

# $\rho(1700)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^--)$$

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

## $\rho(1700)$ MASS

### $\eta\rho^0$ AND $\pi^+\pi^-$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
<b>1720±20 OUR ESTIMATE</b>	

### $\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1834±12	13.4k	<sup>1</sup> GRIBANOV	20	CMD3	1.1–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1840±10	7.4k	<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV	18	SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1740±20		ANTONELLI	88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1701±15		<sup>3</sup> FUKUI	88	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

<sup>1</sup> Mass and width of the  $\rho(770)$  fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2,  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decays used.

<sup>2</sup> From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$  and  $\rho(2150)$  with the parameters of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  floating and the mass and width of the  $\rho(2150)$  fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are  $\pi$ , 0 and  $\pi$ , respectively.

<sup>3</sup> Assuming  $\rho^+ f_0(1370)$  decay mode interferes with  $a_1(1260)^+ \pi$  background. From a two Breit-Wigner fit.

### $\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1790 ±20		<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	25AG	LHCB	5 TeV PbPb $\rightarrow$ PbPb $\pi^+\pi^-$
1604.66±30.8	34M	<sup>2</sup> IGNATOV	24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1725 ±17		<sup>3</sup> ACHARYA	20F	ALCE	5 TeV PbPb $\rightarrow$ PbPb $\pi^+\pi^-$
1770.54± 5.49		<sup>4</sup> BARTOS	17	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1718.50±65.44		<sup>5</sup> BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1766.80±52.36		<sup>6</sup> BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
1644 ±36	20k	<sup>7</sup> LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1780 ±20	$\begin{smallmatrix} +15 \\ -20 \end{smallmatrix}$	<sup>8</sup> ABRAMOWICZ	12	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^-\rho$
1861 ±17		<sup>9</sup> LEES	12G	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1728 ±17	±89	<sup>10,11</sup> FUJIKAWA	08	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
1780 $\begin{smallmatrix} +37 \\ -29 \end{smallmatrix}$		<sup>12</sup> ABELE	97	CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
1719 ±15		<sup>12</sup> BERTIN	97C	OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1730 ±30		CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1768 ±21		BISELLO	89	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

1745.7 ± 91.9		DUBNICKA	89	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1546 ± 26		GESHKEN...	89	RVUE	
1650		<sup>13</sup> ERKAL	85	RVUE	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \gamma\pi$
1550 ± 70		ABE	84B	HYBR	20 $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-p$
1590 ± 20		<sup>14</sup> ASTON	80	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p2\pi$
1600 ± 10		<sup>15</sup> ATIYA	79B	SPEC	50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C2\pi$
1598 <sup>+24</sup> <sub>–22</sub>		BECKER	79	ASPK	17 $\pi^-p$ polarized
1659 ± 25		<sup>13</sup> LANG	79	RVUE	
1575		<sup>13</sup> MARTIN	78C	RVUE	17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
1610 ± 30		<sup>13</sup> FROGGATT	77	RVUE	17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
1590 ± 20		<sup>16</sup> HYAMS	73	ASPK	17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$

<sup>1</sup> Using the parametrisation as in ANDREEV 20 with  $\rho$ - $\omega$  interference with the additional presence of two Breit-Wigner resonances for excited  $\rho$  states.

<sup>2</sup> From a fit of the pion form factor using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the  $\rho - \omega$  interference leaving  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit. The fit uses also data from CMD-2 and DM2 experiments. Systematic errors not estimated.

<sup>3</sup>  $J^{PC}$  not determined, could be  $\rho_3(1690)$ .

<sup>4</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.

<sup>5</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.

<sup>6</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.

<sup>7</sup> From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  masses and widths floating.

<sup>8</sup> Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting  $\rho$ - $\omega$  interference.

<sup>9</sup> Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ , and  $\rho(2150)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit.

<sup>10</sup>  $|F_\pi(0)|^2$  fixed to 1.

<sup>11</sup> From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

<sup>12</sup> T-matrix pole.

<sup>13</sup> From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.

<sup>14</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

<sup>15</sup> An additional 40 MeV uncertainty in both the mass and width is present due to the choice of the background shape.

