

$K_0^*(700)$ $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^+)$ also known as κ ; was $K_0^*(800)$

See the related review(s):

Scalar Mesons below 1 GeV

 $K_0^*(700)$ T-Matrix Pole \sqrt{s}

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(630–730) – i (260–340) OUR ESTIMATE (see Fig. 64.1 in the review)			
(702 ± 12 ⁺⁴ ₋₅) – i (285 ± 16 ⁺⁸ ₋₁₃)	¹ DANILKIN	21	RVUE Compilation
(648 ± 7) – i (280 ± 16)	² PELAEZ	20	$\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
(670 ± 18) – i (295 ± 28)	³ PELAEZ	17	$\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
(764 ± 63 ⁺⁷¹ ₋₅₄) – i (306 ± 149 ⁺¹⁴³ ₋₈₅)	⁴ ABLIKIM	11B	BES2 1.3k $J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
(665 ± 9) – i (268 ⁺²¹ ₋₆)	⁵ GUO	11B	RVUE
(849 ± 77 ⁺¹⁸ ₋₁₄) – i (256 ± 40 ⁺⁴⁶ ₋₂₂)	⁴ ABLIKIM	10E	BES2 1.4k $J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^0$
(663 ± 8 ± 34) – i (329 ± 5 ± 22)	⁶ BUGG	10	RVUE S-matrix pole
(706.0 ± 1.8 ± 22.8) – i (319.4 ± 2.2 ± 20.2)	⁷ BONVICINI	08A	CLEO 141k $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
(841 ± 30 ⁺⁸¹ ₋₇₃) – i (309 ± 45 ⁺⁴⁸ ₋₇₂)	⁴ ABLIKIM	06C	BES2 25k $J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
(750 ⁺³⁰ ₋₅₅) – i (342 ± 60)	⁸ BUGG	06	RVUE
(658 ± 13) – i (279 ± 12)	⁹ DESCOTES-G..06	RVUE	$\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
(757 ± 33) – i (279 ± 41)	¹⁰ GUO	06	RVUE
(694 ± 53) – i (303 ± 30)	¹¹ ZHOU	06	RVUE $K p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
(594 ± 79) – i (362 ± 166)	¹¹ ZHENG	04	RVUE $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
(722 ± 60) – i (386 ± 50)	¹¹ BUGG	03	RVUE 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
(875 ± 75) – i (335 ± 110)	¹² ISHIDA	97B	RVUE 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
727 – i 263	¹³ VANBEVEREN	86	RVUE

1 Data driven analysis using partial-wave dispersion relations.

2 Extracted employing πK partial wave analysis from ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88, Roy-Steiner equations and once subtracted forward dispersion relations.

3 Reanalysis of ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88 satisfying Forward Dispersion Relations and using sequences of Pade approximants.

4 Extracted from Breit-Wigner parameters.

5 Fit to scattering phase shifts using UChPT amplitudes with explicit resonances.

6 Supersedes BUGG 06. Combined analysis of ASTON 88, ABLIKIM 06C, AITALA 06, and LINK 09 using an s-dependent width with couplings to $K\pi$ and $K\eta'$, and the Adler zero near thresholds.

7 From a complex pole included in the fit. Using parameters from the model that fits data best.

8 Reanalysis of ASTON 88, AITALA 02, and ABLIKIM 06C using for the κ an s-dependent width with an Adler zero near threshold.

9 Using Roy-Steiner equations (ROY 71) consistent with unitarity, analyticity and crossing symmetry constraints.

10 From UChPT fitted to MERCER 71, BINGHAM 72 and ESTABROOKS 78. Amplitude shown to be consistent with data of ABLIKIM 06C.

11 Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.

12 Reanalysis of ASTON 88 using interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes. Extracted from Breit-Wigner parameters.

13 Unitarized Quark Model.

NODE=M174TMP

NODE=M174TMP

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=N

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=O

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M174M

NODE=M174M

OCCUR=2

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
838 ± 11 OUR AVERAGE				
833 ± 15		¹ ACHARYA	24C ALCE	$p p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm \chi$, 13 TeV
826 ± 49 ⁺⁴⁹ ₋₃₄	1.3k	² ABLIKIM	11B BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
810 ± 68 ⁺¹⁵ ₋₂₄	1.4k	³ ABLIKIM	10E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^0$
856 ± 17 ± 13	54k	LINK	07B FOCS	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$

878	± 23	$+64$ -55	25k	⁴ ABLIKIM	06C BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
797	± 19	± 43	15k	^{5,6} AITALA	02 E791	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
888.0	± 1.9		141k	⁷ BONVICINI	08A CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
855	± 15		0.6k	⁸ CAWLFIELD	06A CLEO	$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
905	$+65$ -30			⁹ ISHIDA	97B RVUE	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

¹ Assuming a Gaussian source to the measured two-particle correlation function. Systematic error dominates. For 0–100% multiplicity class.

² The Breit-Wigner parameters from a fit with seven intermediate resonances. The S-matrix pole position is $(764 \pm 63^{+71}_{-54}) - i(306 \pm 149^{+143}_{-85})$ MeV.

³ From a fit including ten additional resonances and energy-independent Breit-Wigner width.

⁴ A fit in the $K_0^*(700) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$ model with mass and width of the $K_0^*(700)$ from ABLIKIM 06C well describes the left slope of the $K_S^0 \pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum in $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$ decay studied by EPIFANOV 07. Averaged value from different parameterizations.

⁵ Not seen by KOPP 01 using 7070 events of $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$. LINK 02E and LINK 05I show clear evidence for a constant non-resonant scalar amplitude rather than $K_0^*(700)$ in their high statistics analysis of $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$.

