

**$\Upsilon(11020)$**  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^- -)$ 

NODE=M093

 **$\Upsilon(11020)$  MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>11000 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
11000.0 + 4.0 - 4.5	1 MIZUK	19 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S) \pi^+ \pi^-$
10999.0 + 7.3 - 7.8	2 MIZUK	16 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P, 2P) \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
11001 ± 1	3 DONG	20A	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$
11003.0 ± 1.1	4,5 SANTEL	16 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
10987.5 + 6.4 - 2.5	6,7 SANTEL	16 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S) \pi^+ \pi^-$
10996 ± 2	8 AUBERT	09E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
11019 ± 5 ± 7	BESSON	85 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
11020 ± 30	LOVELOCK	85 CUSB	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

1 From a simultaneous fit to the  $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , cross sections at 28 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$  GeV, including the initial-state radiation at  $\Upsilon(10860)$ .

2 From a simultaneous fit to the  $h_b(nP)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n = 1, 2$  cross sections at 22 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.77\text{--}11.02$  GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with eight resonance parameters (a mass and width for each of  $\Upsilon(10860)$  and  $\Upsilon(11020)$ , a single relative phase, a single relative amplitude, and two overall normalization factors, one for each  $n$ ). The systematic error estimate is dominated by possible interference with a small nonresonant continuum amplitude.

3 From a fit to the dressed cross sections of AUBERT 09E by BaBar and SANTEL 16 by Belle above 10.68 GeV with a coherent sum of a continuum amplitude and three Breit-Wigner functions with constant widths.

4 From a fit to the total hadronic cross sections measured at 60 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.82\text{--}11.05$  GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes and two floating continuum amplitudes with  $1/\sqrt{s}$  dependence, one coherent with the resonances and one incoherent, with six resonance parameters (a mass, width, and an amplitude for each of  $\Upsilon(10860)$  and  $\Upsilon(11020)$ , one relative phase, and one decoherence coefficient).

5 Not including uncertain and potentially large systematic errors due to assumed continuum amplitude  $1/\sqrt{s}$  dependence and related interference contributions.

6 From a simultaneous fit to the  $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , cross sections at 25 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$  GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with fourteen resonance parameters (a mass, width, and three amplitudes for each of  $\Upsilon(10860)$  and  $\Upsilon(11020)$ , a single universal relative phase, and three decoherence coefficients, one for each  $n$ ). Continuum contributions were measured (and therefore fixed) to be zero.

7 Superseded by MIZUK 19.

8 In a model where a flat non-resonant  $b\bar{b}$ -continuum is incoherently added to a second flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M093M

NODE=M093M

OCCUR=2

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=F  
NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M093W

NODE=M093W

OCCUR=2

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>24 + 8 - 6 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
23.8 + 8.0 - 6.8	1 MIZUK	19 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \pi^+ \pi^-$
27 + 27 - 11	2 MIZUK	16 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P, 2P) \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
35.1 ± 1.2	3 DONG	20A	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$
39.3 + 1.7 - 1.6	4,5 SANTEL	16 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
61 + 9 - 19	6,7 SANTEL	16 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S) \pi^+ \pi^-$
37 ± 3	8 AUBERT	09E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
61 ± 13 ± 22	BESSON	85 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
90 ± 20	LOVELOCK	85 CUSB	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

