NODE=M055

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$

See the Review on "Branching Ratios of $\psi(2S)$, $\chi_{c0,1,2}$ and $\eta_c(1S)$" before the $\chi_{c0}(1P)$ Listings.

NODE=M055

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ MASS

NODE=M055M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3510.67 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
3509.84 ± 0.69 ±0.64	2.8k	AAIJ	23AH	LHCB	$B^+ \to K^+(K^0_S K\pi)$
3508.4 ± 1.9 ±0.7	460	[1] AAIJ	17BB	LHCB	$pp \to b\bar{b}X \to 2(K^+K^-)X$
3510.71 ± 0.04 ±0.09	4.8k	[2] AAIJ	17BI	LHCB	$\chi_{c1} \to J/\psi\mu^+\mu^-$
3510.30 ± 0.14 ±0.16		ABLIKIM	05G	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$
3510.719± 0.051±0.019		ANDREOTTI	05A	E835	$p\bar{p} \to e^+e^-\gamma$
3509.4 ± 0.9		BAI	99B	BES	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma X$
3510.60 ± 0.087±0.019	513	[3] ARMSTRONG	92	E760	$\bar{p}p \to e^+e^-\gamma$
3511.3 ± 0.4 ±0.4	30	BAGLIN	86B	SPEC	$\bar{p}p \to e^+e^-X$
3512.3 ± 0.3 ±4.0		[4] GAISER	86	CBAL	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma X$
3507.4 ± 1.7	91	[5] LEMOIGNE	82	GOLI	185 π^- Be $\to \gamma\mu^+\mu^-$ A
3510.4 ± 0.6		OREGLIA	82	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi 2\gamma$
3510.1 ± 1.1	254	[6] HIMEL	80	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi 2\gamma$
3509 ±11	21	BRANDELIK	79B	DASP	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi 2\gamma$
3507 ± 3		[6] BARTEL	78B	CNTR	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi 2\gamma$
3505.0 ± 4 ±4		[6,7] TANENBAUM	78	MRK1	e^+e^-
3513 ± 7	367	[6] BIDDICK	77	CNTR	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3500 ±10	40	TANENBAUM	75	MRK1	Hadrons γ

[1] From a fit of the $\phi\phi$ invariant mass with the width of $\chi_{c1}(1P)$ fixed to the PDG 16 value. NODE=M055M;LINKAGE=A

[2] AAIJ 17BI reports also $m(\chi_{c2}) - m(\chi_{c1}) = 45.39 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03$ MeV. NODE=M055M;LINKAGE=B

[3] Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A, using the value of $\psi(2S)$ mass from AULCHENKO 03. NODE=M055M;LINKAGE=NW

[4] Using mass of $\psi(2S) = 3686.0$ MeV. NODE=M055M;LINKAGE=C

[5] $J/\psi(1S)$ mass constrained to 3097 MeV. NODE=M055M;LINKAGE=P

[6] Mass value shifted by us by amount appropriate for $\psi(2S)$ mass = 3686 MeV and $J/\psi(1S)$ mass = 3097 MeV. NODE=M055M;LINKAGE=D

[7] From a simultaneous fit to radiative and hadronic decay channels. NODE=M055M;LINKAGE=M

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ WIDTH

NODE=M055W

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.84 ±0.04 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.						
0.88 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE						
$1.39\ ^{+0.40}_{-0.38}\ ^{+0.26}_{-0.77}$			ABLIKIM	05G	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$
0.876±0.045±0.026			ANDREOTTI	05A	E835	$p\bar{p} \to e^+e^-\gamma$
0.87 ±0.11 ±0.08		513	[1] ARMSTRONG	92	E760	$\bar{p}p \to e^+e^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<1.3	95		BAGLIN	86B	SPEC	$\bar{p}p \to e^+e^-X$
<3.8	90		GAISER	86	CBAL	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma X$

[1] Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A. NODE=M055W;LINKAGE=AN

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M055215;NODE=M055

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1	hadrons		DESIG=112
Γ_2	e^+e^-	$(1.4\ ^{+1.5}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-7}$	DESIG=110

Hadronic decays

NODE=M055;CLUMP=A

Γ_3	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	(1.04±0.16) %	S=4.6	DESIG=6
Γ_4	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	(6.7 ±0.7) × 10^{-3}	S=2.3	DESIG=5
Γ_5	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$	(1.19±0.15) %		DESIG=51
Γ_6	$\rho^+\pi^-\pi^0$ + c.c.	(1.45±0.24) %		DESIG=52
Γ_7	$\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	(3.9 ±3.5) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=9
Γ_8	$4\pi^0$	(5.4 ±0.8) × 10^{-4}		DESIG=60
Γ_9	$\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$	(4.5 ±1.0) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=7
Γ_{10}	$K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0$	(1.12±0.27) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=53
Γ_{11}	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(1.15±0.13) %		DESIG=79
Γ_{12}	$K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-$	(7.5 ±0.8) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=84
Γ_{13}	$K^+\pi^-\overline{K}^0\pi^0$ + c.c.	(8.6 ±1.4) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=55
Γ_{14}	$\rho^- K^+\overline{K}^0$ + c.c.	(5.0 ±1.2) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=56
Γ_{15}	$K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^0\pi^0 \to$ $K^+\pi^-\overline{K}^0\pi^0$ + c.c.	(2.3 ±0.6) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=57
Γ_{16}	$K^+K^-\eta\pi^0$	(1.12±0.34) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=58
Γ_{17}	$\pi^+\pi^- K^0_S K^0_S$	(6.9 ±2.9) × 10^{-4}		DESIG=28
Γ_{18}	$K^+K^-\eta$	(3.2 ±1.0) × 10^{-4}		DESIG=42
Γ_{19}	$\overline{K}^0 K^+\pi^-$ + c.c.	(7.0 ±0.6) × 10^{-3}	S=1.1	DESIG=17
Γ_{20}	$K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^0$ + c.c.	(1.03±0.15) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=32
Γ_{21}	$K^*(892)^+K^-$ + c.c.	(1.21±0.23) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=33
Γ_{22}	$K^*_J(1430)^0\overline{K}^0$ + c.c. $\to$ $K^0_S K^+\pi^-$ + c.c.	< 8 × 10^{-4}	CL=90%	DESIG=34
Γ_{23}	$K^*_J(1430)^+K^-$ + c.c. $\to$ $K^0_S K^+\pi^-$ + c.c.	< 2.1 × 10^{-3}	CL=90%	DESIG=35
Γ_{24}	$K^+K^-\pi^0$	(1.81±0.24) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=38
Γ_{25}	$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	(4.62±0.24) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=31
Γ_{26}	$a_0(980)^+\pi^-$ + c.c. $\to$ $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	(3.2 ±0.4) × 10^{-3}	S=2.1	DESIG=36
Γ_{27}	$a_2(1320)^+\pi^-$ + c.c. $\to$ $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	(1.76±0.24) × 10^{-4}		DESIG=93
Γ_{28}	$a_2(1700)^+\pi^-$ + c.c. $\to$ $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	(4.6 ±0.7) × 10^{-5}		DESIG=96
Γ_{29}	$f_2(1270)\eta \to$ $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	(3.5 ±0.6) × 10^{-4}		DESIG=94
Γ_{30}	$f_4(2050)\eta \to$ $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	(2.5 ±0.9) × 10^{-5}		DESIG=95
Γ_{31}	$\pi_1(1400)^+\pi^-$ + c.c. $\to$ $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	< 5 × 10^{-5}	CL=90%	DESIG=97
Γ_{32}	$\pi_1(1600)^+\pi^-$ + c.c. $\to$ $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	< 1.5 × 10^{-5}	CL=90%	DESIG=98
Γ_{33}	$\pi_1(2015)^+\pi^-$ + c.c. $\to$ $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	< 8 × 10^{-6}	CL=90%	DESIG=99
Γ_{34}	$f_2(1270)\eta$	(6.7 ±1.1) × 10^{-4}		DESIG=37
Γ_{35}	$\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$	(2.2 ±0.4) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=44
Γ_{36}	$K^+K^-\eta'(958)$	(8.8 ±0.9) × 10^{-4}		DESIG=85
Γ_{37}	$K^*_0(1430)^+K^-$ + c.c.	(6.4 $^{+2.2}_{-2.8}$) × 10^{-4}		DESIG=86
Γ_{38}	$f_0(980)\eta'(958)$	(1.6 $^{+1.4}_{-0.7}$) × 10^{-4}		DESIG=87
Γ_{39}	$f_0(1710)\eta'(958)$	(7 $^{+7}_{-5}$) × 10^{-5}		DESIG=88
Γ_{40}	$f'_2(1525)\eta'(958)$	(9 ±6) × 10^{-5}		DESIG=89
Γ_{41}	$K^*_2(1430)^+K^-$ + c.c.	(1.61±0.31) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=115
Γ_{42}	$K^*_2(1430)\overline{K}^0$ + c.c.	(1.17±0.20) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=116
Γ_{43}	$\pi^0 f_0(980) \to$ $\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$	(3.5 ±0.9) × 10^{-7}		DESIG=61
Γ_{44}	$K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^0\pi^-$ + c.c.	(3.2 ±2.1) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=10
Γ_{45}	$K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0$	(1.4 ±0.4) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=21
Γ_{46}	$K^+K^-K^0_S K^0_S$	< 4 × 10^{-4}	CL=90%	DESIG=29
Γ_{47}	$K^0_S K^0_S K^0_S K^0_S$	(3.5 ±1.0) × 10^{-5}		DESIG=102
Γ_{48}	$K^+K^-K^+K^-$	(5.4 ±1.1) × 10^{-4}		DESIG=14
Γ_{49}	$K^+K^-\phi$	(4.1 ±1.5) × 10^{-4}		DESIG=30
Γ_{50}	$\overline{K}^0 K^+\pi^-\phi$ + c.c.	(3.3 ±0.5) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=90
Γ_{51}	$K^+K^-\pi^0\phi$	(1.62±0.30) × 10^{-3}		DESIG=91
Γ_{52}	$3(K^+K^-)$	(4.2 ±1.1) × 10^{-6}		DESIG=119
Γ_{53}	$\phi\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(7.5 ±1.0) × 10^{-4}		DESIG=82
Γ_{54}	$\omega\omega$	(5.7 ±0.7) × 10^{-4}		DESIG=66

