

LIGHT UNFLAVORED MESONS

($S = C = B = 0$)

For $I = 1$ (π, b, ρ, a): $u\bar{d}, (u\bar{u}-d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}, d\bar{u}$;
for $I = 0$ ($\eta, \eta', h, h', \omega, \phi, f, f'$): $c_1(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}) + c_2(s\bar{s})$

NODE=MXXX005

NODE=MXXX005

NODE=M014

$f_0(500)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

also known as σ ; was $f_0(600)$, $f_0(400-1200)$
See the related review(s):

Scalar Mesons below 1 GeV

$f_0(500)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

NODE=M014PP

NODE=M014PP

NODE=M014PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(400–550)–i(200–350) OUR ESTIMATE (see Fig. 64.3 in the review)			
$(458 \pm 7^{+4}_{-10}) - i(245 \pm 6^{+7}_{-10})$	¹ DANILKIN	21	RVUE Compilation
$(410 \pm 20) - i(240 \pm 15)$	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
$(512 \pm 15) - i(188 \pm 12)$	² ABLIKIM	17	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi$
$(440 \pm 10) - i(238 \pm 10)$	³ ALBALADEJO	12	RVUE Compilation
$(445 \pm 25) - i(278^{+22}_{-18})$	^{4,5} GARCIA-MAR..11	RVUE	Compilation
$(457^{+14}_{-13}) - i(279^{+11}_{-7})$	^{4,6} GARCIA-MAR..11	RVUE	Compilation
$(442^{+5}_{-8}) - i(274^{+6}_{-5})$	⁷ MOUSSALLAM11	RVUE	Compilation
$(452 \pm 13) - i(259 \pm 16)$	⁸ MENNESSIER	10	RVUE Compilation
$(448 \pm 43) - i(266 \pm 43)$	⁹ MENNESSIER	10	RVUE Compilation
$(455 \pm 6^{+31}_{-13}) - i(278 \pm 6^{+34}_{-43})$	¹⁰ CAPRINI	08	RVUE Compilation
$(463 \pm 6^{+31}_{-17}) - i(259 \pm 6^{+33}_{-34})$	¹¹ CAPRINI	08	RVUE Compilation
$(552^{+84}_{-106}) - i(232^{+81}_{-72})$	¹² ABLIKIM	07A	BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
$(466 \pm 18) - i(223 \pm 28)$	¹³ BONVICINI	07	CLEO $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^+$
$(472 \pm 30) - i(271 \pm 30)$	¹⁴ BUGG	07A	RVUE Compilation
$(484 \pm 17) - i(255 \pm 10)$	GARCIA-MAR..07	RVUE	Compilation
$(430) - i(325)$	¹⁵ ANISOVICH	06	RVUE Compilation
$(441^{+16}_{-8}) - i(272^{+9}_{-12.5})$	¹⁶ CAPRINI	06	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
$(470 \pm 50) - i(285 \pm 25)$	¹⁷ ZHOU	05	RVUE
$(541 \pm 39) - i(252 \pm 42)$	¹⁸ ABLIKIM	04A	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
$(528 \pm 32) - i(207 \pm 23)$	¹⁹ GALLEGOS	04	RVUE Compilation
$(533 \pm 25) - i(249 \pm 25)$	²⁰ BUGG	03	RVUE
$517 - i240$	BLACK	01	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
$(470 \pm 30) - i(295 \pm 20)$	¹⁶ COLANGELO	01	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
$(535^{+48}_{-36}) - i(155^{+76}_{-53})$	²¹ ISHIDA	01	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon\pi\pi$
$610 \pm 14 - i(310 \pm 13)$	²² SUROVTSEV	01	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
$(540^{+36}_{-29}) - i(193^{+32}_{-40})$	ISHIDA	00B	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$
$445 - i235$	HANNAH	99	RVUE π scalar form factor
$(523 \pm 12) - i(259 \pm 7)$	KAMINSKI	99	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \sigma\sigma$
$442 - i 227$	OLLER	99	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
$469 - i203$	OLLER	99B	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
$445 - i221$	OLLER	99C	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta$
$420 - i 212$	LOCHER	98	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
$440 - i245$	²³ DOBADO	97	RVUE Compilation
$(602 \pm 26) - i(196 \pm 27)$	²⁴ ISHIDA	97	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
$(537 \pm 20) - i(250 \pm 17)$	²⁵ KAMINSKI	97B	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, 4\pi$
$470 - i250$	^{26,27} TORNQVIST	96	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
$387 - i305$	^{27,28} JANSSEN	95	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
$420 - i370$	²⁹ ACHASOV	94	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
$(506 \pm 10) - i(247 \pm 3)$	KAMINSKI	94	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
$370 - i356$	³⁰ ZOU	94B	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$

408 — $i342$	27,30 ZOU 93	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
470 — $i208$	31 VANBEVEREN 86	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta,$
(750 ± 50) — $i(450 \pm 50)$	32 ESTABROOKS 79	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
(660 ± 100) — $i(320 \pm 70)$	PROTOPOP... 73	HBC $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
650 — $i370$	33 BASDEVANT 72	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
1	Data driven analysis using partial-wave dispersion relations.	
2	S-matrix pole; 8595 events.	
3	Applying the chiral unitary approach at NLO to the K_{e4} data of BATLEY 10 and $\pi N \rightarrow \pi\pi N$ data of HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, and PROTOPOPESCU 73.	
4	Uses the K_{e4} data of BATLEY 10C and the $\pi N \rightarrow \pi\pi N$ data of HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, and PROTOPOPESCU 73.	
5	Analytic continuation using Roy equations.	
6	Analytic continuation using GKPY equations.	
7	Using Roy equations.	
8	Average of three variants of the analytic K-matrix model. Uses the K_{e4} data of BATLEY 08A and the $\pi N \rightarrow \pi\pi N$ data of HYAMS 73 and GRAYER 74.	
9	Average of the analyses of three data sets in the K-matrix model. Uses the data of BATLEY 08A, HYAMS 73, and GRAYER 74, partially of COHEN 80 or ETKIN 82B.	
10	From the K_{e4} data of BATLEY 08A and $\pi N \rightarrow \pi\pi N$ data of HYAMS 73.	
11	From the K_{e4} data of BATLEY 08A and $\pi N \rightarrow \pi\pi N$ data of PROTOPOPESCU 73, GRAYER 74, and ESTABROOKS 74.	
12	From a mean of three different $f_0(500)$ parametrizations. Uses 40k events.	
13	From an isobar model using 2.6k events.	
14	Reanalysis of ABLIKIM 04A, PISLAK 01, and HYAMS 73 data.	
15	Using the N/D method.	
16	From the solution of the Roy equation (ROY 71) for the isoscalar S-wave and using a phase-shift analysis of HYAMS 73 and PROTOPOPESCU 73 data.	
17	Reanalysis of the data from PROTOPOPESCU 73, ESTABROOKS 74, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, PISLAK 03, and AKHMETSHIN 04.	
18	From a mean of six different analyses and $f_0(500)$ parameterizations.	
19	Using data on $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi\pi$ from BAI 00E and on $\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \Upsilon(mS)\pi\pi$ from BUTLER 94B and ALEXANDER 98.	
20	From a combined analysis of HYAMS 73, AUGUSTIN 89, AITALA 01B, and PISLAK 01.	
21	A similar analysis (KOMADA 01) finds $(580^{+79}_{-30}) - i(190^{+107}_{-49})$ MeV.	
22	Coupled channel reanalysis of BATON 70, BENSINGER 71, BAILLON 72, HYAMS 73, HYAMS 75, ROSSELET 77, COHEN 80, and ETKIN 82B using the uniformizing variable.	
23	Using the inverse amplitude method and data of ESTABROOKS 73, GRAYER 74, and PROTOPOPESCU 73.	
24	Reanalysis of data from HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, SRINIVASAN 75, and ROSSELET 77 using the interfering amplitude method.	
25	Average and spread of 4 variants ("up" and "down") of KAMINSKI 97B 3-channel model.	
26	Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.	
27	Demonstrates explicitly that $f_0(500)$ and $f_0(1370)$ are two different poles.	
28	Analysis of data from FALVARD 88.	
29	Analysis of data from OCHS 73, ESTABROOKS 75, ROSSELET 77, and MUKHIN 80.	
30	Analysis of data from OCHS 73, GRAYER 74, and ROSSELET 77.	
31	Coupled-channel analysis using data from PROTOPOPESCU 73, HYAMS 73, HYAMS 75, GRAYER 74, ESTABROOKS 74, ESTABROOKS 75, FROGGATT 77, CORDEN 79, BISWAS 81.	
32	Analysis of data from APEL 72C, GRAYER 74, CASON 76, PAWLICKI 77. Includes spread and errors of 4 solutions.	
33	Analysis of data from BATON 70, BENSINGER 71, COLTON 71, BAILLON 72, PROTOPOPESCU 73, and WALKER 67.	

NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=P
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=I
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=AD

 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=GM

 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=GR
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=GC
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=MO
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=ME

 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=MN

 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=CA
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=AP

 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=AL
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=BO
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=BU
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=AN
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=CL

 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=ZH

 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=AB
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=GA

 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=PS
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=KI
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=SU

 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=DO

 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=AA

 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=B

 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=G
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=F
 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=BV

 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=A

 NODE=M014PP;LINKAGE=J

$f_0(500)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
400 to 800 OUR ESTIMATE			
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
513 ± 32	34 MURAMATSU 02	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx 10$ GeV
478 $^{+24}_{-23}$ ± 17	AITALA 01B	E791	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
563 $^{+58}_{-29}$	35 ISHIDA 01		$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon \pi \pi$
555	36 ASNER 00	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
540 ± 36	ISHIDA 00B		$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
750 ± 4	ALEKSEEV 99	SPEC	1.78 $\pi^- p_{\text{polar}} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ n$
744 ± 5	ALEKSEEV 98	SPEC	1.78 $\pi^- p_{\text{polar}} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ n$

NODE=M014M

 NODE=M014M
 → UNCHECKED ←

759± 5	37 TROYAN	98	5.2 $np \rightarrow np\pi^+\pi^-$
780±30	ALDE	97	GAM2 450 $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0\pi^0$
585±20	38 ISHIDA	97	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
761±12	39 SVEC	96	RVUE 6–17 $\pi N_{\text{polar}} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-N$
~ 860	40,41 TORNQVIST	96	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
1165±50	42,43 ANISOVICH	95	RVUE $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n,$ $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0, \pi^0\pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta\eta$
414±20	39 AUGUSTIN	89	DM2

34 Statistical uncertainty only.

35 A similar analysis (KOMADA 01) finds 526^{+48}_{-37} MeV.

36 From the best fit of the Dalitz plot.

37 6σ effect, no PWA.

38 Reanalysis of data from HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, SRINIVASAN 75, and ROSSELET 77 using the interfering amplitude method.

39 Breit-Wigner fit to S-wave intensity measured in $\pi N \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+N$ on polarized targets. The fit does not include $f_0(980)$.

40 Uses data from ASTON 88, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, ARMSTRONG 91B, GRAYER 74, CASON 83, ROSSELET 77, and BEIER 72B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.

41 Also observed by ASNER 00 in $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\nu_\tau$ decays.

42 Uses $\pi^0\pi^0$ data from ANISOVICH 94, AMSLER 94D, and ALDE 95B, $\pi^+\pi^-$ data from OCHS 73, GRAYER 74 and ROSSELET 77, and $\eta\eta$ data from ANISOVICH 94.

43 The pole is on Sheet III. Demonstrates explicitly that $f_0(500)$ and $f_0(1370)$ are two different poles.

NODE=M014M;LINKAGE=UT

NODE=M014M;LINKAGE=KI

NODE=M014M;LINKAGE=KK

NODE=M014M;LINKAGE=TN

NODE=M014M;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M014M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M014M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M014M;LINKAGE=GG

NODE=M014M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M014M;LINKAGE=G

$f_0(500)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

NODE=M014W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
100 to 800 OUR ESTIMATE			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
335± 67	44 MURAMATSU	02	CLEO $e^+e^- \approx 10$ GeV
324 $^{+42}_{-40} \pm 21$	AITALA	01B	E791 $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^+$
372 $^{+229}_{-95}$	45 ISHIDA	01	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon\pi\pi$
540	46 ASNER	00	CLE2 $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\nu_\tau$
372± 80	ISHIDA	00B	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$
119± 13	ALEKSEEV	99	SPEC 1.78 $\pi^- p_{\text{polar}} \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+n$
77± 22	ALEKSEEV	98	SPEC 1.78 $\pi^- p_{\text{polar}} \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+n$
35± 12	47 TROYAN	98	5.2 $np \rightarrow np\pi^+\pi^-$
780± 60	ALDE	97	GAM2 450 $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0\pi^0$
385± 70	48 ISHIDA	97	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
290± 54	49 SVEC	96	RVUE 6–17 $\pi N_{\text{polar}} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-N$
~ 880	50,51 TORNQVIST	96	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
460± 40	52,53 ANISOVICH	95	RVUE $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n,$ $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0, \pi^0\pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta\eta$
494± 58	49 AUGUSTIN	89	DM2

44 Statistical uncertainty only.

45 A similar analysis (KOMADA 01) finds 301^{+145}_{-100} MeV.

46 From the best fit of the Dalitz plot.

47 6σ effect, no PWA.

48 Reanalysis of data from HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, SRINIVASAN 75, and ROSSELET 77 using the interfering amplitude method.

49 Breit-Wigner fit to S-wave intensity measured in $\pi N \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+N$ on polarized targets. The fit does not include $f_0(980)$.

50 Uses data from ASTON 88, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, ARMSTRONG 91B, GRAYER 74, CASON 83, ROSSELET 77, and BEIER 72B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.

51 Also observed by ASNER 00 in $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\nu_\tau$ decays.

52 Uses $\pi^0\pi^0$ data from ANISOVICH 94, AMSLER 94D, and ALDE 95B, $\pi^+\pi^-$ data from OCHS 73, GRAYER 74 and ROSSELET 77, and $\eta\eta$ data from ANISOVICH 94.

53 The pole is on Sheet III. Demonstrates explicitly that $f_0(500)$ and $f_0(1370)$ are two different poles.

NODE=M014W;LINKAGE=UT

NODE=M014W;LINKAGE=KI

NODE=M014W;LINKAGE=KK

NODE=M014W;LINKAGE=TN

NODE=M014W;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M014W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M014W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M014W;LINKAGE=GG

NODE=M014W;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M014W;LINKAGE=G

$f_0(500)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \gamma\gamma$	seen

NODE=M014215;NODE=M014

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $f_0(500)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$					Γ_2
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.37 ± 13	$+0.09$ -0.06	54	DANILKIN	21	RVUE	Compilation	
2.05 ± 0.21		55	DAI	14A	RVUE	Compilation	
1.7 ± 0.4		56	HOFRICHT...	11	RVUE	Compilation	
3.08 ± 0.82		57	MENNESSIER	11	RVUE	Compilation	
2.08 ± 0.2	$+0.07$ -0.04	58	MOUSSALLAM	11	RVUE	Compilation	
2.08		59	MAO	09	RVUE	Compilation	
1.2 ± 0.4		60	BERNABEU	08	RVUE		
3.9 ± 0.6		57	MENNESSIER	08	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^0\pi^0$	
1.8 ± 0.4		61	OLLER	08	RVUE	Compilation	
1.68 ± 0.15		61,62	OLLER	08A	RVUE	Compilation	
3.1 ± 0.5		63,64	PENNINGTON	08	RVUE	Compilation	
2.4 ± 0.4		64,65	PENNINGTON	08	RVUE	Compilation	
4.1 ± 0.3		66	PENNINGTON	06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$	
3.8 ± 1.5		67,68	BOGLIONE	99	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^0\pi^0$	
5.4 ± 2.3		67	MORGAN	90	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^0\pi^0$	
10 ± 6			COURAU	86	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$	

NODE=M014220

NODE=M014W2
NODE=M014W2

OCCUR=2

- 54 Using the value of the coupled channel analysis.
55 Using dispersive analysis with phases from GARCIA-MARTIN 11A and BUETTIKER 04 as input.
56 Using Roy-Steiner equations with $\pi\pi$ phase shifts from an update of COLANGELO 01 and from GARCIA-MARTIN 11A.
57 Using an analytic K-matrix model.
58 Using dispersion integral with phase input from Roy equations and data from MARSISKE 90, BOYER 90, BEHREND 92, UEHARA 08A, and MORI 07.
59 Used dispersion theory. The value quoted used the $f_0(500)$ pole position of $457 - i276$ MeV.
60 Using p , n polarizabilities from PDG 06 and fitting to $\pi\pi$ phase motion from GARCIA-MARTIN 07 and σ -poles from GARCIA-MARTIN 07 and CAPRINI 06.
61 Using twice-subtracted dispersion integrals.
62 Supersedes OLLER 08.
63 Solution A (preferred solution based on χ^2 -analysis).
64 Dispersion theory based amplitude analysis of BOYER 90, MARSISKE 90, BEHREND 92, and MORI 07.
65 Solution B (worse than solution A; still acceptable when systematic uncertainties are included).
66 Using unitarity and the σ pole position from CAPRINI 06.
67 This width could equally well be assigned to the $f_0(1370)$. The authors analyse data from BOYER 90 and MARSISKE 90 and report strong correlation with $\gamma\gamma$ width of $f_2(1270)$.
68 Supersedes MORGAN 90.

NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=HO

NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=ME
NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=MO

NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=MA

NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=OL
NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=LL
NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=P1
NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=P3

NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=P2

NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=PE
NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M014W2;LINKAGE=BL

 $f_0(500)$ REFERENCES

DANILKIN	21	PR D103 114023	I. Danilkin, O. Deineka, M. Vanderhaeghen (MAINZ)
SARANTSEV	21	PL B816 136227	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i> (BONN, PNPI)
ABLIKIM	17	PRL 118 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i> (BESIII Collab.)
DAI	14A	PR D90 036004	L.-Y. Dai, M.R. Pennington (CEBAF)
ALBALADEJO	12	PR D86 034003	M. Albaladejo, J.A. Oller (MURC)
GARCIA-MAR...	11	PRL 107 072001	R. Garcia-Martin <i>et al.</i> (MADR, CRAC)
GARCIA-MAR...	11A	PR D83 074004	R. Garcia-Martin <i>et al.</i> (MADR, CRAC)
HOFRICHT...	11	EPJ C71 1743	M. Hoferichter, D.R. Phillips, C. Schat (BONN+)
MENNESSIER	11	PL B696 40	G. Mennessier, S. Narison, X.-G. Wang
MOUSSALLAM	11	EPJ C71 1814	B. Moussallam
BATLEY	10	PL B686 101	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i> (CERN NA48/2 Collab.)
BATLEY	10C	EPJ C70 635	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i> (CERN NA48/2 Collab.)
MENNESSIER	10	PL B688 59	G. Mennessier, S. Narison, X.-G. Wang
MAO	09	PR D79 116008	Y. Mao <i>et al.</i>
BATLEY	08A	EPJ C54 411	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i> (CERN NA48/2 Collab.)
BERNABEU	08	PRL 100 241804	J. Bernabeu, J. Prades (IFIC, GRAN)
CAPRINI	08	PR D77 114019	I. Caprini
MENNESSIER	08	PL B665 205	G. Mennessier, S. Narison, W. Ochs
OLLER	08	PL B659 201	J.A. Oller, L. Roca, C. Schat (MURC, UBA)
OLLER	08A	EPJ A37 15	J.A. Oller, L. Roca (MURC)
PENNINGTON	08	EPJ C56 1	M.R. Pennington <i>et al.</i>

NODE=M014

REFID=62088
REFID=61091
REFID=57650
REFID=55923
REFID=54277
REFID=16761
REFID=54121
REFID=53966
REFID=53637
REFID=53975
REFID=53285
REFID=53567
REFID=53657
REFID=52992
REFID=52487
REFID=52271
REFID=52273
REFID=52299
REFID=53976
REFID=53977
REFID=52303

UEHARA	08A	PR D78 052004	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52309
ABLIKIM	07A	PL B645 19	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51614
BONVICINI	07	PR D76 012001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51721
BUGG	07A	JP G34 151	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>		REFID=53252
GARCIA-MAR...	07	PR D76 074034	R. Garcia-Martin, J.R. Pelaez, F.J. Yndurain		REFID=51949
MORI	07	PR D75 051101	T. Mori <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51652
ANISOVICH	06	IJMP A21 3615	V.V. Anisovich		REFID=51137
CAPRINI	06	PRL 96 132001	I. Caprini, G. Colangelo, H. Leutwyler	(BCIP+)	REFID=51076
PDG	06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=51004
PENNINGTON	06	PRL 97 011601	M.R. Pennington		REFID=51184
ZHOU	05	JHEP 0502 043	Z.Y. Zhou <i>et al.</i>		REFID=50823
ABLIKIM	04A	PL B598 149	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49740
AKHMETSHIN	04	PL B578 285	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=49609
BUETTIKER	04	EPJ C33 409	P. Buettiker, S. Descotes-Genon, B. Moussallam		REFID=56428; ERROR=1
GALLEGOS	04	PR D69 074033	A. Gallegos <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49769
BUGG	03	PL B572 1	D.V. Bugg		REFID=49586
PISLAK	03	PR D67 072004	S. Pislak <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E865 Collab.)	REFID=49344
Also		PR D81 119903E	S. Pislak <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E865 Collab.)	REFID=53337
MURAMATSU	02	PRL 89 251802	H. Muramatsu <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=49081
Also		PRL 90 059901 (errat.)	H. Muramatsu <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=49385
AITALA	01B	PRL 86 770	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=48005
BLACK	01	PR D64 014031	D. Black <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48314
COLANGELO	01	NP B603 125	G. Colangelo, J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler		REFID=49180
ISHIDA	01	PL B518 47	M. Ishida <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48354
KOMADA	01	PL B508 31	T. Komada <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48541
PISLAK	01	PRL 87 221801	S. Pislak <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E865 Collab.)	REFID=48433
Also		PR D67 072004	S. Pislak <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E865 Collab.)	REFID=49344
Also		PRL 105 019901E	S. Pislak <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E865 Collab.)	REFID=53338
SUROVTSEV	01	PR D63 054024	Y.S. Surovtsev, D. Krupa, M. Nagy		REFID=48310
ASNER	00	PR D61 012002	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=47339
BAI	00E	PR D62 032002	J. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47955
ISHIDA	00B	PTP 104 203	M. Ishida <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48358
ALEKSEEV	99	NP B541 3	I.G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46614
BOGLIONE	99	EPJ C9 11	M. Boglione, M.R. Pennington		REFID=46931
HANNAH	99	PR D60 017502	T. Hannah		REFID=46935
KAMINSKI	99	EPJ C9 141	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau	(CRAC, PARIN)	REFID=46927
OLLER	99	PR D60 099906 (errat.)	J.A. Oller <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46899
OLLER	99B	NP A652 407 (errat.)	J.A. Oller, E. Oset		REFID=46924
OLLER	99C	PR D60 074023	J.A. Oller, E. Oset		REFID=47386
ALEKSEEV	98	PAN 61 174	I.G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46328
ALEXANDER	98	PR D58 052004	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=46329
LOCHER	98	EPJ C4 317	M.P. Locher <i>et al.</i>	(PSI)	REFID=46372
TROYAN	98	JINRRC 5-91 33	Yu. Troyan <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46615
ALDE	97	PL B397 350	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)	REFID=45392
DOBADO	97	PR D56 3057	A. Dobado, J.R. Pelaez		REFID=53964
ISHIDA	97	PTP 98 1005	S. Ishida <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, MIYA, KEK)	REFID=45998
KAMINSKI	97B	PL B413 130	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau	(CRAC, IPN)	REFID=45778
Also		PTP 95 745	S. Ishida <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, MIYA, KEK)	REFID=45770
SVEC	96	PR D53 2343	M. Svec	(MCGI)	REFID=44509
TORNQVIST	96	PRL 76 1575	N.A. Tornqvist, M. Roos	(HELSE)	REFID=44507
ALDE	95B	ZPHY C66 375	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)	REFID=44375
ANISOVICH	95	PL B355 363	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI, SERP)	REFID=44442
JANSSEN	95	PR D52 2690	G. Janssen <i>et al.</i>	(STON, ADLD, JULI)	REFID=44508
ACHASOV	94	PR D49 5779	N.N. Achasov, G.N. Shestakov	(NOVM)	REFID=44087
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44093
ANISOVICH	94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=43659
BUTLER	94B	PR D49 40	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=43799
KAMINSKI	94	PR D50 3145	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, J.P. Maillet	(CRAC+)	REFID=45771
ZOU	94B	PR D50 591	B.S. Zou, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)	REFID=44072
ZOU	93	PR D48 3948	B.S. Zou, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)	REFID=43672
BEHREND	92	ZPHY C56 381	H.J. Behrend	(CELLO Collab.)	REFID=43172
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=41862
BOYER	90	PR D42 1350	J. Boyer <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)	REFID=41362
MARSISKE	90	PR D41 3324	H. Marsiske <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=41351
MORGAN	90	ZPHY C48 623	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)	REFID=41583
AUGUSTIN	89	NP B320 1	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41004
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)	REFID=40262
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)	REFID=40576
COURAU	86	NP B271 1	A. Courau <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, LALO)	REFID=44510
VANBEVEREN	86	ZPHY C30 615	E. van Beveren <i>et al.</i>	(NIJM, BIEL)	REFID=45769
CASON	83	PR D28 1586	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)	REFID=20752
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)	REFID=20390
BISWAS	81	PRL 47 1378	N.N. Biswas <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)	REFID=21106
COHEN	80	PR D22 2595	D. Cohen <i>et al.</i>	(ANL) IJP	REFID=20381
MUKHIN	80	JETPL 32 601	K.N. Mukhin <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)	REFID=44528
Translated from		ZETFP 32 616			
CORDEN	79	NP B157 250	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+) JP	REFID=20374
ESTABROOKS	79	PR D19 2678	P. Estabrooks	(CARL)	REFID=20375
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(GLAS, NORD)	REFID=21072
PAWLICKI	77	PR D15 3196	A.J. Pawlicki <i>et al.</i>	(ANL) IJ	REFID=20367
ROSSELET	77	PR D15 574	L. Rosselet <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, SACL)	REFID=11004
CASON	76	PRL 36 1485	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL) IJ	REFID=21064
ESTABROOKS	75	NP B95 322	P.G. Estabrooks, A.D. Martin	(DURH)	REFID=20642
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20355
SRINIVASAN	75	PR D12 681	V. Srinivasan <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)	REFID=21062
ESTABROOKS	74	NP B79 301	P.G. Estabrooks, A.D. Martin	(DURH)	REFID=20111
GRAYER	74	NP B75 189	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20113
ESTABROOKS	73	Tallahassee	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20345
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20107
OCHS	73	Thesis	W. Ochs	(MPIM, MUNI)	REFID=20349
PROTOPOP...	73	PR D7 1279	S.D. Protopopescu <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)	REFID=20108
APEL	72C	PL 41B 542	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(KARLK, KARLE, PISA)	REFID=21013
BAILLON	72	PL 38B 555	P.H. Baillon <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)	REFID=20093
BASDEVANT	72	PL 41B 178	J.L. Basdevant, C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(CERN)	REFID=20095
BEIER	72B	PRL 29 511	E.W. Beier <i>et al.</i>	(PENN)	REFID=44530
BENSINGER	71	PL 36B 134	J.R. Bensinger <i>et al.</i>	(WISC)	REFID=21006
COLTON	71	PR D3 2028	E.P. Colton <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, FNAL, UCLA+)	REFID=44533
ROY	71	PL 36B 353	S.M. Roy		REFID=51107
BATON	70	PL 33B 528	J.P. Baton, G. Laurens, J. Reigner	(SACL)	REFID=20086
WALKER	67	RMP 39 695	W.D. Walker	(WISC)	REFID=20960

$\rho(770)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$$

 $\rho(770)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(761-765) = i (71-74) OUR ESTIMATE			
$(762.5 \pm 1.7) - i (73.2 \pm 1.1)$	¹ HOFERICHT... 24	RVUE	Compilation
$(763.7^{+1.7}_{-1.5}) - i (73.2^{+1.0}_{-1.1})$	² GARCIA-MAR...11	RVUE	Compilation
$(754 \pm 18) - i (74 \pm 10)$	³ PELAEZ 04A	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
$(762.4 \pm 1.8) - i (72.6 \pm 1.4)$	COLANGELO 01	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
¹ Update of GARCIA-MARTIN 11 with input for $\pi\pi$ T-matrix from HOFERICHTER 16. ² Reanalysis of the K_{e4} data of BATLEY 10C and the $\pi N \rightarrow \pi\pi N$ data of HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, and PROTOPOPESCU 73 using GKPY equations. ³ Reanalysis of data from PROTOPOPESCU 73, ESTABROOKS 74, GRAYER 74, and COHEN 80 in the unitarized ChPT model.			

 $\rho(770)$ MASS

We no longer list S -wave Breit-Wigner fits, or data with high combinatorial background.

NEUTRAL ONLY, e^+e^-

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
775.26$\pm$0.23 OUR AVERAGE				
775.3 $\pm$ 0.5 $\pm$ 0.6		¹ ACHASOV 21	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
775.02 $\pm$ 0.35		² LEES 12G	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
775.97 $\pm$ 0.46 $\pm$ 0.70	900k	³ AKHMETSHIN 07		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
774.6 $\pm$ 0.4 $\pm$ 0.5	800k	^{4,5} ACHASOV 06	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
775.65 $\pm$ 0.64 $\pm$ 0.50	114k	^{6,7} AKHMETSHIN 04	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
775.9 $\pm$ 0.5 $\pm$ 0.5	1.98M	⁸ ALOISIO 03	KLOE	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
775.8 $\pm$ 0.9 $\pm$ 2.0	500k	⁸ ACHASOV 02	SND	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
775.9 $\pm$ 1.1		⁹ BARKOV 85	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
775.4 $\pm$ 0.1	34M	¹⁰ IGNATOV 24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
763.49 $\pm$ 0.53		¹¹ BARTOS 17	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
758.23 $\pm$ 0.46		¹² BARTOS 17A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
775.8 $\pm$ 0.5 $\pm$ 0.3	1.98M	¹³ ALOISIO 03	KLOE	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
775.9 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.5	1.98M	¹⁴ ALOISIO 03	KLOE	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
775.0 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 1.1	500k	¹⁵ ACHASOV 02	SND	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
775.1 $\pm$ 0.7 $\pm$ 5.3		¹⁶ BENAYOUN 98	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-$
770.5 $\pm$ 1.9 $\pm$ 5.1		¹⁷ GARDNER 98	RVUE	$0.28-0.92 e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $\pi^+\pi^-$
764.1 $\pm$ 0.7		¹⁸ O'CONNELL 97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
757.5 $\pm$ 1.5		¹⁹ BERNICHA 94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
768 $\pm$ 1		²⁰ GESHKEN... 89	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From a fit of the cross section in the energy range $0.525 < \sqrt{s} < 0.883$ GeV parametrized by the sum of the Breit-Wigner amplitudes for the $\rho(770)$, ω and $\rho(1450)$ resonances.

² Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho-\omega$ interference and leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

³ A combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 07, AULCHENKO 06, and AULCHENKO 05.

⁴ Supersedes ACHASOV 05A.

⁵ A fit of the SND data from 400 to 1000 MeV using parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ from a fit of the data of BARKOV 85, BISELLO 89 and ANDERSON 00A.

⁶ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho-\omega$ interference.

⁷ Update of AKHMETSHIN 02.

⁸ Assuming $m_{\rho^+} = m_{\rho^-}$, $\Gamma_{\rho^+} = \Gamma_{\rho^-}$.

⁹ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

¹⁰ From a fit of the pion form factor in the energy range $0.32 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$ GeV using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho-\omega$ interference with ω and ϕ masses and widths constrained by the values and their errors from PDG 22, and leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. Systematic errors not estimated.

NODE=M009

NODE=M009PP

NODE=M009PP

NODE=M009PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M009PP;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M009PP;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M009PP;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M009205

NODE=M009205

NODE=M009M0

NODE=M009M0

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=3

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=AK

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=AC

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=SN

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=GS

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=PT

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=CH

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=E

- ¹¹ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.
- ¹² Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.
- ¹³ Assuming $m_{\rho^+} = m_{\rho^-} = m_{\rho^0}$, $\Gamma_{\rho^+} = \Gamma_{\rho^-} = \Gamma_{\rho^0}$.
- ¹⁴ Without limitations on masses and widths.
- ¹⁵ Assuming $m_{\rho^0} = m_{\rho^\pm}$, $g_{\rho^0\pi\pi} = g_{\rho^\pm\pi\pi}$.
- ¹⁶ Using the data of BARKOV 85 in the hidden local symmetry model.
- ¹⁷ From the fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ data from the compilations of HEYN 81 and BARKOV 85, including the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.
- ¹⁸ A fit of BARKOV 85 data assuming the direct $\omega\pi\pi$ coupling.
- ¹⁹ Applying the S-matrix formalism to the BARKOV 85 data.
- ²⁰ Includes BARKOV 85 data. Model-dependent width definition.

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=DF
 NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=H0

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=HC
 NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=K2
 NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=G8

NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=AB
 NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=AA
 NODE=M009M0;LINKAGE=F

CHARGED ONLY, τ DECAYS and e^+e^-

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
775.11$\pm$0.34 OUR AVERAGE					
774.6 $\pm$ 0.2 $\pm$ 0.5	5.4M	^{1,2} FUJIKAWA	08	BELL $\pm$	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
775.5 $\pm$ 0.7		^{2,3} SCHAEAL	05c	ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
775.5 $\pm$ 0.5 $\pm$ 0.4	1.98M	⁴ ALOISIO	03	KLOE	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
775.1 $\pm$ 1.1 $\pm$ 0.5	87k	^{5,6} ANDERSON	00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
761.60 $\pm$ 0.95		⁷ BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
774.8 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.4	1.98M	⁸ ALOISIO	03	KLOE $-$	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
776.3 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.7	1.98M	⁸ ALOISIO	03	KLOE $+$	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
773.9 $\pm$ 2.0 $^{+0.3}_{-1.0}$		⁹ SANZ-CILLERO	03	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
774.5 $\pm$ 0.7 $\pm$ 1.5	500k	⁴ ACHASOV	02	SND $\pm$	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
775.1 $\pm$ 0.5		¹⁰ PICH	01	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

NODE=M009M5
 NODE=M009M5

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

¹ $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.² From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.³ The error combines statistical and systematic uncertainties. Supersedes BARATE 97M.⁴ Assuming $m_{\rho^+} = m_{\rho^-}$, $\Gamma_{\rho^+} = \Gamma_{\rho^-}$.⁵ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.⁶ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor. The second error is a model error taking into account different parametrizations of the pion form factor.⁷ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.⁸ Without limitations on masses and widths.⁹ Using the data of BARATE 97M and the effective chiral Lagrangian.¹⁰ From a fit of the model-independent parameterization of the pion form factor to the data of BARATE 97M.

NODE=M009M5;LINKAGE=FU
 NODE=M009M5;LINKAGE=GO
 NODE=M009M5;LINKAGE=SC
 NODE=M009M5;LINKAGE=CH
 NODE=M009M5;LINKAGE=A6
 NODE=M009M5;LINKAGE=K1

NODE=M009M5;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M009M5;LINKAGE=WO
 NODE=M009M5;LINKAGE=Z
 NODE=M009M5;LINKAGE=PC

MIXED CHARGES, OTHER REACTIONS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
763.0$\pm$0.3$\pm$1.2	600k	¹ ABELE	99E	CBAR $0\pm$	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ Assuming the equality of ρ^+ and ρ^- masses and widths.

NODE=M009M7
 NODE=M009M7

NODE=M009M7;LINKAGE=LB

CHARGED ONLY, HADROPRODUCED

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
766.5$\pm$1.1 OUR AVERAGE					
763.7 $\pm$ 3.2		ABELE	97	CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
768 $\pm$ 9		AGUILAR-...	91	EHS	400 $p\bar{p}$
767 $\pm$ 3	2935	¹ CAPRARO	87	SPEC $-$	$200 \pi^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \text{Cu}$
761 $\pm$ 5	967	¹ CAPRARO	87	SPEC $-$	$200 \pi^- \text{Pb} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \text{Pb}$
771 $\pm$ 4		HUSTON	86	SPEC $+$	$202 \pi^+ \text{A} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \text{A}$
766 $\pm$ 7	6500	² BYERLY	73	OSPK $-$	5 $\pi^- p$
766.8 $\pm$ 1.5	9650	³ PISUT	68	RVUE $-$	1.7-3.2 $\pi^- p$, $t < 10$
767 $\pm$ 6	900	¹ EISNER	67	HBC $-$	4.2 $\pi^- p$, $t < 10$

¹ Mass errors enlarged by us to $\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.² Phase shift analysis. Systematic errors added corresponding to spread of different fits.³ From fit of 3-parameter relativistic P -wave Breit-Wigner to total mass distribution. Includes BATON 68, MILLER 67B, ALFF-STEINBERGER 66, HAGOPIAN 66, HAGOPIAN 66B, JACOBS 66B, JAMES 66, WEST 66, BLIEDEN 65 and CARMONY 64.

NODE=M009M2
 NODE=M009M2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M009M2;LINKAGE=Z
 NODE=M009M2;LINKAGE=X
 NODE=M009M2;LINKAGE=A

NEUTRAL ONLY, PHOTOPRODUCED

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
769.2± 0.9 OUR AVERAGE				
770.8± 1.3 ^{+2.3} _{-2.4}	900k	ANDREEV 20	H1	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^-p$
771 ± 2 ⁺² ₋₁	63.5k	¹ ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^-p$
770 ± 2 ± 1	79k	² BREITWEG 98B	ZEUS	50–100 γp
767.6± 2.7		BARTALUCCI 78	CNTR	$\gamma p \rightarrow e^+e^-p$
775 ± 5		GLADDING 73	CNTR	2.9–4.7 γp
767 ± 4	1930	BALLAM 72	HBC	2.8 γp
770 ± 4	2430	BALLAM 72	HBC	4.7 γp
765 ± 10		ALVENSLEB... 70	CNTR	$\gamma A, t < 0.01$
767.7± 1.9	140k	BIGGS 70	CNTR	$< 4.1 \gamma C \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-C$
765 ± 5	4000	ASBURY 67B	CNTR	$\gamma + Pb$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

771 ± 2	79k	³ BREITWEG 98B	ZEUS	50–100 γp
¹ Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting ρ – ω interference.				
² From the parametrization according to SOEDING 66.				
³ From the parametrization according to ROSS 66.				

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M009M0P;LINKAGE=AB
 NODE=M009M0P;LINKAGE=B5
 NODE=M009M0P;LINKAGE=B6

NEUTRAL ONLY, OTHER REACTIONS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
769.0 ± 0.9 OUR AVERAGE				
Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.				
765 ± 6		BERTIN 97C	OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
773 ± 1.6		WEIDENAUER 93	ASTE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\omega$
762.6 ± 2.6		AGUILAR-... 91	EHS	400 pp
770 ± 2		¹ HEYN 81	RVUE	Pion form factor
768 ± 4		^{2,3} BOHACIK 80	RVUE	
769 ± 3		⁴ WICKLUND 78	ASPK	3,4,6 $\pi^\pm N$
768 ± 1	76k	DEUTSCH... 76	HBC	16 π^+p
767 ± 4	4100	ENGLER 74	DBC	6 $\pi^+n \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-p$
775 ± 4	32k	² PROTOPOP... 73	HBC	7.1 $\pi^+p, t < 0.4$
764 ± 3	6.8k	⁵ RATCLIFF 72	ASPK	15 $\pi^-p, t < 0.3$
774 ± 3	1.7k	REYNOLDS 69	HBC	2.26 π^-p
769.2 ± 1.5	13.3k	⁶ PISUT 68	RVUE	1.7–3.2 $\pi^-p, t < 10$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
774.34±0.18±0.35	970k	⁷ ABLIKIM 18C	BES3	$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
772.93±0.18±0.34	970k	⁸ ABLIKIM 18C	BES3	$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
773.5 ± 2.5		⁹ COLANGELO 01	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
762.3 ± 0.5 ± 1.2	600k	¹⁰ ABELE 99E	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
777 ± 2	4.9k	¹¹ ADAMS 97	E665	470 $\mu p \rightarrow \mu XB$
770 ± 2		¹² BOGOLYUB... 97	MIRA	32 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$
768 ± 8		¹² BOGOLYUB... 97	MIRA	32 $pp \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$
761.1 ± 2.9		DUBNICKA 89	RVUE	π form factor
777.4 ± 2.0		¹³ CHABAUD 83	ASPK	17 π^-p polarized
769.5 ± 0.7		^{2,3} LANG 79	RVUE	
770 ± 9		³ ESTABROOKS 74	RVUE	17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
773.5 ± 1.7	11.2k	¹⁴ JACOBS 72	HBC	2.8 π^-p
775 ± 3	2.2k	¹⁵ HYAMS 68	OSPK	11.2 π^-p

¹ HEYN 81 includes all spacelike and timelike F_π values until 1978.

² From pole extrapolation.

³ From phase shift analysis of GRAYER 74 data.

⁴ Phase shift analysis. Systematic errors added corresponding to spread of different fits.

⁵ Published values contain misprints. Corrected by private communication RATCLIFF 74.

⁶ Includes MALAMUD 69, ARMENISE 68, BACON 67, HUWE 67, MILLER 67B, ALFF-STEINBERGER 66, HAGOPIAN 66, HAGOPIAN 66B, JACOBS 66B, JAMES 66, WEST 66, GOLDBERGER 64, ABOLINS 63.

⁷ From a fit to $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass using $\rho(770)$ (parametrized with the Gounaris-Sakurai approach), $\omega(782)$, and box anomaly components.

⁸ From a fit to $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass using $\rho(770)$ (parametrized with the Gounaris-Sakurai approach), $\omega(782)$, and $\rho(1450)$ components.

⁹ Breit-Wigner mass from a phase-shift analysis of HYAMS 73 and PROTOPOPESCU 73 data.

¹⁰ Using relativistic Breit-Wigner and taking into account ρ – ω interference.

¹¹ Systematic errors not evaluated.

¹² Systematic effects not studied.

¹³ From fit of 3-parameter relativistic Breit-Wigner to helicity-zero part of P-wave intensity. CHABAUD 83 includes data of GRAYER 74.

NODE=M009M0R
 NODE=M009M0R

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=B0
 NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=C0
 NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=H
 NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=X
 NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=03
 NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=R

NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=C


NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=CL

NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=BL
 NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=A1
 NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=QQ
 NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=G

¹⁴ Mass errors enlarged by us to $\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

¹⁵ Of HYAMS 68 six parametrizations, this is theoretically soundest. MR

NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=Z
NODE=M009M0R;LINKAGE=02



$m_{\rho(770)^0} - m_{\rho(770)^\pm}$

NODE=M009D

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-0.7 ± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.			
-2.4 ± 0.8		¹ SCHAEEL	05C	ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
0.4 ± 0.7 ± 0.6 1.98M		² ALOISIO	03	KLOE	$1.02 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1.3 ± 1.1 ± 2.0 500k		² ACHASOV	02	SND	$1.02 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1.6 ± 0.6 ± 1.7 600k		ABELE	99E	CBAR ± 0	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
-4 ± 4 3000		³ REYNOLDS	69	HBC -0	$2.26 \pi^- p$
-5 ± 5 3600		³ FOSTER	68	HBC ± 0	$0.0 \bar{p} p$
2.4 ± 2.1 22950		⁴ PISUT	68	RVUE	$\pi N \rightarrow \rho N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
-3.37 ± 1.06		⁵ BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

NODE=M009D

¹ From the combined fit of the τ^- data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAEEL 05C and $e^+ e^-$ data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05. Supersedes BARATE 97M.

² Assuming $m_{\rho^+} = m_{\rho^-}$, $\Gamma_{\rho^+} = \Gamma_{\rho^-}$.

³ From quoted masses of charged and neutral modes.

⁴ Includes MALAMUD 69, ARMENISE 68, BATON 68, BACON 67, HUWE 67, MILLER 67B, ALFF-STEINBERGER 66, HAGOPIAN 66, HAGOPIAN 66B, JACOBS 66B, JAMES 66, WEST 66, BLIEDEN 65, CARMONY 64, GOLDBERGER 64, ABOLINS 63.

⁵ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.


NODE=M009D;LINKAGE=SC

NODE=M009D;LINKAGE=CH

NODE=M009D;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M009D;LINKAGE=R

NODE=M009D;LINKAGE=B



$m_{\rho(770)^+} - m_{\rho(770)^-}$

NODE=M009D1

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.5±0.8±0.7	1.98M	¹ ALOISIO	03 KLOE	1.02 e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁺ π ⁻ π ⁰
¹ Without limitations on masses and widths.				

NODE=M009D1

NODE=M009D;LINKAGE=WO

$\rho(770)$ RANGE PARAMETER

NODE=M009R

NODE=M009R

The range parameter R enters an energy-dependent correction to the width, of the form $(1 + q_r^2 R^2) / (1 + q^2 R^2)$, where q is the momentum of one of the pions in the $\pi\pi$ rest system. At resonance, $q = q_r$.

VALUE (GeV ⁻¹)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
5.3 ^{+0.9} _{-0.7}	¹ CHABAUD	83 ASPK	0	17 π ⁻ p polarized
¹ The old PISUT 68 value, properly corrected, was 3.2 ± 0.6.				

NODE=M009R

NODE=M009R;LINKAGE=01

$\rho(770)$ WIDTH

NODE=M009220

NODE=M009220

We no longer list S -wave Breit-Wigner fits, or data with high combinatorial background.

NEUTRAL ONLY, e⁺e⁻

NODE=M009W0
NODE=M009W0

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
147.4 ±0.8	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.0. See the ideogram below.		
145.6 ±0.6 ±0.8		¹ ACHASOV	21 SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
149.59±0.67		² LEES	12G BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
145.98±0.75±0.50	900k	³ AKHMETSHIN	07	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
146.1 ±0.8 ±1.5	800k	^{4,5} ACHASOV	06 SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
143.85±1.33±0.80	114k	^{6,7} AKHMETSHIN	04 CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
147.3 ±1.5 ±0.7	1.98M	⁸ ALOISIO	03 KLOE	$1.02 \frac{e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0}{\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0}$
151.1 ±2.6 ±3.0	500k	⁸ ACHASOV	02 SND	$1.02 \frac{e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0}{\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0}$
150.5 ±3.0		⁹ BARKOV	85 OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

148.76±0.17	34M	¹⁰ IGNATOV	24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
144.06±0.85		¹¹ BARTOS	17	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
144.56±0.80		¹² BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
143.9 ±1.3 ±1.1	1.98M	¹³ ALOISIO	03	KLOE	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
147.4 ±1.5 ±0.7	1.98M	¹⁴ ALOISIO	03	KLOE	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
149.8 ±2.2 ±2.0	500k	¹⁵ ACHASOV	02	SND	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
147.9 ±1.5 ±7.5		¹⁶ BENAYOUN	98	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-$
153.5 ±1.3 ±4.6		¹⁷ GARDNER	98	RVUE	$0.28-0.92 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
145.0 ±1.7		¹⁸ O'CONNELL	97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
142.5 ±3.5		¹⁹ BERNICHA	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
138 ±1		²⁰ GESHKEN...	89	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=3

¹ From a fit of the cross section in the energy range $0.525 < \sqrt{s} < 0.883$ GeV parametrized by the sum of the Breit-Wigner amplitudes for the $\rho(770)$, ω and $\rho(1450)$ resonances.

NODE=M009W0;LINKAGE=D

² Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho-\omega$ interference and leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

NODE=M009W0;LINKAGE=LE

³ A combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 07, AULCHENKO 06, and AULCHENKO 05.

⁴ Supersedes ACHASOV 05A.

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=AK

NODE=M009W0;LINKAGE=AC

NODE=M009W0;LINKAGE=SN

⁵ A fit of the SND data from 400 to 1000 MeV using parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ from a fit of the data of BARKOV 85, BISELLO 89 and ANDERSON 00A.

⁶ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho-\omega$ interference.

NODE=M009W5;LINKAGE=GS

⁷ From a fit in the energy range 0.61 to 0.96 GeV. Update of AKHMETSHIN 02.

NODE=M009W5;LINKAGE=P2

⁸ Assuming $m_{\rho^+} = m_{\rho^-}$, $\Gamma_{\rho^+} = \Gamma_{\rho^-}$.

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=CH

⁹ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=K

¹⁰ From a fit of the pion form factor in the energy range $0.32 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$ GeV using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho-\omega$ interference with ω and ϕ masses and widths constrained by the values and their errors from PDG 22, and leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. Systematic errors not estimated.

NODE=M009W0;LINKAGE=E

¹¹ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.

NODE=M009W0;LINKAGE=A

¹² Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.

NODE=M009W0;LINKAGE=B

¹³ Assuming $m_{\rho^+} = m_{\rho^-} = m_{\rho^0}$, $\Gamma_{\rho^+} = \Gamma_{\rho^-} = \Gamma_{\rho^0}$.

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=DF

¹⁴ Without limitations on masses and widths.

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=WO

¹⁵ Assuming $m_{\rho^0} = m_{\rho^\pm}$, $g_{\rho^0\pi\pi} = g_{\rho^\pm\pi\pi}$.

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=HC

¹⁶ Using the data of BARKOV 85 in the hidden local symmetry model.

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=K2

¹⁷ From the fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ data from the compilations of HEYN 81 and BARKOV 85, including the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=G8

¹⁸ A fit of BARKOV 85 data assuming the direct $\omega\pi\pi$ coupling.


NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=AB

¹⁹ Applying the S-matrix formalism to the BARKOV 85 data.

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=AA

²⁰ Includes BARKOV 85 data. Model-dependent width definition.

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=F



CHARGED ONLY, τ DECAYS and e^+e^-

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
149.1 ± 0.8 OUR FIT					
149.1 ± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE					
148.1 ± 0.4 ± 1.7 5.4M	^{1,2}	FUJIKAWA 08	BELL	$\pm$	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
149.0 ± 1.2	^{2,3}	SCHAELE 05c	ALEP		$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
149.9 ± 2.3 ± 2.0 500k	⁴	ACHASOV 02	SND	$\pm$	$1.02 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
150.4 ± 1.4 ± 1.4 87k	^{5,6}	ANDERSON 00A	CLE2		$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
139.90 ± 0.46	⁷	BARTOS 17A	RVUE		$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
143.7 ± 1.3 ± 1.2 1.98M	⁴	ALOISIO 03	KLOE	$\pm$	$1.02 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
142.9 ± 1.3 ± 1.4 1.98M	⁸	ALOISIO 03	KLOE	$-$	$1.02 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
144.7 ± 1.4 ± 1.2 1.98M	⁸	ALOISIO 03	KLOE	$+$	$1.02 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
150.2 ± 2.0 $^{+0.7}_{-1.6}$	⁹	SANZ-CILLERO 03	RVUE		$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
150.9 ± 2.2 ± 2.0 500k	¹⁰	ACHASOV 02	SND		$1.02 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

NODE=M009W5
NODE=M009W5

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

¹ $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.

² From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

³ The error combines statistical and systematic uncertainties. Supersedes BARATE 97M.

⁴ Assuming $m_{\rho^+} = m_{\rho^-}$, $\Gamma_{\rho^+} = \Gamma_{\rho^-}$.

⁵ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.

⁶ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor. The second error is a model error taking into account different parametrizations of the pion form factor.

⁷ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.

⁸ Without limitations on masses and widths.

⁹ Using the data of BARATE 97M and the effective chiral Lagrangian.

¹⁰ Assuming $m_{\rho^0} = m_{\rho^\pm}$, $g_{\rho^0 \pi \pi} = g_{\rho^\pm \pi \pi}$.

NODE=M009W5;LINKAGE=FU
NODE=M009W5;LINKAGE=GO
NODE=M009W5;LINKAGE=SC

NODE=M009W5;LINKAGE=CH
NODE=M009W5;LINKAGE=A6
NODE=M009W5;LINKAGE=K1

NODE=M009W5;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M009W5;LINKAGE=WO
NODE=M009W5;LINKAGE=Z

NODE=M009W5;LINKAGE=HC

MIXED CHARGES, OTHER REACTIONS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
149.5 ± 1.3	600k	¹ ABELE 99E	CBAR	0 $\pm$	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ Assuming the equality of ρ^+ and ρ^- masses and widths.

NODE=M009W7
NODE=M009W7

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=LB

CHARGED ONLY, HADROPRODUCED

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
150.2 ± 2.4 OUR FIT					
150.2 ± 2.4 OUR AVERAGE					
152.8 ± 4.3		ABELE 97	CBAR		$\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
155 ± 11 2.9k	¹	CAPRARO 87	SPEC	$-$	200 $\pi^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \text{Cu}$
154 ± 20 967	¹	CAPRARO 87	SPEC	$-$	200 $\pi^- \text{Pb} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \text{Pb}$
150 ± 5		HUSTON 86	SPEC	$+$	202 $\pi^+ \text{A} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \text{A}$
146 ± 12 6.5k	²	BYERLY 73	OSPK	$-$	5 $\pi^- p$
148.2 ± 4.1 9.6k	³	PISUT 68	RVUE	$-$	1.7–3.2 $\pi^- p$, $t < 10$
146 ± 13 900		EISNER 67	HBC	$-$	4.2 $\pi^- p$, $t < 10$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

137.0 ± 0.4 ⁴ ABLIKIM 17 BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi$

¹ Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

² Phase shift analysis. Systematic errors added corresponding to spread of different fits.

³ From fit of 3-parameter relativistic P -wave Breit-Wigner to total mass distribution. Includes BATON 68, MILLER 67B, ALFF-STEINBERGER 66, HAGOPIAN 66, HAGOPIAN 66B, JACOBS 66B, JAMES 66, WEST 66, BLIEDEN 65 and CARMONY 64.

⁴ S-matrix pole at a fixed ρ meson mass of 775.49 MeV.

NODE=M009W2
NODE=M009W2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M009W2;LINKAGE=Z
NODE=M009W2;LINKAGE=X
NODE=M009W2;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=M009W2;LINKAGE=A

NEUTRAL ONLY, PHOTOPRODUCED

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
151.5 $^{+1.9}_{-2.1}$ OUR AVERAGE				
151.3 ± 2.2 $^{+1.6}_{-2.8}$ 900k		ANDREEV 20	H1	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+ \pi^- p$
155 ± 5 ± 2 63.5k	¹	ABRAMOWICZ 12	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+ \pi^- p$
146 ± 3 ± 13 79k	²	BREITWEG 98B	ZEUS	50–100 γp
150.9 ± 3.0		BARTALUCCI 78	CNTR	$\gamma p \rightarrow e^+ e^- p$

NODE=M009W0P
NODE=M009W0P

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

138 ± 3	79k	³ BREITWEG	98B	ZEUS	50–100 γp
147 ± 11		GLADDING	73	CNTR	2.9–4.7 γp
155 ± 12	2430	BALLAM	72	HBC	4.7 γp
145 ± 13	1930	BALLAM	72	HBC	2.8 γp
140 ± 5		ALVENSLEB...	70	CNTR	γA , $t < 0.01$
146.1 ± 2.9	140k	BIGGS	70	CNTR	$< 4.1 \gamma C \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- C$
160 ± 10		LANZEROTTI	68	CNTR	γp
130 ± 5	4000	ASBURY	67B	CNTR	$\gamma + Pb$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting ρ - ω interference.

² From the parametrization according to SOEDING 66.

³ From the parametrization according to ROSS 66.

NODE=M009W0P;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=B5

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=B6

NEUTRAL ONLY, OTHER REACTIONS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
150.9 ± 1.7 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
122 ± 20		BERTIN	97C	OBLX $0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
145.7 ± 5.3		WEIDENAUER	93	ASTE $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \omega$
144.9 ± 3.7		DUBNICKA	89	RVUE π form factor
148 ± 6		^{1,2} BOHACIK	80	RVUE
152 ± 9		³ WICKLUND	78	ASPK $3,4,6 \pi^\pm p N$
154 ± 2	76k	DEUTSCH...	76	HBC $16 \pi^+ p$
157 ± 8	6.8k	⁴ RATCLIFF	72	ASPK $15 \pi^- p$, $t < 0.3$
143 ± 8	1.7k	REYNOLDS	69	HBC $2.26 \pi^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

150.85 ± 0.55 ± 0.67	970k	⁵ ABLIKIM	18C	BES3	$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
150.18 ± 0.55 ± 0.65	970k	⁶ ABLIKIM	18C	BES3	$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
147.0 ± 2.5	600k	⁷ ABELE	99E	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
146 ± 3	4.9k	⁸ ADAMS	97	E665	$470 \mu p \rightarrow \mu X B$
160.0 + 4.1 - 4.0		⁹ CHABAUD	83	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p$ polarized
155 ± 1		¹⁰ HEYN	81	RVUE	π form factor
148.0 ± 1.3		^{1,2} LANG	79	RVUE	
146 ± 14	4.1k	ENGLER	74	DBC	$6 \pi^+ n \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- p$
143 ± 13		² ESTABROOKS	74	RVUE	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
160 ± 10	32k	¹ PROTOPOP...	73	HBC	$7.1 \pi^+ p$, $t < 0.4$
145 ± 12	2.2k	^{3,11} HYAMS	68	OSPK	$11.2 \pi^- p$
163 ± 15	13.3k	¹² PISUT	68	RVUE	$1.7-3.2 \pi^- p$, $t < 10$

OCCUR=2

¹ From pole extrapolation.

² From phase shift analysis of GRAYER 74 data.

³ Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

⁴ Published values contain misprints. Corrected by private communication RATCLIFF 74.

⁵ From a fit to $\pi^+ \pi^-$ mass using $\rho(770)$ (parametrized with the Gounaris-Sakurai approach), $\omega(782)$, and box anomaly components.

⁶ From a fit to $\pi^+ \pi^-$ mass using $\rho(770)$ (parametrized with the Gounaris-Sakurai approach), $\omega(782)$, and $\rho(1450)$ components.

⁷ Using relativistic Breit-Wigner and taking into account ρ - ω interference.

⁸ Systematic errors not evaluated.

⁹ From fit of 3-parameter relativistic Breit-Wigner to helicity-zero part of P -wave intensity. CHABAUD 83 includes data of GRAYER 74.

¹⁰ HEYN 81 includes all spacelike and timelike F_π values until 1978.

¹¹ Of HYAMS 68 six parametrizations this is theoretically soundest. MR

¹² Includes MALAMUD 69, ARMENISE 68, BACON 67, HUWE 67, MILLER 67B, ALFF-STEINBERGER 66, HAGOPIAN 66, HAGOPIAN 66B, JACOBS 66B, JAMES 66, WEST 66, GOLDBERGER 64, ABOLINS 63.

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=Z

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=03

NODE=M009W0R;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M009W0R;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=02

NODE=M009W;LINKAGE=R

$\Gamma_{\rho(770)^0} - \Gamma_{\rho(770)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.3 ± 1.3 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4.		
-0.2 ± 1.0		¹ SCHAEAL	05C	ALEP $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
3.6 ± 1.8 ± 1.7	1.98M	² ALOISIO	03	KLOE $1.02 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
4.66 ± 0.85		³ BARTOS	17A	RVUE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-, \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

NODE=M009W6

NODE=M009W6

¹ From the combined fit of the τ^- data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAELE 05C and e^+e^- data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05. Supersedes BARATE 97M.

² Assuming $m_{\rho^+} = m_{\rho^-}$, $\Gamma_{\rho^+} = \Gamma_{\rho^-}$.

³ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

$$\Gamma_{\rho(770)^+} = \Gamma_{\rho(770)^-}$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.8 \pm 2.0 \pm 0.5$	1.98M	¹ ALOISIO	03	KLOE $1.02 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ Without limitations on masses and widths.

NODE=M009W6;LINKAGE=SC

NODE=M009W6;LINKAGE=CH

NODE=M009W6;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M009W16

NODE=M009W16

NODE=M009W16;LINKAGE=WO

NODE=M009225;NODE=M009

$\rho(770)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi\pi$	~ 100	%
$\rho(770)^\pm$ decays		
$\Gamma_2 \quad \pi^\pm \pi^0$	~ 100	%
$\Gamma_3 \quad \pi^\pm \gamma$	$(4.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.2
$\Gamma_4 \quad \pi^\pm \eta$	< 6	$\times 10^{-3}$ CL=84%
$\Gamma_5 \quad \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 2.0	$\times 10^{-3}$ CL=84%
$\rho(770)^0$ decays		
$\Gamma_6 \quad \pi^+ \pi^-$	~ 100	%
$\Gamma_7 \quad \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	$(9.9 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_8 \quad \pi^0 \gamma$	$(4.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.7
$\Gamma_9 \quad \eta \gamma$	$(3.00 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{10} \quad \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$	$(4.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_{11} \quad \mu^+ \mu^-$	[a] $(4.55 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_{12} \quad e^+ e^-$	[a] $(4.72 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_{13} \quad \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(9 \pm 4) \times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_{14} \quad \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(1.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_{15} \quad \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	$(1.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_{16} \quad \pi^0 e^+ e^-$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-5}$ CL=90%
$\Gamma_{17} \quad \eta e^+ e^-$		

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M009;CLUMP=A

DESIG=11;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3

DESIG=5

DESIG=21

NODE=M009;CLUMP=B

DESIG=12;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=60

DESIG=40

DESIG=8

DESIG=80

DESIG=6

DESIG=4

DESIG=7

DESIG=22

DESIG=30

DESIG=9

DESIG=10

[a] The $\omega\rho$ interference is then due to $\omega\rho$ mixing only, and is expected to be small. If $e\mu$ universality holds, $\Gamma(\rho^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = \Gamma(\rho^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) \times 0.99785$.

LINKAGE=MD2

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width and a partial width uses 10 measurements and one constraint to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 10.7$ for 8 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_3	-100	
Γ	15	-15
	x_2	x_3

Mode	Rate (MeV)	Scale factor
$\Gamma_2 \quad \pi^\pm \pi^0$	150.2 ± 2.4	
$\Gamma_3 \quad \pi^\pm \gamma$	0.068 ± 0.007	2.3

DESIG=11

DESIG=3

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, a partial width, and 7 branching ratios uses 21 measurements and one constraint to determine 9 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 9.5$ for 13 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_7	-100							
x_8	-5	0						
x_9	-1	0	1					
x_{10}	-1	0	0	0				
x_{11}	2	-3	0	0	0			
x_{12}	0	0	-6	-9	0	0		
x_{14}	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Γ	0	0	3	5	0	0	-54	0
	x_6	x_7	x_8	x_9	x_{10}	x_{11}	x_{12}	x_{14}

Mode	Rate (MeV)	Scale factor
Γ_6 $\pi^+ \pi^-$	147.5 $\pm$ 0.9	
Γ_7 $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	1.48 $\pm$ 0.24	
Γ_8 $\pi^0 \gamma$	0.070 $\pm$ 0.012	1.7
Γ_9 $\eta \gamma$	0.0447 $\pm$ 0.0032	
Γ_{10} $\pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$	0.0066 $\pm$ 0.0012	
Γ_{11} $\mu^+ \mu^-$	[a] 0.0068 $\pm$ 0.0004	
Γ_{12} $e^+ e^-$	[a] 0.00704 $\pm$ 0.00006	
Γ_{14} $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	0.0027 $\pm$ 0.0014	

DESIG=12
DESIG=60
DESIG=40
DESIG=8
DESIG=80
DESIG=6
DESIG=4
DESIG=22

$\rho(770)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M009230


$\Gamma(\pi^\pm \gamma)$

Γ_3

NODE=M009W3
NODE=M009W3

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
68 $\pm$ 7	OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 2.3.
68 $\pm$ 7	OUR AVERAGE			Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.
81 $\pm$ 4 $\pm$ 4	CAPRARO	87	SPEC	- 200 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 A$
59.8 $\pm$ 4.0	HUSTON	86	SPEC	+ 202 $\pi^+ A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 A$
71 $\pm$ 7	JENSEN	83	SPEC	- 156-260 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 A$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
68 $\pm$ 7 (Error scaled by 2.2)



$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ Γ_8

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$77 \pm 17 \pm 11$	36500	¹ ACHASOV	03	SND	$0.60-0.97 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
121 ± 31		DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

¹ Using $\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 147.9 \pm 1.3$ MeV and $B(\rho \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma)$ from ACHASOV 03.

NODE=M009W31
NODE=M009W31

NODE=M009W31;LINKAGE=AV

 $\Gamma(\eta\gamma)$ Γ_9

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

62 ± 17	¹ DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

¹ Solution corresponding to constructive ω - ρ interference.

NODE=M009W32
NODE=M009W32

NODE=M009W32;LINKAGE=L

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_{12}

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

7.04 $\pm$ 0.06 OUR FIT

7.04 $\pm$ 0.06 OUR AVERAGE

$7.048 \pm 0.057 \pm 0.050$	900k	¹ AKHMETSHIN	07		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$7.06 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.05$	114k	^{2,3} AKHMETSHIN	04	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$6.77 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.30$		BARKOV	85	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$7.12 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.11$	800k	⁴ ACHASOV	06	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
6.3 ± 0.1		⁵ BENAYOUN	98	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \mu^+\mu^-$

¹ A combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 07, AULCHENKO 06, and AULCHENKO 05.

² Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the ρ - ω interference.

³ From a fit in the energy range 0.61 to 0.96 GeV. Update of AKHMETSHIN 02.

⁴ Supersedes ACHASOV 05A.

⁵ Using the data of BARKOV 85 in the hidden local symmetry model.

NODE=M009W4
NODE=M009W4

OCCUR=2

NODE=M009W4;LINKAGE=AK
NODE=M009W4;LINKAGE=GS
NODE=M009W4;LINKAGE=P2
NODE=M009W4;LINKAGE=AC
NODE=M009W4;LINKAGE=K2

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{14}

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.8 \pm 1.4 \pm 0.5$	153	AKHMETSHIN	00	CMD2	$0.6-0.97 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M009W33
NODE=M009W33

 $\rho(770) \Gamma(e^+e^-) \Gamma(i) / \Gamma^2(\text{total})$

NODE=M009233

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{12} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_6 / \Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

4.89 $\pm$ 0.04 OUR AVERAGE

$4.889 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.039$		¹ ACHASOV	21	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$4.876 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.064$	800k	^{2,3} ACHASOV	06	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.72 ± 0.02		⁴ BENAYOUN	10	RVUE	$0.4-1.05 e^+e^-$

¹ From a fit of the cross section in the energy range $0.525 < \sqrt{s} < 0.883$ GeV parameterized by the sum of the Breit-Wigner amplitudes for the $\rho(770)$, ω and $\rho(1450)$ resonances.

² Supersedes ACHASOV 05A.

³ A fit of the SND data from 400 to 1000 MeV using parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ from a fit of the data of BARKOV 85, BISELLO 89 and ANDERSON 00A.

⁴ A simultaneous fit of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \pi^0\gamma, \eta\gamma$ data.

NODE=M009G4;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M009G4;LINKAGE=AC
NODE=M009G4;LINKAGE=SN

NODE=M009G4;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{12} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_9 / \Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-8})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.42 $\pm$ 0.10 OUR FIT

1.45 $\pm$ 0.12 OUR AVERAGE

$1.32 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.08$	33k	¹ ACHASOV	07B	SND	$0.6-1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
$1.50 \pm 0.65 \pm 0.09$	17.4k	² AKHMETSHIN	05	CMD2	$0.60-1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
$1.61 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.11$	23k	^{3,4} AKHMETSHIN	01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
1.85 ± 0.49		⁵ DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.05 ± 0.02		⁶ BENAYOUN	10	RVUE	$0.4-1.05 e^+e^-$

NODE=M009G1
NODE=M009G1

- ¹ From a combined fit of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma)$ with $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, and fixing $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) / B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = 1.44 \pm 0.04$. Recalculated by us from the cross section at the peak. Supersedes ACHASOV 00D and ACHASOV 06A.
- ² From the $\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma$ decay and using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 39.43 \pm 0.26\%$.
- ³ From the $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ decay and using $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.24 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}$.
- ⁴ The combined fit from 600 to 1380 MeV taking into account $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, and $\rho(1450)$ (mass and width fixed at 1450 MeV and 310 MeV respectively).
- ⁵ Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak.
- ⁶ A simultaneous fit of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \pi^0\gamma, \eta\gamma$ data.

NODE=M009G1;LINKAGE=AH

NODE=M009G;LINKAGE=AH

NODE=M009G;LINKAGE=AK

NODE=M009G;LINKAGE=BQ

NODE=M009G;LINKAGE=LP

NODE=M009G1;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M009G2

NODE=M009G2

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_8/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-8}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

2.2 ± 0.4 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.7.

2.21 ± 0.34 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.

1.98 $\pm 0.22 \pm 0.10$ ¹ ACHASOV 16A SND 0.60-1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

2.90 $^{+0.60}_{-0.55} \pm 0.18$ 18k AKHMETSHIN 05 CMD2 0.60-1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

3.61 $\pm 0.74 \pm 0.49$ 10k ² DOLINSKY 89 ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.875 ± 0.026 ³ BENAYOUN 10 RVUE 0.4-1.05 e^+e^-

2.37 $\pm 0.53 \pm 0.33$ 36k ⁴ ACHASOV 03 SND 0.60-0.97 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

¹ From the VMD model with the $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$ resonances, and an additional resonance describing the total contribution of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\omega(1420)$ states. Supersedes ACHASOV 03.

² Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak.

³ A simultaneous fit of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \pi^0\gamma, \eta\gamma$ data.

⁴ Using $\sigma_{\phi \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma}$ from ACHASOV 00 and $m_{\rho} = 775.97$ MeV in the model with the energy-independent phase of ρ - ω interference equal to $(-10.2 \pm 7.0)^\circ$.


NODE=M009G2;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M009G2;LINKAGE=LP

NODE=M009G2;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M009G;LINKAGE=SH

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
2.21 $\pm$ 0.34 (Error scaled by 1.6)



Values above of weighted average, error, and scale factor are based upon the data in this ideogram only. They are not necessarily the same as our 'best' values, obtained from a least-squares constrained fit utilizing measurements of other (related) quantities as additional information.

			χ^2
ACHASOV	16A	SND	0.9
AKHMETSHIN	05	CMD2	1.4
DOLINSKY	89	ND	2.5
			4.8
(Confidence Level = 0.090)			

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-9}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.903 ± 0.076 ¹ BENAYOUN 10 RVUE 0.4-1.05 e^+e^-

4.58 $^{+2.46}_{-1.64} \pm 1.56$ 1.2M ² ACHASOV 03D RVUE 0.44-2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ A simultaneous fit of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \pi^0\gamma, \eta\gamma$ data.

² Statistical significance is less than 3σ .

NODE=M009G3

NODE=M009G3

NODE=M009G3;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M009G3;LINKAGE=AC

$\rho(770)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M009235

$\Gamma(\pi^\pm\eta)/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

<60 84 FERBEL 66 HBC $\pm$ $\pi^\pm p$ above 2.5

NODE=M009R4

NODE=M009R4

$\Gamma(\pi^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<20	84	FERBEL	66	HBC	$\pm$ $\pi^{\pm} p$ above 2.5
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
35 $\pm$ 40		JAMES	66	HBC	+ 2.1 $\pi^{+} p$

NODE=M009R1
 NODE=M009R1

 $\Gamma(\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0099 $\pm$ 0.0016 OUR FIT				
0.0099 $\pm$ 0.0016		¹ DOLINSKY	91	ND $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.0111 $\pm$ 0.0014		² VASSERMAN	88	ND $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\gamma$
<0.005	90	³ VASSERMAN	88	ND $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\gamma$
¹ Bremsstrahlung from a decay pion and for photon energy above 50 MeV.				
² Superseded by DOLINSKY 91.				
³ Structure radiation due to quark rearrangement in the decay.				

NODE=M009R12
 NODE=M009R12

OCCUR=2

NODE=M009R12;LINKAGE=J
 NODE=M009R12;LINKAGE=I
 NODE=M009R12;LINKAGE=N

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4.20 $\pm$ 0.52		¹ ACHASOV	16A	SND 0.60–1.38 $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
6.21 $^{+1.28}_{-1.18} \pm 0.39$	18k	^{2,3} AKHMETSHIN	05	CMD2 0.60–1.38 $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
5.22 $\pm$ 1.17 $\pm$ 0.75	36k	^{3,4} ACHASOV	03	SND 0.60–0.97 $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
6.8 $\pm$ 1.7		⁵ BENAYOUN	96	RVUE 0.54–1.04 $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
7.9 $\pm$ 2.0		³ DOLINSKY	89	ND $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
¹ Using $B(\rho \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-})$ from PDG 15. Supersedes ACHASOV 03.				
² Using $B(\rho \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}) = (4.67 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-5}$.				
³ Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^{+}e^{-}) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.				
⁴ Using $B(\rho \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}) = (4.54 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-5}$.				
⁵ Reanalysis of DRUZHININ 84, DOLINSKY 89, and DOLINSKY 91 taking into account a triangle anomaly contribution.				

NODE=M009R9
 NODE=M009R9

NODE=M009R9;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M009R9;LINKAGE=AK
 NODE=M009R9;LINKAGE=BZ
 NODE=M009R9;LINKAGE=AS
 NODE=M009R9;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
3.00 $\pm$ 0.21 OUR FIT					
2.90 $\pm$ 0.32 OUR AVERAGE					
2.79 $\pm$ 0.34 $\pm$ 0.03	33k	¹ ACHASOV	07B	SND	0.6–1.38 $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
3.6 $\pm$ 0.9		² ANDREWS	77	CNTR	0 6.7–10 γCu
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3.21 $\pm$ 1.39 $\pm$ 0.20	17.4k	^{3,4} AKHMETSHIN	05	CMD2	0.60–1.38 $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
3.39 $\pm$ 0.42 $\pm$ 0.23		^{2,5,6} AKHMETSHIN	01B	CMD2	$e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
1.9 $^{+0.6}_{-0.8}$		⁷ BENAYOUN	96	RVUE	0.54–1.04 $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
4.0 $\pm$ 1.1		^{2,4} DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
¹ ACHASOV 07B reports $[\Gamma(\rho(770) \rightarrow \eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\rho(770) \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-})] = (1.32 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-8}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\rho(770) \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}) = (4.72 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-5}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Supersedes ACHASOV 00D and ACHASOV 06A.					
² Solution corresponding to constructive ω - ρ interference.					
³ Using $B(\rho \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}) = (4.67 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-5}$ and $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 39.43 \pm 0.26\%$.					
⁴ Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^{+}e^{-}) \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.					
⁵ The combined fit from 600 to 1380 MeV taking into account $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, and $\rho(1450)$ (mass and width fixed at 1450 MeV and 310 MeV respectively).					
⁶ Using $B(\rho \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}) = (4.75 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-5}$ from AKHMETSHIN 02 and $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.24 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}$.					
⁷ Reanalysis of DRUZHININ 84, DOLINSKY 89, and DOLINSKY 91 taking into account a triangle anomaly contribution. Constructive ρ - ω interference solution.					

NODE=M009R7
 NODE=M009R7

NODE=M009R7;LINKAGE=AO

NODE=M009R7;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M009R;LINKAGE=AK
 NODE=M009R7;LINKAGE=AZ
 NODE=M009R;LINKAGE=BQ

NODE=M009R;LINKAGE=BX

NODE=M009R7;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{10}/Γ NODE=M009R14
NODE=M009R14 **4.5 ± 0.8 OUR FIT** **$4.5^{+0.9}_{-0.8}$ OUR AVERAGE** $5.2^{+1.5}_{-1.3} \pm 0.6$ 190 ¹ AKHMETSHIN 04B CMD2 0.6–0.97 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

OCCUR=2

 $4.1^{+1.0}_{-0.9} \pm 0.3$ 295 ² ACHASOV 02F SND 0.36–0.97 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $4.8^{+3.4}_{-1.8} \pm 0.5$ 63 ³ ACHASOV 00G SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

¹ This branching ratio includes the conventional VMD mechanism $\rho \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$, $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$, and the new decay mode $\rho \rightarrow f_0(500)\gamma$, $f_0(500) \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ with a branching ratio $(2.0^{+1.1}_{-0.9} \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$ differing from zero by 2.0 standard deviations.

NODE=M009R14;LINKAGE=AH

² This branching ratio includes the conventional VMD mechanism $\rho \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$, $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ and the new decay mode $\rho \rightarrow f_0(500)\gamma$, $f_0(500) \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ with a branching ratio $(1.9^{+0.9}_{-0.8} \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$ differing from zero by 2.4 standard deviations. Supersedes ACHASOV 00G.

NODE=M009R;LINKAGE=FF

³ Superseded by ACHASOV 02F.

NODE=M009R;LINKAGE=GF

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)$ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{11}/Γ_6 NODE=M009R5
NODE=M009R5 **4.60 ± 0.28 OUR FIT** **$4.6 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2$**

ANTIPOV

89

SIGM

 $\pi^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\pi^- \text{Cu}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $8.2^{+1.6}_{-3.6}$ ¹ ROTHWELL 69 CNTR Photoproduction 5.6 ± 1.5 ² WEHMANN 69 OSPK 12 $\pi^- \text{C, Fe}$ $9.7^{+3.1}_{-3.3}$ ^{3,4} HYAMS 67 OSPK 11 $\pi^- \text{Li, H}$

¹ Possibly large ρ - ω interference leads us to increase the minus error.

NODE=M009R5;LINKAGE=R

² Result contains $11 \pm 11\%$ correction using SU(3) for central value. The error on the correction takes account of possible ρ - ω interference and the upper limit agrees with the upper limit of $\omega \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ from this experiment.

NODE=M009R5;LINKAGE=W

³ But he even enlarges his error to take residual ω contamination into account. Since his value is high, seems the other experiments also can't have too many ω 's. But maybe Hyams has additional μ 's from $\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$, decaying π 's.

NODE=M009R5;LINKAGE=01

⁴ HYAMS 67's mass resolution is 20 MeV. The ω region was excluded.

NODE=M009R5;LINKAGE=H

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{12}/Γ_1 NODE=M009R3
NODE=M009R3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 0.40 ± 0.05 ^{1,2} BENAKSAS 72 OSPK $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

¹ The ρ' contribution is not taken into account.

NODE=M009R;LINKAGE=KS

² Barkov excludes Auslender and Benaksas for large statistical and systematic errors.

NODE=M009R3;LINKAGE=01

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

CL%

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{13}/Γ NODE=M009R10
NODE=M009R10 **$0.88 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.30$** ¹ LEES

21B

BABR

 $10.5 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $1.01^{+0.54}_{-0.36} \pm 0.34$ 1.2M ² ACHASOV 03D RVUE $0.44-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ <1.2 90 VASSERMAN 88B ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ From the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ with contributions from $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. Statistical evidence is more than 6σ .

NODE=M009R10;LINKAGE=A

² Statistical significance is less than 3σ .

NODE=M009R;LINKAGE=NS

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

CHG

COMMENT

 Γ_{13}/Γ_1 NODE=M009R6
NODE=M009R6

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 ~ 0.01 BRAMON 86 RVUE 0 $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$ <0.01 84 ¹ ABRAMS 71 HBC 0 $3.7 \pi^+p$

¹ Model dependent, assumes $I = 1, 2$, or 3 for the 3π system.

NODE=M009R6;LINKAGE=G

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.8 ± 0.9 OUR FIT					
$1.8 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.3$	153		AKHMETSHIN 00	CMD2	$0.6-0.97 \ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<20	90		KURDADZE 88	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M009R13
NODE=M009R13

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi\pi) \quad \Gamma_{14}/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<15	90	ERBE	69	HBC	0 $2.5-5.8 \ \gamma p$
<20		CHUNG	68	HBC	0 $3.2, 4.2 \ \pi^- p$
<20	90	HUSON	68	HLBC	0 $16.0 \ \pi^- p$
<80		JAMES	66	HBC	0 $2.1 \ \pi^+ p$

NODE=M009R11
NODE=M009R11

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.60 \pm 0.74 \pm 0.18$		¹ ACHASOV 09A	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 4	90	AULCHENKO 87C	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
<20	90	KURDADZE 86	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$

NODE=M009R8
NODE=M009R8

¹ Assuming no interference between the ρ and ω contributions.

NODE=M009R8;LINKAGE=AC

$$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{16}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.2	90	ACHASOV 08	SND	$0.36-0.97 \ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<1.6		AKHMETSHIN 05A	CMD2	$0.72-0.84 \ e^+e^-$

NODE=M009R15
NODE=M009R15

$$\Gamma(\eta e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.7	AKHMETSHIN 05A	CMD2	$0.72-0.84 \ e^+e^-$

NODE=M009R16
NODE=M009R16

$\rho(770)$ REFERENCES

HOERICHT...	24	PL B853 138698	M. Hoferichter <i>et al.</i>	(BERN, MADU, BONN+)	REFID=63010
IGNATOV	24	PR D109 112002	F.V. Ignatov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)	REFID=62672
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=61634
ACHASOV	21	JHEP 2101 113	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=61040
LEES	21B	PR D104 112003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=61450
ANDREEV	20	EPJ C80 1189	V. Andreev <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)	REFID=60773
ABLIKIM	18C	PRL 120 242003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58843
ABLIKIM	17	PRL 118 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57650
BARTOS	17	PR D96 113004	E. Bartos <i>et al.</i>		REFID=58325
BARTOS	17A	IJMP A32 1750154	E. Bartos <i>et al.</i>		REFID=58367
ABLIKIM	16C	PL B753 629	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57138
ACHASOV	16A	PR D93 092001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=57513
HOERICHT...	16	EPJ C72 1869	M. Hoferichter <i>et al.</i>	(WASH, BONN)	REFID=63224
PDG	15	RPP 2015 at pdg.lbl.gov		(PDG Collab.)	REFID=56977;ERROR=2
ABRAMOWICZ	12	EPJ C72 1869	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)	REFID=54274
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54299
AMBROSINO	11A	PL B700 102	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=16683
GARCIA-MAR...	11	PRL 107 072001	R. Garcia-Martin <i>et al.</i>	(MADR, CRAC)	REFID=16761
BATLEY	10C	EPJ C70 635	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48/2 Collab.)	REFID=53567
BENAYOUN	10	EPJ C65 211	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>		REFID=53212
DUBNICKA	10	APS 60 1	S. Dubnicka, A.Z. Dubnickova		REFID=58797
ACHASOV	09A	JETP 109 379	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=53101
AUBERT	09AS	Translated from ZETF 136 442.	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53136
ACHASOV	08	PRL 103 231801	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=52258
FUJIKAWA	08	JETP 107 61	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>		
ACHASOV	07B	Translated from ZETF 134 80.	M. Fujikawa <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52536
AKHMETSHIN	07	PR D78 072006	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=51942
ACHASOV	06	PR D76 077101	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=51615
ACHASOV	06	PL B648 28	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=51113
ACHASOV	06A	JETP 103 380	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>		
AULCHENKO	06	Translated from ZETF 130 437.	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=51133
ACHASOV	06	PR D74 014016	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=51513
ACHASOV	05A	JETPL 84 413	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>		
ACHASOV	05A	Translated from ZETF 84 491.	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=51045
AKHMETSHIN	05	JETP 101 1053	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>		
AKHMETSHIN	05A	Translated from ZETF 128 1201.	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=50330
ALOISIO	05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=50508
AULCHENKO	05	PL B613 29	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=51112
AULCHENKO	05	PL B606 12	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=51060
AULCHENKO	05	JETPL 82 743	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>		
AULCHENKO	05	Translated from ZETF 82 841.			

NODE=M009

SCHAEEL	05C	PRPL 421 191	S. Schael <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)	REFID=50845
AKHMETSHIN	04	PL B578 285	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=49609
AKHMETSHIN	04B	PL B580 119	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=49610
PELAEZ	04A	MPL A19 2879	J.R. Pelaez	(MADU)	REFID=50347
ACHASOV	03	PL B559 171	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=49187
ACHASOV	03D	PR D68 052006	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=49577
ALOISIO	03	PL B561 55	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=49404
SANZ-CILLERO	03	EPJ C27 587	J.J. Sanz-Cillero, A. Pich		REFID=49399
ACHASOV	02	PR D65 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=48549
ACHASOV	02F	PL B537 201	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=48816
AKHMETSHIN	02	PL B527 161	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=48565
AKHMETSHIN	01B	PL B509 217	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=48167
COLANGELO	01	NP B603 125	G. Colangelo, J. Gasser, H. Leytwyler		REFID=49180
PICH	01	PR D63 093005	A. Pich, J. Portoles		REFID=48313
ACHASOV	00	EPJ C12 25	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47417
ACHASOV	00D	JETPL 72 282	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47882
ACHASOV	00G	Translated from ZETFP 72 411.	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47929
ACHASOV	00G	JETPL 71 355	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47421
AKHMETSHIN	00	Translated from ZETFP 71 519.	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=47468
ANDERSON	00A	PL B475 190	S. Anderson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=47414
ABELE	99E	PR D61 112002	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=45859
BENAYOUN	98	PL B469 270	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>	(IPNP, NOVO, ADL+)	REFID=46354
BREITWEG	98B	EPJ C2 269	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)	REFID=46366
GARDNER	98	EPJ C2 247	S. Gardner, H.B. O'Connell		REFID=47981
Also		PR D57 2716	S. Gardner, H.B. O'Connell		REFID=45415
ABELE	97	PR D62 019903 (err.)	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=45533
ADAMS	97	PL B391 191	M.R. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(E665 Collab.)	REFID=45622
BARATE	97M	ZPHY C74 237	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)	REFID=45701
BERTIN	97C	ZPHY C76 15	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=45393
BOGOLYUB...	97	PL B408 476	M.Y. Bogolyubsky <i>et al.</i>	(MOSU, SERP)	REFID=45860
O'CONNELL	97	PAN 60 46	H.B. O'Connell <i>et al.</i>	(ADLD)	REFID=45753
BENAYOUN	96	Translated from YAF 60 53.	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>	(IPNP, NOVO)	REFID=44097
BERNICA	94	NP A623 559	A. Bernica, G. Lopez Castro, J. Pestieau	(LOUV+)	REFID=43589
WEIDENAUER	93	ZPHY C72 221	P. Weidenauer <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)	REFID=41637
AGUILAR...	91	PR D50 4454	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(LEBC-EHS Collab.)	REFID=41369
DOLINSKY	91	ZPHY C59 387	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=45862
KUHN	90	ZPHY C50 405	J.H. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)	REFID=40739
ANTIPOV	89	PRPL 202 99	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, JINR, BGNA+)	REFID=40700
BISELLO	89	ZPHY C48 445	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41003
DOLINSKY	89	ZPHY C42 185	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=44082
DUBNICKA	89	PL B220 321	S. Dubnicka <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, SLOV)	REFID=41017
GESHKEN...	89	JP G15 1349	B.V. Geshkenbein	(ITEP)	REFID=41121
KURDADZE	88	ZPHY C45 351	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41019
VASSERMAN	88	JETPL 47 512	L.B. Vasserman <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41020
VASSERMAN	88B	Translated from ZETFP 47 432.	I.B. Vasserman <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41370
AULCHENKO	87C	SJNP 47 1035	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=40003
CAPRARO	87	Translated from YAF 47 1635.	L. Capraro <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, MILA+)	REFID=22102
BRAMON	86	SJNP 48 480	A. Bramon, J. Casulleras	(BARC)	REFID=20137
HUSTON	86	IYF 87-90 Preprint	J. Huston <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, FNAL, MINN)	REFID=40287
KURDADZE	86	NP B288 659	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20134
BARKOV	85	PL B173 97	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20561
DRUZHININ	84	PR D33 3199	V.P. Druzhinin <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20131
CHABAUD	83	JETPL 43 643	V. Chabaud <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CRAC, MPIM)	REFID=20132
JENSEN	83	Translated from ZETFP 43 497.	T. Jensen <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, FNAL, MINN)	REFID=20129
HEYN	81	NP B256 365	M.F. Heyn, C.B. Lang	(GRAZ)	REFID=20128
BOHACIK	80	PL 144B 136	J. Bohacik, H. Kuhnelt	(SLOV, WIEN)	REFID=20381
COHEN	80	PR D21 1342	D. Cohen <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)	REFID=20126
LANG	79	PR D22 2595	C.B. Lang, A. Mas-Parareda	(DESY, FRAS)	REFID=20124
BARTALUCCI	78	PR D19 956	S. Bartalucci <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)	REFID=20120
WICKLUND	78	NC 44A 587	A.B. Wicklund <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH)	REFID=20119
ANDREWS	77	PR D17 1197	D.E. Andrews <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, BONN+)	REFID=20110
DEUTSCH...	76	PRL 38 198	M. Deuschmann <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, CASE)	REFID=20111
ENGLER	74	NP B103 426	A. Engler <i>et al.</i>	(DURH)	REFID=20113
ESTABROOKS	74	PR D10 2070	P.G. Estabrooks, A.D. Martin	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20104
GRAYER	74	NP B79 301	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(MICH)	REFID=20106
RATCLIFF	74	NP B75 189	W.L. Byerly <i>et al.</i>	(HARV)	REFID=20107
BYERLY	73	Private Comm.	G.E. Gladding <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20108
GLADDING	73	PR D7 637	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)	REFID=20094
HYAMS	73	PR D8 3721	S.D. Protopopescu <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL, TUFTS)	REFID=20096
PROTOPOP...	73	NP B64 134	J. Ballam <i>et al.</i>	(ORSA)	REFID=20101
BALLAM	72	PR D7 1279	D. Benaksas <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)	REFID=20102
BENAKSAS	72	PR D5 545	L.D. Jacobs	(LBL)	REFID=20090
JACOBS	72	PL 39B 289	B.N. Ratcliff <i>et al.</i>	(DESY)	REFID=20089
RATCLIFF	72	PR D6 1291	G.S. Abrams <i>et al.</i>	(DARE)	REFID=20074
ABRAMS	71	PL 38B 345	H. Alvensleben <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA)	REFID=20077
ALVENSLEB...	70	PR D4 653	P.J. Biggs <i>et al.</i>	(FSU)	REFID=20082
BIGGS	70	PRL 24 786	R. Erbe <i>et al.</i>	(NEAS)	REFID=20084
ERBE	69	PRL 24 1197	E.I. Malamud, P.E. Schlein	(BARI, BGNA, FIRZ+)	REFID=20054
MALAMUD	69	PR 188 2060	B.G. Reynolds <i>et al.</i>	(SACL)	REFID=20056
REYNOLDS	69	Argonne Conf. 93	P.L. Rothwell <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)	REFID=20061
ROTHWELL	69	PR 184 1424	A.A. Wehmann <i>et al.</i>	(ORSA, MILA, UCLA)	REFID=20062
WEHMANN	69	PRL 23 1521	N. Armenise <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20063
ARMENISE	68	PR 178 2095	J.P. Batou, G. Laurens	(HARV, CASE, SLAC+)	REFID=20068
BATON	68	NC 54A 999	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20070
CHUNG	68	PR 176 1574	M. Foster <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, COLU)	REFID=20038
FOSTER	68	NP B6 107	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	(BNL)	REFID=20046
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	R. Huson <i>et al.</i>	(PURD)	REFID=20049
HUSON	68	PL 28B 208	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)	REFID=20050
HYAMS	68	NP B7 1	L.J. Lanzerotti <i>et al.</i>	(PURD)	REFID=20051
LANZEROTTI	68	PR 166 1365	J. Pisut, M. Roos	(COLU, RUTG)	REFID=20028
PISUT	68	NP B6 325	T.C. Bacon <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH)	REFID=20030
ASBURY	67B	PRL 19 865	R.L. Eisner <i>et al.</i>	(PENN, SACL)	REFID=20033
BACON	67	PR 157 1263	D.O. Huwe <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, BNL)	REFID=10770
EISNER	67	PR 164 1699	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46380
HUWE	67	PL 24B 252	D.H. Miller <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46385
HYAMS	67	PL 24B 634	C. Alff-Steinberger <i>et al.</i>		REFID=20035
MILLER	67B	PR 153 1423	T. Ferbel		REFID=20016
ALFF...	66	PR 145 1072	V. Hagopian <i>et al.</i>		REFID=20578
FERBEL	66	PL 21 111	V. Hagopian, Y.L. Pan		REFID=20013
HAGOPIAN	66	PR 145 1128	L.D. Jacobs		REFID=20006
HAGOPIAN	66B	PR 152 1183	F.E. James, H.L. Kraybill		
JACOBS	66B	UCRL 16877	M. Ross, L. Stodolsky		
JAMES	66	PR 142 896	E. West <i>et al.</i>		
ROSS	66	PR 149 1172	H.R. Bliden <i>et al.</i>		
SOEDING	66	PL B19 702	D.D. Carmony <i>et al.</i>		
WEST	66	PR 149 1089	G. Goldhaber <i>et al.</i>		
BLIEDEN	65	PL 19 444	M.A. Abolins <i>et al.</i>		
CARMONY	64	PRL 12 254			
GOLDHABER	64	PRL 12 336			
ABOLINS	63	PRL 11 381			

ERROR=3

$\omega(782)$

$$J^{PC} = 0^{-}(1^{-})$$

NODE=M001

 $\omega(782)$ MASS

NODE=M001M

NODE=M001M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
782.66±0.13 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.0. See the ideogram below.		
777.9 ±2.2 $^{+4.3}_{-2.2}$	900k	ANDREEV	20 H1	$e p \rightarrow e \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p$
783.20±0.13±0.16	18680	AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	0.60-1.38 $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \gamma$
782.68±0.09±0.04	11200	¹ AKHMETSHIN 04	CMD2	$e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$
782.79±0.08±0.09	1.2M	² ACHASOV 03D	RVUE	0.44-2.00 $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$
781.96±0.17±0.80	11k	³ AMSLER 94C	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \omega \eta \pi^{0}$
782.08±0.36±0.82	3463	⁴ AMSLER 94C	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \omega \eta \pi^{0}$
781.96±0.13±0.17	15k	AMSLER 93B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \omega \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$
782.4 ±0.2	270k	WEIDENAUER 93	ASTE	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow 2 \pi^{+} 2 \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$
782.4 ±0.5	7000	⁵ KEYNE 76	CNTR	$\pi^{-} p \rightarrow \omega n$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
782.83±0.02±0.05		⁶ FEDOTOVICH 25A	CMD3	0.66-0.98 $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$
782.43±0.03	34M	⁷ IGNATOV 24	CMD3	$e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$
782.58±0.03±0.01		⁸ HOID 20	RVUE	$e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \gamma$
781.68±0.09±0.03		⁹ COLANGELO 19	RVUE	$e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$
782.63±0.03±0.01		¹⁰ HOFERICH... 19	RVUE	$e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$
781.91±0.24		¹¹ LEES 12G	BABR	$e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$
782.7 ±0.1 ±1.5	19500	¹² WURZINGER 95	SPEC	1.33 $p d \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} \omega$
781.78±0.10		¹² BARKOV 87	CMD	$e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$
782.2 ±0.4	1488	¹³ KURDADZE 83B	OLYA	$e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$
783.3 ±0.4	433	CORDIER 80	DM1	$e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$
782.5 ±0.8	33260	ROOS 80	RVUE	0.0-3.6 $\bar{p} p$
782.6 ±0.8	3000	BENKHEIRI 79	OMEG	9-12 $\pi^{\pm} p$
781.8 ±0.6	1430	COOPER 78B	HBC	0.7-0.8 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 5 \pi$
782.7 ±0.9	535	VANAPEL... 78	HBC	7.2 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \bar{p} p \omega$
783.5 ±0.8	2100	GESSAROLI 77	HBC	11 $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow \omega n$
782.5 ±0.8	418	AGUILAR... 72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^{-} p$
783.4 ±1.0	248	BIZZARRI 71	HBC	0.0 $p \bar{p} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \omega$
781.0 ±0.6	510	BIZZARRI 71	HBC	0.0 $p \bar{p} \rightarrow K_1 K_1 \omega$
783.7 ±1.0	3583	¹⁴ COYNE 71	HBC	3.7 $\pi^{+} p \rightarrow \rho \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$
784.1 ±1.2	750	ABRAMOVI... 70	HBC	3.9 $\pi^{-} p$
783.2 ±1.6		¹⁵ BIGGS 70B	CNTR	<4.1 $\gamma C \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} C$
782.4 ±0.5	2400	BIZZARRI 69	HBC	0.0 $\bar{p} p$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ Update of AKHMETSHIN 00C.² From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.³ From the $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decay.⁴ From the $\eta \rightarrow 3 \pi^{0}$ decay.⁵ Observed by threshold-crossing technique. Mass resolution = 4.8 MeV FWHM.⁶ From a VMD fit of the Born cross section allowing for interference of ρ , ω , ϕ , $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. The parameters of ρ , ϕ , $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$ are fixed to their PDG 20 values.⁷ From a fit of the pion form factor in the energy range $0.32 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$ GeV using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho - \omega$ interference leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. Systematic errors not estimated.⁸ The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization. Inclusion of vacuum polarization gives 782.736 ± 0.024 MeV.⁹ The ω mass was extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization, the ω width fixed at 8.49 ± 0.08 MeV. The value does not include vacuum polarization which would shift the mass to $781.81 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.03$ MeV. The mixing parameter is assumed real valued.¹⁰ The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization.¹¹ From the $\rho - \omega$ interference in the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ mass spectrum using the Breit-Wigner for the ω and leaving its mass and width as free parameters of the fit.¹² Systematic uncertainties underestimated.NODE=M001M;LINKAGE=PT
NODE=M001M;LINKAGE=VHNODE=M001M;LINKAGE=S1
NODE=M001M;LINKAGE=S2
NODE=M001M;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M001M;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M001M;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M001M;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M001M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M001M;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M001M;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M001M;LINKAGE=KB

¹³ Systematic uncertainties not estimated.


¹⁴ From best-resolution sample of COYNE 71.

¹⁵ From ω - ρ interference in the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ mass spectrum assuming ω width 12.6 MeV.

NODE=M001M;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M001M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M001M;LINKAGE=F



$\omega(782)$ WIDTH

NODE=M001W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.68±0.13 OUR AVERAGE				
8.68±0.23±0.10	11200	1 AKHMETSHIN 04	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.68±0.04±0.15	1.2M	2 ACHASOV 03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
8.62±0.06±0.09		3 FEDOTOVICH 25A	CMD3	0.66–0.98 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.57±0.06	34M	4 IGNATOV 24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
8.65±0.06±0.01		5 HOID 20	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
8.71±0.04±0.04		6 HOFERICH... 19	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.13±0.45		7 LEES 12G	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
8.2 ±0.3	19500	8 WURZINGER 95	SPEC	1.33 $p d \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} \omega$
8.4 ±0.1		9 AULCHENKO 87	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.30±0.40		8 BARKOV 87	CMD	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
9.8 ±0.9	1488	10 KURDADZE 83B	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
9.0 ±0.8	433	8 CORDIER 80	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
12 ±2	1430	COOPER 78B	HBC	0.7–0.8 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow 5\pi$
9.4 ±2.5	2100	GESSAROLI 77	HBC	11 $\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \omega n$
10.22±0.43	20000	11 KEYNE 76	CNTR	$\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \omega n$
13.3 ±2	418	AGUILAR... 72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 K^-p
9.1 ±0.8	451	8 BENAKSAS 72B	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
10.5 ±1.5		BORENSTEIN 72	HBC	2.18 K^-p
7.70±0.9 ±1.15	940	BROWN 72	MMS	2.5 $\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow nMM$
10.3 ±1.4	510	BIZZARRI 71	HBC	0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K_1^0 K_1^0 \omega$
12.8 ±3.0	248	BIZZARRI 71	HBC	0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \omega$
9.5 ±1.0	3583	COYNE 71	HBC	3.7 $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow p\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M001W

OCCUR=2

¹ Update of AKHMETSHIN 00C.

² From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

³ From a VMD fit of the born cross section allowing for interference of ρ , ω , ϕ , $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. The parameters of ρ , ϕ , $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$ are fixed to their PDG 20 values.

⁴ From a fit of the pion form factor in the energy range $0.32 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$ GeV using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho - \omega$ interference

NODE=M001W;LINKAGE=PT

NODE=M001W;LINKAGE=VH

NODE=M001W;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M001W;LINKAGE=H

leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. Systematic errors not estimated.

⁵ The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization. Inclusion of vacuum polarization gives 8.63 ± 0.05 MeV.

⁶ The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization.

⁷ From the $\rho-\omega$ interference in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum using the Breit-Wigner for the ω and leaving its mass and width as free parameters of the fit.

⁸ Systematic uncertainties underestimated.

⁹ Relativistic Breit-Wigner includes radiative corrections. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

¹⁰ Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

¹¹ Observed by threshold-crossing technique. Mass resolution = 4.8 MeV FWHM.

NODE=M001W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M001W;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M001W;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M001W;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M001W;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M001W;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M001W;LINKAGE=B

$\omega(782)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M001215;NODE=M001

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level	
Γ_1	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(89.2 \pm 0.7) \%$		DESIG=1
Γ_2	$\pi^0\gamma$	$(8.33 \pm 0.25) \%$	S=2.1	DESIG=3
Γ_3	$\pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.53 \pm 0.12) \%$	S=1.2	DESIG=2
Γ_4	neutrals (excluding $\pi^0\gamma$)	$(7 \pm 5) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1	DESIG=13
Γ_5	$\eta\gamma$	$(4.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1	DESIG=6
Γ_6	$\pi^0 e^+ e^-$	$(7.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=14
Γ_7	$\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	$(1.34 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.5	DESIG=11
Γ_8	$\eta e^+ e^-$			DESIG=18
Γ_9	$e^+ e^-$	$(7.41 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.8	DESIG=7
Γ_{10}	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$	$< 2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=12
Γ_{11}	$\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$	$< 3.6 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=95%	DESIG=4
Γ_{12}	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 1 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=15
Γ_{13}	$\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$	$(6.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=5
Γ_{14}	$\eta\pi^0\gamma$	$< 3.3 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=17
Γ_{15}	$\mu^+\mu^-$	$(7.4 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=8
Γ_{16}	3γ	$< 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=95%	DESIG=10

Charge conjugation (C) violating modes

NODE=M001;CLUMP=A

Γ_{17}	$\eta\pi^0$	C	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=9
Γ_{18}	$2\pi^0$	C	$< 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=193
Γ_{19}	$3\pi^0$	C	$< 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=16
Γ_{20}	invisible		$< 7 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=194

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 15 branching ratios uses 49 measurements and one constraint to determine 10 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 48.9$ for 40 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	24								
x_3	-18	-4							
x_4	-93	-55	1						
x_5	7	16	-1	-12					
x_6	-1	0	0	0	0				
x_7	0	0	0	0	0	0			
x_9	-28	-59	5	44	-28	0	0		
x_{13}	1	4	0	-2	1	0	0	-2	
x_{15}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7	x_9	x_{13}

$\omega(782)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ Γ_2

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
880 ± 50	7815	¹ ACHASOV	13	SND $1.05\text{--}2.00\ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$788 \pm 12 \pm 27$	36500	² ACHASOV	03	SND $0.60\text{--}0.97\ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
764 ± 51	10625	DOLINSKY	89	ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

¹ Systematic uncertainty not estimated.² Using $\Gamma_\omega = 8.44 \pm 0.09$ MeV and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma)$ from ACHASOV 03.

NODE=M001218

NODE=M001W1
NODE=M001W1NODE=M001W1;LINKAGE=AC
NODE=M001W1;LINKAGE=AD $\Gamma(\eta\gamma)$ Γ_5

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
6.1 ± 2.5	¹ DOLINSKY	89	ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
¹ Using $\Gamma_\omega = 8.4 \pm 0.1$ MeV and $B(\omega \rightarrow \eta\gamma)$ from DOLINSKY 89.			

NODE=M001W2
NODE=M001W2

NODE=M001W2;LINKAGE=DA

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_9

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.591 ± 0.015	11200	^{1,2} AKHMETSHIN	04	CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$0.653 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.021$	1.2M	³ ACHASOV	03D	RVUE $0.44\text{--}2.00\ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.600 ± 0.031	10625	DOLINSKY	89	ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
¹ Using $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = 0.891 \pm 0.007$ and $\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 8.44 \pm 0.09$ MeV.				
² Update of AKHMETSHIN 00C.				
³ Using ACHASOV 03, ACHASOV 03D and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = (1.70 \pm 0.28)\%$.				

NODE=M001W7
NODE=M001W7
→ UNCHECKED ←NODE=M001W7;LINKAGE=3P
NODE=M001W7;LINKAGE=PT
NODE=M001W;LINKAGE=VF $\omega(782) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+e^-) / \Gamma(\text{total})$ $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1 \Gamma_9 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
569.8 ± 3.1 ± 8.2	¹ LEES	21B	BABR $10.5\ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
¹ From the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ with contributions from $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$.			

NODE=M001235

NODE=M001R02
NODE=M001R02

NODE=M001R02;LINKAGE=A

 $\omega(782) \Gamma(e^+e^-) \Gamma(i) / \Gamma^2(\text{total})$ $\Gamma(e^+e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_9 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.61 ± 0.16 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.0.				
6.45 ± 0.15 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.				
$6.82 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.23$	123k	¹ IGNATOV	24	CMD3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$6.24 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.08$	11.2k	² AKHMETSHIN	04	CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$6.74 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.24$	1.2M	^{3,4} ACHASOV	03D	RVUE $0.44\text{--}2.00\ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
6.37 ± 0.35		³ DOLINSKY	89	ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
6.20 ± 0.13		⁵ BENAYOUN	10	RVUE $0.4\text{--}1.05\ e^+e^-$
$6.70 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.27$		⁶ AUBERT,B	04N	BABR $10.6\ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
6.45 ± 0.24		⁷ BARKOV	87	CMD $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
5.79 ± 0.42	1488	⁸ KURDADZE	83B	OLYA $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
5.89 ± 0.54	433	⁷ CORDIER	80	DM1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
7.54 ± 0.84	451	⁷ BENAKSAS	72B	OSPK $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ From a fit of the cross section using a VMD model which includes the interference of the ω and ϕ and non-resonant background, with some parameters, including ϕ mass and width, fixed at their PDG 22 values.² Update of AKHMETSHIN 00C.³ Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak.⁴ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.⁵ A simultaneous fit of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^0\gamma$, $\eta\gamma$ data.

NODE=M001225

NODE=M001G2
NODE=M001G2

NODE=M001G2;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M001G;LINKAGE=PT
NODE=M001G;LINKAGE=LP
NODE=M001G;LINKAGE=VH

NODE=M001G2;LINKAGE=BE

⁶ Superseded by LEES 21B.


⁷ Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak. Systematic uncertainties underestimated.

⁸ Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M001G2;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M001G2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M001G2;LINKAGE=B



$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_2/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.17 ±0.16 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 2.0.		
6.34 ±0.10 OUR AVERAGE				
6.336±0.056±0.089		¹ ACHASOV 16A	SND	0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
6.47 ±0.14 ±0.39	18k	AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
6.34 ±0.21 ±0.21	10k	² DOLINSKY 89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
6.80 ±0.13		³ BENAYOUN 10	RVUE	0.4–1.05 e^+e^-
6.50 ±0.11 ±0.20	36k	⁴ ACHASOV 03	SND	0.60–0.97 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

¹ From the VMD model with the interfering $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, and an additional resonance describing the total contribution of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\omega(1420)$ states. Supersedes ACHASOV 03.

² Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak.

³ A simultaneous fit of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^0\gamma$, $\eta\gamma$ data.

⁴ Using $\sigma(\phi \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma)$ from ACHASOV 00 and $m_\omega = 782.57$ MeV in the model with the energy-independent phase of ρ - ω interference equal to $(-10.2 \pm 7.0)^\circ$.

NODE=M001G4

NODE=M001G4

NODE=M001G4;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M001G4;LINKAGE=LP

NODE=M001G4;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M001G;LINKAGE=SH

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_3/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.225±0.030 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.		
1.204±0.013±0.023	34M	¹ IGNATOV 24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1.318±0.051±0.021		² ACHASOV 21	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1.225±0.058±0.041	800k	³ ACHASOV 06	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.166±0.036		⁴ BENAYOUN 13	RVUE	0.4–1.05 e^+e^-
1.05 ±0.08		⁵ DAVIER 13	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)$

¹ From a fit of the pion form factor in the energy range $0.32 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$ GeV using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the ρ - ω interference with ω and ϕ masses and widths constrained by the values and their errors from PDG 22, and leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

² From a fit of the cross section in the energy range $0.525 < \sqrt{s} < 0.883$ GeV parameterized by the sum of the Breit-Wigner amplitudes for the $\rho(770)$, ω and $\rho(1450)$ resonances. The measured phase of the $\rho(770)$ - ω interference is $(110.7 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.0)^\circ$.

³ Supersedes ACHASOV 05A.

⁴ A simultaneous fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^0\gamma$, $\eta\gamma$, $K\bar{K}$, and $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$ data. Supersedes BENAYOUN 10.

⁵ From $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)$ data of LEES 12G.

NODE=M001G5

NODE=M001G5

NODE=M001G5;LINKAGE=E


NODE=M001G5;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M001G5;LINKAGE=AC

NODE=M001G5;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M001G5;LINKAGE=A

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
1.225±0.030 (Error scaled by 1.3)



$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-8})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.32±0.28 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
3.18±0.28 OUR AVERAGE				

3.10±0.31±0.11 33k ¹ACHASOV 07B SND 0.6–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

3.17^{+1.85}_{-1.31}±0.21 17.4k ²AKHMETSHIN 05 CMD2 0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

3.41±0.52±0.21 23k ^{3,4}AKHMETSHIN 01B CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.50±0.10 ⁵BENAYOUN 10 RVUE 0.4–1.05 e^+e^-

¹ From a combined fit of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma)$ with $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, and fixing $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) / B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = 1.44 \pm 0.04$. Recalculated by us from the cross section at the peak. Supersedes ACHASOV 00D and ACHASOV 06A.

² From the $\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma$ decay and using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 39.43 \pm 0.26\%$.

³ From the $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ decay and using $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.24 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}$.

⁴ The combined fit from 600 to 1380 MeV taking into account $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, and $\rho(1450)$ (mass and width fixed at 1450 MeV and 310 MeV respectively).

⁵ A simultaneous fit of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \pi^0\gamma, \eta\gamma$ data.

NODE=M001G3
NODE=M001G3

NODE=M001G3;LINKAGE=AH

NODE=M001G;LINKAGE=AH
NODE=M001G;LINKAGE=AK
NODE=M001G;LINKAGE=BQ

NODE=M001G3;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-9})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.3±1.8±2.2	4.5M	¹ ANASTASI 17	KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$

¹ From a fit of the real part of the vacuum polarization by a sum of the leptonic and hadronic contributions, where the hadronic contribution is parametrized as a sum of Breit-Wigner resonances $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$ and using a GOUNARIS 68 parametrization for the $\rho(770)$, and a non-resonant term.

NODE=M001G01
NODE=M001G01

NODE=M001G01;LINKAGE=A

$\omega(782)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M001220

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_1/Γ

NIECKNIG 12 describes final-state interactions between the three pions in a dispersive framework using data on the $\pi\pi$ P -wave scattering phase shift.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

0.9024±0.0019 ¹AMBROSINO 08G KLOE 1.0–1.03 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, 2\pi^0\gamma$

0.8965±0.0016±0.0048 1.2M ^{2,3}ACHASOV 03D RVUE 0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

0.880 ±0.020 ±0.032 11200 ^{3,4}AKHMETSHIN 00C CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

0.8942±0.0062 ³DOLINSKY 89 ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ Not independent of $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma) / \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ from AMBROSINO 08G.

² Using ACHASOV 03, ACHASOV 03D and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = (1.70 \pm 0.28)\%$.

³ Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.

⁴ Using $\Gamma(e^+e^-) = 0.60 \pm 0.02$ keV.

NODE=M001R21
NODE=M001R21

NODE=M001R21

NODE=M001R21;LINKAGE=AM
NODE=M001R;LINKAGE=VF
NODE=M001R;LINKAGE=ZL
NODE=M001R;LINKAGE=KH

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

8.88±0.18		1	ACHASOV	16A	SND	0.60–1.38	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
8.09±0.14		2	AMBROSINO	08G	KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0, 2\pi^0\gamma$	
9.06±0.20±0.57	18k	3,4	AKHMETSHIN	05	CMD2	0.60–1.38	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
9.34±0.15±0.31	36k	4	ACHASOV	03	SND	0.60–0.97	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
8.65±0.16±0.42	1.2M	5,6	ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.39±0.24	9k	7	BENAYOUN	96	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$	
8.88±0.62	10k	4	DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$	

¹ Using $B(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-)$ from PDG 15. Supersedes ACHASOV 03.

² Not independent of $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma) / \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ from AMBROSINO 08G.

³ Using $B(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (7.14 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-5}$.

⁴ Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.

⁵ Using ACHASOV 03, ACHASOV 03D and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = (1.70 \pm 0.28)\%$.

⁶ Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.

⁷ Reanalysis of DRUZHININ 84, DOLINSKY 89, DOLINSKY 91 taking into account the triangle anomaly contributions.

NODE=M001R28
NODE=M001R28

NODE=M001R28;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M001R28;LINKAGE=AM
NODE=M001R;LINKAGE=AH
NODE=M001R;LINKAGE=VL
NODE=M001R28;LINKAGE=VF
NODE=M001R28;LINKAGE=ZL
NODE=M001R28;LINKAGE=A1

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

9.33±0.28 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.3.

9.05±0.27 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8.

8.97±0.16			AMBROSINO	08G	KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0, 2\pi^0\gamma$
9.94±0.36±0.38	1		AULCHENKO	00A	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0, 2\pi^0\gamma$
8.4 ±1.3		76	KEYNE	CNTR	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega n$	
10.9 ±2.5		72C	BENAKSAS	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$	
8.1 ±2.0		71	BALDIN	HLBC	$2.9 \pi^+p$	
13 ±4		69B	JACQUET	HLBC	$2.05 \pi^+p \rightarrow \pi^+p\omega$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

9.7 ±0.2 ±0.5	2,3		ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
9.9 ±0.7	2		DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$	

¹ From $\sigma_0^{\omega\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma}(m_\phi)/\sigma_0^{\omega\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0}(m_\phi)$ with a phase-space correction factor of 1/1.023.

² Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.

³ Using ACHASOV 03. Based on 1.2M events.

NODE=M001R3;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M001R3;LINKAGE=VL
NODE=M001R3;LINKAGE=VW

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

See also $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.53±0.12 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

1.49±0.13 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.

1.46±0.12±0.02	900k	1	AKHMETSHIN	07		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1.30±0.24±0.05	11.2k	2	AKHMETSHIN	04	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
2.38 ^{+1.77} _{-0.90} ±0.18	5.4k	3	ACHASOV	02E	SND	1.1–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
2.3 ±0.5			BARKOV	85	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1.6 ^{+0.9} _{-0.7}			QUENZER	78	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
3.6 ±1.9			BENAKSAS	72	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •


1.29±0.22±0.03	970k	4,5	ABLIKIM	18C	BES3	$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1.28±0.22±0.03	970k	6,7	ABLIKIM	18C	BES3	$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1.52±0.08		8	HANHART	18	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1.75±0.11	4.5M	9	ACHASOV	05A	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
2.01±0.29		10	BENAYOUN	03	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1.9 ±0.3		11	GARDNER	99	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
2.3 ±0.4		12	BENAYOUN	98	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \mu^+\mu^-$
1.0 ±0.11		13	WICKLUND	78	ASPK	$3,4,6 \pi^\pm N$
1.22±0.30			ALVENSLEB...	71C	CNTR	Photoproduction
1.3 ^{+1.2} _{-0.9}			MOFFEIT	71	HBC	$2.8,4.7 \gamma p$
0.80 ^{+0.28} _{-0.20}		14	BIGGS	70B	CNTR	$4.2\gamma C \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-C$

NODE=M001R15
NODE=M001R15
NODE=M001R15

OCCUR=3
OCCUR=2

- 1 A combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 07, AULCHENKO 06, and AULCHENKO 05.
- 2 Update of AKHMETSHIN 02.
- 3 From the $m_{\pi^+\pi^-}$ spectrum taking into account the interference of the $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi$ amplitudes.
- 4 From a fit to $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass using $\rho(770)$ (parametrized with the Gounaris-Sakurai approach), $\omega(782)$, and box anomaly components.
- 5 ABLIKIM 18C reports $[\Gamma(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \omega\gamma)] = (3.25 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \omega\gamma) = (2.52 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- 6 From a fit to $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass using $\rho(770)$ (parametrized with the Gounaris-Sakurai approach), $\omega(782)$, and $\rho(1450)$ components.
- 7 ABLIKIM 18C reports $[\Gamma(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \omega\gamma)] = (3.22 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \omega\gamma) = (2.52 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- 8 Dispersive analysis. Value extracted from average of data from AUBERT 09AS, AKHMETSHIN 07, ACHASOV 06, AMBROSINO 11A, BABUSCI 13D, ABLIKIM 16B normalised by PDG 16 evaluation for $\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-)$.
- 9 Using $\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-)$ from the 2004 Edition of this Review (PDG 04).
- 10 Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 02 in the hidden local symmetry model.
- 11 Using the data of BARKOV 85.
- 12 Using the data of BARKOV 85 in the hidden local symmetry model.
- 13 From a model-dependent analysis assuming complete coherence.
- 14 Re-evaluated under $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ by BEHREND 71 using more accurate $\omega \rightarrow \rho$ photoproduction cross-section ratio.

NODE=M001R15;LINKAGE=AK
 NODE=M001R15;LINKAGE=PT
 NODE=M001R;LINKAGE=VE
 NODE=M001R15;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M001R15;LINKAGE=H
 NODE=M001R15;LINKAGE=J
 NODE=M001R15;LINKAGE=K
 NODE=M001R15;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M001R;LINKAGE=SN
 NODE=M001R;LINKAGE=BY
 NODE=M001R15;LINKAGE=H4
 NODE=M001R15;LINKAGE=Q
 NODE=M001R15;LINKAGE=F
 NODE=M001R15;LINKAGE=B



$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

Γ_3/Γ_1

See also $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0172 ± 0.0014 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
0.026 ± 0.005 OUR AVERAGE			
0.021 +0.028 -0.009	1,2 RATCLIFF	72 ASPK	15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi$
0.028 ± 0.006	1 BEHREND	71 ASPK	Photoproduction
0.022 +0.009 -0.01	3 ROOS	70 RVUE	

- 1 The fitted width of these data is 160 MeV in agreement with present average, thus the ω contribution is overestimated. Assuming ρ width 145 MeV.
- 2 Significant interference effect observed. NB of $\omega \rightarrow 3\pi$ comes from an extrapolation.
- 3 ROOS 70 combines ABRAMOVICH 70 and BIZZARRI 70.

NODE=M001R2;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M001R2;LINKAGE=S
 NODE=M001R2;LINKAGE=R

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ Γ_3/Γ_2

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.20±0.04	1.98M	¹ ALOISIO	03	KLOE
				1.02 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ Using the data of ALOISIO 02D.

NODE=M001R33
 NODE=M001R33

NODE=M001R;LINKAGE=KL

 $\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.091±0.006 OUR FIT				
0.081±0.011 OUR AVERAGE				
0.075±0.025		BIZZARRI	71	HBC 0.0 $p\bar{p}$
0.079±0.019		DEINET	69B	OSPK 1.5 π^-p
0.084±0.015		BOLLINI	68C	CNTR 2.1 π^-p
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.073±0.018	42	BASILE	72B	CNTR 1.67 π^-p

NODE=M001R14
 NODE=M001R14

 $\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ $(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.102±0.008 OUR FIT				
0.103^{+0.011}_{-0.010} OUR AVERAGE				
0.15 ±0.04	46	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC 3.9,4.6 K^-p
0.10 ±0.03	19	BARASH	67B	HBC 0.0 $\bar{p}p$
0.134±0.026	850	DIGIUGNO	66B	CNTR 1.4 π^-p
0.097±0.016	348	FLATTE	66	HBC 1.4 – 1.7 $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda MM$
0.06 ^{+0.05} _{-0.02}		JAMES	66	HBC 2.1 π^+p
0.08 ±0.03	35	KRAEMER	64	DBC 1.2 π^+d
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.11 ±0.02	20	BUSCHBECK	63	HBC 1.5 K^-p

NODE=M001R1
 NODE=M001R1

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{neutrals})$ $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.78±0.07		¹ DAKIN	72	OSPK 1.4 $\pi^-p \rightarrow nMM$
>0.81	90	DEINET	69B	OSPK

¹ Error statistical only. Authors obtain good fit also assuming $\pi^0\gamma$ as the only neutral decay.

NODE=M001R18
 NODE=M001R18

NODE=M001R18;LINKAGE=D

 $\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma(\text{charged particles})$ $(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_3)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.100±0.008 OUR FIT			
0.124±0.021	FELDMAN	67C	OSPK 1.2 π^-p

NODE=M001R9
 NODE=M001R9

 $\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.5 ±0.4 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
6.3 ±1.3 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
6.6 ±1.7		¹ ABELE	97E	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\gamma$
8.3 ±2.1		ALDE	93	GAM2 38 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega n$
3.0 ^{+2.5} _{-1.8}		² ANDREWS	77	CNTR 6.7–10 γCu
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4.2 ±0.4 ±0.1	33k	³ ACHASOV	07B	SND 0.6–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
4.44 ^{+2.59} _{-1.83} ±0.28	17.4k	^{4,5} AKHMETSHIN	05	CMD2 0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
5.10±0.72±0.34	23k	⁶ AKHMETSHIN	01B	CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
0.7 to 5.5		⁷ CASE	00	CBAR 0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\eta\gamma$
6.56 ^{+2.41} _{-2.55}	3525	^{2,8} BENAYOUN	96	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
7.3 ±2.9		^{2,4} DOLINSKY	89	ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

NODE=M001R19
 NODE=M001R19

¹ No flat $\eta\eta\gamma$ background assumed.

² Solution corresponding to constructive ω - ρ interference.

³ ACHASOV 07B reports $[\Gamma(\omega(782) \rightarrow \eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow e^+e^-)] = (3.10 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-8}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (7.41 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Supersedes ACHASOV 00D and ACHASOV 06A.

⁴ Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.

⁵ Using $B(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (7.14 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-5}$ and $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 39.43 \pm 0.26\%$.

⁶ Using $B(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (7.07 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$ and using $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.24 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}$. Solution corresponding to constructive ω - ρ interference. The combined fit from 600 to 1380 MeV taking into account $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, and $\rho(1450)$ (mass and width fixed at 1450 MeV and 310 MeV respectively). Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.

⁷ Depending on the degree of coherence with the flat $\eta\eta\gamma$ background and using $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma) = (8.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$.

⁸ Reanalysis of DRUZHININ 84, DOLINSKY 89, DOLINSKY 91 taking into account the triangle anomaly contributions.

NODE=M001R;LINKAGE=EA
NODE=M001R19;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M001R19;LINKAGE=AO

NODE=M001R13;LINKAGE=WL
NODE=M001R19;LINKAGE=AK
NODE=M001R19;LINKAGE=TS

NODE=M001R;LINKAGE=CS

NODE=M001R19;LINKAGE=A1

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.0098 ± 0.0024	¹ ALDE	93	GAM2	$38\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$
0.0082 ± 0.0033	² DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
0.010 ± 0.045	APEL	72B	OSPK	$4-8 \pi^- p \rightarrow n3\gamma$

¹ Model independent determination.

² Solution corresponding to constructive ω - ρ interference.

NODE=M001R11
NODE=M001R11

NODE=M001R11;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M001R11;LINKAGE=K

$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

7.7 ± 0.6 OUR FIT

7.7 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE

7.61 ± 0.53 ± 0.64	ACHASOV	08	SND	0.36-0.97 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$
8.19 ± 0.71 ± 0.62	AKHMETSHIN	05A	CMD2	0.72-0.84 e^+e^-
5.9 ± 1.9	43 DOLINSKY	88	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$

NODE=M001R23
NODE=M001R23

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT


1.34 ± 0.18 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.5.

1.34 ± 0.19 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.

1.41 ± 0.09 ± 0.15	ARNALDI	16	NA60	400 GeV (p -A) collisions
1.72 ± 0.25 ± 0.14	3k ARNALDI	09	NA60	158A In-In collisions
0.96 ± 0.23	DZHELYADIN	81B	CNTR	25-33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$

NODE=M001R12
NODE=M001R12

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
1.34 ± 0.19 (Error scaled by 1.5)



$\Gamma(\eta e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.1

AKHMETSHIN 05A CMD2 0.72-0.84 $e^+ e^-$ NODE=M001R34
NODE=M001R34 $\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

0.741±0.019 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.8.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.700±0.016 11200 ^{1,2} AKHMETSHIN 04 CMD2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ 0.752±0.004±0.024 1.2M ^{2,3} ACHASOV 03D RVUE 0.44-2.00 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ 0.714±0.036 ² DOLINSKY 89 ND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ 0.72 ±0.03 ² BARKOV 87 CMD $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ 0.64 ±0.04 1488 ² KURDADZE 83B OLYA $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ 0.675±0.069 433 ² CORDIER 80 DM1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ 0.83 ±0.10 451 ² BENAKSAS 72B OSPK $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ 0.77 ±0.06 ⁴ AUGUSTIN 69D OSPK $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ 0.65 ±0.13 33 ⁵ ASTVACAT... 68 OSPK Assume SU(3)+mixing¹ Using $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = 0.891 \pm 0.007$. Update of AKHMETSHIN 00C.² Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+ e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.³ Using ACHASOV 03, ACHASOV 03D and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (1.70 \pm 0.28)\%$.⁴ Rescaled by us to correspond to ω width 8.4 MeV. Systematic errors underestimated.⁵ Not resolved from ρ decay. Error statistical only.NODE=M001R13
NODE=M001R13NODE=M001R13;LINKAGE=4P
NODE=M001R13;LINKAGE=ZL
NODE=M001R13;LINKAGE=VF
NODE=M001R13;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M001R13;LINKAGE=A $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

< 2 90 ACHASOV 09A SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<200 90 KURDADZE 86 OLYA $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$ NODE=M001R5
NODE=M001R5 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<0.0036 95 WEIDENAUER 90 ASTE $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.004 95 BITYUKOV 88B SPEC 32 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma X$ NODE=M001R22
NODE=M001R22 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ Γ_{11}/Γ_1

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.066 90 KALBFLEISCH 75 HBC 2.18 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ <0.05 90 FLATTE 66 HBC 1.2 - 1.7 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ NODE=M001R4
NODE=M001R4 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<1 × 10⁻³ 90 KURDADZE 88 OLYA $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ NODE=M001R24
NODE=M001R24 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

6.7±1.1 OUR FIT**6.5±1.2 OUR AVERAGE**6.4^{+2.4}_{-2.0}±0.8 190 ¹ AKHMETSHIN 04B CMD2 0.6-0.97 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$ 6.6^{+1.4}_{-1.3}±0.6 295 ACHASOV 02F SND 0.36-0.97 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

11.8^{+2.1}_{-1.9}±1.4 190 ² AKHMETSHIN 04B CMD2 0.6-0.97 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$ 7.8±2.7±2.0 63 ^{1,3} ACHASOV 00G SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$ 12.7±2.3±2.5 63 ^{2,3} ACHASOV 00G SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ In the model assuming the $\rho \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$ decay via the $\omega\pi$ and $f_0(500)\gamma$ mechanisms.² In the model assuming the $\rho \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$ decay via the $\omega\pi$ mechanism only.³ Superseded by ACHASOV 02F.NODE=M001R29;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M001R29;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M001R;LINKAGE=GF

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{13}/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.00045	90	DOLINSKY	89 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.08	95	JACQUET	69B HLBC	$2.05 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \omega$

NODE=M001R10
 NODE=M001R10

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ Γ_{13}/Γ_2

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.0 ± 1.3 OUR FIT					
8.5 ± 2.9	40 ± 14		ALDE	94B GAM2	$38\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 50	90		DOLINSKY	89 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
<1800	95		KEYNE	76 CNTR	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$
<1500	90		BENAKSAS	72c OSPK	e^+e^-
<1400			BALDIN	71 HLBC	$2.9 \pi^+ p$
<1000	90		BARMIN	64 HLBC	$1.3-2.8 \pi^- p$

NODE=M001R7
 NODE=M001R7

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{neutrals})$ $\Gamma_{13}/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.22 ± 0.07		¹ DAKIN	72 OSPK	$1.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow n \text{MM}$
<0.19	90	DEINET	69B OSPK	

¹ See $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{neutrals})$.

NODE=M001R17
 NODE=M001R17

NODE=M001R17;LINKAGE=D

 $\Gamma(\eta\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.3	90	AKHMETSHIN 04B	CMD2	$0.6-0.97 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M001R32
 NODE=M001R32

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.4 ± 1.8 OUR FIT				
7.4 ± 1.8 OUR AVERAGE				
$6.6 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.7$	4.5M	¹ ANASTASI	17 KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
$9.0 \pm 2.9 \pm 1.1$	18	HEISTER	02C ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- + X$

¹ Assuming lepton universality in the decay $\omega \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ and correcting for different phase space between electron and muon final states.

NODE=M001R30
 NODE=M001R30

NODE=M001R30;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{15}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.2	90	WILSON	69 OSPK	$12 \pi^- C \rightarrow \text{Fe}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<1.7	74	FLATTE	66 HBC	$1.2 - 1.7 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\mu^+\mu^-$
<1.2		BARBARO-...	65 HBC	$2.7 K^- p$

NODE=M001R6
 NODE=M001R6

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ Γ_7/Γ_{15}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.2 ± 0.6	30	¹ DZHELYADIN	79 CNTR	$25-33 \pi^- p$

¹ Superseded by DZHELYADIN 81B result above.

NODE=M001R20
 NODE=M001R20

NODE=M001R20;LINKAGE=S

 $\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.9	95	¹ ABELE	97E CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 5\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<2	90	¹ PROKOSHKIN	95 GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow 3\gamma n$

¹ From direct 3γ decay search.

NODE=M001R27
 NODE=M001R27

NODE=M001R27;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

Violates C conservation.				
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.001	90	ALDE	94B GAM2	$38\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0 n$

NODE=M001R25
 NODE=M001R25
 NODE=M001R25

$$[\Gamma(\eta\gamma) + \Gamma(\eta\pi^0)]/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \quad (\Gamma_5 + \Gamma_{17})/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.016	90	¹ FLATTE	66 HBC	$1.2 - 1.7 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \text{MM}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.045 95 JACQUET 69B HLBC $2.05 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \omega$

¹ Restated by us using $B(\eta \rightarrow \text{charged modes}) = 29.2\%$.

NODE=M001R8
NODE=M001R8

NODE=M001R8;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma) \quad \Gamma_{17}/\Gamma_2$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.6	90	¹ STAROSTIN	09 CRYM	$\gamma p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 p$

¹ STAROSTIN 09 reports $[\Gamma(\omega(782) \rightarrow \eta \pi^0)/\Gamma(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma)] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.01 \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M001R35
NODE=M001R35
NODE=M001R35

NODE=M001R35;LINKAGE=ST

$$\Gamma(2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma) \quad \Gamma_{18}/\Gamma_2$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.59	90	STAROSTIN	09 CRYM	$\gamma p \rightarrow 2\pi^0 p$

NODE=M001R36
NODE=M001R36
NODE=M001R36

$$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{19}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3×10^{-4}	90	PROKOSHKIN	95 GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 n$

NODE=M001R26
NODE=M001R26
NODE=M001R26

$$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma) \quad \Gamma_{19}/\Gamma_2$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.72	90	STAROSTIN	09 CRYM	$\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 p$

NODE=M001R37
NODE=M001R37
NODE=M001R37

$$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \quad \Gamma_{19}/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.009	90	BARBERIS	01 450	$p p \rightarrow p_f 3\pi^0 p_s$

NODE=M001R31
NODE=M001R31
NODE=M001R31

$$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \quad \Gamma_{20}/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8.1×10^{-5}	90	ABLIKIM	18S BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega \eta \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

NODE=M001R01
NODE=M001R01

PARAMETER Λ IN $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ DECAY

NODE=M001230

In the pole approximation the electromagnetic transition form factor for a resonance of mass M is given by the expression:

$$|F|^2 = (1 - M^2/\Lambda^2)^{-2},$$

where for the parameter Λ vector dominance predicts $\Lambda = M_p \approx 0.770$ GeV. The ARNALDI 09 measurement is in obvious conflict with this expectation. Note that for $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay ARNALDI 09 and DZHELYADIN 80 obtain the value of Λ consistent with vector dominance.

NODE=M001230

PARAMETER Λ IN $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$ DECAY

NODE=M001LAM
NODE=M001LAM

VALUE (GeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.670 $\pm$ 0.006 OUR AVERAGE				
0.6707 $\pm$ 0.0039 $\pm$ 0.0056		¹ ARNALDI	16 NA60	400 GeV (p -A) collisions
0.668 $\pm$ 0.009 $\pm$ 0.003	3k	² ARNALDI	09 NA60	158A In-In collisions
0.65 $\pm$ 0.03		DZHELYADIN	81B CNTR	25-33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$

¹ ARNALDI 16 reports $\Lambda^{-2}(\omega) = 2.223 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.037 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ which we converted to the quoted Λ value.

² ARNALDI 09 reports $\Lambda^{-2}(\omega) = 2.24 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.02 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ which we converted to the quoted Λ value.

NODE=M001LAM;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M001LAM;LINKAGE=B

PARAMETER Λ IN $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$ DECAY

VALUE (GeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.709 ± 0.037	1.1k	¹ ADLARSON	17B A2MM	$\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$
¹ ADLARSON 17B reports $\Lambda^{-2}(\omega \pi^0) = 1.99 \pm 0.21 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ that we converted to the quoted Λ value.				

NODE=M001A02
 NODE=M001A02

NODE=M001A02;LINKAGE=A

ENERGY DEPENDENCE OF $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ DALITZ PLOT

The following experiments fit to one or more of the coefficients α, β, γ for |matrix element|² $\propto P (1 + 2\alpha Z + 2\beta Z^{3/2} \sin(3\phi) + 2\gamma Z^2 + O(Z^{5/2}))$ where P is the P -wave phase-space factor and Z, ϕ are kinematical variables as defined in ADLARSON 17.

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.133 ± 0.008 OUR AVERAGE				
0.1321 ± 0.0067 ± 0.0046	260k	¹ ABLIKIM	18AD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega \eta$
0.147 ± 0.036	44k	ADLARSON	17 WASA	α in $p d \rightarrow {}^3\text{He } \omega$, $pp \rightarrow pp \omega$

NODE=M001A00

NODE=M001A00;LINKAGE=A

¹ Keeping a term linear in Z only. A fit with the terms proportional to Z and $Z^{3/2}$ gives $\alpha = 0.133 \pm 0.041$ and $\beta = 0.037 \pm 0.054$.

 $\omega(782)$ REFERENCES

FEDOTOVICH	25A	PPN 56 814	G.V. Fedotovitch <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
IGNATOV	24	PR D109 112002	F.V. Ignatov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	21	JHEP 2101 113	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LEES	21B	PR D104 112003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ANDREEV	20	EPJ C80 1189	V. Andreev <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
HOID	20	EPJ C80 988	B.-L. Hoid, M. Hoferichter, B. Kubis	(BONN, BERN)
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
COLANGELO	19	JHEP 1902 006	G. Colangelo, M. Hoferichter, P. Stoffer	(BERN+)
HOERICHT...	19	JHEP 1908 137	M. Hoferichter, B.-L. Hoid, B. Kubis	(WASH, BONN)
ABLIKIM	18AD	PR D98 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18C	PRL 120 242003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18S	PR D98 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
HANHART	18	EPJ C78 450	C. Hanhart <i>et al.</i>	
ADLARSON	17	PL B770 418	P. Adlarson <i>et al.</i>	(WASA-at-COSY Collab.)
ADLARSON	17B	PR C95 035208	P. Adlarson <i>et al.</i>	(A2 Collab. at MAMI)
ANASTASI	17	PL B767 485	A. Anastasi <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16B	PL B753 103	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	16A	PR D93 092001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ARNALDI	16	PL B757 437	R. Arnaldi <i>et al.</i>	(NA60 Collab.)
PDG	16	CP C40 100001	C. Patrignani <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
PDG	15	RPP 2015 at pdg.lbl.gov		(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	13	PR D88 054013	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
BABUSCI	13D	PL B720 336	D. Babusci <i>et al.</i>	(CATA, CALB, BARI)
BENAYOUN	13	EPJ C73 2453	M. Benayoun, P. David, L. DelBuono	(PARIN, BERLIN+)
DAVIER	13	EPJ C73 2597	M. Davier <i>et al.</i>	
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
NIECKNIG	12	EPJ C72 2014	F. Niecknig, B. Kubis, S.P. Schneider	(BONN)
AMBROSINO	11A	PL B700 102	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
BENAYOUN	10	EPJ C65 211	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	09A	JETP 109 379	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ARNALDI	09	PL B677 260	R. Arnaldi <i>et al.</i>	(NA60 Collab.)
AUBERT	09AS	PRL 103 231801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
STAROSTIN	09	PR C79 065201	A. Starostin <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab. at MAMI)
ACHASOV	08	JETP 107 61	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AMBROSINO	08G	PL B669 223	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
ACHASOV	07B	PR D76 077101	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	07	PL B648 28	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	06	JETP 103 380	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	06A	PR D74 014016	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO	06	JETPL 84 413	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	05A	JETP 101 1053	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	05A	PL B613 29	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AULCHENKO	05	JETPL 82 743	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	04	PL B578 285	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	04B	PL B580 119	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AUBERT,B	04N	PR D70 072004	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	03	PL B559 171	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	03D	PR D68 052006	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ALOISIO	03	PL B561 55	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
BENAYOUN	03	EPJ C29 397	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	02E	PR D66 032001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	02F	PL B537 201	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	02	PL B527 161	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALOISIO	02D	PL B537 21	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
HEISTER	02C	PL B528 19	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ACHASOV	01E	PR D63 072002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	01B	PL B509 217	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
BARBERIS	01	PL B507 14	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	00	EPJ C12 25	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	00D	JETPL 72 282	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
Translated from ZETFP 72 411.				

NODE=M001

REFID=63291
 REFID=62672
 REFID=61634
 REFID=61040
 REFID=61450
 REFID=60773
 REFID=61048
 REFID=60676
 REFID=59585
 REFID=60974
 REFID=59498
 REFID=58843
 REFID=58971
 REFID=59186
 REFID=57907
 REFID=58155
 REFID=57887
 REFID=57126
 REFID=57513
 REFID=57220
 REFID=57140
 REFID=56977;ERROR=4
 REFID=55584
 REFID=55337
 REFID=55357
 REFID=55499
 REFID=54299
 REFID=54305
 REFID=16683
 REFID=53212
 REFID=53101
 REFID=52720
 REFID=53136
 REFID=53001
 REFID=52258
 REFID=52573
 REFID=51942
 REFID=51615
 REFID=51113
 REFID=51133
 REFID=51513
 REFID=51045
 REFID=50330
 REFID=50508
 REFID=51060
 REFID=49609
 REFID=49610
 REFID=50184
 REFID=49653
 REFID=49187
 REFID=49577
 REFID=49404
 REFID=49477
 REFID=48815
 REFID=48816
 REFID=48565
 REFID=48824
 REFID=48564
 REFID=48311
 REFID=48167
 REFID=48324
 REFID=47417
 REFID=47882

ACHASOV	00G	JETPL 71 355	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47929
AKHMETSHIN	00C	Translated from ZETFP 71 519.	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=47423
AULCHENKO	00A	PL B476 33	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47953
		JETP 90 927			
		Translated from ZETFP 117 1067.			
CASE	00	PR D61 032002	T. Case <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=47409
ACHASOV	99E	PL B462 365	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47391
GARDNER	99	PR D59 076002	S. Gardner, H.B. O'Connell		REFID=46919
BENAYOUN	98	EPJ C2 269	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>	(IPNP, NOVO, ADLD+)	REFID=45859
ABELE	97E	PL B411 361	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=45755
BENAYOUN	96	ZPHY C72 221	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>	(IPNP, NOVO)	REFID=45753
PROKOSHKIN	95	PD 40 273	Y.D. Prokoshkin, V.D. Samoilenko	(SERP)	REFID=44616
		Translated from DANS 342 610.			
WURZINGER	95	PR C51 443	R. Wurzinger <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, ORSAY, SACL+)	REFID=45209
ALDE	94B	PL B340 122	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)	REFID=44100
AMSLER	94C	PL B327 425	C. AMSler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44091
ALDE	93	PAN 56 1229	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, LAPP, LANL, BELG+)	REFID=43603
		Translated from YAF 56 137.			
Also		ZPHY C61 35	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, LAPP, LANL, BELG+)	REFID=43790
AMSLER	93B	PL B311 362	C. AMSler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=43602
WEIDENAUER	93	ZPHY C59 387	P. Weidenauer <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)	REFID=43585
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=43168
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41369
WEIDENAUER	90	ZPHY C47 353	P. Weidenauer <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)	REFID=41368
DOLINSKY	89	ZPHY C42 511	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41003
BITYUKOV	88B	SJNP 47 800	S.I. Bitjukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	REFID=41021
		Translated from YAF 47 1258.			
DOLINSKY	88	SJNP 48 277	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41022
		Translated from YAF 48 442.			
KURDADZE	88	JETPL 47 512	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41121
		Translated from ZETFP 47 432.			
AULCHENKO	87	PL B186 432	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=40007
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=40280
		Translated from ZETFP 46 132.			
KURDADZE	86	JETPL 43 643	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=40287
		Translated from ZETFP 43 497.			
BARKOV	85	NP B256 365	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20134
DRUZHININ	84	PL 144B 136	V.P. Druzhinin <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20561
KURDADZE	83B	JETPL 36 274	A.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20244
		Translated from ZETFP 36 221.			
DZHELYADIN	81B	PL 102B 296	R.I. Dzheyladin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	REFID=20242
CORDIER	80	NP B172 13	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)	REFID=20240
DZHELYADIN	80	PL 94B 548	R.I. Dzheyladin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	REFID=10831
ROOS	80	LNC 27 321	M. Roos, A. Pellinen	(HELS)	REFID=20241
BENKHEIRI	79	NP B150 268	P. Benkheiri <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, CERN, CDEF+)	REFID=20238
DZHELYADIN	79	PL 84B 143	R.I. Dzheyladin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	REFID=20239
COOPER	78B	NP B146 1	A.M. Cooper <i>et al.</i>	(TATA, CERN, CDEF+)	REFID=20235
QUENZER	78	PL 76B 512	A. Quenzer <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)	REFID=20123
VANAPEL...	78	NP B133 245	G.W. van Apeldoorn <i>et al.</i>	(ZEEM)	REFID=20234
WICKLUND	78	PR D17 1197	A.B. Wicklund <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)	REFID=20124
ANDREWS	77	PRL 38 198	D.E. Andrews <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH)	REFID=20120
GESSAROLI	77	NP B126 382	R. Gessaroli <i>et al.</i>	(BGNA, FIRZ, GENO+)	REFID=20230
KEYNE	76	PR D14 28	J. Keyne <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)	REFID=20226
Also		PR D8 2789	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)	REFID=20216
KALBFLEISCH	75	PR D11 987	G.R. Kalbfleisch, R.C. Strand, J.W. Chapman	(BNL+)	REFID=20223
AGUILAR....	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)	REFID=20205
APEL	72B	PL 41B 234	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(KARLK, KARLE, PISA)	REFID=20206
BASILE	72B	Phil. Conf. 153	M. Basile <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)	REFID=20207
BENAKSAS	72	PL 39B 289	D. Benaksas <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)	REFID=20096
BENAKSAS	72B	PL 42B 507	D. Benaksas <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)	REFID=20209
BENAKSAS	72C	PL 42B 511	D. Benaksas <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)	REFID=20517
BORENSTEIN	72	PR D5 1559	S.R. Borenstein <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, MICH)	REFID=20215
BROWN	72	PL 42B 117	R.M. Brown <i>et al.</i>	(ILL, ILLC)	REFID=20211
DAKIN	72	PR D6 2321	J.T. Dakin <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN)	REFID=20212
RATCLIFF	72	PL 38B 345	B.N. Ratcliff <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)	REFID=20102
ALVENSLEB...	71C	PRL 27 888	H. Alvensleben <i>et al.</i>	(DESY)	REFID=20193
BALDIN	71	SJNP 13 758	A.B. Baldin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)	REFID=20195
		Translated from YAF 13 1318.			
BEHREND	71	PRL 27 61	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, CORN, FNAL)	REFID=20197
BIZZARRI	71	NP B27 140	R. Bizzarri <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)	REFID=20198
COYNE	71	NP B32 333	D.G. Coyne <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)	REFID=20201
MOFFEIT	71	NP B29 349	K.C. Moffeit <i>et al.</i>	(LRL, UCB, SLAC+)	REFID=20204
ABRAMOVI...	70	NP B20 209	M. Abramovich <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)	REFID=20180
BIGGS	70B	PRL 24 1201	P.J. Biggs <i>et al.</i>	(DARE)	REFID=20184
BIZZARRI	70	PRL 25 1385	R. Bizzarri <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, SYRA)	REFID=20181
ROOS	70	DNPL/R7 173	M. Roos	(CERN)	REFID=20191
		Proc. Daresbury Study Weekend No. 1.			
AUGUSTIN	69D	PL 28B 513	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)	REFID=20169
BIZZARRI	69	NP B14 169	R. Bizzarri <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)	REFID=20171
DEINET	69B	PL 30B 426	W. Deinet <i>et al.</i>	(KARL, CERN)	REFID=20173
JACQUET	69B	NC 63A 743	F. Jacquet <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, BERG)	REFID=20176
WILSON	69	Private Comm.	R. Wilson	(HARV)	REFID=20179
Also		PR 178 2095	A.A. Wehmann <i>et al.</i>	(HARV, CASE, SLAC+)	REFID=20084
ASTVACAT...	68	PL 27B 45	R.G. Astvatsaturov <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, MOSU)	REFID=20055
BOLLINI	68C	NC 56A 531	D. Bollini <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BGNA, STRB)	REFID=20164
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai		REFID=48054
BARASH	67B	PR 156 1399	N. Barash <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)	REFID=20160
FELDMAN	67C	PR 159 1219	M. Feldman <i>et al.</i>	(PENN)	REFID=20161
DIGIUGNO	66B	NC 44A 1272	G. Di Giugno <i>et al.</i>	(NAPL, FRAS, TRST)	REFID=20156
FLATTE	66	PR 145 1050	S.M. Flatte <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)	REFID=20157
JAMES	66	PR 142 896	F.E. James, H.L. Kraybill	(YALE, BNL)	REFID=10770
BARBARO...	65	PRL 14 279	A. Barbaro-Galtieri, R.D. Tripp	(LRL)	REFID=20152
BARMIN	64	JETP 18 1289	V.V. Barmin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)	REFID=20149
		Translated from ZETFP 45 1879.			
KRAEMER	64	PR 136 B496	R.W. Kraemer <i>et al.</i>	(JHU, NWES, WOOD)	REFID=10755
BUSCHBECK	63	Siena Conf. 1 166	B. Buschbeck <i>et al.</i>	(VIEN, CERN, ANIK)	REFID=20146

$\eta'(958)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^-+)$$

NODE=M002

 $\eta'(958)$ MASS

NODE=M002M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
957.78 ±0.06 OUR AVERAGE				
957.793±0.054±0.036	3.9k	LIBBY	08	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
957.9 ±0.2 ±0.6	4800	WURZINGER	96	SPEC 1.68 $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta'$
957.46 ±0.33		DUANE	74	MMS $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\text{MM}$
958.2 ±0.5	1414	DANBURG	73	HBC 2.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta'$
958 ±1	400	JACOBS	73	HBC 2.9 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta'$
956.1 ±1.1	3415	¹ BASILE	71	CNTR 1.6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
957.5 ±0.2		BAI	04J	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
959 ±1	630	² BELADIDZE	92C	VES 36 $\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^- \eta' \eta \text{Be}$
958 ±1	340	² ARMSTRONG	91B	OMEG 300 $pp \rightarrow pp\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
958.2 ±0.4	622	² AUGUSTIN	90	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
957.8 ±0.2	2420	² AUGUSTIN	90	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
956.3 ±1.0	143	² GIDAL	87	MRK2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
957.4 ±1.4	535	³ BASILE	71	CNTR 1.6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta'$
957 ±1		RITTENBERG	69	HBC 1.7-2.7 $K^- p$

NODE=M002M

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ Using all η' decays.² Systematic uncertainty not estimated.³ Using η' decays into neutrals. Not independent of the other listed BASILE 71 η' mass measurement.