- 1 From a simultaneous fit to the  $\gamma(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , cross sections at 28 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$  GeV, including the initial-state radiation at  $\gamma(10860)$ .
- 2 From a simultaneous fit to the  $h_b(nP)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n = 1, 2$  cross sections at 22 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.77\text{--}11.02$  GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with eight resonance parameters (a mass and width for each of  $\gamma(10860)$  and  $\gamma(11020)$ , a single relative phase, a single relative amplitude, and two overall normalization factors, one for each  $n$ ). The systematic error estimate is dominated by possible interference with a small nonresonant continuum amplitude.
- 3 From a fit to the dressed cross sections of AUBERT 09E by BaBar and SANTEL 16 by Belle above 10.68 GeV with a coherent sum of a continuum amplitude and three Breit-Wigner functions with constant widths.
- 4 From a fit to the total hadronic cross sections measured at 60 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.82\text{--}11.05$  GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes and two floating continuum amplitudes with  $1/\sqrt{s}$  dependence, one coherent with the resonances and one incoherent, with six resonance parameters (a mass, width, and an amplitude for each of  $\gamma(10860)$  and  $\gamma(11020)$ , one relative phase, and one decoherence coefficient).
- 5 Not including uncertain and potentially large systematic errors due to assumed continuum amplitude  $1/\sqrt{s}$  dependence and related interference contributions.
- 6 From a simultaneous fit to the  $\gamma(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n=1, 2, 3$ , cross sections at 25 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$  GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with fourteen resonance parameters (a mass, width, and three amplitudes for each of  $\gamma(10860)$  and  $\gamma(11020)$ , a single universal relative phase, and three decoherence coefficients, one for each  $n$ ). Continuum contributions were measured (and therefore fixed) to be zero.
- 7 Superseded by MIZUK 19.
- 8 In a model where a flat non-resonant  $b\bar{b}$ -continuum is incoherently added to a second flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=F  
NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M093215;NODE=M093

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 e^+ e^-$	$(5.4^{+1.9}_{-2.1}) \times 10^{-6}$
$\Gamma_2 \gamma(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	
$\Gamma_3 \gamma(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	
$\Gamma_4 \gamma(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	
$\Gamma_5 \chi_{bJ}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(9^{+9}_{-8}) \times 10^{-3}$
$\Gamma_6 \chi_{b1}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_7 \chi_{b2}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	seen

 **$\gamma(11020)$  PARTIAL WIDTHS**

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$	$\Gamma_1$			
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b><math>0.130 \pm 0.030</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
$0.095 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.035$	BESSON	85	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons	
$0.156 \pm 0.040$	LOVELOCK	85	CUSB $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons	

DESIG=1

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=7

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

NODE=M093220

NODE=M093W1  
NODE=M093W1NODE=M093R04  
NODE=M093R04

NODE=M093R04;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M093R04;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M093R05  
NODE=M093R05

NODE=M093R05;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M093R05;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1\Gamma_2/\Gamma$			
VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.46 \pm 0.08$	1,2 MIZUK	19	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$	

1 From a simultaneous fit to the  $\gamma(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , cross sections at 28 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$  GeV, including the initial-state radiation at  $\gamma(10860)$ .

2 Reported as the range 0.38–0.54 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1\Gamma_3/\Gamma$			
VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.65 \pm 0.52$	1,2 MIZUK	19	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$	

1 From a simultaneous fit to the  $\gamma(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , cross sections at 28 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$  GeV, including the initial-state radiation at  $\gamma(10860)$ .

2 Reported as the range 0.13–1.16 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			$\Gamma_1\Gamma_4/\Gamma$	
<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
$0.33 \pm 0.16$	1,2 MIZUK	19	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$	NODE=M093R06 NODE=M093R06
<sup>1</sup> From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ , $n = 1, 2, 3$ , cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$ .				
2 Reported as the range 0.17–0.49 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.				
$\Gamma(\chi_{bJ}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			$\Gamma_5/\Gamma$	
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
$8.7 \pm 4.3^{+7.6}_{-6.6}$	YIN	18	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	NODE=M093R00 NODE=M093R00
$\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			$\Gamma_6/\Gamma$	
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>seen</b>	YIN	18	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	NODE=M093R01 NODE=M093R01
$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			$\Gamma_7/\Gamma$	
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>seen</b>	YIN	18	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	NODE=M093R02 NODE=M093R02
$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$			$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_6$	
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
$0.4 \pm 0.2$	YIN	18	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	NODE=M093R03 NODE=M093R03

## $\Upsilon(11020)$ REFERENCES

DONG	20A	CP C44 083001	X.-K. Dong <i>et al.</i>	REFID=60595
MIZUK	19	JHEP 1910 220	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	REFID=60090
YIN	18	PR D98 091102	J.H. Yin <i>et al.</i>	REFID=59468
MIZUK	16	PRL 117 142001	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	REFID=57465
SANTEL	16	PR D93 011101	D. Santel <i>et al.</i>	REFID=57121
AUBERT	09E	PRL 102 012001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	REFID=52661
BESSON	85	PRL 54 381	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	REFID=22368
LOVELOCK	85	PRL 54 377	D.M.J. Lovelock <i>et al.</i>	REFID=22369