Γ_{55}	$\omega K^+ K^-$	$(7.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=81
Γ_{56}	$\omega\phi$	$(2.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=67
Γ_{57}	$\phi\phi$	$(4.26 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=68
Γ_{58}	$\phi\phi\eta$	$(3.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=104
Γ_{59}	$p\overline{p}$	$(7.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.2	DESIG=11
Γ_{60}	$p\overline{p}\pi^0$	$(1.55 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=39
Γ_{61}	$p\overline{p}\eta$	$(1.45 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=43
Γ_{62}	$p\overline{p}\omega$	$(2.12 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=59
Γ_{63}	$p\overline{p}\phi$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=65
Γ_{64}	$p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-$	$(5.0 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=8
Γ_{65}	$p\overline{p}\pi^0\pi^0$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=54
Γ_{66}	$p\overline{p}K^+K^-$ (non-resonant)	$(1.27 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=62
Γ_{67}	$p\overline{p}K^0_S K^0_S$	$< 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=25
Γ_{68}	$p\overline{p}K^0_S K^-\pi^+ +$ c.c.	$(4.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=120
Γ_{69}	$p\overline{n}\pi^-$	$(3.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=74
Γ_{70}	$\overline{p}n\pi^+$	$(3.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=75
Γ_{71}	$p\overline{n}\pi^-\pi^0$	$(1.03 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=76
Γ_{72}	$\overline{p}n\pi^+\pi^0$	$(1.01 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=77
Γ_{73}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$	$(1.27 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1	DESIG=19
Γ_{74}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$	$(2.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=24
Γ_{75}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$ (non-resonant)	$(2.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=69
Γ_{76}	$\Sigma(1385)^+\overline{\Lambda}\pi^- +$ c.c.	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=70
Γ_{77}	$\Sigma(1385)^-\overline{\Lambda}\pi^+ +$ c.c.	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=71
Γ_{78}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\eta$	$(5.9 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=111
Γ_{79}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\omega$	$(1.01 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=118
Γ_{80}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\phi$	$(6.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=117
Γ_{81}	$K^+\overline{p}\Lambda +$c.c.	$(4.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.2	DESIG=40
Γ_{82}	$nK^0_S\overline{\Lambda}$ + c.c.	$(1.66 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=109
Γ_{83}	$\overline{p}\Lambda(1520)K^0_S\pi^+ +$ c.c.	$(4.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=121
Γ_{84}	$K^*(892)^+\overline{p}\Lambda +$ c.c.	$(4.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=106
Γ_{85}	$K^+\overline{p}\Lambda(1520) +$ c.c.	$(1.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=63
Γ_{86}	$\Lambda(1520)\overline{\Lambda}(1520)$	$< 9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=64
Γ_{87}	$\Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$	$(4.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=48
Γ_{88}	$\Sigma^+\overline{p}K^0_S +$ c.c.	$(1.53 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=105
Γ_{89}	$\Sigma^0\overline{p}K^+ +$ c.c.	$(1.46 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=108
Γ_{90}	$\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-$	$(3.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=49
Γ_{91}	$\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-\eta$	$(5.1 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=122
Γ_{92}	$\Sigma^-\overline{\Sigma}^+$	$(5.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=107
Γ_{93}	$\Sigma(1385)^+\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^-$	$< 9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=72
Γ_{94}	$\Sigma(1385)^-\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^+$	$< 5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=73
Γ_{95}	$K^-\Lambda\overline{\Xi}^+ +$ c.c.	$(1.35 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=92
Γ_{96}	$\Xi^0\overline{\Xi}^0$	$(7.5 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=50
Γ_{97}	$\Xi^-\overline{\Xi}^+$	$(6.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=26
Γ_{98}	$\Omega^-\overline{\Omega}^+$	$(1.49 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=113
Γ_{99}	$\pi^+\pi^- + K^+K^-$	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=23
Γ_{100}	$K^0_S K^0_S$	$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=27
Γ_{101}	$\eta_c\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 3.2 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=83

Radiative decays NODE=M055;CLUMP=B

Γ_{102}	$\gamma J/\psi(1S)$	(34.3 ± 1.3) %	S=1.3	DESIG=1
Γ_{103}	$\gamma\rho^0$	$(2.16 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=45
Γ_{104}	$\gamma\omega$	$(6.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=46
Γ_{105}	$\gamma\phi$	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=47
Γ_{106}	$\gamma\gamma$	$< 6.3 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=4
Γ_{107}	$e^+e^- J/\psi(1S)$	$(3.46 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=100
Γ_{108}	$\mu^+\mu^- J/\psi(1S)$	$(2.33 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=103

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

A multiparticle fit to $\chi_{c1}(1P)$, $\chi_{c0}(1P)$, $\chi_{c2}(1P)$, and $\psi(2S)$ with 4 total widths, a partial width, 25 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 88 branching ratios uses 255 measurements to determine 49 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 393.1$ for 206 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i, including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

x_{48}	4				
x_{59}	−1	0			
x_{73}	12	5	−1		
x_{102}	20	9	−25	23	
Γ	−9	−4	−60	−11	−31
	x_{19}	x_{48}	x_{59}	x_{73}	x_{102}

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M055220

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_2

NODE=M055W1
NODE=M055W1

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{0.12^{+0.13}_{-0.08}}$	250	[1] ABLIKIM	22AF	BES3	$e^+e^- \to \chi_{c1} \to \gamma J/\psi$

[1] Assuming $\Gamma(\chi_{c1} \to \gamma J/\psi) = 0.28$ MeV.

NODE=M055W1;LINKAGE=A

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ Γ(i)$\Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma$(total)

NODE=M055223

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}) \times \Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{59}\Gamma_{102}/\Gamma$

NODE=M055G1
NODE=M055G1

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
21.9±0.8 OUR FIT				
21.4±0.9 OUR AVERAGE				
21.5±0.5±0.8	[1] ANDREOTTI	05A	E835	$p\bar{p} \to e^+e^-\gamma$
21.4±1.5±2.2	[1,2] ARMSTRONG	92	E760	$\bar{p}p \to e^+e^-\gamma$
$19.9^{+4.4}_{-4.0}$	[1] BAGLIN	86B	SPEC	$\bar{p}p \to e^+e^-$X

[1] Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 0.0593 \pm 0.0010$.

NODE=M055G;LINKAGE=7A

[2] Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A.

NODE=M055G;LINKAGE=AN

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M055225

HADRONIC DECAYS

NODE=M055305

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

NODE=M055R6
NODE=M055R6

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.4 ±1.6 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 4.6.					
10.92±0.23±0.30	84k	[1] ABLIKIM	22Q	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$
5.4 ±0.7 ±0.9		[2] BAI	99B	BES	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$
16.0 ±5.9 ±0.8		[2] TANENBAUM	78	MRK1	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] ABLIKIM 22Q reports $(1.092 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.035) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R6;LINKAGE=A

[2] Rescaled by us using $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (8.8 \pm 0.4)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.6 \pm 0.5)\%$.

NODE=M055R;LINKAGE=X2

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

NODE=M055R4
NODE=M055R4

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.7 ±0.7 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.3. [$(8 \pm 4) \times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.5]					
6.85±0.26±0.19	670k	[1] ABLIKIM	24BT	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$
4.1 ±0.9 ±0.1		[2] BAI	99B	BES	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$
13.1 ±3.7 ±0.4		[3,4] TANENBAUM	78	MRK1	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

NEW

[1] ABLIKIM 24BT reports $(0.685 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.031) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R4;LINKAGE=A

[2] BAI 99B reports $(0.49 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best values $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.69 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M055R4;LINKAGE=C

[3] TANENBAUM 78 reports $(1.8 \pm 0.54 \pm 0.45) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (7.1 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R4;LINKAGE=D

[4] The value $(2.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5)\%$ reported by TANENBAUM 78 has been rescaled by us using $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.69 \pm 0.34)\%$ and $B(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-) = (11.932 \pm 0.064)\%$.

