\Gamma(h_1(1170)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_6/Γ_3 VALUE _____ DOCUMENT ID _____ TECN _____ COMMENT _____

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.08±0.04 ¹ ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$ ¹ $\omega\pi$ not included. $\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_3 VALUE _____ DOCUMENT ID _____ TECN _____ COMMENT _____

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.37±0.13 ¹ ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$ ¹ $\omega\pi$ not included. $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_8/Γ_3 VALUE _____ DOCUMENT ID _____ TECN _____ COMMENT _____

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.11±0.05 ¹ ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$ ¹ $\omega\pi$ not included. $\Gamma(\rho(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_9/Γ_3 VALUE _____ DOCUMENT ID _____ TECN _____ COMMENT _____

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.17±0.09 ¹ ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$ ¹ $\omega\pi$ not included. $\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ VALUE _____ EVTS _____ DOCUMENT ID _____ TECN _____ COMMENT _____**seen**

35

¹ ACHASOV 14 SND 1.15–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.04 DONNACHIE 87B RVUE

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$ **Γ_{11}/Γ_4**

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.081±0.020	^{1,2} AULCHENKO	15	SND	1.22–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
~ 0.24	³ DONNACHIE	91	RVUE		
>2	FUKUI	91	SPEC	8.95	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$

¹ From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section with vector meson dominance model including $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$ decaying exclusively via $\eta\rho(770)$. Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.
² Reports the inverse of the quoted value as 12.3 ± 3.1 .
³ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\rho)$ **Γ_1/Γ_{11}**

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.3±0.4	¹ AULCHENKO	15	SND	1.22–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
---------	------------------------	----	-----	-----------	-------------------------------------

¹ From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section with vector meson dominance model including $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$ decaying exclusively via $\eta\rho(770)$. Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{12}/Γ**

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen	AMELIN	00	VES	37	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$
----------	--------	----	-----	----	--------------------------------------

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$ **Γ_{13}/Γ_4**

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.08	¹ DONNACHIE	91	RVUE		
-------	------------------------	----	------	--	--

¹ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{15}/Γ**

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

possibly seen	COAN	04	CLEO	$\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau$	
---------------	------	----	------	---	--

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{17}/Γ**

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

seen 35 ¹ ACHASOV 14 SND 1.15–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

$\rho(1450)$ REFERENCES

ACHARYA	26	PL B872 140006	S. Acharya <i>et al.</i>	(ALICE Collab.)
AAIJ	25AG	JHEP 2511 103	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
FEDOTOVICH	25	PPN 56 434	G.V. Fedotovitch <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
IGNATOV	24	PR D109 112002	F.V. Ignatov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ANDREEV	20	EPJ C80 1189	V. Andreev <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
GRIBANOV	20	JHEP 2001 112	S.S. Gribov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV	18	PR D97 012008	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LEES	18	PR D97 052007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BARTOS	17	PR D96 113004	E. Bartos <i>et al.</i>	
BARTOS	17A	IJMP A32 1750154	E. Bartos <i>et al.</i>	
LEES	17C	PR D95 072007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AAIJ	16N	PR D93 052018	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16C	PL B753 629	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	16D	PR D94 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO	15	PR D91 052013	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
MATVIENKO	15	PR D92 012013	D. Matvienko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ACHASOV	14	PR D90 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	13	PR D88 054013	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ABRAMOWICZ	12	EPJ C72 1869	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ACHASOV	12	JETPL 94 734	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from ZETFP 94 796.		
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	11	JETP 113 75	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 140 87.		
AMBROSINO	11A	PL B700 102	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
ACHASOV	10D	PR D98 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
DUBNICKA	10	APS 60 1	S. Dubnicka, A.Z. Dubnickova	
AUBERT	09AS	PRL 103 231801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
FUJIKAWA	08	PR D78 072006	M. Fujikawa <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	07	PL B648 28	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	06	JETP 103 380	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 130 437.		
AKHMETSHIN	05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALOISIO	05	PL B606 12	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
SCHAEI	05C	PRPL 421 191	S. Schael <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	04	PL B578 285	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
COAN	04	PRL 92 232001	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	01B	PL B509 217	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALEXANDER	01B	PR D64 092001	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ANDERSON	00A	PR D61 112002	S. Anderson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
EDWARDS	00A	PR D61 072003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABELE	99C	PL B450 275	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	97	PL B391 191	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	97	PR D55 2663	N.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVM)
BARATE	97M	ZPHY C76 15	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN	97D	PL B414 220	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
BISELLO	91B	NPBPS B21 111	D. Bisello	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
DONNACHIE	91	ZPHY C51 689	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
FUKUI	91	PL B257 241	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
KUHN	90	ZPHY C48 445	J.H. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
ARMSTRONG	89E	PL B228 536	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BISELLO	89	PL B220 321	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DUBNICKA	89	JP G15 1349	S. Dubnicka <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, SLOV)
ANTONELLI	88	PL B212 133	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
CLEGG	88	ZPHY C40 313	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(MCHS, LANC)
DIEKMANN	88	PRPL 159 99	B. Diekmann	(BONN)
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)

ALBRECHT	87L	PL B185 223	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
DONNACHIE	87B	ZPHY C34 257	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
DOLINSKY	86	PL B174 453	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BARKOV	85	NP B256 365	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
KURDADZE	83	JETPL 37 733	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 37 613.		
ASTON	80C	PL 92B 211	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
BARBER	80C	ZPHY C4 169	D.P. Barber <i>et al.</i>	(DARE, LANC, SHEF)
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	
