

NODE=M008

 $f_1(1285)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$$

 $f_1(1285)$ MASS

NODE=M008M

NODE=M008M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1281.8 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.		
1280.2 ± 0.6 $^{+1.2}_{-1.5}$	126K	ABLIKIM	23M BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
1281.0 ± 0.8		DICKSON	16 CLAS	$2.55 \gamma p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1287.4 ± 3.0	87	ABLIKIM	15P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$
1281.16 ± 0.39 ± 0.45		¹ LEES	12X BABR	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- f_1(1285) \nu_\tau$
1285.1 ± 1.0 $^{+1.6}_{-0.3}$		² ABLIKIM	11J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)$
1281 ± 2 ± 1		AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow f_1(1285) \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1276.1 ± 8.1 ± 8.0	203	BAI	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
1274 ± 6	237	ABDALLAH	03H DLPH	$91.2 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp + X$
1280 ± 4		ACCIARRI	01G L3	
1288 ± 4 ± 5	20k	ADAMS	01B B852	$18 \text{ GeV } \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
1284 ± 6	1400	ALDE	97B GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
1281 ± 1		BARBERIS	97B OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1281 ± 1		BARBERIS	97C OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow pp K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1280 ± 2		³ ANTINORI	95 OMEG	$300,450 pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1282.2 ± 1.5		LEE	94 MPS2	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 2\pi^- p$
1279 ± 5		FUKUI	91C SPEC	$8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1278 ± 2	140	ARMSTRONG	89 OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi pp$
1278 ± 2		ARMSTRONG	89G OMEG	$85 \pi^+ p \rightarrow 4\pi \pi p,$ $pp \rightarrow 4\pi pp$
1280.1 ± 2.1	60	RATH	89 MPS	$21.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0 n$
1285 ± 1	4750	⁴ BIRMAN	88 MPS	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^- n$
1280 ± 1	504	BITYUKOV	88 SPEC	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
1280 ± 4		ANDO	86 SPEC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1277 ± 2	420	REEVES	86 SPEC	$6.6 p\bar{p} \rightarrow K K \pi X$
1285 ± 2		CHUNG	85 SPEC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow N K \bar{K} \pi$
1279 ± 2	604	ARMSTRONG	84 OMEG	$85 \pi^+ p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \pi p,$ $pp \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi pp$
1286 ± 1		CHAUVAT	84 SPEC	ISR 31.5 pp
1278 ± 4		EVANGELIS...	81 OMEG	$12 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1283 ± 3	103	DIONISI	80 HBC	$4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi n$
1282 ± 2	320	NACASCH	78 HBC	$0.7, 0.76 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} 3\pi$
1279 ± 5	210	GRASSLER	77 HBC	$16 \pi^\mp p$
1286 ± 3	180	DUBOC	72 HBC	$1.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 2K 4\pi$
1283 ± 5		DAHL	67 HBC	$1.6-4.2 \pi^- p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1289.3 ± 2.8	234	ABLIKIM	19BA BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
1284.2 ± 2.2		⁵ AAIJ	14Y LHCB	$\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1281.9 ± 0.5		⁵ SOSA	99 SPEC	$pp \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}} (K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-) p_{\text{fast}}$
1282.8 ± 0.6		⁵ SOSA	99 SPEC	$pp \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}} (K_S^0 K^- \pi^+) p_{\text{fast}}$
1270 ± 10		AMELIN	95 VES	$37 \pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma N$
1280 ± 2		ABATZIS	94 OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1282 ± 4		ARMSTRONG	93C E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
1270 ± 6 ± 10		ARMSTRONG	92C OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow pp \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1281 ± 1		ARMSTRONG	89E OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1279 ± 6 ± 10	16	BECKER	87 MRK3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi K \bar{K} \pi$