NODE=M002M;LINKAGE=BS

NODE=M002M;LINKAGE=NS

NODE=M002M;LINKAGE=BA

 $\eta'(958)$ WIDTH

NODE=M002W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.188±0.006 OUR FIT					
0.230±0.021 OUR AVERAGE					
0.226±0.017±0.014	2300	CZERWINSKI	10	MMS	$pp \rightarrow pp\eta'$
0.40 ±0.22	4800	WURZINGER	96	SPEC	1.68 $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta'$
0.28 ±0.10	1000	BINNIE	79	MMS	0 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\text{MM}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.20 ±0.04		BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M002W

 $\eta'(958)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M002215;NODE=M002

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $\pi^+\pi^-\eta$	(42.5 ±0.5) %	DESIG=1
Γ_2 $\rho^0\gamma$ (including non-resonant $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$)	(29.48 ±0.35) %	DESIG=9
Γ_3 $\rho^0\gamma$		DESIG=213
Γ_4 $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$	(22.4 ±0.5) %	DESIG=2
Γ_5 $\omega\gamma$	(2.52 ±0.07) %	DESIG=7
Γ_6 ωe^+e^-	(2.0 ±0.4) × 10 ⁻⁴	DESIG=205
Γ_7 $\gamma\gamma$	(2.307±0.033) %	DESIG=6
Γ_8 $3\pi^0$	(2.50 ±0.17) × 10 ⁻³	DESIG=8
Γ_9 $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$	(1.13 ±0.28) × 10 ⁻⁴	DESIG=20
Γ_{10} $\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$	(2.13 ±0.13) × 10 ⁻⁵	DESIG=201
Γ_{11} $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(3.61 ±0.17) × 10 ⁻³	DESIG=121
Γ_{12} $(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ S-wave	(3.8 ±0.5) × 10 ⁻³	DESIG=211
Γ_{13} $\pi^\mp\rho^\pm$	(7.4 ±2.3) × 10 ⁻⁴	DESIG=210
Γ_{14} $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	(8.51 ±0.33) × 10 ⁻⁵	DESIG=131
Γ_{15} $\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$	(2.11 ±0.15) × 10 ⁻⁴	DESIG=202
Γ_{16} $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ neutrals	< 1 %	95% DESIG=132
Γ_{17} $2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$	< 1.8 × 10 ⁻³	90% DESIG=141

Γ ₁₈	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)2\pi^0$	< 1	%	95%	DESIG=15
Γ ₁₉	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	< 3.1	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=203
Γ ₂₀	$K^\pm\pi^\mp$	< 4	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=207
Γ ₂₁	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$	$(2.43 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$			DESIG=10
Γ ₂₂	$\pi^+e^-\nu_e + \text{c.c.}$	< 2.1	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=204
Γ ₂₃	γe^+e^-	$(4.80 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$			DESIG=28
Γ ₂₄	$\pi^0\gamma\gamma$	$(3.20 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$			DESIG=24
Γ ₂₅	$\pi^0\gamma\gamma(\text{non resonant})$	$(6.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$			DESIG=212
Γ ₂₆	$\eta\gamma\gamma$	< 1.33	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=214
Γ ₂₇	$4\pi^0$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=26
Γ ₂₈	e^+e^-	< 5.6	$\times 10^{-9}$	90%	DESIG=150
Γ ₂₉	$e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$	$(4.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-6}$			DESIG=215
Γ ₃₀	$e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$	< 1.8	$\times 10^{-6}$	90%	DESIG=219
Γ ₃₁	$\mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$	< 5	$\times 10^{-7}$	90%	DESIG=220
Γ ₃₂	invisible	< 2.1	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=200
Γ ₃₃	$\gamma\text{Dark Photon}$	$5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ to } 3.5 \times 10^{-6}$		90%	DESIG=217

**Charge conjugation (C), Parity (P),
Lepton family number (LF) violating modes**

NODE=M002;CLUMP=B

Γ ₃₄	$\pi^+\pi^-$	P, CP	< 1.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=111
Γ ₃₅	$\pi^0\pi^0$	P, CP	< 4	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=25
Γ ₃₆	$\pi^0e^+e^-$	C	[a] < 1.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=16
Γ ₃₇	$\pi^0\rho^0$	C	< 4	%	90%	DESIG=18
Γ ₃₈	ηe^+e^-	C	[a] < 2.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=17
Γ ₃₉	3γ	C	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=23
Γ ₄₀	$\mu^+\mu^-\pi^0$	C	[a] < 6.0	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=22
Γ ₄₁	$\mu^+\mu^-\eta$	C	[a] < 1.5	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=21
Γ ₄₂	$e\mu$	LF	< 4.7	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=27
Γ ₄₃	$\pi^+\pi^-\text{ALP} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$		< 1.9	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=218

[a] C parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

LINKAGE=CS

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, a partial width, 2 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 21 branching ratios uses 53 measurements and one constraint to determine 9 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 69.5$ for 45 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	-24							
x_4	-77	-42						
x_5	-7	-6	-2					
x_7	-11	-7	9	-1				
x_8	-17	-9	19	-1	2			
x_{11}	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0		
x_{21}	-5	19	-9	-1	-2	-2	0	
Γ	11	-9	-2	1	-40	0	0	-2
	x_1	x_2	x_4	x_5	x_7	x_8	x_{11}	x_{21}

	Mode	Rate (MeV)	
Γ ₁	$\pi^+\pi^-\eta$	0.0799 ± 0.0029	DESIG=1
Γ ₂	$\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant})$	0.0554 ± 0.0019	DESIG=9
	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$		
Γ ₄	$\pi^0\pi^0\eta$	0.0421 ± 0.0017	DESIG=2
Γ ₅	$\omega\gamma$	0.00474 ± 0.00020	DESIG=7

Γ_7	$\gamma\gamma$	0.00434 ± 0.00013	DESIG=6
Γ_8	$3\pi^0$	$(4.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=8
Γ_{11}	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(6.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=121
Γ_{21}	$\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$	$(4.57 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=10

 $\eta'(958)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M002220

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ **Γ_7**

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.34±0.14 OUR FIT				
4.28±0.19 OUR AVERAGE				
4.17±0.10±0.27	2000	¹ ACCIARRI	98Q L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
4.53±0.29±0.51	266	KARCH	92 CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
3.61±0.13±0.48		² BEHREND	91 CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'(958)$
4.6 ±1.1 ±0.6	23	BARU	90 MD1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
4.57±0.25±0.44		BUTLER	90 MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'(958)$
5.08±0.24±0.71	547	³ ROE	90 ASP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-2\gamma$
3.8 ±0.7 ±0.6	34	AIHARA	88C TPC	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
4.9 ±0.5 ±0.5	136	⁴ WILLIAMS	88 CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-2\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4.7 ±0.6 ±0.9	143	⁵ GIDAL	87 MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
4.0 ±0.9		⁶ BARTEL	85E JADE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-2\gamma$

¹ No non-resonant $\pi^+\pi^-$ contribution found.² Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \rho(770)\gamma) = (30.2 \pm 1.3)\%$.³ Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (2.11 \pm 0.13)\%$.⁴ Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (2.11 \pm 0.13)\%$.⁵ Superseded by BUTLER 90.⁶ Systematic error not evaluated.NODE=M002W4
NODE=M002W4NODE=M002W4;LINKAGE=AC
NODE=M002W4;LINKAGE=K1
NODE=M002W4;LINKAGE=K2
NODE=M002W4;LINKAGE=K3
NODE=M002W4;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M002W4;LINKAGE=A **$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$** **Γ_{28}**

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.1 × 10⁻³	90	^{1,2} ACHASOV	15 SND	$0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<2.0 × 10 ⁻³	90	² ACHASOV	15 SND	$0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$
<2.4 × 10 ⁻³	90	² AKHMETSHIN	15 CMD3	$0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$
¹ Combining data of ACHASOV 15 and AKHMETSHIN 15.				
² Using η and η' branching fractions from PDG 14.				

NODE=M002W1
NODE=M002W1

OCCUR=2

NODE=M002W1;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M002W1;LINKAGE=B **$\eta'(958) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$**

NODE=M002223

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into $\gamma\gamma$ and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel(i) in the $\gamma\gamma$ annihilation.

NODE=M002223

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_7\Gamma_2/\Gamma$**

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.28±0.04 OUR FIT				
1.26±0.07 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
1.09±0.04±0.13		BEHREND	91 CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho(770)^0\gamma$
1.35±0.09±0.21		AIHARA	87 TPC	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.13±0.04±0.13	867	ALBRECHT	87B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.53±0.09±0.21		ALTHOFF	84E TASS	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.14±0.08±0.11	243	BERGER	84B PLUT	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.73±0.34±0.35	95	JENNI	83 MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.49±0.13±0.027	213	BARTEL	82B JADE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.85±0.31±0.24	43	BEHREND	82C CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$

NODE=M002G1
NODE=M002G1

$$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_7\Gamma_4/\Gamma$$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.97±0.04 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
0.92±0.06±0.11	¹ KARCH	92	CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.95±0.05±0.08	² KARCH	90	CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
1.00±0.08±0.10	^{2,3} ANTREASYAN	87	CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.21 \pm 0.34)\%$. Supersedes ANTREASYAN 87 and KARCH 90.

² Superseded by KARCH 92.

³ Using $BR(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (38.9 \pm 0.5)\%$.

NODE=M002G2
NODE=M002G2

NODE=M002G2;LINKAGE=K4

NODE=M002G2;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M002G2;LINKAGE=D

$$\eta'(958) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$$

NODE=M002224

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_1\Gamma_{28}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (10^{-3} eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.0	90	¹ AKHMETSHIN 15	CMD3	0.958 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$
¹ AKHMETSHIN 15 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta) \times \Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 4.1 \times 10^{-4}$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M002G01
NODE=M002G01

NODE=M002G01;LINKAGE=A

$$\eta'(958) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$$

NODE=M002230

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
42.5 ±0.5 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
41.24±0.08±1.24	312k	ABLIKIM	19T	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
42.4 ±1.1 ±0.4	1.2k	¹ PEDLAR	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
¹ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.				

NODE=M002R47
NODE=M002R47

NODE=M002R47;LINKAGE=PE

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta(\text{charged decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$0.2805\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.1191±0.0015 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.123 ±0.014	107	RITTENBERG	69	HBC 1.7-2.7 K^-p
0.10 ±0.04	10	LONDON	66	HBC 2.24 $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$
0.07 ±0.04	7	BADIER	65B	HBC 3 K^-p

NODE=M002R3
NODE=M002R3

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta(\text{neutral decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$0.7195\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.306±0.004 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.314±0.026	281	RITTENBERG	69	HBC 1.7-2.7 K^-p

NODE=M002R1
NODE=M002R1

$$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
29.5 ± 0.4 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
29.90± 0.03±0.55	913k	ABLIKIM	19T	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
28.7 ± 0.7 ±0.4	0.2k	¹ PEDLAR	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
32.9 ± 3.3	298	RITTENBERG	69	HBC 1.7-2.7 K^-p
20 ±10	20	LONDON	66	HBC 2.24 $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
34 ± 9	35	BADIER	65B	HBC 3 K^-p

¹ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

NODE=M002R6
NODE=M002R6

NODE=M002R6;LINKAGE=PE

$$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
33.34±0.06±1.60	970k	¹ ABLIKIM	18C	BES3 $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
34.43±0.52±1.97	970k	² ABLIKIM	18C	BES3 $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From a fit to $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass using $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, and box anomaly components.

² From a fit to $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass using $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, and $\rho(1450)$ components.

NODE=M002R66
NODE=M002R66

OCCUR=2

NODE=M002R66;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M002R66;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma)) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta) \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.694 ± 0.014 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

0.683 ± 0.020 OUR AVERAGE

0.677 ± 0.024 ± 0.011	PEDLAR	09	CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$
0.69 ± 0.03	ABLIKIM	06E	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

NODE=M002R43
NODE=M002R43

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma)) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta (\text{neutral decay})) \quad \Gamma_2/0.714\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.972 ± 0.019 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

0.97 ± 0.09 OUR AVERAGE

0.70 ± 0.22		AMSLER	04B	CBAR	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$
1.07 ± 0.17		BELADIDZE	92C	VES	$36 \pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^- \eta' \eta \text{Be}$
0.92 ± 0.14	473	DANBURG	73	HBC	$2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
1.11 ± 0.18	192	JACOBS	73	HBC	$2.9 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$

NODE=M002R27
NODE=M002R27

$$\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

22.4 ± 0.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

21.36 ± 0.10 ± 0.92 52k ABLIKIM 19T BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

23.5 ± 1.3 ± 0.4 3.2k ¹ PEDLAR 09 CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

¹ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

NODE=M002R48
NODE=M002R48

NODE=M002R48;LINKAGE=PE

$$\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta (3\pi^0 \text{ decay})) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad 0.321\Gamma_4/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.0718 ± 0.0018 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.11 ± 0.06 4 BENSINGER 70 DBC $2.2 \pi^+ d$

NODE=M002R26
NODE=M002R26

$$\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta) \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.527 ± 0.019 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

0.555 ± 0.043 ± 0.013 PEDLAR 09 CLE3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

NODE=M002R45
NODE=M002R45

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma)) / \Gamma(\pi \pi \eta) \quad \Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_4)$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.455 ± 0.008 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

0.43 ± 0.02 ± 0.02 BARBERIS 98C OMEG $450 p p \rightarrow p_f \eta' p_s$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.31 ± 0.15 DAVIS 68 HBC $5.5 K^- p$

NODE=M002R7
NODE=M002R7

$$\Gamma(\omega \gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.52 ± 0.07 OUR FIT

2.50 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE

2.489 ± 0.018 ± 0.074 23k ABLIKIM 19T BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

2.55 ± 0.03 ± 0.16 33.2k ¹ ABLIKIM 15AD BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.34 ± 0.30 ± 0.04 70 ² PEDLAR 09 CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma) = (5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.

² Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

NODE=M002R49
NODE=M002R49

NODE=M002R49;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M002R49;LINKAGE=PE

$$\Gamma(\omega \gamma) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta) \quad \Gamma_5/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.0593 ± 0.0018 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

0.055 ± 0.007 ± 0.001 PEDLAR 09 CLE3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.068 ± 0.013 68 ZANFINO 77 ASPK $8.4 \pi^- p$

NODE=M002R17
NODE=M002R17

$$\Gamma(\omega \gamma) / \Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta) \quad \Gamma_5/\Gamma_4$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.113 ± 0.004 OUR FIT

0.147 ± 0.016 ALDE 87B GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 4 \gamma$

NODE=M002R33
NODE=M002R33

$$\Gamma(\omega e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\omega\gamma)$$

$$\Gamma_6/\Gamma_5$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

7.71 ± 1.34 ± 0.54 ¹ ABLIKIM 15AD BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

¹ Obtained from other ABLIKIM 15AD measurements with common systematics taken into account.

NODE=M002R60
NODE=M002R60

NODE=M002R60;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

1.97 ± 0.34 ± 0.17 66 ¹ ABLIKIM 15AD BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma) = (5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.

NODE=M002R59
NODE=M002R59

NODE=M002R59;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))/[\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta) + \Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta) + \Gamma(\omega \gamma)]$$

$$\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.438 ± 0.008 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.25 ± 0.14 DAUBER 64 HBC 1.95 $K^- p$

NODE=M002R18
NODE=M002R18

$$[\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta (\text{charged decay})) + \Gamma(\omega (\text{charged decay}) \gamma)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$(0.286\Gamma_4 + 0.89\Gamma_5)/\Gamma$$

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.0864 ± 0.0017 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.045 ± 0.029 42 RITTENBERG 69 HBC 1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

NODE=M002R4
NODE=M002R4

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$(0.714\Gamma_1 + 0.286\Gamma_4 + 0.89\Gamma_5)/\Gamma$$

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.3896 ± 0.0027 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.4 ± 0.1 39 LONDON 66 HBC 2.24 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \text{ neutrals}$
0.35 ± 0.06 33 BADIER 65B HBC 3 $K^- p$

NODE=M002R2
NODE=M002R2

$$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

2.307 ± 0.035 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

2.31 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8.

2.331 ± 0.012 ± 0.035 71k ABLIKIM 19T BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

1.99 $^{+0.31}_{-0.27}$ ± 0.07 114 ¹ WICHT 08 BELL $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \gamma \gamma$

2.00 ± 0.18 ² STANTON 80 SPEC 8.45 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \pi^+ \pi^- 2\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.25 ± 0.16 ± 0.03 0.3k ³ PEDLAR 09 CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

1.8 ± 0.2 6000 ⁴ APEL 79 NICE 15–40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\gamma$

2.5 ± 0.7 DUANE 74 MMS $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \text{MM}$

1.71 ± 0.33 68 DALPIAZ 72 CNTR 1.6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n X^0$

2.0 $^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$ 31 HARVEY 71 OSPK 3.65 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n X^0$

¹ WICHT 08 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta' K^+)] = (1.40^{+0.16+0.15}_{-0.15-0.12}) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta' K^+) = (7.04 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-5}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Includes APEL 79 result.

³ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

⁴ Data is included in STANTON 80 evaluation.

NODE=M002R19
NODE=M002R19

NODE=M002R19;LINKAGE=W

NODE=M002R19;LINKAGE=S
NODE=M002R19;LINKAGE=PE
NODE=M002R19;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$$

$$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.0543 ± 0.0012 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

0.053 ± 0.004 ± 0.001 PEDLAR 09 CLE3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

NODE=M002R46
NODE=M002R46

$$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))$$

$$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_2$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.0782 ± 0.0016 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

0.080 ± 0.008 ABLIKIM 06E BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

NODE=M002R42
NODE=M002R42

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_7/Γ_4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.1031±0.0028 OUR FIT**0.105 ±0.010 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.9.

0.091 ±0.009	AMSLER	93	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p$
0.112 ±0.002 ±0.006	ALDE	87B	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2\gamma$

NODE=M002R38
 NODE=M002R38

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta(\text{neutral decay}))$ $\Gamma_7/0.714\Gamma_4$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.144±0.004 OUR FIT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.188±0.058	16	APEL	72	OSPK 3.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$

NODE=M002R28
 NODE=M002R28

 $\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(0.714\Gamma_4+0.09\Gamma_5+\Gamma_7)/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.185±0.004 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.185±0.022	535	BASILE	71	CNTR 1.6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$
0.189±0.026	123	RITTENBERG	69	HBC 1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

NODE=M002R5
 NODE=M002R5

 $\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.50 ±0.17 OUR FIT**3.57 ±0.26 OUR AVERAGE**

3.522±0.082±0.254	2015	ABLIKIM	17	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(3\pi^0)$
4.79 ±0.59 ±1.14	183	¹ ABLIKIM	15P	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.56 ±0.22 ±0.34	309	² ABLIKIM	12E	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(3\pi^0)$

¹ We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature to a single value.² Superseded by ABLIKIM 17.

NODE=M002R55
 NODE=M002R55

 $\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_8/Γ_4

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

112± 8 OUR FIT**78±10 OUR AVERAGE**

86±19	235	BLIK	08	GAMS 32 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
74±15		ALDE	87B	GAM2 38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n6\gamma$
75±18		BINON	84	GAM2 30–40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n6\gamma$

NODE=M002R32
 NODE=M002R32

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_9/Γ_7

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

4.9±1.2 33 VIKTOROV 80 CNTR 25,33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\mu\gamma$

NODE=M002R29
 NODE=M002R29

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.13±0.13 OUR AVERAGE

2.15±0.13±0.02	434	¹ ABLIKIM	24AK	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
1.94±0.37±0.02	53	² ABLIKIM	21i	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 2.9	90	³ ABLIKIM	130	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<24	90	⁴ NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ ABLIKIM 24AK reports $(2.16 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 21i reports $(1.97 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.21 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Using $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (29.3 \pm 0.6)\%$ from PDG 12.⁴ Not independent of measured value of Γ_{10}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

NODE=M002R50;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M002R50;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M002R50;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M002R50;LINKAGE=NA

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{10}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.5	90	¹ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M002R03
 NODE=M002R03

NODE=M002R03;LINKAGE=NA

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$ Γ_{10}/Γ_2

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.0	90	ABLIKIM	130 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

NODE=M002R57
 NODE=M002R57

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.61 ± 0.18 OUR FIT				
3.61 ± 0.18 OUR AVERAGE				
3.591 ± 0.054 ± 0.174	6067	ABLIKIM	17 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$
4.28 ± 0.49 ± 1.11	78	¹ ABLIKIM	15P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-3\pi$
3.7 $^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$ ± 0.4		² NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

NODE=M002R21
 NODE=M002R21

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.83 ± 0.15 ± 0.39	1014	³ ABLIKIM	12E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

¹ We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature to a single value.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{11}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 17.

NODE=M002R21;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M002R21;LINKAGE=NA
 NODE=M002R21;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{11}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.5 ± 0.4 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
8.27$^{+2.49}_{-2.12}$ ± 0.04	20	¹ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

NODE=M002R01
 NODE=M002R01

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = (21^{+6}_{-5} \pm 2) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M002R01;LINKAGE=NA

 $\Gamma((\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
37.63 ± 0.77 ± 5.00	6580	¹ ABLIKIM	17 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

NODE=M002R63
 NODE=M002R63

¹ We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature.

NODE=M002R63;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^\mp\rho^\pm)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.44 ± 0.60 ± 2.23	1231	¹ ABLIKIM	17 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^\mp\rho^\pm)$

NODE=M002R62
 NODE=M002R62

¹ We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature.

NODE=M002R62;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.51 ± 0.32 ± 0.10		1650	¹ ABLIKIM	24F BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
8.3 ± 0.9 ± 0.1		199	^{2,3} ABLIKIM	14M BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
< 24	90	⁴ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$	
<1000	90	RITTENBERG	69 HBC	1.7–2.7 K^-p	

NODE=M002R24
 NODE=M002R24

¹ ABLIKIM 24F reports $(8.56 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M002R24;LINKAGE=B

² ABLIKIM 14M reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ = $(4.40 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M002R24;LINKAGE=A

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 24F.

⁴ Not independent of measured value of Γ_{14}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

NODE=M002R24;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M002R24;LINKAGE=NA

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{14}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.6	90	¹ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M002R04
 NODE=M002R04

NODE=M002R04;LINKAGE=NA

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.11 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.02$		865	¹ ABLIKIM	24F BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$1.78 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.02$		84	^{2,3} ABLIKIM	14M BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<27	90		⁴ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

NODE=M002R51
 NODE=M002R51

NODE=M002R51;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M002R51;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M002R51;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M002R51;LINKAGE=NA

¹ ABLIKIM 24F reports $(2.12 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 14M reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ $= (9.38 \pm 1.79 \pm 0.89) \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 24F.

⁴ Not independent of measured value of Γ_{15}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{15}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6	90	¹ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 15 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M002R05
 NODE=M002R05

NODE=M002R05;LINKAGE=NA

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\text{neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	95	DANBURG	73 HBC	$2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.01	90	RITTENBERG	69 HBC	$1.7-2.7 K^- p$

NODE=M002R22
 NODE=M002R22

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.002	90	¹ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<0.01	90	RITTENBERG	69 HBC	$1.7-2.7 K^- p$

NODE=M002R23
 NODE=M002R23

NODE=M002R23;LINKAGE=NA

¹ Not independent of measured value of Γ_{17}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{17}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	¹ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 11 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M002R06
 NODE=M002R06

NODE=M002R06;LINKAGE=NA

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	95	KALBFLEISCH	64B HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2(\pi^+\pi^-)+MM$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.01	90	LONDON	66 HBC	Compilation

NODE=M002R16
 NODE=M002R16

 $\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.1	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13U BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 53	90	² NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<500	95	KALBFLEISCH	64B HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

NODE=M002R07
 NODE=M002R07

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.16 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{19}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

NODE=M002R07;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M002R07;LINKAGE=NA

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{19}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.2	90	¹ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M002R08
 NODE=M002R08

NODE=M002R08;LINKAGE=NA

 $\Gamma(K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$ Γ_{20}/Γ_2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.3 $\times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	16M BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M002R61
 NODE=M002R61

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.43$\pm$0.06 OUR FIT					
2.44$\pm$0.08$\pm$0.03	22725	¹ ABLIKIM	24AK BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.11 $\pm$ 0.12 $\pm$ 0.14	429	² ABLIKIM	130 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$	
2.5 $^{+1.2}_{-0.9} \pm 0.5$		³ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$	
<6	90	RITTENBERG	65 HBC	2.7 K^-p	

NODE=M002R12
 NODE=M002R12

NODE=M002R12;LINKAGE=C

¹ ABLIKIM 24AK reports $(2.45 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Using $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (29.3 \pm 0.6)\%$ from PDG 12.

³ Not independent of measured value of Γ_{21}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

NODE=M002R12;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M002R12;LINKAGE=NA

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{21}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.72$\pm$0.17 OUR FIT				
5.51$^{+3.00}_{-2.29} \pm 0.03$	8	¹ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

NODE=M002R02
 NODE=M002R02

NODE=M002R02;LINKAGE=NA

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = (14^{+7}_{-5} \pm 3) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$ Γ_{21}/Γ_2

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.24$\pm$0.21 OUR FIT				
8.20$\pm$0.16$\pm$0.27	2584	ABLIKIM	21J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
7.2 $\pm 0.4 \pm 0.5$	429	¹ ABLIKIM	130 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
¹ Superseded by ABLIKIM 21J.				

NODE=M002R56
 NODE=M002R56

NODE=M002R56;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^+e^-\nu_e + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{22}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5.0	90	ABLIKIM	13G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta'$

NODE=M002R54
 NODE=M002R54

 $\Gamma(\gamma e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.80$\pm$0.14$\pm$0.05	7611	¹ ABLIKIM	24M BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<9	90	BRIERE	00 CLEO	10.6 e^+e^-	

NODE=M002R40
 NODE=M002R40

OCCUR=2

¹ ABLIKIM 24M reports $(4.83 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M002R40;LINKAGE=H

 $\Gamma(\gamma e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_{23}/Γ_7

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.13$\pm$0.09$\pm$0.07	864	ABLIKIM	150 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^-$

NODE=M002R00
 NODE=M002R00

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.20 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.23$	3.4k	ABLIKIM	17T BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

 Γ_{24}/Γ

NODE=M002R64
 NODE=M002R64

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 37	90	ALDE	87B GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 4\gamma$

 Γ_{24}/Γ_4

NODE=M002R35
 NODE=M002R35

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma\gamma(\text{non resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$6.16 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.67$	655	ABLIKIM	17T BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

 Γ_{25}/Γ

NODE=M002R65
 NODE=M002R65

 $\Gamma(\eta\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.33 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	19AW BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma 2\gamma$

 Γ_{26}/Γ

NODE=M002R67
 NODE=M002R67

 $\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24F BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)$

 Γ_{27}/Γ

NODE=M002R58
 NODE=M002R58

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 4.94 \times 10^{-5}$	90	² ABLIKIM	20E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$
$< 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	DONSKOV	14 GAM4	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$

¹ ABLIKIM 24F reports $< 1.24 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = 5.28 \times 10^{-3}$.

² Superseded by ABLIKIM 24F.

NODE=M002R58;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M002R58;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 23	90	ALDE	87B GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 8\gamma$

 Γ_{27}/Γ_4

NODE=M002R37
 NODE=M002R37

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 5.6 \times 10^{-9}$	90	¹ ACHASOV	15 SND	$0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$

 Γ_{28}/Γ

NODE=M002R39
 NODE=M002R39

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 12 \times 10^{-9}$	90	² AKHMETSHIN	15 CMD3	$0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$
$< 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	VOROBYEV	88 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$

¹ Combining data of ACHASOV 15 and AKHMETSHIN 15 and using $\Gamma(\eta') = 0.198 \pm 0.009$ MeV.

² Using $\Gamma_{\eta'(958)} = 198 \pm 9$ keV, $B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta) = (42.9 \pm 0.7)\%$, and $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20)\%$.

NODE=M002R39;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M002R39;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.5 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.1$	30	¹ ABLIKIM	22E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

 Γ_{29}/Γ

NODE=M002R68
 NODE=M002R68

¹ ABLIKIM 22E reports $(4.5 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow e^+e^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M002R68;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	25N BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

 Γ_{30}/Γ

NODE=M002R74
 NODE=M002R74
 OCCUR=2

¹ ABLIKIM 25N reports $< 1.75 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$.

NODE=M002R74;LINKAGE=C

$$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{31}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5 \times 10^{-7}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	25N BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
¹ ABLIKIM 25N reports $< 5.28 \times 10^{-7}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$.				

NODE=M002R75
NODE=M002R75

NODE=M002R75;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-) \quad \Gamma_{21}/\Gamma_{10}$$

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$113.4 \pm 0.9 \pm 3.7$	434	¹ ABLIKIM	24AK BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
¹ ABLIKIM 24AK value is not independent from the individual branching fraction measurements.				

NODE=M002R70
NODE=M002R70

NODE=M002R70;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{32}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ ANDREEV	24D NA64	$\text{CEX } \pi^- \text{Fe} \rightarrow \eta' X$
¹ ANDREEV 24D result is based on 2.9×10^9 pions on active ^{26}Fe target.				
$<9.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	² NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{32}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.				

NODE=M002R52
NODE=M002R52

NODE=M002R52;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M002R52;LINKAGE=NA

$$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta) \quad \Gamma_{32}/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.1	90	¹ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \text{invisible})/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M002R09
NODE=M002R09

NODE=M002R09;LINKAGE=NA

$$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \quad \Gamma_{32}/\Gamma_7$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.4 \times 10^{-2}$	90	ABLIKIM	13 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta'$
$<6.69 \times 10^{-2}$	90	ABLIKIM	06Q BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta'$

NODE=M002R44
NODE=M002R44

$$\Gamma(\gamma \text{ Dark Photon})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.5 to 3.5 (CL = 90%)			[0.50 to 3.50×10^{-6} (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]		
0.5 to 3.5	90	7611	¹ ABLIKIM	24M BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
¹ For a dark photon decaying to e^+e^- in the mass range 0 to 0.7 GeV.					

NODE=M002R72
NODE=M002R72

NODE=M002R72;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{34}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.18	90	¹ AAIJ	17D LHCB	$D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$
¹ Using branching fractions of $D_{(s)}^+$ decays from PDG 15.				
< 0.5	90	² ABLIKIM	11G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
< 29	90	³ MORI	07A BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
< 3.3	90	⁴ MORI	07A BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
< 800	95	DANBURG	73 HBC	$2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
< 200	90	RITTENBERG	69 HBC	$1.7\text{--}2.7 K^- p$

OCCUR=2

NODE=M002R20;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M002R20;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M002R20;LINKAGE=MO
NODE=M002R20;LINKAGE=MR

$$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{35}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	11G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$
¹ ABLIKIM 11G reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))] < 2.84 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = 5.28 \times 10^{-3}$.				

NODE=M002R53
NODE=M002R53

NODE=M002R53;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_{35}/Γ_4

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<45	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2 38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 4\gamma$

NODE=M002R36
 NODE=M002R36

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{36}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 1.4	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO 10.6 $e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<13	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC 2.7 $K^- p$

NODE=M002R8
 NODE=M002R8

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{37}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.04	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC 2.7 $K^- p$

NODE=M002R10
 NODE=M002R10

 $\Gamma(\eta e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{38}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.4	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO 10.6 $e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<11	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC 2.7 $K^- p$

NODE=M002R9
 NODE=M002R9

 $\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_{39}/Γ_4

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.6	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2 38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 3\gamma$

NODE=M002R34
 NODE=M002R34

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{40}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6.0	90	DZHELYADIN	81	CNTR 30 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$

NODE=M002R31
 NODE=M002R31

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{41}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.5	90	DZHELYADIN	81	CNTR 30 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$

NODE=M002R30
 NODE=M002R30

 $\Gamma(e\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{42}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.7	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO 10.6 $e^+ e^-$

NODE=M002R41
 NODE=M002R41

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\text{ALP} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)$ Γ_{43}/Γ_{21}

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<7.8 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AK	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

NODE=M002R73
 NODE=M002R73

¹ For a pseudoscalar ALP, with a mass in the range 0–500 MeV. The measured limit at the 90% credibility level as a function of the mass of ALP ranges from 0.1×10^{-3} to 7.8×10^{-3} .

NODE=M002R73;LINKAGE=A

 $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi$ DECAY PARAMETERS

NODE=M002225

$$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 = |1 + \alpha Y|^2 + CX + DX^2$$

X and Y are Dalitz variables; α is complex and C , and D are real-valued. Parameters C and D are not necessarily equal to c and d , respectively, in the generalized parameterization following this one. May be different for $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decays. Because of different initial assumptions and strong correlations of the parameters we do not average the parameters in the section below.

NODE=M002225

 $Re(\alpha)$ decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-0.034 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002$	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$-0.054 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.001$	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$-0.033 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.003$	44k	¹ ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$-0.072 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.006$	7k	² AMELIN	05A	VES $28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- A^*$
$-0.021 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.017$	6.7k	³ BRIERE	00	CLEO $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- X$
$-0.058 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.003$	5.4k	⁴ ALDE	86	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.08 ± 0.03		^{4,5} KALBFLEISCH	74	RVUE $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M002A0
 NODE=M002A0

OCCUR=2

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

³ Assuming $\text{Im}(\alpha) = 0$, $C = 0$, and $D = 0$.

⁴ Assuming $C = 0$.

⁵ From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

NODE=M002A0;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M002A0;LINKAGE=AM

NODE=M002A0;LINKAGE=BR
NODE=M002A0;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M002A0;LINKAGE=KA

$\text{Im}(\alpha)$ decay parameter

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.000 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.001$	351k	ABLIKIM	18 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$0.000 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.002$	56k	ABLIKIM	18 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$0.000 \pm 0.049 \pm 0.001$	44k	¹ ABLIKIM	11 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$0.0 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.0$	7k	² AMELIN	05A VES	$28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A^*$
$-0.00 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.00$	5.4k	³ ALDE	86 GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
0.0 ± 0.3		^{3,4} KALBFLEISCH	74 RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M002IA0
NODE=M002IA0

OCCUR=2

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

³ Assuming $C = 0$.

⁴ From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

NODE=M002IA0;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M002IA0;LINKAGE=AM

NODE=M002IA0;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M002IA0;LINKAGE=KA

C decay parameter

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.0027 \pm 0.0024 \pm 0.0015$	351k	ABLIKIM	18 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$0.018 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.003$	44k	¹ ABLIKIM	11 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$0.020 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.004$	7k	² AMELIN	05A VES	$28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A^*$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

NODE=M002C0
NODE=M002C0

NODE=M002C0;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M002C0;LINKAGE=AM

D decay parameter

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-0.053 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.004$	351k	ABLIKIM	18 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.061 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.005$	56k	ABLIKIM	18 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.059 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.004$	44k	¹ ABLIKIM	11 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.066 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.015$	7k	² AMELIN	05A VES	$28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A^*$
$0.00 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.00$	5.4k	³ ALDE	86 GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
0		^{3,4} KALBFLEISCH	74 RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

³ Assuming $C = 0$.

⁴ From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

NODE=M002D0
NODE=M002D0

OCCUR=2

NODE=M002D0;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M002D0;LINKAGE=AM

NODE=M002D0;LINKAGE=AL
NODE=M002D0;LINKAGE=KA

$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta \pi \pi$ DECAY PARAMETERS

$$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 \propto 1 + a Y + b Y^2 + c X + d X^2$$

X and Y are Dalitz variables and a , b , c , and d are real-valued parameters. May be different for $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ decays. We do not average measurements in the section below because parameter values from each experiment are strongly correlated.

NODE=M002227

NODE=M002227

a decay parameter

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-0.077 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.001$		¹ ABLIKIM	23AH BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.056 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.002$	351k	ABLIKIM	18 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M002DPA
NODE=M002DPA

$-0.087 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.006$	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.074 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.006$	124k	ADLARSON	18A	A2MM	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.072 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.008$		² GONZALEZ-S..18A	RVUE		$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.047 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.003$	44k	³ ABLIKIM	11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.066 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.003$	15k	⁴ BLIK	09	GAM4	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$-0.127 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.008$	20k	⁵ DOROFEEV	07	VES	$27 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

¹ Fit IV, ignoring noncusp terms. Supersedes ABLIKIM 18.

² Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.

³ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

⁴ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ decay.

⁵ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M002DPA;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M002DPA;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M002DPA;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M002DPA;LINKAGE=BL
NODE=M002DPA;LINKAGE=DO

NODE=M002DPB
NODE=M002DPB

b decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$-0.066 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.001$		¹ ABLIKIM	23AH	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.049 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.006$	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.073 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.005$	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.063 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.005$	124k	ADLARSON	18A	A2MM	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.052 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.002$		² GONZALEZ-S..18A	RVUE		$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.069 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.009$	44k	³ ABLIKIM	11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.063 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.004$	15k	⁴ BLIK	09	GAM4	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$-0.106 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.014$	20k	⁵ DOROFEEV	07	VES	$27 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

¹ Fit IV, ignoring noncusp terms. Supersedes ABLIKIM 18.

² Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.

³ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

⁴ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ decay.

⁵ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M002DPB;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M002DPB;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M002DPB;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M002DPB;LINKAGE=BL
NODE=M002DPB;LINKAGE=DO

NODE=M002DPC
NODE=M002DPC

c decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.0027 \pm 0.0024 \pm 0.0018$	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$0.019 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.003$	44k	¹ ABLIKIM	11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.107 \pm 0.096 \pm 0.003$	15k	² BLIK	09	GAM4	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$0.015 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.014$	20k	³ DOROFEEV	07	VES	$27 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

² From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ decay.

³ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay.

NODE=M002DPC;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M002DPC;LINKAGE=BL
NODE=M002DPC;LINKAGE=DO

d decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$-0.068 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.001$		¹ ABLIKIM	23AH	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.063 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.003$	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.074 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.004$	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.050 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.005$	124k	ADLARSON	18A	A2MM	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.051 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.006$		² GONZALEZ-S..18A	RVUE		$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.073 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.003$	44k	³ ABLIKIM	11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$0.018 \pm 0.078 \pm 0.006$	15k	⁴ BLIK	09	GAM4	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$-0.082 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.008$	20k	⁵ DOROFEEV	07	VES	$27 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

¹ Fit IV, ignoring noncusp terms. Supersedes ABLIKIM 18.

² Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.

³ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

⁴ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ decay. If $c \equiv 0$ from Bose-Einstein symmetry, $d = -0.067 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.003$.

⁵ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M002DPD;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M002DPD;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M002DPD;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M002DPD;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=M002DPD;LINKAGE=DO

$\eta'(958) \beta$ PARAMETER

$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 = (1 + 2\beta Z)$

See the "Note on η Decay Parameters" in our 1994 edition Physical Review D50 1173 (1994), p. 1454.

NODE=M002226

NODE=M002226

β decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.61 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
$-0.640 \pm 0.046 \pm 0.047$	1.8k	ABLIKIM	15G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0)$
-0.59 ± 0.18	235	BLIK	08 GAMS	$32 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
-0.1 ± 0.3		ALDE	87B GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 3\pi^0$

NODE=M002B0
NODE=M002B0

$\eta'(958) C$ -NONCONSERVING DECAY PARAMETER

See the note on η decay parameters in the Stable Particle Particle Listings for definition of this parameter.

NODE=M002235

NODE=M002235

DECAY ASYMMETRY PARAMETER FOR $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.03 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE				
-0.019 ± 0.056		AIHARA	87 TPC	$2\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
-0.069 ± 0.078	295	GRIGORIAN	75 STRC	$2.1 \pi^- p$
0.00 ± 0.10	103	KALBFLEISCH	75 HBC	$2.18 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.07 ± 0.08	152	RITTENBERG	65 HBC	$2.1-2.7 K^- p$

NODE=M002A
NODE=M002A

$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$ TRANSITION FORM FACTOR SLOPE

Related to the effective virtual meson mass Λ , via slope $\approx \Lambda^{-2}$. See e.g. LANDSBERG 85, eq. (3.8), for a detailed definition.

VALUE (GeV^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.53 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE				
1.524 ± 0.041	7611	¹ ABLIKIM	24M BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
1.7 ± 0.4	33	¹ VIKTOROV	80	$25,33 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\mu \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.30 ± 0.19		² ABLIKIM	24AK BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$, $\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$
$1.60 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.08$	864	¹ ABLIKIM	150 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-$

NODE=M002FFL

NODE=M002FFL

NODE=M002FFL

¹ In the single-pole Ansatz where slope = $1/(\Lambda^2 + \gamma^2)$ with Λ , γ being a Breit-Wigner mass, width for the effective contributing vector meson.

² From a weighted average of the $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ values. The uncertainty is obtained by combining statistical and systematic uncertainties. TFF slope values go between $1.10 \pm 0.21 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and $1.61 \pm 0.71 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ for $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$, and between $2.37 \pm 0.49 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and $2.88 \pm 0.25 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ for $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$, according to the solution of the fitting function model.

NODE=M002FFL;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M002FFL;LINKAGE=B

$\eta'(958)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	25N	PR D111 052002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24AK	JHEP 2407 135	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24F	PR D109 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24M	PR D109 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ANDREEV	24D	PRL 133 121803	Yu.M. Andreev <i>et al.</i>	(NA64 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23AH	PRL 130 081901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22E	PR D105 112010	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21I	PR D103 072006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21J	PR D103 092005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20E	PR D101 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AV	PR D100 052015	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19T	PRL 122 142002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18	PR D97 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18C	PRL 120 242003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ADLARSON	18A	PR D98 012001	P. Adlarson <i>et al.</i>	(A2 Collab. at MAMI)
GONZALEZ-S...	18A	EPJ C78 758	S. Gonzalez-Solis, E. Passemar	(BEIJ, IND+)
AAIJ	17D	PL B764 233	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17	PRL 118 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17T	PR D96 012005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16M	PR D93 072008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15AD	PR D92 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15G	PR D92 012014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15O	PR D92 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15P	PR D92 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	15	PR D91 092010	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	15	PL B740 273	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
PDG	15	RPP 2015 at pdg.lbl.gov		(PDG Collab.)

NODE=M002

REFID=63258
REFID=62692
REFID=62656
REFID=62663
REFID=63013
REFID=62206
REFID=61639
REFID=61112
REFID=61114
REFID=60252
REFID=60000
REFID=59773
REFID=58711
REFID=58843
REFID=58957
REFID=59316
REFID=57702
REFID=57650
REFID=58013
REFID=57511
REFID=56983
REFID=56602
REFID=56780
REFID=56781
REFID=56788
REFID=56386
REFID=56977;ERROR=5

ABLIKIM	14M	PRL 112 251801	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55904
DONSKOV	14	MPL A29 1450213	S. Donskov <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS-4 π Collab.)	REFID=56321
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=55687
ABLIKIM	13	PR D87 012009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54829
ABLIKIM	13G	PR D87 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54952
ABLIKIM	13O	PR D87 092011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55388
ABLIKIM	13U	PR D88 091502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55582
ABLIKIM	12E	PRL 108 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54270
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=54066
ABLIKIM	11	PR D83 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53646
ABLIKIM	11G	PR D84 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53711
CZERWINSKI	10	PRL 105 122001	E. Czerwinski <i>et al.</i>	(COSY-11 Collab.)	REFID=53364
BLIK	09	PAN 72 231	A.M. Blik <i>et al.</i>	(IHEP (Protvino))	REFID=52727
Translated from YAF 72 258.					
NAIK	09	PRL 102 061801	P. Naik <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52678
PEDLAR	09	PR D79 111101	T.K. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52998
BLIK	08	PAN 71 2124	A. Blik <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS-4 π Collab.)	REFID=52663
Translated from YAF 71 2161.					
LIBBY	08	PRL 101 182002	J. Libby <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52591
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52204
DOROFEEV	07	PL B651 22	V. Dorofeev <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)	REFID=51711
MORI	07A	JPSJ 76 074102	T. Mori <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51691
ABLIKIM	06E	PR D73 052008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51057
ABLIKIM	06Q	PRL 97 202002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51487
AMELIN	05A	PAN 68 372	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)	REFID=50766
Translated from YAF 68 401.					
AMSLER	04B	EPJ C33 23	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=51079
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50167
BRIERE	00	PRL 84 26	R. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=47410
ACCIARRI	98Q	PL B418 399	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=46316
BARBERIS	98C	PL B440 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=46346
WURZINGER	96	PL B374 283	R. Wurzinger <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, ORSAY, SACL+)	REFID=44992
PDG	94	PR D50 1173	L. Montanet <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, LBL, BOST+)	REFID=43653
AMSLER	93	ZPHY C58 175	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=43311
BELADIDZE	92C	SJNP 55 1535	G.M. Beladidze, S.I. Bityukov, G.V. Borisov	(SERP+)	REFID=43175
Translated from YAF 55 2748.					
KARCH	92	ZPHY C54 33	K. Karch <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=42170
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=41862
BEHREND	91	ZPHY C49 401	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)	REFID=41497
AUGUSTIN	90	PR D42 10	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41352
BARU	90	ZPHY C48 581	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(MD-1 Collab.)	REFID=41366
BUTLER	90	PR D42 1368	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)	REFID=41363
KARCH	90	PL B249 353	K. Karch <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=41377
ROE	90	PR D41 17	N.A. Roe <i>et al.</i>	(ASP Collab.)	REFID=41014
AIHARA	88C	PR D38 1	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.)	REFID=40564
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41023
Translated from YAF 48 436.					
WILLIAMS	88	PR D38 1365	D.A. Williams <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=40567
AIHARA	87	PR D35 2650	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.) JP	REFID=40009
ALBRECHT	87B	PL B199 457	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=40265
ALDE	87B	ZPHY C36 603	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, BELG, SERP, LAPP)	REFID=40236
ANTREASYAN	87	PR D36 2633	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=40008
GIDAL	87	PRL 59 2012	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)	REFID=40223
ALDE	86	PL B177 115	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP)	REFID=20310
BARTEL	85E	PL 160B 421	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)	REFID=10843
LANDSBERG	85	PRPL 128 301	L.G. Landsberg	(SERP)	REFID=10844
ALTHOFF	84E	PL 147B 487	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)	REFID=20305
BERGER	84B	PL 142B 125	C. Berger	(PLUTO Collab.)	REFID=20306
BINON	84	PL 140B 264	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP+)	REFID=20307
JENNI	83	PR D27 1031	P. Jenni <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=20304
BARTEL	82B	PL 113B 190	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)	REFID=20300
BEHREND	82C	PL 114B 378	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)	REFID=20303
Also					
		PL 125B 518 (errat.)	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)	REFID=20302
DZHELYADIN	81	PL 105B 239	R.I. Dzheyladin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	REFID=10836
STANTON	80	PL B92 353	N.R. Stanton <i>et al.</i>	(OSU, CARL, MCGI+)	REFID=40294
VIKTOROV	80	SJNP 32 520	V.A. Viktorov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	REFID=20298
Translated from YAF 32 1005.					
APEL	79	PL 83B 131	W.D. Apel, K.H. Augenstein, E. Bertolucci	(KARLK+)	REFID=20295
BINNIE	79	PL 83B 141	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC)	REFID=20296
ZANFINO	77	PRL 38 930	C. Zanfino <i>et al.</i>	(CARL, MCGI, OHIO+)	REFID=20293
GRIGORIAN	75	NP B91 232	A. Grigorian <i>et al.</i>	(+)	REFID=20287
KALBFLEISCH	75	PR D11 987	G.R. Kalbfleisch, R.C. Strand, J.W. Chapman	(BNL+)	REFID=20223
DUANE	74	PRL 32 425	A. Duane <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)	REFID=20284
KALBFLEISCH	74	PR D10 916	G.R. Kalbfleisch	(BNL)	REFID=20286
DANBURG	73	PR D8 3744	J.S. Danburg <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, MICH) JP	REFID=20280
JACOBS	73	PR D8 18	S.M. Jacobs <i>et al.</i>	(BRAN, UMD, SYRA+)	REFID=20281
AGUILAR-...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)	REFID=20205
APEL	72	PL 40B 680	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(KARLK, KARLE, PISA)	REFID=20275
DALPIAZ	72	PL 42B 377	P.F. Dalpiaz <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)	REFID=20278
BASILE	71	NC 3A 371	M. Basile <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BGNA, STRB)	REFID=20270
HARVEY	71	PRL 27 885	E.H. Harvey <i>et al.</i>	(MINN, MICH)	REFID=20272
BENSINGER	70	PL 33B 505	J.R. Bensinger <i>et al.</i>	(WISC)	REFID=20268
RITTENBERG	69	Thesis UCRL 18863	A. Rittenberg	(LRL) I	REFID=20266
DAVIS	68	PL 27B 532	R. Davis <i>et al.</i>	(NWES, ANL)	REFID=20263
LONDON	66	PR 143 1034	G.W. London <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA) IJP	REFID=11774
BADIER	65B	PL 17 337	J. Badier <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, SACL, AMST)	REFID=20253
RITTENBERG	65	PRL 15 556	A. Rittenberg, G.R. Kalbfleisch	(LRL, BNL)	REFID=10761
DAUBER	64	PRL 13 449	P.M. Dauber <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA) JP	REFID=20247
KALBFLEISCH	64B	PRL 13 349	G.R. Kalbfleisch, O.I. Dahl, A. Rittenberg	(LRL) JP	REFID=20252

$f_0(980)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

See the related review(s):
[Scalar Mesons below 1 GeV](#)

$f_0(980)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(980–1010) – i (20–35) OUR ESTIMATE (see Fig. 64.4 in the review)			
$(1002 \pm 9) - i(23 \pm 8)$	¹ HOFERICH... 24	RVUE	Compilation
$(993 \pm 2^{+2}_{-1}) - i(21 \pm 3^{+2}_{-4})$	² DANILKIN 21	RVUE	Compilation
$(1014 \pm 8) - i(35 \pm 5)$	SARANTSEV 21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
$(992.8 \pm 1.3) - i(30.7 \pm 2.3)$	³ ALBRECHT 20	RVUE	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0K^+K^-$
$(1003^{+5}_{-27}) - i(21^{+10}_{-8})$	⁴ GARCIA-MAR..11	RVUE	Compilation
$(996 \pm 7) - i(25^{+10}_{-6})$	⁵ GARCIA-MAR..11	RVUE	Compilation
$(996^{+4}_{-14}) - i(24^{+11}_{-3})$	⁶ MOUSSALLAM11	RVUE	Compilation
$(981 \pm 43) - i(18 \pm 11)$	⁷ MENNESSIER 10	RVUE	Compilation
$(1030^{+30}_{-10}) - i(35^{+10}_{-16})$	⁸ ANISOVICH 09	RVUE	$0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$
$(973^{+39}_{-127}) - i(11^{+189}_{-11})$	⁹ PELAEZ 04A	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$

¹ Using the GKPY equations as GARCIA-MARTIN 11, but with different treatment of kaon thresholds and input for $\pi\pi/K\bar{K}$ T-matrix from HOFERICHTER 16.

² Data driven analysis using partial-wave dispersion relations.

³ 5 poles, 5 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$), and BINON 84c ($\eta\eta'$). Based on 18.5k events. Second solution 977.8 ± 1.7 MeV.

⁴ Reanalysis of the K_{e4} data of BATLEY 10C and the $\pi N \rightarrow \pi\pi N$ data of HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, and PROTOPOPESCU 73 using Roy equations.

⁵ Reanalysis of the K_{e4} data of BATLEY 10C and the $\pi N \rightarrow \pi\pi N$ data of HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, and PROTOPOPESCU 73 using GKPY equations.

⁶ Uses Roy equations.

⁷ Average of the analyses of three data sets in the K-matrix model. Uses the data of BATLEY 08A, HYAMS 73, and GRAYER 74, partially of COHEN 80 or ETKIN 82B.

⁸ On sheet II in a 2-pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at $(850 - i 100)$ MeV.

⁹ Reanalysis of data from PROTOPOPESCU 73, ESTABROOKS 74, GRAYER 74, and COHEN 80 in the unitarized ChPT model.

NODE=M003PP

NODE=M003PP

NODE=M003PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2

NODE=M003PP;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M003PP;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M003PP;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M003PP;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M003PP;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M003PP;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M003PP;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M003PP;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M003PP;LINKAGE=A

$f_0(980)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
990 ± 20 OUR ESTIMATE				
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$992.0^{+8.5}_{-7.5} \pm 8.6$		¹ AAIJ 19H	LHCB	$pp \rightarrow D^\pm X$
989.4 ± 1.3	424	ABLIKIM 15P	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-3\pi$
989.9 ± 0.4	706	ABLIKIM 12E	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi$
$977^{+11}_{-9} \pm 1$	44	² ECKLUND 09	CLEO	$4.17 e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^- D_s^{*+} + \text{c.c.}$
$982.2 \pm 1.0^{+8.1}_{-8.0}$		³ UEHARA 08A	BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
$976.8 \pm 0.3^{+10.1}_{-0.6}$	64k	⁴ AMBROSINO 07	KLOE	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$984.7 \pm 0.4^{+2.4}_{-3.7}$	64k	⁵ AMBROSINO 07	KLOE	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
973 ± 3	262 ± 30	⁶ AUBERT 07Ak	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
970 ± 7	54 ± 9	⁶ AUBERT 07Ak	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
953 ± 20	2.6k	⁷ BONVICINI 07	CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^+$
$985.6^{+1.2}_{-1.5} \pm 1.1$		⁸ MORI 07	BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
$983.0 \pm 0.6^{+4.0}_{-3.0}$		⁹ AMBROSINO 06B	KLOE	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

NODE=M003M1

NODE=M003M1

→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

977.3 ± 0.9 ⁺ _{-4.3}		10	AMBROSINO	06B	KLOE	1.02 e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁺ π ⁻ γ	OCCUR=2
950 ± 9	4286	11	GARMASH	06	BELL	B ⁺ → K ⁺ π ⁺ π ⁻	
965 ± 10		12	ABLIKIM	05	BES2	J/ψ → φπ ⁺ π ⁻ , φK ⁺ K ⁻	
1031 ± 8		13	ANISOVICH	03	RVUE		
1037 ± 31			TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC	40.0 π ⁻ C → K _S ⁰ K _S ⁰ K _L ⁰ X	
973 ± 1	2438	14	ALOISIO	02D	KLOE	e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁰ π ⁰ γ	
977 ± 3 ± 2	848	15	AITALA	01A	E791	D ⁺ → π ⁻ π ⁺ π ⁺	
969.8 ± 4.5	419	16	ACHASOV	00H	SND	e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁰ π ⁰ γ	
985 ⁺ ₋₁₂	419	17,18	ACHASOV	00H	SND	e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁰ π ⁰ γ	OCCUR=2
976 ± 5 ± 6		19	AKHMETSHIN	99B	CMD2	e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁺ π ⁻ γ	
977 ± 3 ± 6	268	19	AKHMETSHIN	99C	CMD2	e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁰ π ⁰ γ	
975 ± 4 ± 6		20	AKHMETSHIN	99C	CMD2	e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁰ π ⁰ γ	OCCUR=2
975 ± 4 ± 6		21	AKHMETSHIN	99C	CMD2	e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁺ π ⁻ γ, π ⁰ π ⁰ γ	OCCUR=3
985 ± 10			BARBERIS	99	OMEG	450 pp → p _S p _f K ⁺ K ⁻	
982 ± 3			BARBERIS	99B	OMEG	450 pp → p _S p _f π ⁺ π ⁻	
982 ± 3			BARBERIS	99C	OMEG	450 pp → p _S p _f π ⁰ π ⁰	
987 ± 6 ± 6		22	BARBERIS	99D	OMEG	450 pp → K ⁺ K ⁻ , π ⁺ π ⁻	
989 ± 15			BELLAZZINI	99	GAM4	450 pp → ppπ ⁰ π ⁰	
991 ± 3		23	KAMINSKI	99	RVUE	ππ → ππ, K ⁻ K ⁻ , σσ	
~ 980		23	OLLER	99	RVUE	ππ → ππ, K ⁻ K ⁻	
~ 993.5			OLLER	99B	RVUE	ππ → ππ, K ⁻ K ⁻	
~ 987		23	OLLER	99C	RVUE	ππ → ππ, K ⁻ K ⁻ , ηη	
957 ± 6		24	ACKERSTAFF	98Q	OPAL	Z → f ₀ X	
960 ± 10			ALDE	98	GAM4		
1015 ± 15		23	ANISOVICH	98B	RVUE	Compilation	
1008		25	LOCHER	98	RVUE	ππ → ππ, K ⁻ K ⁻	
955 ± 10		24	ALDE	97	GAM2	450 pp → ppπ ⁰ π ⁰	
994 ± 9		26	BERTIN	97C	OBLX	0.0 p̄p → π ⁺ π ⁻ π ⁰	
993.2 ± 6.5 ± 6.9		27	ISHIDA	96	RVUE	ππ → ππ, K ⁻ K ⁻	
1006			TORNQVIST	96	RVUE	ππ → ππ, K ⁻ K ⁻ , Kπ, ηπ	
997 ± 5	3k	28	ALDE	95B	GAM2	38 π ⁻ p → π ⁰ π ⁰ n	
960 ± 10	10k	29	ALDE	95B	GAM2	38 π ⁻ p → π ⁰ π ⁰ n	OCCUR=2
994 ± 5			AMSLER	95B	CBAR	0.0 p̄p → 3π ⁰	
~ 996		30	AMSLER	95D	CBAR	0.0 p̄p → π ⁰ π ⁰ π ⁰ , π ⁰ ηη, π ⁰ π ⁰ η	
987 ± 6		31	ANISOVICH	95	RVUE		
1015			JANSSEN	95	RVUE	ππ → ππ, K ⁻ K ⁻	
983		32	BUGG	94	RVUE	p̄p → η2π ⁰	
973 ± 2		33	KAMINSKI	94	RVUE	ππ → ππ, K ⁻ K ⁻	
988		34	ZOU	94B	RVUE		
988 ± 10		35	MORGAN	93	RVUE	ππ(K ⁻ K ⁻) → ππ(K ⁻ K ⁻), J/ψ → φππ(K ⁻ K ⁻), D _S → π(ππ)	
971.1 ± 4.0		24	AGUILAR-...	91	EHS	400 pp	
979 ± 4		36	ARMSTRONG	91	OMEG	300 pp → ppππ, ppK ⁻ K ⁻	
956 ± 12			BREAKSTONE	90	SFM	pp → ppπ ⁺ π ⁻	
959.4 ± 6.5		24	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	J/ψ → ωπ ⁺ π ⁻	
978 ± 9		24	ABACHI	86B	HRS	e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁺ π ⁻ X	
985.0 ⁺ _{-39.0}			ETKIN	82B	MPS	23 π ⁻ p → n2K _S ⁰	
974 ± 4		36	GIDAL	81	MRK2	J/ψ → π ⁺ π ⁻ X	
975		37	ACHASOV	80	RVUE		
986 ± 10		36	AGUILAR-...	78	HBC	0.7 p̄p → K _S ⁰ K _S ⁰	
969 ± 5		36	LEEPER	77	ASPK	2-2.4 π ⁻ p → π ⁺ π ⁻ n, K ⁺ K ⁻ n	
987 ± 7		36	BINNIE	73	CNTR	π ⁻ p → nMM	
1012 ± 6		38	GRAYER	73	ASPK	17 π ⁻ p → π ⁺ π ⁻ n	
1007 ± 20		38	HYAMS	73	ASPK	17 π ⁻ p → π ⁺ π ⁻ n	
997 ± 6		38	PROTOPOP...	73	HBC	7 π ⁺ p → π ⁺ pπ ⁺ π ⁻	

- ¹ From the $D^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm K^+ K^-$ Dalitz plot fit with the Triple-M amplitude in the multi-meson model of AOUDE 18.
- ² Using a relativistic Breit-Wigner function and taking into account the finite D_s mass.
- ³ Breit-Wigner mass. Using finite width corrections according to FLATTE 76 and ACHASOV 05, and the ratio $g_{f_0 K K}/g_{f_0 \pi \pi} = 0$.
- ⁴ In the kaon-loop fit.
- ⁵ In the no-structure fit.
- ⁶ Systematic errors not estimated.
- ⁷ FLATTE 76 parameterization. $g_{f_0 \pi \pi} = 329 \pm 96 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ assuming $g_{f_0 K \bar{K}}/g_{f_0 \pi \pi} = 2$.
- ⁸ Breit-Wigner mass. Using finite width corrections according to FLATTE 76 and ACHASOV 05, and the ratio $g_{f_0 K K}/g_{f_0 \pi \pi} = 4.21 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.21$ from ABLIKIM 05.
- ⁹ In the kaon-loop fit following formalism of ACHASOV 89.
- ¹⁰ In the no-structure fit assuming a direct coupling of ϕ to $f_0 \gamma$.
- ¹¹ FLATTE 76 parameterization. Supersedes GARMASH 05.
- ¹² FLATTE 76 parameterization, $g_{f_0 K \bar{K}}/g_{f_0 \pi \pi} = 4.21 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.21$.
- ¹³ K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$, $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$, $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.
- ¹⁴ From the negative interference with the $f_0(500)$ meson of AITALA 01B using the ACHASOV 89 parameterization for the $f_0(980)$, a Breit-Wigner for the $f_0(500)$, and ACHASOV 01F for the $\rho\pi$ contribution.
- ¹⁵ Coupled-channel Breit-Wigner, couplings $g_\pi = 0.09 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01$, $g_K = 0.02 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03$.
- ¹⁶ Supersedes ACHASOV 98i. Using the model of ACHASOV 89.
- ¹⁷ Supersedes ACHASOV 98i.
- ¹⁸ In the “narrow resonance” approximation.
- ¹⁹ Assuming $\Gamma(f_0) = 40 \text{ MeV}$.
- ²⁰ From a narrow pole fit taking into account $f_0(980)$ and $f_0(1200)$ intermediate mechanisms.
- ²¹ From the combined fit of the photon spectra in the reactions $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$.
- ²² Supersedes BARBERIS 99 and BARBERIS 99B
- ²³ T-matrix pole.
- ²⁴ From invariant mass fit.
- ²⁵ On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at $(1039-93i) \text{ MeV}$.
- ²⁶ On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at $(963-29i) \text{ MeV}$.
- ²⁷ Reanalysis of data from HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, SRINIVASAN 75, and ROSSELET 77 using the interfering amplitude method.
- ²⁸ At high $|t|$.
- ²⁹ At low $|t|$.
- ³⁰ On sheet II in a 4-pole solution, the other poles are found on sheet III at $(953-55i) \text{ MeV}$ and on sheet IV at $(938-35i) \text{ MeV}$.
- ³¹ Combined fit of ALDE 95B, ANISOVICH 94, AMSLER 94D.
- ³² On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at $(996-103i) \text{ MeV}$.
- ³³ From sheet II pole position.
- ³⁴ On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at $(797-185i) \text{ MeV}$ and can be interpreted as a shadow pole.
- ³⁵ On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at $(978-28i) \text{ MeV}$.
- ³⁶ From coupled channel analysis.
- ³⁷ Coupled channel analysis with finite width corrections.
- ³⁸ Included in AGUILAR-BENITEZ 78 fit.

NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=EC
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=UE

NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=AK
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=AS
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=NS

NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=BO
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=MO

NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=AB
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=AM
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=GR

NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=AL
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=KM

NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=KD

NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=TL
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=V9
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=V8
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=AI
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=SM
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=SL

NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=BD
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=AN
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=LC
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=X
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=LA
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=LB
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=KL

NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=CF
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=C2
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=KM
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=K
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M003M1;LINKAGE=R

$f_0(980)$ WIDTH

Width determination very model dependent. Peak width in $\pi\pi$ is about 50 MeV, but decay width can be much larger.

NODE=M003W1

NODE=M003W1

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10 to 100 OUR ESTIMATE				
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
15.3 ± 4.7	424	ABLIKIM	15P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$
9.5 ± 1.1	706	ABLIKIM	12E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi$
$91 \pm_{-22}^{+30} \pm 3$	44	¹ ECKLUND	09 CLEO	$4.17 e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^- D_s^{*+} + \text{c.c.}$
$66.9 \pm 2.2 \pm_{-12.5}^{+17.6}$		² UEHARA	08A BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
65 ± 13	262 ± 30	³ AUBERT	07AK BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

NODE=M003W1

→ UNCHECKED ←

81 ± 21	54 ± 9	3	AUBERT	07AK	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$	OCCUR=2
$51.3^{+20.8+13.2}_{-17.7-3.8}$		4	MORI	07	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	
61 ± 9 $^{+14}_{-8}$	2584	5	GARMASH	05	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	
64 ± 16		6	ANISOVICH	03	RVUE		
121 ± 23			TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC	$40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$	
~ 70		7	BRAMON	02	RVUE	$1.02 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$	
44 ± 2 ± 2	848	8	AITALA	01A	E791	$D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	
201 ± 28	419	9	ACHASOV	00H	SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$	OCCUR=2
122 ± 13	419	10,11	ACHASOV	00H	SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$	
56 ± 20		12	AKHMETSHIN	99C	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$	
65 ± 20			BARBERIS	99	OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+ K^-$	
80 ± 10			BARBERIS	99B	OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$	
80 ± 10			BARBERIS	99C	OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^0 \pi^0$	
48 ± 12 ± 8		13	BARBERIS	99D	OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^-$	
65 ± 25			BELLAZZINI	99	GAM4	$450 pp \rightarrow pp \pi^0 \pi^0$	
71 ± 14		14	KAMINSKI	99	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \sigma\sigma$	
~ 28		14	OLLER	99	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$	
~ 25			OLLER	99B	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$	
~ 14		14	OLLER	99C	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta$	
70 ± 20			ALDE	98	GAM4		
86 ± 16		14	ANISOVICH	98B	RVUE	Compilation	
54		15	LOCHER	98	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$	
69 ± 15		16	ALDE	97	GAM2	$450 pp \rightarrow pp \pi^0 \pi^0$	
38 ± 20		17	BERTIN	97C	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
~ 100		18	ISHIDA	96	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$	
34			TORNQVIST	96	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$	
48 ± 10	3k	19	ALDE	95B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$	OCCUR=2
95 ± 20	10k	20	ALDE	95B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$	
26 ± 10			AMSLER	95B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$	
~ 112		21	AMSLER	95D	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$	
80 ± 12		22	ANISOVICH	95	RVUE		
30			JANSSEN	95	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$	
74		23	BUGG	94	RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 2\pi^0$	
29 ± 2		24	KAMINSKI	94	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$	
46		25	ZOU	94B	RVUE		
48 ± 12		26	MORGAN	93	RVUE	$\pi\pi(K\bar{K}) \rightarrow \pi\pi(K\bar{K}), J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi\pi(K\bar{K}), D_s \rightarrow \pi(\pi\pi)$	
37.4 ± 10.6		16	AGUILAR-...	91	EHS	$400 pp$	
72 ± 8		27	ARMSTRONG	91	OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow pp\pi\pi, ppK\bar{K}$	
110 ± 30			BREAKSTONE	90	SFM	$pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+ \pi^-$	
29 ± 13		16	ABACHI	86B	HRS	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$	
120 ± 281 ± 20			ETKIN	82B	MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$	
28 ± 10		27	GIDAL	81	MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$	
70 to 300		28	ACHASOV	80	RVUE		
100 ± 80		29	AGUILAR-...	78	HBC	$0.7 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	
30 ± 8		27	LEEPER	77	ASPK	$2-2.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n, K^+ K^- n$	
48 ± 14		27	BINNIE	73	CNTR	$\pi^- p \rightarrow nMM$	
32 ± 10		30	GRAYER	73	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$	
30 ± 10		30	HYAMS	73	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$	
54 ± 16		30	PROTOPOP...	73	HBC	$7 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \pi^+ \pi^-$	

- ¹ Using a relativistic Breit-Wigner function and taking into account the finite D_S mass.
- ² Breit-Wigner $\pi\pi$ width. Using finite width corrections according to FLATTE 76 and ACHASOV 05, and the ratio $g_{f_0}^0 K K / g_{f_0}^0 \pi\pi = 0$.
- ³ Systematic errors not estimated.
- ⁴ Breit-Wigner $\pi\pi$ width. Using finite width corrections according to FLATTE 76 and ACHASOV 05, and the ratio $g_{f_0}^0 K K / g_{f_0}^0 \pi\pi = 4.21 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.21$ from ABLIKIM 05.
- ⁵ Breit-Wigner, solution 1, PWA ambiguous.
- ⁶ K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$, $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$, $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.
- ⁷ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 99C, ACHASOV 00H, and ALOISIO 02D.
- ⁸ Breit-Wigner width.
- ⁹ Supersedes ACHASOV 98I. Using the model of ACHASOV 89.
- ¹⁰ Supersedes ACHASOV 98I.
- ¹¹ In the “narrow resonance” approximation.
- ¹² From the combined fit of the photon spectra in the reactions $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$.
- ¹³ Supersedes BARBERIS 99 and BARBERIS 99B.
- ¹⁴ T-matrix pole.
- ¹⁵ On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at $(1039-93i)$ MeV.
- ¹⁶ From invariant mass fit.
- ¹⁷ On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at $(963-29i)$ MeV.
- ¹⁸ Reanalysis of data from HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, SRINIVASAN 75, and ROSSELET 77 using the interfering amplitude method.
- ¹⁹ At high $|t|$.
- ²⁰ At low $|t|$.
- ²¹ On sheet II in a 4-pole solution, the other poles are found on sheet III at $(953-55i)$ MeV and on sheet IV at $(938-35i)$ MeV.
- ²² Combined fit of ALDE 95B, ANISOVICH 94,
- ²³ On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at $(996-103i)$ MeV.
- ²⁴ From sheet II pole position.
- ²⁵ On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at $(797-185i)$ MeV and can be interpreted as a shadow pole.
- ²⁶ On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at $(978-28i)$ MeV.
- ²⁷ From coupled channel analysis.
- ²⁸ Coupled channel analysis with finite width corrections.
- ²⁹ From coupled channel fit to the HYAMS 73 and PROTOPOESCU 73 data. With a simultaneous fit to the $\pi\pi$ phase-shifts, inelasticity and to the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ invariant mass.
- ³⁰ Included in AGUILAR-BENITEZ 78 fit.

NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=EC
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=UE

NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=NS
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=MO

NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=GA
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=KM

NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=BR
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=TL
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=V9
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=V8
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=AI
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=SL

NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=BD
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=AN
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=LO
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=X
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=LA
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=LB
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=KL

NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=CF
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=C2
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=KM
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=K
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M003W1;LINKAGE=R

NODE=M003215;NODE=M003

DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=4

NODE=M003220

NODE=M003W4
 NODE=M003W4

$f_0(980)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad \gamma\gamma$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \quad e^+ e^-$	

$f_0(980)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$					Γ_3
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.29 $^{+0.11}_{-0.06}$ OUR AVERAGE					
$0.286 \pm 0.017^{+0.211}_{-0.070}$	¹ UEHARA	08A	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	
$0.205^{+0.095+0.147}_{-0.083-0.117}$	² MORI	07	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	
$0.42 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.18$	³ OEST	90	JADE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.32 ± 0.05	⁴ DAI	14A	RVUE	Compilation
0.16 ± 0.01	⁵ MENNESSIER	11	RVUE	
0.29 ± 0.21 ^{+0.02} _{-0.07}	⁶ MOUSSALLAM	11	RVUE	Compilation
0.42	^{7,8} PENNINGTON	08	RVUE	Compilation
0.10	^{8,9} PENNINGTON	08	RVUE	Compilation
0.28 ^{+0.09} _{-0.13}	¹⁰ BOGLIONE	99	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^0\pi^0$
0.29 ± 0.07 ± 0.12	^{11,12} BOYER	90	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
0.31 ± 0.14 ± 0.09	^{11,12} MARSISKE	90	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
0.63 ± 0.14	¹³ MORGAN	90	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^0\pi^0$

OCCUR=2

¹ Using finite width corrections according to FLATTE 76 and ACHASOV 05, and the ratio $g_{f_0 K K} / g_{f_0 \pi \pi} = 0$.

NODE=M003W4;LINKAGE=UE

² Using finite width corrections according to FLATTE 76 and ACHASOV 05, and the ratio $g_{f_0 K K} / g_{f_0 \pi \pi} = 4.21 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.21$ from ABLIKIM 05.

NODE=M003W4;LINKAGE=MO

³ OEST 90 quote systematic errors ^{+0.08}_{-0.18}. We use ± 0.18. Observed 60 events.

NODE=M003W4;LINKAGE=H

⁴ Using dispersive analysis with phases from GARCIA-MARTIN 11A and BUETTIKER 04 as input.

NODE=M003W4;LINKAGE=D

⁵ Uses an analytic K-matrix model. Compilation.

NODE=M003W4;LINKAGE=ME

⁶ Using dispersion integral with phase input from Roy equations and data from MARSISKE 90, BOYER 90, BEHREND 92, UEHARA 08A, and MORI 07.

NODE=M003W4;LINKAGE=MU

⁷ Solution A (preferred solution based on χ^2 -analysis).

NODE=M003W4;LINKAGE=P1

⁸ Dispersion theory based amplitude analysis of BOYER 90, MARSISKE 90, BEHREND 92, and MORI 07.

NODE=M003W4;LINKAGE=P3

⁹ Solution B (worse than solution A; still acceptable when systematic uncertainties are included).

NODE=M003W4;LINKAGE=P2

¹⁰ Supersedes MORGAN 90.

NODE=M003W4;LINKAGE=BL

¹¹ From analysis allowing arbitrary background unconstrained by unitarity.

NODE=M003W4;LINKAGE=B

¹² Data included in MORGAN 90, BOGLIONE 99 analyses.

NODE=M003W4;LINKAGE=C

¹³ From amplitude analysis of BOYER 90 and MARSISKE 90, data corresponds to resonance parameters $m = 989$ MeV, $\Gamma = 61$ MeV.

NODE=M003W4;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$

Γ_4

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8.4	90	VOROBYEV	88	ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$

NODE=M003W3
NODE=M003W3

$f_0(980)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M003225

$\Gamma(\pi\pi) / [\Gamma(\pi\pi) + \Gamma(K\bar{K})]$

$\Gamma_1 / (\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M003R1
NODE=M003R1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.52 ± 0.12	9.9k	¹ AUBERT	060	BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.75 ^{+0.11} _{-0.13}		² ABLIKIM	05Q	BES2	$\chi_{c0} \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^- K^+ K^-$
0.84 ± 0.02		³ ANISOVICH	02D	SPEC	Combined fit
~ 0.68		OLLER	99B	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
0.67 ± 0.09		⁴ LOVERRE	80	HBC	$4 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$
0.81 ^{+0.09} _{-0.04}		⁴ CASON	78	STRC	$7 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$
0.78 ± 0.03		⁴ WETZEL	76	OSPK	$8.9 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$

¹ Recalculated by us using $\Gamma(K^+ K^-) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.69 \pm 0.32$ from AUBERT 060 and isospin relations.

NODE=M003R1;LINKAGE=AU

² Using data from ABLIKIM 04G.

NODE=M003R1;LINKAGE=AB

³ From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel ($p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0\pi^0\eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n, \eta\eta n, \eta\eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \rightarrow K\bar{K} n$) data.

NODE=M003R;LINKAGE=CH

⁴ Measure $\pi\pi$ elasticity assuming two resonances coupled to the $\pi\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ channels only.

NODE=M003R1;LINKAGE=B

$\eta(980)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M003

HOFRICHT...	24	PL B853 138698	M. Hoferichter <i>et al.</i>	(BERN, MADU, BONN+)	REFID=63010
DANILKIN	21	PR D103 114023	I. Danilkin, O. Deineka, M. Vanderhaeghen	(MAINZ)	REFID=62088
SARANTSEV	21	PL B816 136227	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)	REFID=61091
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=60439
AAIJ	19H	JHEP 1904 063	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=59670
AOUDE	18	PR D98 056021	R.T. Aoude <i>et al.</i>		REFID=59987
HOFRICHT...	16	PRPL 625 1	M. Hoferichter <i>et al.</i>	(WASH, BONN)	REFID=63224
ABLIKIM	15P	PR D92 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56781
DAI	14A	PR D90 036004	L.-Y. Dai, M.R. Pennington	(CEBAF)	REFID=55923
ABLIKIM	12E	PRL 108 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54270
GARCIA-MAR...	11	PRL 107 072001	R. Garcia-Martin <i>et al.</i>	(MADR, CRAC)	REFID=16761
GARCIA-MAR...	11A	PR D83 074004	R. Garcia-Martin <i>et al.</i>	(MADR, CRAC)	REFID=54121
MENNESSIER	11	PL B696 40	G. Mennessier, S. Narison, X.-G. Wang		REFID=53637
MOUSSALLAM	11	EPJ C71 1814	B. Moussallam		REFID=53975
BATLEY	10C	EPJ C70 635	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48/2 Collab.)	REFID=53567
MENNESSIER	10	PL B688 59	G. Mennessier, S. Narison, X.-G. Wang		REFID=53657
ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)	REFID=52719
ECKLUND	09	PR D80 052009	K.M. Ecklund <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53041
BATLEY	08A	EPJ C54 411	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48/2 Collab.)	REFID=52487
PENNINGTON	08	EPJ C56 1	M.R. Pennington <i>et al.</i>		REFID=52303
UEHARA	08A	PR D78 052004	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52309
AMBROSINO	07	EPJ C49 473	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=51616
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51908
BONVICINI	07	PR D76 012001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51721
MORI	07	PR D75 051101	T. Mori <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51652
AMBROSINO	06B	PL B634 148	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=51043
AUBERT	06O	PR D74 032003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51141
GARMASH	06	PRL 96 251803	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51162
ABLIKIM	05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50450
ABLIKIM	05Q	PR D72 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50958
ACHASOV	05	PR D72 013006	N.N. Achasov, G.N. Shestakov		REFID=50762
GARMASH	05	PR D71 092003	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50641
ABLIKIM	04G	PR D70 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50187
BUETTIKER	04	EPJ C33 409	P. Buettiker, S. Descotes-Genon, B. Moussallam		REFID=56428;ERROR=6
PELAEZ	04A	MPL A19 2879	J.R. Pelaez	(MADU)	REFID=50347
ANISOVICH	03	EPJ A16 229	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49401
TIKHOMIROV	03	PAN 66 828	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49423
		Translated from YAF 66 860.			
ALOISIO	02D	PL B537 21	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=48824
ANISOVICH	02D	PAN 65 1545	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48831
		Translated from YAF 65 1583.			
BRAMON	02	EPJ C26 253	A. Bramon <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49178
ACHASOV	01F	PR D63 094007	N.N. Achasov, V.V. Gubin	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=48312
AITALA	01A	PRL 86 765	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=48004
AITALA	01B	PRL 86 770	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=48005
ACHASOV	00H	PL B485 349	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47930
AKHMETSHIN	99B	PL B462 371	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=47392
AKHMETSHIN	99C	PL B462 380	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=47393
BARBERIS	99	PL B453 305	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=46921
BARBERIS	99B	PL B453 316	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=46922
BARBERIS	99C	PL B453 325	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=46923
BARBERIS	99D	PL B462 462	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=47395
BELLAZZINI	99	PL B467 296	R. Bellazzini <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47400
BOGLIONE	99	EPJ C9 11	M. Boglione, M.R. Pennington		REFID=46931
KAMINSKI	99	EPJ C9 141	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau	(CRAC, PARIN)	REFID=46927
OLLER	99	PR D60 099906 (errat.)	J.A. Oller <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46899
OLLER	99B	NP A652 407 (errat.)	J.A. Oller, E. Oset		REFID=46924
OLLER	99C	PR D60 074023	J.A. Oller, E. Oset		REFID=47386
ACHASOV	98I	PL B440 442	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46600
ACKERSTAFF	98Q	EPJ C4 19	K. Akerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)	REFID=46145
ALDE	98	EPJ A3 361	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM4 Collab.)	REFID=46605
Also		PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)	REFID=46914
		Translated from YAF 62 446.			
ANISOVICH	98B	SPU 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46331
		Translated from UFN 168 481.			
LOCHER	98	EPJ C4 317	M.P. Locher <i>et al.</i>	(PSI)	REFID=46372
ALDE	97	PL B397 350	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)	REFID=45392
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=45701
ISHIDA	96	PTP 95 745	S. Ishida <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, MIYA, KEK)	REFID=45770
TORNQVIST	96	PRL 76 1575	N.A. Tornqvist, M. Roos	(HELS)	REFID=44507
ALDE	95B	ZPHY C66 375	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)	REFID=44375
AMSLER	95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44377
AMSLER	95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44441
ANISOVICH	95	PL B355 363	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI, SERP)	REFID=44442
JANSEN	95	PR D52 2690	G. Janssen <i>et al.</i>	(STON, ADLD, JULI)	REFID=44508
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44093
ANISOVICH	94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=43659
BUGG	94	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)	REFID=44078
KAMINSKI	94	PR D50 3145	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, J.P. Maillet	(CRAC+)	REFID=45771
ZOU	94B	PR D50 591	B.S. Zou, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)	REFID=44072
MORGAN	93	PR D48 1185	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)	REFID=43614
BEHREND	92	ZPHY C56 381	H.J. Behrend	(CELLO Collab.)	REFID=43172
AGUILAR-...	91	ZPHY C50 405	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(LEBC-EHS Collab.)	REFID=41637
ARMSTRONG	91	ZPHY C51 351	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=41744
BOYER	90	PR D42 1350	J. Boyer <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)	REFID=41362
BREAKSTONE	90	ZPHY C48 569	A.M. Breakstone <i>et al.</i>	(ISU, BGNA, CERN+)	REFID=41376
MARSISKE	90	PR D41 3324	H. Marsiske <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=41351
MORGAN	90	ZPHY C48 623	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)	REFID=41583
OEST	90	ZPHY C47 343	T. Oest <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)	REFID=41358
ACHASOV	89	NP B315 465	N.N. Achasov, V.N. Ivanchenko		REFID=48021
AUGUSTIN	89	NP B320 1	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41004
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41023
		Translated from YAF 48 436.			

ABACHI	86B	PRL 57 1990	S. Abachi <i>et al.</i>	(PURD, ANL, IND, MICH+)
LONGACRE	86	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)
BINON	84C	NC 80A 363	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP+)
BINON	83	NC 78A 313	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP+)
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
GIDAL	81	PL 107B 153	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)
ACHASOV	80	SJNP 32 566	N.N. Achasov, S.A. Devyanin, G.N. Shestakov	(NOVM)
		Translated from YAF 32 1098.		
COHEN	80	PR D22 2595	D. Cohen <i>et al.</i>	(ANL) IJP
LOVERRE	80	ZPHY C6 187	P.F. Loverre <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, MADR+) IJP
AGUILAR-...	78	NP B140 73	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(MADR, BOMB+)
CASON	78	PRL 41 271	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
LEEPER	77	PR D16 2054	R.J. Leeper <i>et al.</i>	(ISU)
ROSSELET	77	PR D15 574	L. Rosselet <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, SACL)
FLATTE	76	PL 63B 224	S.M. Flatte	(CERN)
WETZEL	76	NP B115 208	W. Wetzel <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, CERN, LOIC)
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
SRINIVASAN	75	PR D12 681	V. Srinivasan <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
ESTABROOKS	74	NP B79 301	P.G. Estabrooks, A.D. Martin	(DURH)
GRAYR	74	NP B75 189	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
BINNIE	73	PRL 31 1534	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)
GRAYR	73	Tallahassee	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
PROTOPOP...	73	PR D7 1279	S.D. Protopopescu <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)

REFID=20394
REFID=20768
REFID=21418
REFID=20750
REFID=20390
REFID=20386
REFID=20458

REFID=20381
REFID=20382
REFID=20368
REFID=20370
REFID=20365
REFID=11004
REFID=20446
REFID=20362
REFID=20355
REFID=21062
REFID=20111
REFID=20113
REFID=20343
REFID=20347
REFID=20107
REFID=20108

NODE=M036

$a_0(980)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{++})$$

See the related review(s):
Scalar Mesons below 1 GeV

$a_0(980)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(970–1020) – i (30–70) OUR ESTIMATE (see Fig. 64.2 in the review)			
(1002.4 $\pm$ 1.4 $\pm$ 6.6) – i (63.5 $\pm$ 2.9)	¹ ALBRECHT	20	CBAR $0.9 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta,$ $\pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$
(1000.7 $^{+12.9}_{-0.7}$) – i (36.6 $^{+12.7}_{-2.6}$)	² LU	20	RVUE $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta, K_S^0 K_S^0$
(989 $\pm$ 5) – i (40 $\pm$ 5)	³ BUGG	08A	RVUE $\bar{p} p$ annihilation data
(1117 $^{+24}_{-320}$) – i (12 $^{+43}_{-12}$)	⁴ PELAEZ	04A	RVUE $\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, \pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
(982 $\pm$ 3) – i (46 $\pm$ 4)	⁵ ABELE	98	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K_L^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ Pole mass on sheet closest to the physical axis - the more remote pole is extracted at (1004.1 $\pm$ 1.5 $\pm$ 6.5) – i (48.6 $\pm$ 1.2 $\pm$ 3.4) MeV.

² T-matrix pole on sheet II.

³ T-matrix pole on sheet II. Parameterizes couplings to $\bar{K} K$, $\pi \eta$, and $\pi \eta'$. Uses AM-SLER 94D and ABELE 98.

⁴ Reanalysis of data from LINGLIN 73, ESTABROOKS 78, and ASTON 88 in the unitarized ChPT model.

⁵ T-matrix pole on sheet II; the pole on sheet III is at (1006 – i 49) MeV.

NODE=M036PP

NODE=M036PP

NODE=M036PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M036PP;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M036PP;LINKAGE=LU

NODE=M036PP;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M036PP;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M036PP;LINKAGE=D

$a_0(980)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
980 $\pm$ 20 OUR ESTIMATE	Mass determination very model dependent

NODE=M036205

NODE=M036MX

→ UNCHECKED ←

$\eta \pi$ FINAL STATE ONLY

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
982.5 $\pm$ 1.6 $\pm$ 1.1	16.9k	¹ AMBROSINO	09F	KLOE	1.02 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \gamma$
986 $\pm$ 4		ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	0.0 $\bar{p} p, \pi N$
982.3 $^{+0.6}_{-0.7}$ $^{+3.1}_{-4.7}$		² UEHARA	09A	BELL	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta$
985 $\pm$ 4 $\pm$ 6	318	ACHARD	02B	L3	183–209 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ $e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
995 $^{+52}_{-10}$	36	³ ACHASOV	00F	SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \gamma$
994 $^{+33}_{-8}$	36	⁴ ACHASOV	00F	SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \gamma$
975 $\pm$ 7		BARBERIS	00H		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^0 p_s$

NODE=M036M1

NODE=M036M1

OCCUR=2

988 ± 8		BARBERIS	00H	450 $pp \rightarrow \Delta_f^{++} \eta \pi^- p_s$	OCCUR=2
~ 1055		⁵ OLLER	99 RVUE	$\eta \pi, K \bar{K}$	
~ 1009.2		⁵ OLLER	99B RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \bar{K}$	
993.1 ± 2.1		⁶ TEIGE	99 B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$	
988 ± 6		⁵ ANISOVICH	98B RVUE	Compilation	
987		TORNQVIST	96 RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \bar{K}, K \pi,$	
				$\eta \pi$	
991		JANSSEN	95 RVUE	$\eta \pi \rightarrow \eta \pi, K \bar{K}, K \pi,$	
				$\eta \pi$	
984.45 ± 1.23 ± 0.34		AMSLER	94C CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \omega \eta \pi^0$	
982 ± 2		⁷ AMSLER	92 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$	
984 ± 4	1040	⁷ ARMSTRONG	91B OMEG ±	300 $pp \rightarrow p p \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$	
976 ± 6		ATKINSON	84E OMEG ±	25–55 $\gamma p \rightarrow \eta \pi n$	
986 ± 3	500	⁸ EVANGELIS...	81 OMEG ±	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$	
990 ± 7	145	⁸ GURTU	79 HBC ±	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \eta 2\pi$	
980 ± 11	47	CONFORTO	78 OSPK –	4.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p X^-$	
978 ± 16	50	CORDEN	78 OMEG ±	12–15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta 2\pi$	
977 ± 7		GRASSLER	77 HBC –	16 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p \eta 3\pi$	
989 ± 4	70	WELLS	75 HBC –	3.1–6 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \eta 2\pi$	OCCUR=2
972 ± 10	150	DEFOIX	72 HBC ±	0.7 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 7\pi$	
970 ± 15	20	BARNES	69C HBC –	4–5 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \eta 2\pi$	
980 ± 10		CAMPBELL	69 DBC ±	2.7 $\pi^+ d$	
980 ± 10	15	MILLER	69B HBC –	4.5 $K^- N \rightarrow \eta \pi \Lambda$	
980 ± 10	30	AMMAR	68 HBC ±	5.5 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \eta 2\pi$	

¹ Using the model of ACHASOV 89 and ACHASOV 03B.

² From a fit with the S-wave amplitude including two interfering Breit-Wigners plus a background term.

³ Using the model of ACHASOV 89. Supersedes ACHASOV 98B.

⁴ Using the model of JAFFE 77. Supersedes ACHASOV 98B.

⁵ T-matrix pole.

⁶ Breit-Wigner fit, average between $a_0^\pm$ and a_0^0 . The fit favors a slightly heavier $a_0^\pm$.

⁷ From a single Breit-Wigner fit.

⁸ From $f_1(1285)$ decay.

NODE=M036M1;LINKAGE=AM
NODE=M036M1;LINKAGE=UE

NODE=M036M1;LINKAGE=V1
NODE=M036M1;LINKAGE=M2
NODE=M036M1;LINKAGE=AN
NODE=M036M1;LINKAGE=BF
NODE=M036M1;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M036M1;LINKAGE=R

NODE=M036M2
NODE=M036M2

$K \bar{K}$ ONLY

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
947.7 ⁺ _{–5.0} ± 6.6		¹ AAIJ	19H LHCB	$pp \rightarrow D^\pm X$
925 ± 5 ± 8	190k	² AAIJ	16N LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
~ 1053		³ OLLER	99C RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \bar{K}$
975 ± 15		BERTIN	98B OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
970 ± 10	316	DEBILLY	80 HBC	1.2–2 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow f_1(1285) \omega$
1016 ± 10	100	⁴ ASTIER	67 HBC	0.0 $\bar{p} p$
1003.3 ± 7.0	143	^{5,6} ROSENFELD	65 RVUE	

¹ From the $D^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm K^+ K^-$ Dalitz plot fit with the Triple-M amplitude in the multi-meson model of AOUDE 18.

² Using a two-channel resonance parametrization with couplings fixed to ABELE 98.

³ T-matrix pole.

⁴ ASTIER 67 includes data of BARLOW 67, CONFORTO 67, ARMENTEROS 65.

⁵ Note on J^P . Main argument for 0^+ is small Q value. Isotropy of decay distribution in $\bar{p} p$ at rest proves nothing. See discussion by Rosenfeld (Oxford) and Butterworth (Heidelberg).

⁶ Plus systematic errors.

NODE=M036M2;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M036M2;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M036M2;LINKAGE=AN
NODE=M036M2;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M036M2;LINKAGE=01

NODE=M036M2;LINKAGE=S

$a_0(980)$ WIDTH

NODE=M036210

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
50 to 100 OUR ESTIMATE Width determination very model dependent. Peak width in $\eta \pi$ is about 60 MeV, but decay width can be much larger.					

NODE=M036W1

→ UNCHECKED ←

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

75.6 ± 1.6	^{+17.4} _{-10.0}		¹ UEHARA	09A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0\eta$
50 ± 13	± 4	318	ACHARD	02B	L3	$183-209 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
72 ± 16			BARBERIS	00H		$450 p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f\eta\pi^0 p_s$
61 ± 19			BARBERIS	00H		$450 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \Delta_f^{++}\eta\pi^- p_s$
~ 42			² OLLER	99	RVUE	$\eta\pi, K\bar{K}$
~ 112			² OLLER	99B	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \eta\pi, K\bar{K}$
71 ± 7			TEIGE	99	B852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$
92 ± 20			² ANISOVICH	98B	RVUE	Compilation
65 ± 10			³ BERTIN	98B	OBLX ±	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\pm K_s^\mp \pi^\mp$
~ 100			TORNQVIST	96	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
202			JANSSEN	95	RVUE	$\eta\pi \rightarrow \eta\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
54.12 ± 0.34 ± 0.12			AMSLER	94C	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\eta\pi^0$
54 ± 10			⁴ AMSLER	92	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
95 ± 14		1040	⁴ ARMSTRONG	91B	OMEG ±	$300 p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
62 ± 15		500	⁵ EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG ±	$12 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$
60 ± 20		145	⁵ GURTU	79	HBC ±	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta 2\pi$
60 ⁺⁵⁰ ₋₃₀		47	CONFORTO	78	OSPK -	$4.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow pX^-$
86.0 ^{+60.0} _{-50.0}		50	CORDEN	78	OMEG ±	$12-15 \pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta 2\pi$
44 ± 22			GRASSLER	77	HBC -	$16 \pi^\mp p \rightarrow p\eta 3\pi$
80 to 300			⁶ FLATTE	76	RVUE -	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta 2\pi$
16.0 ^{+25.0} _{-16.0}		70	⁷ WELLS	75	HBC -	$3.1-6 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta 2\pi$
30 ± 5		150	⁸ DEFOIX	72	HBC ±	$0.7 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 7\pi$
40 ± 15			CAMPBELL	69	DBC ±	$2.7 \pi^+ d$
60 ± 30		15	MILLER	69B	HBC -	$4.5 K^- N \rightarrow \eta\pi\Lambda$
80 ± 30		30	AMMAR	68	HBC ±	$5.5 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta 2\pi$

¹ From a fit with the S-wave amplitude including two interfering Breit-Wigners plus a background term.

² T-matrix pole.

³ The $\eta\pi$ width.

⁴ From a single Breit-Wigner fit.

⁵ From $f_1(1285)$ decay.

⁶ Using a two-channel resonance parametrization of GAY 76B data.

⁷ Weak evidence only for $a_0(980)^+$ production.

⁸ This number has very little meaning. Error is much too small. Vlada

NODE=M036W1;LINKAGE=UE

NODE=M036W1;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M036W1;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M036W1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M036W1;LINKAGE=R

NODE=M036W1;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M036W1;LINKAGE=W

NODE=M036W1;LINKAGE=01

NODE=M036W2

NODE=M036W2

$K\bar{K}$ ONLY

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

~ 48		¹ OLLER	99C	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
~ 25	100	² ASTIER	67	HBC ±	
57 ± 13	143	³ ROSENFELD	65	RVUE ±	

¹ T-matrix pole.

² ASTIER 67 includes data of BARLOW 67, CONFORTO 67, ARMENTEROS 65.

³ Plus systematic errors.

NODE=M036W2;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M036W2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M036W2;LINKAGE=S

$a_0(980)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \eta\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \eta'\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \rho\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_5 \gamma\gamma$	seen
$\Gamma_6 e^+e^-$	

NODE=M036215;NODE=M036

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=8

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=6

$a_0(980)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M036217

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ **Γ_5**

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.30 ± 0.10	¹ AMSLER	98	RVUE

¹ Using $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} B(a_0(980) \rightarrow \eta\pi) = 0.24 \pm 0.08$ keV.NODE=M036W4
NODE=M036W4

NODE=M036W4;LINKAGE=A

 $a_0(980) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M036220

 $\Gamma(\eta\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_1 \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$**

VALUE (keV)	EVS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.21 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.08 \\ -0.04 \end{smallmatrix}$ OUR AVERAGE

$0.128^{+0.003+0.502}_{-0.002-0.043}$		¹ UEHARA	09A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta$
$0.28 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.10$	44	OEST	90	JADE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \eta$
$0.19 \pm 0.07 \begin{smallmatrix} +0.10 \\ -0.07 \end{smallmatrix}$		ANTREASYAN	86	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \eta$

¹ From a fit with the S-wave amplitude including two interfering Breit-Wigners plus a background term.NODE=M036G1
NODE=M036G1

NODE=M036G1;LINKAGE=UE

 $\Gamma(\eta\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_1 \Gamma_6 / \Gamma$**

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<1.5	90	VOROBYEV	88	ND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta$

NODE=M036G2
NODE=M036G2 **$a_0(980)$ BRANCHING RATIOS**

NODE=M036225

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) / \Gamma(\eta\pi)$ **Γ_2 / Γ_1**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

0.172 $\pm$ 0.019 OUR AVERAGE

$0.137 \pm 0.036 \pm 0.042$	¹ ABLIKIM	22AH	BES3	$D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^0$
0.23 ± 0.05	² ABELE	98	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K_L^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
$0.166 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.02$	³ BARBERIS	98C	OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p_f f_1(1285) p_s$
$0.138 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.035$	⁴ ALBRECHT	20	CBAR	$0.9 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$
1.20 ± 0.15	⁵ ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	$0.0 \bar{p} p, \pi N$
$1.05 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.05$	⁶ BUGG	08A	RVUE	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
0.57 ± 0.16	⁷ BARGIOTTI	03	OBLX	$\bar{p} p$
~ 0.60	OLLER	99B	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \eta\pi, K\bar{K}$
0.7 ± 0.3	³ CORDEN	78	OMEG	$12-15 \pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta 2\pi$
0.25 ± 0.08	³ DEFOIX	72	HBC	$\pm 0.7 \bar{p} \rightarrow 7\pi$

¹ Using $D_s^+ \rightarrow a_0(980)^+ \pi^0$ from ABLIKIM 19BE.² Using $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ from AMSLER 94D.³ From the decay of $f_1(1285)$.⁴ Residues from T-matrix pole with 2 poles, 2 channels. Solution on adjacent sheet $0.149 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.039$.⁵ This is a ratio of couplings.⁶ A ratio of couplings, using AMSLER 94D and ABELE 98. Supersedes BUGG 94.⁷ Coupled channel analysis of $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, and $K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$.NODE=M036R2
NODE=M036R2NODE=M036R2;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M036R2;LINKAGE=Q
NODE=M036R2;LINKAGE=L
NODE=M036R2;LINKAGE=ANODE=M036R2;LINKAGE=AN
NODE=M036R2;LINKAGE=BU
NODE=M036R;LINKAGE=BG **$\Gamma(\eta' \pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_3 / \Gamma$**

VALUE	EVS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	116k	¹ CHEN	20A	BELL	$D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \eta$

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \eta$ decay in a three-channel Flatte model with a 10.1σ significance. Earlier observed by ABLIKIM 17K in the $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay with a 8.9σ significance.NODE=M036R00
NODE=M036R00

NODE=M036R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\rho\pi) / \Gamma(\eta\pi)$ **Γ_4 / Γ_1**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.25	70	¹ AMMAR	70	HBC	$\pm 4.1, 5.5 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \eta 2\pi$

¹ Not clear if they really observed the $a_0(980)$ 3 standard deviations.NODE=M036R1
NODE=M036R1
NODE=M036R1

NODE=M036R1;LINKAGE=01

a₀(980) REFERENCES

NODE=M036

ABLIKIM	22AH	PRL 129 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61880
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=60439
CHEN	20A	PR D102 012002	Y.Q. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=60333
LU	20	EPJ C80 436	J. Lu, B. Moussallam		REFID=60436
AAIJ	19H	JHEP 1904 063	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=59670
ABLIKIM	19BE	PRL 123 112001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60055
AOUDE	18	PR D98 056021	R.T. Aoude <i>et al.</i>		REFID=59987
ABLIKIM	17K	PR D95 032002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57953
AAIJ	16N	PR D93 052018	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57273
AMBROSINO	09F	PL B681 5	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=53105
ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)	REFID=52719
UEHARA	09A	PR D80 032001	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53002
BUGG	08A	PR D78 074023	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)	REFID=52578
PELAEZ	04A	MPL A19 2879	J.R. Pelaez	(MADU)	REFID=50347
ACHASOV	03B	PR D68 014006	N.N. Achasov, A.V. Kiselev		REFID=49476
BARGIOTTI	03	EPJ C26 371	M. Bargiotti <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=49217
ACHARD	02B	PL B526 269	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=48574
ACHASOV	00F	PL B479 53	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47928
BARBERIS	00H	PL B488 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47964
OLLER	99	PR D60 099906 (errat.)	J.A. Oller <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46899
OLLER	99B	NP A652 407 (errat.)	J.A. Oller, E. Oset		REFID=46924
OLLER	99C	PR D60 074023	J.A. Oller, E. Oset		REFID=47386
TEIGE	99	PR D59 012001	S. Teige <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)	REFID=46613
ABELE	98	PR D57 3860	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=45863
ACHASOV	98B	PL B438 441	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=46317
AMSLER	98	RMP 70 1293	C. Amsler		REFID=46601
ANISOVICH	98B	SPU 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46331
BARBERIS	98C	Translated from UFN 168 481.	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=46346
BERTIN	98B	PL B440 225	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=46351
TORNQVIST	96	PL B434 180	N.A. Tornqvist, M. Roos	(HELS)	REFID=44507
JANSSSEN	95	PRL 76 1575	G. Janssen <i>et al.</i>	(STON, ADLD, JULI)	REFID=44508
AMSLER	94C	PR D52 2690	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44091
AMSLER	94D	PL B327 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44093
BUGG	94	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44078
AMSLER	92	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)	REFID=43169
AMSLER	92	PL B291 347	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=41862
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=41358
OEST	90	ZPHY C47 343	T. Oest <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)	REFID=48021
ACHASOV	89	NP B315 465	N.N. Achasov, V.N. Ivanchenko		REFID=40262
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)	REFID=41023
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	
ANTREASYAN	86	Translated from YAF 48 436.	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=20469
ATKINSON	84E	PR D33 1847	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)	REFID=20624
EVANGELIS...	81	PL 138B 459	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)	REFID=20462
DEBILLY	80	NP B178 197	L. de Billy <i>et al.</i>	(CURIN, LAUS, NEUC+)	REFID=20461
GURTU	79	NP B176 1	A. Gurtu <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, ZEEM, NIJM, OXF)	REFID=20456
CONFORTO	78	NP B151 181	B. Conforto <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, TNT0, CHIC+)	REFID=20451
CORDEN	78	LNC 23 419	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+)	REFID=20452
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B144 253	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)	REFID=22443
GRASSLER	77	NP B133 490	H. Grassler <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, BONN+)	REFID=20447
JAFFE	77	NP B121 189	R. Jaffe	(MIT)	REFID=43673
FLATTE	76	PR D15 267,281	S.M. Flatte	(CERN)	REFID=20446
GAY	76B	PL 63B 224	J.B. Gay <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, AMST, NIJM) JP	REFID=20445
WELLS	75	PL 63B 220	J. Wells <i>et al.</i>	(OXF)	REFID=20444
LINGLIN	73	NP B101 333	D. Linglin	(CERN)	REFID=22428
DEFOIX	72	NP B55 408	C. Defoix <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN)	REFID=20435
AMMAR	70	NP B44 125	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i>	(KANS, NWES, ANL, WISC)	REFID=20428
BARNES	69C	PR D2 430	V.E. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA)	REFID=20418
CAMPBELL	69	PRL 23 610	J.H. Campbell <i>et al.</i>	(PURD)	REFID=20419
MILLER	69B	PRL 22 1204	D.H. Miller <i>et al.</i>	(PURD)	REFID=20424
Also		PL 29B 255	W.L. Yen <i>et al.</i>	(PURD)	REFID=20425
AMMAR	68	PR 188 2011	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i>	(NWES, ANL)	REFID=20412
ASTIER	67	PRL 21 1832	A. Astier <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN, IRAD)	REFID=20405
Includes data of		BARLOW 67, CONFORTO 67, and ARMENTEROS 65.			
BARLOW	67	PL 25B 294	J. Barlow <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, IRAD, LIPV)	REFID=20041
CONFORTO	67	NC 50A 701	G. Conforto <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, IPNP+)	REFID=20411
ARMENTEROS	65	NP B3 469	R. Armenteros <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)	REFID=20396
ROSENFELD	65	PL 17 344	A.H. Rosenfeld	(LRL)	REFID=20399
		Oxford Conf. 58			

NODE=M004

 $\phi(1020)$

$$J^{PC} = 0^-(1^{--})$$

 $\phi(1020)$ MASS

NODE=M004M

NODE=M004M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1019.460 ±0.016 OUR AVERAGE				
1019.444 ±0.013 ±0.060		DRUZHININ 25	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
1019.463 ±0.061 2.3M		¹ KOZYREV 18	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_L^0$
1019.462 ±0.042 ±0.056 28k		² LEES 14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
1019.51 ±0.02 ±0.05		³ LEES 13Q	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \gamma$
1019.30 ±0.02 ±0.10 105k		AKHMETSHIN 06	CMD2	$0.98-1.06 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1019.52 ±0.05 ±0.05 17.4k		AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	$0.60-1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \gamma$
1019.483 ±0.011 ±0.025 272k		⁴ AKHMETSHIN 04	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
1019.42 ±0.05 1900k		⁵ ACHASOV 01E	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_L^0, \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1019.40 ±0.04 ±0.05 23k		AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \gamma$
1019.36 ±0.12		⁶ ACHASOV 00B	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \gamma$
1019.38 ±0.07 ±0.08 2200		⁷ AKHMETSHIN 99F	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \geq 2\gamma$
1019.51 ±0.07 ±0.10 11169		AKHMETSHIN 98	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1019.5 ±0.4		BARBERIS 98	OMEG 450	$pp \rightarrow pp2K^+ 2K^-$
1019.42 ±0.06 55600		AKHMETSHIN 95	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1019.7 ±0.3 2012		DAVENPORT 86	MPSF	$400 pA \rightarrow 4K X$
1019.7 ±0.1 ±0.1 5079		ALBRECHT 85D	ARG	$10 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
1019.3 ±0.1 1500		ARENTON 82	AEMS	$11.8 \text{ polar. } pp \rightarrow K K$
1019.67 ±0.17 25080		⁸ PELLINEN 82	RVUE	
1019.52 ±0.13 3681		BUKIN 78C	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1019.443 ±0.010 ±0.060 1.28M		^{9,10} ACHASOV 24	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
1019.0330 ±0.0084		¹¹ DUBNICKA 24	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_L^0$
1019.761 ±0.130 34M		¹² IGNATOV 24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1018.4 ±0.5 ±0.1		¹³ ALBRECHT 20	CBAR	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1019.21 ±0.04 ±0.03		¹⁴ HOID 20	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$
1019.54 ±0.10 ±0.51		¹⁵ AAIJ 19H	LHCB	$pp \rightarrow D^\pm X$
1019.20 ±0.02 ±0.01		¹⁶ HOFERICH... 19	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1019.469 ±0.061 1.7M		KOZYREV 18	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
1019.457 ±0.061 610k		KOZYREV 16	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$
1019.48 ±0.01		LEES 13F	BABR	$D^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$
1019.441 ±0.008 ±0.080 542k		¹⁷ AKHMETSHIN 08	CMD2	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
1019.63 ±0.07 12540		¹⁸ AUBERT,B 05J	BABR	$D^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ K^-$
1019.8 ±0.7		ARMSTRONG 86	OMEG 85	$\pi^+ / pp \rightarrow \pi^+ / p4K p$
1020.1 ±0.11 5526		¹⁸ ATKINSON 86	OMEG 20-70	γp
1019.7 ±1.0		BEBEK 86	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow T(4S)$
1019.411 ±0.008 642k		¹⁹ DIJKSTRA 86	SPEC	$100-200 \pi^\pm, \bar{p}, p, K^\pm, \text{ on Be}$
1020.9 ±0.2		¹⁸ FRAME 86	OMEG 13	$K^+ p \rightarrow \phi K^+ p$
1021.0 ±0.2		¹⁸ ARMSTRONG 83B	OMEG 18.5	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- K^+ \Lambda$
1020.0 ±0.5		¹⁸ ARMSTRONG 83B	OMEG 18.5	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- K^+ \Lambda$
1019.7 ±0.3		¹⁸ BARATE 83	GOLI	$190 \pi^- \text{ Be} \rightarrow 2\mu X$
1019.8 ±0.2 ±0.5 766		IVANOV 81	OLYA	$1-1.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
1019.4 ±0.5 337		COOPER 78B	HBC	$0.7-0.8 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

1020	± 1	383	¹⁸ BALDI	77	CNTR	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \phi p$	
1018.9	± 0.6	800	COHEN	77	ASPK	6 $\pi^\pm N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$	
1019.7	± 0.5	454	KALBFLEISCH	76	HBC	2.18 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K \bar{K}$	
1019.4	± 0.8	984	BESCH	74	CNTR	2 $\gamma p \rightarrow p K^+ K^-$	
1020.3	± 0.4	100	BALLAM	73	HBC	2.8–9.3 γp	
1019.4	± 0.7		BINNIE	73B	CNTR	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \phi n$	
1019.6	± 0.5	120	²⁰ AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC	3.9, 4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ K^-$	
1019.9	± 0.5	100	²⁰ AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC	3.9, 4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- p K^+ K^-$	OCCUR=2
1020.4	± 0.5	131	COLLEY	72	HBC	10 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ p \phi$	
1019.9	± 0.3	410	STOTTLE...	71	HBC	2.9 $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma / \Lambda K \bar{K}$	

¹ Average of KOZYREV 16 and KOZYREV 18 values taking into account the correlated uncertainties. Supersedes individual KOZYREV 16 and KOZYREV 18 results.

² Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$ and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, and $\phi(1020)$.

³ Using a phenomenological model based on KUHN 90 with a sum of Breit-Wigner resonances for $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$ and their higher mass excitations.

⁴ Update of AKHMETSHIN 99D

⁵ From the combined fit assuming that the total $\phi(1020)$ production cross section is saturated by those of $K^+ K^-$, $K_S K_L$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, and $\eta \gamma$ decays modes and using ACHASOV 00B for the $\eta \gamma$ decay mode.

⁶ Using a total width of 4.43 ± 0.05 MeV. Systematic uncertainty included.

⁷ Using a total width of 4.43 ± 0.05 MeV.

⁸ PELLINEN 82 review includes AKERLOF 77, DAUM 81, BALDI 77, AYRES 74, DE-GROOT 74.

⁹ From a fit of the cross section in the energy region $1.000 < \sqrt{s} < 1.100$ GeV using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$ and higher vector resonances.

¹⁰ Superseded by DRUZHININ 25.

¹¹ From the fit to data of CMD3, BABAR and BES3.

¹² From a fit of the pion form factor in the energy range $0.32 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$ GeV using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho - \omega$ interference leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. Systematic errors not estimated.

¹³ Width fixed at 4.2 MeV.

¹⁴ The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization. Inclusion of vacuum polarization gives 1019.457 $\pm$ 0.020 MeV.

¹⁵ From the $D^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm K^+ K^-$ Dalitz plot fit with the Triple-M amplitude in the multi-meson model of AOUE 18.

¹⁶ The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization.

¹⁷ Strongly correlated with AKHMETSHIN 04.

¹⁸ Systematic errors not evaluated.

¹⁹ Weighted and scaled average of 12 measurements of DIJKSTRA 86.

²⁰ Mass errors enlarged by us to $\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=GS

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=AE

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=G2

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=F2

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=R

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=Q

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=T

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=O

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=N

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=AH

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M004M;LINKAGE=D

$\phi(1020)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.249$\pm$0.013 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
4.234 $\pm$ 0.22 $\pm$ 0.12		DRUZHININ	25	SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
4.245 $\pm$ 0.013	2.3M	¹ KOZYREV	18	CMD3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$, $K_S^0 K_L^0$
4.205 $\pm$ 0.103 $\pm$ 0.067	28k	² LEES	14H	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
4.29 $\pm$ 0.04 $\pm$ 0.07		³ LEES	13Q	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \gamma$
4.30 $\pm$ 0.06 $\pm$ 0.17	105k	AKHMETSHIN	06	CMD2 0.98–1.06 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
4.280 $\pm$ 0.033 $\pm$ 0.025	272k	⁴ AKHMETSHIN	04	CMD2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
4.21 $\pm$ 0.04	1900k	⁵ ACHASOV	01E	SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$, $K_S K_L$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
4.44 $\pm$ 0.09	55600	AKHMETSHIN	95	CMD2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4.5 $\pm$ 0.7	1500	ARENTON	82	AEMS 11.8 polar. $pp \rightarrow K K$
4.2 $\pm$ 0.6	766	⁶ IVANOV	81	OLYA 1–1.4 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
4.3 $\pm$ 0.6		⁶ CORDIER	80	DM1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
4.36 $\pm$ 0.29	3681	⁶ BUKIN	78C	OLYA $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4.4 $\pm$ 0.6	984	⁶ BESCH	74	CNTR 2 $\gamma p \rightarrow p K^+ K^-$
4.67 $\pm$ 0.72	681	⁶ BALAKIN	71	OSPK $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4.09 $\pm$ 0.29		BIZOT	70	OSPK $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M004W

NODE=M004W

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.212±0.20 ±0.13	1.28M	^{7,8} ACHASOV	24	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
4.151±0.018		⁹ DUBNICKA	24	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-,$ $K_S^0 K_L^0$
4.681±0.277	34M	¹⁰ IGNATOV	24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
4.07 ±0.13 ±0.01		¹¹ HOID	20	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$
4.23 ±0.04 ±0.02		¹² HOFERICH...	19	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
4.249±0.015	1.7M	KOZYREV	18	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
4.240±0.017	610k	KOZYREV	16	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$
4.37 ±0.02		LEES	13F	BABR	$D^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$
4.24 ±0.02 ±0.03	542k	¹³ AKHMETSHIN	08	CMD2	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
4.28 ±0.13	12540	¹⁴ AUBERT,B	05J	BABR	$D^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ K^-$
4.45 ±0.06	271k	DIJKSTRA	86	SPEC	$100 \pi^- \text{Be}$
3.6 ±0.8	337	⁶ COOPER	78B	HBC	$0.7-0.8 \bar{p} p \rightarrow$ $K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
4.5 ±0.50	1300	^{6,14} AKERLOF	77	SPEC	$400 pA \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
4.5 ±0.8	500	^{6,14} AYRES	74	ASPK	$3-6 \pi^- p \rightarrow$ $K^+ K^- n, K^- p \rightarrow$ $K^+ K^- \Lambda / \Sigma^0$
3.81 ±0.37		COSME	74B	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
3.8 ±0.7	454	⁶ BORENSTEIN	72	HBC	$2.18 K^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$

¹ Average of KOZYREV 16 and KOZYREV 18 values taking into account the correlated uncertainties. Supersedes individual KOZYREV 16 and KOZYREV 18 results.

² Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$ and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, and $\phi(1020)$.

³ Using a phenomenological model based on KUHN 90 with a sum of Breit-Wigner resonances for $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$ and their higher mass excitations.

⁴ Update of AKHMETSHIN 99D

⁵ From the combined fit assuming that the total $\phi(1020)$ production cross section is saturated by those of $K^+ K^-$, $K_S K_L$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, and $\eta \gamma$ decays modes and using ACHASOV 00B for the $\eta \gamma$ decay mode.

⁶ Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

⁷ From a fit of the cross section in the energy region $1.000 < \sqrt{s} < 1.100$ GeV using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$ and higher vector resonances.

⁸ Superseded by DRUZHININ 25.

⁹ From the fit to data of CMD3, BABAR and BES3.

¹⁰ From a fit of the pion form factor in the energy range $0.32 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$ GeV using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho - \omega$ interference leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. Systematic errors not estimated.

¹¹ The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization.

¹² The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization.

¹³ Strongly correlated with AKHMETSHIN 04.

¹⁴ Systematic errors not evaluated.

NODE=M004W;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M004W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M004W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M004W;LINKAGE=GS

NODE=M004W;LINKAGE=AE

NODE=M004W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M004W;LINKAGE=N

NODE=M004W;LINKAGE=O

NODE=M004W;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M004W;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M004W;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M004W;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M004W;LINKAGE=AH

NODE=M004W;LINKAGE=A

$\phi(1020)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 K^+ K^-$	(50.1 ±0.6) %	S=1.6
$\Gamma_2 K_L^0 K_S^0$	(33.6 ±0.4) %	S=1.3
$\Gamma_3 \rho \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	(14.7 ±0.4) %	S=1.5
$\Gamma_4 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		
$\Gamma_5 \eta \gamma$	(1.306±0.024) %	S=1.2
$\Gamma_6 \pi^0 \gamma$	(1.33 ±0.05) × 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_7 \ell^+ \ell^-$	—	
$\Gamma_8 e^+ e^-$	(2.963±0.034) × 10 ⁻⁴	S=1.4
$\Gamma_9 \mu^+ \mu^-$	(2.86 ±0.22) × 10 ⁻⁴	S=1.2
$\Gamma_{10} \eta e^+ e^-$	(1.08 ±0.04) × 10 ⁻⁴	
$\Gamma_{11} \pi^+ \pi^-$	(9.5 ±1.9) × 10 ⁻⁵	S=2.0
$\Gamma_{12} \omega \pi^0$	(4.7 ±0.5) × 10 ⁻⁵	
$\Gamma_{13} \omega \gamma$	< 5 %	CL=84%
$\Gamma_{14} \rho \gamma$	< 1.2 × 10 ⁻⁵	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{15} \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	(4.1 ±1.3) × 10 ⁻⁵	

NODE=M004215;NODE=M004

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=24

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

DESIG=7

DESIG=256;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=17

DESIG=8

DESIG=25

DESIG=10

DESIG=12

DESIG=9

Γ_{16}	$f_0(980)\gamma$	$(3.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1	DESIG=20
Γ_{17}	$\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$	$(1.13 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=19
Γ_{18}	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.9 \pm_{-2.2}^{+2.8}) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=15
Γ_{19}	$\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^0$	$< 4.6 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=14
Γ_{20}	$\pi^0 e^+ e^-$	$(1.33 \pm_{-0.10}^{+0.07}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=21
Γ_{21}	$\pi^0\eta\gamma$	$(7.27 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.5	DESIG=22
Γ_{22}	$a_0(980)\gamma$	$(7.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=23
Γ_{23}	$K^0\bar{K}^0\gamma$	$< 1.9 \times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=257
Γ_{24}	$\eta'(958)\gamma$	$(6.23 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=194
Γ_{25}	$\eta\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$	$< 2 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=195
Γ_{26}	$\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$	$(1.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=196
Γ_{27}	$\rho\gamma\gamma$	$< 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=250
Γ_{28}	$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=255
Γ_{29}	$\eta\mu^+\mu^-$	$< 9.4 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=26
Γ_{30}	$\eta U \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$	$< 1 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=259
Γ_{31}	invisible	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=260

Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes

Γ_{32}	$e^\pm\mu^\mp$	LF	$< 2 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{33}	$\pi^+\pi^+e^-e^-$	L	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%

NODE=M004;CLUMP=A

DESIG=258

DESIG=261

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 30 branching ratios uses 84 measurements and one constraint to determine 14 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 98.5$ for 71 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	-70									
x_3	-67	-6								
x_5	-25	28	0							
x_6	-14	17	1	8						
x_8	60	-77	-3	-37	-23					
x_9	-6	7	0	3	2	-9				
x_{11}	-4	4	0	2	1	-6	1			
x_{12}	-5	6	0	3	2	-8	1	0		
x_{16}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
x_{17}	-12	15	0	18	4	-19	2	1	2	0
x_{18}	-1	1	0	1	0	-2	0	0	0	0
x_{22}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x_{24}	-8	9	0	32	3	-12	1	1	1	0
	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_5	x_6	x_8	x_9	x_{11}	x_{12}	x_{16}
x_{18}	0									
x_{22}	0	0								
x_{24}	6	0	0							
	x_{17}	x_{18}	x_{22}							

$\phi(1020)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M004218

 $\Gamma(\eta\gamma)$ Γ_5

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M004W6
NODE=M004W6

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

58.9 ± 0.5 ± 2.4

ACHASOV 00 SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ Γ_6

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$5.40 \pm 0.16^{+0.43}_{-0.40}$	ACHASOV	00	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M004W7
NODE=M004W7

 $\Gamma(\ell^+\ell^-)$ Γ_7

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.320 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.015$	¹ AMBROSINO	05	KLOE $1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

¹ Weighted average of Γ_{ee} and $\sqrt{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\mu\mu}}$ from AMBROSINO 05 assuming lepton universality.

NODE=M004W5
NODE=M004W5

NODE=M004W5;LINKAGE=AM

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_8

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.27 ± 0.04 OUR EVALUATION

1.251 ± 0.021 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

$1.235 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.022$	¹ AKHMETSHIN	11	CMD2 $1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi$
$1.32 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.03$	² AMBROSINO	05	KLOE $1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$
1.28 ± 0.05	AKHMETSHIN	95	CMD2 $1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi$

¹ Combined analysis of the CMD-2 data on $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0 K_L^0, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \eta\gamma$ assuming that the sum of their branching fractions is 0.99741 ± 0.00007 .

² From forward-backward asymmetry and using $\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 4.26 \pm 0.05$ MeV from the 2004 edition of this Review.

NODE=M004W8
NODE=M004W8

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M004W8;LINKAGE=AK

NODE=M004W8;LINKAGE=AM

 $(\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $(\Gamma_8\Gamma_9)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.320 ± 0.018 ± 0.017	AMBROSINO	05	KLOE $1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

NODE=M004W9
NODE=M004W9

 $\phi(1020) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M004223

 $\Gamma(K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1\Gamma_8/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.6340 ± 0.0070 ± 0.0039		¹ LEES	13Q	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.669 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.023$	1.7M	KOZYREV	18	CMD3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$

¹ Using a phenomenological model based on KUHN 90 with a sum of Breit-Wigner resonances for $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$ and their higher mass excitations. The first error combines statistical and systematic uncertainties. The second one is due to the parametrization of the charged kaon form factor and mass calibration.

NODE=M004G01
NODE=M004G01

NODE=M004G01;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_2\Gamma_8/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.4200 ± 0.0033 ± 0.0123	28k	¹ LEES	14H	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$

¹ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$ and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, and $\phi(1020)$.

NODE=M004GXX
NODE=M004GXX

NODE=M004GXX;LINKAGE=A

 $[\Gamma(\rho\pi) + \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_3\Gamma_8/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

184.1 ± 2.1 ± 8.0	¹ LEES	21B	BABR $10.5 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

¹ From the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ with contributions from $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$.

NODE=M004R03
NODE=M004R03

NODE=M004R03;LINKAGE=A

 $\phi(1020) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

NODE=M004224

 $\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_8/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

14.85 ± 0.30 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.6. $[(14.79 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-5}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]

14.6 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below.


15.789 ± 0.541	1.7M	KOZYREV	18	CMD3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
$14.27 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.31$	542k	AKHMETSHIN	08	CMD2 $1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
$13.93 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.99$	1000k	¹ ACHASOV	01E	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0 K_L^0, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M004G10
NODE=M004G10

NEW

¹ From the combined fit assuming that the total $\phi(1020)$ production cross section is saturated by those of K^+K^- , $K_S K_L$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, and $\eta\gamma$ decays modes and using ACHASOV 00B for the $\eta\gamma$ decay mode.

NODE=M004G10;LINKAGE=AE



$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_2/\Gamma \times \Gamma_8/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.96 ±0.08 OUR FIT				
[(9.97 ± 0.08) × 10 ⁻⁵ OUR 2025 FIT]				
9.93 ±0.08 OUR AVERAGE				
[(9.94 ± 0.08) × 10 ⁻⁵ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]				
9.83 ±0.04 ±0.10		DRUZHININ 25	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
10.078±0.223	610k	1 KOZYREV 16	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$
10.01 ±0.04 ±0.17	272k	2 AKHMETSHIN 04	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
10.27 ±0.07 ±0.34	500k	3 ACHASOV 01E	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S K_L, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

9.85 ±0.03 ±0.10 1.28M ^{4,5} ACHASOV 24 SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$

¹ KOZYREV 16 also reports $\Gamma(e^+e^-) B(\phi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0) = (0.428 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.009) \text{ keV}$.

² Update of AKHMETSHIN 99D

³ From the combined fit assuming that the total $\phi(1020)$ production cross section is saturated by those of K^+K^- , $K_S K_L$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, and $\eta\gamma$ decays modes and using ACHASOV 00B for the $\eta\gamma$ decay mode.

⁴ From a fit of the cross section in the energy region $1.000 < \sqrt{s} < 1.100 \text{ GeV}$ using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$ and higher vector resonances.

⁵ Superseded by DRUZHININ 25.

NODE=M004G6
NODE=M004G6

NEW

NEW

OCCUR=2

NODE=M004G6;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M004G;LINKAGE=GS
NODE=M004G6;LINKAGE=AE

NODE=M004G6;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M004G6;LINKAGE=C

$[\Gamma(\rho\pi) + \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_3/\Gamma \times \Gamma_8/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.35 ±0.13 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.4. [(4.42 ± 0.11) × 10 ⁻⁵ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]
4.51 ±0.14 OUR AVERAGE				

4.51 ±0.16 ±0.11 105k AKHMETSHIN 06 CMD2 0.98–1.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

4.665±0.042±0.261 400k ¹ ACHASOV 01E SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S K_L, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

4.35 ±0.27 ±0.08 11169 ² AKHMETSHIN 98 CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.38 ±0.12 BENAYOUN 10 RVUE 0.4–1.05 e^+e^-

4.30 ±0.08 ±0.21 ³ AUBERT,B 04N BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M004G7
NODE=M004G7

NEW

¹ From the combined fit assuming that the total $\phi(1020)$ production cross section is saturated by those of K^+K^- , $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, and $\eta\gamma$ decays modes and using ACHASOV 00B for the $\eta\gamma$ decay mode.

² Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak.

³ Superseded by LEES 21B.

NODE=M004G7;LINKAGE=AE

NODE=M004G;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M004G7;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M004G2
NODE=M004G2

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_5/\Gamma \times \Gamma_8/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.87 ± 0.07 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
3.93 ± 0.09 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.			
4.050 $\pm 0.067 \pm 0.118$	33k	¹ ACHASOV 07B	SND	0.6–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
4.093 $^{+0.040}_{-0.043} \pm 0.247$	17.4k	² AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
3.850 $\pm 0.041 \pm 0.159$	23k	^{3,4} AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
4.00 $\pm 0.04 \pm 0.11$		⁵ ACHASOV 00	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
3.53 $\pm 0.08 \pm 0.17$	2200	^{6,7} AKHMETSHIN 99F	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4.19 ± 0.06		⁸ BENAYOUN 10	RVUE	0.4–1.05 e^+e^-

¹ From a combined fit of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma)$ with $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, and fixing $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) / B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = 1.44 \pm 0.04$. Recalculated by us from the cross section at the peak. Supersedes ACHASOV 00D and ACHASOV 06A.

² From the $\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma$ decay and using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 39.43 \pm 0.26\%$.

³ From the $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ decay and using $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.24 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}$.

⁴ The combined fit from 600 to 1380 MeV taking into account $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, and $\rho(1450)$ (mass and width fixed at 1450 MeV and 310 MeV respectively).

⁵ From the $\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma$ decay and using $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.21 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$.

⁶ Recalculated by the authors from the cross section in the peak.

⁷ From the $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decay and using $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (23.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$.


⁸ A simultaneous fit of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^0\gamma$, $\eta\gamma$ data.

NODE=M004G2;LINKAGE=AH

NODE=M004G2;LINKAGE=AK
NODE=M004G;LINKAGE=AK
NODE=M004G;LINKAGE=BQ

NODE=M004G2;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M004G;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M004G2;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M004G7;LINKAGE=BE

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
3.93 ± 0.09 (Error scaled by 1.3)



$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_6/\Gamma \times \Gamma_8/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.94 ± 0.16 OUR FIT				
3.95 ± 0.17 OUR AVERAGE				
4.04 $\pm 0.09 \pm 0.19$		¹ ACHASOV 16A	SND	0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
3.75 $\pm 0.11 \pm 0.29$	18k	AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4.29 ± 0.11		² BENAYOUN 10	RVUE	0.4–1.05 e^+e^-
3.67 $\pm 0.10^{+0.27}_{-0.25}$		³ ACHASOV 00	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

¹ From the VMD model with the interfering $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$ resonances, and an additional resonance describing the total contribution of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\omega(1420)$ states. Supersedes ACHASOV 00.

² A simultaneous fit of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^0\gamma$, $\eta\gamma$ data.

³ From the $\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ decay and using $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (98.798 \pm 0.032) \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M004G3;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M004G3;LINKAGE=BE
NODE=M004G3;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_8/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-8})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

8.5 $\pm$ 0.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.**8.8 $\pm$ 0.9 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.8.36 $\pm$ 0.59 $\pm$ 0.37

ACHASOV

01G

SND

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ 9.9 $\pm$ 1.4 $\pm$ 0.9¹

ACHASOV

99C

SND

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ 14.4 $\pm$ 3.0²

VASSERMAN

81


OLYA

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ 8.6 $\pm$ 5.9²

AUGUSTIN

73

OSPK

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ ¹ Recalculated by the authors from the cross section in the peak.² Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak.NODE=M004G5
NODE=M004G5NODE=M004G5;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M004G5;LINKAGE=BWEIGHTED AVERAGE
8.8 $\pm$ 0.9 (Error scaled by 1.5)

$$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_8/\Gamma$$

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_8/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-8})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

2.8 $\pm$ 0.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.0.**2.8 $\pm$ 0.5 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below.3.51 $\pm$ 0.33 $\pm$ 0.24

34M

¹

IGNATOV

24

CMD3

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ 2.1 $\pm$ 0.3 $\pm$ 0.3²

ACHASOV

00C

SND

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ 1.95 $^{+1.15}_{-0.87}$ ³

GOLUBEV

86

ND

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ 6.01 $^{+3.19}_{-2.51}$ ³

VASSERMAN

81

OLYA

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.31 $\pm$ 0.99⁴

BENAYOUN

13

RVUE


0.4–1.05 e^+e^-

¹ From a fit of the pion form factor in the energy range $0.32 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$ GeV using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho - \omega$ interference with ω and ϕ masses and widths constrained by the values and their errors from PDG 22, and leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. A fit without the constraints from PDG 22 gives a value $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2 = (3.65 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-8}$.

² Recalculated by the authors from the cross section in the peak.³ Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak.⁴ A simultaneous fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^0\gamma$, $\eta\gamma$, $K\bar{K}$, and $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$ data.NODE=M004G4
NODE=M004G4

NODE=M004G4;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M004G4;LINKAGE=AC
NODE=M004G4;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M004G4;LINKAGE=A



$$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{12}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_8/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁸)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.40±0.15 OUR FIT			
1.37±0.17±0.01	^{1,2} AMBROSINO 08G	KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0, 2\pi^0\gamma$

¹ Recalculated by the authors from the cross section at the peak.

² AMBROSINO 08G reports $[\Gamma(\phi(1020) \rightarrow \omega\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\phi(1020) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = (1.22 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-8}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M004G11
NODE=M004G11

NODE=M004G11;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M004G11;LINKAGE=AM

$$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{17}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_8/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁸)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.34±0.17 OUR FIT			
3.33+0.04+0.19 -0.09-0.20	¹ AMBROSINO 07	KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

¹ Calculated by the authors from the cross section at the peak.

NODE=M004G9
NODE=M004G9

NODE=M004G9;LINKAGE=AM

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_8/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁹)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.2 +0.8 -0.7 OUR FIT				
1.17±0.52±0.64	3285	¹ AKHMETSHIN 00E	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Recalculated by the authors from the cross section in the peak.

NODE=M004G8
NODE=M004G8

NODE=M004G8;LINKAGE=A

φ(1020) BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.501±0.006 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.6. [0.499 ± 0.005 OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]
0.493±0.010 OUR AVERAGE				

0.492±0.012	2913	AKHMETSHIN 95	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
0.44 ±0.05	321	KALBFLEISCH 76	HBC	$2.18 K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+K^-$
0.49 ±0.06	270	DEGROOT 74	HBC	$4.2 K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\phi$
0.540±0.034	565	BALAKIN 71	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
0.48 ±0.04	252	LINDSEY 66	HBC	$2.1-2.7 K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.493±0.003±0.007		¹ AKHMETSHIN 11	CMD2	$1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
0.476±0.017	1000k	² ACHASOV 01E	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0 K_L^0, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ Combined analysis of the CMD-2 data on $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0 K_L^0, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \eta\gamma$ assuming that the sum of their branching fractions is 0.99741 ± 0.00007 .

² Using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.93 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M004220

NODE=M004R1
NODE=M004R1
NEW

NODE=M004R1;LINKAGE=AK

NODE=M004R1;LINKAGE=B2

$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
0.336±0.004 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3. [0.336 ± 0.004 OUR 2025 FIT
 Scale factor = 1.3]

0.331±0.009 OUR AVERAGE

0.335±0.010 40644 AKHMETSHIN 95 CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
 0.326±0.035 DOLINSKY 91 ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
 0.310±0.024 DRUZHININ 84 ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.336±0.002±0.006 ¹ AKHMETSHIN 11 CMD2 $1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$
 0.351±0.013 500k ² ACHASOV 01E SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0 K_L^0, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
 0.27 ±0.03 133 KALBFLEISCH 76 HBC $2.18 K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda K_L^0 K_S^0$
 0.257±0.030 95 ³ BALAKIN 71 OSPK $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
 0.40 ±0.04 167 LINDSEY 66 HBC $2.1-2.7 K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda K_L^0 K_S^0$

¹ Combined analysis of the CMD-2 data on $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0 K_L^0, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \eta\gamma$ assuming that the sum of their branching fractions is 0.99741 ± 0.00007 .

² Using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.93 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$.

³ Balakin error increased by Paul.

NODE=M004R2
 NODE=M004R2
 NEW

NODE=M004R2;LINKAGE=AK

NODE=M004R2;LINKAGE=B2
 NODE=M004R2;LINKAGE=01

 $\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma(K^+K^-)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
0.671±0.014 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.5. [0.674 ± 0.014 OUR 2025 FIT
 Scale factor = 1.4]

0.740±0.031 OUR AVERAGE

0.70 ±0.06 2732 BUKIN 78C OLYA $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
 0.82 ±0.08 LOSTY 78 HBC $4.2 K^-p \rightarrow \phi \text{ hyperon}$
 0.71 ±0.05 LAVEN 77 HBC $10 K^-p \rightarrow K^+K^-\Lambda$
 0.71 ±0.08 LYONS 77 HBC $3-4 K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\phi$
 0.89 ±0.10 144 AGUILAR-... 72B HBC $3.9, 4.6 K^-p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.644±0.017 ¹ DUBNICKA 24 RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0 K_L^0$
 0.638±0.022 2.3M ² KOZYREV 18 CMD3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0, K^+K^-$
 0.68 ±0.03 ³ AKHMETSHIN 95 CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0, K^+K^-$

¹ From a fit to CMD3, BABAR and BES3 data.

² The prediction taking into account phase-space difference, radiative corrections, isospin breaking, and the Sommerfeld-Gamow-Sakharov factor gives 0.630.

³ Theoretical analysis of BRAMON 00 taking into account phase-space difference, electromagnetic radiative corrections, as well as isospin breaking, predicts 0.62. FLOREZ-BAEZ 08 predicts 0.63 considering also structure-dependent radiative corrections. FIS-CHBACH 02 calculates additional corrections caused by the close threshold and predicts 0.68. See also BENAYOUN 01 and DUBYNSKIY 07. BENAYOUN 12 obtains 0.71 ± 0.01 in the HLS model.

NODE=M004R19
 NODE=M004R19
 NEW

NODE=M004R19;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M004R19;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M004R19;LINKAGE=KH

 $\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$ $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2)$

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
0.402±0.005 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.5. [0.403 ± 0.005 OUR 2025 FIT
 Scale factor = 1.4]

0.45 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE

0.44 ±0.07 ¹ LONDON 66 HBC $2.24 K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda K\bar{K}$
 0.48 ±0.07 52 BADIER 65B HBC $3 K^-p$
 0.40 ±0.10 34 SCHLEIN 63 HBC $1.95 K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda K\bar{K}$

¹ This is probably not affected by their controversial background subtraction; the value is from their numbers of $K_1 K_2$ vs K^+K^- events.

NODE=M004R5
 NODE=M004R5
 NEW

NODE=M004R5;LINKAGE=01

 $[\Gamma(\rho\pi) + \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
0.147±0.004 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.5. [0.149 ± 0.004 OUR 2025 FIT
 Scale factor = 1.3]

0.151±0.009 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.7.

0.161±0.008 11761 AKHMETSHIN 95 CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
 0.143±0.007 DOLINSKY 91 ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.155±0.002±0.005 ¹ AKHMETSHIN 11 CMD2 $1.02 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
 0.159±0.008 400k ² ACHASOV 01E SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0 K_L^0, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
 0.145±0.009±0.003 11169 ³ AKHMETSHIN 98 CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
 0.139±0.007 ⁴ PARROUR 76B OSPK e^+e^-

NODE=M004R3
 NODE=M004R3
 NEW

¹ Combined analysis of the CMD-2 data on $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_L^0, \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, \eta \gamma$ assuming that the sum of their branching fractions is 0.99741 ± 0.00007 .

² Using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.93 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$.

³ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.99 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$.

⁴ Using $\Gamma(\phi) = 4.1$ MeV. If interference between the $\rho\pi$ and 3π modes is neglected, the fraction of the $\rho\pi$ is more than 80% at the 90% confidence level.

NODE=M004R3;LINKAGE=AK

NODE=M004R3;LINKAGE=B2

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=8D

NODE=M004R3;LINKAGE=E

$$[\Gamma(\rho\pi) + \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)] / \Gamma(K^+ K^-)$$

Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
0.293±0.011 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.6. [0.299 ± 0.010 OUR 2025 FIT
 Scale factor = 1.4]

0.229±0.014 OUR AVERAGE

[0.232 ± 0.017 OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.230 ± 0.014 ± 0.010		¹ ABLIKIM	25A BES3	$D_S^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+$
0.222 ± 0.019 ± 0.016		² ABLIKIM	25F BES3	$D_S^+ \rightarrow \phi \rho^+$
0.28 ± 0.09	34	AGUILAR...	72B HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$

¹ Using ABLIKIM 21AE.

² Using ABLIKIM 21U.

NODE=M004R20
 NODE=M004R20

NEW

NEW

NODE=M004R20;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M004R20;LINKAGE=A

$$[\Gamma(\rho\pi) + \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)] / \Gamma(K \bar{K})$$

$\Gamma_3/(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
0.175±0.006 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.5. [0.178 ± 0.005 OUR 2025 FIT
 Scale factor = 1.3]

0.24 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.237 ± 0.039	CERRADA 77B HBC	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 3\pi$	
0.30 ± 0.15	LONDON 66 HBC	2.24 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	

NODE=M004R6
 NODE=M004R6
 NEW

$$[\Gamma(\rho\pi) + \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)] / \Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0)$$

Γ_3/Γ_2

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
0.437±0.013 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.4. [0.443 ± 0.012 OUR 2025 FIT
 Scale factor = 1.2]

0.51 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.56 ± 0.07	3681	BUKIN 78C OLYA	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0, \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
0.47 ± 0.06	516	COSME 74 OSPK	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	

NODE=M004R7
 NODE=M004R7
 NEW

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

Γ_4/Γ

VALUE CL% EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
≈ 0.0087	1.98M	^{1,2} ALOISIO 03 KLOE	1.02 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		
< 0.0006	90	³ ACHASOV 02 SND	1.02 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		
< 0.23	90	³ CORDIER 80 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		
< 0.20	90	³ PARROUR 76B OSPK	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		

¹ From a fit without limitations on charged and neutral ρ masses and widths.

² Adding the direct and $\omega\pi$ contributions and considering the interference between the $\rho\pi$ and $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$.

³ Neglecting the interference between the $\rho\pi$ and $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$.

NODE=M004R46
 NODE=M004R46

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=L1
 NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=L2

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=46

$$\Gamma(\eta\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

1.306±0.024 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

1.26 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.246 ± 0.025 ± 0.057	10k	¹ ACHASOV 98F SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 7\gamma$	
1.18 ± 0.11	279	² AKHMETSHIN 95 CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\gamma$	
1.30 ± 0.06		³ DRUZHININ 84 ND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3\gamma$	
1.4 ± 0.2		⁴ DRUZHININ 84 ND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 6\gamma$	
0.88 ± 0.20	290	KURDADZE 83C OLYA	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3\gamma$	
1.35 ± 0.29		ANDREWS 77 CNTR	6.7–10 γ Cu	
1.5 ± 0.4	54	³ COSME 76 OSPK	$e^+ e^-$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.38 ± 0.02 ± 0.02		⁵ AKHMETSHIN 11 CMD2	1.02 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$	
1.37 ± 0.05 ± 0.02	33k	⁶ ACHASOV 07B SND	0.6–1.38 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$	
1.373 ± 0.014 ± 0.085	17.4k	^{7,8} AKHMETSHIN 05 CMD2	0.60–1.38 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$	
1.287 ± 0.013 ± 0.063		^{9,10} AKHMETSHIN 01B CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$	
1.338 ± 0.012 ± 0.052		¹¹ ACHASOV 00 SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$	
1.18 ± 0.03 ± 0.06	2200	¹² AKHMETSHIN 99F CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$	
1.21 ± 0.07		¹³ BENAYOUN 96 RVUE	0.54–1.04 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$	

NODE=M004R11
 NODE=M004R11

OCCUR=2

- ¹ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.99 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$ and $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$.
² From $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decay mode of η .
³ From 2γ decay mode of η .
⁴ From $3\pi^0$ decay mode of η .
⁵ Combined analysis of the CMD-2 data on $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$, $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $\eta\gamma$ assuming that the sum of their branching fractions is 0.99741 ± 0.00007 .
⁶ ACHASOV 07B reports $[\Gamma(\phi(1020) \rightarrow \eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow e^+ e^-)] = (4.050 \pm 0.067 \pm 0.118) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.963 \pm 0.034) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Supersedes ACHASOV 00D and ACHASOV 06A.
⁷ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.98 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-4}$ and $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 39.43 \pm 0.26\%$.
⁸ Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+ e^-) \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.
⁹ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.99 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$ and $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.24 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}$.
¹⁰ The combined fit from 600 to 1380 MeV taking into account $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, and $\rho(1450)$ (mass and width fixed at 1450 MeV and 310 MeV respectively).
¹¹ From the $\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma$ decay and using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.99 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$.
¹² From $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decay mode of η and using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.99 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$.
¹³ Reanalysis of DRUZHININ 84, DOLINSKY 89, and DOLINSKY 91 taking into account a triangle anomaly contribution.

NODE=M004R11;LINKAGE=AC
 NODE=M004R11;LINKAGE=Z3
 NODE=M004R11;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M004R11;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M004R11;LINKAGE=AN
 NODE=M004R11;LINKAGE=AO

NODE=M004R11;LINKAGE=AH
 NODE=M004R11;LINKAGE=AK
 NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=AK
 NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=BQ
 NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=GA
 NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=FF
 NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=TS

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ
 VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

1.33 $\pm$ 0.05 OUR FIT

1.31 $\pm$ 0.13 OUR AVERAGE

1.30 $\pm$ 0.13 DRUZHININ 84 ND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3\gamma$

1.4 $\pm$ 0.5 32 COSME 76 OSPK $e^+ e^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.367 $\pm$ 0.072 ¹ACHASOV 16A SND 0.60–1.38 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

1.258 $\pm$ 0.037 $\pm$ 0.077 18k ^{2,3}AKHMETSHIN 05 CMD2 0.60–1.38 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

1.226 $\pm$ 0.036 ^{+0.096}_{-0.089} ⁴ACHASOV 00 SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

1.26 $\pm$ 0.17 ⁵BENAYOUN 96 RVUE 0.54–1.04 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

¹ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$ from PDG 15. Supersedes ACHASOV 00.

² Using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.98 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-4}$.

³ Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+ e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.

⁴ From the $\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ decay and using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.99 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$.

⁵ Reanalysis of DRUZHININ 84, DOLINSKY 89, and DOLINSKY 91 taking into account a triangle anomaly contribution.

OCCUR=3

NODE=M004R17;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M004R17;LINKAGE=AH
 NODE=M004R17;LINKAGE=AK
 NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=3G
 NODE=M004R17;LINKAGE=TS

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ Γ_5/Γ_6
 VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

10.9 $\pm$ 0.3 ^{+0.7}_{-0.8} ACHASOV 00 SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma, \pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M004R42
 NODE=M004R42

$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ
 VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

2.963 $\pm$ 0.034 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.4. $[(2.964 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.4]

2.98 $\pm$ 0.07 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

2.93 $\pm$ 0.14 1900k ¹ACHASOV 01E SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$,
 $K_S^0 K_L^0, \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

2.88 $\pm$ 0.09 55600 AKHMETSHIN 95 CMD2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

3.00 $\pm$ 0.21 3681 BUKIN 78C OLYA $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

3.10 $\pm$ 0.14 ²PARROUR 76 OSPK $e^+ e^-$

3.3 $\pm$ 0.3 COSME 74 OSPK $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

2.81 $\pm$ 0.25 681 BALAKIN 71 OSPK $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

3.50 $\pm$ 0.27 CHATELUS 71 OSPK $e^+ e^-$

¹ From the combined fit assuming that the total $\phi(1020)$ production cross section is saturated by those of $K^+ K^-$, $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, and $\eta\gamma$ decays modes and using ACHASOV 00B for the $\eta\gamma$ decay mode.

