

$\eta(1405)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^-+)$$

See also the $\eta(1475)$.

NODE=M027

NODE=M027

NODE=M027205


NODE=M027MX

 $\eta(1405)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

$1408.7^{+2.0}_{-1.2}$ OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the 2 datablocks that follow this one.
Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.

 **$\eta\pi\pi$ MODE**

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M027M1

NODE=M027M1

1405.8 ± 2.6 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.3. See the ideogram below.

1409.0 $\pm$ 1.7	743	ABLIKIM	12E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$
1407.0 $\pm$ 3.5	198	ABLIKIM	12E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)$
1399.8 $\pm$ 2.2 ^{+2.8} _{-0.1}	1	ABLIKIM	11J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)$
1392 $\pm$ 14	900 $\pm$ 375	AMSLER	04B CBAR	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta$
1394 $\pm$ 8	6.6 $\pm$ 2.0k	AMSLER	04B CBAR	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta$
1404 $\pm$ 6	9082	MANAK	00A MPS	$18 \pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$
1424 $\pm$ 6	2200	ALDE	97B GAM4	$100 \pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0n$
1400 $\pm$ 6		2 BOLTON	92B MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1388 $\pm$ 4		FUKUI	91C SPEC	$8.95 \pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$
1398 $\pm$ 6	261	3 AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1420 $\pm$ 5		ANDO	86 SPEC	$8 \pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1404.0 $\pm$ 11.0	195	ABLIKIM	19B BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
1385 $\pm$ 7		BAI	99 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1409 $\pm$ 3		4 AMSLER	95F CBAR	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ The selected process is $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega a_0(980)\pi$.² From fit to the $a_0(980)\pi 0^-+$ partial wave.³ Best fit with a single Breit Wigner.⁴ Superseded by AMSLER 04B.

OCCUR=2


OCCUR=2

NODE=M027M1;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=M027M1;LINKAGE=J1

NODE=M027M1;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=M027M1;LINKAGE=A



$K\bar{K}\pi$ MODE ($a_0(980)\pi$ or direct $K\bar{K}\pi$)

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M027M4
NODE=M027M4

1413.5^{+2.0}_{-0.9} OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.

1391.7 ± 0.7 ^{+11.3} _{-0.3}	126k	¹ ABLIKIM	23M	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
1413 ± 14	3651	² NICHITIU	02	OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1416 ± 4 ± 2	20k	ADAMS	01B	B852	$18 \text{ GeV } \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
1405 ± 5		³ CICALO	99	OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
1407 ± 5		³ BERTIN	97	OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm (K^0) \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
1416 ± 2		³ BERTIN	95	OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \pi \pi$
1416 ± 8 ± 7 ₅	700	⁴ BAI	90C	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1413 ± 5		⁴ RATH	89	MPS	$21.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow n K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1459 ± 5 ⁵ AUGUSTIN 92 DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$

¹ ABLIKIM 23M reports for this state a significance from the fit much higher than 35 σ .


² Decaying dominantly directly to $K^+ K^- \pi^0$.

³ Decaying into $(K\bar{K})_S \pi$, $(K\pi)_S \bar{K}$, and $a_0(980)\pi$.

⁴ From fit to the $a_0(980)\pi$ 0^-+ partial wave. Cannot rule out a $a_0(980)\pi$ 1^++ partial wave.

⁵ Excluded from averaging because averaging would be meaningless.

NODE=M027M4;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M027M;LINKAGE=NC
NODE=M027M4;LINKAGE=FX
NODE=M027M4;LINKAGE=C2
NODE=M027M4;LINKAGE=AA



$\pi\pi\gamma$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1403±17 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.8.			
1390±12	235 ± 91	AMSLER	04B CBAR	$0\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta$
1424±10±11	547	BAI	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1401±18		^{1,2} AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
1432± 8		² COFFMAN	90 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\gamma$

¹ Best fit with a single Breit Wigner.

² This peak in the $\gamma\rho$ channel may not be related to the $\eta(1405)$.

NODE=M027M2
NODE=M027M2

OCCUR=4

NODE=M027M2;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M027M2;LINKAGE=X

4π MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1420±20		BUGG	95 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
1489±12	3270	¹ BISELLO	89B DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$

¹ Estimated by us from various fits.