OCCUR=2

1286 ± 9		GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1287 ± 5	353	BITYUKOV	84B	SPEC	$32\pi^-p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0n$
~ 1279		⁶ TORNQVIST	82B	RVUE	
1275 ± 6	31	BROMBERG	80	SPEC	$100\pi^-p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi X$
1288 ± 9	200	GURTU	79	HBC	$4.2K^-p \rightarrow n\eta 2\pi$
~ 1275.0	46	⁷ STANTON	79	CNTR	$8.5\pi^-p \rightarrow n2\gamma 2\pi$
1271 ± 10	34	CORDEN	78	OMEG	$12-15\pi^-p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi n$
1295 ± 12	85	CORDEN	78	OMEG	$12-15\pi^-p \rightarrow n5\pi$
1292 ± 10	150	DEFOIX	72	HBC	$0.7\bar{p}p \rightarrow 7\pi$
1280 ± 3	500	⁸ THUN	72	MMS	$13.4\pi^-p$
1303 ± 8		BARDADIN-...	71	HBC	$8\pi^+p \rightarrow p6\pi$
1283 ± 6		BOESEBECK	71	HBC	$16.0\pi p \rightarrow p5\pi$
1270 ± 10		CAMPBELL	69	DBC	$2.7\pi^+d$
1285 ± 7		LORSTAD	69	HBC	$0.7\bar{p}p, 4,5\text{-body}$
1290 ± 7		D'ANDLAU	68	HBC	$1.2\bar{p}p, 5-6\text{ body}$

OCCUR=2

¹ Using the $2\pi^+2\pi^-$ and $\pi^+\pi^-\eta$ modes of $f_1(1285)$ decay.² The selected process is $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega a_0(980)\pi$.³ Supersedes ABATZIS 94, ARMSTRONG 89E.⁴ From partial wave analysis of $K^+\bar{K}^0\pi^-$ system.⁵ No systematic error given.⁶ From a unitarized quark-model calculation.⁷ From phase shift analysis of $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ system.⁸ Seen in the missing mass spectrum.

NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=B


NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=N1

NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=T

NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=S

 **$f_1(1285)$ WIDTH**

NODE=M008W

Only experiments giving width error less than 20 MeV are kept for averaging.

NODE=M008W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
23.0± 1.1 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.		
28.2± 1.1 ⁺ _{2.9}	126K	ABLIKIM	23M BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
18.4± 1.4		DICKSON	16 CLAS	$2.55 \gamma p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p$
18.3± 6.3	87	ABLIKIM	15P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$
22.0± 3.1 ⁺ _{1.5}		¹ ABLIKIM	11J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)$
35 ± 6 ± 4		AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow f_1(1285) \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
40.0± 8.6± 9.3	203	BAI	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
29 ± 12	237	ABDALLAH	03H DLPH	$91.2 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp + X$
45 ± 9 ± 7	20k	ADAMS	01B B852	$18 \text{ GeV } \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
55 ± 18	1400	ALDE	97B GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
24 ± 3		BARBERIS	97B OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
20 ± 2		BARBERIS	97C OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow pp K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
36 ± 5		² ANTINORI	95 OMEG	$300,450 pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
29.0± 4.1		LEE	94 MPS2	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 2\pi^- p$
25 ± 4	140	ARMSTRONG	89 OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi pp$
22 ± 2	4750	³ BIRMAN	88 MPS	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^- n$
25 ± 4	504	BITYUKOV	88 SPEC	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
19 ± 5		ANDO	86 SPEC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
32 ± 8	420	REEVES	86 SPEC	$6.6 p \bar{p} \rightarrow K K \pi X$
22 ± 2		CHUNG	85 SPEC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow N K \bar{K} \pi$
32 ± 3	604	ARMSTRONG	84 OMEG	$85 \pi^+ p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \pi p,$ $pp \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi pp$
24 ± 3		CHAUVAT	84 SPEC	ISR 31.5 pp
29 ± 10	103	DIONISI	80 HBC	$4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi n$
28.3± 6.7	320	NACASCH	78 HBC	$0.7, 0.76 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} 3\pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
17.1± 3.4	234	ABLIKIM	19BA BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
32.4± 5.8		⁴ AAIJ	14Y LHCb	$\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
18.2± 1.2		⁴ SOSA	99 SPEC	$pp \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}} (K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-)$
19.4± 1.5		⁴ SOSA	99 SPEC	$pp \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}} (K_S^0 K^- \pi^+)$
40 ± 5		ABATZIS	94 OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
31 ± 5		ARMSTRONG	89E OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
41 ± 12		ARMSTRONG	89G OMEG	$85 \pi^+ p \rightarrow 4\pi \pi p, pp \rightarrow 4\pi pp$
17.9± 10.9	60	RATH	89 MPS	$21.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0 n$
14 ⁺ ₁₄ ± 10	16	BECKER	87 MRK3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi K \bar{K} \pi$
26 ± 12		EVANGELIS...	81 OMEG	$12 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
25 ± 15	200	GURTU	79 HBC	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow n \eta 2\pi$
~ 10		⁵ STANTON	79 CNTR	$8.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\gamma 2\pi$
24 ± 18	210	GRASSLER	77 HBC	$16 \pi^\mp p$
28 ± 5	150	⁶ DEFOIX	72 HBC	$0.7 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 7\pi$
46 ± 9	180	⁶ DUBOC	72 HBC	$1.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 2K 4\pi$
37 ± 5	500	⁷ THUN	72 MMS	$13.4 \pi^- p$
10 ± 10		BOESEBECK	71 HBC	$16.0 \pi p \rightarrow p 5\pi$
30 ± 15		CAMPBELL	69 DBC	$2.7 \pi^+ d$
60 ± 15		⁶ LORSTAD	69 HBC	$0.7 \bar{p} p, 4,5\text{-body}$
35 ± 10		⁶ DAHL	67 HBC	$1.6\text{--}4.2 \pi^- p$

