

$f_2(2150)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

This entry was previously called T_0 .

NODE=M042

NODE=M042

NODE=M042205

NODE=M042M
NODE=M042M **$f_2(2150)$ MASS** **$f_2(2150)$ MASS, COMBINED MODES (MeV)**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2157±12 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the datablock that follows this one.				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2170±6	80k	¹ UMAN	06 E835	5.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
2123 ⁺¹⁵ ₋₃₃		² LONGACRE	04 RVUE	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \phi\phi n$, 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
¹ Statistical error only.				
² From a four pole K-matrix reanalysis of ETKIN 88 and BARBERIS 00C data.				

NODE=M042M;LINKAGE=ST
NODE=M042M;LINKAGE=A **$\eta\eta$ MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			
2157±12 OUR AVERAGE			
2151±16	BARBERIS	00E	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta\eta p_s$
2175±20	PROKOSHKIN	95D GAM4	300 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- N 2\eta$, 450 $pp \rightarrow pp 2\eta$
2130±35	SINGOVSKI	94 GAM4	450 $pp \rightarrow pp 2\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2140±30	³ ABELE	99B CBAR	1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$
2104±20	⁴ ARMSTRONG	93C E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
³ Spin not determined.			
⁴ No J^{PC} determination.			

NODE=M042M3
NODE=M042M3NODE=M042M3;LINKAGE=K3
NODE=M042M3;LINKAGE=A **$\eta\pi\pi$ MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2135±20±45	⁵ ADOEIT	96 CBAR	0	1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$
⁵ ANISOVICH 00E recommends to withdraw ADOEIT 96 that assumed a single $J^P = 2^+$ resonance.				

NODE=M042M4
NODE=M042M4

NODE=M042M4;LINKAGE=AD

 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
~ 2090	⁶ OAKDEN	94 RVUE	0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2120	⁷ OAKDEN	94 RVUE	0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2170	⁸ MARTIN	80B RVUE	
~ 2150	⁸ MARTIN	80C RVUE	
~ 2150	⁹ DULUDE	78B OSPK	1–2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$
⁶ OAKDEN 94 makes an amplitude analysis of LEAR data on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$ using a method based on Barrelet zeros. This is solution A. The amplitude analysis of HASAN 94 includes earlier data as well, and assume that the data can be parametrized in terms of towers of nearly degenerate resonances on the leading Regge trajectory. See also KLOET 96 and MARTIN 97 who make related analyses.			
⁷ From solution B of amplitude analysis of data on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$.			
⁸ $I(J^P) = 0(2^+)$ from simultaneous analysis of $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+$ and $\pi^0 \pi^0$.			
⁹ $I^G(J^P) = 0^+(2^+)$ from partial-wave amplitude analysis.			

NODE=M042M1
NODE=M042M1

OCCUR=2

NODE=M042M1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M042M1;LINKAGE=BB
NODE=M042M1;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M042M1;LINKAGE=L**S-CHANNEL $\bar{p}p$, $\bar{N}N$ or $\bar{K}K$**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2139 ⁺⁸ ₋₉	¹⁰ EVANGELIS...	97 SPEC		0.6–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
~ 2190	¹⁰ CUTTS	78B CNTR		0.97–3 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{N}N$
2155±15	^{10,11} COUPLAND	77 CNTR	0	0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$
2193±2	^{10,12} ALSPECTOR	73 CNTR		$\bar{p}p$ S channel
¹⁰ Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.				
¹¹ From a fit to the total elastic cross section.				
¹² Referred to as T or $\bar{T}$ region by ALSPECTOR 73.				

NODE=M042M2
NODE=M042M2NODE=M042M2;LINKAGE=I
NODE=M042M2;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M042M2;LINKAGE=M

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2200 ± 13	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
2150 ± 20	ABLIKIM	04E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^-$
2130 ± 35	BARBERIS	99 OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+ K^-$

NODE=M042M5
NODE=M042M5

 $f_2(2150)$ WIDTH

NODE=M042210

 $f_2(2150)$ WIDTH, COMBINED MODES (MeV)

152 $\pm$ 30 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the datablock that follows this one. Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.

NODE=M042W
NODE=M042W


• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

182 ± 11	80k	¹³ UMAN	06	E835	$5.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
294^{+56}_{-55}		¹⁴ LONGACRE	04	RVUE	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \phi n, 450$ $p p \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$

¹³ Statistical error only.

¹⁴ From a four pole K-matrix reanalysis of ETKIN 88 and BARBERIS 00c data.

NODE=M042W;LINKAGE=ST
NODE=M042W;LINKAGE=A

 **$\eta\eta$ MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

152 $\pm$ 30 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.

280 ± 70	BARBERIS	00E	$450 p p \rightarrow p_f \eta \eta p_s$
150 ± 35	PROKOSHKIN	95D GAM4	$300 \pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- N 2\eta,$ $450 p p \rightarrow p p 2\eta$
130 ± 30	SINGOVSKI	94 GAM4	$450 p p \rightarrow p p 2\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •


310 ± 50	¹⁵ ABELE	99B CBAR	$1.94 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
203 ± 10	¹⁶ ARMSTRONG	93C E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$

¹⁵ Spin not determined.

¹⁶ No J^{PC} determination.

NODE=M042W3
NODE=M042W3

NODE=M042W3;LINKAGE=K3
NODE=M042W3;LINKAGE=A

 **$\eta\pi\pi$ MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	-----	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

250±25±45 17 ADOMEIT 96 CBAR 0 1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$

17 ANISOVICH 00E recommends to withdraw ADOMEIT 96 that assumed a single $J^P = 2^+$ resonance.

NODE=M042W4
NODE=M042W4

NODE=M042W4;LINKAGE=AD

 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

250 OUR ESTIMATE

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 70 18 OAKDEN 94 RVUE 0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

~ 250 19 MARTIN 80B RVUE

~ 250 19 MARTIN 80C RVUE

~ 250 20 DULUDE 78B OSPK 1–2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$

18 See however KLOET 96 who fit $\pi^+\pi^-$ only and find waves only up to $J = 3$ to be important but not significantly resonant.