NODE=M055R4;LINKAGE=E

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_5/Γ

NODE=M055R35
NODE=M055R35

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.19±0.15±0.03	604.7	[1] HE	08B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

[1] HE 08B reports $1.28 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.08$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R35;LINKAGE=HE

$\Gamma(\rho^+\pi^-\pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_6/Γ

NODE=M055R36
NODE=M055R36

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.45±0.24±0.04	712.3	[1,2] HE	08B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

[1] HE 08B reports $1.56 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.10$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \rho^+\pi^-\pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R36;LINKAGE=HE

[2] Calculated by us. We have added the values from HE 08B for $\rho^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\rho^-\pi^+\pi^0$ decays assuming uncorrelated statistical and fully correlated systematic uncertainties.

NODE=M055R36;LINKAGE=OC

$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_7/Γ

NODE=M055R8
NODE=M055R8

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.9±3.5	[1] TANENBAUM	78	MRK1	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.087$. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $\psi(2S)$ decay.

NODE=M055R;LINKAGE=T

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_8/Γ

NODE=M055R44
NODE=M055R44

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.4±0.8±0.1	608	[1] ABLIKIM	11A	BES3	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] ABLIKIM 11A reports $(0.57 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to 4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R44;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_9/Γ

NODE=M055R5
NODE=M055R5

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.5±1.0 OUR EVALUATION	Treating systematic error as correlated.			→ UNCHECKED ←
4.5±0.9 OUR AVERAGE				
4.2±0.4±0.9	[1] BAI	99B	BES	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$
7.3±3.0±0.4	[1] TANENBAUM	78	MRK1	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] Rescaled by us using $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (8.8 \pm 0.4)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.6 \pm 0.5)\%$.

NODE=M055R5;LINKAGE=X2

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

NODE=M055R37
NODE=M055R37

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.12±0.27±0.03	45.1	[1] HE	08B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma h^+h^-h^0h^0$

[1] HE 08B reports $(0.12\pm0.02\pm0.02\pm0.01)\times10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07\pm0.11\pm0.54)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75\pm0.27)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R37;LINKAGE=HE

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

NODE=M055R00
NODE=M055R00

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
11.46±0.12±1.29	12k	[1] ABLIKIM	13B	BES3	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] Using 1.06×10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S)\to\chi_{c1}\gamma) = (9.2\pm0.4)\%$.

NODE=M055R00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^0_SK^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

NODE=M055R60
NODE=M055R60

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.52±0.11±0.79	5.1k	[1] ABLIKIM	13B	BES3	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] Using 1.06×10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S)\to\chi_{c1}\gamma) = (9.2\pm0.4)\%$.

NODE=M055R60;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^+\pi^-\overline{K}^0\pi^0+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

NODE=M055R39
NODE=M055R39

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.86±0.13±0.02	141.3	[1] HE	08B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma h^+h^-h^0h^0$

[1] HE 08B reports $0.92\pm0.09\pm0.11\pm0.06$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to K^+\pi^-\overline{K}^0\pi^0+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07\pm0.11\pm0.54)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75\pm0.27)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R39;LINKAGE=HE

$\Gamma(\rho^-K^+\overline{K}^0+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

NODE=M055R40
NODE=M055R40

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.50±0.12±0.01	141.3	[1] HE	08B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma h^+h^-h^0h^0$

[1] HE 08B reports $0.54\pm0.11\pm0.07\pm0.03$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\rho^-K^+\overline{K}^0+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07\pm0.11\pm0.54)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75\pm0.27)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R40;LINKAGE=HE

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^0\pi^0\to K^+\pi^-\overline{K}^0\pi^0+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

NODE=M055R41
NODE=M055R41

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.23±0.06±0.01	141.3	[1] HE	08B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma h^+h^-h^0h^0$

[1] HE 08B reports $0.25\pm0.06\pm0.03\pm0.02$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^0\pi^0\to K^+\pi^-\overline{K}^0\pi^0+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07\pm0.11\pm0.54)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75\pm0.27)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R41;LINKAGE=HE

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

NODE=M055R42
NODE=M055R42

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.112±0.034±0.003	141.3	[1] HE	08B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma h^+h^-h^0h^0$

[1] HE 08B reports $0.12\pm0.03\pm0.02\pm0.01$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to K^+K^-\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07\pm0.11\pm0.54)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75\pm0.27)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R42;LINKAGE=HE

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-K^0_SK^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

NODE=M055R05
NODE=M055R05

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.9±2.9±0.2	19.8 ± 7.7	[1] ABLIKIM	05O	BES2	$\psi(2S)\to\chi_{c1}\gamma$

[1] ABLIKIM 05O reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\pi^+\pi^-K^0_SK^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] = (0.67\pm0.26\pm0.11)\times10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75\pm0.27)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R05;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

NODE=M055R25
NODE=M055R25

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.2 ±1.0 ±0.1		[1] ATHAR	07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.30±0.05	8690	[2] ABLIKIM	24BW	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] ATHAR 07 reports $(0.34 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^+K^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0907 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0054$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
NODE=M055R25;LINKAGE=AT

[2] No systematic error reported.
NODE=M055R25;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

NODE=M055R09
NODE=M055R09

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.03±0.15 OUR AVERAGE					
1.04±0.13±0.10	262	[1] AAIJ	23AH	LHCB	$B^+ \to K^+(K^0_S K\pi)$
0.98±0.37±0.03	22	[2] ABLIKIM	06R	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] AAIJ 23AH reports $(1.04 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \overline{K}^0 K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.})]$ assuming $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \overline{K}^0 K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}) = (7.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$.
NODE=M055R09;LINKAGE=B

[2] ABLIKIM 06R reports $(1.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
NODE=M055R09;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

NODE=M055R10
NODE=M055R10

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.21±0.23 OUR AVERAGE					
1.18±0.17±0.17	288	[1] AAIJ	23AH	LHCB	$B^+ \to K^+(K^0_S K\pi)$
1.43±0.65±0.04	27	[2] ABLIKIM	06R	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] AAIJ 23AH reports $(1.18 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^*(892)^+K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \overline{K}^0 K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.})]$ assuming $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \overline{K}^0 K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}) = (7.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$.
NODE=M055R10;LINKAGE=C

[2] ABLIKIM 06R reports $(1.6 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^*(892)^+K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
NODE=M055R10;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(K^*_J(1430)^0\overline{K}^0 + \text{c.c.} \to K^0_S K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

NODE=M055R12
NODE=M055R12

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	06R	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] ABLIKIM 06R reports $< 0.9 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^*_J(1430)^0\overline{K}^0 + \text{c.c.} \to K^0_S K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$.
NODE=M055R12;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(K^*_J(1430)^+K^- + \text{c.c.} \to K^0_S K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

NODE=M055R13
NODE=M055R13

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	06R	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] ABLIKIM 06R reports $< 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^*_J(1430)^+K^- + \text{c.c.} \to K^0_S K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$.
NODE=M055R13;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

NODE=M055R20
NODE=M055R20

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.81±0.24±0.05	[1] ATHAR	07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

[1] ATHAR 07 reports $(1.95 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0907 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0054$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
NODE=M055R20;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

NODE=M055R08
NODE=M055R08

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.62±0.24 OUR AVERAGE					
4.58±0.23±0.13		[1,2] ABLIKIM	17K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
4.7 ±0.5 ±0.1		[3] ATHAR	07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$
5.3 ±0.9 ±0.1	222	[4] ABLIKIM	06R	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model. NODE=M055R08;LINKAGE=A

[2] ABLIKIM 17K reports $(4.67 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M055R08;LINKAGE=B

[3] ATHAR 07 reports $(5.0 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0907 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0054$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M055R08;LINKAGE=AT

[4] ABLIKIM 06R reports $(5.9 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M055R08;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(a_0(980)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

NODE=M055R15
NODE=M055R15

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.2 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.1.					
3.33±0.19±0.09		[1,2] ABLIKIM	17K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1.79±0.63±0.05	58	[3] ABLIKIM	06R	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model. NODE=M055R15;LINKAGE=A

[2] ABLIKIM 17K reports $(3.40 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to a_0(980)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M055R15;LINKAGE=B

[3] ABLIKIM 06R reports $(2.0 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to a_0(980)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M055R15;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

NODE=M055R72
NODE=M055R72

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.176±0.023±0.005	[1,2] ABLIKIM	17K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model. NODE=M055R72;LINKAGE=A

[2] ABLIKIM 17K reports $(0.18 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to a_2(1320)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M055R72;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(a_2(1700)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

NODE=M055R75
NODE=M055R75

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.6±0.7±0.1	[1,2] ABLIKIM	17K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model. NODE=M055R75;LINKAGE=A

[2] ABLIKIM 17K reports $(4.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to a_2(1700)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M055R75;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

NODE=M055R73
NODE=M055R73

VALUE (units 10^{-4})		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.5±0.6±0.1	[1,2]	ABLIKIM	17K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

OCCUR=2

[1] From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

NODE=M055R73;LINKAGE=A

[2] ABLIKIM 17K reports $(0.36 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to f_2(1270)\eta \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R73;LINKAGE=D

$\Gamma(f_4(2050)\eta \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

NODE=M055R74
NODE=M055R74

VALUE (units 10^{-5})		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.5±0.9±0.1	[1,2]	ABLIKIM	17K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

NODE=M055R74;LINKAGE=A

[2] ABLIKIM 17K reports $(2.6 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to f_4(2050)\eta \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R74;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\pi_1(1400)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{31}/Γ