² Using total width 4.2 MeV. They detect 3π mode and observe significant interference with ω tail. This is accounted for in the result quoted above.

NODE=M004R16
 NODE=M004R16

NEW

NODE=M004R16;LINKAGE=AE

NODE=M004R16;LINKAGE=E

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

2.86 ±0.22 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.**2.96 ±0.16 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.2.69 ±0.46 ¹ HAYES 71 CNTR 8.3,9.8 $\gamma C \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- X$ 2.17 ±0.60 ¹ EARLES 70 CNTR 6.0 $\gamma C \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- X$

• • • We use the following data for averages but not for fits. • • •

3.045±0.049±0.148 ² AAIJ 24B LHCB $D^+, D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\phi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.87 ±0.20 ±0.14 ³ ACHASOV 01G SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ 3.30 ±0.45 ±0.32 ⁴ ACHASOV 99c SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ 4.83 ±1.02 ⁵ VASSERMAN 81 OLYA $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ 2.87 ±1.98 ⁵ AUGUSTIN 73 OSPK $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ ¹ Neglecting interference between resonance and continuum.² Value not independent from the measurement of $B(\phi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)/B(\phi \rightarrow e^+e^-)$.³ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.91 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4}$.⁴ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.99 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$.⁵ Recalculated by us using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.99 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$.NODE=M004R10
NODE=M004R10

NOTFITTED

NODE=M004R10;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M004R10;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=GZ
NODE=M004R10;LINKAGE=8D
NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=VA $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_9/Γ_8

VALUE

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

1.022±0.012±0.048 24k AAIJ 24B LHCB $D^+, D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\phi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.026±0.020±0.056 7.5k AAIJ 24B LHCB $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\phi$ 1.017±0.013±0.051 16.7k AAIJ 24B LHCB $D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\phi$ NODE=M004R04
NODE=M004R04OCCUR=2
OCCUR=3 $\Gamma(\eta e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

1.08 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE1.075±0.007±0.038 30k ¹ BABUSCI 15 KLOE 1.02 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$ 1.19 ±0.19 ±0.12 213 ² ACHASOV 01B SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$ 1.14 ±0.10 ±0.06 355 ³ AKHMETSHIN 01 CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.13 ±0.14 ±0.07 183 ⁴ AKHMETSHIN 01 CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$ 1.21 ±0.14 ±0.09 130 ⁵ AKHMETSHIN 01 CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$ 1.04 ±0.20 ±0.08 42 ⁶ AKHMETSHIN 01 CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$ 1.3 ^{+0.8}_{-0.6} 7 GOLUBEV 85 ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$ NODE=M004R24
NODE=M004R24OCCUR=2
OCCUR=3
OCCUR=4¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.57 \pm 0.23)\%$ from PDG 12.² Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.25 \pm 0.32)\%$, $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.26 \pm 0.06)\%$, and $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (3.00 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4}$.³ The average of the branching ratios separately obtained from the $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, 3\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays.⁴ From $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays and using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.33 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma) = (4.75 \pm 11) \times 10^{-2}$, and $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.297 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$.⁵ From $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ decays and using $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (98.798 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.24 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma) = (4.75 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-2}$, and $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.297 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$.⁶ From $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays and using $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (98.798 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma) = (1.198 \pm 0.032) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (23.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\phi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (15.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$, and $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.297 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$.NODE=M004R24;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=VM

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=H1

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=H2

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=H3

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=H4

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.71±0.11±0.09 ¹ ACHASOV 00C SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ 0.65 ^{+0.38}_{-0.29} ¹ GOLUBEV 86 ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ 2.01 ^{+1.07}_{-0.84} ¹ VASSERMAN 81 OLYA $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ <6.6 95 BUKIN 78B OLYA $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ <2.7 95 ALVENSLEB... 72 CNTR 6.7 $\gamma C \rightarrow C\pi^+\pi^-$ ¹ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.99 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$.NODE=M004R18
NODE=M004R18

NODE=M004R18;LINKAGE=8D

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{12}/Γ NODE=M004R28
NODE=M004R28**4.7±0.5 OUR FIT****5.2^{+1.3}_{-1.1}**1,2 AULCHENKO 00A SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.4±0.6

³ AMBROSINO 08G KLOE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, 2\pi^0\gamma$

~ 5.4

⁴ ACHASOV 00E SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ 5.5^{+1.6}_{-1.4}±0.32,5 AULCHENKO 00A SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ 4.8^{+1.9}_{-1.7}±0.8⁴ ACHASOV 99 SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ ¹ Using the 1996 and 1998 data.² (2.3 ± 0.3)% correction for other decay modes of the $\omega(782)$ applied.³ Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(\omega\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-) / \Gamma^2(\text{total})$.⁴ Using the 1996 data.⁵ Using the 1998 data.NODE=M004R28;LINKAGE=K2
NODE=M004R28;LINKAGE=K3
NODE=M004R28;LINKAGE=AM
NODE=M004R28;LINKAGE=VS
NODE=M004R28;LINKAGE=K1 $\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{13}/Γ NODE=M004R14
NODE=M004R14

<0.05

84

LINDSEY

66

HBC

2.1–2.7 $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-$ neutrals $\Gamma(\rho\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{14}/Γ NODE=M004R15
NODE=M004R15

< 0.12

90

¹ AKHMETSHIN 99B CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 7

90

AKHMETSHIN 97C CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

<200

84

LINDSEY

66

HBC

2.1–2.7 $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-$ neutrals¹ Supersedes AKHMETSHIN 97C.

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=1N

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) CL%

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{15}/Γ NODE=M004R12
NODE=M004R12**0.41±0.12±0.04**

30175

¹ AKHMETSHIN 99B CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.3

90

² AKHMETSHIN 97C CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

<600

90

KALBFLEISCH 75 HBC 2.18 $K^-p \rightarrow$ $\Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

< 70

90

COSME 74 OSPK $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

<400

90

LINDSEY 65 HBC 2.1–2.7 $K^-p \rightarrow$ $\Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ neutrals¹ For $E_\gamma > 20$ MeV and assuming that $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow f_0(980)\gamma)$ is negligible. Supersedes AKHMETSHIN 97C.² For $E_\gamma > 20$ MeV and assuming that $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow f_0(980)\gamma)$ is negligible.

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=2N

NODE=M004R12;LINKAGE=Z3

 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) CL%

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{16}/Γ NODE=M004R30
NODE=M004R30**3.22±0.19 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.**3.21±0.19 OUR AVERAGE**3.21^{+0.03}_{-0.09}±0.18¹ AMBROSINO 07 KLOE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

2.90±0.21±1.54

² AKHMETSHIN 99C CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma, \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

OCCUR=3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.47±0.21

2438

³ ALOISIO 02D KLOE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ 3.5 ±0.3 ^{+1.3}_{-0.5}

419

4,5 ACHASOV 00H SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

1.93±0.46±0.50

27188

⁶ AKHMETSHIN 99B CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

3.05±0.25±0.72

268

⁷ AKHMETSHIN 99C CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

1.5 ±0.5

268

⁸ AKHMETSHIN 99C CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

OCCUR=2

3.42±0.30±0.36

164

⁴ ACHASOV 98I SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow 5\gamma$

< 1

90

⁹ AKHMETSHIN 97C CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

< 7

90

¹⁰ AKHMETSHIN 97C CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

OCCUR=2

< 20

90

DRUZHININ 87 ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

- ¹ Obtained by the authors taking into account the $\pi^+\pi^-$ decay mode. Includes a component due to $\pi\pi$ production via the $f_0(500)$ meson. Supersedes ALOISIO 02D.
- ² From the combined fit of the photon spectra in the reactions $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$, $\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$.
- ³ From the negative interference with the $f_0(500)$ meson of AITALA 01B using the ACHASOV 89 parameterization for the $f_0(980)$, a Breit-Wigner for the $f_0(500)$, and ACHASOV 01F for the $\rho\pi$ contribution. Superseded by AMBROSINO 07.
- ⁴ Assuming that the $\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ final state is completely determined by the $f_0\gamma$ mechanism, neglecting the decay $B(\phi \rightarrow K\bar{K}\gamma)$ and using $B(f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = 2B(f_0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0)$.
- ⁵ Using the value $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.338 \pm 0.053) \times 10^{-2}$.
- ⁶ For $E_\gamma > 20$ MeV. Supersedes AKHMETSHIN 97C.
- ⁷ Neglecting other intermediate mechanisms ($\rho\pi$, $\sigma\gamma$).
- ⁸ A narrow pole fit taking into account $f_0(980)$ and $f_0(1200)$ intermediate mechanisms.
- ⁹ For destructive interference with the Bremsstrahlung process
- ¹⁰ For constructive interference with the Bremsstrahlung process

NODE=M004R30;LINKAGE=MB

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=SL

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=KD

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=AI

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=U8

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=3N

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=SM

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M004R30;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M004R30;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\gamma)/\Gamma(\eta\gamma)$ Γ_{16}/Γ_5

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.47 ± 0.15 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
2.6 ± 0.2 ^{+0.8}_{-0.3}	419	¹ ACHASOV	00H SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

- ¹ Assuming that the $\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ final state is completely determined by the $f_0\gamma$ mechanism, neglecting the decay $B(\phi \rightarrow K\bar{K}\gamma)$ and using $B(f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = 2B(f_0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0)$.

NODE=M004R44

NODE=M004R44

NODE=M004R44;LINKAGE=AI

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.07 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE					
1.07 ^{+0.01} _{-0.03} ^{+0.06} _{-0.06}			¹ AMBROSINO 07	KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1.08 ± 0.17 ± 0.09		268	AKHMETSHIN 99C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.09 ± 0.03 ± 0.05		2438	ALOISIO 02D	KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1.158 ± 0.093 ± 0.052		419	^{2,3} ACHASOV	00H SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
<10		90	DRUZHININ 87	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 5\gamma$

¹ Supersedes ALOISIO 02D.² Using the value $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.338 \pm 0.053) \times 10^{-2}$.³ Supersedes ACHASOV 98I. Excluding $\omega\pi^0$.

NODE=M004R26

NODE=M004R26

NODE=M004R26;LINKAGE=MB

NODE=M004R26;LINKAGE=U8

NODE=M004R26;LINKAGE=V8

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\eta\gamma)$ Γ_{17}/Γ_5

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.86 ± 0.04 OUR FIT				
0.865 ± 0.070 ± 0.017	419	¹ ACHASOV	00H SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.90 ± 0.08 ± 0.07	164	ACHASOV 98I	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 5\gamma$
¹ Supersedes ACHASOV 98I. Excluding $\omega\pi^0$.				

NODE=M004R39

NODE=M004R39

NODE=M004R39;LINKAGE=V8

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.5 ± 2.7 ± 1.6		6.8k	¹ AKHMETSHIN 17	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3.93 ± 1.74 ± 2.14		3.3k	AKHMETSHIN 00E	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
< 870		90	CORDIER 79	WIRE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Using the cross section at the ϕ meson peak $\sigma(\phi) = 4172 \pm 42$ nb, the nonresonant cross section $\sigma(0) = 1.263 \pm 0.027$ nb and $\text{Re}(Z) = 0.146 \pm 0.030$, $\text{Im}(Z) = -0.002 \pm 0.024$ for the complex amplitude of the $\phi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ transition.

NODE=M004R22

NODE=M004R22

NODE=M004R22;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 4.6	90	AKHMETSHIN 00E	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<150	95	BARKOV 88	CMD	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M004R27

NODE=M004R27

$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5}) CL% EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $1.33^{+0.07}_{-0.10}$ OUR AVERAGE $1.35 \pm 0.05^{+0.05}_{-0.10}$ 9.5k ¹ ANASTASI 16B KLOE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$ $1.01 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.29$ 52 ² ACHASOV 02D SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$ $1.22 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.21$ 46 ³ AKHMETSHIN 01C CMD2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<12 90 DOLINSKY 88 ND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$ ¹ Using $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ from the 2014 Edition of this Review (PDG 14).² Using various branching ratios from the 2000 Edition of this Review (PDG 00).³ Using $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 0.98798 \pm 0.00032$, $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.297 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$, and $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma) = (4.75 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-2}$.NODE=M004R31
NODE=M004R31NODE=M004R31;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=DS
NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=5H $\Gamma(\pi^0 \eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5}) CL% EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 7.27 ± 0.30 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below. 7.06 ± 0.22 16.9k ¹ AMBROSINO 09F KLOE $1.02 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$ $8.51 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.57$ 607 ² ALOISIO 02C KLOE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$ $7.96 \pm 0.60 \pm 0.40$ 197 ³ ALOISIO 02C KLOE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$ $8.8 \pm 1.4 \pm 0.9$ 36 ⁴ ACHASOV 00F SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$ $9.0 \pm 2.4 \pm 1.0$ 80 AKHMETSHIN 99C CMD2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $7.01 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.20$ 13.3k ^{2,5} AMBROSINO 09F KLOE $1.02 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$ $7.12 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.22$ 3.6k ^{3,6} AMBROSINO 09F KLOE $1.02 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$ $8.3 \pm 2.3 \pm 1.2$ 20 ACHASOV 98B SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 5\gamma$ <250 90 DOLINSKY 91 ND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\gamma$ ¹ Combined results of $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decay modes measurements.² From the decay mode $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$.³ From the decay mode $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$.⁴ Supersedes ACHASOV 98B.⁵ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.304 \pm 0.025)\%$, $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.56 \pm 0.23)\%$, and $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.31 \pm 0.20)\%$.⁶ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.304 \pm 0.025)\%$, $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.56 \pm 0.23)\%$, and $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (22.73 \pm 0.28)\%$.NODE=M004R32
NODE=M004R32


OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

NODE=M004R32;LINKAGE=AM
NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=C1
NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=C2
NODE=M004R32;LINKAGE=AF
NODE=M004R32;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M004R32;LINKAGE=AR

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 7.27 ± 0.30 (Error scaled by 1.5)

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.6$\pm$0.6 OUR FIT					
7.6$\pm$0.6 OUR AVERAGE					
7.4 $\pm$ 0.7			¹ ALOISIO	02C KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$
8.8 $\pm$ 1.7		36	² ACHASOV	00F SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
11 $\pm$ 2			³ GOKALP	02 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$
<500	90		DOLINSKY	91 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\gamma$

¹ Using $M_{a_0(980)}=984.8$ MeV and assuming $a_0(980)\gamma$ dominance.

² Assuming $a_0(980)\gamma$ dominance in the $\eta\pi^0\gamma$ final state.

³ Using data of ACHASOV 00F.

NODE=M004R33
NODE=M004R33

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=C3
NODE=M004R33;LINKAGE=AF
NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=GK

 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\gamma)/\Gamma(a_0(980)\gamma)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.1$\pm$0.6	¹ ALOISIO	02C KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$
¹ Using results of ALOISIO 02D and assuming that $f_0(980)$ decays into $\pi\pi$ only and $a_0(980)$ into $\eta\pi$ only.			

NODE=M004R47
NODE=M004R47

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=C4

 $\Gamma(K^0\bar{K}^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.9 $\times 10^{-8}$	90	AMBROSINO	09C KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \gamma$

NODE=M004R48
NODE=M004R48

 $\Gamma(\eta'(958)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.23$\pm$0.21 OUR FIT					
6.24$\pm$0.30 OUR AVERAGE					
6.23 $\pm$ 0.27 $\pm$ 0.12		3407	¹ AMBROSINO	07A KLOE	1.02 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
6.7 $^{+2.8}_{-2.4} \pm 0.8$		12	² AULCHENKO	03B SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
6.7 $^{+5.0}_{-4.2} \pm 1.5$		7	AULCHENKO	03B SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 7\gamma$
6.10 $\pm$ 0.61 $\pm$ 0.43		120	³ ALOISIO	02E KLOE	1.02 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
8.2 $^{+2.1}_{-1.9} \pm 1.1$		21	⁴ AKHMETSHIN	00B CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
4.9 $^{+2.2}_{-1.8} \pm 0.6$		9	⁵ AKHMETSHIN	00F CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^- \geq 2\gamma$
6.4 ± 1.6		30	⁶ AKHMETSHIN	00F CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'(958)\gamma$
6.7 $^{+3.4}_{-2.9} \pm 1.0$		5	⁷ AULCHENKO	99 SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
<11	90		AULCHENKO	98 SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 7\gamma$
12 $^{+7}_{-5} \pm 2$		6	⁴ AKHMETSHIN	97B CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
<41	90		DRUZHININ	87 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M004R25
NODE=M004R25

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ AMBROSINO 07A reports $[\Gamma(\phi(1020) \rightarrow \eta'(958)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow \eta\gamma)] = (4.77 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.306 \pm 0.024) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Averaging AULCHENKO 03B with AULCHENKO 99.

³ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.297 \pm 0.033)\%$.

⁴ Using the value $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.26 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-2}$.

⁵ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0) = (33.8 \pm 0.6)\%$.

⁶ Averaging AKHMETSHIN 00B with AKHMETSHIN 00F.

⁷ Using the value $B(\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-) = (43.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}$ and $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.25 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M004R25;LINKAGE=AM

NODE=M004R25;LINKAGE=BK
NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=E2
NODE=M004R25;LINKAGE=Q
NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=T2
NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=T3
NODE=M004R25;LINKAGE=AU

 $\Gamma(\eta'(958)\gamma)/\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0)$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.85$\pm$0.06 OUR FIT				
1.46$^{+0.64}_{-0.54} \pm 0.18$	9	¹ AKHMETSHIN	00F CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^- \geq 2\gamma$

¹ Using various branching ratios of K_S^0 , K_L^0 , η , η' from the 2000 edition (The European Physical Journal **C15** 1 (2000)) of this Review.

NODE=M004R43
NODE=M004R43

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=T1

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\gamma)/\Gamma(\eta\gamma)$ Γ_{24}/Γ_5 VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

4.77±0.15 OUR FIT**4.78±0.20 OUR AVERAGE**

4.77±0.09±0.19	3407	AMBROSINO	07A	KLOE	1.02 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-7\gamma$
4.70±0.47±0.31	120	¹ ALOISIO	02E	KLOE	1.02 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-3\gamma$
6.5 $^{+1.7}_{-1.5}$ ±0.8	21	AKHMETSHIN	00B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-3\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

9.5 $^{+5.2}_{-4.0}$ ±1.4	6	² AKHMETSHIN	97B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-3\gamma$

¹ From the decay mode $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$.² Superseded by AKHMETSHIN 00B.NODE=M004R34
NODE=M004R34NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=E1
NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=KS $\Gamma(\eta\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5}) CL%

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<2	90	AULCHENKO	98	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 7\gamma$

NODE=M004R36
NODE=M004R36 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

1.43±0.45±0.14	27188	¹ AKHMETSHIN	99B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.3 ±1.0	824 ± 33	² AKHMETSHIN	97C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$

¹ For $E_\gamma > 20$ MeV. Supersedes AKHMETSHIN 97C.² For $E_\gamma > 20$ MeV.NODE=M004R35
NODE=M004R35NODE=M004R35;LINKAGE=3N
NODE=M004R35;LINKAGE=A $\Gamma(\rho\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) CL%

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<1.2	90	AULCHENKO	08	CMD2	$\phi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<5	90	AKHMETSHIN	98	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$

NODE=M004R37
NODE=M004R37 $\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5}) CL%

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

< 1.8	90	AKHMETSHIN	00E	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^- \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 6.1	90	AULCHENKO	08	CMD2	$\phi \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

<30	90	AKHMETSHIN	98	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$

NODE=M004R38
NODE=M004R38 $\Gamma(\eta\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-6}) CL%

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<9.4	90	AKHMETSHIN	01	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$

NODE=M004R45
NODE=M004R45 $\Gamma(\eta U \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE CL%

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<1 × 10 ⁻⁶	90	¹ BABUSCI	13B	KLOE	1.02 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$

¹ For a narrow vector U with mass between 5 and 470 MeV, from the combined analysis of $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$ from ARCHILLI 12. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of m_U range from 2.2×10^{-8} to 10^{-6} .NODE=M004R01
NODE=M004R01

NODE=M004R01;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(K^+K^-)$ Γ_{31}/Γ_1

VALUE CL%

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<3.4 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	ABLIKIM	18S	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M004R02
NODE=M004R02

———— Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes ————

NODE=M00422A

 $\Gamma(e^\pm\mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE CL%

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<2 × 10 ⁻⁶	90	ACHASOV	10A	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^\pm\mu^\mp$

NODE=M004R29
NODE=M004R29

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^+e^-e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{33}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	25AK BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta$
¹ $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.5)\%$ was used.				

NODE=M004R05
 NODE=M004R05

NODE=M004R05;LINKAGE=A

 $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 / \rho\pi$ AMPLITUDE RATIO a_1 IN DECAY OF $\phi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NIECKNIG 12 describes final-state interactions between the three pions in a dispersive framework using data on the $\pi\pi$ P -wave scattering phase shift.

NODE=M004D1

NODE=M004D1

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.1±1.2 OUR AVERAGE					
10.1±4.4±1.7	80k		¹ AKHMETSHIN 06	CMD2	1.017–1.021 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
9.0±1.1±0.6	1.98M		^{2,3} ALOISIO 03	KLOE	1.02 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$-6 < a_1 < 6$	500k		³ ACHASOV 02	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$-16 < a_1 < 11$	90 9.8k		^{1,4} AKHMETSHIN 98	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
¹ Dalitz plot analysis taking into account interference between the contact and $\rho\pi$ amplitudes.					
² From a fit without limitations on charged and neutral ρ masses and widths.					
³ Recalculated by us to match the notations of AKHMETSHIN 98.					
⁴ Assuming zero phase for the contact term.					

NODE=M004D1

NODE=M004D1;LINKAGE=AK

NODE=M004D;LINKAGE=L1

NODE=M004D;LINKAGE=L3

NODE=M004D1;LINKAGE=KL

PARAMETER β IN $\phi \rightarrow P e^+ e^-$ DECAYS

In the one-pole approximation the electromagnetic transition form factor for $\phi \rightarrow P e^+ e^-$ ($P = \pi, \eta$) is given as a function of the $e^+ e^-$ invariant mass squared, q^2 , by the expression:

$$|F(q^2)|^2 = (1 - q^2/\Lambda^2)^{-2},$$

where vector meson dominance predicts parameter $\Lambda \approx 0.770$ GeV ($\Lambda^{-2} \approx 1.687$ GeV $^{-2}$). The slope of this form factor, $\beta = dF/dq^2(q^2=0)$, equals Λ^{-2} in this approximation.

The measurements below obtain β in the one-pole approximation.

NODE=M004230

NODE=M004230

PARAMETER β IN $\phi \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$ DECAY

VALUE (GeV $^{-2}$)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.02±0.11	9.5k	¹ ANASTASI 16B	KLOE	1.02 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+e^-$
¹ The error combines statistical and systematic uncertainties.				

NODE=M004A00
 NODE=M004A00

NODE=M004A00;LINKAGE=A

PARAMETER β IN $\phi \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$ DECAY

VALUE (GeV $^{-2}$)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.29±0.13 OUR AVERAGE				
1.28±0.10 $^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	30k	BABUSCI 15	KLOE	1.02 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$
3.8 ±1.8	213	¹ ACHASOV 01B	SND	1.02 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$
¹ The uncertainty is statistical only. The systematic one is negligible, in comparison.				

NODE=M004BFP
 NODE=M004BFP

NODE=M004BFP;LINKAGE=A

 $\phi(1020)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM 25A	PRL 134 011904	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 25AK	CP C49 043001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 25F	PRL 134 201902	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
DRUZHININ 25	PPN 56 405	V.P. Druzhinin <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AAIJ 24B	JHEP 2405 293	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ACHASOV 24	PR D110 072001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
DUBNICKA 24	PR D110 054019	S. Dubnicka <i>et al.</i>	(SLOV, CMNS)
IGNATOV 24	PR D109 112002	F.V. Ignatov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
PDG 22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM 21AE	PR D104 012016	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 21U	PR D104 032011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES 21B	PR D104 112003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ALBRECHT 20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
HOID 20	EPJ C80 988	B.-L. Hoid, M. Hoferichter, B. Kubis	(BONN, BERN)
AAIJ 19H	JHEP 1904 063	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
HOERICHT... 19	JHEP 1908 137	M. Hoferichter, B.-L. Hoid, B. Kubis	(WASH, BONN)
ABLIKIM 18S	PR D98 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AOUDE 18	PR D98 056021	R.T. Aoude <i>et al.</i>	
KOZYREV 18	PL B779 64	E.A. Kozyrev <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN 17	PL B768 345	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)

NODE=M004

REFID=63174
 REFID=63282
 REFID=63247
 REFID=63287
 REFID=62690
 REFID=63024
 REFID=63023
 REFID=62672
 REFID=61634
 REFID=61367
 REFID=61155
 REFID=61450
 REFID=60439
 REFID=61048
 REFID=59670
 REFID=60974
 REFID=58971
 REFID=59987
 REFID=58794
 REFID=57893

ACHASOV	16A	PR D93 092001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=57513
ANASTASI	16B	PL B757 362	A. Anastasi <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)	REFID=57399
KOZYREV	16	PL B760 314	E.A. Kozyrev <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)	REFID=57514
BABUSCI	15	PL B742 1	D. Babusci <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)	REFID=56374
PDG	15	RPP 2015 at pdg.lbl.gov		(PDG Collab.)	REFID=56977;ERROR=7
LEES	14H	PR D89 092002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55940
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=55687
BABUSCI	13B	PL B720 111	D. Babusci <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)	REFID=55068
BENAYOUN	13	EPJ C73 2453	M. Benayoun, P. David, L. DelBuono (PARIN, BERLIN+)		REFID=55357
LEES	13F	PR D87 052010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55127
LEES	13Q	PR D88 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55404
ARCHILLI	12	PL B706 251	F. Archilli <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)	REFID=53951
BENAYOUN	12	EPJ C72 1848	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>		REFID=54281
NIECKNIG	12	EPJ C72 2014	F. Niecknig, B. Kubis, S.P. Schneider	(BONN)	REFID=54305
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=54066
AKHMETSHIN	11	PL B695 412	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=53645
ACHASOV	10A	PR D81 057102	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=53352
BENAYOUN	10	EPJ C65 211	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>		REFID=53212
AMBROSINO	09C	PL B679 10	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=52969
AMBROSINO	09F	PL B681 5	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=53105
AKHMETSHIN	08	PL B669 217	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=52572
AMBROSINO	08G	PL B669 223	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=52573
AULCHENKO	08	JETPL 88 85	V. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=52268
Translated from ZETFP 88 93.					
FLOREZ-BAEZ	08	PR D78 077301	F.V. Florez-Baez, G. Lopez Castro		REFID=52584
ACHASOV	07B	PR D76 077101	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=51942
AMBROSINO	07	EPJ C49 473	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=51616
AMBROSINO	07A	PL B648 267	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=51646
DUBYSNKIY	07	PR D75 113001	S. Dubynskiy <i>et al.</i>		REFID=51719
ACHASOV	06A	PR D74 014016	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=51133
AKHMETSHIN	06	PL B642 203	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=51465
AKHMETSHIN	05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=50330
AMBROSINO	05	PL B608 199	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=50453
AUBERT,B	05J	PR D72 052008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50824
AKHMETSHIN	04	PL B578 285	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=49609
AUBERT,B	04N	PR D70 072004	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50184
ALOISIO	03	PL B561 55	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=49404
AULCHENKO	03B	JETP 97 24	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=49613
Translated from ZETFP 124 28.					
ACHASOV	02	PR D65 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=48549
ACHASOV	02D	JETPL 75 449	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=48814
Translated from ZETFP 75 539.					
ALOISIO	02C	PL B536 209	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=48823
ALOISIO	02D	PL B537 21	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=48824
ALOISIO	02E	PL B541 45	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=48825
FISCHBACH	02	PL B526 355	E. Fischbach, A.W. Overhauser, B. Woodahl		REFID=48575
GOKALP	02	JP G28 2783	A. Gokalp <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49167
ACHASOV	01B	PL B504 275	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=48111
ACHASOV	01E	PR D63 072002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=48311
ACHASOV	01F	PR D63 094007	N.N. Achasov, V.V. Gubin	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=48312
ACHASOV	01G	PRL 86 1698	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=48315
AITALA	01B	PRL 86 770	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=48005
AKHMETSHIN	01	PL B501 191	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=48110
AKHMETSHIN	01B	PL B509 217	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=48167
AKHMETSHIN	01C	PL B503 237	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=48323
BENAYOUN	01	EPJ C22 503	M. Benayoun, H.B. O'Connell		REFID=48570
ACHASOV	00	EPJ C12 25	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47417
ACHASOV	00B	JETP 90 17	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47425
Translated from ZETFP 117 22.					
ACHASOV	00C	PL B474 188	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47431
ACHASOV	00D	JETPL 72 282	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47882
Translated from ZETFP 72 411.					
ACHASOV	00E	NP B569 158	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47927
ACHASOV	00F	PL B479 53	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47928
ACHASOV	00H	PL B485 349	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47930
AKHMETSHIN	00B	PL B473 337	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=47422
AKHMETSHIN	00E	PL B491 81	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=47936
AKHMETSHIN	00F	PL B494 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=47937
AULCHENKO	00A	JETP 90 927	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47953
Translated from ZETFP 117 1067.					
BRAMON	00	PL B486 406	A. Bramon <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47969
PDG	00	EPJ C15 1	D.E. Groom <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=47469
ACHASOV	99	PL B449 122	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46896
ACHASOV	99C	PL B456 304	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46939
AKHMETSHIN	99B	PL B462 371	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=47392
AKHMETSHIN	99C	PL B462 380	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=47393
AKHMETSHIN	99D	PL B466 385	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47397
Also		PL B508 217 (errat.)	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=48328
AKHMETSHIN	99F	PL B460 242	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=47473
AULCHENKO	99	JETPL 69 97	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46920
Translated from ZETFP 69 87.					
ACHASOV	98B	PL B438 441	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=46317
ACHASOV	98F	JETPL 68 573	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=46321
ACHASOV	98I	PL B440 442	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46600
AKHMETSHIN	98	PL B434 426	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=46325
AULCHENKO	98	PL B436 199	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=46336
BARBERIS	98	PL B432 436	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=46344
AKHMETSHIN	97B	PL B415 445	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO, BOST, PITT+)	REFID=45801
AKHMETSHIN	97C	PL B415 452	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=45802
BENAYOUN	96	ZPHY C72 221	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>	(IPNP, NOVO)	REFID=45753
AKHMETSHIN	95	PL B364 199	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=44617
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41369
KUHN	90	ZPHY C48 445	J.H. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)	REFID=45862
ACHASOV	89	NP B315 465	N.N. Achasov, V.N. Ivanchenko		REFID=48021
DOLINSKY	89	ZPHY C42 511	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41003
BARKOV	88	SJNP 47 248	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41024
Translated from YAF 47 393.					
DOLINSKY	88	SJNP 48 277	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41022
Translated from YAF 48 442.					

DRUZHININ	87	ZPHY C37 1	V.P. Druzhinin <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=40448
ARMSTRONG	86	PL 166B 245	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=20563
ATKINSON	86	ZPHY C30 521	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)	REFID=20564
BEBEK	86	PRL 56 1893	C. Bebek <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=11540
DAVENPORT	86	PR D33 2519	T.F. Davenport	(TUFTS, ARIZ, FNAL, FSU, NDAM+)	REFID=20567
DIJKSTRA	86	ZPHY C31 375	H. Dijkstra <i>et al.</i>	(ANIK, BRIS, CERN+)	REFID=20568
FRAME	86	NP B276 667	D. Frame <i>et al.</i>	(GLAS)	REFID=20569
GOLUBEV	86	SJNP 44 409	V.B. Golubev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=40449
ALBRECHT	85D	Translated from YAF 44 633. PL 153B 343	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=20562
GOLUBEV	85	SJNP 41 756	V.B. Golubev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=40450
DRUZHININ	84	Translated from YAF 41 1183. PL 144B 136	V.P. Druzhinin <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20561
ARMSTRONG	83B	NP B224 193	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+)	REFID=20558
BARATE	83	PL 121B 449	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, LOIC, SHMP, IND)	REFID=12177
KURDADZE	83C	JETPL 38 366	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20560
ARENTON	82	Translated from ZETFP 38 306. PR D25 2241	M.W. Arenton <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, ILL)	REFID=20556
PELLINEN	82	PS 25 599	A. Pellinen, M. Roos	(HELS)	REFID=20557
DAUM	81	PL 100B 439	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, BRIS, CERN, CRAC+)	REFID=20552
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20553
VASSERMAN	81	Also Private Comm. PL 99B 62	S.I. Eidelman	(NOVO)	REFID=20554
		Also SJNP 35 240	I.B. Vasserman <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20555
		Translated from YAF 35 352.	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47475
CORDIER	80	NP B172 13	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)	REFID=20240
CORDIER	79	PL 81B 389	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)	REFID=20549
BUKIN	78B	SJNP 27 521	A.D. Bukin <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20545
BUKIN	78C	Translated from YAF 27 985. SJNP 27 516	A.D. Bukin <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20544
COOPER	78B	Translated from YAF 27 976. NP B146 1	A.M. Cooper <i>et al.</i>	(TATA, CERN, CDEF+)	REFID=20235
LOSTY	78	NP B133 38	M.J. Losty <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, AMST, NIJM+)	REFID=20547
AKERLOF	77	PRL 39 861	C.W. Akerlof <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, MICH, PURD)	REFID=20534
ANDREWS	77	PRL 38 198	D.E. Andrews <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH)	REFID=20120
BALDI	77	PL 68B 381	R. Baldi <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA)	REFID=20536
CERRADA	77B	NP B126 241	M. Cerrada <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, NIJM+)	REFID=20537
COHEN	77	PRL 38 269	D. Cohen <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)	REFID=20538
LAVEN	77	NP B127 43	H. Laven <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, CERN, LOIC+)	REFID=20541
LYONS	77	NP B125 207	L. Lyons, A.M. Cooper, A.G. Clark	(OXF)	REFID=20232
COSME	76	PL 63B 352	G. Cosme <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)	REFID=20529
KALBFLEISCH	76	PR D13 22	G.R. Kalbfleisch, R.C. Strand, J.W. Chapman	(BNL+)	REFID=20531
PARROUR	76	PL 63B 357	G. Parrou <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)	REFID=20532
PARROUR	76B	PL 63B 362	G. Parrou <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)	REFID=20533
KALBFLEISCH	75	PR D11 987	G.R. Kalbfleisch, R.C. Strand, J.W. Chapman	(BNL+)	REFID=20223
AYRES	74	PRL 32 1463	D.S. Ayres <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)	REFID=20522
BESCH	74	NP B70 257	H.J. Besch <i>et al.</i>	(BONN)	REFID=20523
COSME	74	PL 48B 155	G. Cosme <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)	REFID=20525
COSME	74B	PL 48B 159	G. Cosme <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)	REFID=20526
DEGROOT	74	NP B74 77	A.J. de Groot <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, NIJM)	REFID=20527
AUGUSTIN	73	PRL 30 462	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)	REFID=47515
BALLAM	73	PR D7 3150	J. Ballam <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=20520
BINNIE	73B	PR D8 2789	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)	REFID=20216
AGUILAR-...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)	REFID=20205
ALVENSLEB...	72	PRL 28 66	H. Alvensleben <i>et al.</i>	(MIT, DESY)	REFID=20514
BORENSTEIN	72	PR D5 1559	S.R. Borenstein <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, MICH)	REFID=20215
COLLEY	72	NP B50 1	D.C. Colley <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, GLAS)	REFID=20519
BALAKIN	71	PL 34B 328	V.E. Balakin <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20507
CHATELUS	71	Thesis LAL 1247	Y. Chatelus	(STRB)	REFID=20508
		Also PL 32B 416	J.C. Bizot <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)	REFID=20501
HAYES	71	PR D4 899	S. Hayes <i>et al.</i>	(CORN)	REFID=20511
STOTTLE...	71	Thesis ORO 2504 170	A.R. Stottlemyer	(UMD)	REFID=20512
BIZOT	70	PL 32B 416	J.C. Bizot <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)	REFID=20501
		Also Liverpool Sym. 69	J.P. Perez-y-Jorba		REFID=20502
EARLES	70	PRL 25 1312	D.R. Earles <i>et al.</i>	(NEAS)	REFID=20504
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai		REFID=48054
LINDSEY	66	PR 147 913	J.S. Lindsey, G. Smith	(LRL)	REFID=20481
LONDON	66	PR 143 1034	G.W. London <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA) IGJPC	REFID=11774
BADIER	65B	PL 17 337	J. Badier <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, SACL, AMST)	REFID=20253
LINDSEY	65	PRL 15 221	J.S. Lindsey, G.A. Smith	(LRL)	REFID=20478
LINDSEY	65 data included in LINDSEY 66.				
SCHLEIN	63	PRL 10 368	P.E. Schlein <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA) IGJP	REFID=20474

NODE=M030

$h_1(1170)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{+-})$

$h_1(1170)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1166± 5±3	¹ ANDO	92	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1168± 4	ANDO	92	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
1190±60	² DANKOWY...	81	SPEC 0	8 $\pi p \rightarrow 3\pi n$
¹ Average and spread of values using 2 variants of the model of BOWLER 75.				
² Uses the model of BOWLER 75.				

NODE=M030M

NODE=M030M
OCCUR=2

NODE=M030M;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M030M;LINKAGE=C

$h_1(1170)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
375± 6±34	³ ANDO	92	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
345± 6	ANDO	92	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
320±50	⁴ DANKOWY...	81	SPEC 0	8 $\pi p \rightarrow 3\pi n$
³ Average and spread of values using 2 variants of the model of BOWLER 75.				
⁴ Uses the model of BOWLER 75.				

NODE=M030W

NODE=M030W
OCCUR=2

NODE=M030W;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M030W;LINKAGE=C

$h_1(1170)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \rho\pi$	seen

NODE=M030215;NODE=M030

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

$h_1(1170)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_1/Γ
VALUE				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	ANDO	92	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
seen	ATKINSON	84	OMEG	20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p$
seen	DANKOWY...	81	SPEC	8 $\pi p \rightarrow 3\pi n$

NODE=M030220

NODE=M030R1
NODE=M030R1

$h_1(1170)$ REFERENCES

ANDO	92	PL B291 496	A. Ando <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+)
ATKINSON	84	NP B231 15	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
DANKOWY...	81	PRL 46 580	J.A. Dankowych <i>et al.</i>	(TNTO, BNL, CARL+)
BOWLER	75	NP B97 227	M.G. Bowler <i>et al.</i>	(OXFTP, DARE)

NODE=M030

REFID=43171
REFID=20574
REFID=20572
REFID=20571

$b_1(1235)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{+-})$$


NODE=M011

 $b_1(1235)$ MASS

NODE=M011M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1229.5 ± 3.2 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.			
1225 ± 5		WEIDENAUER 93	ASTE		$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$
1235 ± 15		ALDE 92C	GAM2		38,100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 n$
1236 ± 16		FUKUI 91	SPEC		8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 n$
1222 ± 6		ATKINSON 84E	OMEG ±		25-55 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega \pi X$
1237 ± 7		ATKINSON 84E	OMEG 0		25-55 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega \pi X$
1239 ± 5		EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG -	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi p$
1251 ± 8	450	GESSAROLI 77	HBC	-	11 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \omega p$
1245 ± 11	890	FLATTE 76C	HBC	-	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \omega \Sigma^+$
1222 ± 4	1400	CHALOUPKA 74	HBC	-	3.9 $\pi^- p$
1220 ± 7	600	KARSHON 74B	HBC	+	4.9 $\pi^+ p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1190 ± 10		AUGUSTIN 89	DM2	±	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 5\pi$
1213 ± 5		ATKINSON 84C	OMEG 0		20-70 γp
1271 ± 11		COLLICK 84	SPEC	+	200 $\pi^+ Z \rightarrow Z \pi \omega$

OCCUR=2

 **$b_1(1235)$ WIDTH**

NODE=M011W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
142 ± 9 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
113 ± 12		WEIDENAUER 93	ASTE		$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$
160 ± 30		ALDE 92C	GAM2		38,100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 n$
151 ± 31		FUKUI 91	SPEC		8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 n$
170 ± 15		EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG -	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi p$
170 ± 50	225	BALTAY 78B	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
155 ± 32	450	GESSAROLI 77	HBC	-	11 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \omega p$
182 ± 45	890	FLATTE 76C	HBC	-	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \omega \Sigma^+$
135 ± 20	1400	CHALOUPKA 74	HBC	-	3.9 $\pi^- p$
156 ± 22	600	KARSHON 74B	HBC	+	4.9 $\pi^+ p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
210 ± 19		AUGUSTIN 89	DM2	±	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 5\pi$
231 ± 14		ATKINSON 84C	OMEG 0		20-70 γp
232 ± 29		COLLICK 84	SPEC	+	200 $\pi^+ Z \rightarrow Z \pi \omega$

NODE=M011W

$b_1(1235)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M011215;NODE=M011

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $\omega\pi$ [D/S amplitude ratio = 0.277 ± 0.027]	seen	
Γ_2 $\pi^\pm\gamma$	$(1.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_3 $\eta\rho$	seen	
Γ_4 $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	< 50 %	84%
Γ_5 $K^*(892)^\pm K^\mp$	seen	
Γ_6 $(K\bar{K})^\pm\pi^0$	< 8 %	90%
Γ_7 $K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^\pm$	< 6 %	90%
Γ_8 $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^\pm$	< 2 %	90%
Γ_9 $\phi\pi$	< 1.5 %	84%

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=9

DESIG=8;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=74

DESIG=71;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=73;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=72;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $b_1(1235)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M011220

$\Gamma(\pi^\pm\gamma)$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	Γ_2
VALUE (keV)					
230±60	COLLICK	84	SPEC	+	200 $\pi^+ Z \rightarrow Z\pi\omega$

NODE=M011W3


NODE=M011W3

 **$b_1(1235)$ D-wave/S-wave AMPLITUDE RATIO
IN DECAY OF $b_1(1235) \rightarrow \omega\pi$**

NODE=M011DS

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
0.277±0.027 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.4. See the ideogram below.				
0.269±0.009±0.010		NOZAR	02	MPS	− 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- p$	
0.23 ±0.03		AMSLER	94C	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \omega \eta \pi^0$	
0.45 ±0.04		AMSLER	93B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 \pi^0$	
0.235±0.047		ATKINSON	84C	OMEG	20–70 γp	
0.4 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.1 \\ -0.1 \end{smallmatrix}$		GESSAROLI	77	HBC	− 11 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \omega p$	
0.21 ±0.08		CHUNG	75B	HBC	+	7.1 $\pi^+ p$
0.3 ±0.1		CHALOUPKA	74	HBC	−	3.9–7.5 $\pi^- p$
0.35 ±0.25	600	KARSHON	74B	HBC	+	4.9 $\pi^+ p$

NODE=M011DS

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
0.277±0.027 (Error scaled by 2.4) $b_1(1235)$ D-wave/S-wave amplitude ratio in decay of $b_1(1235) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ **$b_1(1235)$ D-wave/S-wave AMPLITUDE PHASE DIFFERENCE
IN DECAY OF $b_1(1235) \rightarrow \omega\pi$**

NODE=M011PH

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
10.5±2.4±3.9	NOZAR	02	MPS	− 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^- p$

NODE=M011PH

$b_1(1235)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M011230

 $\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.10	ATKINSON	84D	OMEG 20-70 γp

NODE=M011R9
NODE=M011R9 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.5	ABOLINS	63	HBC	+ 3.5 $\pi^+ p$

NODE=M011R1
NODE=M011R1 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	10E	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^0$

NODE=M011R10
NODE=M011R10¹ From a fit including ten additional resonances and energy-independent Breit-Wigner width.

NODE=M011R10;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma((K\bar{K})^\pm \pi^0)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$ Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.08	90	BALTAY	67	HBC	$\pm$ 0.0 $\bar{p} p$

NODE=M011R6
NODE=M011R6 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^\pm)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.06	90	BALTAY	67	HBC	$\pm$ 0.0 $\bar{p} p$

NODE=M011R8
NODE=M011R8 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^\pm)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$ Γ_8/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.02	90	BALTAY	67	HBC	$\pm$ 0.0 $\bar{p} p$

NODE=M011R7
NODE=M011R7 $\Gamma(\phi\pi)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$ Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.004	95	VIKTOROV	96	SPEC	0 32.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$

NODE=M011R4
NODE=M011R4

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.04	95	BIZZARRI	69	HBC	$\pm$ 0.0 $\bar{p} p$
<0.015		DAHL	67	HBC	1.6-4.2 $\pi^- p$

 $b_1(1235)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M011

ABLIKIM	10E	PL B693 88	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=53361
NOZAR	02	PL B541 35	M. Nozar <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48850
VIKTOROV	96	PAN 59 1184	V.A. Viktorov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	REFID=45203
		Translated from YAF 59 1239.			
AMSLER	94C	PL B327 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44091
AMSLER	93B	PL B311 362	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=43602
WEIDENAUER	93	ZPHY C59 387	P. Weidenauer <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)	REFID=43585
ALDE	92C	ZPHY C54 553	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, SERP, KEK, LANL+)	REFID=41859
FUKUI	91	PL B257 241	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)	REFID=41581
AUGUSTIN	89	NP B320 1	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41004
ATKINSON	84C	NP B243 1	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+) JP	REFID=20625
ATKINSON	84D	NP B242 269	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)	REFID=20623
ATKINSON	84E	PL 138B 459	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)	REFID=20624
COLLICK	84	PRL 53 2374	B. Collick <i>et al.</i>	(MINN, ROCH, FNAL)	REFID=20626
EVANGELIS...	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)	REFID=20462
BALTAY	78B	PR D17 62	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING)	REFID=21265
GESSAROLI	77	NP B126 382	R. Gessaroli <i>et al.</i>	(BGNA, FIRZ, GENO+) JP	REFID=20230
FLATTE	76C	PL 64B 225	S.M. Flatte <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, AMST, NIJM+) JP	REFID=20615
CHUNG	75B	PR D11 2426	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, LBL, UCSC) JP	REFID=20613
CHALOUPKA	74	PL 51B 407	V. Chaloupka <i>et al.</i>	(CERN) JP	REFID=20611
KARSHON	74B	PR D10 3608	U. Karshon <i>et al.</i>	(REHO) JP	REFID=20612
BIZZARRI	69	NP B14 169	R. Bizzarri <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)	REFID=20171
BALTAY	67	PRL 18 93	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)	REFID=20159
DAHL	67	PR 163 1377	O.I. Dahl <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)	REFID=20321
ABOLINS	63	PRL 11 381	M.A. Abolins <i>et al.</i>	(UCSD)	REFID=20006

$a_1(1260)$

$$J^{PC} = 1^-(1^+ +)$$

See also our review under the $a_1(1260)$ in PDG 06, Journal of Physics **G33** 1 (2006).

NODE=M010

NODE=M010

 $a_1(1260)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

NODE=M010PP

NODE=M010PP

NODE=M010PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(1209^{+13}_{-10}) - i(288^{+45}_{-12})$ OUR ESTIMATE			
$(1209 \pm 4^{+12}_{-9}) - i(288 \pm 6^{+45}_{-10})$	MIKHASENKO 18	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau$

 $a_1(1260)$ MASS

NODE=M010M

NODE=M010M

→ UNCHECKED ←

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1230 ± 40 OUR ESTIMATE				
1299 $\pm 12_{-28}$	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B	COMP $190 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1195.05 $\pm 1.05 \pm 6.33$	894k	AAIJ	18A	LHCB $D^0 \rightarrow K^\mp \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
1225 $\pm 9 \pm 20$	7k	² DARGENT	17	RVUE $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$
1255 $\pm 6 \pm 7_{-17}$	420k	³ ALEKSEEV	10	COMP $190 \pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- Pb'$
1243 $\pm 12 \pm 20$		⁴ AUBERT	07AU	BABR $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho^0 \pi^\pm \pi^\mp \gamma$
1230–1270	6360	⁵ LINK	07A	FOCS $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$
1203 ± 3		⁶ GOMEZ-DUM..04	RVUE	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau$
1330 ± 24	90k	SALVINI	04	OBLX $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1331 $\pm 10 \pm 3$	37k	⁷ ASNER	00	CLE2 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^- , \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1255 $\pm 7 \pm 6$	5904	⁸ ABREU	98G	DLPH $e^+ e^-$
1207 $\pm 5 \pm 8$	5904	⁹ ABREU	98G	DLPH $e^+ e^-$
1196 $\pm 4 \pm 5$	5904	^{10,11} ABREU	98G	DLPH $e^+ e^-$
1240 ± 10		BARBERIS	98B	$450 p p \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$
1262 $\pm 9 \pm 7$		^{8,12} ACKERSTAFF	97R	OPAL $E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi \nu$
1210 $\pm 7 \pm 2$		^{9,12} ACKERSTAFF	97R	OPAL $E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi \nu$
1211 $\pm 7 \pm 50_{-0}$		⁹ ALBRECHT	93C	ARG $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1121 ± 8		¹³ ANDO	92	SPEC $8 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
1242 ± 37		¹⁴ IVANOV	91	RVUE $\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1260 ± 14		¹⁵ IVANOV	91	RVUE $\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1250 ± 9		¹⁶ IVANOV	91	RVUE $\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1208 ± 15		ARMSTRONG	90	OMEG $300.0 p p \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1220 ± 15		¹⁷ ISGUR	89	RVUE $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1260 ± 25		¹⁸ BOWLER	88	RVUE
1166 $\pm 18 \pm 11$		BAND	87	MAC $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1164 $\pm 41 \pm 23$		BAND	87	MAC $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu$
1250 ± 40		¹⁷ TORNQVIST	87	RVUE
1046 ± 11		ALBRECHT	86B	ARG $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1056 $\pm 20 \pm 15$		RUCKSTUHL	86	DLCO $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1194 $\pm 14 \pm 10$		SCHMIDKE	86	MRK2 $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1255 ± 23		BELLINI	85	SPEC $40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1240 ± 80		¹⁹ DANKOWY...	81	SPEC $8.45 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 3\pi$
1280 ± 30		¹⁹ DAUM	81B	CNTR $63,94 \pi^- p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
1041 ± 13		²⁰ GAVILLET	77	HBC $4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma 3\pi$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

- ¹ Statistical error negligible.
² Reanalysis of CLEO data using Breit-Wigner parameterization.
³ Superseded by AGHASYAN 2018B.
⁴ The $\rho^\pm \pi^\mp$ state can be also due to the $\pi(1300)$.
⁵ Using the Breit-Wigner parameterization; strong correlation between mass and width.
⁶ Using the data of BARATE 98R.
⁷ From a fit to the 3π mass spectrum including the $K\bar{K}^*(892)$ threshold.
⁸ Uses the model of KUHN 90.
⁹ Uses the model of ISGUR 89.
¹⁰ Includes the effect of a possible a_1' state.
¹¹ Uses the model of FEINDT 90.
¹² Supersedes AKERS 95P.
¹³ Average and spread of values using 2 variants of the model of BOWLER 75.
¹⁴ Reanalysis of RUCKSTUHL 86.
¹⁵ Reanalysis of SCHMIDKE 86.
¹⁶ Reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B.
¹⁷ From a combined reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B, SCHMIDKE 86, and RUCKSTUHL 86.
¹⁸ From a combined reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B and DAUM 81B.
¹⁹ Uses the model of BOWLER 75.
²⁰ Produced in K^- backward scattering.

NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=Q
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=V
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=R
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=AU
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=LI
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=GO
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=B6
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=KS
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=IM
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=A1
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=F1
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=X
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=P
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=I
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=L
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=M
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=K
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=G
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=F

$a_1(1260)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EPTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
250 to 600 OUR ESTIMATE				
380 ± 80	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B	COMP 190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
422.01 ± 2.10 ± 12.72	894k	AAIJ	18AI	LHCB $D^0 \rightarrow K^\mp \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
430 ± 24 ± 31		² DARGENT	17	RVUE $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$
367 ± 9 ± 28 - 25	420k	³ ALEKSEEV	10	COMP 190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$
410 ± 31 ± 30		⁴ AUBERT	07AU	BABR 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^\pm \pi^\mp \gamma$
520–680	6360	⁵ LINK	07A	FOCS $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$
480 ± 20		⁶ GOMEZ-DUM...	04	RVUE $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau$
580 ± 41	90k	SALVINI	04	OBLX $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
460 ± 85	205	⁷ DRUTSKOY	02	BELL $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} K^* 0$
814 ± 36 ± 13	37k	⁸ ASNER	00	CLE2 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-, \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
450 ± 50	22k	⁹ AKHMETSHIN	99E	CMD2 1.05–1.38 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
570 ± 10		¹⁰ BONDAR	99	RVUE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 4\pi, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi \nu_\tau$
587 ± 27 ± 21	5904	¹¹ ABREU	98G	DLPH $e^+ e^-$
478 ± 3 ± 15	5904	¹² ABREU	98G	DLPH $e^+ e^-$
425 ± 14 ± 8	5904	^{13,14} ABREU	98G	DLPH $e^+ e^-$
400 ± 35		BARBERIS	98B	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$
621 ± 32 ± 58		^{11,15} ACKERSTAFF	97R	OPAL $E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi \nu$
457 ± 15 ± 17		^{12,15} ACKERSTAFF	97R	OPAL $E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi \nu$
446 ± 21 ± 140 - 0		¹² ALBRECHT	93C	ARG $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
239 ± 11		ANDO	92	SPEC 8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
266 ± 13 ± 4		¹⁶ ANDO	92	SPEC 8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
465 ± 228 - 143		¹⁷ IVANOV	91	RVUE $\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
298 ± 40 - 34		¹⁸ IVANOV	91	RVUE $\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
488 ± 32		¹⁹ IVANOV	91	RVUE $\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
430 ± 50		ARMSTRONG	90	OMEG 300.0 $pp \rightarrow pp \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
420 ± 40		²⁰ ISGUR	89	RVUE $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
396 ± 43		²¹ BOWLER	88	RVUE
405 ± 75 ± 25		BAND	87	MAC $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$

NODE=M010W

NODE=M010W
 → UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2
 OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

419	± 108	± 57	BAND	87	MAC	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu$
521	± 27		ALBRECHT	86B	ARG	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
476	$+132$ -120	± 54	RUCKSTUHL	86	DLCO	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
462	± 56	± 30	SCHMIDKE	86	MRK2	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
292	± 40		BELLINI	85	SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
380	± 100		22 DANKOWY...	81	SPEC	$8.45 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 3\pi$
300	± 50		22 DAUM	81B	CNTR	$63.94 \pi^- p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
230	± 50		23 GAVILLET	77	HBC	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma 3\pi$

OCCUR=2

- ¹ Statistical error negligible.
² Reanalysis of CLEO data using Breit-Wigner parameterization.
³ Superseded by AGHASYAN 2018B.
⁴ The $\rho^\pm \pi^\mp$ state can be also due to the $\pi(1300)$.
⁵ Using the Breit-Wigner parameterization; strong correlation between mass and width.
⁶ Using the data of BARATE 98R.
⁷ From a fit of the $K^- K^{*0}$ distribution assuming $m_{a_1} = 1230$ MeV and purely resonant production of the $K^- K^{*0}$ system.
⁸ From a fit to the 3π mass spectrum including the $K \bar{K}^*(892)$ threshold.
⁹ Using the $a_1(1260)$ mass of 1230 MeV.
¹⁰ From AKHMETSHIN 99E and ASNER 00 data using the $a_1(1260)$ mass of 1230 MeV.
¹¹ Uses the model of KUHN 90.
¹² Uses the model of ISGUR 89.
¹³ Includes the effect of a possible a_1' state.
¹⁴ Uses the model of FEINDT 90.
¹⁵ Supersedes AKERS 95P.
¹⁶ Average and spread of values using 2 variants of the model of BOWLER 75.
¹⁷ Reanalysis of RUCKSTUHL 86.
¹⁸ Reanalysis of SCHMIDKE 86.
¹⁹ Reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B.
²⁰ From a combined reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B, SCHMIDKE 86, and RUCKSTUHL 86.
²¹ From a combined reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B and DAUM 81B.
²² Uses the model of BOWLER 75.
²³ Produced in K^- backward scattering.

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=Q
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=V
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=R
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=AU
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=LI
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=GO
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=DR

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=B6
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=WE
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=WB
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=KS
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=IM
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=A1
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=F1
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=X
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=P
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=I
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=L
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=M
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=K
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=G
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=F

 $a_1(1260)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M010215;NODE=M010

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 3π	seen
Γ_2 $(\rho\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}, \rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$	seen
Γ_3 $(\rho\pi)_{D\text{-wave}}, \rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$	seen
Γ_4 $(\rho(1450)\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}, \rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$	seen
Γ_5 $(\rho(1450)\pi)_{D\text{-wave}}, \rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$	seen
Γ_6 $f_0(500)\pi, f_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$	seen
Γ_7 $f_0(980)\pi, f_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$	seen
Γ_8 $f_0(1370)\pi, f_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$	seen
Γ_9 $f_2(1270)\pi, f_2 \rightarrow \pi\pi$	seen
Γ_{10} $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	seen
Γ_{11} $\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$	not seen
Γ_{12} $KK\pi$	seen
Γ_{13} $K^*(892)K$	seen
Γ_{14} $\pi\gamma$	seen

DESIG=17;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=7;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=8;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=9;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=10;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=16;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=11
 DESIG=12;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=13;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=22
 DESIG=23;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=18;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=14;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $a_1(1260)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M010220

$\Gamma(\pi\gamma)$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{14}
VALUE (keV)				
640 ± 246	ZIELINSKI	84C	SPEC	$200 \pi^+ Z \rightarrow Z 3\pi$

NODE=M010W4
 NODE=M010W4

D-wave/S-wave AMPLITUDE RATIO IN DECAY OF $a_1(1260) \rightarrow \rho\pi$


NODE=M010DS

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.062 ± 0.020 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.3. See the ideogram below.		
$-0.043 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.005$	LINK	07A	FOCS $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$
$-0.14 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.07$	¹ CHUNG	02	B852 $18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
$-0.10 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02$	^{2,3} ACKERSTAFF	97R	OPAL $E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu$
-0.11 ± 0.02	² ALBRECHT	93C	ARG $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$

NODE=M010DS

- ¹ Deck-type background not subtracted.
² Uses the model of ISGUR 89.
³ Supersedes AKERS 95P.

NODE=M010DS;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M010DS;LINKAGE=IM
 NODE=M010DS;LINKAGE=X



$a_1(1260)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma((\rho\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}, \rho \rightarrow \pi\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
60.19	37k	¹ ASNER	00 CLE2	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\nu_\tau$

¹ From a fit to the Dalitz plot.

$\Gamma((\rho\pi)_{D\text{-wave}}, \rho \rightarrow \pi\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1.30 \pm 0.60 \pm 0.22$	37k	¹ ASNER	00 CLE2	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\nu_\tau$

¹ From a fit to the Dalitz plot.

$\Gamma((\rho(1450)\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}, \rho \rightarrow \pi\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.56 \pm 0.84 \pm 0.32$	37k	^{1,2} ASNER	00 CLE2	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\nu_\tau$

¹ From a fit to the Dalitz plot.

² Assuming for $\rho(1450)$ mass and width of 1370 and 386 MeV respectively.

$\Gamma((\rho(1450)\pi)_{D\text{-wave}}, \rho \rightarrow \pi\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$2.04 \pm 1.20 \pm 0.28$	37k	^{1,2} ASNER	00 CLE2	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\nu_\tau$

¹ From a fit to the Dalitz plot.

² Assuming for $\rho(1450)$ mass and width of 1370 and 386 MeV respectively.

NODE=M010225

NODE=M010R5
 NODE=M010R5

NODE=M010R5;LINKAGE=B1

NODE=M010R6
 NODE=M010R6

NODE=M010R6;LINKAGE=B1

NODE=M010R7
 NODE=M010R7

NODE=M010R7;LINKAGE=B1
 NODE=M010R7;LINKAGE=B2

NODE=M010R8
 NODE=M010R8

NODE=M010R8;LINKAGE=B1
 NODE=M010R8;LINKAGE=B2

$\Gamma(f_0(500)\pi, f_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen		CHUNG	02	B852 $18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
$18.76 \pm 4.29 \pm 1.48$	37k	^{1,2} ASNER	00	CLE2 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

¹ From a fit to the Dalitz plot.² Assuming for $f_0(500)$ (σ) mass and width of 860 and 880 MeV respectively.NODE=M010R9
NODE=M010R9NODE=M010R9;LINKAGE=B1
NODE=M010R9;LINKAGE=B3 $\Gamma(f_0(500)\pi, f_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi)/[\Gamma((\rho\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}, \rho \rightarrow \pi\pi) + \Gamma((\rho\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}, \rho \rightarrow \pi\pi)]$ $\Gamma_6/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_2)$

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.06 ± 0.05	90k	SALVINI	04	OBLX $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
~ 0.3	28k	AKHMETSHIN 99E	CMD2	$1.05\text{--}1.38 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
0.003 ± 0.003		¹ LONGACRE	82	RVUE

¹ Uses multichannel Aitchison-Bowler model (BOWLER 75). Uses data from GAVIL-LET 77, DAUM 80, and DANKOWYCH 81.NODE=M010R4
NODE=M010R4

NODE=M010R4;LINKAGE=E

 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\pi, f_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen		¹ ALEXEEV	21	COMP $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	37k	ASNER	00	CLE2 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

¹ The $a_1(1260)^- \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^-$ decay mode via the Triangle Singularity mechanism from MIKHASENKO 15 and ACETI 16 explains the $a_1(1420)^-$ signal observed by ADOLPH 15C.NODE=M010R10
NODE=M010R10

NODE=M010R10;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(f_0(1370)\pi, f_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$7.40 \pm 2.71 \pm 1.26$	37k	^{1,2} ASNER	00	CLE2 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

¹ From a fit to the Dalitz plot.² Assuming for $f_0(1370)$ mass and width of 1186 and 350 MeV respectively.NODE=M010R11
NODE=M010R11NODE=M010R11;LINKAGE=B1
NODE=M010R11;LINKAGE=B4 $\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi, f_2 \rightarrow \pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1.19 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.17$	37k	^{1,2} ASNER	00	CLE2 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

¹ From a fit to the Dalitz plot.² Assuming for $f_2(1270)$ mass and width of 1275 and 185 MeV respectively.NODE=M010R12
NODE=M010R12NODE=M010R12;LINKAGE=B1
NODE=M010R12;LINKAGE=B5 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
seen	BARBERIS 98B	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$

NODE=M010R00
NODE=M010R00 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ Γ_{11}/Γ_{10}

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
< 0.008	90	¹ BARBERIS	01 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 3\pi^0 p_s$

¹ Inconsistent with observations of $\sigma\pi$, $f_0(1370)\pi$, and $f_2(1270)\pi$ decay modes.NODE=M010R15
NODE=M010R15

NODE=M010R15;LINKAGE=RB

$\Gamma(K^*(892)K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.2 ± 0.5	2255	¹ COAN	04 CLEO	$\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau$
8 to 15	205	² DRUTSKOY	02 BELL	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)} K^- K^{*0}$
$3.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1$	37k	³ ASNER	00 CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
2.6 ± 0.3		⁴ BARATE	99R ALEP	$\tau \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \nu_\tau$

¹ Using structure functions from KUHN 92 and DECKER 93A and $B(\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau) = (0.155 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.009)\%$ from BRIERE 03.

² From a comparison to ALAM 94 assuming purely resonant production of the $K^- K^{*0}$ system.

³ From a fit to the 3π mass spectrum including the $K \bar{K}^*(892)$ threshold.

⁴ Assuming $a_1(1260)$ dominance and taking $B(\tau \rightarrow a_1(1260) \nu_\tau)$ from BUSKULIC 96.

NODE=M010R13
NODE=M010R13

NODE=M010R13;LINKAGE=CO

NODE=M010R13;LINKAGE=DR

NODE=M010R13;LINKAGE=B6
NODE=M010R13;LINKAGE=BA

$a_1(1260)$ REFERENCES

ALEXEEV	21	PRL 127 082501	G.D. Alexeev <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
AAIJ	18AI	EPJ C78 443	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AGHASYAN	18B	PR D98 092003	M. Aghasyan <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
MIKHASENKO	18	PR D98 096021	M. Mikhasenko <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC Collab.)
DARGENT	17	JHEP 1705 143	P. d'Argent <i>et al.</i>	(HEID, BRIS)
ACETI	16	PR D94 096015	F. Aceti, L.R. Dai, E. Oset	(IFIC, LNUDA)
ADOLPH	15C	PRL 115 082001	C. Adolph <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
MIKHASENKO	15	PR D91 094015	M. Mikhasenko, B. Ketzner, A. Sarantsev	(BONN+)
ALEKSEEV	10	PRL 104 241803	M.G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LINK	07A	PR D75 052003	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
PDG	06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
COAN	04	PRL 92 232001	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
GOMEZ-DUM...	04	PR D69 073002	D. Gomez Dumm, A. Pich, J. Portoles	
SALVINI	04	EPJ C35 21	P. Salvini <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BRIERE	03	PRL 90 181802	R. A. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
DRUTSKOY	02	PL B542 171	A. Drutskoy <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BARBERIS	01	PL B507 14	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	
ASNER	00	PR D61 012002	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	99E	PL B466 392	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
BARATE	99R	EPJ C11 599	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BONDAR	99	PL B466 403	A.E. Bondar <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ABREU	98G	PL B426 411	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
BARATE	98R	EPJ C4 409	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARBERIS	98B	PL B422 399	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97R	ZPHY C75 593	K. Akerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96	ZPHY C70 579	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
AKERS	95P	ZPHY C67 45	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ALAM	94	PR D50 43	M.S. Alam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	93C	ZPHY C58 61	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
DECKER	93A	ZPHY C58 445	R. Decker <i>et al.</i>	
ANDO	92	PL B291 496	A. Ando <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+)
KUHN	92	ZPHY C56 661	J.H. Kuhn, E. Mirkes	
IVANOV	91	ZPHY C49 563	Y.P. Ivanov, A.A. Osipov, M.K. Volkov	(JINR)
ARMSTRONG	90	ZPHY C48 213	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun, W. Beusch	(WA76 Coll.)
FEINDT	90	ZPHY C48 681	M. Feindt	(HAMB)
KUHN	90	ZPHY C48 445	J.H. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
ISGUR	89	PR D39 1357	N. Isgur, C. Morningstar, C. Reader	(TNTO)
BOWLER	88	PL B209 99	M.G. Bowler	(OXF)
BAND	87	PL B198 297	H.R. Band <i>et al.</i>	(MAC Collab.)
TORNQVIST	87	ZPHY C36 695	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
ALBRECHT	86B	ZPHY C33 7	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
RUCKSTUHL	86	PRL 56 2132	W. Ruckstuhl <i>et al.</i>	(DELCO Collab.)
SCHMIDKE	86	PRL 57 527	W.B. Schmidke <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
BELLINI	85	SJNP 41 781	D. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 41 1223.		
ZIELINSKI	84C	PRL 52 1195	M. Zielinski <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, MINN, FNAL)
LONGACRE	82	PR D26 82	R.S. Longacre	(BNL)
DANKOWY...	81	PRL 46 580	J.A. Dankowycz <i>et al.</i>	(TNTO, BNL, CARL+)
DAUM	81B	NP B182 269	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
DAUM	80	PL 89B 281	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+) JP
GAVILLET	77	PL 69B 119	P. Gavillet <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, NIJ+)
BOWLER	75	NP B97 227	M.G. Bowler <i>et al.</i>	(OXFTP, DARE)

NODE=M010

REFID=62008
REFID=59187
REFID=59471
REFID=59487
REFID=58121
REFID=62015
REFID=56790
REFID=56825
REFID=53356
REFID=52049
REFID=51713
REFID=51004
REFID=49945
REFID=49771
REFID=53226
REFID=49360
REFID=48837
REFID=48780
REFID=48324
REFID=47339
REFID=47411
REFID=47366
REFID=47358
REFID=45909
REFID=46147
REFID=46345
REFID=45616
REFID=44588
REFID=44366
REFID=43738
REFID=43310
REFID=51577
REFID=43171
REFID=51576
REFID=41750
REFID=41375
REFID=45912
REFID=45862
REFID=40730
REFID=40578
REFID=40263
REFID=40030
REFID=20884
REFID=10349
REFID=10350
REFID=47490

REFID=20882
REFID=20878
REFID=20572
REFID=20872
REFID=20868
REFID=20852
REFID=20571

$f_2(1270)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

NODE=M005

 $f_2(1270)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$ Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

NODE=M005PP

NODE=M005PP

NODE=M005PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1260–1283) – i (90–110) OUR ESTIMATE			
$(1268 \pm 8) - i (101 \pm 6)$	RODAS	22	RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi\pi, K\bar{K})$
$(1263.3 \pm 0.2 \pm 1.5) - i$ $(96.9 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.8)$	ALBRECHT	20	RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta\eta,$ $\pi^0K^+K^-$
$(1270 \pm 8) - i (97 \pm 8)$	¹ ANISOVICH	09	RVUE $0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$
$(1278 \pm 5) - i (102 \pm 10)$	¹ BERTIN	97c	OBLX $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ Amplitude did not include dispersive corrections.

NODE=M005PP;LINKAGE=A

 $f_2(1270)$ MASS

NODE=M005M

NODE=M005M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1275.4 ± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
$1275.8 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.4$		¹ BOGOLYUB...	13	SPEC $7\pi^+(K^+,p)A \rightarrow n\gamma + X$
$1262 \pm \frac{1}{2} \pm 8$		² ABLIKIM	06v	BES2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow$ $\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1275 ± 15		ABLIKIM	05	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-$
1283 ± 5		ALDE	98	GAM4 $100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n$
1272 ± 8	200k	PROKOSHKIN	94	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n$
1269.7 ± 5.2	5730	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 5\pi$
1283 ± 8	400	³ ALDE	87	GAM4 $100 \pi^- p \rightarrow 4\pi^0 n$
1274 ± 5		³ AUGUSTIN	87	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1283 ± 6		⁴ LONGACRE	86	MPS $22 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$
1276 ± 7		COURAU	84	DLCO $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
1273.3 ± 2.3		⁵ CHABAUD	83	ASPK $17 \pi^- p$ polarized
1280 ± 4		⁶ CASON	82	STRC $8 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}\pi^0\pi^0$
1281 ± 7	11600	GIDAL	81	MRK2 J/ψ decay
1282 ± 5		⁷ CORDEN	79	OMEG $12-15 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2\pi$
1269 ± 4	10k	APEL	75	NICE $40 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2\pi^0$
1272 ± 4	4600	ENGLER	74	DBC $6 \pi^+ n \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-p$
1277 ± 4	5300	FLATTE	71	HBC $7.0 \pi^+ p$
1273 ± 8		³ STUNTEBECK	70	HBC $8 \pi^- p, 5.4 \pi^+ d$
1265 ± 8		BOESEBECK	68	HBC $8 \pi^+ p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1257 ± 6		⁸ KLEMP	22	RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0,$ $\gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
1263 ± 12		CARVER	21	CLAS $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 p$
$1259 \pm 4 \pm 4$	1.7k	^{9,10} DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
$1267 \pm 4 \pm 3$	1.5k	^{9,10} DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1277 ± 6	870	¹¹ SCHEGELSKY	06A	RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
1251 ± 10		TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC $40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
1260 ± 10		¹² ALDE	97	GAM2 $450 pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0\pi^0$
1278 ± 6		¹² GRYGOREV	96	SPEC $40 \pi^- N \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
1262 ± 11		AGUILAR-...	91	EHS $400 pp$
1275 ± 10		AKER	91	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
1220 ± 10		BREAKSTONE	90	SFM $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-$
1288 ± 12		ABACHI	86B	HRS $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 X$
1284 ± 30	3k	BINON	83	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2\eta$
1280 ± 20	3k	APEL	82	CNTR $25 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2\pi^0$
1284 ± 10	16000	DEUTSCH...	76	HBC $16 \pi^+ p$
1258 ± 10	600	TAKAHASHI	72	HBC $8 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2\pi$
1275 ± 13		ARMENISE	70	HBC $9 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p\pi^+\pi^-$
1261 ± 5	1960	³ ARMENISE	68	DBC $5.1 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p\pi^+ MM^-$
1270 ± 10	360	³ ARMENISE	68	DBC $5.1 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p\pi^0 MM$
1268 ± 6		¹³ JOHNSON	68	HBC $3.7-4.2 \pi^- p$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

- ¹ Averaged over six nuclear targets, no statistically significant dependence on target nucleus observed.
² Breit-Wigner mass.
³ Mass errors enlarged by us to $\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.
⁴ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.
⁵ From an energy-independent partial-wave analysis.
⁶ From an amplitude analysis of the reaction $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow 2\pi^0$.
⁷ From an amplitude analysis of $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ scattering data.
⁸ Fit of the tensor partial waves from BES3 in the multipole basis.
⁹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.
¹⁰ From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed $\Gamma = 185$ MeV.
¹¹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.
¹² Systematic uncertainties not estimated.
¹³ JOHNSON 68 includes BONDAR 63, LEE 64, DERADO 65, EISNER 67.

NODE=M005M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M005M;LINKAGE=K
 NODE=M005M;LINKAGE=T
 NODE=M005M;LINKAGE=L
 NODE=M005M;LINKAGE=O
 NODE=M005M;LINKAGE=P
 NODE=M005M;LINKAGE=S
 NODE=M005M;LINKAGE=Q
 NODE=M005M;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M005M;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M005M;LINKAGE=SC
 NODE=M005M;LINKAGE=QQ
 NODE=M005M;LINKAGE=J

 $f_2(1270)$ WIDTH

NODE=M005W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M005W

186.6^{+2.8}_{-2.2} OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.5.

185.8^{+2.8}_{-2.1} OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.

190.3 ± 1.9 ± 1.8		¹ BOGOLYUB...	13	SPEC	$7\pi^+(K^+,p)A \rightarrow n\gamma + X$
175 ⁺⁶ ₋₄ ± 10		² ABLIKIM	06v	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
190 ± 20		ABLIKIM	05	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-$
171 ± 10		ALDE	98	GAM4	$100\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$
192 ± 5	200k	PROKOSHIN	94	GAM2	$38\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$
180 ± 24		AGUILAR-...	91	EHS	400 pp
169 ± 9	5730	³ AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 5\pi$
150 ± 30	400	³ ALDE	87	GAM4	$100\pi^-p \rightarrow 4\pi^0n$
186 ⁺⁹ ₋₂		⁴ LONGACRE	86	MPS	$22\pi^-p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$
179.2 ^{+6.9} _{-6.6}		⁵ CHABAUD	83	ASPK	17 π^-p polarized
160 ± 11		DENNEY	83	LASS	10 π^+N
196 ± 10	3k	APEL	82	CNTR	25 $\pi^-p \rightarrow n2\pi^0$
152 ± 9		⁶ CASON	82	STRC	8 $\pi^+p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}\pi^0\pi^0$
186 ± 27	11600	GIDAL	81	MRK2	J/ψ decay
216 ± 13		⁷ CORDEN	79	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^-p \rightarrow n2\pi$
190 ± 10	10k	APEL	75	NICE	40 $\pi^-p \rightarrow n2\pi^0$
192 ± 16	4600	ENGLER	74	DBC	6 $\pi^+n \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-p$
183 ± 15	5300	FLATTE	71	HBC	7 $\pi^+p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}f_2$
196 ± 30		³ STUNTEBECK	70	HBC	8 π^-p , 5.4 π^+d
216 ± 20	1960	³ ARMENISE	68	DBC	5.1 $\pi^+n \rightarrow p\pi^+MM^-$
128 ± 27		³ BOESEBECK	68	HBC	8 π^+p
176 ± 21		^{3,8} JOHNSON	68	HBC	3.7–4.2 π^-p

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

168 ± 7		⁹ KLEMP	22	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0,$ $\gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
183 ± 2		CARVER	21	CLAS	$\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0p$
195 ± 15	870	¹⁰ SCHEGELSKY	06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
121 ± 26		TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC	40.0 $\pi^-C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
187 ± 20		¹¹ ALDE	97	GAM2	450 $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0\pi^0$
184 ± 10		¹¹ GRYGOREV	96	SPEC	40 $\pi^-N \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
200 ± 10		AKER	91	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
240 ± 40	3k	BINON	83	GAM2	38 $\pi^-p \rightarrow n2\eta$
187 ± 30	650	³ ANTIPOV	77	CIBS	25 $\pi^-p \rightarrow p3\pi$
225 ± 38	16000	DEUTSCH...	76	HBC	16 π^+p
166 ± 28	600	³ TAKAHASHI	72	HBC	8 $\pi^-p \rightarrow n2\pi$
173 ± 53		³ ARMENISE	70	HBC	9 $\pi^+n \rightarrow p\pi^+\pi^-$

OCCUR=2

- ¹ Averaged over six nuclear targets, no statistically significant dependence on target nucleus observed.
- ² Breit-Wigner width
- ³ Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.
- ⁴ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.
- ⁵ From an energy-independent partial-wave analysis.
- ⁶ From an amplitude analysis of the reaction $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow 2\pi^0$.
- ⁷ From an amplitude analysis of $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ scattering data.
- ⁸ JOHNSON 68 includes BONDAR 63, LEE 64, DERADO 65, EISNER 67.
- ⁹ Fit of the tensor partial waves from BES3 in the multipole basis.
- ¹⁰ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.
- ¹¹ Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M005W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M005W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M005W;LINKAGE=T

NODE=M005W;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M005W;LINKAGE=R

NODE=M005W;LINKAGE=Q


NODE=M005W;LINKAGE=U

NODE=M005W;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M005W;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M005W;LINKAGE=SC

NODE=M005W;LINKAGE=QQ

 **$f_2(1270)$ DECAY MODES**

NODE=M005215;NODE=M005

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1 $\pi\pi$	$(84.3^{+2.8}_{-1.0})\%$	S=1.2
Γ_2 $\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$	$(7.7^{+1.2}_{-3.1})\%$	S=1.2
Γ_3 $K\bar{K}$	$(4.6 \pm 0.4)\%$	S=2.7
Γ_4 $2\pi^+2\pi^-$	$(2.8 \pm 0.4)\%$	S=1.2
Γ_5 $\eta\eta$	$(4.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$	S=2.1
Γ_6 $4\pi^0$	$(3.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_7 $\gamma\gamma$	$(1.42 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.4
Γ_8 $\eta\pi\pi$	$< 8 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=95%
Γ_9 $K^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$< 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=95%
Γ_{10} e^+e^-	$< 6 \times 10^{-10}$	CL=90%

DESIG=1

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

DESIG=2

DESIG=7

DESIG=9

DESIG=8

DESIG=6

DESIG=5

DESIG=10

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, 4 partial widths, a combination of partial widths obtained from integrated cross sections, and 6 branching ratios uses 44 measurements and one constraint to determine 8 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 82.3$ for 37 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	−91						
x_3	10	−39					
x_4	10	−38	1				
x_5	1	−6	0	0			
x_6	0	−7	0	0	0		
x_7	4	1	−15	0	0	0	
Γ	−72	66	−10	−7	−1	0	−6
	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7

	Mode	Rate (MeV)		Scale factor	
Γ_1	$\pi\pi$	157.2	$+5.0$ -1.0		DESIG=1
Γ_2	$\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$	14.3	$+2.3$ -6.0	1.3	DESIG=3
Γ_3	$K\bar{K}$	8.5	± 0.8	2.8	DESIG=4
Γ_4	$2\pi^+2\pi^-$	5.2	± 0.7	1.2	DESIG=2
Γ_5	$\eta\eta$	0.75	± 0.14	2.1	DESIG=7
Γ_6	$4\pi^0$	0.56	± 0.19		DESIG=9
Γ_7	$\gamma\gamma$	0.0026 ± 0.0005		1.4	DESIG=8

$f_2(1270)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M005220

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)$

Γ_1

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

$157.2^{+5.0}_{-1.0}$ OUR FIT

$157.0^{+6.0}_{-1.0}$

¹ LONGACRE 86 MPS $22\pi^-p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

152 ± 8 870 ² SCHEGELSKY 06A RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.

² From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV and using SU(3) relations.

NODE=M005W1;LINKAGE=L
NODE=M005W1;LINKAGE=SC

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

Γ_3

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

8.5 ± 0.8 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.8.

$9.0^{+0.7}_{-0.3}$

¹ LONGACRE 86 MPS $22\pi^-p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

7.5 ± 2.0 870 ² SCHEGELSKY 06A RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.

² From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV and using SU(3) relations.

NODE=M005W4;LINKAGE=L
NODE=M005W4;LINKAGE=SC

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)$

Γ_5

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.75 ± 0.14 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.1.

1.0 ± 0.1

¹ LONGACRE 86 MPS $22\pi^-p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.8 ± 0.4 870 ² SCHEGELSKY 06A RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.

² From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV and using SU(3) relations.

NODE=M005W7;LINKAGE=L
NODE=M005W7;LINKAGE=SC

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_7

The value of this width depends on the theoretical model used. Unitary approaches with scalars typically (with exception of PENNINGTON 08) give values clustering around 2.6 keV; without an S -wave contribution, values are systematically higher (typically around 3 keV).

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.6 ±0.5 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			
2.93±0.40		¹ DAI	14A RVUE	Compilation
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3.14±0.20		^{2,3} PENNINGTON 08	RVUE	Compilation
3.82±0.30		^{3,4} PENNINGTON 08	RVUE	Compilation
2.55±0.15	870	⁵ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
2.84±0.35		BOGLIONE 99	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^0\pi^0$
2.93±0.23±0.32		⁶ YABUKI 95	VNS	
2.58±0.13 ^{+0.36} _{-0.27}		⁷ BEHREND 92	CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
3.10±0.35±0.35		⁸ BLINOV 92	MD1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
2.27±0.47±0.11		ADACHI 90D	TOPZ	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
3.15±0.04±0.39		BOYER 90	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
3.19±0.16 ^{+0.29} _{-0.28}		MARSISKE 90	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
2.35±0.65		⁹ MORGAN 90	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^0\pi^0$
3.19±0.09 ^{+0.22} _{-0.38}	2177	OEST 90	JADE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
3.2 ±0.1 ±0.4		¹⁰ AIHARA 86B	TPC	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
2.5 ±0.1 ±0.5		BEHREND 84B	CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
2.85±0.25±0.5		¹¹ BERGER 84	PLUT	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-2\pi$
2.70±0.05±0.20		COURAU 84	DLCO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
2.52±0.13±0.38		¹² SMITH 84C	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
2.7 ±0.2 ±0.6		EDWARDS 82F	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-2\pi^0$
2.9 ^{+0.6} _{-0.4} ±0.6		¹³ EDWARDS 82F	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-2\pi^0$
3.2 ±0.2 ±0.6		BRANDELIK 81B	TASS	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
3.6 ±0.3 ±0.5		ROUSSARIE 81	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
2.3 ±0.8		¹⁴ BERGER 80B	PLUT	e^+e^-

¹ Based on a K -matrix analysis of BELLE data from MORI 07, UEHARA 08A, UEHARA 09 and UEHARA 13. The width is derived for the pole on the third sheet which is closest to the physical axis. Supersedes PENNINGTON 08.

² Solution A (preferred solution based on χ^2 -analysis).

³ Dispersion theory based amplitude analysis of BOYER 90, MARSISKE 90, BEHREND 92, and MORI 07.

⁴ Solution B (worse than solution A; still acceptable when systematic uncertainties are included).

⁵ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV and using SU(3) relations.

⁶ With a narrow scalar state around 1220 MeV.

⁷ Using a unitarized model with a 300 - 500 keV wide scalar at 1100 MeV.

⁸ Using the unitarized model of LYTH 85.

⁹ Error includes spread of different solutions. Data of MARK2 and CRYSTAL BALL used in the analysis. Authors report strong correlations with $\gamma\gamma$ width of $f_0(1370)$: $\Gamma(f_2) + 1/4 \Gamma(f_0) = 3.6 \pm 0.3$ KeV.

¹⁰ Radiative corrections modify the partial widths; for instance the COURAU 84 value becomes 2.66 ± 0.21 in the calculation of LANDRO 86.

¹¹ Using the MENNESSIER 83 model.

¹² Superseded by BOYER 90.

¹³ If helicity = 2 assumption is not made.

¹⁴ Using mass, width and $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow 2\pi)$ from PDG 78.

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_{10}

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.11	90	ACHASOV	00K SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<1.7	90	VOROBIEV	88 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$

NODE=M005W8

NODE=M005W8

NODE=M005W8

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

NODE=M005W8;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M005W8;LINKAGE=P1

NODE=M005W8;LINKAGE=P3

NODE=M005W8;LINKAGE=P2

NODE=M005W8;LINKAGE=SC

NODE=M005W8;LINKAGE=YA

NODE=M005W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M005W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M005PW;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M005PW;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M005PW;LINKAGE=X

NODE=M005PW;LINKAGE=V

NODE=M005PW;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M005PW;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M005W9

NODE=M005W9

$f_2(1270) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M005223

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_3 \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.121 ± 0.020 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		
0.091 ± 0.007 ± 0.027	¹ ALBRECHT	90G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.104 ± 0.007 ± 0.072	² ALBRECHT	90G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
¹ Using an incoherent background.			
² Using a coherent background.			

NODE=M005G1
NODE=M005G1

OCCUR=2

NODE=M005G1;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M005G1;LINKAGE=K $\Gamma(\eta\eta) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_5 \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11.5 +1.8 +4.5 -2.0 -3.7	¹ UEHARA	10A BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\eta$
¹ Including interference with the $f'_2(1525)$ (parameters fixed to the values from the 2008 edition of this review, PDG 08) and $f_0(Y)$.			

NODE=M005G02
NODE=M005G02

NODE=M005G02;LINKAGE=UE

Helicity-0/Helicity-2 RATIO IN $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.7 ± 0.3 +15.9 -2.9	UEHARA	08A BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
9.5 ± 1.8	¹ DAI	14A RVUE	Compilation
13	^{2,3} PENNINGTON	08 RVUE	Compilation
26	^{3,4} PENNINGTON	08 RVUE	Compilation
¹ Based on a K -matrix analysis of BELLE data from MORI 07, UEHARA 08A, UEHARA 09 and UEHARA 13. The width is derived for the pole on the third sheet which is closest to the physical axis.			
² Solution A (preferred solution based on χ^2 -analysis).			
³ Dispersion theory based amplitude analysis of BOYER 90, MARSISKE 90, BEHREND 92, and MORI 07.			
⁴ Solution B (worse than solution A; still acceptable when systematic uncertainties are included).			

NODE=M005HR0
NODE=M005HR0

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

NODE=M005HR0;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M005HR0;LINKAGE=P1
NODE=M005HR0;LINKAGE=P3

NODE=M005HR0;LINKAGE=P2

 $f_2(1270)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M005225

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1 / Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.843 +0.028 -0.010 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
0.837 ± 0.020 OUR AVERAGE				
0.849 ± 0.025		CHABAUD	83 ASPK	17 $\pi^- p$ polarized
0.85 ± 0.05	250	BEAUPRE	71 HBC	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} f_2$
0.8 ± 0.04	600	OH	70 HBC	1.26 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.856 ± 0.001 ± 0.05		¹ ALBRECHT	20 RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$
¹ Residue from T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$).				

NODE=M005R10
NODE=M005R10

NODE=M005R10;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0) / \Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_2 / Γ_1

Should be twice $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-) / \Gamma(\pi\pi)$ if decay is $\rho\rho$. (See ASCOLI 68D.)				
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.091 +0.015 -0.040 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
0.15 ± 0.06	600	EISENBERG	74 HBC	4.9 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} f_2$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.07		EMMS	75D DBC	4 $\pi^+ n \rightarrow p f_2$

NODE=M005R2

NODE=M005R2
NODE=M005R2 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3 / Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.033 ± 0.001 ± 0.005	¹ ALBRECHT	20 RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$
¹ Residue from T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$).			

NODE=M005R00
NODE=M005R00

NODE=M005R00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

We average only experiments which either take into account $f_2(1270)$ - $a_2(1320)$ interference explicitly or demonstrate that $a_2(1320)$ production is negligible.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.054^{+0.005}_{-0.006} OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.7.

0.041^{+0.004}_{-0.005} OUR AVERAGE

0.045 $\pm$ 0.01		¹ BARGIOTTI	03	OBLX	$\bar{p}p$
0.037 ^{+0.008} _{-0.021}		ETKIN	82B	MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$
0.045 $\pm$ 0.009		CHABAUD	81	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p$ polarized
0.039 $\pm$ 0.008		LOVERRE	80	HBC	$4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.052 $\pm$ 0.025		ABLIKIM	04E	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^-$
0.036 $\pm$ 0.005		² COSTA	80	OMEG	$1-2.2 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
0.030 $\pm$ 0.005		³ MARTIN	79	RVUE	
0.027 $\pm$ 0.009		⁴ POLYCHRO...	79	STRC	$7 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$
0.025 $\pm$ 0.015		EMMS	75D	DBC	$4 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p f_2$
0.031 $\pm$ 0.012	20	ADERHOLZ	69	HBC	$8 \pi^+ p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ p$

¹ Coupled channel analysis of $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, and $K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$.

² Re-evaluated by CHABAUD 83.

³ Includes PAWLICKI 77 data.

⁴ Takes into account the $f_2(1270)$ - $f_2'(1525)$ interference.

NODE=M005R3

NODE=M005R3

NODE=M005R3

NODE=M005R;LINKAGE=BG

NODE=M005R3;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M005R3;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M005R3;LINKAGE=M

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.033 $\pm$ 0.005 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

0.033 $\pm$ 0.004 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

0.024 $\pm$ 0.006	160	EMMS	75D	DBC	$4 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p f_2$
0.051 $\pm$ 0.025	70	EISENBERG	74	HBC	$4.9 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} f_2$
0.043 ^{+0.007} _{-0.011}	285	¹ LOUIE	74	HBC	$3.9 \pi^- p \rightarrow n f_2$
0.037 $\pm$ 0.007	154	ANDERSON	73	DBC	$6 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p f_2$
0.047 $\pm$ 0.013		OH	70	HBC	$1.26 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$

¹ LOUIE 74 was quoted as 0.065 in PDG 74. Factor 2/3 to go from $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi\pi$ forgotten. Mike L.

NODE=M005R1

NODE=M005R1

NODE=M005R1;LINKAGE=02

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

4.0 $\pm$ 0.8 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.1.

2.9 $\pm$ 0.5 OUR AVERAGE

2.7 $\pm$ 0.7	BINON	05	GAMS	$33 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$
2.8 $\pm$ 0.7	ALDE	86D	GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta n$
5.2 $\pm$ 1.7	BINON	83	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4.0 $\pm$ 1.0 $\pm$ 2.0	¹ ALBRECHT	20	RVUE	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta,$ $\pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$

¹ Residue from T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$).

NODE=M005R7

NODE=M005R7

NODE=M005R7;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.003 $\pm$ 0.001

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.05	95	EDWARDS	82F	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2\eta$
<0.016	95	EMMS	75D	DBC	$4 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p f_2$
<0.09	95	EISENBERG	74	HBC	$4.9 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} f_2$

NODE=M005R6

NODE=M005R6

 $\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.0030 $\pm$ 0.0010 OUR FIT

0.003 $\pm$ 0.001 400 $\pm$ 50 ALDE 87 GAM4 100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 4\pi^0 n$

NODE=M005R11

NODE=M005R11

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $1.57 \pm 0.01^{+1.39}_{-0.14}$

UEHARA

08A

BELL

 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$ NODE=M005R13
NODE=M005R13 $\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_8/Γ_1

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<0.010

95

EMMS

75D

DBC

 $4 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p f_2$ NODE=M005R5
NODE=M005R5 $\Gamma(K^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<0.004

95

EMMS

75D

DBC

 $4 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p f_2$ NODE=M005R4
NODE=M005R4 $\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-10})

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<6

90

ACHASOV

00K

SND

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ NODE=M005R12
NODE=M005R12

$f_2(1270)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M005

KLEMP	22	PL B830 137171	E. Klempt <i>et al.</i>	(BONN)	REFID=61646
RODAS	22	EPJ C82 80	A. Rodas <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC Collab.)	REFID=61610
CARVER	21	PRL 126 082002	M. Carver <i>et al.</i>	(CLAS Collab.)	REFID=61097
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=60439
DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)	REFID=56805
DAI	14A	PR D90 036004	L.-Y. Dai, M.R. Pennington	(CEBAF)	REFID=55923
BOGOLYUB...	13	PAN 76 1324	M.Yu. Bogolyubsky <i>et al.</i>	(HYPERON-M Collab.)	REFID=55585
UEHARA	13	Translated from YAF 76 1389.	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55592
UEHARA	10A	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53641
ANISOVICH	09	PR D82 114031	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)	REFID=52719
UEHARA	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)	REFID=52761
PDG	08	PR D79 052009	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52166
PENNINGTON	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=52303
UEHARA	08A	EPJ C56 1	M.R. Pennington <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52309
MORI	07	PR D78 052004	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51652
ABLIKIM	06V	PR D75 051101	T. Mori <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51507
SCHEGELSKY	06A	PL B642 441	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51185
ABLIKIM	05	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50450
BINON	05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50780
ABLIKIM	04E	PAN 68 960	F. Binon <i>et al.</i>		REFID=50174
BARGIOTTI	03	Translated from YAF 68 998.	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49217
TIKHOMIROV	03	PL B603 138	M. Bargiotti <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=49423
ACHASOV	00K	EPJ C26 371	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47933
BARBERIS	00E	PAN 66 828	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47961
BOGLIONE	99	PL B492 8	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=46931
ALDE	98	EPJ C9 11	M. Boglione, M.R. Pennington		REFID=46605
Also	98	EPJ A3 361	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM4 Collab.)	REFID=46914
ALDE	97	PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)	REFID=45392
BERTIN	97C	Translated from YAF 62 446.	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)	REFID=45701
GRYGOREV	96	PL B397 350	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=45566
YABUKI	95	PL B408 476	V.K. Grigoriev, O.N. Baloshin, B.P. Barkov	(ITEP)	REFID=46384
PROKOSHIN	94	PAN 59 2105	Y.D. Prokoshkin, A.A. Kondashov	(VENUS Collab.)	REFID=44094
BEHREND	92	Translated from YAF 59 2187.	F. Yabuki <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	REFID=43172
BLINOV	92	JPSJ 64 435	Y.D. Prokoshkin, A.A. Kondashov		REFID=41858
AGUILAR-...	91	PD 39 420	H.J. Behrend	(CELLO Collab.)	REFID=41637
AKER	91	Translated from DANS 336 613.	A.E. Blinov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41587
ADACHI	90D	ZPHY C56 381	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(LEBC-EHS Collab.)	REFID=41345
ALBRECHT	90G	ZPHY C53 33	E. Aker <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=41374
BOYER	90	PL B260 249	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(TOPAZ Collab.)	REFID=41362
BREAKSTONE	90	PL B234 185	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=41376
MARSISKE	90	ZPHY C48 183	J. Boyer <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)	REFID=41351
MORGAN	90	PR D42 1350	A.M. Breakstone <i>et al.</i>	(ISU, BGNA, CERN+)	REFID=41583
OEST	90	ZPHY C48 569	H. Marsiske <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=41358
AUGUSTIN	89	PR D41 3324	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)	REFID=41004
VOROBYEV	88	ZPHY C47 343	T. Oest <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)	REFID=41023
ALDE	87	NP B320 1	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40221
AUGUSTIN	87	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=40268
ABACHI	86B	Translated from YAF 48 436.	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, BRUX, SERP, LAPP)	REFID=20394
AIHARA	86B	PL B198 286	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, CLER, FRAS+)	REFID=20764
ALDE	86D	PRL 57 1990	S. Abachi <i>et al.</i>	(PURD, ANL, IND, MICH+)	REFID=20765
LANDRO	86	PRL 57 404	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.)	REFID=20767
LONGACRE	86	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)	REFID=20768
LYTH	85	PL B172 445	M. Landro, K.J. Mork, H.A. Olsen	(UTRO)	REFID=42169
BEHREND	84B	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)	REFID=20757
BERGER	84	JP G11 459	D.H. Lyth		REFID=20760
COURAU	84	ZPHY C23 223	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)	REFID=20758
SMITH	84C	ZPHY C26 199	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)	REFID=20759
BINON	83	PL 147B 227	A. Courau <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, SLAC)	REFID=20750
Also	83	PR D30 851	J.R. Smith <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL, HARV)	REFID=20751
		NC 78A 313	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP+)	
		SJNP 38 561	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP+)	
		Translated from YAF 38 934.			

CHABAUD	83	NP B223 1	V. Chabaud <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CRAC, MPIM)
DENNEY	83	PR D28 2726	D.L. Denney <i>et al.</i>	(IOWA, MICH)
MENNESSIER	83	ZPHY C16 241	G. Mennessier	(MONP)
APEL	82	NP B201 197	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(KARLK, KARLE, PISA, SERP+)
CASON	82	PRL 48 1316	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
EDWARDS	82F	PL 110B 82	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
BRANDELIK	81B	ZPHY C10 117	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
CHABAUD	81	APP B12 575	V. Chabaud <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CRAC, MPIM)
GIDAL	81	PL 107B 153	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)
ROUSSARIE	81	PL 105B 304	A. Roussarie <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)
BERGER	80B	PL 94B 254	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)
COSTA	80	NP B175 402	G. Costa <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
LOVERRE	80	ZPHY C6 187	P.F. Loverre <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, MADR+)
CORDEN	79	NP B157 250	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+)
MARTIN	79	NP B158 520	A.D. Martin, E.N. Ozmutlu	(DURH)
POLYCHRO...	79	PR D19 1317	V.A. Polychronakos <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
PDG	78	PL 75B 1	C. Bricman <i>et al.</i>	
ANTIPOV	77	NP B119 45	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, GEVA)
PAWLICKI	77	PR D15 3196	A.J. Pawlicki <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)
DEUTSCH...	76	NP B103 426	M. Deuschmann <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, BONN+)
APEL	75	PL 57B 398	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(KARLK, KARLE, PISA, SERP+)
EMMS	75D	NP B96 155	M.J. Emms <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, DURH, RHEL)
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
EISENBERG	74	PL 52B 239	Y. Eisenberg <i>et al.</i>	(REHO)
ENGLER	74	PR D10 2070	A. Engler <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, CASE)
LOUIE	74	PL 48B 385	J. Louie <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, CERN)
PDG	74	PL 50B 1	V. Chaloupka <i>et al.</i>	
ANDERSON	73	PRL 31 562	J.C. Anderson <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, CASE)
TAKAHASHI	72	PR D6 1266	K. Takahashi <i>et al.</i>	(TOHOK, PENN, NDAM+)
BEAUPRE	71	NP B28 77	J.V. Beaupre <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN)
FLATTE	71	PL 34B 551	S.M. Flatte <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
ARMENISE	70	LNC 4 199	N. Armenise <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BGNA, FIRZ)
OH	70	PR D1 2494	B.Y. Oh <i>et al.</i>	(WISC, TINTO) JP
STUNTEBECK	70	PL 32B 391	P.H. Stuntebeck <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM)
ADERHOLZ	69	NP B11 259	M. Aderholz <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, CERN+)
ARMENISE	68	NC 54A 999	N. Armenise <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BGNA, FIRZ+)
ASCOLI	68D	PRL 21 1712	G. Ascoli <i>et al.</i>	(ILL)
BOESEBECK	68	NP B4 501	K. Boesebeck <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN)
JOHNSON	68	PR 176 1651	P.B. Johnson <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, PURD, SLAC)
EISNER	67	PR 164 1699	R.L. Eisner <i>et al.</i>	(PURD)
DERADO	65	PRL 14 872	I. Derado <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM)
LEE	64	PRL 12 342	Y.Y. Lee <i>et al.</i>	(MICH)
BONDAR	63	PL 5 153	L. Bondar <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BIRM, BONN, DESY+)

REFID=20131
REFID=20754
REFID=20393
REFID=20745
REFID=20746
REFID=20747
REFID=20390
REFID=20741
REFID=20742
REFID=20386
REFID=20388
REFID=20736
REFID=20737
REFID=20382
REFID=20374
REFID=20377
REFID=20378
REFID=40124
REFID=20728
REFID=20367
REFID=20119
REFID=20720
REFID=20721
REFID=20355
REFID=20715
REFID=20110
REFID=20719
REFID=40125
REFID=20710
REFID=20103
REFID=20698
REFID=20700
REFID=20693
REFID=20335
REFID=20696
REFID=20687
REFID=20054
REFID=20681
REFID=20585
REFID=20065
REFID=20046
REFID=20668
REFID=20663
REFID=20657

 $f_1(1285)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$$

NODE=M008

$f_1(1285)$ MASS

NODE=M008M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1281.8 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.		
1280.2 ± 0.6 $^{+1.2}_{-1.5}$	126k	ABLIKIM	23M BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
1281.0 ± 0.8		DICKSON	16 CLAS	$2.55 \gamma p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1287.4 ± 3.0	87	ABLIKIM	15P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$
1281.16 ± 0.39 ± 0.45		¹ LEES	12X BABR	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- f_1(1285) \nu_\tau$
1285.1 ± 1.0 $^{+1.6}_{-0.3}$		² ABLIKIM	11J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)$
1281 ± 2 ± 1		AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow f_1(1285) \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1276.1 ± 8.1 ± 8.0	203	BAI	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
1274 ± 6	237	ABDALLAH	03H DLPH	$91.2 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp + X$
1280 ± 4		ACCIARRI	01G L3	
1288 ± 4 ± 5	20k	ADAMS	01B B852	$18 \text{ GeV } \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
1284 ± 6	1400	ALDE	97B GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
1281 ± 1		BARBERIS	97B OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1281 ± 1		BARBERIS	97C OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow pp K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1280 ± 2		³ ANTINORI	95 OMEG	$300,450 pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1282.2 ± 1.5		LEE	94 MPS2	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 2\pi^- p$
1279 ± 5		FUKUI	91C SPEC	$8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$

NODE=M008M

1278	± 2	140	ARMSTRONG	89	OMEG	300	$pp \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi pp$	
1278	± 2		ARMSTRONG	89G	OMEG	85	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow 4\pi\pi p,$ $pp \rightarrow 4\pi pp$	
1280.1	± 2.1	60	RATH	89	MPS	21.4	$\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0 n$	
1285	± 1	4750	⁴ BIRMAN	88	MPS	8	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^- n$	
1280	± 1	504	BITYUKOV	88	SPEC	32.5	$\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$	
1280	± 4		ANDO	86	SPEC	8	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$	
1277	± 2	420	REEVES	86	SPEC	6.6	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow K K \pi X$	
1285	± 2		CHUNG	85	SPEC	8	$\pi^- p \rightarrow N K \bar{K} \pi$	
1279	± 2	604	ARMSTRONG	84	OMEG	85	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \pi p,$ $pp \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi pp$	
1286	± 1		CHAUVAT	84	SPEC	ISR 31.5	pp	
1278	± 4		EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	12	$\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$	
1283	± 3	103	DIONISI	80	HBC	4	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi n$	
1282	± 2	320	NACASCH	78	HBC	0.7, 0.76	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} 3\pi$	
1279	± 5	210	GRASSLER	77	HBC	16	$\pi^\mp p$	
1286	± 3	180	DUBOC	72	HBC	1.2	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow 2K 4\pi$	
1283	± 5		DAHL	67	HBC	1.6-4.2	$\pi^- p$	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●								
1289.3	± 2.8	234	ABLIKIM	19BA	BES3		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$	
1284.2	± 2.2		⁵ AAIJ	14Y	LHCB		$\bar{B}_{(s)}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	
1281.9	± 0.5		⁵ SOSA	99	SPEC		$pp \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}}$ $(K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-) p_{\text{fast}}$	
1282.8	± 0.6		⁵ SOSA	99	SPEC		$pp \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}}$ $(K_S^0 K^- \pi^+) p_{\text{fast}}$	OCCUR=2
1270	± 10		AMELIN	95	VES	37	$\pi^- N \rightarrow$ $\pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma N$	
1280	± 2		ABATZIS	94	OMEG	450	$pp \rightarrow pp 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	
1282	± 4		ARMSTRONG	93C	E760		$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$	
1270	± 6	± 10	ARMSTRONG	92C	OMEG	300	$pp \rightarrow pp \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	
1281	± 1		ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG	300	$pp \rightarrow pp 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	
1279	± 6	± 10	BECKER	87	MRK3		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi K \bar{K} \pi$	
1286	± 9		GIDAL	87	MRK2		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ $e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$	
1287	± 5	353	BITYUKOV	84B	SPEC	32	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$	
~ 1279			⁶ TORNQVIST	82B	RVUE			
1275	± 6	31	BROMBERG	80	SPEC	100	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi X$	
1288	± 9	200	GURTU	79	HBC	4.2	$K^- p \rightarrow n \eta 2\pi$	
~ 1275.0		46	⁷ STANTON	79	CNTR	8.5	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\gamma 2\pi$	
1271	± 10	34	CORDEN	78	OMEG	12-15	$\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $K^+ K^- \pi n$	
1295	± 12	85	CORDEN	78	OMEG	12-15	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n 5\pi$	OCCUR=2
1292	± 10	150	DEFOIX	72	HBC	0.7	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow 7\pi$	
1280	± 3	500	⁸ THUN	72	MMS	13.4	$\pi^- p$	
1303	± 8		BARDADIN-...	71	HBC	8	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 6\pi$	
1283	± 6		BOESEBECK	71	HBC	16.0	$\pi p \rightarrow p 5\pi$	
1270	± 10		CAMPBELL	69	DBC	2.7	$\pi^+ d$	
1285	± 7		LORSTAD	69	HBC	0.7	$\bar{p} p$, 4,5-body	
1290	± 7		D'ANDLAU	68	HBC	1.2	$\bar{p} p$, 5-6 body	

¹ Using the $2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$ and $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta$ modes of $f_1(1285)$ decay.

² The selected process is $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega a_0(980)\pi$.

³ Supersedes ABATZIS 94, ARMSTRONG 89E.

⁴ From partial wave analysis of $K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^-$ system.


⁵ No systematic error given.

⁶ From a unitarized quark-model calculation.

⁷ From phase shift analysis of $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ system.

⁸ Seen in the missing mass spectrum.

NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=LE
 NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=BL
 NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=N1
 NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=T
 NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=P
 NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=S



$f_1(1285)$ WIDTH

Only experiments giving width error less than 20 MeV are kept for averaging.

NODE=M008W

NODE=M008W

NODE=M008W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
23.0± 1.1 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.		
28.2± 1.1 ⁺ _{2.9}	126k	ABLIKIM	23M BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
18.4± 1.4		DICKSON	16 CLAS	$2.55 \gamma p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p$
18.3± 6.3	87	ABLIKIM	15P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$
22.0± 3.1 ⁺ _{1.5}		¹ ABLIKIM	11J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)$
35 ± 6 ± 4		AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow f_1(1285) \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
40.0± 8.6± 9.3	203	BAI	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
29 ± 12	237	ABDALLAH	03H DLPH	$91.2 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp + X$
45 ± 9 ± 7	20k	ADAMS	01B B852	$18 \text{ GeV } \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
55 ± 18	1400	ALDE	97B GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
24 ± 3		BARBERIS	97B OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow pp 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
20 ± 2		BARBERIS	97C OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow pp K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
36 ± 5		² ANTINORI	95 OMEG	$300,450 pp \rightarrow pp 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
29.0± 4.1		LEE	94 MPS2	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 2\pi^- p$
25 ± 4	140	ARMSTRONG	89 OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi pp$
22 ± 2	4750	³ BIRMAN	88 MPS	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^- n$
25 ± 4	504	BITYUKOV	88 SPEC	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$

19 ± 5		ANDO	86	SPEC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$	
32 ± 8	420	REEVES	86	SPEC	$6.6 p \bar{p} \rightarrow K K \pi X$	
22 ± 2		CHUNG	85	SPEC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow N K \bar{K} \pi$	
32 ± 3	604	ARMSTRONG	84	OMEG	$85 \pi^+ p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \pi p,$ $p p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi p p$	
24 ± 3		CHAUVAT	84	SPEC	ISR 31.5 $p p$	
29 ± 10	103	DIONISI	80	HBC	$4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi n$	
28.3 ± 6.7	320	NACASCH	78	HBC	$0.7, 0.76 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} 3\pi$	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●						
17.1 ± 3.4	234	ABLIKIM	19BA	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$	
32.4 ± 5.8		⁴ AAIJ	14Y	LHCB	$\bar{B}_{(s)}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	
18.2 ± 1.2		⁴ SOSA	99	SPEC	$p p \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}} (K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-)$ p_{fast}	
19.4 ± 1.5		⁴ SOSA	99	SPEC	$p p \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}} (K_S^0 K^- \pi^+)$ p_{fast}	OCCUR=2
40 ± 5		ABATZIS	94	OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	
31 ± 5		ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG	$300 p p \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	
41 ± 12		ARMSTRONG	89G	OMEG	$85 \pi^+ p \rightarrow 4\pi \pi p, p p \rightarrow$ $4\pi p p$	
17.9 ± 10.9	60	RATH	89	MPS	$21.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0 n$	
14 ⁺²⁰ ₋₁₄ ± 10	16	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi K \bar{K} \pi$	
26 ± 12		EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	$12 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$	
25 ± 15	200	GURTU	79	HBC	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow n \eta 2\pi$	
~ 10		⁵ STANTON	79	CNTR	$8.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\gamma 2\pi$	
24 ± 18	210	GRASSLER	77	HBC	$16 \pi^\mp p$	
28 ± 5	150	⁶ DEFOIX	72	HBC	$0.7 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 7\pi$	
46 ± 9	180	⁶ DUBOC	72	HBC	$1.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 2K 4\pi$	
37 ± 5	500	⁷ THUN	72	MMS	$13.4 \pi^- p$	
10 ± 10		BOESEBECK	71	HBC	$16.0 \pi p \rightarrow p 5\pi$	
30 ± 15		CAMPBELL	69	DBC	$2.7 \pi^+ d$	
60 ± 15		⁶ LORSTAD	69	HBC	$0.7 \bar{p} p, 4,5\text{-body}$	
35 ± 10		⁶ DAHL	67	HBC	$1.6\text{--}4.2 \pi^- p$	

¹ The selected process is $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega a_0(980) \pi$.

² Supersedes ABATZIS 94, ARMSTRONG 89E.

³ From partial wave analysis of $K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^-$ system.

⁴ No systematic error given.


⁵ From phase shift analysis of $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ system.

⁶ Resolution is not unfolded.

⁷ Seen in the missing mass spectrum.

NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=BL
 NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=N1
 NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=P
 NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=R
 NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=S

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
23.0±1.1 (Error scaled by 1.6)



$f_1(1285)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M008215;NODE=M008

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level	
Γ_1 4π	$(32.7 \pm 1.8) \%$	$S=1.2$	DESIG=21
Γ_2 $\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(21.8 \pm 1.2) \%$	$S=1.2$	DESIG=22
Γ_3 $2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	$(10.9 \pm 0.6) \%$	$S=1.2$	DESIG=20
Γ_4 $\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(10.9 \pm 0.6) \%$	$S=1.2$	DESIG=191
Γ_5 $\rho^0 \rho^0$	seen		DESIG=23
Γ_6 $4\pi^0$	$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=7
Γ_7 $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(35 \pm 15) \%$		DESIG=198
Γ_8 $\eta \pi \pi$	$(52.2 \pm 1.9) \%$	$S=1.2$	DESIG=3
Γ_9 $a_0(980) \pi$ [ignoring $a_0(980) \rightarrow K \bar{K}$]	$(38 \pm 4) \%$		DESIG=4
Γ_{10} $\eta \pi \pi$ [excluding $a_0(980) \pi$]	$(14 \pm 4) \%$		DESIG=5
Γ_{11} $K \bar{K} \pi$	$(9.0 \pm 0.4) \%$	$S=1.1$	DESIG=1
Γ_{12} $K \bar{K}^*(892)$	not seen		DESIG=6
Γ_{13} $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(3.0 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=197
Γ_{14} $\rho^\pm \pi^\mp$	$< 3.1 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=95%	DESIG=199
Γ_{15} $\gamma \rho^0$	$(6.1 \pm 1.0) \%$	$S=1.7$	DESIG=13
Γ_{16} $\phi \gamma$	seen		DESIG=10
Γ_{17} $e^+ e^-$	$< 9.4 \times 10^{-9}$	CL=90%	DESIG=200
Γ_{18} $\gamma \gamma^*$			DESIG=9
Γ_{19} $\gamma \gamma$			DESIG=8

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 6 branching ratios uses 18 measurements and one constraint to determine 5 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 24.0$ for 14 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_9	-29			
x_{10}	-12	-89		
x_{11}	22	-9	-4	
x_{15}	-24	-8	-3	-27
	x_1	x_9	x_{10}	x_{11}

$f_1(1285) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M008217

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_8 \Gamma_{19} / \Gamma = (\Gamma_9 + \Gamma_{10}) \Gamma_{19} / \Gamma$		
VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.62	95	GIDAL	87	MRK2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M008G2
NODE=M008G2

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma^*) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_8 \Gamma_{18} / \Gamma = (\Gamma_9 + \Gamma_{10}) \Gamma_{18} / \Gamma$		
VALUE (keV)	EVT%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.4 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4.		
1.18 $\pm 0.25 \pm 0.20$	26	^{1,2} AIHARA	88B	TPC $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
2.30 $\pm 0.61 \pm 0.42$		^{1,3} GIDAL	87	MRK2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.8 $\pm 0.3 \pm 0.3$	420	⁴ ACHARD	02B	L3 $183\text{--}209 \text{ e}^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M008G3
NODE=M008G3¹ Assuming a ρ -pole form factor.² Published value multiplied by $\eta\pi\pi$ branching ratio 0.49.³ Published value divided by 2 and multiplied by the $\eta\pi\pi$ branching ratio 0.49.⁴ Published value multiplied by the $\eta\pi\pi$ branching ratio 0.52.NODE=M008G3;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M008G3;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M008G3;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M008G3;LINKAGE=AC

$f_1(1285) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$

NODE=M008220

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$				Γ_{11}/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.274±0.017 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			
0.271±0.016 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
0.265 ± 0.014	¹ BARBERIS	97C	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow pp K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.28 ± 0.05	² ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow pp f_1(1285)$
0.37 ± 0.03 ± 0.05	³ ARMSTRONG	89G	OMEG	85 $\pi p \rightarrow 4\pi X$

NODE=M008R1
NODE=M008R1¹ Using $2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ data from BARBERIS 97B.² Assuming $\rho\pi\pi$ and $a_0(980)\pi$ intermediate states.³ 4π consistent with being entirely $\rho\pi\pi$.NODE=M008R1;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M008R1;LINKAGE=M
NODE=M008R1;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_2/\Gamma = \frac{2}{3}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	
0.218±0.012 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.	

NODE=M008R18
NODE=M008R18

$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_3/\Gamma = \frac{1}{3}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	
0.109±0.006 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.	

NODE=M008R17
NODE=M008R17

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_4/\Gamma = \frac{1}{3} \Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	
0.109±0.006 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.	

NODE=M008R19
NODE=M008R19

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$		Γ_4 / Γ_3		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1.0 ± 0.4	GRASSLER	77	HBC	16 GeV $\pi^\pm p$

NODE=M008R6
NODE=M008R6

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	BARBERIS	00C	450 $p p \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$

$$\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

NODE=M008R21
NODE=M008R21

$$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<7	90	ALDE	87	GAM4 100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 4\pi^0 n$

$$\Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

NODE=M008R8
NODE=M008R8

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)$$

VALUE (%)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.86±0.16±0.20	2.3k	¹ DOROFEEV	11	VES $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- f_1(1285) N$

$$\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma_7$$

NODE=M008R02
NODE=M008R02

¹ Value obtained selecting the region corresponding to $f_0(980)$ in the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ mass spectrum.

NODE=M008R02;LINKAGE=DO

$$\Gamma(\eta \pi \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
0.522±0.019 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

$$\Gamma_8/\Gamma = (\Gamma_9 + \Gamma_{10})/\Gamma$$

NODE=M008R22
NODE=M008R22

$$\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma(\eta \pi \pi)$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.63±0.06 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
0.41±0.14 OUR AVERAGE			

$$\Gamma_1/\Gamma_8 = \Gamma_1/(\Gamma_9 + \Gamma_{10})$$

NODE=M008R4
NODE=M008R4

0.37±0.11±0.11 BOLTON 92 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285)$

0.64±0.40 GURTU 79 HBC 4.2 $K^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.93±0.30 ¹ GRASSLER 77 HBC 16 $\pi^\mp p$

¹ Assuming $\rho \pi \pi$ and $a_0(980) \pi$ intermediate states.

NODE=M008R4;LINKAGE=M

$$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma(\eta \pi \pi)$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.28±0.02±0.02	¹ LEES	12X	BABR $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- f_1(1285) \nu_\tau$

$$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_8$$

NODE=M008R04
NODE=M008R04

¹ Assuming $B(f_1(1285) \rightarrow \pi \pi \eta) = 3/2 B(f_1(1285) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$.

NODE=M008R04;LINKAGE=LE

$$\Gamma(a_0(980) \pi [\text{ignoring } a_0(980) \rightarrow K \bar{K}])/\Gamma(\eta \pi \pi)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.72±0.08 OUR FIT				
0.72±0.07 OUR AVERAGE				

$$\Gamma_9/\Gamma_8 = \Gamma_9/(\Gamma_9 + \Gamma_{10})$$

NODE=M008R3
NODE=M008R3

0.74±0.02±0.09 DICKSON 16 CLAS $\gamma p \rightarrow f_1(1285) p$

0.72±0.15 GURTU 79 HBC 4.2 $K^- p$

0.6 $^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ CORDEN 78 OMEG 12–15 $\pi^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.69 95 ACHARD 02B L3 183–209 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

0.28±0.07 ALDE 97B GAM4 100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$

1.0 ±0.3 GRASSLER 77 HBC 16 $\pi^\mp p$

$$\Gamma(K \bar{K} \pi)/\Gamma(\eta \pi \pi)$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.172±0.011 OUR FIT			
0.176±0.012 OUR AVERAGE			

$$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_8 = \Gamma_{11}/(\Gamma_9 + \Gamma_{10})$$

NODE=M008R2
NODE=M008R2

0.216±0.010±0.031 DICKSON 16 CLAS $\gamma p \rightarrow f_1(1285) p$

0.166±0.01 ±0.008 BARBERIS 98C OMEG 450 $p p \rightarrow p_f f_1(1285) p_s$

0.42 ±0.15 GURTU 79 HBC 4.2 $K^- p$

0.5 ±0.2 ¹ CORDEN 78 OMEG 12–15 $\pi^- p$

0.20 ±0.08 ² DEFOIX 72 HBC 0.7 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 7\pi$

0.16 ±0.08 CAMPBELL 69 DBC 2.7 $\pi^+ d$

¹ CORDEN 78 assumes low-mass $\eta \pi \pi$ region is dominantly 1^{++} . See BARBERIS 98C and MANAK 00A for discussion.

² $K \bar{K}$ system characterized by the $I = 1$ threshold enhancement. (See under $a_0(980)$).

OCCUR=2

NODE=M008R2;LINKAGE=CD

NODE=M008R2;LINKAGE=K

$$\Gamma(K \bar{K}^*(892))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	NACASCH	78	HBC 0.7,0.76 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} 3\pi$

$$\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M008R5
NODE=M008R5

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen ¹ ACHARD 07 L3 183–209 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ A clear signal of 19.8 ± 4.4 events observed at high Q^2 .

NODE=M008R5;LINKAGE=CH

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.30±0.055±0.074	2.3k	¹ DOROFEEV 11	VES	$\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- f_1(1285) N$

¹ Value obtained selecting the region corresponding to $f_0(980)$ in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum. The systematic error includes the uncertainty on the partial width $f_1 \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi$ obtained from PDG 10 data.

NODE=M008R01
NODE=M008R01

NODE=M008R01;LINKAGE=DO

 $\Gamma(\rho^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (%)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.31	95	DOROFEEV 11	VES	$\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- f_1(1285) N$

NODE=M008R03
NODE=M008R03

 $\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.1±1.0 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.7.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.8±0.7±0.6		¹ AMELIN 95	VES	37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma N$
<5	95	BITYUKOV 91B	SPEC	32 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma n$

¹ Not an independent measurement.

NODE=M008R15
NODE=M008R15

NODE=M008R15;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$
 $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma_3 = \Gamma_{15}/\frac{1}{3}\Gamma_1$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.55±0.10 OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 1.5.

0.45±0.18 ¹ COFFMAN 90 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\rho^0) = 0.25 \times 10^{-4}$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-) = 0.55 \times 10^{-4}$ given by MIR 88.

NODE=M008R13
NODE=M008R13

NODE=M008R13;LINKAGE=E

 $\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)$
 $\Gamma_8/\Gamma_{15} = (\Gamma_9 + \Gamma_{10})/\Gamma_{15}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.6±1.6 OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 1.9.

8.5±2.0 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.


21.3±4.4	DICKSON 16	CLAS	$\gamma p \rightarrow f_1(1285) p$
10.0±1.0±2.0	BARBERIS 98C	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f f_1(1285) p_s$
7.5±1.0	¹ ARMSTRONG 92C	OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-\gamma, pp\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Published value multiplied by 1.5.

NODE=M008R16
NODE=M008R16

NODE=M008R16;LINKAGE=B

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
8.5±2.0 (Error scaled by 2.2)


 $\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$
 Γ_{15}/Γ_{11}

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.035 90 ¹ COFFMAN 90 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\rho^0) = 0.25 \times 10^{-4}$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}\pi) < 0.72 \times 10^{-3}$.

NODE=M008R12
NODE=M008R12

NODE=M008R12;LINKAGE=F

$\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{16}/Γ
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	25P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$	

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ with significance 17.3 σ .

NODE=M008R05
NODE=M008R05

NODE=M008R05;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻²)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.82±0.21±0.20		19	BITYUKOV	88	SPEC
					32.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.50	95		BARBERIS	98C	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f f_1(1285) p_s$	
<0.93	95		AMELIN	95	VES	37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma N$	

NODE=M008R9
NODE=M008R9

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<9.4 × 10⁻⁹	90	¹ ACHASOV	20	SND
				$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ ACHASOV 20 reports two candidate events corresponding to a significance of 2.5 σ and the branching fraction of $(5.1^{+3.7}_{-2.7}) \times 10^{-9}$.

NODE=M008R00
NODE=M008R00
OCCUR=2

NODE=M008R00;LINKAGE=B

$f_1(1285)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	25P	PR D111 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	
ABLIKIM	23M	JHEP 2303 121	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	
ACHASOV	20	PL B800 135074	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	
ABLIKIM	19BA	PR D100 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	
DICKSON	16	PR C93 065202	R. Dickson <i>et al.</i>	(JLab CLAS Collab.)	
ABLIKIM	15P	PR D92 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	
AAIJ	14Y	PRL 112 091802	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	
LEES	12X	PR D86 092010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	
ABLIKIM	11J	PRL 107 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	
DOROFEEV	11	EPJ A47 68	V. Dorofeev <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, MIPT)	
PDG	10	JP G37 075021	K. Nakamura <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	
ACHARD	07	JHEP 0703 018	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	
ABDALLAH	03H	PL B569 129	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)	
ACHARD	02B	PL B526 269	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	
ACCIARRI	01G	PL B501 1	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	
ADAMS	01B	PL B516 264	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)	
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	
MANAK	00A	PR D62 012003	J.J. Manak <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)	
SOSA	99	PRL 83 913	M. Sosa <i>et al.</i>		
BARBERIS	98C	PL B440 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	
ALDE	97B	PAN 60 386	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)	
		Translated from YAF 60 458.			
BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	
BARBERIS	97C	PL B413 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	
AMELIN	95	ZPHY C66 71	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)	
ANTINORI	95	PL B353 589	F. Antinori <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	
ABATZIS	94	PL B324 509	S. Abatzis <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	
LEE	94	PL B323 227	J.H. Lee <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, IND, KYUN, MASD+)	
ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	
ARMSTRONG	92C	ZPHY C54 371	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	
BOLTON	92	PL B278 495	T. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	
BITYUKOV	91B	SJNP 54 318	S.I. Bityukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	
		Translated from YAF 54 529.			
FUKUI	91C	PL B267 293	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)	
COFFMAN	90	PR D41 1410	D.M. Coffman <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	
ARMSTRONG	89	PL B221 216	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, BIRM+)	JPC
ARMSTRONG	89E	PL B228 536	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	
ARMSTRONG	89G	ZPHY C43 55	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BIRM, BARI+)	
RATH	89	PR D40 693	M.G. Rath <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, BRAN, BNL, CUNY+)	
AIHARA	88B	PL B209 107	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.)	
BIRMAN	88	PRL 61 1557	A. Birman <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FSU, IND, MASD)	JP
BITYUKOV	88	PL B203 327	S.I. Bityukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	
MIR	88	Photon-Photon 88, 126	R. Mir	(Mark III Collab.)	
		Conference			
ALDE	87	PL B198 286	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, BRUX, SERP, LAPP)	
BECKER	87	PRL 59 186	J.J. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	
GIDAL	87	PRL 59 2012	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)	
ANDO	86	PRL 57 1296	A. Ando <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+)	IJP
REEVES	86	PR D34 1960	D.F. Reeves <i>et al.</i>	(FLOR, BNL, IND+)	JP
CHUNG	85	PRL 55 779	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FLOR, IND+)	JP
ARMSTRONG	84B	PL 146B 273	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	JP
BITYUKOV	84B	PL 144B 133	S.I. Bityukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	
CHAUVAT	84	PL 148B 382	P. Chauvat <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CLER, UCLA+)	
TORNQVIST	82B	NP B203 268	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)	
EVANGELIS...	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)	
BROMBERG	80	PR D22 1513	C.M. Bromberg <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, FNAL, ILLC+)	
DIONISI	80	NP B169 1	C. Dionisi <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MADR, CDEF+)	
GURTU	79	NP B151 181	A. Gurtu <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, ZEEM, NIJM, OXF)	
STANTON	79	PRL 42 346	N.R. Stanton <i>et al.</i>	(OSU, CARL, MCGI+)	JP
CORDEN	78	NP B144 253	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+)	JP
NACASCH	78	NP B135 203	R. Nacasch <i>et al.</i>	(PARIS, MADR, CERN)	
GRASSLER	77	NP B121 189	H. Grassler <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, BONN+)	
DEFOIX	72	NP B44 125	C. Defoix <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN)	
DUBOC	72	NP B46 429	J. Duboc <i>et al.</i>	(PARIS, LIVP)	
THUN	72	PRL 28 1733	R. Thun <i>et al.</i>	(STON, NEAS)	
BARDADIN...	71	PR D4 2711	M. Bardadin-Otwinowska <i>et al.</i>	(WARS)	
BOESEBECK	71	PL 34B 659	K. Boesebeck	(AACH, BERL, BONN, CERN, CRAC+)	
CAMPBELL	69	PRL 22 1204	J.H. Campbell <i>et al.</i>	(PURD)	
LORSTAD	69	NP B14 63	B. Lorstad <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN)	JP
D'ANDLAU	68	NP B5 693	C. d'Andlau <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN, IRAD+)	IJP
DAHL	67	PR 163 1377	O.I. Dahl <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)	IJP

NODE=M008

REFID=63260
REFID=62055
REFID=60144
REFID=60024
REFID=57487
REFID=56781
REFID=55837
REFID=54714
REFID=53931
REFID=16755
REFID=53229
REFID=51698
REFID=52049
REFID=50167
REFID=49548
REFID=48574
REFID=48319
REFID=49649
REFID=47959
REFID=47989
REFID=46937
REFID=46346
REFID=45396

REFID=45758
REFID=45759
REFID=44376
REFID=44437
REFID=44090
REFID=44092
REFID=43587
REFID=42097
REFID=42175
REFID=41864

REFID=41748
REFID=41350
REFID=40729
REFID=41011
REFID=40930
REFID=40924
REFID=40572
REFID=40568
REFID=41574

REFID=40221
REFID=40015
REFID=40223
REFID=20891
REFID=20936
REFID=20934
REFID=20929
REFID=20468
REFID=20932
REFID=20573
REFID=20462
REFID=20922
REFID=20924
REFID=20456
REFID=20887
REFID=20452
REFID=20919
REFID=20447
REFID=20435
REFID=20339
REFID=20911
REFID=20196
REFID=20905
REFID=20419
REFID=20901
REFID=20897
REFID=20321

$\eta(1295)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$$

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

NODE=M037


NODE=M037

NODE=M037M

NODE=M037M

 $\eta(1295)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1294±4 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.		
1302±9±8	20k	ADAMS	01B B852	18 GeV $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
1282±5	9082	MANAK	00A MPS	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1299±4	2100	ALDE	97B GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
1295±4		FUKUI	91C SPEC	8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1264±8		¹ AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
~ 1275		STANTON	79 CNTR	8.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta 2\pi$



¹ PWA analysis of AUGUSTIN 92 assigns 0^{-+} quantum numbers to this state rather than 1^{++} as before.

NODE=M037M;LINKAGE=AG

 $\eta(1295)$ WIDTH

NODE=M037W

NODE=M037W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
55± 5 OUR AVERAGE				
57±23±21	20k	ADAMS	01B B852	18 GeV $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
66±13	9082	MANAK	00A MPS	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
53± 6		FUKUI	91C SPEC	8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
<40	2100	ALDE	97B GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
44±20		¹ AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
~ 70		STANTON	79 CNTR	8.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta 2\pi$

¹ PWA analysis of AUGUSTIN 92 assigns 0^{-+} quantum numbers to this state rather than 1^{++} as before.

NODE=M037W;LINKAGE=AG

$\eta(1295)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_2 $a_0(980)\pi$	seen
Γ_3 $\gamma\gamma$	
Γ_4 $\eta\pi^0\pi^0$	seen
Γ_5 $\eta(\pi\pi)S\text{-wave}$	seen
Γ_6 $\sigma\eta$	seen
Γ_7 $K\bar{K}\pi$	seen

NODE=M037215;NODE=M037

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=3
 DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=6;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=7;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\eta(1295)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_1\Gamma_3/\Gamma$**

VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.066	95	ACCIARRI	01G L3	183-202 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.6	90	AIHARA	88C TPC	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
<0.3		ANTREASYAN	87 CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi\pi$

NODE=M037220

NODE=M037G2
 NODE=M037G2

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_7\Gamma_3/\Gamma$**

VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.014	90	1,2 AHOHE	05 CLE2	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$

¹ Using $\eta(1295)$ mass and width 1294 MeV and 55 MeV, respectively.

² Assuming three-body phase-space decay to $K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$.

NODE=M037G3
 NODE=M037G3

NODE=M037G3;LINKAGE=AH
 NODE=M037G3;LINKAGE=B3

 $\eta(1295)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_2/Γ**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen	BERTIN	97 OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\pm(K^0)\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	BIRMAN	88 MPS	8 $\pi^-p \rightarrow K^+\bar{K}^0\pi^-n$
large	ANDO	86 SPEC	8 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$
large	STANTON	79 CNTR	8.4 $\pi^-p \rightarrow n\eta 2\pi$

NODE=M037225

NODE=M037R1
 NODE=M037R1

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi^0\pi^0)$ **Γ_2/Γ_4**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.65 ± 0.10	¹ ALDE	97B GAM4	100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0n$

¹ Assuming that $a_0(980)$ decays only to $\eta\pi$.

NODE=M037R2
 NODE=M037R2

NODE=M037R2;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta(\pi\pi)S\text{-wave})/\Gamma(\eta\pi^0\pi^0)$ **Γ_5/Γ_4**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.35 ± 0.10	ALDE	97B GAM4	100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0n$

NODE=M037R4
 NODE=M037R4

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(\sigma\eta)$ **Γ_2/Γ_6**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.48 ± 0.22	9082	MANAK	00A MPS	18 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

NODE=M037R5
 NODE=M037R5

 $\eta(1295)$ REFERENCES

AHOHE	05	PR D71 072001	R. Ahohe <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ACCIARRI	01G	PL B501 1	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADAMS	01B	PL B516 264	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
MANAK	00A	PR D62 012003	J.J. Manak <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ALDE	97B	PAN 60 386	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 60 458.		
BERTIN	97	PL B400 226	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
AUGUSTIN	92	PR D46 1951	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)
FUKUI	91C	PL B267 293	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
AUGUSTIN	90	PR D42 10	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
AIHARA	88C	PR D38 1	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2γ Collab.)
BIRMAN	88	PRL 61 1557	A. Birman <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FSU, IND, MASD) JP
ANTREASYAN	87	PR D36 2633	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ANDO	86	PRL 57 1296	A. Ando <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+) IJP
STANTON	79	PRL 42 346	N.R. Stanton <i>et al.</i>	(OSU, CARL, MCGI+) JP

NODE=M037

REFID=50764
 REFID=48319
 REFID=49649
 REFID=47989
 REFID=45396

REFID=45417
 REFID=41584
 REFID=41748
 REFID=41352
 REFID=40564
 REFID=40568
 REFID=40008
 REFID=20891
 REFID=20887

NODE=M058

 $\pi(1300)$

$$J^{PC} = 1^-(0^-+)$$

 $\pi(1300)$ MASS

NODE=M058M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1300±100 OUR ESTIMATE				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1128± 26±70		DARGENT 17	RVUE	$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$
1345± 8±10	18k	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1200± 40	90k	SALVINI 04	OBLX	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1343± 15±24		CHUNG 02	B852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1375± 40		ABELE 01	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
1275± 15		BERTIN 97D	OBLX	$0.05 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
~ 1114		ABELE 96	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi^0$
1190± 30		ZIELINSKI 84	SPEC	$200 \pi^+ Z \rightarrow Z 3\pi$
1240± 30		BELLINI 82	SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow A 3\pi$
1273± 50		² AARON 81	RVUE	
1342± 20		BONESINI 81	OMEG	$12 \pi^- p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
~ 1400		DAUM 81B	SPEC	$63,94 \pi^- p$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.² Uses multichannel Aitchison-Bowler model (BOWLER 75). Uses data from DAUM 80 and DANKOWYCH 81.

NODE=M058M

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M058M;LINKAGE=SC
NODE=M058M;LINKAGE=E $\pi(1300)$ WIDTH

NODE=M058W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
200 to 600 OUR ESTIMATE				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
314± 39±66		DARGENT 17	RVUE	$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$
260± 20±30	18k	³ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
470±120	90k	SALVINI 04	OBLX	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
449± 39±47		CHUNG 02	B852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
268± 50		ABELE 01	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
218±100		BERTIN 97D	OBLX	$0.05 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
~ 340		ABELE 96	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi^0$
440± 80		ZIELINSKI 84	SPEC	$200 \pi^+ Z \rightarrow Z 3\pi$
360±120		BELLINI 82	SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow A 3\pi$
580±100		⁴ AARON 81	RVUE	
220± 70		BONESINI 81	OMEG	$12 \pi^- p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
~ 600		DAUM 81B	SPEC	$63,94 \pi^- p$

³ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.⁴ Uses multichannel Aitchison-Bowler model (BOWLER 75). Uses data from DAUM 80 and DANKOWYCH 81.

NODE=M058W

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M058W;LINKAGE=SC
NODE=M058W;LINKAGE=E $\pi(1300)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M058215;NODE=M058

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \rho\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \pi(\pi\pi)S\text{-wave}$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad \gamma\gamma$	

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4 $\pi(1300)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M058218

$\Gamma(\rho\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_1\Gamma_3/\Gamma$
<0.085	90	ACCIARRI 97T	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.8	95	⁵ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
<0.54	90	ALBRECHT 97B	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	

⁵ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

NODE=M058G1

NODE=M058G1

NODE=M058G1;LINKAGE=SC

$\pi(1300)$ BRANCHING RATIOS $\Gamma(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}/\Gamma(\rho\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.2 ± 0.4		90k	SALVINI	04	OBLX $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
seen			CHUNG	02	B852 $18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ 2\pi^- p$
<0.15	90		ABELE	01	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
2.12			⁶ AARON	81	RVUE

⁶ Uses multichannel Aitchison-Bowler model (BOWLER 75). Uses data from DAUM 80 and DANKOWYCH 81.

NODE=M058220

NODE=M058R1
NODE=M058R1

NODE=M058R1;LINKAGE=E

 $\pi(1300)$ REFERENCES

DARGENT	17	JHEP 1705 143	P. dArgent <i>et al.</i>	(HEID, BRIS)
SCHEGELSKY	06	EPJ A27 199	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
SALVINI	04	EPJ C35 21	P. Salvini <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ABELE	01	EPJ C19 667	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	97B	ZPHY C74 469	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BERTIN	97D	PL B414 220	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	96	PL B380 453	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ZIELINSKI	84	PR D30 1855	M. Zielinski <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, MINN, FNAL)
BELLINI	82	PRL 48 1697	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(MILA, BGNA, JINR)
AARON	81	PR D24 1207	R.A. Aaron, R.S. Longacre	(NEAS, BNL)
BONESINI	81	PL 103B 75	M. Bonesini <i>et al.</i>	(MILA, LIVP, DARE+)
DANKOWY...	81	PRL 46 580	J.A. Dankowycz <i>et al.</i>	(TNTO, BNL, CARL+)
DAUM	81B	NP B182 269	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
DAUM	80	PL 89B 281	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
BOWLER	75	NP B97 227	M.G. Bowler <i>et al.</i>	(OXFTP, DARE)

NODE=M058

REFID=58121
REFID=51186
REFID=53226
REFID=48837
REFID=48334
REFID=45761
REFID=45418
REFID=45763
REFID=45011
REFID=20881
REFID=21134
REFID=20870
REFID=21130
REFID=20572
REFID=20872
REFID=20868
REFID=20571

 $a_2(1320)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$$

NODE=M012

 $a_2(1320)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$ Note that $\Gamma = -2 \text{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1305–1321)–$i(52\text{--}58)$ OUR ESTIMATE			
(1318.7 ± 1.9 ± 1.3)– $i(53.8 \pm 2.3^{+1.7}_{-0.9})$	¹ KOPF	21	RVUE $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta,$ $\pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$ and 191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$
(1312.5 ± 0.7 ± 2.6)– $i(53.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.9)$	² ALBRECHT	20	RVUE $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta,$ $\pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$
(1306.0 ± 0.8 ± 1.3)– $i(57.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.0)$	³ RODAS	19	RVUE 91 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)} \pi^- p$
(1309 ± 4) – $i(55 \pm 2)$	⁴ ANISOVICH	09	RVUE $\bar{p}p, \pi N$

¹ Extraction based on a combined fit of Crystal Barrel and $\pi\pi$ scattering data (ALBRECHT 20), and COMPASS data (ADOLPH 15), using a coupled-channel model of $\eta\pi, \eta'\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ systems.

² T-matrix pole with 2 poles, 2 channels ($\pi^0\eta$ and $K\bar{K}$).

³ Coupled-channel analysis of both the $\eta\pi$ and $\eta'\pi$ systems using ADOLPH 15 data. Supersedes JACKURA 18. Performed by JPAC.

⁴ Amplitude did not include dispersive corrections. From analysis of $\eta\pi$ mode.

NODE=M012PP

NODE=M012PP

NODE=M012PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M012PP;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M012PP;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M012PP;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M012PP;LINKAGE=A

 $a_2(1320)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
1318.2 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE	Includes data from the 4 datablocks that follow this one. Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

NODE=M012M0

NODE=M012M0

3 π MODE

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M012M1
NODE=M012M1

1318.6 $\pm$ 1.3 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.

1314.5 $^{+4.0}_{-3.3}$	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B	COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1326 $\pm 2 \pm 2$		CHUNG	02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1317 ± 3		BARBERIS	98B		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$
1323 $\pm 4 \pm 3$		ACCIARRI	97T	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1320 ± 7		ALBRECHT	97B	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1311.3 $\pm 1.6 \pm 3.0$	72.4k	AMELIN	96	VES	36 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
1310 ± 5		ARMSTRONG	90	OMEG 0	300.0 $p p \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1323.8 ± 2.3	4022	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2 $\pm$	$J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^\pm a_2^\mp$
1320.6 ± 3.1	3562	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2 0	$J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^0 a_2^0$
1317 ± 2	25k	² DAUM	80C	SPEC $-$	63,94 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
1320 ± 10	1097	² BALTAY	78B	HBC $+0$	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
1306 ± 8		FERRERSORIA	78	OMEG $-$	9 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
1318 ± 7	1.6k	² EMMS	75	DBC 0	4 $\pi^+ n \rightarrow p(3\pi)^0$
1315 ± 5		² ANTIPOV	73C	CNTR $-$	25,40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \eta \pi^-$
1306 ± 9	1580	CHALOUKPA	73	HBC $-$	3.9 $\pi^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1321 $\pm 1 \pm 0$	420k	³ ALEKSEEV	10	COMP	190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$
1300 $\pm 2 \pm 4$	18k	⁴ SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE 0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1305 ± 14		CONDO	93	SHF	$\gamma p \rightarrow n \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1310 ± 2		² EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG $-$	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
1343 ± 11	490	BALTAY	78B	HBC 0	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta 3\pi$
1309 ± 5	5k	BINNIE	71	MMS $-$	$\pi^- p$ near a_2 thresh- old
1299 ± 6	28k	BOWEN	71	MMS $-$	5 $\pi^- p$
1300 ± 6	24k	BOWEN	71	MMS $+$	5 $\pi^+ p$
1309 ± 4	17k	BOWEN	71	MMS $-$	7 $\pi^- p$
1306 ± 4	941	ALSTON...	70	HBC $+$	7.0 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3


¹ Statistical error negligible.

² From a fit to $J^P = 2^+ \rho \pi$ partial wave.

³ Superseded by AGHASYAN 2018B.

⁴ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

NODE=M012M1;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M012M1;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M012M1;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M012M1;LINKAGE=SC



$K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M012M2
 NODE=M012M2

1318.1± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE

1319 ± 5	4700	^{1,2} CLELAND	82B	SPEC	+	50 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ p$
1324 ± 6	5200	^{1,2} CLELAND	82B	SPEC	-	50 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$
1320 ± 2	4000	CHABAUD	80	SPEC	-	17 $\pi^- A \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- A$
1312 ± 4	11000	CHABAUD	78	SPEC	-	9.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
1316 ± 2	4730	CHABAUD	78	SPEC	-	18.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
1318 ± 1		^{1,3} MARTIN	78D	SPEC	-	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$
1320 ± 2	2724	MARGULIE	76	SPEC	-	23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
1313 ± 4	730	FOLEY	72	CNTR	-	20.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
1319 ± 3	1500	³ GRAYER	71	ASPK	-	17.2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1304 ± 10	870	⁴ SCHEGELSKY	06A	RVUE	0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
1330 ± 11	1000	^{1,2} CLELAND	82B	SPEC	+	30 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ p$
1324 ± 5	350	HYAMS	78	ASPK	+	12.7 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K^+ K_S^0 p$

¹ From a fit to $J^P = 2^+$ partial wave.² Number of events evaluated by us.³ Systematic error in mass scale subtracted.⁴ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.

NODE=M012M2;LINKAGE=P
 NODE=M012M2;LINKAGE=W
 NODE=M012M2;LINKAGE=S
 NODE=M012M2;LINKAGE=SC

 $\eta\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M012M3
 NODE=M012M3

1317.7± 1.4 OUR AVERAGE

1308 ± 9		BARBERIS	00H			450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^0 p_s$
1316 ± 9		BARBERIS	00H			450 $pp \rightarrow \Delta_f^{++} \eta \pi^- p_s$
1317 ± 1 ± 2		THOMPSON	97	MPS		18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
1315 ± 5 ± 2		¹ AMSLER	94D	CBAR		0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
1325.1 ± 5.1		AOYAGI	93	BKEI		$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
1317.7 ± 1.4 ± 2.0		BELADIDZE	93	VES		37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \eta \pi^- N$
1323 ± 8	1000	² KEY	73	OSPK	-	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi^- \eta$

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1307 ± 1 ± 6		³ JACKURA	18	RVUE		$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
1315 ± 12		⁴ ADOLPH	15	COMP		191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta^{(r)} \pi^- p$
1324 ± 5		ARMSTRONG	93C	E760	0	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
1336.2 ± 1.7	2561	DELFOSE	81	SPEC	+	$\pi^\pm p \rightarrow p \pi^\pm \eta$
1330.7 ± 2.4	1653	DELFOSE	81	SPEC	-	$\pi^\pm p \rightarrow p \pi^\pm \eta$
1324 ± 8	6200	^{2,5} CONFORTO	73	OSPK	-	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi^- \eta$

OCCUR=2

¹ The systematic error of 2 MeV corresponds to the spread of solutions.² Error includes 5 MeV systematic mass-scale error.³ Superseded by RODAS 19.⁴ ADOLPH 15 value is derived from a Breit-Wigner fit with mass-dependent width taking the $\eta\pi$ and $\rho\pi$ channels into account.⁵ Missing mass with enriched MMS = $\eta\pi^-$, $\eta = 2\gamma$.

NODE=M012M3;LINKAGE=DD
 NODE=M012M3;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M012M3;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M012M3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M012M3;LINKAGE=M

 $\eta'\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M012M4
 NODE=M012M4

1322 ± 7 OUR AVERAGE

1318 ± 8 $^{+3}_{-5}$		IVANOV	01	B852		18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$
1327.0 ± 10.7		BELADIDZE	93	VES		37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- N$

 $a_2(1320)$ WIDTH

NODE=M012W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID

107 ± 5 OUR ESTIMATE

NODE=M012W
 → UNCHECKED ←

107.8±1.2 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the 4 datablocks that follow this one.