NODE=M008W

OCCUR=2

¹ The selected process is $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega a_0(980) \pi$.

² Supersedes ABATZIS 94, ARMSTRONG 89E.

³ From partial wave analysis of $K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^-$ system.

⁴ No systematic error given.


⁵ From phase shift analysis of $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ system.

⁶ Resolution is not unfolded.

⁷ Seen in the missing mass spectrum.

NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=BL
 NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=N1
 NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=P
 NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=R
 NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=S

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
23.0±1.1 (Error scaled by 1.6)



$f_1(1285)$ width (MeV)

$f_1(1285)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M008215;NODE=M008

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level	
Γ_1 4π	$(32.7 \pm 1.8) \%$	$S=1.2$	DESIG=21
Γ_2 $\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(21.8 \pm 1.2) \%$	$S=1.2$	DESIG=22
Γ_3 $2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	$(10.9 \pm 0.6) \%$	$S=1.2$	DESIG=20
Γ_4 $\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(10.9 \pm 0.6) \%$	$S=1.2$	DESIG=191
Γ_5 $\rho^0 \rho^0$	seen		DESIG=23
Γ_6 $4\pi^0$	$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=7
Γ_7 $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(35 \pm 15) \%$		DESIG=198
Γ_8 $\eta \pi \pi$	$(52.2 \pm 1.9) \%$	$S=1.2$	DESIG=3
Γ_9 $a_0(980) \pi$ [ignoring $a_0(980) \rightarrow K \bar{K}$]	$(38 \pm 4) \%$		DESIG=4
Γ_{10} $\eta \pi \pi$ [excluding $a_0(980) \pi$]	$(14 \pm 4) \%$		DESIG=5
Γ_{11} $K \bar{K} \pi$	$(9.0 \pm 0.4) \%$	$S=1.1$	DESIG=1
Γ_{12} $K \bar{K}^*(892)$	not seen		DESIG=6
Γ_{13} $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(3.0 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=197
Γ_{14} $\rho^\pm \pi^\mp$	$< 3.1 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=95%	DESIG=199
Γ_{15} $\gamma \rho^0$	$(6.1 \pm 1.0) \%$	$S=1.7$	DESIG=13
Γ_{16} $\phi \gamma$	$(7.4 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=10
Γ_{17} $e^+ e^-$	$< 9.4 \times 10^{-9}$	CL=90%	DESIG=200
Γ_{18} $\gamma \gamma^*$			DESIG=9
Γ_{19} $\gamma \gamma$			DESIG=8