<sup>16</sup> Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

## $\pi\omega$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1723 ± 2		<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	23A	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$
1708 ± 41	7815	<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV	13	SND 1.05–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1550 to 1620		<sup>3</sup> ACHASOV	00i	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1580 to 1710		<sup>4</sup> ACHASOV	00i	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1710 ± 90		ACHASOV	97	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> From a vector dominance fit to the Born cross section between 1.05 and 2.0 GeV with  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\rho(1570)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ ,  $\rho(2150)$ . The fit also uses SND data from the VEPP-2M collider below 1.02 GeV and from LEES 17H and ABLIKIM 21A above 1.5 GeV.

<sup>2</sup>From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.

<sup>3</sup>Taking into account both  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  contributions. Using the data of ACHASOV 00i on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  and of EDWARDS 00A on  $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$ .  $\rho(1450)$  mass and width fixed at 1400 MeV and 500 MeV respectively.

<sup>4</sup>Taking into account the  $\rho(1700)$  contribution only. Using the data of ACHASOV 00i on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  and of EDWARDS 00A on  $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$ .

### $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$1688.7 \pm 3.1^{+141.1}_{-1.3}$		<sup>1</sup> ALBRECHT	20	RVUE	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
$1541 \pm 12 \pm 33$	190k	<sup>2</sup> AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
$1740.8 \pm 22.2$	27k	<sup>3</sup> ABELE	99D	CBAR $\pm$	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
$1582 \pm 36$	1600	CLELAND	82B	SPEC $\pm$	$50 \pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$

<sup>1</sup>T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 3 channels, including  $\pi\pi$  scattering data from HYAMS 75.

<sup>2</sup>Using the GOUNARIS 68 parameterization with a fixed width. Value is average using different  $K\pi$  S-wave parametrizations in fit.

<sup>3</sup>K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be  $\omega(1650)$  or  $\phi(1680)$ .

### $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$1663 \pm 13 \pm 22$		<sup>1</sup> ACHARYA	26	ALCE 5 TeV PbPb $\rightarrow$ PbPb4 $\pi$
$1851^{+27}_{-24}$		ACHASOV	97	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$1570 \pm 20$		<sup>2</sup> CORDIER	82	DM1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$1520 \pm 30$		<sup>3</sup> ASTON	81E	OMEG 20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$1654 \pm 25$		<sup>4</sup> DIBIANCA	81	DBC $\pi^+d \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$1666 \pm 39$		<sup>2</sup> BACCI	80	FRAG $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1780	34	KILLIAN	80	SPEC 11 $e^-p \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1500		<sup>5</sup> ATIYA	79B	SPEC 50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C4\pi^\pm$
$1570 \pm 60$	65	<sup>6</sup> ALEXANDER	75	HBC 7.5 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$1550 \pm 60$		<sup>3</sup> CONVERSI	74	OSPK $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$1550 \pm 50$	160	SCHACHT	74	STRC 5.5–9 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$1450 \pm 100$	340	SCHACHT	74	STRC 9–18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$1430 \pm 50$	400	BINGHAM	72B	HBC 9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$

<sup>1</sup>From a two Breit-Wigner resonance fit to the 4 $\pi$  mass distribution produced in ultraperipheral Pb–Pb collisions.

<sup>2</sup>Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with model dependent width.

<sup>3</sup>Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

<sup>4</sup>One peak fit result.

<sup>5</sup>Parameters roughly estimated, not from a fit.

<sup>6</sup>Skew mass distribution compensated by Ross-Stodolsky factor.