3 π MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M012W1
NODE=M012W1

105.0^{+1.7}_{-1.9} OUR AVERAGE

106.6 ^{+3.4} _{-7.0}	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B	COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$	
108 ± 3 ± 15		CHUNG	02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$	
120 ± 10		BARBERIS	98B		450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$	
105 ± 10 ± 11		ACCIARRI	97T	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
120 ± 10		ALBRECHT	97B	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
103.0 $\pm 6.0 \pm 3.3$ 72.4k		AMELIN	96	VES	36 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$	
120 ± 10		ARMSTRONG	90	OMEG 0	300.0 $pp \rightarrow pp \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
107.0 ± 9.7	4022	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2 $\pm$	$J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^\pm a_2^\mp$	
118.5 ± 12.5	3562	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2 0	$J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^0 a_2^0$	OCCUR=2
97 ± 5		² EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG -	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$	
96 ± 9	25k	² DAUM	80C	SPEC -	63,94 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$	
110 ± 15	1097	² BALTAY	78B	HBC +0	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 4\pi$	
112 ± 18	1.6k	² EMMS	75	DBC 0	4 $\pi^+ n \rightarrow p(3\pi)^0$	
122 ± 14	1.2k	^{2,3} WAGNER	75	HBC 0	7 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}(3\pi)^0$	
115 ± 15		² ANTIPOV	73C	CNTR -	25,40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \eta \pi^-$	
99 ± 15	1580	CHALOUPKA	73	HBC -	3.9 $\pi^- p$	
105 ± 5	28k	BOWEN	71	MMS -	5 $\pi^- p$	
99 ± 5	24k	BOWEN	71	MMS +	5 $\pi^+ p$	OCCUR=2
103 ± 5	17k	BOWEN	71	MMS -	7 $\pi^- p$	OCCUR=3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

110 ± 2 $^{+2}_{-15}$	420k	⁴ ALEKSEEV	10	COMP	190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$	
117 ± 6 ± 20	18k	⁵ SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE 0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
120 ± 40		CONDO	93	SHF	$\gamma p \rightarrow n \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	
115 ± 14	490	BALTAY	78B	HBC 0	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta 3\pi$	OCCUR=2
72 ± 16	5k	BINNIE	71	MMS -	$\pi^- p$ near a_2 thresh- old	OCCUR=2
79 ± 12	941	ALSTON-...	70	HBC +	7.0 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$	

¹ Statistical error negligible.² From a fit to $J^P = 2^+ \rho\pi$ partial wave.³ Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.⁴ Superseded by AGHASYAN 2018B.⁵ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

NODE=M012W1;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M012W1;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M012W1;LINKAGE=S
NODE=M012W1;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M012W1;LINKAGE=SC

K $\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M012W2
NODE=M012W2

109.8 ± 2.4 OUR AVERAGE

112 ± 20	4700	^{1,2} CLELAND	82B	SPEC +	50 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ p$	OCCUR=2
120 ± 25	5200	^{1,2} CLELAND	82B	SPEC -	50 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$	OCCUR=3
106 ± 4	4000	CHABAUD	80	SPEC -	17 $\pi^- A \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- A$	
126 ± 11	11000	CHABAUD	78	SPEC -	9.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$	
101 ± 8	4730	CHABAUD	78	SPEC -	18.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$	OCCUR=2
113 ± 4		^{1,3} MARTIN	78D	SPEC -	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$	
105 ± 8	2724	³ MARGULIE	76	SPEC -	23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$	
113 ± 19	730	FOLEY	72	CNTR -	20.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$	
123 ± 13	1500	³ GRAYER	71	ASPK -	17.2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

120 ± 15	870	⁴ SCHEGELSKY	06A	RVUE 0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	
121 ± 51	1000	^{1,2} CLELAND	82B	SPEC +	30 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ p$	
110 ± 18	350	HYAMS	78	ASPK +	12.7 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K^+ K_S^0 p$	

- ¹ From a fit to $J^P = 2^+$ partial wave.
² Number of events evaluated by us.
³ Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.
⁴ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.

NODE=M012W2;LINKAGE=P
 NODE=M012W2;LINKAGE=W
 NODE=M012W2;LINKAGE=S
 NODE=M012W2;LINKAGE=SC

$\eta\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M012W3
 NODE=M012W3

111.1 ± 2.4 OUR AVERAGE

115 ± 20		BARBERIS	00H		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^0 p_s$
112 ± 14		BARBERIS	00H		450 $p p \rightarrow$ $\Delta_f^{++} \eta \pi^- p_s$
112 ± 3 ± 2		¹ AMSLER	94D	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
103 ± 6 ± 3		BELADIDZE	93	VES	$37 \pi^- N \rightarrow \eta \pi^- N$
112.2 ± 5.7	2561	DELFOSE	81	SPEC +	$\pi^\pm p \rightarrow p \pi^\pm \eta$
116.6 ± 7.7	1653	DELFOSE	81	SPEC −	$\pi^\pm p \rightarrow p \pi^\pm \eta$
108 ± 9	1000	KEY	73	OSPK −	$6 \pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi^- \eta$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

112 ± 1 ± 8		² JACKURA	18	RVUE	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
119 ± 14		³ ADOLPH	15	COMP	$191 \pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\eta^{(I)} \pi^- p$
127 ± 2 ± 2		⁴ THOMPSON	97	MPS	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
118 ± 10		ARMSTRONG	93C	E760 0	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
104 ± 9	6200	⁵ CONFORTO	73	OSPK −	$6 \pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi^- \eta$

¹ The systematic error of 2 MeV corresponds to the spread of solutions.

² Superseded by RODAS 19.

³ ADOLPH 15 value is derived from a Breit-Wigner fit with mass-dependent width taking the $\eta\pi$ and $\rho\pi$ channels into account.

⁴ Resolution is not unfolded.

⁵ Missing mass with enriched MMS = $\eta\pi^-$, $\eta = 2\gamma$.

NODE=M012W3;LINKAGE=DD
 NODE=M012W3;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M012W3;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M012W3;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M012W3;LINKAGE=M

$\eta'\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M012W4
 NODE=M012W4

119 ± 25 OUR AVERAGE

140 ± 35 ± 20		IVANOV	01	B852	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$
106 ± 32		BELADIDZE	93	VES	$37 \pi^- N \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- N$

$a_2(1320)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M012215;NODE=M012

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1 3π	(70.1 ± 2.7) %	S=1.2
Γ_2 $\rho(770)\pi$		
Γ_3 $f_2(1270)\pi$		
Γ_4 $\rho(1450)\pi$		
Γ_5 $\eta\pi$	(14.5 ± 1.2) %	
Γ_6 $\omega\pi\pi$	(10.6 ± 3.2) %	S=1.3
Γ_7 $K\bar{K}$	(4.9 ± 0.8) %	
Γ_8 $\eta'(958)\pi$	(5.5 ± 0.9) × 10 ^{−3}	
Γ_9 $\pi^\pm\gamma$	(2.91 ± 0.27) × 10 ^{−3}	
Γ_{10} $\gamma\gamma$	(9.4 ± 0.7) × 10 ^{−6}	
Γ_{11} e^+e^-	< 5 × 10 ^{−9}	CL=90%

DESIG=1
 DESIG=11
 DESIG=12
 DESIG=13
 DESIG=3
 DESIG=4
 DESIG=2
 DESIG=8
 DESIG=7
 DESIG=9
 DESIG=10

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 5 branching ratios uses 18 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 9.3$ for 15 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_5	10		
x_6	-89	-46	
x_7	-1	-2	-24
	x_1	x_5	x_6

$a_2(1320)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\eta\pi)$

Γ_5

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

18.5 ± 3.0	870	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $\Gamma(a_2(1320) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 0.91$ keV and SU(3) relations.

NODE=M012220

NODE=M012W6
NODE=M012W6

NODE=M012W6;LINKAGE=SC

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

Γ_7

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$7.0^{+2.0}_{-1.5}$	870	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $\Gamma(a_2(1320) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 0.91$ keV and SU(3) relations.

NODE=M012W5
NODE=M012W5

NODE=M012W5;LINKAGE=SC

$\Gamma(\pi^\pm\gamma)$

Γ_9

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

311 ± 25 OUR AVERAGE

$358 \pm 6 \pm 42$		¹ ADOLPH	14	COMP	– 190 $\pi^- \text{Pb} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \text{Pb}'$

$284 \pm 25 \pm 25$	7.1k	MOLCHANOV 01	SELX		600 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$

295 ± 60		CIHANGIR 82	SPEC	+	200 $\pi^+ A$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

461 ± 110		² MAY	77	SPEC	± 9.7 γA

¹ Primakoff reaction using $a_2(1320) \rightarrow 3\pi$ branching ratio of 70.1%.

² Assuming one-pion exchange.

NODE=M012W7
NODE=M012W7

NODE=M012W7;LINKAGE=AD
NODE=M012W;LINKAGE=M2

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$

Γ_{10}

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

1.00 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE

$0.98 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.09$		ACCIARRI	97T	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

$0.96 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.13$		ALBRECHT	97B	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

$1.26 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.18$	36	BARU	90	MD1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

$1.00 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.15$	415	BEHREND	90C	CELL	0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

$1.03 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.21$		BUTLER	90	MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

$1.01 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.22$	85	OEST	90	JADE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \eta$

$0.90 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.15$	56	¹ ALTHOFF	86	TASS	0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 3\pi$

$1.14 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.26$		² ANTREASYAN	86	CBAL	0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \eta$

$1.06 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.19$		BERGER	84C	PLUT	0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 3\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.81 \pm 0.19^{+0.42}_{-0.11}$	35	¹ BEHREND	82C	CELL	0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 3\pi$

$0.77 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.27$	22	² EDWARDS	82F	CBAL	0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \eta$

¹ From $\rho\pi$ decay mode.

² From $\eta\pi^0$ decay mode.

NODE=M012W9
NODE=M012W9

NODE=M012W;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M012W;LINKAGE=G

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_{11}

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.56	90	ACHASOV	00K SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<25	90	VOROBYEV	88 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\eta$

NODE=M012W10
 NODE=M012W10

 $a_2(1320) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M012223

 $\Gamma(3\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.65±0.02±0.02	18k	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M012G2
 NODE=M012G2

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

NODE=M012G2;LINKAGE=SC

 $\Gamma(\eta\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_5\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.145 ^{+0.097} _{-0.034}	¹ UEHARA 09A BELL		$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0$

NODE=M012G01
 NODE=M012G01

¹ From the D_2 -wave. The fraction of the D_0 -wave is 3.4^{+2.3}_{-1.1}%.

NODE=M012G01;LINKAGE=UE

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.126±0.007±0.028	¹ ALBRECHT 90G ARG		$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K^+K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.081±0.006±0.027	² ALBRECHT 90G ARG		$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K^+K^-$

NODE=M012G1
 NODE=M012G1

¹ Using an incoherent background.² Using a coherent background.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M012G1;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M012G1;LINKAGE=B

 $a_2(1320) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$

NODE=M012225

 $[\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi) + \Gamma(\rho(1450)\pi)]/\Gamma(\rho(770)\pi)$ $(\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4)/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.12	90	ABRAMOVI...	70B HBC	—	3.93 π^-p

NODE=M012R9
 NODE=M012R9

 $\Gamma(\rho(770)\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_3

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
16.5^{+1.2}_{-2.4}	46M	¹ AGHASYAN 18B COMP	190 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$	

NODE=M012R00
 NODE=M012R00

¹ Statistical error negligible.

NODE=M012R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta\pi)/\Gamma(3\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_1

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.207±0.018 OUR FIT					
0.213±0.020 OUR AVERAGE					
0.18 ±0.05		FORINO 76	HBC		11 π^-p
0.22 ±0.05	52	ANTIPOV 73	CNTR	—	40 π^-p
0.211±0.044	149	CHALOUPKA 73	HBC	—	3.9 π^-p
0.246±0.042	167	ALSTON-... 71	HBC	+	7.0 π^+p
0.25 ±0.09	15	BOECKMANN 70	HBC	+	5.0 π^+p
0.23 ±0.08	22	ASCOLI 68	HBC	—	5 π^-p
0.12 ±0.08		CHUNG 68	HBC	—	3.2 π^-p
0.22 ±0.09		CONTE 67	HBC	—	11.0 π^-p

NODE=M012R3
 NODE=M012R3

 $\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(3\pi)$ Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.15±0.05 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.				
0.15±0.05 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.				
0.28±0.09	60	DIAZ 74	DBC	0	6 π^+n
0.18±0.08		¹ KARSHON 74	HBC		Avg. of above two
0.10±0.05	279	² CHALOUPKA 73	HBC	—	3.9 π^-p
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.29±0.08	140	¹ KARSHON 74	HBC	0	4.9 π^+p
0.10±0.04	60	¹ KARSHON 74	HBC	+	4.9 π^+p
0.19±0.08		DEFOIX 73	HBC	0	0.7 $\bar{p}p$

NODE=M012R12
 NODE=M012R12

OCCUR=3


OCCUR=2

¹KARSHON 74 suggest an additional $I = 0$ state strongly coupled to $\omega\pi\pi$ which could explain discrepancies in branching ratios and masses. We use a central value and a systematic spread.

²Decays to $b_1(1040)\pi$, $b_1 \rightarrow \omega\pi$. Error increased to account for possible systematic errors of complicated analysis.

NODE=M012R12;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M012R12;LINKAGE=01

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(3\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.070±0.012 OUR FIT					
0.078±0.017		CHABAUD 78	RVUE		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.011±0.003		¹ BERTIN 98B	OBLX		0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S \pi^\mp$
0.056±0.014	50	² CHALOUKKA 73	HBC	—	3.9 $\pi^- p$
0.097±0.018	113	² ALSTON-... 71	HBC	+	7.0 $\pi^+ p$
0.06 ±0.03		² ABRAMOVI... 70B	HBC	—	3.93 $\pi^- p$
0.054±0.022		² CHUNG 68	HBC	—	3.2 $\pi^- p$

¹Using 4 π data from BERTIN 97D.

²Included in CHABAUD 78 review.

NODE=M012R1
NODE=M012R1NODE=M012R1;LINKAGE=BE
NODE=M012R1;LINKAGE=C $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\eta\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_5

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.31 ±0.22 ^{+0.09} _{-0.11}	¹ KOPF 21	RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta,$ $\pi^0 K^+ K^-$ and 191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$
0.352±0.011±0.175	² ALBRECHT 20	RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta,$ $\pi^0 K^+ K^-$
0.08 ±0.02	³ BERTIN 98B	OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S \pi^\mp$

¹From T-matrix pole based on combined fit of Crystal Barrel and $\pi\pi$ scattering data (ALBRECHT 20), and COMPASS data (ADOLPH 15), using a coupled-channel model of $\eta\pi, \eta'\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ systems.

²Residues from T-matrix pole with 2 poles, 2 channels ($\pi^0\eta$ and $K\bar{K}$).

³Using $\eta\pi\pi$ data from AMSLER 94D.

NODE=M012R14
NODE=M012R14

NODE=M012R14;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M012R14;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M012R14;LINKAGE=BE $\Gamma(\eta\pi)/[\Gamma(3\pi) + \Gamma(\eta\pi) + \Gamma(K\bar{K})]$ $\Gamma_5/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_5+\Gamma_7)$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.162±0.012 OUR FIT					
0.140±0.028 OUR AVERAGE					
0.13 ±0.04		ESPIGAT 72	HBC	±	0.0 $\bar{p}p$
0.15 ±0.04	34	BARNHAM 71	HBC	+	3.7 $\pi^+ p$

NODE=M012R2
NODE=M012R2

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/[\Gamma(3\pi) + \Gamma(\eta\pi) + \Gamma(K\bar{K})]$$

$$\Gamma_7/(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_5 + \Gamma_7)$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.054±0.009 OUR FIT						
0.048±0.012 OUR AVERAGE						
0.05 ±0.02		TOET	73	HBC	+	5 $\pi^+ p$
0.09 ±0.04		TOET	73	HBC	0	5 $\pi^+ p$
0.03 ±0.02	8	¹ DAMERI	72	HBC	−	11 $\pi^- p$
0.06 ±0.03	17	BARNHAM	71	HBC	+	3.7 $\pi^+ p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●						
0.020±0.004		² ESPIGAT	72	HBC	±	0.0 $\bar{p} p$

¹ Montanet agrees. Vlada.

² Not averaged because of discrepancy between masses from $K\bar{K}$ and $\rho\pi$ modes.

NODE=M012R8
NODE=M012R8

OCCUR=2

NODE=M012R8;LINKAGE=01
NODE=M012R8;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_8/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●						
<0.006	95	ALDE	92B	GAM2	38,100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^0 n$	
<0.02	97	BARNHAM	71	HBC	+	3.7 $\pi^+ p$
0.004±0.004		¹ BOESEBECK	68	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p$

¹ No longer valid since $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(3\pi)$ value has changed (MORRISON 71).

NODE=M012R4
NODE=M012R4

NODE=M012R4;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi)/\Gamma(3\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_8/\Gamma_1$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●						
<0.011	90	EISENSTEIN	73	HBC	−	5 $\pi^- p$
<0.04		ALSTON-...	71	HBC	+	7.0 $\pi^+ p$
0.04 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.03 \\ -0.04 \end{smallmatrix}$		BOECKMANN	70	HBC	0	5.0 $\pi^+ p$

NODE=M012R5
NODE=M012R5

$$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_8/\Gamma_5$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.038±0.005 OUR AVERAGE			
0.05 ±0.02	ADOLPH	15	COMP 191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)} \pi^- p$
0.032±0.009	ABELE	97C	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta'$
0.047±0.010±0.004	¹ BELADIDZE	93	VES 37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow a_2^- N$
0.034±0.008±0.005	BELADIDZE	92	VES 36 $\pi^- C \rightarrow a_2^- C$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.046±0.015 $^{+0.07}_{-0.006}$	² KOPF	21	RVUE 0.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$ and 191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$

¹ Using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) = 0.441$, $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 0.389$ and $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = 0.236$.

² From T-matrix pole based on combined fit of Crystal Barrel and $\pi\pi$ scattering data (ALBRECHT 20), and COMPASS data (ADOLPH 15), using a coupled-channel model of $\eta\pi$, $\eta'\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ systems.

NODE=M012R13
NODE=M012R13

OCCUR=2

NODE=M012R13;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M012R13;LINKAGE=C

$$\Gamma(\pi^\pm \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.005 $^{+0.005}_{-0.003}$	¹ EISENBERG	72	HBC 4.3,5.25,7.5 γp

¹ Pion-exchange model used in this estimation.

NODE=M012R11
NODE=M012R11

NODE=M012R11;LINKAGE=R

$$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-9})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<6	90	ACHASOV	00K	SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$

NODE=M012R15
NODE=M012R15

a₂(1320) REFERENCES

NODE=M012

KOPF	21	EPJ C81 1056	B. Kopf <i>et al.</i>	(BOCH)	REFID=61470
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=60439
RODAS	19	PRL 122 042002	A. Rodas <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC Collab.)	REFID=59554
AGHASYAN	18B	PR D98 092003	M. Aghasyan <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)	REFID=59471
JACKURA	18	PL B779 464	A. Jackura <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC and COMPASS Collab.)	REFID=59003
ADOLPH	15	PL B740 303	M. Adolph <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)	REFID=56385
ADOLPH	14	EPJ A50 79	C. Adolph <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)	REFID=55911
ALEKSEEV	10	PRL 104 241803	M.G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)	REFID=53356
ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)	REFID=52719
UEHARA	09A	PR D80 032001	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53002
SCHEGELSKY	06	EPJ A27 199	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>		REFID=51186
SCHEGELSKY	06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>		REFID=51185
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)	REFID=48837
IVANOV	01	PRL 86 3977	E.I. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)	REFID=48317
MOLCHANOV	01	PL B521 171	V.V. Molchanov <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL SELEX Collab.)	REFID=48559
ACHASOV	00K	PL B492 8	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=47933
BARBERIS	00H	PL B488 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47964
BARBERIS	98B	PL B422 399	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=46345
BERTIN	98B	PL B434 180	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=46351
ABELE	97C	PL B404 179	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=45531
ACCIARRI	97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=45761
ALBRECHT	97B	ZPHY C74 469	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=45418
THOMPSON	97	PRL 79 1630	D.R. Thompson <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)	REFID=45584
AMELIN	96	ZPHY C70 71	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)	REFID=44649
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44093
AOYAGI	93	PL B314 246	H. Aoyagi <i>et al.</i>	(BKEI Collab.)	REFID=43599
ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=43587
BELADIDZE	93	PL B313 276	G.M. Beladidze <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)	REFID=43598
CONDO	93	PR D48 3045	G.T. Condo <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC Hybrid Collab.)	REFID=43600
ALDE	92B	ZPHY C54 549	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)	REFID=41852
BELADIDZE	92	ZPHY C54 235	G.M. Beladidze <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)	REFID=42171
ALBRECHT	90G	ZPHY C48 183	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=41374
ARMSTRONG	90	ZPHY C48 213	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun, W. Beusch	(WA76 Coll.)	REFID=41375
BARU	90	ZPHY C48 581	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(MD-1 Collab.)	REFID=41366
BEHREND	90C	ZPHY C46 583	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)	REFID=41356
BUTLER	90	PR D42 1368	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)	REFID=41363
OEST	90	ZPHY C47 343	T. Oest <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)	REFID=41358
AUGUSTIN	89	NP B320 1	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41004
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41023
ALTHOFF	86	Translated from YAF 48 436	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)	REFID=21287
ANTREASYAN	86	ZPHY C31 537	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=20469
BERGER	84C	PR D33 1847	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)	REFID=21286
BEHREND	82C	PL 149B 427	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)	REFID=20303
Also		PL 125B 518 (errat.)	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)	REFID=20302
CHANGIR	82	PL 117B 123	S. Cihangir <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, MINN, ROCH)	REFID=21280
CLELAND	82B	NP B208 228	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)	REFID=21281
EDWARDS	82F	PL 110B 82	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=20747
DELFOSSSE	81	NP B183 349	A. Delfosse <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, LAUS)	REFID=21277
EVANGELIS...	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)	REFID=20462
CHABAUD	80	NP B175 189	V. Chabaud <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM, AMST)	REFID=21274
DAUM	80C	PL 89B 276	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)	REFID=21275
BALTAY	78B	PR D17 62	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING)	REFID=21265
CHABAUD	78	NP B145 349	V. Chabaud <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=21267
FERRERSORIA	78	PL 74B 287	A. Ferrer Soria <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY, CERN, CDEF+)	REFID=21270
HYAMS	78	NP B146 303	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM, ATEN)	REFID=21271
MARTIN	78D	PL 74B 417	A.D. Martin <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA) JP	REFID=21272
MAY	77	PR D16 1983	E.N. May <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, CORN)	REFID=20450
FORINO	76	NC 35A 465	A. Forino <i>et al.</i>	(BGNA, FIRZ, GENO, MILA+)	REFID=21259
MARGULIE	76	PR D14 667	M. Margulies <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)	REFID=21261
EMMS	75	PL 58B 117	M.J. Emms <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, DURH, RHEL) JP	REFID=21254
WAGNER	75	PL 58B 201	F. Wagner, M. Tabak, D.M. Chew	(LBL) JP	REFID=20843
DIAZ	74	PRL 32 260	J. Diaz <i>et al.</i>	(CASE, CMU)	REFID=21248
KARSHON	74	PRL 32 852	U. Karshon <i>et al.</i>	(REHO)	REFID=21249
ANTIPOV	73	NP B63 175	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, SERP) JP	REFID=21238
ANTIPOV	73C	NP B63 153	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, SERP) JP	REFID=20817
CHALOUPKA	73	PL 44B 211	V. Chaloupka <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)	REFID=21242
CONFORTO	73	PL 45B 154	G. Conforto <i>et al.</i>	(EFI, FNAL, TNTO+)	REFID=21243
DEFOIX	73	PL 43B 141	C. Defoix <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF)	REFID=21244
EISENSTEIN	73	PR D7 278	L. Eisenstein <i>et al.</i>	(ILL)	REFID=21245
KEY	73	PRL 30 503	A.W. Key <i>et al.</i>	(TNTO, EFI, FNAL, WISC)	REFID=21246
TOET	73	NP B63 248	D.Z. Toet <i>et al.</i>	(NIJM, BONN, DURH, TORI)	REFID=20714
DAMERI	72	NC 9A 1	M. Dameri <i>et al.</i>	(GENO, MILA, SACL)	REFID=20338
EISENBERG	72	PR D5 15	Y. Eisenberg <i>et al.</i>	(REHO, SLAC, TELA)	REFID=20098
ESPIGAT	72	NP B36 93	P. Espigat <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)	REFID=21232
FOLEY	72	PR D6 747	K.J. Foley <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)	REFID=21233
ALSTON...	71	PL 34B 156	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)	REFID=21214
BARNHAM	71	PRL 26 1494	K.W.J. Barnham <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)	REFID=21215
BINNIE	71	PL 36B 257	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)	REFID=21217
BOWEN	71	PRL 26 1663	D.R. Bowen <i>et al.</i>	(NEAS, STON)	REFID=21219
GRAYER	71	PL 34B 333	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=21223
ABRAMOVI...	70B	NP B23 466	M. Abramovich <i>et al.</i>	(CERN) JP	REFID=21195
ALSTON...	70	PL 33B 607	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)	REFID=21196
BOECKMANN	70	NP B16 221	K. Boeckmann <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, DURH, NIJM+)	REFID=21202
ASCOLI	68	PRL 20 1321	G. Ascoli <i>et al.</i>	(ILL) JP	REFID=21171
BOESEBECK	68	NP B4 501	K. Boesebeck <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN)	REFID=20585
CHUNG	68	PR 165 1491	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)	REFID=20059
CONTE	67	NC 51A 175	F. Conte <i>et al.</i>	(GENO, HAMB, MILA, SACL)	REFID=21166

$f_0(1370)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances" and a note on "Non- $q\bar{q}$ Candidates" in PDG 06, Journal of Physics **G33** 1 (2006).

NODE=M147

NODE=M147

 $f_0(1370)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

NODE=M147PP

NODE=M147PP

NODE=M147PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=AO

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=BG

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=PC

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=TK

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=DD

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=BB

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=C1

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=KM

NODE=M147PP;LINKAGE=H

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1250–1440) –i(60–300) OUR ESTIMATE			
(1245 ± 40)–i(300 ⁺³⁰ _{–70})	¹ PELAEZ	23	RVUE Compilation
(1380 ⁺⁷⁰ _{–60})–i(220 ⁺⁸⁰ _{–70})	² PELAEZ	23	RVUE Compilation
(1370 ± 40)–i(195 ± 20)	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
(1280.6 ± 1.6 ± 47.4) – i(205.2 ± 1.7 ± 20.7)	³ ALBRECHT	20	RVUE $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0K^+K^-$
(1290 ± 50)–i(170 ⁺²⁰ _{–40})	⁴ ANISOVICH	09	RVUE $0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$
(1373 ± 15)–i(137 ± 10)	⁵ BARGIOTTI	03	OBLX $\bar{p}p$
(1302 ± 17)–i(166 ± 18)	⁶ BARBERIS	00C	450 $pp \rightarrow pf 4\pi p_s$
(1312 ± 25 ± 10)–i(109 ± 22 ± 15)	BARBERIS	99D	OMEG $450 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-, \pi^+\pi^-$
(1406 ± 19)–i(80 ± 6)	⁷ KAMINSKI	99	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \sigma\sigma$
(1300 ± 20)–i(120 ± 20)	ANISOVICH	98B	RVUE Compilation
(1290 ± 15)–i(145 ± 15)	BARBERIS	97B	OMEG $450 \bar{p}p \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
(1548 ± 40)–i(560 ± 40)	BERTIN	97C	OBLX $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
(1380 ± 40)–i(180 ± 25)	ABELE	96B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0K_L^0K_L^0$
(1300 ± 15)–i(115 ± 8)	BUGG	96	RVUE
(1330 ± 50)–i(150 ± 40)	⁸ AMSLER	95B	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
(1360 ± 35)–i(150–300)	⁸ AMSLER	95C	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta$
(1390 ± 30)–i(190 ± 40)	⁹ AMSLER	95D	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0\pi^0\eta$
1346 – i249	^{10,11} JANSSEN	95	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
1214 – i168	^{11,12} TORNQVIST	95	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
1364 – i139	AMSLER	94D	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta$
(1365 ⁺²⁰ _{–55})–i(134 ± 35)	ANISOVICH	94	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta$
(1340 ± 40)–i(127 ⁺³⁰ _{–20})	¹³ BUGG	94	RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta\eta\pi^0, \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
(1430 ± 5)–i(73 ± 13)	¹⁴ KAMINSKI	94	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
1420 – i220	¹⁵ AU	87	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$

¹ From forward dispersion relation applied to $\pi\pi$ scattering data.

² From partial-wave dispersion relation applied to $\pi\pi \rightarrow \bar{K}K$ data.

³ T-matrix pole, 5 poles, 5 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$), and BINON 84C ($\eta\eta'$).

⁴ Another pole is found at $(1510 \pm 130) - i(800^{+100}_{-150})$ MeV.

⁵ Coupled channel analysis of $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $K^+K^-\pi^0$, and $K^\pm K_S^0\pi^\mp$.

⁶ Average between $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$.

⁷ T-matrix pole on sheet – – –.

⁸ Supersedes ANISOVICH 94.

⁹ Coupled-channel analysis of $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta$, and $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$ on sheet IV. Demonstrates explicitly that $f_0(500)$ and $f_0(1370)$ are two different poles.

¹⁰ Analysis of data from FALVARD 88.

¹¹ The pole is on Sheet III. Demonstrates explicitly that $f_0(500)$ and $f_0(1370)$ are two different poles.

¹² Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.

¹³ Reanalysis of ANISOVICH 94 data.

¹⁴ T-matrix pole on sheet III.

¹⁵ Analysis of data from OCHS 73, GRAYER 74, BECKER 79, and CASON 83.

$f_0(1370)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

1200 to 1500 OUR ESTIMATE

NODE=M147205

NODE=M147M

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M147M1

NODE=M147M1

 $\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1400 ± 40		¹ AUBERT 09L	BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
1470^{+6+72}_{-7-255}		² UEHARA 08A	BELL	$10.6 \frac{e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0}{e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0}$
1259 ± 55	2.6k	BONVICINI 07	CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
$1309 \pm 1 \pm 15$		³ BUGG 07A	RVUE	$0.0 \frac{p\bar{p} \rightarrow 3\pi^0}{p\bar{p} \rightarrow 3\pi^0}$
1449 ± 13	4.3k	⁴ GARMASH 06	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1350 ± 50		ABLIKIM 05	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
$1265 \pm 30^{+20}_{-35}$		ABLIKIM 05Q	BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
$1434 \pm 18 \pm 9$	848	AITALA 01A	E791	$D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1308 ± 10		BARBERIS 99B	OMEG	$450 \frac{pp \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-}{pp \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-}$
1315 ± 50		BELLAZZINI 99	GAM4	$450 \frac{pp \rightarrow pp \pi^0 \pi^0}{pp \rightarrow pp \pi^0 \pi^0}$
1315 ± 30		ALDE 98	GAM4	$100 \frac{\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n}{\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n}$
1280 ± 55		BERTIN 98	OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \frac{\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-}{\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-}$
1186		^{5,6} TORNQVIST 95	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
1472 ± 12		ARMSTRONG 91	OMEG	$300 \frac{pp \rightarrow pp \pi \pi, pp K \bar{K}}{pp \rightarrow pp \pi \pi, pp K \bar{K}}$
1275 ± 20		BREAKSTONE 90	SFM	$62 \frac{pp \rightarrow pp \pi^+ \pi^-}{pp \rightarrow pp \pi^+ \pi^-}$
1420 ± 20		AKESSON 86	SPEC	$63 \frac{pp \rightarrow pp \pi^+ \pi^-}{pp \rightarrow pp \pi^+ \pi^-}$
1256		FROGGATT 77	RVUE	$\pi^+ \pi^-$ channel

¹ Breit-Wigner mass.² Breit-Wigner mass. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.³ Reanalysis of ABELE 96C data.⁴ Also observed by GARMASH 07 in $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays. Supersedes GARMASH 05.⁵ Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CA-SON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.⁶ Also observed by ASNER 00 in $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$ decays

NODE=M147M1;LINKAGE=BW

NODE=M147M1;LINKAGE=UE

NODE=M147M1;LINKAGE=BU

NODE=M147M1;LINKAGE=GR

NODE=M147M1;LINKAGE=BB

NODE=M147M1;LINKAGE=FF

 $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$1422 \pm 15 \pm 28$		¹ AAIJ 19H	LHCB	$pp \rightarrow D^\pm X$
$1360 \pm 31 \pm 28$	430	^{2,3} DOBBS 15		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
$1350 \pm 48 \pm 15$	168	^{2,3} DOBBS 15		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1440 ± 6		VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \frac{\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n}{\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n}$
1391 ± 10		TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	$40.0 \frac{\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X}{\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X}$
1440 ± 50		BOLONKIN 88	SPEC	$40 \frac{\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n}{\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n}$
1463 ± 9		ETKIN 82B	MPS	$23 \frac{\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0}{\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0}$
1425 ± 15		WICKLUND 80	SPEC	$6 \frac{\pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N}{\pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N}$
~ 1300		POLYCHRO... 79	STRC	$7 \frac{\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0}{\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0}$

¹ From the $D^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm K^+ K^-$ Dalitz plot fit with the isobar model A.² Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.³ From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed $\Gamma = 346$ MeV.

NODE=M147M2

NODE=M147M2

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=2

NODE=M147M2;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M147M2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M147M2;LINKAGE=B

 4π MODE $2(\pi\pi)_S + \rho\rho$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1395 ± 40		ABELE 01	CBAR	$0.0 \frac{\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p}{\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p}$
1374 ± 38		AMSLER 94	CBAR	$0.0 \frac{\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0}{\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0}$
1345 ± 12		ADAMO 93	OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1386 ± 30		GASPERO 93	DBC	$0.0 \frac{\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-}{\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-}$
~ 1410	5751	¹ BETTINI 66	DBC	$0.0 \frac{\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-}{\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-}$

¹ $\rho\rho$ dominant.

NODE=M147M3

NODE=M147M3

NODE=M147M3;LINKAGE=BE

$\eta\eta$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$1262^{+51+82}_{-78-103}$	¹ UEHARA	10A BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\eta$
1430	AMSLER	92 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$
1220 ± 40	ALDE	86D GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\eta$

¹ Breit-Wigner mass. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.

NODE=M147M4
NODE=M147M4

NODE=M147M4;LINKAGE=UE

COUPLED CHANNEL MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$1330.2^{+5.9}_{-6.5} \pm 5.1$	¹ AAIJ	19H LHCB	$pp \rightarrow D^\pm X$
1306 ± 20	² ANISOVICH	03 RVUE	

¹ From the $D^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm K^+ K^-$ Dalitz plot fit with the Triple-M amplitude in the multi-meson model of AOUDE 18.

² K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta\eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$, $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$, $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.

NODE=M147M5
NODE=M147M5

NODE=M147M5;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M147M;LINKAGE=KM

 $f_0(1370)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
200 to 500 OUR ESTIMATE	

NODE=M147210

NODE=M147W
→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
300 ± 80		¹ AUBERT	09L BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
90^{+2+50}_{-1-22}		² UEHARA	08A BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
298 ± 21	2.6k	BONVICINI	07 CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
126 ± 25	4286	³ GARMASH	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
265 ± 40		ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
$350 \pm 100^{+105}_{-60}$		ABLIKIM	05Q BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
$173 \pm 32 \pm 6$	848	AITALA	01A E791	$D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
222 ± 20		BARBERIS	99B OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_S p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
255 ± 60		BELLAZZINI	99 GAM4	$450 pp \rightarrow pp \pi^0 \pi^0$
190 ± 50		ALDE	98 GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
323 ± 13		BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
350		^{4,5} TORNQVIST	95 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K \bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
195 ± 33		ARMSTRONG	91 OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow pp\pi\pi, ppK \bar{K}$
285 ± 60		BREAKSTONE	90 SFM	$62 pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+ \pi^-$
460 ± 50		AKESSON	86 SPEC	$63 pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+ \pi^-$
~ 400		⁶ FROGGATT	77 RVUE	$\pi^+ \pi^-$ channel

¹ The systematic errors are not reported.

² Breit-Wigner width. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.

³ Also observed by GARMASH 07 in $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays. Supersedes GARMASH 05.

⁴ Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.

⁵ Also observed by ASNER 00 in $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$ decays

⁶ Width defined as distance between 45 and 135° phase shift.

NODE=M147W1
NODE=M147W1

NODE=M147W1;LINKAGE=NS
NODE=M147W1;LINKAGE=UE
NODE=M147W1;LINKAGE=GR
NODE=M147W1;LINKAGE=BB

NODE=M147W1;LINKAGE=FF
NODE=M147W1;LINKAGE=E

 $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$324 \pm 38 \pm 42$	¹ AAIJ	19H LHCB	$pp \rightarrow D^\pm X$
121 ± 15	VLADIMIRSK...	06 SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
55 ± 26	TIKHOMIROV	03 SPEC	$40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
250 ± 80	BOLONKIN	88 SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
118^{+138}_{-16}	ETKIN	82B MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$
160 ± 30	WICKLUND	80 SPEC	$6 \pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
~ 150	POLYCHRO...	79 STRC	$7 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$

¹ From the $D^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm K^+ K^-$ Dalitz plot fit with the isobar model A.

NODE=M147W2
NODE=M147W2

OCCUR=3

NODE=M147W2;LINKAGE=C

4 π MODE 2($\pi\pi$) $_S$ + $\rho\rho$

VALUE (MeV)	EVS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
275 $\pm$ 55		ABELE	01	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
375 $\pm$ 61		AMSLER	94	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
398 $\pm$ 26		ADAMO	93	OBLX $\bar{n}p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
310 $\pm$ 50		GASPERO	93	DBC 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
~ 90	5751	¹ BETTINI	66	DBC 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$

¹ $\rho\rho$ dominant.NODE=M147W3
NODE=M147W3

NODE=M147W3;LINKAGE=BE

 $\eta\eta$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
484 $^{+246}_{-170}$ + 246 $^{+246}_{-263}$	¹ UEHARA	10A	BELL 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\eta$
250	AMSLER	92	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$
320 $\pm$ 40	ALDE	86D	GAM4 100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\eta$

¹ Breit-Wigner width. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.NODE=M147W4
NODE=M147W4

NODE=M147W4;LINKAGE=UE

COUPLED CHANNEL MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
147 $^{+30}_{-50}$	¹ ANISOVICH	03	RVUE
¹ K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta\eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$, $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$, $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.			

NODE=M147W5
NODE=M147W5

NODE=M147W;LINKAGE=KM

 $f_0(1370)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_2 4π	seen
Γ_3 $4\pi^0$	seen
Γ_4 $2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	seen
Γ_5 $\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$	seen
Γ_6 $\rho\rho$	seen
Γ_7 $2(\pi\pi)_S$ -wave	seen
Γ_8 $\pi(1300)\pi$	seen
Γ_9 $a_1(1260)\pi$	seen
Γ_{10} $\eta\eta$	seen
Γ_{11} $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_{12} $K\bar{K} n\pi$	not seen
Γ_{13} 6π	not seen
Γ_{14} $\omega\omega$	not seen
Γ_{15} $\gamma\gamma$	seen
Γ_{16} $e^+ e^-$	not seen

NODE=M147215;NODE=M147

DESIG=1;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=10;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=4;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=5;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=6;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=14;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=15;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=16;OUR EVAL; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=17;OUR EVAL; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=2;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=11;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=18;OUR EVAL; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=19;OUR EVAL; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=20;OUR EVAL; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=12;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=13;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$

 $f_0(1370)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS **$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$** See $\gamma\gamma$ widths under $f_0(500)$ and MORGAN 90. **Γ_{15}**

NODE=M147217

NODE=M147W11
NODE=M147W11
NODE=M147W11 **$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)$**

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<20	90	VOROBYEV	88	ND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$

 Γ_{16} NODE=M147W12
NODE=M147W12

$f_0(1370) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M147225

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{10} \Gamma_{15} / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M147G01
NODE=M147G01

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$121^{+133+169}_{-53-106}$	¹ UEHARA	10A	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\eta$

¹ Including interference with the $f'_2(1525)$ (parameters fixed to the values from the 2008 edition of this review, PDG 08) and $f_2(1270)$. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.

NODE=M147G01;LINKAGE=UE

 $f_0(1370) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$

NODE=M147220

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1 / Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M147R3
NODE=M147R3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.10	95	OCHS	13	RVUE
0.26 ± 0.09		BUGG	96	RVUE
<0.15		¹ AMSLER	94	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
<0.06		GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Using AMSLER 95B ($3\pi^0$).

NODE=M147R3;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(4\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_2 / \Gamma = (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5) / \Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M147R4
NODE=M147R4

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.72	GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(4\pi^0) / \Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_3 / Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M147R12
NODE=M147R12

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	ABELE	96	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi^0$
0.068 ± 0.005	¹ GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Model-dependent evaluation.

NODE=M147R12;LINKAGE=GA

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-) / \Gamma(4\pi)$ $\Gamma_4 / \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_4 / (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M147R5
NODE=M147R5

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.420 ± 0.014	¹ GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$

¹ Model-dependent evaluation.

NODE=M147R5;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0) / \Gamma(4\pi)$ $\Gamma_5 / \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_5 / (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M147R6
NODE=M147R6

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.512 ± 0.019	¹ GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Model-dependent evaluation.

NODE=M147R6;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\rho\rho) / \Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_6 / Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M147R17
NODE=M147R17

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.26 ± 0.07	ABELE	01B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$

 $\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}) / \Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_7 / Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M147R15
NODE=M147R15

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.6 ± 2.6	¹ ABELE	01	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$

¹ From the combined data of ABELE 96 and ABELE 96C.

NODE=M147R;LINKAGE=KZ

 $\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}) / \Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_7 / Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M147R16
NODE=M147R16

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.51 ± 0.09	ABELE	01B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(2\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
large	BARBERIS	00C	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
1.6 ± 0.2	AMSLER	94	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
~ 0.65	GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 Γ_6/Γ_7

NODE=M147R10
 NODE=M147R10

OCCUR=2

 $\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.17 ± 0.06	ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$

 Γ_8/Γ_2

NODE=M147R18
 NODE=M147R18

 $\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.06 ± 0.02	ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$

 Γ_9/Γ_2

NODE=M147R19
 NODE=M147R19

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$(28 \pm 11) \times 10^{-3}$	¹ ANISOVICH	02D	SPEC Combined fit
$(4.7 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-3}$	BARBERIS	00E	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f \eta \eta p_s$

 $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_{10}/(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)$

NODE=M147R14
 NODE=M147R14

¹ From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel ($0. \bar{p}\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n, \eta \eta n, \eta \eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$) data.

NODE=M147R14;LINKAGE=CH

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.35 ± 0.13	BUGG	96	RVUE

 Γ_{11}/Γ

NODE=M147R11
 NODE=M147R11

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.08 ± 0.08	ABLIKIM	05	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-, \phi K^+ K^-$
0.91 ± 0.20	¹ BARGIOTTI	03	OBLX $\bar{p}p$
0.12 ± 0.06	² ANISOVICH	02D	SPEC Combined fit
$0.46 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.11$	BARBERIS	99D	OMEG 450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^-$

 Γ_{11}/Γ_1

NODE=M147R13
 NODE=M147R13

¹ Coupled channel analysis of $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, K^+ K^- \pi^0$, and $K_S^0 \pi^\mp$.

² From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel ($0. \bar{p}\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n, \eta \eta n, \eta \eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$) data.

NODE=M147R;LINKAGE=BG
 NODE=M147R;LINKAGE=CH

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}n\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
< 0.03	GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 Γ_{12}/Γ

NODE=M147R20
 NODE=M147R20

 $\Gamma(6\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
< 0.22	GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 Γ_{13}/Γ

NODE=M147R21
 NODE=M147R21

 $\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
< 0.13	GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 Γ_{14}/Γ

NODE=M147R22
 NODE=M147R22

$\phi_0(1370)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M147

PELAEZ	23	PRL 130 051902	J.R. Pelaez, A. Rodas, J. Ruiz de Elvira (MADU+)	REFID=62199
SARANTSEV	21	PL B816 136227	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i> (BONN, PNPI)	REFID=61091
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=60439
AAIJ	19H	JHEP 1904 063	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> (LHCb Collab.)	REFID=59670
AOUDE	18	PR D98 056021	R.T. Aoude <i>et al.</i>	REFID=59987
DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i> (NWES)	REFID=56805
OCHS	13	JP G40 043001	W. Ochs	REFID=55367
UEHARA	10A	PR D82 114031	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i> (BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53641
ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev (PNPI)	REFID=52719
AUBERT	09L	PR D79 072006	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i> (BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52723
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i> (PDG Collab.)	REFID=52166
UEHARA	08A	PR D78 052004	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i> (BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52309
BONVICINI	07	PR D76 012001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i> (CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51721
BUGG	07A	JP G34 151	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	REFID=53252
GARMASH	07	PR D75 012006	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i> (BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51594
GARMASH	06	PRL 96 251803	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i> (BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51162
PDG	06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i> (PDG Collab.)	REFID=51004
VLADIMIRSK...	06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i> (ITEP, Moscow)	REFID=51191
		Translated from YAF 69 515.		
ABLIKIM	05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i> (BES Collab.)	REFID=50450
ABLIKIM	05Q	PR D72 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i> (BES Collab.)	REFID=50958
GARMASH	05	PR D71 092003	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i> (BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50641
ANISOVICH	03	EPJ A16 229	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	REFID=49401
BARGIOTTI	03	EPJ C26 371	M. Bargiotti <i>et al.</i> (OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=49217
TIKHOMIROV	03	PAN 66 828	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>	REFID=49423
		Translated from YAF 66 860.		
ANISOVICH	02D	PAN 65 1545	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	REFID=48831
		Translated from YAF 65 1583.		
ABELE	01	EPJ C19 667	A. Abele <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=48334
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=48356
AITALA	01A	PRL 86 765	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i> (FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=48004
ASNER	00	PR D61 012002	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i> (CLEO Collab.)	REFID=47339
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i> (WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47959
BARBERIS	00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i> (WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47961
BARBERIS	99B	PL B453 316	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i> (Omega Expt.)	REFID=46922
BARBERIS	99D	PL B462 462	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i> (Omega Expt.)	REFID=47395
BELLAZZINI	99	PL B467 296	R. Bellazzini <i>et al.</i>	REFID=47400
KAMINSKI	99	EPJ C9 141	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau (CRAC, PARIN)	REFID=46927
ALDE	98	EPJ A3 361	D. Alde <i>et al.</i> (GAM4 Collab.)	REFID=46605
Also		PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i> (GAMS Collab.)	REFID=46914
		Translated from YAF 62 446.		
ANISOVICH	98B	SPU 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	REFID=46331
		Translated from UFN 168 481.		
BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i> (OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=45782
BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i> (WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=45758
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i> (OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=45701
ABELE	96	PL B380 453	A. Abele <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=45011
ABELE	96B	PL B385 425	A. Abele <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=45038
ABELE	96C	NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=45076
BUGG	96	NP B471 59	D.V. Bugg, A.V. Sarantsev, B.S. Zou (LOQM, PNPI)	REFID=45094
AMSLER	95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44377
AMSLER	95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44440
AMSLER	95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44441
JANSEN	95	PR D52 2690	G. Janssen <i>et al.</i> (STON, ADLD, JULI)	REFID=44508
TORNQVIST	95	ZPHY C68 647	N.A. Tornqvist (HELS)	REFID=44529
AMSLER	94	PL B322 431	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.) JPC	REFID=43660
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44093
ANISOVICH	94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.) JPC	REFID=43659
BUGG	94	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i> (LOQM)	REFID=44078
KAMINSKI	94	PR D50 3145	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, J.P. Maillet (CRAC+)	REFID=45771
ADAMO	93	NP A558 13C	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i> (OBELIX Collab.) JPC	REFID=43657
GASPERO	93	NP A562 407	M. Gaspero (ROMAI) JPC	REFID=43658
AMSLER	92	PL B291 347	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=43169
ARMSTRONG	91	ZPHY C51 351	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i> (ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=41744
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i> (ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=41862
BREAKSTONE	90	ZPHY C48 569	A.M. Breakstone <i>et al.</i> (ISU, BGNA, CERN+)	REFID=41376
MORGAN	90	ZPHY C48 623	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington (RAL, DURH)	REFID=41583
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i> (SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)	REFID=40262
BOLONKIN	88	NP B309 426	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i> (ITEP, SERP)	REFID=40580
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i> (CLER, FRAS, LALO+)	REFID=40576
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i> (NOVO)	REFID=41023
		Translated from YAF 48 436.		
AU	87	PR D35 1633	K.L. Au, D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington (DURH, RAL)	REFID=40064
AKESSON	86	NP B264 154	T. Akesson <i>et al.</i> (Axial Field Spec. Collab.)	REFID=21123
ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i> (BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)	REFID=20765
LONGACRE	86	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i> (BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)	REFID=20768
BINON	84C	NC 80A 363	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i> (BELG, LAPP, SERP+)	REFID=21418
BINON	83	NC 78A 313	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i> (BELG, LAPP, SERP+)	REFID=20750
CASON	83	PR D28 1586	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i> (NDAM, ANL)	REFID=20752
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i> (BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)	REFID=20390
WICKLUND	80	PRL 45 1469	A.B. Wicklund <i>et al.</i> (ANL)	REFID=20383
BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker <i>et al.</i> (MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)	REFID=21084
POLYCHRO...	79	PR D19 1317	V.A. Polychronakos <i>et al.</i> (NDAM, ANL)	REFID=20378
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen (GLAS, NORD)	REFID=21072
ROSSELET	77	PR D15 574	L. Rosselet <i>et al.</i> (GEVA, SACL)	REFID=11004
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i> (CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20355
GRAYER	74	NP B75 189	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i> (CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20113
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i> (CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20107
OCHS	73	Thesis	W. Ochs (MPIM, MUNI)	REFID=20349
BEIER	72B	PRL 29 511	E.W. Beier <i>et al.</i> (PENN)	REFID=44530
BETTINI	66	NC 42A 695	A. Bettini <i>et al.</i> (PADO, PISA)	REFID=21361

$\pi_1(1400)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^-+)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Coupled channel analyses favor the existence of only one broad 1^-+ isovector state consistent with $\pi_1(1600)$ in the 1400–1600 MeV region. See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances". See also $\pi_1(1600)$.

NODE=M111

NODE=M111

NODE=M027

 $\eta(1405)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^-+)$$

See also the $\eta(1475)$.

NODE=M027

NODE=M027205

NODE=M027MX

NEW


 $\eta(1405)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

 $1408.0^{+1.8}_{-1.2}$ OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the 2 datablocks that follow this one.

Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below. $[1408.7^{+2.0}_{-1.2}$ MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 2.2]

 **$\eta\pi\pi$ MODE**

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M027M1

NODE=M027M1

1405.5 ± 2.3 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below. $[1405.8 \pm 2.6$ MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 2.3]

NEW

1404	$+0.8^{+2.0}_{-1.5-8.1}$	1	ABLIKIM	25AB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$	
1409.0	± 1.7	743	ABLIKIM	12E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$	
1407.0	± 3.5	198	ABLIKIM	12E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0)$	
1399.8	$\pm 2.2^{+2.8}_{-0.1}$	2	ABLIKIM	11J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)$	
1392	± 14	900 $\pm$ 375	AMSLER	04B CBAR	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	

OCCUR=2

1394 ± 8	6.6 ± 2.0k	AMSLER	04B CBAR	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
1404 ± 6	9082	MANAK	00A MPS	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1424 ± 6	2200	ALDE	97B GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
1400 ± 6		³ BOLTON	92B MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
1388 ± 4		FUKUI	91C SPEC	$8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1398 ± 6	261	⁴ AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
1420 ± 5		ANDO	86 SPEC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1404.0 ± 11.0	195	ABLIKIM	19BABES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
1385 ± 7		BAI	99 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
1409 ± 3		⁵ AMSLER	95F CBAR	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$

¹ ABLIKIM 25AB measured $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++} PHSP \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$. Here $\gamma \pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++} PHSP$ indicates an intermediate state which could be either $\gamma \pi^0 f_0(980)$ or $\gamma \pi^0 0^{++}$ phase space.

² The selected process is $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega a_0(980) \pi$.

³ From fit to the $a_0(980) \pi 0^{++}$ partial wave.

⁴ Best fit with a single Breit Wigner.

⁵ Superseded by AMSLER 04B.

OCCUR=2


NODE=M027M1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M027M1;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=M027M1;LINKAGE=J1

NODE=M027M1;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=M027M1;LINKAGE=A



$K \bar{K} \pi$ MODE ($a_0(980) \pi$ or direct $K \bar{K} \pi$)

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M027M4

NODE=M027M4

1413.5^{+2.0}_{-0.9} OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.

1391.7 ± 0.7 ^{+11.3} _{-0.3}	126k	¹ ABLIKIM	23M BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
1413 ± 14	3651	² NICHITIU	02 OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1416 ± 4 ± 2	20k	ADAMS	01B B852	$18 \text{ GeV } \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
1405 ± 5		³ CICALO	99 OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
1407 ± 5		³ BERTIN	97 OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm (K^0) \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
1416 ± 2		³ BERTIN	95 OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \pi$
1416 ± 8 ± 7 ₅	700	⁴ BAI	90C MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1413 ± 5		⁴ RATH	89 MPS	$21.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow n K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •


1459 ± 5		⁵ AUGUSTIN	92 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

- ¹ ABLIKIM 23M reports for this state a significance from the fit much higher than 35σ .
² Decaying dominantly directly to $K^+ K^- \pi^0$.
³ Decaying into $(K\bar{K})_S \pi$, $(K\pi)_S \bar{K}$, and $a_0(980)\pi$.
⁴ From fit to the $a_0(980)\pi 0^- +$ partial wave. Cannot rule out a $a_0(980)\pi 1^- +$ partial wave.
⁵ Excluded from averaging because averaging would be meaningless.

NODE=M027M4;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M027M;LINKAGE=NC
 NODE=M027M4;LINKAGE=FX
 NODE=M027M4;LINKAGE=C2
 NODE=M027M4;LINKAGE=AA



$\pi\pi\gamma$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1403±17 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.8.			
1390±12	235 ± 91	AMSLER	04B CBAR	$0\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta$
1424±10±11	547	BAI	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1401±18	^{1,2}	AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
1432± 8	²	COFFMAN	90 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\gamma$

¹ Best fit with a single Breit Wigner.

² This peak in the $\gamma\rho$ channel may not be related to the $\eta(1405)$.

NODE=M027M2
 NODE=M027M2

OCCUR=4

NODE=M027M2;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M027M2;LINKAGE=X

4π MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1420±20		BUGG	95 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
1489±12	3270	¹ BISELLO	89B DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$

¹ Estimated by us from various fits.

NODE=M027M3
 NODE=M027M3

NODE=M027M3;LINKAGE=E

$\phi\gamma$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1422.0±2.1^{+5.9}_{-7.8}	¹ ABLIKIM	25P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ with significance 18.9 σ .

NODE=M027M7
 NODE=M027M7

NODE=M027M7;LINKAGE=A

$K\bar{K}\pi$ MODE (unresolved)

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1452.7± 3.3	191	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	13M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega K K \pi$
1437.6± 3.2	249 ± 35	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	08E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + c.c.$
1445.9± 5.7	62 ± 18	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	08E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1442 ± 10	410	¹ BAI	98C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1445 ± 8	693	¹ AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1433 ± 8	296	¹ AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1413 ± 8	500	¹ DUCH	89 ASTE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- K^\pm \pi^\mp K^0$

NODE=M027M6
 NODE=M027M6

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

1453 $\pm$ 7	170	¹ RATH	89	MPS	$21.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0 n$
1419 $\pm$ 1	8800	¹ BIRMAN	88	MPS	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^- n$
1424 $\pm$ 3	620	¹ REEVES	86	SPEC	$6.6 p \bar{p} \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi X$
1421 $\pm$ 2		¹ CHUNG	85	SPEC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi n$
1440 $^{+20}_{-15}$	174	¹ EDWARDS	82E	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1440 $^{+10}_{-15}$		¹ SCHARRE	80	MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1425 $\pm$ 7	800	^{1,3} BAILLON	67	HBC	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \pi \pi$

¹ These experiments identify only one pseudoscalar in the 1400–1500 range. Data could also refer to $\eta(1475)$.

² Systematic uncertainty not evaluated.

³ From best fit of $0^- +$ partial wave, 50% $K^*(892) K$, 50% $a_0(980) \pi$.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M027M;LINKAGE=NP

NODE=M027M;LINKAGE=NS

NODE=M027M6;LINKAGE=H

$\eta(1405)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID


49.8 $^{+2.3}_{-2.0}$ OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the 2 datablocks that follow this one. Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below. [50.3 $\pm$ 2.5 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.6]

NODE=M027210

NODE=M027WX

NEW

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
49.8 $^{+2.3}_{-2.0}$ (Error scaled by 1.6)



$\eta\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M027W1
NODE=M027W1

51.1 $^{+2.8}_{-2.1}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below. [52.6 $\pm$ 3.2 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.3]

NEW

46 $^{+1.8+4.2}_{-2.0-0.0}$		¹ ABLIKIM	25AB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
48.3 $\pm$ 5.2	743	ABLIKIM	12E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma (\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$
55.0 $\pm$ 11.0	198	ABLIKIM	12E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma (\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0)$
52.8 $\pm$ 7.6 $^{+0.1}_{-7.6}$		² ABLIKIM	11J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega (\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)$
55 $\pm$ 11	900	AMSLER	04B CBAR	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

OCCUR=2

55 ±12	6.6k	AMSLER	04B CBAR	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
80 ±21	9.0k	MANAK	00A MPS	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
85 ±18	2.2k	ALDE	97B GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
47 ±13		³ BOLTON	92B MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
59 ± 4		FUKUI	91C SPEC	$8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
53 ±11		⁴ AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
31 ± 7		ANDO	86 SPEC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

79.0±16.0	195	ABLIKIM	19BA BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
86 ±10		⁵ AMSLER	95F CBAR	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$

¹ ABLIKIM 25AB measured $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++}$ PHSP $\rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$. Here $\gamma \pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++}$ PHSP indicates an intermediate state which could be either $\gamma \pi^0 f_0(980)$ or $\gamma \pi^0 0^{++}$ phase space.

² The selected process is $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega a_0(980) \pi$.

³ From fit to the $a_0(980) \pi 0^{++}$ partial wave.

⁴ From $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ mass distribution - mainly $a_0(980) \pi$ - no spin-parity determination available.

⁵ Superseded by AMSLER 04B.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M027W1;LINKAGE=B


NODE=M027W1;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=M027W1;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=M027W1;LINKAGE=D1

NODE=M027W1;LINKAGE=A

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
51.1±2.8-2.1 (Error scaled by 1.3)



$K \bar{K} \pi$ MODE ($a_0(980) \pi$ or direct $K \bar{K} \pi$)

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

49 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.0. See the ideogram below.

60.8 ± 1.2 ^{+5.5} _{-12.0}	126k	ABLIKIM	23M BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
51 ± 6	3651	¹ NICHITIU	02 OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
42 ±10 ± 9	20k	ADAMS	01B B852	$18 \text{ GeV } \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
50 ± 4		CICALO	99 OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
48 ± 5		² BERTIN	97 OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm (K^0) \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
50 ± 4		² BERTIN	95 OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \pi \pi$
75 ± 9		AUGUSTIN	92 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$
91 ⁺⁶⁷ ₋₃₁ ⁺¹⁵ ₋₃₈		³ BAI	90C MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
19 ± 7		³ RATH	89 MPS	$21.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow n K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$

¹ Decaying dominantly directly to $K^+ K^- \pi^0$.

² Decaying into $(K \bar{K})_S \pi$, $(K \pi)_S \bar{K}$, and $a_0(980) \pi$.

³ From fit to the $a_0(980) \pi 0^{++}$ partial wave, but $a_0(980) \pi 1^{++}$ cannot be excluded.

NODE=M027W4

NODE=M027W4


OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

NODE=M027W;LINKAGE=NC

NODE=M027W4;LINKAGE=FX

NODE=M027W4;LINKAGE=C



ππγ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
89 ±17 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7.		
64 ±18	235 ± 91	AMSLER	04B CBAR	0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
101.0 ± 8.8 ± 8.8	547	BAI	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
174 ±44		AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
90 ±26		¹ COFFMAN	90 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\gamma$

¹ This peak in the $\gamma\rho$ channel may not be related to the $\eta(1405)$.

4π MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
160 ±30		BUGG	95 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
144 ±13	3270	¹ BISELLO	89B DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$

¹ Estimated by us from various fits.

φγ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
86.3 ± 2.7^{+6.6}_{-17.4}	¹ ABLIKIM	25P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ with significance 18.9σ.

K $\bar{K}\pi$ MODE (unresolved)

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
45.9 ± 8.2	191	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	13M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega K K \pi$
48.9 ± 9.0	249 ± 35	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	08E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$
34.2 ± 18.5	62 ± 18	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	08E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K_S^+ K^- \pi^0$
93 ± 14	296	¹ AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
105 ± 10	693	¹ AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
62 ± 16	500	¹ DUCH	89 ASTE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi\pi\pi$
100 ± 11	170	¹ RATH	89 MPS	$21.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0 n$
66 ± 2	8800	¹ BIRMAN	88 MPS	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^- n$
60 ± 10	620	¹ REEVES	86 SPEC	$6.6 p\bar{p} \rightarrow K K \pi X$
60 ± 10		¹ CHUNG	85 SPEC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi n$
55 ⁺²⁰ ₋₃₀	174	¹ EDWARDS	82E CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
50 ⁺³⁰ ₋₂₀		¹ SCHARRE	80 MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
80 ± 10	800	^{1,3} BAILLON	67 HBC	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi\pi\pi$

¹ These experiments identify only one pseudoscalar in the 1400–1500 range. Data could also refer to $\eta(1475)$.

² Systematic uncertainty not evaluated.

³ From best fit to $0^- - ^+$ partial wave, 50% $K^*(892)K$, 50% $a_0(980)\pi$.

NODE=M027W2
NODE=M027W2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M027W2;LINKAGE=X

NODE=M027W3
NODE=M027W3

NODE=M027W3;LINKAGE=F2

NODE=M027W7
NODE=M027W7

NODE=M027W7;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M027W6
NODE=M027W6

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

NODE=M027W;LINKAGE=NP

NODE=M027W;LINKAGE=NS

NODE=M027W6;LINKAGE=H1

$\eta(1405)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M027215;NODE=M027

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1	$K\bar{K}\pi$	seen	
Γ_2	$\eta\pi\pi$	seen	
Γ_3	$a_0(980)\pi$	seen	
Γ_4	$\eta(\pi\pi)$ S-wave	seen	
Γ_5	$f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$		
Γ_6	$f_0(980)\eta$	seen	
Γ_7	4π	seen	
Γ_8	$\rho\rho$	<58 %	99.85%
Γ_9	$\gamma\gamma$		
Γ_{10}	$\rho^0\gamma$	seen	
Γ_{11}	$\phi\gamma$	seen	
Γ_{12}	$K^*(892)K$	seen	

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=9;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=15
 DESIG=10;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=6;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=12
 DESIG=7
 DESIG=8;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=13
 DESIG=11;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\eta(1405) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M027220

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_1\Gamma_9/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M027G3
 NODE=M027G3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.035 90 1,2 AHOHE 05 CLE2 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$

¹ Using $\eta(1405)$ mass and width 1410 MeV and 51 MeV, respectively.

² Assuming three-body phase-space decay to $K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$.

NODE=M027G3;LINKAGE=AH
 NODE=M027G3;LINKAGE=B3

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_2\Gamma_9/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M027G5
 NODE=M027G5

<0.095 95 ACCIARRI 01G L3 183–202 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{10}\Gamma_9/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M027G8
 NODE=M027G8

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.5 95 ALTHOFF 84E TASS $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

 $\eta(1405)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M027225

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$					Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M027R3
 NODE=M027R3

1.09±0.48 ¹ AMSLER 04B CBAR 0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.5 90 EDWARDS 83B CBAL $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi\gamma$

<1.1 90 SCHARRE 80 MRK2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi\gamma$

<1.5 95 FOSTER 68B HBC 0.0 $\bar{p}p$

¹ Using the data of BAILLON 67 on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$.

NODE=M027R3;LINKAGE=AM

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$					Γ_{10}/Γ_2
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		

NODE=M027R12
 NODE=M027R12

0.111±0.064 AMSLER 04B CBAR 0 $\bar{p}p$

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$					Γ_3/Γ_1
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M027R4
 NODE=M027R4

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~0.15 ¹ BERTIN 95 OBLX 0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi\pi\pi$

~0.8 500 ¹ DUCH 89 ASTE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^\mp K^0$

~0.75 ¹ REEVES 86 SPEC 6.6 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K K\pi X$

¹ Assuming that the $a_0(980)$ decays only into $K\bar{K}$.

NODE=M027R4;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$					Γ_3/Γ_2
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M027R2
 NODE=M027R2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.29±0.10 ABELE 98E CBAR 0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$

0.19±0.04 2200 ¹ ALDE 97B GAM4 100 $\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$

0.56±0.04±0.03 ¹ AMSLER 95F CBAR 0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta$

¹ Assuming that the $a_0(980)$ decays only into $\eta\pi$.

NODE=M027R2;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta(\pi\pi)S\text{-wave})$ Γ_3/Γ_4

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.91 ± 0.12		ANISOVICH	01 SPEC	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
0.15 ± 0.04	9082	¹ MANAK	00A MPS	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
$0.70 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.20$		² BAI	99 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Statistical error only.² Assuming that the $a_0(980)$ decays only into $\eta\pi$.NODE=M027R9
NODE=M027R9NODE=M027R;LINKAGE=K3
NODE=M027R9;LINKAGE=BK $\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ Γ_{10}/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0152 ± 0.0038	¹ COFFMAN	90 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi) = 4.2 \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \rho^0) = 6.4 \times 10^{-5}$.			

NODE=M027R7
NODE=M027R7

NODE=M027R7;LINKAGE=D

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.78 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	180 BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma \gamma \gamma$
¹ Using results from BAI 00D.				

NODE=M027R02
NODE=M027R02

NODE=M027R02;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta(\pi\pi)S\text{-wave})/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_2

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.81 ± 0.04	2200	ALDE	97B GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$

NODE=M027R8
NODE=M027R8 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\eta)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$ Γ_6/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.32 ± 0.07	¹ ANISOVICH	00 SPEC	$0.9\text{--}1.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$
¹ Using preliminary Crystal Barrel data.			

NODE=M027R10
NODE=M027R10

NODE=M027R10;LINKAGE=D

 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	203	¹ ABLIKIM	24I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta(1405)$
seen	743	² ABLIKIM	12E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta(1405)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen		³ ABLIKIM	17AJ BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
¹ ABLIKIM 24I reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta(1405) \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (2.04 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-7}$.				
² ABLIKIM 12E reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta(1405) \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (1.5 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-5}$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta(1405) \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0) = (7.10 \pm 0.82 \pm 0.72) \times 10^{-6}$.				
³ ABLIKIM 17AJ reports $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) < 5.0 \times 10^{-7}$.				

NODE=M027R00
NODE=M027R00

NODE=M027R00;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M027R00;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M027R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.58	99.85	^{1,2} AMSLER	04B CBAR	$0 \bar{p}p$
¹ Assuming that the $\eta(1405)$ decays are saturated by the $\pi\pi\eta$, $K\bar{K}\pi$ and $\rho\rho$ modes.				
² Using the data of BAILLON 67 on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$.				

NODE=M027R13
NODE=M027R13NODE=M027R13;LINKAGE=AM
NODE=M027R13;LINKAGE=AS $\Gamma(K^*(892)K)/\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)$ Γ_{12}/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.084 ± 0.024	¹ ADAMS	01B B852	$18 \text{ GeV } \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
¹ Statistical error only.			

NODE=M027R11
NODE=M027R11

NODE=M027R11;LINKAGE=K3

 $\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	25P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$
¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$ with significance 18.9 σ .			

NODE=M027R03
NODE=M027R03

NODE=M027R03;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)$ Γ_{11}/Γ_{10}

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.09 ± 0.03		¹ ABLIKIM	18I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$
0.13 ± 0.04		² ABLIKIM	18I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$
< 0.77	95	³ BAI	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma K^+ K^-$

¹ Constructive interference between $X(1835)$ and $\eta(1405)/\eta(1475)$ decays to $\gamma\phi$ is assumed. Also see $\eta(1475)$. ABLIKIM 18I reports the inverse as 11.10 ± 3.5 .

² Destructive interference between $X(1835)$ and $\eta(1405)/\eta(1475)$ decays to $\gamma\phi$ is assumed. Also see $\eta(1475)$. ABLIKIM 18I reports the inverse as 7.53 ± 2.49 .

³ Calculated by us from $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta(1405)\gamma \rightarrow \phi\gamma\gamma) < 0.82 \times 10^{-4}$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta(1405)\gamma \rightarrow \rho^0\gamma\gamma) = (1.07 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M027R14
NODE=M027R14

OCCUR=2

NODE=M027R14;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M027R14;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M027R14;LINKAGE=BA

$\eta(1405)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M027

ABLIKIM	25AB	PR D112 032007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63273
ABLIKIM	25P	PR D111 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63260
ABLIKIM	24I	PR D109 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62659
ABLIKIM	23M	JHEP 2303 121	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62055
ABLIKIM	19BA	PR D100 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60024
ABLIKIM	18I	PR D97 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58893
ABLIKIM	18O	PR D97 072014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58925
ABLIKIM	17AJ	PR D96 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58322
ABLIKIM	13M	PR D87 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55386
ABLIKIM	12E	PRL 108 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54270
ABLIKIM	11J	PRL 107 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53931
ABLIKIM	08E	PR D77 032005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52143
AHOHE	05	PR D71 072001	R. Ahohe <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50764
AMSLER	04B	EPJ C33 23	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=51079
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50167
NICHITU	02	PL B545 261	F. Nichitiu <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=48848
ACCIARRI	01G	PL B501 1	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=48319
ADAMS	01B	PL B516 264	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)	REFID=49649
ANISOVICH	01	NP A690 567	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48308
ANISOVICH	00	PL B472 168	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47429
BAI	00D	PL B476 25	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47954
MANAK	00A	PR D62 012003	J.J. Manak <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)	REFID=47989
BAI	99	PL B446 356	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46606
CICALO	99	PL B462 453	C. Cicalo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=47394
ABELE	98E	NP B514 45	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=46314
BAI	98C	PL B440 217	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46337
ALDE	97B	PAN 60 386	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)	REFID=45396
Translated from YAF 60 458.					
BERTIN	97	PL B400 226	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=45417
AMSLER	95F	PL B358 389	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44613
BERTIN	95	PL B361 187	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=44614
BUGG	95	PL B353 378	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, PNPI, WASH)	REFID=44438
AUGUSTIN	92	PR D46 1951	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41584
BOLTON	92B	PRL 69 1328	T. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=42176
FUKUI	91C	PL B267 293	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)	REFID=41748
AUGUSTIN	90	PR D42 10	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41352
BAI	90C	PRL 65 2507	Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41578
COFFMAN	90	PR D41 1410	D.M. Coffman <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41350
BISELLO	89B	PR D39 701	G. Busetto <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40575
DUCH	89	ZPHY C45 223	K.D. Duch <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.) JP	REFID=41016
RATH	89	PR D40 693	M.G. Rath <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, BRAN, BNL, CUNY+)	REFID=40924
BIRMAN	88	PRL 61 1557	A. Birman <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FSU, IND, MASD) JP	REFID=40568
ANDO	86	PRL 57 1296	A. Ando <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+) IJP	REFID=20891
REEVES	86	PR D34 1960	D.F. Reeves <i>et al.</i>	(FLOR, BNL, IND+) JP	REFID=20936
CHUNG	85	PRL 55 779	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FLOR, IND+) JP	REFID=20934
ALTHOFF	84E	PL 147B 487	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)	REFID=20305
EDWARDS	83B	PRL 51 859	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21318
EDWARDS	82E	PRL 49 259	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21314
Also		PRL 50 219	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21315
SCHARRE	80	PL 97B 329	D.L. Scharre <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=21329
FOSTER	68B	NP B8 174	M. Foster <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)	REFID=21179
BAILLON	67	NC 50A 393	P.H. Baillon <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, IRAD)	REFID=20407

$h_1(1415)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{+-})$$

NODE=M109

 $h_1(1415)$ MASS

NODE=M109M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M109M

1409^{+9}_{-8} OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.


1384 ± 6	$^{+9}_{-0}$	¹ ABLIKIM	22C	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta' \rightarrow 4/5 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
$1423 \pm 2.1 \pm 7.3$	2.2k	² ABLIKIM	18AB	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' h_1 \rightarrow \eta' K^* \bar{K}$
$1412 \pm 4 \pm 8$		² ABLIKIM	15M	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1,2} \rightarrow \gamma \phi(h_1 \rightarrow K^* \bar{K})$
1440 ± 60		ABELE	97H	CBAR	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
1380 ± 20		ASTON	88C	LASS	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \Lambda$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

NODE=M109M;LINKAGE=B

² Final states $K^+ K^- \pi^0$ and $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$.

NODE=M109M;LINKAGE=A

 **$h_1(1415)$ WIDTH**

NODE=M109W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M109W

78 ± 11 OUR AVERAGE

66 ± 10	$^{+12}_{-10}$	¹ ABLIKIM	22C	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta' \rightarrow 4/5 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
$90.3 \pm 9.8 \pm 17.5$	2.2k	² ABLIKIM	18AB	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' h_1 \rightarrow \eta' K^* \bar{K}$
$84 \pm 12 \pm 40$		² ABLIKIM	15M	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1,2} \rightarrow \gamma \phi(h_1 \rightarrow K^* \bar{K})$
170 ± 80		ABELE	97H	CBAR	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
80 ± 30		ASTON	88C	LASS	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \Lambda$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

NODE=M109W;LINKAGE=B

² Final states $K^+ K^- \pi^0$ and $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$.

NODE=M109W;LINKAGE=A

$h_1(1415)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M109215;NODE=M109

Mode	
Γ_1	$K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$

DESIG=1

 $h_1(1415)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	22C	PR D105 072002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18AB	PR D98 072005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15M	PR D91 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABELE	97H	PL B415 280	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ASTON	88C	PL B201 573	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)

NODE=M109

REFID=61637
REFID=59456
REFID=56778
REFID=45765
REFID=40282

 $f_1(1420)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$$

NODE=M006

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

NODE=M006

 $f_1(1420)$ MASS

NODE=M006M2

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M006M2

$1427.7^{+1.7}_{-1.4}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram
below. $[1428.4^{+1.5}_{-1.3}]$ MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.8]

NEW


1418	$+1.7^{+2.0}_{-2.1}$		1	ABLIKIM	25AB	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 f_0(980)$ $\rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
1433.5	$\pm 1.1^{+27.9}_{-0.7}$	126k		ABLIKIM	23M	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
1434	$\pm 5 \pm 5$	133	2	ACHARD	07	L3	$183-209 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1426	± 6	711		ABDALLAH	03H	DLPH	$91.2 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp + X$
1420	± 14	3651		NICHITIU	02	OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1428	$\pm 4 \pm 2$	20k		ADAMS	01B	B852	$18 \text{ GeV } \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
1426	± 1			BARBERIS	97C	OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p p K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1425	± 8			BERTIN	97	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm (K^0) \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
1430	± 4		3	ARMSTRONG	92E	OMEG	$85,300 \pi^+ p, p p \rightarrow \pi^+ p, p p (K \bar{K} \pi)$
1462	± 20		4	AUGUSTIN	92	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$
1443	$+7^{+3}_{-6}$	1100		BAI	90C	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1425	± 10	17		BEHREND	89	CELL	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1442	$\pm 5^{+10}_{-17}$	111		BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega K \bar{K} \pi$
1423	± 4			GIDAL	87B	MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K \bar{K} \pi$
1417	± 13	13		AIHARA	86C	TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K \bar{K} \pi$
1422	± 3			CHAUVAT	84	SPEC	ISR 31.5 $p p$
1440	± 10		5	BROMBERG	80	SPEC	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi X$
1426	± 6	221		DIONISI	80	HBC	$4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi n$
1420	± 20			DAHL	67	HBC	$1.6-4.2 \pi^- p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●							
1430.8	± 0.9		6	SOSA	99	SPEC	$p p \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}} (K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-) p_{\text{fast}}$
1433.4	± 0.8		6	SOSA	99	SPEC	$p p \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}} (K_S^0 K^- \pi^+) p_{\text{fast}}$
1435	± 9			PROKOSHKIN	97B	GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
1429	± 3	389		ARMSTRONG	89	OMEG	$300 p p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi p p$
1425	± 2	1520		ARMSTRONG	84	OMEG	$85 \pi^+ p, p p \rightarrow (\pi^+, p) (K \bar{K} \pi) p$
~ 1420				BITYUKOV	84	SPEC	$32 K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \gamma$

OCCUR=2

- ¹ ABLIKIM 25AB measured $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1420) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++} PHSP \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$. Here $\gamma \pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++} PHSP$ indicates an intermediate state which could be either $\gamma \pi^0 f_0(980)$ or $\gamma \pi^0 0^{++}$ phase space.
- ² From a fit with a width fixed at 55 MeV.
- ³ This result supersedes ARMSTRONG 84, ARMSTRONG 89.
- ⁴ From fit to the $K^*(892)K 1^{++}$ partial wave.
- ⁵ Mass error increased to account for $a_0(980)$ mass cut uncertainties.
- ⁶ No systematic error given.

NODE=M006M2;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M006M2;LINKAGE=CH
 NODE=M006M2;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M006M2;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M006M2;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M006M2;LINKAGE=N1

 **$f_1(1420)$ WIDTH**

NODE=M006W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
55.4 ± 3.2 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below. [56.7 ± 3.3 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.3]				
46 +3.4+6.1 -2.3-11.0		⁷ ABLIKIM	25AB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
95.9 ± 2.3 +13.6 -10.9	126k	ABLIKIM	23M BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
51 ± 14	711	ABDALLAH	03H DLPH	$91.2 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp + X$
61 ± 8	3651	NICHITIU	02 OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
38 ± 9 ± 6	20k	ADAMS	01B B852	$18 \text{ GeV } \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
58 ± 4		BARBERIS	97C OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p p K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
45 ± 10		BERTIN	97 OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm (K^0) \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
58 ± 10		⁸ ARMSTRONG	92E OMEG	$85,300 \pi^+ p, p p \rightarrow \pi^+ p, p p (K \bar{K} \pi)$
129 ± 41		⁹ AUGUSTIN	92 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$
68 +29+8 -18-9	1100	BAI	90C MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
42 ± 22	17	BEHREND	89 CELL	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
40 +17-13 ± 5	111	BECKER	87 MRK3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega K \bar{K} \pi$
35 +47-20	13	AIHARA	86C TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K \bar{K} \pi$
47 ± 10		CHAUVAT	84 SPEC	ISR 31.5 $p p$
62 ± 14		BROMBERG	80 SPEC	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi X$
40 ± 15	221	DIONISI	80 HBC	$4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi n$
60 ± 20		DAHL	67 HBC	$1.6-4.2 \pi^- p$

NODE=M006W
 NEW

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

68.7 ± 2.9	10 SOSA	99	SPEC	$pp \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}} (K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-) p_{\text{fast}}$
58.8 ± 3.3	10 SOSA	99	SPEC	$pp \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}} (K_S^0 K^- \pi^+) p_{\text{fast}}$
90 ± 25	PROKOSHKIN 97B	GAM4	100	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
58 ± 8	389 ARMSTRONG 89	OMEG	300	$pp \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi pp$
62 ± 5	1520 ARMSTRONG 84	OMEG	85	$\pi^+ p, pp \rightarrow (\pi^+, p)(K \bar{K} \pi)p$
~ 50	BITYUKOV 84	SPEC	32	$K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \gamma$
⁷ ABLIKIM 25AB measured $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1420) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++} \text{ PHSP} \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$. Here $\gamma \pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++} \text{ PHSP}$ indicates an intermediate state which could be either $\gamma \pi^0 f_0(980)$ or $\gamma \pi^0 0^{++}$ phase space.				
⁸ This result supersedes ARMSTRONG 84, ARMSTRONG 89.				
⁹ From fit to the $K^*(892)K 1^{++}$ partial wave.				
¹⁰ No systematic error given.				

OCCUR=2


NODE=M006W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M006W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M006W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M006W;LINKAGE=N1

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
55.4±3.2 (Error scaled by 1.3)



$f_1(1420)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M006215;NODE=M006

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K \bar{K} \pi$	seen
Γ_2 $K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_3 $\eta \pi \pi$	possibly seen
Γ_4 $a_0(980) \pi$	
Γ_5 $\pi \pi \rho$	
Γ_6 4π	
Γ_7 $\rho^0 \gamma$	
Γ_8 $\phi \gamma$	seen

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4

DESIG=3

DESIG=6

DESIG=8

DESIG=9;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

$f_1(1420) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M006220

$\Gamma(K \bar{K} \pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma^*) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

NODE=M006G2
NODE=M006G2

VALUE (keV)	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.9±0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
3.2±0.6±0.7	133	11,12	ACHARD	07 L3	$183-209 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
3.0±0.9±0.7		13,14	BEHREND	89 CELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K \pi$
2.3 ^{+1.0} _{-0.9} ±0.8			HILL	89 JADE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$

$1.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3$	AIHARA	88B	TPC	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K^\pm K_S^0\pi^\mp$
$1.6 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.3$	13,15 GIDAL	87B	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K\bar{K}\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<8.0	95	JENNI	83	MRK2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K\bar{K}\pi$
11 From a fit with a width fixed at 55 MeV.				
12 The form factor parameter from the fit is 926 ± 78 MeV.				
13 Assume a ρ -pole form factor.				
14 A ϕ -pole form factor gives considerably smaller widths.				
15 Published value divided by 2.				

NODE=M006G2;LINKAGE=CH
 NODE=M006G2;LINKAGE=CR
 NODE=M006G2;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M006G2;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M006G2;LINKAGE=B

$f_1(1420)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M006225

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

NODE=M006R1
 NODE=M006R1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.76 ± 0.06	BROMBERG	80	SPEC $100 \pi^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi X$
0.86 ± 0.12	DIONISI	80	HBC $4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi n$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi\rho)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_1

NODE=M006R2
 NODE=M006R2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.3	95	CORDEN	78	OMEG $12-15 \pi^- p$
<2.0		DAHL	67	HBC $1.6-4.2 \pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

NODE=M006R3
 NODE=M006R3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.1	95	ARMSTRONG	91B	OMEG $300 p p \rightarrow p p \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.35 ± 0.75		KOPKE	89	MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega \eta \pi \pi (K\bar{K}\pi)$
<0.6	90	GIDAL	87	MRK2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
<0.5	95	CORDEN	78	OMEG $12-15 \pi^- p$
1.5 ± 0.8		DEFOIX	72	HBC $0.7 \bar{p} p$

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_3

NODE=M006R4
 NODE=M006R4

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>0.1	90	PROKOSHKIN	97B	GAM4 $100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
not seen in either mode		ANDO	86	SPEC $8 \pi^- p$
not seen in either mode		CORDEN	78	OMEG $12-15 \pi^- p$
0.4 ± 0.2		DEFOIX	72	HBC $0.7 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 7\pi$

$\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)$ Γ_6/Γ_2

NODE=M006R5
 NODE=M006R5

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.90	95	DIONISI	80	HBC $4 \pi^- p$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)/[\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)+\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)]$ $\Gamma_1/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)$

NODE=M006R6
 NODE=M006R6

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.65 ± 0.27	16 DIONISI	80	HBC $4 \pi^- p$
16 Calculated using $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\eta\pi) = 0.24 \pm 0.07$ for $a_0(980)$ fractions.			

NODE=M006R6;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)$ Γ_4/Γ_2

NODE=M006R7
 NODE=M006R7

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.042 ± 0.014 OUR AVERAGE				
0.44 ± 0.19		ABLIKIM	21U	BES3 $D_s^+ \rightarrow f_1(1420)\pi^+$
$0.04 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01$		BARBERIS	98C	OMEG $450 p p \rightarrow p_f f_1(1420) p_S$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.04	68	ARMSTRONG	84	OMEG $85 \pi^+ p$

$\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ Γ_6/Γ_1

NODE=M006R8
 NODE=M006R8

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.62	95	ARMSTRONG	89G	OMEG $85 \pi p \rightarrow 4\pi X$

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.08	95	17 ARMSTRONG 92C	SPEC	300 $p p \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

¹⁷ Using the data on the $\bar{K} K \pi$ mode from ARMSTRONG 89.

NODE=M006R9
NODE=M006R9

NODE=M006R9;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.02	95	BARBERIS	98C OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow p_f f_1(1420) p_s$

NODE=M006R10
NODE=M006R10

 $\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	18 ABLIKIM 25P	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$

¹⁸ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$ with significance 9.0 σ .

NODE=M006R01
NODE=M006R01

NODE=M006R01;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ Γ_8/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.003 $\pm$ 0.001 $\pm$ 0.001	BARBERIS	98C OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow p_f f_1(1420) p_s$

NODE=M006R11
NODE=M006R11

$f_1(1420)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M006

ABLIKIM	25AB	PR D112 032007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63273
ABLIKIM	25P	PR D111 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63260
ABLIKIM	23M	JHEP 2303 121	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62055
ABLIKIM	21U	PR D104 032011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61155
ACHARD	07	JHEP 0703 018	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=51698
ABDALLAH	03H	PL B569 129	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)	REFID=49548
NICHITIU	02	PL B545 261	F. Nichitiu <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=48848
ADAMS	01B	PL B516 264	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)	REFID=49649
SOSA	99	PRL 83 913	M. Sosa <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46937
BARBERIS	98C	PL B440 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=46346
BARBERIS	97C	PL B413 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=45759
BERTIN	97	PL B400 226	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=45417
PROKOSHKIN	97B	PD 42 298	Yu.D. Prokoshkin, S.A. Sadovsky		REFID=45549
Translated from DANS 354 751.					
ARMSTRONG	92C	ZPHY C54 371	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=42097
ARMSTRONG	92E	ZPHY C56 29	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+) JPC	REFID=43173
AUGUSTIN	92	PR D46 1951	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41584
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=41862
BAI	90C	PRL 65 2507	Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41578
ARMSTRONG	89	PL B221 216	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, BIRM+) JPC	REFID=40729
ARMSTRONG	89G	ZPHY C43 55	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BIRM, BARI+)	REFID=40930
BEHREND	89	ZPHY C42 367	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)	REFID=40732
HILL	89	ZPHY C42 355	P. Hill <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.) JP	REFID=40741
KOPKE	89	PRPL 174 67	L. Kopke <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)	REFID=41863
AIHARA	88B	PL B209 107	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.)	REFID=40572
BECKER	87	PRL 59 186	J.J. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.) JP	REFID=40015
GIDAL	87	PRL 59 2012	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)	REFID=40223
GIDAL	87B	PRL 59 2016	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)	REFID=40224
AIHARA	86C	PRL 57 2500	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.) JP	REFID=21326
ANDO	86	PRL 57 1296	A. Ando <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+)	REFID=20891
ARMSTRONG	84	PL 146B 273	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+) JP	REFID=20929
BITYUKOV	84	SJNP 39 735	S. Bitukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	REFID=45856
Translated from YAF 39 1165.					
CHAUVAT	84	PL 148B 382	P. Chauvat <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CLER, UCLA+)	REFID=20932
JENNI	83	PR D27 1031	P. Jenni <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=20304
BROMBERG	80	PR D22 1513	C.M. Bromberg <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, FNAL, ILLC+)	REFID=20922
DIONISI	80	NP B169 1	C. Dionisi <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MADR, CDEF+) IJP	REFID=20924
CORDEN	78	NP B144 253	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+)	REFID=20452
DEFOIX	72	NP B44 125	C. Defoix <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN)	REFID=20435
DAHL	67	PR 163 1377	O.I. Dahl <i>et al.</i>	(LRL) IJP	REFID=20321
Also		PRL 14 1074	D.H. Miller <i>et al.</i>	(LRL, UCB)	REFID=21291

$\omega(1420)$

$$J^{PC} = 0^-(1^--)$$

See also the $\omega(1650)$ particle listing.

NODE=M125

NODE=M125

NODE=M125M

NODE=M125M

→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\omega(1420)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1410± 60 OUR ESTIMATE

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1350± 43		¹ DIMOVA	25	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1350± 43		² ACHASOV	24A	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1418± 30± 10	824	³ AKHMETSHIN	17A	CMD3	$1.4-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
1470± 50	13.1k	⁴ AULCHENKO	15A	SND	$1.05-1.80 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1382± 23± 70		AUBERT	07AU	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1350± 20± 20		AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
1400± 50± 130	1.2M	⁵ ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	$0.44-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1450± 10		⁶ HENNER	02	RVUE	$1.2-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$
1373± 70	177	⁷ AKHMETSHIN	00D	CMD2	$1.2-1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
1370± 25	5095	ANISOVICH	00H	SPEC	$0.0 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$
1400 ⁺¹⁰⁰ ₋₂₀₀		⁸ ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
~ 1400		⁹ ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
~ 1460		¹⁰ ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
1440± 70		¹¹ CLEGG	94	RVUE	
1419± 31	315	¹² ANTONELLI	92	DM2	$1.34-2.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi$

¹ From a fit to the cross section with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. An alternative fit which also includes $\phi(1680)$ gives 1374 ± 27 MeV with a worse χ^2 . Supersedes ACHASOV 24A.

² From a fit to the cross section including contribution from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. Supersedes ACHASOV 20A.

³ From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating.

⁴ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. See ACHASOV 20A for a further analysis of the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ data.

⁵ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

⁶ Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

⁷ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

⁸ Using data from BARKOV 87, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.

⁹ Using the data from ANTONELLI 92.

¹⁰ Using the data from IVANOV 81 and BISELLO 88B.

¹¹ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

¹² From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the ω, ϕ tails with fixed (+, -, +) phases.

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

NODE=M125M;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M125M;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M125M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M125M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M125M;LINKAGE=VH

NODE=M125M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M125M;LINKAGE=KL

NODE=M125M;LINKAGE=L1

NODE=M125M;LINKAGE=L2

NODE=M125M;LINKAGE=L3

NODE=M125M;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=M125M;LINKAGE=B

 $\omega(1420)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

290±190 OUR ESTIMATE

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

590± 90		¹ DIMOVA	25	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
590± 90		² ACHASOV	24A	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
440± 125	267	³ ACHASOV	20B	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$
104± 35± 10	824	⁴ AKHMETSHIN	17A	CMD3	$1.4-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
880± 170	13.1k	⁵ AULCHENKO	15A	SND	$1.05-1.80 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
480± 180		⁶ ACHASOV	10D	SND	$1.075-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
130± 50± 100		AUBERT	07AU	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

NODE=M125W

NODE=M125W

→ UNCHECKED ←

450 ± 70 ± 70		AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	10.6	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
870 ⁺⁵⁰⁰ ₋₃₀₀ ± 450	1.2M	⁷ ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
199 ± 15		⁸ HENNER	02	RVUE	1.2–2.0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$
188 ± 45	177	⁹ AKHMETSHIN	00D	CMD2	1.2–1.38	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
360 ⁺¹⁰⁰ ₋₆₀	5095	ANISOVICH	00H	SPEC	0.0	$\rho\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$
240 ± 70		¹⁰ CLEGG	94	RVUE		
174 ± 59	315	¹¹ ANTONELLI	92	DM2	1.34–2.4	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi$

¹ From a fit to the cross section with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. An alternative fit which also includes $\phi(1680)$ gives 720 ± 98 MeV with a worse χ^2 . Supersedes ACHASOV 24A.

² From a fit to the cross section including contribution from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. Supersedes ACHASOV 20A.

³ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$. The mass of $\omega(1420)$ is fixed to the PDG 18 value of 1420 MeV.

⁴ From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating.

⁵ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. See ACHASOV 20A for a further analysis of the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ data.

⁶ From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. Systematic errors not evaluated.

⁷ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

⁸ Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

⁹ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

¹⁰ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

¹¹ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the ω, ϕ tails with fixed (+, -, +) phases.

NODE=M125W;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M125W;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M125W;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M125W;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M125W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M125W;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M125W;LINKAGE=VH

NODE=M125W;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M125W;LINKAGE=KL

NODE=M125W;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=M125W;LINKAGE=B

$\omega(1420)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M125215;NODE=M125

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\rho\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $\rho(1450)\pi$	possibly seen
Γ_3 $\omega\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_4 $\omega\eta$	possibly seen
Γ_5 $b_1(1235)\pi$	seen
Γ_6 e^+e^-	seen
Γ_7 $\pi^0\gamma$	

DESIG=1

DESIG=8

DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=7;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=6

$\omega(1420) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

NODE=M125230

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_6/\Gamma$
VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.73 ± 0.08	13.1k	¹ AULCHENKO	15A	SND	1.05–1.80	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.82 ± 0.05 ± 0.06		AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	10.6	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
0.65 ± 0.13 ± 0.21	1.2M	^{2,3} ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.625 ± 0.160		^{4,5} CLEGG	94	RVUE		
0.466 ± 0.178		^{6,7} ANTONELLI	92	DM2	1.34–2.4	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi$

¹ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. See ACHASOV 20A for a further analysis of the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ data.

² Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.

³ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

⁴ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

⁵ From the partial and leptonic width given by the authors.

⁶ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the ω, ϕ tails with fixed (+, -, +) phases.

⁷ From the product of the leptonic width and partial branching ratio given by the authors.

NODE=M125G3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M125G;LINKAGE=AW

NODE=M125G;LINKAGE=VH

NODE=M125G;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=M125G;LINKAGE=SE

NODE=M125G;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M125G;LINKAGE=ES

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_3/\Gamma \times \Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-8}) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

19.7 $\pm$ 5.7 AUBERT 07AU BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1.9 $\pm$ 1.9 ¹ AKHMETSHIN 00D CMD2 1.2–2.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

NODE=M125G4
NODE=M125G4

NODE=M125G;LINKAGE=KL

$$\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-8}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.5 $\pm$ 0.6 267 ¹ ACHASOV 20B SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$
2.1 $^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$ ACHASOV 19 SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$
5.0 $\pm$ 2.6 $\pm$ 0.3 824 ² AKHMETSHIN 17A CMD3 1.4–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
1.6 $^{+0.9}_{-0.7}$ 898 ³ ACHASOV 16B SND 1.34–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$

¹ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$. The mass of $\omega(1420)$ is fixed to the PDG 18 value of 1420 MeV. Fixing also the width of $\omega(1420)$ to the PDG 18 value of 220 MeV results in $(3.0 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-8}$ measurement.

² From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating. From an alternative fit $\Gamma(\omega(1420) \rightarrow \omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\omega(1420) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 5.3 \pm 1.6$ eV.

³ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$. The mass and the width of $\omega(1420)$ are fixed to the 2014 edition (PDG 14) of this review.

NODE=M125G6
NODE=M125G6

NODE=M125G6;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M125G6;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M125G6;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_7/\Gamma \times \Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-8}) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.23 $\pm$ 0.14 ¹ ACHASOV 10D SND 1.075–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
2.03 $^{+0.70}_{-0.75}$ ² AKHMETSHIN 05 CMD2 0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

¹ From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. Systematic errors not evaluated.

² Using 1420 MeV and 220 MeV for the $\omega(1420)$ mass and width.

NODE=M125G5
NODE=M125G5

NODE=M125G5;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M125G5;LINKAGE=AK

$\omega(1420)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M125225

$$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

seen ¹ DIMOVA 25 SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen ACHASOV 20A SND 1.15–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.699 $\pm$ 0.029 ² HENNER 02 RVUE 1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

¹ From a fit to the cross section with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$.

² Assuming that the $\omega(1420)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ only.

NODE=M125R3
NODE=M125R3

NODE=M125R3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M125R3;LINKAGE=AC

$$\Gamma(\rho(1450)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

possibly seen ¹ DIMOVA 25 SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ From a fit to the cross section with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$.

NODE=M125R00
NODE=M125R00

NODE=M125R00;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.301 $\pm$ 0.029 ¹ HENNER 02 RVUE 1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$
possibly seen AKHMETSHIN 00D CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Assuming that the $\omega(1420)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ only.

NODE=M125R2
NODE=M125R2

NODE=M125R2;LINKAGE=AC

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(b_1(1235)\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_5$$

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.60 $\pm$ 0.16 5095 ANISOVICH 00H SPEC 0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$

NODE=M125R1
NODE=M125R1

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 6.6	1.2M	^{1,2} ACHASOV	03D RVUE	$0.44\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
23 ± 1		³ HENNER	02 RVUE	$1.2\text{--}2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

¹ Assuming that the $\omega(1420)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ only.

² Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.

³ Assuming that the $\omega(1420)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ only.

NODE=M125R4
NODE=M125R4

NODE=M125R;LINKAGE=GS
NODE=M125R4;LINKAGE=AW
NODE=M125R4;LINKAGE=AC

$\omega(1420)$ REFERENCES

DIMOVA	25	PPN 56 820	T.V. Dimova <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	24A	PAN 87 747	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	20A	EPJ C80 993	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	20B	EPJ C80 1008	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	19	PR D99 112004	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
PDG	18	PR D98 030001	M. Tanabashi <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	17A	PL B773 150	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV	16B	PR D94 092002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO	15A	JETP 121 27	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
Translated from ZETF 148 34.				
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	10D	PR D98 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AUBERT,B	04N	PR D70 072004	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ACHASOV	03D	PR D68 052006	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	02E	PR D66 032001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
HENNER	02	EPJ C26 3	V.K. Henner <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	01E	PR D63 072002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ANISOVICH	00H	PL B485 341	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	99E	PL B462 365	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BISELLO	88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
Translated from ZETFP 46 132.				
CORDIER	81	PL 106B 155	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)

NODE=M125

REFID=63292
REFID=63296
REFID=60923
REFID=60924
REFID=59887
REFID=59304
REFID=58239
REFID=57537
REFID=56843

REFID=55687
REFID=59494
REFID=52049
REFID=50330
REFID=50184
REFID=49577
REFID=48815
REFID=49177
REFID=48311
REFID=47935
REFID=47948
REFID=47391
REFID=46323
REFID=44081
REFID=43168
REFID=41369
REFID=40581
REFID=40280

REFID=21586
REFID=20553

$f_2(1430)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

This entry lists nearby peaks observed in the D wave of the $K\bar{K}$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ systems. Needs confirmation.

NODE=M066

NODE=M066

$f_2(1430)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

≈ 1430 OUR ESTIMATE

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1440 \pm 11 \pm 3$	LEES	21A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
1453 ± 4	¹ VLADIMIRSK...	01 SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1421 ± 5	AUGUSTIN	87 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
1480 ± 50	AKESSON	86 SPEC	$pp \rightarrow pp \pi^+ \pi^-$
1436^{+26}_{-16}	DAUM	84 CNTR	$17\text{--}18 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
1412 ± 3	DAUM	84 CNTR	$63 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n, K^+ K^- n$
1439^{+5}_{-6}	² BEUSCH	67 OSPK	$5,7,12 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$

¹ $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ or 2^{++} .

² Not seen by WETZEL 76.

NODE=M066M1

NODE=M066M1
→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2

NODE=M066M;LINKAGE=AC
NODE=M066M;LINKAGE=C

$f_2(1430)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

NODE=M066W1

NODE=M066W1

46±15±5	LEES	21A	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
13± 5	³ VLADIMIRSK...	01	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
30± 9	AUGUSTIN	87	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
150±50	AKESSON	86	SPEC	$pp \rightarrow pp \pi^+ \pi^-$
81 ⁺⁵⁶ ₋₂₉	DAUM	84	CNTR	17-18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
14± 6	DAUM	84	CNTR	63 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n, K^+ K^- n$
43 ⁺¹⁷ ₋₁₈	⁴ BEUSCH	67	OSPK	5,7,12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
³ $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ or 2^{++} .				
⁴ Not seen by WETZEL 76.				

OCCUR=2

NODE=M066W;LINKAGE=AC
NODE=M066W;LINKAGE=C

$f_2(1430)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M066215;NODE=M066

Mode	
Γ_1	$K \bar{K}$
Γ_2	$\pi \pi$

DESIG=1
DESIG=2

$f_2(1430)$ REFERENCES


NODE=M066

LEES	21A	PR D104 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
VLADIMIRSK...	01	PAN 64 1895	V.V. Vladimirov <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 64 1979.		
AUGUSTIN	87	ZPHY C36 369	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, CLER, FRAS+)
AKESSON	86	NP B264 154	T. Akesson <i>et al.</i>	(Axial Field Spec. Collab.)
DAUM	84	ZPHY C23 339	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+) JP
WETZEL	76	NP B115 208	W. Wetzel <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, CERN, LOIC)
BEUSCH	67	PL 25B 357	W. Beusch <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, CERN)

REFID=61442
REFID=48571

REFID=40268
REFID=21123
REFID=21372
REFID=20362
REFID=20320

NODE=M149



$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{++})$

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

NODE=M149

$a_0(1450)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

NODE=M149PP

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \text{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

NODE=M149PP

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1290-1500) - i (30-140) OUR ESTIMATE			
(1302.1 ± 1.1 ± 3.9) - i (56.2 ± 0.7 ± 1.7)	¹ ALBRECHT	20	RVUE 0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta,$ $\pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$
(1515 ± 30) - i (115 ± 18)	ANISOVICH	09	RVUE 0.0 $\bar{p}p, \pi N$
(1432 ± 13 ± 25) - i (98 ± 5 ± 5)	² BUGG	08A	RVUE $\bar{p}p$
(1441 ⁺⁴⁰ ₋₁₅) - i (55 ± 7)	³ BAKER	03	SPEC $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
(1303 ± 16) - i (46 ± 8)	⁴ BARGIOTTI	03	OBLX $\bar{p}p$
(1296 ± 10) - i (41 ± 11)	AMSLER	02	CBAR 0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
(1565 ± 30) - i (146 ± 20)	ANISOVICH	98B	RVUE Compilation
(1470 ± 25) - i (132 ± 15)	⁵ AMSLER	95D	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0,$ $\pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$

NODE=M149PP
→ UNCHECKED ←

- ¹ T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 2 channels ($\pi \eta, K \bar{K}$).
² Using data from AMSLER 94D, ABELE 98, and BAKER 03. Supersedes BUGG 94.
³ From the pole position of a fitted Breit-Wigner amplitude.
⁴ Coupled channel analysis of $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, K^+ K^- \pi^0$, and $K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$.
⁵ Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.

NODE=M149PP;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M149PP;LINKAGE=G
NODE=M149PP;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M149PP;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M149PP;LINKAGE=F

$a_0(1450)$ MASS

NODE=M149M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1439 ±34 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.8.		
1480 ±30		ABELE	98	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K_L^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1410 ±25		ETKIN	82C	MPS 23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$

NODE=M149M

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1458 $\pm 14 \pm 15$	190k	¹ AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1316.8 $^{+0.7+24.7}_{-1.0-4.6}$		² UEHARA	09A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta$
1477 ± 10	80k	³ UMAN	06	E835	$5.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
1290 ± 10		⁴ BERTIN	98B	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^\mp \pi^\mp$
1450 ± 40		AMSLER	94D	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
~ 1300		MARTIN	78	SPEC	$10 K^\pm p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi p$
1255 ± 5		⁵ CASON	76		

¹ Using a model with Gaussian constraints to the PDG averaged values.

² May be a different state.

³ Statistical error only.

⁴ Not confirmed by BUGG 08A.

⁵ Isospin 0 not excluded.

NODE=M149M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M149M;LINKAGE=UE
NODE=M149M;LINKAGE=ST
NODE=M149M;LINKAGE=BE
NODE=M149M;LINKAGE=CC

$a_0(1450)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
258 ±14	OUR AVERAGE				
265 ±15		ABELE	98	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow K_L^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
230 ±30		ETKIN	82C	MPS	23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

282 $\pm 12 \pm 13$	190k	¹ AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
65.0 $^{+2.1+99.1}_{-5.4-32.6}$		² UEHARA	09A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta$
267 ± 11	80k	³ UMAN	06	E835	$5.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
80 ± 5		⁴ BERTIN	98B	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^\mp \pi^\mp$
270 ± 40		AMSLER	94D	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
~ 250		MARTIN	78	SPEC	$10 K^\pm p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi p$
79 ± 10		⁵ CASON	76		

¹ Using a model with Gaussian constraints to the PDG averaged values.

² May be a different state.

³ Statistical error only.

⁴ Not confirmed by BUGG 08A.

⁵ Isospin 0 not excluded.

NODE=M149W

NODE=M149W

NODE=M149W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M149W;LINKAGE=UE
NODE=M149W;LINKAGE=ST
NODE=M149W;LINKAGE=BE
NODE=M149W;LINKAGE=CC

$a_0(1450)$ DECAY MODES

Branching fractions are given relative to the one **DEFINED AS 1**.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \pi \eta$	0.093 ± 0.020
$\Gamma_2 \pi \eta'(958)$	0.033 ± 0.017
$\Gamma_3 K \bar{K}$	0.082 ± 0.028
$\Gamma_4 \omega \pi \pi$	DEFINED AS 1
$\Gamma_5 a_0(980) \pi \pi$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \gamma \gamma$	seen

NODE=M149215;NODE=M149

NODE=M149

DESIG=1
DESIG=2
DESIG=3
DESIG=4
DESIG=5
DESIG=6

$a_0(1450) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi\eta) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_1\Gamma_6/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

432 ± 6 $^{+1073}_{-256}$	¹ UEHARA	09A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta$

¹ May be a different state.

NODE=M149225

NODE=M149G01
NODE=M149G01

NODE=M149G01;LINKAGE=UE

$a_0(1450)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\eta'(958))/\Gamma(\pi\eta)$				Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

0.35 ± 0.16	¹ ABELE	98	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K_L^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.43 ± 0.19	ABELE	97C	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta'$

¹ Using $\pi^0 \eta$ from AMSLER 94D.

NODE=M149220

NODE=M149R1
NODE=M149R1

NODE=M149R1;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\pi\eta)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.88 $\pm$ 0.23	¹ ABELE 98	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K_L^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.887 $\pm$ 0.041 $\pm$ 0.97	² ALBRECHT 20	RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 K^+ K^-$

¹ Using $\pi^0 \eta$ from AMSLER 94D.² Residues from T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 2 channels ($\pi\eta$, $K\bar{K}$).NODE=M149R2
NODE=M149R2NODE=M149R2;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M149R2;LINKAGE=B $\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\pi\eta)$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.7 $\pm$ 2.3	35280	¹ BAKER 03	SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
¹ Using results on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow a_0(1450)^0 \pi^0$, $a_0(1450) \rightarrow \eta \pi^0$ from ABELE 96C and assuming the $\omega\rho$ mechanism for the $\omega\pi\pi$ state.				

NODE=M149R3
NODE=M149R3

NODE=M149R;LINKAGE=PP

 $\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	BUGG 08A	RVUE	$\bar{p}p$

NODE=M149R01
NODE=M149R01 $\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\pi\eta)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
≤ 4.3	ANISOVICH 01	RVUE	0	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$

NODE=M149R02
NODE=M149R02 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ UEHARA 09A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta$

¹ May be a different state.NODE=M149R03
NODE=M149R03

NODE=M149R03;LINKAGE=UE

 $a_0(1450)$ REFERENCES

ALBRECHT 20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AAIJ 16N	PR D93 052018	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ANISOVICH 09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)
UEHARA 09A	PR D80 032001	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BUGG 08A	PR D78 074023	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
UMAN 06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
BAKER 03	PL B563 140	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
BARGIOTTI 03	EPJ C26 371	M. Bargiotti <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
AMSLER 02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 01	NP A690 567	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ABELE 98	PR D57 3860	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 98B	SPU 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
	Translated from UFN 168 481.		
BERTIN 98B	PL B434 180	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE 97C	PL B404 179	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE 96C	NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.) IGJPC
BUGG 94	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
ETKIN 82C	PR D25 2446	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
MARTIN 78	NP B134 392	A.D. Martin <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA)
CASON 76	PRL 36 1485	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)

NODE=M149

REFID=60439
REFID=57273
REFID=52719
REFID=53002
REFID=52578
REFID=51063
REFID=49414
REFID=49217
REFID=48580
REFID=48308
REFID=45863
REFID=46331REFID=46351
REFID=45531
REFID=45076
REFID=44377
REFID=44440
REFID=44441
REFID=44093
REFID=44078
REFID=20391
REFID=22446
REFID=21064

$\rho(1450)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^--)$$

NODE=M105

 $\rho(1450)$ MASS

NODE=M105205

 $\rho(1450)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

1465±25 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

NODE=M105M0

NODE=M105M0

→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1506±11	13.4k	¹ GRIBANOV	20	CMD3	1.1–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1500±10	7.4k	² ACHASOV	18	SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1497±14		³ AKHMETSHIN 01B		CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
1421±15		⁴ AKHMETSHIN 00D		CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1470±20		ANTONELLI	88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1446±10		FUKUI	88	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

¹ Mass and width of the $\rho(770)$ fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays used.

² From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π , 0 and π , respectively.

³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$.

⁴ Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed.

NODE=M105M1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M105M1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M105M;LINKAGE=SW

NODE=M105M1;LINKAGE=KL

 $\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1510±7	10.2k	¹ ACHASOV	16D	SND	1.05–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1544±22 ⁺¹¹ ₋₄₆	821	² MATVIENKO	15	BELL	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
1491±19	7815	³ ACHASOV	13	SND	1.05–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1582±17±25	2382	⁴ AKHMETSHIN 03B		CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1349±25 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₅	341	⁵ ALEXANDER	01B	CLE2	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
1523±10		⁶ EDWARDS	00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$
1463±25		⁷ CLEGG	94	RVUE	
1250		⁸ ASTON	80C	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
1290±40		⁸ BARBER	80C	SPEC	3–5 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.

² Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.

³ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.

⁴ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the $\omega\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass dependence of the total width. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.

⁵ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming the $\omega\pi^-$ mass dependence for the total width.

⁶ Mass-independent width parameterization. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.

⁷ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

⁸ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect.

NODE=M105M3

NODE=M105M3

OCCUR=2

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=AC

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=HK

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=3Z

NODE=M105M;LINKAGE=E1

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=A

 4π MODE

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1435±40		ABELE	01B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^-2\pi^0\pi^+$
1350±50		ACHASOV	97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1449±4		¹ ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

¹ Not clear whether this observation has $I=1$ or 0.

NODE=M105M6

NODE=M105M6

NODE=M105M6;LINKAGE=A

$\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1226.22 ± 24.76	34M	¹ IGNATOV 24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1326.35 ± 3.46		² BARTOS 17	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1342.31 ± 46.62		³ BARTOS 17A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1373.83 ± 11.37		⁴ BARTOS 17A	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1429 ± 41	20k	⁵ LEES 17C	BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1350 ± 20	$^{+20}_{-30}$ 63.5k	⁶ ABRAMOWICZ ¹²	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^-p$
1493 ± 15		⁷ LEES 12G	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1446 ± 7	± 28 5.4M	^{8,9} FUJIKAWA 08	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1328 ± 15		¹⁰ SCHAELE 05C	ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1406 ± 15	87k	^{8,11} ANDERSON 00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
~ 1368		¹² ABELE 99C	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$
1348 ± 33		BERTIN 98	OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1411 ± 14		¹³ ABELE 97	CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
1370 $^{+90}_{-70}$		ACHASOV 97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1359 ± 40		¹¹ BERTIN 97C	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1282 ± 37		BERTIN 97D	OBLX	$0.05 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-$
1424 ± 25		BISELLO 89	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1265.5 ± 75.3		DUBNICKA 89	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1292 ± 17		¹⁴ KURDADZE 83	OLYA	$0.64-1.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From a fit of the pion form factor using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho - \omega$ interference leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. The fit uses also data from CMD-2 and DM2 experiments. Systematic errors not estimated.

² Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.

³ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.

⁴ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.

⁵ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ masses and widths floating.

⁶ Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting $\rho - \omega$ interference.

⁷ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

⁸ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

⁹ $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.

¹⁰ From the combined fit of the τ^- data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAELE 05C and e^+e^- data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1713 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively. Supersedes BARATE 97M.

¹¹ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.

¹² $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1780 MeV and 275 MeV respectively.

¹³ T-matrix pole.

¹⁴ Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.

NODE=M105M5
NODE=M105M5

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M105M5;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M105M5;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M105M5;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M105M5;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M105M5;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M105M5;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M105M5;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M105M;LINKAGE=1K
NODE=M105M5;LINKAGE=FU
NODE=M105M5;LINKAGE=SC

NODE=M105M5;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M105M5;LINKAGE=C5
NODE=M105M5;LINKAGE=QQ
NODE=M105M5;LINKAGE=KD

 $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1208 ± 8 ± 9	190k	¹ AAIJ 16N	LHCB		$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1422.8 ± 6.5	27k	² ABELE 99D	CBAR	±	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parameterization with fixed width.

² K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1420)$.

NODE=M105M7;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M105M7;LINKAGE=AN

 $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.} \text{ MODE}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1502 ± 11	9.1k	¹ FEDOTOVICH 25	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1505 ± 19 ± 7		AUBERT 08S	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

NODE=M105M8
NODE=M105M8

¹ Using a vector dominance fit with contributions from $\phi(1680)$ and $\rho(1450)$.

NODE=M105M8;LINKAGE=A

$m_{\rho(1450)^0} = m_{\rho(1450)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-31.53 ± 47.99	¹ BARTOS	17A	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$

NODE=M105DM
NODE=M105DM

¹ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

NODE=M105DM;LINKAGE=A

$\rho(1450)$ WIDTH

$\rho(1450)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
400 ± 60 OUR ESTIMATE	This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.		

NODE=M105210

NODE=M105W0
NODE=M105W0
→ UNCHECKED ←

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
480 ± 180	¹ ACHASOV	10D	SND $1.075-2.0$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
¹ From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. Systematic errors not evaluated.			

NODE=M105W0;LINKAGE=A

$\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
321 ± 27	13.4k	¹ GRIBANOV	20	CMD3 $1.1-2.0$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
280 ± 20	7.4k	² ACHASOV	18	SND $1.22-2.00$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
226 ± 44		³ AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
211 ± 31		⁴ AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
230 ± 30		ANTONELLI 88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
60 ± 15		FUKUI 88	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

NODE=M105W1
NODE=M105W1

- ¹ Mass and width of the $\rho(770)$ fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays used.
- ² From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π , 0 and π , respectively.
- ³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$.
- ⁴ Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed.

NODE=M105W1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M105W1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M105W;LINKAGE=SW

NODE=M105W1;LINKAGE=KL

$\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
440 ± 40	10.2k	¹ ACHASOV	16D	SND $1.05-2.00$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
303^{+31}_{-52}	821	² MATVIENKO	15	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
429 ± 42	2382	³ AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$547 \pm 86^{+46}_{-45}$	341	⁴ ALEXANDER	01B	CLE2 $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
400 ± 35		⁵ EDWARDS	00A	CLE2 $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$
311 ± 62		⁶ CLEGG	94	RVUE
300		⁷ ASTON	80C	OMEG $20-70$ $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
320 ± 100		⁷ BARBER	80C	SPEC $3-5$ $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

NODE=M105W3
NODE=M105W3

OCCUR=3

- ¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.
- ² Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.
- ³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the $\omega\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass dependence of the total width. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.
- ⁴ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming the $\omega\pi^-$ mass dependence for the total width.
- ⁵ Mass-independent width parameterization. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.
- ⁶ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.
- ⁷ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect.

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=HK

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=3Z

NODE=M105W;LINKAGE=E1

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=A

4 π MODE

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
325 ± 100		ABELE	01B CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^- 2\pi^0 \pi^+$

NODE=M105W66
 NODE=M105W66

 $\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
272.97 ± 45.53	34M	¹ IGNATOV	24 CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
324.13 ± 12.01		² BARTOS	17 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
492.17 ± 138.38		³ BARTOS	17A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
340.87 ± 23.84		⁴ BARTOS	17A RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
576 ± 29	20k	⁵ LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
460 ± 30	$^{+40}_{-45}$ 63.5k	⁶ ABRAMOWICZ	12 ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^-p$
427 ± 31		⁷ LEES	12G BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
434 ± 16	± 60 5.4M	^{8,9} FUJIKAWA	08 BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
468 ± 41		¹⁰ SCHAEEL	05C ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
455 ± 41	87k	^{8,11} ANDERSON	00A CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
~ 374		¹² ABELE	99C CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$
275 ± 10		BERTIN	98 OBLX	0.05–0.405 $\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
343 ± 20		¹³ ABELE	97 CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
310 ± 40		¹¹ BERTIN	97C OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
236 ± 36		BERTIN	97D OBLX	0.05 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
269 ± 31		BISELLO	89 DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
391 ± 70		DUBNICKA	89 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
218 ± 46		¹⁴ KURDADZE	83 OLYA	0.64–1.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M105W5
 NODE=M105W5

OCCUR=2

¹ From a fit of the pion form factor using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho - \omega$ interference leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. The fit uses also data from CMD-2 and DM2 experiments. Systematic errors not estimated.

² Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.

³ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.

⁴ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.

⁵ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ masses and widths floating.

⁶ Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting $\rho - \omega$ interference.

⁷ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

⁸ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

⁹ $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.

¹⁰ From the combined fit of the τ^- data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAEEL 05C and e^+e^- data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1713 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively. Supersedes BARATE 97M.

¹¹ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.

¹² $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1780 MeV and 275 MeV respectively.

¹³ T-matrix pole.

¹⁴ Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.

NODE=M105W5;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M105W5;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M105W5;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M105W5;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M105W5;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M105W5;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M105W5;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M105W5;LINKAGE=1K

NODE=M105W5;LINKAGE=FU

NODE=M105W5;LINKAGE=SC

NODE=M105W5;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M105W5;LINKAGE=C5

NODE=M105W5;LINKAGE=QQ

NODE=M105W5;LINKAGE=KD

 $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
410 ± 19 ± 35	190k	¹ AAIJ	16N LHCb		$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
146.5 ± 10.5	27k	² ABELE	99D CBAR	±	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parameterization with fixed mass.

² K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1420)$.

NODE=M105W7
 NODE=M105W7

NODE=M105W7;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M105W7;LINKAGE=AN

$K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c. MODE}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
315 ± 27	9.1k	¹ FEDOTOVICH 25	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
$418 \pm 25 \pm 4$		AUBERT 08S	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$
¹ Using a vector dominance fit with contributions from $\phi(1680)$ and $\rho(1450)$.				

NODE=M105W8
 NODE=M105W8

NODE=M105W8;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma_{\rho(1450)^0} - \Gamma_{\rho(1450)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
151.30 ± 140.42	¹ BARTOS 17A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
¹ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.			

NODE=M105DW
 NODE=M105DW

NODE=M105DW;LINKAGE=A

 $\rho(1450)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_3 4π	seen
Γ_4 $\omega\pi$	
Γ_5 $a_1(1260)\pi$	
Γ_6 $h_1(1170)\pi$	
Γ_7 $\pi(1300)\pi$	
Γ_8 $\rho\rho$	
Γ_9 $\rho(\pi\pi)S\text{-wave}$	
Γ_{10} e^+e^-	seen
Γ_{11} $\eta\rho$	seen
Γ_{12} $a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen
Γ_{13} $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_{14} K^+K^-	seen
Γ_{15} $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	possibly seen
Γ_{16} $\pi^0\gamma$	seen
Γ_{17} $\eta\gamma$	seen
Γ_{18} $f_0(500)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{19} $f_0(980)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{20} $f_0(1370)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{21} $f_2(1270)\gamma$	not seen

NODE=M105215;NODE=M105

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=20;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=6
 DESIG=10
 DESIG=11
 DESIG=12
 DESIG=13
 DESIG=14
 DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=3
 DESIG=8;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=7;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=21;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=15;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=23;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=9
 DESIG=16;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=17;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=18;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=19;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\rho(1450) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_1\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.12	¹ DIEKMAN 88	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	
$0.027^{+0.015}_{-0.010}$	² KURDADZE 83	OLYA	$0.64\text{--}1.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	

¹ Using total width = 235 MeV.

² Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.

NODE=M105220

NODE=M105G3
 NODE=M105G3

NODE=M105G3;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M105G3;LINKAGE=KD

 $\Gamma(\eta\rho) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_{11}\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$335 \pm 27 \pm 20$	13.4k	¹ GRIBANOV 20	CMD3	$1.1\text{--}2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	
$210 \pm 24 \pm 10$		² LEES 18	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	
74 ± 20		³ AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	
91 ± 19		ANTONELLI 88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	

¹ Mass and width of the $\rho(770)$ fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays used.

² Includes non-resonant contribution. The selected fit model includes three ρ excited states. Model uncertainty is 20%.

³ Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed.

NODE=M105G4
 NODE=M105G4

NODE=M105G4;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M105G4;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M105G4;LINKAGE=KL

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{15}\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

119±14±15	9.1k	¹ FEDOTOVICH 25	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
127±15±6		AUBERT	08S BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

¹ Using a vector dominance fit with contributions from $\phi(1680)$ and $\rho(1450)$.

NODE=M105G8
NODE=M105G8

NODE=M105G8;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{17}\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<16.4	¹ AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	0.60-1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
2.2±0.5±0.3	² AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

¹ From 2γ decay mode of η using 1465 MeV and 310 MeV for the $\rho(1450)$ mass and width. Recalculated by us.

² Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$. Recalculated by us using width of 226 MeV.

NODE=M105G6
NODE=M105G6

NODE=M105G6;LINKAGE=AK

NODE=M105G;LINKAGE=SW

$$\rho(1450) \Gamma(i)/\Gamma(\text{total}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$$

NODE=M105230

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁶)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.1±0.4	10.2k	¹ ACHASOV	16D SND	1.05-2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
5.3±0.4	7815	² ACHASOV	13 SND	1.05-2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.

² From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.

NODE=M105R05
NODE=M105R05

OCCUR=3

NODE=M105R05;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M105R05;LINKAGE=AC

$$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{11}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁷)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

7.3±0.3	7.4k	¹ ACHASOV	18 SND	1.22-2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
4.3 ^{+1.1} _{-0.9} ±0.2	4.9k	² AULCHENKO	15 SND	1.22-2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π , 0 and π , respectively.

² From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section with vector meson dominance model including $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$ decaying exclusively via $\eta\rho(770)$. Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.

NODE=M105R00
NODE=M105R00

NODE=M105R00;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M105R00;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{16}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁹)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.3±1.4	¹ ACHASOV	10D SND	1.075-2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

¹ From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. Systematic errors not evaluated.

NODE=M105R17
NODE=M105R17

NODE=M105R17;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(f_0(500)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁹)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<4.0	90	ACHASOV	11 SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M105R01
NODE=M105R01

$$\Gamma(f_0(980)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{19}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁹)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<2.6	90	ACHASOV	11 SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M105R02
NODE=M105R02

$$\Gamma(f_0(1370)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{20}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁹)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<3.5	90	ACHASOV	11 SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M105R03
NODE=M105R03

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$	
VALUE (units 10^{-9})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN COMMENT
<0.8	90	¹ ACHASOV	11 SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
¹ Using Breit-Wigner parametrization of the $\rho(1450)$ with mass and width of 1465 MeV and 400 MeV, respectively.			

NODE=M105R04
NODE=M105R04

NODE=M105R01;LINKAGE=AC

$\rho(1450)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M105225

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$		Γ_1/Γ_3	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.37 ± 0.10	^{1,2} ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
¹ $\omega\pi$ not included.			
² Using ABELE 97.			

NODE=M105R15
NODE=M105R15

NODE=M105R;LINKAGE=BL
NODE=M105R;LINKAGE=LK

$\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)$		Γ_{14}/Γ_2	
VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN COMMENT
$30.7 \pm 8.4 \pm 8.2$	20k	¹ LEES	17C BABR $J/\psi \rightarrow h^+h^-\pi^0$
¹ From Dalitz plot analyses in isobar models.			

NODE=M105R08
NODE=M105R08

NODE=M105R08;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_4/Γ	
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
seen	821	¹ MATVIENKO	15 BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
seen	1.6k	ACHASOV	12 SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
~ 0.21		CLEGG	94 RVUE
¹ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.			

NODE=M105R5
NODE=M105R5

NODE=M105R5;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$		Γ_1/Γ_4	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
~ 0.32	CLEGG	94	RVUE

NODE=M105R6
NODE=M105R6

$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$		Γ_4/Γ_3	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
<0.14	CLEGG	88	RVUE

NODE=M105R3
NODE=M105R3

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$		Γ_5/Γ_3	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.27 ± 0.08	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
¹ $\omega\pi$ not included.			

NODE=M105R10
NODE=M105R10

NODE=M105R10;LINKAGE=BL

$\Gamma(h_1(1170)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$		Γ_6/Γ_3	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.08 ± 0.04	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
¹ $\omega\pi$ not included.			

NODE=M105R11
NODE=M105R11

NODE=M105R11;LINKAGE=BL

$\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$		Γ_7/Γ_3	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.37 ± 0.13	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
¹ $\omega\pi$ not included.			

NODE=M105R12
NODE=M105R12

NODE=M105R12;LINKAGE=BL

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$		Γ_8/Γ_3	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.11 ± 0.05	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
¹ $\omega\pi$ not included.			

NODE=M105R13
NODE=M105R13

NODE=M105R13;LINKAGE=BL

$\Gamma(\rho(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(4\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.17 ± 0.09	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p} n \rightarrow 5\pi$
¹ $\omega\pi$ not included.			

 Γ_9/Γ_3

NODE=M105R14
 NODE=M105R14

NODE=M105R14;LINKAGE=BL

 $\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	35	¹ ACHASOV	14	SND $1.15\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.04		DONNACHIE	87B	RVUE
¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.				

 Γ_{11}/Γ

NODE=M105R2
 NODE=M105R2

NODE=M105R2;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.081 ± 0.020	^{1,2} AULCHENKO	15	SND $1.22\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
~ 0.24	³ DONNACHIE	91	RVUE
>2	FUKUI	91	SPEC $8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 n$
¹ From a fit to the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section with vector meson dominance model including $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$ decaying exclusively via $\eta\rho(770)$. Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.			
² Reports the inverse of the quoted value as 12.3 ± 3.1 .			
³ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.			

 Γ_{11}/Γ_4

NODE=M105R4
 NODE=M105R4

NODE=M105R4;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M105R4;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M105R;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\rho)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.3 ± 0.4	¹ AULCHENKO	15	SND $1.22\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
¹ From a fit to the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section with vector meson dominance model including $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$ decaying exclusively via $\eta\rho(770)$. Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.			

 Γ_1/Γ_{11}

NODE=M105R07
 NODE=M105R07

NODE=M105R07;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	AMELIN	00	VES $37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

 Γ_{12}/Γ

NODE=M105R9
 NODE=M105R9

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
<0.08	¹ DONNACHIE	91	RVUE
¹ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.			

 Γ_{13}/Γ_4

NODE=M105R8
 NODE=M105R8

NODE=M105R8;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
possibly seen	COAN	04	CLEO $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau$

 Γ_{15}/Γ

NODE=M105R16
 NODE=M105R16

 $\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	35	¹ ACHASOV	14	SND $1.15\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.				

 Γ_{17}/Γ

NODE=M105R06
 NODE=M105R06

NODE=M105R06;LINKAGE=A

$\rho(1450)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M105

FEDOTOVICH	25	PPN 56 434	G.V. Fedotovitch <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)	REFID=63288
IGNATOV	24	PR D109 112002	F.V. Ignatov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)	REFID=62672
GRIBANOV	20	JHEP 2001 112	S.S. Gribanov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)	REFID=60620
ACHASOV	18	PR D97 012008	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=58785
LEES	18	PR D97 052007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=58900
BARTOS	17	PR D96 113004	E. Bartos <i>et al.</i>		REFID=58325
BARTOS	17A	IJMP A32 1750154	E. Bartos <i>et al.</i>		REFID=58367
LEES	17C	PR D95 072007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=57981
AAIJ	16N	PR D93 052018	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57273
ABLIKIM	16C	PL B753 629	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57138
ACHASOV	16D	PR D94 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=57618
AULCHENKO	15	PR D91 052013	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=56793
MATVIENKO	15	PR D92 012013	D. Matvienko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=56601
ACHASOV	14	PR D90 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=55912
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=55687
ACHASOV	13	PR D88 054013	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=55584
ABRAMOWICZ	12	EPJ C72 1869	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)	REFID=54274
ACHASOV	12	JETPL 94 734	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=54275
		Translated from ZETFP 94 796.			
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54299
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=54066
ACHASOV	11	JETP 113 75	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=16721
		Translated from ZETF 140 87.			
AMBROSINO	11A	PL B700 102	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=16683
ACHASOV	10D	PR D98 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)	REFID=59494
DUBNICKA	10	APS 60 1	S. Dubnicka, A.Z. Dubnickova		REFID=58797
AUBERT	09AS	PRL 103 231801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53136
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52242
FUJIKAWA	08	PR D78 072006	M. Fujikawa <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52536
AKHMETSHIN	07	PL B648 28	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=51615
ACHASOV	06	JETP 103 380	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)	REFID=51113
		Translated from ZETF 130 437.			
AKHMETSHIN	05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=50330
ALOISIO	05	PL B606 12	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=51112
SCHAEI	05C	PRPL 421 191	S. Schaei <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)	REFID=50845
AKHMETSHIN	04	PL B578 285	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=49609
COAN	04	PRL 92 232001	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=49945
AKHMETSHIN	03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=49406
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=48356
AKHMETSHIN	01B	PL B509 217	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=48167
ALEXANDER	01B	PR D64 092001	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=48391
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=47935
AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)	REFID=47432
ANDERSON	00A	PR D61 112002	S. Anderson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=47468
EDWARDS	00A	PR D61 072003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=47465
ABELE	99C	PL B450 275	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=46916
ABELE	99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=47401
BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=45782
ABELE	97	PL B391 191	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=45415
ACHASOV	97	PR D55 2663	N.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVM)	REFID=45382
BARATE	97M	ZPHY C76 15	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)	REFID=45622
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=45701
BERTIN	97D	PL B414 220	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=45763
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)	REFID=44081
BISELLO	91B	NPBPS B21 111	D. Bisello	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41752
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41369
DONNACHIE	91	ZPHY C51 689	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)	REFID=41632
FUKUI	91	PL B257 241	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)	REFID=41581
KUHN	90	ZPHY C48 445	J.H. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)	REFID=45862
ARMSTRONG	89E	PL B228 536	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=41011
BISELLO	89	PL B220 321	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40740
DUBNICKA	89	JP G15 1349	S. Dubnicka <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, SLOV)	REFID=44082
ANTONELLI	88	PL B212 133	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40583
CLEGG	88	ZPHY C40 313	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(MCHS, LANC)	REFID=40922
DIEKMANN	88	PRPL 159 99	B. Diekmann	(BONN)	REFID=40272
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)	REFID=40273
ALBRECHT	87L	PL B185 223	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=40418
DONNACHIE	87B	ZPHY C34 257	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)	REFID=40920
DOLINSKY	86	PL B174 453	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20246
BARKOV	85	NP B256 365	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20134
KURDADZE	83	JETPL 37 733	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=20133
		Translated from ZETFP 37 613.			
ASTON	80C	PL 92B 211	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)	REFID=20652
BARBER	80C	ZPHY C4 169	D.P. Barber <i>et al.</i>	(DARE, LANC, SHEF)	REFID=20653
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai		REFID=48054

$\eta(1475)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^-+)$$

See the $\eta(1405)$ and the related review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

NODE=M175

NODE=M175

NODE=M175M5

NODE=M175M5

 $\eta(1475)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1476 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.		
1507.6 ± 1.6 ^{+15.5} _{-32.2}	126k	¹ ABLIKIM	23M BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
1469 ± 14 ± 13	74	ACHARD	07 L3	$183-209 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1460 ± 12	3651	NICHITIU	02 OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1485 ± 8 ± 5	20k	ADAMS	01B B852	$18 \text{ GeV } \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
1500 ± 10		CICALO	99 OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
1464 ± 10		BERTIN	97 OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm (K^0) \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
1460 ± 10		BERTIN	95 OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \pi \pi$
1490 ⁺¹⁴ ₋₈ ⁺³ ₋₁₆	1100	BAI	90C MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1475 ± 4		RATH	89 MPS	$21.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow n K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1477 ± 7 ± 13		² ABLIKIM	18i BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi(1020)$
1565 ± 8 ⁺⁰ ₋₆₃		³ ABLIKIM	15T BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta$
1421 ± 14		AUGUSTIN	92 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2


OCCUR=2

NODE=M175M5;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M175M5;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M175M5;LINKAGE=A

- ¹ ABLIKIM 23M reports for this state a significance from the fit much higher than 35σ .
² From a fit to $\gamma \phi$ invariant mass. Angular analysis consistent with $J^{PC} = 0^-+$. Other J^{PC} not excluded.
³ Could also be the $\eta(1405)$.

 **$\eta(1475)$ WIDTH**

NODE=M175W5

NODE=M175W5

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
96 ± 9 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.		
115.8 ± 2.4 ^{+14.8} _{-10.9}	126k	ABLIKIM	23M BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
67 ± 18 ± 7	74	ACHARD	07 L3	$183-209 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
120 ± 15	3651	NICHITIU	02 OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
98 ± 18 ± 3	20k	ADAMS	01B B852	$18 \text{ GeV } \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
100 ± 20		CICALO	99 OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$

105	± 15	BERTIN	97	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm (K^0) \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
105	± 15	BERTIN	95	OBLX	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \pi \pi$
54	$+37$ -21	BAI	90C	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
51	± 13	RATH	89	MPS	$21.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow n K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

118	± 22 ± 17	¹ ABLIKIM	18I	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi(1020)$
45	$+14$ -13	² ABLIKIM	15T	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta$
63	± 18	AUGUSTIN	92	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$

¹ From a fit to $\gamma \phi$ invariant mass. Angular analysis consistent with $J^{PC} = 0^- +$. Other J^{PC} not excluded.

² Could also be the $\eta(1405)$.

OCCUR=2


OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M175W5;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M175W5;LINKAGE=A

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
96 $\pm$ 9 (Error scaled by 1.7)



$\eta(1475)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K \bar{K} \pi$	seen
Γ_2 $K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_3 $a_0(980) \pi$	seen
Γ_4 $\gamma \gamma$	seen
Γ_5 $K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta$	possibly seen
Γ_6 $\gamma \phi(1020)$	possibly seen

NODE=M175215;NODE=M175

DESIG=2;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=1;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=4;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=7;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=8;OUR EVAL; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=9

$\eta(1475) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma \gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(K \bar{K} \pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma \gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1 \Gamma_4 / \Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	CL% EVTS
0.23 $\pm$ 0.05 $\pm$ 0.05	74
1 ACHARD 07 L3	183-209 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.089	90	^{2,3} AHOHE	05	CLE2	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ Supersedes ACCIARRI 01G. Using $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.6895$.

² Using $\eta(1475)$ mass of 1481 MeV and width of 48 MeV. The upper limit increases to 0.140 keV if the world average value, 87 MeV, of the width is used.

³ Assuming three-body phase-space decay to $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$.

NODE=M175220

NODE=M175G2
 NODE=M175G2

NODE=M175G2;LINKAGE=CH
 NODE=M175G2;LINKAGE=AH

NODE=M175G2;LINKAGE=B3

$\eta(1475)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$

Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.50 ± 0.10	¹ BAILLON	67	HBC	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi\pi\pi$

¹ Data could also refer to $\eta(1405)$.

NODE=M175225

NODE=M175R1
NODE=M175R1

NODE=M175R;LINKAGE=BL

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)/[\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)+\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)]$

$\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.25	90	EDWARDS	82E	CBAL $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \gamma$

NODE=M175R6
NODE=M175R6

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$

Γ_4/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$<1.27 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	180	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma\gamma\gamma$

¹ Using results from BAI 00D.NODE=M175R01
NODE=M175R01

NODE=M175R01;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma\phi(1020))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

possibly seen	¹ ABLIKIM	180	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$

¹ Seen as a peak in $\gamma\phi$ invariant mass. Angular analysis consistent with $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$. Other J^{PC} not excluded. Also see $\eta(1405)$.NODE=M175R00
NODE=M175R00

NODE=M175R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\eta(1475)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	23M	JHEP 2303 121	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	180	PR D97 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	180	PR D97 072014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15T	PRL 115 091803	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHARD	07	JHEP 0703 018	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AHOHE	05	PR D71 072001	R. Ahohe <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
NICHITIU	02	PL B545 261	F. Nichitiu <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ACCIARRI	01G	PL B501 1	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADAMS	01B	PL B516 264	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
BAI	00D	PL B476 25	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
CICALO	99	PL B462 453	C. Cicalo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN	97	PL B400 226	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN	95	PL B361 187	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
AUGUSTIN	92	PR D46 1951	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)
BAI	90C	PRL 65 2507	Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
RATH	89	PR D40 693	M.G. Rath <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, BRAN, BNL, CUNY+)
EDWARDS	82E	PRL 49 259	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)
BAILLON	67	NC 50A 393	P.H. Baillon <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, IRAD)

NODE=M175

REFID=62055
REFID=58893
REFID=58925
REFID=56785
REFID=51698
REFID=50764
REFID=48848
REFID=48319
REFID=49649
REFID=47954
REFID=47394
REFID=45417
REFID=44614
REFID=41584
REFID=41578
REFID=40924
REFID=21314
REFID=20407

$f_0(1500)$

$$J^{PC} = 0^+(0^{++})$$

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

 $f_0(1500)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$ Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1430–1530) – i (40–90) OUR ESTIMATE			
$(1450 \pm 10) - i (53 \pm 8)$	¹ RODAS	22	RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi\pi, K\bar{K})$
$(1483 \pm 15) - i (58 \pm 6)$	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
$(1496 \pm 1.2^{+4.4}_{-26.4}) - i$ $(40.4 \pm 0.3^{+10.0}_{-2.5})$	² ALBRECHT	20	RVUE $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta,$ $\pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0K^+K^-$
$(1465 \pm 18) - i (50 \pm 9)$	³ ROPERTZ	18	RVUE $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow$ $J/\psi(\pi^+\pi^-/K^+K^-)$
$(1486 \pm 10) - i (57 \pm 5)$	ANISOVICH	09	RVUE $0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$
$(1489^{+8}_{-4}) - i (51 \pm 5)$	⁴ ANISOVICH	03	RVUE
$(1515 \pm 12) - i (55 \pm 12)$	BARBERIS	00A	$450 \bar{p}p \rightarrow p_f(\eta\eta', \eta'\eta')p_s$
$(1511 \pm 9) - i (51 \pm 9)$	⁵ BARBERIS	00C	$450 \bar{p}p \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
$(1510 \pm 8) - i (55 \pm 8)$	BARBERIS	00E	$450 \bar{p}p \rightarrow p_f \eta\eta p_s$
$(1502 \pm 12 \pm 10) - i$ $(49 \pm 9 \pm 8)$	⁶ BARBERIS	99D	OMEG $450 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-, \pi^+\pi^-$
$(1447 \pm 27) - i (54 \pm 23)$	⁷ KAMINSKI	99	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \sigma\sigma$
$(1499 \pm 8) - i (65 \pm 10)$	ANISOVICH	98B	RVUE Compilation.
$(1510 \pm 20) - i (60 \pm 18)$	BARBERIS	97B	OMEG $450 \bar{p}p \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$(1449 \pm 20) - i (57 \pm 15)$	BERTIN	97C	OBLX $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$(1515 \pm 20) - i (53 \pm 8)$	ABELE	96B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 K_L^0 K_L^0$
$(1500 \pm 8) - i (66 \pm 8)$	ABELE	96C	RVUE Compilation.
$(1500 \pm 10) - i (77 \pm 15)$	⁸ AMSLER	95D	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0,$ $\pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0\pi^0\eta$
$(1520 \pm 25) - i (74^{+10}_{-13})$	⁹ ANISOVICH	94	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta$
$(1505 \pm 20) - i (75 \pm 10)$	¹⁰ BUGG	94	RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta\eta\pi^0,$ $\eta\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ T-matrix pole from coupled channel K-matrix fit to data on $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$ (ABLIKIM 15AE) and $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$ (ABLIKIM 18AA).

² T-matrix pole, 5 poles, 5 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$), and BINON 84C ($\eta\eta'$).

³ T-matrix pole of 3 channel unitary model fit to data from AAIJ 14BR and AAIJ 17V extracted using Pade approximants.

⁴ Pole position from combined analysis of $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n, \pi^-p \rightarrow K\bar{K}n, \pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0\pi^0\eta, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, K^+K^-\pi^0, K_S^0 K_S^0\pi^0, K^+K_S^0\pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+, K_S^0 K^-\pi^0, K_S^0 K_S^0\pi^-$ at rest.

⁵ Average between $\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$ and $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$.

⁶ Supersedes BARBERIS 99 and BARBERIS 99B.

⁷ T-matrix pole on sheet $--+$.

⁸ Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.

⁹ From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta$.

¹⁰ Reanalysis of ANISOVICH 94 data.

 $f_0(1500)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1522 ± 25		¹ BERTIN	98	OBLX $0.05\text{--}0.405 \bar{p}p \rightarrow$ $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1492.5 \pm 3.6^{+2.4}_{-20.5}$		² ABLIKIM	22G	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
$1447 \pm 16 \pm 13$	163	^{3,4} DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M152

NODE=M152

NODE=M152PP

NODE=M152PP

NODE=M152PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M152PP;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M152PP;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M152PP;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M152PP;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M152PP;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M152PP;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M152PP;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M152PP;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M152PP;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M152PP;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M152M

NODE=M152M

1442 ± 9 ± 4	261	^{3,4} DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$	OCCUR=2
1460.9 ± 2.9		⁵ AAIJ	14BR LHCb	$\bar{B}_S^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$	
1468 ⁺¹⁴ ₋₁₅ ⁺²³ ₋₇₄	5.5k	⁶ ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$	
1470 ± 60	568	⁷ KLEMP	08 E791	$D_S^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	
1470 ⁺⁶ ₋₇ ⁺⁷² ₋₂₅₅		⁸ UEHARA	08A BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	
1466 ± 6 ± 20		⁹ ABLIKIM	06V BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$	
1495 ± 4		AMSLER	06 CBAR	$0.9 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$	
1539 ± 20	9.9k	AUBERT	06O BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^+ K^-$	
1473 ± 5	80k	^{9,10} UMAN	06 E835	$5.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$	
1478 ± 6		VLADIMIRSK...	06 SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$	
1493 ± 7		⁹ BINON	05 GAMS	$33 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta n$	
1524 ± 14	1400	¹¹ GARMASH	05 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^+ K^-$	
1490 ± 30		⁹ ABELE	01 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} d \rightarrow \pi^- 4 \pi^0 p$	
1497 ± 10		⁹ BARBERIS	99 OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+ K^-$	
1502 ± 10		⁹ BARBERIS	99B OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$	
1530 ± 45		⁹ BELLAZZINI	99 GAM4	$450 p p \rightarrow p p \pi^0 \pi^0$	
1505 ± 18		⁹ FRENCH	99	$300 p p \rightarrow p_f (K^+ K^-) p_s \pi^0 n$	
1580 ± 80		⁹ ALDE	98 GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$	
~ 1520		REYES	98 SPEC	$800 p p \rightarrow p_s p_f K_S^0 K_S^0$	
~ 1475		FRABETTI	97D E687	$D_S^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\mp \pi^\pm \pi^\pm$	
~ 1505		ABELE	96 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 5 \pi^0$	
1460 ± 20	120	⁹ AMELIN	96B VES	$37 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^- A$	
1500 ± 8		BUGG	96 RVUE		
1500 ± 15		¹² AMSLER	95B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 3 \pi^0$	
1505 ± 15		¹³ AMSLER	95C CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$	OCCUR=2
1445 ± 5		¹⁴ ANTINORI	95 OMEG	$300,450 p p \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	
1497 ± 30		⁹ ANTINORI	95 OMEG	$300,450 p p \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$	OCCUR=2
~ 1505		BUGG	95 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	
1446 ± 5		⁹ ABATZIS	94 OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	
1545 ± 25		⁹ AMSLER	94E CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta'$	
1560 ± 25		⁹ AMSLER	92 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$	
1550 ± 45 ± 30		⁹ BELADIDZE	92C VES	$36 \pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^- \eta' \eta \text{Be}$	
1449 ± 4		⁹ ARMSTRONG	89E OMEG	$300 p p \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	
1610 ± 20		⁹ ALDE	88 GAM4	$300 \pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- N 2 \eta$	
~ 1525		ASTON	88D LASS	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \Lambda$	
1570 ± 20	600	⁹ ALDE	87 GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow 4 \pi^0 n$	
1575 ± 45		¹⁵ ALDE	86D GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2 \eta n$	
1568 ± 33		⁹ BINON	84C GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta' n$	
1592 ± 25		⁹ BINON	83 GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2 \eta n$	
1525 ± 5		⁹ GRAY	83 DBC	$0.0 \bar{p} N \rightarrow 3 \pi$	

¹ Breit-Wigner mass.

² The $\pi^+ \pi^-$ mass spectrum is described by a coherent sum of two Breit-Wigner resonances, $f_0(1500)$ and a new $X(1540)$ with mass $1540.2 \pm 7.0^{+36.3}_{-6.1}$ MeV and width $157 \pm 19^{+11}_{-77}$ MeV.

³ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

⁴ From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed $\Gamma = 109$ MeV.

⁵ Solution I, statistical error only.

⁶ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.

⁷ Reanalysis of AITALA 01A data. This state could also be $f_0(1370)$.

⁸ Breit-Wigner mass. May also be the $f_0(1370)$.

⁹ Breit-Wigner mass.

¹⁰ Statistical error only.

¹¹ Breit-Wigner, solution 1, PWA ambiguous.

¹² T-matrix pole, supersedes ANISOVICH 94.

¹³ T-matrix pole, supersedes ANISOVICH 94 and AMSLER 92.

¹⁴ Supersedes ABATZIS 94, ARMSTRONG 89E. Breit-Wigner mass.

¹⁵ From central value and spread of two solutions. Breit-Wigner mass.