NODE=M055R76
NODE=M055R76

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1,2] ABLIKIM	17K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

NODE=M055R76;LINKAGE=A

[2] ABLIKIM 17K reports $< 4.6 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \pi_1(1400)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R76;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\pi_1(1600)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{32}/Γ

NODE=M055R77
NODE=M055R77

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1,2] ABLIKIM	17K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

NODE=M055R77;LINKAGE=A

[2] ABLIKIM 17K reports $< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \pi_1(1600)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R77;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\pi_1(2015)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{33}/Γ

NODE=M055R78
NODE=M055R78

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	[1,2] ABLIKIM	17K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

NODE=M055R78;LINKAGE=A

[2] ABLIKIM 17K reports $< 8 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \pi_1(2015)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R78;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{34}/Γ

NODE=M055R16
NODE=M055R16

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.67±0.11 OUR AVERAGE					
0.63±0.11±0.02		[1,2] ABLIKIM	17K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
2.7 ±0.8 ±0.1	53	[3] ABLIKIM	06R	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] ABLIKIM 17K reports $(6.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to f_2(1270)\eta)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R16;LINKAGE=B

[2] From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

NODE=M055R16;LINKAGE=D

[3] ABLIKIM 06R reports $(3.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to f_2(1270)\eta)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R16;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{35}/Γ

NODE=M055R28
NODE=M055R28

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.2±0.4±0.1	[1] ATHAR	07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

[1] ATHAR 07 reports $(2.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta')/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0907 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0054$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R28;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{36}/Γ

NODE=M055R64
NODE=M055R64

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.75±0.87	310	[1] ABLIKIM	14J	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'(958)$

[1] Derived using $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (9.2 \pm 0.4)\%$. Uncertainty includes both statistical and systematic contributions combined in quadrature.

NODE=M055R64;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^+K^- + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{41}/Γ

NODE=M055R93
NODE=M055R93

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.61±0.19±0.24	351	[1] AAIJ	23AH	LHCB	$B^+ \to K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$

[1] AAIJ 23AH reports $(1.61 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K_2^*(1430)^+K^- + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \overline{K}^0 K^+\pi^- + c.c.)]$ assuming $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \overline{K}^0 K^+\pi^- + c.c.) = (7.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$.

NODE=M055R93;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\overline{K}^0 + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{42}/Γ

NODE=M055R94
NODE=M055R94

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.17±0.16±0.11	278	[1] AAIJ	23AH	LHCB	$B^+ \to K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$

[1] AAIJ 23AH reports $(1.17 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K_2^*(1430)\overline{K}^0 + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \overline{K}^0 K^+\pi^- + c.c.)]$ assuming $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \overline{K}^0 K^+\pi^- + c.c.) = (7.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$.

NODE=M055R94;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)^+K^- + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{37}/Γ

NODE=M055R65
NODE=M055R65

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$6.41 \pm 0.57^{+2.09}_{-2.71}$	[1] ABLIKIM	14J	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'(958)$

[1] Normalized to $B(\chi_{c1} \to K^+K^-\eta'(958))$ branching fraction.

NODE=M055R65;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(f_0(980)\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{38}/Γ

NODE=M055R66
NODE=M055R66

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.65 \pm 0.47^{+1.32}_{-0.56}$	[1] ABLIKIM	14J	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'(958)$

[1] Normalized to $B(\chi_{c1} \to K^+K^-\eta'(958))$ branching fraction.

NODE=M055R66;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(f_0(1710)\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{39}/Γ

NODE=M055R67
NODE=M055R67

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.71 \pm 0.22^{+0.68}_{-0.48}$	[1] ABLIKIM	14J	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'(958)$

[1] Normalized to $B(\chi_{c1} \to K^+K^-\eta'(958))$ branching fraction.

NODE=M055R67;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(f_2'(1525)\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{40}/Γ

NODE=M055R68
NODE=M055R68

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.92 \pm 0.23^{+0.55}_{-0.51}$	[1] ABLIKIM	14J	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'(958)$

[1] Normalized to $B(\chi_{c1} \to K^+K^-\eta'(958))$ branching fraction.

NODE=M055R68;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^0 f_0(980) \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{43}/Γ

NODE=M055R18
NODE=M055R18

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.35±0.09		ABLIKIM	18D	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<6	90	[1] ABLIKIM	11D	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] ABLIKIM 11D reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \pi^0 f_0(980) \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] < 6.0 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R18;LINKAGE=BR

$\Gamma(K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^0\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{44}/Γ

NODE=M055R9
NODE=M055R9

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
32±21	[1] TANENBAUM	78	MRK1	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] Estimated using B($\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)$) = 0.087. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $\psi(2S)$ decay.

NODE=M055R9;LINKAGE=T

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{45}/Γ

NODE=M055R26
NODE=M055R26

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.44±0.36±0.04	28.4 ± 5.5	[1,2] ABLIKIM	04H	BES	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] ABLIKIM 04H reports [$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)$)] = (1.40 ± 0.27 ± 0.22)×10^{-4} which we divide by our best value B($\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)$) = (9.75 ± 0.27) × 10^{-2}. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R26;LINKAGE=AB

[2] Assumes B($K^*(892)^0 \to K^-\pi^+$) = 2/3.

NODE=M055R26;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(K^+K^-K^0_SK^0_S)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{46}/Γ

NODE=M055R06
NODE=M055R06

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<4 × 10^{-4}	90	3.2 ± 2.4	[1] ABLIKIM	05O	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \chi_{c1}\gamma$

[1] ABLIKIM 05O reports [$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^+K^-K^0_SK^0_S)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)$)] < 4.2 × 10^{-5} which we divide by our best value B($\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)$) = 9.75 × 10^{-2}.

NODE=M055R06;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(K^0_SK^0_SK^0_SK^0_S)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{47}/Γ

NODE=M055R82
NODE=M055R82

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.35±0.10±0.01	22	[1] ABLIKIM	19AA	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma 4K^0_S$

[1] Using B($K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$) = (69.20 ± 0.05)%. ABLIKIM 19AA reports [$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^0_SK^0_SK^0_SK^0_S)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)$)] = (3.4 ± 0.9 ± 0.3)×$10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value B($\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)$) = (9.75 ± 0.27) × 10^{-2}. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value..

NODE=M055R82;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{49}/Γ

NODE=M055R07
NODE=M055R07

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.41±0.15±0.01	17	[1] ABLIKIM	06T	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma 2K^+2K^-$

[1] ABLIKIM 06T reports (0.46 ± 0.16 ± 0.06)×10^{-3} from a measurement of [$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^+K^-\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)$)] assuming B($\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)$) = (8.7 ± 0.4) × 10^{-2}, which we rescale to our best value B($\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)$) = (9.75 ± 0.27) × 10^{-2}. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R07;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^0K^+\pi^-\phi + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{50}/Γ

NODE=M055R69
NODE=M055R69

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.27±0.28±0.46	ABLIKIM	15M	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^0\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{51}/Γ

NODE=M055R70
NODE=M055R70

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.62±0.12±0.28	ABLIKIM	15M	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{53}/Γ

NODE=M055R62
NODE=M055R62

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.75±0.06±0.08	373	[1] ABLIKIM	13B	BES3	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] Using 1.06 × 10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and B($\psi(2S) \to \chi_{c1}\gamma$) = (9.2 ± 0.4)%.

NODE=M055R62;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{54}/Γ

NODE=M055R49
NODE=M055R49

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.7±0.7±0.2	597	[1] ABLIKIM	11K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma$ hadrons

[1] ABLIKIM 11K reports (6.0 ± 0.3 ± 0.7) × 10^{-4} from a measurement of [$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)$)] assuming B($\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)$) = (9.2 ± 0.4) × 10^{-2}, which we rescale to our best value B($\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)$) = (9.75 ± 0.27) × 10^{-2}. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R49;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\omega K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{55}/Γ

NODE=M055R61
NODE=M055R61

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.78±0.04±0.08	628	[1] ABLIKIM	13B	BES3	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] Using 1.06×10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S) \to \chi_{c1}\gamma) = (9.2 \pm 0.4)\%$.

NODE=M055R61;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{56}/Γ

NODE=M055R50
NODE=M055R50

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.27±0.04±0.01	105	[1] ABLIKIM	19J	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.21±0.06±0.01	15	[2,3] ABLIKIM	11K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma$ hadrons

[1] ABLIKIM 19J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \omega\phi)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] = (2.67 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R50;LINKAGE=A

[2] ABLIKIM 11K reports $(0.22 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \omega\phi)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R50;LINKAGE=AL

[3] Superseded by ABLIKIM 19J.

NODE=M055R50;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{57}/Γ

NODE=M055R51
NODE=M055R51

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.26±0.17±0.12	1529	[1,2] ABLIKIM	23N	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.2 ±0.5 ±0.1	366	[3] ABLIKIM	11K	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma$ hadrons

[1] Using $B(\phi \to K^+K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$ from PDG 22.