### $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$1660 \pm 30$	ATKINSON	85B	OMEG 20–70 $\gamma p$

### $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ AND $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1730 \pm 34$	<sup>1</sup> FRABETTI	04	E687 $\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- p$
$1783 \pm 15$	CLEGG	90	RVUE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

<sup>1</sup> From a fit with two resonances with the JACOB 72 continuum.

$$m_{\rho(1700)^0} - m_{\rho(1700)^\pm}$$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$-48.30 \pm 83.81$	<sup>1</sup> BARTOS	17A	RVUE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
--------------------	---------------------	-----	--

<sup>1</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

### $\rho(1700)$ WIDTH

#### $\eta\rho^0$ AND $\pi^+\pi^-$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
-------------	-------------

**$250 \pm 100$  OUR ESTIMATE**

#### $\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$47 \pm 19$	13.4k	<sup>1</sup> GRIBANOV	20	CMD3	$1.1-2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$132 \pm 40$	7.4k	<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV	18	SND	$1.22-2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$150 \pm 30$		ANTONELLI	88	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$282 \pm 44$		<sup>3</sup> FUKUI	88	SPEC	$8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

<sup>1</sup> Mass and width of the  $\rho(770)$  fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2,  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decays used.

<sup>2</sup> From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$  and  $\rho(2150)$  with the parameters of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  floating and the mass and width of the  $\rho(2150)$  fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are  $\pi$ , 0 and  $\pi$ , respectively.

<sup>3</sup> Assuming  $\rho^+ f_0(1370)$  decay mode interferes with  $a_1(1260)^+\pi$  background. From a two Breit-Wigner fit.

#### $\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$290 \pm 40$		<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	25AG	LHCb	$5 \text{ TeV PbPb} \rightarrow \text{PbPb}\pi^+\pi^-$
$249.39 \pm 52.24$	34M	<sup>2</sup> IGNATOV	24	CMD3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

143	$\pm 21$			3	ACHARYA	20F	ALCE	5 TeV PbPb $\rightarrow$ PbPb $\pi^+ \pi^-$
268.98	$\pm 11.40$			4	BARTOS	17	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
489.58	$\pm 16.95$			5	BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
414.71	$\pm 119.48$			6	BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
109	$\pm 19$		20k	7	LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
310	$\pm 30$	$+25$ $-35$	63.5k	8	ABRAMOWICZ12		ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow e \pi^+ \pi^- p$
316	$\pm 26$			9	LEES	12G	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
164	$\pm 21$	$+89$ $-26$	5.4M	10,11	FUJIKAWA	08	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
275	$\pm 45$			12	ABELE	97	CBAR	$\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
310	$\pm 40$			12	BERTIN	97C	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
400	$\pm 100$				CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
224	$\pm 22$				BISELLO	89	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
242.5	$\pm 163.0$				DUBNICKA	89	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
620	$\pm 60$				GESHKEN...	89	RVUE	
<315				13	ERKAL	85	RVUE	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \gamma \pi$
280	$+ 30$ $- 80$				ABE	84B	HYBR	20 $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- p$
230	$\pm 80$			14	ASTON	80	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 2\pi$
283	$\pm 14$			15	ATIYA	79B	SPEC	50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C 2\pi$
175	$+ 98$ $- 53$				BECKER	79	ASPK	17 $\pi^- p$ polarized
232	$\pm 34$			13	LANG	79	RVUE	
340				13	MARTIN	78C	RVUE	17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
300	$\pm 100$			13	FROGGATT	77	RVUE	17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
180	$\pm 50$			16	HYAMS	73	ASPK	17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$

<sup>1</sup> Using the parametrisation as in ANDREEV 20 with  $\rho$ - $\omega$  interference with the additional presence of two Breit-Wigner resonances for excited  $\rho$  states.

<sup>2</sup> From a fit of the pion form factor using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the  $\rho - \omega$  interference leaving  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit. The fit uses also data from CMD-2 and DM2 experiments. Systematic errors not estimated.

<sup>3</sup>  $J^{PC}$  not determined, could be  $\rho_3(1690)$ .

<sup>4</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.

<sup>5</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.

<sup>6</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.

<sup>7</sup> From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  masses and widths floating.

<sup>8</sup> Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting  $\rho$ - $\omega$  interference.

<sup>9</sup> Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ , and  $\rho(2150)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit.

<sup>10</sup>  $|F_\pi(0)|^2$  fixed to 1.

<sup>11</sup> From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

<sup>12</sup> T-matrix pole.

<sup>13</sup> From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.

<sup>14</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

<sup>15</sup> An additional 40 MeV uncertainty in both the mass and width is present due to the choice of the background shape.