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=PP

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=KL

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=UE

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=GA

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=D1

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=AZ

$f_0(1500)$ WIDTH

NODE=M152W

NODE=M152W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
108$\pm$ 33		¹ BERTIN 98	OBLX	0.05–0.405 $\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
107 $\pm$ 9 $_{-7}^{+21}$		² ABLIKIM 22G	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
124 $\pm$ 7		³ AAIJ 14BR	LHCB	$\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
136 $_{-26}^{+41}$ 41 $_{-100}^{+28}$	5.5k	⁴ ABLIKIM 13N	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$
90 $_{-1}^{+2}$ 2 $_{-22}^{+50}$		⁵ UEHARA 08A	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
108 $_{-11}^{+14}$ $\pm$ 25		⁶ ABLIKIM 06V	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
121 $\pm$ 8		AMSLER 06	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
257 $\pm$ 33	9.9k	AUBERT 06O	BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+K^+K^-$
108 $\pm$ 9	80k	^{6,7} UMAN 06	E835	5.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
119 $\pm$ 10		VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	40 $\pi^-p \rightarrow K_S^0K_S^0n$
90 $\pm$ 15		⁶ BINON 05	GAMS	33 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$
136 $\pm$ 23	1400	⁸ GARMASH 05	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+K^+K^-$
140 $\pm$ 40		⁶ ABELE 01	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^-4\pi^0p$
104 $\pm$ 25		⁶ BARBERIS 99	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow p_S p_f K^+K^-$
131 $\pm$ 15		⁶ BARBERIS 99B	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow p_S p_f \pi^+\pi^-$
160 $\pm$ 50		⁶ BELLAZZINI 99	GAM4	450 $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0\pi^0$
100 $\pm$ 33		⁶ FRENCH 99		300 $pp \rightarrow p_f(K^+K^-)p_S$
280 $\pm$ 100		⁶ ALDE 98	GAM4	100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$
$\sim$ 100		FRABETTI 97D	E687	$D_s^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\mp\pi^\pm\pi^\pm$
$\sim$ 169		ABELE 96	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi^0$
100 $\pm$ 30	120	⁶ AMELIN 96B	VES	37 $\pi^-A \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^-A$
132 $\pm$ 15		BUGG 96	RVUE	
120 $\pm$ 25		⁹ AMSLER 95B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
120 $\pm$ 30		¹⁰ AMSLER 95C	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
65 $\pm$ 10		¹¹ ANTINORI 95	OMEG	300,450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
199 $\pm$ 30		⁶ ANTINORI 95	OMEG	300,450 $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-$
56 $\pm$ 12		⁶ ABATZIS 94	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
100 $\pm$ 40		⁶ AMSLER 94E	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta'$
245 $\pm$ 50		⁶ AMSLER 92	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta$
153 $\pm$ 67 $\pm$ 50		⁶ BELADIDZE 92C	VES	36 $\pi^-Be \rightarrow \pi^-\eta'\eta Be$
78 $\pm$ 18		⁶ ARMSTRONG 89E	OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
170 $\pm$ 40		⁶ ALDE 88	GAM4	300 $\pi^-N \rightarrow \pi^-N2\eta$
150 $\pm$ 20	600	⁶ ALDE 87	GAM4	100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow 4\pi^0n$
265 $\pm$ 65		¹² ALDE 86D	GAM4	100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow 2\eta n$
260 $\pm$ 60		⁶ BINON 84C	GAM2	38 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\eta' n$
210 $\pm$ 40		⁶ BINON 83	GAM2	38 $\pi^-p \rightarrow 2\eta n$
101 $\pm$ 13		⁶ GRAY 83	DBC	0.0 $\bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=PP
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=G
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=UE
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=ST
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=GA
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=D1
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=AZ

¹ Breit-Wigner width.

² The $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum is described by a coherent sum of two Breit-Wigner resonances, $f_0(1500)$ and a new $X(1540)$ with mass $1540.2 \pm 7.0^{+36.3}_{-6.1}$ MeV and width $157 \pm 19^{+11}_{-77}$ MeV.

³ Solution I, statistical error only.⁴ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.⁵ Breit-Wigner width. May also be the $f_0(1370)$.⁶ Breit-Wigner width.⁷ Statistical error only.⁸ Breit-Wigner, solution 1, PWA ambiguous.⁹ T-matrix pole, supersedes ANISOVICH 94.¹⁰ T-matrix pole, supersedes ANISOVICH 94 and AMSLER 92.¹¹ Supersedes ABATZIS 94, ARMSTRONG 89E. Breit-Wigner mass.¹² From central value and spread of two solutions. Breit-Wigner mass.

$f_0(1500)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M152215;NODE=M152

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor
Γ_1	$\pi\pi$	$(34.5 \pm 2.2) \%$	1.2
Γ_2	$\pi^+\pi^-$	seen	
Γ_3	$2\pi^0$	seen	
Γ_4	4π	$(48.9 \pm 3.3) \%$	1.2
Γ_5	$4\pi^0$	seen	
Γ_6	$2\pi^+2\pi^-$	seen	
Γ_7	$2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}$	seen	
Γ_8	$\rho\rho$	seen	
Γ_9	$\pi(1300)\pi$	seen	
Γ_{10}	$a_1(1260)\pi$	seen	
Γ_{11}	$\eta\eta$	$(6.0 \pm 0.9) \%$	1.1
Γ_{12}	$\eta\eta'(958)$	$(2.2 \pm 0.8) \%$	1.4
Γ_{13}	$K\bar{K}$	$(8.5 \pm 1.0) \%$	1.1
Γ_{14}	$\gamma\gamma$	not seen	

DESIG=8
DESIG=9
DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=7
DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=6;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=11;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=12;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=13;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=14;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=1
DESIG=2
DESIG=4
DESIG=10;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 6 branching ratios uses 10 measurements and one constraint to determine 5 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 5.6$ for 6 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_4	−88			
x_{11}	27	−56		
x_{12}	3	−32	26	
x_{13}	43	−64	20	2
	x_1	x_4	x_{11}	x_{12}

 $f_0(1500) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M152217

$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV) CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
$33^{+12}_{-6} + 1809_{21}$	¹ UEHARA 08A BELL $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
not seen	ACCIARRI 01H L3 $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0, E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} = 91, 183\text{--}209 \text{ GeV}$
<460 95	BARATE 00E ALEP $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
¹ May also be the $f_0(1370)$. Multiplied by us by 3 to obtain the $\pi\pi$ value.	

NODE=M152G1
NODE=M152G1

NODE=M152G1;LINKAGE=UE

 $f_0(1500)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M152220

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.454 ± 0.104	BUGG 96 RVUE
$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_2/Γ
VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT	
seen	BERTIN 98 OBLX $0.05\text{--}0.405 \bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
possibly seen	FRABETTI 97D E687 $D_S^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\mp \pi^\pm \pi^\pm$

NODE=M152R8
NODE=M152R8

NODE=M152R10
NODE=M152R10

$\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.42±0.18 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.**1.42±0.18 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.2.1.37±0.16 BARBERIS 00D 450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$ 2.1 ±0.6 ¹ AMSLER 98 RVUE

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.1 ±0.2 ² ANISOVICH 02D SPEC Combined fit3.4 ±0.8 ¹ ABELE 96 CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi^0$ ¹ Excluding $\rho\rho$ contribution to 4π .² From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel (0. $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$, $\pi^0\eta\eta$, $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n$, $\eta\eta n$, $\eta\eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \rightarrow K\bar{K} n$) data.NODE=M152R6
NODE=M152R6NODE=M152R6;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M152R6;LINKAGE=CH $\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)S\text{-wave})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.42±0.26 ¹ ABELE 01 CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$ ¹ From the combined data of ABELE 96 and ABELE 96C.NODE=M152R14
NODE=M152R14

NODE=M152R;LINKAGE=KZ

 $\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)S\text{-wave})/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.26±0.07 ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$ NODE=M152R15
NODE=M152R15 $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_8/Γ_4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.13±0.08 ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$ NODE=M152R16
NODE=M152R16 $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)S\text{-wave})$ Γ_8/Γ_7

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT

2.87±0.34 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.3.3 ±0.5 BARBERIS 00C 450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0 p_s$ 2.6 ±0.4 BARBERIS 00C 450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) p_s$ NODE=M152R11
NODE=M152R11

OCCUR=2

 $\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_9/Γ_4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.50±0.25 ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$ NODE=M152R17
NODE=M152R17 $\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_{10}/Γ_4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.12±0.05 ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$ NODE=M152R18
NODE=M152R18 $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

large ALDE 88 GAM4 300 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^- N$ large BINON 83 GAM2 38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta n$ NODE=M152R1
NODE=M152R1 $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_{11}/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.173±0.024 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.**0.175±0.027 OUR AVERAGE**0.18 ±0.03 BARBERIS 00E 450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f \eta\eta p_s$ 0.157±0.060 ¹ AMSLER 95D CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0\pi^0\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.080±0.033 AMSLER 02 CBAR 0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$ 0.11 ±0.03 ² ANISOVICH 02D SPEC Combined fit0.078±0.013 ³ ABELE 96C RVUE Compilation0.230±0.097 ⁴ AMSLER 95C CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$ ¹ Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.² From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel (0. $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0\pi^0\eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n$, $\eta\eta n$, $\eta\eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \rightarrow K\bar{K} n$) data.³ 2π width determined to be 60 ± 12 MeV.⁴ Using AMSLER 95B ($3\pi^0$).NODE=M152R13
NODE=M152R13NODE=M152R3;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M152R;LINKAGE=CHNODE=M152R3;LINKAGE=CM
NODE=M152R3;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta\eta)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.8 ± 0.3	ALDE	87	GAM4 $100 \pi^- p \rightarrow 4\pi^0 n$

 Γ_5/Γ_{11}

NODE=M152R5
NODE=M152R5

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta'(958))/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.4 ± 2.2 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.		
9.5 ± 2.6	BARBERIS	00A	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f \eta \eta p_S$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$16.6^{+4.2}_{-4.0}$	¹ ABLIKIM	22AS	BES3 $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$
0.5 ± 0.3	² ANISOVICH	02D	SPEC Combined fit

 Γ_{12}/Γ_1

NODE=M152R12
NODE=M152R12

¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta \eta'$ P-wave.

² From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel (0. $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\eta \eta n$, $\eta \eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$) data.

NODE=M152R12;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M152R12;LINKAGE=CH

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta'(958))/\Gamma(\eta\eta)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.37 ± 0.13 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.		
0.29 ± 0.10	¹ AMSLER	95C	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.05 ± 0.03	² ANISOVICH	02D	SPEC Combined fit
0.84 ± 0.23	ABELE	96C	RVUE Compilation
2.7 ± 0.8	BINON	84C	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta' n$

 Γ_{12}/Γ_{11}

NODE=M152R2
NODE=M152R2

¹ Using AMSLER 94E ($\eta \eta' \pi^0$).

² From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel (0. $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\eta \eta n$, $\eta \eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$) data.

NODE=M152R2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M152R2;LINKAGE=CH

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.044 ± 0.021	BUGG	96	RVUE

 Γ_{13}/Γ

NODE=M152R9
NODE=M152R9

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.246 ± 0.025 OUR FIT			
0.236 ± 0.026 OUR AVERAGE			
0.25 ± 0.03	¹ BARGIOTTI	03	OBLX $\bar{p} p$
0.19 ± 0.07	² ABELE	98	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.20 ± 0.08	³ ABELE	96B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 K_L^0 K_L^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.16 ± 0.05	⁴ ANISOVICH	02D	SPEC Combined fit
$0.33 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.07$	BARBERIS	99D	OMEG $450 p\bar{p} \rightarrow K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^-$

 Γ_{13}/Γ_1

NODE=M152R7
NODE=M152R7

¹ Coupled channel analysis of $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, and $K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$.

² Using $\pi^0 \pi^0$ from AMSLER 95B.

³ Using AMSLER 95B ($3\pi^0$), AMSLER 94C ($2\pi^0 \eta$) and SU(3).

⁴ From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel (0. $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\eta \eta n$, $\eta \eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$) data.

NODE=M152R;LINKAGE=BG

NODE=M152R7;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M152R7;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M152R7;LINKAGE=CH

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\eta\eta)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.43 ± 0.24 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
1.85 ± 0.41		BARBERIS	00E	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f \eta \eta p_S$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.5 ± 0.6		¹ ANISOVICH	02D	SPEC Combined fit
< 0.4	90	² PROKOSHKIN	91	GAM4 $300 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- p \eta \eta$
< 0.6		³ BINON	83	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta n$

 Γ_{13}/Γ_{11}

NODE=M152R4
NODE=M152R4

¹ From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel (0. $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\eta \eta n$, $\eta \eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$) data.

² Combining results of GAM4 with those of WA76 on $K \bar{K}$ central production.

³ Using ETKIN 82B and COHEN 80.

NODE=M152R4;LINKAGE=CH

NODE=M152R4;LINKAGE=BZ

NODE=M152R4;LINKAGE=A

f₀(1500) REFERENCES

NODE=M152

ABLIKIM	22AS	PR D106 072012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61891
Also		PR D107 079901 (errat.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62033
ABLIKIM	22G	PRL 129 042001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61642
RODAS	22	EPJ C82 80	A. Rodas <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC Collab.)	REFID=61610
SARANTSEV	21	PL B816 136227	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)	REFID=61091
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=60439
ABLIKIM	18AA	PR D98 072003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59455
ROPERTZ	18	EPJ C78 1000	S. Ropertz, C. Hanhart, B. Kubis	(BONN, JULI)	REFID=59332
AAIJ	17V	JHEP 1708 037	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57828
ABLIKIM	15AE	PR D92 052003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56984
DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)	REFID=56805
AAIJ	14BR	PR D89 092006	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=56035
ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55387
ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)	REFID=52719
KLEMP	08	EPJ C55 39	E. Klempt, M. Matveev, A.V. Sarantsev	(BONN+)	REFID=52286
UEHARA	08A	PR D78 052004	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52309
ABLIKIM	06V	PL B642 441	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51507
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=51136
AUBERT	06O	PR D74 032003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51141
UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)	REFID=51063
VLADIMIRSK...	06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)	REFID=51191
BINON	05	Translated from YAF 69 515. PAN 68 960	F. Binon <i>et al.</i>		REFID=50780
GARMASH	05	PR D71 092003	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50641
ANISOVICH	03	EPJ A16 229	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49401
BARGIOTTI	03	EPJ C26 371	M. Bargiotti <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=49217
AMSLER	02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=48580
ANISOVICH	02D	PAN 65 1545	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48831
ABELE	01	EPJ C19 667	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=48334
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=48356
ACCIARRI	01H	PL B501 173	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=48321
AITALA	01A	PRL 86 765	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=48004
BARATE	00E	PL B472 189	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)	REFID=47428
BARBERIS	00A	PL B471 429	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47957
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47959
BARBERIS	00D	PL B474 423	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47960
BARBERIS	00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47961
BARBERIS	99	PL B453 305	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=46921
BARBERIS	99B	PL B453 316	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=46922
BARBERIS	99D	PL B462 462	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=47395
BELLAZZINI	99	PL B467 296	R. Bellazzini <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47400
FRENCH	99	PL B460 213	B. French <i>et al.</i>	(WA76 Collab.)	REFID=47491
KAMINSKI	99	EPJ C9 141	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau	(CRAC, PARIN)	REFID=46927
ABELE	98	PR D57 3860	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=45863
ALDE	98	EPJ A3 361	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM4 Collab.)	REFID=46605
Also		PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)	REFID=46914
AMSLER	98	Translated from YAF 62 446. RMP 70 1293	C. Amsler		REFID=46601
ANISOVICH	98B	SPU 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46331
BERTIN	98	Translated from UFN 168 481. PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=45782
REYES	98	PRL 81 4079	M.A. Reyes <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46378
BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=45758
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=45701
FRABETTI	97D	PL B407 79	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	REFID=45554
ABELE	96	PL B380 453	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=45011
ABELE	96B	PL B385 425	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=45038
ABELE	96C	NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=45076
AMELIN	96B	PAN 59 976	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)	REFID=44725
BUGG	96	Translated from YAF 59 1021. NP B471 59	D.V. Bugg, A.V. Sarantsev, B.S. Zou	(LOQM, PNPI)	REFID=45094
AMSLER	95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44377
AMSLER	95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44440
AMSLER	95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44441
ANTINORI	95	PL B353 589	F. Antinori <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=44437
BUGG	95	PL B353 378	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, PNPI, WASH)	REFID=44438
ABATZIS	94	PL B324 509	S. Abatzis <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=44090
AMSLER	94C	PL B327 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44091
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44093
AMSLER	94E	PL B340 259	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44080
ANISOVICH	94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=43659
BUGG	94	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)	REFID=44078
AMSLER	92	PL B291 347	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=43169
BELADIDZE	92C	SJNP 55 1535	G.M. Beladidze, S.I. Bityukov, G.V. Borisov	(SERP+)	REFID=43175
PROKOSHKIN	91	Translated from YAF 55 2748. SPD 36 155	Y.D. Prokoshkin	(GAM2 and GAM4 Collab.)	REFID=41719
ARMSTRONG	89E	PL B228 536	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=41011
ALDE	88	PL B201 160	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)	REFID=40283
ASTON	88D	NP B301 525	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)	REFID=40330
ALDE	87	PL B198 286	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, BRUX, SERP, LAPP)	REFID=40221
ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)	REFID=20765
LONGACRE	86	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)	REFID=20768
BINON	84C	NC 80A 363	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP+)	REFID=21418
BINON	83	NC 78A 313	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP+)	REFID=20750
Also		SJNP 38 561	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP+)	REFID=20751
GRAY	83	Translated from YAF 38 934. PR D27 307	L. Gray <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA)	REFID=21370
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)	REFID=20390
COHEN	80	PR D22 2595	D. Cohen <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)	REFID=20381
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20355

$f_1(1510)$

$$J^{PC} = 0^+(1^{++})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

NODE=M084

NODE=M084

NODE=M084M


NODE=M084M

 $f_1(1510)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1518±5 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.		
1530±10		ASTON	88C LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \Lambda$
1512±4	600	¹ BIRMAN	88 MPS	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^- n$
1526±6	271	GAVILLET	82 HBC	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K K \pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
~ 1525		² BAUER	93B	$\gamma \gamma^* \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
¹ From partial wave analysis of $K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^-$ state.				
² Not seen by AIHARA 88C in the $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ final state.				

NODE=M084M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M084M;LINKAGE=C


 **$f_1(1510)$ WIDTH**

NODE=M084W

NODE=M084W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
73±25 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.5. See the ideogram below.		
100±40		ASTON	88C LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \Lambda$
35±15	600	³ BIRMAN	88 MPS	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^- n$
107±15	271	GAVILLET	82 HBC	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K K \pi$
³ From partial wave analysis of $K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^-$ state.				

NODE=M084W;LINKAGE=A



$f_1(1510)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M084215;NODE=M084

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_2 $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$	seen
Γ_3 $\gamma \phi$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2
DESIG=3

$f_1(1510)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M084225

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	230	ABLIKIM	11C	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$

$\Gamma(\gamma \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_3/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
seen	⁴ ABLIKIM	25P	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$	

⁴ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$ with significance 5.3σ .

NODE=M084R01
NODE=M084R01

NODE=M084R00
NODE=M084R00

NODE=M084R00;LINKAGE=A

$f_1(1510)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M084

ABLIKIM 25P	PR D111 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 11C	PRL 106 072002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
BAUER 93B	PR D48 3976	D.A. Bauer <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
AIHARA 88C	PR D38 1	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.)
ASTON 88C	PL B201 573	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS) JP
BIRMAN 88	PRL 61 1557	A. Birman <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FSU, IND, MASD) JP
GAVILLET 82	ZPHY C16 119	P. Gavillet <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, PADO+)

REFID=63260
REFID=53684
REFID=43678
REFID=40564
REFID=40282
REFID=40568
REFID=20877

NODE=M013

 $f'_2(1525)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

 $f'_2(1525)$ MASS


NODE=M013MX

NODE=M013MX

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

1517.3±2.4 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the 6 datablocks that follow this one.
Error includes scale factor of 2.8. See the ideogram below.



PRODUCED BY PION BEAM

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M013M1

NODE=M013M1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1521±13	TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC	40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
1547 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₂	¹ LONGACRE	86	MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1496 ⁺⁹ ₋₈	² CHABAUD	81	ASPK	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
1497 ⁺⁸ ₋₉	CHABAUD	81	ASPK	18.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
1492±29	GORLICH	80	ASPK	17 $\pi^- p$ polarized $\rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
1502±25	³ CORDEN	79	OMEG	12-15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1480	14 CRENNELL	66	HBC	6.0 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$

OCCUR=2

¹ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.

² CHABAUD 81 is a reanalysis of PAWLICKI 77 data.

³ From an amplitude analysis where the $f'_2(1525)$ width and elasticity are in complete disagreement with the values obtained from $K\bar{K}$ channel, making the solution dubious.

NODE=M013M;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M013M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M013M;LINKAGE=N

PRODUCED BY $K^\pm$ BEAM

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M013M2
NODE=M013M2

1518.0 ± 2.7 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the datablock that follows this one.
Error includes scale factor of 2.9. See the ideogram below.


1526.8 ± 4.3		ASTON	88D	LASS	11	$K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \Lambda$
1504 ± 12		BOLONKIN	86	SPEC	40	$K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 Y$
1529 ± 3		ARMSTRONG	83B	OMEG	18.5	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- K^+ \Lambda$
1521 ± 6	650	AGUILAR...	81B	HBC	4.2	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ K^-$
1521 ± 3	572	ALHARRAN	81	HBC	8.25	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K \bar{K}$
1522 ± 6	123	BARREIRO	77	HBC	4.15	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K_S^0 K_S^0$
1528 ± 7	166	EVANGELIS...	77	OMEG	10	$K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
1527 ± 3	120	BRANDENB...	76C	ASPK	13	$K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
1519 ± 7	100	AGUILAR...	72B	HBC	3.9, 4.6	$K^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} (\Lambda, \Sigma)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1514 ± 8	61	BINON	07	GAMS	32.5	$K^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta (\Lambda / \Sigma^0)$
1513 ± 10	1	BARKOV	99	SPEC	40	$K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 y$

¹ Systematic errors not estimated.

NODE=M013M2;LINKAGE=SK

PRODUCED BY $K^\pm$ BEAM (MeV)**PRODUCED IN $e^+ e^-$ ANNIHILATION AND PARTICLE DECAYS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M013M3
NODE=M013M3

1514 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 3.6. See the ideogram below.

1515.4 ± 2.5 ^{+3.2} _{-7.6}	126k	ABLIKIM	23M	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
1522.2 ± 2.8 ^{+5.3} _{-2.0}		AAIJ	13AN	LHCB	$\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^-$
1513 ± 5 ⁺⁴ ₋₁₀	5.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	13N	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$
1525.3 ^{+1.2} _{-1.4} ^{+3.7} _{-2.1}		UEHARA	13	BELL	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
1521 ± 5		ABLIKIM	05	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$
1518 ± 1 ± 3		ABE	04	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
1519 ± 2 ⁺¹⁵ ₋₅		BAI	03G	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$

1523 ± 6	331	² ACCIARRI	01H L3	91, 183–209 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K_S^0K_S^0$
1535 ± 5 ± 4		ABREU	96C DLPH	$Z^0 \rightarrow K^+K^- + X$
1516 ± 5 $^{+9}_{-15}$		BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$
1531.6 ± 10.0		AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$
1496 ± 2		³ FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+K^-$
1525 ± 10 ± 10		BALTRUSAIT..87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1518 ± 3		⁴ KLEMP	22 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0, \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
1503 ± 11		⁵ RODAS	22 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi\pi, K\bar{K})$
1532 ± 3 ± 6	644	^{6,7} DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$
1557 ± 9 ± 3	113	^{6,7} DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$
1526 ± 7	29	⁸ LEES	14H BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K^+K^- \gamma$
1523 ± 5	870	⁹ SCHEGELSKY	06A RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
1515 ± 5		¹⁰ FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+K^-$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.

² Supersedes ACCIARRI 95J.

³ From an analysis including interference with $f_0(1710)$.

⁴ Fit of the tensor partial waves from BES3 in the multipole basis.

⁵ T-matrix pole from coupled channel K-matrix fit to data on $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$ (ABLIKIM 15AE) and $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$ (ABLIKIM 18AA).

⁶ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

⁷ From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed $\Gamma = 73$ MeV.

⁸ From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape plus a second-order polynomial function. Systematic errors not evaluated.

⁹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.

¹⁰ From an analysis ignoring interference with $f_0(1710)$.

NODE=M013M3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M013M;LINKAGE=HA

NODE=M013M;LINKAGE=F2

NODE=M013M3;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M013M3;LINKAGE=E


NODE=M013M3;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M013M3;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M013M3;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M013M3;LINKAGE=SC

NODE=M013M;LINKAGE=F1



PRODUCED IN $\bar{p}p$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

1512 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE

1513 ± 4	AMSLER	06	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
1508 ± 9	¹ AMSLER	02	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1495.0 ± 1.1 ± 8.1	² ALBRECHT	20	RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+K^-$
1530 ± 12	³ ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	0.0 $\bar{p}p, \pi N$

NODE=M013M9

NODE=M013M9

- ¹ T-matrix pole.
- ² T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$).
- ³ 4-poles, 5-channel K matrix fit.

NODE=M013M;LINKAGE=TT
NODE=M013M9;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M013M9;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M013M4
NODE=M013M4

CENTRAL PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			

1515±15 BARBERIS 99 OMEG 450 $pp \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+ K^-$

PRODUCED IN $e p$ COLLISIONS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				

NODE=M013M10
NODE=M013M10

- 1512±3^{+1.4}_{-0.5} ¹ CHEKANOV 08 ZEUS $ep \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
- • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
- 1537⁺⁹₋₈ 84 ² CHEKANOV 04 ZEUS $ep \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
- ¹ In the SU(3) based model with a specific interference pattern of the $f_2'(1270)$, $a_2^0(1320)$, and $f_2'(1525)$ mesons incoherently added to the $f_0(1710)$ and non-resonant background.
- ² Systematic errors not estimated.

NODE=M013M10;LINKAGE=HE

NODE=M013M10;LINKAGE=CH


$f_2'(1525)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID

NODE=M013WX
NODE=M013WX

72 ⁺⁷₋₆ OUR FIT

84.4±2.7 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the 6 datablocks that follow this one. Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.



PRODUCED BY PION BEAM

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M013W1
NODE=M013W1

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

102±42		TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
108 ⁺⁵ ₋₂		¹ LONGACRE 86	MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
69 ⁺²² ₋₁₆		² CHABAUD 81	ASPK	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
137 ⁺²³ ₋₂₁		CHABAUD 81	ASPK	18.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
150 ⁺⁸³ ₋₅₀		GORLICH 80	ASPK	17 $\pi^- p$ polarized $\rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
165±42		³ CORDEN 79	OMEG	12-15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
92 ⁺³⁹ ₋₂₂		⁴ POLYCHRO... 79	STRC	7 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n K_S^0 K_S^0$

OCCUR=2

¹ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.² CHABAUD 81 is a reanalysis of PAWLICKI 77 data.³ From an amplitude analysis where the $f_2'(1525)$ width and elasticity are in complete disagreement with the values obtained from $K\bar{K}$ channel, making the solution dubious.⁴ From a fit to the D with $f_2(1270)$ - $f_2'(1525)$ interference. Mass fixed at 1516 MeV.

NODE=M013W;LINKAGE=L
NODE=M013W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M013W;LINKAGE=N

NODE=M013W;LINKAGE=M

PRODUCED BY $K^\pm$ BEAM

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M013W2
NODE=M013W2

82± 6 OUR AVERAGE

90±12		ASTON 88D	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \Lambda$
73±18		BOLONKIN 86	SPEC	40 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 Y$
83±15		ARMSTRONG 83B	OMEG	18.5 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- K^+ \Lambda$
85±16	650	AGUILAR-... 81B	HBC	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ K^-$
80 ⁺¹⁴ ₋₁₁	572	ALHARRAN 81	HBC	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K \bar{K}$
72±25	166	EVANGELIS... 77	OMEG	10 $K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
69±22	100	AGUILAR-... 72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} (\Lambda, \Sigma)$

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

92 ⁺²⁵ ₋₁₆	61	BINON 07	GAMS	32.5 $K^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta (\Lambda / \Sigma^0)$
75±20		¹ BARKOV 99	SPEC	40 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 Y$
62 ⁺¹⁹ ₋₁₄	123	BARREIRO 77	HBC	4.15 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K_S^0 K_S^0$
61± 8	120	BRANDENB... 76C	ASPK	13 $K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$

¹ Systematic errors not estimated.

NODE=M013W2;LINKAGE=SK

PRODUCED IN e^+e^- ANNIHILATION AND PARTICLE DECAYS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M013W3
NODE=M013W3

86 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.4. See the ideogram below.

64.0± 4.3 ^{+2.0} _{-6.1}	126k	ABLIKIM 23M	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$
84 ± 6 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₅		AAIJ 13AN	LHCB	$\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^-$
75 ⁺¹² ₋₁₀ ⁺¹⁶ ₋₈	5.5k	¹ ABLIKIM 13N	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$
82.9 ^{+2.1} _{-2.2} ^{+3.3} _{-2.0}		UEHARA 13	BELL	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
77 ± 15		ABLIKIM 05	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$
82 ± 2 ± 3		ABE 04	BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
75 ± 4 ⁺¹⁵ ₋₅		BAI 03G	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$
100 ± 15	331	² ACCIARRI 01H	L3	91, 183-209 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K_S^0$
60 ± 20 ± 19		ABREU 96c	DLPH	$Z^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- + X$
60 ± 23 ⁺¹³ ₋₂₀		BAI 96c	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
103 ± 30		AUGUSTIN 88	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
100 ± 3		³ FALVARD 88	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$
85 ± 35		BALTRUSAIT...87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

OCCUR=2

- • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
- 78 ± 6

4 KLEMP

22 RVUE

$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0,$
 $\gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
- 84 ± 15

5 RODAS

22 RVUE

$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi \pi,$
 $K \bar{K})$
- 37 ± 12

29 6 LEES

14H BABR

$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$
 $K_S^0 K_S^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$
- 104 ± 10

870 7 SCHEGELSKY

06A RVUE

$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
- 62 ± 10

8 FALVARD

88 DM2

$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$
- 1 From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of $0^{++}, 2^{++},$ and 4^{++} resonances.

2 Supersedes ACCIARRI 95J.

3 From an analysis including interference with $f_0(1710)$.

4 Fit of the tensor partial waves from BES3 in the multipole basis.

5 T-matrix pole from coupled channel K-matrix fit to data on $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$ (ABLIKIM 15AE) and $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$ (ABLIKIM 18AA).

6 From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape plus a second-order polynomial function. Systematic errors not evaluated.

7 From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.

8 From an analysis ignoring interference with $f_0(1710)$.
- NODE=M013W3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M013W;LINKAGE=HA

NODE=M013W;LINKAGE=F2

NODE=M013W3;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M013W3;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M013W3;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M013W3;LINKAGE=SC

NODE=M013W;LINKAGE=F1
- WEIGHTED AVERAGE
86±4 (Error scaled by 2.4)

			χ^2
+	ABLIKIM	23M BES3	21.0
+	AAIJ	13AN LHCB	0.0
+	ABLIKIM	13N BES3	0.4
+	UEHARA	13 BELL	0.6
+	ABLIKIM	05 BES2	0.3
+	ABE	04 BELL	1.1
+	BAI	03G BES	0.6
+	ACCIARRI	01H L3	0.9
+	ABREU	96C DLPH	
+	BAI	96C BES	
+	AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	
+	FALVARD	88 DM2	22.7
+	BALTRUSAIT...	87 MRK3	
			47.5

(Confidence Level < 0.0001)

$f_2'(1525)$ width, $e^+ e^-$ annihilation and particle decays (MeV)
- PRODUCED IN $\bar{p}p$ ANNIHILATION
- | VALUE (MeV) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-------------|------|---------|
| The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock. | | | |
- NODE=M013W9

NODE=M013W9
- 77 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE
- 76 ± 6

AMSLER

06 CBAR

$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

79 ± 8

1 AMSLER

02 CBAR

$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

104.8± 0.9±9.8

2 ALBRECHT

20 RVUE

$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta,$
 $\pi^0 K^+ K^-$

128 ± 20

3 ANISOVICH

09 RVUE

$0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$
- 1 T-matrix pole.

2 T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi \pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K \bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta \eta$).

3 K-matrix, 4-poles, 5-channel fit.
- NODE=M013W9;LINKAGE=TT

NODE=M013W9;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M013W9;LINKAGE=AN
- CENTRAL PRODUCTION
- | VALUE (MeV) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-------------|------|---------|
| The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock. | | | |
- NODE=M013W4

NODE=M013W4
- 70±25

BARBERIS 99 OMEG 450 $pp \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+ K^-$

PRODUCED IN ep COLLISIONS

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

$83 \pm 9^{+5}_{-4}$ ¹ CHEKANOV 08 ZEUS $ep \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

50^{+34}_{-22} 84 ² CHEKANOV 04 ZEUS $ep \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$

¹ In the SU(3) based model with a specific interference pattern of the $f_2(1270)$, $a_2^0(1320)$, and $f_2'(1525)$ mesons incoherently added to the $f_0(1710)$ and non-resonant background.

² Systematic errors not estimated.

NODE=M013W10
NODE=M013W10

NODE=M013W10;LINKAGE=HE

NODE=M013W10;LINKAGE=CH

 $f_2'(1525)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M013215;NODE=M013

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K\bar{K}$	(88.8 $\pm$ 2.2) %
Γ_2 $\eta\eta$	(10.3 $\pm$ 2.2) %
Γ_3 $\pi\pi$	(8.2 $\pm$ 1.5) $\times 10^{-3}$
Γ_4 $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	
Γ_5 $\pi K\bar{K}$	
Γ_6 $\pi\pi\eta$	
Γ_7 $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$	
Γ_8 $\gamma\phi$	seen
Γ_9 $\gamma\gamma$	(1.12 $\pm$ 0.15) $\times 10^{-6}$

DESIG=2

DESIG=4

DESIG=1

DESIG=3

DESIG=6

DESIG=5

DESIG=7

DESIG=9

DESIG=8

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 2 partial widths, a combination of partial widths obtained from integrated cross sections, and 3 branching ratios uses 16 measurements and one constraint to determine 5 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 14.2$ for 12 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	-100			
x_3	-5	-1		
x_9	0	0	1	
Γ	-28	28	-1	-62
	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_9

Mode	Rate (MeV)
Γ_1 $K\bar{K}$	64 $^{+6}_{-5}$
Γ_2 $\eta\eta$	7.4 $\pm$ 1.9
Γ_3 $\pi\pi$	0.59 $\pm$ 0.12
Γ_9 $\gamma\gamma$	(8.1 $\pm$ 0.9) $\times 10^{-5}$

DESIG=2

DESIG=4

DESIG=1

DESIG=8

 $f_2'(1525)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M013220

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

Γ_1

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

NODE=M013W6
NODE=M013W6

64^{+6}_{-5} OUR FIT

63^{+6}_{-5} ¹ LONGACRE 86 MPS $22 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$

¹ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.

NODE=M013PW;LINKAGE=L

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)$ Γ_2

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

7.4±1.9 OUR FIT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.0±0.8	870	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
24 $\begin{smallmatrix} +3 \\ -1 \end{smallmatrix}$		² LONGACRE 86	MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $\Gamma(f_2'(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K}) = 68$ MeV and SU(3) relations.² From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.NODE=M013W7
NODE=M013W7

NODE=M013W7;LINKAGE=SC

NODE=M013PW7;LINKAGE=L

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_3

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.59±0.12 OUR FIT

1.4 $\begin{smallmatrix} +1.0 \\ -0.5 \end{smallmatrix}$		¹ LONGACRE 86	MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.2 $\begin{smallmatrix} +1.0 \\ -0.2 \end{smallmatrix}$	870	² SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.² From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $\Gamma(f_2'(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K}) = 68$ MeV and SU(3) relations.NODE=M013W5
NODE=M013W5

NODE=M013PW5;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M013W5;LINKAGE=SC

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_9

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.081±0.009 OUR FIT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.13 ±0.03	870	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $\Gamma(f_2'(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K}) = 68$ MeV and SU(3) relations.NODE=M013W8
NODE=M013W8

NODE=M013W8;LINKAGE=SC

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.746±0.002 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.166 \\ -0.162 \end{smallmatrix}$	¹ ALBRECHT 20	RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$

¹ Residue from T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$).NODE=M013R00
NODE=M013R00

NODE=M013R00;LINKAGE=A

 $f_2'(1525) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M013223

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1 \Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.072 ±0.007 OUR FIT**0.072 ±0.007 OUR AVERAGE**

0.048 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.067 \\ -0.008 \end{smallmatrix}$ $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.108 \\ -0.012 \end{smallmatrix}$	UEHARA 13	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
0.0564±0.0048±0.0116	ABE 04	BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
0.076 ±0.006 ±0.011 331	¹ ACCIARRI 01H	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K_S^0$
0.067 ±0.008 ±0.015	² ALBRECHT 90G	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
0.11 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.03 \\ -0.02 \end{smallmatrix}$ ±0.02	BEHREND 89c	CELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K_S^0$
0.10 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.04 \\ -0.03 \end{smallmatrix}$ $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.03 \\ -0.02 \end{smallmatrix}$	BERGER 88	PLUT	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K_S^0$
0.12 ±0.07 ±0.04	² AIHARA 86B	TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
0.11 ±0.02 ±0.04	² ALTHOFF 83	TASS	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K\bar{K}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.0314±0.0050±0.0077	³ ALBRECHT 90G	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$

¹ Supersedes ACCIARRI 95J. From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV,² Using an incoherent background.³ Using a coherent background.NODE=M013G1
NODE=M013G1

OCCUR=2

NODE=M013G;LINKAGE=HA
NODE=M013G1;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M013G1;LINKAGE=B

$f_2'(1525)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M013225

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_2/Γ**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.059 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.026$	¹ ALBRECHT 20	RVUE	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$
seen	UEHARA 10A	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \eta$
0.10 ± 0.03	² PROKOSHKIN 91	GAM4	$300 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- p \eta \eta$

¹ Residue from T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$).² Combining results of GAM4 with those of WA76 on $K\bar{K}$ central production and results of CBAL, MRK3 and DM2 on $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$.

NODE=M013R8;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M013R8;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$ **Γ_2/Γ_1**

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.116 $\pm$ 0.028 OUR FIT**0.115 $\pm$ 0.028 OUR AVERAGE**

$0.119 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.036$	61	¹ BINON	07	GAMS	$32.5 K^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta (\Lambda/\Sigma^0)$
0.11 ± 0.04		² PROKOSHKIN 91	GAM4	300	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- p \eta \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.14	90	BARBERIS	00E		$450 p p \rightarrow p_f \eta \eta p_s$
< 0.50		BARNES	67	HBC	$4.6, 5.0 K^- p$

¹ Using the compilation of the cross sections for $f_2'(1525)$ production in $K^- p$ collisions from ASTON 88D.² Combining results of GAM4 with those of WA76 on $K\bar{K}$ central production and results of CBAL, MRK3 and DM2 on $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$.

NODE=M013R3;LINKAGE=BI

NODE=M013R3;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_3/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.82 $\pm$ 0.16 OUR FIT**0.75 $\pm$ 0.16 OUR AVERAGE**

0.7 ± 0.2		COSTA	80	OMEG	$10 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
$2.7^{+7.1}_{-1.3}$		¹ GORLICH	80	ASPK	$17, 18 \pi^- p$
0.75 ± 0.25		^{1,2} MARTIN	79	RVUE	
$3.4 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.0$		³ ALBRECHT	20	RVUE	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$
< 6	95	AGUILAR-...	81B	HBC	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ K^-$
19 ± 3		CORDEN	79	OMEG	$12-15 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
< 4.5	95	BARREIRO	77	HBC	$4.15 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K_S^0 K_S^0$
1.2 ± 0.4		¹ PAWLICKI	77	SPEC	$6 \pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
< 6.3	90	BRANDENB...	76C	ASPK	$13 K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
< 0.86		¹ BEUSCH	75B	OSPK	$8.9 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}^0 n$

¹ Assuming that the $f_2'(1525)$ is produced by an one-pion exchange production mechanism.² MARTIN 79 uses the PAWLICKI 77 data with different input value of the $f_2'(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K}$ branching ratio.³ Residue from T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$).

NODE=M013R1;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M013R1;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M013R1;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$ **Γ_3/Γ_1**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.0092 $\pm$ 0.0018 OUR FIT**0.075 $\pm$ 0.035**AUGUSTIN 87 DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M013R7

NODE=M013R7

 $[\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) + \Gamma(\pi K\bar{K})]/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$ **$(\Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)/\Gamma_1$**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.35	95	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC	$3.9, 4.6 K^- p$
< 0.4	67	AMMAR	67	HBC	

NODE=M013R5

NODE=M013R5

$\Gamma(\pi\pi\eta)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.41	95	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
<0.3	67	AMMAR	67	HBC	

 Γ_6/Γ_1

NODE=M013R4
NODE=M013R4

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.32	95	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$

 Γ_7/Γ_1

NODE=M013R6
NODE=M013R6

 $\Gamma(\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen ¹ ABLIKIM 25P BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ with significance 16.4 σ .

 Γ_8/Γ

NODE=M013R01
NODE=M013R01

NODE=M013R01;LINKAGE=A

 $f'_2(1525)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M013

ABLIKIM	25P	PR D111 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63260
ABLIKIM	23M	JHEP 2303 121	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62055
KLEMP	22	PL B830 137171	E. Klempt <i>et al.</i>	(BONN)	REFID=61646
RODAS	22	EPJ C82 80	A. Rodas <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC Collab.)	REFID=61610
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=60439
ABLIKIM	18AA	PR D98 072003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59455
ABLIKIM	15AE	PR D92 052003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56984
DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)	REFID=56805
LEES	14H	PR D89 092002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55940
AAIJ	13AN	PR D87 072004	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55137
ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55387
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55592
UEHARA	10A	PR D82 114031	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53641
ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)	REFID=52719
CHEKANOV	08	PRL 101 112003	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)	REFID=52275
BINON	07	PAN 70 1713	F. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)	REFID=52057
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=51136
SCHEGELSKY	06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>		REFID=51185
ABLIKIM	05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50450
ABE	04	EPJ C32 323	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=49650
CHEKANOV	04	PL B578 33	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)	REFID=49672
BAI	03G	PR D68 052003	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49580
TIKHOMIROV	03	PAN 66 828	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49423
AMSLER	02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=48580
ACCIARRI	01H	PL B501 173	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=48321
BARBERIS	00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47961
BARBERIS	99	PL B453 305	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=46921
BARKOV	99	JETPL 70 248	B.P. Barkov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47379
ABREU	96C	PL B379 309	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)	REFID=44671
BAI	96C	PRL 77 3959	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=45169
ACCIARRI	95J	PL B363 118	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=44615
PROKOSHKIN	91	SPD 36 155	Y.D. Prokoshkin	(GAM2 and GAM4 Collab.)	REFID=41719
ALBRECHT	90G	ZPHY C48 183	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=41374
BEHREND	89C	ZPHY C43 91	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)	REFID=40915
ASTON	88D	NP B301 525	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)	REFID=40330
AUGUSTIN	88	PRL 60 2238	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40574
BERGER	88	ZPHY C37 329	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)	REFID=40566
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)	REFID=40576
AUGUSTIN	87	ZPHY C36 369	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, CLER, FRAS+)	REFID=40268
BALTRUSAIT...	87	PR D35 2077	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40010
AIHARA	86B	PRL 57 404	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.)	REFID=20764
BOLONKIN	86	SJNP 43 776	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP) JP	REFID=44646
LONGACRE	86	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)	REFID=20768
ALTHOFF	83	PL 121B 216	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)	REFID=21408
ARMSTRONG	83B	NP B224 193	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+)	REFID=20558
BINON	83	NC 78A 313	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP+)	REFID=20750
AGUILAR-...	81B	ZPHY C8 313	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF+)	REFID=21104
ALHARRAN	81	NP B191 26	S. Al-Harran <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)	REFID=21403
CHABAUD	81	APP B12 575	V. Chabaud <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CRAC, MPIM)	REFID=20742
COSTA	80	NP B175 402	G. Costa <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN, GLAS+)	REFID=20737
GORLICH	80	NP B174 16	L. Gorlich <i>et al.</i>	(CRAC, MPIM, CERN+)	REFID=20738
CORDEN	79	NP B157 250	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+)	REFID=20374
MARTIN	79	NP B158 520	A.D. Martin, E.N. Ozmutlu	(DURH)	REFID=20377
POLYCHRO...	79	PR D19 1317	V.A. Polychronakos <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)	REFID=20378
BARREIRO	77	NP B121 237	F. Barreiro <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, AMST, NIJ+)	REFID=21392
EVANGELIS...	77	NP B127 384	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)	REFID=20540
PAWLICKI	77	PR D15 3196	A.J. Pawlicki <i>et al.</i>	(ANL) IJP	REFID=20367
BRANDENB...	76C	NP B104 413	G.W. Brandenbourg <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)	REFID=20225
BEUSCH	75B	PL 60B 101	W. Beusch <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, ETH)	REFID=21390
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20355
AGUILAR-...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)	REFID=20205
AMMAR	67	PRL 19 1071	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i>	(NWES, ANL) JP	REFID=21382
BARNES	67	PRL 19 964	V.E. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA) IJPC	REFID=21383
CRENNELL	66	PRL 16 1025	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL) I	REFID=20317

$f_2(1565)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

Seen mostly in antinucleon-nucleon annihilation. See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

NODE=M123

NODE=M123

 $f_2(1565)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1495–1560) – i (40–110) OUR ESTIMATE			
$(1560 \pm 15) - i (140 \pm 20)$	¹ ANISOVICH	09	RVUE 0.0 $\bar{p}p, \pi N$
$(1552 \pm 13) - i (57 \pm 12)$	AMSLER	02	CBAR 0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta,$ $\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
$(1507 \pm 15) - i (65 \pm 10)$	BERTIN	97C	OBLX 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$(1534 \pm 20) - i (90 \pm 30)$	² ABELE	96C	RVUE Compilation
$(\sim 1552) - i (\sim 71)$	³ AMSLER	95D	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0,$ $\pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$

¹ On sheet II in a two-pole solution.

² T-matrix pole, large coupling to $\rho\rho$ and $\omega\omega$, could be $f_2(1640)$.

³ Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.

NODE=M123PP

NODE=M123PP

NODE=M123PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M123PP;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M123PP;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M123PP;LINKAGE=E

 $f_2(1565)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1571±13 OUR AVERAGE			
1575±18	¹ BERTIN	98	OBLX 0.05–0.405 $\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1565±20	¹ MAY	90	ASTE 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1590±10	AMELIN	06	VES 36 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \omega n$
1550±10±20	AMELIN	00	VES 37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1598±11±9	BAKER	99B	SPEC 0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega \omega \pi^0$
1598±72	BALOSHIN	95	SPEC 40 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
1566 ⁺⁸⁰ _{–50}	² ANISOVICH	94	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta \eta \pi^0$
1502±9	ADAMO	93	OBLX $\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1488±10	³ ARMSTRONG	93C	E760 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
1508±10	³ ARMSTRONG	93D	E760 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
1525±10	³ ARMSTRONG	93D	E760 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~ 1504	⁴ WEIDENAUER	93	ASTE 0.0 $\bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$
1540±15	³ ADAMO	92	OBLX $\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1515±10	⁵ AKER	91	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
1477±5	BRIDGES	86C	DBC 0.0 $\bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$

¹ Breit-Wigner mass.

² From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta$ including AKER 91 data.

³ J^P not determined, could be partly $f_0(1500)$.

⁴ J^P not determined.

⁵ Superseded by AMSLER 95B.

NODE=M123M

NODE=M123M

OCCUR=2

NODE=M123M;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M123M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M123M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M123M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M123M;LINKAGE=BA

 $f_2(1565)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
132±23 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
119±24	¹ BERTIN	98	OBLX 0.05–0.405 $\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
170±40	¹ MAY	90	ASTE 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
140±11	^{1,2} AMELIN	06	VES 36 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \omega n$
130±20±40	¹ AMELIN	00	VES 37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
263±101	BALOSHIN	95	SPEC 40 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
166 ⁺⁸⁰ _{–20}	³ ANISOVICH	94	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta \eta \pi^0$
130±10	⁴ ADAMO	93	OBLX $\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
148±27	⁵ ARMSTRONG	93C	E760 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
103±15	⁵ ARMSTRONG	93D	E760 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$

NODE=M123W

NODE=M123W

111 ± 10	⁵ ARMSTRONG 93D	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~ 206	⁶ WEIDENAUER 93	ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$
132 ± 37	⁵ ADAMO	92 OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
120 ± 10	⁷ AKER	91 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
116 ± 9	BRIDGES	86c DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$

¹ Breit-Wigner width.

² Supersedes the $\omega\omega$ state of BELADIDZE 92B earlier assigned to the $f_2(1640)$.

³ From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta$ including AKER 91 data.

⁴ Supersedes ADAMO 92.

⁵ J^P not determined, could be partly $f_0(1500)$.

⁶ J^P not determined.

⁷ Superseded by AMSLER 95B.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M123W;LINKAGE=G
 NODE=M123W;LINKAGE=AM
 NODE=M123W;LINKAGE=D

 NODE=M123W;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M123W;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M123W;LINKAGE=F
 NODE=M123W;LINKAGE=BA

$f_2(1565)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad \pi^0 \pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \quad \rho^0 \rho^0$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \quad 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \quad \eta\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_7 \quad \omega\omega$	seen
$\Gamma_8 \quad K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_9 \quad \gamma\gamma$	seen

NODE=M123215;NODE=M123

DESIG=6;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=7;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=9;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=10;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

$f_2(1565)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)$					Γ_6
VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.2 ± 0.3 870 ¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $f_2(1565)$ mass of 1570 MeV, width of 160 MeV, $\Gamma(\pi\pi) = 25$ MeV, and SU(3) relations.

NODE=M123225

NODE=M123W3
 NODE=M123W3

NODE=M123W3;LINKAGE=SC

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})$					Γ_8
VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.0 ± 1.0 870 ¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $f_2(1565)$ mass of 1570 MeV, width of 160 MeV, $\Gamma(\pi\pi) = 25$ MeV, and SU(3) relations.

NODE=M123W1
 NODE=M123W1

NODE=M123W1;LINKAGE=SC

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$					Γ_9
VALUE (keV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.70 ± 0.14 870 ¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $f_2(1565)$ mass of 1570 MeV, width of 160 MeV, $\Gamma(\pi\pi) = 25$ MeV, and SU(3) relations.

NODE=M123W2
 NODE=M123W2

NODE=M123W2;LINKAGE=SC

$f_2(1565)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen BAKER 99B SPEC $0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega\pi^0$

NODE=M123220

NODE=M123R5
 NODE=M123R5

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
seen	BERTIN	98	OBLX 0.05–0.405 $\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
not seen	¹ ANISOVICH	94B	RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
seen	MAY	89	ASTE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ ANISOVICH 94B is from a reanalysis of MAY 90.NODE=M123R1
NODE=M123R1

NODE=M123R1;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AMSLER	95B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$

NODE=M123R3
NODE=M123R3 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\rho^0)$ Γ_2/Γ_4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.042±0.013	BRIDGES	86B	DBC $\bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^-2\pi^+$

NODE=M123R2
NODE=M123R2 $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)$ Γ_6/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.024±0.005±0.012	¹ ARMSTRONG	93C	E760 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$

¹ J^P not determined, could be partly $f_0(1500)$.NODE=M123R4
NODE=M123R4

NODE=M123R4;LINKAGE=E

 $\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
seen	BAKER	99B	SPEC 0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega\pi^0$

NODE=M123R6
NODE=M123R6 $f_2(1565)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M123

ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)
AMELIN	06	PAN 69 690	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
Translated from YAF 69 715.				
SCHEGELSKY	06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
AMSLER	02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
BAKER	99B	PL B467 147	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	96C	NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BALOSHIN	95	PAN 58 46	O.N. Baloshin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
Translated from YAF 58 50.				
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH	94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH	94B	PR D50 1972	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
ADAMO	93	NP A558 13C	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
ARMSTRONG	93D	PL B307 399	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
WEIDENAUER	93	ZPHY C59 387	P. Weidenauer <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
ADAMO	92	PL B287 368	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BELADIDZE	92B	ZPHY C54 367	G.M. Beladidze <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
AKER	91	PL B260 249	E. Aker <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
MAY	90	ZPHY C46 203	B. May <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
MAY	89	PL B225 450	B. May <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.) IJP
BRIDGES	86B	PRL 56 215	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA, CASE)
BRIDGES	86C	PRL 57 1534	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA)

REFID=52719
REFID=51574REFID=51185
REFID=48580
REFID=47432
REFID=47398
REFID=45782
REFID=45701
REFID=45076
REFID=44377
REFID=44440
REFID=44441
REFID=44621REFID=44093
REFID=43659
REFID=44071
REFID=43657
REFID=43587
REFID=43596
REFID=43585
REFID=42177
REFID=42172
REFID=41587
REFID=41365
REFID=40921
REFID=21376
REFID=21377

$\rho(1570)$

$$J^{PC} = 1^{++}$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

May be an OZI-violating decay mode of $\rho(1700)$. See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

NODE=M188

NODE=M188

 $\rho(1570)$ MASS

NODE=M188M

NODE=M188M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1570 \pm 36 \pm 62$	54	¹ AUBERT	08s BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1614 ± 2		² ACHASOV	23A SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$
1585 ± 15		³ ACHASOV	20c SND	$1.3\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1480 ± 40		⁴ BITYUKOV	87 SPEC	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 n$

¹ From the fit with two resonances.² From a vector dominance fit to the Born cross section between 1.05 and 2.0 GeV with $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1570)$, $\rho(1700)$, $\rho(2150)$. The fit also uses SND data from the VEPP-2M collider below 1.02 GeV and from LEES 17H and ABLIKIM 21A above 1.5 GeV.³ From a fit using a two resonance model in which the mass and width of the other resonance are fixed at the $\rho(1700)$ values from PDG 20.⁴ Systematic errors not estimated.

NODE=M188M;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M188M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M188M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M188M;LINKAGE=BI

 $\rho(1570)$ WIDTH

NODE=M188W

NODE=M188W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$144 \pm 75 \pm 43$	54	¹ AUBERT	08s BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
492 ± 4		² ACHASOV	23A SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$
75 ± 30		³ ACHASOV	20c SND	$1.3\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
130 ± 60		⁴ BITYUKOV	87 SPEC	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 n$

¹ From the fit with two resonances.² From a vector dominance fit to the Born cross section between 1.05 and 2.0 GeV with $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1570)$, $\rho(1700)$, $\rho(2150)$. The fit also uses SND data from the VEPP-2M collider below 1.02 GeV and from LEES 17H and ABLIKIM 21A above 1.5 GeV.³ From a fit using a two resonance model in which the mass and width of the other resonance are fixed at the $\rho(1700)$ values from PDG 20.⁴ Systematic errors not estimated.

NODE=M188W;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M188W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M188W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M188W;LINKAGE=BI

 $\rho(1570)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M188215;NODE=M188

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $e^+ e^-$	seen
Γ_2 $\phi \pi$	not seen
Γ_3 $\omega \pi$	

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

 $\rho(1570)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M188225

$\Gamma(\phi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_2\Gamma_1/\Gamma$	
VALUE (eV)	CL% EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN COMMENT
$3.5 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.3$	54	¹ AUBERT	08s BABR $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
<70	90	² AULCHENKO	87B ND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$

¹ From the fit with two resonances.² Using mass and width of BITYUKOV 87.

NODE=M188G01

NODE=M188G01

NODE=M188G01;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M188G01;LINKAGE=AL

 $\rho(1570)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M188220

$\Gamma(\phi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_2/Γ	
VALUE	CL% EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN COMMENT
not seen		ABELE	97H CBAR $\bar{p} p \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
<0.01		¹ DONNACHIE	91 RVUE

¹ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86, and ALBRECHT 87L.

NODE=M188R01

NODE=M188R01

NODE=M188R01;LINKAGE=DO

$\Gamma(\phi\pi)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$	Γ_2/Γ_3			
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>0.5	95	BITYUKOV	87	SPEC 32.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 n$

NODE=M188R02
NODE=M188R02

$\rho(1570)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M188

ACHASOV	23A	PR D108 092012	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21A	PL B813 136059	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	20C	EPJ C80 1139	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
LEES	17H	PR D96 092009	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ABELE	97H	PL B415 280	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BISELLO	91B	NPBPS B21 111	D. Bisello	(DM2 Collab.)
DONNACHIE	91	ZPHY C51 689	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
ALBRECHT	87L	PL B185 223	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
AULCHENKO	87B	JETPL 45 145	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 45 118.		
BITYUKOV	87	PL B188 383	S.I. Bityukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
DOLINSKY	86	PL B174 453	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)

REFID=62428
REFID=61028
REFID=60935
REFID=60676
REFID=58311
REFID=52242
REFID=45765
REFID=41752
REFID=41632
REFID=40418
REFID=41373

REFID=40011
REFID=20246

$h_1(1595)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{+ -})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
Seen in a partial-wave analysis of the $\omega\eta$ system produced in the reaction $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\eta n$ at 18 GeV/c.

NODE=M166

NODE=M166

$h_1(1595)$ MASS

NODE=M166M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1594 \pm 15^{+10}_{-60}$	EUGENIO	01	SPEC 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\eta n$

NODE=M166M

$h_1(1595)$ WIDTH

NODE=M166W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$384 \pm 60^{+70}_{-100}$	EUGENIO	01	SPEC 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\eta n$

NODE=M166W

$h_1(1595)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M166215;NODE=M166

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \omega\eta$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

$h_1(1595)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M166

EUGENIO	01	PL B497 190	P. Eugenio <i>et al.</i>

REFID=48010

$\pi_1(1600)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^-+)$$

Coupled channel analyses favor the existence of only one broad 1^-+ isovector state consistent with $\pi_1(1600)$ in the 1400–1600 MeV region. Accordingly, the $\pi_1(1400)$ entries of the previous Reviews have been moved into this section. See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

NODE=M164

NODE=M164

 $\pi_1(1600)$ T-Matrix Pole $\sqrt{s}$

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1480–1680) – i (150–300) OUR ESTIMATE			
$(1623 \pm 47^{+24}_{-75}) - i(228 \pm 44^{+72}_{-88})$	¹ KOPF	21	RVUE $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 K^+ K^-$ and 191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$
$(1564 \pm 24 \pm 86) - i(246 \pm 27 \pm 51)$	² RODAS	19	RVUE 191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)} \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$(1405 \pm 4^{+15}_{-18}) - i(314 \pm 14^{+18}_{-69})$	³ ALBRECHT	20	RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
¹ From T-matrix pole based on combined fit of Crystal Barrel and $\pi\pi$ scattering data (ALBRECHT 20), and COMPASS data (ADOLPH 15), using a coupled-channel model of $\eta\pi$, $\eta'\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ systems.			
² The coupled-channel analysis of both the $\eta\pi$ and $\eta'\pi$ systems using ADOLPH 15 data.			
³ Superseded by KOPF 21.			

NODE=M164TMP

NODE=M164TMP

NODE=M164TMP

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M164TMP;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M164TMP;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M164TMP;LINKAGE=AL

 $\pi_1(1600)$ MASS ($\eta\pi$ mode)

Not seen by PROKOSHIN 95B, BUGG 94, APEL 81, BOUTEMEYER 90, and AGHASYAN 18B.


NODE=M164MEP

NODE=M164MEP

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1354 ±25	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below.			
1257 ±20 ±25	23.5k	ADAMS	07B	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 n$
1384 ±20 ±35	90k	SALVINI	04	OBLX	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1360 ±25		ABELE	99	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
1400 ±20 ±20		ABELE	98B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \eta$
1370 ±16 $^{+50}_{-30}$		¹ THOMPSON	97	MPS	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1323.1 ± 4.6		² AOYAGI	93	BKEI	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
1406 ±20		³ ALDE	88B	GAM4 0	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 n$
¹ Natural parity exchange, questioned by DZIERBA 03.					
² Unnatural parity exchange.					
³ Seen in the P_0 -wave intensity of the $\eta\pi^0$ system, unnatural parity exchange.					

NODE=M164MEP

NODE=M164MEP;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M164MEP;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M164MEP;LINKAGE=A




NODE=M164M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1645⁺⁴⁰₋₁₇ OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.		
1600 ⁺¹¹⁰ ₋₆₀	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B	COMP 190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1709 $\pm$ 24 $\pm$ 41	69k	² KUHN	04	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1597 $\pm$ 10 ⁺⁴⁵ ₋₁₀		² IVANOV	01	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1660 $\pm$ 10 ⁺⁰ ₋₆₄	420k	³ ALEKSEEV	10	COMP 190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$
1664 $\pm$ 8 $\pm$ 10	145k	⁴ LU	05	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
1593 $\pm$ 8 ⁺²⁹ ₋₄₇		^{2,5} ADAMS	98B	B852 18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

NODE=M164M

¹ Statistical error negligible. See also the review ALEXEEV 22.
² Natural parity exchange.
³ Superseded by AGHASYAN 2018B.
⁴ May be a different state: natural and unnatural parity exchanges.
⁵ Superseded by DZIERBA 06 excluding this state in a more refined PWA analysis, with 2.6 M events of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$ and 3 M events of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 p$ of E852 data.

NODE=M164M;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M164M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M164M;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M164M;LINKAGE=LU
NODE=M164M;LINKAGE=DZ



$\pi_1(1600)$ WIDTH ($\eta\pi$ mode)

Not seen by PROKOSHKIN 95B, BUGG 94, APEL 81, BOUTEMEUR 90, and AGHASYAN 18B.

NODE=M164WEP

NODE=M164WEP

NODE=M164WEP

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
330 $\pm$ 35	OUR AVERAGE				
354 $\pm$ 64 $\pm$ 58	23.5k	ADAMS	07B	B852	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 n$
378 $\pm$ 50 $\pm$ 50	90k	SALVINI	04	OBLX	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
220 $\pm$ 90		ABELE	99	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
310 $\pm$ 50 $\pm$ 50		ABELE	98B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \eta$
385 $\pm$ 40 $\pm$ 65		1 THOMPSON	97	MPS	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
143.2 $\pm$ 12.5		2 AOYAGI	93	BKEI	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
180 $\pm$ 20		3 ALDE	88B	GAM4 0	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 n$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ Resolution is not unfolded, natural parity exchange, questioned by DZIERBA 03.

² Unnatural parity exchange.

³ Seen in the P_0 -wave intensity of the $\eta\pi^0$ system, unnatural parity exchange.

$\pi_1(1600)$ WIDTH (non- $\eta\pi$ mode)

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
370 $\pm$ 50	OUR AVERAGE			
580 $\pm$ 100 $\pm$ 230	46M	1 AGHASYAN	18B	COMP 190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
403 $\pm$ 80 $\pm$ 115	69k	2 KUHN	04	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
340 $\pm$ 40 $\pm$ 50		2 IVANOV	01	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$
269 $\pm$ 21 $\pm$ 42	420k	3 ALEKSEEV	10	COMP 190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$
185 $\pm$ 25 $\pm$ 28	145k	4 LU	05	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
168 $\pm$ 20 $\pm$ 150		2,5 ADAMS	98B	B852 18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

¹ Statistical error negligible. See also the review ALEXEEV 22.

² Natural parity exchange.

³ Superseded by AGHASYAN 2018B.

⁴ May be a different state: natural and unnatural parity exchanges.

⁵ Superseded by DZIERBA 06 excluding this state in a more refined PWA analysis, with 2.6 M events of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$ and 3 M events of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 p$ of E852 data.

NODE=M164WEP;LINKAGE=QQ

NODE=M164WEP;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M164WEP;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M164W

NODE=M164W

NODE=M164W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M164W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M164W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M164W;LINKAGE=LU

NODE=M164W;LINKAGE=DZ

$\pi_1(1600)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M164215;NODE=M164

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi\pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \rho^0\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad f_2(1270)\pi^-$	not seen
$\Gamma_4 \quad b_1(1235)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \quad \eta'(958)\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \quad \eta\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_7 \quad f_1(1285)\pi$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=2

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

DESIG=3

DESIG=7;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=6;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\pi_1(1600)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M164220

 $\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	ALEKSEEV	10	COMP 190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen	NOZAR	09	CLAS $\gamma p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ \pi^- n$

not seen	¹ DZIERBA	06	B852 $18 \pi^- p$

¹ From the PWA analysis of 2.6 M $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$ and 3 M events of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 p$ of E852 data. Supersedes ADAMS 98B.

NODE=M164R1

NODE=M164R1

NODE=M164R1;LINKAGE=DZ

 $\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

not seen	¹ DZIERBA	06	B852 $18 \pi^- p$

¹ From the PWA analysis of 2.6 M $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$ and 3 M events of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 p$ of E852 data. Supersedes CHUNG 02.

NODE=M164R3

NODE=M164R3

NODE=M164R3;LINKAGE=DZ

 $\Gamma(b_1(1235)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	35280	¹ BAKER	03	SPEC $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	145k	LU	05	B852 $18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$

¹ $B((b_1\pi)_{D\text{-wave}})/B((b_1\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})=0.3 \pm 0.1$.

NODE=M164R4

NODE=M164R4

NODE=M164R;LINKAGE=RB

 $\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	IVANOV	01	B852 $18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$

NODE=M164R2

NODE=M164R2

 $\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi^-)/\Gamma(\eta\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_6

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$5.54 \pm 1.1^{+1.8}_{-0.27}$	¹ KOPF	21	RVUE $0.9 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta,$ $\pi^0 K^+ K^-$ and 191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$

¹ From T-matrix pole based on combined fit of Crystal Barrel and $\pi\pi$ scattering data (ALBRECHT 20), and COMPASS data (ADOLPH 15), using a coupled-channel model of $\eta\pi, \eta'\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ systems.

NODE=M164R00

NODE=M164R00

NODE=M164R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(f_1(1285)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi^-)$ Γ_7/Γ_5

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

3.80 ± 0.78	69k	¹ KUHN	04	B852 $18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

¹ Using $\eta'(958)\pi$ data from IVANOV 01.

NODE=M164R5

NODE=M164R5

NODE=M164R;LINKAGE=KU

$\pi_1(1600)$ REFERENCES

ALEXEEV	22	PR D105 012005	G.D. Alexeev <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
KOPF	21	EPJ C81 1056	B. Kopf <i>et al.</i>	(BOCH)
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
RODAS	19	PRL 122 042002	A. Rodas <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC Collab.)
AGHASYAN	18B	PR D98 092003	M. Aghasyan <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
ADOLPH	15	PL B740 303	M. Adolph <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
ALEKSEEV	10	PRL 104 241803	M.G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
NOZAR	09	PRL 102 102002	M. Nozar <i>et al.</i>	(JLab CLAS Collab.)
ADAMS	07B	PL B657 27	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
DZIERBA	06	PR D73 072001	A.R. Dzierba <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
LU	05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
KUHN	04	PL B595 109	J. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
SALVINI	04	EPJ C35 21	P. Salvini <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BAKER	03	PL B563 140	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
DZIERBA	03	PR D67 094015	A.R. Dzierba <i>et al.</i>	
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
IVANOV	01	PRL 86 3977	E.I. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ABELE	99	PL B446 349	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	98B	PL B423 175	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ADAMS	98B	PRL 81 5760	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
THOMPSON	97	PRL 79 1630	D.R. Thompson <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
PROKOSHKIN	95B	PAN 58 606	Y.D. Prokoshkin, S.A. Sadovsky	(SERP)
BUGG	94	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
AOYAGI	93	PL B314 246	H. Aoyagi <i>et al.</i>	(BKEI Collab.)
BOUTEMEUR	90	Hadron 89 Conf. p 119	M. Boutemur, M. Poulet	(SERP, BELG, LANL+)
ALDE	88B	PL B205 397	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP)
APEL	81	NP B193 269	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, CERN)

NODE=M164

REFID=61491
REFID=61470
REFID=60439
REFID=59554
REFID=59471
REFID=56385
REFID=53356
REFID=52758
REFID=52048
REFID=51077
REFID=50459
REFID=49773
REFID=53226
REFID=49414
REFID=49412
REFID=48837
REFID=48317
REFID=46602
REFID=45864
REFID=46610
REFID=45584
REFID=44619

REFID=44078
REFID=43599
REFID=41751
REFID=40558
REFID=22913

 $a_1(1640)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$$

Possibly seen in the study of the hadronic structure in decay $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu_\tau$ (ABREU 98G and ASNER 00).

NODE=M161

NODE=M161

 $a_1(1640)$ MASS

NODE=M161M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1655 ± 16 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
1700 ⁺³⁵ ₋₁₃₀	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B	COMP 190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1691 ± 18 ± 30		DARGENT	17	RVUE $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$
1630 ± 20	35k	² BAKER	03	SPEC $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1714 ± 9 ± 36		CHUNG	02	B852 18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1640 ± 12 ± 30		BAKER	99	SPEC 1.94 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1670 ± 90		BELLINI	85	SPEC 40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$

¹ Statistical error negligible.

² Using the $a_1(1260)$ mass and width results of BOWLER 88.

NODE=M161M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M161M;LINKAGE=KB

 $a_1(1640)$ WIDTH

NODE=M161W


VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
250 ± 40 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below.		
510 ⁺¹⁷⁰ ₋₉₀	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B	COMP 190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
171 ± 33 ± 40		DARGENT	17	RVUE $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$
225 ± 30	35k	² BAKER	03	SPEC $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
308 ± 37 ± 62		CHUNG	02	B852 18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
300 ± 22 ± 40		BAKER	99	SPEC 1.94 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
300 ± 100		BELLINI	85	SPEC 40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$

NODE=M161W

¹ Statistical error negligible.² Using the $a_1(1260)$ mass and width results of BOWLER 88.

NODE=M161W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M161W;LINKAGE=KB

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
250±40 (Error scaled by 1.8) **$a_1(1640)$ DECAY MODES**

NODE=M161215;NODE=M161

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\pi\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $f_2(1270)\pi$	seen
Γ_3 $\sigma\pi$	seen
Γ_4 $\rho\pi$ S-wave	seen
Γ_5 $\rho\pi$ D-wave	seen
Γ_6 $\omega\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_7 $f_1(1285)\pi$	seen
Γ_8 $a_1(1260)\eta$	not seen

DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=7;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=6;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=8

 $a_1(1640)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M161220

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)/\Gamma(\sigma\pi)$	Γ_2/Γ_3
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
0.24±0.07	BAKER 99 SPEC 1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$

NODE=M161R1
 NODE=M161R1

$\Gamma(\rho\pi$ D-wave)/ Γ_{total}	Γ_5/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
seen	CHUNG 02 B852 18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
seen	AMELIN 95B VES 36 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$

NODE=M161R2
 NODE=M161R2

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_6/Γ
VALUE	EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
seen	35280 ¹ BAKER 03 SPEC $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M161R3
 NODE=M161R3

¹ Assuming the $\omega\rho$ mechanism for the $\omega\pi\pi$ state.

NODE=M161R;LINKAGE=KB

$\Gamma(f_1(1285)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_7/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
not seen	KUHN 04 B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$
seen	LEE 94 MPS2 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^- \pi^- p$

NODE=M161R4
 NODE=M161R4

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_8/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
not seen	KUHN	04	B852	$18\pi^-p\rightarrow\eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$

NODE=M161R5
NODE=M161R5

$a_1(1640)$ REFERENCES

AGHASYAN	18B	PR D98 092003	M. Aghasyan <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
DARGENT	17	JHEP 1705 143	P. d'Argent <i>et al.</i>	(HEID, BRIS)
KUHN	04	PL B595 109	J. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
BAKER	03	PL B563 140	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ASNER	00	PR D61 012002	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BAKER	99	PL B449 114	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	98G	PL B426 411	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
AMELIN	95B	PL B356 595	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, IND, KYUN, MASD+)
LEE	94	PL B323 227	J.H. Lee <i>et al.</i>	(OXF)
BOWLER	88	PL B209 99	M.G. Bowler	
BELLINI	85	SJNP 41 781	D. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	
Translated from YAF 41 1223.				

NODE=M161

REFID=59471
REFID=58121
REFID=49773
REFID=49414
REFID=48837
REFID=47339
REFID=46888
REFID=45909
REFID=44433
REFID=44092
REFID=40578
REFID=47490

NODE=M117

$f_2(1640)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

$f_2(1640)$ MASS

NODE=M117M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1639 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
1620 ± 16	BUGG	95	MRK3 $J/\psi\rightarrow\gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
1647 ± 7	ADAMO	92	OBLX $\bar{n}p\rightarrow 3\pi^+2\pi^-$
1635 ± 7	ALDE	90	GAM2 $38\pi^-p\rightarrow\omega\omega n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1640 ± 5	AMSLER	06	CBAR $0.9\bar{p}p\rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
1659 ± 6	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	40 $\pi^-p\rightarrow K_S^0K_S^0n$
1643 ± 7	¹ ALDE	89B	GAM2 $38\pi^-p\rightarrow\omega\omega n$
¹ Superseded by ALDE 90.			

NODE=M117M

NODE=M117M;LINKAGE=BB

$f_2(1640)$ WIDTH

NODE=M117W

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
100^{+60}_{-40} OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.9.			
140^{+60}_{-20}		BUGG	95	MRK3 $J/\psi\rightarrow\gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
58 ± 20		ADAMO	92	OBLX $\bar{n}p\rightarrow 3\pi^+2\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
44 ± 9		AMSLER	06	CBAR $0.9\bar{p}p\rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
152 ± 18		VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	40 $\pi^-p\rightarrow K_S^0K_S^0n$
< 70	90	ALDE	90	GAM2 $38\pi^-p\rightarrow\omega\omega n$

NODE=M117W

$f_2(1640)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M117215;NODE=M117

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1\ \omega\omega$	seen
$\Gamma_2\ 4\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3\ K\bar{K}$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3

$f_2(1640)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M117220

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_3/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	AMSLER	06	CBAR	$0.9\bar{p}p\rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$

NODE=M117R2
NODE=M117R2

$f_2(1640)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M117

AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
VLADIMIRSK...	06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)
Translated from YAF 69 515.				
BUGG	95	PL B353 378	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, PNPI, WASH) JP
ADAMO	92	PL B287 368	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ALDE	90	PL B241 600	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)
ALDE	89B	PL B216 451	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+) IGJPC

REFID=51136
REFID=51191

REFID=44438
REFID=42177
REFID=40935
REFID=40735

$\eta_2(1645)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^-+)$$

NODE=M154

 $\eta_2(1645)$ MASS

NODE=M154M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1617± 5 OUR AVERAGE				
1613± 8	BARBERIS	00B		450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p_s$
1617± 8	BARBERIS	00C		450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
1620±20	BARBERIS	97B	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1645±14±15	ADOMEIT	96	CBAR 0	1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1645± 6±20	ANISOVICH	00E	SPEC	0.9–1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$

NODE=M154M

 $\eta_2(1645)$ WIDTH

NODE=M154W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
181±11 OUR AVERAGE				
185±17	BARBERIS	00B		450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p_s$
177±18	BARBERIS	00C		450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
180±25	BARBERIS	97B	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
180 ⁺⁴⁰ ₋₂₁ ±25	ADOMEIT	96	CBAR 0	1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
200±25	ANISOVICH	00E	SPEC	0.9–1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$

NODE=M154W

 $\eta_2(1645)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M154215;NODE=M154

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $a_2(1320)\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $K\bar{K}\pi$	seen
Γ_3 $K^*\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_4 $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_5 $a_0(980)\pi$	seen
Γ_6 $f_2(1270)\eta$	not seen

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=6;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\eta_2(1645)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M154220

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)$	Γ_2/Γ_1		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.07±0.03	¹ BARBERIS	97C	OMEG 450 $pp \rightarrow ppK\bar{K}\pi$

NODE=M154R1
 NODE=M154R1

¹ Using $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ data from BARBERIS 97B.

NODE=M154R1;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)$				Γ_1/Γ_5
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
13.1±2.3 OUR AVERAGE				
13.5±4.6	² ANISOVICH	11	SPEC	0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$
13.0±2.7	BARBERIS	00B	450	$pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p_s$

NODE=M154R3
 NODE=M154R3

² Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

NODE=M154R3;LINKAGE=AN

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_6/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT		
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
not seen	BARBERIS	00B 450	$pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p_s$	

NODE=M154R4
 NODE=M154R4

 $\eta_2(1645)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M154

ANISOVICH	11	EPJ C71 1511	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RAL, PNPI)
ANISOVICH	00E	PL B477 19	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BARBERIS	00B	PL B471 435	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	97C	PL B413 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ADOMEIT	96	ZPHY C71 227	J. Adomeit <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)

REFID=53631
 REFID=47945
 REFID=47958
 REFID=47959
 REFID=45758
 REFID=45759
 REFID=45202

$\omega(1650)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

See also the $\omega(1420)$ particle listing.

NODE=M126

NODE=M126

NODE=M126M

NODE=M126M

→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\omega(1650)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1670 ± 30 OUR ESTIMATE				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1643 ± 6		¹ DIMOVA	25 SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1643 ± 6		² ACHASOV	24A SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1660.0 ± 8.4		³ LICHARD	23 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
1698 ± 10	267	⁴ ACHASOV	20B SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$
1651 ± 3 $^{+16}_{-6}$	183k	⁵ ABLIKIM	19AQ BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
1673 $^{+6}_{-7}$		ACHASOV	19 SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$
1671 ± 6 ± 10	824	⁶ AKHMETSHIN	17A CMD3	$1.4\text{--}2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
1660 ± 10	898	⁷ ACHASOV	16B SND	$1.34\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
1680 ± 10	13.1k	⁸ AULCHENKO	15A SND	$1.05\text{--}1.80 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1667 ± 13 ± 6		AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1645 ± 8	13	AUBERT	06D BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta\gamma$
1660 ± 10 ± 2		AUBERT,B	04N BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
1770 ± 50 ± 60	1.2M	⁹ ACHASOV	03D RVUE	$0.44\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1619 ± 5		¹⁰ HENNER	02 RVUE	$1.2\text{--}2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$
1700 ± 20		EUGENIO	01 SPEC	$18 \pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\eta n$
1705 ± 26	612	¹¹ AKHMETSHIN	00D CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
1820 $^{+190}_{-150}$		¹² ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1840 $^{+100}_{-70}$		¹³ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
1780 $^{+170}_{-300}$		¹⁴ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
~ 2100		¹⁵ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1606 ± 9		¹⁶ CLEGG	94 RVUE	
1662 ± 13	750	¹⁷ ANTONELLI	92 DM2	$1.34\text{--}2.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$
1670 ± 20		ATKINSON	83B OMEG	$20\text{--}70 \gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi X$
1657 ± 13		CORDIER	81 DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega 2\pi$
1679 ± 34	21	ESPOSITO	80 FRAM	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi$
1652 ± 17		COSME	79 OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=5

OCCUR=4

¹ From a fit to the cross section with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. An alternative fit which also includes $\phi(1680)$ gives 1649 ± 9 MeV with a worse χ^2 . Supersedes ACHASOV 24A.

² From a fit to the cross section including contribution from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. Supersedes ACHASOV 20A.

³ From a VDM fit to AKHMETSHIN 17A $\omega\eta$ data with two additional resonances of low statistical evidences.

⁴ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$. The mass of $\omega(1420)$ is fixed to the PDG 18 value of 1420 MeV. Fixing also the width of $\omega(1420)$ to the PDG 18 value of 220 MeV results in 1694 ± 9 MeV measurement.

⁵ Could also be $\rho(1700)$. Branching ratio $J/\psi \rightarrow X\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0 = (5.3 \pm 0.3^{+0.6}_{-0.5}) \times 10^{-5}$.

⁶ From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating.

⁷ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$.

⁸ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. See ACHASOV 20A for a further analysis of the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ data.

⁹ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

¹⁰ Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

¹¹ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=VH

NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=KI

- ¹² Using data from BARKOV 87, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.
¹³ Using the data from ANTONELLI 92.
¹⁴ Using the data from IVANOV 81 and BISELLO 88B.
¹⁵ Using the data from BISELLO 91C.
¹⁶ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.
¹⁷ From the combined fit of the $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ final states.

NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=L1
 NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=L2
 NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=L3
 NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=L4
 NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=AD
 NODE=M126M;LINKAGE=AE

$\omega(1650)$ WIDTH

NODE=M126W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
150 ± 50 OUR ESTIMATE				
[315 ± 35 MeV OUR 2025 ESTIMATE]				
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
148 ± 13		¹ DIMOVA 25	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
148 ± 13		² ACHASOV 24A	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
106 ± 15		³ LICHARD 23	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
110 ± 16	267	⁴ ACHASOV 20B	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$
194 ± 8 ⁺ ₇ ¹⁵	183k	⁵ ABLIKIM 19AQ	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
95 ± 11		ACHASOV 19	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$
113 ± 9 ± 10	824	⁶ AKHMETSHIN 17A	CMD3	1.4–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
110 ± 20	898	⁷ ACHASOV 16B	SND	1.34–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
310 ± 30	13.1k	⁸ AULCHENKO 15A	SND	1.05–1.80 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
222 ± 25 ± 20		AUBERT 07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
114 ± 14	13	AUBERT 06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta\gamma$
230 ± 30 ± 20		AUBERT,B 04N	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
490 ⁺ ₁₅₀ ²⁰⁰ ± 130	1.2M	⁹ ACHASOV 03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
250 ± 14		¹⁰ HENNER 02	RVUE	1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$
250 ± 50		EUGENIO 01	SPEC	18 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\eta n$
370 ± 25	612	¹¹ AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
113 ± 20		¹² CLEGG 94	RVUE	
280 ± 24	750	¹³ ANTONELLI 92	DM2	1.34–2.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$
160 ± 20		ATKINSON 83B	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi X$
136 ± 46		CORDIER 81	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega 2\pi$
99 ± 49	21	ESPOSITO 80	FRAM	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi$
42 ± 17		COSME 79	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi$

NODE=M126W
 NEW;→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2
 OCCUR=5
 OCCUR=4

- ¹ From a fit to the cross section with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. An alternative fit which also includes $\phi(1680)$ gives 165 ± 14 MeV with a worse χ^2 . Supersedes ACHASOV 24A.
² From a fit to the cross section including contribution from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. Supersedes ACHASOV 20A.
³ From a VDM fit to AKHMETSHIN 17A $\omega\eta$ data with two additional resonances of low statistical evidences.
⁴ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$. The mass of $\omega(1420)$ is fixed to the PDG 18 value of 1420 MeV. Fixing also the width of $\omega(1420)$ to the PDG 18 value of 220 MeV results in 94 ± 13 MeV measurement.
⁵ Could also be $\rho(1700)$. Branching ratio $J/\psi \rightarrow X\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0 = (5.3 \pm 0.3^{+0.6}_{-0.5}) \times 10^{-5}$.
⁶ From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating.
⁷ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$.
⁸ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. See ACHASOV 20A for a further analysis of the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ data.
⁹ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.
¹⁰ Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.
¹¹ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.
¹² From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.
¹³ From the combined fit of the $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ final states.

NODE=M126W;LINKAGE=M
 NODE=M126W;LINKAGE=L
 NODE=M126W;LINKAGE=J
 NODE=M126W;LINKAGE=H
 NODE=M126W;LINKAGE=G
 NODE=M126W;LINKAGE=F
 NODE=M126W;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M126W;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M126W;LINKAGE=VH
 NODE=M126W;LINKAGE=AB
 NODE=M126W;LINKAGE=KI
 NODE=M126W;LINKAGE=AD
 NODE=M126W;LINKAGE=AE

$\omega(1650)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M126215;NODE=M126

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\rho\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $\rho(1450)\pi$	seen
Γ_3 $\omega\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_4 $\omega\eta$	seen
Γ_5 e^+e^-	seen
Γ_6 $\pi^0\gamma$	not seen

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=6
DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=5

 $\omega(1650) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

NODE=M126230

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$**

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1.56 $\pm$ 0.23	13.1k	¹ AULCHENKO	15A SND	1.05–1.80 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1.3 $\pm$ 0.1 $\pm$ 0.1		AUBERT,B	04N BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
1.2 $^{+0.4}_{-0.1}$ $\pm$ 0.8	1.2M	^{2,3} ACHASOV	03D RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.921 $\pm$ 0.230		^{4,5} CLEGG	94 RVUE	
0.479 $\pm$ 0.050	750	^{6,7} ANTONELLI	92 DM2	1.34–2.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

NODE=M126G3
NODE=M126G3

¹ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. See ACHASOV 20A for a further analysis of the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ data.

NODE=M126G3;LINKAGE=A

² Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.

NODE=M126G;LINKAGE=AW

³ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

NODE=M126G;LINKAGE=VH

⁴ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

NODE=M126G;LINKAGE=AD

⁵ From the partial and leptonic width given by the authors.

NODE=M126G;LINKAGE=SE

⁶ From the combined fit of the $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ final states.

NODE=M126G;LINKAGE=AE

⁷ From the product of the leptonic width and partial branching ratio given by the authors.

NODE=M126G;LINKAGE=ES

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_3/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$**

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
7.0 $\pm$ 0.5		AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
4.1 $\pm$ 0.9 $\pm$ 1.3	1.2M	^{1,2} ACHASOV	03D RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
5.40 $\pm$ 0.95		³ AKHMETSHIN	00D CMD2	1.2–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
3.18 $\pm$ 0.80		^{4,5} CLEGG	94 RVUE	
6.07 $\pm$ 0.61	750	^{6,7} ANTONELLI	92 DM2	1.34–2.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

NODE=M126G4
NODE=M126G4

¹ Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.

NODE=M126G4;LINKAGE=AW

² From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

NODE=M126G4;LINKAGE=VH

³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

NODE=M126G4;LINKAGE=KL

⁴ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

NODE=M126G4;LINKAGE=AD

⁵ From the partial and leptonic width given by the authors.

NODE=M126G4;LINKAGE=SE

⁶ From the combined fit of the $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ final states.

NODE=M126G4;LINKAGE=AE

⁷ From the product of the leptonic width and partial branching ratio given by the authors.

NODE=M126G4;LINKAGE=ES

$\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$**

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
6.4 $\pm$ 0.9	267	¹ ACHASOV	20B SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$
5.62 $^{+0.45}_{-0.42}$		ACHASOV	19 SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$
4.5 $\pm$ 0.3 $\pm$ 0.3	824	² AKHMETSHIN	17A CMD3	1.4–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
4.4 $\pm$ 0.5	898	³ ACHASOV	16B SND	1.34–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
5.7 $\pm$ 0.6	13	AUBERT	06D BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta\gamma$
< 60 at 90% CL		⁴ AKHMETSHIN	03B CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M126G5
NODE=M126G5

- ¹ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$. The mass of $\omega(1420)$ is fixed to the PDG 18 value of 1420 MeV. Fixing also the width of $\omega(1420)$ to the PDG 18 value of 220 MeV results in $(5.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-7}$ measurement.
- ² From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating. From an alternative fit $\Gamma(\omega(1650) \rightarrow \omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\omega(1650) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 51 \pm 3$ eV.
- ³ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$.
- ⁴ $\omega(1650)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively.

NODE=M126G5;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M126G5;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M126G5;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M126G5;LINKAGE=KH

 $\omega(1650)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M126225

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M126R3
NODE=M126R3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
not seen		¹ DIMOVA	25	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
~ 0.65	1.2M	² ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	$0.44-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.380 ± 0.014		³ HENNER	02	RVUE	$1.2-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

¹ From a fit to the cross section with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$.

NODE=M126R3;LINKAGE=A

² From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

NODE=M126R;LINKAGE=VH

³ Assuming that the $\omega(1650)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ only.

NODE=M126R;LINKAGE=AC

$\Gamma(\rho(1450)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M126R02
NODE=M126R02

seen		ACHASOV	20A	SND	$1.15-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

possibly seen		¹ DIMOVA	25	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ From a fit to the cross section with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$.

NODE=M126R02;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_3/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M126R2
NODE=M126R2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 0.35	1.2M	¹ ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	$0.44-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.620 ± 0.014		² HENNER	02	RVUE	$1.2-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

¹ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

NODE=M126R2;LINKAGE=VH

² Assuming that the $\omega(1650)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ only.

NODE=M126R2;LINKAGE=AC

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_5/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M126R4
NODE=M126R4

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 18	1.2M	^{1,2} ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	$0.44-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
32 ± 1		² HENNER	02	RVUE	$1.2-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

¹ Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.

NODE=M126R;LINKAGE=AW

² Assuming that the $\omega(1650)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ only.

NODE=M126R4;LINKAGE=AC

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_6/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M126R00
NODE=M126R00

not seen		¹ ACHASOV	10D	SND	$1.075-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

¹ From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The width of the highest mass effective resonance is fixed at 315 MeV.

NODE=M126R00;LINKAGE=A

$\omega(1650)$ REFERENCES

DIMOVA	25	PPN 56 820	T.V. Dimova <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	24A	PAN 87 747	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LICHARD	23	PR D108 092005	P. Lichard	(OPAV, CTUP)
ACHASOV	20A	EPJ C80 993	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	20B	EPJ C80 1008	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AQ	PR D100 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	19	PR D99 112004	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
PDG	18	PR D98 030001	M. Tanabashi <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	17A	PL B773 150	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV	16B	PR D94 092002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO	15A	JETP 121 27	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
Translated from ZETF 148 34.				
ACHASOV	10D	PR D98 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	06D	PR D73 052003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,B	04N	PR D70 072004	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ACHASOV	03D	PR D68 052006	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	02E	PR D66 032001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
HENNER	02	EPJ C26 3	V.K. Henner <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	01E	PR D63 072002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
EUGENIO	01	PL B497 190	P. Eugenio <i>et al.</i>	
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	99E	PL B462 365	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BISELLO	91C	ZPHY C52 227	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BISELLO	88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
Translated from ZETFP 46 132.				
ATKINSON	83B	PL 127B 132	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
CORDIER	81	PL 106B 155	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
ESPOSITO	80	LNC 28 195	B. Esposito <i>et al.</i>	(FRAS, NAPL, PADO+)
COSME	79	NP B152 215	G. Cosme <i>et al.</i>	(IPN)

NODE=M126

REFID=63292
REFID=63296
REFID=62426
REFID=60923
REFID=60924
REFID=59909
REFID=59887
REFID=59304
REFID=58239
REFID=57537
REFID=56843

REFID=59494
REFID=52049
REFID=51047
REFID=50184
REFID=49577
REFID=49406
REFID=48815
REFID=49177
REFID=48311
REFID=48010
REFID=47935
REFID=47391
REFID=46323
REFID=44081
REFID=43168
REFID=41867
REFID=41369
REFID=40581
REFID=40280

 $\omega_3(1670)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^--)$$

NODE=M045

 $\omega_3(1670)$ MASS

NODE=M045M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1667 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE				
1665.3 ± 5.2 ± 4.5	23400	AMELIN	96 VES	$36 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
1685 ± 20	60	BAUBILLIER	79 HBC	$8.2 K^- p \text{ backward}$
1673 ± 12	430	^{1,2} BALTAY	78E HBC	$15 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta 3\pi$
1650 ± 12		CORDEN	78B OMEG	$8-12 \pi^- p \rightarrow N 3\pi$
1669 ± 11	600	² WAGNER	75 HBC	$7 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} 3\pi$
1678 ± 14	500	DIAZ	74 DBC	$6 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p 3\pi^0$
1660 ± 13	200	DIAZ	74 DBC	$6 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p \omega \pi^0 \pi^0$
1679 ± 17	200	MATTHEWS	71D DBC	$7.0 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p 3\pi^0$
1670 ± 20		KENYON	69 DBC	$8 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p 3\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 1700	110	¹ CERRADA	77B HBC	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 3\pi$
1695 ± 20		BARNES	69B HBC	$4.6 K^- p \rightarrow \omega 2\pi X$
1636 ± 20		ARMENISE	68B DBC	$5.1 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p 3\pi^0$

¹ Phase rotation seen for $J^P = 3^- \rho\pi$ wave.² From a fit to $I(J^P) = 0(3^-) \rho\pi$ partial wave.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M045M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M045M;LINKAGE=P

 $\omega_3(1670)$ WIDTH

NODE=M045W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
168 ± 10 OUR AVERAGE				
149 ± 19 ± 7	23400	AMELIN	96 VES	$36 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
160 ± 80	60	³ BAUBILLIER	79 HBC	$8.2 K^- p \text{ backward}$
173 ± 16	430	^{4,5} BALTAY	78E HBC	$15 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta 3\pi$
253 ± 39		CORDEN	78B OMEG	$8-12 \pi^- p \rightarrow N 3\pi$
173 ± 28	600	^{3,5} WAGNER	75 HBC	$7 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} 3\pi$
167 ± 40	500	DIAZ	74 DBC	$6 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p 3\pi^0$
122 ± 39	200	DIAZ	74 DBC	$6 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p \omega \pi^0 \pi^0$
155 ± 40	200	³ MATTHEWS	71D DBC	$7.0 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p 3\pi^0$

NODE=M045W

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

90±20	BARNES	69B	HBC	4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow \omega 2\pi$
100±40	KENYON	69	DBC	8 $\pi^+ n \rightarrow p 3\pi^0$
112±60	ARMENISE	68B	DBC	5.1 $\pi^+ n \rightarrow p 3\pi^0$

³Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

⁴Phase rotation seen for $J^P = 3^- \rho\pi$ wave.

⁵From a fit to $I(J^P) = 0(3^-) \rho\pi$ partial wave.

NODE=M045W;LINKAGE=S
NODE=M045W;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M045W;LINKAGE=P

$\omega_3(1670)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M045215;NODE=M045

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \rho\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \omega\pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad b_1(1235)\pi$	possibly seen

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

$\omega_3(1670)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M045220

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\rho\pi)$					Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M045R3
NODE=M045R3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.71±0.27	100	DIAZ	74	DBC	6 $\pi^+ n \rightarrow p 5\pi^0$

$\Gamma(b_1(1235)\pi)/\Gamma(\rho\pi)$					Γ_3/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		

NODE=M045R4
NODE=M045R4

possibly seen	DIAZ	74	DBC	6 $\pi^+ n \rightarrow p 5\pi^0$

$\Gamma(b_1(1235)\pi)/\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)$					Γ_3/Γ_2
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M045R5
NODE=M045R5

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.75	68	BAUBILLIER	79	HBC	8.2 $K^- p$ backward

$\omega_3(1670)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M045

AMELIN	96	ZPHY C70 71	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
BAUBILLIER	79	PL 89B 131	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
BALTAY	78E	PRL 40 87	C. Baltay, C.V. Cautis, M. Kalelkar	(COLU) JP
CORDEN	78B	NP B138 235	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+)
CERRADA	77B	NP B126 241	M. Cerrada <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, NIJM+) JP
WAGNER	75	PL 58B 201	F. Wagner, M. Tabak, D.M. Chew	(LBL) JP
DIAZ	74	PRL 32 260	J. Diaz <i>et al.</i>	(CASE, CMU)
MATTHEWS	71D	PR D3 2561	J.A.J. Matthews <i>et al.</i>	(TNT0, WISC)
BARNES	69B	PRL 23 142	V.E. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
KENYON	69	PRL 23 146	I.R. Kenyon <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, UCND, ORNL)
ARMENISE	68B	PL 26B 336	N. Armenise <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BGNA, FIRZ+)

REFID=44649
REFID=21522
REFID=21520
REFID=21269
REFID=20537
REFID=20843
REFID=21248
REFID=21515
REFID=21512
REFID=20800
REFID=20783

$\pi_2(1670)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^-+)$$

NODE=M034

 $\pi_2(1670)$ MASS

NODE=M034M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

NODE=M034M

1670.6^{+2.9}_{-1.2} OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.

1642	⁺¹² ₋₁	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B	COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1749	$\pm 10 \pm 100$	145k	LU	05	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
1676	$\pm 3 \pm 8$		² CHUNG	02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1685	$\pm 10 \pm 30$		BARBERIS	01		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f 3\pi^0 p_s$
1687	$\pm 9 \pm 15$		AMELIN	99	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$
1669	± 4		BARBERIS	98B		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \rho \pi p_s$
1670	± 4		BARBERIS	98B		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f f_2(1270) \pi p_s$
1690	± 14		³ BERDNIKOV	94	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- A$
1710	± 20	700	ANTIPOV	87	SIGM -	50 $\pi^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \pi^- \text{Cu}$
1676	± 6		³ EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG -	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
1657	± 14		^{3,4} DAUM	80D	SPEC -	63-94 $\pi p \rightarrow 3\pi X$
1662	± 10	2000	³ BALTAY	77	HBC +	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1658	$\pm 3 \pm \frac{24}{8}$	420k	⁵ ALEKSEEV	10	COMP	190 $\pi^- P b \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ P b'$
1730	± 20		⁶ AMELIN	95B	VES	36 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$
1742	$\pm 31 \pm 49$		ANTREASYAN	90	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
1624	± 21		² BELLINI	85	SPEC	40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1622	± 35		⁷ BELLINI	85	SPEC	40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1693	± 28		⁸ BELLINI	85	SPEC	40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1710	± 20		⁹ DAUM	81B	SPEC -	63,94 $\pi^- p$
1660	± 10		³ ASCOLI	73	HBC -	5-25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi_2$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

¹ Statistical error negligible.² From $f_2(1270)\pi$ decay.³ From a fit to $J^P = 2^- S$ -wave $f_2(1270)\pi$ partial wave.⁴ Clear phase rotation seen in $2^- S$, $2^- P$, $2^- D$ waves. We quote central value and spread of single-resonance fits to three channels.⁵ Superseded by AGHASYAN 2018B.⁶ J^{PC} ambiguous.⁷ From $\rho\pi$ decay.⁸ From $\sigma\pi$ decay.⁹ From a two-resonance fit to four $2^- 0^+$ waves. This should not be averaged with all the single resonance fits.

NODE=M034M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M034M;LINKAGE=F2

NODE=M034M;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M034M;LINKAGE=D


NODE=M034M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M034M;LINKAGE=AX

NODE=M034M;LINKAGE=R2

NODE=M034M;LINKAGE=S2

NODE=M034M;LINKAGE=L



$\pi_2(1670)$ WIDTH

NODE=M034W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

NODE=M034W

258⁺₋₉ OUR AVERAGE

Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

311 ⁺ ₋₂₃	12	46M	10	AGHASYAN	18B	COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
408 $\pm$ 60 $\pm$ 250	145k			LU	05	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
254 $\pm$ 3 $\pm$ 31		11	CHUNG	02	B852		18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
265 $\pm$ 30 $\pm$ 40			BARBERIS	01			450 $p p \rightarrow p_f 3 \pi^0 p_s$
168 $\pm$ 43 $\pm$ 53			AMELIN	99	VES		37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$
268 $\pm$ 15			BARBERIS	98B			450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \rho \pi p_s$
256 $\pm$ 15			BARBERIS	98B			450 $p p \rightarrow p_f f_2(1270) \pi p_s$
190 $\pm$ 50		12	BERDNIKOV	94	VES		37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- A$
170 $\pm$ 80	700		ANTIPOV	87	SIGM	-	50 $\pi^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \pi^- \text{Cu}$
260 $\pm$ 20		12	EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	-	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3 \pi p$
219 $\pm$ 20		12,13	DAUM	80D	SPEC	-	63-94 $\pi p \rightarrow 3 \pi X$
285 $\pm$ 60	2000	12	BALTAY	77	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 3 \pi$

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

271 $\pm$ 9 ⁺ ₋₂₄	22	420k	14	ALEKSEEV	10	COMP	190 $\pi^- P b \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ P b'$
310 $\pm$ 20			15	AMELIN	95B	VES	36 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$
236 $\pm$ 49 $\pm$ 36				ANTREASYAN	90	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
304 $\pm$ 22		11	BELLINI	85	SPEC		40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
404 $\pm$ 108		16	BELLINI	85	SPEC		40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
330 $\pm$ 90		17	BELLINI	85	SPEC		40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
312 $\pm$ 50		18	DAUM	81B	SPEC	-	63,94 $\pi^- p$
270 $\pm$ 60		12	ASCOLI	73	HBC	-	5-25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi_2$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

¹⁰ Statistical error negligible.

¹¹ From $f_2(1270) \pi$ decay.

¹² From a fit to $J^P = 2^- f_2(1270) \pi$ partial wave.

¹³ Clear phase rotation seen in $2^- S$, $2^- P$, $2^- D$ waves. We quote central value and spread of single-resonance fits to three channels.

¹⁴ Superseded by AGHASYAN 2018B.

¹⁵ J^{PC} ambiguous.

¹⁶ From $\rho \pi$ decay.

NODE=M034W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M034W;LINKAGE=F2

NODE=M034W;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M034W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M034W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M034W;LINKAGE=AX

NODE=M034W;LINKAGE=R2

¹⁷ From $\sigma\pi$ decay.

¹⁸ From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves. This should not be averaged with all the single resonance fits.

NODE=M034W;LINKAGE=S2
NODE=M034W;LINKAGE=L

$\pi_2(1670)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M034215;NODE=M034

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1	3π	$(95.8 \pm 1.4) \%$	
Γ_2	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$		
Γ_3	$\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$		
Γ_4	$f_2(1270)\pi$	$(56.3 \pm 3.2) \%$	
Γ_5	$\rho\pi$	$(31 \pm 4) \%$	
Γ_6	$\sigma\pi$	$(10 \pm 4) \%$	
Γ_7	$\pi(\pi\pi)_S\text{-wave}$	$(8.7 \pm 3.4) \%$	
Γ_8	$\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-$	$(53 \pm 4) \%$	
Γ_9	$K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	$(4.2 \pm 1.4) \%$	
Γ_{10}	$\omega\rho$	$(2.7 \pm 1.1) \%$	
Γ_{11}	$\pi^\pm\gamma$	$(7.0 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{12}	$\gamma\gamma$	$< 2.8 \times 10^{-7}$	90%
Γ_{13}	$\eta\pi$	$< 5 \%$	
Γ_{14}	$\pi^\pm 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	$< 5 \%$	
Γ_{15}	$\rho(1450)\pi$	$< 3.6 \times 10^{-3}$	97.7%
Γ_{16}	$b_1(1235)\pi$	$< 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$	97.7%
Γ_{17}	$f_1(1285)\pi$	possibly seen	
Γ_{18}	$a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen	

DESIG=20
DESIG=22
DESIG=23
DESIG=8
DESIG=2
DESIG=13
DESIG=11
DESIG=10
DESIG=5
DESIG=14
DESIG=27
DESIG=12
DESIG=3
DESIG=4
DESIG=15
DESIG=16
DESIG=25
DESIG=26

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 4 branching ratios uses 6 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 1.9$ for 3 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_5	-53		
x_7	-29	-59	
x_9	-8	-21	-9
	x_4	x_5	x_7

$\pi_2(1670)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M034217

$\Gamma(\pi^\pm\gamma)$

Γ_{11}

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
$181 \pm 11 \pm 27$	¹⁹ ADOLPH	14	COMP	$190 \pi^- \text{Pb} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \text{Pb}'$

¹⁹ Primakoff reaction. Assumes incoherent $f_2(1270)\pi$ contribution to 3π final state and uses $B(\pi_2(1670) \rightarrow f_2\pi) = 56\%$.

NODE=M034W2
NODE=M034W2

NODE=M034W2;LINKAGE=AD

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$

Γ_{12}

VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.072	90	²⁰ ACCIARRI	97T	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.19	90	²⁰ ALBRECHT	97B	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$1.41 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.28$		ANTREASYAN 90	CBAL	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$
$0.8 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.12$		²¹ BEHREND	90C	CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$1.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2$		²² BEHREND	90C	CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

²⁰ Decaying into $f_2(1270)\pi$ and $\rho\pi$.

²¹ Constructive interference between $f_2(1270)\pi, \rho\pi$ and background.

²² Incoherent Ansatz.

NODE=M034W1
NODE=M034W1

OCCUR=2

NODE=M034W1;LINKAGE=QQ
NODE=M034W1;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M034W1;LINKAGE=G

$\pi_2(1670) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M034230

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_2 \Gamma_{12} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.1	95	²³ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
²³ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.				

NODE=M034G01
NODE=M034G01

NODE=M034G01;LINKAGE=SC

 $\pi_2(1670) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$

NODE=M034220

$$\Gamma(3\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_1 / \Gamma = (\Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5 + \Gamma_7) / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
0.958 ± 0.014 OUR FIT	

NODE=M034R20
NODE=M034R20

$$\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$$

$$\Gamma_3 / \Gamma_2$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
0.29 ± 0.03 ± 0.05	BARBERIS 01	450 $p p \rightarrow p_f 3\pi^0 p_s$

NODE=M034R21
NODE=M034R21

$$\Gamma(\rho\pi) / 0.565 \Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_5 / 0.565 \Gamma_4$$

(With $f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$.)

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.97 ± 0.09 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.9.		
0.76 ± 0.07 ± 0.10	CHUNG 02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1.01 ± 0.05	BARBERIS 98B		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$

NODE=M034R16
NODE=M034R16
NODE=M034R16

$$\Gamma(\sigma\pi) / \Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_6 / \Gamma_4$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.17 ± 0.02 ± 0.07	CHUNG 02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.24 ± 0.10	^{24,25} BAKER 99	SPEC	1.94 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$

NODE=M034R15
NODE=M034R15

$$\frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\rho\pi) / \Gamma(\pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma_8 = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_5 / (0.565 \Gamma_4 + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_5 + 0.624 \Gamma_7)$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.29 ± 0.04 OUR FIT				
0.29 ± 0.05	²⁶ DAUM 81B	SPEC		63,94 $\pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.3	BARTSCH 68	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$

NODE=M034R2
NODE=M034R2

$$0.565 \Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi) / \Gamma(\pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-)$$

$$0.565 \Gamma_4 / \Gamma_8 = 0.565 \Gamma_4 / (0.565 \Gamma_4 + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_5 + 0.624 \Gamma_7)$$

(With $f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$.)

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.604 ± 0.035 OUR FIT				
0.60 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.			
0.61 ± 0.04	²⁶ DAUM 81B	SPEC		63,94 $\pi^- p$
0.76 $^{+0.24}_{-0.34}$	ARMENISE 69	DBC	+	5.1 $\pi^+ d \rightarrow d 3\pi$
0.35 ± 0.20	BALTAY 68	HBC	+	7–8.5 $\pi^+ p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.59	BARTSCH 68	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$

NODE=M034R3
NODE=M034R3
NODE=M034R3

$$0.624 \Gamma(\pi(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}) / \Gamma(\pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-)$$

$$0.624 \Gamma_7 / \Gamma_8 = 0.624 \Gamma_7 / (0.565 \Gamma_4 + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_5 + 0.624 \Gamma_7)$$

(With $(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$.)

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.10 ± 0.04 OUR FIT			
0.10 ± 0.05	²⁶ DAUM 81B	SPEC	63,94 $\pi^- p$

NODE=M034R11
NODE=M034R11
NODE=M034R11

$$\Gamma(K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_9 / \Gamma_4$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.075 ± 0.025 OUR FIT				
0.075 ± 0.025	²⁷ ARMSTRONG 82B	OMEG	–	16 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- p$

NODE=M034R13
NODE=M034R13

$$\Gamma(\omega\rho) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{10} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.027 ± 0.004 ± 0.010	²⁸ AMELIN 99	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$

NODE=M034R17
NODE=M034R17

$$\Gamma(\eta\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^{\pm}\pi^+\pi^-)$$

(All η decays.)

$$\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma_8 = \Gamma_{13}/(0.565\Gamma_4 + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_5 + 0.624\Gamma_7)$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<0.09	BALTAY	68	HBC	+	7-8.5 $\pi^+ p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
<0.10	CRENNELL	70	HBC	-	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow f_2 \pi^- N$

NODE=M034R5

NODE=M034R5

NODE=M034R5

$$\Gamma(\pi^{\pm}2\pi^+2\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^{\pm}\pi^+\pi^-)$$

$$\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma_8 = \Gamma_{14}/(0.565\Gamma_4 + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_5 + 0.624\Gamma_7)$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<0.10	CRENNELL	70	HBC	-	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow f_2 \pi^- N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
<0.1	BALTAY	68	HBC	+	7,8.5 $\pi^+ p$

NODE=M034R6

NODE=M034R6

$$\Gamma(\rho(1450)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0036	97.7	AMELIN	99	VES
				37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$

NODE=M034R18

NODE=M034R18

$$\Gamma(b_1(1235)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0019	97.7	AMELIN	99	VES
				37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$

NODE=M034R19

NODE=M034R19

$$\Gamma(f_1(1285)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
possibly seen	69k	KUHN	04	B852
				18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

NODE=M034R23

NODE=M034R23

$$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
not seen	69k	KUHN	04	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

NODE=M034R24

NODE=M034R24

$$D\text{-wave}/S\text{-wave RATIO FOR } \pi_2(1670) \rightarrow f_2(1270)\pi$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.18±0.06	24 BAKER	99	SPEC 1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.22±0.10	26 DAUM	81B	SPEC 63,94 $\pi^- p$

NODE=M034R14

NODE=M034R14

$$F\text{-wave}/P\text{-wave RATIO FOR } \pi_2(1670) \rightarrow \rho\pi$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.72±0.07±0.14	CHUNG	02	B852
			18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

NODE=M034R22

NODE=M034R22

24 Using preliminary CBAR data.

25 With the $\sigma\pi$ in $L=2$ and the $f_2(1270)\pi$ in $L=0$.26 From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves.27 From a partial-wave analysis of $K^+ K^- \pi^-$ system.28 Normalized to the $B(\pi_2(1670) \rightarrow f_2\pi)$.

NODE=M034R;LINKAGE=BK

NODE=M034R15;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M034R;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M034R13;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M034R;LINKAGE=DM

$\pi_2(1670)$ REFERENCES

AGHASYAN	18B	PR D98 092003	M. Aghasyan <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
ADOLPH	14	EPJ A50 79	C. Adolph <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
ALEKSEEV	10	PRL 104 241803	M.G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
SCHEGELSKY	06	EPJ A27 199	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
LU	05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
KUHN	04	PL B595 109	J. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
BARBERIS	01	PL B507 14	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	
AMELIN	99	PAN 62 445	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
Translated from YAF 62 487				
BAKER	99	PL B449 114	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
BARBERIS	98B	PL B422 399	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	97B	ZPHY C74 469	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
AMELIN	95B	PL B356 595	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
BERDNIKOV	94	PL B337 219	E.B. Berdnikov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
ANTREASYAN	90	ZPHY C48 561	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BEHREND	90C	ZPHY C46 583	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
ANTIPOV	87	EPL 4 403	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, JINR, INRM+)
BELLINI	85	SJNP 41 781	D. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	
Translated from YAF 41 1223				
ARMSTRONG	82B	NP B202 1	T.A. Armstrong, B. Baccari	(AACH3, BARI, BONN+)
DAUM	81B	NP B182 269	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
EVANGELIS...	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
Also				
DAUM	80D	PL B9B 285	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+) JP
BALTAY	77	PRL 39 591	C. Baltay, C.V. Cautis, M. Kalelkar	(COLU) JP
ASCOLI	73	PR D7 669	G. Ascoli	(ILL, TINTO, GENO, HAMB, MILA+) JP
CRENNELL	70	PRL 24 781	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
ARMENISE	69	LCN 2 501	N. Armenise <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BGNA, FIRZ)
BALTAY	68	PRL 20 887	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, ROCH, RUTG, YALE) I
BARTSCH	68	NP B7 345	J. Bartsch <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN) JP

NODE=M034

REFID=59471

REFID=55911

REFID=53356

REFID=51186

REFID=50459

REFID=49773

REFID=48837

REFID=48324

REFID=46910

REFID=46888

REFID=46345

REFID=45761

REFID=45418

REFID=44433

REFID=44073

REFID=41372

REFID=41356

REFID=40004

REFID=47490

REFID=20874

REFID=20872

REFID=20462

REFID=21576

REFID=21573

REFID=20847

REFID=21553

REFID=20805

REFID=20689

REFID=21531

REFID=21532

NODE=M067

 $\phi(1680)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

 $\phi(1680)$ MASS

NODE=M067205

 e^+e^- PRODUCTION

NODE=M067M1

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M067M1

1680 ±20 OUR ESTIMATE

→ UNCHECKED ←

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1694 ± 5 ±15	9.1k	¹ FEDOTOVICH	25	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1663 ± 5 $^{+16}_{-4}$		ABLIKIM	24CB	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$
1656.8 ± 4.9		² LICHARD	23	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$
1683 ± 7 ± 9		³ ZHU	23	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$
1678 $^{+5}_{-3}$ ± 7		⁴ ZHU	23A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \phi$
1673 ± 5		⁵ ABLIKIM	22L	BES3	$2.0-3.08 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1680 $^{+12}_{-13}$ ±21	1.8k	⁶ ABLIKIM	20F	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
1662 ±20		⁷ ACHASOV	20C	SND	$1.3-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1641 $^{+24}_{-18}$		ACHASOV	19	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \eta$
1667 ± 5 ±11	3k	⁸ IVANOV	19A	CMD3	$1.59-2.007 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
1700 ±23	2k	⁹ ACHASOV	18A	SND	$1.3-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$
1674 ±12 ± 6	6.2k	¹⁰ LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
1733 ±10 ±10		¹¹ LEES	12F	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1689 ± 7 ±10	4.8k	¹² SHEN	09	BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1709 ±20 ±43		¹³ AUBERT	08S	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1623 ±20	948	¹⁴ AKHMETSHIN	03	CMD2	$1.05-1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
~ 1500		¹⁵ ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, \omega \pi^+ \pi^-, K^+ K^-$
~ 1900		¹⁶ ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1700 ±20		¹⁷ CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K \pi$
1657 ±27	367	BISELLO	91C	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1655 ±17		¹⁸ BISELLO	88B	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
1680 ±10		¹⁹ BUON	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1677 ±12		²⁰ MANE	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K \pi$

OCCUR=4

¹ Using a vector dominance fit with contributions from $\phi(1680)$ and $\rho(1450)$.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=K

² From a VDM fit to ZHU 23 $\eta \phi \gamma$ data with two resonances, $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$, and a third resonance with mass 1850.7 ± 5.3 MeV and width 25 ± 35 MeV of 1.7 σ statistical evidence.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=I

³ From a fit using a vector meson dominance model with contributions from $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$ and non resonant contribution.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=H

⁴ From the analysis of the combined measurements of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \phi)$ from BaBar, Belle, BESIII, CMD3.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=J

⁵ From a partial wave amplitude analysis at $\sqrt{s} = 2.125$ GeV which includes all the possible intermediate states that match J^{PC} conservation in the subsequent two-body decay. The intermediate states are parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner functions. Statistical error only.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=G

⁶ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow X \eta \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta = (12.0 \pm 1.3^{+6.5}_{-6.9}) \times 10^{-6}$.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=E

⁷ From a fit using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=F

⁸ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=D

⁹ Assuming the $K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ dynamics. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=C

¹⁰ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=B

¹¹ Using events with $\pi\pi$ invariant mass less than 0.85 GeV.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=A

¹² From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=SH

¹³ From the simultaneous fit to the $K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi \eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=AU

¹⁴ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=HK

- ¹⁵ Using data from IVANOV 81, BARKOV 87, BISELLO 88B, DOLINSKY 91, and AN-
TONELLI 92.
- ¹⁶ Using the data from BISELLO 91C.
- ¹⁷ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.
- ¹⁸ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$ assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitation.
- ¹⁹ From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0K_L^0$, $K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.
- ²⁰ Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=L1

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=L4

NODE=M067M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M067M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M067M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M067M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M067M3
NODE=M067M3 **$\rho\bar{\rho}$ ANNIHILATION**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1700 ± 8	¹ AMSLER	06	CBAR 0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$

¹ Could also be $\rho(1700)$.

NODE=M067M3;LINKAGE=AM

 $\phi(1680)$ WIDTH

NODE=M067210

 e^+e^- PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

150 ± 50 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.NODE=M067W1
NODE=M067W1

→ UNCHECKED ←

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

204 ± 18 ± 22	9.1k	¹ FEDOTOVICH	25	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
159 ± 15 ± 11		ABLIKIM	24CB	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$
150.8 ± 7.0		² LICHARD	23	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$
149 ± 12 ± 13		³ ZHU	23	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$
156 ± 5 ± 9		⁴ ZHU	23A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \phi$
172 ± 8		⁵ ABLIKIM	22L	BES3	$2.0-3.08 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
185 +30 -26 +25 -47	1.8k	⁶ ABLIKIM	20F	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
159 ± 32		⁷ ACHASOV	20C	SND	$1.3-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
103 +26 -24		ACHASOV	19	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$
176 ± 23 ± 38	3k	⁸ IVANOV	19A	CMD3	$1.59-2.007 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
300 ± 50	2k	⁹ ACHASOV	18A	SND	$1.3-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$
165 ± 38 ± 70	6.2k	¹⁰ LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
300 ± 15 ± 37		¹¹ LEES	12F	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
211 ± 14 ± 19	4.8k	¹² SHEN	09	BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
322 ± 77 ± 160		¹³ AUBERT	08S	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
139 ± 60	948	¹⁴ AKHMETSHIN	03	CMD2	$1.05-1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
300 ± 60		¹⁵ CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0 K \pi$
146 ± 55	367	BISELLO	91C	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
207 ± 45		¹⁶ BISELLO	88B	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
185 ± 22		¹⁷ BUON	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
102 ± 36		¹⁸ MANE	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K \pi$

¹ Using a vector dominance fit with contributions from $\phi(1680)$ and $\rho(1450)$.² From a VDM fit to ZHU 23 $\eta\phi\gamma$ data with two resonances, $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$, and a third resonance with mass 1850.7 ± 5.3 MeV and width 25 ± 35 MeV of 1.7 σ statistical evidence.³ From a fit using a vector meson dominance model with contributions from $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$ and non resonant contribution.⁴ From the analysis of the combined measurements of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\phi)$ from BaBar, Belle, BESIII, CMD3.⁵ From a partial wave amplitude analysis at $\sqrt{s} = 2.125$ GeV which includes all the possible intermediate states that match J^{PC} conservation in the subsequent two-body decay. The intermediate states are parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner functions. Statistical error only.⁶ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow X\eta \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta = (12.0 \pm 1.3^{+6.5}_{-6.9}) \times 10^{-6}$.⁷ From a fit using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$.⁸ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=K
NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=D

- ⁹ Assuming the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ dynamics. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.
- ¹⁰ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.
- ¹¹ Using events with $\pi\pi$ invariant mass less than 0.85 GeV.
- ¹² From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.
- ¹³ From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.
- ¹⁴ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.
- ¹⁵ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.
- ¹⁶ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$.
- ¹⁷ From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.
- ¹⁸ Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

$\rho\bar{\rho}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
143 ± 24	¹ AMSLER	06	CBAR $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
¹ Could also be $\rho(1700)$.			

$\phi(1680)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_2 $K_S^0 K \pi$	seen
Γ_3 $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_4 $K_S^0 K_L^0$	
Γ_5 $e^+ e^-$	seen
Γ_6 $\omega\pi\pi$	not seen
Γ_7 $\phi\pi\pi$	
Γ_8 $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
Γ_9 $\eta\phi$	seen
Γ_{10} $\eta\gamma$	seen
Γ_{11} $K^+ K^- \pi^0$	
Γ_{12} $\rho(770)\pi$	not seen
Γ_{13} $f'_2(1525)\gamma$	not seen

$\phi(1680) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into e^+e^- and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel (I) in e^+e^- annihilation. We list only data that have not been used to determine the partial width $\Gamma(I)$ or the branching ratio $\Gamma(I)/\text{total}$.

$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_4 \Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

- • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
- $14.3 \pm 2.4 \pm 6.2$ 6.2k ¹ LEES 14H BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
- ¹ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.

$\Gamma(\phi\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_7\Gamma_5/\Gamma$		
VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

- • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
- $4.2 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.3$ LEES 12F BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=SH
NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M067W;LINKAGE=HK

NODE=M067W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M067W;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M067W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M067W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M067W3
NODE=M067W3

NODE=M067W3;LINKAGE=AM

NODE=M067215;NODE=M067

DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=9
DESIG=6;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=11
DESIG=12;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=10
DESIG=13
DESIG=2
DESIG=17
DESIG=15

NODE=M067220

NODE=M067220

NODE=M067A00
NODE=M067A00

NODE=M067A00;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M067G02
NODE=M067G02

$$\Gamma(\eta\phi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$122 \pm 6 \pm 13$		¹ ZHU	23	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \phi\eta\gamma$
$65^{+5}_{-4} \pm 13$ to		² ZHU	23A	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\phi$
$215^{+8}_{-5} \pm 11$				
$94 \pm 13 \pm 15$	3k	³ IVANOV	19A	CMD3 $1.59\text{--}2.007 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$

¹ From a solution of the fit using a vector meson dominance model with contributions from $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$ and non resonant contribution. Other solutions with equal fit quality give $(219 \pm 15 \pm 18)$ eV, $(163 \pm 11 \pm 13)$ eV and $(203 \pm 12 \pm 18)$ eV.

² From the analysis of the combined measurements of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\phi)$ from BaBar, Belle, BESIII, CMD3. Four solutions are found, with equal fit quality: $(79 \pm 4 \pm 16)$ eV, $(127 \pm 5 \pm 12)$ eV, $(65^{+5}_{-4} \pm 13)$ eV, $(215^{+8}_{-5} \pm 11)$ eV.

³ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.

NODE=M067R00
NODE=M067R00

NODE=M067R00;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M067R00;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M067R00;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$427 \pm 40 \pm 21$	9.1k	¹ FEDOTOVICH	25	CMD3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ Using a vector dominance fit with contributions from $\phi(1680)$ and $\rho(1450)$.

NODE=M067R04
NODE=M067R04

NODE=M067R04;LINKAGE=A

$$\phi(1680) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$$

This combination of a branching ratio into channel (i) and branching ratio into e^+e^- is directly measured and obtained from the cross section at the peak. We list only data that have not been used to determine the branching ratio into (i) or e^+e^- .

NODE=M067223

NODE=M067223

$$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.131 ± 0.059	948	¹ AKHMETSHIN	03	CMD2 $1.05\text{--}1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$

¹ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known. Recalculated by us.

NODE=M067G5
NODE=M067G5

NODE=M067G;LINKAGE=GK

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1.15 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.01$		¹ AUBERT	08S	BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma + \text{c.c.}$
3.29 ± 1.57	367	² BISELLO	91C	DM2 $1.35\text{--}2.40 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

² Recalculated by us with the published value of $B(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)$.

NODE=M067G6
NODE=M067G6

NODE=M067G6;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M067G;LINKAGE=GL

$$\Gamma(\phi\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1.86 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.21$	4.8k	¹ SHEN	09	BELL $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

¹ Multiplied by 3/2 to take into account the $\phi\pi^0\pi^0$ mode. Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6)\%$.

NODE=M067G01
NODE=M067G01

NODE=M067G01;LINKAGE=SH

$$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$5.64^{+1.74}_{-1.80}$		ACHASOV	19	SNB $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$
$5.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9$	3k	¹ IVANOV	19A	CMD3 $1.59\text{--}2.007 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
$4.3 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.9$		² AUBERT	08S	BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta\gamma$

¹ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.

² From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

NODE=M067G7
NODE=M067G7

NODE=M067G7;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M067G7;LINKAGE=AU

$\phi(1680)$ BRANCHING RATIOS $\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K\pi)$ Γ_1/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
dominant	MANE	82 DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

NODE=M067225

NODE=M067R3
NODE=M067R3 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • 0.07 ± 0.01	BUON	82 DM1	e^+e^-

NODE=M067R2
NODE=M067R2 $\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)$ Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.10	BUON	82 DM1	e^+e^-

NODE=M067R1
NODE=M067R1 $\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	35	¹ ACHASOV	14 SND	$1.15\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

NODE=M067R01
NODE=M067R01¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

NODE=M067R01;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)$ Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • ≈ 0.37	¹ AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M067R5
NODE=M067R5¹ From the fit including data from AUBERT 07AK.

NODE=M067R5;LINKAGE=AU

 $\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	35	¹ ACHASOV	14 SND	$1.15\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

NODE=M067R02
NODE=M067R02¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

NODE=M067R02;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\rho(770)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ DIMOVA	25 SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M067R05
NODE=M067R05¹ From a fit to the cross section with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$.

NODE=M067R05;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(f_2'(1525)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ ACHASOV	22 SND	$1.17\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\eta\gamma$

NODE=M067R03
NODE=M067R03¹ The 90% CL upper limit on the Born cross sections $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi(1680) \rightarrow f_2'(1525)\gamma \rightarrow \eta\eta\gamma)$ and $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho(1700) \rightarrow f_0(1500)\gamma \rightarrow \eta\eta\gamma)$ is 10.6 pb.

NODE=M067R03;LINKAGE=A

 $\phi(1680)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M067

DIMOVA	25	PPN 56 820	T.V. Dimova <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
FEDOTOVICH	25	PPN 56 434	G.V. Fedotovitch <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24CB	PR D110 112014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LICHARD	23	PR D108 092005	P. Lichard	(OPAV, CTUP)
ZHU	23	PR D107 012006	W. Zhu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ZHU	23A	CP C47 113003	W. Zhu, X. Wang	(RVUE)
ABLIKIM	22L	JHEP 2207 045	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	22	EPJ C82 168	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20F	PR D101 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	20C	EPJ C80 1139	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	19	PR D99 112004	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
IVANOV	19A	PL B798 134946	V.L. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV	18A	PR D97 032011	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	14	PR D90 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LEES	14H	PR D89 092002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	12F	PR D86 012008	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
SHEN	09	PR D80 031101	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03	PL B551 27	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
Also		PAN 65 1222	E.V. Anashkin, V.M. Aulchenko, R.R. Akhmetshin	
ACHASOV	98H	Translated from YAF 65 1255		
CLEGG	94	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
BISELLO	91C	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	ZPHY C52 227	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BISELLO	88B	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BARKOV	87	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
		JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 46 132		
BUON	82	PL I18B 221	I. Buon <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
MANE	82	PL I12B 178	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
IVANOV	81	PL I07B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
MANE	81	PL 99B 261	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)

REFID=63292
REFID=63288
REFID=63033
REFID=62426
REFID=61911
REFID=62439
REFID=61649
REFID=61655
REFID=60256
REFID=60935
REFID=59887
REFID=60133
REFID=58883
REFID=55912
REFID=55940
REFID=54298
REFID=54066
REFID=53000
REFID=52242
REFID=51908
REFID=51136
REFID=49172
REFID=48827

REFID=46323
REFID=44081
REFID=43168
REFID=41867
REFID=41369
REFID=40581
REFID=40280

REFID=21494
REFID=21590
REFID=20553
REFID=21588

$\rho_3(1690)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{--})$$

NODE=M015

 $\rho_3(1690)$ MASS

NODE=M015205

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

1688.8±2.1 OUR AVERAGE

Includes data from the 5 datablocks that follow this one.

NODE=M015M

2 π MODE

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

CHG

COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M015M1

NODE=M015M1

1686± 4 OUR AVERAGE

1677±14		EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	—	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\pi p$
1679±11	476	BALTAY	78B	HBC	0	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1678±12	175	¹ ANTIPOV	77	CIBS	0	25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
1690± 7	600	¹ ENGLER	74	DBC	0	6 $\pi^+ n \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1693± 8		² GRAYER	74	ASPK	0	17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1678±12		MATTHEWS	71C	DBC	0	7 $\pi^+ N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1734±10		³ CORDEN	79	OMEG		12–15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi$
1692±12		^{2,4} ESTABROOKS	75	RVUE		17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1737±23		ARMENISE	70	DBC	0	9 $\pi^+ N$
1650±35	122	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow N 2\pi$
1687±21		STUNTEBECK	70	HDBC	0	8 $\pi^- p$, 5.4 $\pi^+ d$
1683±13		ARMENISE	68	DBC	0	5.1 $\pi^+ d$
1670±30		GOLDBERG	65	HBC	0	6 $\pi^+ d$, 8 $\pi^- p$

¹ Mass errors enlarged by us to $\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.² Uses same data as HYAMS 75.³ From a phase shift solution containing a $f_2'(1525)$ width two times larger than the $K\bar{K}$ result.⁴ From phase-shift analysis. Error takes account of spread of different phase-shift solutions.

NODE=M015M1;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M015M1;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M015M1;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M015M1;LINKAGE=I

 $K\bar{K}$ AND $K\bar{K}\pi$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

CHG

COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M015M2

NODE=M015M2

1696± 4 OUR AVERAGE

1699± 5		ALPER	80	CNTR	0	62 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
1698±12	6k	^{5,6} MARTIN	78D	SPEC		10 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$
1692± 6		BLUM	75	ASPK	0	18.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n K^+ K^-$
1690±16		ADERHOLZ	69	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1694± 8		⁷ COSTA	80	OMEG		10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$

⁵ From a fit to $J^P = 3^-$ partial wave.⁶ Systematic error on mass scale subtracted.⁷ They cannot distinguish between $\rho_3(1690)$ and $\omega_3(1670)$.

NODE=M015M2;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M015M2;LINKAGE=S

NODE=M015M2;LINKAGE=L

(4 π) $^\pm$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

CHG

COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M015M3

NODE=M015M3

1686± 5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

1694± 6		⁸ EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	—	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
1665±15	177	BALTAY	78B	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
1670±10		THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p$
1687±20		CASON	73	HBC	—	8,18.5 $\pi^- p$
1685±14		⁹ CASON	73	HBC	—	8,18.5 $\pi^- p$
1680±40	144	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow N 4\pi$
1689±20	102	⁹ BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow N 2p$
1705±21		CASO	70	HBC	—	11.2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \rho 2\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1718±10		¹⁰ EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	—	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
1673± 9		¹¹ EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	—	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
1733± 9	66	⁹ KLIGER	74	HBC	—	4.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
1630±15		HOLMES	72	HBC	+	10–12 $K^+ p$
1720±15		BALTAY	68	HBC	+	7, 8.5 $\pi^+ p$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

- ⁸ From $\rho^- \rho^0$ mode, not independent of the other two EVANGELISTA 81 entries.
⁹ From $\rho^\pm \rho^0$ mode.
¹⁰ From $a_2(1320)^- \pi^0$ mode, not independent of the other two EVANGELISTA 81 entries.
¹¹ From $a_2(1320)^0 \pi^-$ mode, not independent of the other two EVANGELISTA 81 entries.

 $\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

1681 ± 7 OUR AVERAGE

1670 ± 25	¹² ALDE	95	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 n$
1690 ± 15	EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	— 12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi p$
1666 ± 14	GESSAROLI	77	HBC	11 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi p$
1686 ± 9	THOMPSON	74	HBC	+ 13 $\pi^+ p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1654 ± 24	BARNHAM	70	HBC	+ 10 $K^+ p \rightarrow \omega \pi X$

¹² Supersedes ALDE 92C.

 $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ MODE

(For difficulties with MMS experiments, see the $a_2(1320)$ mini-review in the 1973 edition.)

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

1682 ± 12 OUR AVERAGE

1685 ± 10 ± 20	AMELIN	00	VES	37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1680 ± 15	FUKUI	88	SPEC	0 8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1700 ± 47	¹³ ANDERSON	69	MMS	— 16 $\pi^- p$ backward
1632 ± 15	^{13,14} FOCACCI	66	MMS	— 7–12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow pMM$
1700 ± 15	^{13,14} FOCACCI	66	MMS	— 7–12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow pMM$
1748 ± 15	^{13,14} FOCACCI	66	MMS	— 7–12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow pMM$


¹³ Seen in 2.5–3 GeV/c $\bar{p}p$. $2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$, with 0, 1, 2 $\pi^+ \pi^-$ pairs in ρ band not seen by OREN 74 (2.3 GeV/c $\bar{p}p$) with more statistics. (Jan. 1976)

¹⁴ Not seen by BOWEN 72.

 $\rho_3(1690)$ WIDTH **$2\pi, K\bar{K},$ AND $K\bar{K}\pi$ MODES**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID

161 ± 10 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the 5 datablocks that follow this one. Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.



NODE=M015M3;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M015M3;LINKAGE=F
 NODE=M015M3;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M015M3;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M015M5
 NODE=M015M5

NODE=M015M5;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M015M6
 NODE=M015M6

NODE=M015M6

OCCUR=2
 OCCUR=3

NODE=M015M6;LINKAGE=R

NODE=M015M6;LINKAGE=N

NODE=M015210

NODE=M015W
 NODE=M015W

2 π MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M015W1
NODE=M015W1

186 $\pm$ 14 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.


220 $\pm$ 29		DENNEY	83	LASS	10 $\pi^+ N$
246 $\pm$ 37		EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\pi p$
116 $\pm$ 30	476	BALTAY	78B	HBC	0 15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
162 $\pm$ 50	175	15 ANTIPOV	77	CIBS	0 25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p3\pi$
167 $\pm$ 40	600	ENGLER	74	DBC	0 6 $\pi^+ n \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- p$
200 $\pm$ 18		16 GRAYER	74	ASPK	0 17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
156 $\pm$ 36		MATTHEWS	71C	DBC	0 7 $\pi^+ N$
171 $\pm$ 65		ARMENISE	70	DBC	0 9 $\pi^+ d$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

322 $\pm$ 35		17 CORDEN	79	OMEG	12-15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2\pi$
240 $\pm$ 30		16,18 ESTABROOKS	75	RVUE	17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
180 $\pm$ 30	122	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow N2\pi$
267 $\pm$ 72 -46		STUNTEBECK	70	HDBC	0 8 $\pi^- p, 5.4 \pi^+ d$
188 $\pm$ 49		ARMENISE	68	DBC	0 5.1 $\pi^+ d$
180 $\pm$ 40		GOLDBERG	65	HBC	0 6 $\pi^+ d, 8 \pi^- p$

¹⁵ Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.¹⁶ Uses same data as HYAMS 75 and BECKER 79.¹⁷ From a phase shift solution containing a $f'_2(1525)$ width two times larger than the $K\bar{K}$ result.¹⁸ From phase-shift analysis. Error takes account of spread of different phase-shift solutions.

NODE=M015W1;LINKAGE=T
NODE=M015W1;LINKAGE=G
NODE=M015W1;LINKAGE=M
NODE=M015W1;LINKAGE=L

 **$K\bar{K}$ AND $K\bar{K}\pi$ MODES**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M015W2
NODE=M015W2

204 $\pm$ 18 OUR AVERAGE

199 $\pm$ 40	6000	19 MARTIN	78D	SPEC	10 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$
205 $\pm$ 20		BLUM	75	ASPK	0 18.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nK^+ K^-$
219 $\pm$ 4		ALPER	80	CNTR	0 62 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
186 $\pm$ 11		20 COSTA	80	OMEG	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
112 $\pm$ 60		ADERHOLZ	69	HBC	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$

¹⁹ From a fit to $J^P = 3^-$ partial wave.²⁰ They cannot distinguish between $\rho_3(1690)$ and $\omega_3(1670)$.

NODE=M015W2;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M015W2;LINKAGE=L

(4 π) $^\pm$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M015W3
 NODE=M015W3

129 $\pm$ 10 OUR AVERAGE

123 $\pm$ 13		21	EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	—	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p4\pi$	
105 $\pm$ 30	177		BALTAY	78B	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p4\pi$	
169 $^{+70}_{-48}$			CASON	73	HBC	—	8,18.5 $\pi^- p$	
135 $\pm$ 30	144		BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow N4\pi$	
160 $\pm$ 30	102		BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow N2\rho$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •								
230 $\pm$ 28		22	EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	—	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p4\pi$	OCCUR=2
184 $\pm$ 33		23	EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	—	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p4\pi$	OCCUR=3
150	66	24	KLIGER	74	HBC	—	4.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p4\pi$	
106 $\pm$ 25			THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p$	
125 $^{+83}_{-35}$		24	CASON	73	HBC	—	8,18.5 $\pi^- p$	OCCUR=2
130 $\pm$ 30			HOLMES	72	HBC	+	10–12 $K^+ p$	
180 $\pm$ 30	90	24	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow Na_2\pi$	OCCUR=2
100 $\pm$ 35			BALTAY	68	HBC	+	7, 8.5 $\pi^+ p$	

21 From $\rho^- \rho^0$ mode, not independent of the other two EVANGELISTA 81 entries.22 From $a_2(1320)^- \pi^0$ mode, not independent of the other two EVANGELISTA 81 entries.23 From $a_2(1320)^0 \pi^-$ mode, not independent of the other two EVANGELISTA 81 entries.24 From $\rho^\pm \rho^0$ mode.

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M015W3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M015W3;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M015W3;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M015W3;LINKAGE=F

 $\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M015W5
 NODE=M015W5

190 $\pm$ 40 OUR AVERAGE

230 $\pm$ 65		25	ALDE	95	GAM2		38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 n$	
190 $\pm$ 65			EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	—	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi p$	
160 $\pm$ 56			GESSAROLI	77	HBC		11 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi p$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •								
89 $\pm$ 25			THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p$	
130 $^{+73}_{-43}$			BARNHAM	70	HBC	+	10 $K^+ p \rightarrow \omega\pi X$	

25 Supersedes ALDE 92C.

NODE=M015W5;LINKAGE=A

 $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ MODE(For difficulties with MMS experiments, see the $a_2(1320)$ mini-review in the 1973 edition.)

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M015W6

NODE=M015W6

NODE=M015W6

130 $\pm$ 40 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8.

220 $\pm$ 30 $\pm$ 50	AMELIN	00	VES		37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$	
106 $\pm$ 27	FUKUI	88	SPEC	0	8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
195	26	ANDERSON	69	MMS	—	16 $\pi^- p$ backward
< 21	26,27	FOCACCI	66	MMS	—	7–12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow pMM$
< 30	26,27	FOCACCI	66	MMS	—	7–12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow pMM$
< 38	26,27	FOCACCI	66	MMS	—	7–12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow pMM$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

26 Seen in 2.5–3 GeV/c $\bar{p}p$. $2\pi^+2\pi^-$, with 0, 1, 2 $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs in ρ^0 band not seen by OREN 74 (2.3 GeV/c $\bar{p}p$) with more statistics. (Jan. 1979)

27 Not seen by BOWEN 72.

NODE=M015W6;LINKAGE=R

NODE=M015W6;LINKAGE=N

$\rho_3(1690)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M015215;NODE=M015

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor
Γ_1	4π	(71.1 $\pm$ 1.9) %	
Γ_2	$\pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	(67 $\pm$ 22) %	
Γ_3	$\omega \pi$	(16 $\pm$ 6) %	
Γ_4	$\pi \pi$	(23.6 $\pm$ 1.3) %	
Γ_5	$K \bar{K} \pi$	(3.8 $\pm$ 1.2) %	
Γ_6	$K \bar{K}$	(1.58 $\pm$ 0.26) %	1.2
Γ_7	$\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen	
Γ_8	$\rho(770) \eta$	seen	
Γ_9	$\pi \pi \rho$	seen	
Γ_{10}	$a_2(1320) \pi$	seen	
Γ_{11}	$\rho \rho$	seen	
Γ_{12}	$\phi \pi$		
Γ_{13}	$\eta \pi$		
Γ_{14}	$\pi^\pm 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$		

DESIG=2
DESIG=11
DESIG=7
DESIG=1
DESIG=3
DESIG=4
DESIG=13
DESIG=14;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=6;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=8;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=9
DESIG=10
DESIG=12

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 5 branching ratios uses 10 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 14.7$ for 7 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_4	-77		
x_5	-74	17	
x_6	-15	2	0
	x_1	x_4	x_5

 $\rho_3(1690)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M015220

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_4/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT		
0.236$\pm$0.013 OUR FIT						
0.243$\pm$0.013 OUR AVERAGE						
0.259 $^{+0.018}_{-0.019}$	BECKER	79	ASPK	0	17 $\pi^- p$ polarized	
0.23 $\pm$ 0.02	CORDEN	79	OMEG		12-15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi$	
0.22 $\pm$ 0.04	²⁸ MATTHEWS	71c	HDBC	0	7 $\pi^+ n \rightarrow \pi^- p$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
0.245 $\pm$ 0.006	²⁹ ESTABROOKS	75	RVUE		17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$	
²⁸ One-pion-exchange model used in this estimation.						
²⁹ From phase-shift analysis of HYAMS 75 data.						

NODE=M015R1
NODE=M015R1

NODE=M015R1;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M015R1;LINKAGE=G

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$						Γ_4/Γ_2
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT		
0.35$\pm$0.11	CASON	73	HBC	-	8,18.5 $\pi^- p$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.2	HOLMES	72	HBC	+	10-12 $K^+ p$	
<0.12	BALLAM	71B	HBC	-	16 $\pi^- p$	

NODE=M015R2
NODE=M015R2

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$						Γ_4/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT		
0.332$\pm$0.026 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
0.30 $\pm$ 0.10	BALTAY	78B	HBC	0	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 4\pi$	

NODE=M015R3
NODE=M015R3

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_6/\Gamma_4$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT


0.067±0.011 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

NODE=M015R4
NODE=M015R4

0.118^{+0.040}_{-0.032} OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.

0.191 ^{+0.040} _{-0.037}	GORLICH	80	ASPK	0	17,18 $\pi^- p$ polarized
0.08 ±0.03	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p$
0.08 ^{+0.08} _{-0.03}	CRENNELL	68B	HBC		6.0 $\pi^- p$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
0.118+0.040-0.032 (Error scaled by 1.7)



$$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$$

$$\Gamma_5/\Gamma_4$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

0.16±0.05 OUR FIT

0.16±0.05 ³⁰ BARTSCH 70B HBC + 8 $\pi^+ p$

³⁰ Increased by us to correspond to $B(\rho_3(1690) \rightarrow \pi\pi)=0.24$.

NODE=M015R5
NODE=M015R5

NODE=M015R5;LINKAGE=A

$$[\Gamma(\pi\pi\rho) + \Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi) + \Gamma(\rho\rho)]/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \quad (\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10}+\Gamma_{11})/\Gamma_2$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

0.94±0.09 OUR AVERAGE

0.96±0.21	BALTAY	78B	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p4\pi$
0.88±0.15	BALLAM	71B	HBC	-	16 $\pi^- p$
1 ±0.15	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p$
consistent with 1	CASO	68	HBC	-	11 $\pi^- p$

NODE=M015R6
NODE=M015R6

$$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$$

$$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_2$$

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.12±0.11	BALTAY	78B	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p4\pi$
0.56	66 KLIGER	74	HBC	-	4.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p4\pi$
0.13±0.09	³¹ THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p$
0.7 ±0.15	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p$

³¹ $\rho\rho$ and $a_2(1320)\pi$ modes are indistinguishable.

NODE=M015R7
NODE=M015R7

NODE=M015R7;LINKAGE=T

$$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/[\Gamma(\pi\pi\rho) + \Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi) + \Gamma(\rho\rho)]$$

$$\Gamma_{11}/(\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10}+\Gamma_{11})$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.48±0.16	CASO	68	HBC	-	11 $\pi^- p$

NODE=M015R8
NODE=M015R8

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{10}/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
0.66±0.08	BALTAY	78B	HBC	+	15 π ⁺ p → p 4π
0.36±0.14	³² THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 π ⁺ p
not seen	CASON	73	HBC	−	8,18.5 π [−] p
0.6 ±0.15	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 π ⁺ p
0.6	BALTAY	68	HBC	+	7,8.5 π ⁺ p

³² $\rho\rho$ and $a_2(1320)\pi$ modes are indistinguishable.NODE=M015R9
NODE=M015R9

NODE=M015R9;LINKAGE=T

 $\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_3/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.23±0.05 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
0.33±0.07		THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p$
0.12±0.07		BALLAM	71B	HBC	-	16 $\pi^- p$
0.25±0.10		BALTAY	68	HBC	+	7,8.5 $\pi^+ p$
0.25±0.10		JOHNSTON	68	HBC	-	7.0 $\pi^- p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●						
<0.11	95	BALTAY	78B	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
<0.09		KLIGER	74	HBC	-	4.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p 4\pi$

NODE=M015R10
NODE=M015R10 $\Gamma(\phi\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{12}/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
<0.11	BALTAY	68	HBC	+ 7,8.5 $\pi^+ p$

NODE=M015R11
NODE=M015R11 $\Gamma(\pi^\pm 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{14}/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
<0.15	BALTAY	68	HBC +	7,8.5 $\pi^+ p$

NODE=M015R12
NODE=M015R12 $\Gamma(\eta\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{13}/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
<0.02	THOMPSON	74	HBC	+ 13 $\pi^+ p$

NODE=M015R13
NODE=M015R13 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.0158 ± 0.0026 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
0.0130 ± 0.0024 OUR AVERAGE				

0.013 ± 0.003	COSTA	80	OMEG	0	$10 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
0.013 ± 0.004	³³ MARTIN	78B	SPEC	-	$10 \pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$

³³ From $(\Gamma_4\Gamma_6)^{1/2} = 0.056 \pm 0.034$ assuming $B(\rho_3(1690) \rightarrow \pi\pi) = 0.24$.NODE=M015R14
NODE=M015R14

NODE=M015R14;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\omega\pi)/[\Gamma(\omega\pi) + \Gamma(\rho\rho)]$ $\Gamma_3/(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_{11})$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.22±0.08	CASON	73	HBC	— 8,18.5 π [−] p

NODE=M015R16
NODE=M015R16 $\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
seen	FUKUI	88	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$

NODE=M015R17
NODE=M015R17 $\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma(\rho(770)\eta)$ Γ_{10}/Γ_8

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.5±2.0	AMELIN	00	VES 37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$

NODE=M015R18
NODE=M015R18

$\rho_3(1690)$ REFERENCES

AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ALDE	95	ZPHY C66 379	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.) JP
ALDE	92C	ZPHY C54 553	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, SERP, KEK, LANL+)
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
DENNEY	83	PR D28 2726	D.L. Denney <i>et al.</i>	(IOWA, MICH)
EVANGELIS...	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
ALPER	80	PL 94B 422	B. Alper <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
COSTA	80	NP B175 402	G. Costa <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
GORLICH	80	NP B174 16	L. Gorlich <i>et al.</i>	(CRAC, MPIM, CERN+)
BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
CORDEN	79	NP B157 250	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+) JP
BALTAY	78B	PR D17 62	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING)
MARTIN	78B	NP B140 158	A.D. Martin <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA)
MARTIN	78D	PL 74B 417	A.D. Martin <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA)
ANTIPOV	77	NP B119 45	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, GEVA)
GESSAROLI	77	NP B126 382	R. Gessaroli <i>et al.</i>	(BGNA, FIRZ, GENO+)
BLUM	75	PL 57B 403	W. Blum <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM) JP
ESTABROOKS	75	NP B95 322	P.G. Estabrooks, A.D. Martin	(DURH)
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
ENGLER	74	PR D10 2070	A. Engler <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, CASE)
GRAYER	74	NP B75 189	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
KLIGER	74	SJNP 19 428	G.K. Kliger <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
Translated from YAF 19 839.				
OREN	74	NP B71 189	Y. Oren <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, OXF)
THOMPSON	74	NP B69 220	G. Thompson <i>et al.</i>	(PURD)
CASON	73	PR D7 1971	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM)
BOWEN	72	PRL 29 890	D.R. Bowen <i>et al.</i>	(NEAS, STON)
HOLMES	72	PR D6 3336	R. Holmes <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH)
BALLAM	71B	PR D3 2606	J. Ballam <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
MATTHEWS	71C	NP B33 1	J.A.J. Matthews <i>et al.</i>	(TNTO, WISC) JP
ARMENISE	70	LNC 4 199	N. Armenise <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BGNA, FIRZ)
BARNHAM	70	PRL 24 1083	K.W.J. Barnham <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM)
BARTSCH	70B	NP B22 109	J. Bartsch <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN)
CASO	70	LNC 3 707	C. Caso <i>et al.</i>	(GENO, HAMB, MILA, SACL)
STUNTEBECK	70	PL 32B 391	P.H. Stuntebeck <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM)
ADERHOLZ	69	NP B11 259	M. Aderholz <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, CERN+)
ANDERSON	69	PRL 22 1390	E.W. Anderson <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CMU)
ARMENISE	68	NC 54A 999	N. Armenise <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BGNA, FIRZ+) I
BALTAY	68	PRL 20 887	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, ROCH, RUTG, YALE) I
CASO	68	NC 54A 983	C. Caso <i>et al.</i>	(GENO, HAMB, MILA, SACL)
CRENNELL	68B	PL 28B 136	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
JOHNSTON	68	PRL 20 1414	T.F. Johnston <i>et al.</i>	(TNTO, WISC) IJP
FOCACCI	66	PRL 17 890	M.N. Focacci <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
GOLDBERG	65	PL 17 354	M. Goldberg <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, EPOL, ORSAY+)

NODE=M015

REFID=47432
REFID=44371
REFID=41859
REFID=40273
REFID=20754
REFID=20462
REFID=21665
REFID=20737
REFID=20738
REFID=21084
REFID=20374
REFID=21265
REFID=21273
REFID=21272
REFID=20728
REFID=20230
REFID=21651
REFID=20642
REFID=20355
REFID=20110
REFID=20113
REFID=21648

REFID=20221
REFID=21650
REFID=20606
REFID=21711
REFID=21639
REFID=21630
REFID=21633
REFID=20693
REFID=21624
REFID=21625
REFID=20590
REFID=20696
REFID=20687
REFID=20795
REFID=20054
REFID=21531
REFID=20586
REFID=21616
REFID=21617
REFID=20402
REFID=21601

NODE=M065

 $\rho(1700)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^- -)$$

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

NODE=M065

 $\rho(1700)$ MASS

NODE=M065205

 $\eta\rho^0$ AND $\pi^+\pi^-$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

1720±20 OUR ESTIMATE

NODE=M065M0

NODE=M065M0

→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M065M6

NODE=M065M6

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1834±12	13.4k	¹ GRIBANOV	20	CMD3	1.1–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1840±10	7.4k	² ACHASOV	18	SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1740±20		ANTONELLI	88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1701±15		³ FUKUI	88	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

¹ Mass and width of the $\rho(770)$ fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays used.² From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π , 0 and π , respectively.³ Assuming $\rho^+ f_0(1370)$ decay mode interferes with $a_1(1260)^+\pi$ background. From a two Breit-Wigner fit.