NODE=M055R51;LINKAGE=C

[2] ABLIKIM 23N reports $(4.26 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \phi\phi)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R51;LINKAGE=D

[3] ABLIKIM 11K reports $(4.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \phi\phi)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R51;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\phi\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{58}/Γ

NODE=M055R85
NODE=M055R85

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.0±0.5±0.1	83.6	[1] ABLIKIM	20B	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\phi\phi\eta$

[1] ABLIKIM 20B reports $(2.96 \pm 0.43 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \phi\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R85;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{60}/Γ

NODE=M055R21
NODE=M055R21

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.155±0.018 OUR AVERAGE				
0.163±0.019±0.004	[1] ONYISI	10	CLE3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma p\bar{p}X$
0.112±0.047±0.003	[2] ATHAR	07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

[1] ONYISI 10 reports $(1.75 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R21;LINKAGE=ON

[2] ATHAR 07 reports $(1.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R21;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{61}/Γ

NODE=M055R27
NODE=M055R27

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.145±0.024±0.004		[1] ONYISI	10	CLE3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma p\bar{p}X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.15	90	[2] ATHAR	07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

[1] ONYISI 10 reports $(1.56 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
NODE=M055R27;LINKAGE=ON

[2] ATHAR 07 reports $< 0.16 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$.
NODE=M055R27;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{62}/Γ

NODE=M055R43
NODE=M055R43

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.212±0.030±0.006	[1] ONYISI	10	CLE3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma p\bar{p}X$

[1] ONYISI 10 reports $(2.28 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to p\bar{p}\omega)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
NODE=M055R43;LINKAGE=ON

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{63}/Γ

NODE=M055R48
NODE=M055R48

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	11F	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

[1] ABLIKIM 11F reports $< 1.82 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to p\bar{p}\phi)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$.
NODE=M055R48;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{64}/Γ

NODE=M055R7
NODE=M055R7

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.50±0.19 OUR EVALUATION	Treating systematic error as correlated.			→ UNCHECKED ←
0.50±0.19 OUR AVERAGE				
0.46±0.12±0.15	[1] BAI	99B	BES	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$
1.08±0.77±0.05	[1] TANENBAUM	78	MRK1	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] Rescaled by us using $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (8.8 \pm 0.4)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.6 \pm 0.5)\%$.
NODE=M055R7;LINKAGE=X2

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{65}/Γ

NODE=M055R38
NODE=M055R38

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] HE	08B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

[1] HE 08B reports $< 0.05 \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$.
NODE=M055R38;LINKAGE=HE

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}K^+K^-(\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{66}/Γ

NODE=M055R45
NODE=M055R45

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.27±0.22±0.04	82 ± 9	[1] ABLIKIM	11F	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

[1] ABLIKIM 11F reports $(1.35 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to p\bar{p}K^+K^-(\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
NODE=M055R45;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}K^0_S K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{67}/Γ

NODE=M055R02
NODE=M055R02

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<4.5	90	[1] ABLIKIM	06D	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] Using $B(\psi(2S) \to \chi_{c1}\gamma)$ (9.1 ± 0.6)%.
NODE=M055R;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(p\bar{n}\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{69}/Γ

NODE=M055R56
NODE=M055R56

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.8±0.5±0.1	1412	[1] ABLIKIM	12J	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma p\bar{n}\pi^-$

[1] ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to p\bar{n}\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] = (0.37 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R56;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\bar{p}n\pi^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{70}/Γ

NODE=M055R57
NODE=M055R57

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.9±0.5±0.1	1625	[1] ABLIKIM	12J	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\bar{p}n\pi^+$

[1] ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \bar{p}n\pi^+)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] = (0.38 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R57;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(p\bar{n}\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{71}/Γ

NODE=M055R58
NODE=M055R58

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.3±1.1±0.3	1082	[1] ABLIKIM	12J	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma p\bar{n}\pi^-\pi^0$

[1] ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to p\bar{n}\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] = (1.00 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R58;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\bar{p}n\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{72}/Γ

NODE=M055R59
NODE=M055R59

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.1±1.1±0.3	1261	[1] ABLIKIM	12J	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\bar{p}n\pi^+\pi^0$

[1] ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \bar{p}n\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] = (0.98 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R59;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{74}/Γ

NODE=M055R01
NODE=M055R01

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
29±5±1		105	[1] ABLIKIM	12I	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<150	90		[2] ABLIKIM	06D	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] ABLIKIM 12I reports $(31.1 \pm 3.4 \pm 3.9) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R01;LINKAGE=AL

[2] Using $B(\psi(2S) \to \chi_{c1}\gamma)$ (9.1 ± 0.6)%.

NODE=M055R01;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-(\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{75}/Γ

NODE=M055R19
NODE=M055R19

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
25±6±1	13	[1] ABLIKIM	12I	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] ABLIKIM 12I reports $(26.2 \pm 5.5 \pm 3.3) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-(\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R19;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+\bar{\Lambda}\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{76}/Γ

NODE=M055R52
NODE=M055R52

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.3 × 10⁻⁴	90	[1] ABLIKIM	12I	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\Sigma(1385)^+\bar{\Lambda}\pi^-$

[1] ABLIKIM 12I reports $< 14 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Sigma(1385)^+\bar{\Lambda}\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R52;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^-\overline{\Lambda}\pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{77}/Γ

NODE=M055R53
NODE=M055R53

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<13	90	[1] ABLIKIM	12I	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\Sigma(1385)^-\overline{\Lambda}\pi^+$

[1] ABLIKIM 12I reports $< 14 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Sigma(1385)^-\overline{\Lambda}\pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R53;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(K^+\overline{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{81}/Γ

NODE=M055R22
NODE=M055R22

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.2±0.4 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
$9.2^{+2.8}_{-2.4} \pm 0.4$	24	[1] LU	19	BELL	$B^+ \to \overline{p}\Lambda K^+ K^+$
4.2±0.4±0.1	3k	[2,3] ABLIKIM	13D	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\Lambda\overline{p}K^+$
3.1±0.9±0.1		[4] ATHAR	07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

[1] LU 19 reports $(9.15^{+2.63}_{-2.25} \pm 0.86) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^+\overline{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \to \chi_{c1}(1P)K^+)]$ assuming $B(B^+ \to \chi_{c1}(1P)K^+) = (4.79 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-4}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(B^+ \to \chi_{c1}(1P)K^+) = (4.74 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R22;LINKAGE=A

[2] ABLIKIM 13D reports $(4.5 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^+\overline{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R22;LINKAGE=AB

[3] Using $B(\Lambda \to p\pi^-) = 63.9\%$.

NODE=M055R22;LINKAGE=LB

[4] ATHAR 07 reports $(3.3 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^+\overline{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R22;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(nK^0_S\overline{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{82}/Γ

NODE=M055R89
NODE=M055R89

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.66±0.16±0.05	399	[1] ABLIKIM	21AV	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma n K^0_S \overline{\Lambda}$ + c.c.

[1] ABLIKIM 21AV reports $(1.66 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to nK^0_S\overline{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0975 \pm 0.0024$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Also uses $B(\overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{p}\pi^+) = (63.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-) = (69.20 \pm 0.05)\%$.

NODE=M055R89;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+\overline{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{84}/Γ

NODE=M055R86
NODE=M055R86

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.9±0.7±0.1	328	[1] ABLIKIM	19AU	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma K^{*+}\overline{p}\Lambda$

[1] ABLIKIM 19AU reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^*(892)^+\overline{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] = (4.8 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R86;LINKAGE=F

$\Gamma(K^+\overline{p}\Lambda(1520) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{85}/Γ

NODE=M055R46
NODE=M055R46

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.71±0.44±0.05	48 ± 10	[1] ABLIKIM	11F	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma p\overline{p}K^+K^-$

[1] ABLIKIM 11F reports $(1.81 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^+\overline{p}\Lambda(1520) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R46;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Lambda(1520)\overline{\Lambda}(1520))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{86}/Γ

NODE=M055R47
NODE=M055R47

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<9\times10^{-5}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	11F	BES3	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

[1] ABLIKIM 11F reports $<1.00\times10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\Lambda(1520)\overline{\Lambda}(1520))/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.2\pm0.4)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=9.75\times10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R47;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{87}/Γ

NODE=M055R32
NODE=M055R32

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.2±0.6±0.1		103	[1] ABLIKIM	18V	BES3	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<6	90		[2] ABLIKIM	13H	BES3	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$
<4	90	3.8 ± 2.5	[3] NAIK	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$

[1] ABLIKIM 18V reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]=(0.41\pm0.05\pm0.03)\times10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.75\pm0.27)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R32;LINKAGE=B

[2] ABLIKIM 13H reports $<0.62\times10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.2\pm0.4)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=9.75\times10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R32;LINKAGE=AB

[3] NAIK 08 reports $<0.44\times10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.07\pm0.11\pm0.54)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=9.75\times10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R32;LINKAGE=NA

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{90}/Γ

NODE=M055R33
NODE=M055R33

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.6±0.6±0.1		59	[1] ABLIKIM	18V	BES3	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<8	90		[2] ABLIKIM	13H	BES3	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-$
<6	90	4.3 ± 2.3	[3] NAIK	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-$

[1] ABLIKIM 18V reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]=(0.35\pm0.06\pm0.02)\times10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.75\pm0.27)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R33;LINKAGE=B

[2] ABLIKIM 13H reports $<0.87\times10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.2\pm0.4)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=9.75\times10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R33;LINKAGE=AB

[3] NAIK 08 reports $<0.65\times10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.07\pm0.11\pm0.54)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=9.75\times10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R33;LINKAGE=NA