<sup>16</sup> Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

## $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$150.9 \pm 2.5^{+60}_{-10.6}$		<sup>1</sup> ALBRECHT	20	RVUE	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
$187.2 \pm 26.7$	27k	<sup>2</sup> ABELE	99D	CBAR $\pm$	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
$265 \pm 120$	1600	CLELAND	82B	SPEC $\pm$	$50 \pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$

<sup>1</sup> T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 3 channels, including  $\pi\pi$  scattering data from HYAMS 75.

<sup>2</sup> K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be  $\omega(1650)$  or  $\phi(1680)$ .

## $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$357 \pm 31 \pm 49$		<sup>1</sup> ACHARYA	26	ALCE 5 TeV PbPb $\rightarrow$ PbPb $4\pi$
$510 \pm 40$		<sup>2</sup> CORDIER	82	DM1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$400 \pm 50$		<sup>3</sup> ASTON	81E	OMEG 20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$400 \pm 146$		<sup>4</sup> DIBIANCA	81	DBC $\pi^+ d \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$700 \pm 160$		<sup>2</sup> BACCI	80	FRAG $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
100	34	KILLIAN	80	SPEC 11 $e^- p \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
600		<sup>5</sup> ATIYA	79B	SPEC 50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C4\pi^\pm$
$340 \pm 160$	65	<sup>6</sup> ALEXANDER	75	HBC 7.5 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$360 \pm 100$		<sup>3</sup> CONVERSI	74	OSPK $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$400 \pm 120$	160	<sup>7</sup> SCHACHT	74	STRC 5.5–9 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$850 \pm 200$	340	<sup>7</sup> SCHACHT	74	STRC 9–18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$650 \pm 100$	400	BINGHAM	72B	HBC 9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$

<sup>1</sup> From a two Breit-Wigner resonance fit to the  $4\pi$  mass distribution produced in ultraperipheral Pb–Pb collisions.

<sup>2</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with model-dependent width.

<sup>3</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

<sup>4</sup> One peak fit result.

<sup>5</sup> Parameters roughly estimated, not from a fit.

<sup>6</sup> Skew mass distribution compensated by Ross-Stodolsky factor.

<sup>7</sup> Width errors enlarged by us to  $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$ ; see the note with the  $K^*(892)$  mass.

## $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$300 \pm 50$	ATKINSON	85B	OMEG 20–70 $\gamma p$

## $\omega\pi^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$371 \pm 3$	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	23A	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$
350 to 580	<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV	00i	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
490 to 1040	<sup>3</sup> ACHASOV	00i	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

<sup>1</sup>From a vector dominance fit to the Born cross section between 1.05 and 2.0 GeV with  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\rho(1570)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ ,  $\rho(2150)$ . The fit also uses SND data from the VEPP-2M collider below 1.02 GeV and from LEES 17H and ABLIKIM 21A above 1.5 GeV.

<sup>2</sup>Taking into account both  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  contributions. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  and of EDWARDS 00A on  $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$ .  $\rho(1450)$  mass and width fixed at 1400 MeV and 500 MeV respectively.

<sup>3</sup>Taking into account the  $\rho(1700)$  contribution only. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  and of EDWARDS 00A on  $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$ .

### 3( $\pi^+\pi^-$ ) AND 2( $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ ) MODES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

$315 \pm 100$	<sup>1</sup> FRABETTI	04	E687 $\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- p$
$285 \pm 20$	CLEGG	90	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

<sup>1</sup>From a fit with two resonances with the JACOB 72 continuum.

### $\Gamma_{\rho(1700)^0} - \Gamma_{\rho(1700)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

$74.87 \pm 120.67$	<sup>1</sup> BARTOS	17A	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
--------------------	---------------------	-----	---

<sup>1</sup>Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

### $\rho(1700)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $4\pi$	
$\Gamma_2$ $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	seen
$\Gamma_3$ $\rho\pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_4$ $\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_5$ $\rho^0\pi^0\pi^0$	
$\Gamma_6$ $\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_7$ $a_1(1260)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_8$ $h_1(1170)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_9$ $\pi(1300)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{10}$ $\rho\rho$	seen
$\Gamma_{11}$ $\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{12}$ $\pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{13}$ $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	seen
$\Gamma_{14}$ $\eta\rho$	seen
$\Gamma_{15}$ $a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_{16}$ $K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_{17}$ $e^+e^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{18}$ $\pi^0\omega$	seen
$\Gamma_{19}$ $\pi^0\gamma$	not seen

$\Gamma_{20} f_0(1500)\gamma$ 

not seen

 **$\rho(1700) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$** 

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into  $e^+e^-$  and with the total width is obtained from the cross-section into channel<sub>i</sub> in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation.