NODE=M065M6;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M065M6;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M065M;LINKAGE=B

$\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M065M1
NODE=M065M1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1604.66 ± 30.8	34M	¹ IGNATOV	24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1770.54 ± 5.49		² BARTOS	17	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1718.50 ± 65.44		³ BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1766.80 ± 52.36		⁴ BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1644 ± 36	20k	⁵ LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1780 ± 20	$^{+15}_{-20}$ 63.5k	⁶ ABRAMOWICZ12		ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^-p$
1861 ± 17		⁷ LEES	12G	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1728 ± 17	± 89 5.4M	^{8,9} FUJIKAWA	08	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1780 $^{+37}_{-29}$		¹⁰ ABELE	97	CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
1719 ± 15		¹⁰ BERTIN	97C	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1730 ± 30		CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1768 ± 21		BISELLO	89	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1745.7 ± 91.9		DUBNICKA	89	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1546 ± 26		GESHKEN...	89	RVUE	
1650		¹¹ ERKAL	85	RVUE	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow \gamma\pi$
1550 ± 70		ABE	84B	HYBR	$20 \gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-p$
1590 ± 20		¹² ASTON	80	OMEG	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow p2\pi$
1600 ± 10		¹³ ATIYA	79B	SPEC	$50 \gamma C \rightarrow C2\pi$
1598 $^{+24}_{-22}$		BECKER	79	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p$ polarized
1659 ± 25		¹¹ LANG	79	RVUE	
1575		¹¹ MARTIN	78C	RVUE	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
1610 ± 30		¹¹ FROGGATT	77	RVUE	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
1590 ± 20		¹⁴ HYAMS	73	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$

OCCUR=2

¹ From a fit of the pion form factor using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho - \omega$ interference leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. The fit uses also data from CMD-2 and DM2 experiments. Systematic errors not estimated.

² Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.

³ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.

⁴ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.

⁵ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ masses and widths floating.

⁶ Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting $\rho - \omega$ interference.

⁷ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

⁸ $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.

⁹ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

¹⁰ T-matrix pole.

¹¹ From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.

¹² Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

¹³ An additional 40 MeV uncertainty in both the mass and width is present due to the choice of the background shape.

¹⁴ Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

NODE=M065M1;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M065M1;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M065M1;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M065M1;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M065M1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M065M1;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M065M1;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M065M1;LINKAGE=FU

NODE=M065M1;LINKAGE=GO

NODE=M065M;LINKAGE=QQ

NODE=M065M;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M065M;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M065M;LINKAGE=R

NODE=M065M;LINKAGE=H

 $\pi\omega$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1723 ± 2		¹ ACHASOV	23A	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$
1708 ± 41	7815	² ACHASOV	13	SND	$1.05-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1550 to 1620		³ ACHASOV	00i	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1580 to 1710		⁴ ACHASOV	00i	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1710 ± 90		ACHASOV	97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$

OCCUR=2

NODE=M065M8
NODE=M065M8

¹ From a vector dominance fit to the Born cross section between 1.05 and 2.0 GeV with $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1570)$, $\rho(1700)$, $\rho(2150)$. The fit also uses SND data from the VEPP-2M collider below 1.02 GeV and from LEES 17H and ABLIKIM 21A above 1.5 GeV.

² From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.

³ Taking into account both $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ contributions. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$ and of EDWARDS 00A on $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$. $\rho(1450)$ mass and width fixed at 1400 MeV and 500 MeV respectively.

⁴ Taking into account the $\rho(1700)$ contribution only. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$ and of EDWARDS 00A on $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$.

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1688.7 ± 3.1 ^{+141.1} _{-1.3}		¹ ALBRECHT	20	RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
1541 ± 12 ± 33	190k	² AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1740.8 ± 22.2	27k	³ ABELE	99D	CBAR ±	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
1582 ± 36	1600	CLELAND	82B	SPEC ±	50 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$

¹ T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 3 channels, including $\pi\pi$ scattering data from HYAMS 75.

² Using the GOUNARIS 68 parameterization with a fixed width. Value is average using different $K\pi$ S-wave parametrizations in fit.

³ K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1650)$ or $\phi(1680)$.

$2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1851 ⁺²⁷ ₋₂₄		ACHASOV	97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1570 ± 20		¹ CORDIER	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1520 ± 30		² ASTON	81E	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1654 ± 25		³ DIBIANCA	81	DBC	$\pi^+d \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1666 ± 39		¹ BACCI	80	FRAG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1780	34	KILLIAN	80	SPEC	11 $e^-p \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1500		⁴ ATIYA	79B	SPEC	50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C4\pi^\pm$
1570 ± 60	65	⁵ ALEXANDER	75	HBC	7.5 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1550 ± 60		² CONVERSI	74	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1550 ± 50	160	SCHACHT	74	STRC	5.5–9 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1450 ± 100	340	SCHACHT	74	STRC	9–18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1430 ± 50	400	BINGHAM	72B	HBC	9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$

¹ Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with model dependent width.

² Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

³ One peak fit result.

⁴ Parameters roughly estimated, not from a fit.

⁵ Skew mass distribution compensated by Ross-Stodolsky factor.

$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1660 ± 30	ATKINSON	85B	OMEG 20–70 γp

$3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ AND $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1730 ± 34	¹ FRABETTI	04	E687 $\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-\rho$
1783 ± 15	CLEGG	90	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

¹ From a fit with two resonances with the JACOB 72 continuum.

$$m_{\rho(1700)^0} - m_{\rho(1700)^\pm}$$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

−48.30 ± 83.81	¹ BARTOS	17A	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$

¹ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

NODE=M065M8;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M065M8;LINKAGE=AC

NODE=M065M;LINKAGE=I1

NODE=M065M;LINKAGE=I2

NODE=M065M2

NODE=M065M2

NODE=M065M2;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M065M2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M065M2;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M065M4

NODE=M065M4

OCCUR=2

NODE=M065M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M065M4;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M065M;LINKAGE=O

NODE=M065M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M065M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M065M5

NODE=M065M5

NODE=M065M7

NODE=M065M7

NODE=M065M;LINKAGE=PI

NODE=M065DM

NODE=M065DM

NODE=M065DM;LINKAGE=A

$\rho(1700)$ WIDTH **$\eta\rho^0$ AND $\pi^+\pi^-$ MODES**

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

250 $\pm$ 100 OUR ESTIMATE

NODE=M065210

NODE=M065W0

NODE=M065W0

→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M065W6

NODE=M065W6

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

47 $\pm$ 19	13.4k	¹ GRIBANOV	20	CMD3	1.1–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
132 $\pm$ 40	7.4k	² ACHASOV	18	SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
150 $\pm$ 30		ANTONELLI	88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
282 $\pm$ 44		³ FUKUI	88	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

¹ Mass and width of the $\rho(770)$ fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays used.² From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π , 0 and π , respectively.³ Assuming $\rho^+f_0(1370)$ decay mode interferes with $a_1(1260)^+\pi$ background. From a two Breit-Wigner fit.

NODE=M065W6;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M065W6;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M065W;LINKAGE=B

 $\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M065W1

NODE=M065W1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

249.39 $\pm$ 52.24	34M	¹ IGNATOV	24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
268.98 $\pm$ 11.40		² BARTOS	17	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
489.58 $\pm$ 16.95		³ BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
414.71 $\pm$ 119.48		⁴ BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
109 $\pm$ 19	20k	⁵ LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
310 $\pm$ 30	$^{+25}_{-35}$ 63.5k	⁶ ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS		$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^-p$
316 $\pm$ 26		⁷ LEES	12G	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
164 $\pm$ 21	$^{+89}_{-26}$ 5.4M	^{8,9} FUJIKAWA	08	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
275 $\pm$ 45		¹⁰ ABELE	97	CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
310 $\pm$ 40		¹⁰ BERTIN	97C	OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
400 $\pm$ 100		CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
224 $\pm$ 22		BISELLO	89	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
242.5 $\pm$ 163.0		DUBNICKA	89	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
620 $\pm$ 60		GESHKEN...	89	RVUE	
<315		¹¹ ERKAL	85	RVUE	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \gamma\pi$
280 $^{+30}_{-80}$		ABE	84B	HYBR	20 $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-p$
230 $\pm$ 80		¹² ASTON	80	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p2\pi$
283 $\pm$ 14		¹³ ATIYA	79B	SPEC	50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C2\pi$
175 $^{+98}_{-53}$		BECKER	79	ASPK	17 π^-p polarized
232 $\pm$ 34		¹¹ LANG	79	RVUE	
340		¹¹ MARTIN	78C	RVUE	17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
300 $\pm$ 100		¹¹ FROGGATT	77	RVUE	17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
180 $\pm$ 50		¹⁴ HYAMS	73	ASPK	17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$

¹ From a fit of the pion form factor using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho - \omega$ interference leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. The fit uses also data from CMD-2 and DM2 experiments. Systematic errors not estimated.² Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.³ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.⁴ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.⁵ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ masses and widths floating.⁶ Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting $\rho - \omega$ interference.⁷ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M065W1;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M065W1;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M065W1;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M065W1;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M065W1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M065W1;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M065W1;LINKAGE=LE

⁸ $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.

⁹ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

¹⁰ T-matrix pole.

¹¹ From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.

¹² Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

¹³ An additional 40 MeV uncertainty in both the mass and width is present due to the choice of the background shape.

¹⁴ Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

150.9 ± 2.5 ⁺⁶⁰ _{-10.6}		¹ ALBRECHT	20	RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
187.2 ± 26.7	27k	² ABELE	99D	CBAR ±	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
265 ± 120	1600	CLELAND	82B	SPEC ±	50 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$

¹ T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 3 channels, including $\pi\pi$ scattering data from HYAMS 75.

² K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1650)$ or $\phi(1680)$.

2($\pi^+\pi^-$) MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

510 ± 40		¹ CORDIER	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
400 ± 50		² ASTON	81E	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
400 ± 146		³ DIBIANCA	81	DBC	$\pi^+ d \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
700 ± 160		¹ BACCI	80	FRAG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
100	34	KILLIAN	80	SPEC	11 $e^- p \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
600		⁴ ATIYA	79B	SPEC	50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C4\pi^\pm$
340 ± 160	65	⁵ ALEXANDER	75	HBC	7.5 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
360 ± 100		² CONVERSI	74	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
400 ± 120	160	⁶ SCHACHT	74	STRC	5.5–9 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
850 ± 200	340	⁶ SCHACHT	74	STRC	9–18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
650 ± 100	400	BINGHAM	72B	HBC	9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$

¹ Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with model-dependent width.

² Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

³ One peak fit result.

⁴ Parameters roughly estimated, not from a fit.

⁵ Skew mass distribution compensated by Ross-Stodolsky factor.

⁶ Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

300 ± 50	ATKINSON	85B	OMEG 20–70 γp

$\omega\pi^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

371 ± 3	¹ ACHASOV	23A	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$
350 to 580	² ACHASOV	00I	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
490 to 1040	³ ACHASOV	00I	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

¹ From a vector dominance fit to the Born cross section between 1.05 and 2.0 GeV with $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1570)$, $\rho(1700)$, $\rho(2150)$. The fit also uses SND data from the VEPP-2M collider below 1.02 GeV and from LEES 17H and ABLIKIM 21A above 1.5 GeV.

² Taking into account both $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ contributions. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$ and of EDWARDS 00A on $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^- \nu_\tau$. $\rho(1450)$ mass and width fixed at 1400 MeV and 500 MeV respectively.

³ Taking into account the $\rho(1700)$ contribution only. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$ and of EDWARDS 00A on $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^- \nu_\tau$.

3($\pi^+\pi^-$) AND 2($\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$) MODES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

315 ± 100	¹ FRABETTI	04	E687 $\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- p$
285 ± 20	CLEGG	90	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

NODE=M065W1;LINKAGE=FU

NODE=M065W1;LINKAGE=GO

NODE=M065W;LINKAGE=QQ

NODE=M065W;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M065W;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M065W;LINKAGE=R

NODE=M065W;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M065W2

NODE=M065W2

NODE=M065W2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M065W2;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M065W4

NODE=M065W4

OCCUR=2

NODE=M065W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M065W4;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M065W;LINKAGE=O

NODE=M065W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M065W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M065W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M065W5

NODE=M065W5

NODE=M065W9

NODE=M065W9

OCCUR=2

NODE=M065W9;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M065W;LINKAGE=I1

NODE=M065W;LINKAGE=I2

NODE=M065W7

NODE=M065W7

¹ From a fit with two resonances with the JACOB 72 continuum.

$$\Gamma_{\rho(1700)^0} = \Gamma_{\rho(1700)^\pm}$$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

74.87±120.67	¹ BARTOS	17A	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$

¹ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

$\rho(1700)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 4π	
Γ_2 $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	seen
Γ_3 $\rho\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_4 $\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_5 $\rho^0\pi^0\pi^0$	
Γ_6 $\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0$	seen
Γ_7 $a_1(1260)\pi$	seen
Γ_8 $h_1(1170)\pi$	seen
Γ_9 $\pi(1300)\pi$	seen
Γ_{10} $\rho\rho$	seen
Γ_{11} $\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_{12} $\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_{13} $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_{14} $\eta\rho$	seen
Γ_{15} $a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen
Γ_{16} $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_{17} e^+e^-	seen
Γ_{18} $\pi^0\omega$	seen
Γ_{19} $\pi^0\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{20} $f_0(1500)\gamma$	not seen

$\rho(1700) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into e^+e^- and with the total width is obtained from the cross-section into channel_i in e^+e^- annihilation.

$$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.6 ±0.2	DELCOURT	81B	DM1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
2.83±0.42	BACCI	80	FRAG $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{11}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.13	¹ DIEKMAN	88	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
0.029 ^{+0.016} _{-0.012}	KURDADZE	83	OLYA 0.64–1.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Using total width = 220 MeV.

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{13}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.305±0.071	¹ BIZOT	80	DM1 e^+e^-

¹ Model dependent.

NODE=M065W;LINKAGE=PI

NODE=M065DW

NODE=M065DW

NODE=M065DW;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M065215;NODE=M065

DESIG=20

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=12;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=7

DESIG=9;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=15;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=16;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=17;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=18;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=13;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=10;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=11;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=14;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=8;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=6;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=194

DESIG=195

NODE=M065225

NODE=M065225

NODE=M065G2

NODE=M065G2

NODE=M065G4

NODE=M065G4

NODE=M065G4;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M065G10

NODE=M065G10

NODE=M065G;LINKAGE=M

$$\Gamma(\eta\rho) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{14}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.35 ± 0.53 ± 0.08 13.4k		¹ GRIBANOV	20	CMD3 1.1–2.0 e ⁺ e ⁻ → ηπ ⁺ π ⁻
84 ± 26 ± 4		² LEES	18	BABR e ⁺ e ⁻ → ηπ ⁺ π ⁻
7 ± 3		ANTONELLI	88	DM2 e ⁺ e ⁻ → ηπ ⁺ π ⁻

¹ Mass and width of the ρ(770) fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, η → γγ decays used.

² Includes non-resonant contribution. The selected fit model includes three ρ excited states. Model uncertainty is 80%.

NODE=M065G11
NODE=M065G11

NODE=M065G11;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M065G11;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{16}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.035 ± 0.029	¹ BIZOT	80	DM1 e ⁺ e ⁻

¹ Model dependent.

NODE=M065G5
NODE=M065G5

NODE=M065G5;LINKAGE=M

$$\Gamma(\rho\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
3.510 ± 0.090	¹ BIZOT	80	DM1 e ⁺ e ⁻

¹ Model dependent.

NODE=M065G12
NODE=M065G12

NODE=M065G12;LINKAGE=M

$$\rho(1700) \Gamma(i)/\Gamma(\text{total}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$$

NODE=M065240

$$\Gamma(\pi^0\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁶)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.09 ± 0.05	10.2k	¹ ACHASOV	16D	SND 1.05–2.00 e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁰ π ⁰ γ
1.7 ± 0.4	7815	² ACHASOV	13	SND 1.05–2.00 e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁰ π ⁰ γ

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering ρ(700), ρ(1450), and ρ(1700). The ρ(1700) mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.

² From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering ρ(1450) and ρ(1700) and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.

OCCUR=4

NODE=M065R01;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M065R01;LINKAGE=AC

$$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{14}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁸)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
8.3 ^{+3.8} _{-3.1}	7.4k	¹ ACHASOV	18	SND 1.22–2.00 e ⁺ e ⁻ → ηπ ⁺ π ⁻

¹ From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering ρ(1450), ρ(1700) and ρ(2150) with the parameters of the ρ(1450) and ρ(1700) floating and the mass and width of the ρ(2150) fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π, 0 and π, respectively.

NODE=M065R00
NODE=M065R00

NODE=M065R00;LINKAGE=A

$$\rho(1700) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$$

NODE=M065230

$$\Gamma(\rho\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi) \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.28 ± 0.06	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 p̄n → 5π

¹ ωπ not included.

NODE=M065R19
NODE=M065R19

NODE=M065R;LINKAGE=BL

$$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma_2$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 1.0		DELCOURT	81B	DM1 e ⁺ e ⁻ → 2(π ⁺ π ⁻)
0.7 ± 0.1	500	SCHACHT	74	STRC 5.5–18 γp → p4π
0.80		¹ BINGHAM	72B	HBC 9.3 γp → p4π

¹ The ππ system is in S-wave.

NODE=M065R1
NODE=M065R1

NODE=M065R1;LINKAGE=S

$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0)$ Γ_5/Γ_6

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.10	ATKINSON	85B	OMEG	20-70 γp
<0.15	ATKINSON	82	OMEG 0	20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$

NODE=M065R6
 NODE=M065R6

 $\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.16±0.05	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
¹ $\omega\pi$ not included.			

NODE=M065R15
 NODE=M065R15

NODE=M065R15;LINKAGE=BL

 $\Gamma(h_1(1170)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_8/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.17±0.06	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
¹ $\omega\pi$ not included.			

NODE=M065R16
 NODE=M065R16

NODE=M065R16;LINKAGE=BL

 $\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.30±0.10	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
¹ $\omega\pi$ not included.			

NODE=M065R17
 NODE=M065R17

NODE=M065R17;LINKAGE=BL

 $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_{10}/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.09±0.03	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
¹ $\omega\pi$ not included.			

NODE=M065R18
 NODE=M065R18

NODE=M065R18;LINKAGE=BL

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.108±0.017 ^{+0.162} _{-0.004}	¹ ALBRECHT	20	RVUE 0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
0.287 ^{+0.043} _{-0.042}	BECKER	79	ASPK 17 π^-p polarized
0.15 to 0.30	² MARTIN	78C	RVUE 17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
<0.20	³ COSTA...	77B	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\pi, 4\pi$
0.30 ±0.05	² FROGGATT	77	RVUE 17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
<0.15	⁴ EISENBERG	73	HBC 5 $\pi^+p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}2\pi$
0.25 ±0.05	⁵ HYAMS	73	ASPK 17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
¹ Residue from T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 3 channels, Chew-Mandelstam functions and simplified analytic continuation for the 4π channel. Includes scattering data from HYAMS 75 and model-independent calculation of GARCIA-MARTIN 11A.			
² From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.			
³ Estimate using unitarity, time reversal invariance, Breit-Wigner.			
⁴ Estimated using one-pion-exchange model.			
⁵ Included in BECKER 79 analysis.			

NODE=M065R5
 NODE=M065R5

NODE=M065R5;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M065R5;LINKAGE=P
 NODE=M065R5;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M065R5;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M065R5;LINKAGE=H

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.007±0.006 ^{+0.041} _{-0.002}	¹ ALBRECHT	20	RVUE 0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$

NODE=M065R03
 NODE=M065R03

NODE=M065R03;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$ Γ_{11}/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.13±0.05	ASTON	80	OMEG 20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 2\pi$
<0.14	¹ DAVIER	73	STRC 6-18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
<0.2	² BINGHAM	72B	HBC 9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 2\pi$
¹ Upper limit is estimate.			
² 2σ upper limit.			

NODE=M065R3
 NODE=M065R3

NODE=M065R3;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M065R3;LINKAGE=S

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.16 ± 0.04	^{1,2} ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p} n \rightarrow 5\pi$
¹ Using ABELE 97.			
² $\omega\pi$ not included.			

 Γ_{12}/Γ_1

NODE=M065R20
 NODE=M065R20

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
possibly seen	COAN	04	CLEO $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau$

 Γ_{13}/Γ

NODE=M065R21
 NODE=M065R21

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.15 ± 0.03	¹ DELCOURT	81B	DM1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{K}K\pi$
¹ Assuming $\rho(1700)$ and ω radial excitations to be degenerate in mass.			

 Γ_{13}/Γ_2

NODE=M065R9
 NODE=M065R9

 $\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
possibly seen		AKHMETSHIN	00D	CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
<0.04		DONNACHIE	87B	RVUE
<0.02	58	ATKINSON	86B	OMEG 20-70 γp

 Γ_{14}/Γ

NODE=M065R12
 NODE=M065R12

 $\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.123 ± 0.027	DELCOURT	82	DM1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \text{MM}$
~ 0.1	ASTON	80	OMEG 20-70 γp

 Γ_{14}/Γ_2

NODE=M065R8
 NODE=M065R8

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- \text{ neutrals})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2.6 ± 0.4	¹ BALLAM	74	HBC 9.3 γp
¹ Upper limit. Background not subtracted.			

 $(\Gamma_5+\Gamma_6+0.714\Gamma_{14})/\Gamma_2$

NODE=M065R7
 NODE=M065R7

 $\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	AMELIN	00	VES $37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

 Γ_{15}/Γ

NODE=M065R14
 NODE=M065R14

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.015 ± 0.010		¹ DELCOURT	81B	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{K}K$
<0.04	95	BINGHAM	72B	HBC	0 9.3 γp
¹ Assuming $\rho(1700)$ and ω radial excitations to be degenerate in mass.					

 Γ_{16}/Γ_2

NODE=M065R4
 NODE=M065R4

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.052 ± 0.026	BUON	82	DM1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 Γ_{16}/Γ_{13}

NODE=M065R10
 NODE=M065R10

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen		MATVIENKO	15	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \omega \pi^-$
seen	1.6k	ACHASOV	12	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
not seen	2382	AKHMETSHIN	03B	CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
seen		ACHASOV	97	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$

 Γ_{18}/Γ

NODE=M065R13
 NODE=M065R13

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ ACHASOV	10D SND	$1.075\text{--}2.0\ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

¹From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The width of the highest mass effective resonance is fixed at 315 MeV.

 $\Gamma(f_0(1500)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ ACHASOV	22 SND	$1.17\text{--}2.00\ e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\eta\gamma$

¹The 90% CL upper limit on the Born cross sections $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi(1680) \rightarrow f'_2(1525)\gamma \rightarrow \eta\eta\gamma)$ and $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho(1700) \rightarrow f_0(1500)\gamma \rightarrow \eta\eta\gamma)$ is 10.6 pb.

 $\rho(1700)$ REFERENCES

IGNATOV	24	PR D109 112002	F.V. Ignatov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV	23A	PR D108 092012	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	22	EPJ C82 168	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21A	PL B813 136059	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
GRIBANOV	20	JHEP 2001 112	S.S. Gribanov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV	18	PR D97 012008	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LEES	18	PR D97 052007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BARTOS	17	PR D96 113004	E. Bartos <i>et al.</i>	
BARTOS	17A	IJMP A32 1750154	E. Bartos <i>et al.</i>	
LEES	17C	PR D95 072007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	17H	PR D96 092009	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AAIJ	16N	PR D93 052018	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16C	PL B753 629	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	16D	PR D94 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO	15	PR D91 052013	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
MATVIENKO	15	PR D92 012013	D. Matvienko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ACHASOV	13	PR D88 054013	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ABRAMOWICZ	12	EPJ C72 1869	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ACHASOV	12	JETPL 94 734	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from ZETFP 94 796.		
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AMBROSINO	11A	PL B700 102	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
GARCIA-MAR...	11A	PR D83 074004	R. Garcia-Martin <i>et al.</i>	(MADR, CRAC)
ACHASOV	10D	PR D98 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
DUBNICKA	10	APS 60 1	S. Dubnicka, A.Z. Dubnickova	
AUBERT	09AS	PRL 103 231801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
FUJIKAWA	08	PR D78 072006	M. Fujikawa <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	07	PL B648 28	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	06	JETP 103 380	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 130 437.		
COAN	04	PRL 92 232001	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FRABETTI	04	PL B578 290	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	00I	PL B486 29	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
EDWARDS	00A	PR D61 072003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABELE	99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	97	PL B391 191	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	97	PR D55 2663	N.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVM)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
CLEGG	90	ZPHY C45 677	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
KUHN	90	ZPHY C48 445	J.H. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
BISELLO	89	PL B220 321	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DUBNICKA	89	JP G15 1349	S. Dubnicka <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, SLOV)
GESHKEN...	89	ZPHY C45 351	B.V. Geshkenbein	(ITEP)
ANTONELLI	88	PL B212 133	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DIEKMANN	88	PRPL 159 99	B. Diekmann	(BONN)
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
DONNACHIE	87B	ZPHY C34 257	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
ATKINSON	86B	ZPHY C30 531	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	85B	ZPHY C26 499	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ERKAL	85	ZPHY C29 485	C. Erkal, M.G. Olsson	(WISC)
ABE	84B	PRL 53 751	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC HFP Collab.)
KURDADZE	83	JETPL 37 733	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 37 613.		
ATKINSON	82	PL 108B 55	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
BUON	82	PL 118B 221	J. Buon <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
CLELAND	82B	NP B208 228	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
CORDIER	82	PL 109B 129	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
DELCOURT	82	PL 113B 93	B. Delcourt <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
ASTON	81E	NP B189 15	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
DELCOURT	81B	Bonn Conf. 205	B. Delcourt	(ORSAY)
Also		PL 109B 129	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
DIBIANCA	81	PR D23 595	F.A. di Bianca <i>et al.</i>	(CASE, CMU)
ASTON	80	PL 92B 215	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
BACCI	80	PL 95B 139	C. Bacci <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, FRAS)
BIZOT	80	Madison Conf. 546	J.C. Bizot <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
KILLIAN	80	PR D21 3005	T.J. Killian <i>et al.</i>	(CORN)
ATIYA	79B	PRL 43 1691	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, ILL, FNAL)
BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
LANG	79	PR D19 956	C.B. Lang, A. Mas-Parada	(GRAZ)
MARTIN	78C	ANP 114 1	A.D. Martin, M.R. Pennington	(CERN)
COSTA...	77B	PL 71B 345	B. Costa de Beauregard, B. Pire, T.N. Truong	(EPOL)
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(GLAS, NORD)
ALEXANDER	75	PL 57B 487	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(TELA)
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
BALLAM	74	NP B76 375	J. Ballam <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL, MPIM)
CONVERSI	74	PL 52B 493	M. Conversi <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, FRAS)
SCHACHT	74	NP B81 205	P. Schacht <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
DAVIER	73	NP B58 31	M. Davier <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
EISENBERG	73	PL 43B 149	Y. Eisenberg <i>et al.</i>	(REHO)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
BINGHAM	72B	PL 41B 635	H.H. Bingham <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, UCB, SLAC) IGJP
JACOB	72	PR D5 1847	M. Jacob, R. Slansky	
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	

NODE=M065R02
NODE=M065R02

NODE=M065R02;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M065R04
NODE=M065R04

NODE=M065R04;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M065

REFID=62672
REFID=62428
REFID=61655
REFID=61028
REFID=60439
REFID=60620
REFID=58785
REFID=58900
REFID=58325
REFID=58367
REFID=57981
REFID=58311
REFID=57273
REFID=57138
REFID=57618
REFID=56793
REFID=56601
REFID=55584
REFID=54274
REFID=54275

REFID=54299
REFID=16683
REFID=54121
REFID=59494
REFID=58797
REFID=53136
REFID=52536
REFID=51615
REFID=51113

REFID=49945
REFID=49614
REFID=49406
REFID=48356
REFID=47931
REFID=47935
REFID=47432
REFID=47465
REFID=47401
REFID=45415
REFID=45382
REFID=45701
REFID=44081
REFID=41355
REFID=45862
REFID=40740
REFID=44082
REFID=41017
REFID=40583
REFID=40272
REFID=40273
REFID=40920
REFID=21508
REFID=21508
REFID=21506
REFID=20136
REFID=21503
REFID=20133

REFID=21493
REFID=21494
REFID=21281
REFID=21495
REFID=21496
REFID=21487
REFID=21486
REFID=21487
REFID=21490
REFID=21495
REFID=21492
REFID=21478
REFID=21481
REFID=21482
REFID=21484
REFID=21470
REFID=21084
REFID=20126
REFID=21661
REFID=21465
REFID=21072
REFID=21450
REFID=20355
REFID=20610
REFID=20637
REFID=21449
REFID=21434
REFID=21435
REFID=20107
REFID=21426
REFID=49668
REFID=48054

$a_2(1700)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$$

NODE=M162

 $a_2(1700)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$ Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

NODE=M162PP

NODE=M162PP

NODE=M162PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1630–1780) – i (60–250) OUR ESTIMATE			
$(1686 \pm 22^{+19}_{-7}) - i(211 \pm 38^{+32}_{-29})$	¹ KOPF	21	RVUE $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$ and $191 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$
$(1638.9 \pm 2.3^{+57.4}_{-0.1}) - i(112.0 \pm 1.3^{+0.9}_{-24.2})$	² ALBRECHT	20	RVUE $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$
$(1722 \pm 15 \pm 67) - i(124 \pm 9 \pm 32)$	³ RODAS	19	RVUE $191 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$
$(1698 \pm 44) - i(133 \pm 28)$	AMSLER	02	CBAR $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
¹ Based on combined fit of Crystal Barrel and $\pi\pi$ scattering data (ALBRECHT 20), and COMPASS data (ADOLPH 15), using a coupled-channel model of $\eta\pi$, $\eta'\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ systems. ² Based on 2 poles, 2 channels ($\eta\pi$, $K\bar{K}$). ³ The coupled-channel analysis of both the $\eta\pi$ and $\eta'\pi$ systems using ADOLPH 15 data.			

NODE=M162PP;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M162PP;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M162PP;LINKAGE=C

 $a_2(1700)$ MASS

NODE=M162M

NODE=M162M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1706 ± 14 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
1681^{+22}_{-35}	46M	^{1,2} AGHASYAN	18B	COMP $190 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
$1726 \pm 12 \pm 25$		² ABLIKIM	17K	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$1722 \pm 9 \pm 15$	18k	³ SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1660 ± 40		² ABELE	99B	CBAR $1.94 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1720 \pm 10 \pm 60$		⁴ JACKURA	18	RVUE $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
1675 ± 25		ANISOVICH	09	RVUE $0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$
1702 ± 7	80k	⁵ UMAN	06	E835 $5.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
$1721 \pm 13 \pm 44$	145k	LU	05	B852 $18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
$1737 \pm 5 \pm 7$		ABE	04	BELL $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
1767 ± 14	221	⁶ ACCIARRI	01H	L3 $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0, E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} = 91, 183-209 \text{ GeV}$
~ 1775		⁷ GRYGOREV	99	SPEC $40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
$1752 \pm 21 \pm 4$		ACCIARRI	97T	L3 $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
¹ Statistical error negligible. ² Breit-Wigner mass. ³ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV. ⁴ Superseded by RODAS 19. ⁵ Statistical error only. ⁶ Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be $I=1$. ⁷ Possibly two $J^P = 2^+$ resonances with isospins 0 and 1.				

NODE=M162M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M162M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M162M;LINKAGE=SC

NODE=M162M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M162M;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M162M;LINKAGE=HA

NODE=M162M;LINKAGE=GR

 $a_2(1700)$ WIDTH

NODE=M162W

NODE=M162W


VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
380^{+60}_{-50} OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 3.9. See the ideogram below.				
436^{+20}_{-16}	46M	^{1,2} AGHASYAN	18B	COMP $190 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
$190 \pm 18 \pm 30$		² ABLIKIM	17K	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$336 \pm 20 \pm 20$	18k	³ SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
280 ± 70		² ABELE	99B	CBAR $1.94 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

280± 10±70		⁴ JACKURA	18	RVUE	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
270 ⁺ ₋ 50 20		ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	0.0 $\bar{p} p, \pi N$
417± 19	80k	⁵ UMAN	06	E835	5.2 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
279± 49±66	145k	LU	05	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
151± 22±24		ABE	04	BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ $e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
187± 60	221	⁶ ACCIARRI	01H L3		$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0, E_{cm}^{\text{see}} =$ 91, 183–209 GeV
150±110±34		ACCIARRI	97T L3		$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

- ¹ Statistical error negligible.
² Breit-Wigner width.
³ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.
⁴ Superseded by RODAS 19.
⁵ Statistical error only.
⁶ Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be $I=1$.

NODE=M162W;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M162W;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M162W;LINKAGE=SC
NODE=M162W;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M162W;LINKAGE=ST
NODE=M162W;LINKAGE=HA



$a_2(1700)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M162215;NODE=M162

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\eta \pi$	$(2.5 \pm 0.6) \%$
Γ_2 $\eta' \pi$	seen
Γ_3 $\gamma \gamma$	$(7.9 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-7}$
Γ_4 $\rho \pi$	seen
Γ_5 $f_2(1270) \pi$	seen
Γ_6 $K \bar{K}$	$(1.3 \pm 0.8) \%$
Γ_7 $\omega \pi^- \pi^0$	seen
Γ_8 $\omega \rho$	seen

DESIG=4
DESIG=8;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=1
DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=5
DESIG=6;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=7;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

$a_2(1700)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M162220

$\Gamma(\eta \pi)$					Γ_1
VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
9.5±2.0	870	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	

NODE=M162W3
NODE=M162W3

- ¹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $a_2(1700)$ mass of 1730 MeV and width of 340 MeV, and SU(3) relations.

NODE=M162W3;LINKAGE=SC

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_3

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.30±0.05	870	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $a_2(1700)$ mass of 1730 MeV and width of 340 MeV, and SU(3) relations.

NODE=M162W2
NODE=M162W2

NODE=M162W2;LINKAGE=SC

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})$ Γ_6

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.0±3.0	870	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $a_2(1700)$ mass of 1730 MeV and width of 340 MeV, and SU(3) relations.

NODE=M162W1
NODE=M162W1

NODE=M162W1;LINKAGE=SC

 $a_2(1700) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M162225

$$\left[\Gamma(\rho\pi) + \Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi) \right] \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad (\Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5) \Gamma_3 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.29±0.04±0.02		ACCIARRI 97T L3		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.37^{+0.12}_{-0.08} \pm 0.10$	18k	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

NODE=M162G1
NODE=M162G1

NODE=M162G1;LINKAGE=SC

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_6 \Gamma_3 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

20.6 ± 4.2 ± 4.6	¹ ABE 04	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
49 ± 11 ± 13	² ACCIARRI 01H	L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0, E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} = 91, 183\text{--}209 \text{ GeV}$

¹ Assuming spin 2.

² Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be $I=1$.

NODE=M162G2
NODE=M162G2

NODE=M162G2;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M162G;LINKAGE=HA

 $a_2(1700)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M162235

 $\Gamma(\rho\pi) / \Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$ Γ_4 / Γ_5

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$3.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1$	18k	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

NODE=M162R01
NODE=M162R01

NODE=M162R01;LINKAGE=SC

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) / \Gamma(\eta\pi)$ Γ_6 / Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.029 \pm 0.04^{+0.011}_{-0.012}$	¹ KOPF 21	RVUE	$0.9 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^- \text{ and } 191 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$
$4.134 \pm 0.106^{+4.909}_{-2.988}$	² ALBRECHT 20	RVUE	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$

¹ From T-matrix pole based on combined fit of Crystal Barrel and $\pi\pi$ scattering data (ALBRECHT 20), and COMPASS data (ADOLPH 15), using a coupled-channel model of $\eta\pi, \eta'\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ systems.

² Residues from T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 2 channels ($\pi\eta, K\bar{K}$).

NODE=M162R00
NODE=M162R00

NODE=M162R00;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M162R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta'\pi) / \Gamma(\eta\pi)$ Γ_2 / Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.035 \pm 0.044^{+0.069}_{-0.012}$	¹ KOPF 21	RVUE	$0.9 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^- \text{ and } 191 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$

¹ From T-matrix pole based on combined fit of Crystal Barrel and $\pi\pi$ scattering data (ALBRECHT 20), and COMPASS data (ADOLPH 15), using a coupled-channel model of $\eta\pi, \eta'\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ systems.

NODE=M162R02
NODE=M162R02

NODE=M162R02;LINKAGE=A

a₂(1700) REFERENCES

KOPF	21	EPJ C81 1056	B. Kopf <i>et al.</i>	(BOCH)
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
RODAS	19	PRL 122 042002	A. Rodas <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC Collab.)
AGHASYAN	18B	PR D98 092003	M. Aghasyan <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
JACKURA	18	PL B779 464	A. Jackura <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC and COMPASS Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17K	PR D95 032002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ADOLPH	15	PL B740 303	M. Adolph <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)
SCHEGELSKY	06	EPJ A27 199	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
SCHEGELSKY	06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
LU	05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ABE	04	EPJ C32 323	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AMSLER	02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACCIARRI	01H	PL B501 173	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ABELE	99B	EPJ C8 67	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
GRYGOREV	99	PAN 62 470	V.K. Grygorev <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 62 513.		
ACCIARRI	97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)

NODE=M162

REFID=61470
REFID=60439
REFID=59554
REFID=59471
REFID=59003
REFID=57953
REFID=56385
REFID=52719
REFID=51186
REFID=51185
REFID=51063
REFID=50459
REFID=49650
REFID=48580
REFID=48321
REFID=46904
REFID=46909
REFID=45761

NODE=M263

a₀(1710)

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{++})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Evidence for this state is also inferred from the interference of the $K^+ K^-$ and $K_S^0 K_S^0$ decays of the $f_0(1710)$ in $D_s^+ \rightarrow f_0(1710) \pi^+$, leading to a relative branching ratio an order of magnitude larger than expected from isospin symmetry (ABLIKIM 22F). See also the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

NODE=M263


a₀(1710) MASS

NODE=M263M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1713±19 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 3.8. See the ideogram below.		
1736±10±12	¹ AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$
1817± 8±20	² ABLIKIM	22AH BES3	$D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^0$
1704± 5± 2	LEES	21A BABR	$\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$
¹ From Dalitz plot analyses of $\eta_c(1S, 2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + c.c.$			
² Observed to decay into $K_S^0 K^+$ in a Breit-Wigner amplitude analysis involving D_s^+ decays into $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+$, $\bar{K}^*(892)^+ K_S^0$, $\bar{K}^*(1410)^0 K^+$, $a_0(980)^+ \pi^0$, and $a_0(1817)^+ \pi^0$.			

NODE=M263M

NODE=M263M;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M263M;LINKAGE=A



a₀(1710) WIDTH

NODE=M263W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
107±15 OUR AVERAGE			
134±17±61	¹ AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$
97±22±15	² ABLIKIM	22AH BES3	$D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^0$
110±15±11	LEES	21A BABR	$\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$
¹ From Dalitz plot analyses of $\eta_c(1S, 2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + c.c..$			
² Observed to decay into $K_S^0 K^+$ in a Breit-Wigner amplitude analysis involving D_s^+ decays into $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+$, $\bar{K}^*(892)^+ K_S^0$, $\bar{K}^*(1410)^0 K^+$, $a_0(980)^+ \pi^0$, and $a_0(1817)^+ \pi^0$.			

NODE=M263W

NODE=M263W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M263W;LINKAGE=A

$a_0(1710)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M263215;NODE=M263

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi \eta$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad K^+ K^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad K_S^0 K_S^0$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \quad K_S^0 K^+$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

$a_0(1710)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M263225

$\Gamma(\pi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	LEES	21A	BABR $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$

NODE=M263R01
NODE=M263R01

$\Gamma(K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0)$	Γ_2/Γ_3		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.32±0.12	¹ ABLIKIM	22F	BES3 $D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+$

NODE=M263R00
NODE=M263R00
OCCUR=2

¹ Using $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$ from ABLIKIM 21AE. The apparent violation of isospin symmetry may be due to a destructive interference with the $f_0(1710)$ in the $K^+ K^-$ channel, and a constructive interference in the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ channel.

NODE=M263R00;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_4/Γ		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	ABLIKIM	22AH BES3	$D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^0$

NODE=M263R02
NODE=M263R02

$a_0(1710)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M263

AAIJ	23AH PR D108 032010	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22AH PRL 129 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22F PR D105 L051103	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21AE PR D104 012016	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	21A PR D104 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)

REFID=62349
REFID=61880
REFID=61641
REFID=61367
REFID=61442

$f_0(1710)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

 $f_0(1710)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$ Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1680–1820) – i (50–180) OUR ESTIMATE			
$(1769 \pm 8) - i(78 \pm 6)$	¹ RODAS	22	RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K})$
$(1700 \pm 18) - i(127 \pm 12)$	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
$(1803 \pm 3.5^{+45.5}_{-10.4}) - i(145 \pm 2.5^{+16.3}_{-9.6})$	² ALBRECHT	20	RVUE $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0K^+K^-$
$(1732 \pm 15) - i(160^{+25}_{-10})$	³ ANISOVICH	03	RVUE $\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \eta\eta', \pi\pi\pi\pi$
$(1698 \pm 18) - i(60 \pm 13)$	BARBERIS	00E	OMEG 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f\eta\eta p_s$
$(1770 \pm 12) - i(110 \pm 20)$	⁴ ANISOVICH	99B	SPEC $0.6\text{--}1.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
$(1727 \pm 12 \pm 11) - i(63 \pm 8 \pm 9)$	BARBERIS	99D	OMEG 450 $pp \rightarrow K^+K^-, \pi^+\pi^-$
$(1750 \pm 30) - i(125 \pm 70)$	ANISOVICH	98B	RVUE Compilation
¹ T-matrix pole from coupled channel K-matrix fit to data on $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$ (ABLIKIM 15AE) and $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$ (ABLIKIM 18AA).			
² T-matrix pole, 5 poles, 5 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$), and BINON 84C ($\eta\eta'$).			
³ Solution I.			
⁴ Not seen by AMSLER 02.			

 $f_0(1710)$ Breit-Wigner MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1733^{+8}_{-7} OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.		
$1757 \pm 24 \pm 9$		LEES	21A	BABR $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta' K^+ K^-$
$1759 \pm 6^{+14}_{-25}$	5.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	13N	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$
$1750^{+6}_{-7}^{+29}_{-18}$		² UEHARA	13	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
$1701 \pm 5^{+9}_{-2}$	4k	³ CHEKANOV	08	ZEUS $e p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
$1765^{+4}_{-3} \pm 13$		ABLIKIM	06V	BES2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1738 ± 30		ABLIKIM	04E	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^-$
$1740 \pm 4^{+10}_{-25}$		BAI	03G	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}$
1740^{+30}_{-25}		BAI	00A	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$
1710 ± 25		⁴ FRENCH	99	300 $pp \rightarrow p_f(K^+K^-)p_s$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$1744 \pm 7 \pm 5$	381	^{5,6} DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
$1705 \pm 11 \pm 5$	237	^{5,6} DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
$1706 \pm 4 \pm 5$	1.0k	^{5,6} DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
$1690 \pm 8 \pm 3$	349	^{5,6} DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1750 ± 13		AMSLER	06	CBAR $1.64 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1747 ± 5	80k	⁷ UMAN	06	E835 $5.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
1776 ± 15		VLADIMIRSK...	06	SPEC $40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1670 ± 20		BINON	05	GAMS $33 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$
1682 ± 16		TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC $40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
1670 ± 26	3.6k	⁸ NICHITIU	02	OBLX $0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1730 ± 15		BARBERIS	99	OMEG 450 $pp \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+ K^-$
1750 ± 20		BARBERIS	99B	OMEG 450 $pp \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
1720 ± 39		BAI	98H	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$
1775 ± 1.5	57	⁹ BARKOV	98	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1690 ± 11		¹⁰ ABREU	96C	DLPH $Z^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- + X$
$1696 \pm 5^{+9}_{-34}$		¹¹ BAI	96C	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

NODE=M068

NODE=M068

NODE=M068PP

NODE=M068PP

NODE=M068PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=3

NODE=M068PP;LINKAGE=O

NODE=M068PP;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M068PP;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M068PP;LINKAGE=AV

NODE=M068M


NODE=M068M

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

1781 ± 8 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₃₁	BAI	96C	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$	OCCUR=2
1768 ± 14	BALOSHIN	95	SPEC	$40 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$	
1750 ± 15	¹² BUGG	95	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	
1620 ± 16	¹¹ BUGG	95	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	OCCUR=2
1748 ± 10	¹³ ARMSTRONG	93C	E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$	
~ 1750	BREAKSTONE	93	SFM	$p p \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	
1744 ± 15	¹⁴ ALDE	92D	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta n$	
1713 ± 10	¹⁵ ARMSTRONG	89D	OMEG	$300 p p \rightarrow p p K^+ K^-$	
1706 ± 10	¹⁵ ARMSTRONG	89D	OMEG	$300 p p \rightarrow p p K_S^0 K_S^0$	OCCUR=2
1707 ± 10	¹³ AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_S^0$	
1700 ± 15	¹¹ BOLONKIN	88	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$	
1720 ± 60	BOLONKIN	88	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$	OCCUR=2
1638 ± 10	¹⁶ FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_S^0$	
1690 ± 4	¹⁷ FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_S^0$	OCCUR=2
1698 ± 15	¹³ AUGUSTIN	87	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$	
1720 ± 10 ± 10	¹¹ BALTRUSAIT..	87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$	
1755 ± 8	¹⁸ ALDE	86C	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\eta$	
1730 ⁺ ₋₁₀ ²	¹⁹ LONGACRE	86	RVUE	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$	
1742 ± 15	¹³ WILLIAMS	84	MPSF	$200 \pi^- N \rightarrow 2 K_S^0 X$	
1670 ± 50	BLOOM	83	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\eta$	
1650 ± 50	BURKE	82	MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\rho$	
1640 ± 50	^{20,21} EDWARDS	82D	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\eta$	
1730 ± 10 ± 20	²² ETKIN	82C	MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$	
¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=D
² Spin 0 favored over spin 2.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=H
³ In the SU(3) based model with a specific interference pattern of the $f_2'(1270)$, $a_2^0(1320)$, and $f_2'(1525)$ mesons incoherently added to the $f_0(1710)$ and non-resonant background.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=HE
⁴ $J^P = 0^+$, supersedes ARMSTRONG 89D.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=C3
⁵ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=F
⁶ From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed $\Gamma = 135$ MeV.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=G
⁷ Systematic errors not estimated.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=CH
⁸ Decaying to $f_0(1370)\pi\pi$.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=NC
⁹ No J^{PC} determination.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=4A
¹⁰ No J^{PC} determination, width not determined.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=A4
¹¹ $J^P = 2^+$.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=A3
¹² From a fit to the 0^+ partial wave.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=Q0
¹³ No J^{PC} determination.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=A1
¹⁴ ALDE 92D combines all the GAMS-2000 data.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=AA
¹⁵ $J^P = 2^+$, superseded by FRENCH 99.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=C
¹⁶ From an analysis ignoring interference with $f_2'(1525)$.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=A
¹⁷ From an analysis including interference with $f_2'(1525)$.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=B
¹⁸ Superseded by ALDE 92D.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=BB
¹⁹ Uses MRK3 data. From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles, but assuming spin 2. Fit with constrained inelasticity.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=A9
²⁰ $J^P = 2^+$ preferred.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=B2
²¹ From fit neglecting nearby $f_2'(1525)$. Replaced by BLOOM 83.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=E
²² Superseded by LONGACRE 86.					NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=B1



$f_0(1710)$ Breit-Wigner WIDTH

NODE=M068W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
150 $\pm$ 12 $\pm$ 10		OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.
175 $\pm$ 23 $\pm$ 4		LEES	21A BABR	$\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta' K^+ K^-$
172 $\pm$ 10 $\pm$ 32 $\pm$ 16	5.5k	1 ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$
139 $\pm$ 11 $\pm$ 96 $\pm$ 50		2 UEHARA	13 BELL	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
100 $\pm$ 24 $\pm$ 7 $\pm$ 22	4k	3 CHEKANOV	08 ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
145 $\pm$ 8 $\pm$ 69		ABLIKIM	06V BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
125 $\pm$ 20		ABLIKIM	04E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^-$
166 $\pm$ 5 $\pm$ 15 $\pm$ 10		BAI	03G BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$
120 $\pm$ 50 $\pm$ 40		BAI	00A BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma (\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$
105 $\pm$ 34		4 FRENCH	99	300 $p p \rightarrow p_f (K^+ K^-) p_s$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
148 $\pm$ 40 $\pm$ 30		AMSLER	06 CBAR	1.64 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
188 $\pm$ 13	80k	5 UMAN	06 E835	5.2 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
250 $\pm$ 30		VLADIMIRSK..	06 SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
260 $\pm$ 50		BINON	05 GAMS	33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta n$
102 $\pm$ 26		TIKHOMIROV	03 SPEC	40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
267 $\pm$ 44	3651	6 NICHITU	02 OBLX	0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
100 $\pm$ 25		BARBERIS	99 OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+ K^-$
160 $\pm$ 30		BARBERIS	99B OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
30 $\pm$ 7	57	7 BARKOV	98	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
103 $\pm$ 18 $\pm$ 30 $\pm$ 11		8 BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
85 $\pm$ 24 $\pm$ 22 $\pm$ 19		BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
56 $\pm$ 19		BALOSHIN	95 SPEC	40 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
160 $\pm$ 40		9 BUGG	95 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
160 $\pm$ 60 $\pm$ 20		8 BUGG	95 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
264 $\pm$ 25		10 ARMSTRONG	93C E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6 \gamma$
200 to 300		BREAKSTONE	93 SFM	$p p \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
< 80 90% CL		11 ALDE	92D GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta N^*$
181 $\pm$ 30		12 ARMSTRONG	89D OMEG	300 $p p \rightarrow p p K^+ K^-$

NODE=M068W

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

104 ± 30	12 ARMSTRONG 89D OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow pp K_S^0 K_S^0$	OCCUR=2
166.4 ± 33.2	10 AUGUSTIN 88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_S^0$	
30 ± 20	8 BOLONKIN 88 SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$	
350 ± 150	BOLONKIN 88 SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$	OCCUR=2
148 ± 17	13 FALVARD 88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_S^0$	
184 ± 6	14 FALVARD 88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_S^0$	OCCUR=2
136 ± 28	10 AUGUSTIN 87 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$	
130 ± 20	8 BALTRUSAIT..87 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$	
122 + 74 - 15	15 LONGACRE 86 RVUE	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$	
57 ± 38	16 WILLIAMS 84 MPSF	$200 \pi^- N \rightarrow 2 K_S^0 X$	
160 ± 80	BLOOM 83 CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2 \eta$	
200 ± 100	BURKE 82 MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2 \rho$	
220 + 100 - 70	17,18 EDWARDS 82D CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2 \eta$	
200 + 156 - 9	19 ETKIN 82B MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$	

¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.

² Spin 0 favored over spin 2.

³ In the SU(3) based model with a specific interference pattern of the $f_2'(1270)$, $a_2^0(1320)$, and $f_2'(1525)$ mesons incoherently added to the $f_0(1710)$ and non-resonant background.

⁴ $J^P = 0^+$, supersedes ARMSTRONG 89D.

⁵ Systematic errors not estimated.

⁶ Decaying to $f_0(1370)\pi\pi$.

⁷ No J^{PC} determination.

⁸ $J^P = 2^+$.

⁹ From a fit to the 0^+ partial wave.

¹⁰ No J^{PC} determination.

¹¹ ALDE 92D combines all the GAMS-2000 data.

¹² $J^P = 2^+$, (0^+ excluded).

¹³ From an analysis ignoring interference with $f_2'(1525)$.

¹⁴ From an analysis including interference with $f_2'(1525)$.

¹⁵ Uses MRK3 data. From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles, but assuming spin 2. Fit with constrained inelasticity.

¹⁶ No J^{PC} determination.

¹⁷ $J^P = 2^+$ preferred.

¹⁸ From fit neglecting nearby $f_2'(1525)$. Replaced by BLOOM 83.

¹⁹ From an amplitude analysis of the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ system, superseded by LONGACRE 86.

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=HE

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=C3

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=CH

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=NC

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=4A

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=A3

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=Q0

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=D


NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=A9

NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=WI

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=B2

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=A



$f_0(1710)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M068215;NODE=M068

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_2 $\eta\eta$	seen
Γ_3 $\eta\eta'$	not seen
Γ_4 $\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_5 $\gamma\gamma$	seen
Γ_6 $\omega\omega$	seen

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=7;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=6;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=4

 $f_0(1710) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M068220

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_1\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$12^{+3}_{-2} + 227_8$		UEHARA	13	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M068G2
 NODE=M068G2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<480	95	ALBRECHT	90G	ARG	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
<110	95	¹ BEHREND	89C	CELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
<280	95	¹ ALTHOFF	85B	TASS	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$

¹ Assuming helicity 2.

NODE=M068G2;LINKAGE=F

$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_4\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.82	95	¹ BARATE	00E	ALEP	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M068G3
 NODE=M068G3

¹ Assuming spin 0.

NODE=M068G;LINKAGE=Z

 $f_0(1710)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M068225

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
<i>VALUE</i>	<i>EVTs</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
seen	1004	¹ DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$	
seen	349	¹ DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$	
0.36 ± 0.12		ALBALADEJO	08	RVUE	
$0.38^{+0.09}_{-0.19}$		² LONGACRE	86	MPS	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$

NODE=M068R2
 NODE=M068R2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

OCCUR=2

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M068R2;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M068R;LINKAGE=L

² From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles, but assuming spin 2. Fit with constrained inelasticity.

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			Γ_2/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.22 ± 0.12	ALBALADEJO 08	RVUE	
$0.18^{+0.03}_{-0.13}$	¹ LONGACRE 86	RVUE	

NODE=M068R1
 NODE=M068R1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

NODE=M068R1;LINKAGE=L

¹ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles, but assuming spin 2. Fit with constrained inelasticity.

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$				Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.48±0.15		BARBERIS	00E	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f \eta\eta p_s$

NODE=M068R7
 NODE=M068R7

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.46^{+0.70}_{-0.38}$		¹ ANISOVICH	02D	SPEC	Combined fit
<0.02	90	² PROKOSHKIN	91	GA24	$300 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- p \eta \eta$

NODE=M068R7;LINKAGE=CH

¹ From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel ($0^- p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n, \eta \eta n, \eta \eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \rightarrow K\bar{K} n$) data.

NODE=M068R;LINKAGE=A

² Combining results of GAM4 with those of ARMSTRONG 89D.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_4/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
seen	381	¹ DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$	
seen	237	¹ DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$	
not seen		AMSLER	02	CBAR $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$	
$0.039^{+0.002}_{-0.024}$		² LONGACRE	86	RVUE	

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

² From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles, but assuming spin 2. Fit with constrained inelasticity.

NODE=M068R5
NODE=M068R5

OCCUR=2

NODE=M068R5;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M068R5;LINKAGE=L

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$					Γ_4/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.23±0.05 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
$0.64 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.18$		LEES	18A	BABR $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-, \gamma K^+K^-$	
$0.41^{+0.11}_{-0.17}$		ABLIKIM	06V	BES2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$	
$0.2 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.036$		BARBERIS	99D	OMEG 450 $pp \rightarrow K^+K^-, \pi^+\pi^-$	
0.39 ± 0.14		ARMSTRONG	91	OMEG 300 $pp \rightarrow pp\pi\pi, ppK\bar{K}$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.32 ± 0.14		ALBALADEJO	08	RVUE	
< 0.11	95	¹ ABLIKIM	04E	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+K^-$	
$5.8^{+9.1}_{-5.5}$		² ANISOVICH	02D	SPEC Combined fit	

¹ Using data from ABLIKIM 04A.

² From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel ($0. p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0\pi^0\eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n, \eta\eta n, \eta\eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \rightarrow K\bar{K} n$) data.

NODE=M068R6
NODE=M068R6

NODE=M068R;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M068R;LINKAGE=CH

$\Gamma(\eta\eta')/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$					Γ_3/Γ_4
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$< 2.87 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	22AS	BES3 $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$	
¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta\eta'$ P-wave.					

NODE=M068R00
NODE=M068R00

NODE=M068R00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_6/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	180	ABLIKIM	06H	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$	

NODE=M068R3
NODE=M068R3

$f_0(1710)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	22AS	PR D106 072012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61891
Also		PR D107 079901 (err.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62033
RODAS	22	EPJ C82 80	A. Rodas <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC Collab.)	REFID=61610
LEES	21A	PR D104 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=61442
SARANTSEV	21	PL B816 136227	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)	REFID=61091
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=60439
ABLIKIM	18AA	PR D98 072003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59455
LEES	18A	PR D97 112006	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=58950
ABLIKIM	15AE	PR D92 052003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56984
DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)	REFID=56805
ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55387
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55592
ALBALADEJO	08	PRL 101 252002	M. Albaladejo, J.A. Oller		REFID=52656
CHEKANOV	08	PRL 101 112003	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)	REFID=52275
ABLIKIM	06H	PR D73 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51125
ABLIKIM	06V	PL B642 441	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51507
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=51136
UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)	REFID=51063
VLADIMIRSK...	06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)	REFID=51191
		Translated from YAF 69 515.			
BINON	05	PAN 68 960	F. Binon <i>et al.</i>		REFID=50780
		Translated from YAF 68 998.			
ABLIKIM	04A	PL B598 149	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49740
ABLIKIM	04E	PL B603 138	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50174
ANISOVICH	03	EPJ A16 229	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49401
BAI	03G	PR D68 052003	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49580
TIKHOMIROV	03	PAN 66 828	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49423
		Translated from YAF 66 860.			
AMSLER	02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=48580
ANISOVICH	02D	PAN 65 1545	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48831
		Translated from YAF 65 1583.			

NODE=M068

NICHITIU	02	PL B545 261	F. Nichitiu <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=48848
BAI	00A	PL B472 207	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47426
BARATE	00E	PL B472 189	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)	REFID=47428
BARBERIS	00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47961
ANISOVICH	99B	PL B449 154	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46886
BARBERIS	99	PL B453 305	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=46921
BARBERIS	99B	PL B453 316	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=46922
BARBERIS	99D	PL B462 462	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=47395
FRENCH	99	PL B460 213	B. French <i>et al.</i>	(WA76 Collab.)	REFID=47491
ANISOVICH	98B	SPU 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46331
		Translated from UFN 168 481.			
BAI	98H	PRL 81 1179	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46342
BARKOV	98	JETPL 68 764	B.P. Barkov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46616
ABREU	96C	PL B379 309	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)	REFID=44671
BAI	96C	PRL 77 3959	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=45169
BALOSHIN	95	PAN 58 46	O.N. Baloshin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)	REFID=44621
		Translated from YAF 58 50.			
BUGG	95	PL B353 378	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, PNPI, WASH)	REFID=44438
ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=43587
BREAKSTONE	93	ZPHY C58 251	A.M. Breakstone <i>et al.</i>	(IOWA, CERN, DORT+)	REFID=43312
ALDE	92D	PL B284 457	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM2 Collab.)	REFID=41591
Also		SJNP 54 451	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM2 Collab.)	REFID=44696
		Translated from YAF 54 745.			
ARMSTRONG	91	ZPHY C51 351	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=41744
PROKOSHKIN	91	SPD 36 155	Y.D. Prokoshkin	(GAM2 and GAM4 Collab.)	REFID=41719
		Translated from DANS 316 900.			
ALBRECHT	90G	ZPHY C48 183	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=41374
ARMSTRONG	89D	PL B227 186	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=41010
BEHREND	89C	ZPHY C43 91	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)	REFID=40915
AUGUSTIN	88	PRL 60 2238	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40574
BOLONKIN	88	NP B309 426	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, SERP)	REFID=40580
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)	REFID=40576
AUGUSTIN	87	ZPHY C36 369	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, CLER, FRAS+)	REFID=40268
BALTRUSAIT...	87	PR D35 2077	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40010
ALDE	86C	PL B182 105	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP)	REFID=21694
LONGACRE	86	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)	REFID=20768
ALTHOFF	85B	ZPHY C29 189	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)	REFID=21349
BINON	84C	NC 80A 363	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP+)	REFID=21418
WILLIAMS	84	PR D30 877	E.G.H. Williams <i>et al.</i>	(VAND, NDAM, TUFTS+)	REFID=21693
BINON	83	NC 78A 313	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP+)	REFID=20750
BLOOM	83	ARNS 33 143	E.D. Bloom, C. Peck	(SLAC, CIT)	REFID=21682
BURKE	82	PRL 49 632	D.L. Burke <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=21676
EDWARDS	82D	PRL 48 458	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21677
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)	REFID=20390
ETKIN	82C	PR D25 2446	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)	REFID=20391
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20355

X(1750)

$I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^-(1^--)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

The X(1750) was separated from the $\phi(1680)$ in the 2022 listings due to its incompatible mass and incompatible pattern of $\bar{K}K$ and $\bar{K}^*(892)K$ branching fractions.

X(1750) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1753.8± 2.7 OUR AVERAGE			
1784 ±12 ⁺⁰ ₋₂₇	ABLIKIM	20F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
1753.5± 1.5± 2.3	LINK	02K FOCS	20-160 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1726 ±22	BUSENITZ	89 TPS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
1760 ±20	ATKINSON	85C OMEG	20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K \bar{K} X$
1690 ±10	ASTON	81F OMEG	25-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$

X(1750) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
120 ±10 OUR AVERAGE			
106 ⁺²² ₋₁₉ ⁺⁸ ₋₃₆	ABLIKIM	20F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
122.2± 6.2± 8.0	LINK	02K FOCS	20-160 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
121 ±47	BUSENITZ	89 TPS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
80 ±40	ATKINSON	85C OMEG	20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K \bar{K} X$
100 ±40	ASTON	81F OMEG	25-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$

X(1750) DECAY MODES

NODE=M255215;NODE=M255

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K^+ K^-$	seen
Γ_2 $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 K_S^0$	not seen
Γ_3 $K^*(892)^\pm K^\mp$	not seen
Γ_4 $\eta\phi$	not seen

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4

X(1750) BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M255225

$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma(K^+ K^-)$					Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.065	90	LINK	02K	FOCS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$

NODE=M255R01
NODE=M255R01

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma(K^+ K^-)$					Γ_3/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.183	90	LINK	02K	FOCS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$

NODE=M255R02
NODE=M255R02

$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_4/Γ
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
not seen		¹ ZHU	23A	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\phi$

NODE=M255R00
NODE=M255R00

¹ Reported with a 2 σ significance in the fit and an upper limit of $\Gamma(e^+ e^-) B(X(1750) \rightarrow \eta\phi)$ in the range 136–322 eV.

NODE=M255R00;LINKAGE=A

X(1750) REFERENCES

NODE=M255

ZHU	23A	CP C47 113003	W. Zhu, X. Wang	(RVUE)
ABLIKIM	20F	PR D101 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LINK	02K	PL B545 50	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
BUSENITZ	89	PR D40 1	J.K. Busenitz <i>et al.</i>	(ILL, FNAL)
ATKINSON	85C	ZPHY C27 233	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ASTON	81F	PL 104B 231	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)

REFID=62439
REFID=60256
REFID=48845
REFID=40927
REFID=21596
REFID=21585

NODE=M114

$\eta(1760)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^-+)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

NODE=M114

Seen by DM2 in the $\rho\rho$ system (BISELLO 89B). Structure in this region has been reported before in the same system (BAL-TRUSAITIS 86B) and in the $\omega\omega$ system (BALTRUSAITIS 85C, BISELLO 87).

$\eta(1760)$ MASS

NODE=M114M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1751±15 OUR AVERAGE				
$1768^{+24}_{-25} \pm 10$	465	¹ ZHANG	12A	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
$1744 \pm 10 \pm 15$	1045	² ABLIKIM	06H	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1703^{+12}_{-11} \pm 2$		³ ZHANG	12A	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
1760 ± 11	320	⁴ BISELLO	89B	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$

NODE=M114M

OCCUR=2

- ¹ From a single-resonance fit.
² From a partial wave analysis including $\eta(1760)$, $f_0(1710)$, $f_2(1640)$, and $f_2(1910)$.
³ From a two-resonance fit.
⁴ Estimated by us from various fits. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M114M;LINKAGE=ZA
NODE=M114M;LINKAGE=MA
NODE=M114M;LINKAGE=ZH
NODE=M114M;LINKAGE=A

$\eta(1760)$ WIDTH

NODE=M114W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
240±30 OUR AVERAGE				
$224^{+62}_{-56} \pm 25$	465	⁵ ZHANG	12A	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
$244^{+24}_{-21} \pm 25$	1045	⁶ ABLIKIM	06H	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$42^{+36}_{-22} \pm 15$		⁷ ZHANG	12A	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
60 ± 16	320	⁸ BISELLO	89B	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$

NODE=M114W

OCCUR=2

⁵ From a single-resonance fit.⁶ From a partial wave analysis including $\eta(1760)$, $f_0(1710)$, $f_2(1640)$, and $f_2(1910)$.⁷ From a two-resonance fit.⁸ Estimated by us from various fits. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M114W;LINKAGE=ZA

NODE=M114W;LINKAGE=MA

NODE=M114W;LINKAGE=ZH

NODE=M114W;LINKAGE=B

 $\eta(1760)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M114215;NODE=M114

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 4π	
Γ_2 $2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	seen
Γ_3 $\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$	seen
Γ_4 $\rho^0 \rho^0$	seen
Γ_5 $\rho^+ \rho^-$	seen
Γ_6 $\omega\omega$	seen
Γ_7 $\eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
Γ_8 $\gamma\gamma$	seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

DESIG=7

DESIG=8;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=9;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\eta(1760)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M114225

$\Gamma(\eta' \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_7\Gamma_8/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT	
$28.2^{+7.9}_{-7.5} \pm 3.7$ 465 ⁹ ZHANG 12A BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
$3.0^{+2.0}_{-1.2} \pm 0.8$ 52 ¹⁰ ZHANG 12A BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$	
$18^{+13}_{-10} \pm 5$ 315 ¹¹ ZHANG 12A BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$	

NODE=M114G01

NODE=M114G01

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

⁹ From a single-resonance fit.¹⁰ From a two-resonance fit. For constructive interference with the $X(1835)$.¹¹ From a two-resonance fit. For destructive interference with the $X(1835)$.

NODE=M114G01;LINKAGE=ZH

NODE=M114G01;LINKAGE=ZA

NODE=M114G01;LINKAGE=ZN

 $\eta(1760)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M114210

$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_2/Γ
VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT	
seen BISELLO 89B DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	
$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_3/Γ
VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT	
seen BISELLO 89B DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$	
$\Gamma(\rho^0 \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_4/Γ
VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT	
seen BISELLO 89B DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0 \rho^0$	
seen BALTRUSAIT..86 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0 \rho^0$	
$\Gamma(\rho^+ \rho^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_5/Γ
VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT	
seen BISELLO 89B DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \rho^+ \rho^-$	
seen BALTRUSAIT..86 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \rho^+ \rho^-$	
$\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_6/Γ
VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT	
seen BISELLO 87 DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\omega$	
seen BALTRUSAIT..85C MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$	
$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\omega\omega)$	Γ_8/Γ_6
VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT	
$<2.48 \times 10^{-3}$ 90 ¹² ABLIKIM 180 BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma\gamma\gamma$	

NODE=M114R01

NODE=M114R01

NODE=M114R02

NODE=M114R02

NODE=M114R03

NODE=M114R03

NODE=M114R04

NODE=M114R04

NODE=M114R06

NODE=M114R06

NODE=M114R08

NODE=M114R08

¹² Using results from ABLIKIM 06H.

NODE=M114R08;LINKAGE=A


$\eta(1760)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	180	PR D97 072014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ZHANG	12A	PR D86 052002	C.C. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06H	PR D73 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BISELLO	89B	PR D39 701	G. Busetto <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BISELLO	87	PL B192 239	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BALTRUSAIT...	86	PR D33 629	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
BALTRUSAIT...	86B	PR D33 1222	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
BALTRUSAIT...	85C	PRL 55 1723	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, UCSC+)

NODE=M114

REFID=58925
REFID=54763
REFID=51125
REFID=40575
REFID=40012
REFID=22009
REFID=22100
REFID=22095

NODE=M264



$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

NODE=M264

$f_0(1770)$ Breit-Wigner MASS

NODE=M264M


NODE=M264M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1804±16 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.		
1834±14 ⁺² ₋₁₀		¹ GOTMAN 25	VES	29 $\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow A\omega\phi$
1814±31	7.2k	² KHOLODENK...21	VES	29 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\omega\phi$
1795±7 ⁺²³ ₋₂₀		ABLIKIM 13J	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\phi$
1760±15 ⁺¹⁵ ₋₁₀		ABLIKIM 05Q	BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1834±14 ⁺² ₋₁₀		³ DOROFEEV 24	VES	29 $\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow A\omega\phi$
1765±15		SARANTSEV 21	RVUE	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
1814±18		^{4,5} AAIJ 14BR	LHCB	$\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
1812 ⁺¹⁹ ₋₂₆ ±18		⁶ ABLIKIM 06J	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\phi$
1790 ⁺⁴⁰ ₋₃₀		ABLIKIM 05	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-$

- ¹ GOTMAN 25 attributes these data to the $f_0(1710)$.
- ² From partial wave analysis of $\omega\phi$ invariant mass including 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 0^{-+} resonances.
- ³ Superseded by GOTMAN 25.
- ⁴ Second solution: 1800 ± 22 MeV. The fit favors $f_0(1770)$ to $f_0(1710)$.
- ⁵ Statistical error only.
- ⁶ Not seen by LIU 09 in $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm\omega\phi$.

NODE=M264M;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M264M;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M264M;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M264M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M264M;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M264M;LINKAGE=L



$f_0(1770)$ Breit-Wigner WIDTH

NODE=M264W


NODE=M264W

NODE=M264W;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M264W;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M264W;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M264W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M264W;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M264W;LINKAGE=I
NODE=M264W;LINKAGE=AB

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
138⁺²²₋₂₅ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.0. See the ideogram below.				
114±15 ⁺⁵ ₋₁₅		¹ GOTMAN 25	VES	29 $\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow A\omega\phi$
182±19	7.2k	² KHOLODENK..21	VES	29 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\omega\phi$
95±10 ⁺⁷⁸ ₋₈₂		ABLIKIM 13J	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\phi$
125±25 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₁₅		ABLIKIM 05Q	BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
114±15 ⁺⁵ ₋₁₅		³ DOROFEEV 24	VES	29 $\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow A\omega\phi$
180±20		SARANTSEV 21	RVUE	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
328±34		^{4,5} AAIJ 14BR	LHCB	$\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
105±20±28		⁶ ABLIKIM 06J	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\phi$
270 ⁺⁶⁰ ₋₃₀		⁷ ABLIKIM 05	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-$

- ¹ GOTMAN 25 attributes these data to the $f_0(1710)$.
- ² From partial wave analysis of $\omega\phi$ invariant mass including 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 0^{-+} resonances.
- ³ Superseded by GOTMAN 25.
- ⁴ Second solution: 263 ± 30 MeV. The fit favors $f_0(1770)$ to $f_0(1710)$.
- ⁵ Statistical error only.
- ⁶ Not seen by LIU 09 in $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm\omega\phi$.
- ⁷ $f_0(1710)$ width fixed to PDG value.



$f_0(1770)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M264215;NODE=M264

DESIG=1
DESIG=2
DESIG=3
DESIG=4

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad \eta\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \quad \omega\phi$	seen

$f_0(1770)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M264225

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_1/Γ** NODE=M264R01
NODE=M264R01

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	SARANTSEV 21	RVUE	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma (\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
seen	AAIJ 14BR	LHCB	$\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
seen	ABLIKIM 05	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_2/Γ** NODE=M264R02
NODE=M264R02

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	SARANTSEV 21	RVUE	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma (\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_3/Γ** NODE=M264R03
NODE=M264R03

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	SARANTSEV 21	RVUE	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma (\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

 $\Gamma(\omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_4/Γ** NODE=M264R04
NODE=M264R04

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	7.2k	KHOLODENK..21	VES	$29 \pi^- p \rightarrow n \omega \phi$
seen		SARANTSEV 21	RVUE	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma (\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_4/\Gamma$** NODE=M264R00
NODE=M264R00

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
4.8 ± 1.2	¹ DOROFEEV 24	VES	$29 \pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow A \omega \phi$
¹ Using one-pion exchange. Assigning this resonance to be $f_0(1710)$ instead gives $B(f_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi) \times B(f_0 \rightarrow \omega\phi) = (3.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$.			

NODE=M264R00;LINKAGE=A

 $f_0(1770)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M264

GOTMAN 25	PPN 56 743	V.G. Gotman <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)	REFID=63290
DOROFEEV 24	EPJ A60 105	V.A. Dorofeev <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)	REFID=62700
KHOLODENK...21	PAN 83 1602	M.S. Kholodenko	(VES Collab.)	REFID=61410
SARANTSEV 21	PL B816 136227	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)	REFID=61091
AAIJ 14BR	PR D89 092006	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=56035
ABLIKIM 13J	PR D87 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54955
LIU 09	PR D79 071102	C. Liu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52752
ABLIKIM 06J	PRL 96 162002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51127
ABLIKIM 05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50450
ABLIKIM 05Q	PR D72 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50958

$\pi(1800)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^-+)$


See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

$\pi(1800)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1810⁺⁹₋₁₁ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.					
1804 ⁺⁶ ₋₉	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B	COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1876 $\pm$ 18 $\pm$ 16	4k	² EUGENIO	08	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^- p$
1774 $\pm$ 18 $\pm$ 20		³ CHUNG	02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1863 $\pm$ 9 $\pm$ 10		⁴ CHUNG	02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1840 $\pm$ 10 $\pm$ 10	1.2k	AMELIN	96B	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^- A$
1775 $\pm$ 7 $\pm$ 10		⁵ AMELIN	95B	VES	36 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$
1790 $\pm$ 14		⁶ BERDNIKOV	94	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- A$
1873 $\pm$ 33 $\pm$ 20		BELADIDZE	92C	VES	36 $\pi^- Be \rightarrow \pi^- \eta' \eta Be$
1814 $\pm$ 10 $\pm$ 23	426	BITYUKOV	91	VES	36 $\pi^- C \rightarrow \pi^- \eta \eta C$
1770 $\pm$ 30	1.1k	BELLINI	82	SPEC	40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow 3 \pi A$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1785 $\pm$ 9 ⁺¹² ₋₆	420k	⁷ ALEKSEEV	10	COMP	190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$
1737 $\pm$ 5 $\pm$ 15		AMELIN	99	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$

- ¹ Statistical error negligible.
- ² From a single-pole fit.
- ³ In the $f_0(980)\pi$ wave.
- ⁴ In the $f_0(500)\pi$ wave.
- ⁵ From a fit to $J^{PC} = 0^-+ f_0(980)\pi, f_0(1370)\pi$ waves.
- ⁶ From a fit to $J^{PC} = 0^-+ K_0^*(1430)K^-, f_0(980)\pi^-$ waves.
- ⁷ Superseded by AGHASYAN 2018B.

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
1810+9-11 (Error scaled by 2.2)



$\pi(1800)$ WIDTH

NODE=M075

NODE=M075

NODE=M075M

NODE=M075M

OCCUR=2

NODE=M075M;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M075M;LINKAGE=SP
NODE=M075M;LINKAGE=C1
NODE=M075M;LINKAGE=C2
NODE=M075M;LINKAGE=AX
NODE=M075M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M075M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M075W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
215⁺⁷₋₈ OUR AVERAGE					
220 ⁺⁸ ₋₁₁	46M	⁸ AGHASYAN	18B	COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
221 $\pm$ 26 $\pm$ 38	4k	⁹ EUGENIO	08	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^- p$
223 $\pm$ 48 $\pm$ 50		¹⁰ CHUNG	02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
191 $\pm$ 21 $\pm$ 20		¹¹ CHUNG	02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
210 $\pm$ 30 $\pm$ 30	1.2k	AMELIN	96B	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^- A$
190 $\pm$ 15 $\pm$ 15		¹² AMELIN	95B	VES	36 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$
210 $\pm$ 70		¹³ BERDNIKOV	94	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- A$
225 $\pm$ 35 $\pm$ 20		BELADIDZE	92C	VES	36 $\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^- \eta' \eta \text{Be}$
205 $\pm$ 18 $\pm$ 32	426	BITYUKOV	91	VES	36 $\pi^- \text{C} \rightarrow \pi^- \eta \eta \text{C}$
310 $\pm$ 50	1.1k	BELLINI	82	SPEC	40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow 3\pi A$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
208 $\pm$ 22 ⁺²¹ ₋₃₇	420k	¹⁴ ALEKSEEV	10	COMP	190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow$ $\pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$
259 $\pm$ 19 $\pm$ 6		AMELIN	99	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$

⁸ Statistical error negligible.⁹ From a single-pole fit.¹⁰ In the $f_0(980)\pi$ wave.¹¹ In the $f_0(500)\pi$ wave.¹² From a fit to $J^{PC} = 0^- + f_0(980)\pi, f_0(1370)\pi$ waves.¹³ From a fit to $J^{PC} = 0^- + K_0^*(1430)K^-$ and $f_0(980)\pi^-$ waves.¹⁴ Superseded by AGHASYAN 2018B.

NODE=M075W

OCCUR=2

NODE=M075W;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M075W;LINKAGE=SP
 NODE=M075W;LINKAGE=C1
 NODE=M075W;LINKAGE=C2
 NODE=M075W;LINKAGE=AX
 NODE=M075W;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M075W;LINKAGE=C

 $\pi(1800)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	seen
Γ_2 $f_0(500)\pi^-$	seen
Γ_3 $f_0(980)\pi^-$	seen
Γ_4 $f_0(1370)\pi^-$	seen
Γ_5 $f_0(1500)\pi^-$	not seen
Γ_6 $\rho \pi^-$	not seen
Γ_7 $\eta \eta \pi^-$	seen
Γ_8 $a_0(980)\eta$	seen
Γ_9 $a_2(1320)\eta$	not seen
Γ_{10} $f_2(1270)\pi$	not seen
Γ_{11} $f_0(1370)\pi^-$	not seen
Γ_{12} $f_0(1500)\pi^-$	seen
Γ_{13} $\eta \eta'(958)\pi^-$	seen
Γ_{14} $K_0^*(1430)K^-$	seen
Γ_{15} $K^*(892)K^-$	not seen

NODE=M075215;NODE=M075

DESIG=10;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=11;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=3;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=1
 DESIG=12
 DESIG=2
 DESIG=7;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=5;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=13
 DESIG=14
 DESIG=15
 DESIG=6;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=8;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
 DESIG=4
 DESIG=9

 $\pi(1800)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(f_0(980)\pi^-)/\Gamma(f_0(500)\pi^-)$					Γ_3/Γ_2
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
0.44$\pm$0.08$\pm$0.38	¹⁵ CHUNG	02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$	
$\Gamma(f_0(980)\pi^-)/\Gamma(f_0(1370)\pi^-)$					Γ_3/Γ_4
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.7 $\pm$ 1.3	¹⁶ AMELIN	95B	VES	36 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$	
$\Gamma(f_0(1370)\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_4/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
seen	BELLINI	82	SPEC	40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow 3\pi A$	

NODE=M075220

NODE=M075R11
 NODE=M075R11

NODE=M075R5
 NODE=M075R5

NODE=M075R1
 NODE=M075R1

$\Gamma(f_0(1500)\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	CHUNG 02 B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$	

NODE=M075R12
NODE=M075R12

 $\Gamma(\rho\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
not seen	BELLINI 82 SPEC	40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow 3\pi A$		

NODE=M075R2
NODE=M075R2

 $\Gamma(\rho\pi^-)/\Gamma(f_0(980)\pi^-)$ Γ_6/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.25		CHUNG 02 B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$		
<0.14	90	AMELIN 95B VES	36 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$		

NODE=M075R6
NODE=M075R6

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-)$ Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.5 ± 0.1	1200	¹⁶ AMELIN 96B VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^- A$		

NODE=M075R8
NODE=M075R8

 $\Gamma(a_2(1320)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	EUGENIO 08 B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^- p$	

NODE=M075R13
NODE=M075R13

 $\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	EUGENIO 08 B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^- p$	

NODE=M075R14
NODE=M075R14

 $\Gamma(f_0(1370)\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	EUGENIO 08 B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^- p$	

NODE=M075R15
NODE=M075R15

 $\Gamma(f_0(1500)\pi^-)/\Gamma(a_0(980)\eta)$ Γ_{12}/Γ_8

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.48 ± 0.17	4k	^{16,17} EUGENIO 08 B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^- p$		
0.030 ^{+0.014} _{-0.011}		¹⁶ ANISOVICH 01B SPEC	0.6–1.94 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0\pi^0$		
0.08 ± 0.03	1200	^{16,18} AMELIN 96B VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^- A$		

NODE=M075R7
NODE=M075R7

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta'(958)\pi^-)/\Gamma(\eta\eta\pi^-)$ Γ_{13}/Γ_7

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.29 ± 0.07		¹⁶ BELADIDZE 92C VES	36 $\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^- \eta' \eta \text{Be}$		
0.3 ± 0.1	426 ± 57	¹⁶ BITYUKOV 91 VES	36 $\pi^- \text{C} \rightarrow \pi^- \eta \eta \text{C}$		

NODE=M075R10
NODE=M075R10

 $\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
seen	BERDNIKOV 94 VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- A$		

NODE=M075R4
NODE=M075R4

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
not seen	BERDNIKOV 94 VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- A$		

NODE=M075R9
NODE=M075R9

¹⁵ Assuming that $f_0(980)$ decays only to $\pi\pi$.

¹⁶ Systematic errors not estimated.

¹⁷ From a single-pole fit.

¹⁸ Assuming that $f_0(1500)$ decays only to $\eta\eta$ and $a_0(980)$ decays only to $\eta\pi$.

NODE=M075R;LINKAGE=CK
NODE=M075R5;LINKAGE=NS
NODE=M075R7;LINKAGE=SP
NODE=M075R7;LINKAGE=A

 $\pi(1800)$ REFERENCES

AGHASYAN 18B	PR D98 092003	M. Aghasyan <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
ALEKSEEV 10	PRL 104 241803	M.G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
EUGENIO 08	PL B660 466	P. Eugenio <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
CHUNG 02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ANISOVICH 01B	PL B500 222	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
AMELIN 99	PAN 62 445	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
AMELIN 96B	Translated from YAF 62 487	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL) IGJPC
AMELIN 95B	Translated from YAF 59 1021	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
BERDNIKOV 94	PL B356 595	E.B. Berdnikov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
BELADIDZE 92C	PL B337 219	G.M. Beladidze, S.I. Bitiyukov, G.V. Borisov	(SERP+)
BITYUKOV 91	SJNP 55 1535	G.M. Beladidze, S.I. Bitiyukov, G.V. Borisov	(SERP+)
BELLINI 82	Translated from YAF 55 2748	S.I. Bitiyukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
	PL B268 137	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(MILA, BGNA, JINR)
	PRL 48 1697		

NODE=M075

REFID=59471
REFID=53356
REFID=52160
REFID=48837
REFID=48318
REFID=46910
REFID=44725
REFID=44433
REFID=44073
REFID=43175
REFID=41749
REFID=21134

NODE=M038

$f_2(1810)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
Needs confirmation.

NODE=M038

NODE=M038M

NODE=M038M

$f_2(1810)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1815 ±12	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.		
1822 ⁺²⁹ ₋₂₄	⁺⁶⁶ ₋₅₇	5.5k	1 ABLIKIM	13N BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$
1737 ± 9	⁺¹⁹⁸ ₋₆₅		2 UEHARA	10A BELL $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\eta$
1800 ±30	40		ALDE	88D GAM4 $300 \pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^-p4\pi^0$
1806 ±10	1600		ALDE	87 GAM4 $100 \pi^-p \rightarrow 4\pi^0n$
1870 ±40			3 ALDE	86D GAM4 $100 \pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$
1857 ⁺³⁵ ₋₂₄			4 COSTA	80 OMEG $10 \pi^-p \rightarrow K^+K^-n$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1845.0 ± 2.2 ^{+1.6} _{-7.2}		5 ALBRECHT	20 RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0K^+K^-$
1858 ⁺¹⁸ ₋₇₁		6 LONGACRE	86 RVUE	Compilation
1799 ±15		7 CASON	82 STRC	$8 \pi^+p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}\pi^0\pi^0$


- ¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.
² Breit-Wigner mass. Could also be the $f_2(1910)$.
³ Seen in only one solution.
⁴ Error increased by spread of two solutions. Included in LONGACRE 86 global analysis.
⁵ T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$).
⁶ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles. Includes compilation of several other experiments.
⁷ From an amplitude analysis of the reaction $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow 2\pi^0$. The resonance in the $2\pi^0$ final state is not confirmed by PROKOSHKIN 97.

NODE=M038M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M038M;LINKAGE=UE
NODE=M038M;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M038M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M038M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M038M;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M038M;LINKAGE=P1




$f_2(1810)$ WIDTH

NODE=M038W

VALUE (MeV)		EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
197 ± 22	OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.		
229 + 52 - 42	+ 88 - 155	5.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$
228 + 21 - 20	+ 234 - 153		² UEHARA	10A BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\eta$
160 ± 30		40	ALDE	88D GAM4	$300 \pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^-p4\pi^0$
190 ± 20		1600	ALDE	87 GAM4	$100 \pi^-p \rightarrow 4\pi^0n$
250 ± 30			³ ALDE	86D GAM4	$100 \pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$
185 + 102 - 139			⁴ COSTA	80 OMEG	$10 \pi^-p \rightarrow K^+K^-n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
260.9 ± 3.9	+ 199.9 - 38.2		⁵ ALBRECHT	20 RVUE	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0K^+K^-$
388 + 15 - 21			⁶ LONGACRE	86 RVUE	Compilation
280 + 42 - 35			⁷ CASON	82 STRC	$8 \pi^+p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}\pi^0\pi^0$

- ¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.
- ² Breit-Wigner width. Could also be the $f_2(1910)$.
- ³ Seen in only one solution.
- ⁴ Error increased by spread of two solutions. Included in LONGACRE 86 global analysis.
- ⁵ T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$).
- ⁶ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles. Includes compilation of several other experiments.
- ⁷ From an amplitude analysis of the reaction $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow 2\pi^0$. The resonance in the $2\pi^0$ final state is not confirmed by PROKOSHKIN 97.



$f_2(1810)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $\eta\eta$	seen
Γ_3 $4\pi^0$	seen
Γ_4 K^+K^-	seen
Γ_5 $\gamma\gamma$	seen

NODE=M038W

NODE=M038W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M038W;LINKAGE=UE
NODE=M038W;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M038W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M038W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M038W;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M038W;LINKAGE=P1

NODE=M038215;NODE=M038

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3
DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

$f_2(1810) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M038225

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_2 \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $5.2^{+0.9+37.3}_{-0.8-4.5}$ ¹ UEHARA 10A BELL 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\eta$ NODE=M038G01
NODE=M038G01¹ Including interference with the $f'_2(1525)$ (parameters fixed to the values from the 2008 edition of this review, PDG 08) and $f_2(1270)$. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.

NODE=M038G01;LINKAGE=UE

 $f_2(1810)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M038220

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1 / Γ

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen

AMSLER 02 CBAR 0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$

not seen

PROKOSHKIN 97 GAM2 38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$ $0.21^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$ ¹ LONGACRE 86 RVUE Compilation 0.44 ± 0.03 ² CASON 82 STRC 8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} \pi^0 \pi^0$ NODE=M038R2
NODE=M038R2¹ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles. Includes compilation of several other experiments.

NODE=M038R2;LINKAGE=L

² Included in LONGACRE 86 global analysis.

NODE=M038R;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2 / Γ

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

seen

ABLIKIM 13N BES3 PWA of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $0.008^{+0.028}_{-0.003}$ ¹ LONGACRE 86 RVUE CompilationNODE=M038R3
NODE=M038R3¹ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles. Includes compilation of several other experiments.

NODE=M038R3;LINKAGE=L

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi) / \Gamma(4\pi^0)$ Γ_1 / Γ_3

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.75

ALDE 87 GAM4 100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 4\pi^0 n$ NODE=M038R4
NODE=M038R4 $\Gamma(4\pi^0) / \Gamma(\eta\eta)$ Γ_3 / Γ_2

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 0.8 ± 0.3 ALDE 87 GAM4 100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 4\pi^0 n$ NODE=M038R5
NODE=M038R5 $\Gamma(K^+ K^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4 / Γ

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $0.003^{+0.019}_{-0.002}$ ¹ LONGACRE 86 RVUE Compilation

seen

COSTA 80 OMEG 10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$ NODE=M038R1
NODE=M038R1¹ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles. Includes compilation of several other experiments.

NODE=M038R1;LINKAGE=L

 $f_2(1810)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M038

ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
UEHARA	10A	PR D82 114031	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
AMSLER	02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
PROKOSHKIN	97	PD 42 117	Y.D. Prokoshkin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
ALDE	88D	Translated from DANS 353 323.		
		SJNP 47 810	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)
ALDE	87	Translated from YAF 47 1273.		
		PL B198 286	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, BRUX, SERP, LAPP)
ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)
LONGACRE	86	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)
BINON	83	NC 78A 313	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP+)
CASON	82	PRL 48 1316	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
COSTA	80	NP B175 402	G. Costa <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)

REFID=60439
 REFID=55387
 REFID=53641
 REFID=52166
 REFID=48580
 REFID=45386
 REFID=44652
 REFID=40221
 REFID=20765
 REFID=20768
 REFID=20750
 REFID=20746
 REFID=20737
 REFID=20355

X(1835)

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^-+)$$

NODE=M085

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Could be a superposition of two states, one appearing as threshold enhancement in $p\bar{p}$ the other one with a lower mass at 1835 MeV. Coupled-channel analyses with more sophisticated model are needed.

NODE=M085

X(1835) MASS

NODE=M085M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1834.0^{+4.0}_{-3.0} OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		[1831.8 ^{+4.0} _{-2.6} MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE]
1849.3 $\pm$ 3.0 ^{+7.6} _{-10.0}		¹ ABLIKIM	25P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$
1832.5 $\pm$ 3.1 $\pm$ 2.5	21k	² ABLIKIM	24B BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1825.3 $\pm$ 2.4 ^{+17.3} _{-2.4}		³ ABLIKIM	16J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
1844 $\pm$ 9 ⁺¹⁶ ₋₂₅		⁴ ABLIKIM	15T BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1818 $\pm$ 9 $\pm$ 2.5	37k	⁵ ABLIKIM	24B BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1839 $\pm$ 26 $\pm$ 26		⁶ ABLIKIM	18I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$
1909.5 $\pm$ 15.9 ^{+9.4} _{-27.5}		⁷ ABLIKIM	16J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
1842.2 $\pm$ 4.2 ^{+7.1} _{-2.6}	0.6k	⁸ ABLIKIM	13U BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1832 ⁺¹⁹ ₋₅ $\pm$ 26		⁹ ABLIKIM	12D BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
1836.5 $\pm$ 3.0 ^{+5.6} _{-2.1}	4265	¹⁰ ABLIKIM	11C BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
1877.3 $\pm$ 6.3 ^{+3.4} _{-7.4}		¹¹ ABLIKIM	11J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)$
1837 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₁₂ ⁺⁹ ₋₇	231	^{12,13} ALEXANDER	10 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
1833.7 $\pm$ 6.1 $\pm$ 2.7	264	ABLIKIM	05R BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
1831 $\pm$ 7		^{13,14} ABLIKIM	05R BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
1859 ⁺³ ₋₁₀ ⁺⁵ ₋₂₅		¹³ BAI	03F BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

NODE=M085M

NEW

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ with significance 15.3 σ . $J^{PC} = 0^-+$ established at 13.2 σ .

NODE=M085M;LINKAGE=H

² From a fit of the measured $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ lineshape that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold through interference with a second previously unseen narrow resonance near 1880 MeV. The fit uses Breit-Wigner functions for the signal shapes and includes known background contributions. A second solution of the fit gives 37k events.

NODE=M085M;LINKAGE=E

³ From a fit of the measured $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ lineshape that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold through interference with a second previously unseen narrow resonance near 1870 MeV. The fit uses Breit-Wigner functions for the signal shapes and includes known backgrounds and contributors.

NODE=M085M;LINKAGE=B

⁴ Decay dominated by $f_0(980)\eta$ hence $J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^-+)$.

NODE=M085M;LINKAGE=D

⁵ From a fit of the measured $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ lineshape to a Flatte formula that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold. The fit also includes known background contributions.

NODE=M085M;LINKAGE=G

⁶ From a fit to $\gamma\phi$ invariant mass. Angular analysis consistent with $J^{PC} = 0^-+$. Other J^{PC} not excluded. Superseded by ABLIKIM 25P.

NODE=M085M;LINKAGE=C

⁷ Pole mass from a fit of the measured $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ lineshape to a Flatte formula that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold; the fit also includes known backgrounds and contributors, as well as an *ad hoc* Breit-Wigner function ($M \approx 1919$ MeV; $\Gamma \approx 51$ MeV) that is required for a good fit.

NODE=M085M;LINKAGE=A

⁸ Superseded by ABLIKIM 24B.

NODE=M085M;LINKAGE=F

⁹ From the fit including final state interaction effects in isospin 0 *S*-wave according to SIBIRTSEV 05A. Supersedes ABLIKIM 10G.

NODE=M085M;LINKAGE=AK

¹⁰ From a fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma f_1(1510)$, $\gamma X(1835)$, and two states $\gamma X(2120)$ and $\gamma\eta(2370)$, for $M(\pi^+\pi^-\eta') < 2.8$ GeV, and accounting for backgrounds from non- η' events and $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$.

NODE=M085M;LINKAGE=AI

¹¹ The selected process is $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega a_0(980)\pi$ with $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega X \rightarrow \omega a_0(980)^\pm (\rightarrow \eta\pi^\pm)\pi^\mp) = (1.50 \pm 0.26^{+0.72}_{-0.36}) \times 10^{-4}$. Not seen in $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^- \eta$ by ABLIKIM 24BQ with 90% CL upper limit of 9.55×10^{-7} . This state may be also due to $\eta_2(1870)$ or to a combination of $X(1835)$ and $\eta_2(1870)$.

NODE=M085M;LINKAGE=BL

¹² From a fit of the $p\bar{p}$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma X(1835)$, γR with $M(R) = 2100$ MeV and $\Gamma(R) = 160$ MeV, and $\gamma p\bar{p}$ phase space, for $M(p\bar{p}) < 2.85$ GeV.

NODE=M085M;LINKAGE=AE

- ¹³ Evidence for a threshold enhancement in the $p\bar{p}$ mass spectrum was also reported by ABE 02K, AUBERT,B 05L, and WANG 05A in $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+$, WANG 05A in $B^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}K_S^0$, ABE 02W in $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}D^0$, DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 12 in $B \rightarrow D(D^*)p\bar{p}(\pi)$, and WEI 08 in $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+$ decays. Not seen by ATHAR 06 in $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\gamma$.
- ¹⁴ From the fit including final state interaction effects in isospin 0 S -wave according to SIBIRTSEV 05A. Systematic errors not estimated.

NODE=M085M;LINKAGE=HF

NODE=M085M;LINKAGE=AB

X(1835) WIDTH

NODE=M085W

VALUE (MeV)	CL% EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
130 ± 50 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 6.4. See the ideogram below. [120 ± 70 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 8.8]			
179.6 ± 8.7 ^{+22.5} _{-27.9}		¹ ABLIKIM	25P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$
80.7 ± 5.2 ± 7.7	21k	² ABLIKIM	24B BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$
245.2 ± 13.1 ^{+4.6} _{-9.6}		³ ABLIKIM	16J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
192 ⁺²⁰ ₋₁₇ ⁺⁶² ₋₄₃		⁴ ABLIKIM	15T BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
175 ± 57 ± 25		⁵ ABLIKIM	18I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$
273.5 ± 21.4 ^{+6.1} _{-64.0}		⁶ ABLIKIM	16J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
83 ± 14 ± 11	0.6k	⁷ ABLIKIM	13U BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$
< 76	90	⁸ ABLIKIM	12D BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
190 ± 9 ⁺³⁸ ₋₃₆	4265	⁹ ABLIKIM	11C BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
57 ± 12 ⁺¹⁹ ₋₄		¹⁰ ABLIKIM	11J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)$
0 ⁺⁴⁴ ₋₀	231	^{11,12} ALEXANDER	10 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
67.7 ± 20.3 ± 7.7	264	ABLIKIM	05R BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
< 153	90	^{12,13} ABLIKIM	05R BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
< 30		¹² BAI	03F BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

NODE=M085W

NEW

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

- ¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ with significance 15.3σ . $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$ established at 13.2σ .
- ² From a fit of the measured $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ lineshape that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold through interference with a second previously unseen narrow resonance near 1880 MeV. The fit uses Breit-Wigner functions for the signal shapes and includes known background contributions. A second solution of the fit gives 37k events.
- ³ From a fit of the measured $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ lineshape that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold through interference with a second previously unseen narrow resonance near 1870 MeV. The fit uses Breit-Wigner functions for the signal shapes and includes known backgrounds and contributors.
- ⁴ Decay dominated by $f_0(980)\eta$ hence $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$.
- ⁵ From a fit to $\gamma\phi$ invariant mass. Angular analysis consistent with $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$. Other J^{PC} not excluded. Superseded by ABLIKIM 25P.
- ⁶ Pole width from a fit of the measured $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ lineshape to a Flatte formula that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold; the fit also includes known backgrounds and contributors, as well as an *ad hoc* Breit-Wigner function ($M \approx 1919$ MeV; $\Gamma \approx 51$ MeV) that is required for a good fit.
- ⁷ Superseded by ABLIKIM 24B.
- ⁸ From the fit including final state interaction effects in isospin 0 S -wave according to SIBIRTSEV 05A. Supersedes ABLIKIM 10G.
- ⁹ From a fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma f_1(1510)$, $\gamma X(1835)$, and two states $\gamma X(2120)$ and $\gamma \eta(2370)$, for $M(\pi^+\pi^-\eta') < 2.8$ GeV, and accounting for backgrounds from non- η' events and $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$.
- ¹⁰ The selected process is $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega a_0(980)\pi$ with $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega X \rightarrow \omega a_0(980)^\pm (\rightarrow \eta\pi^\pm)\pi^\mp) = (1.50 \pm 0.26^{+0.72}_{-0.36}) \times 10^{-4}$. Not seen in $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^- \eta$ by ABLIKIM 24BQ with 90% CL upper limit of 9.55×10^{-7} . This state may be also due to $\eta_2(1870)$ or to a combination of $X(1835)$ and $\eta_2(1870)$.
- ¹¹ From a fit of the $p\bar{p}$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma X(1835)$, γR with $M(R) = 2100$ MeV and $\Gamma(R) = 160$ MeV, and $\gamma p\bar{p}$ phase space, for $M(p\bar{p}) < 2.85$ GeV.
- ¹² Evidence for a threshold enhancement in the $p\bar{p}$ mass spectrum was also reported by ABE 02K, AUBERT,B 05L, and WANG 05A in $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+$, WANG 05A in $B^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}K_S^0$, ABE 02W in $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}D^0$, DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 12 in $B \rightarrow D(D^*)p\bar{p}(\pi)$, and WEI 08 in $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+$ decays. Not seen by ATHAR 06 in $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\gamma$.
- ¹³ From the fit including final state interaction effects in isospin 0 S -wave according to SIBIRTSEV 05A. Systematic errors not estimated.

NODE=M085W;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M085W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M085W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M085W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M085W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M085W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M085W;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M085W;LINKAGE=AK


NODE=M085W;LINKAGE=AI

NODE=M085W;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=M085W;LINKAGE=AE

NODE=M085W;LINKAGE=HF

NODE=M085W;LINKAGE=AB



X(1835) DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $p\bar{p}$	seen
Γ_2 $\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_3 $\gamma\gamma$	not seen
Γ_4 $K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta$	seen
Γ_5 $\gamma\phi(1020)$	seen
Γ_6 $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	seen

NODE=M085215;NODE=M085

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2
DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=6
DESIG=7

X(1835) $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\eta'\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_2\Gamma_3/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<35.6	90	¹ ZHANG	12A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$	
<83	90	² ZHANG	12A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$	
¹ From a two-resonance fit and constructive interference of the $\eta(1760)$ and X(1835), a significance of 2.8 σ .					
² From a two-resonance fit and destructive interference of the $\eta(1760)$ and X(1835), a significance of 2.8 σ .					

NODE=M085225

NODE=M085G01
NODE=M085G01

OCCUR=2

NODE=M085G01;LINKAGE=ZH

NODE=M085G01;LINKAGE=ZA

X(1835) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma(\eta'\pi^+\pi^-)$					Γ_1/Γ_2
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.333	ABL IKIM	05R BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$		
$\Gamma(\eta'\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta)$					Γ_2/Γ_4
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
6.7±1.8	¹ ABL IKIM	15T BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta$		
¹ Using resutls from ABLIKIM 05R.					

NODE=M085220

NODE=M085R01
NODE=M085R01

NODE=M085R00
NODE=M085R00

NODE=M085R00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta' \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	16J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$
¹ ABLIKIM 16J quotes $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X(1835)) \times B(X(1835) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta') = (3.93 \pm 0.38^{+0.31}_{-0.84}) \times 10^{-4}$ from a fit of the measured $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$ lineshape that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold with a Flatte formula in addition to known backgrounds and contributors, as well as an <i>ad hoc</i> Breit-Wigner ($M \approx 1919$ MeV; $\Gamma \approx 51$ MeV) that is required for a good fit. Another explanation for the distortion provided by ABLIKIM 16J is that a second resonance near 1870 MeV interferes with the $X(1835)$; fits to this possibility yield product branching fraction values compatible with that shown within the respective systematic uncertainties.			

NODE=M085R03
 NODE=M085R03

NODE=M085R03;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma \phi(1020))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen [possibly seen OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]			
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	25P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
possibly seen	² ABLIKIM	18I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi(1020)$
¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$ with significance 15.3σ . $J^{PC} = 0^- +$ established at 13.2σ .			
² Seen as a peak in $\gamma \phi$ invariant mass. Angular analysis consistent with $J^{PC} = 0^- +$. Other J^{PC} not excluded. Superseded by ABLIKIM 25P.			

NODE=M085R04
 NODE=M085R04

NODE=M085R04;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M085R04;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma \gamma)/\Gamma(\eta' \pi^+ \pi^-)$ Γ_3/Γ_2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<9.80 × 10⁻³	90	¹ ABLIKIM	18O BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma \gamma$
¹ Using results from ABLIKIM 16J.				

NODE=M085R05
 NODE=M085R05

NODE=M085R05;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	21k	¹ ABLIKIM	24B BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	0.6k	² ABLIKIM	13U BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
¹ ABLIKIM 24B quotes $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X(1835)) \times B(X(1835) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)) = (1.19 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-5}$ for constructive interference and $(2.07 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-5}$ for destructive interference from a fit of the measured $3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ lineshape that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold through interference with a second narrow resonance near 1880 MeV. The solution for destructive interference gives 37k events.				
² Superseded by ABLIKIM 24B.				

NODE=M085R06
 NODE=M085R06

NODE=M085R06;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M085R06;LINKAGE=B

X(1835) REFERENCES

NODE=M085

ABLIKIM	25P	PR D111 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63260
ABLIKIM	24B	PRL 132 151901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62651
ABLIKIM	24BQ	PR D110 052005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63020
ABLIKIM	18I	PR D97 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58893
ABLIKIM	18O	PR D97 072014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58925
ABLIKIM	16J	PRL 117 042002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57454
ABLIKIM	15T	PRL 115 091803	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56785
ABLIKIM	13U	PR D88 091502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55582
ABLIKIM	12D	PRL 108 112003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.) JPC	REFID=54269
DEL-AMO-SA...	12	PR D85 092017	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54286
ZHANG	12A	PR D86 052002	C.C. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=54763
ABLIKIM	11C	PRL 106 072002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53684
ABLIKIM	11J	PRL 107 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53931
ABLIKIM	10G	CP C34 421	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55685
ALEXANDER	10	PR D82 092002	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53525
WEI	08	PL B659 80	J.-T. Wei <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52086
ATHAR	06	PR D73 032001	S.B. Athar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50993
ABLIKIM	05R	PRL 95 262001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50985
AUBERT,B	05L	PR D72 051101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50827
SIBIRTSEV	05A	PR D71 054010	A. Sibirtsev, J. Haidenbauer		REFID=51038
WANG	05A	PL B617 141	M.-Z. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50651
BAI	03F	PRL 91 022001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=49473
ABE	02K	PRL 88 181803	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=48690
ABE	02W	PRL 89 151802	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=48980

NODE=M054

$\phi_3(1850)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{--})$

$\phi_3(1850)$ MASS

NODE=M054M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1854± 7 OUR AVERAGE				
1855±10		ASTON	88E LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- K^+ \Lambda$, $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \Lambda$
1870 ⁺³⁰ ₋₂₀	430	ARMSTRONG	82 OMEG	18.5 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $K^- K^+ \Lambda$
1850±10	123	ALHARRAN	81B HBC	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \Lambda$

NODE=M054M

$\phi_3(1850)$ WIDTH

NODE=M054W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
87⁺²⁸₋₂₃ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
64±31		ASTON	88E LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- K^+ \Lambda$, $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \Lambda$
160 ⁺⁹⁰ ₋₅₀	430	ARMSTRONG	82 OMEG	18.5 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $K^- K^+ \Lambda$
80 ⁺⁴⁰ ₋₃₀	123	ALHARRAN	81B HBC	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \Lambda$

NODE=M054W

$\phi_3(1850)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M054215;NODE=M054

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K \bar{K}$	seen
Γ_2 $K \bar{K}^*(892) + c.c.$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

$\phi_3(1850)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M054220

$\Gamma(K \bar{K}^*(892) + c.c.)/\Gamma(K \bar{K})$				Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.55^{+0.85}_{-0.45}	ASTON	88E LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- K^+ \Lambda$, $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \Lambda$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.8 ±0.4	ALHARRAN	81B HBC	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi \Lambda$	

NODE=M054R1
NODE=M054R1

$\phi_3(1850)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M054

ASTON	88E	PL B208 324	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS) IGJPC
ARMSTRONG	82	PL 110B 77	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+) JP
ALHARRAN	81B	PL 101B 357	S. Al-Harran <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)

REFID=40577
REFID=21405
REFID=21702

$\eta_1(1855)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^-+)$

NODE=M267

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Meson with exotic (non- $q\bar{q}$) quantum numbers. A state decaying into $\eta\eta'$ with possible quantum numbers 1^-+ was reported earlier in this mass region BARBERIS 00A in high energy central pp production and by ALDE 91B in π^-p interactions, see the $f_2(1910)$, and the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

NODE=M267

$\eta_1(1855)$ MASS

NODE=M267M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1855\pm 9^{+6}_{-1}$	¹ ABLIKIM	22Ai BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$

NODE=M267M

¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and the resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta\eta'$ P -wave. For analysis details see ABLIKIM 22AS.

NODE=M267M;LINKAGE=A

$\eta_1(1855)$ WIDTH

NODE=M267W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$188\pm 18^{+3}_{-8}$	¹ ABLIKIM	22Ai BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$

NODE=M267W

¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and the resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta\eta'$ P -wave. For analysis details see ABLIKIM 22AS.

NODE=M267W;LINKAGE=A

$\eta_1(1855)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M267215;NODE=M267

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \eta\eta'$	seen

DESIG=1

$\eta_1(1855)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M267225

$\Gamma(\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_1/Γ
seen	ABLIKIM	22Ai BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$	
seen	BARBERIS	00A	$450 \, pp \rightarrow p_f \eta\eta' p_s$	
seen	ALDE	91B GAM2	$38 \, \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta' n$	

NODE=M267R00
NODE=M267R00

$\eta_1(1855)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M267

ABLIKIM	22Ai	PRL 129 192002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
Also		PR D106 072012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22AS	PR D106 072012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
Also		PR D107 079901 (errat.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
BARBERIS	00A	PL B471 429	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ALDE	91B	SJNP 54 455	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)
Translated from YAF 54 751.				

REFID=61881
REFID=61891
REFID=61891
REFID=62033
REFID=47957
REFID=41844

$\eta_2(1870)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^-+)$$

NODE=M101

 $\eta_2(1870)$ MASS

NODE=M101M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1842± 8 OUR AVERAGE				
1835±12		BARBERIS	00B	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p_s$
1844±13		BARBERIS	00C	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
1840±25		BARBERIS	97B OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1875±20±35		ADOMEIT	96 CBAR	1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$
1881±32±40	26	KARCH	92 CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1860± 5±15		ANISOVICH	00E SPEC	0.9–1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$
1840±15		BAI	99 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M101M

 $\eta_2(1870)$ WIDTH

NODE=M101W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
225±14 OUR AVERAGE				
235±22		BARBERIS	00B	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p_s$
228±23		BARBERIS	00C	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
200±40		BARBERIS	97B OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
200±25±45		ADOMEIT	96 CBAR	1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$
221±92±44	26	KARCH	92 CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
250±25 ⁺⁵⁰ ₋₃₅		ANISOVICH	00E SPEC	0.9–1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$
170±40		BAI	99 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M101W

 $\eta_2(1870)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M101225;NODE=M101

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\eta \pi \pi$	seen
Γ_2 $a_2(1320) \pi$	seen
Γ_3 $f_2(1270) \eta$	seen
Γ_4 $a_0(980) \pi$	seen
Γ_5 $\gamma \gamma$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=4;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=8;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=9

 $\eta_2(1870)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M101230

 $\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta)$ Γ_2/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.7 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE			
1.60±0.40	¹ ANISOVICH	11 SPEC	0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$
20.4 ±6.6	BARBERIS	00B	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p_s$
4.1 ±2.3	ADOMEIT	96 CBAR	1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$

¹ Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

NODE=M101R2
 NODE=M101R2

 $\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
32.6±12.6	BARBERIS	00B 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p_s$

NODE=M101R4
 NODE=M101R4

 $\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta)$ Γ_4/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.48±0.45	¹ ANISOVICH	11 SPEC	0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$

¹ Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

NODE=M101R01
 NODE=M101R01

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	KARCH	92 CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$

NODE=M101R01;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M101R02
 NODE=M101R02

$\eta_2(1870)$ REFERENCES

ANISOVICH	11	EPJ C71 1511	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RAL, PNPI)
ANISOVICH	00E	PL B477 19	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BARBERIS	00B	PL B471 435	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BAI	99	PL B446 356	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ADOMEIT	96	ZPHY C71 227	J. Adomeit <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
KARCH	92	ZPHY C54 33	K. Karch <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)

NODE=M101

REFID=53631
REFID=47945
REFID=47958
REFID=47959
REFID=46606
REFID=45758
REFID=45202
REFID=42170

 $\pi_2(1880)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^-+)$$

NODE=M185

 $\pi_2(1880)$ MASS

NODE=M185M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

NODE=M185M

1874⁺²⁶₋₅ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.


1847 ⁺²⁰ ₋₃	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B	COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1929 $\pm$ 24 $\pm$ 18	4k	EUGENIO	08	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^- p$
1876 $\pm$ 11 $\pm$ 67	145k	LU	05	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
2003 $\pm$ 88 $\pm$ 148	69k	KUHN	04	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1880 $\pm$ 20		ANISOVICH	01B	SPEC	0 0.6–1.94 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$

¹ Statistical error negligible.

NODE=M185M;LINKAGE=A

 $\pi_2(1880)$ WIDTH

NODE=M185W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

NODE=M185W

237⁺³³₋₃₀ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

246 ⁺³³ ₋₂₈	46M	² AGHASYAN	18B	COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
323 $\pm$ 87 $\pm$ 43	4k	EUGENIO	08	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^- p$
146 $\pm$ 17 $\pm$ 62	145k	LU	05	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
306 $\pm$ 132 $\pm$ 121	69k	KUHN	04	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

255 $\pm$ 45		ANISOVICH	01B	SPEC	0 0.6–1.94 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$

² Statistical error negligible.

NODE=M185W;LINKAGE=A

$\pi_2(1880)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M185215;NODE=M185

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\eta\eta\pi^-$	seen
Γ_2 $a_0(980)\eta$	seen
Γ_3 $a_2(1320)\eta$	seen
Γ_4 $f_0(1500)\pi$	seen
Γ_5 $f_1(1285)\pi$	seen
Γ_6 $\omega\pi^-\pi^0$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=4;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=6;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\Gamma(a_2(1320)\eta)/\Gamma(f_1(1285)\pi)$ Γ_3/Γ_5

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

22.7±7.3	69k	KUHN	04	B852	—	18 $\pi^-\rho \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-\rho$

NODE=M185R01
 NODE=M185R01

 $\Gamma(f_0(1500)\pi)/\Gamma(a_0(980)\eta)$ Γ_4/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.28 ^{+0.20} _{-0.15}	³ ANISOVICH	01B	SPEC	0	0.6–1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0\pi^0$

³Systematic errors not estimated.

NODE=M185R02
 NODE=M185R02

NODE=M185R02;LINKAGE=NS

 $\pi_2(1880)$ REFERENCES

AGHASYAN	18B	PR D98 092003	M. Aghasyan <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
EUGENIO	08	PL B660 466	P. Eugenio <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
LU	05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
KUHN	04	PL B595 109	J. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ANISOVICH	01B	PL B500 222	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	

NODE=M185

REFID=59471
 REFID=52160
 REFID=50459
 REFID=49773
 REFID=48318

 $\rho(1900)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^--)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

NODE=M170

NODE=M170

 $\rho(1900)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1880±10		¹ ABLIKIM	22L	BES3	2.0–3.08 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
1909±17±25	54	² AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^0\gamma$
1880±30		AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-\gamma$
1860±20		AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\gamma$
1910±10		^{3,4} FRABETTI	04	E687	$\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-\rho$
1870±10		ANTONELLI	96	SPEC	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M170M

NODE=M170M

OCCUR=2

¹ From a partial wave amplitude analysis at $\sqrt{s} = 2.125$ GeV which includes all the possible intermediate states that match J^{PC} conservation in the subsequent two-body decay. The intermediate states are parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner functions. Statistical error only.

² From the fit with two resonances.³ From a fit with two resonances with the JACOB 72 continuum.⁴ Supersedes FRABETTI 01.

NODE=M170M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M170M;LINKAGE=AU
 NODE=M170M;LINKAGE=PI
 NODE=M170M;LINKAGE=RS

 $\rho(1900)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

69±15		¹ ABLIKIM	22L	BES3	2.0–3.08 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
48±17±2	54	² AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^0\gamma$
130±30		AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-\gamma$
160±20		AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\gamma$
37±13		^{3,4} FRABETTI	04	E687	$\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-\rho$
10±5		ANTONELLI	96	SPEC	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M170W

NODE=M170W

OCCUR=2

¹ From a partial wave amplitude analysis at $\sqrt{s} = 2.125$ GeV which includes all the possible intermediate states that match J^{PC} conservation in the subsequent two-body decay. The intermediate states are parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner functions. Statistical error only.
² From the fit with two resonances.
³ From a fit with two resonances with the JACOB 72 continuum.
⁴ Supersedes FRABETTI 01.

NODE=M170W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M170W;LINKAGE=AU
NODE=M170W;LINKAGE=PI
NODE=M170W;LINKAGE=RS

$\rho(1900) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma^2(\text{total})$					
$\Gamma(\phi\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_4 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_6 / \Gamma$	
VALUE (units 10^{-8})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$4.2 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.8$	54	¹ AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \gamma$	
¹ From the fit with two resonances.					

NODE=M170215

NODE=M170B01
NODE=M170B01

NODE=M170B01;LINKAGE=AU

$\rho(1900)$ DECAY MODES		
Mode	Fraction (Γ_i / Γ)	
Γ_1 6π	seen	
Γ_2 $3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$	seen	
Γ_3 $2\pi^+ 2\pi^- 2\pi^0$		
Γ_4 $\phi\pi$	seen	
Γ_5 hadrons	seen	
Γ_6 $e^+ e^-$	seen	
Γ_7 $N N$	not seen	

NODE=M170225;NODE=M170

DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=6
DESIG=7;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

$\rho(1900)$ BRANCHING RATIOS				
$\Gamma(6\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$			Γ_1 / Γ	
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	8k	AKHMETSHIN 13	CMD3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
not seen		AGNELLO 02	OBLX	$\bar{n} p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$
seen		FRABETTI 01	E687	$\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- p$
seen		ANTONELLI 96	SPEC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M170230

NODE=M170R1
NODE=M170R1

$\rho(1900)$ REFERENCES				
ABLIKIM 22L	JHEP 2207 045	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	
AKHMETSHIN 13	PL B723 82	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)	
AUBERT 08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	
AUBERT 06D	PR D73 052003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	
FRABETTI 04	PL B578 290	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	
AGNELLO 02	PL B527 39	M. Agnello <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	
FRABETTI 01	PL B514 240	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	
ANTONELLI 96	PL B365 427	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(FENICE Collab.)	
JACOB 72	PR D5 1847	M. Jacob, R. Slansky		

NODE=M170

REFID=61649
REFID=55370
REFID=52242
REFID=51047
REFID=49614
REFID=48576
REFID=48350
REFID=44633
REFID=49668

$f_2(1910)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

We list here three different peaks with close masses and widths seen in the mass distributions of $\omega\omega$, $\eta\eta'$, and K^+K^- final states. ALDE 91B argues that they are of different nature.

NODE=M142

NODE=M142

$f_2(1910)$ MASS

NODE=M142205

NODE=M142MX


$f_2(1910)$ $\omega\omega$ MODE

NODE=M142M2
NODE=M142M2

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1900 ± 9 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.		
1890 ± 10	¹ AMELIN	06	VES $36 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\omega n$
1897 ± 11	BARBERIS	00F	450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \omega\omega p_s$
1924 ± 14	ALDE	90	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\omega n$

¹ Supersedes BELADIDZE 92B.

NODE=M142M2;LINKAGE=AM



$f_2(1910)$ $\eta\eta'$ MODE

NODE=M142M3
NODE=M142M3

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1934 ± 16	¹ BARBERIS	00A	450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \eta\eta' p_s$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1934 ± 20	² ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC
1911 ± 10	ALDE	91B	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta' n$

¹ Also compatible with $J^{PC} = 1^-+$.
² Combined fit with $\eta\eta$, $\pi\pi$, and $\eta\pi\pi$.

NODE=M142M3;LINKAGE=KS
NODE=M142M3;LINKAGE=AN

$f_2(1910)$ K^+K^- MODE

NODE=M142M4
NODE=M142M4

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1941 ± 18	¹ AMSLER	06	CBAR $1.64 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
¹ Tentative, could be $f_2(1950)$.			

NODE=M142M4;LINKAGE=A

$f_2(1910)$ WIDTH

NODE=M142210


NODE=M142WX

NODE=M142W2
NODE=M142W2 **$f_2(1910)$ $\omega\omega$ MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
167 ± 21 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.		
165 ± 19	¹ AMELIN	06 VES	$36 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\omega n$
202 ± 32	BARBERIS	00F	$450 p p \rightarrow p_f \omega\omega p_S$
91 ± 50	ALDE	90 GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\omega n$

¹ Supersedes BELADIDZE 92B.

NODE=M142W2;LINKAGE=AM

 **$f_2(1910)$ $\eta\eta'$ MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
141 ± 41	¹ BARBERIS	00A	$450 p p \rightarrow p_f \eta\eta' p_S$
271 ± 25	² ANISOVICH	00J SPEC	
90 ± 35	ALDE	91B GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta' n$

¹ Also compatible with $J^{PC}=1^-+$.² Combined fit with $\eta\eta$, $\pi\pi$, and $\eta\pi\pi$.NODE=M142W3
NODE=M142W3NODE=M142W3;LINKAGE=KS
NODE=M142W3;LINKAGE=AN **$f_2(1910)$ $K^+ K^-$ MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
120 ± 40	AMSLER	06 CBAR	$1.64 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

NODE=M142W4
NODE=M142W4 **$f_2(1910)$ DECAY MODES**

NODE=M142215;NODE=M142

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \pi^0 \pi^0$	
$\Gamma_2 K^+ K^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3 K_S^0 K_S^0$	
$\Gamma_4 \eta\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \omega\omega$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \eta\eta'$	seen
$\Gamma_7 \eta'\eta'$	
$\Gamma_8 \rho\rho$	seen
$\Gamma_9 a_2(1320)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{10} f_2(1270)\eta$	seen

DESIG=6

DESIG=11

DESIG=8

DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=9

DESIG=10;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=12;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=13;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

$f_2(1910)$ BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ AMSLER	06	CBAR 1.64 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$

¹ Tentative, could be $f_2(1950)$.

NODE=M142225

NODE=M142R11
NODE=M142R11

NODE=M142R11;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta\eta')$ **Γ_1/Γ_6**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			

<0.1 ALDE 89 GAM2 $38\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\eta'n$ NODE=M142R4
NODE=M142R4 **$\Gamma(K_S^0K_S^0)/\Gamma(\eta\eta')$** **$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_6$**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

<0.066 90 BALOSHIN 86 SPEC $40\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0K_S^0n$ NODE=M142R7
NODE=M142R7 **$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(\eta\eta')$** **$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_6$**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

<0.05 90 ALDE 91B GAM2 $38\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\eta'n$ NODE=M142R6
NODE=M142R6 **$\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma(\eta\eta')$** **$\Gamma_5/\Gamma_6$**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		

2.6±0.6 BARBERIS 00F 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f\omega\omega p_S$ NODE=M142R10
NODE=M142R10 **$\Gamma(\eta'\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_7/\Gamma$**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			

probably not seen BARBERIS 00A 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f\eta'\eta'p_S$
possibly seen BELADIDZE 92D VES $37\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta'\eta'n$ NODE=M142R8
NODE=M142R8 **$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(\omega\omega)$** **$\Gamma_8/\Gamma_5$**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		

2.6±0.4 BARBERIS 00F 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f\omega\omega p_S$ NODE=M142R9
NODE=M142R9 **$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta)/\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)$** **$\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_9$**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.09±0.05	¹ ANISOVICH	11	SPEC 0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$

¹ Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.NODE=M142R12
NODE=M142R12

NODE=M142R12;LINKAGE=AN

 $f_2(1910)$ REFERENCES

ANISOVICH	11	EPJ C71 1511	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RAL, PNPI)
AMELIN	06	PAN 69 690	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 69 715.		
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH	00E	PL B477 19	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)
BARBERIS	00A	PL B471 429	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	00F	PL B484 198	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ADOMEIT	96	ZPHY C71 227	J. Adomeit <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BELADIDZE	92B	ZPHY C54 367	G.M. Beladidze <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
BELADIDZE	92D	ZPHY C57 13	G.M. Beladidze <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ALDE	91B	SJNP 54 455	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)
		Translated from YAF 54 751.		
Also		PL B276 375	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, SERP, KEK, LANL+)
ALDE	90	PL B241 600	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)
ALDE	89	PL B216 447	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP)
Also		SJNP 48 1035	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, SERP, LANL, LAPP)
		Translated from YAF 48 1724.		
BALOSHIN	86	SJNP 43 959	O.N. Baloshin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
		Translated from YAF 43 1487.		

NODE=M142

REFID=53631
REFID=51574REFID=51136
REFID=47945
REFID=47950
REFID=47957
REFID=47962
REFID=45202
REFID=42172
REFID=43309
REFID=41844REFID=41911
REFID=40935
REFID=40727
REFID=44697

REFID=40734

$a_0(1950)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{++})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Needs confirmation. Seen in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$ by LEES 16A with significance 2.5σ in $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ and 4.2σ in $K^+ K^- \pi^0$.

NODE=M227

NODE=M227

$a_0(1950)$ MASS

NODE=M227M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1931 \pm 14 \pm 22$	12k	^{1,2} LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1949 \pm 32 \pm 76$	8k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
$1927 \pm 15 \pm 23$	4k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
¹ From a model-independent partial wave analysis fit to a relativistic Breit-Wigner function with a floating width.				
² Weighted average of the $K_S^0 K^\pm$ and $K^+ K^-$ decay modes.				

NODE=M227M

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

NODE=M227M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M227M;LINKAGE=B

$a_0(1950)$ WIDTH

NODE=M227W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$271 \pm 22 \pm 29$	12k	^{1,2} LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$265 \pm 36 \pm 110$	8k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
$274 \pm 28 \pm 30$	4k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
¹ From a model-independent partial wave analysis fit to a relativistic Breit-Wigner function with a floating mass.				
² Weighted average of the $K_S^0 K^\pm$ and $K^+ K^-$ decay modes.				

NODE=M227W

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

NODE=M227W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M227W;LINKAGE=B

$a_0(1950)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M227215;NODE=M227

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad K\bar{K}$	seen

DESIG=1

$a_0(1950)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M227225

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	12k	¹ LEES	16A	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$

¹ From a model-independent partial wave analysis.

NODE=M227R01
NODE=M227R01

NODE=M227R01;LINKAGE=A

$a_0(1950)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M227

LEES	16A	PR D93 012005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)

REFID=57125

$f_2(1950)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

NODE=M135

 $f_2(1950)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$ Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

NODE=M135PP

NODE=M135PP

NODE=M135PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1830–2020) – i (110–220) OUR ESTIMATE			
$(1955 \pm 75) - i$ (175 ± 57)	¹ RODAS	22	RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K})$
$(1978.2 \pm 1.8^{+28.4}_{-16.9}) - i$	² ALBRECHT	20	RVUE $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta\eta,$ $\pi^0K^+K^-$
$(118.8 \pm 0.8^{+20.8}_{-7.8})$			
$(1867 \pm 46) - i$ (193 ± 29)	AMSLER	02	CBAR $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$
¹ T-matrix pole from coupled channel K-matrix fit to data on $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$ (ABLIKIM 15AE) and $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$ (ABLIKIM 18AA).			
² T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\bar{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$).			

NODE=M135PP;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M135PP;LINKAGE=B

 $f_2(1950)$ MASS

NODE=M135M

NODE=M135M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1936±12 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.			
1940 ± 50	BAI	00A	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$
1980 ± 22	¹ BARBERIS	00C	450 $pp \rightarrow pp4\pi$
1940 ± 22	² BARBERIS	00C	450 $pp \rightarrow pp2\pi2\pi^0$
1960 ± 30	BARBERIS	97B	OMEG $450 pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1918 ± 12	ANTINORI	95	OMEG $300,450 pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2038^{+13+12}_{-11-73}	³ UEHARA	09	BELL $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
1930 ± 25	⁴ BINON	05	GAMS $33 \pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$
$1980 \pm 2 \pm 14$	ABE	04	BELL $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K^+K^-$
2010 ± 25	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC
1980 ± 50	ANISOVICH	99B	SPEC $1.35-1.94 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
~ 1990	⁵ OAKDEN	94	RVUE $0.36-1.55 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
1950 ± 15	⁶ ASTON	91	LASS $11 K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda K\bar{K}\pi\pi$

OCCUR=2

¹ Decaying into $\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$.² Decaying into $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$.³ Taking into account $f_4(2050)$.⁴ First solution, PWA is ambiguous.⁵ From solution B of amplitude analysis of data on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$. See however KLOET 96 who fit $\pi^+\pi^-$ only and find waves only up to $J=3$ to be important but not significantly resonant.⁶ Cannot determine spin to be 2.

NODE=M135M;LINKAGE=A4


NODE=M135M;LINKAGE=B4

NODE=M135M;LINKAGE=UE

NODE=M135M;LINKAGE=BI

NODE=M135M;LINKAGE=BB

NODE=M135M;LINKAGE=A



$f_2(1950)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
464± 24 OUR AVERAGE			
380 ⁺¹²⁰ ₋₉₀	BAI	00A BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$
520± 50	¹ BARBERIS	00C	450 $pp \rightarrow pp4\pi$
485± 55	² BARBERIS	00C	450 $pp \rightarrow pp4\pi$
460± 40	BARBERIS	97B OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
390± 60	ANTINORI	95 OMEG	300,450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
441 ⁺²⁷⁺²⁸ ₋₂₅₋₁₉₂	³ UEHARA	09 BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
450± 50	⁴ BINON	05 GAMS	33 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$
297± 12± 6	ABE	04 BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K^+K^-$
495± 35	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC	
500±100	ANISOVICH	99B SPEC	1.35-1.94 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
~ 100	⁵ OAKDEN	94 RVUE	0.36-1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
250± 50	⁶ ASTON	91 LASS	11 $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda K\bar{K}\pi\pi$

¹ Decaying into $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$.

² Decaying into $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$.

³ Taking into account $f_4(2050)$.

⁴ First solution, PWA is ambiguous.

⁵ From solution B of amplitude analysis of data on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$. See however KLOET 96 who fit $\pi^+\pi^-$ only and find waves only up to $J=3$ to be important but not significantly resonant.

⁶ Cannot determine spin to be 2.

NODE=M135W

NODE=M135W

OCCUR=2

NODE=M135W;LINKAGE=A4
 NODE=M135W;LINKAGE=B4
 NODE=M135W;LINKAGE=UE
 NODE=M135W;LINKAGE=BI
 NODE=M135W;LINKAGE=BB

NODE=M135W;LINKAGE=A

$f_2(1950)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K^*(892)\bar{K}^*(892)$	seen
Γ_2 $\pi\pi$	
Γ_3 $\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_4 $\pi^0\pi^0$	seen
Γ_5 4π	seen
Γ_6 $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$	
Γ_7 $a_2(1320)\pi$	
Γ_8 $\eta\eta$	seen
Γ_9 $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_{10} $\gamma\gamma$	seen
Γ_{11} $\gamma\phi$	seen
Γ_{12} $p\bar{p}$	seen

NODE=M135215;NODE=M135

DESIG=1

DESIG=11

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=10;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=7;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

DESIG=6;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=8;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=9;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=13

DESIG=12

$f_2(1950) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M135225

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_9 \Gamma_{10} / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M135G1
NODE=M135G1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$122 \pm 4 \pm 26$	¹ ABE	04	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$

¹ Assuming spin 2.

NODE=M135G1;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_2 \Gamma_{10} / \Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M135G2
NODE=M135G2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$162^{+69+1137}_{-42-204}$	¹ UEHARA	09	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$

¹ Taking into account $f_4(2050)$.

NODE=M135G2;LINKAGE=UE

 $f_2(1950) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$

NODE=M135220

 $\Gamma(K^*(892) \bar{K}^*(892)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1 / Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

NODE=M135R1
NODE=M135R1

seen	ASTON	91	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K \bar{K} \pi \pi$

 $\Gamma(a_2(1320) \pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7 / Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M135R3
NODE=M135R3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen	BARBERIS	00B	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p_s$
not seen	BARBERIS	00C	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
possibly seen	BARBERIS	97B	OMEG 450 $pp \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta) / \Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_8 / Γ_5

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT

NODE=M135R5
NODE=M135R5

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 5.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	BARBERIS	00E 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \eta p_s$

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ Γ_8 / Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M135R6
NODE=M135R6

0.14 ± 0.05	AMSLER	02	CBAR 0.9 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11} / Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M135R00
NODE=M135R00

seen	¹ ABLIKIM	25P	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$ with significance 13.1σ.

NODE=M135R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12} / Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M135R07
NODE=M135R07

seen	111	ALEXANDER	10	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$

 $f_2(1950) \text{ REFERENCES}$

NODE=M135

ABLIKIM	25P	PR D111 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63260
RODAS	22	EPJ C82 80	A. Rodas <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC Collab.)	REFID=61610
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=60439
ABLIKIM	18AA	PR D98 072003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59455
ABLIKIM	15AE	PR D92 052003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56984
ALEXANDER	10	PR D82 092002	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53525
UEHARA	09	PR D79 052009	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52761
BINON	05	PAN 68 960	F. Binon <i>et al.</i>		REFID=50780
		Translated from YAF 68 998.			
ABE	04	EPJ C32 323	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=49650
AMSLER	02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=48580
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)	REFID=47950
BAI	00A	PL B472 207	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47426
BARBERIS	00B	PL B471 435	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47958
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47959
BARBERIS	00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47961
ANISOVICH	99B	PL B449 154	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46886
BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=45758
KLOET	96	PR D53 6120	W.M. Kloet, F. Myhrer	(RUTG, NORD)	REFID=45212
ANTINORI	95	PL B353 589	F. Antinori <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+) JP	REFID=44437
OAKDEN	94	NP A574 731	M.N. Oakden, M.R. Pennington	(DURH)	REFID=45210
ASTON	91	NPBPS B21 5	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(LASS Collab.)	REFID=41746
LONGACRE	86	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)	REFID=20768
BINON	83	NC 78A 313	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP+)	REFID=20750
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=20355

NODE=M017

 $a_4(1970)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(4^{++})$$

 $a_4(1970)$ MASS

NODE=M017M

NODE=M017M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1967±16 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.					
1935 ⁺¹¹ ₋₁₃	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B	COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1900 ⁺⁸⁰ ₋₂₀		ADOLPH	15	COMP	191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)} \pi^- p$
1985±10±13	145k	LU	05	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
1996±25±43		CHUNG	02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
2000±40 ⁺⁶⁰ ₋₂₀		IVANOV	01	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$
2010±20		² ALDE	96	GAM2 0	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 n$
2040±30		³ CLELAND	82B	SPEC ±	50 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1885±13 ⁺⁵⁰ ₋₂	420k	⁴ ALEKSEEV	10	COMP	190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$
2004±6	80k	⁵ UMAN	06	E835	5.2 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
2005 ⁺²⁵ ₋₄₅		⁶ ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta'$
1944±8±50		⁷ AMELIN	99	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$
1903±10		⁸ BALDI	78	SPEC -	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p K_S^0 K^-$
2030±50		⁹ CORDEN	78C	OMEG 0	15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi n$

¹ Statistical error negligible.² From a simultaneous fit to the G_+ and G_0 wave intensities.³ From an amplitude analysis.⁴ Superseded by AGHASYAN 2018B.⁵ Statistical error only.⁶ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.⁷ May be a different state.⁸ From a fit to the Y_8^0 moment. Limited by phase space.⁹ $J^P = 4^+$ is favored, though $J^P = 2^+$ cannot be excluded.

NODE=M017M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M017M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M017M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M017M;LINKAGE=D


NODE=M017M;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M017M;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M017M;LINKAGE=DM

NODE=M017M;LINKAGE=Y

NODE=M017M;LINKAGE=M

 **$a_4(1970)$ WIDTH**

NODE=M017W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

NODE=M017W

324⁺₋₁₈ OUR AVERAGE

333 ⁺ ₋₂₁	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B	COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
300 ⁺ ₋₁₀₀		ADOLPH	15	COMP	191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)} \pi^- p$
231 $\pm$ 30 $\pm$ 46	145k	LU	05	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
298 $\pm$ 81 $\pm$ 85		CHUNG	02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
350 $\pm$ 100 ⁺ ₋₅₀		IVANOV	01	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$
370 $\pm$ 80		² ALDE	96	GAM2 0	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 n$
380 $\pm$ 150		³ CLELAND	82B	SPEC $\pm$	50 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

294 $\pm$ 25 ⁺ ₋₁₉	420k	⁴ ALEKSEEV	10	COMP	190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ P b'$
401 $\pm$ 16	80k	⁵ UMAN	06	E835	5.2 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
180 $\pm$ 30		⁶ ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta'$
324 $\pm$ 26 $\pm$ 75		⁷ AMELIN	99	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$
166 $\pm$ 43		⁸ BALDI	78	SPEC $-$	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p K_S^0 K^-$
510 $\pm$ 200		⁹ CORDEN	78C	OMEG 0	15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi n$

¹ Statistical error negligible.² From a simultaneous fit to the G_+ and G_0 wave intensities.³ From an amplitude analysis.⁴ Superseded by AGHASYAN 2018B.⁵ Statistical error only.⁶ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.⁷ May be a different state.⁸ From a fit to the Y_8^0 moment. Limited by phase space.⁹ $J^P = 4^+$ is favored, though $J^P = 2^+$ cannot be excluded.

NODE=M017W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M017W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M017W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M017W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M017W;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M017W;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M017W;LINKAGE=DM

NODE=M017W;LINKAGE=Y

NODE=M017W;LINKAGE=M

 $a_4(1970)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M017215;NODE=M017

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K \bar{K}$	seen
Γ_2 $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	seen
Γ_3 $\rho \pi$	seen
Γ_4 $f_2(1270) \pi$	seen
Γ_5 $\omega \pi^- \pi^0$	seen
Γ_6 $\omega \rho$	seen
Γ_7 $\eta \pi$	seen
Γ_8 $\eta'(958) \pi$	seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=5;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$ DESIG=6;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$ DESIG=7;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$

DESIG=8

DESIG=3

DESIG=4;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$ **$a_4(1970)$ BRANCHING RATIOS**

NODE=M017220

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ				
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
seen	BALDI	78	SPEC	$\pm$	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$

NODE=M017R1

NODE=M017R1

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_2/Γ			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	CORDEN	78C	OMEG 0	15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi n$

NODE=M017R2

NODE=M017R2

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$					Γ_3/Γ_4
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M017R4

NODE=M017R4

1.7⁺_{-0.8} OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 3.7.

2.9 ⁺ _{-0.4}	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B	COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1.1 $\pm$ 0.2 $\pm$ 0.2		CHUNG	02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$

¹ Statistical error negligible.

NODE=M017R4;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_7/Γ
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
seen		ALDE	96	GAM2	0	$38\pi^-p\rightarrow\eta\pi^0n$

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi)$						Γ_8/Γ_7
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.23 ± 0.07		ADOLPH	15	COMP	$191\pi^-p\rightarrow\eta^{(\prime)}\pi^-p$	

$\Gamma(\omega\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_6/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
seen	145k	LU	05	B852	$18\pi^-p\rightarrow\omega\pi^-\pi^0p$	

NODE=M017R3
NODE=M017R3

NODE=M017R01
NODE=M017R01

NODE=M017R5
NODE=M017R5

a₄(1970) REFERENCES

NODE=M017

AGHASYAN	18B	PR D98 092003	M. Aghasyan <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
ADOLPH	15	PL B740 303	M. Adolph <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
ALEKSEEV	10	PRL 104 241803	M.G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
LU	05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ANISOVICH	01F	PL B517 261	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
IVANOV	01	PRL 86 3977	E.I. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
AMELIN	99	PAN 62 445	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
Translated from YAF 62 487.				
ANISOVICH	99C	PL B452 173	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	99E	PL B452 187	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ALDE	96	PAN 59 982	S.V. Donskov <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.) IGJPC
Translated from YAF 59 1027.				
CLELAND	82B	NP B208 228	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
BALDI	78	PL 74B 413	R. Baldi <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA) JP
CORDEN	78C	NP B136 77	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+) JP

REFID=59471
REFID=56385
REFID=53356
REFID=51063
REFID=50459
REFID=48837
REFID=48352
REFID=48317
REFID=46910

REFID=46903
REFID=46902
REFID=45207

REFID=21281
REFID=21783
REFID=20859

$\rho_3(1990)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{--})$

NODE=M167

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

ρ₃(1990) MASS

NODE=M167M

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1982 ± 14	¹	ANISOVICH	02	SPEC $0.6\text{--}1.9\,p\bar{p}\rightarrow\omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
~ 2007		HASAN	94	RVUE $\bar{p}p\rightarrow\pi\pi$
¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.				

NODE=M167M

NODE=M167M;LINKAGE=AY

ρ₃(1990) WIDTH

NODE=M167W

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
188 ± 24	²	ANISOVICH	02	SPEC $0.6\text{--}1.9\,p\bar{p}\rightarrow\omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
~ 287		HASAN	94	RVUE $\bar{p}p\rightarrow\pi\pi$
² From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.				

NODE=M167W

NODE=M167W;LINKAGE=AY

ρ₃(1990) REFERENCES

NODE=M167

ANISOVICH	02	PL B542 8	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01D	PL B508 6	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01E	PL B513 281	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)
HASAN	94	PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)

REFID=48828
REFID=48327
REFID=48349
REFID=47950
REFID=44103

NODE=M239

$\pi_2(2005)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^-+)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

$\pi_2(2005)$ MASS

NODE=M239M

NODE=M239M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1963^{+17}_{-27} OUR AVERAGE				
1962^{+17}_{-29}	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
$1974 \pm 14 \pm 83$	145k	LU	05 B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2005 ± 15		ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta'$
¹ Statistical uncertainty negligible.				

NODE=M239M;LINKAGE=A

$\pi_2(2005)$ WIDTH

NODE=M239W

NODE=M239W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
370^{+16}_{-90} OUR AVERAGE				
371^{+16}_{-120}	46M	¹ AGHASYAN	18B COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
$341 \pm 61 \pm 139$	145k	LU	05 B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
200 ± 40		ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta'$
¹ Statistical uncertainty negligible.				

NODE=M239W;LINKAGE=A

$\pi_2(2005)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M239215;NODE=M239

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \omega \pi^0 \pi^-$	seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

$\pi_2(2005)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M239220

$\Gamma(\pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	AGHASYAN	18B COMP	190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$	
$\Gamma(\omega \pi^0 \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	LU	05 B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$	

NODE=M239R00
NODE=M239R00

NODE=M239R01
NODE=M239R01

$\pi_2(2005)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M239

AGHASYAN	18B	PR D98 092003	M. Aghasyan <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
LU	05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ANISOVICH	01F	PL B517 261	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	

REFID=59471
REFID=50459
REFID=48352

$f_2(2010)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

NODE=M106

 $f_2(2010)$ MASS

NODE=M106M

NODE=M106M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2011^{+62}_{-76}	¹ ETKIN	88 MPS	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \phi n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$2062 \pm 6^{+10}_{-7}$	² ABLIKIM	22AS BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$
2005 ± 12	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
2049^{+35}_{-24}	³ LONGACRE	04 RVUE	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \phi n, 450$ $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
1980 ± 20	⁴ BOLONKIN	88 SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
2050^{+90}_{-50}	ETKIN	85 MPS	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\phi n$
2120^{+20}_{-120}	LINDENBAUM	84 RVUE	
2160 ± 50	ETKIN	82 MPS	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\phi n$

¹ Includes data of ETKIN 85. The percentage of the resonance going into $\phi \phi 2^{++} S_2$, D_2 , and D_0 is 98^{+1}_{-3} , 0^{+1}_{-0} , and 2^{+2}_{-1} , respectively.

² From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta \eta'$ P-wave.

³ From a four pole K-matrix reanalysis of ETKIN 88 and BARBERIS 00C data.

⁴ Statistically very weak, only 1.4 s.d.

NODE=M106M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M106M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M106M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M106M;LINKAGE=E

 $f_2(2010)$ WIDTH

NODE=M106W

NODE=M106W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
202^{+67}_{-62}	⁵ ETKIN	88 MPS	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \phi n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$165 \pm 17^{+10}_{-5}$	⁶ ABLIKIM	22AS BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$
209 ± 32	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
567^{+64}_{-71}	⁷ LONGACRE	04 RVUE	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \phi n, 450$ $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
145 ± 50	⁸ BOLONKIN	88 SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
200^{+160}_{-50}	ETKIN	85 MPS	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\phi n$
300^{+150}_{-50}	LINDENBAUM	84 RVUE	
310 ± 70	ETKIN	82 MPS	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\phi n$

⁵ Includes data of ETKIN 85.

⁶ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta \eta'$ P-wave.

⁷ From a four pole K-matrix reanalysis of ETKIN 88 and BARBERIS 00C data

⁸ Statistically very weak, only 1.4 s.d.

NODE=M106W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M106W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M106W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M106W;LINKAGE=E

 $f_2(2010)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M106215;NODE=M106

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \phi \phi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad K \bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad \gamma \phi$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=2

DESIG=4

 $f_2(2010)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M106230

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ
seen	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$	

NODE=M106R01

NODE=M106R01

$\Gamma(\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	⁹ ABLIKIM	25P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$

⁹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ with significance 11.3 σ .