NODE=M027M3
NODE=M027M3

NODE=M027M3;LINKAGE=E

$K\bar{K}\pi$ MODE (unresolved)

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1452.7± 3.3	191	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	13M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega K K \pi$
1437.6± 3.2	249 ± 35	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	08E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$
1445.9± 5.7	62 ± 18	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	08E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^-\pi^0$
1442 ±10	410	¹ BAI	98C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-\pi^0$
1445 ± 8	693	¹ AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1433 ± 8	296	¹ AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-\pi^0$
1413 ± 8	500	¹ DUCH	89 ASTE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- K^\pm \pi^\mp K^0$
1453 ± 7	170	¹ RATH	89 MPS	$21.4\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0 n$
1419 ± 1	8800	¹ BIRMAN	88 MPS	$8\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^0 \pi^- n$
1424 ± 3	620	¹ REEVES	86 SPEC	$6.6 p\bar{p} \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi X$
1421 ± 2		¹ CHUNG	85 SPEC	$8\pi^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi n$
1440 ⁺²⁰ ₋₁₅	174	¹ EDWARDS	82E CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-\pi^0$
1440 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₁₅		¹ SCHARRE	80 MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1425 ± 7	800	^{1,3} BAILLON	67 HBC	$0\bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi\pi\pi$

¹ These experiments identify only one pseudoscalar in the 1400–1500 range. Data could also refer to $\eta(1475)$.

² Systematic uncertainty not evaluated.

³ From best fit of 0^-+ partial wave, 50% $K^*(892)K$, 50% $a_0(980)\pi$.

NODE=M027M6
NODE=M027M6

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M027M;LINKAGE=NP

NODE=M027M;LINKAGE=NS

NODE=M027M6;LINKAGE=H

$\eta(1405)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)


DOCUMENT ID

50.3 $\pm$ 2.5 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the 2 datablocks that follow this one. Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.

NODE=M027210

NODE=M027WX

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
50.3 $\pm$ 2.5 (Error scaled by 1.6)

 $\eta(1405)$ width (MeV) **$\eta\pi\pi$ MODE**

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M027W1

NODE=M027W1

52.6 $\pm$ 3.2 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.

48.3 $\pm$ 5.2	743	ABLIKIM	12E	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$
55.0 $\pm$ 11.0	198	ABLIKIM	12E	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)$
52.8 $\pm$ 7.6 $^{+0.1}_{-7.6}$	1	ABLIKIM	11J	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)$
55 $\pm$ 11	900	AMSLER	04B	CBAR	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta$
55 $\pm$ 12	6.6k	AMSLER	04B	CBAR	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
80 $\pm$ 21	9.0k	MANAK	00A	MPS	$18 \pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$
85 $\pm$ 18	2.2k	ALDE	97B	GAM4	$100 \pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0n$
47 $\pm$ 13	2	BOLTON	92B	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
59 $\pm$ 4		FUKUI	91C	SPEC	$8.95 \pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$
53 $\pm$ 11	3	AUGUSTIN	90	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
31 $\pm$ 7		ANDO	86	SPEC	$8 \pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

79.0 $\pm$ 16.0	195	ABLIKIM	19BA	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
86 $\pm$ 10	4	AMSLER	95F	CBAR	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta$

¹ The selected process is $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega a_0(980)\pi$.

² From fit to the $a_0(980)\pi 0^-+$ partial wave.

³ From $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ mass distribution - mainly $a_0(980)\pi$ - no spin-parity determination available.

⁴ Superseded by AMSLER 04B.

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2


NODE=M027W1;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=M027W1;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=M027W1;LINKAGE=D1

NODE=M027W1;LINKAGE=A

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
52.6±3.2 (Error scaled by 1.3)



$K\bar{K}\pi$ MODE ($a_0(980)\pi$ or direct $K\bar{K}\pi$)

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

49 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.0. See the ideogram below.

60.8 ± 1.2 ^{+5.5} _{-12.0}	126K	ABLIKIM	23M	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
51 ± 6	3651	¹ NICHITIU	02	OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
42 ± 10 ± 9	20k	ADAMS	01B	B852	$18 \text{ GeV } \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
50 ± 4		CICALO	99	OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
48 ± 5		² BERTIN	97	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm (K^0) \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
50 ± 4		² BERTIN	95	OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \pi \pi$
75 ± 9		AUGUSTIN	92	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$
91 ⁺⁶⁷ ₋₃₁ ⁺¹⁵ ₋₃₈		³ BAI	90C	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
19 ± 7		³ RATH	89	MPS	$21.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow n K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$

NODE=M027W4
NODE=M027W4

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3


¹Decaying dominantly directly to $K^+ K^- \pi^0$.