 **$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{20}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$** 

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2.6 ± 0.2	DELCOURT	81B DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
2.83 ± 0.42	BACCI	80 FRAG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

 **$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{11}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$** 

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.13	<sup>1</sup> DIEKMAN	88 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
0.029 <sup>+0.016</sup> <sub>-0.012</sub>	KURDADZE	83 OLYA	0.64–1.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> Using total width = 220 MeV. **$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{13}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$** 

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.305 ± 0.071	<sup>1</sup> BIZOT	80 DM1	$e^+e^-$

<sup>1</sup> Model dependent. **$\Gamma(\eta\rho) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{14}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$** 

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1.35 ± 0.53 ± 0.08	13.4k	<sup>1</sup> GRIBANOV	20 CMD3	1.1–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
84 ± 26 ± 4		<sup>2</sup> LEES	18 BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
7 ± 3		ANTONELLI	88 DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> Mass and width of the  $\rho(770)$  fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2,  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decays used.<sup>2</sup> Includes non-resonant contribution. The selected fit model includes three  $\rho$  excited states. Model uncertainty is 80%. **$\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{16}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$** 

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.035 ± 0.029	<sup>1</sup> BIZOT	80 DM1	$e^+e^-$

<sup>1</sup> Model dependent.

$\Gamma(\rho\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_3\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$3.510 \pm 0.090$		<sup>1</sup> BIZOT	80	DM1	$e^+e^-$
<sup>1</sup> Model dependent.					

### $\rho(1700) \Gamma(i)/\Gamma(\text{total}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$
VALUE (units $10^{-6}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$0.09 \pm 0.05$	10.2k	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	16D	SND	$1.05-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$1.7 \pm 0.4$	7815	<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV	13	SND	$1.05-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
<sup>1</sup> From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(700)$ , $\rho(1450)$ , and $\rho(1700)$ . The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.					
<sup>2</sup> From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.					

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$
VALUE (units $10^{-8}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$8.3^{+3.8}_{-3.1}$	7.4k	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	18	SND	$1.22-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
<sup>1</sup> From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ , $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are $\pi$ , 0 and $\pi$ , respectively.					

### $\rho(1700)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\rho\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$					$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$0.28 \pm 0.06$		<sup>1</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>1</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.					

$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$					$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_2$
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$\sim 1.0$		DELCOURT	81B	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$0.7 \pm 0.1$	500	SCHACHT	74	STRC	$5.5-18 \gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
0.80		<sup>1</sup> BINGHAM	72B	HBC	$9.3 \gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
<sup>1</sup> The $\pi\pi$ system is in $S$ -wave.					

$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0)$  $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_6$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.10	ATKINSON	85B	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p$
<0.15	ATKINSON	82	OMEG 0	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$

 $\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$  $\Gamma_7/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.16 \pm 0.05$	<sup>1</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>1</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

 $\Gamma(h_1(1170)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$  $\Gamma_8/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.17 \pm 0.06$	<sup>1</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>1</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

 $\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$  $\Gamma_9/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.30 \pm 0.10$	<sup>1</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>1</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

 $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$  $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.09 \pm 0.03$	<sup>1</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>1</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.108 \pm 0.017^{+0.162}_{-0.004}$	<sup>1</sup> ALBRECHT	20	RVUE 0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
$0.287^{+0.043}_{-0.042}$	BECKER	79	ASPK 17 $\pi^-p$ polarized
0.15 to 0.30	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN	78C	RVUE 17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
<0.20	<sup>3</sup> COSTA...	77B	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\pi, 4\pi$
$0.30 \pm 0.05$	<sup>2</sup> FROGGATT	77	RVUE 17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
<0.15	<sup>4</sup> EISENBERG	73	HBC 5 $\pi^+p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}2\pi$
$0.25 \pm 0.05$	<sup>5</sup> HYAMS	73	ASPK 17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$

<sup>1</sup> Residue from T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 3 channels, Chew-Mandelstam functions and simplified analytic continuation for the  $4\pi$  channel. Includes scattering data from HYAMS 75 and model-independent calculation of GARCIA-MARTIN 11A.