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{91}/Γ

NODE=M055P01
NODE=M055P01

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.1±1.4±0.1	36	[1] ABLIKIM	24CA	BES3	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)$

[1] ABLIKIM 24CA reports $(5.10\pm1.21\pm0.67)\times10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-\eta)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.75\pm0.24)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.75\pm0.27)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055P01;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Sigma^-\overline{\Sigma}^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{92}/Γ

NODE=M055R87
NODE=M055R87

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.7±1.5±0.2	214	[1] ABLIKIM	20I	BES3	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\Sigma^-\overline{\Sigma}^+$

[1] ABLIKIM 20I reports $(5.7\pm1.4\pm0.6)\times10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\Sigma^-\overline{\Sigma}^+)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.75\pm0.24)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.75\pm0.27)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R87;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{93}/Γ

NODE=M055R54
NODE=M055R54

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<9 × 10^{-5}	90	[1] ABLIKIM	12I	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] ABLIKIM 12I reports $< 10 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Sigma(1385)^+\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R54;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^-\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{94}/Γ

NODE=M055R55
NODE=M055R55

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<5 × 10^{-5}	90	[1] ABLIKIM	12I	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] ABLIKIM 12I reports $< 5.7 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Sigma(1385)^-\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^+)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R55;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(K^-\Lambda\overline{\Xi}^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{95}/Γ

NODE=M055R71
NODE=M055R71

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.35±0.24±0.04	49	[1] ABLIKIM	15I	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma K^-\Lambda\overline{\Xi}^+ +$ c.c.

[1] ABLIKIM 15I reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^-\Lambda\overline{\Xi}^+ +$ c.c.$)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] = (1.32 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R71;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Xi^0\overline{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{96}/Γ

NODE=M055R34
NODE=M055R34

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.5±1.2±0.2		325	[1] ABLIKIM	22O	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\Xi^0\overline{\Xi}^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<6	90	1.7 ± 2.4	[2] NAIK	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\Xi^0\overline{\Xi}^0$

[1] ABLIKIM 22O reports $(0.75 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Xi^0\overline{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R34;LINKAGE=A

[2] NAIK 08 reports $< 0.60 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Xi^0\overline{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R34;LINKAGE=NA

$\Gamma(\Xi^-\overline{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{97}/Γ

NODE=M055R03
NODE=M055R03

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.60±0.06 OUR AVERAGE						
0.58±0.06±0.02		692	[1] ABLIKIM	22O	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\Xi^-\overline{\Xi}^+$
0.80±0.21±0.02		16.4 ± 4.3	[2] NAIK	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\Xi^+\overline{\Xi}^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
< 3.4	90		[3] ABLIKIM	06D	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] ABLIKIM 22O reports $(0.58 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Xi^-\overline{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R03;LINKAGE=A

[2] NAIK 08 reports $(0.86 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Xi^-\overline{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R03;LINKAGE=NA

[3] Using $B(\psi(2S) \to \chi_{c1}\gamma)$ (9.1 ± 0.6)%.

NODE=M055R03;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Omega^-\overline{\Omega}^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{98}/Γ

NODE=M055R91
NODE=M055R91

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.49±0.23±0.10	277	ABLIKIM	23T	BES3	$\chi_{cJ} \to \Omega^-\overline{\Omega}^+$

$[\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)+\Gamma(K^+K^-)]/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{99}/Γ

NODE=M055R2
NODE=M055R2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<21\times10^{-4}$		[1] FELDMAN	77	MRK1	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<38\times10^{-4}$	90	[1] BRANDELIK	79B	DASP	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] Estimated using $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=0.087$. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $\psi(2S)$ decay.

NODE=M055R2;LINKAGE=T

$\Gamma(K^0_SK^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{100}/Γ

NODE=M055R04
NODE=M055R04

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<6\times10^{-5}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	05O	BES2	$\psi(2S)\to\chi_{c1}\gamma$

[1] ABLIKIM 05O reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to K^0_SK^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]<0.6\times10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=9.75\times10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R04;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\eta_c\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{101}/Γ

NODE=M055R63
NODE=M055R63

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<3.2\times10^{-3}$	90	[1,2] ABLIKIM	13B	BES3	$e^+e^-\to\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<4.4\times10^{-3}$	90	[1,3] ABLIKIM	13B	BES3	$e^+e^-\to\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}$	OCCUR=2

[1] Using 1.06×10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S)\to\chi_{c1}\gamma)=(9.2\pm0.4)\%$.

NODE=M055R63;LINKAGE=A

[2] Using the $\eta_c\to K^0_SK^\pm\pi^\mp$ decays.

NODE=M055R63;LINKAGE=B

[3] Using the $\eta_c\to K^+K^-\pi^0$ decays.

NODE=M055R63;LINKAGE=C

RADIATIVE DECAYS

NODE=M055310

$\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{103}/Γ

NODE=M055R29
NODE=M055R29

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
216±17 OUR AVERAGE					
215±22±6	432 ± 25	[1] ABLIKIM	11E	BES3	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\gamma\rho^0$
217±24±6	186 ± 15	[2] BENNETT	08A	CLEO	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\gamma\rho^0$

[1] ABLIKIM 11E reports $(228\pm13\pm22)\times10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.2\pm0.4)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.75\pm0.27)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R29;LINKAGE=AB

[2] BENNETT 08A reports $(243\pm19\pm22)\times10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(8.7\pm0.4)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.75\pm0.27)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R29;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\gamma\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{104}/Γ

NODE=M055R30
NODE=M055R30

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
68± 8 OUR AVERAGE					
66± 9±2	136 ± 14	[1] ABLIKIM	11E	BES3	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\gamma\omega$
74±17±2	39 ± 7	[2] BENNETT	08A	CLEO	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\gamma\omega$

[1] ABLIKIM 11E reports $(69.7\pm7.2\pm6.6)\times10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\gamma\omega)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.2\pm0.4)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.75\pm0.27)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R30;LINKAGE=AB

[2] BENNETT 08A reports $(83\pm15\pm12)\times10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\to\gamma\omega)/\Gamma_{total}]\times[B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(8.7\pm0.4)\times10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S)\to\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))=(9.75\pm0.27)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R30;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{105}/Γ

NODE=M055R31
NODE=M055R31

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
24±5±1		43 ± 9	[1] ABLIKIM	11E	BES3	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\gamma\phi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<23	90	5.2 ± 3.1	[2] BENNETT	08A	CLEO	$\psi(2S)\to\gamma\gamma\phi$

[1] ABLIKIM 11E reports $(25.8 \pm 5.2 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M055R31;LINKAGE=AB

[2] BENNETT 08A reports $< 26 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$. NODE=M055R31;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{106}/Γ NODE=M055R3 NODE=M055R3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 6.3 × 10⁻⁶	90	ABLIKIM	17AE	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1} \to 3\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< 3.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ECKLUND	08A	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1} \to 3\gamma$
$<150 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] YAMADA	77	DASP	$e^+e^- \to 3\gamma$

[1] Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.087$. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $\psi(2S)$ decay. NODE=M055R;LINKAGE=T1

$\Gamma(e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{107}/Γ NODE=M055R79 NODE=M055R79

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$3.65 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.10$	1.9k	[1,2] ABLIKIM	17I	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma e^+e^- J/\psi$

[1] ABLIKIM 17I reports $(3.73 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M055R79;LINKAGE=B

[2] Not independent from other measurements reported by ABLIKIM 17I NODE=M055R79;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S))$ $\Gamma_{107}/\Gamma_{102}$ NODE=M055R80 NODE=M055R80

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.1±0.3±0.5	1.9k	[1] ABLIKIM	17I	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to e^+e^-\gamma J/\psi$

[1] Uses $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) \times B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \gamma J/\psi(1S)) = (351.8 \pm 1.0 \pm 12.0) \times 10^{-4}$ from ABLIKIM 17N and accounts for common systematic errors. NODE=M055R80;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma(e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))$ $\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma_{107}$ NODE=M055R84 NODE=M055R84

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.73±0.51±0.50	222	ABLIKIM	19Z	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_c \to \gamma(\mu^+\mu^- J/\psi)$

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ CROSS-PARTICLE BRANCHING RATIOS NODE=M055230

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{182}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$ NODE=M055R98 NODE=M055R98

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$6.68 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.25$	670k	[1,2] ABLIKIM	24BT	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] Calculated by us. The value given here is derived from the value of $B(\chi_{c1} \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-))$ reported in ABLIKIM 24BT using $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (9.75 \pm 0.24)\%$ [PDG 22]. NODE=M055R98;LINKAGE=A

[2] Not used since the same experimental measurement has been used in another related quantity elsewhere. NODE=M055R98;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \overline{K}^0 K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{182}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$ NODE=M055B16 NODE=M055B16

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.8±0.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
7.2±0.6 OUR AVERAGE				
$7.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$	[1] ATHAR	07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma K_S^0 K^+\pi^-$
$7.0 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.9$	[2] ABLIKIM	06R	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c1} \to K^0 K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.})$ reported by ATHAR 07 was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54)\%$. NODE=M055B16;LINKAGE=AT

[2] Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 06R reports $B(\chi_{c1} \to K_S^0 K^+\pi^-) = (4.0 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$. We use $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. NODE=M055B16;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \overline{K}^0 K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{12}$

NODE=M055B17
NODE=M055B17

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
19.6±1.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
13.2±2.4±3.2	[1] BAI	99B	BES	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma K^0_S K^+\pi^-$

[1] Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c1} \to K^0_S K^+\pi^-)$ reported by BAI 99B was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

NODE=M055B17;LINKAGE=BA

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^+K^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{48}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$

NODE=M055B14
NODE=M055B14

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.53±0.11 OUR FIT					
0.61±0.11±0.08	54	[1] ABLIKIM	06T	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma K^+K^+K^-K^-$

[1] Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c1} \to 2K^+2K^-)$ reported by ABLIKIM 06T was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.8)\%$.