²Decaying into $(K\bar{K})_S \pi$, $(K\pi)_S \bar{K}$, and $a_0(980)\pi$.

³From fit to the $a_0(980)\pi 0^-+$ partial wave, but $a_0(980)\pi 1^++$ cannot be excluded.

NODE=M027W;LINKAGE=NC
NODE=M027W4;LINKAGE=FX
NODE=M027W4;LINKAGE=C

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
49±4 (Error scaled by 2.0)



$\pi\pi\gamma$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
89 ±17 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.7.			
64 ±18	235 ± 91	AMSLER	04B CBAR	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
101.0 ± 8.8 ± 8.8	547	BAI	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
174 ±44		AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma \gamma$
90 ±26		¹ COFFMAN	90 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 2\gamma$

¹ This peak in the $\gamma\rho$ channel may not be related to the $\eta(1405)$.

NODE=M027W2
NODE=M027W2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M027W2;LINKAGE=X

 4π MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
160 ±30		BUGG	95 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
144 ±13	3270	¹ BISELLO	89B DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi \gamma$

¹ Estimated by us from various fits.

NODE=M027W3
NODE=M027W3

NODE=M027W3;LINKAGE=F2

 $K\bar{K}\pi$ MODE (unresolved)

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
45.9 ± 8.2	191	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	13M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega K K \pi$
48.9 ± 9.0	249 ± 35	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	08E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$
34.2 ± 18.5	62 ± 18	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	08E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^- \pi^0$
93 ± 14	296	¹ AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
105 ± 10	693	¹ AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
62 ± 16	500	¹ DUCH	89 ASTE	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \pi$
100 ± 11	170	¹ RATH	89 MPS	$21.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0 n$
66 ± 2	8800	¹ BIRMAN	88 MPS	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^- n$
60 ± 10	620	¹ REEVES	86 SPEC	$6.6 p \bar{p} \rightarrow K K \pi X$
60 ± 10		¹ CHUNG	85 SPEC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi n$
55 ⁺²⁰ ₋₃₀	174	¹ EDWARDS	82E CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
50 ⁺³⁰ ₋₂₀		¹ SCHARRE	80 MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
80 ± 10	800	^{1,3} BAILLON	67 HBC	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \pi$

¹ These experiments identify only one pseudoscalar in the 1400–1500 range. Data could also refer to $\eta(1475)$.

² Systematic uncertainty not evaluated.

³ From best fit to 0^-+ partial wave, 50% $K^*(892)K$, 50% $a_0(980)\pi$.

NODE=M027W6
NODE=M027W6

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

NODE=M027W;LINKAGE=NP

NODE=M027W;LINKAGE=NS

NODE=M027W6;LINKAGE=H1

 $\eta(1405)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $K\bar{K}\pi$	seen	
Γ_2 $\eta\pi\pi$	seen	
Γ_3 $a_0(980)\pi$	seen	
Γ_4 $\eta(\pi\pi)_S\text{-wave}$	seen	
Γ_5 $f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		
Γ_6 $f_0(980)\eta$	seen	
Γ_7 4π	seen	
Γ_8 $\rho\rho$	<58 %	99.85%
Γ_9 $\gamma\gamma$		
Γ_{10} $\rho^0\gamma$	seen	
Γ_{11} $\phi\gamma$		
Γ_{12} $K^*(892)K$	seen	

NODE=M027215;NODE=M027

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=9;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=15
DESIG=10;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=6;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=12
DESIG=7
DESIG=8;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=13
DESIG=11;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\eta(1405) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.035 90 ^{1,2} AHOHE 05 CLE2 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ Using $\eta(1405)$ mass and width 1410 MeV and 51 MeV, respectively.

² Assuming three-body phase-space decay to $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$.