19 $I(J^P) = 0(2^+)$ from simultaneous analysis of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$.

20 $I^G(J^P) = 0^+(2^+)$ from partial-wave amplitude analysis.

NODE=M042W1
NODE=M042W1

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M042W1;LINKAGE=CC

NODE=M042W1;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M042W1;LINKAGE=L

S-CHANNEL $\bar{p}p$, $\bar{N}N$ or $\bar{K}K$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	-----	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

56⁺³¹₋₁₆ 21 EVANGELIS... 97 SPEC 0.6–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

135±75 22,23 COUPLAND 77 CNTR 0 0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$

98±8 23 ALSPECTOR 73 CNTR $\bar{p}p$ S channel

21 Isospin 0 and 2 not separated.

22 From a fit to the total elastic cross section.

23 Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.

NODE=M042W2
NODE=M042W2

NODE=M042W2;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M042W2;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M042W2;LINKAGE=I

 $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

91±62 VLADIMIRSK...06 SPEC 40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$

150±30 ABLIKIM 04E BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^-$

270±50 BARBERIS 99 OMEG 450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_S p_f K^+ K^-$

NODE=M042W5
NODE=M042W5

$f_2(2150)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi\pi$	
$\Gamma_2 \quad \phi\phi$	
$\Gamma_3 \quad \eta\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \quad K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \quad f_2(1270)\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \quad a_2(1320)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_7 \quad \rho\bar{\rho}$	seen

NODE=M042215;NODE=M042

DESIG=1

DESIG=7

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=6

 $f_2(2150)$ BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\eta\eta)$ Γ_4/Γ_3**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.28±0.23		BARBERIS	00E	450 $pp \rightarrow pf\eta\eta p_s$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.1	95	²⁴ PROKOSHKIN 95D	GAM4	300 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- N 2\eta$, 450 $pp \rightarrow pp 2\eta$
------	----	------------------------------	------	--

²⁴ Using data from ARMSTRONG 89D.

NODE=M042220

NODE=M042R1
NODE=M042R1 **$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\eta)$ Γ_1/Γ_3**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.33	95	²⁵ PROKOSHKIN 95D	GAM4	300 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- N 2\eta$, 450 $pp \rightarrow pp 2\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

²⁵ Derived from a $\pi^0\pi^0/\eta\eta$ limit.

NODE=M042R1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M042R2
NODE=M042R2 **$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta)/\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_6**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.79±0.11	²⁶ ADOMEIT 96	CBAR	1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$

²⁶ Using $B(a_2(1320) \rightarrow \eta\pi) = 0.145$

NODE=M042R2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M042R3
NODE=M042R3 **$\Gamma(\rho\bar{\rho})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	73	ALEXANDER 10	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

NODE=M042R3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M042R04
NODE=M042R04 **$f_2(2150)$ REFERENCES**

ALEXANDER 10	PR D82 092002	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
UMAN 06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
VLADIMIRSK... 06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)
	Translated from YAF 69 515.		
ABLIKIM 04E	PL B603 138	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
LONGACRE 04	PR D70 094041	R.S. Longacre, S.J. Lindenbaum	(BNL, CUNY)
ANISOVICH 00E	PL B477 19	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BARBERIS 00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS 00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ABELE 99B	EPJ C8 67	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BARBERIS 99	PL B453 305	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)
EVANGELIS... 97	PR D56 3803	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(LEAR Collab.)
MARTIN 97	PR C56 1114	B.R. Martin, G.C. Oades	(LOUC, AARH)
ADOMEIT 96	ZPHY C71 227	J. Adomeit <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
KLOET 96	PR D53 6120	W.M. Kloet, F. Myhrer	(RUTG, NORD)
PROKOSHKIN 95D	PD 40 495	Y.D. Prokoshkin	(SERP) IGJPC
	Translated from DANS 344 469.		
HASAN 94	PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
OAKDEN 94	NP A574 731	M.N. Oakden, M.R. Pennington	(DURH)
SINGOVSKI 94	NC A107 1911	A.V. Singovsky	(SERP)
ARMSTRONG 93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
ARMSTRONG 89D	PL B227 186	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
ETKIN 88	PL B201 568	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
MARTIN 80B	NP B176 355	B.R. Martin, D. Morgan	(LOUC, RHEL) JP
MARTIN 80C	NP B169 216	A.D. Martin, M.R. Pennington	(DURH) JP
CUTTS 78B	PR D17 16	D. Cutts <i>et al.</i>	(STON, WISC)
DULUDE 78B	PL 79B 335	R.S. Dulude <i>et al.</i>	(BROW, MIT, BARI) JP
COUPLAND 77	PL 71B 460	M. Coupland <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RHEL)
ALSPECTOR 73	PRL 30 511	J. Alspector <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, UPNJ)

NODE=M042

REFID=53525
REFID=51063
REFID=51191REFID=50174
REFID=50341
REFID=47945
REFID=47959
REFID=47961
REFID=46904
REFID=46921
REFID=45687
REFID=45685
REFID=45202
REFID=45212
REFID=44647REFID=44103
REFID=45210
REFID=44648
REFID=43587
REFID=41010
REFID=40285
REFID=21838
REFID=21837
REFID=21733
REFID=21850
REFID=21830
REFID=21813