⁶ AUBERT 07T does not find evidence for the charged $K_0^*(700)$ using 11k events of $D^0 \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^0$.

⁷ Using parameters from the model that fits data best.

⁸ Breit-Wigner parameters. A significant S-wave can be also modeled as a non-resonant contribution.

⁹ Reanalysis of ASTON 88 using interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes.

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=LI

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=EP

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=CA

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=IS

$K_0^*(700)$ Breit-Wigner Width

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
463 ± 27 OUR AVERAGE				
430 ± 88 -53		¹ ACHARYA	24C ALCE	$pp \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm X$, 13 TeV
449 ± 156 -81	1.3k	² ABLIKIM	11B BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
536 ± 87 -47	1.4k	³ ABLIKIM	10E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^0$
464 ± 28 ± 22	54k	LINK	07B FOCS	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
499 ± 52 ± 87	25k	⁴ ABLIKIM	06C BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
410 ± 43 ± 87	15k	^{5,6} AITALA	02 E791	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
550.4 ± 11.8	141k	⁷ BONVICINI	08A CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
251 ± 48	0.6k	⁸ CAWLFIELD	06A CLEO	$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
545 $+235$ -110		⁹ ISHIDA	97B RVUE	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

¹ Assuming a Gaussian source to the measured two-particle correlation function. Systematic error dominates. For 0–100% multiplicity class.

² The Breit-Wigner parameters from a fit with seven intermediate resonances. The S-matrix pole position is $(764 \pm 63^{+71}_{-54}) - i(306 \pm 149^{+143}_{-85})$ MeV.

³ From a fit including ten additional resonances and energy-independent Breit-Wigner width.

⁴ A fit in the $K_0^*(700) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$ model with mass and width of the $K_0^*(700)$ from ABLIKIM 06C well describes the left slope of the $K_S^0 \pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum in $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$ decay studied by EPIFANOV 07. Averaged value from different parameterizations.

⁵ Not seen by KOPP 01 using 7070 events of $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$. LINK 02E and LINK 05I show clear evidence for a constant non-resonant scalar amplitude rather than $K_0^*(700)$ in their high statistics analysis of $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$.

⁶ AUBERT 07T does not find evidence for the charged $K_0^*(700)$ using 11k events of $D^0 \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^0$.

⁷ Using parameters from the model that fits data best.

⁸ Statistical error only. A fit to the Dalitz plot including the $K_0^*(700)^\pm$, $K^*(892)^\pm$, and ϕ resonances modeled as Breit-Wigners. A significant S-wave can be also modeled as a non-resonant contribution.

⁹ Reanalysis of ASTON 88 using interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes.

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=LI

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=EP

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=CA

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=IS

***K₀**(700) DECAY MODES**

NODE=M174215;NODE=M174

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad K\pi$	100 %

***K₀**(700) REFERENCES**

ACHARYA	24C	PL B856 138915	S. Acharya <i>et al.</i>	(ALICE Collab.)
DANILKIN	21	PR D103 114023	I. Danilkin, O. Deineka, M. Vanderhaeghen	(MAINZ)
PELAEZ	20	PRL 124 172001	J.R. Pelaez <i>et al.</i>	
PELAEZ	17	EPJ C77 91	J.R. Pelaez, A.Rodas, J.R. de Elvira	
ABLIKIM	11B	PL B698 183	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)
GUO	11B	PR D84 034005	Z.-H. Guo, J.A. Oller	
ABLIKIM	10E	PL B693 88	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)
BUGG	10	PR D81 014002	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
LINK	09	PL B681 14	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
BONVICINI	08A	PR D78 052001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	07T	PR D76 011102	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
EPIFANOV	07	PL B654 65	D. Epifanov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LINK	07B	PL B653 1	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06C	PL B633 681	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AITALA	06	PR D73 032004	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
Also		PR D74 059901 (errat.)	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
BUGG	06	PL B632 471	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
CAWLFIELD	06A	PR D74 031108	C. Cawfield <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
DESCOTES-G...	06	EPJ C48 553	S. Descotes-Genon, B. Moussallam	
GUO	06	NP A773 78	F.K. Guo <i>et al.</i>	
ZHOU	06	NP A775 212	Z.Y. Zhou, H.Q. Zheng	
LINK	05I	PL B621 72	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ZHENG	04	NP A733 235	H.Q. Zheng <i>et al.</i>	
BUGG	03	PL B572 1	D.V. Bugg	
AITALA	02	PRL 89 121801	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
LINK	02E	PL B535 43	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
KOPP	01	PR D63 092001	S. Kopp <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ISHIDA	97B	PTP 98 621	S. Ishida <i>et al.</i>	
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
VANBEVEREN	86	ZPHY C30 615	E. van Beveren <i>et al.</i>	(NIJm, BIEL)
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
BINGHAM	72	NP B41 1	H.H. Bingham <i>et al.</i>	(International K^+ Collab.)
MERCER	71	NP B32 381	R. Mercer <i>et al.</i>	(JHU)
ROY	71	PL 36B 353	S.M. Roy	

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M174

REFID=63181
 REFID=62088
 REFID=60559
 REFID=57836
 REFID=53683
 REFID=58808
 REFID=53361
 REFID=53213
 REFID=53056
 REFID=52426
 REFID=51726
 REFID=51929
 REFID=51875
 REFID=51037
 REFID=51051
 REFID=51458
 REFID=50996
 REFID=51153
 REFID=51518
 REFID=51164
 REFID=51198
 REFID=50679
 REFID=50165
 REFID=49586
 REFID=48807
 REFID=48728
 REFID=48134
 REFID=48655
 REFID=40262
 REFID=45769
 REFID=22443
 REFID=22415
 REFID=22412
 REFID=51107