<sup>2</sup> From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.

<sup>3</sup> Estimate using unitarity, time reversal invariance, Breit-Wigner.

<sup>4</sup> Estimated using one-pion-exchange model.

<sup>5</sup> Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.007 \pm 0.006^{+0.041}_{-0.002}$	<sup>1</sup> ALBRECHT 20	RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> Residue from T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 3 channels, Chew-Mandelstam functions and simplified analytic continuation for the  $4\pi$  channel. Includes scattering data from HYAMS 75 and model-independent calculation of GARCIA-MARTIN 11A.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^-))$   $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.13 \pm 0.05$	ASTON 80	OMEG	20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 2\pi$
$< 0.14$	<sup>1</sup> DAVIER 73	STRC	6-18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
$< 0.2$	<sup>2</sup> BINGHAM 72B	HBC	9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 2\pi$

<sup>1</sup> Upper limit is estimate.  
<sup>2</sup>  $2\sigma$  upper limit.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$   $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.16 \pm 0.04$	<sup>1,2</sup> ABELE 01B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

<sup>1</sup> Using ABELE 97.  
<sup>2</sup>  $\omega\pi$  not included.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
possibly seen	COAN 04	CLEO	$\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^-))$   $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.15 \pm 0.03$	<sup>1</sup> DELCOURT 81B	DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \bar{K} K \pi$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming  $\rho(1700)$  and  $\omega$  radial excitations to be degenerate in mass.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
possibly seen		AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$< 0.04$		DONNACHIE 87B	RVUE	
$< 0.02$	58	ATKINSON 86B	OMEG	20-70 $\gamma p$

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^-))$   $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.123 \pm 0.027$	DELCOURT 82	DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \text{MM}$
$\sim 0.1$	ASTON 80	OMEG	20-70 $\gamma p$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\text{ neutrals})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$   $(\Gamma_5+\Gamma_6+0.714\Gamma_{14})/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.6 \pm 0.4$	<sup>1</sup> BALLAM	74	HBC 9.3 $\gamma p$
---------------	---------------------	----	--------------------

<sup>1</sup>Upper limit. Background not subtracted.

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen	AMELIN	00	VES 37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
----------	--------	----	---

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$   $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	-----	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.015 \pm 0.010$		<sup>1</sup> DELCOURT	81B	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{K}K$
$< 0.04$	95	BINGHAM	72B	HBC	0 9.3 $\gamma p$

<sup>1</sup>Assuming  $\rho(1700)$  and  $\omega$  radial excitations to be degenerate in mass.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$   $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma_{13}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.052 \pm 0.026$	BUON	82	DM1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
-------------------	------	----	---

$\Gamma(\pi^0\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen		MATVIENKO	15	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \omega \pi^-$
seen	1.6k	ACHASOV	12	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
not seen	2382	AKHMETSHIN	03B	CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
seen		ACHASOV	97	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

<b>not seen</b>	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	10D	SND 1.075–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$
-----------------	----------------------	-----	---

<sup>1</sup>From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states  $\omega(1420)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\omega(1650)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$ . The width of the highest mass effective resonance is fixed at 315 MeV.

$\Gamma(f_0(1500)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

<b>not seen</b>	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	22	SND 1.17–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \eta \gamma$
-----------------	----------------------	----	---

<sup>1</sup>The 90% CL upper limit on the Born cross sections  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi(1680) \rightarrow f_2'(1525)\gamma \rightarrow \eta \eta \gamma)$  and  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho(1700) \rightarrow f_0(1500)\gamma \rightarrow \eta \eta \gamma)$  is 10.6 pb.