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 6 branching ratios uses 18 measurements and one constraint to determine 5 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 24.0$ for 14 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_9	-29			
x_{10}	-12	-89		
x_{11}	22	-9	-4	
x_{15}	-24	-8	-3	-27
	x_1	x_9	x_{10}	x_{11}

$f_1(1285) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M008217

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_8 \Gamma_{19} / \Gamma = (\Gamma_9 + \Gamma_{10}) \Gamma_{19} / \Gamma$		
VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.62	95	GIDAL	87	MRK2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M008G2
NODE=M008G2

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma^*) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_8 \Gamma_{18} / \Gamma = (\Gamma_9 + \Gamma_{10}) \Gamma_{18} / \Gamma$		
VALUE (keV)	EVS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.4 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4.		
1.18 $\pm 0.25 \pm 0.20$	26	^{1,2} AIHARA	88B	TPC $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
2.30 $\pm 0.61 \pm 0.42$		^{1,3} GIDAL	87	MRK2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.8 $\pm 0.3 \pm 0.3$	420	⁴ ACHARD	02B	L3 $183\text{--}209 \text{ e}^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M008G3
NODE=M008G3¹ Assuming a ρ -pole form factor.² Published value multiplied by $\eta\pi\pi$ branching ratio 0.49.³ Published value divided by 2 and multiplied by the $\eta\pi\pi$ branching ratio 0.49.⁴ Published value multiplied by the $\eta\pi\pi$ branching ratio 0.52.NODE=M008G3;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M008G3;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M008G3;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M008G3;LINKAGE=AC

$f_1(1285) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$

NODE=M008220

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$				Γ_{11}/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.274±0.017 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			
0.271±0.016 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
0.265 ±0.014	¹ BARBERIS	97C	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow pp K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.28 ±0.05	² ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow pp f_1(1285)$
0.37 ±0.03 ±0.05	³ ARMSTRONG	89G	OMEG	85 $\pi p \rightarrow 4\pi X$

NODE=M008R1
NODE=M008R1¹ Using $2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ data from BARBERIS 97B.² Assuming $\rho\pi\pi$ and $a_0(980)\pi$ intermediate states.³ 4π consistent with being entirely $\rho\pi\pi$.NODE=M008R1;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M008R1;LINKAGE=M
NODE=M008R1;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_2/\Gamma = \frac{2}{3}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	
0.218±0.012 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.	

NODE=M008R18
NODE=M008R18

$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_3/\Gamma = \frac{1}{3}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	
0.109±0.006 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.	

NODE=M008R17
NODE=M008R17

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_4/\Gamma = \frac{1}{3} \Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	
0.109±0.006 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.	

NODE=M008R19
NODE=M008R19

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$		Γ_4 / Γ_3		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1.0 ± 0.4	GRASSLER	77	HBC	16 GeV $\pi^\pm p$

NODE=M008R6
NODE=M008R6

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE

seen

DOCUMENT ID

BARBERIS 00C

COMMENT

450 $p p \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$

$$\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

NODE=M008R21
NODE=M008R21

$$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) CL%

<7

90

DOCUMENT ID

ALDE

TECN

87 GAM4

COMMENT

100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 4\pi^0 n$

$$\Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

NODE=M008R8
NODE=M008R8

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)$$

VALUE (%) EVTS

0.86±0.16±0.20

2.3k

DOCUMENT ID

¹ DOROFEEV 11

TECN

VES

COMMENT

$\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- f_1(1285) N$

$$\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma_7$$

NODE=M008R02
NODE=M008R02

¹ Value obtained selecting the region corresponding to $f_0(980)$ in the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ mass spectrum.