NODE=M106R00
NODE=M106R00

NODE=M106R00;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M106

REFID=63260
REFID=61891
REFID=62033
REFID=51191

REFID=50341
REFID=47959
REFID=40580
REFID=40285
REFID=21871
REFID=21869
REFID=21866
REFID=21867

NODE=M156

NODE=M156

NODE=M156PP

NODE=M156PP

NODE=M156PP
→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M156PP;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M156PP;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M156PP;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M156M

NODE=M156M

NODE=M156M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M156M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M156M;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M156W

NODE=M156W

$f_2(2010)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	25P	PR D111 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22AS	PR D106 072012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
Also		PR D107 079901 (errat.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
VLADIMIRSK...	06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)
		Translated from YAF 69 515.		
LONGACRE	04	PR D70 094041	R.S. Longacre, S.J. Lindenbaum	(BNL, CUNY)
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BOLONKIN	88	NP B309 426	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, SERP)
ETKIN	88	PL B201 568	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
ETKIN	85	PL 165B 217	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
LINDENBAUM	84	CNPP 13 285	S.J. Lindenbaum	(CUNY)
ETKIN	82	PRL 49 1620	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
Also		Brighton Conf. 351	S.J. Lindenbaum	(BNL, CUNY)

$f_0(2020)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

Needs confirmation.

$f_0(2020)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \text{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1870–2080) – i (120–240) OUR ESTIMATE			
$(2038 \pm 48) - i(156 \pm 41)$	¹ RODAS	22 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K})$
$(1925 \pm 25) - i(160 \pm 18)$	SARANTSEV	21 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
$(1910 \pm 50) - i(199 \pm 40)$	² ROPERTZ	18 RVUE	$\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(\pi^+\pi^-/K^+K^-)$
$(1992 \pm 16) - i(221 \pm 30)$	³ BARBERIS	00C	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
$(2020 \pm 35) - i(205 \pm 25)$	BARBERIS	97B OMEG	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p} 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

¹ T-matrix pole from coupled channel K-matrix fit to data on $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$ (ABLIKIM 15AE) and $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$ (ABLIKIM 18AA).

² T-matrix pole of 3 channel unitary model fit to data from AAIJ 14BR and AAIJ 17V extracted using Pade approximants.

³ Average between $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$.

NODE=M156PP;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M156PP;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M156PP;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M156M

NODE=M156M

NODE=M156M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M156M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M156M;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M156W

NODE=M156W

$f_0(2020)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1982 \pm 3^{+54}_{-0}$		¹ ABLIKIM	22C BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\eta' \rightarrow 4/5\gamma 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$2010 \pm 6^{+6}_{-4}$		² ABLIKIM	22AS BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$
2037 ± 8	80k	³ UMAN	06 E835	$5.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
2040 ± 38		ANISOVICH	00J SPEC	
2010 ± 60		ALDE	98 GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta'\eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

² From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta\eta'$ P-wave.

³ Statistical error only.

$f_0(2020)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$436 \pm 4^{+46}_{-49}$		¹ ABLIKIM	22C BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\eta' \rightarrow 4/5\gamma 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

203± 9 ⁺¹³ ₋₁₁	2 ABLIKIM	22AS BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$
296± 17	80k 3 UMAN	06 E835	$5.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
405± 40	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC	
240±100	ALDE	98 GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$

- ¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX), with $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$, and ($\eta' X$), with $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.
- ² From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta \eta'$ P -wave.
- ³ Statistical error only.

NODE=M156W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M156W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M156W;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M156215;NODE=M156

$f_0(2020)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \rho \pi \pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \pi^0 \pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad \rho \rho$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \quad \omega \omega$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \quad \eta \eta$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \quad \eta' \eta'$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=5
DESIG=6

$f_0(2020)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(\omega\omega)$	Γ_3/Γ_4
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 3 BARBERIS 00F 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \omega \omega p_s$

NODE=M156220

NODE=M156R1
NODE=M156R1

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_5/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

seen UMAN 06 E835 $5.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$

NODE=M156R01
NODE=M156R01

$\Gamma(\eta' \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_6/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

seen 1 ABLIKIM 22C BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta' \rightarrow 4/5 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

NODE=M156R00
NODE=M156R00

- ¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX), with $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$, and ($\eta' X$), with $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

NODE=M156R00;LINKAGE=A

$f_0(2020)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	22AS	PR D106 072012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
Also		PR D107 079901 (errat.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22C	PR D105 072002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
RODAS	22	EPJ C82 80	A. Rodas <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC Collab.)
SARANTSEV	21	PL B816 136227	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
ABLIKIM	18AA	PR D98 072003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ROPERTZ	18	EPJ C78 1000	S. Ropertz, C. Hanhart, B. Kubis	(BONN, JULI)
AAIJ	17V	JHEP 1708 037	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15AE	PR D92 052003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	14BR	PR D89 092006	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	00F	PL B484 198	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ALDE	98	EPJ A3 361	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM4 Collab.)
Also		PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 62 446.		
BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)

NODE=M156

REFID=61891
REFID=62033
REFID=61637
REFID=61610
REFID=61091
REFID=59455
REFID=59332
REFID=57828
REFID=56984
REFID=56035
REFID=51063
REFID=47950
REFID=47959
REFID=47962
REFID=46605
REFID=46914
REFID=45758

$f_4(2050)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(4^{++})$$

NODE=M016

 $f_4(2050)$ MASS

NODE=M016M

NODE=M016M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2018±11 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.		
1960±15		AMELIN	06	VES 36 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \omega n$
2005±10		¹ BINON	05	GAMS 33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta n$
1998±15		ALDE	98	GAM4 100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
2060±20		ALDE	90	GAM2 38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \omega n$
2038±30		AUGUSTIN	87	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
2086±15		BALTRUSAIT..	87	MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
2000±60		ALDE	86D	GAM4 100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\eta$
2020±20	40k	² BINON	84B	GAM2 38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi^0$
2015±28		³ CASON	82	STRC 8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} \pi^0 \pi^0$
2031 ⁺²⁵ ₋₃₆		ETKIN	82B	MPS 23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$
2020±30	700	APEL	75	NICE 40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi^0$
2050±25		BLUM	75	ASPK 18.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n K^+ K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1966±25		⁴ ANISOVICH	09	RVUE 0.0 $\bar{p} p, \pi N$
1885 ⁺¹⁴⁺²¹⁸ ₋₁₃₋₂₅		⁵ UEHARA	09	BELL 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
2018± 6		ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC 2.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \pi^0,$ $\eta \eta, \eta \eta', \pi \pi$
~ 2000		⁶ MARTIN	98	RVUE $\bar{N} N \rightarrow \pi \pi$
~ 2010		⁷ MARTIN	97	RVUE $\bar{N} N \rightarrow \pi \pi$
~ 2040		⁸ OAKDEN	94	RVUE 0.36-1.55 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$
~ 1990		⁹ OAKDEN	94	RVUE 0.36-1.55 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$
1978± 5		¹⁰ ALPER	80	CNTR 62 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
2040±10		¹⁰ ROZANSKA	80	SPRK 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \bar{p} n$
1935±13		¹⁰ CORDEN	79	OMEG 12-15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi$
1988± 7		EVANGELIS...	79B	OMEG 10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
1922±14		¹¹ ANTIPOV	77	CIBS 25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p 3\pi$


¹ From the first PWA solution.² From a partial-wave analysis of the data.³ From an amplitude analysis of the reaction $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow 2\pi^0$.⁴ K matrix pole.⁵ Taking into account the $f_2(1950)$. Helicity-2 production favored.⁶ Energy-dependent analysis.⁷ Single energy analysis.⁸ From solution A of amplitude analysis of data on $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$. See however KLOET 96 who fit $\pi^+ \pi^-$ only and find waves only up to $J = 3$ to be important but not significantly resonant.⁹ From solution B of amplitude analysis of data on $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$. See however KLOET 96 who fit $\pi^+ \pi^-$ only and find waves only up to $J = 3$ to be important but not significantly resonant.¹⁰ $I(J^P) = 0(4^+)$ from amplitude analysis assuming one-pion exchange.¹¹ Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

NODE=M016M;LINKAGE=BI
 NODE=M016M;LINKAGE=N
 NODE=M016M;LINKAGE=NN
 NODE=M016M;LINKAGE=KM
 NODE=M016M;LINKAGE=UE
 NODE=M016M;LINKAGE=RB
 NODE=M016M;LINKAGE=BR
 NODE=M016M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M016M;LINKAGE=BB

NODE=M016M;LINKAGE=M
 NODE=M016M;LINKAGE=T

OCCUR=2



$f_4(2050)$ WIDTH

NODE=M016W

NODE=M016W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
237± 18 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.		
290± 20		AMELIN	06 VES	36 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \omega n$
340± 80		12 BINON	05 GAMS	33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta n$
395± 40		ALDE	98 GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
170± 60		ALDE	90 GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \omega n$
304± 60		AUGUSTIN	87 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
210± 63		BALTRUSAIT..	87 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
400±100		ALDE	86D GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 \eta$
240± 40	40k	13 BINON	84B GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 \pi^0$
190± 14		DENNEY	83 LASS	10 $\pi^+ n / \pi^+ p$
186 ⁺¹⁰³ ₋₅₈		14 CASON	82 STRC	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} \pi^0 \pi^0$
305 ⁺³⁶ ₋₁₁₉		ETKIN	82B MPS	23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$
180± 60	700	APEL	75 NICE	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 \pi^0$
225 ⁺¹²⁰ ₋₇₀		BLUM	75 ASPK	18.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n K^+ K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

260± 40	15	ANISOVICH	09 RVUE	0.0 $\bar{p} p, \pi N$
453± 20 ⁺³¹ ₋₁₂₉	16	UEHARA	09 BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
182± 7		ANISOVICH	00J SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \pi^0,$ $\eta \eta, \eta \eta', \pi \pi$
~ 170	17	MARTIN	98 RVUE	$N \bar{N} \rightarrow \pi \pi$
~ 200	18	MARTIN	97 RVUE	$\bar{N} N \rightarrow \pi \pi$
~ 60	19	OAKDEN	94 RVUE	0.36-1.55 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$
~ 80	20	OAKDEN	94 RVUE	0.36-1.55 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$
243± 16	21	ALPER	80 CNTR	62 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
140± 15	21	ROZANSKA	80 SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \bar{p} n$
263± 57	21	CORDEN	79 OMEG	12-15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 \pi$
100± 28		EVANGELIS...	79B OMEG	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
107± 56	22	ANTIPOV	77 CIBS	25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p 3 \pi$

12 From the first PWA solution.

13 From a partial-wave analysis of the data.

14 From an amplitude analysis of the reaction $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow 2 \pi^0$.

15 K matrix pole.

16 Taking into account the $f_2(1950)$. Helicity-2 production favored.

17 Energy-dependent analysis.

18 Single energy analysis.

OCCUR=2


NODE=M016W;LINKAGE=BI
 NODE=M016W;LINKAGE=N
 NODE=M016W;LINKAGE=NN
 NODE=M016W;LINKAGE=KM
 NODE=M016W;LINKAGE=UE
 NODE=M016W;LINKAGE=RB
 NODE=M016W;LINKAGE=BR

- 19 From solution A of amplitude analysis of data on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$. See however KLOET 96 who fit $\pi^+\pi^-$ only and find waves only up to $J = 3$ to be important but not significantly resonant.
- 20 From solution B of amplitude analysis of data on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$. See however KLOET 96 who fit $\pi^+\pi^-$ only and find waves only up to $J = 3$ to be important but not significantly resonant.
- 21 $I(J^P) = 0(4^+)$ from amplitude analysis assuming one-pion exchange.
- 22 Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

NODE=M016W;LINKAGE=BW

NODE=M016W;LINKAGE=BB

NODE=M016W;LINKAGE=M
NODE=M016W;LINKAGE=T

 **$f_4(2050)$ DECAY MODES**

NODE=M016215;NODE=M016

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \omega\omega$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \pi\pi$	(17.0±1.5) %
$\Gamma_3 \quad K\bar{K}$	(6.8 ^{+3.4} _{-1.8}) × 10 ⁻³
$\Gamma_4 \quad \eta\eta$	(2.1±0.8) × 10 ⁻³
$\Gamma_5 \quad 4\pi^0$	< 1.2 %
$\Gamma_6 \quad \gamma\gamma$	seen
$\Gamma_7 \quad a_2(1320)\pi$	seen

DESIG=6

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=5

DESIG=4;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=7

 $f_4(2050) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M016220

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_3\Gamma_6/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV) CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
<0.29 95 ALTHOFF 85B TASS $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$	

NODE=M016G2
NODE=M016G2

$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_2\Gamma_6/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV) CL% EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
23.1 ^{+3.6} _{-3.3} +70.5 -15.6 23 UEHARA 09 BELL 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$	
<1100 95 13 ± 4 OEST 90 JADE $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$	
23 Taking into account the $f_2(1950)$. Helicity-2 production favored.	

NODE=M016G3
NODE=M016G3

NODE=M016G3;LINKAGE=UE

 $f_4(2050)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M016225

$\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT	
seen AMELIN 06 VES 36 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\omega n$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
not seen BARBERIS 00F 450 $pp \rightarrow pf\omega\omega p_S$	

NODE=M016R7
NODE=M016R7

$\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$

VALUE

1.5±0.3

DOCUMENT ID

ALDE

TECN

90

COMMENT

38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\omega n$ Γ_1/Γ_2

NODE=M016R5

NODE=M016R5

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE

0.170±0.015 OUR AVERAGE

0.18 ±0.03

0.16 ±0.03

0.17 ±0.02

²⁴ Assuming one pion exchange.

DOCUMENT ID

²⁴ BINON

83C

GAM2

38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$ ²⁴ CASON

82

STRC

8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}\pi^0$ ²⁴ CORDEN

79

OMEG

12-15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2\pi$ Γ_2/Γ

NODE=M016R1

NODE=M016R1

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$

VALUE

0.04^{+0.02}_{-0.01}

DOCUMENT ID

ETKIN

82B

MPS

23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$ Γ_3/Γ_2

NODE=M016R2

NODE=M016R2

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10⁻³)**2.1±0.8**

DOCUMENT ID

ALDE

86D

GAM4

100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$ Γ_4/Γ

NODE=M016R3

NODE=M016R3

 $\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE

<0.012

DOCUMENT ID

ALDE

87

GAM4

100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 4\pi^0 n$ Γ_5/Γ

NODE=M016R4

NODE=M016R4

 $\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE

seen

DOCUMENT ID

AMELIN

00

VES

37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$ Γ_7/Γ

NODE=M016R6

NODE=M016R6

f₄(2050) REFERENCES

NODE=M016

ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)
UEHARA	09	PR D79 052009	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AMELIN	06	PAN 69 690	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
Translated from YAF 69 715.				
BINON	05	PAN 68 960	F. Binon <i>et al.</i>	
Translated from YAF 68 998.				
AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)
BARBERIS	00F	PL B484 198	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ALDE	98	EPJ A3 361	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM4 Collab.)
Also		PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
Translated from YAF 62 446.				
MARTIN	98	PR C57 3492	B.R. Martin <i>et al.</i>	
MARTIN	97	PR C56 1114	B.R. Martin, G.C. Oades	(LOUC, AARH)
KLOET	96	PR D53 6120	W.M. Kloet, F. Myhrer	(RUTG, NORD)
OAKDEN	94	NP A574 731	M.N. Oakden, M.R. Pennington	(DURH)
ALDE	90	PL B241 600	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)
OEST	90	ZPHY C47 343	T. Oest <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
ALDE	87	PL B198 286	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, BRUX, SERP, LAPP)
AUGUSTIN	87	ZPHY C36 369	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, CLER, FRAS+)
BALTRUSAIT...	87	PR D35 2077	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)
ALTHOFF	85B	ZPHY C29 189	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
BINON	84B	LNC 39 41	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP)
BINON	83C	SJNP 38 723	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BRUX+)
Translated from YAF 38 1199.				
DENNEY	83	PR D28 2726	D.L. Denney <i>et al.</i>	(IOWA, MICH)
CASON	82	PRL 48 1316	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
ALPER	80	PL 94B 422	B. Alper <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
ROZANSKA	80	NP B162 505	M. Rozanska <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN)
CORDEN	79	NP B157 250	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+)
EVANGELIS...	79B	NP B154 381	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
ANTIPOV	77	NP B119 45	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, GEVA)
APEL	75	PL 57B 398	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(KARLK, KARLE, PISA, SERP+)
BLUM	75	PL 57B 403	W. Blum <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM) JP

REFID=52719

REFID=52761

REFID=51574

REFID=50780

REFID=47432

REFID=47950

REFID=47962

REFID=46605

REFID=46914

REFID=46373

REFID=45685

REFID=45212

REFID=45210

REFID=40935

REFID=41358

REFID=40221

REFID=40268

REFID=40010

REFID=20765

REFID=21349

REFID=21780

REFID=40288

REFID=20754

REFID=20746

REFID=20390

REFID=21665

REFID=21774

REFID=20374

REFID=21967

REFID=20728

REFID=20720

REFID=21651

$\pi_2(2100)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^-+)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
Needs confirmation.

NODE=M020

NODE=M020

NODE=M020M

NODE=M020M

NODE=M020M;LINKAGE=AX
NODE=M020M;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M020W

NODE=M020W

NODE=M020W;LINKAGE=AX
NODE=M020W;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M020215;NODE=M020

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M020220

NODE=M020R1
NODE=M020R1

NODE=M020R2
NODE=M020R2

NODE=M020R3
NODE=M020R3

NODE=M020R4
NODE=M020R4

NODE=M020R;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M020

REFID=44433
REFID=20872

$\pi_2(2100)$ MASS				
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2090± 29 OUR AVERAGE				
2090± 30	¹ AMELIN	95B VES	$36 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$	
2100± 150	² DAUM	81B CNTR	$63,94 \pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi X$	
¹ From a fit to $J^{PC} = 2^-+ f_2(1270)\pi, (\pi\pi)_S\pi$ waves.				
² From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves.				

$\pi_2(2100)$ WIDTH				
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
620± 50 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
520±100	³ AMELIN	95B VES	$36 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$	
651± 50	⁴ DAUM	81B CNTR	$63,94 \pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi X$	
³ From a fit to $J^{PC} = 2^-+ f_2(1270)\pi, (\pi\pi)_S\pi$ waves.				
⁴ From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves.				

$\pi_2(2100)$ DECAY MODES		
Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	
Γ_1 3π	seen	
Γ_2 $\rho\pi$	seen	
Γ_3 $f_2(1270)\pi$	seen	
Γ_4 $(\pi\pi)_s\pi$	seen	

$\pi_2(2100)$ BRANCHING RATIOS				
$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma(3\pi)$	Γ_2/Γ_1			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.19±0.05	⁵ DAUM	81B CNTR	63,94 $\pi^- p$	
$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)/\Gamma(3\pi)$	Γ_3/Γ_1			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.36±0.09	⁵ DAUM	81B CNTR	63,94 $\pi^- p$	
$\Gamma((\pi\pi)_s\pi)/\Gamma(3\pi)$	Γ_4/Γ_1			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.45±0.07	⁵ DAUM	81B CNTR	63,94 $\pi^- p$	
D-wave/S-wave RATIO FOR $\pi_2(2100) \rightarrow f_2(1270)\pi$				
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.39±0.23	⁵ DAUM	81B CNTR	63,94 $\pi^- p$	
⁵ From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves.				

$\pi_2(2100)$ REFERENCES				
AMELIN	95B	PL B356 595	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
DAUM	81B	NP B182 269	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)

$f_0(2100)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^{++}(0^{++})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Needs confirmation.

NODE=M168

NODE=M168

NODE=M168M

NODE=M168M

 $f_0(2100)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2095^{+17}_{-19} OUR AVERAGE				
$2116 \pm 27 \pm 17$		LEES	21A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
$2081 \pm 13^{+24}_{-36}$	5.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$
2090 ± 30		BAI	00A BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2075 ± 20		SARANTSEV	21 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
$2090 \pm 10 \pm 6$	529	^{2,3} DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
$2099 \pm 17 \pm 8$	283	^{2,3} DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
2105 ± 8	80k	⁴ UMAN	06 E835	$5.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
2102 ± 13		⁵ ANISOVICH	00J SPEC	$2.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \pi^0, \eta \eta, \eta \eta', \pi^+ \pi^-$
2105 ± 10		ANISOVICH	99K SPEC	$0.6\text{--}1.94 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta, \eta \eta'$
~ 2104		BUGG	95	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
~ 2122		HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$

¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.

² Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

³ From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed $\Gamma = 209$ MeV.

⁴ Statistical error only.

⁵ Includes the data of ANISOVICH 00B indicating to exotic decay pattern.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M168M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M168M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M168M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M168M;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M168M;LINKAGE=AN

 $f_0(2100)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
287^{+32}_{-24} OUR AVERAGE				
$289 \pm 34 \pm 15$		LEES	21A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
$273^{+27}_{-24} \pm 70_{-23}$	5.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$
330 ± 100		BAI	00A BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
260 ± 25		SARANTSEV	21 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
236 ± 14	80k	² UMAN	06 E835	$5.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
211 ± 29		³ ANISOVICH	00J SPEC	$2.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \pi^0, \eta \eta, \eta \eta', \pi^+ \pi^-$
200 ± 25		ANISOVICH	99K SPEC	$0.6\text{--}1.94 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta, \eta \eta'$
~ 203		BUGG	95	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
~ 273		HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$

¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.

² Statistical error only.

³ Includes the data of ANISOVICH 00B indicating to exotic decay pattern.

NODE=M168W

NODE=M168W

NODE=M168W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M168W;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M168W;LINKAGE=AN

 $f_0(2100)$ REFERENCES

LEES	21A	PR D104 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
SARANTSEV	21	PL B816 136227	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)
ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
ANISOVICH	00B	NP A662 319	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)
BAI	00A	PL B472 207	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ANISOVICH	99K	PL B468 309	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BUGG	95	PL B353 378	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, PNPI, WASH)
HASAN	94	PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)

NODE=M168

REFID=61442

REFID=61091

REFID=56805

REFID=55387

REFID=51063

REFID=47942

REFID=47950

REFID=47426

REFID=47472

REFID=44438

REFID=44103

$f_2(2150)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

This entry was previously called T_0 .

NODE=M042

NODE=M042

NODE=M042205

NODE=M042M

NODE=M042M

NODE=M042M;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M042M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M042M3

NODE=M042M3

NODE=M042M3;LINKAGE=K3

NODE=M042M3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M042M4

NODE=M042M4

NODE=M042M4;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=M042M1

NODE=M042M1

OCCUR=2

NODE=M042M1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M042M1;LINKAGE=BB

NODE=M042M1;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M042M1;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M042M2

NODE=M042M2

NODE=M042M2;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M042M2;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M042M2;LINKAGE=M

$f_2(2150)$ MASS

$f_2(2150)$ MASS, COMBINED MODES (MeV)

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

2157±12 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the datablock that follows this one.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2170±6	80k	¹ UMAN	06	E835	5.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
2123 ⁺¹⁵ ₋₃₃		² LONGACRE	04	RVUE	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \phi\phi n$, 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$

¹ Statistical error only.

² From a four pole K-matrix reanalysis of ETKIN 88 and BARBERIS 00C data.

$\eta\eta$ MODE

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

2157±12 OUR AVERAGE

2151±16	BARBERIS	00E		450	$pp \rightarrow p_f \eta\eta p_s$
2175±20	PROKOSHKIN	95D	GAM4	300	$\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- N 2\eta$, 450 $pp \rightarrow pp 2\eta$
2130±35	SINGOVSKI	94	GAM4	450	$pp \rightarrow pp 2\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2140±30	³ ABELE	99B	CBAR	1.94	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$
2104±20	⁴ ARMSTRONG	93C	E760		$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$

³ Spin not determined.

⁴ No J^{PC} determination.

$\eta\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2135±20±45	⁵ ADOEIT	96	CBAR	0	1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$

⁵ ANISOVICH 00E recommends to withdraw ADOEIT 96 that assumed a single $J^P = 2^+$ resonance.

$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 2090	⁶ OAKDEN	94	RVUE	0.36–1.55	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2120	⁷ OAKDEN	94	RVUE	0.36–1.55	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2170	⁸ MARTIN	80B	RVUE		
~ 2150	⁸ MARTIN	80C	RVUE		
~ 2150	⁹ DULUDE	78B	OSPK	1–2	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$

⁶ OAKDEN 94 makes an amplitude analysis of LEAR data on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$ using a method based on Barrelet zeros. This is solution A. The amplitude analysis of HASAN 94 includes earlier data as well, and assume that the data can be parametrized in terms of towers of nearly degenerate resonances on the leading Regge trajectory. See also KLOET 96 and MARTIN 97 who make related analyses.

⁷ From solution B of amplitude analysis of data on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$.

⁸ $I(J^P) = 0(2^+)$ from simultaneous analysis of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+$ and $\pi^0 \pi^0$.

⁹ $I^G(J^P) = 0^+(2^+)$ from partial-wave amplitude analysis.

S-CHANNEL $\bar{p}p$, $\bar{N}N$ or $\bar{K}K$

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2139 ⁺⁸ ₋₉	¹⁰ EVANGELIS...	97	SPEC		0.6–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
~ 2190	¹⁰ CUTTS	78B	CNTR		0.97–3 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{N}N$
2155±15	^{10,11} COUPLAND	77	CNTR	0	0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$
2193±2	^{10,12} ALSPECTOR	73	CNTR		$\bar{p}p$ S channel

¹⁰ Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.

¹¹ From a fit to the total elastic cross section.

¹² Referred to as T or T region by ALSPECTOR 73.

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2200 ± 13	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
2150 ± 20	ABLIKIM	04E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^-$
2130 ± 35	BARBERIS	99 OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+ K^-$

NODE=M042M5
NODE=M042M5

 $f_2(2150)$ WIDTH

NODE=M042210

 $f_2(2150)$ WIDTH, COMBINED MODES (MeV)

152 $\pm$ 30 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the datablock that follows this one. Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.


NODE=M042W
NODE=M042W

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
182 ± 11	80k	¹³ UMAN	06	E835	$5.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
294^{+56}_{-55}		¹⁴ LONGACRE	04	RVUE	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \phi n, 450 p p \rightarrow p_f 4 \pi p_s$

¹³ Statistical error only.

¹⁴ From a four pole K-matrix reanalysis of ETKIN 88 and BARBERIS 00c data.

NODE=M042W;LINKAGE=ST
NODE=M042W;LINKAGE=A

 **$\eta\eta$ MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

152 $\pm$ 30 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.

280 ± 70	BARBERIS	00E	$450 p p \rightarrow p_f \eta \eta p_s$
150 ± 35	PROKOSHKIN	95D	$300 \pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- N 2\eta, 450 p p \rightarrow p p 2\eta$
130 ± 30	SINGOVSKI	94	$450 p p \rightarrow p p 2\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •


310 ± 50	¹⁵ ABELE	99B	$1.94 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
203 ± 10	¹⁶ ARMSTRONG	93C E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$

¹⁵ Spin not determined.

¹⁶ No J^{PC} determination.

NODE=M042W3
NODE=M042W3

NODE=M042W3;LINKAGE=K3
NODE=M042W3;LINKAGE=A



$\eta\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
250±25±45	17 ADOMEIT	96	CBAR	0 1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$
17 ANISOVICH 00E recommends to withdraw ADOMEIT 96 that assumed a single $J^P = 2^+$ resonance.				

NODE=M042W4
NODE=M042W4

NODE=M042W4;LINKAGE=AD

$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
250 OUR ESTIMATE			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
~ 70	18 OAKDEN	94	RVUE 0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 250	19 MARTIN	80B	RVUE
~ 250	19 MARTIN	80C	RVUE
~ 250	20 DULUDE	78B	OSPK 1–2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$
18 See however KLOET 96 who fit $\pi^+\pi^-$ only and find waves only up to $J = 3$ to be important but not significantly resonant.			
19 $I(J^P) = 0(2^+)$ from simultaneous analysis of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$.			
20 $I^G(J^P) = 0^+(2^+)$ from partial-wave amplitude analysis.			

NODE=M042W1
NODE=M042W1
→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M042W1;LINKAGE=CC

NODE=M042W1;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M042W1;LINKAGE=L

S-CHANNEL $\bar{p}p$, $\bar{N}N$ or $\bar{K}K$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
56 ⁺³¹ ₋₁₆	21 EVANGELIS...	97	SPEC	0.6–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
135±75	22,23 COUPLAND	77	CNTR	0 0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$
98±8	23 ALSPECTOR	73	CNTR	$\bar{p}p$ S channel
21 Isospin 0 and 2 not separated.				
22 From a fit to the total elastic cross section.				
23 Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.				

NODE=M042W2
NODE=M042W2

NODE=M042W2;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M042W2;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M042W2;LINKAGE=I

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
91±62	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
150±30	ABLIKIM	04E	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^-$
270±50	BARBERIS	99	OMEG 450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_S p_f K^+ K^-$

NODE=M042W5
NODE=M042W5

$f_2(2150)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M042215;NODE=M042

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\pi\pi$	
Γ_2 $\phi\phi$	
Γ_3 $\eta\eta$	seen
Γ_4 $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_5 $f_2(1270)\eta$	seen
Γ_6 $a_2(1320)\pi$	seen
Γ_7 $\rho\bar{\rho}$	seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=7

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=6

 $f_2(2150)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M042220

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\eta\eta)$ Γ_4/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.28±0.23		BARBERIS	00E	450 $pp \rightarrow pf\eta\eta p_s$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.1	95	²⁴ PROKOSHKIN 95D	GAM4	300 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- N 2\eta$, 450 $pp \rightarrow pp 2\eta$

²⁴ Using data from ARMSTRONG 89D.

NODE=M042R1

NODE=M042R1

NODE=M042R1;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\eta)$ Γ_1/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.33	95	²⁵ PROKOSHKIN 95D	GAM4	300 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- N 2\eta$, 450 $pp \rightarrow pp 2\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

²⁵ Derived from a $\pi^0\pi^0/\eta\eta$ limit.

NODE=M042R2

NODE=M042R2

NODE=M042R2;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta)/\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_6

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.79±0.11	²⁶ ADOMEIT 96	CBAR	1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$

²⁶ Using $B(a_2(1320) \rightarrow \eta\pi) = 0.145$

NODE=M042R3

NODE=M042R3

NODE=M042R3;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\rho\bar{\rho})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	73	ALEXANDER 10	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\rho\bar{\rho}$

NODE=M042R04

NODE=M042R04

 $f_2(2150)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M042

ALEXANDER 10	PR D82 092002	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
UMAN 06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
VLADIMIRSK... 06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)
	Translated from YAF 69 515.		
ABLIKIM 04E	PL B603 138	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
LONGACRE 04	PR D70 094041	R.S. Longacre, S.J. Lindenbaum	(BNL, CUNY)
ANISOVICH 00E	PL B477 19	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BARBERIS 00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS 00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ABELE 99B	EPJ C8 67	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BARBERIS 99	PL B453 305	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)
EVANGELIS... 97	PR D56 3803	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(LEAR Collab.)
MARTIN 97	PR C56 1114	B.R. Martin, G.C. Oades	(LOUC, AARH)
ADOMEIT 96	ZPHY C71 227	J. Adomeit <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
KLOET 96	PR D53 6120	W.M. Kloet, F. Myhrer	(RUTG, NORD)
PROKOSHKIN 95D	PD 40 495	Y.D. Prokoshkin	(SERP) IGJPC
	Translated from DANS 344 469.		
HASAN 94	PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
OAKDEN 94	NP A574 731	M.N. Oakden, M.R. Pennington	(DURH)
SINGOVSKI 94	NC A107 1911	A.V. Singovsky	(SERP)
ARMSTRONG 93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
ARMSTRONG 89D	PL B227 186	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
ETKIN 88	PL B201 568	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
MARTIN 80B	NP B176 355	B.R. Martin, D. Morgan	(LOUC, RHEL) JP
MARTIN 80C	NP B169 216	A.D. Martin, M.R. Pennington	(DURH) JP
CUTTS 78B	PR D17 16	D. Cutts <i>et al.</i>	(STON, WISC)
DULUDE 78B	PL 79B 335	R.S. Dulude <i>et al.</i>	(BROW, MIT, BARI) JP
COUPLAND 77	PL 71B 460	M. Coupland <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RHEL)
ALSPECTOR 73	PRL 30 511	J. Alspector <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, UPNJ)

REFID=53525

REFID=51063

REFID=51191

REFID=50174

REFID=50341

REFID=47945

REFID=47959

REFID=47961

REFID=46904

REFID=46921

REFID=45687

REFID=45685

REFID=45202

REFID=45212

REFID=44647

REFID=44103

REFID=45210

REFID=44648

REFID=43587

REFID=41010

REFID=40285

REFID=21838

REFID=21837

REFID=21733

REFID=21850

REFID=21830

REFID=21813

$\rho(2150)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^-)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

This entry was previously called $T_1(2190)$. See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

NODE=M032

NODE=M032

NODE=M032205

NODE=M032M3

NODE=M032M3

 $\rho(2150)$ MASS **e^+e^- PRODUCED**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2044 ± 31 ± 4		¹ ABLIKIM	23BQ BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow a_2(1320)^+\pi^- +$ c.c. $\rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
2095 ± 4		² ACHASOV	23A SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$
2034 ± 13 ± 9		³ ABLIKIM	21A BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$
2111 ± 43 ± 25		⁴ ABLIKIM	21X BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-$
2255 $+17$ $+50$ -18 -41	1.8k	⁵ ABLIKIM	20F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
2201 ± 19		⁶ LEES	20 BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\gamma$
2227 ± 9 ± 9		⁷ LEES	20 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
2039 ± 8 $+36$ -18		⁸ ABLIKIM	19AQ BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
2239.2 $\pm 7.1 \pm 11.3$		⁹ ABLIKIM	19L BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
2254 ± 22		¹⁰ LEES	12G BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
2150 ± 40 ± 50		AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1990 ± 80		AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
2153 ± 37		BIAGINI	91 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-$
2110 ± 50		¹¹ CLEGG	90 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-),$ $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ From a fit to the cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV with a coherent sum of a Breit-Wigner resonance and a non-resonant contribution. Could be another state.

² From a vector dominance fit to the Born cross section between 1.05 and 2.0 GeV with $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1570)$, $\rho(1700)$, $\rho(2150)$. The fit also uses SND data from the VEPP-2M collider below 1.02 GeV and from LEES 17H and ABLIKIM 21A above 1.5 GeV.

³ From a fit to the cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV with a coherent sum of Breit-Wigner amplitudes, including contributions from $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$. Could be another state.

⁴ From a Breit-Wigner fit to the Born cross section, including an s -dependent continuum amplitude.

⁵ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow X\eta \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta = (21.7 \pm 1.9^{+7.7}_{-8.3}) \times 10^{-6}$.

⁶ From the fit to the BABAR data of LEES 13Q assuming a coherent sum of a single Breit-Wigner resonance and a nonresonant contribution. The resonance significance is 3.5σ .

⁷ From the fit to the BABAR data of LEES 13Q and BESIII data of ABLIKIM 19L assuming a coherent sum of a single Breit-Wigner resonance and a nonresonant contribution.

⁸ Could also be another state. Seen in J/ψ decay with branching ratio $J/\psi \rightarrow X\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0 = (6.7 \pm 1.1^{+2.2}_{-1.8}) \times 10^{-6}$.

⁹ The observed structure can be due to both the $\phi(2170)$ and $\rho(2150)$.

¹⁰ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

¹¹ Includes ATKINSON 85.

NODE=M032M3;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M032M3;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M032M3;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M032M3;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M032M3;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M032M3;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M032M3;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M032M3;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M032M3;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M032M3;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M032M3;LINKAGE=A

 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
~ 2191	HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2070	¹ OAKDEN	94 RVUE	$0.36\text{--}1.55 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2170	² MARTIN	80B RVUE	
~ 2100	² MARTIN	80C RVUE	

¹ See however KLOET 96 who fit $\pi^+\pi^-$ only and find waves only up to $J = 3$ to be important but not significantly resonant.

² $I(J^P) = 1(1^-)$ from simultaneous analysis of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$.

NODE=M032M1

NODE=M032M1

NODE=M032M1;LINKAGE=CC

NODE=M032M;LINKAGE=P

S-CHANNEL $\bar{N}N$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2110 ± 35	¹ ANISOVICH	02 SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
~ 2190	² CUTTS	78B CNTR	0.97–3 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{N}N$
2155 ± 15	^{2,3} COUPLAND	77 CNTR	0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$
2193 ± 2	^{2,4} ALSPECTOR	73 CNTR	$\bar{p}p$ S channel
2190 ± 10	⁵ ABRAMS	70 CNTR	S channel $\bar{p}N$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

² Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.

³ From a fit to the total elastic cross section.

⁴ Referred to as T or T region by ALSPECTOR 73.

⁵ Seen as bump in $I = 1$ state. See also COOPER 68. PEASLEE 75 confirm $\bar{p}p$ results of ABRAMS 70, no narrow structure.

NODE=M032M2
NODE=M032M2

NODE=M032M;LINKAGE=AY

NODE=M032M;LINKAGE=I
NODE=M032M;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M032M;LINKAGE=M
NODE=M032M;LINKAGE=B

 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 n$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2140 ± 30	ALDE	95 GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 n$
2170 ± 30	ALDE	92C GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 n$

NODE=M032M4
NODE=M032M4

 $\rho(2150)$ WIDTH

NODE=M032210

 e^+e^- PRODUCED

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
163 ± 69 ± 24		¹ ABLIKIM	23BQ BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow a_2(1320)^+\pi^- +$ c.c. $\rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
270 ± 3		² ACHASOV	23A SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$
234 ± 30 ± 25		³ ABLIKIM	21A BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$
135 ± 34 ± 30		⁴ ABLIKIM	21X BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-$
460 + 54 - 48 + 160 - 90	1.8k	⁵ ABLIKIM	20F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
70 ± 38		⁶ LEES	20 BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\gamma$
127 ± 14 ± 4		⁷ LEES	20 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
196 ± 23 + 25 - 27		⁸ ABLIKIM	19AQ BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
139.8 ± 12.3 ± 20.6		⁹ ABLIKIM	19L BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
109 ± 76		¹⁰ LEES	12G BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
350 ± 40 ± 50		AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
310 ± 140		AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
389 ± 79		BIAGINI	91 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-$
410 ± 100		¹¹ CLEGG	90 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-),$ $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ From a fit to the cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV with a coherent sum of a Breit-Wigner resonance and a non-resonant contribution. Could be another state.

² From a vector dominance fit to the Born cross section between 1.05 and 2.0 GeV with $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1570)$, $\rho(1700)$, $\rho(2150)$. The fit also uses SND data from the VEPP-2M collider below 1.02 GeV and from LEES 17H and ABLIKIM 21A above 1.5 GeV.

³ From a fit to the cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV with a coherent sum of Breit-Wigner amplitudes, including contributions from $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$. Could be another state.

⁴ From a Breit-Wigner fit to the Born cross section, including an s -dependent continuum amplitude.

⁵ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow X\eta \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta = (21.7 \pm 1.9^{+7.7}_{-8.3}) \times 10^{-6}$.

⁶ From the fit to the BABAR data of LEES 13Q assuming a coherent sum of a single Breit-Wigner resonance and a nonresonant contribution. The resonance significance is 3.5σ .

⁷ From the fit to the BABAR data of LEES 13Q and BESIII data of ABLIKIM 19L assuming a coherent sum of a single Breit-Wigner resonance and a nonresonant contribution.

⁸ Could also be another state. Seen in J/ψ decay with branching ratio $J/\psi \rightarrow X\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0 = (6.7 \pm 1.1^{+2.2}_{-1.8}) \times 10^{-6}$.

⁹ The observed structure can be due to both the $\phi(2170)$ and $\rho(2150)$.

¹⁰ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

¹¹ Includes ATKINSON 85.

NODE=M032W3;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M032W3;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M032W3;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M032W3;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M032W3;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M032W3;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M032W3;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M032W3;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M032W3;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M032W3;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M032W3;LINKAGE=A

$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
~ 296	HASAN	94	RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 40	¹ OAKDEN	94	RVUE 0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 250	² MARTIN	80B	RVUE
~ 200	² MARTIN	80C	RVUE

¹ See however KLOET 96 who fit $\pi^+\pi^-$ only and find waves only up to $J = 3$ to be important but not significantly resonant.

² $I(J^P) = 1(1^-)$ from simultaneous analysis of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$.

NODE=M032W1
NODE=M032W1

NODE=M032W1;LINKAGE=CC

NODE=M032W;LINKAGE=P

S-CHANNEL $\bar{N}N$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
230±50	¹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
135±75	^{2,3} COUPLAND	77	CNTR 0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$
98± 8	³ ALSPECTOR	73	CNTR $\bar{p}p$ S channel
~ 85	⁴ ABRAMS	70	CNTR S channel $\bar{p}N$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

² From a fit to the total elastic cross section.

³ Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.

⁴ Seen as bump in $I = 1$ state. See also COOPER 68. PEASLEE 75 confirm $\bar{p}p$ results of ABRAMS 70, no narrow structure.

NODE=M032W2
NODE=M032W2

NODE=M032W;LINKAGE=AY

NODE=M032W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M032W;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M032W;LINKAGE=B

 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
320±70	ALDE	95	GAM2 38 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$
~ 300	ALDE	92C	GAM4 100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$

NODE=M032W4
NODE=M032W4

 $\rho(2150)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 e^+e^-	
Γ_2 $\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_3 K^+K^-	seen
Γ_4 $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	seen
Γ_5 $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$	seen
Γ_6 $\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_7 $f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_8 $\omega\pi^0$	seen
Γ_9 $\omega\pi^0\eta$	seen
Γ_{10} $a_2(1320)\pi$	
Γ_{11} $p\bar{p}$	

NODE=M032215;NODE=M032

DESIG=1

DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=6;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=7;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=8;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=9;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=12

DESIG=10

 $\rho(2150) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$ **$\Gamma(\eta'\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_6\Gamma_1/\Gamma$**

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
23.3±5.3±3.3	¹ ABLIKIM	21X	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit to the Born cross section interfering constructively with the continuum. For destructive interference the value is $0.64 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.42$ eV.

NODE=M032220

NODE=M032R02
NODE=M032R02

NODE=M032R02;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\omega\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_8\Gamma_1/\Gamma$**

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
34±11±16	ABLIKIM	21A	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$

NODE=M032R00
NODE=M032R00

$$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{10}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

34.6 ± 17.1 ± 6.0	¹ ABLIKIM	23BQ BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow a_2(1320)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From a solution of the fit to the cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV using a coherent sum of a single Breit-Wigner resonance and a non-resonant contribution. $B(a_2(1320) \rightarrow \eta\pi) \times B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ fixed to 0.057. Another solution with equal fit quality gives $137.1 \pm 73.3 \pm 2.1$ eV.

NODE=M032R04
NODE=M032R04

NODE=M032R04;LINKAGE=A

$$\rho(2150) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$$

$$\Gamma(f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_7/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁷)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

3.1 ± 0.6 ± 0.5	¹ AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

¹ Calculated by us from the reported value of cross section at the peak.

NODE=M032230

NODE=M032G01
NODE=M032G01

NODE=M032G01;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(\eta'\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_6/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁸)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.9 ± 1.9	¹ AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

¹ Calculated by us from the reported value of cross section at the peak.

NODE=M032G02
NODE=M032G02

NODE=M032G02;LINKAGE=AU

$\rho(2150)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	23BQ	PR D108 L111101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	23A	PR D108 092012	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21A	PL B813 136059	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21X	PR D103 072007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20F	PR D101 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	20	PR D101 012011	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AQ	PR D100 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19L	PR D99 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	17H	PR D96 092009	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	13Q	PR D88 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ANISOVICH	02	PL B542 8	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01D	PL B508 6	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01E	PL B513 281	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)
KLOET	96	PR D53 6120	W.M. Kloet, F. Myhrer	(RUTG, NORD)
ALDE	95	ZPHY C66 379	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.) JP
HASAN	94	PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
OAKDEN	94	NP A574 731	M.N. Oakden, M.R. Pennington	(DURH)
ALDE	92C	ZPHY C54 553	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, SERP, KEK, LANL+)
BIAGINI	91	NC 104A 363	M.E. Biagini <i>et al.</i>	(FRAS, PRAG)
CLEGG	90	ZPHY C45 677	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ATKINSON	85	ZPHY C29 333	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
MARTIN	80B	NP B176 355	B.R. Martin, D. Morgan	(LOUC, RHEL) JP
MARTIN	80C	NP B169 216	A.D. Martin, M.R. Pennington	(DURH) JP
CUTTS	78B	PR D17 16	D. Cutts <i>et al.</i>	(STON, WISC)
COUPLAND	77	PL 71B 460	M. Coupland <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RHEL)
PEASLEE	75	PL 57B 189	D.C. Peaslee <i>et al.</i>	(CANB, BARI, BROW+)
ALSPECTOR	73	PRL 30 511	J. Alspector <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, UPNJ)
ABRAMS	70	PR D1 1917	R.J. Abrams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
COOPER	68	PRL 20 1059	W.A. Cooper <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	

NODE=M032

REFID=62510
REFID=62428
REFID=61028
REFID=61231
REFID=60256
REFID=60211
REFID=59909
REFID=59612
REFID=58311
REFID=55404
REFID=54299
REFID=52049
REFID=48828
REFID=48327
REFID=48349
REFID=47950
REFID=45212
REFID=44371
REFID=44103
REFID=45210
REFID=41859
REFID=41894
REFID=41355
REFID=22000
REFID=21838
REFID=21837
REFID=21733
REFID=21830
REFID=21824
REFID=21813
REFID=21807
REFID=21805
REFID=48054

$\phi(2170)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

 $\phi(2170)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2164 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE				
2164.7 ± 9.1 ± 3.1		¹ ABLIKIM	24AN BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$
2178 ± 20 ± 5		² ABLIKIM	23AX BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
2190 ± 19 ± 37		³ ABLIKIM	22L BES3	$2.0-3.08 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
2163.5 ± 6.2 ± 3.0		⁴ ABLIKIM	21T BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \eta$
2177.5 ± 4.8 ± 19.5		⁵ ABLIKIM	20M BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' \phi$
2126.5 ± 16.8 ± 12.4		⁶ ABLIKIM	20S BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2215.7 ± 8.3		⁷ LICHARD	23 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$
2169 ± 5 ± 6		⁸ ZHU	23A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \phi$
2273.7 ± 5.7 ± 19.3		⁹ ABLIKIM	21AP BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$
2135 ± 8 ± 9	95	ABLIKIM	19I BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \phi f_0(980)$
2239.2 ± 7.1 ± 11.3		¹⁰ ABLIKIM	19L BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
2200 ± 6 ± 5	471	ABLIKIM	15H BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
2180 ± 8 ± 8	11,12	LEES	12F BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
2079 ± 13 $^{+79}_{-28}$	4.8k	¹³ SHEN	09 BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
2186 ± 10 ± 6	52	ABLIKIM	08F BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta \phi f_0(980)$
2125 ± 22 ± 10	483	AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$
2192 ± 14	116	¹⁴ AUBERT	07AK BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
2169 ± 20	149	¹⁴ AUBERT	07AK BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
2175 ± 10 ± 15	201	^{12,15} AUBERT, BE	06D BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi \pi \gamma$

¹ Seen in $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$ with a significance of 3.2σ .

² From a fit to the e^+e^- cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV with a sum of Breit-Wigner amplitude and a non-resonant contribution.

³ By a simultaneous fit of the $K_2^*(1430)^+ K^-$ and $K^*(892)^+ K^-$ intermediate channels in a partial-wave analysis, assuming the same structure, modelled with a coherent sum of a nonresonant component and a resonant component by a Breit-Wigner function.

⁴ From a fit to the cross section below 3.5 GeV measured by BaBar and BESIII with a coherent sum of two modified Breit-Wigner amplitudes ($\phi(1680)$ and $\phi(2170)$) and a nonresonant term.

⁵ From a fit using a coherent sum of a phase-space modified Breit-Wigner function and a phase-space term.

⁶ By a simultaneous fit of the intermediate channels in a partial-wave analysis, assuming the same structure, modelled with a coherent sum of a nonresonant component and a resonant component by a Breit-Wigner function.

⁷ From a VDM fit to ZHU 23 $\eta \phi \gamma$ data with two resonances, $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$, and a third resonance with mass 1850.7 ± 5.3 MeV and width 25 ± 35 MeV of 1.7σ statistical evidence.

⁸ From the analysis of the combined measurements of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \phi)$ from BaBar, Belle, BESIII, CMD3. The statistical significance for $\phi(2170)$ is 7.2σ .

⁹ From a fit to the cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV with a sum of Breit-Wigner amplitude and a nonresonant contribution. The observed structure can be also due to $\rho(2150)$.

¹⁰ The observed structure can be due to both the $\phi(2170)$ and $\rho(2150)$.

¹¹ Fit includes interference with the $\phi(1680)$.

¹² From the $\phi f_0(980)$ component.

¹³ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

¹⁴ From the $K^+ K^- f_0(980)$ component.

¹⁵ Superseded by LEES 12F.

 $\phi(2170)$ WIDTH

NODE=M103

NODE=M103

NODE=M103M

NODE=M103M

OCCUR=2

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=N

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=SH

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M103M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M103W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
88 $\frac{+26}{-21}$ OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.5. See the ideogram below.		
$32.4 \pm 21.0 \pm 1.8$		¹ ABLIKIM	24AN BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$
$140 \pm 36 \pm 16$		² ABLIKIM	23AX BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
$191 \pm 28 \pm 60$		³ ABLIKIM	22L BES3	$2.0-3.08 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
$31.1 \pm 21.1 \pm 1.1$		⁴ ABLIKIM	21T BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \eta$
$149.0 \pm 15.6 \pm 8.9$		⁵ ABLIKIM	20M BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' \phi$
$106.9 \pm 32.1 \pm 28.1$		⁶ ABLIKIM	20S BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
35 ± 23		⁷ LICHARD	23 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$
$96 \pm 17 \pm 9$		⁸ ZHU	23A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \phi$
$86 \pm 44 \pm 51$		⁹ ABLIKIM	21AP BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$
$104 \pm 24 \pm 12$	95	ABLIKIM	19I BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \phi f_0(980)$
$139.8 \pm 12.3 \pm 20.6$		¹⁰ ABLIKIM	19L BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
$104 \pm 15 \pm 15$	471	ABLIKIM	15H BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
$77 \pm 15 \pm 10$		^{11,12} LEES	12F BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
$192 \pm 23 \pm 25 \pm 61$	4.8k	¹³ SHEN	09 BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
$65 \pm 23 \pm 17$	52	ABLIKIM	08F BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta \phi f_0(980)$
$61 \pm 50 \pm 13$	483	AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$
71 ± 21	116	¹⁴ AUBERT	07AK BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
102 ± 27	149	¹⁴ AUBERT	07AK BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
$58 \pm 16 \pm 20$	201	^{12,15} AUBERT,BE	06D BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi \pi \gamma$

NODE=M103W

OCCUR=2

¹ Seen in $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$ with a significance of 3.2 σ .

² From a fit to the e^+e^- cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV with a sum of Breit-Wigner amplitude and a non-resonant contribution.

³ By a simultaneous fit of the $K_2^*(1430)^+ K^-$ and $K^*(892)^+ K^-$ intermediate channels in a partial-wave analysis, assuming the same structure, modelled with a coherent sum of a nonresonant component and a resonant component by a Breit-Wigner function.

⁴ From a fit to the cross section below 3.5 GeV measured by BaBar and BESIII with a coherent sum of two modified Breit-Wigner amplitudes ($\phi(1680)$ and $\phi(2170)$) and a nonresonant term.

⁵ From a fit using a coherent sum of a phase-space modified Breit-Wigner function and a phase-space term.

⁶ By a simultaneous fit of the intermediate channels in a partial-wave analysis, assuming the same structure, modelled with a coherent sum of a nonresonant component and a resonant component by a Breit-Wigner function.

⁷ From a VDM fit to ZHU 23 $\eta \phi \gamma$ data with two resonances, $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$, and a third resonance with mass 1850.7 ± 5.3 MeV and width 25 ± 35 MeV of 1.7 σ statistical evidence.

⁸ From the analysis of the combined measurements of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \phi)$ from BaBar, Belle, BESIII, CMD3. The statistical significance for $\phi(2170)$ is 7.2 σ .

⁹ From a fit to the cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV with a sum of Breit-Wigner amplitude and a nonresonant contribution. The observed structure can be also due to $\rho(2150)$.

¹⁰ The observed structure can be due to both the $\phi(2170)$ and $\rho(2150)$.

¹¹ Fit includes interference with the $\phi(1680)$.

¹² From the $\phi f_0(980)$ component.

¹³ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

¹⁴ From the $K^+ K^- f_0(980)$ component.

¹⁵ Superseded by LEES 12F.

NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=N
NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=D


NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=SH
NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=AU
NODE=M103W;LINKAGE=B



$\phi(2170)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M103215;NODE=M103

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $e^+ e^-$	seen
Γ_2 $\phi \eta$	seen
Γ_3 $\phi \eta'$	seen
Γ_4 $\phi \pi \pi$	seen
Γ_5 $\phi f_0(980)$	seen
Γ_6 $K_S^0 K_L^0$	
Γ_7 $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	
Γ_8 $K^+ K^- f_0(980) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
Γ_9 $K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	
Γ_{10} $K^+ K^- f_0(980) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	seen
Γ_{11} $K^{*0} K^\pm \pi^\mp$	not seen
Γ_{12} $K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0$	not seen
Γ_{13} $K^*(892)^+ K^*(892)^-$	
Γ_{14} $K^*(892)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	
Γ_{15} $K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0$	
Γ_{16} $K(1460)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	
Γ_{17} $K_1(1270)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	
Γ_{18} $K_1(1400)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	
Γ_{19} $K_2^*(1430)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=11;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=9
 DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=17
 DESIG=3
 DESIG=6
 DESIG=4
 DESIG=7
 DESIG=8
 DESIG=10
 DESIG=15
 DESIG=19
 DESIG=21
 DESIG=12
 DESIG=14
 DESIG=13
 DESIG=18

$\phi(2170) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M103230

$\Gamma(\phi \eta) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_2 \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL% EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.17	90 1 ZHU 23 BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(nS) \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$
$0.36^{+0.05}_{-0.03} \pm 0.07$ to $41 \pm 2 \pm 6$	2 ZHU 23A RVUE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \phi$
$0.24^{+0.12}_{-0.07}$	3 ABLIKIM 21T BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \eta$
$1.7 \pm 0.7 \pm 1.3$	483 AUBERT 08S BABR $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$

NODE=M103G2
 NODE=M103G2

¹ From a solution of the fit using a vector meson dominance model with contributions from $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$ and non resonant contribution with mass and width of $\phi(2170)$ fixed at 2163.5 MeV and 31.1 MeV respectively. Four solutions are found with equal fit quality giving 0.17 eV (solution I and II) and 18.6 eV (III and IV) at 90% CL.

NODE=M103G2;LINKAGE=B

² From the analysis of the combined measurements of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\phi)$ from BaBar, Belle, BESIII, CMD3. The statistical significance for $\phi(2170)$ is 7.2σ . Four solutions are found, with equal fit quality: $(0.56^{+0.03}_{-0.02} \pm 0.07)$ eV, $(0.36^{+0.05}_{-0.03} \pm 0.07)$ eV, $(38 \pm 1 \pm 5)$ eV, $(41 \pm 2 \pm 6)$ eV.

NODE=M103G2;LINKAGE=C

³ From a solution of the fit to the cross section below 3.5 GeV measured by BaBar and BESIII with a coherent sum of two modified Breit-Wigner amplitudes ($\phi(1680)$ and $\phi(2170)$) and a nonresonant term. The other solution gives $10.11^{+3.87}_{-3.13}$ eV.

NODE=M103G2;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi\eta') \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_3\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$7.1 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.7$	¹ ABLIKIM	20M BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'\phi$

NODE=M103R00
NODE=M103R00

¹ From a fit using a coherent sum of a phase-space modified Breit-Wigner function and a phase-space term.

NODE=M103R00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi f_0(980)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_5\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3$	^{1,2} LEES	12F BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
$2.5 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.4$	201 ^{2,3} AUBERT,BE	06D BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi\pi\gamma$

¹ From a fit with constructive interference with the $\phi(1680)$. In a fit with destructive interference, the value is larger by a factor of 12.

NODE=M103G1;LINKAGE=A

² For $f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi\pi$.

NODE=M103G1;LINKAGE=AB

³ Superseded by LEES 12F.

NODE=M103G1;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_L^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_6\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.9 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.7$	¹ ABLIKIM	21AP BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$

¹ From a fit to the cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV with a sum of Breit-Wigner amplitude and a nonresonant contribution. The observed structure can be also due to $\rho(2150)$.

NODE=M103R10;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K^*(892)^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_{13}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<1.9	90	¹ ABLIKIM	20S BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ By a simultaneous fit of the intermediate channels in a partial-wave analysis, assuming the same structure, modelled with a coherent sum of a nonresonant component and a resonant component by a Breit-Wigner function.

NODE=M103R08
NODE=M103R08

NODE=M103R08;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_{14}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.0 ± 0.3	¹ ABLIKIM	22L BES3	$2.0-3.08 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$

¹ From a solution of a simultaneous fit of the $K_2^*(1430)^+ K^-$ and $K^*(892)^+ K^-$ intermediate channels in a partial-wave analysis, assuming the same structure, modelled with a coherent sum of a nonresonant component and a resonant component by a Breit-Wigner function. The other solution gives 7.1 ± 0.9 eV. Significance 3.7σ .

NODE=M103R11
NODE=M103R11

NODE=M103R11;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_{15}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.0 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1$	¹ ABLIKIM	24AN BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$
$73.6 \pm 4.4 \pm 2.0$	² ABLIKIM	24AN BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$

¹ Solution 1 of 2. Seen in $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$ with a significance of 3.2σ .

NODE=M103R15
NODE=M103R15

OCCUR=2

² Solution 2 of 2. Seen in $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$ with a significance of 3.2σ .

NODE=M103R15;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M103R15;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(K(1460)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{16} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.0 ± 3.8	¹ ABLIKIM	20s	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0$

¹ By a simultaneous fit of the intermediate channels in a partial-wave analysis, assuming the same structure, modelled with a coherent sum of a nonresonant component and a resonant component by a Breit-Wigner function.

NODE=M103R05
NODE=M103R05

NODE=M103R05;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{17} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<12.5	90	¹ ABLIKIM	20s	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0$

¹ By a simultaneous fit of the intermediate channels in a partial-wave analysis, assuming the same structure, modelled with a coherent sum of a nonresonant component and a resonant component by a Breit-Wigner function. A second solution of the fit with equal fit quality gives an upper limit value of 297.6 eV.

NODE=M103R06
NODE=M103R06

NODE=M103R06;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K_1(1400)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{18} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.7 ± 3.3	¹ ABLIKIM	20s	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0$

¹ By a simultaneous fit of the intermediate channels in a partial-wave analysis, assuming the same structure, modelled with a coherent sum of a nonresonant component and a resonant component by a Breit-Wigner function. A second solution of the fit with equal fit quality gives a value of 98.8 ± 7.8 eV.

NODE=M103R07
NODE=M103R07

NODE=M103R07;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{19} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

12.6 ± 2.4	¹ ABLIKIM	22L	BES3	2.0–3.08 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ From a solution of a simultaneous fit of the $K_2^*(1430)^+ K^-$ and $K^*(892)^+ K^-$ intermediate channels in a partial-wave analysis, assuming the same structure, modelled with a coherent sum of a nonresonant component and a resonant component by a Breit-Wigner function. The other solution gives 161.1 ± 20.6 eV.

NODE=M103R12
NODE=M103R12

NODE=M103R12;LINKAGE=A

$$\phi(2170) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma^2(\text{total})$$

NODE=M103220

$$\Gamma(\phi \pi \pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.65 ± 0.15 ± 0.18	4.8k	¹ SHEN	09	BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

¹ Multiplied by 3/2 to take into account the $\phi \pi^0 \pi^0$ mode. Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6)\%$.

NODE=M103G01
NODE=M103G01

NODE=M103G01;LINKAGE=SH

$$\phi(2170) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$$

NODE=M103225

$$\Gamma(\phi \pi \pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4 / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	¹ ABLIKIM	23AX	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ From a fit to the $e^+ e^-$ cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV with a sum of Breit-Wigner amplitude and a non-resonant contribution.

NODE=M103R13
NODE=M103R13

NODE=M103R13;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- f_0(980) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_8 / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

NODE=M103R01
NODE=M103R01

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- f_0(980) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{10} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

NODE=M103R02
NODE=M103R02

$$\Gamma(K^{*0} K^\pm \pi^\mp) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{11} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

not seen	AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 GeV $e^+ e^-$

NODE=M103R03
NODE=M103R03

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12} / Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM	10C BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta K^+ \pi^- K^- \pi^+$

NODE=M103R04
 NODE=M103R04

 $\phi(2170)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	24AN	JHEP 2401 180	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23AX	PR D108 032011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LICHARD	23	PR D108 092005	P. Lichard	(OPAV, CTUP)
ZHU	23	PR D107 012006	W. Zhu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ZHU	23A	CP C47 113003	W. Zhu, X. Wang	(RVUE)
ABLIKIM	22L	JHEP 2207 045	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21AP	PR D104 092014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21T	PR D104 032007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20M	PR D102 012008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20S	PRL 124 112001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19I	PR D99 012014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19L	PR D99 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15H	PR D91 052017	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	12F	PR D86 012008	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ABLIKIM	10C	PL B685 27	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)
SHEN	09	PR D80 031101	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	08F	PRL 100 102003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,BE	06D	PR D74 091103	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)

NODE=M103

REFID=62698
 REFID=62350
 REFID=62426
 REFID=61911
 REFID=62439
 REFID=61649
 REFID=61448
 REFID=61154
 REFID=60337
 REFID=60542
 REFID=59605
 REFID=59612
 REFID=56773
 REFID=54298
 REFID=53349
 REFID=53000
 REFID=52154
 REFID=52242
 REFID=51908
 REFID=51511

 $f_0(2200)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Seen in $K_S^0 K_S^0$ (AUGUSTIN 88), $K^+ K^-$ (ABLIKIM 05Q) and $\eta\eta$ (BINON 05) system. Not seen in $\Upsilon(1S)$ radiative decays (BARU 89).

NODE=M112

NODE=M112

 $f_0(2200)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2187±14 OUR AVERAGE				
2170±20 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₁₅		ABLIKIM	05Q BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
2197±17		¹ AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2200±25		SARANTSEV	21 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
2206±12± 8	381	^{2,3} DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
2188±17±16	203	^{2,3} DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
2210±50		⁴ BINON	05 GAMS	$33 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$
~ 2122		HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2321		HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

NODE=M112M

NODE=M112M

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ Cannot determine spin to be 0.² Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.³ From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed $\Gamma = 238$ MeV.⁴ First solution, PWA is ambiguous.

NODE=M112M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M112M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M112M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M112M;LINKAGE=BI

 $f_0(2200)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
210±40 OUR AVERAGE			
220±60 ⁺⁴⁰ ₋₄₅	ABLIKIM	05Q BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
201±51	⁵ AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
150±30	SARANTSEV	21 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
380±90	⁶ BINON	05 GAMS	$33 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$
~ 273	HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 223	HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

NODE=M112W

NODE=M112W

OCCUR=2

⁵ Cannot determine spin to be 0.⁶ First solution, PWA is ambiguous.

NODE=M112W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M112W;LINKAGE=BI

$f_0(2200)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M112215;NODE=M112

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \gamma\phi$	seen

DESIG=1

 $f_0(2200)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M112225

$\Gamma(\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

NODE=M112R00
NODE=M112R00

seen ⁷ ABLIKIM 25P BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$

⁷ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ with significance 6.3σ .

NODE=M112R00;LINKAGE=A

 $f_0(2200)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M112

ABLIKIM	25P	PR D111 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
SARANTSEV	21	PL B816 136227	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)
ABLIKIM	05Q	PR D72 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BINON	05	PAN 68 960	F. Binon <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 68 998.		
HASAN	94	PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
BARU	89	ZPHY C42 505	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
AUGUSTIN	88	PRL 60 2238	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)

REFID=63260
REFID=61091
REFID=56805
REFID=50958
REFID=50780

REFID=44103
REFID=40917
REFID=40574 $f_J(2220)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++} \text{ or } 4^{++})$$

NODE=M082

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

See our mini-review in the 2004 edition of this *Review*, PDG 04.

NODE=M082

 $f_J(2220)$ MASS

NODE=M082M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2231.1 ± 3.5 OUR AVERAGE				
2235 ± 4 ± 6	74	BAI	96B BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
2230 $^{+6}_{-7}$ ± 16	46	BAI	96B BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$
2232 $^{+8}_{-7}$ ± 15	23	BAI	96B BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
2235 ± 4 ± 5	32	BAI	96B BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
2209 $^{+17}_{-15}$ ± 10		ASTON	88F LASS	$11 K^-p \rightarrow K^+K^- \Lambda$
2230 ± 20		BOLONKIN	88 SPEC	$40 \pi^-p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
2220 ± 10	41	¹ ALDE	86B GA24	$38-100 \pi p \rightarrow n\eta\eta'$
2230 ± 6 ± 14	93	BALTRUSAIT..86D	MRK3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$
2232 ± 7 ± 7	23	BALTRUSAIT..86D	MRK3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M082M

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2223.9 ± 2.5		² VLADIMIRSK...08	SPEC	$40 \pi^-p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n + m\pi^0$
2246 ± 36		BAI	98H BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ ALDE 86B uses data from both the GAMS-2000 and GAMS-4000 detectors.² $J^{PC} = 2^{++}$. Systematic uncertainties not evaluatedNODE=M082M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M082M;LINKAGE=VL $f_J(2220)$ WIDTH

NODE=M082W

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
23 $^{+8}_{-7}$ OUR AVERAGE					
19 $^{+13}_{-11}$ ± 12		74	BAI	96B BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
20 $^{+20}_{-15}$ ± 17		46	BAI	96B BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$
20 $^{+25}_{-16}$ ± 14		23	BAI	96B BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M082W

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

$15^{+12}_{-9} \pm 9$	32	BAI	96B	BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$
60^{+107}_{-57}		ASTON	88F	LASS	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \Lambda$
80 ± 30		BOLONKIN	88	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
$26^{+20}_{-16} \pm 17$	93	BALTRUSAIT..86D	MRK3		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
$18^{+23}_{-15} \pm 10$	23	BALTRUSAIT..86D	MRK3		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
8.6 ± 2.5		¹ VLADIMIRSK...08	SPEC		$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n + m\pi^0$
<80	90	ALDE	87C	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \eta n$
¹ $J^{PC} = 2^{++}$. Systematic uncertainties not evaluated					

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=2

NODE=M082W;LINKAGE=VL

NODE=M082215;NODE=M082

 $f_J(2220)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \pi\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_2 \pi^+\pi^-$	not seen
$\Gamma_3 K\bar{K}$	not seen
$\Gamma_4 p\bar{p}$	not seen
$\Gamma_5 \gamma\gamma$	not seen
$\Gamma_6 \eta\eta'(958)$	seen
$\Gamma_7 \phi\phi$	not seen
$\Gamma_8 \eta\eta$	not seen

DESIG=5

DESIG=6;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=1

DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=7;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=8;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $f_J(2220) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			$\Gamma_3\Gamma_5/\Gamma$		
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
< 1.4	95	¹ ACCIARRI	01H L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0, E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} = 91, 183-209 \text{ GeV}$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 5.6	95	¹ GODANG	97 CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	
< 86	95	¹ ALBRECHT	90G ARG	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^-$	
< 1000	95	² ALTHOFF	85B TASS	$\gamma\gamma, K\bar{K}\pi$	

NODE=M082220

NODE=M082G1
NODE=M082G1

$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			$\Gamma_1\Gamma_5/\Gamma$		
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
< 2.5	95	ALAM	98C CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	
¹ Assuming $J^P = 2^+$.					
² True for $J^P = 0^+$ and $J^P = 2^+$.					

NODE=M082G3
NODE=M082G3NODE=M082G1;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M082G1;LINKAGE=C **$f_J(2220) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$**

$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			$\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$		
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
< 18	95	¹ AMSLER	01 CBAR	$1.4-1.5 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< (11-42)$	99	² HASAN	96 SPEC	$1.35-1.55 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	

NODE=M082223

NODE=M082GG1
NODE=M082GG1

$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			$\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_7/\Gamma$		
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
< 6	95	³ EVANGELIS...	98 SPEC	$1.1-2.0 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \phi\phi$	

NODE=M082GG2
NODE=M082GG2

$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			$\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_8/\Gamma$		
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
< 4	95	¹ AMSLER	01 CBAR	$1.4-1.5 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\eta$	
¹ For $J^P = 2^+$ in the mass range 2222–2240 MeV and the total width between 10 and 20 MeV.					
² For $J^P = 2^+$ and $J^P = 4^+$ in the mass range 2220–2245 MeV and the total width of 15 MeV.					
³ For $J^P = 2^+$, the mass of 2235 MeV and the total width of 15 MeV.					

NODE=M082GG3
NODE=M082GG3

NODE=M082GG;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M082GG;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M082GG;LINKAGE=C

$f_J(2220)$ BRANCHING RATIOS $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ DOBBS 15		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$
not seen	¹ DOBBS 15		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M082225

NODE=M082R00
NODE=M082R00

OCCUR=2

NODE=M082R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ DOBBS 15		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$
not seen	¹ DOBBS 15		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.NODE=M082R01
NODE=M082R01

OCCUR=2

NODE=M082R01;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$ Γ_1/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.0±0.5	BAI 96B	BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi, K \bar{K}$

NODE=M082R2
NODE=M082R2 $\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen		¹ AUBERT 07AV	BABR	$B \rightarrow p \bar{p} K^{(*)}$
not seen		WANG 05A	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \bar{p} p K^+$
<3.0	95	² EVANGELIS... 97	SPEC	$1.96-2.40 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
<1.1	99.7	³ BARNES 93	SPEC	$1.3-1.57 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
<2.6	99.7	³ BARDIN 87	CNTR	$1.3-1.5 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
<3.6	99.7	³ SCULLI 87	CNTR	$1.29-1.55 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^-$

¹ Assuming $\Gamma < 30$ MeV.² Assuming $\Gamma \sim 20$ MeV, $J^P = 2^+$ and $B(f_J(2220) \rightarrow K \bar{K}) = 100\%$.³ Assuming $\Gamma = 30-35$ MeV, $J^P = 2^+$ and $B(f_J(2220) \rightarrow K \bar{K}) = 100\%$.NODE=M082R1
NODE=M082R1

NODE=M082R1;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M082R1;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M082R1;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$ Γ_4/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.17±0.09	BAI 96B	BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}, K \bar{K}$

NODE=M082R3
NODE=M082R3 $f_J(2220)$ REFERENCES

DOBBS 15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)
VLADIMIRSK... 08	PAN 71 2129	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
	Translated from YAF 71 2166.		
AUBERT 07AV	PR D76 092004	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
WANG 05A	PL B617 141	M.-Z. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PDG 04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACCIARRI 01H	PL B501 173	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AMSLER 01	PL B520 175	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ALAM 98C	PRL 81 3328	M.S. Alam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BAI 98H	PRL 81 1179	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
EVANGELIS... 98	PR D57 5370	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(JETSET Collab.)
EVANGELIS... 97	PR D56 3803	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(LEAR Collab.)
GODANG 97	PRL 79 3829	R. Godang <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BAI 96B	PRL 76 3502	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
HASAN 96	PL B388 376	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(BRUN, LOQM)
BARNES 93	PL B309 469	P.D. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(PS185 Collab.)
ALBRECHT 90G	ZPHY C48 183	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ASTON 88F	PL B215 199	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS) JP
BOLONKIN 88	NP B309 426	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, SERP)
ALDE 87C	SJNP 45 255	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	
	Translated from YAF 45 405.		
BARDIN 87	PL B195 292	G. Bardin <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, FERR, CERN, PADO+)
SCULLI 87	PRL 58 1715	J. Sculli <i>et al.</i>	(NYU, BNL)
ALDE 86B	PL B177 120	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP)
BALTRUSAIT... 86D	PRL 56 107	R.M. Baltrusaitis	(CIT, UCSC, ILL, SLAC+)
ALTHOFF 85B	ZPHY C29 189	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)

NODE=M082

REFID=56805
REFID=52681REFID=51990
REFID=50651
REFID=49653
REFID=48321
REFID=48558
REFID=46326
REFID=46342
REFID=46365
REFID=45687
REFID=45760
REFID=44736
REFID=45197
REFID=43601
REFID=41374
REFID=40585
REFID=40580
REFID=47474REFID=40235
REFID=40023
REFID=21864
REFID=21865
REFID=21349

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

DEL-AMO-SA... 100	PRL 105 172001	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)

REFID=53533

$\omega(2220)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^--)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

 $\omega(2220)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2188±21 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
2153±30±31	¹ ABLIKIM	24AJ BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta'$
2232±19±27	² ABLIKIM	23G BES3	2.0–3.1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi\pi$
2176±24±3	³ ABLIKIM	21A BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2250±25±27	⁴ ABLIKIM	23G BES3	2.0–3.1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
2222±7±2	⁵ ABLIKIM	22I BES3	2.0–3.8 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0$
2205±30	⁶ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From a fit to the e^+e^- cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV with a sum of Breit-Wigner amplitude and a non-resonant contribution.

² From a fit to $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\omega\pi^0\pi^0$ with a Breit-Wigner resonance interfering with the continuum. Supersedes ABLIKIM 22I.

³ From a fit to the cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV with a coherent sum of Breit-Wigner amplitudes, including contributions from $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)/\phi(1680)$.

⁴ From a fit to $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ with a Breit-Wigner resonance interfering with the continuum.

⁵ From the fit to the cross section by the coherent sum of resonant component parametrized by a modified Breit-Wigner amplitude and a phase-space contribution for the continuum.

⁶ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

 $\omega(2220)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
105±34 OUR AVERAGE			
167±77±7	¹ ABLIKIM	24AJ BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta'$
93±53±20	² ABLIKIM	23G BES3	2.0–3.1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi\pi$
89±50±5	³ ABLIKIM	21A BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
125±43±15	⁴ ABLIKIM	23G BES3	2.0–3.1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
59±30±6	⁵ ABLIKIM	22I BES3	2.0–3.8 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0$
350±90	⁶ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From a fit to the e^+e^- cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV with a sum of Breit-Wigner amplitude and a non-resonant contribution.

² From a fit to $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\omega\pi^0\pi^0$ with a Breit-Wigner resonance interfering with the continuum. Supersedes ABLIKIM 22I.

³ From a fit to the cross section between 2.00 and 3.08 GeV with a coherent sum of Breit-Wigner amplitudes, including contributions from $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)/\phi(1680)$.

⁴ From a fit to $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ with a Breit-Wigner resonance interfering with the continuum.

⁵ From the fit to the cross section by the coherent sum of resonant component parametrized by a modified Breit-Wigner amplitude and a phase-space contribution for the continuum.

⁶ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

 $\omega(2220)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\omega\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_3 $\omega\pi^0\pi^0$	seen
Γ_4 $\omega\eta$	seen
Γ_5 $\omega\eta'$	seen
Γ_6 e^+e^-	seen

 $\omega(2220)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_3\Gamma_6/\Gamma$
0.3±0.1±0.1	¹ ABLIKIM	22I BES3	2.0–3.8 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0$	
¹ Superseded by ABLIKIM 23G.				

NODE=M270

NODE=M270M

NODE=M270M

OCCUR=2

NODE=M270M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M270M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M270M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M270M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M270M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M270M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M270W

NODE=M270W

OCCUR=2

NODE=M270W;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M270W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M270W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M270W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M270W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M270W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M270215;NODE=M270

DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=6;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M270235

NODE=M270G00

NODE=M270G00

NODE=M270G00;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2\Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.9 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4$	¹ ABLIKIM	23G	BES3	$2.0-3.1 \text{ e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From a fit to $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ with a Breit-Wigner resonance interfering with the continuum. Solution with constructive interference: $52.9 \pm 17.0 \pm 13.1 \text{ eV}$.

NODE=M270R01
NODE=M270R01

NODE=M270R01;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1\Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$0.9 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.2$	¹ ABLIKIM	23G	BES3	$2.0-3.1 \text{ e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \omega\pi\pi$

¹ From a fit to $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\omega\pi^0\pi^0$ with a Breit-Wigner resonance interfering with the continuum. Solution with constructive interference: $61.1 \pm 32.1 \pm 15.4 \text{ eV}$. Supersedes ABLIKIM 221.

NODE=M270R00
NODE=M270R00

NODE=M270R00;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4\Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$0.43 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.04$	¹ ABLIKIM	21A	BES3	$\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$

¹ For constructive interference with $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)/\phi(1680)$. For destructive interference: $1.25 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.18 \text{ eV}$.

NODE=M270R02
NODE=M270R02

NODE=M270R02;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega\eta') \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_5\Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

4.2 ± 1.5 OUR AVERAGE

$5.72 \pm 1.68 \pm 1.5$	¹ ABLIKIM	24AJ	BES3	$\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \omega\eta'$
$2.99 \pm 1.68 \pm 1.2$	² ABLIKIM	24AJ	BES3	$\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \omega\eta'$

¹ Solution 1 of the two solutions.

² Solution 2 of the two solutions.

NODE=M270R03
NODE=M270R03

OCCUR=2

NODE=M270R03;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M270R03;LINKAGE=B

$\omega(2220)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	24AJ	JHEP 2407 093	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23G	JHEP 2301 111	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
Also		JHEP 2303 093 (errata)	M. Ablikim, <i>et. al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22I	PR D105 032005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21A	PL B813 136059	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ANISOVICH	02B	PL B542 19	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01C	PL B507 23	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	00D	PL B476 15	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	

NODE=M270

REFID=62691
REFID=62049
REFID=62054
REFID=61644
REFID=61028
REFID=48829
REFID=48325
REFID=47944

$\eta(2225)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^-+)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Seen in $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\phi\phi$. Possibly seen in $B \rightarrow \phi\phi K$ by LEES 11A.

NODE=M115

NODE=M115

$\eta(2225)$ MASS

NODE=M115M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2221^{+13}_{-10} OUR AVERAGE

2216^{+4+21}_{-5-11}	¹ ABLIKIM	16N	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
2240^{+30+30}_{-20-20}	196 $\pm$ 19	ABLIKIM	08I	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_L^0$
$2230 \pm 25 \pm 15$		BAI	90B	MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
$2214 \pm 20 \pm 13$		BAI	90B	MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_L^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 2220	BISELLO	86B	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\phi\phi$ that also finds significant signals for for $\eta(2100)$, 0^-+ phase space, $f_0(2100)$, $f_2(2010)$, $f_2(2300)$, $f_2(2340)$, and a previously unseen 0^-+ state $X(2500)$ ($M = 2470^{+15+101}_{-19-23} \text{ MeV}$, $\Gamma = 230^{+64+56}_{-35-33} \text{ MeV}$).

OCCUR=2

NODE=M115M;LINKAGE=B

$\eta(2225)$ WIDTH

NODE=M115W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M115W

185⁺₋ 40⁺₋ 20 OUR AVERAGE

185 ⁺ ₋ 12 ⁺ ₋ 14 ⁺ ₋ 17		¹ ABLIKIM	16N	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
190 [±] 30 ⁺ ₋ 60 ₋ 40	196 ± 19	ABLIKIM	08I	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_L^0$
150 ⁺ ₋ 300 ₋ 60 ± 60		BAI	90B	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 80 BISELLO 86B DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \phi \phi$ that also finds significant signals for for $\eta(2100)$, 0^-+ phase space, $f_0(2100)$, $f_2(2010)$, $f_2(2300)$, $f_2(2340)$, and a previously unseen 0^-+ state $X(2500)$ ($M = 2470^{+15+101}_{-19-23}$ MeV, $\Gamma = 230^{+64+56}_{-35-33}$ MeV).

NODE=M115W;LINKAGE=A

$\eta(2225)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	16N	PR D93 112011	M. Ablikim	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	11A	PR D84 012001	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ABLIKIM	08I	PL B662 330	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BAI	90B	PRL 65 1309	Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
BISELLO	86B	PL B179 294	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)

NODE=M115

REFID=57512
REFID=16595
REFID=52255
REFID=41354
REFID=22101

NODE=M044

$\rho_3(2250)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{--})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Contains results mostly from formation experiments. For further production experiments see the Further States entry. See also $\rho(2150)$, $f_2(2150)$, $f_4(2300)$, $\rho_5(2350)$.

NODE=M044

$\rho_3(2250)$ MASS

NODE=M044205

$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$ or $K\bar{K}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

NODE=M044M1
NODE=M044M1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2248 ± 17 ⁺ ₋ 59 ₋ 5	1.8k	¹ ABLIKIM	20F	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
~ 2232		HASAN	94	RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2090		² OAKDEN	94	RVUE	0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2250		³ MARTIN	80B	RVUE	
~ 2300		³ MARTIN	80C	RVUE	
~ 2140		⁴ CARTER	78B	CNTR 0	0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^- K^+$
~ 2150		⁵ CARTER	77	CNTR 0	0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

¹ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow X\eta \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta = (1.9 \pm 0.4^{+0.5}_{-1.3}) \times 10^{-6}$.

² See however KLOET 96 who fit $\pi^+\pi^-$ only and find waves only up to $J = 3$ to be important but not significantly resonant.

³ $I(J^P) = 1(3^-)$ from simultaneous analysis of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$.

⁴ $I = 0, 1$. $J^P = 3^-$ from Barrelet-zero analysis.

⁵ $I(J^P) = 1(3^-)$ from amplitude analysis.

NODE=M044M1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M044M1;LINKAGE=CC

NODE=M044M1;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M044M1;LINKAGE=K
NODE=M044M1;LINKAGE=J

S-CHANNEL $\bar{N}N$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

NODE=M044M2
NODE=M044M2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2260 ± 20	⁶ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$, $\omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
~ 2190	⁷ CUTTS	78B	CNTR	0.97–3 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{N}N$
2155 ± 15	^{7,8} COUPLAND	77	CNTR 0	0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$
2193 ± 2	^{7,9} ALSPECTOR	73	CNTR	$\bar{p}p$ S channel
2190 ± 10	¹⁰ ABRAMS	70	CNTR	S channel $\bar{p}N$

⁶ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

⁷ Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.

⁸ From a fit to the total elastic cross section.

⁹ Referred to as T or T region by ALSPECTOR 73.

¹⁰ Seen as bump in $I = 1$ state. See also COOPER 68. PEASLEE 75 confirm $\bar{p}p$ results of ABRAMS 70, no narrow structure.

NODE=M044M;LINKAGE=AY

NODE=M044M2;LINKAGE=I
NODE=M044M2;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M044M2;LINKAGE=M
NODE=M044M2;LINKAGE=B

Other processes

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$2290 \pm 20 \pm 30$	AMELIN	00 VES	$37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$

NODE=M044M3
 NODE=M044M3

 $\rho_3(2250)$ WIDTH **$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$ or $K\bar{K}$**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$185^{+31+17}_{-26-103}$	1.8k	11 ABLIKIM	20F BES3		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
~ 220		HASAN	94 RVUE		$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 60		12 OAKDEN	94 RVUE		$0.36\text{--}1.55 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 250		13 MARTIN	80B RVUE		
~ 200		13 MARTIN	80C RVUE		
~ 150		14 CARTER	78B CNTR 0		$0.7\text{--}2.4 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^- K^+$
~ 200		15 CARTER	77 CNTR 0		$0.7\text{--}2.4 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

NODE=M044210

NODE=M044W1
 NODE=M044W1

¹¹ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow X\eta \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta = (1.9 \pm 0.4^{+0.5}_{-1.3}) \times 10^{-6}$.

NODE=M044W1;LINKAGE=A

¹² See however KLOET 96 who fit $\pi^+ \pi^-$ only and find waves only up to $J = 3$ to be important but not significantly resonant.

NODE=M044W1;LINKAGE=CC

¹³ $I(J^P) = 1(3^-)$ from simultaneous analysis of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+$ and $\pi^0 \pi^0$.

NODE=M044W1;LINKAGE=P

¹⁴ $I = 0, 1$. $J^P = 3^-$ from Barrelet-zero analysis.

NODE=M044W1;LINKAGE=K

¹⁵ $I(J^P) = 1(3^-)$ from amplitude analysis.

NODE=M044W1;LINKAGE=J

S-CHANNEL $\bar{N}N$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
160 ± 25	16 ANISOVICH	02 SPEC		$0.6\text{--}1.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0, \omega \eta \pi^0, \pi^+ \pi^-$
135 ± 75	17,18 COUPLAND	77 CNTR 0		$0.7\text{--}2.4 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$
98 ± 8	18 ALSPECTOR	73 CNTR		$\bar{p}p$ S channel
~ 85	19 ABRAMS	70 CNTR		S channel $\bar{p}N$

NODE=M044W;LINKAGE=AY

¹⁶ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

NODE=M044W2;LINKAGE=E

¹⁷ From a fit to the total elastic cross section.

NODE=M044W2;LINKAGE=I

¹⁸ Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.

NODE=M044W2;LINKAGE=B

¹⁹ Seen as bump in $I = 1$ state. See also COOPER 68. PEASLEE 75 confirm $\bar{p}p$ results of ABRAMS 70, no narrow structure.

Other processes

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$230 \pm 50 \pm 80$	AMELIN	00 VES	$37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$

NODE=M044W3
 NODE=M044W3

 $\rho_3(2250)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	20F	PR D101 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ANISOVICH	02	PL B542 8	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01D	PL B508 6	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01E	PL B513 281	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)
KLOET	96	PR D53 6120	W.M. Kloet, F. Myhrer	(RUTG, NORD)
HASAN	94	PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
OAKDEN	94	NP A574 731	M.N. Oakden, M.R. Pennington	(DURH)
MARTIN	80B	NP B176 355	B.R. Martin, D. Morgan	(LOUC, RHEL) JP
MARTIN	80C	NP B169 216	A.D. Martin, M.R. Pennington	(DURH) JP
CARTER	78B	NP B141 467	A.A. Carter	(LOQM)
CUTTS	78B	PR D17 16	D. Cutts <i>et al.</i>	(STON, WISC)
CARTER	77	PL 67B 117	A.A. Carter <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RHEL) JP
COUPLAND	77	PL 71B 460	M. Coupland <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RHEL)
PEASLEE	75	PL 57B 189	D.C. Peaslee <i>et al.</i>	(CANB, BARI, BROW+)
ALSPECTOR	73	PRL 30 511	J. Alspector <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, UPNJ)
ABRAMS	70	PR D1 1917	R.J. Abrams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
COOPER	68	PRL 20 1059	W.A. Cooper <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)

NODE=M044

REFID=60256
 REFID=48828
 REFID=48327
 REFID=48349
 REFID=47432
 REFID=47950
 REFID=45212
 REFID=44103
 REFID=45210
 REFID=21838
 REFID=21837
 REFID=21964
 REFID=21733
 REFID=21963
 REFID=21830
 REFID=21824
 REFID=21813
 REFID=21807
 REFID=21805

$f_2(2300)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

NODE=M107

 $f_2(2300)$ MASS

NODE=M107M

NODE=M107M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2297±28	¹ ETKIN 88	MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \phi n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2262± 4±28	² ABLIKIM 21A1	BES3	3.51–4.60 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$
2243 ⁺ ₆ ⁷ ₆ ³ ₂₉	³ UEHARA 13	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
2270±12	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
2327± 9± 6	ABE 04	BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
2340±13	⁴ LONGACRE 04	RVUE	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \phi n$, 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
2231±10	BOOTH 86	OMEG	85 $\pi^- Be \rightarrow 2\phi Be$
2220 ⁺ ₉₀ ⁹⁰ ₂₀	LINDENBAUM 84	RVUE	
2320±40	ETKIN 82	MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\phi n$

¹ Includes data of ETKIN 85. The percentage of the resonance going into $\phi \phi 2^{++} S_2$, D_2 , and D_0 is 6^{+15}_{-5} , 25^{+18}_{-14} , and 69^{+16}_{-27} , respectively.

² Threshold enhancement in $\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$, preferred J^{PC} are 2^{++} , 2^{-+} , or 1^{++} . Could be another state.

³ Spin 2 preferred, tentatively assigned to $f_2(2300)$.

⁴ From a four pole K-matrix reanalysis of ETKIN 88 and BARBERIS 00C data.

NODE=M107M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M107M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M107M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M107M;LINKAGE=D

 $f_2(2300)$ WIDTH

NODE=M107W

NODE=M107W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
149±41	¹ ETKIN 88	MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \phi n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
72± 5±43	² ABLIKIM 21A1	BES3	3.51–4.60 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$
145±12 ⁺ ₂₇ ²⁷ ₃₄	³ UEHARA 13	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
90±29	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
275±36±20	ABE 04	BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
148 ⁺ ₆₆ ⁶⁶ ₃₂	⁴ LONGACRE 04	RVUE	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \phi n$, 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
133±50	BOOTH 86	OMEG	85 $\pi^- Be \rightarrow 2\phi Be$
200±50	LINDENBAUM 84	RVUE	
220±70	ETKIN 82	MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\phi n$

¹ Includes data of ETKIN 85.

² Threshold enhancement in $\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$, preferred J^{PC} are 2^{++} , 2^{-+} , or 1^{++} . Could be another state.

³ Spin 2 preferred, tentatively assigned to $f_2(2300)$.

⁴ From a four pole K-matrix reanalysis of ETKIN 88 and BARBERIS 00C data.

NODE=M107W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M107W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M107W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M107W;LINKAGE=D

 $f_2(2300)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M107215;NODE=M107

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\phi \phi$	seen
Γ_2 $K \bar{K}$	seen
Γ_3 $\gamma \gamma$	seen
Γ_4 $\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$	seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

 $f_2(2300)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M107225

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_2\Gamma_3/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.2 ⁺ _{0.5} ^{1.3} _{0.4} ^{1.3} _{2.2}	UEHARA 13	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
44 ±6 ±12	¹ ABE 04	BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$

¹ Assuming spin 2.

NODE=M107G1

NODE=M107G1

NODE=M107G1;LINKAGE=AB

$f_2(2300)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M107220

$\Gamma(\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	BOOTH	86	OMEG 85 $\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow 2\phi \text{Be}$	
seen	ETKIN	82	MPS 22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\phi n$	

NODE=M107R01
NODE=M107R01

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$	
seen	ABE	04	BELL 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$	

NODE=M107R02
NODE=M107R02

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_3/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	UEHARA	13	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	

NODE=M107R03
NODE=M107R03

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_4/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	21AI	BES3 3.51-4.60 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$	

NODE=M107R00
NODE=M107R00

¹ Threshold enhancement in $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$, preferred J^{PC} are 2^{++} , 2^{-+} , or 1^{++} . Could be another state.

NODE=M107R00;LINKAGE=A

 $f_2(2300)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M107

ABLIKIM	21AI	PR D104 052006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
VLADIMIRSK...	06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)
ABE	04	EPJ C32 323	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LONGACRE	04	PR D70 094041	R.S. Longacre, S.J. Lindenbaum	(BNL, CUNY)
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ETKIN	88	PL B201 568	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
BOOTH	86	NP B273 677	P.S.L. Booth <i>et al.</i>	(LIVP, GLAS, CERN)
ETKIN	85	PL 165B 217	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
LINDENBAUM	84	CNPP 13 285	S.J. Lindenbaum	(CUNY)
ETKIN	82	PRL 49 1620	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)

REFID=61440
REFID=55592
REFID=51191REFID=49650
REFID=50341
REFID=47959
REFID=40285
REFID=21870
REFID=21871
REFID=21869
REFID=21866 **$f_4(2300)$**

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(4^{++})$$

NODE=M041

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

This entry was previously called $U_0(2350)$. Contains results mostly from formation experiments. For further production experiments see the Further States entry. See also $\rho(2150)$, $f_2(2150)$, $\rho_3(2250)$, $\rho_5(2350)$.

NODE=M041

 $f_4(2300)$ MASS

NODE=M041205

 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$ or $\bar{K}K$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
~ 2314	HASAN	94	RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2300	¹ MARTIN	80B	RVUE
~ 2300	¹ MARTIN	80C	RVUE
~ 2340	² CARTER	78B	CNTR 0.7-2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^- K^+$
~ 2330	DULUDE	78B	OSPK 1-2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$
~ 2310	³ CARTER	77	CNTR 0.7-2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

¹ $I(J^P) = 0(4^+)$ from simultaneous analysis of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+$ and $\pi^0 \pi^0$.

² $I(J^P) = 0(4^+)$ from Barrelet-zero analysis.

³ $I(J^P) = 0(4^+)$ from amplitude analysis.

NODE=M041M1;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M041M1;LINKAGE=K
NODE=M041M1;LINKAGE=J**S-CHANNEL $\bar{p}p$ or $\bar{N}N$**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2283±17	⁴ ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC
~ 2380	⁵ CUTTS	78B	CNTR 0.97-3 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{N}N$
2345±15	^{5,6} COUPLAND	77	CNTR 0.7-2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$
2359±2	^{5,7} ALSPECTOR	73	CNTR $\bar{p}p$ S channel
2375±10	ABRAMS	70	CNTR S channel $\bar{N}N$

NODE=M041M2
NODE=M041M2

⁴ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C and ANISOVICH 99F on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$, $\pi^0\pi^0$, $\eta\eta$, $\eta\eta'$, $\pi^+\pi^-$.

⁵ Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.

⁶ From a fit to the total elastic cross section.

⁷ Referred to as U or U region by ALSPECTOR 73.

NODE=M041M2;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M041M2;LINKAGE=I
NODE=M041M2;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M041M2;LINKAGE=M

$\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi n$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$2330 \pm 20 \pm 40$	AMELIN	00	VES $37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

NODE=M041M3
NODE=M041M3

pp CENTRAL PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
2320 ± 60 OUR ESTIMATE		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
2332 ± 15	BARBERIS	00F 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \omega \omega p_S$

NODE=M041M4
NODE=M041M4
→ UNCHECKED ←

$f_4(2300)$ WIDTH

$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$ or $\bar{K}K$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
~ 278	HASAN	94	RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 200	⁸ MARTIN	80C	RVUE
~ 150	⁹ CARTER	78B	CNTR 0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^- K^+$
~ 210	¹⁰ CARTER	77	CNTR 0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
⁸ $I(J^P) = 0(4^+)$ from simultaneous analysis of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$.			
⁹ $I(J^P) = 0(4^+)$ from Barrelet-zero analysis.			
¹⁰ $I(J^P) = 0(4^+)$ from amplitude analysis.			

NODE=M041210

NODE=M041W1
NODE=M041W1

NODE=M041W1;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M041W1;LINKAGE=K
NODE=M041W1;LINKAGE=J

S-CHANNEL $\bar{p}p$ or $\bar{N}N$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
310 ± 25	¹¹ ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC
135^{+150}_{-65}	^{12,13} COUPLAND	77	CNTR 0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$
165^{+18}_{-8}	¹³ ALSPECTOR	73	CNTR $\bar{p}p$ S channel
~ 190	ABRAMS	70	CNTR S channel $\bar{N}N$
¹¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C and ANISOVICH 99F on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$, $\pi^0\pi^0$, $\eta\eta$, $\eta\eta'$, $\pi^+\pi^-$.			
¹² From a fit to the total elastic cross section.			
¹³ Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.			

NODE=M041W2
NODE=M041W2

NODE=M041W2;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M041W2;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M041W2;LINKAGE=I

$\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi n$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$235 \pm 50 \pm 40$	AMELIN	00	VES $37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

NODE=M041W3
NODE=M041W3

pp CENTRAL PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
250 ± 80 OUR ESTIMATE		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
260 ± 57	BARBERIS	00F 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \omega \omega p_S$

NODE=M041W4
NODE=M041W4
→ UNCHECKED ←

$f_4(2300)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M041215;NODE=M041

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\rho\rho$	seen
Γ_2 $\omega\omega$	seen
Γ_3 $\eta\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_4 $\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_5 $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_6 $N\bar{N}$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=6;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

$f_4(2300)$ BRANCHING RATIOS $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(\omega\omega)$ Γ_1/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
2.8 ± 0.5	BARBERIS 00F 450	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f \omega \omega p_s$

NODE=M041220

NODE=M041R1
NODE=M041R1 $f_4(2300)$ REFERENCES

AMELIN 00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ANISOVICH 00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)
BARBERIS 00F	PL B484 198	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ANISOVICH 99C	PL B452 173	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 99F	NP A651 253	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
HASAN 94	PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
MARTIN 80B	NP B176 355	B.R. Martin, D. Morgan	(LOUC, RHEL) JP
MARTIN 80C	NP B169 216	A.D. Martin, M.R. Pennington	(DURH) JP
CARTER 78B	NP B141 467	A.A. Carter	(LOQM)
CUTTS 78B	PR D17 16	D. Cutts <i>et al.</i>	(STON, WISC)
DULUDE 78B	PL 79B 335	R.S. Dulude <i>et al.</i>	(BROW, MIT, BARI) JP
CARTER 77	PL 67B 117	A.A. Carter <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RHEL) JP
COUPLAND 77	PL 71B 460	M. Coupland <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RHEL)
ALSPECTOR 73	PRL 30 511	J. Alspector <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, UPNJ)
ABRAMS 70	PR D1 1917	R.J. Abrams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)

NODE=M041

REFID=47432
REFID=47950
REFID=47962
REFID=46903
REFID=46926
REFID=44103
REFID=21838
REFID=21837
REFID=21964
REFID=21733
REFID=21850
REFID=21963
REFID=21830
REFID=21813
REFID=21807

NODE=M169

 $f_0(2330)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

 $f_0(2330)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$2312 \pm 7_{-3}^{+7}$	¹ ABLIKIM 22AS	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$
$2312 \pm 2_{-0}^{+10}$	² ABLIKIM 22C	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta' \rightarrow 4/5 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
2419 ± 64	³ RODAS 22	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi \pi, K \bar{K})$
2340 ± 20	SARANTSEV 21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi \pi, K \bar{K}, \eta \eta, \omega \phi)$
2314 ± 25	⁴ BUGG 04A	RVUE	
2337 ± 14	ANISOVICH 00J	SPEC	$2.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi, \eta \eta$
~ 2321	HASAN 94	RVUE	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$

NODE=M169M

NODE=M169M

- ¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta \eta'$ *P*-wave.
² From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.
³ T-matrix pole from coupled channel K-matrix fit to data on $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$ (ABLIKIM 15AE) and $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$ (ABLIKIM 18AA).
⁴ Partial wave analysis of the data on $p \bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} \Lambda$ from BARNES 00.

NODE=M169M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M169M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M169M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M169M;LINKAGE=BU

 $f_0(2330)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$65 \pm 10_{-12}^{+3}$	¹ ABLIKIM 22AS	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$
$134 \pm 5_{-9}^{+30}$	² ABLIKIM 22C	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta' \rightarrow 4/5 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
274 ± 94	³ RODAS 22	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi \pi, K \bar{K})$
165 ± 25	SARANTSEV 21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi \pi, K \bar{K}, \eta \eta, \omega \phi)$
144 ± 20	⁴ BUGG 04A	RVUE	
217 ± 33	ANISOVICH 00J	SPEC	$2.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi, \eta \eta$
~ 223	HASAN 94	RVUE	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$

NODE=M169W

NODE=M169W

- ¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta \eta'$ *P*-wave.
² From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.
³ T-matrix pole from coupled channel K-matrix fit to data on $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$ (ABLIKIM 15AE) and $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$ (ABLIKIM 18AA).
⁴ Partial wave analysis of the data on $p \bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} \Lambda$ from BARNES 00.

NODE=M169W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M169W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M169W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M169W;LINKAGE=BU

$f_0(2330)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	22AS	PR D106 072012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
Also		PR D107 079901 (errat.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22C	PR D105 072002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
RODAS	22	EPJ C82 80	A. Rodas <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC Collab.)
SARANTSEV	21	PL B816 136227	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
ABLIKIM	18AA	PR D98 072003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15AE	PR D92 052003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
BUGG	04A	EPJ C36 161	D.V. Bugg	
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)
BARNES	00	PR C62 055203	P.D. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	
HASAN	94	PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)

NODE=M169

REFID=61891
REFID=62033
REFID=61637
REFID=61610
REFID=61091
REFID=59455
REFID=56984
REFID=50158
REFID=47950
REFID=47965
REFID=44103

NODE=M108

 $f_2(2340)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

 $f_2(2340)$ MASS

NODE=M108M

NODE=M108M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

 2346^{+21}_{-10} OUR AVERAGE

$2346 \pm 8^{+22}_{-6}$		¹ ABLIKIM	22C	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta' \rightarrow 4/5 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
$2362^{+31+140}_{-30-63}$	5.5k	² ABLIKIM	13N	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$
2339 ± 55		³ ETKIN	88	MPS	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \phi n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2350 ± 7	80k	⁴ UMAN	06	E835	$5.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
2412^{+28}_{-32}		⁵ LONGACRE	04	RVUE	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \phi n, 450$ $p p \rightarrow p_f 4 \pi p_s$
2392 ± 10		BOOTH	86	OMEG	$85 \pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow 2 \phi \text{Be}$
2360 ± 20		LINDENBAUM	84	RVUE	

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

² From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.

³ Includes data of ETKIN 85. The percentage of the resonance going into $\phi \phi 2^{++} S_2$, D_2 , and D_0 is 37 ± 19 , 4^{+12}_{-4} , and 59^{+21}_{-19} , respectively.

⁴ Statistical error only.

⁵ From a four pole K-matrix reanalysis of ETKIN 88 and BARBERIS 00C data.

NODE=M108M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M108M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M108M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M108M;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M108M;LINKAGE=D

 $f_2(2340)$ WIDTH

NODE=M108W

NODE=M108W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

 331^{+27}_{-18} OUR AVERAGE

$332 \pm 14^{+26}_{-12}$		¹ ABLIKIM	22C	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta' \rightarrow 4/5 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
$334^{+62+165}_{-54-100}$	5.5k	² ABLIKIM	13N	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$
319^{+81}_{-69}		³ ETKIN	88	MPS	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \phi n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
218 ± 16	80k	⁴ UMAN	06	E835	$5.2 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
362^{+100}_{-53}		⁵ LONGACRE	04	RVUE	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \phi n, 450$ $p p \rightarrow p_f 4 \pi p_s$
198 ± 50		BOOTH	86	OMEG	$85 \pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow 2 \phi \text{Be}$
150^{+150}_{-50}		LINDENBAUM	84	RVUE	

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

² From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.

³ Includes data of ETKIN 85.

⁴ Statistical error only.

⁵ From a four pole K-matrix reanalysis of ETKIN 88 and BARBERIS 00C data.

NODE=M108W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M108W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M108W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M108W;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M108W;LINKAGE=D

$f_2(2340)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M108215;NODE=M108

Mode		Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$\phi\phi$	seen
Γ_2	$\eta\eta$	seen
Γ_3	$\eta'\eta'$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$
DESIG=2
DESIG=3

$f_2(2340)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M108220

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_2/Γ			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	UMAN	06	E835	$5.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$

NODE=M108R01
NODE=M108R01

$\Gamma(\eta'\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_3/Γ			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	22C	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\eta' \rightarrow 4/5\gamma 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

NODE=M108R00
NODE=M108R00

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta'\eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

NODE=M108R00;LINKAGE=A

$f_2(2340)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M108

ABLIKIM	22C	PR D105 072002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
LONGACRE	04	PR D70 094041	R.S. Longacre, S.J. Lindenbaum	(BNL, CUNY)
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ETKIN	88	PL B201 568	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
BOOTH	86	NP B273 677	P.S.L. Booth <i>et al.</i>	(LIVP, GLAS, CERN)
ETKIN	85	PL 165B 217	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
LINDENBAUM	84	CNPP 13 285	S.J. Lindenbaum	(CUNY)

REFID=61637
REFID=55387
REFID=51063
REFID=50341
REFID=47959
REFID=40285
REFID=21870
REFID=21871
REFID=21869

$\rho_5(2350)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(5^--)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

This entry was previously called $U_1(2400)$. See also $\rho(2150)$, $f_2(2150)$, $\rho_3(2250)$, $f_4(2300)$.

NODE=M033

NODE=M033

$\rho_5(2350)$ MASS

NODE=M033205

$\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 n$

NODE=M033M3
NODE=M033M3

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2330 ± 35	ALDE	95	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 n$

$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$ or $\bar{K}K$

NODE=M033M1
NODE=M033M1

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 2303	HASAN	94	RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2300	¹ MARTIN	80B	RVUE	
~ 2250	¹ MARTIN	80C	RVUE	
~ 2500	² CARTER	78B	CNTR 0	$0.7\text{--}2.4 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^- K^+$
~ 2480	³ CARTER	77	CNTR 0	$0.7\text{--}2.4 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

S-CHANNEL $\bar{N}N$

NODE=M033M2
NODE=M033M2

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2300 ± 45	⁴ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC	$0.6\text{--}1.9 \bar{p}\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
2295 ± 30	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC	
~ 2380	⁵ CUTTS	78B	CNTR	$0.97\text{--}3 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{N}N$
2345 ± 15	^{5,6} COUPLAND	77	CNTR 0	$0.7\text{--}2.4 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$
2359 ± 2	^{5,7} ALSPECTOR	73	CNTR	$\bar{p}p$ S channel
2350 ± 10	⁸ ABRAMS	70	CNTR	S channel $\bar{N}N$
2360 ± 25	⁹ OH	70B	HDBC -0	$\bar{p}(pn), K^* K 2\pi$

$\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2307 ± 6	ALPER	80	CNTR	0	62 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$

¹ $I(J^P) = 1(5^-)$ from simultaneous analysis of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+$ and $\pi^0 \pi^0$.

² $I = 0(1); J^P = 5^-$ from Barrelet-zero analysis.

³ $I(J^P) = 1(5^-)$ from amplitude analysis.

⁴ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

⁵ Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.

⁶ From a fit to the total elastic cross section.

⁷ Referred to as U or U region by ALSPECTOR 73.

⁸ For $I = 1 \bar{N}N$.

⁹ No evidence for this bump seen in the $\bar{p}p$ data of CHAPMAN 71B. Narrow state not confirmed by OH 73 with more data.

NODE=M033M4
NODE=M033M4

NODE=M033M1;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M033M1;LINKAGE=K
NODE=M033M1;LINKAGE=J
NODE=M033M2;LINKAGE=AY

NODE=M033M2;LINKAGE=I
NODE=M033M2;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M033M2;LINKAGE=M
NODE=M033M2;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M033M2;LINKAGE=N

 $\rho_5(2350)$ WIDTH

NODE=M033210

 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 n$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

400 ± 100	ALDE	95	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 n$

NODE=M033W3
NODE=M033W3

 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$ or $\bar{K}K$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 169	HASAN	94	RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

~ 250	10 MARTIN	80B	RVUE	

~ 300	10 MARTIN	80C	RVUE	

~ 150	11 CARTER	78B	CNTR	0	0.7-2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^- K^+$

~ 210	12 CARTER	77	CNTR	0	0.7-2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

NODE=M033W1
NODE=M033W1

S-CHANNEL $\bar{N}N$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

260 ± 75	13 ANISOVICH	02	SPEC	0.6-1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \pi^0, \omega \eta \pi^0, \pi^+ \pi^-$

235 ⁺⁶⁵ / ₋₄₀	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC	

135 ⁺¹⁵⁰ / ₋₆₅	14,15 COUPLAND	77	CNTR	0	0.7-2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$

165 ⁺¹⁸ / ₋₈	15 ALSPECTOR	73	CNTR		$\bar{p}p$ S channel

< 60	16 OH	70B	HDBC	-0	$\bar{p}(pn), K^* K 2\pi$

~ 140	ABRAMS	67C	CNTR		S channel $\bar{p}N$

NODE=M033W2
NODE=M033W2

 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

245 ± 20	ALPER	80	CNTR	0	62 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$

¹⁰ $I(J^P) = 1(5^-)$ from simultaneous analysis of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+$ and $\pi^0 \pi^0$.

¹¹ $I = 0(1); J^P = 5^-$ from Barrelet-zero analysis.

¹² $I(J^P) = 1(5^-)$ from amplitude analysis.

¹³ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

¹⁴ From a fit to the total elastic cross section.

¹⁵ Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.

¹⁶ No evidence for this bump seen in the $\bar{p}p$ data of CHAPMAN 71B. Narrow state not confirmed by OH 73 with more data.

NODE=M033W4
NODE=M033W4

OCCUR=2

NODE=M033W1;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M033W1;LINKAGE=K
NODE=M033W1;LINKAGE=J
NODE=M033W2;LINKAGE=AY

NODE=M033W2;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M033W2;LINKAGE=I
NODE=M033W2;LINKAGE=N

$\rho_5(2350)$ REFERENCES

ANISOVICH	02	PL B542 8	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01D	PL B508 6	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01E	PL B513 281	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)
ALDE	95	ZPHY C66 379	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.) JP
HASAN	94	PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
ALPER	80	PL 94B 422	B. Alper <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
MARTIN	80B	NP B176 355	B.R. Martin, D. Morgan	(LOUC, RHEL) JP
MARTIN	80C	NP B169 216	A.D. Martin, M.R. Pennington	(DURH) JP
CARTER	78B	NP B141 467	A.A. Carter	(LOQM)
CUTTS	78B	PR D17 16	D. Cutts <i>et al.</i>	(STON, WISC)
CARTER	77	PL 67B 117	A.A. Carter <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RHEL) JP
COUPLAND	77	PL 71B 460	M. Coupland <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RHEL)
ALSPECTOR	73	PRL 30 511	J. Alspector <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, UPNJ)
OH	73	NP B51 57	B.Y. Oh <i>et al.</i>	(MSU)
CHAPMAN	71B	PR D4 1275	J.W. Chapman <i>et al.</i>	(MICH)
ABRAMS	70	PR D1 1917	R.J. Abrams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
OH	70B	PRL 24 1257	B.Y. Oh <i>et al.</i>	(MSU)
ABRAMS	67C	PRL 18 1209	R.J. Abrams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)

NODE=M033

REFID=48828
REFID=48327
REFID=48349
REFID=47950
REFID=44371
REFID=44103
REFID=21665
REFID=21838
REFID=21837
REFID=21964
REFID=21733
REFID=21963
REFID=21830
REFID=21813
REFID=21931
REFID=21926
REFID=21807
REFID=21925
REFID=21804

$\eta(2370)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
was $X(2370)$
 $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$ determined by ABLIKIM 24.

NODE=M247

$\eta(2370)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2377 $\pm$ 9	OUR AVERAGE			
2395 $\pm$ 11 $^{+26}_{-94}$		¹ ABLIKIM	24	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta'$
2376.3 $\pm$ 8.7 $^{+3.2}_{-4.3}$	565	ABLIKIM	11C	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2341.6 $\pm$ 6.5 $\pm$ 5.7		² ABLIKIM	20Q	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \eta'$
¹ Decaying to $f_0(980) \eta'$, fitted together with $X(1835)$, a 600 MeV broad structure around 2.8 GeV, and the tail of the $\eta_c(1S)$. Supersedes ABLIKIM 20Q.				
² The state observed by ABLIKIM 11C at 2120 MeV is not observed with 90% CL upper limit of 1.49×10^{-5} for $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X(2120) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'$ and 6.38×10^{-6} for $K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta'$.				

NODE=M247

NODE=M247M

NODE=M247M

NODE=M247M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M247M;LINKAGE=A

$\eta(2370)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
148$^{+80}_{-28}$	OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7.
188 $^{+18+124}_{-17-33}$	¹ ABLIKIM	24	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta'$
83 $\pm$ 17 $^{+44}_{-6}$	ABLIKIM	11C	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
117 $\pm$ 10 $\pm$ 8	² ABLIKIM	20Q	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \eta'$
¹ Decaying to $f_0(980) \eta'$, fitted together with $X(1835)$, a 600 MeV broad structure around 2.8 GeV, and the tail of the $\eta_c(1S)$. Supersedes ABLIKIM 20Q.			
² The state observed by ABLIKIM 11C at 2120 MeV is not observed with 90% CL upper limit of 1.49×10^{-5} for $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X(2120) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'$ and 6.38×10^{-6} for $K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta'$.			

NODE=M247W

NODE=M247W

NODE=M247W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M247W;LINKAGE=A

$\eta(2370)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M247215;NODE=M247

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K^+ K^- \eta'$	seen
Γ_2 $K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta'$	seen
Γ_3 $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$	seen
Γ_4 $f_0(980) \eta'$	seen
Γ_5 $\eta \eta \eta'$	not seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=5

DESIG=4

$\eta(2370)$ BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

VALUE

seen

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

ABLIKIM

20Q

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'$ **Γ_1/Γ**

NODE=M247225

NODE=M247R01
NODE=M247R01 **$\Gamma(K_S^0 \bar{K}_S^0 \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

VALUE

seen

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

ABLIKIM

20Q

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 \bar{K}_S^0 \eta'$ **Γ_2/Γ** NODE=M247R02
NODE=M247R02 **$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

VALUE

seen

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

ABLIKIM

11C

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$ **Γ_3/Γ** NODE=M247R00
NODE=M247R00 **$\Gamma(f_0(980) \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

VALUE

seen

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

ABLIKIM

24

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 \bar{K}_S^0 \eta'$ **Γ_4/Γ** NODE=M247R04
NODE=M247R04 **$\Gamma(\eta \eta \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

VALUE

not seen

¹ ABLIKIM

21C

BES3

 $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta \eta'$ **Γ_5/Γ** NODE=M247R03
NODE=M247R03¹ ABLIKIM 21C measured $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta(2370) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta \eta') < 9.2 \times 10^{-6}$.

NODE=M247R03;LINKAGE=A

 $\eta(2370)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	24	PRL 132 181901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.) JPC
ABLIKIM	21C	PR D103 012009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20Q	EPJ C80 746	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11C	PRL 106 072002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)

NODE=M247

REFID=62645
REFID=61030
REFID=60457
REFID=53684 **$f_0(2470)$** $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Seen by ABLIKIM 22C with a significance of 5.2σ in a partial-wave analysis of the systems (γX) , $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$ and $(\eta' X)$, $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$.

NODE=M266

 $f_0(2470)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $2470 \pm 4_{-6}^{+4}$ ¹ ABLIKIM

22C

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta' \rightarrow 4/5 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

NODE=M266M

NODE=M266M

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

NODE=M266M;LINKAGE=A

 $f_0(2470)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $75 \pm 9_{-8}^{+11}$ ¹ ABLIKIM

22C

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta' \rightarrow 4/5 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

NODE=M266W

NODE=M266W

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

NODE=M266W;LINKAGE=C

 $f_0(2470)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M266215;NODE=M266

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \eta' \eta'$	seen

DESIG=1

$f_0(2470)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\eta'\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	22C	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\eta' \rightarrow 4/5\gamma 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	
¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta'\eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.				

NODE=M266225

NODE=M266R00
NODE=M266R00

NODE=M266R00;LINKAGE=A

$f_0(2470)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM 22C PR D105 072002 M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collab.)

NODE=M266

REFID=61637

NODE=M089

$f_6(2510)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(6^{++})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
Needs confirmation.

NODE=M089

$f_6(2510)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2470±50 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.1.		
2420±30	ALDE	98	GAM4 $100\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$
2510±30	BINON	84B	GAM2 $38\pi^-p \rightarrow n2\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2485±40	¹ ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC 1.92–2.41 $p\bar{p}$
¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99F, ANISOVICH 99J, ANISOVICH 99K, and ANISOVICH 00B.			

NODE=M089M

NODE=M089M

NODE=M089M;LINKAGE=AN

$f_6(2510)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
260±40 OUR AVERAGE			
270±60	ALDE	98	GAM4 $100\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$
240±60	BINON	84B	GAM2 $38\pi^-p \rightarrow n2\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
410±90	² ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC 1.92–2.41 $p\bar{p}$
² From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99F, ANISOVICH 99J, ANISOVICH 99K, and ANISOVICH 00B.			

NODE=M089W

NODE=M089W

NODE=M089W;LINKAGE=AN

$f_6(2510)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi\pi$	(6.0±1.0) %

NODE=M089215;NODE=M089

DESIG=1

$f_6(2510)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.06±0.01	³ BINON	83C	GAM2 $38\pi^-p \rightarrow n4\gamma$	
³ Assuming one pion exchange and using data of BOLOTOV 74.				

NODE=M089220

NODE=M089R1
NODE=M089R1

NODE=M089R1;LINKAGE=A

$f_6(2510)$ REFERENCES

ANISOVICH 00B	NP A662 319	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)
ANISOVICH 99C	PL B452 173	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 99F	NP A651 253	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 99J	PL B471 271	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 99K	PL B468 309	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ALDE 98	EPJ A3 361	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM4 Collab.)
Also	PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
	Translated from YAF 62 446.		
BINON 84B	LNC 39 41	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP) JP
BINON 83C	SJNP 38 723	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BRUX+)
	Translated from YAF 38 1199.		
BOLOTOV 74	PL 52B 489	V.N. Bolotov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)

NODE=M089

REFID=47942
REFID=47950
REFID=46903
REFID=46926
REFID=47416
REFID=47472
REFID=46605
REFID=46914

REFID=21780
REFID=40288

REFID=44705

STRANGE MESONS

($S = \pm 1, C = B = 0$)

$$K^+ = u\bar{s}, K^0 = d\bar{s}, \bar{K}^0 = \bar{d}s, K^- = \bar{u}s, \text{ similarly for } K^{*'}s$$

$K_0^*(700)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^+)$$

also known as κ ; was $K_0^*(800)$

See the related review(s):

Scalar Mesons below 1 GeV

$K_0^*(700)$ T-Matrix Pole $\sqrt{s}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(630-730) - i (260-340) OUR ESTIMATE (see Fig. 64.1 in the review)			
$(702 \pm 12^{+4}_{-5}) - i (285 \pm 16^{+8}_{-13})$	¹ DANILKIN	21	RVUE Compilation
$(648 \pm 7) - i (280 \pm 16)$	² PELAEZ	20	RVUE $\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
$(670 \pm 18) - i (295 \pm 28)$	³ PELAEZ	17	RVUE $\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
$(764 \pm 63^{+71}_{-54}) - i (306 \pm 149^{+143}_{-85})$	⁴ ABLIKIM	11B	BES2 $1.3k J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
$(665 \pm 9) - i (268^{+21}_{-6})$	⁵ GUO	11B	RVUE
$(849 \pm 77^{+18}_{-14}) - i (256 \pm 40^{+46}_{-22})$	⁴ ABLIKIM	10E	BES2 $1.4k J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^0$
$(663 \pm 8 \pm 34) - i (329 \pm 5 \pm 22)$	⁶ BUGG	10	RVUE S-matrix pole
$(706.0 \pm 1.8 \pm 22.8) - i (319.4 \pm 2.2 \pm 20.2)$	⁷ BONVICINI	08A	CLEO $141k D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
$(841 \pm 30^{+81}_{-73}) - i (309 \pm 45^{+48}_{-72})$	⁴ ABLIKIM	06C	BES2 $25k J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*}(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
$(750^{+30}_{-55}) - i (342 \pm 60)$	⁸ BUGG	06	RVUE
$(658 \pm 13) - i (279 \pm 12)$	⁹ DESCOTES-G.	06	RVUE $\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
$(757 \pm 33) - i (279 \pm 41)$	¹⁰ GUO	06	RVUE
$(694 \pm 53) - i (303 \pm 30)$	¹¹ ZHOU	06	RVUE $K p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
$(594 \pm 79) - i (362 \pm 166)$	¹¹ ZHENG	04	RVUE $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
$(722 \pm 60) - i (386 \pm 50)$	¹¹ BUGG	03	RVUE $11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
$(875 \pm 75) - i (335 \pm 110)$	¹² ISHIDA	97B	RVUE $11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
$727 - i 263$	¹³ VANBEVEREN	86	RVUE

¹ Data driven analysis using partial-wave dispersion relations.

² Extracted employing πK partial wave analysis from ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88, Roy-Steiner equations and once subtracted forward dispersion relations.

³ Reanalysis of ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88 satisfying Forward Dispersion Relations and using sequences of Pade approximants.

⁴ Extracted from Breit-Wigner parameters.

⁵ Fit to scattering phase shifts using UChPT amplitudes with explicit resonances.

⁶ Supersedes BUGG 06. Combined analysis of ASTON 88, ABLIKIM 06C, AITALA 06, and LINK 09 using an s-dependent width with couplings to $K\pi$ and $K\eta'$, and the Adler zero near thresholds.

⁷ From a complex pole included in the fit. Using parameters from the model that fits data best.

⁸ Reanalysis of ASTON 88, AITALA 02, and ABLIKIM 06C using for the κ an s-dependent width with an Adler zero near threshold.

⁹ Using Roy-Steiner equations (ROY 71) consistent with unitarity, analyticity and crossing symmetry constraints.

¹⁰ From UChPT fitted to MERCER 71, BINGHAM 72 and ESTABROOKS 78. Amplitude shown to be consistent with data of ABLIKIM 06C.

¹¹ Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.

¹² Reanalysis of ASTON 88 using interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes. Extracted from Breit-Wigner parameters.

¹³ Unitarized Quark Model.

NODE=MXXX020

NODE=MXXX020

NODE=M174

NODE=M174TMP

NODE=M174TMP

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=N

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=O

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M174TMP;LINKAGE=E

$K_0^*(700)$ Breit-Wigner Mass

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
838 ± 11	OUR AVERAGE			
833 ± 15		¹ ACHARYA	24C ALCE	$pp \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm X$, 13 TeV
826 ± 49 ⁺⁴⁹ ₋₃₄	1.3k	² ABLIKIM	11B BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
810 ± 68 ⁺¹⁵ ₋₂₄	1.4k	³ ABLIKIM	10E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^0$
856 ± 17 ± 13	54k	LINK	07B FOCS	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
878 ± 23 ⁺⁶⁴ ₋₅₅	25k	⁴ ABLIKIM	06C BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
797 ± 19 ± 43	15k	^{5,6} AITALA	02 E791	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
888.0 ± 1.9	141k	⁷ BONVICINI	08A CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
855 ± 15	0.6k	⁸ CAWLFIELD	06A CLEO	$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
905 ⁺⁶⁵ ₋₃₀		⁹ ISHIDA	97B RVUE	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

NODE=M174M
NODE=M174M

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=LI

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=EP

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=CA

NODE=M174M;LINKAGE=IS

¹ Assuming a Gaussian source to the measured two-particle correlation function. Systematic error dominates. For 0–100% multiplicity class.

² The Breit-Wigner parameters from a fit with seven intermediate resonances. The S-matrix pole position is $(764 \pm 63^{+71}_{-54}) - i(306 \pm 149^{+143}_{-85})$ MeV.

³ From a fit including ten additional resonances and energy-independent Breit-Wigner width.

⁴ A fit in the $K_0^*(700) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$ model with mass and width of the $K_0^*(700)$ from ABLIKIM 06C well describes the left slope of the $K_S^0 \pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum in $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$ decay studied by EPIFANOV 07. Averaged value from different parameterizations.

⁵ Not seen by KOPP 01 using 7070 events of $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$. LINK 02E and LINK 05I show clear evidence for a constant non-resonant scalar amplitude rather than $K_0^*(700)$ in their high statistics analysis of $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$.

⁶ AUBERT 07T does not find evidence for the charged $K_0^*(700)$ using 11k events of $D^0 \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^0$.

⁷ Using parameters from the model that fits data best.

⁸ Breit-Wigner parameters. A significant S-wave can be also modeled as a non-resonant contribution.

⁹ Reanalysis of ASTON 88 using interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes.

 $K_0^*(700)$ Breit-Wigner Width

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
463 ± 27	OUR AVERAGE			
430 ⁺⁸⁸ ₋₅₃		¹ ACHARYA	24C ALCE	$pp \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm X$, 13 TeV
449 ± 156 ⁺¹⁴⁴ ₋₈₁	1.3k	² ABLIKIM	11B BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
536 ± 87 ⁺¹⁰⁶ ₋₄₇	1.4k	³ ABLIKIM	10E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^0$
464 ± 28 ± 22	54k	LINK	07B FOCS	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
499 ± 52 ⁺⁵⁵ ₋₈₇	25k	⁴ ABLIKIM	06C BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
410 ± 43 ± 87	15k	^{5,6} AITALA	02 E791	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
550.4 ± 11.8	141k	⁷ BONVICINI	08A CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
251 ± 48	0.6k	⁸ CAWLFIELD	06A CLEO	$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
545 ⁺²³⁵ ₋₁₁₀		⁹ ISHIDA	97B RVUE	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

NODE=M174W

NODE=M174W

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=LI

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=EP

¹ Assuming a Gaussian source to the measured two-particle correlation function. Systematic error dominates. For 0–100% multiplicity class.

² The Breit-Wigner parameters from a fit with seven intermediate resonances. The S-matrix pole position is $(764 \pm 63^{+71}_{-54}) - i(306 \pm 149^{+143}_{-85})$ MeV.

³ From a fit including ten additional resonances and energy-independent Breit-Wigner width.

⁴ A fit in the $K_0^*(700) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$ model with mass and width of the $K_0^*(700)$ from ABLIKIM 06C well describes the left slope of the $K_S^0 \pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum in $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$ decay studied by EPIFANOV 07. Averaged value from different parameterizations.

- ⁵ Not seen by KOPP 01 using 7070 events of $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$. LINK 02E and LINK 05I show clear evidence for a constant non-resonant scalar amplitude rather than $K_0^*(700)$ in their high statistics analysis of $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$.
- ⁶ AUBERT 07T does not find evidence for the charged $K_0^*(700)$ using 11k events of $D^0 \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^0$.
- ⁷ Using parameters from the model that fits data best.
- ⁸ Statistical error only. A fit to the Dalitz plot including the $K_0^*(700)^\pm$, $K^*(892)^\pm$, and ϕ resonances modeled as Breit-Wigners. A significant S -wave can be also modeled as a non-resonant contribution.
- ⁹ Reanalysis of ASTON 88 using interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes.

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=CA

NODE=M174W;LINKAGE=IS

NODE=M174215;NODE=M174

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M174

REFID=63181
REFID=62088
REFID=60559
REFID=57836
REFID=53683
REFID=58808
REFID=53361
REFID=53213
REFID=53056
REFID=52426
REFID=51726
REFID=51929
REFID=51875
REFID=51037
REFID=51051
REFID=51458
REFID=50996
REFID=51153
REFID=51518
REFID=51164
REFID=51198
REFID=50679
REFID=50165
REFID=49586
REFID=48807
REFID=48728
REFID=48134
REFID=48655
REFID=40262
REFID=45769
REFID=22443
REFID=22415
REFID=22412
REFID=51107

 $K_0^*(700)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad K\pi$	100 %

 $K_0^*(700)$ REFERENCES

ACHARYA	24C	PL B856 138915	S. Acharya <i>et al.</i>	(ALICE Collab.)
DANILKIN	21	PR D103 114023	I. Danilkin, O. Deineka, M. Vanderhaeghen	(MAINZ)
PELAEZ	20	PRL 124 172001	J.R. Pelaez <i>et al.</i>	
PELAEZ	17	EPJ C77 91	J.R. Pelaez, A.Rodas, J.R. de Elvira	
ABLIKIM	11B	PL B698 183	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)
GUO	11B	PR D84 034005	Z.-H. Guo, J.A. Oller	
ABLIKIM	10E	PL B693 88	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)
BUGG	10	PR D81 014002	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
LINK	09	PL B681 14	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
BONVICINI	08A	PR D78 052001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	07T	PR D76 011102	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
EPIFANOV	07	PL B654 65	D. Epifanov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LINK	07B	PL B653 1	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06C	PL B633 681	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AITALA	06	PR D73 032004	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
Also		PR D74 059901 (errat.)	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
BUGG	06	PL B632 471	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
CAWLFIELD	06A	PR D74 031108	C. Cawfield <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
DESCOTES-G...	06	EPJ C48 553	S. Descotes-Genon, B. Moussallam	
GUO	06	NP A773 78	F.K. Guo <i>et al.</i>	
ZHOU	06	NP A775 212	Z.Y. Zhou, H.Q. Zheng	
LINK	05I	PL B621 72	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ZHENG	04	NP A733 235	H.Q. Zheng <i>et al.</i>	
BUGG	03	PL B572 1	D.V. Bugg	
AITALA	02	PRL 89 121801	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
LINK	02E	PL B535 43	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
KOPP	01	PR D63 092001	S. Kopp <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ISHIDA	97B	PTP 98 621	S. Ishida <i>et al.</i>	
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
VANBEVEREN	86	ZPHY C30 615	E. van Beveren <i>et al.</i>	(NIJM, BIEL)
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
BINGHAM	72	NP B41 1	H.H. Bingham <i>et al.</i>	(International K^+ Collab.)
MERCER	71	NP B32 381	R. Mercer <i>et al.</i>	(JHU)
ROY	71	PL 36B 353	S.M. Roy	

$K^*(892)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^-)$$

NODE=M018

 $K^*(892)$ T-Matrix Pole $\sqrt{s}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(890 ± 14) − i (26 ± 6) OUR ESTIMATE			
(890 ± 2) − i (25.6 ± 1.2)	¹ PELAEZ	20	RVUE $\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
(892 ± 1) − i (29 ± 1)	² PELAEZ	17	RVUE $\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
(889 ± 13) − i (24 ± 4)	³ PELAEZ	04A	RVUE $\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
¹ Extracted employing πK partial wave analysis from ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88, Roy-Steiner equations and once subtracted forward dispersion relations.			
² Reanalysis of ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88 satisfying Forward Dispersion Relations and using sequences of Pade approximants.			
³ Reanalysis of data from ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88 in the unitarized ChPT model.			

NODE=M018TMP

NODE=M018TMP

→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2

NODE=M018TMP;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M018TMP;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M018TMP;LINKAGE=B

 $K^*(892)$ MASS

NODE=M018205

CHARGED ONLY, HADROPRODUCED

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
891.67 ± 0.26 OUR AVERAGE					
892.2 ± 0.5 ± 1.7		ALBRECHT 20	CBAR		0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
892.6 ± 0.5	5840	BAUBILLIER 84B	HBC	−	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
888 ± 3		NAPIER 84	SPEC	+	200 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2K_S^0 X$
891 ± 1		NAPIER 84	SPEC	−	200 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2K_S^0 X$
891.7 ± 2.1	3700	BARTH 83	HBC	+	70 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ X$
891 ± 1	4100	TOAFF 81	HBC	−	6.5 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
892.8 ± 1.6		AJINENKO 80	HBC	+	32 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ X$
890.7 ± 0.9	1800	AGUILAR-... 78B	HBC	±	0.76 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\mp K_S^0 \pi^\pm$
886.6 ± 2.4	1225	BALAND 78	HBC	±	12 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow (K\pi)^\pm X$
891.7 ± 0.6	6706	COOPER 78	HBC	±	0.76 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow (K\pi)^\pm X$
891.9 ± 0.7	9000	¹ PALER 75	HBC	−	14.3 $K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^- X$
892.2 ± 1.5	4404	AGUILAR-... 71B	HBC	−	3.9,4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^- p$
891 ± 2	1000	CRENNELL 69D	DBC	−	3.9 $K^- N \rightarrow K^0 \pi^- X$
890 ± 3.0	720	BARLOW 67	HBC	±	1.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow (K^0 \pi)^\pm K^\mp$
889 ± 3.0	600	BARLOW 67	HBC	±	1.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow (K^0 \pi)^\pm K\pi$
891 ± 2.3	620	² DEBAERE 67B	HBC	+	3.5 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ p$
891.0 ± 1.2	1700	³ WOJCICKI 64	HBC	−	1.7 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

893.6 ± 0.1 $^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$	183k	ABLIKIM 19AQ	BES	±	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
895.6 ± 0.8	4k	⁴ LEES 17C	BABR		$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
893.2 ± 0.1 ± 1.0	190k	⁵ AAIJ 16N	LHCB		$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
893.5 ± 1.1	27k	⁶ ABELE 99D	CBAR	±	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
890.4 ± 0.2 ± 0.5	80k	⁷ BIRD 89	LASS	−	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
890.0 ± 2.3	800	^{2,3} CLELAND 82	SPEC	+	30 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ p$
896.0 ± 1.1	3200	^{2,3} CLELAND 82	SPEC	+	50 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ p$
893 ± 1	3600	^{2,3} CLELAND 82	SPEC	−	50 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- p$
896.0 ± 1.9	380	DELFOSSÉ 81	SPEC	+	50 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^0 p$
886.0 ± 2.3	187	DELFOSSÉ 81	SPEC	−	50 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^0 p$
894.2 ± 2.0	765	² CLARK 73	HBC	−	3.13 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
894.3 ± 1.5	1150	^{2,3} CLARK 73	HBC	−	3.3 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
892.0 ± 2.6	341	² SCHWEING...68	HBC	−	5.5 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M018M;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M018M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M018M;LINKAGE=W

NODE=M018M1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M018M1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M018M1;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M018M1;LINKAGE=F

¹ Inclusive reaction. Complicated background and phase-space effects.² Mass errors enlarged by us to $\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$. See note.³ Number of events in peak reevaluated by us.⁴ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with charged and neutral $K^*(892)$ masses and widths floating.⁵ Average of fit results with different parametrizations for the $K\pi$ S-wave.⁶ K-matrix pole.⁷ From a partial wave amplitude analysis.

CHARGED ONLY, PRODUCED IN τ LEPTON DECAYS

VALUE (MeV)	EVS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
895.47$\pm$0.20$\pm$0.74	53k	¹ EPIFANOV	07 BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
892.0 $\pm$ 0.5		² BOITO	10 RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
892.0 $\pm$ 0.9		^{3,4} BOITO	09 RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
895.3 $\pm$ 0.2		^{4,5} JAMIN	08 RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
896.4 $\pm$ 0.9	12k	⁶ BONVICINI	02 CLEO	$\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
895 $\pm$ 2		⁷ BARATE	99R ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

¹ From a fit in the $K_0^*(700) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$ model.

² From the pole position of the $K\pi$ vector form factor using EPIFANOV 07 and constraints from K_{J3} decays in ANTONELLI 10.

³ From the pole position of the $K\pi$ vector form factor in the complex s -plane and using EPIFANOV 07 data.

⁴ Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

⁵ Reanalysis of EPIFANOV 07 using resonance chiral theory.

⁶ Calculated by us from the shift by 4.7 ± 0.9 MeV (statistical uncertainty only) reported in BONVICINI 02 with respect to the world average value from PDG 00.

⁷ With mass and width of the $K^*(1410)$ fixed at 1412 MeV and 227 MeV, respectively.

NODE=M018MCT
NODE=M018MCT

NODE=M018MCT;LINKAGE=EF
NODE=M018MCT;LINKAGE=BT

NODE=M018MCT;LINKAGE=BI

NODE=M018MCT;LINKAGE=NS
NODE=M018MCT;LINKAGE=JA
NODE=M018MCT;LINKAGE=BO

NODE=M018MCT;LINKAGE=BA

NEUTRAL ONLY

VALUE (MeV)	EVS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
895.55$\pm$0.20 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.		
894.68 $\pm$ 0.25 $\pm$ 0.05		¹ ABLIKIM	16F BES3	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$
895.4 $\pm$ 0.2 $\pm$ 0.2	243k	² DEL-AMO-SA..11I	BABR	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$
895.7 $\pm$ 0.2 $\pm$ 0.3	141k	³ BONVICINI	08A CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
895.41 $\pm$ 0.32 $^{+0.35}_{-0.43}$	18k	⁴ LINK	05I FOCS	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
896 $\pm$ 2		BARBERIS	98E OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f p_s K^* \bar{K}^*$
895.9 $\pm$ 0.5 $\pm$ 0.2		ASTON	88 LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
894.52 $\pm$ 0.63	25k	⁵ ATKINSON	86 OMEG	20-70 γp
894.63 $\pm$ 0.76	20k	⁵ ATKINSON	86 OMEG	20-70 γp
897 $\pm$ 1	28k	EVANGELIS...	80 OMEG	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
898.4 $\pm$ 1.4	1180	AGUILAR-...	78B HBC	0.76 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\mp K_S^0 \pi^\pm$
894.9 $\pm$ 1.6		WICKLUND	78 ASPK	3,4,6 $K^\pm N \rightarrow (K\pi)^0 N$
897.6 $\pm$ 0.9		BOWLER	77 DBC	5.4 $K^+ d \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p p$
895.5 $\pm$ 1.0	3600	MCCUBBIN	75 HBC	3.6 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
897.1 $\pm$ 0.7	22k	⁵ PALER	75 HBC	14.3 $K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^0 X$
896.0 $\pm$ 0.6	10k	FOX	74 RVUE	2 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
896.0 $\pm$ 0.6		FOX	74 RVUE	2 $K^+ n \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p$
896 $\pm$ 2		⁶ MATISON	74 HBC	12 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \Delta$
896 $\pm$ 1	3186	LEWIS	73 HBC	2.1-2.7 $K^+ p \rightarrow K\pi\pi p$
894.0 $\pm$ 1.3		⁶ LINGLIN	73 HBC	2-13 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ p$
898.4 $\pm$ 1.3	1700	⁷ BUCHNER	72 DBC	4.6 $K^+ n \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p$
897.9 $\pm$ 1.1	2934	⁷ AGUILAR-...	71B HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
898.0 $\pm$ 0.7	5362	⁷ AGUILAR-...	71B HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
895 $\pm$ 1	4300	⁸ HABER	70 DBC	3 $K^- N \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ X$
893.7 $\pm$ 2.0	10k	DAVIS	69 HBC	12 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ p$
894.7 $\pm$ 1.4	1040	⁷ DAUBER	67B HBC	2.0 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
895.50 $\pm$ 0.92 $\pm$ 2.6		⁹ ADUSZKIEW...20A	NA61	158 pp
898.1 $\pm$ 1.0	4k	¹⁰ LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
895.53 $\pm$ 0.17		LEES	13F BABR	$D^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$
894.9 $\pm$ 0.5 $\pm$ 0.7	14.4k	¹¹ MITCHELL	09A CLEO	$D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$
896.2 $\pm$ 0.3	20k	¹² AUBERT	07AK BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^{*0} K^\pm \pi^\mp \gamma$
900.7 $\pm$ 1.1	5900	BARTH	83 HBC	70 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- X$

¹ Taking also into account the $K_0^*(1430)^0$ and $K_2^*(1430)^0$.

² Taking into account the $K^*(892)^0$, S -wave and P -wave ($K^*(1410)^0$).

³ From the isobar model with a complex pole for the κ .

⁴ Fit to $K\pi$ mass spectrum includes a non-resonant scalar component.

⁵ Inclusive reaction. Complicated background and phase-space effects.

⁶ From pole extrapolation.


⁷ Mass errors enlarged by us to $\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$. See note.

NODE=M018M2;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M018M2;LINKAGE=DE
NODE=M018M2;LINKAGE=BO
NODE=M018M2;LINKAGE=LI
NODE=M018M2;LINKAGE=I
NODE=M018M;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M018M2;LINKAGE=D

- ⁸ Number of events in peak reevaluated by us.
⁹ For transverse momenta between 0.6 and 0.8 GeV/c and rapidity $0 < y < 0.5$.
¹⁰ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with charged and neutral $K^*(892)$ masses and widths floating.
¹¹ This value comes from a fit with χ^2 of 178/117.
¹² Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M018M2;LINKAGE=W
NODE=M018M2;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M018M2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M018M2;LINKAGE=MI
NODE=M018M2;LINKAGE=NS



NODE=M018209

$K^*(892)$ MASSES AND MASS DIFFERENCES

Unrealistically small errors have been reported by some experiments. We use simple “realistic” tests for the minimum errors on the determination of a mass and width from a sample of N events:

$$\delta_{\min}(m) = \frac{\Gamma}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad \delta_{\min}(\Gamma) = 4 \frac{\Gamma}{\sqrt{N}} . \tag{1}$$

We consistently increase unrealistic errors before averaging. For a detailed discussion, see the 1971 edition of this Note.

$m_{K^*(892)^0} - m_{K^*(892)^\pm}$						
<i>VALUE</i> (MeV)	<i>EVTS</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>CHG</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>	
6.7±1.2 OUR AVERAGE						
7.7±1.7	2980	AGUILAR-...	78B	HBC	±0	0.76 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\mp K_S^0 \pi^\pm$
5.7±1.7	7338	AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	−0	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
6.3±4.1	283	¹ BARASH	67B	HBC		0.0 $\bar{p}p$

NODE=M018D

NODE=M018D

¹ Number of events in peak reevaluated by us.

NODE=M018D;LINKAGE=W

 $K^*(892)$ RANGE PARAMETER

All from partial wave amplitude analyses.

NODE=M018R

NODE=M018R

NODE=M018R

VALUE (GeV ⁻¹)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
2.1 ±0.5 ±0.5	243k	¹ DEL-AMO-SA.11i	BABR	0	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$
3.96 ±0.54 ^{+1.31} _{-0.90}	18k	² LINK	05i	FOCS	0 $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
3.4 ±0.7		ASTON	88	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
12.1 ±3.2 ±3.0		BIRD	89	LASS	- 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
¹ Taking into account the $K^*(892)^0$, S-wave and P-wave ($K^*(1410)^0$).					
² Fit to $K\pi$ mass spectrum includes a non-resonant scalar component.					

NODE=M018R;LINKAGE=DE
NODE=M018R;LINKAGE=LI **$K^*(892)$ WIDTH**

NODE=M018215

CHARGED ONLY, HADROPRODUCEDNODE=M018W1
NODE=M018W1

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
51.4±0.8 OUR FIT					
51.4±0.8 OUR AVERAGE					
54.4±0.9±1.7		ALBRECHT	20	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
49 ±2	5840	BAUBILLIER	84B	HBC	- 8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
56 ±4		NAPIER	84	SPEC	- 200 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2K_S^0 X$
51 ±2	4100	TOAFF	81	HBC	- 6.5 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
50.5±5.6		AJINENKO	80	HBC	+ 32 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ X$
45.8±3.6	1800	AGUILAR-...	78B	HBC	± 0.76 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\mp K_S^0 \pi^\pm$
52.0±2.5	6706	¹ COOPER	78	HBC	± 0.76 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow (K\pi)^\pm X$
52.1±2.2	9000	² PALER	75	HBC	- 14.3 $K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^- X$
46.3±6.7	765	¹ CLARK	73	HBC	- 3.13 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
48.2±5.7	1150	^{1,3} CLARK	73	HBC	- 3.3 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
54.3±3.3	4404	¹ AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	- 3.9,4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^- p$
46 ±5	1700	^{1,3} WOJCICKI	64	HBC	- 1.7 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
46.7±0.2 ^{+0.1} _{-0.2}	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ	BES	± $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
43.6±1.3	4k	⁴ LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
47.2±0.3±2.3	190k	⁵ AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
54.8±1.7	27k	⁶ ABELE	99D	CBAR	± 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
45.2±1 ±2	80k	⁷ BIRD	89	LASS	- 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
42.8±7.1	3700	BARTH	83	HBC	+ 70 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ X$
64.0±9.2	800	^{1,3} CLELAND	82	SPEC	+ 30 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ p$
62.0±4.4	3200	^{1,3} CLELAND	82	SPEC	+ 50 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ p$
55 ±4	3600	^{1,3} CLELAND	82	SPEC	- 50 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- p$
62.6±3.8	380	DELFOSSSE	81	SPEC	+ 50 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^0 p$
50.5±3.9	187	DELFOSSSE	81	SPEC	- 50 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^0 p$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

¹ Width errors enlarged by us to $4 \times \Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see note.² Inclusive reaction. Complicated background and phase-space effects.³ Number of events in peak reevaluated by us.⁴ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with charged and neutral $K^*(892)$ masses and widths floating.⁵ Average of fit results with different parametrizations for the $K\pi$ S-wave.⁶ K-matrix pole.⁷ From a partial wave amplitude analysis.

NODE=M018W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M018W;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M018W;LINKAGE=W

NODE=M018W1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M018W1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M018W1;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M018W1;LINKAGE=F

CHARGED ONLY, PRODUCED IN τ LEPTON DECAYSNODE=M018W5
NODE=M018W5

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
46.2±0.6±1.2				
	53k	¹ EPIFANOV	07	BELL $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
46.5±1.1		² BOITO	10	RVUE $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
46.2±0.4		^{3,4} BOITO	09	RVUE $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
47.5±0.4		^{4,5} JAMIN	08	RVUE $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
55 ±8		⁶ BARATE	99R	ALEP $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

- ¹ From a fit in the $K_0^*(700) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$ model.
² From the pole position of the $K\pi$ vector form factor using EPIFANOV 07 and constraints from K_{J3} decays in ANTONELLI 10.
³ From the pole position of the $K\pi$ vector form factor in the complex s -plane and using EPIFANOV 07 data.
⁴ Systematic uncertainties not estimated.
⁵ Reanalysis of EPIFANOV 07 using resonance chiral theory.
⁶ With mass and width of the $K^*(1410)$ fixed at 1412 MeV and 227 MeV, respectively.

NODE=M018W5;LINKAGE=EF
 NODE=M018W5;LINKAGE=BT
 NODE=M018W5;LINKAGE=BI
 NODE=M018W5;LINKAGE=NS
 NODE=M018W5;LINKAGE=JA
 NODE=M018W5;LINKAGE=BA

NEUTRAL ONLY

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

47.3 ±0.5 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.0.

47.3 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.0. See the ideogram below.