NODE=M027220

NODE=M027G3
NODE=M027G3

NODE=M027G3;LINKAGE=AH

NODE=M027G3;LINKAGE=B3

$$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_2\Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.095	95	ACCIARRI	01G L3	183–202 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M027G5
NODE=M027G5

$$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{10}\Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.5	95	ALTHOFF	84E TASS	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

NODE=M027G8
NODE=M027G8

$\eta(1405)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M027225

$$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.09±0.48		¹ AMSLER	04B CBAR	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta$
<0.5	90	EDWARDS	83B CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi\gamma$
<1.1	90	SCHARRE	80 MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi\gamma$
<1.5	95	FOSTER	68B HBC	$0.0 \bar{p}p$

NODE=M027R3
NODE=M027R3

¹ Using the data of BAILLON 67 on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$.

NODE=M027R3;LINKAGE=AM

$$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_2$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.111±0.064	AMSLER	04B CBAR	$0 \bar{p}p$

NODE=M027R12
NODE=M027R12

$$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.15		¹ BERTIN	95 OBLX	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi\pi\pi$
~ 0.8	500	¹ DUCH	89 ASTE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
~ 0.75		¹ REEVES	86 SPEC	$6.6 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi X$

NODE=M027R4
NODE=M027R4

¹ Assuming that the $a_0(980)$ decays only into $K\bar{K}$.

NODE=M027R4;LINKAGE=C

$$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_2$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.29±0.10		ABELE	98E CBAR	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$
0.19±0.04	2200	¹ ALDE	97B GAM4	$100 \pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0n$
0.56±0.04±0.03		¹ AMSLER	95F CBAR	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta$

NODE=M027R2
NODE=M027R2

¹ Assuming that the $a_0(980)$ decays only into $\eta\pi$.

NODE=M027R2;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta(\pi\pi)S\text{-wave})$$

$$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_4$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.91±0.12		ANISOVICH	01 SPEC	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
0.15±0.04	9082	¹ MANAK	00A MPS	$18 \pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$
0.70±0.12±0.20		² BAI	99 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M027R9
NODE=M027R9

¹ Statistical error only.

² Assuming that the $a_0(980)$ decays only into $\eta\pi$.

NODE=M027R;LINKAGE=K3
NODE=M027R9;LINKAGE=BK

$$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0152±0.0038	¹ COFFMAN	90 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M027R7
NODE=M027R7

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}\pi)=4.2 \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\rho^0)=6.4 \times 10^{-5}$.

NODE=M027R7;LINKAGE=D

$$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_9/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.78 × 10 ⁻³	90	¹ ABLIKIM	180 BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma\gamma$

NODE=M027R02
NODE=M027R02

¹ Using results from BAI 00D.

NODE=M027R02;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta(\pi\pi)S\text{-wave})/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_2$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.81±0.04	2200	ALDE	97B GAM4	$100 \pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0n$

NODE=M027R8
NODE=M027R8

$\Gamma(f_0(980)\eta)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.32 ± 0.07 ¹ ANISOVICH 00 SPEC $0.9-1.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$

¹ Using preliminary Crystal Barrel data.

 Γ_6/Γ_2

NODE=M027R10
NODE=M027R10

NODE=M027R10;LINKAGE=D

 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

seen 203 ¹ ABLIKIM 24I BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta(1405)$
seen 743 ² ABLIKIM 12E BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta(1405)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen ³ ABLIKIM 17AJ BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 24I reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta(1405) \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (2.04 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-7}$.

² ABLIKIM 12E reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (1.5 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-5}$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0) = (7.10 \pm 0.82 \pm 0.72) \times 10^{-6}$.

³ ABLIKIM 17AJ reports $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) < 5.0 \times 10^{-7}$.

NODE=M027R00
NODE=M027R00

NODE=M027R00;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M027R00;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M027R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<0.58 99.85 ^{1,2} AMSLER 04B CBAR $0 \bar{p}p$

¹ Assuming that the $\eta(1405)$ decays are saturated by the $\pi\pi\eta$, $K\bar{K}\pi$ and $\rho\rho$ modes.

² Using the data of BAILLON 67 on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$.

 Γ_8/Γ

NODE=M027R13
NODE=M027R13

NODE=M027R13;LINKAGE=AM
NODE=M027R13;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)K)/\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.084 ± 0.024 ¹ ADAMS 01B B852 18 GeV $\pi^-p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0n$

¹ Statistical error only.