NODE=M008R02;LINKAGE=DO

$$\Gamma(\eta \pi \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE

0.522±0.019 OUR FIT

Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

DOCUMENT ID

$$\Gamma_8/\Gamma = (\Gamma_9 + \Gamma_{10})/\Gamma$$

NODE=M008R22
NODE=M008R22

$$\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma(\eta \pi \pi)$$

VALUE

0.63±0.06 OUR FIT

Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

0.41±0.14 OUR AVERAGE

DOCUMENT ID

BOLTON

TECN

92

COMMENT

MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285)$

0.37±0.11±0.11

0.64±0.40

GURTU

79

HBC

4.2 $K^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.93±0.30

¹ GRASSLER

77

HBC

16 $\pi^\mp p$

¹ Assuming $\rho \pi \pi$ and $a_0(980) \pi$ intermediate states.

NODE=M008R4;LINKAGE=M

$$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma(\eta \pi \pi)$$

VALUE

0.28±0.02±0.02

DOCUMENT ID

¹ LEES

TECN

12X

COMMENT

BABR $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- f_1(1285) \nu_\tau$

$$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_8$$

NODE=M008R04
NODE=M008R04

¹ Assuming $B(f_1(1285) \rightarrow \pi \pi \eta) = 3/2 B(f_1(1285) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$.

NODE=M008R04;LINKAGE=LE

$$\Gamma(a_0(980) \pi [\text{ignoring } a_0(980) \rightarrow K \bar{K}])/\Gamma(\eta \pi \pi)$$

VALUE CL%

0.72±0.08 OUR FIT

0.72±0.07 OUR AVERAGE

DOCUMENT ID

DICKSON

TECN

16

COMMENT

CLAS $\gamma p \rightarrow f_1(1285) p$

0.74±0.02±0.09

0.72±0.15

GURTU

79

HBC

4.2 $K^- p$

0.6 $^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$

CORDEN

78

OMEG

12–15 $\pi^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.69

95

ACHARD

02B

L3

183–209 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

0.28±0.07

ALDE

97B

GAM4

100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$

1.0 ±0.3

GRASSLER

77

HBC

16 $\pi^\mp p$

NODE=M008R3
NODE=M008R3

$$\Gamma(K \bar{K} \pi)/\Gamma(\eta \pi \pi)$$

VALUE

0.172±0.011 OUR FIT

0.176±0.012 OUR AVERAGE

DOCUMENT ID

DICKSON

TECN

16

COMMENT

CLAS $\gamma p \rightarrow f_1(1285) p$

0.216±0.010±0.031

0.166±0.01 ±0.008

BARBERIS

98C

OMEG

450 $p p \rightarrow p_f f_1(1285) p_s$

0.42 ±0.15

GURTU

79

HBC

4.2 $K^- p$

0.5 ±0.2

¹ CORDEN

78

OMEG

12–15 $\pi^- p$

0.20 ±0.08

² DEFOIX

72

HBC

0.7 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 7\pi$

0.16 ±0.08

CAMPBELL

69

DBC

2.7 $\pi^+ d$

¹ CORDEN 78 assumes low-mass $\eta \pi \pi$ region is dominantly 1^{++} . See BARBERIS 98C and MANAK 00A for discussion.

² $K \bar{K}$ system characterized by the $I = 1$ threshold enhancement. (See under $a_0(980)$).

NODE=M008R2
NODE=M008R2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M008R2;LINKAGE=CD

NODE=M008R2;LINKAGE=K

$$\Gamma(K \bar{K}^*(892))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE

not seen

DOCUMENT ID

NACASCH

TECN

78

COMMENT

HBC 0.7,0.76 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} 3\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen

¹ ACHARD

07

L3

183–209 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ A clear signal of 19.8 ± 4.4 events observed at high Q^2 .

NODE=M008R5
NODE=M008R5

NODE=M008R5;LINKAGE=CH

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.30±0.055±0.074	2.3k	¹ DOROFEEV	11	VES $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- f_1(1285) N$

¹ Value obtained selecting the region corresponding to $f_0(980)$ in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum. The systematic error includes the uncertainty on the partial width $f_1 \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi$ obtained from PDG 10 data.

$$\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M008R01
NODE=M008R01

NODE=M008R01;LINKAGE=DO

$$\Gamma(\rho^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (%)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.31	95	DOROFEEV	11	VES $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- f_1(1285) N$

$$\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M008R03
NODE=M008R03

$$\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.1±1.0 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.7.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.8±0.7±0.6		¹ AMELIN	95	VES 37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma N$
<5	95	BITYUKOV	91B	SPEC 32 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma n$

¹ Not an independent measurement.

$$\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M008R15
NODE=M008R15

NODE=M008R15;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

0.55±0.10 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.5.