$\rho(1700)$  REFERENCES

- ACHARYA 26 PL B872 140006 S. Acharya *et al.* (ALICE Collab.)  
 AAIJ 25AG JHEP 2511 103 R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collab.)  
 IGNATOV 24 PR D109 112002 F.V. Ignatov *et al.* (CMD-3 Collab.)  
 ACHASOV 23A PR D108 092012 M.N. Achasov *et al.* (SND Collab.)  
 ACHASOV 22 EPJ C82 168 M.N. Achasov *et al.* (SND Collab.)  
 ABLIKIM 21A PL B813 136059 M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collab.)  
 ACHARYA 20F JHEP 2006 035 S. Acharya *et al.* (ALICE Collab.)  
 ALBRECHT 20 EPJ C80 453 M. Albrecht *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)  
 ANDREEV 20 EPJ C80 1189 V. Andreev *et al.* (H1 Collab.)  
 GRIBANOV 20 JHEP 2001 112 S.S. Gribov *et al.* (CMD-3 Collab.)  
 ACHASOV 18 PR D97 012008 M.N. Achasov *et al.* (SND Collab.)  
 LEES 18 PR D97 052007 J.P. Lees *et al.* (BABAR Collab.)  
 BARTOS 17 PR D96 113004 E. Bartos *et al.*  
 BARTOS 17A IJMP A32 1750154 E. Bartos *et al.*  
 LEES 17C PR D95 072007 J.P. Lees *et al.* (BABAR Collab.)  
 LEES 17H PR D96 092009 J.P. Lees *et al.* (BABAR Collab.)  
 AAIJ 16N PR D93 052018 R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collab.)  
 ABLIKIM 16C PL B753 629 M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collab.)  
 ACHASOV 16D PR D94 112001 M.N. Achasov *et al.* (SND Collab.)  
 AULCHENKO 15 PR D91 052013 V.M. Aulchenko *et al.* (SND Collab.)  
 MATVIENKO 15 PR D92 012013 D. Matvienko *et al.* (BELLE Collab.)  
 ACHASOV 13 PR D88 054013 M.N. Achasov *et al.* (SND Collab.)  
 ABRAMOWICZ 12 EPJ C72 1869 H. Abramowicz *et al.* (ZEUS Collab.)  
 ACHASOV 12 JETPL 94 734 M.N. Achasov *et al.*  
 Translated from ZETFP 94 796.  
 LEES 12G PR D86 032013 J.P. Lees *et al.* (BABAR Collab.)  
 AMBROSINO 11A PL B700 102 F. Ambrosino *et al.* (KLOE Collab.)  
 GARCIA-MAR... 11A PR D83 074004 R. Garcia-Martin *et al.* (MADR, CRAC)  
 ACHASOV 10D PR D98 112001 M.N. Achasov *et al.* (SND Collab.)  
 DUBNICKA 10 APS 60 1 S. Dubnicka, A.Z. Dubnickova  
 AUBERT 09AS PRL 103 231801 B. Aubert *et al.* (BABAR Collab.)  
 FUJIKAWA 08 PR D78 072006 M. Fujikawa *et al.* (BELLE Collab.)  
 AKHMETSHIN 07 PL B648 28 R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.* (Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)  
 ACHASOV 06 JETP 103 380 M.N. Achasov *et al.* (Novosibirsk SND Collab.)  
 Translated from ZETF 130 437.  
 COAN 04 PRL 92 232001 T.E. Coan *et al.* (CLEO Collab.)  
 FRABETTI 04 PL B578 290 P.L. Frabetti *et al.* (FNAL E687 Collab.)  
 AKHMETSHIN 03B PL B562 173 R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.* (Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)  
 ABELE 01B EPJ C21 261 A. Abele *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)  
 ACHASOV 00I PL B486 29 M.N. Achasov *et al.* (Novosibirsk SND Collab.)  
 AKHMETSHIN 00D PL B489 125 R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.* (Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)  
 AMELIN 00 NP A668 83 D. Amelin *et al.* (VES Collab.)  
 EDWARDS 00A PR D61 072003 K.W. Edwards *et al.* (CLEO Collab.)  
 ABELE 99D PL B468 178 A. Abele *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)  
 ABELE 97 PL B391 191 A. Abele *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)  
 ACHASOV 97 PR D55 2663 N.N. Achasov *et al.* (NOVM)  
 BERTIN 97C PL B408 476 A. Bertin *et al.* (OBELIX Collab.)  
 CLEGG 94 ZPHY C62 455 A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie (LANC, MCHS)  
 CLEGG 90 ZPHY C45 677 A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie (LANC, MCHS)  
 KUHN 90 ZPHY C48 445 J.H. Kuhn *et al.* (MPIM)  
 BISELLO 89 PL B220 321 D. Bisello *et al.* (DM2 Collab.)  
 DUBNICKA 89 JP G15 1349 S. Dubnicka *et al.* (JINR, SLOV)  
 GESHKEN... 89 ZPHY C45 351 B.V. Geshkenbein (ITEP)  
 ANTONELLI 88 PL B212 133 A. Antonelli *et al.* (DM2 Collab.)  
 DIEKMAN 88 PRPL 159 99 B. Diekmann (BONN)  
 FUKUI 88 PL B202 441 S. Fukui *et al.* (SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)  
 DONNACHIE 87B ZPHY C34 257 A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg (MCHS, LANC)  
 ATKINSON 86B ZPHY C30 531 M. Atkinson *et al.* (BONN, CERN, GLAS+)  
 ATKINSON 85B ZPHY C26 499 M. Atkinson *et al.* (BONN, CERN, GLAS+)  
 ERKAL 85 ZPHY C29 485 C. Erkal, M.G. Olsson (WISC)  
 ABE 84B PRL 53 751 K. Abe *et al.* (SLAC HFP Collab.)  
 KURDADZE 83 JETPL 37 733 L.M. Kurdadze *et al.* (NOVO)  
 Translated from ZETFP 37 613.  
 ATKINSON 82 PL 108B 55 M. Atkinson *et al.* (BONN, CERN, GLAS+)  
 BUON 82 PL 118B 221 J. Buon *et al.* (LALO, MONP)  
 CLELAND 82B NP B208 228 W.E. Cleland *et al.* (DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)  
 CORDIER 82 PL 109B 129 A. Cordier *et al.* (LALO)  
 DELCOURT 82 PL 113B 93 B. Delcourt *et al.* (LALO)  
 ASTON 81E NP B189 15 D. Aston (BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)

