

NODE=M208

 $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$
 $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{+-})$
I, G, C need confirmation.
was $Z_b(10650)$, $X(10650)^\pm$ Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.Observed by BONDAR 12 in $\gamma(5S)$ decays to $\gamma(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ($n = 1, 2, 3$) and $h_b(mP)\pi^+\pi^-$ ($m = 1, 2$). $J^P = 1^+$ is favored from angular analyses.

NODE=M208

 $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)^+ \text{ MASS}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10652.2±1.5	¹ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
10656.7±5.0 ^{+1.1} _{-3.1}	² GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10650.7±1.5 ^{+0.5} _{-0.2}	² GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10651.2±1.0 ^{+0.4} _{-0.3}	² GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10657 ± 6 ± 3	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10651 ± 2 ± 3	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10652 ± 1 ± 2	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10654 ± 3 ± 1	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$
10651 +2 +3 -3 -2	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$

1 Average of the BONDAR 12 measurements in separate channels.

2 Correlated with the corresponding result from BONDAR 12.

3 Superseded by the average measurement of BONDAR 12.

NODE=M208M

NODE=M208M

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=5

OCCUR=6

NODE=M208M;LINKAGE=BO

NODE=M208M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M208M;LINKAGE=BN

 $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)^+ \text{ WIDTH}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11.5± 2.2	¹ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
12.1 ^{+11.3 + 2.7} _{-4.8 - 0.6}	² GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
14.2± 3.7 ^{+0.9} _{-0.4}	² GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
9.3± 2.2 ^{+0.3} _{-0.5}	² GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
16.3± 9.8 ^{+6.0} _{-2.0}	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
13.3± 3.3 ^{+4.0} _{-3.0}	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
8.4± 2.0± 2.0	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
20.9 ^{+ 5.4 + 2.1} _{- 4.7 - 5.7}	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$
19 ± 7 ± 11 -7	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M208W

NODE=M208W

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=5

OCCUR=6

NODE=M208W;LINKAGE=BO

NODE=M208W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M208W;LINKAGE=BN

 $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650) \text{ DECAY MODES}$

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \gamma(1S)\pi^+$	(1.7 ^{+0.8} _{-0.6}) × 10 ⁻³
$\Gamma_2 \quad \gamma(2S)\pi^+$	(1.4 ^{+0.6} _{-0.4}) %
$\Gamma_3 \quad \gamma(3S)\pi^+$	(1.6 ^{+0.7} _{-0.5}) %
$\Gamma_4 \quad h_b(1P)\pi^+$	(8.4 ^{+2.9} _{-2.4}) %
$\Gamma_5 \quad h_b(2P)\pi^+$	(15 ± 4) %
$\Gamma_6 \quad B^+\bar{B}^0$	not seen
$\Gamma_7 \quad B^+\bar{B}^{*0} + B^{*+}\bar{B}^0$	not seen
$\Gamma_8 \quad B^{*+}\bar{B}^{*0}$	(74 ± 4) %

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

DESIG=8

DESIG=6

DESIG=7

NODE=M208215;NODE=M208

$T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_1/Γ
$1.7^{+0.7+0.3}_{-0.6-0.2}$	1 GARMASH	16	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^* + \bar{B}^* 0$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Assuming the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^* + \bar{B}^* 0$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ
$1.39^{+0.48+0.34}_{-0.38-0.23}$	1 GARMASH	16	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^* + \bar{B}^* 0$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Assuming the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^* + \bar{B}^* 0$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_3/Γ
$1.63^{+0.53+0.39}_{-0.42-0.28}$	1 GARMASH	16	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^* + \bar{B}^* 0$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Assuming the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^* + \bar{B}^* 0$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.

$\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_4/Γ
$8.41^{+2.43+1.49}_{-2.12-1.06}$	1 GARMASH	16	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^* + \bar{B}^* 0$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	2 MIZUK	16	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	3 BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Assuming the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^* + \bar{B}^* 0$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.

² Using $e^+ e^-$ energies near the $\Upsilon(11020)$.

³ Using $e^+ e^-$ energies near the $\Upsilon(10860)$.

$\Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_5/Γ
$14.7^{+3.2+2.8}_{-2.8-2.3}$	1 GARMASH	16	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^* + \bar{B}^* 0$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

possibly seen	2 MIZUK	16	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	3 BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Assuming the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^* + \bar{B}^* 0$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.

² Using $e^+ e^-$ energies near the $\Upsilon(11020)$.

³ Using $e^+ e^-$ energies near the $\Upsilon(10860)$.

$\Gamma(B^+\bar{B}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_6/Γ
not seen	GARMASH	16	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^+ + \bar{B}^0$	

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_7/Γ
not seen	GARMASH	16	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^+ + \bar{B}^0$, $\pi^- \bar{B}^0 B^+$	

NODE=M208225

NODE=M208R01

NODE=M208R01

NODE=M208R01;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M208R02

NODE=M208R02

NODE=M208R03;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M208R04

NODE=M208R04

NODE=M208R04;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M208R04;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M208R04;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M208R05

NODE=M208R05

NODE=M208R05;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M208R05;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M208R05;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M208R08

NODE=M208R08

NODE=M208R00

NODE=M208R00

$\Gamma(B^*+\bar{B}^{*0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_8/Γ
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
73.7$^{+3.4}_{-4.4}$$^{+2.7}_{-3.5}$	161	¹ GARMASH	16 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^*+\bar{B}^{*0}$	

¹ Assuming the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \gamma(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^*+\bar{B}^{*0}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16. Using the mass and width of the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ from BONDAR 12.

$\Gamma(B^*+\bar{B}^{*0})/[\Gamma(\gamma(1S)\pi^+) + \Gamma(\gamma(2S)\pi^+) + \Gamma(\gamma(3S)\pi^+) + \Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+) + \Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+)]$					$\Gamma_8/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)$
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.80 $^{+0.69}_{-0.40}$ $^{+0.54}_{-0.36}$	161	¹ GARMASH	16 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^*+\bar{B}^{*0}$	
--	-----	----------------------	---------	--	--

¹ Combined with the results of BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16. Not independent from $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ branching fractions to $\pi^+ \gamma(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^*+\bar{B}^{*0}$.

$T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ REFERENCES

GARMASH	16	PRL 116 212001	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
MIZUK	16	PRL 117 142001	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
GARMASH	15	PR D91 072003	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BONDAR	12	PRL 108 122001	A. Bondar <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

NODE=M208R06
NODE=M208R06

NODE=M208R06;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M208R07
NODE=M208R07

NODE=M208R07;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M208

REFID=57446
REFID=57465
REFID=56811
REFID=53963