0.45±0.18 ¹ COFFMAN 90 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\rho^0)=0.25 \times 10^{-4}$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)=0.55 \times 10^{-4}$ given by MIR 88.

$$\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma_3 = \Gamma_{15}/\frac{1}{3}\Gamma_1$$

NODE=M008R13
NODE=M008R13

NODE=M008R13;LINKAGE=E

$$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

8.6±1.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.9.

8.5±2.0 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.

21.3±4.4	DICKSON	16	CLAS $\gamma p \rightarrow f_1(1285)p$
10.0±1.0±2.0	BARBERIS	98C	OMEG 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f f_1(1285)p_s$
7.5±1.0	¹ ARMSTRONG	92C	OMEG 300 $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-\gamma, pp\eta\pi^+\pi^-$


¹ Published value multiplied by 1.5.

$$\Gamma_8/\Gamma_{15} = (\Gamma_9 + \Gamma_{10})/\Gamma_{15}$$

NODE=M008R16
NODE=M008R16

NODE=M008R16;LINKAGE=B

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
8.5±2.0 (Error scaled by 2.2)



$$\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.035 90 ¹ COFFMAN 90 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\rho^0)=0.25 \times 10^{-4}$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}\pi)=<0.72 \times 10^{-3}$.

$$\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma_{11}$$

NODE=M008R12
NODE=M008R12

NODE=M008R12;LINKAGE=F

$\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ Γ_{16}/Γ_{11}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.82 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.20$		19	BITYUKOV	88	SPEC $32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.50	95		BARBERIS	98C	OMEG 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f f_1(1285) p_s$
<0.93	95		AMELIN	95	VES $37 \pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma N$

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<9.4 \times 10^{-9}$	90	¹ ACHASOV	20	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
¹ ACHASOV 20 reports two candidate events corresponding to a significance of 2.5σ and the branching fraction of $(5.1^{+3.7}_{-2.7}) \times 10^{-9}$.				