NODE=M055B14;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to K^+K^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{48}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{12}$

NODE=M055B15
NODE=M055B15

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.52±0.31 OUR FIT				
1.13±0.40±0.29	[1] BAI	99B	BES	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma K^+K^+K^-K^-$

[1] Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c1} \to 2K^+2K^-)$ reported by BAI 99B was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

NODE=M055B15;LINKAGE=BA

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to 3(K^+K^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{52}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$

NODE=M055R96
NODE=M055R96

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.1±0.9±0.5	24.9 ± 5.1	[1] ABLIKIM	24P	BES3	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)$

[1] Systematic error derived by us, based on the text.

NODE=M055R96;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to p\overline{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$

NODE=M055B6
NODE=M055B6

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.4±0.4 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3.					
7.8±0.6 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.					
7.9±0.4±0.3	453	ABLIKIM	13V	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma p\overline{p}$
8.2±0.7±0.4	141 ± 13	[1] NAIK	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma p\overline{p}$
$4.8^{+1.4}_{-1.3}\pm0.6$	$18.2^{+5.5}_{-4.9}$	BAI	04F	BES	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \gamma\overline{p}p$

[1] Calculated by us. NAIK 08 reports $B(\chi_{c1} \to p\overline{p}) = (9.0 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54)\%$.

NODE=M055B6;LINKAGE=NA



$\Gamma\left(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to\ p\overline{p}\right)/\Gamma_{\rm total} \times \Gamma\left(\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)\right)/\Gamma_{\rm total}$ (units 10^{-6})

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to\ p\overline{p})/\Gamma_{\rm total} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \to\ J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{12}$

NODE=M055B1
NODE=M055B1

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
2.13±0.13 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3.				
1.1 ±1.0	[1] BAI	98I	BES	$\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\chi_{c1} \to\ \gamma\overline{p}p$

[1] Calculated by us. The value for $B(\chi_{c1} \to\ p\overline{p})$ reported in BAI 98I is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (8.7 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \to\ J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D]. NODE=M055B;LINKAGE=J2

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to\ p\overline{p}K^0_S K^-\pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\rm total} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\rm total}$ $\Gamma_{68}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$

NODE=M055R99
NODE=M055R99

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
4.05±0.24±0.39	396	ABLIKIM	24BX	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\chi_{c1}$

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to\ \Lambda\overline{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\rm total} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\rm total}$ $\Gamma_{73}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$

NODE=M055B10
NODE=M055B10

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
12.4±0.9 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
12.3±0.9 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.					
12.8±0.6±0.6	528	ABLIKIM	21L	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma p\pi^-\overline{p}\pi^+$
10.5±1.6±0.6	46	[1] NAIK	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
11.2±1.0±0.9	136	[2,3] ABLIKIM	13H	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$

[1] Calculated by us. NAIK 08 reports $B(\chi_{c1} \to\ \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}) = (11.6 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54)\%$. NODE=M055B10;LINKAGE=NA

[2] Superseded by ABLIKIM 21L NODE=M055B10;LINKAGE=A

[3] Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 13H reports $B(\chi_{c1} \to\ \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}) = (12.2 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $B(\chi_{c1} \to\ \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}) \times B(\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\chi_{c1})$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (9.2 \pm 0.4)\%$. NODE=M055B10;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to\ \Lambda\overline{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\rm total} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \to\ J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{73}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{12}$

NODE=M055B11
NODE=M055B11

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
3.58±0.25 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
7.1 $^{+2.8}_{-2.4}$ ±1.3	$9.0^{+3.5}_{-3.1}$	[1] BAI	03E	BES	$\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$

[1] BAI 03E reports [$B(\chi_{c1} \to\ \Lambda\overline{\Lambda})\ B(\psi(2S) \to\ \gamma\chi_{c1})$ / $B(\psi(2S) \to\ J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$] × [$B^2(\Lambda \to\ \pi^- p)$ / $B(J/\psi \to\ p\overline{p})$] = $(1.33^{+0.52}_{-0.46} \pm 0.25)\%$. We calculate from this measurement the presented value using $B(\Lambda \to\ \pi^- p) = (63.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B(J/\psi \to\ p\overline{p}) = (2.17 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=M055B11;LINKAGE=BA

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\eta)/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$

$\Gamma_{78}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$

NODE=M055R90
NODE=M055R90

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.72±1.34±0.65	21	ABLIKIM	22AO	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma p\pi^- \bar{p}\pi^+\gamma\gamma$

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\omega)/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$

$\Gamma_{79}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$

NODE=M055R97
NODE=M055R97

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.8±1.0±1.1	202 ± 20	[1] ABLIKIM	24BE	BES3	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

[1] Calculated by us. The authors report $B(\chi_{c1} \to \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\omega)$ obtained from a product using PDG 22 value of $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1})$.

NODE=M055R97;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\phi)/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$

$\Gamma_{80}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$

NODE=M055R95
NODE=M055R95

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.86±0.87±0.39	51.6	ABLIKIM	24AC	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \bar{p}\Lambda(1520)K^0_S\pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$

$\Gamma_{83}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$

NODE=M055P00
NODE=M055P00

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{3.96^{+0.77}_{-0.74}\pm0.50}$	88	ABLIKIM	24BX	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Sigma^+\bar{p}K^0_S + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$

$\Gamma_{88}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$

NODE=M055B01
NODE=M055B01

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.49±0.09±0.07	258	[1] ABLIKIM	19BB	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\Sigma^+\bar{p}K^0_S$ + c.c.

[1] Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 19BB reports $B(\chi_{c1} \to \Sigma^+\bar{p}K^0_S + \text{c.c.}) = (1.53 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (9.75 \pm 0.24)\%$ and other branching fractions from PDG 18.

NODE=M055B01;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \Sigma^0\bar{p}K^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$

$\Gamma_{89}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$

NODE=M055R88
NODE=M055R88

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.42±0.07±0.06	493	[1] ABLIKIM	20AE	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\Sigma^0\bar{p}K^+$ + c.c.

[1] Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 20AE reports $B(\chi_{c1} \to \Sigma^0\bar{p}K^+ + \text{c.c.}) = (1.46 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi^0_c) = (9.75 \pm 0.24)\%$ and other branching fractions from PDG 20.

NODE=M055R88;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to \gamma J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$

$\Gamma_{102}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$

NODE=M055B2
NODE=M055B2

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.34 ±0.10 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.7.				
3.24 ±0.16 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.				
3.518±0.010±0.120	143k	[1] ABLIKIM	17N	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\gamma J/\psi$
3.442±0.010±0.132	1.9M	ABLIKIM	17U	BES3	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
2.81 ±0.05 ±0.23	13k	BAI	04I	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\gamma\gamma$
2.56 ±0.12 ±0.20		GAISER	86	CBAL	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma X$
2.78 ±0.30		[2] OREGLIA	82	CBAL	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$
2.2 ±0.5		[3] BRANDELIK	79B	DASP	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$
2.9 ±0.5		[3] BARTEL	78B	CNTR	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$
5.0 ±1.5		[4] BIDDICK	77	CNTR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
2.8 ±0.9		[2] WHITAKER	76	MRK1	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3.377±0.009±0.183	142k	[5] ABLIKIM	12O	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$
3.56 ±0.03 ±0.12	24.9k	[6] MENDEZ	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}$
3.44 ±0.06 ±0.13	3.7k	[7] ADAM	05A	CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08

[1] Uses B($J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$) = (5.971 ± 0.032)% and B($J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$) = (5.961 ± 0.033)%. NODE=M055B2;LINKAGE=A

[2] Recalculated by us using B($J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$) = 0.1181 ± 0.0020. NODE=M055B;LINKAGE=3Q

[3] Recalculated by us using B($J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$) = 0.0588 ± 0.0010. NODE=M055B;LINKAGE=2Q

[4] Assumes isotropic gamma distribution. NODE=M055B;LINKAGE=EA

[5] Superseded by ABLIKIM 17N. NODE=M055B2;LINKAGE=B

[6] Not independent from other measurements of MENDEZ 08. NODE=M055B2;LINKAGE=ME

[7] Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A. NODE=M055B;LINKAGE=AD



$\Gamma\left(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)\right)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma\left(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)\right)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ (units 10^{-2})

$\Gamma\left(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)\right)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma\left(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)\right)/\Gamma\left(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-\right)$ **$\Gamma_{102}/\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{182}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}_{12}$** NODE=M055B3 NODE=M055B3