 Γ_{12}/Γ_3

NODE=M027R11
NODE=M027R11

NODE=M027R11;LINKAGE=K3

 $\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.09 ± 0.03 ¹ ABLIKIM 18I BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$

0.13 ± 0.04 ² ABLIKIM 18I BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$

<0.77 95 ³ BAI 04J BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma K^+K^-$

¹ Constructive interference between $X(1835)$ and $\eta(1405)/\eta(1475)$ decays to $\gamma\phi$ is assumed. Also see $\eta(1475)$. ABLIKIM 18I reports the inverse as 11.10 ± 3.5 .

² Destructive interference between $X(1835)$ and $\eta(1405)/\eta(1475)$ decays to $\gamma\phi$ is assumed. Also see $\eta(1475)$. ABLIKIM 18I reports the inverse as 7.53 ± 2.49 .

³ Calculated by us from $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta(1405)\gamma \rightarrow \phi\gamma\gamma) < 0.82 \times 10^{-4}$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta(1405)\gamma \rightarrow \rho^0\gamma\gamma) = (1.07 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$.

 Γ_{11}/Γ_{10}

NODE=M027R14
NODE=M027R14

OCCUR=2

NODE=M027R14;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M027R14;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M027R14;LINKAGE=BA

 $\eta(1405)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM 24I	PR D109 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 23M	JHEP 2303 121	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 19BA	PR D100 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 18I	PR D97 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 18O	PR D97 072014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 17AJ	PR D96 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 13M	PR D87 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 12E	PRL 108 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 11J	PRL 107 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 08E	PR D77 032005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AHOHE 05	PR D71 072001	R. Ahohe <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AMSLER 04B	EPJ C33 23	C. Amstler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BAI 04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
NICHITIU 02	PL B545 261	F. Nichitiu <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ACCIARRI 01G	PL B501 1	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADAMS 01B	PL B516 264	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ANISOVICH 01	NP A690 567	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 00	PL B472 168	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BAI 00D	PL B476 25	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
MANAK 00A	PR D62 012003	J.J. Manak <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
BAI 99	PL B446 356	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
CICALO 99	PL B462 453	C. Cicalo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE 98E	NP B514 45	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BAI 98C	PL B440 217	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ALDE 97B	PAN 60 386	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)

Translated from YAF 60 458.

NODE=M027

REFID=62659
REFID=62055
REFID=60024
REFID=58893
REFID=58925
REFID=58322
REFID=55386
REFID=54270
REFID=53931
REFID=52143
REFID=50764
REFID=51079
REFID=50167
REFID=48848
REFID=48319
REFID=49649
REFID=48308
REFID=47429
REFID=47954
REFID=47989
REFID=46606
REFID=47394
REFID=46314
REFID=46337
REFID=45396

BERTIN	97	PL B400 226	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=45417
AMSLER	95F	PL B358 389	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44613
BERTIN	95	PL B361 187	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=44614
BUGG	95	PL B353 378	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, PNPI, WASH)	REFID=44438
AUGUSTIN	92	PR D46 1951	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41584
BOLTON	92B	PRL 69 1328	T. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=42176
FUKUI	91C	PL B267 293	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)	REFID=41748
AUGUSTIN	90	PR D42 10	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41352
BAI	90C	PRL 65 2507	Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41578
COFFMAN	90	PR D41 1410	D.M. Coffman <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41350
BISELLO	89B	PR D39 701	G. Busetto <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40575
DUCH	89	ZPHY C45 223	K.D. Duch <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.) JP	REFID=41016
RATH	89	PR D40 693	M.G. Rath <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, BRAN, BNL, CUNY+)	REFID=40924
BIRMAN	88	PRL 61 1557	A. Birman <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FSU, IND, MASD) JP	REFID=40568
ANDO	86	PRL 57 1296	A. Ando <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+) IJP	REFID=20891
REEVES	86	PR D34 1960	D.F. Reeves <i>et al.</i>	(FLOR, BNL, IND+) JP	REFID=20936
CHUNG	85	PRL 55 779	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FLOR, IND+) JP	REFID=20934
ALTHOFF	84E	PL 147B 487	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)	REFID=20305
EDWARDS	83B	PRL 51 859	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21318
EDWARDS	82E	PRL 49 259	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21314
Also		PRL 50 219	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21315
SCHARRE	80	PL 97B 329	D.L. Scharre <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=21329
FOSTER	68B	NP B8 174	M. Foster <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)	REFID=21179
BAILLON	67	NC 50A 393	P.H. Baillon <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, IRAD)	REFID=20407