DEL COURT	81B	Bonn Conf. 205	B. Delcourt	(ORSAY)
Also		PL 109B 129	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
DIBIANCA	81	PR D23 595	F.A. di Bianca <i>et al.</i>	(CASE, CMU)
ASTON	80	PL 92B 215	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
BACCI	80	PL 95B 139	C. Bacci <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, FRAS)
BIZOT	80	Madison Conf. 546	J.C. Bizot <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
KILLIAN	80	PR D21 3005	T.J. Killian <i>et al.</i>	(CORN)
ATIYA	79B	PRL 43 1691	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, ILL, FNAL)
BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
LANG	79	PR D19 956	C.B. Lang, A. Mas-Parareda	(GRAZ)
MARTIN	78C	ANP 114 1	A.D. Martin, M.R. Pennington	(CERN)
COSTA...	77B	PL 71B 345	B. Costa de Beauregard, B. Pire, T.N. Truong	(EPOL)
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(GLAS, NORD)
ALEXANDER	75	PL 57B 487	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(TELA)
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
BALLAM	74	NP B76 375	J. Ballam <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL, MPIM)
CONVERSI	74	PL 52B 493	M. Conversi <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, FRAS)
SCHACHT	74	NP B81 205	P. Schacht <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
DAVIER	73	NP B58 31	M. Davier <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
EISENBERG	73	PL 43B 149	Y. Eisenberg <i>et al.</i>	(REHO)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
BINGHAM	72B	PL 41B 635	H.H. Bingham <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, UCB, SLAC) IGJP
JACOB	72	PR D5 1847	M. Jacob, R. Slansky	
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	

---