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.62±0.29 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.7.				
10.15±0.28 OUR AVERAGE					
10.17±0.07±0.27	24.9k	MENDEZ	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$
12.6 ±0.3 ±3.8	3k	[1] ABLIKIM	04B	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$
8.5 ±2.1		[2] HIMEL	80	MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
10.24±0.17±0.23	3.7k	[3] ADAM	05A	CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08

[1] From a fit to the J/ψ recoil mass spectra. NODE=M055B;LINKAGE=AB

[2] The value for B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$)×B($\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$) quoted in HIMEL 80 is derived using B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$) = (33 ± 3)% and B($J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$) = 0.138 ± 0.018. Calculated by us using B($J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$) = 0.1181 ± 0.0020. NODE=M055B;LINKAGE=J3

[3] Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A. NODE=M055B3;LINKAGE=AD

MULTIPOLE AMPLITUDES IN $\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$ NODE=M055240

$a_2 = M2/\sqrt{E1^2 + M2^2}$ Magnetic quadrupole fractional transition amplitude NODE=M055A1 NODE=M055A1

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−6.7 ±0.9 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.6. See the ideogram below.				
−7.40±0.33±0.34	164k	[1] ABLIKIM	17N	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
−6.26±0.63±0.24	39k	ARTUSO	09	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
0.2 ±3.2 ±0.4	2090	AMBROGIANI	02	E835	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$
$-0.2\ ^{+0.8}_{-2.0}$	921	OREGLIA	82	CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma\gamma$

[1] Correlated with b_2 with correlation coefficient $\rho_{a_2 b_2} = 0.133$.

NODE=M055A1;LINKAGE=A



MULTIPOLE AMPLITUDES IN $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1S)$ RADIATIVE DECAY

NODE=M055250

$b_2 = M2/\sqrt{E1^2 + M2^2}$ Magnetic quadrupole fractional transition amplitude

NODE=M055QB2
NODE=M055QB2

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.5 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
$2.29 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.27$	164k	[1] ABLIKIM	17N	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
$2.76 \pm 0.73 \pm 0.23$	39k	ARTUSO	09	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
$7.7\ ^{+5.0}_{-4.5}$	921	OREGLIA	82	CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

[1] Correlated with a_2 with correlation coefficient $\rho_{a_2 b_2} = 0.133$.

NODE=M055QB2;LINKAGE=A

MULTIPOLE AMPLITUDE RATIOS IN RADIATIVE DECAYS $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1S)$ and $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$

NODE=M055260

a_2/b_2 Magnetic quadrupole transition amplitude ratio

NODE=M055QAR
NODE=M055QAR

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{-2.27^{+0.57}_{-0.99}}$	39k	[1] ARTUSO	09	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

[1] Statistical and systematic errors combined. Not independent of $a_2(\chi_{c1})$ and $b_2(\chi_{c1})$ values from ARTUSO 09.

NODE=M055QAR;LINKAGE=AR

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M055

ABLIKIM	24AC	PR D110 032016	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24BE	PR D110 032022	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24BT	PR D110 072009	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24BW	PR D110 092003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24BX	PR D110 112009	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24CA	PR D110 112013	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24P	PR D109 072016	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	23AH	PR D108 032010	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23N	JHEP 2305 069	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23T	PR D107 092004	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22AF	PRL 129 122001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22AO	PR D106 072004	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22O	JHEP 2206 074	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22Q	PR D106 032014	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21AV	JHEP 2111 217	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21L	PR D103 112004	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20AE	PR D102 092006	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20B	PR D101 012012	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20I	PR D101 092002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AA	PR D99 052008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AU	PR D100 052010	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19BB	PR D100 092006	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19J	PR D99 012015	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19Z	PR D99 051101	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)

NODE=M055;REFID=62682
NODE=M055;REFID=62904
NODE=M055;REFID=63025
NODE=M055;REFID=63028
NODE=M055;REFID=63029
NODE=M055;REFID=63032
NODE=M055;REFID=62667
NODE=M055;REFID=62349
NODE=M055;REFID=62056
NODE=M055;REFID=62064
NODE=M055;REFID=61878
NODE=M055;REFID=61887
NODE=M055;REFID=61652
NODE=M055;REFID=61663
NODE=M055;REFID=61634
NODE=M055;REFID=61465
NODE=M055;REFID=61117
NODE=M055;REFID=60733
NODE=M055;REFID=60212
NODE=M055;REFID=60303
NODE=M055;REFID=60676
NODE=M055;REFID=59844
NODE=M055;REFID=59996
NODE=M055;REFID=60026
NODE=M055;REFID=59606
NODE=M055;REFID=59837

LU	19	PR D99 032003	P.-C. Lu *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=59614
ABLIKIM	18D	PRL 121 022001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58849
ABLIKIM	18V	PR D97 052011	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58990
PDG	18	PR D98 030001	M. Tanabashi *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=59304
AAIJ	17BB	EPJ C77 609	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=58191
AAIJ	17BI	PRL 119 221801	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=58278
ABLIKIM	17AE	PR D96 092007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58310
ABLIKIM	17I	PRL 118 221802	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57931
ABLIKIM	17K	PR D95 032002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57953
ABLIKIM	17N	PR D95 072004	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57978
ABLIKIM	17U	PR D96 032001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58026
PDG	16	CP C40 100001	C. Patrignani *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=57140
ABLIKIM	15I	PR D91 092006	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56774
ABLIKIM	15M	PR D91 112008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56778
ABLIKIM	14J	PR D89 074030	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55901
ABLIKIM	13B	PR D87 012002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54877
ABLIKIM	13D	PR D87 012007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54879
ABLIKIM	13H	PR D87 032007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54953
ABLIKIM	13V	PR D88 112001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55583
ABLIKIM	12I	PR D86 052004	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54736
ABLIKIM	12J	PR D86 052011	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54737
ABLIKIM	12O	PRL 109 172002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54742
ABLIKIM	11A	PR D83 012006	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53647
ABLIKIM	11D	PR D83 032003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=16715
ABLIKIM	11E	PR D83 112005	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=16717
ABLIKIM	11F	PR D83 112009	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=16719
ABLIKIM	11K	PRL 107 092001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53940
ONYISI	10	PR D82 011103	P.U.E. Onyisi *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53360
ARTUSO	09	PR D80 112003	M. Artuso *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53206
BENNETT	08A	PRL 101 151801	J.V. Bennett *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52575
ECKLUND	08A	PR D78 091501	K.M. Ecklund *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52583
HE	08B	PR D78 092004	Q. He *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52588
MENDEZ	08	PR D78 011102	H. Mendez *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52684
NAIK	08	PR D78 031101	P. Naik *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52301
ATHAR	07	PR D75 032002	S.B. Athar *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51618
ABLIKIM	06D	PR D73 052006	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51049
ABLIKIM	06R	PR D74 072001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51447
ABLIKIM	06T	PL B642 197	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51453
ABLIKIM	05G	PR D71 092002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50756
ABLIKIM	05O	PL B630 21	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50846
ADAM	05A	PRL 94 232002	N.E. Adam *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50763
ANDREOTTI	05A	NP B717 34	M. Andreotti *et al.*	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=50769
ABLIKIM	04B	PR D70 012003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49741
ABLIKIM	04H	PR D70 092003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50188
BAI	04F	PR D69 092001	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49752
BAI	04I	PR D70 012006	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49755
AULCHENKO	03	PL B573 63	V.M. Aulchenko *et al.*	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=49579
BAI	03E	PR D67 112001	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49416
AMBROGIANI	02	PR D65 052002	M. Ambrogiani *et al.*	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=48552
BAI	99B	PR D60 072001	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47385
BAI	98D	PR D58 092006	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46338
BAI	98I	PRL 81 3091	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46343
ARMSTRONG	92	NP B373 35	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=41865
Also		PRL 68 1468	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=41907
BAGLIN	86B	PL B172 455	C. Baglin	(LAPP, CERN, GENO, LYON, OSLO+)	REFID=22145
GAISER	86	PR D34 711	J. Gaiser *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=22012
LEMOIGNE	82	PL 113B 509	Y. Lemoigne *et al.*	(SACL, LOIC, SHMP+)	REFID=22084
OREGLIA	82	PR D25 2259	M.J. Oreglia *et al.*	(SLAC, CIT, HARV+)	REFID=22120
Also		Private Comm.	M.J. Oreglia	(EFI)	REFID=22143
HIMEL	80	PRL 44 920	T. Himel *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22119
Also		Private Comm.	G. Trilling	(LBL, UCB)	REFID=22113
BRANDELIK	79B	NP B160 426	R. Brandelik *et al.*	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22115
BARTEL	78B	PL 79B 492	W. Bartel *et al.*	(DESY, HEIDP)	REFID=22111
TANENBAUM	78	PR D17 1731	W.M. Tanenbaum *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22112
Also		Private Comm.	G. Trilling	(LBL, UCB)	REFID=22113
BIDDICK	77	PRL 38 1324	C.J. Biddick *et al.*	(UCSD, UMD, PAVI+)	REFID=22059
FELDMAN	77	PRPL 33C 285	G.J. Feldman, M.L. Perl	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22062
YAMADA	77	Hamburg Conf. 69	S. Yamada	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22064
WHITAKER	76	PRL 37 1596	J.S. Whitaker *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22151
TANENBAUM	75	PRL 35 1323	W.M. Tanenbaum *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22106