NODE=M008R9
 NODE=M008R9

NODE=M008R00
 NODE=M008R00

OCCUR=2

NODE=M008R00;LINKAGE=B

 $f_1(1285)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M008

ABLIKIM	23M	JHEP 2303 121	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62055
ACHASOV	20	PL B800 135074	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=60144
ABLIKIM	19BA	PR D100 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60024
DICKSON	16	PR C93 065202	R. Dickson <i>et al.</i>	(JLab CLAS Collab.)	REFID=57487
ABLIKIM	15P	PR D92 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56781
AAIJ	14Y	PRL 112 091802	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55837
LEES	12X	PR D86 092010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54714
ABLIKIM	11J	PRL 107 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53931
DOROFEEV	11	EPJ A47 68	V. Dorofeev <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, MIPT)	REFID=16755
PDG	10	JP G37 075021	K. Nakamura <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=53229
ACHARD	07	JHEP 0703 018	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=51698
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52049
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50167
ABDALLAH	03H	PL B569 129	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)	REFID=49548
ACHARD	02B	PL B526 269	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=48574
ACCIARRI	01G	PL B501 1	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=48319
ADAMS	01B	PL B516 264	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)	REFID=49649
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47959
MANAK	00A	PR D62 012003	J.J. Manak <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)	REFID=47989
SOSA	99	PRL 83 913	M. Sosa <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46937
BARBERIS	98C	PL B440 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=46346
ALDE	97B	PAN 60 386	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)	REFID=45396
Translated from YAF 60 458.					
BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=45758
BARBERIS	97C	PL B413 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=45759
AMELIN	95	ZPHY C66 71	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)	REFID=44376
ANTINORI	95	PL B353 589	F. Antinori <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=44437
ABATZIS	94	PL B324 509	S. Abatzis <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=44090
LEE	94	PL B323 227	J.H. Lee <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, IND, KYUN, MASD+)	REFID=44092
ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=43587
ARMSTRONG	92C	ZPHY C54 371	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=42097
BOLTON	92	PL B278 495	T. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=42175
BITYUKOV	91B	SJNP 54 318	S.I. Bityukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	REFID=41864
Translated from YAF 54 529.					
FUKUI	91C	PL B267 293	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)	REFID=41748
COFFMAN	90	PR D41 1410	D.M. Coffman <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41350
ARMSTRONG	89	PL B221 216	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, BIRM+)	REFID=40729
ARMSTRONG	89E	PL B228 536	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=41011
ARMSTRONG	89G	ZPHY C43 55	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BIRM, BARI+)	REFID=40930
RATH	89	PR D40 693	M.G. Rath <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, BRAN, BNL, CUNY+)	REFID=40924
AIHARA	88B	PL B209 107	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.)	REFID=40572
BIRMAN	88	PRL 61 1557	A. Birman <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FSU, IND, MASD) JP	REFID=40568
BITYUKOV	88	PL B203 327	S.I. Bityukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	REFID=40569
MIR	88	Photon-Photon 88, 126	R. Mir	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41574
Conference					
ALDE	87	PL B198 286	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, BRUX, SERP, LAPP)	REFID=40221
BECKER	87	PRL 59 186	J.J. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40015
GIDAL	87	PRL 59 2012	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)	REFID=40223
ANDO	86	PRL 57 1296	A. Ando <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+) IJP	REFID=20891
REEVES	86	PR D34 1960	D.F. Reeves <i>et al.</i>	(FLOR, BNL, IND+) JP	REFID=20936
CHUNG	85	PRL 55 779	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FLOR, IND+) JP	REFID=20934
ARMSTRONG	84	PL 146B 273	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+) JP	REFID=20929
BITYUKOV	84B	PL 144B 133	S.I. Bityukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	REFID=20468
CHAUVAT	84	PL 148B 382	P. Chauvat <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CLER, UCLA+)	REFID=20932
TORNQVIST	82B	NP B203 268	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)	REFID=20573
EVANGELIS...	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)	REFID=20462
BROMBERG	80	PR D22 1513	C.M. Bromberg <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, FNAL, ILLC+)	REFID=20922
DIONISI	80	NP B169 1	C. Dionisi <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MADR, CDEF+)	REFID=20924
GURTU	79	NP B151 181	A. Gurtu <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, ZEEM, NIJM, OXF)	REFID=20456
STANTON	79	PRL 42 346	N.R. Stanton <i>et al.</i>	(OSU, CARL, MCGI+) JP	REFID=20887
CORDEN	78	NP B144 253	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+) JP	REFID=20452
NACASCH	78	NP B135 203	R. Nacasch <i>et al.</i>	(PARIS, MADR, CERN)	REFID=20919
GRASSLER	77	NP B121 189	H. Grassler <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, BONN+)	REFID=20447
DEFOIX	72	NP B44 125	C. Defoix <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN)	REFID=20435
DUBOC	72	NP B46 429	J. Duboc <i>et al.</i>	(PARIS, LIVP)	REFID=20339
THUN	72	PRL 28 1733	R. Thun <i>et al.</i>	(STON, NEAS)	REFID=20911
BARDADIN...	71	PR D4 2711	M. Bardadin-Otwinowska <i>et al.</i>	(WARS)	REFID=20196
BOESEBECK	71	PL 34B 659	K. Boesebeck	(AACH, BERL, BONN, CERN, CRAC+)	REFID=20905
CAMPBELL	69	PRL 22 1204	J.H. Campbell <i>et al.</i>	(PURD)	REFID=20419
LORSTAD	69	NP B14 63	B. Lorstad <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN) JP	REFID=20901
D'ANDLAU	68	NP B5 693	C. d'Andlau <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN, IRAD+) IJP	REFID=20897
DAHL	67	PR 163 1377	O.I. Dahl <i>et al.</i>	(LRL) IJP	REFID=20321