46.53 ±0.56 ±0.31		¹ ABLIKIM	16F	BES3	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$
46.5 ±0.3 ±0.2	243k	² DEL-AMO-SA..	11I	BABR	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$
45.3 ±0.5 ±0.6	141k	³ BONVICINI	08A	CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
47.79 ±0.86 $^{+1.32}_{-1.06}$	18k	⁴ LINK	05I	FOCS	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
54 ±3		BARBERIS	98E	OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_f p_s K^* \bar{K}^*$
50.8 ±0.8 ±0.9		ASTON	88	LASS	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
46.5 ±4.3	5900	BARTH	83	HBC	$70 K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- X$
54 ±2	28k	EVANGELIS...	80	OMEG	$10 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
45.9 ±4.8	1180	AGUILAR-...	78B	HBC	$0.76 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\mp K_S^0 \pi^\pm$
51.2 ±1.7		WICKLUND	78	ASPK	$3,4,6 K^\pm N \rightarrow (K\pi)^0 N$
48.9 ±2.5		BOWLER	77	DBC	$5.4 K^+ d \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- pp$
48 $^{+3}_{-2}$	3600	MCCUBBIN	75	HBC	$3.6 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
50.6 ±2.5	22k	⁵ PALER	75	HBC	$14.3 K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^0 X$
47 ±2	10k	FOX	74	RVUE	$2 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
51 ±2		FOX	74	RVUE	$2 K^+ n \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p$
46.0 ±3.3	3186	⁶ LEWIS	73	HBC	$2.1-2.7 K^+ p \rightarrow K\pi\pi p$
51.4 ±5.0	1700	⁶ BUCHNER	72	DBC	$4.6 K^+ n \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p$
55.8 $^{+4.2}_{-3.4}$	2934	⁶ AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	$3.9,4.6 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
48.5 ±2.7	5362	AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	$3.9,4.6 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
54.0 ±3.3	4300	^{6,7} HABER	70	DBC	$3 K^- N \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ X$
53.2 ±2.1	10k	⁶ DAVIS	69	HBC	$12 K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ p$
44 ±5.5	1040	⁶ DAUBER	67B	HBC	$2.0 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
48.8 ±1.8 ±2.0		⁸ ADUSZKIEW...	20A	NA61	$158 pp$
52.6 ±1.7	4k	⁹ LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
44.90 ±0.30		LEES	13F	BABR	$D^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$
45.7 ±1.1 ±0.5	14.4k	¹⁰ MITCHELL	09A	CLEO	$D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$
50.6 ±0.9	20k	¹¹ AUBERT	07AK	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^{*0} K^\pm \pi^\mp \gamma$


OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

- ¹ Taking also into account the $K_0^*(1430)^0$ and $K_2^*(1430)^0$.
² Taking into account the $K^*(892)^0$, S -wave and P -wave ($K^*(1410)^0$).
³ From the isobar model with a complex pole for the κ .
⁴ Fit to $K\pi$ mass spectrum includes a non-resonant scalar component.
⁵ Inclusive reaction. Complicated background and phase-space effects.
⁶ Width errors enlarged by us to $4 \times \Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see note.
⁷ Number of events in peak reevaluated by us.
⁸ For transverse momenta between 0.6 and 0.8 GeV/c and rapidity $0 < y < 0.5$.
⁹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with charged and neutral $K^*(892)$ masses and widths floating.
¹⁰ This value comes from a fit with χ^2 of 178/117.
¹¹ Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M018W2;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M018W2;LINKAGE=DE
 NODE=M018W2;LINKAGE=BO
 NODE=M018W2;LINKAGE=LN
 NODE=M018W2;LINKAGE=I
 NODE=M018W2;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M018W2;LINKAGE=W
 NODE=M018W2;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M018W2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M018W2;LINKAGE=MI
 NODE=M018W2;LINKAGE=NS



K*(892) DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $K\pi$	~ 100	%
Γ_2 $(K\pi)^\pm$	$(99.902 \pm 0.009) \%$	
Γ_3 $(K\pi)^0$	$(99.754 \pm 0.021) \%$	
Γ_4 $K^0\gamma$	$(2.46 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_5 $K^\pm\gamma$	$(9.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_6 $K\pi\pi$	$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	95%

NODE=M018220;NODE=M018

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=11
DESIG=12
DESIG=4
DESIG=3
DESIG=2

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width and a partial width uses 14 measurements and one constraint to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 10.7$ for 12 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_5	Γ	$\begin{vmatrix} -100 & 17 \\ 17 & -17 \end{vmatrix}$	x_2	x_5
Mode	Rate (MeV)			
Γ_2 $(K\pi)^\pm$	51.4 $\pm$ 0.8			
Γ_5 $K^\pm\gamma$	0.050 $\pm$ 0.005			

DESIG=11
DESIG=3

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width and a partial width uses 23 measurements and one constraint to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 68.4$ for 21 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_4	−100	
Γ	13	−13
	x_3	x_4

	Mode	Rate (MeV)	Scale factor
Γ_3	$(K\pi)^0$	47.2 $\pm$ 0.5	2.0
Γ_4	$K^0\gamma$	0.117 $\pm$ 0.010	

DESIG=12

DESIG=4

$K^*(892)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M018225

$\Gamma(K^0\gamma)$						Γ_4
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
116 $\pm$ 10 OUR FIT						
116.5 $\pm$ 9.9	584	CARLSMITH	86	SPEC	0	$K_L^0 A \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0 A$

NODE=M018W4
NODE=M018W4

$\Gamma(K^\pm\gamma)$						Γ_5
VALUE (keV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
50 $\pm$ 5 OUR FIT						
50 $\pm$ 5 OUR AVERAGE						
48 $\pm$ 11		BERG	83	SPEC	−	156 $K^- A \rightarrow \bar{K} \pi A$
51 $\pm$ 5		CHANDLEE	83	SPEC	+	200 $K^+ A \rightarrow K \pi A$

NODE=M018W3
NODE=M018W3

$K^*(892)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M018230

$\Gamma(K^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_4/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
2.46 $\pm$ 0.21 OUR FIT						
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1.5 $\pm$ 0.7		CARITHERS	75B	CNTR	0	8–16 $\bar{K}^0 A$

NODE=M018R3
NODE=M018R3

$\Gamma(K^\pm\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_5/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
0.98 $\pm$ 0.09 OUR FIT						
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<1.6	95	BEMPORAD	73	CNTR	+	10–16 $K^+ A$

NODE=M018R2
NODE=M018R2

$\Gamma(K\pi\pi)/\Gamma((K\pi)^\pm)$						Γ_6/Γ_2
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
< 7 $\times 10^{-4}$	95	JONGEJANS	78	HBC	4 $K^- p \rightarrow p \bar{K}^0 2\pi$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<20 $\times 10^{-4}$		WOJCICKI	64	HBC	−	1.7 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$

NODE=M018R1
NODE=M018R1

$K^*(892)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M018

ADUSZKIEW... 20A	EPJ C80 460	A. Aduszkiewicz <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA61 Collab.)
ALBRECHT 20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
PELAEZ 20	PRL 124 172001	J.R. Pelaez <i>et al.</i>	
ABLIKIM 19AQ	PR D100 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES 17C	PR D95 072007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PELAEZ 17	EPJ C77 91	J.R. Pelaez, A.Rodas, J.R. de Elvira	
AAIJ 16N	PR D93 052018	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM 16F	PR D94 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES 13F	PR D87 052010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA... 11I	PR D83 072001	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ANTONELLI 10	EPJ C69 399	M. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(FlaviaNet Working Group)
BOITO 10	JHEP 1009 031	D.R. Boito, R. Escribano, M. Jamin	(BARC)
BOITO 09	EPJ C59 821	D.R. Boito, R. Escribano, M. Jamin	

REFID=60631
REFID=60439
REFID=60559
REFID=59909
REFID=57981
REFID=57836
REFID=57273
REFID=57307
REFID=55127
REFID=16493
REFID=53448
REFID=53632
REFID=52728

MITCHELL	09A	PR D79 072008	R.E. Mitchell <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52756
BONVICINI	08A	PR D78 052001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52426
JAMIN	08	PL B664 78	M. Jamin, A. Pich, J. Portoles		REFID=52285
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51908
EPIFANOV	07	PL B654 65	D. Epifanov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51929
LINK	05I	PL B621 72	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=50679
PELAEZ	04A	MPL A19 2879	J.R. Pelaez	(MADU)	REFID=50347
BONVICINI	02	PRL 88 111803	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=48701
PDG	00	EPJ C15 1	D.E. Groom <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=47469
ABELE	99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=47401
BARATE	99R	EPJ C11 599	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)	REFID=47366
BARBERIS	98E	PL B436 204	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=46348
BIRD	89	SLAC-332	P.F. Bird	(SLAC)	REFID=41002
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)	REFID=40262
ATKINSON	86	ZPHY C30 521	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)	REFID=20564
CARLSMITH	86	PRL 56 18	D. Carlsmith <i>et al.</i>	(EFI, SACL)	REFID=22461
BAUBILLIER	84B	ZPHY C26 37	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)	REFID=22459
NAPIER	84	PL 149B 514	A. Napier <i>et al.</i>	(TUFTS, ARIZ, FNAL, FLOR+)	REFID=22460
BARTH	83	NP B223 296	M. Barth <i>et al.</i>	(BRUX, CERN, GENO, MONS+)	REFID=22456
BERG	83	Thesis UMI 83-21652	D.M. Berg	(ROCH)	REFID=22457
CHANDLEE	83	PRL 51 168	C. Chandlee <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, FNAL, MINN)	REFID=22458
CLELAND	82	NP B208 189	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)	REFID=22455
DELFOSSSE	81	NP B183 349	A. Delfosse <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, LAUS)	REFID=21277
TOAFF	81	PR D23 1500	S. Toaff <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, KANS)	REFID=22454
AJINENKO	80	ZPHY C5 177	I.V. Ajinenko <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BRUX, MONS+)	REFID=22449
EVANGELIS...	80	NP B165 383	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)	REFID=22450
AGUILAR-...	78B	NP B141 101	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(MADR, TATA+)	REFID=22438
BALAND	78	NP B140 220	J.F. Baland <i>et al.</i>	(MONS, BELG, CERN+)	REFID=20369
COOPER	78	NP B136 365	A.M. Cooper <i>et al.</i>	(TATA, CERN, CDEF+)	REFID=22441
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)	REFID=22443
Also			P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)	REFID=22444
JONGEJANS	78	NP B139 383	B. Jongejans <i>et al.</i>	(ZEEM, CERN, NIJM+)	REFID=22445
WICKLUND	78	PR D17 1197	A.B. Wicklund <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)	REFID=20124
BOWLER	77	NP B126 31	M.G. Bowler <i>et al.</i>	(OXF)	REFID=22437
CARITHERS	75B	PRL 35 349	W.C.J. Carithers <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, MCGI)	REFID=22433
MCCUBBIN	75	NP B86 13	N.A. McCubbin, L. Lyons	(OXF)	REFID=22434
PALER	75	NP B96 1	K. Paler <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, SACL, EPOL)	REFID=22435
FOX	74	NP B80 403	G.C. Fox, M.L. Griss	(CIT)	REFID=22430
MATISON	74	PR D9 1872	M.J. Matison <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)	REFID=22431
BEMPORAD	73	NP B51 1	C. Bemporad <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, ETH, LOIC)	REFID=22416
CLARK	73	NP B54 432	A.G. Clark, L. Lyons, D. Radojicic	(OXF)	REFID=22426
LEWIS	73	NP B60 283	P.H. Lewis <i>et al.</i>	(LOWC, LOIC, CDEF)	REFID=22427
LINGLIN	73	NP B55 408	D. Linglin	(CERN)	REFID=22428
BUCHNER	72	NP B45 333	K. Buchner <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN, BRUX)	REFID=22418
AGUILAR-...	71B	PR D4 2583	M. Aguilar-Benitez, R.L. Eisner, J.B. Kinson	(BNL)	REFID=22408
HABER	70	NP B17 289	B. Haber <i>et al.</i>	(REHO, SACL, BGNA, EPOL)	REFID=22406
CRENNELL	69D	PRL 22 487	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)	REFID=22399
DAVIS	69	PRL 23 1071	P.J. Davis <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)	REFID=22400
SCHWEING...	68	PR 166 1317	F. Schweingruber <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, NWES)	REFID=22398
BARASH	67B	PR 156 1399	N. Barash <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)	REFID=20160
BARLOW	67	NC 50A 701	J. Barlow <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, IRAD, LIVP)	REFID=20041
DAUBER	67B	PR 153 1403	P.M. Dauber <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA)	REFID=22389
DEBAERE	67B	NC 51A 401	W. de Baere <i>et al.</i>	(BRUX, CERN)	REFID=22390
WOJCICKI	64	PR 135 B484	S.G. Wojcicki	(LRL)	REFID=22379

$K_1(1270)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^+)$$

NODE=M028

 $K_1(1270)$ MASS


NODE=M028MX

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID

1256±6 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the 4 datablocks that follow this one. Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below. [1253 ± 7 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 2.2]

NODE=M028MX

NEW

**PRODUCED BY K^- , BACKWARD SCATTERING, HYPERON EXCHANGE**NODE=M028M2
NODE=M028M2

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

1275±10 700 GAVILLET 78 HBC + 4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Xi^- (K\pi\pi)^+$

PRODUCED BY K BEAMSNODE=M028M3
NODE=M028M3

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

1270±10 ¹ DAUM 81C CNTR — 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
 • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
 ~ 1276 ² TORNQVIST 82B RVUE
 ~ 1300 VERGEEST 79 HBC — 4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow (\bar{K}\pi\pi)^- p$
 1289±25 ³ CARNEGIE 77 ASPK ± 13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$
 ~ 1300 BRANDENB... 76 ASPK ± 13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$
 ~ 1270 OTTER 76 HBC — 10,14,16 $K^- p \rightarrow (\bar{K}\pi\pi)^- p$
 1260 DAVIS 72 HBC + 12 $K^+ p$
 1234±12 FIRESTONE 72B DBC + 12 $K^+ d$

¹ Well described in the chiral unitary approach of GENG 07 with two poles at 1195 and 1284 MeV and widths of 246 and 146 MeV, respectively.

² From a unitarized quark-model calculation.

³ From a model-dependent fit with Gaussian background to BRANDENBURG 76 data.

NODE=M028M3;LINKAGE=DA

NODE=M028M3;LINKAGE=T
NODE=M028M3;LINKAGE=E**PRODUCED BY BEAMS OTHER THAN K MESONS**

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

1252 ± 9 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.7. [1248 ± 4 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NEW

1271 ± 3 ± 7 ¹ ABLIKIM 25AM BES3 $D^{+(0)} \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0 (-) e^+ \nu_e$
 1248.1 ± 3.3 ± 1.4 GULER 11 BELL $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1289.81 ± 0.56 ± 1.66	894k	AAIJ	18A	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K^\mp \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
1279 ± 10	25k	² ABLIKIM	06C	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
1294 ± 10	310	RODEBACK	81	HBC	$4 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$
1300	40	CRENNELL	72	HBC	$4.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$
1242 + ⁹ -10		³ ASTIER	69	HBC	$\bar{p} p$
1300	45	CRENNELL	67	HBC	$6 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$

¹ Using the relativistic Breit-Wigner parameterization in the amplitude analysis.

² Systematic errors not estimated.

³ This was called the *C* meson.

PRODUCED IN τ LEPTON DECAYS


VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					

1254 ± 33 ± 34 7k ASNER 00B CLEO ± $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau$

$K_1(1270)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID

90 ± 20 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.
104 ± 13 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the 4 datablocks that follow this one. Error includes scale factor of 2.5. See the ideogram below. [101 ± 12 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 2.2]



PRODUCED BY K^- , BACKWARD SCATTERING, HYPERON EXCHANGE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					

75 ± 15 700 GAVILLET 78 HBC + $4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Xi^- K \pi \pi$

PRODUCED BY *K* BEAMS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				

90 ± 8 ¹ DAUM 81C CNTR - $63 K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 150	VERGEEST	79	HBC	-	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow (\bar{K} \pi \pi)^- p$
150 ± 71	² CARNEGIE	77	ASPK	±	$13 K^\pm p \rightarrow (K \pi \pi)^\pm p$
~ 200	BRANDENB...	76	ASPK	±	$13 K^\pm p \rightarrow (K \pi \pi)^\pm p$
120	DAVIS	72	HBC	+	$12 K^+ p$
188 ± 21	FIRESTONE	72B	DBC	+	$12 K^+ d$

NODE=M028M1;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M028M1;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M028M1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M028MT
NODE=M028MT

NODE=M028WX

NODE=M028WX
→ UNCHECKED ←

NEW

NODE=M028W2
NODE=M028W2

NODE=M028W3
NODE=M028W3

¹Well described in the chiral unitary approach of GENG 07 with two poles at 1195 and 1284 MeV and widths of 246 and 146 MeV, respectively.

²From a model-dependent fit with Gaussian background to BRANDENBURG 76 data.

PRODUCED BY BEAMS OTHER THAN K MESONS

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

126 ±16 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.0. [120 ± 8 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

168 ±10 ±20	ABLIKIM	25AMBES3	$D^{+(0)} \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0 (-) e^+ \nu_e$
119.5 ± 5.2 ± 6.7	GULER	11 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
116.11 ± 1.65 ± 2.96 894k	AAIJ	18ALHC	$D^0 \rightarrow K^\mp \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
131 ±21 25k	¹ ABLIKIM	06C BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
66 ±15 310	RODEBACK	81 HBC	$4 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$
60 40	CRENNELL	72 HBC	$4.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$
127 $\begin{smallmatrix} +7 \\ -25 \end{smallmatrix}$	ASTIER	69 HBC	$\bar{p} p$
60 45	CRENNELL	67 HBC	$6 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$

¹Systematic errors not estimated.

PRODUCED IN τ LEPTON DECAYS

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

$260^{+90}_{-70} \pm 80$	7k	ASNER	00B CLEO	±	$\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau$

$K_1(1270)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor
$\Gamma_1 K \rho$	(43 ± 6) %	1.4
$\Gamma_2 K_0^*(1430) \pi$	(28 ± 4) %	
$\Gamma_3 K^*(892) \pi$	(14 ± 4) %	
$\Gamma_4 K \omega$	(11.0 ± 2.0) %	
$\Gamma_5 K f_0(1370)$	(3.0 ± 2.0) %	
$\Gamma_6 \gamma K^0$	seen	

$K_1(1270)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(K \rho)$

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

57 ± 5	MAZZUCATO	79 HBC	+	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Xi^- (K \pi \pi)^+$
75 ± 6	CARNEGIE	77B ASPK	±	$13 K^\pm p \rightarrow (K \pi \pi)^\pm p$

$\Gamma(K_0^*(1430) \pi)$

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

26 ± 6	CARNEGIE	77B ASPK	±	$13 K^\pm p \rightarrow (K \pi \pi)^\pm p$

$\Gamma(K^*(892) \pi)$

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

14 ± 11	MAZZUCATO	79 HBC	+	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Xi^- (K \pi \pi)^+$
2 ± 2	CARNEGIE	77B ASPK	±	$13 K^\pm p \rightarrow (K \pi \pi)^\pm p$

$\Gamma(K \omega)$

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4 ± 4	MAZZUCATO	79 HBC	+	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Xi^- (K \pi \pi)^+$
24 ± 3	CARNEGIE	77B ASPK	±	$13 K^\pm p \rightarrow (K \pi \pi)^\pm p$

NODE=M028W3;LINKAGE=DA

NODE=M028W3;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M028W1

NODE=M028W1

NEW

NODE=M028W1;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M028WT

NODE=M028WT

NODE=M028215;NODE=M028

DESIG=2

DESIG=7

DESIG=1

DESIG=5

DESIG=8

DESIG=9;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M028220

NODE=M028W5

NODE=M028W5

NODE=M028W7

NODE=M028W7

NODE=M028W4

NODE=M028W4

NODE=M028W6

NODE=M028W6

$\Gamma(K f_0(1370))$ Γ_5

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
22 ± 5	CARNEGIE	77B	ASPK	$\pm$ 13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$

NODE=M028W8
 NODE=M028W8

 $\Gamma(\gamma K^0)$ Γ_6

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$73.2 \pm 6.1 \pm 28.3$	ALAVI-HARATI02B	KTEV	$K + A \rightarrow K^* + A$

NODE=M028W9
 NODE=M028W9

 $K_1(1270)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M028225

 $\Gamma(K\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.43 ± 0.06 OUR FIT [0.38 ± 0.13 OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 2.2]			
0.42 ± 0.06	¹ DAUM	81C	CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.584 ± 0.043	² GULER	11	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
dominant	RODEBACK	81	HBC $4 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$

NODE=M028R2
 NODE=M028R2
 NEW

 $\Gamma(K^*(1430)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.28 ± 0.04	¹ DAUM	81C	CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.0201 ± 0.0064	² GULER	11	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M028R4
 NODE=M028R4

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.14 ± 0.04 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.4. [0.21 $\pm$ 0.10 OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 2.2]			
0.16 ± 0.05	¹ DAUM	81C	CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.171 ± 0.023	² GULER	11	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M028R1
 NODE=M028R1
 NEW

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma(K\rho)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.33 ± 0.11 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.6. [0.56 $\pm$ 0.29 OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 2.2]			
0.30 ± 0.26 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 3.1. [0.99 $\pm$ 0.23 OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			
$0.203 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.087$	ABLIKIM	25AMBES3	$D^{+(0)} \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^{0(-)} e^+ \nu_e$
$0.99 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.18$	ABLIKIM	21U BES3	$D_s^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}_1(1270)^0 K^+$

NODE=M028R00
 NODE=M028R00
 NEW
 NEW

 $\Gamma(K\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.11 ± 0.02	¹ DAUM	81C	CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.225 ± 0.052	² GULER	11	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M028R3
 NODE=M028R3

 $\Gamma(K\omega)/\Gamma(K\rho)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.30	95	RODEBACK	81	HBC $4 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$

NODE=M028R6
 NODE=M028R6

 $\Gamma(K f_0(1370))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.03 ± 0.02	¹ DAUM	81C	CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

NODE=M028R5
 NODE=M028R5

 $D\text{-wave}/S\text{-wave RATIO FOR } K_1(1270) \rightarrow K^*(892)\pi$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.0 ± 0.7	¹ DAUM	81C	CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
¹ Average from low and high t data.			
² Assuming that decays are saturated by the $K\rho$, $K^*(1430)\pi$, $K^*(892)\pi$, $K\omega$ decay modes and neglecting interference between them. The values $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (1.53^{+0.11}_{-0.13})\%$ and $B(K^*(1430) \rightarrow K\pi) = (93 \pm 10)\%$ are used. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.			

NODE=M028R9
 NODE=M028R9

NODE=M028R;LINKAGE=F
 NODE=M028R1;LINKAGE=GU

$K_1(1270)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	25AM	PRL 135 091801	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21U	PR D104 032011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	18AI	EPJ C78 443	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
GULER	11	PR D83 032005	H. Guler <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
GENG	07	PR D75 014017	L.S. Geng <i>et al.</i>	
ABLIKIM	06C	PL B633 681	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ALAVI-HARATI	02B	PRL 89 072001	A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
ASNER	00B	PR D62 072006	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
TORNQVIST	82B	NP B203 268	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
DAUM	81C	NP B187 1	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
RODEBACK	81	ZPHY C9 9	S. Rodeback <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, MADR+)
MAZZUCATO	79	NP B156 532	M. Mazzucato <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, ZEEM, NIJM+)
VERGEEST	79	NP B158 265	J.S.M. Vergeest <i>et al.</i>	(NIJM, AMST, CERN+)
GAVILLET	78	PL 76B 517	P. Gavillet <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, NIJM+) JP
CARNEGIE	77	NP B127 509	R.K. Carnegie <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
CARNEGIE	77B	PL 68B 287	R.K. Carnegie <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
BRANDENB...	76	PRL 36 703	G.W. Brandenburg <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC) JP
OTTER	76	NP B106 77	G. Otter <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, CERN, LOIC+) JP
CRENNELL	72	PR D6 1220	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
DAVIS	72	PR D5 2688	P.J. Davis <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
FIRESTONE	72B	PR D5 505	A. Firestone <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
ASTIER	69	NP B10 65	A. Astier <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN, IPNP, LIPV) IJP
CRENNELL	67	PRL 19 44	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL) I

NODE=M028

REFID=63297
REFID=61155
REFID=59187
REFID=53668
REFID=51623
REFID=51037
REFID=48822
REFID=47766
REFID=20573
REFID=22548
REFID=22550
REFID=20867
REFID=22542
REFID=22538
REFID=22535
REFID=22536
REFID=22532
REFID=22533
REFID=22419
REFID=22505
REFID=22506
REFID=22482
REFID=22473

 $K_1(1400)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^+)$$

NODE=M064

 $K_1(1400)$ MASS

NODE=M064M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1403± 7 OUR AVERAGE					
1463±64±68	7k	ASNER	00B	CLEO	± $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau$
1373±14±18		¹ ASTON	87	LASS	0 $11 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1392±18		BAUBILLIER	82B	HBC	0 $8.25 K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1410±25		DAUM	81C	CNTR	— $63 K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
1415±15		ETKIN	80	MPS	0 $6 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1404±10		² CARNEGIE	77	ASPK	± $13 K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1418± 8	25k	³ ABLIKIM	06C	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
~ 1350		⁴ TORNQVIST	82B	RVUE	
~ 1400		VERGEEST	79	HBC	— $4.2 K^- p \rightarrow (\bar{K}\pi\pi)^- p$
~ 1400		BRANDENB...	76	ASPK	± $13 K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$
1420		DAVIS	72	HBC	+ $12 K^+ p$
1368±18		FIRESTONE	72B	DBC	+ $12 K^+ d$

¹ From partial-wave analysis of $K^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ system.² From a model-dependent fit with Gaussian background to BRANDENBURG 76 data.³ Systematic errors not estimated.⁴ From a unitarized quark-model calculation.

NODE=M064M;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M064M;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M064M;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M064M;LINKAGE=T

 $K_1(1400)$ WIDTH

NODE=M064W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
174± 13 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.					
300 ⁺³⁷⁰ ₋₁₁₀ ±140	7k	ASNER	00B	CLEO	± $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau$
188± 54± 60		¹ ASTON	87	LASS	0 $11 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
276± 65		BAUBILLIER	82B	HBC	0 $8.25 K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
195± 25		DAUM	81C	CNTR	— $63 K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
180± 10		ETKIN	80	MPS	0 $6 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
142± 16		² CARNEGIE	77	ASPK	± $13 K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
152± 16	25k	³ ABLIKIM	06C	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
~ 200		VERGEEST	79	HBC	— $4.2 K^- p \rightarrow (\bar{K}\pi\pi)^- p$
~ 160		BRANDENB...	76	ASPK	± $13 K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$
80		DAVIS	72	HBC	+ $12 K^+ p$
241± 30		FIRESTONE	72B	DBC	+ $12 K^+ d$

NODE=M064W

¹ From partial-wave analysis of $K^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ system.


² From a model-dependent fit with Gaussian background to BRANDENBURG 76 data.

³ Systematic errors not estimated.

NODE=M064W;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M064W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M064W;LINKAGE=AB



$K_1(1400)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K^*(892)\pi$	(94 ± 6) %
Γ_2 $K\rho$	(3.0±3.0) %
Γ_3 $K f_0(1370)$	(2.0±2.0) %
Γ_4 $K\omega$	(1.0±1.0) %
Γ_5 $K_0^*(1430)\pi$	not seen
Γ_6 γK^0	seen
Γ_7 $K\phi$	seen

NODE=M064215;NODE=M064

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=8

DESIG=5

DESIG=7;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=9;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=10

$K_1(1400)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$					Γ_1
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
117±10	CARNEGIE	77	ASPK	±	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$
$\Gamma(K\rho)$					Γ_2
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
2±1	CARNEGIE	77	ASPK	±	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$
$\Gamma(K\omega)$					Γ_4
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
23±12	CARNEGIE	77	ASPK	±	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$
$\Gamma(\gamma K^0)$					Γ_6
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
280.8±23.2±40.4	ALAVI-HARATI02B	KTEV	$K + A \rightarrow K^* + A$		

NODE=M064220

NODE=M064W1
NODE=M064W1

NODE=M064W2
NODE=M064W2

NODE=M064W5
NODE=M064W5

NODE=M064W6
NODE=M064W6

$K_1(1400)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.94±0.06	¹ DAUM	81C	CNTR	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$	

¹ Average from low and high t data.

NODE=M064225

NODE=M064R1
NODE=M064R1

NODE=M064R1;LINKAGE=F

$\Gamma(K\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.03±0.03	¹ DAUM	81C	CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

¹ Average from low and high t data.

NODE=M064R2
NODE=M064R2

NODE=M064R2;LINKAGE=F

$\Gamma(K\phi(1370))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.02±0.02	¹ DAUM	81C	CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

¹ Average from low and high t data.

NODE=M064R5
NODE=M064R5

NODE=M064R5;LINKAGE=F

$\Gamma(K\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.01±0.01	¹ DAUM	81C	CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

¹ Average from low and high t data.

NODE=M064R3
NODE=M064R3

NODE=M064R3;LINKAGE=F

$\Gamma(K\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E	LHCB $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 9.2 σ .

NODE=M064R00
NODE=M064R00

NODE=M064R00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ DAUM	81C	CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

¹ Average from low and high t data.

NODE=M064R4
NODE=M064R4

NODE=M064R4;LINKAGE=F

D-wave/S-wave RATIO FOR $K_1(1400) \rightarrow K^*(892)\pi$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.04±0.01	¹ DAUM	81C	CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

¹ Average from low and high t data.

NODE=M064R9
NODE=M064R9

NODE=M064R9;LINKAGE=F

$K_1(1400)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06C	PL B633 681	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ALAVI-HARATI	02B	PRL 89 072001	A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
ASNER	00B	PR D62 072006	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ASTON	87	NP B292 693	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
BAUBILLIER	82B	NP B202 21	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
TORNQVIST	82B	NP B203 268	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
DAUM	81C	NP B187 1	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
ETKIN	80	PR D22 42	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY) JP
VERGEEST	79	NP B158 265	J.S.M. Vergeest <i>et al.</i>	(NIJM, AMST, CERN+)
CARNEGIE	77	NP B127 509	R.K. Carnegie <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
BRANDENB...	76	PRL 36 703	G.W. Brandenburg <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC) JP
DAVIS	72	PR D5 2688	P.J. Davis <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
FIRESTONE	72B	PR D5 505	A. Firestone <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)

NODE=M064

REFID=61150
REFID=51037
REFID=48822
REFID=47766
REFID=40234
REFID=22551
REFID=20573
REFID=22548
REFID=22545
REFID=22542
REFID=22535
REFID=22532
REFID=22505
REFID=22506

$K^*(1410)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^-)$$

NODE=M094

 $K^*(1410)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$ Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1368 ± 38) - i (106⁺⁴⁸₋₅₉) OUR ESTIMATE			
(1368 ± 38) - i (106 ⁺⁴⁸ ₋₅₉)	¹ PELAEZ	17 RVUE	$\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$

¹ Reanalysis of ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88 satisfying Forward Dispersion Relations and using sequences of Pade approximants.

NODE=M094PP

NODE=M094PP

NODE=M094PP

→ UNCHECKED ←
OCCUR=2

NODE=M094PP;LINKAGE=B

 $K^*(1410)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1414 ± 15 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3.			
1380 ± 21 ± 19		ASTON	88	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
1420 ± 7 ± 10		ASTON	87	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1437 ± 8 ± 16	190k	¹ AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow (K_S^0 \pi^\mp) K^\pm$
1426 ± 8 ± 24	190k	² AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 (K^\pm \pi^\mp)$
1276 ⁺⁷² ₋₇₇		^{3,4} BOITO	09	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
1367 ± 54		BIRD	89	LASS	- 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
1474 ± 25		BAUBILLIER	82B	HBC	0 8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$
1500 ± 30		ETKIN	80	MPS	0 6 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$

¹ Using a parametrization for the $K\pi$ S-wave similar to ASTON 88 with fixed resonance width.

² Using a $K\pi$ S-wave parametrization with resonant and non-resonant contributions.

³ From the pole position of the $K\pi$ vector form factor in the complex s -plane and using EPIFANOV 07 data.

⁴ Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M094M

NODE=M094M

OCCUR=2

NODE=M094M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M094M;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M094M;LINKAGE=BI

NODE=M094M;LINKAGE=NS

 $K^*(1410)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
232 ± 21 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
176 ± 52 ± 22		ASTON	88	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
240 ± 18 ± 12		ASTON	87	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
210 ± 20 ± 60	190k	¹ AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow (K_S^0 \pi^\mp) K^\pm$
270 ± 20 ± 40	190k	¹ AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 (K^\pm \pi^\mp)$
198 ⁺⁶¹ ₋₈₇		^{2,3} BOITO	09	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
114 ± 101		BIRD	89	LASS	- 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
275 ± 65		BAUBILLIER	82B	HBC	0 8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$
500 ± 100		ETKIN	80	MPS	0 6 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$

¹ Using a $K\pi$ S-wave parametrization with resonant and non-resonant contributions.

² From the pole position of the $K\pi$ vector form factor in the complex s -plane and using EPIFANOV 07 data.

³ Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M094W

NODE=M094W

OCCUR=2

NODE=M094W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M094W;LINKAGE=BI

NODE=M094W;LINKAGE=NS

 $K^*(1410)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $K^*(892)\pi$	> 40 %	95%
Γ_2 $K\pi$	(6.6 ± 1.3) %	
Γ_3 $K\rho$	< 7 %	95%
Γ_4 γK^0	< 2.3 × 10 ⁻⁴	90%
Γ_5 $K\phi$	seen	

NODE=M094215;NODE=M094

DESIG=2

DESIG=1

DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

$K^*(1410)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M094217

$\Gamma(\gamma K^0)$					Γ_4
VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<52.9	90	ALAVI-HARATI02B	KTEV	$K + A \rightarrow K^* + A$	

NODE=M094W1
NODE=M094W1 **$K^*(1410)$ BRANCHING RATIOS**

NODE=M094220

$\Gamma(K\rho)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$					Γ_3/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.17	95	ASTON	84	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$

NODE=M094R1
NODE=M094R1

$\Gamma(K\pi)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$					Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.16	95	ASTON	84	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$

NODE=M094R2
NODE=M094R2

$\Gamma(K\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_2/Γ
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
$0.066 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.008$		ASTON	88	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

NODE=M094R3
NODE=M094R3

$\Gamma(K\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_5/Γ
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$

NODE=M094R00
NODE=M094R00¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 7.7 σ .

NODE=M094R00;LINKAGE=A

 $K^*(1410)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M094

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
PELAEZ	17	EPJ C77 91	J.R. Pelaez, A.Rodas, J.R. de Elvira	
AAIJ	16N	PR D93 052018	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
BOITO	09	EPJ C59 821	D.R. Boito, R. Escobedo, M. Jamin	
EPIFANOV	07	PL B654 65	D. Epifanov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ALAVI-HARATI	02B	PRL 89 072001	A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
BIRD	89	SLAC-332	P.F. Bird	(SLAC)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ASTON	87	NP B292 693	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ASTON	84	PL 149B 258	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CARL, OTTA) JP
BAUBILLIER	82B	NP B202 21	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
ETKIN	80	PR D22 42	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY) JP
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)

REFID=61150
REFID=57836
REFID=57273
REFID=52728
REFID=51929
REFID=48822
REFID=41002
REFID=40262
REFID=40234
REFID=22689
REFID=22551
REFID=22545
REFID=22443

$$K_0^*(1430)$$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^+)$$

NODE=M019

 $K_0^*(1430)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

NODE=M019PP

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \text{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

NODE=M019PP

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1431 $\pm$ 6) - i (110 $\pm$ 19) OUR ESTIMATE				
(1431 $\pm$ 6) - i (110 $\pm$ 19)		¹ PELAEZ	17	RVUE $\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$

NODE=M019PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

¹ Reanalysis of ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88 satisfying Forward Dispersion Relations and using sequences of Pade approximants.

NODE=M019PP;LINKAGE=A

 $K_0^*(1430)$ MASS

NODE=M019M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1425 $\pm$ 50 OUR ESTIMATE				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1493 $\pm$ 4 $\pm$ 7		¹ AAIJ	23AH	LHCB $B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$
1449 $\pm$ 17 $\pm$ 2		² LEES	21A	BABR $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta' K^+ K^-$
1438 $\pm$ 8 $\pm$ 4 5.4k		³ LEES	14E	BABR $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta/\pi^0$
1427 $\pm$ 4 $\pm$ 13		⁴ BUGG	10	RVUE S-matrix pole
1466.6 $\pm$ 0.7 $\pm$ 3.4 141k		⁵ BONVICINI	08A	CLEO $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
~ 1412		⁶ LINK	07	FOCS $D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$
1461.0 $\pm$ 4.0 $\pm$ 2.1 54k		⁷ LINK	07B	FOCS $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1406 $\pm$ 29		⁸ BUGG	06	RVUE
1435 $\pm$ 6		⁹ ZHOU	06	RVUE $K p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

NODE=M019M

→ UNCHECKED ←

1455 ± 20 ± 15	ABLIKIM	05Q	BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
1456 ± 8	10 ZHENG	04	RVUE	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 1419	11 BUGG	03	RVUE	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 1440	12 LI	03	RVUE	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
1459 ± 9	13 AITALA	02	E791	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
~ 1440	14 JAMIN	00	RVUE	$K p \rightarrow K p$
1436 ± 8	15 BARBERIS	98E	OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow p_f p_s K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
1415 ± 25	11 ANISOVICH	97C	RVUE	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 1450	16 TORNQVIST	96	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \bar{K}, K \pi$
1412 ± 6	17 ASTON	88	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 1430	BAUBILLIER	84B	HBC	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
~ 1425	18 ESTABROOKS	78	ASPK	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^\pm (n, \Delta)$
~ 1450.0	MARTIN	78	SPEC	10 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi p$
¹ From Dalitz plot analyses of $\eta_c(1S, 2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$. ² Using a $K \pi - K \eta'$ coupled channel Breit-Wigner function. ³ Using both $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$. From a likelihood scan in the presence of several interfering scalar-meson resonances with fixed width $\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)) = 210$ MeV. ⁴ S-Matrix pole. Supersedes BUGG 06. Combined analysis of ASTON 88, ABLIKIM 06C, AITALA 06, and LINK 09 using an s-dependent width with couplings to $K \pi$ and $K \eta'$, and the Adler zero near thresholds. ⁵ From the isobar model with a complex pole for the κ . ⁶ From a non-parametric analysis. ⁷ A Breit-Wigner mass and width. ⁸ S-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88, AITALA 02, and ABLIKIM 06C including the κ with an s-dependent width and an Adler zero near threshold. ⁹ S-matrix pole. Using ASTON 88 and assuming $K_0^*(700)$, $K_0^*(1950)$. ¹⁰ Using ASTON 88 and assuming $K_0^*(700)$. ¹¹ T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data. ¹² Breit-Wigner fit. Using ASTON 88. ¹³ Assuming a low-mass scalar $K \pi$ resonance, $\kappa(700)$. ¹⁴ T-matrix pole. Using data from ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88. ¹⁵ J^P not determined, could be $K_2^*(1430)$. ¹⁶ T-matrix pole. ¹⁷ Uses a model for the background, without this background they get a mass 1340 MeV, where the phase shift passes 90° . ¹⁸ Mass defined by pole position. From elastic $K \pi$ partial-wave analysis.				

NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=F
 NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=BG

NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=BO
 NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=LI
 NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=BW
 NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=BU

NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=ZU
 NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=ZH
 NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=A1
 NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=A0
 NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=JM
 NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=JP
 NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=TT
 NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M019M;LINKAGE=A

$K_0^*(1430)$ WIDTH

NODE=M019W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
270 ± 80 OUR ESTIMATE				
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
215 ± 7 ± 4		1 AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ (K_S^0 K \pi)$
210 ± 20 ± 12	5.4k	2 LEES	14E BABR	$\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta / \pi^0$
270 ± 10 ± 40		3 BUGG	10 RVUE	S-matrix pole
174.2 ± 1.9 ± 3.2	141k	4 BONVICINI	08A CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
~ 500		5 LINK	07 FOCS	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$
177.0 ± 8.0 ± 3.4	54k	6 LINK	07B FOCS	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
350 ± 40		7 BUGG	06 RVUE	
288 ± 22		8 ZHOU	06 RVUE	$K p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
270 ± 45 ⁺³⁰ ₋₃₅		ABLIKIM	05Q BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
217 ± 31		9 ZHENG	04 RVUE	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 316		10 BUGG	03 RVUE	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 350		11 LI	03 RVUE	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
175 ± 17	15k	12 AITALA	02 E791	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
~ 300		13 JAMIN	00 RVUE	$K p \rightarrow K p$
196 ± 45		14 BARBERIS	98E OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow p_f p_s K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
330 ± 50		10 ANISOVICH	97C RVUE	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 320		15 TORNQVIST	96 RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \bar{K}, K \pi$
294 ± 23		ASTON	88 LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 200		BAUBILLIER	84B HBC	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
200 to 300		16 ESTABROOKS	78 ASPK	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^\pm (n, \Delta)$

NODE=M019W
 → UNCHECKED ←

- ¹ From Dalitz plot analyses of $\eta_c(1S, 2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$.
- ² Using both $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$. From a likelihood scan in the presence of several interfering scalar-meson resonances with fixed mass $M(K_0^*(1430)) = 1435 \text{ MeV}$.
- ³ S-Matrix pole. Supersedes BUGG 06. Combined analysis of ASTON 88, ABLIKIM 06C, AITALA 06, and LINK 09 using an s-dependent width with couplings to $K\pi$ and $K\eta'$, and the Adler zero near thresholds.
- ⁴ From the isobar model with a complex pole for the κ .
- ⁵ From a non-parametric analysis.
- ⁶ A Breit-Wigner mass and width.
- ⁷ S-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88, AITALA 02, and ABLIKIM 06C including the κ with an s-dependent width and an Adler zero near threshold.
- ⁸ S-matrix pole. Using ASTON 88 and assuming $K_0^*(700)$, $K_0^*(1950)$.
- ⁹ Using ASTON 88 and assuming $K_0^*(700)$.
- ¹⁰ T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.
- ¹¹ Breit-Wigner fit. Using ASTON 88.
- ¹² Assuming a low-mass scalar $K\pi$ resonance, $\kappa(700)$.
- ¹³ T-matrix pole. Using data from ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88.
- ¹⁴ J^P not determined, could be $K_2^*(1430)$.
- ¹⁵ T-matrix pole.
- ¹⁶ From elastic $K\pi$ partial-wave analysis.

NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=BG

NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=BO
 NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=LI
 NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=BW
 NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=BU

NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=ZU
 NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=ZH
 NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=A1
 NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=A0
 NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=JM
 NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=JP
 NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=TT
 NODE=M019W;LINKAGE=C

$K_0^*(1430)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M019215;NODE=M019

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad K\pi$	(93 $\pm$ 10) %
$\Gamma_2 \quad K\eta$	(8.6 $^{+2.7}_{-3.4}$) %
$\Gamma_3 \quad K\eta'(958)$	seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

$K_0^*(1430)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M019220

$\Gamma(K\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT
0.93$\pm$0.04$\pm$0.09	ASTON 88 LASS 0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

NODE=M019R1
 NODE=M019R1

$\Gamma(K\eta)/\Gamma(K\pi)$	Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE (%)	EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
9.2$\pm$2.5$^{+1.0}_{-2.5}$	5.4k ¹ LEES 14E BABR $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta/\pi^0$

NODE=M019R01
 NODE=M019R01

- ¹ Using both $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$. From a Dalitz analysis in the presence of several interfering scalar-meson resonances.

NODE=M019R01;LINKAGE=LE

$\Gamma(K\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_3/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
seen	ABLIKIM 14J BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'(958)$

NODE=M019R00
 NODE=M019R00

$\Gamma(K\eta'(958))/\Gamma(K\pi)$	Γ_3/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
0.397$\pm$0.064$\pm$0.054	¹ LEES 21A BABR $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta' K^+ K^-$

NODE=M019R02
 NODE=M019R02

- ¹ Using $K\pi$ data from LEES 14E.

NODE=M019R02;LINKAGE=A

$K_0^*(1430)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M019

AAIJ	23AH PR D108 032010	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
LEES	21A PR D104 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PELAEZ	17 EPJ C77 91	J.R. Pelaez, A.Rodas, J.R. de Elvira	
ABLIKIM	14J PR D89 074030	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	14E PR D89 112004	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BUGG	10 PR D81 014002	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
LINK	09 PL B681 14	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
BONVICINI	08A PR D78 052001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LINK	07 PL B648 156	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
LINK	07B PL B653 1	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06C PL B633 681	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AITALA	06 PR D73 032004	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
Also	PR D74 059901 (errata.)	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
BUGG	06 PL B632 471	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
ZHOU	06 NP A775 212	Z.Y. Zhou, H.Q. Zheng	

REFID=62349
 REFID=61442
 REFID=57836
 REFID=55901
 REFID=55937
 REFID=53213
 REFID=53056
 REFID=52426
 REFID=51702
 REFID=51875
 REFID=51037
 REFID=51051
 REFID=51458
 REFID=50996
 REFID=51198

ABLIKIM	05Q	PR D72 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ZHENG	04	NP A733 235	H.Q. Zheng <i>et al.</i>	
BUGG	03	PL B572 1	D.V. Bugg	
LI	03	PR D67 034025	L. Li, B. Zou, G. Li	
AITALA	02	PRL 89 121801	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
JAMIN	00	NP B587 331	M. Jamin <i>et al.</i>	
BARBERIS	98E	PL B436 204	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)
ANISOVICH	97C	PL B413 137	A.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
TORNQVIST	96	PRL 76 1575	N.A. Tornqvist, M. Roos	(HELS)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
BAUBILLIER	84B	ZPHY C26 37	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
MARTIN	78	NP B134 392	A.D. Martin <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA)

REFID=50958
REFID=50165
REFID=49586
REFID=49192
REFID=48807
REFID=47983
REFID=46348
REFID=45815
REFID=44507
REFID=40262
REFID=22459
REFID=22443
REFID=22446

NODE=M022

$K_2^*(1430)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^+)$$

We consider that phase-shift analyses provide more reliable determinations of the mass and width.

NODE=M022

$K_2^*(1430)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

NODE=M022PP

NODE=M022PP

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1424 ± 4) - i (66 ± 2) OUR ESTIMATE			
(1424 ± 4) - i (66 ± 2)	¹ PELAEZ	17	RVUE $\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
¹ Reanalysis of ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88 satisfying Forward Dispersion Relations and using sequences of Pade approximants.			

NODE=M022PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M022PP;LINKAGE=A

$K_2^*(1430)$ MASS

NODE=M022205

CHARGED ONLY, WITH FINAL STATE $K\pi$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1427.3 ± 1.5 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.			
1432.7 ± 0.7 ^{+2.2} _{-2.3}	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ	BES	± $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1420 ± 4	1587	BAUBILLIER	84B	HBC	- $8.25 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
1436 ± 5.5	400	^{1,2} CLELAND	82	SPEC	+ $30 K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ p$
1430 ± 3.2	1500	^{1,2} CLELAND	82	SPEC	+ $50 K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ p$
1430 ± 3.2	1200	^{1,2} CLELAND	82	SPEC	- $50 K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- p$
1423 ± 5	935	TOAFF	81	HBC	- $6.5 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
1428.0 ± 4.6		³ MARTIN	78	SPEC	+ $10 K^\pm p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi p$
1423.8 ± 4.6		³ MARTIN	78	SPEC	- $10 K^\pm p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi p$
1420.0 ± 3.1	1400	AGUILAR...	71B	HBC	- $3.9, 4.6 K^- p \rightarrow K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ p$
1425 ± 8.0	225	^{1,2} BARNHAM	71C	HBC	+ $3.9 K^- N \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- N$
1416 ± 10	220	CRENNELL	69D	DBC	- $9 K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ p$
1414 ± 13.0	60	¹ LIND	69	HBC	+ $5.5 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K} \pi N$
1427 ± 12	63	¹ SCHWEING...	68	HBC	- $4.6-5.0 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
1423 ± 11.0	39	¹ BASSANO	67	HBC	-
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1428 ± 2	4300	⁴ ABLIKIM	22L	BES3	$2.0-3.08 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1423.4 ± 2 ± 3	24809 ± 820	⁵ BIRD	89	LASS	- $11 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ Errors enlarged by us to $\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

² Number of events in peak re-evaluated by us.

³ Systematic error added by us.

⁴ From a partial wave amplitude analysis at $\sqrt{s} = 2.125$ GeV which includes all the possible intermediate states that match J^{PC} conservation in the subsequent two-body decay. The intermediate states are parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner functions. Statistical error only.

⁵ From a partial wave amplitude analysis.


NODE=M022M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M022M;LINKAGE=W

NODE=M022M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M022M1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M022M;LINKAGE=F

**NEUTRAL ONLY**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1432.4± 1.3 OUR AVERAGE				
1431.2± 1.8± 0.7		¹ ASTON 88	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
1434 ± 4 ± 6		¹ ASTON 87	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1433 ± 6 ± 10		¹ ASTON 84B	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$
1471 ± 12		¹ BAUBILLIER 82B	HBC	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow NK_S^0 \pi \pi$
1428 ± 3		¹ ASTON 81C	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
1434 ± 2		¹ ESTABROOKS 78	ASPK	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow pK\pi$
1440 ± 10		¹ BOWLER 77	DBC	5.5 $K^+ d \rightarrow K\pi pp$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1428.5± 3.9	1786± 127	² AUBERT 07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^{*0} K^\pm \pi^\mp \gamma$
1420 ± 7	300	HENDRICK 76	DBC	8.25 $K^+ N \rightarrow K^+ \pi N$
1421.6± 4.2	800	MCCUBBIN 75	HBC	3.6 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
1420.1± 4.3		³ LINGLIN 73	HBC	2-13 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- X$
1419.1± 3.7	1800	AGUILAR-... 71B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
1416 ± 6	600	CORDS 71	DBC	9 $K^+ n \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p$
1421.1± 2.6	2200	DAVIS 69	HBC	12 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- X$

¹ From phase shift or partial-wave analysis.² Systematic errors not estimated.³ From pole extrapolation, using world $K^+ p$ data summary tape.NODE=M022M4
NODE=M022M4NODE=M022M;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M022M4;LINKAGE=NS
NODE=M022M;LINKAGE=C **$K_2^*(1430)$ WIDTH**

NODE=M022210

CHARGED ONLY, WITH FINAL STATE $K\pi$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
100.0± 2.2 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
100.0± 2.2 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
102.5± 1.6 ^{+3.1} _{-2.8}	183k	ABLIKIM 19AQ	BES	±	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
109 ± 22	400	^{1,2} CLELAND 82	SPEC	+	30 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ p$
124 ± 12.8	1500	^{1,2} CLELAND 82	SPEC	+	50 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ p$
113 ± 12.8	1200	^{1,2} CLELAND 82	SPEC	-	50 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- p$
85 ± 16	935	TOAFF 81	HBC	-	6.5 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
96.5± 3.8		MARTIN 78	SPEC	+	10 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi p$
97.7± 4.0		MARTIN 78	SPEC	-	10 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi p$
94.7 ^{+15.1} _{-12.5}	1400	AGUILAR-... 71B	HBC	-	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
107 ± 4	4300	³ ABLIKIM 22L	BES3		2.0-3.08 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
98 ± 4 ± 4	25k	⁴ BIRD 89	LASS	-	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$

NODE=M022W1
NODE=M022W1OCCUR=2
OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

- ¹ Errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.
² Number of events in peak re-evaluated by us.
³ From a partial wave amplitude analysis at $\sqrt{s} = 2.125$ GeV which includes all the possible intermediate states that match J^{PC} conservation in the subsequent two-body decay. The intermediate states are parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner functions. Statistical error only.
⁴ From a partial wave amplitude analysis.

NODE=M022W;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M022W;LINKAGE=W
 NODE=M022W1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M022W;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M022W4
 NODE=M022W4


NEUTRAL ONLY

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
109 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.		
116.5 ± 3.6 ± 1.7		¹ ASTON 88	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
129 ± 15 ± 15		¹ ASTON 87	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
131 ± 24 ± 20		¹ ASTON 84B	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$
143 ± 34		¹ BAUBILLIER 82B	HBC	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow N K_S^0 \pi \pi$
98 ± 8		¹ ASTON 81C	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
140 ± 30		¹ ETKIN 80	SPEC	6 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
98 ± 5		¹ ESTABROOKS 78	ASPK	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow p K \pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
113.7 ± 9.2	1786 ± 127	² AUBERT 07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^{*0} K^\pm \pi^\mp \gamma$
125 ± 29	300	³ HENDRICK 76	DBC	8.25 $K^+ N \rightarrow K^+ \pi N$
116 ± 18	800	MCCUBBIN 75	HBC	3.6 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
61 ± 14		⁴ LINGLIN 73	HBC	2-13 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- X$
116.6 ^{+10.3} _{-15.5}	1800	AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC 3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
144 ± 24.0	600	³ CORDS 71	DBC	9 $K^+ n \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p$
101 ± 10	2200	DAVIS 69	HBC	12 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ p$

- ¹ From phase shift or partial-wave analysis.
² Systematic errors not estimated.
³ Errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.
⁴ From pole extrapolation, using world $K^+ p$ data summary tape.

NODE=M022W;LINKAGE=P
 NODE=M022W4;LINKAGE=NS
 NODE=M022W4;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M022W;LINKAGE=C

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 109±5 (Error scaled by 1.9)



$K_2^*(1430)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1 $K \pi$	(49.9 ± 1.2) %	
Γ_2 $K^*(892) \pi$	(24.7 ± 1.5) %	
Γ_3 $K^*(892) \pi \pi$	(13.4 ± 2.2) %	
Γ_4 $K \rho$	(8.7 ± 0.8) %	S=1.2

NODE=M022215;NODE=M022

DESIG=1
 DESIG=2
 DESIG=6
 DESIG=3

Γ_5	$K\omega$	$(2.9 \pm 0.8) \%$	
Γ_6	$K^+\gamma$	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1
Γ_7	$K\eta$	$(1.5^{+3.4}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3
Γ_8	$K\omega\pi$	$< 7.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=95%
Γ_9	$K^0\gamma$	$< 9 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

DESIG=4

DESIG=8

DESIG=5

DESIG=7

DESIG=10;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, a partial width, and 10 branching ratios uses 32 measurements and one constraint to determine 8 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 21.1$ for 25 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	−9						
x_3	−40	−73					
x_4	−8	36	−52				
x_5	−11	−3	−26	−7			
x_6	−1	−1	−1	−1	0		
x_7	−4	−7	−5	−5	−2	0	
Γ	0	0	0	0	0	−11	0
	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7

	Mode	Rate (MeV)	Scale factor
Γ_1	$K\pi$	49.9 ± 1.6	
Γ_2	$K^*(892)\pi$	24.7 ± 1.6	
Γ_3	$K^*(892)\pi\pi$	13.5 ± 2.3	
Γ_4	$K\rho$	8.7 ± 0.8	1.2
Γ_5	$K\omega$	2.9 ± 0.8	
Γ_6	$K^+\gamma$	0.24 ± 0.05	1.1
Γ_7	$K\eta$	$0.15^{+0.34}_{-0.10}$	1.3

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=6

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

DESIG=8

DESIG=5

$K_2^*(1430)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M022220

$\Gamma(K^+\gamma)$						Γ_6
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT		
240 ± 50 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
240 ± 45	CIHANGIR	82	SPEC	+	$200 K^+ Z \rightarrow Z K^+ \pi^0,$ $Z K_S^0 \pi^+$	

NODE=M022W8
NODE=M022W8

$\Gamma(K^0\gamma)$						Γ_9
VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
< 5.4	90	ALAVI-HARATI02B	KTEV		$K + A \rightarrow K^* + A$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<84	90	CARLSMITH	87	SPEC	0	$60-200 K_L^0 A \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0 A$

NODE=M022W9
NODE=M022W9

$K_2^*(1430)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M022225

$\Gamma(K\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT		
0.499 ± 0.012 OUR FIT						
0.488 ± 0.014 OUR AVERAGE						
$0.485 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.020$	¹ ASTON	88	LASS	0	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$	
0.49 ± 0.02	¹ ESTABROOKS	78	ASPK	±	$13 K^\pm p \rightarrow p K \pi$	

¹ From phase shift analysis.

NODE=M022R1
NODE=M022R1

NODE=M022R;LINKAGE=P

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_1 NODE=M022R4
NODE=M022R4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.496±0.034 OUR FIT				
0.47 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE				
0.44 ±0.09	ASTON	84B	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$
0.62 ±0.19	LAUSCHER	75	HBC	0 10,16 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
0.54 ±0.16	DEHM	74	DBC	0 4.6 $K^+ N$
0.47 ±0.08	AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
0.47 ±0.10	BASSANO	67	HBC	-0 4.6,5.0 $K^- p$
0.45 ±0.13	BADIER	65c	HBC	- 3 $K^- p$

 $\Gamma(K\omega)/\Gamma(K\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_1 NODE=M022R5
NODE=M022R5

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.059±0.017 OUR FIT				
0.070±0.035 OUR AVERAGE				
0.05 ±0.04	AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
0.13 ±0.07	BASSOMPIE...	69	HBC	0 5 $K^+ p$


OCCUR=2

 $\Gamma(K\rho)/\Gamma(K\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_1 NODE=M022R6
NODE=M022R6

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.174±0.017 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
0.150^{+0.029}_{-0.017} OUR AVERAGE				
0.18 ±0.05	ASTON	84B	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$
0.02 ^{+0.10} _{-0.02}	DEHM	74	DBC	0 4.6 $K^+ N$
0.16 ±0.05	AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
0.14 ±0.10	BASSANO	67	HBC	-0 4.6,5.0 $K^- p$
0.14 ±0.07	BADIER	65c	HBC	- 3 $K^- p$

 $\Gamma(K\rho)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_2 NODE=M022R7
NODE=M022R7

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.350±0.031 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			
0.354±0.033 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.			
0.293±0.032±0.020	ASTON	87	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
0.38 ±0.09	BAUBILLIER	82B	HBC	0 8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow NK_S^0 \pi \pi$
0.39 ±0.03	DAUM	81C	CNTR	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
0.354±0.033 (Error scaled by 1.4) $\Gamma(K\omega)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_2 NODE=M022R8
NODE=M022R8

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.118±0.034 OUR FIT				
0.10 ±0.04	FIELD	67	HBC	- 3.8 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(K\eta)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

0.006^{+0.014}_{-0.004} OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

0.07 ± 0.04 FIELD 67 HBC - 3.8 $K^- p$

 Γ_7/Γ_2

NODE=M022R9
NODE=M022R9

 $\Gamma(K\eta)/\Gamma(K\pi)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

0.0030^{+0.0070}_{-0.0020} OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3.

0 ± 0.0056 ¹ ASTON 88B LASS - 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \eta p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.04 95 AGUILAR-... 71B HBC 3.9,4.6 $K^- p$

<0.065 ² BASSOMPIE... 69 HBC 5.0 $K^+ p$

<0.02 BISHOP 69 HBC 3.5 $K^+ p$

¹ ASTON 88B quote < 0.0092 at CL=95%. We convert this to a central value and 1 sigma error in order to be able to use it in our constrained fit.

² Restated by us.

NODE=M022R10
NODE=M022R10

NODE=M022R;LINKAGE=PQ

NODE=M022R;LINKAGE=R

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

0.134 ± 0.022 OUR FIT

0.12 ± 0.04 ¹ GOLDBERG 76 HBC - 3 $K^- p \rightarrow p \bar{K}^0 \pi \pi \pi$

¹ Assuming $\pi\pi$ system has isospin 1, which is supported by the data.

NODE=M022R11
NODE=M022R11

NODE=M022R;LINKAGE=T

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

0.27 ± 0.05 OUR FIT

0.21 ± 0.08 ^{1,2} JONGEJANS 78 HBC - 4 $K^- p \rightarrow p \bar{K}^0 \pi \pi \pi$

¹ Restated by us.

² Assuming $\pi\pi$ system has isospin 1, which is supported by the data.

NODE=M022R12
NODE=M022R12

NODE=M022R12;LINKAGE=R
NODE=M022R12;LINKAGE=T

 $\Gamma(K\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻³)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<0.72 95 0 JONGEJANS 78 HBC 4 $K^- p \rightarrow p \bar{K}^0 4\pi$

 Γ_8/Γ

NODE=M022R13
NODE=M022R13

 $K_2^*(1430)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	22L	JHEP 2207 045	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AQ	PR D100 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PELAEZ	17	EPJ C77 91	J.R. Pelaez, A.Rodas, J.R. de Elvira	
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ALAVI-HARATI	02B	PRL 89 072001	A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
BIRD	89	SLAC-332	P.F. Bird	(SLAC)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ASTON	88B	PL B201 169	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ASTON	87	NP B292 693	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
CARLSMITH	87	PR D36 3502	D. Carlsmith <i>et al.</i>	(EFI, SACL)
ASTON	84B	NP B247 261	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CARL, OTTA)
BAUBILLIER	84B	ZPHY C26 37	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
BAUBILLIER	82B	NP B202 21	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
CIHANGIR	82	PL 117B 123	S. Cihangir <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, MINN, ROCH)
CLELAND	82	NP B208 189	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
ASTON	81C	PL 106B 235	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CARL, OTTA) JP
DAUM	81C	NP B187 1	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
TOAFF	81	PR D23 1500	S. Toaff <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, KANS)
ETKIN	80	PR D22 42	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY) JP
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
Also		PR D17 658	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
JONGEJANS	78	NP B139 383	B. Jongejans <i>et al.</i>	(ZEEM, CERN, NIJM+)
MARTIN	78	NP B134 392	A.D. Martin <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA)
BOWLER	77	NP B126 31	M.G. Bowler <i>et al.</i>	(OXF)
GOLDBERG	76	LNC 17 253	J. Goldberg	(HAIF)
HENDRICK	76	NP B112 189	K. Hendrickx <i>et al.</i>	(MONS, SACL, PARIS+)
LAUSCHER	75	NP B86 189	P. Lauscher <i>et al.</i>	(ABCLV Collab.) JP
MCCUBBIN	75	NP B86 13	N.A. McCubbin, L. Lyons	(OXF)
DEHM	74	NP B75 47	G. Dehm <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, BRUX, MONS, CERN)
LINGLIN	73	NP B55 408	D. Linglin	(CERN)
AGUILAR-...	71B	PR D4 2583	M. Aguilar-Benitez, R.L. Eisner, J.B. Kinson	(BNL)
BARNHAM	71C	NP B28 171	K.W.J. Barnham <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, GLAS)
CORDS	71	PR D4 1974	D. Cords <i>et al.</i>	(PURD, UCD, IUPU)
BASSOMPIE...	69	NP B13 189	G. Bassompierre <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BRUX) JP
BISHOP	69	NP B9 403	J.M. Bishop <i>et al.</i>	(WISC)
CRENNELL	69D	PRL 22 487	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
DAVIS	69	PRL 23 1071	P.J. Davis <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
LIND	69	NP B14 1	V.G. Lind <i>et al.</i>	(LRL) JP
SCHWEING...	68	PR 166 1317	F. Schweingruber <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, NWES)
Also		Thesis	F.L. Schweingruber	(NWES, NWES)
BASSANO	67	PRL 19 968	D. Bassano <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA)
FIELD	67	PL 24B 638	J.H. Field <i>et al.</i>	(UCSD)
BADIER	65C	PL 19 612	J. Badier <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, SACL, AMST)

NODE=M022

REFID=61649
REFID=59909
REFID=57836
REFID=51908
REFID=48822
REFID=41002
REFID=40262
REFID=40281
REFID=40234
REFID=40557
REFID=22763
REFID=22459
REFID=22551
REFID=21280
REFID=22455
REFID=22821
REFID=22548
REFID=22454
REFID=22545
REFID=22443
REFID=22444
REFID=22445
REFID=22446
REFID=22437
REFID=22742
REFID=22743
REFID=22582
REFID=22434
REFID=22736
REFID=22428
REFID=22408
REFID=22409
REFID=22411
REFID=22710
REFID=22485
REFID=22399
REFID=22400
REFID=22404
REFID=22398
REFID=22709
REFID=22695
REFID=22701
REFID=22690

K(1460)

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^-)$

Observed in $K\pi\pi$ partial-wave analysis.

K(1460) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$1482.40 \pm 3.58 \pm 15.22$	894k	AAIJ	18AI	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K^\mp 2\pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
~ 1460	63	DAUM	81C	CNTR	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
~ 1400	13	¹ BRANDENB...	76B	ASPK	$K^\pm p \rightarrow K^+ 2\pi p$
¹ Coupled mainly to $K f_0(1370)$. Decay into $K^*(892)\pi$ seen.					

K(1460) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$335.60 \pm 6.20 \pm 8.65$	894k	AAIJ	18AI	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K^\mp 2\pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
~ 260	63	DAUM	81C	CNTR	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
~ 250	15	¹ BRANDENB...	76B	ASPK	$K^\pm p \rightarrow K^+ 2\pi p$
¹ Coupled mainly to $K f_0(1370)$. Decay into $K^*(892)\pi$ seen.					

K(1460) DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K^*(892)\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $K\rho$	seen
Γ_3 $K_0^*(1430)\pi$	seen
Γ_4 $K\phi$	seen

K(1460) PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$					Γ_1
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
~ 109	DAUM	81C	CNTR	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$	
$\Gamma(K\rho)$					Γ_2
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
~ 34	DAUM	81C	CNTR	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$	
$\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)\pi)$					Γ_3
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
~ 117	DAUM	81C	CNTR	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$	
$\Gamma(K\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_4/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E	LHCB $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$	
¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 12 σ .					

K(1460) REFERENCES

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	18AI	EPJ C78 443	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
DAUM	81C	NP B187 1	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
BRANDENB...	76B	PRL 36 1239	G.W. Brandenburg <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC) JP

NODE=M021

NODE=M021

NODE=M021M

NODE=M021M

NODE=M021M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M021W

NODE=M021W

NODE=M021W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M021215;NODE=M021

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4

NODE=M021220

NODE=M021W1
NODE=M021W1

NODE=M021W2
NODE=M021W2

NODE=M021W3
NODE=M021W3

NODE=M021R00
NODE=M021R00

NODE=M021R00;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M021

REFID=61150
REFID=59187
REFID=22548
REFID=22767

$K_2(1580)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^-)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Seen in partial-wave analysis of the $K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ system. Needs confirmation.

NODE=M039

NODE=M039

 $K_2(1580)$ MASS

NODE=M039M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
~ 1580	OTTER	79	— 10,14,16 $K^- p$

NODE=M039M

 $K_2(1580)$ WIDTH

NODE=M039W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
~ 110	OTTER	79	— 10,14,16 $K^- p$

NODE=M039W

 $K_2(1580)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M039215;NODE=M039

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K^*(892)\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $K_2^*(1430)\pi$	possibly seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

 $K_2(1580)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M039220

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
<i>VALUE</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>CHG</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>	
seen	OTTER	79	HBC	—	10,14,16 $K^- p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
possibly seen	GULER	11	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	

NODE=M039R1
NODE=M039R1

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_2/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		
possibly seen	OTTER	79	HBC	—	10,14,16 $K^- p$	

NODE=M039R2
NODE=M039R2 **$K_2(1580)$ REFERENCES**

NODE=M039

GULER	11	PR D83 032005	H. Guler <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
OTTER	79	NP B147 1	G. Otter <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, CERN, LOIC+) JP

REFID=53668
REFID=22772

K(1630)

I(J^P) = 1/2(?)^?

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Seen as a narrow peak, compatible with the experimental resolution, in the invariant mass of the K_S^0 pi^+ pi^- system produced in pi^- p interactions at high momentum transfers.

NODE=M160

NODE=M160

K(1630) MASS

NODE=M160M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1629±7	~ 75	KARNAUKHOV98	BC	16.0 pi^- p -> (K_S^0 pi^+ pi^-) X^+ pi^- X^0

NODE=M160M

K(1630) WIDTH

NODE=M160W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
16+19-16	~ 75	1 KARNAUKHOV98	BC	16.0 pi^- p -> (K_S^0 pi^+ pi^-) X^+ pi^- X^0

NODE=M160W

1 Compatible with an experimental resolution of 14 ± 1 MeV.

NODE=M160W;LINKAGE=A

K(1630) DECAY MODES

NODE=M160215;NODE=M160

Mode
Gamma_1 K_S^0 pi^+ pi^-

DESIG=1

K(1630) REFERENCES

NODE=M160

KARNAUKHOV 98 PAN 61 203 V.M. Karnaukhov, C. Coca, V.I. Moroz
Translated from YAF 61 252.

REFID=46371

NODE=M099

K_1(1650)

I(J^P) = 1/2(1^+)

This entry contains various peaks in strange meson systems (K^+ phi, K pi pi) reported in partial-wave analysis in the 1600-1900 mass region.

NODE=M099

K_1(1650) MASS

NODE=M099M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1650±50		FRAME	86	OMEG +	13 K^+ p -> phi K^+ p
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1861±10+16-46	24k	1 AAIJ	21E	LHCB	B^+ -> J/psi phi K^+
1911±37+124-48	24k	1 AAIJ	21E	LHCB	B^+ -> J/psi phi K^+
1793±59+153-101	4289	2,3 AAIJ	17C	LHCB	B^+ -> J/psi phi K^+
~ 1840		ARMSTRONG	83	OMEG -	18.5 K^- p -> 3K p
~ 1800		DAUM	81C	CNTR -	63 K^- p -> K^- 2pi p

NODE=M099M

OCCUR=2

1 One of two K_1 states reported by AAIJ 21E. From an amplitude analysis of the decay B^+ -> J/psi phi K^+ with a significance of 4.5 sigma.
2 From an amplitude analysis of the decay B^+ -> J/psi phi K^+ with a significance of 7.6 sigma.
3 Superseded by AAIJ 21E.

NODE=M099M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M099M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M099M;LINKAGE=B

K_1(1650) WIDTH

NODE=M099W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
150± 50		FRAME	86	OMEG +	13 K^+ p -> phi K^+ p

NODE=M099W

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$149 \pm 41^{+231}_{-23}$	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
$276 \pm 50^{+319}_{-159}$	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
$365 \pm 157^{+138}_{-215}$	4289	^{2,3} AAIJ	17C	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
~ 250	DAUM	81C	CNTR	—	$63 K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

¹One of two K_1 states reported by AAIJ 21E. From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 4.5σ .

²From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 7.6σ .

³Superseded by AAIJ 21E.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M099W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M099W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M099W;LINKAGE=B

$K_1(1650)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M099215;NODE=M099

Mode	
Γ_1	$K \pi \pi$
Γ_2	$K \phi$

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

$K_1(1650)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M099

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17C	PRL 118 022003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
Also		PR D95 012002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
FRAME	86	NP B276 667	D. Frame <i>et al.</i>	(GLAS)
ARMSTRONG	83	NP B221 1	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+)
DAUM	81C	NP B187 1	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)

REFID=61150
REFID=57657
REFID=57636
REFID=20569
REFID=22801
REFID=22548

NODE=M095

$K^*(1680)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^-)$$

$K^*(1680)$ MASS

NODE=M095M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1718±18 OUR AVERAGE					
$1722 \pm 20^{+33}_{-109}$	4289	¹ AAIJ	17C	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
$1677 \pm 10 \pm 32$		ASTON	88	LASS	0 $11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
$1735 \pm 10 \pm 20$		ASTON	87	LASS	0 $11 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1678 ± 64		BIRD	89	LASS	— $11 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
1800 ± 70		ETKIN	80	MPS	0 $6 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
~ 1650		ESTABROOKS	78	ASPK	0 $13 K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^\pm n$

¹From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 8.5σ .

NODE=M095M;LINKAGE=A

$K^*(1680)$ WIDTH

NODE=M095W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
320±110 OUR AVERAGE					
Error includes scale factor of 4.2.					
$354 \pm 75^{+140}_{-181}$	4289	² AAIJ	17C	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
$205 \pm 16 \pm 34$		ASTON	88	LASS	0 $11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
$423 \pm 18 \pm 30$		ASTON	87	LASS	0 $11 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
454 ± 270		BIRD	89	LASS	— $11 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
170 ± 30		ETKIN	80	MPS	0 $6 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
$250 \text{ to } 300$		ESTABROOKS	78	ASPK	0 $13 K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^\pm n$

²From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 8.5σ .

NODE=M095W;LINKAGE=A

$K^*(1680)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M095215;NODE=M095

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K\pi$	$(38.7 \pm 2.5) \%$
Γ_2 $K\rho$	$(31.4^{+5.0}_{-2.1}) \%$
Γ_3 $K^*(892)\pi$	$(29.9^{+2.2}_{-5.0}) \%$
Γ_4 $K\phi$	seen
Γ_5 $K\eta$	$(1.4^{+1.0}_{-0.8}) \%$

DESIG=1

DESIG=3

DESIG=2

DESIG=4

DESIG=6

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 4 branching ratios uses 4 measurements and one constraint to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 2.9$ for 2 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	-36	
x_3	-39	-72
	x_1	x_2

 $K^*(1680)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M095220

$\Gamma(K\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT		
0.387 ± 0.026 OUR FIT						
$0.388 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.022$	ASTON	88	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$	

NODE=M095R4
NODE=M095R4

$\Gamma(K\pi)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$						Γ_1/Γ_3
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT		
$1.30^{+0.23}_{-0.14}$ OUR FIT						
2.8 ± 1.1	ASTON	84	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$	

NODE=M095R2
NODE=M095R2

$\Gamma(K\rho)/\Gamma(K\pi)$						Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT		
$0.81^{+0.14}_{-0.09}$ OUR FIT						
1.2 ± 0.4	ASTON	84	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$	

NODE=M095R3
NODE=M095R3

$\Gamma(K\rho)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$						Γ_2/Γ_3
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT		
$1.05^{+0.27}_{-0.11}$ OUR FIT						
$0.97 \pm 0.09^{+0.30}_{-0.10}$	ASTON	87	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$	

NODE=M095R1
NODE=M095R1

$\Gamma(K\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_4/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
seen	24k	³ AAIJ	21E	LHCB $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
seen	4289	^{4,5} AAIJ	17C	LHCB $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$		

NODE=M095R00
NODE=M095R00

³ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 4.7σ .

⁴ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 8.5σ .

⁵ Superseded by AAIJ 21E.

NODE=M095R00;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M095R00;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M095R00;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(K\eta)/\Gamma(K\pi)$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.037 ± 0.007^{+0.024}_{-0.018}	116k	⁶ CHEN	20A BELL	$D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \eta$

⁶ CHEN 20A quotes the ratio $\Gamma(K^*(1680)^- \rightarrow K^- \eta)/\Gamma(K^*(1680)^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^0) = 0.11 \pm 0.02^{+0.06}_{-0.04} \pm 0.04(\text{BPDG})$ where the last uncertainty comes from $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20)\%$. We divide it by 3 taking into account that $\Gamma(K^*(1680)^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K^*(1680)^- \rightarrow (K\pi)^-) = 1/3$.

NODE=M095R02
NODE=M095R02

NODE=M095R02;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻²)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.44 ± 0.21 ^{+0.96} _{-0.73}	116k	⁷ CHEN	20A BELL	$D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \eta$

⁷ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \eta$ with a significance of 16 σ . Not independent of the CHEN 20A measurement of $\Gamma(K^*(1680) \rightarrow K\eta)/\Gamma(K^*(1680) \rightarrow K\pi)$.

NODE=M095R01
NODE=M095R01

NODE=M095R01;LINKAGE=A

 $K^*(1680)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
CHEN	20A	PR D102 012002	Y.Q. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(Belle Collab.)
AAIJ	17C	PRL 118 022003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
Also		PR D95 012002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
BIRD	89	SLAC-332	P.F. Bird	(SLAC)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ASTON	87	NP B292 693	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ASTON	84	PL 149B 258	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CARL, OTTA) JP
ETKIN	80	PR D22 42	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY) JP
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+) JP

NODE=M095

REFID=61150
REFID=60333
REFID=57657
REFID=57636
REFID=41002
REFID=40262
REFID=40234
REFID=22689
REFID=22545
REFID=22443

NODE=M023

$K_2(1770)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^-)$$

See our mini-review in the 2004 edition of this Review, PDG 04.

NODE=M023

 $K_2(1770)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

1773 ± 8 OUR AVERAGE

1777 ± 35 ⁺¹²² ₋₇₇	4289	¹ AAIJ	17C	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$

1773 ± 8		² ASTON	93	LASS	$11K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1743 ± 15		TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC	$40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
1810 ± 20		FRAME	86	OMEG +	$13 K^+ p \rightarrow \phi K^+ p$
~ 1730		ARMSTRONG	83	OMEG -	$18.5 K^- p \rightarrow 3K p$
~ 1780		³ DAUM	81c	CNTR -	$63 K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
1710 ± 15	60	CHUNG	74	HBC -	$7.3 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$
1767 ± 6		BLIEDEN	72	MMS -	$11-16 K^- p$
1730 ± 20	306	⁴ FIRESTONE	72B	DBC +	$12 K^+ d$
1765 ± 40		⁵ COLLEY	71	HBC +	$10 K^+ p \rightarrow K 2\pi N$
1740		DENEGRI	71	DBC -	$12.6 K^- d \rightarrow \bar{K} 2\pi d$
1745 ± 20		AGUILAR-...	70c	HBC -	$4.6 K^- p$
1780 ± 15		BARTSCH	70c	HBC -	$10.1 K^- p$
1760 ± 15		LUDLAM	70	HBC -	$12.6 K^- p$

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.0 σ .

² From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- \omega$ system.

³ From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- 2\pi$ system.

⁴ Produced in conjunction with excited deuteron.

⁵ Systematic errors added correspond to spread of different fits.

NODE=M023M;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M023M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M023M;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M023M;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M023M;LINKAGE=X

 $K_2(1770)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

186 ± 14 OUR AVERAGE

217 ± 116 ⁺²²¹ ₋₁₅₄	4289	⁶ AAIJ	17C	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$

186 ± 14		⁷ ASTON	93	LASS	$11K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$

NODE=M023W

NODE=M023W

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

147 ± 70		TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
140 ± 40		FRAME 86	OMEG +	13 $K^+ p \rightarrow \phi K^+ p$
~ 220		ARMSTRONG 83	OMEG -	18.5 $K^- p \rightarrow 3K p$
~ 210		⁸ DAUM 81C	CNTR -	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
110 ± 50	60	CHUNG 74	HBC -	7.3 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$
100 ± 26		BLIEDEN 72	MMS -	11-16 $K^- p$
210 ± 30	306	⁹ FIRESTONE 72B	DBC +	12 $K^+ d$
90 ± 70		¹⁰ COLLEY 71	HBC +	10 $K^+ p \rightarrow K 2\pi N$
130		DENEGRI 71	DBC -	12.6 $K^- d \rightarrow \bar{K} 2\pi d$
100 ± 50		AGUILAR-... 70C	HBC -	4.6 $K^- p$
138 ± 40		BARTSCH 70C	HBC -	10.1 $K^- p$
50 $^{+40}_{-20}$		LUDLAM 70	HBC -	12.6 $K^- p$

⁶ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.0 σ .

⁷ From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- \omega$ system.

⁸ From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- 2\pi$ system.

⁹ Produced in conjunction with excited deuteron.

¹⁰ Systematic errors added correspond to spread of different fits.

NODE=M023W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M023W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M023W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M023W;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M023W;LINKAGE=X

$K_2(1770)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M023215;NODE=M023

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K \pi \pi$	
Γ_2 $K_2^*(1430) \pi$	seen
Γ_3 $K^*(892) \pi$	seen
Γ_4 $K f_2(1270)$	seen
Γ_5 $K f_0(980)$	possibly seen
Γ_6 $K \phi$	seen
Γ_7 $K \omega$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=9;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=11;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=10

DESIG=8

$K_2(1770)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M023220

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$

Γ_2/Γ_1

($K_2^*(1430) \rightarrow K\pi$)

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 0.03	DAUM 81C	CNTR	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
~ 1.0	¹¹ FIRESTONE 72B	DBC +	12 $K^+ d$
<1.0	COLLEY 71	HBC	10 $K^+ p$
0.2 ± 0.2	AGUILAR-... 70C	HBC -	4.6 $K^- p$
<1.0	BARTSCH 70C	HBC -	10.1 $K^- p$
1.0	BARBARO-... 69	HBC +	12.0 $K^+ p$

¹¹ Produced in conjunction with excited deuteron.

NODE=M023R1

NODE=M023R1

NODE=M023R1

NODE=M023R1

NODE=M023R1;LINKAGE=P

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$

Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 0.23	DAUM 81C	CNTR	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

$\Gamma(K f_2(1270))/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$

Γ_4/Γ_1

($f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi$)

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 0.74	DAUM 81C	CNTR	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

$\Gamma(K f_0(980))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

possibly seen	TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$

NODE=M023R3

NODE=M023R3

NODE=M023R4

NODE=M023R4

NODE=M023R4

NODE=M023R6

NODE=M023R6

$\Gamma(K\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_6/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
seen	24k	¹² AAIJ	21E	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
seen		ARMSTRONG	83	OMEG	$18.5 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \phi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
seen	4289	^{13,14} AAIJ	17C	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
¹² From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 7.9 σ .					
¹³ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.0 σ .					
¹⁴ Superseded by AAIJ 21E.					

NODE=M023R5
NODE=M023R5

NODE=M023R5;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M023R5;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M023R5;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(K\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_7/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
seen	OTTER	81	HBC	$\pm$	8.25,10,16 $K^\pm p$
seen	CHUNG	74	HBC	$-$	7.3 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$

NODE=M023R2
NODE=M023R2

$K_2(1770)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17C	PRL 118 022003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
Also		PR D95 012002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
PDG	04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
TIKHOMIROV	03	PAN 66 828	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>	
Translated from YAF 66 860.				
ASTON	93	PL B308 186	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
FRAME	86	NP B276 667	D. Frame <i>et al.</i>	(GLAS)
ARMSTRONG	83	NP B221 1	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+)
DAUM	81C	NP B187 1	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
OTTER	81	NP B181 1	G. Otter	(AACH3, BERL, LOIC, VIEN, BIRM+)
CHUNG	74	PL 51B 413	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
BLIEDEN	72	PL 39B 668	H.R. Blieden <i>et al.</i>	(STON, NEAS)
FIRESTONE	72B	PR D5 505	A. Firestone <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
COLLEY	71	NP B26 71	D.C. Colley <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, GLAS)
DENEGRI	71	NP B28 13	D. Denegri <i>et al.</i>	(JHU) JP
AGUILAR...	70C	PRL 25 54	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
BARTSCH	70C	PL 33B 186	J. Bartsch <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN+)
LUDLAM	70	PR D2 1234	T. Ludlam, J. Sandweiss, A.J. Slaughter	(YALE)
BARBARO...	69	PRL 22 1207	A. Barbaro-Galtieri <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)

NODE=M023

REFID=61150
REFID=57657
REFID=57636
REFID=49653
REFID=49423

REFID=43597
REFID=20569
REFID=22801
REFID=22548
REFID=22549
REFID=22735
REFID=22788
REFID=22506
REFID=22785
REFID=22497
REFID=22782
REFID=22783
REFID=22784
REFID=22483

NODE=M060

$$K_3^*(1780)$$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(3^-)$$

$K_3^*(1780)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \text{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1754 $\pm$ 13)– i (119 $\pm$ 14) OUR EVALUATION			
(1754 $\pm$ 13)– i (119 $\pm$ 14)	¹ PELAEZ	17	RVUE $\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$

¹ Reanalysis of ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88 satisfying Forward Dispersion Relations and using sequences of Pade approximants.

NODE=M060PP

NODE=M060PP

NODE=M060PP

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M060PP;LINKAGE=A

$K_3^*(1780)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1779 $\pm$ 8 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
1813 $\pm$ 15 $^{+65}_{-16}$	18k	¹ ABLIKIM	20F	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
1781 $\pm$ 8 $\pm$ 4		² ASTON	88	LASS	0 $11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
1740 $\pm$ 14 $\pm$ 15		² ASTON	87	LASS	0 $11 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1779 $\pm$ 11		³ BALDI	76	SPEC	+ $10 K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ p$
1776 $\pm$ 26		⁴ BRANDENB...	76D	ASPK	0 $13 K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^\mp N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1720 $\pm$ 10 $\pm$ 15	6111	⁵ BIRD	89	LASS	$-$ $11 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
1749 $\pm$ 10		ASTON	88B	LASS	$-$ $11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \eta p$
1780 $\pm$ 9	300	BAUBILLIER	84B	HBC	$-$ $8.25 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
1790 $\pm$ 15		BAUBILLIER	82B	HBC	0 $8.25 K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 2\pi N$
1784 $\pm$ 9	2060	CLELAND	82	SPEC	$\pm$ $50 K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm p$
1786 $\pm$ 15		⁶ ASTON	81D	LASS	0 $11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
1762 $\pm$ 9	190	TOAFF	81	HBC	$-$ $6.5 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
1850 $\pm$ 50		ETKIN	80	MPS	0 $6 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
1812 $\pm$ 28		BEUSCH	78	OMEG	$10 K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1786 $\pm$ 8		CHUNG	78	MPS	0 $6 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

NODE=M060M

NODE=M060M

¹ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^\pm X \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta = (2.0 \pm 0.4^{+1.9}_{-0.4}) \times 10^{-6}$.

² From energy-independent partial-wave analysis.

³ From a fit to Y_6^2 moment. $J^P = 3^-$ found.

⁴ Confirmed by phase shift analysis of ESTABROOKS 78, yields $J^P = 3^-$.

⁵ From a partial wave amplitude analysis.

⁶ From a fit to the Y_6^0 moment.

NODE=M060M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M060M;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M060M;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M060M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M060M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M060M;LINKAGE=J

$K_3^*(1780)$ WIDTH

NODE=M060W

NODE=M060W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
161±17 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
191^{+43+3}_{-37-81}	1.8k	¹ ABLIKIM	20F	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
$203 \pm 30 \pm 8$		² ASTON	88	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
$171 \pm 42 \pm 20$		² ASTON	87	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
135 ± 22		³ BALDI	76	SPEC	+ 10 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$187 \pm 31 \pm 20$	6111	⁴ BIRD	89	LASS	– 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
193^{+51}_{-37}		ASTON	88B	LASS	– 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \eta p$
99 ± 30	300	BAUBILLIER	84B	HBC	– 8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
~ 130		BAUBILLIER	82B	HBC	0 8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 2\pi N$
191 ± 24	2060	CLELAND	82	SPEC	± 50 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm p$
225 ± 60		⁵ ASTON	81D	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 80	190	TOAFF	81	HBC	– 6.5 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
240 ± 50		ETKIN	80	MPS	0 6 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
181 ± 44		⁶ BEUSCH	78	OMEG	10 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
96 ± 31		CHUNG	78	MPS	0 6 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
270 ± 70		⁷ BRANDENB...	76D	ASPK	0 13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^\mp N$

¹ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^\pm X \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta = (2.0 \pm 0.4^{+1.9}_{-0.4}) \times 10^{-6}$.

² From energy-independent partial-wave analysis.

³ From a fit to Y_6^2 moment. $J^P = 3^-$ found.

⁴ From a partial wave amplitude analysis.

⁵ From a fit to Y_6^0 moment.

⁶ Errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

⁷ ESTABROOKS 78 find that BRANDENBURG 76D data are consistent with 175 MeV width. Not averaged.

NODE=M060W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M060W;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M060W;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M060W;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M060W;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M060W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M060W;LINKAGE=E

$K_3^*(1780)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M060215;NODE=M060

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $K\rho$	(31 ± 9) %	
Γ_2 $K^*(892)\pi$	(20 ± 5) %	
Γ_3 $K\pi$	(18.8 ± 1.0) %	
Γ_4 $K\eta$	(30 ± 13) %	
Γ_5 $K_2^*(1430)\pi$	< 16 %	95%

DESIG=3

DESIG=2

DESIG=1

DESIG=6

DESIG=4

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 3 branching ratios uses 4 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 0.0$ for 1 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	85		
x_3	18	21	
x_4	-98	-94	-27
	x_1	x_2	x_3

$K_3^*(1780)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M060220

$\Gamma(K\rho)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$

 Γ_1/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1.52±0.23 OUR FIT				
1.52±0.21±0.10	ASTON	87	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$

NODE=M060R5
NODE=M060R5

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi)$

 Γ_2/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1.09±0.26 OUR FIT				
1.09±0.26	ASTON	84B	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$

NODE=M060R7
NODE=M060R7

$\Gamma(K\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.188±0.010 OUR FIT				
0.188±0.010 OUR AVERAGE				
0.187±0.008±0.008	ASTON	88	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
0.19 ±0.02	ESTABROOKS	78	ASPK	0 13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K\pi N$

NODE=M060R4
NODE=M060R4

$\Gamma(K\eta)/\Gamma(K\pi)$

 Γ_4/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1.6 ±0.7 OUR FIT				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.41±0.050	¹ BIRD	89	LASS	— 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
0.50±0.18	ASTON	88B	LASS	— 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \eta p$

NODE=M060R8
NODE=M060R8

¹ This result supersedes ASTON 88B.

NODE=M060R8;LINKAGE=H

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\pi)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$

 Γ_5/Γ_2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.78	95	ASTON	87	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$

NODE=M060R6
NODE=M060R6

$K_3^*(1780)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M060

ABLIKIM	20F	PR D101 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PELAEZ	17	EPJ C77 91	J.R. Pelaez, A.Rodas, J.R. de Elvira	
BIRD	89	SLAC-332	P.F. Bird	(SLAC)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ASTON	88B	PL B201 169	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS) JP
ASTON	87	NP B292 693	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ASTON	84B	NP B247 261	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CARL, OTTA)
BAUBILLIER	84B	ZPHY C26 37	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
BAUBILLIER	82B	NP B202 21	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
CLELAND	82	NP B208 189	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
ASTON	81D	PL 99B 502	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CARL, OTTA) JP
TOAFF	81	PR D23 1500	S. Toaff <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, KANS)
ETKIN	80	PR D22 42	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY) JP
BEUSCH	78	PL 74B 282	W. Beusch <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, AACH3, ETH) JP
CHUNG	78	PRL 40 355	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+) JP
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+) JP
Also		PR D17 658	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
BALDI	76	PL 63B 344	R. Baldi <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA) JP
BRANDENB...	76D	PL 60B 478	G.W. Brandenburg <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC) JP

REFID=60256
REFID=57836
REFID=41002
REFID=40262
REFID=40281
REFID=40234
REFID=22763
REFID=22459
REFID=22551
REFID=22455
REFID=22820
REFID=22454
REFID=22545
REFID=22537
REFID=22814
REFID=22443
REFID=22444
REFID=22807
REFID=22808

$K_2(1820)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^-)$$

See our mini-review in the 2004 edition of this *Review* (PDG 04) under $K_2(1770)$.

NODE=M146

NODE=M146

NODE=M146M

NODE=M146M

NODE=M146M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M146M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M146M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M146W

NODE=M146W

NODE=M146W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M146W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M146W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M146215;NODE=M146

DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=6;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=7

NODE=M146220

NODE=M146R1

NODE=M146R1

NODE=M146R2

NODE=M146R2

NODE=M146R3

NODE=M146R3

 $K_2(1820)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1819±12 OUR AVERAGE				
$1853 \pm 27^{+18}_{-35}$	4289	¹ AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
1816 ± 13		² ASTON	93 LASS	$11K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 1840		³ DAUM	81C CNTR	$63K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 3.0 σ .				
² From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- \omega$ system.				
³ From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- 2\pi$ system.				

 $K_2(1820)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
264±34 OUR AVERAGE				
$167 \pm 58^{+82}_{-72}$	4289	¹ AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
276 ± 35		² ASTON	93 LASS	$11K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 230		³ DAUM	81C CNTR	$63K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 3.0 σ .				
² From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- \omega$ system.				
³ From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- 2\pi$ system.				

 $K_2(1820)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $K_2^*(1430)\pi$	seen
Γ_3 $K^*(892)\pi$	seen
Γ_4 $Kf_2(1270)$	seen
Γ_5 $K\omega$	seen
Γ_6 $K\phi$	seen

 $K_2(1820)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$				Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 0.77	DAUM	81C CNTR	$63K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K} 2\pi p$	
$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$				Γ_3/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 0.05	DAUM	81C CNTR	$63K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K} 2\pi p$	
$\Gamma(Kf_2(1270))/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$				Γ_4/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 0.18	DAUM	81C CNTR	$63K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K} 2\pi p$	

$\Gamma(K\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_6/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
seen	4289	^{2,3} AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$	
¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.8 σ .					
² From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 3.0 σ .					
³ Superseded by AAIJ 21E.					

NODE=M146R00
NODE=M146R00

NODE=M146R00;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M146R00;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M146R00;LINKAGE=C

$K_2(1820)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17C	PRL 118 022003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
Also		PR D95 012002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
PDG	04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ASTON	93	PL B308 186	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
DAUM	81C	NP B187 1	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)

NODE=M146

REFID=61150
REFID=57657
REFID=57636
REFID=49653
REFID=43597
REFID=22548

NODE=M088

$K(1830)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^-)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
Seen in partial-wave analysis of $K\phi$ system. Needs confirmation.

NODE=M088

$K(1830)$ MASS

NODE=M088M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$1874 \pm 43^{+59}_{-115}$	4289	^{1,2} AAIJ	17C LHCb		$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
~ 1830		ARMSTRONG 83	OMEG	-	$18.5 K^- p \rightarrow 3K p$
¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 3.5 σ .					
² A subsequent amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ by AAIJ 21E did not confirm this measurement.					

NODE=M088M

NODE=M088M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M088M;LINKAGE=B

$K(1830)$ WIDTH

NODE=M088W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$168 \pm 90^{+280}_{-104}$	4289	^{3,4} AAIJ	17C LHCb		$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
~ 250		ARMSTRONG 83	OMEG	-	$18.5 K^- p \rightarrow 3K p$
³ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 3.5 σ .					
⁴ A subsequent amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ by AAIJ 21E did not confirm this measurement.					

NODE=M088W

NODE=M088W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M088W;LINKAGE=B

$K(1830)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M088215;NODE=M088

Mode
$\Gamma_1 \quad K\phi$

DESIG=1


$K(1830)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M088

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17C	PRL 118 022003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
Also		PR D95 012002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	83	NP B221 1	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+) JP

REFID=61150
REFID=57657
REFID=57636
REFID=22801

NODE=M134



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^+)$$

Seen in partial-wave analysis of the $K^- \pi^+$ system. Needs confirmation.

NODE=M134

$K_0^*(1950)$ MASS

NODE=M134M

NODE=M134M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1957±14 OUR AVERAGE				
1980±14±19	¹ AAIJ	23AH	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$
1942±22±21	LEES	21A	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta' K^+ K^-$
1945±10±20	² ASTON	88	LASS 0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1917±12	³ ZHOU	06	RVUE	$K p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
1820±40	⁴ ANISOVICH	97C	RVUE	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
¹ From Dalitz plot analyses of $\eta_c(1S, 2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + c.c..$				
² We take the central value of the two solutions and the larger error given.				
³ S-matrix pole. Using ASTON 88 and assuming $K_0^*(700)$, $K_0^*(1430)$.				
⁴ T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.				

NODE=M134M;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M134M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M134M;LINKAGE=ZU
NODE=M134M;LINKAGE=A1


$K_0^*(1950)$ WIDTH

NODE=M134W

NODE=M134W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
170± 50 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.				
229± 26±16	¹ AAIJ	23AH	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$
80± 32±20	LEES	21A	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta' K^+ K^-$
201± 34±79	² ASTON	88	LASS 0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
145± 38	³ ZHOU	06	RVUE	$K p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
250±100	⁴ ANISOVICH	97C	RVUE	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
¹ From Dalitz plot analyses of $\eta_c(1S, 2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + c.c..$				
² We take the central value of the two solutions and the larger error given.				
³ S-matrix pole. Using ASTON 88 and assuming $K_0^*(700)$, $K_0^*(1430)$.				
⁴ T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.				

NODE=M134W;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M134W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M134W;LINKAGE=ZU
NODE=M134W;LINKAGE=A1



$K_0^*(1950)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M134215;NODE=M134

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad K^- \pi^+$	(52±14) %

DESIG=1

$K_0^*(1950)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M134220

$\Gamma(K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
0.52±0.08±0.12	¹ ASTON	88	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
~ 0.60	² ZHOU	06	RVUE		$K p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
¹ We take the central value of the two solutions and the larger error given.					
² S-matrix pole. Using ASTON 88 and assuming $K_0^*(700)$, $K_0^*(1430)$.					

NODE=M134R1
NODE=M134R1

NODE=M134R1;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M134R1;LINKAGE=ZU

$K_0^*(1950)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M134

AAIJ	23AH	PR D108 032010	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
LEES	21A	PR D104 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ZHOU	06	NP A775 212	Z.Y. Zhou, H.Q. Zheng	
ANISOVICH	97C	PL B413 137	A.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)

REFID=62349
REFID=61442
REFID=51198
REFID=45815
REFID=40262

NODE=M104

$K_2^*(1980)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^+)$$

$K_2^*(1980)$ MASS


NODE=M104M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1990⁺⁶⁰₋₅₀	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.8. See the ideogram below.			
2046 ⁺¹⁷⁺⁶⁷ ₋₁₆₋₁₅	1.8k	¹ ABLIKIM	20F	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
1868± 8 ⁺⁴⁰ ₋₅₇	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQBES	±	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1973± 8± 25		ASTON	87	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2073±94 ⁺²⁴⁵ ₋₂₄₀	4289	^{2,3} AAIJ	17C	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
2020±20		TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC	40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
1978±40	241	BIRD	89	LASS	- 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
¹ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^\pm X \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta = (7.0 \pm 0.5^{+3.7}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-6}$.					
² From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.4 σ .					
³ A reanalysis by AAIJ 21E using a larger data sample did not confirm this measurement, the new result having a significance of only 1.6 σ .					

NODE=M104M

NODE=M104M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M104M;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M104M;LINKAGE=E



$K_2^*(1980)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
348^{+50}_{-30}	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.			
408^{+38}_{-34}	72 ± 44	1.8k	¹ ABLIKIM	20F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
272 ± 24	50 ± 15	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQBES	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
373 ± 33	60		ASTON	87 LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
678 ± 311	1153 ± 559	4289	^{2,3} AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
180 ± 70			TIKHOMIROV	03 SPEC	$40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
398 ± 47	241		BIRD	89 LASS	- 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$

¹ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^\pm X \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta = (7.0 \pm 0.5^{+3.7}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-6}$.

² From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.4σ .

³ A reanalysis by AAIJ 21E using a larger data sample did not confirm this measurement, the new result having a significance of only 1.6σ .


NODE=M104W

NODE=M104W

NODE=M104W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M104W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M104W;LINKAGE=E



$K_2^*(1980)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M104215;NODE=M104

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K^*(892)\pi$	possibly seen
Γ_2 $K\rho$	possibly seen
Γ_3 $K f_2(1270)$	possibly seen
Γ_4 $K\phi$	seen
Γ_5 $K\eta$	seen

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

$K_2^*(1980)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M104220

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

NODE=M104R01
NODE=M104R01

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
possibly seen	GULER	11	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

$\Gamma(K\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

NODE=M104R02
NODE=M104R02

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
possibly seen	GULER	11	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

$\Gamma(K\rho)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

NODE=M104R1
NODE=M104R1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
$1.49 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.09$	ASTON	87	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$

$\Gamma(K f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

NODE=M104R3
NODE=M104R3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
possibly seen	TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	$40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$

$\Gamma(K\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

NODE=M104R00
NODE=M104R00

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	4289	1,2 AAIJ	17C LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.4σ .

NODE=M104R00;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M104R00;LINKAGE=C

² A reanalysis by AAIJ 21E using a larger data sample did not confirm this measurement, the new result having a significance of only 1.6σ .

$\Gamma(K\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

NODE=M104R03
NODE=M104R03

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	1.8k	¹ ABLIKIM	20F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
seen	116k	² CHEN	20A BELL	$D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \eta$

¹ Seen decaying to $K\eta$ in an amplitude analysis of $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$.

NODE=M104R03;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M104R03;LINKAGE=B

² From an amplitude analysis of the decay $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \eta$ with a significance of 17σ .

$K_2^*(1980)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20F	PR D101 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
CHEN	20A	PR D102 012002	Y.Q. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AQ	PR D100 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	17C	PRL 118 022003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
Also		PR D95 012002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
GULER	11	PR D83 032005	H. Guler <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
TIKHOMIROV	03	PAN 66 828	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 66 860.		
BIRD	89	SLAC-332	P.F. Bird	(SLAC)
ASTON	87	NP B292 693	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)

NODE=M104

REFID=61150
REFID=60256
REFID=60333
REFID=59909
REFID=57657
REFID=57636
REFID=53668
REFID=49423

REFID=41002
REFID=40234

NODE=M035

 $K_4^*(2045)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(4^+)$$

 $K_4^*(2045)$ MASS

NODE=M035M

NODE=M035M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
2048^{+8}_{-9} OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
$2090 \pm 9^{+11}_{-29}$	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ BES	$\pm$	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
$2062 \pm 14 \pm 13$		¹ ASTON	86 LASS	0	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
2039 ± 10	400	^{2,3} CLELAND	82 SPEC	$\pm$	$50 K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm p$
2070^{+100}_{-40}		⁴ ASTON	81C LASS	0	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2079 ± 7	431	TORRES	86 MPSF	400	$pA \rightarrow 4KX$
2088 ± 20	650	BAUBILLIER	82 HBC	—	$8.25 K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- p$
2115 ± 46	488	CARMONY	77 HBC	0	$9 K^+ d \rightarrow K^+ \pi's X$
¹ From a fit to all moments. ² From a fit to 8 moments. ³ Number of events evaluated by us. ⁴ From energy-independent partial-wave analysis.					

NODE=M035M;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M035M;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M035M;LINKAGE=W
NODE=M035M;LINKAGE=D

 $K_4^*(2045)$ WIDTH

NODE=M035W

NODE=M035W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
199^{+27}_{-19} OUR AVERAGE					
$201 \pm 19^{+57}_{-17}$	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ BES	$\pm$	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
$221 \pm 48 \pm 27$		⁵ ASTON	86 LASS	0	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
189 ± 35	400	^{6,7} CLELAND	82 SPEC	$\pm$	$50 K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
61 ± 58	431	TORRES	86 MPSF	400	$pA \rightarrow 4KX$
170^{+100}_{-50}	650	BAUBILLIER	82 HBC	—	$8.25 K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- p$
240^{+500}_{-100}		⁸ ASTON	81C LASS	0	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
300 ± 200		CARMONY	77 HBC	0	$9 K^+ d \rightarrow K^+ \pi's X$
⁵ From a fit to all moments. ⁶ From a fit to 8 moments. ⁷ Number of events evaluated by us. ⁸ From energy-independent partial-wave analysis.					

NODE=M035W;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M035W;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M035W;LINKAGE=W
NODE=M035W;LINKAGE=D

 $K_4^*(2045)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M035215;NODE=M035

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 K \pi$	$(9.9 \pm 1.2) \%$
$\Gamma_2 K^*(892) \pi \pi$	$(9 \pm 5) \%$
$\Gamma_3 K^*(892) \pi \pi \pi$	$(7 \pm 5) \%$
$\Gamma_4 \rho K \pi$	$(5.7 \pm 3.2) \%$
$\Gamma_5 \omega K \pi$	$(5.0 \pm 3.0) \%$
$\Gamma_6 \phi K \pi$	$(2.8 \pm 1.4) \%$
$\Gamma_7 \phi K^*(892)$	$(1.4 \pm 0.7) \%$

DESIG=1
DESIG=2
DESIG=5
DESIG=3
DESIG=4
DESIG=6
DESIG=7

$K_4^*(2045)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M035220

$\Gamma(K\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
0.099 ± 0.012	ASTON	88	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

NODE=M035R1
NODE=M035R1

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi)$					Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
0.89 ± 0.53	BAUBILLIER	82	HBC	—	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow p K_S^0 3\pi$

NODE=M035R2
NODE=M035R2

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi)$					Γ_3/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
0.75 ± 0.49	BAUBILLIER	82	HBC	—	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow p K_S^0 3\pi$

NODE=M035R5
NODE=M035R5

$\Gamma(\rho K\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi)$					Γ_4/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
0.58 ± 0.32	BAUBILLIER	82	HBC	—	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow p K_S^0 3\pi$

NODE=M035R3
NODE=M035R3

$\Gamma(\omega K\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi)$					Γ_5/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
0.50 ± 0.30	BAUBILLIER	82	HBC	—	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow p K_S^0 3\pi$

NODE=M035R4
NODE=M035R4

$\Gamma(\phi K\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_6/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.028 ± 0.014	⁹ TORRES	86	MPSF	400 $pA \rightarrow 4KX$	

NODE=M035R6
NODE=M035R6

$\Gamma(\phi K^*(892))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_7/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.014 ± 0.007	⁹ TORRES	86	MPSF	400 $pA \rightarrow 4KX$	

NODE=M035R7
NODE=M035R7⁹ Error determination is model dependent.

NODE=M035R;LINKAGE=A

 $K_4^*(2045)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M035

ABLIKIM	19AQ	PR D100 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ASTON	86	PL B180 308	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
TORRES	86	PR D34 707	S. Torres <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, ARIZ, FNAL, FSU+)
BAUBILLIER	82	PL 118B 447	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
CLELAND	82	NP B208 189	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
ASTON	81C	PL 106B 235	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CARL, OTTA) JP
CARMONY	77	PR D16 1251	D.D. Carmony <i>et al.</i>	(PURD, UCD, IUPU)

REFID=59909
REFID=40262
REFID=22462
REFID=22845
REFID=22842
REFID=22455
REFID=22821
REFID=22811

NODE=M040

$$K_2(2250)$$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^-)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

This entry contains various peaks in strange meson systems reported in the 2150–2260 MeV region, as well as enhancements seen in the antihyperon-nucleon system, either in the mass spectra or in the $J^P = 2^-$ wave.

***K*₂(2250) MASS**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2247±17 OUR AVERAGE						
2200±40		¹ ARMSTRONG	83C	OMEG	—	18 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} X$
2235±50		¹ BAUBILLIER	81	HBC	—	8 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} X$
2260±20		¹ CLELAND	81	SPEC	±	50 $K^+ p \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} X$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●						
2280±20		TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC		40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow$ $K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
2147± 4	37	CHLIAPNIK...	79	HBC	+	32 $K^+ p \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p X$
2240±20	20	LISSAUER	70	HBC		9 $K^+ p$
¹ $J^P = 2^-$ from moments analysis.						

$K_2(2250)$ WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
180±30 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			
150±30		² ARMSTRONG	83C	OMEG -	18 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} X$
210±30		² CLELAND	81	SPEC ±	50 $K^+ p \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} X$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
180±60		TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC	40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
~ 200		² BAUBILLIER	81	HBC -	8 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} X$
~ 40	37	CHLIAPNIK...	79	HBC +	32 $K^+ p \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p X$
80±20	20	LISSAUER	70	HBC	9 $K^+ p$
² $J^P = 2^-$ from moments analysis.					

$K_2(2250)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode
Γ_1	$K \pi \pi$
Γ_2	$K f_2(1270)$
Γ_3	$K^*(892) f_0(980)$
Γ_4	$p \bar{\Lambda}$

$K_2(2250)$ REFERENCES

TIKHOMIROV	03	PAN 66 828	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 66 860.		
ARMSTRONG	83C	NP B227 365	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+)
BAUBILLIER	81	NP B183 1	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+) JP
CLELAND	81	NP B184 1	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(PITT, GEVA, LAUS+) JP
CHLIAPNIK...	79	NP B158 253	P.V. Chliapnikov <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BELG, MONS)
LISSAUER	70	NP B18 491	D. Lissauer <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)

$K_3(2320)$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(3^+)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
Seen in the $J^P = 3^+$ wave of the antihyperon-nucleon system.
Needs confirmation.

$K_3(2320)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
2324±24 OUR AVERAGE				
2330±40	¹ ARMSTRONG 83C	OMEG	−	18 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} X$
2320±30	¹ CLELAND 81	SPEC	±	50 $K^+ p \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} X$
¹ $J^P = 3^+$ from moments analysis.				

$K_3(2320)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
150±30				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 250	² CLELAND 81	SPEC	±	50 $K^+ p \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} X$
² $J^P = 3^+$ from moments analysis.				

$K_3(2320)$ DECAY MODES

Mode
$\Gamma_1 \quad p \bar{\Lambda}$

$K_3(2320)$ REFERENCES

ARMSTRONG 83C NP B227 365	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+)
CLELAND 81 NP B184 1	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(PITT, GEVA, LAUS+)

$K_5^*(2380)$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(5^-)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
Needs confirmation.

$K_5^*(2380)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
2382±14±19				
¹ ASTON 86 LASS 0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$				
¹ From a fit to all the moments.				

$K_5^*(2380)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
178±37±32				
² ASTON 86 LASS 0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$				
² From a fit to all the moments.				

$K_5^*(2380)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad K \pi$	(6.1±1.2) %

$K_5^*(2380)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
0.061±0.012	ASTON	88	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

NODE=M090

NODE=M090

NODE=M090M

NODE=M090M

NODE=M090M;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M090W

NODE=M090W

NODE=M090W;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M090215;NODE=M090

DESIG=1

NODE=M090

REFID=22852
REFID=22851

NODE=M098

NODE=M098

NODE=M098M

NODE=M098M

NODE=M098M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M098W

NODE=M098W

NODE=M098W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M098215;NODE=M098

DESIG=1

NODE=M098220

NODE=M098R1
NODE=M098R1

$K_5^*(2380)$ REFERENCES

ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ASTON	86	PL B180 308	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)

NODE=M098

REFID=40262
REFID=22462

NODE=M091

$K_4(2500)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(4^-)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
Needs confirmation.

NODE=M091

$K_4(2500)$ MASS

NODE=M091M

NODE=M091M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
2490 ± 20	¹ CLELAND	81	SPEC $\pm$	$50 K^+ p \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p}$
¹ $J^P = 4^-$ from moments analysis.				

NODE=M091M;LINKAGE=R

$K_4(2500)$ WIDTH

NODE=M091W

NODE=M091W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 250	² CLELAND	81	SPEC $\pm$	$50 K^+ p \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p}$
² $J^P = 4^-$ from moments analysis.				

NODE=M091W;LINKAGE=R

$K_4(2500)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M091215;NODE=M091

Mode
$\Gamma_1 \quad p \bar{\Lambda}$

DESIG=1

$K_4(2500)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M091

REFID=22851

NODE=M129

CLELAND	81	NP B184 1	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(PITT, GEVA, LAUS+)

$K(3100)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
also known as $K_J^?(3100)$

NODE=M129

Narrow peak observed in several ($\Lambda \bar{p}$ + pions) and ($\bar{\Lambda} p$ + pions) states in Σ^- Be reactions by BOURQUIN 86 and in np and nA reactions by ALEEV 93. Not seen by BOEHNLEIN 91. If due to strong decays, this state has exotic quantum numbers ($B=0, Q=+1, S=-1$ for $\Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^+$ and $I \geq 3/2$ for $\Lambda \bar{p} \pi^-$). Needs confirmation.

$K(3100)$ MASS

NODE=M129205

NODE=M129M
→ UNCHECKED ←

VALUE (MeV)
≈ 3100 OUR ESTIMATE

3-BODY DECAYS

NODE=M129M1
NODE=M129M1

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3054 ± 11 OUR AVERAGE			
$3060 \pm 7 \pm 20$	¹ ALEEV	93	BIS2 $K(3100) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+$
$3056 \pm 7 \pm 20$	¹ ALEEV	93	BIS2 $K(3100) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p \pi^-$
$3055 \pm 8 \pm 20$	¹ ALEEV	93	BIS2 $K(3100) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^-$
$3045 \pm 8 \pm 20$	¹ ALEEV	93	BIS2 $K(3100) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p \pi^+$

OCCUR=2
OCCUR=3
OCCUR=4

4-BODY DECAYS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3059±11 OUR AVERAGE			
3067± 6±20	¹ ALEEV 93	BIS2	$K(3100) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^+$
3060± 8±20	¹ ALEEV 93	BIS2	$K(3100) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^-$
3055± 7±20	¹ ALEEV 93	BIS2	$K(3100) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p \pi^- \pi^-$
3052± 8±20	¹ ALEEV 93	BIS2	$K(3100) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p \pi^- \pi^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
3105±30	BOURQUIN 86	SPEC	$K(3100) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^+$
3115±30	BOURQUIN 86	SPEC	$K(3100) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M129M2
NODE=M129M2

OCCUR=2
OCCUR=3
OCCUR=4

OCCUR=2

5-BODY DECAYS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
3095±30	BOURQUIN 86	SPEC	$K(3100) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
¹ Supersedes ALEEV 90.			

NODE=M129M3
NODE=M129M3

NODE=M129M;LINKAGE=A

K(3100) WIDTH

NODE=M129210

3-BODY DECAYS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
42±16	² ALEEV 93	BIS2	$K(3100) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+$
36±15	² ALEEV 93	BIS2	$K(3100) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p \pi^-$
50±18	² ALEEV 93	BIS2	$K(3100) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^-$
30±15	² ALEEV 93	BIS2	$K(3100) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p \pi^+$

NODE=M129W1
NODE=M129W1

OCCUR=2
OCCUR=3
OCCUR=4

4-BODY DECAYS

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
22± 8		² ALEEV 93	BIS2	$K(3100) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^+$
28±12		² ALEEV 93	BIS2	$K(3100) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^-$
32±15		² ALEEV 93	BIS2	$K(3100) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p \pi^- \pi^-$
30±15		² ALEEV 93	BIS2	$K(3100) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p \pi^- \pi^+$
<30	90	BOURQUIN 86	SPEC	$K(3100) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^+$
<80	90	BOURQUIN 86	SPEC	$K(3100) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M129W2
NODE=M129W2

OCCUR=2
OCCUR=3
OCCUR=4

OCCUR=2

5-BODY DECAYS

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<30	90	BOURQUIN 86	SPEC	$K(3100) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
² Supersedes ALEEV 90.				

NODE=M129W3
NODE=M129W3

NODE=M129W;LINKAGE=A

K(3100) DECAY MODES

NODE=M129215;NODE=M129

Mode
$\Gamma_1 \quad K(3100)^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+$
$\Gamma_2 \quad K(3100)^{--} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^-$
$\Gamma_3 \quad K(3100)^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^-$
$\Gamma_4 \quad K(3100)^+ \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^+$
$\Gamma_5 \quad K(3100)^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
$\Gamma_6 \quad K(3100)^0 \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{p}$

DESIG=1
DESIG=2
DESIG=3
DESIG=4
DESIG=5
DESIG=6

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{p})/\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+)$ **Γ_6/Γ_1**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.04	90	ALEEV 93	BIS2	$K(3100)^0 \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{p}$

NODE=M129R1
NODE=M129R1

K(3100) REFERENCES

NODE=M129

ALEEV 93	PAN 56 1358	A.N. Aleev <i>et al.</i>	(BIS-2 Collab.)
	Translated from YAF 56 100.		
BOEHNLEIN 91	NPBPS B21 174	A. Boehnlein <i>et al.</i>	(FLOR, BNL, IND+)
ALEEV 90	ZPHY C47 533	A.N. Aleev <i>et al.</i>	(BIS-2 Collab.)
BOURQUIN 86	PL B172 113	M.H. Bourquin <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, RAL, HEIDP+)

REFID=43668
REFID=41743
REFID=42173
REFID=22928

CHARMED MESONS

(C = ±1)

$D^+ = c\bar{d}$, $D^0 = c\bar{u}$, $\bar{D}^0 = \bar{c}u$, $D^- = \bar{c}d$, similarly for D^{*} 's

NODE=MXXX035

NODE=MXXX035

NODE=M061

NODE=M061

NODE=M061M

NODE=M061M

NODE=M061M
NEW

NODE=M061M;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M061DM

NODE=M061DM

NODE=M061DM

NODE=M061DM;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M061DM;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M061W

NODE=M061W

NODE=M061W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M061220;NODE=M061

NODE=M061

$D^{*}(2007)^0$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^-)$

$J^P = 1^-$ established by ABLIKIM 23AZ.

$D^{*}(2007)^0$ MASS

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0 , $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^{*}(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2006.86±0.05 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [2006.85 ± 0.05 MeV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2006 ±1.5	¹ GOLDHABER 77	MRK1	e ⁺ e ⁻
¹ From simultaneous fit to D ⁺ (2010) ⁺ , D ⁰ (2007) ⁰ , D ⁺ , and D ⁰ .			

$m_{D^{*}(2007)^0} - m_{D^0}$

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0 , $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^{*}(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
142.014±0.030 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			
142.016±0.030 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			
142.007 ±0.015 ±0.014	10k	¹ TOMARADZE 15	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
142.2 ±0.3 ±0.2	145	ALBRECHT 95F	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
142.12 ±0.05 ±0.05	1176	BORTOLETTO92B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
142.2 ±2.0		SADROZINSKI 80	CBAL	$D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0\pi^0$
142.7 ±1.7		² GOLDHABER 77	MRK1	e^+e^-
¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. This value comes from the average of the results for two decay modes, $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$.				
² From simultaneous fit to $D^{*}(2010)^+$, $D^{*}(2007)^0$, D^+ , and D^0 .				

$D^{*}(2007)^0$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.1	90	¹ ABACHI 88B	HRS	$D^{*0} \rightarrow D^+ \pi^-$
¹ Assuming $m_{D^{*0}} = 2007.2 \pm 2.1 \text{ MeV}/c^2$.				

$D^{*}(2007)^0$ DECAY MODES

$\bar{D}^{*}(2007)^0$ modes are charge conjugates of modes below.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $D^0\pi^0$	(64.7 ±0.9) %	DESIG=1
Γ_2 $D^0\gamma$	(35.3 ±0.9) %	DESIG=2
Γ_3 $D^0e^+e^-$	(3.91±0.33) × 10 ⁻³	DESIG=3
Γ_4 $\mu^+\mu^-$	< 2.5 × 10 ⁻⁸	90% DESIG=4
Γ_5 e^+e^-	< 1.7 × 10 ⁻⁶	90% DESIG=5

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 2 branching ratios uses 5 measurements and one constraint to determine 2 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 2.5$ for 4 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$$x_2 \begin{vmatrix} & -100 \\ x_1 & \end{vmatrix}$$

$D^*(2007)^0$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M061225

$\Gamma(D^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.647±0.009 OUR FIT					

NODE=M061R2
NODE=M061R2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.655±0.008±0.005	3.2k	¹ ABLIKIM	15B	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.635±0.003±0.017	69k	¹ AUBERT,BE	05G	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.596±0.035±0.028	858	² ALBRECHT	95F	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.636±0.023±0.033	1097	² BUTLER	92	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Derived from the ratio $\Gamma(D^0\pi^0) / \Gamma(D^0\gamma)$ assuming that the branching fractions of $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0\pi^0$ and $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0\gamma$ decays sum to 100%.

NODE=M061R2;LINKAGE=AU

² The BUTLER 92 and ALBRECHT 95F branching ratios are not independent, they have been constrained by the authors to sum to 100%.

NODE=M061R2;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(D^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.353±0.009 OUR FIT					

NODE=M061R1
NODE=M061R1

0.381±0.029 OUR AVERAGE

0.404±0.035±0.028	456	¹ ALBRECHT	95F	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.364±0.023±0.033	621	¹ BUTLER	92	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.37 ±0.08 ±0.08		ADLER	88D	MRK3	e^+e^-

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.345±0.008±0.005	1.8k	² ABLIKIM	15B	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.365±0.003±0.017	68k	² AUBERT,BE	05G	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.47 ±0.23		LOW	87	HRS	29 GeV e^+e^-
0.53 ±0.13		BARTEL	85G	JADE	e^+e^- , hadrons
0.47 ±0.12		COLES	82	MRK2	e^+e^-
0.45 ±0.15		GOLDHABER	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

¹ The BUTLER 92 and ALBRECHT 95F branching ratios are not independent, they have been constrained by the authors to sum to 100%.

NODE=M061R;LINKAGE=A

² Derived from the ratio $\Gamma(D^0\pi^0) / \Gamma(D^0\gamma)$ assuming that the branching fractions of $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0\pi^0$ and $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0\gamma$ decays sum to 100%.

NODE=M061R;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(D^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(D^0\gamma)$					Γ_1/Γ_2
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1.83 ±0.07 OUR FIT					

NODE=M061R3
NODE=M061R3

1.85 ±0.07 OUR AVERAGE

1.90 ±0.07 ±0.05	4.9k	ABLIKIM	15B	BES3	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1.74 ±0.02 ±0.13		AUBERT,BE	05G	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.789±0.082		¹ AAIJ	22N	LHCB	$B^0, B_s^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0}(K\pi, \pi\pi)$

¹ Statistical error only.

NODE=M061R3;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(D^0e^+e^-)/\Gamma(D^0\gamma)$					Γ_3/Γ_2
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
11.08±0.76±0.49	421	ABLIKIM	21BD	BES3	4.178 GeV e^+e^-

NODE=M061R00
NODE=M061R00

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.5 \times 10^{-8}$	90	¹ AAIJ	23D LHCb	$B^- \rightarrow \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$
¹ AAIJ 23D reports $< 2.6 \times 10^{-8}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+)]$ assuming $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+) = (4.90 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+) = 5.17 \times 10^{-3}$. The reported value also assumes $B(B^- \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) K^-) = (10.20 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4}$ and $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (59.61 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-3}$ for the normalization mode $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) K^-$.				

NODE=M061R01
NODE=M061R01

NODE=M061R01;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	SHEMYAKIN	20 CMD3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0, D^0 \gamma$

NODE=M061R02
NODE=M061R02

$D^*(2007)^0$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	23D	EPJ C83 666	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23AZ	PL B846 138245	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.) JP
AAIJ	22N	PR D105 072005	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21BD	PR D104 112012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
SHEMYAKIN	20	PAN 83 954	D.N. Shemyakin	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15B	PR D91 031101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
TOMARADZE	15	PR D91 011102	A. Tomaradze <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)
AUBERT,BE	05G	PR D72 091101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ALBRECHT	95F	ZPHY C66 63	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	92B	PRL 69 2046	D. Bortoletto <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUTLER	92	PRL 69 2041	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABACHI	88B	PL B212 533	S. Abachi <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, IND, MICH, PURD+)
ADLER	88D	PL B208 152	J. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
LOW	87	PL B183 232	E.H. Low <i>et al.</i>	(HRS Collab.)
BARTEL	85G	PL 161B 197	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
COLES	82	PR D26 2190	M.W. Coles <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
SADROZINSKI	80	Madison Conf. 681	H.F.W. Sadrozinski <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN, CIT+)
GOLDHABER	77	PL 69B 503	G. Goldhaber <i>et al.</i>	(Mark I Collab.)

NODE=M061

REFID=62095
REFID=62420
REFID=61737
REFID=61535
REFID=62315
REFID=56375
REFID=57142
REFID=50942
REFID=44374
REFID=43116
REFID=43170
REFID=40584
REFID=40579
REFID=40017
REFID=22880
REFID=22866
REFID=22877
REFID=11434

$D^*(2010)^\pm$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^-)$$

$J^P = 1^-$ established by ABLIKIM 23AZ.

NODE=M062

NODE=M062

$D^*(2010)^\pm$ MASS

The fit includes $D^\pm, D^0, D_s^\pm, D^{*\pm}, D^{*0}, D_1(2420)^0, D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M062M

NODE=M062M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
2010.27 ± 0.04 OUR FIT				
[2010.26 ± 0.05 MeV OUR 2025 FIT]				

NODE=M062M
NEW

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2008 ± 3	¹ GOLDHABER	77	MRK1	±	e^+e^-
2008.6 ± 1.0	² PERUZZI	77	LGW	±	e^+e^-

¹ From simultaneous fit to $D^*(2010)^+, D^*(2007)^0, D^+$, and D^0 ; not independent of FELDMAN 77B mass difference below.

² PERUZZI 77 mass not independent of FELDMAN 77B mass difference below and PERUZZI 77 D^0 mass value.

NODE=M062M;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M062M;LINKAGE=P

$m_{D^*(2010)^+} - m_{D^+}$

The fit includes $D^\pm, D^0, D_s^\pm, D^{*\pm}, D^{*0}, D_1(2420)^0, D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M062MD

NODE=M062MD

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
140.603 ± 0.015 OUR FIT				
140.602 ± 0.014 OUR AVERAGE				
140.6010 ± 0.0068 ± 0.0129	151k	LEES	17F BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
140.64 ± 0.08 ± 0.06	620	BORTOLETTO92B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M062MD

$m_{D^*(2010)^+} - m_{D^0}$

NODE=M062DM

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0 , $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$,
and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M062DM

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
145.4257 ± 0.0017 OUR FIT				
[145.4258 ± 0.0017 MeV OUR 2025 FIT]				
145.4258 ± 0.0020 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
145.4259 ± 0.0004 ± 0.0017	312.8k	LEES	13X BABR	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow (K \pi, K 3 \pi) \pi^\pm$
145.412 ± 0.002 ± 0.012		ANASTASSOV 02	CLE2	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow (K \pi) \pi^\pm$
145.54 ± 0.08	611	¹ ADINOLFI	99 BEAT	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.45 ± 0.02		¹ BREITWEG	99 ZEUS	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow (K \pi) \pi^\pm$
145.42 ± 0.05		¹ BREITWEG	99 ZEUS	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow (K^- 3 \pi) \pi^\pm$
145.5 ± 0.15	103	² ADLOFF	97B H1	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.44 ± 0.08	152	² BREITWEG	97 ZEUS	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$,
145.42 ± 0.11	199	² BREITWEG	97 ZEUS	$D^{*0} \rightarrow K^- 3 \pi$, $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$,
145.4 ± 0.2	48	² DERRICK	95 ZEUS	$D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$
145.39 ± 0.06 ± 0.03		BARLAG	92B ACCM	π^- 230 GeV
145.5 ± 0.2	115	² ALEXANDER	91B OPAL	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.30 ± 0.06		² DECAMP	91J ALEP	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.40 ± 0.05 ± 0.10		ABACHI	88B HRS	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.46 ± 0.07 ± 0.03		ALBRECHT	85F ARG	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$
145.5 ± 0.3	28	BAILEY	83 SPEC	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.5 ± 0.3	60	FITCH	81 SPEC	π^- A
145.3 ± 0.5	30	FELDMAN	77B MRK1	$D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
145.4256 ± 0.0006 ± 0.0017	138.5k	LEES	13X BABR	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow (K^- \pi^+) \pi^\pm$
145.4266 ± 0.0005 ± 0.0019	174.3k	LEES	13X BABR	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow (K^- 2 \pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^\pm$
145.44 ± 0.09	122	² BREITWEG	97B ZEUS	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$,
145.8 ± 1.5	16	AHLEN	83 HRS	$D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$
145.1 ± 1.8	12	BAILEY	83 SPEC	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.1 ± 0.5	14	BAILEY	83 SPEC	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.5 ± 0.5	14	YELTON	82 MRK2	$29 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$
~ 145.5		AVERY	80 SPEC	γ A
145.2 ± 0.6	2	BLIETSCHAU	79 BEBC	νp
¹ Statistical errors only. ² Systematic error not evaluated.				

NODE=M062DM
NEW

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

NODE=M062DM;LINKAGE=AV
NODE=M062DM;LINKAGE=A **$m_{D^*(2010)^+} - m_{D^*(2007)^0}$**

NODE=M062EM

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2.6 ± 1.8	¹ PERUZZI	77 LGW	$e^+ e^-$
¹ Not independent of FELDMAN 77B mass difference above, PERUZZI 77 D^0 mass, and GOLDHABER 77 $D^*(2007)^0$ mass.			

NODE=M062EM

NODE=M062EM;LINKAGE=P

 $D^*(2010)^\pm$ WIDTH

NODE=M062W

VALUE (keV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
83.4 ± 1.8 OUR AVERAGE					
83.3 ± 1.2 ± 1.4	312.8k	¹ LEES	13X BABR	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow (K \pi, K 3 \pi) \pi^\pm$	OCCUR=3
96 ± 4 ± 22		¹ ANASTASSOV 02	CLE2	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow (K \pi) \pi^\pm$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
83.4 ± 1.7 ± 1.5	138.5k	¹ LEES	13X BABR	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow (K^- \pi^+) \pi^\pm$	
83.2 ± 1.5 ± 2.6	174.3k	¹ LEES	13X BABR	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow (K^- 2 \pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^\pm$	OCCUR=2
<131	90	110	BARLAG	92B ACCM	π^- 230 GeV

NODE=M062W

¹ Ignoring the electromagnetic contribution from $D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^{\pm}\gamma$.

NODE=M062W;LINKAGE=LE

$D^*(2010)^{\pm}$ DECAY MODES

$D^*(2010)^{-}$ modes are charge conjugates of the modes below.

NODE=M062225;NODE=M062

NODE=M062

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $D^0\pi^+$	$(67.7 \pm 0.5) \%$	
Γ_2 $D^+\pi^0$	$(30.7 \pm 0.5) \%$	
Γ_3 $D^+\gamma$	$(1.6 \pm 0.4) \%$	
Γ_4 $e^+\nu_e$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_5 $\mu^+\nu_\mu$	$< 4.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90%

DESIG=1

DESIG=3

DESIG=2

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 3 branching ratios uses 6 measurements and one constraint to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 0.3$ for 4 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	-62	
x_3	-43	-44
	x_1	x_2

$D^*(2010)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M062230

$\Gamma(D^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT			
0.677 $\pm$ 0.005 OUR FIT						
0.677 $\pm$ 0.006 OUR AVERAGE						
0.6759 $\pm$ 0.0029 $\pm$ 0.0064	^{1,2,3} BARTELT	98	CLE2	e^+e^-		
0.688 $\pm$ 0.024 $\pm$ 0.013	ALBRECHT	95F	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons		
0.681 $\pm$ 0.010 $\pm$ 0.013	¹ BUTLER	92	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
0.57 $\pm$ 0.04 $\pm$ 0.04	ADLER	88D	MRK3	e^+e^-		
0.44 $\pm$ 0.10	COLES	82	MRK2	e^+e^-		
0.6 $\pm$ 0.15	³ GOLDBABER	77	MRK1	e^+e^-		

NODE=M062R1

NODE=M062R1

¹ The branching ratios are not independent, they have been constrained by the authors to sum to 100%.

² Systematic error includes theoretical error on the prediction of the ratio of hadronic modes.

³ Assuming that isospin is conserved in the decay.

NODE=M062R1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M062R1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M062R1;LINKAGE=G

$\Gamma(D^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.307 $\pm$ 0.005 OUR FIT						
0.3073 $\pm$ 0.0013 $\pm$ 0.0062						
	^{1,2,3} BARTELT	98	CLE2	e^+e^-		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
0.312 $\pm$ 0.011 $\pm$ 0.008	1404	ALBRECHT	95F	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons	
0.308 $\pm$ 0.004 $\pm$ 0.008	410	¹ BUTLER	92	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons	
0.26 $\pm$ 0.02 $\pm$ 0.02		ADLER	88D	MRK3	e^+e^-	
0.34 $\pm$ 0.07		COLES	82	MRK2	e^+e^-	

¹ The branching ratios are not independent, they have been constrained by the authors to sum to 100%.

² Systematic error includes theoretical error on the prediction of the ratio of hadronic modes.

³ Assuming that isospin is conserved in the decay.

NODE=M062R3

NODE=M062R3

NODE=M062R3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M062R3;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M062R3;LINKAGE=G

$\Gamma(D^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.016 ± 0.004 OUR FIT					
0.016 ± 0.005 OUR AVERAGE					
0.0168 ± 0.0042 ± 0.0029		1,2	BARTELT	98	CLE2 e^+e^-
0.011 ± 0.014 ± 0.016	12	1	BUTLER	92	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.052	90		ALBRECHT	95F	ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.17 ± 0.05 ± 0.05			ADLER	88D	MRK3 e^+e^-
0.22 ± 0.12		3	COLES	82	MRK2 e^+e^-

¹ The branching ratios are not independent, they have been constrained by the authors to sum to 100%.

² Systematic error includes theoretical error on the prediction of the ratio of hadronic modes.

³ Not independent of $\Gamma(D^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ and $\Gamma(D^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ measurement.

NODE=M062R2
NODE=M062R2

NODE=M062R2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M062R2;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M062R2;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(e^+\nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.1 × 10⁻⁵	90	ABLIKIM	24AO BES3	e^+e^- at 4.178–4.226 GeV

NODE=M062R00
NODE=M062R00

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\nu_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.3 × 10⁻⁶	90	ABLIKIM	24AO BES3	e^+e^- at 4.178–4.226 GeV

NODE=M062R01
NODE=M062R01

$D^*(2010)^\pm$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	24AO	PR D110 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23AZ	PL B846 138245	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.) JP
LEES	17F	PRL 119 202003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	13X	PRL 111 111801	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
Also		PR D88 052003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
Also		PR D88 079902 (err.)	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ANASTASSOV	02	PR D65 032003	A. Anastassov <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ADINOLFI	99	NP B547 3	M. Adinolfi <i>et al.</i>	(Beatrice Collab.)
BREITWEG	99	EPJ C6 67	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
BARTELT	98	PRL 80 3919	J. Bartelt <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ADLOFF	97B	ZPHY C72 593	C. Adloff <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
BREITWEG	97	PL B401 192	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
BREITWEG	97B	PL B407 402	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	95F	ZPHY C66 63	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
DERRICK	95	PL B349 225	M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
BARLAG	92B	PL B278 480	S. Barlag <i>et al.</i>	(ACCMOR Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	92B	PRL 69 2046	D. Bortoletto <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUTLER	92	PRL 69 2041	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALEXANDER	91B	PL B262 341	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
DECAMP	91J	PL B266 218	D. Decamp <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABACHI	88B	PL B212 533	S. Abachi <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, IND, MICH, PURD+)
ADLER	88D	PL B208 152	J. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
ALBRECHT	85F	PL 150B 235	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
AHLEN	83	PRL 51 1147	S.P. Ahlen <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, IND, LBL+)
BAILEY	83	PL 132B 230	R. Bailey <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, BRIS, CERN, CRAC+)
COLES	82	PR D26 2190	M.W. Coles <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
YELTON	82	PRL 49 430	J.M. Yelton <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL, UCB+)
FITCH	81	PRL 46 761	V.L. Fitch <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN, SACL, TORI+)
AVERY	80	PRL 44 1309	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(ILL, FNAL, COLU)
BLIETSCHAU	79	PL 86B 108	J. Blietschau <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BONN, CERN+)
FELDMAN	77B	PRL 38 1313	G.J. Feldman <i>et al.</i>	(Mark I Collab.)
GOLDHABER	77	PL 69B 503	G. Goldhaber <i>et al.</i>	(Mark I Collab.)
PERUZZI	77	PRL 39 1301	I. Peruzzi <i>et al.</i>	(LGW Collab.)

NODE=M062

REFID=62703
REFID=62420
REFID=58277
REFID=55564
REFID=55547
REFID=55695
REFID=48550
REFID=46925
REFID=46604
REFID=46349
REFID=45421
REFID=45520
REFID=45699
REFID=44374
REFID=44373
REFID=42174
REFID=43116
REFID=43170
REFID=41553
REFID=41614
REFID=40584
REFID=40579
REFID=11527
REFID=22868
REFID=22870
REFID=22866
REFID=22867
REFID=22863
REFID=11498
REFID=22861
REFID=22858
REFID=11434
REFID=11435

NODE=M252

 $D_0^*(2300)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^+)$$

was $D_0^*(2400)$

There is a strong evidence that recent data on $B \rightarrow D\pi\pi$ (AAIJ 15Y, AAIJ 16AH) and $B \rightarrow D\pi K$ (AAIJ 14BH, AAIJ 15V, AAIJ 15X) call for two poles in the scalar $I = 1/2 \pi D$ amplitude in this mass range. The data are consistent with a lower pole at $(2105^{+6}_{-8}) - i(102^{+10}_{-11})$ MeV and a higher pole at $(2451^{+35}_{-26}) - i(134^{+7}_{-8})$ MeV (DU 18A, DU 19, DU 21). For details see review on "Heavy Non- $q\bar{q}$ Mesons."

NODE=M252

 $D_0^*(2300)$ MASS

NODE=M252M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
2343±10 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.			
2360±15±30		¹ AAIJ	15X LHCB	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+ \pi^-$
2349±6±4		² AAIJ	15Y LHCB	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
2297±8±20	3.4k	AUBERT	09AB BABR	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
2308±17±32		ABE	04D BELL	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2354±7±11		³ AAIJ	15Y LHCB	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
2403±14±35	18.8k	⁴ LINK	04A FOCS	+	γA
2407±21±35	9.8k	⁴ LINK	04A FOCS	0	γA

NODE=M252M

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2


- ¹ From the Dalitz plot analysis including various K^* and D^{**} mesons as well as broad structures in the $K\pi$ S-wave and the $D\pi$ S- and P-waves.
² Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S-wave with the Isobar formalism.
³ Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S-wave with the K-matrix formalism.
⁴ Possibly the feed-down from another state.

NODE=M252M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M252M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M252M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M252M;LINKAGE=D

 **$D_0^*(2300)$ WIDTH**

NODE=M252W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
229±16 OUR AVERAGE					
255±26±51		¹ AAIJ	15X LHCB	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+ \pi^-$
217±13±13		² AAIJ	15Y LHCB	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
273±12±48	3.4k	AUBERT	09AB BABR	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
276±21±63		ABE	04D BELL	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$

NODE=M252W

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$230 \pm 15 \pm 21$		³ AAIJ	15Y LHCb	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
$283 \pm 24 \pm 34$	18.8k	⁴ LINK	04A FOCS	+	γA
$240 \pm 55 \pm 59$	9.8k	⁴ LINK	04A FOCS	0	γA

¹ From the Dalitz plot analysis including various K^* and D^{**} mesons as well as broad structures in the $K\pi$ S -wave and the $D\pi$ S - and P -waves.

² Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S -wave with the Isobar formalism.

³ Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S -wave with the K-matrix formalism.

⁴ Possibly the feed-down from another state.

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M252W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M252W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M252W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M252W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M252215;NODE=M252

DESIG=1

NODE=M252225

NODE=M252R01

NODE=M252R01

$D_0^*(2300)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $D\pi^\pm$	seen

$D_0^*(2300)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D\pi^\pm)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
seen		AAIJ	15X LHCb	+	$D^*(2300)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$	
seen		AAIJ	15Y LHCb	+	$D^*(2300)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$	
seen	3.4k	AUBERT	09AB BABR	0	$D^*(2300)^0 \rightarrow D^+ \pi^-$	
seen		ABE	04D BELL	0	$D^*(2300)^0 \rightarrow D^+ \pi^-$	
seen	18.8k	LINK	04A FOCS	+	$D^*(2300)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$	

$D_0^*(2300)$ REFERENCES

DU	21	PRL 126 192001	M.-L. Du <i>et al.</i>			
DU	19	PR D99 114002	M.-L. Du, F.-K. Guo, U.-G. Meissner			
DU	18A	PR D98 094018	M.-L. Du <i>et al.</i>			
AAIJ	16AH	PR D94 072001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>		(LHCb Collab.)	
AAIJ	15V	PR D91 092002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>		(LHCb Collab.)	
Also		PR D93 119901 (errat.)	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>		(LHCb Collab.)	
AAIJ	15X	PR D92 012012	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>		(LHCb Collab.)	
AAIJ	15Y	PR D92 032002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>		(LHCb Collab.)	
AAIJ	14BH	PR D90 072003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>		(LHCb Collab.)	
AUBERT	09AB	PR D79 112004	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>		(BABAR Collab.)	
ABE	04D	PR D69 112002	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>		(BELLE Collab.)	
LINK	04A	PL B586 11	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>		(FOCUS Collab.)	

NODE=M252

REFID=61096

REFID=59893

REFID=61424

REFID=57518

REFID=56575

REFID=57289

REFID=56588

REFID=56609

REFID=56208

REFID=52941

REFID=50011

REFID=49775

NODE=M253

$D_1(2420)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^+)$$

$D_1(2420)$ MASS

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0 , $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M253M

NODE=M253M

NODE=M253M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
2422.1 ± 0.6 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.7.			
2422.1 ± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.			
$2424.8 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.7$	79k	¹ AAIJ	20D LHCb	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$
$2427.2 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.2$	4207	ABLIKIM	20P BES3	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
$2419.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.7$	210k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	0	$p p \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
$2423.1 \pm 1.5^{+0.4}_{-1.0}$	2.7k	² ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D(*)^+ \pi^- X$
$2421.9 \pm 4.7^{+3.4}_{-1.2}$	759	³ ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	+	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D(*)^0 \pi^+ X$
$2420.1 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.8$	103k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
$2426 \pm 3 \pm 1$	151	ABE	05A BELL	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
$2421 \pm 2 \pm 1$	124	ABE	05A BELL	+	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
$2421.4 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.9$		⁴ ABE	04D BELL	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$
$2421^{+1}_{-2} \pm 2$	286	AVERY	94C CLE2	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
$2425 \pm 2 \pm 2$	146	BERGFELD	94B CLE2	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \pi^+ X$
$2422 \pm 2 \pm 2$	51	FRABETTI	94B E687	0	$\gamma Be \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
$2428 \pm 3 \pm 2$	279	AVERY	90 CLEO	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
$2414 \pm 2 \pm 5$	171	ALBRECHT	89H ARG	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
$2428 \pm 8 \pm 5$	171	ANJOS	89C TPS	0	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
$2443 \pm 7 \pm 5$	190	ANJOS	89C TPS	+	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X^0$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2420.5±2.1±0.9	3.1k	⁵ CHEKANOV	09	ZEUS	0	$e^{\pm} p \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^{-} X$
2421.7±0.7±0.6	7.5k	ABULENCIA	06A	CDF	0	1900 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^{-} X$
2425 ±3	235	⁶ ABREU	98M	DLPH	0	$e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^{-} X$

¹ From a full four-body amplitude analysis of the $B^{-} \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-}$ decay.

² From the combined fit of the $M(D^{+} \pi^{-})$ and $M(D^{*+} \pi^{-})$ distributions. and A_{D_2} fixed to the theoretical prediction of -1 .

³ From the fit of the $M(D^0 \pi^{+})$ distribution. The widths of the D_1^{+} and D_2^{*+} are fixed to 25 MeV and 37 MeV, and A_{D_1} and A_{D_2} are fixed to the theoretical predictions of 3 and -1 , respectively.

⁴ Fit includes the contribution from $D_1^{*}(2430)^0$.

⁵ Calculated using the mass difference $m(D_1^0) - m(D^{*+})_{PDG}$ reported below and $m(D^{*+})_{PDG} = 2010.27 \pm 0.17$ MeV. The 0.17 MeV uncertainty of the PDG mass value should be added to the experimental uncertainty of 0.9 MeV.

⁶ No systematic error given.

NODE=M253M;LINKAGE=B


NODE=M253M;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=M253M;LINKAGE=BA

NODE=M253M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M253M;LINKAGE=CH

NODE=M253M;LINKAGE=K



$$m_{D_1(2420)^0} - m_{D^{*+}}$$

NODE=M253DM

The fit includes $D^{\pm}$, D^0 , $D_s^{\pm}$, D^{*+} , D^{*0} , D_s^{*+} , $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^{*}(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^{\pm}$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M253DM

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
411.8±0.6 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.7.			
411.5±0.8 OUR AVERAGE				
410.2±2.1±0.9	3.1k	CHEKANOV	09	ZEUS $e^{\pm} p \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^{-} X$
411.7±0.7±0.4	7.5k	ABULENCIA	06A	CDF 1900 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^{-} X$

NODE=M253DM

$$m_{D_1(2420)^{\pm}} - m_{D_1(2420)^0}$$

NODE=M253DMC

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4⁺²₋₃±3	BERGFELD	94B	CLE2 $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M253DMC

$D_1(2420)$ WIDTH

NODE=M253W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
31.3± 1.9 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.8. See the ideogram below.			
33.6± 0.3± 2.7	79k	¹ AAIJ	20D LHCb	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$
23.2± 2.3± 2.3	4207	ABLIKIM	20P BES3	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
35.2± 0.4± 0.9	210k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	0	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
38.8± 5.0 ^{+1.9} _{-5.4}	2.7k	² ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$
31.4± 0.5± 1.3	103k	DEL-AMO-SA...10P	BABR	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
20.0± 1.7± 1.3	7.5k	ABULENCIA	06A CDF	0	$1900 p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
24 ± 7 ± 8	151	ABE	05A BELL	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
21 ± 5 ± 8	124	ABE	05A BELL	+	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
23.7± 2.7± 4.0		³ ABE	04D BELL	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$
20 ⁺⁶ ₋₅ ± 3	286	AVERY	94C CLE2	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
26 ⁺⁸ ₋₇ ± 4	146	BERGFELD	94B CLE2	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \pi^+ X$
15 ± 8 ± 4	51	FRABETTI	94B E687	0	$\gamma Be \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
23 ⁺⁸ ₋₆ ⁺¹⁰ ₋₃	279	AVERY	90 CLEO	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
13 ± 6 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₅	171	ALBRECHT	89H ARG	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
41 ±19 ± 8	190	ANJOS	89C TPS	+	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
53.2± 7.2 ^{+3.3} _{-4.9}	3.1k	CHEKANOV	09 ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
58 ±14 ±10	171	ANJOS	89C TPS	0	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$

NODE=M253W

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2


OCCUR=2

¹ From a full four-body amplitude analysis of the $B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$ decay.² From the combined fit of the $M(D^+ \pi^-)$ and $M(D^{*+} \pi^-)$ distributions. and A_{D_2} fixed to the theoretical prediction of -1 .³ Fit includes the contribution from $D_1^*(2430)^0$.

NODE=M253W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M253W;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=M253W;LINKAGE=AB

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
31.3±1.9 (Error scaled by 2.8) **$D_1(2420)$ DECAY MODES**

NODE=M253215;NODE=M253

 $\bar{D}_1(2420)$ modes are charge conjugates of modes below.

NODE=M253

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $D^{*}(2007)^0 \pi$	seen
Γ_2 $D \pi^+ \pi^-$	
Γ_3 $D \rho^0$	

DESIG=1

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

Γ_4	$D f_0(500)$
Γ_5	$D_0^*(2300)^0 \pi$
Γ_6	$D^0 \pi$
Γ_7	$D^* \pi^+ \pi^-$

DESIG=5
DESIG=6
DESIG=2
DESIG=7

$D_1(2420)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M253220

$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_1 / Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
seen	ACKERSTAFF 97W	OPAL	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
seen	AVERY 90	CLEO	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
seen	ALBRECHT 89H	ARG	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^* \pi^- X$
seen	ANJOS 89C	TPS	0	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
seen	ANJOS 89C	TPS	+	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X^0$

NODE=M253R01
NODE=M253R01

OCCUR=2

$\Gamma(D^0 \pi) / \Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \pi)$

Γ_6 / Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.18	90	BERGFELD 94B	CLE2	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.24	90	AVERY 90	CLEO	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$

NODE=M253R02
NODE=M253R02
OCCUR=2

$D_1(2420)$ POLARIZATION AMPLITUDE A_{D_1}

NODE=M253PAH

A polarization amplitude A_{D_1} is a parameter that depends on the initial polarization of the D_1 and is sensitive to a possible S -wave contribution to its decay. For D_1 decays the helicity angle, θ_h , distribution varies like $1 + A_{D_1} \cos^2 \theta_h$, where θ_h is the angle in the D^* rest frame between the two pions emitted by the $D_1 \rightarrow D^* \pi$ and the $D^* \rightarrow D \pi$.

NODE=M253PAH

Unpolarized D_1 decaying purely via D -wave is predicted to give $A_{D_1} = 3$.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
5.73±0.25 OUR AVERAGE					
7.8 ^{+6.7} _{-2.7} ^{+4.6} _{-1.8}	2.7k	¹ ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$
5.72±0.25	103k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
5.9 ^{+3.0} _{-1.7} ^{+2.4} _{-1.0}		CHEKANOV 09	ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
3.30±0.48	210k	² AAIJ	13CC	LHCB	0 $pp \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
3.8 ±0.6 ±0.8		³ AUBERT	09Y	BABR	0 $B^+ \rightarrow D_1^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$
3.8 ±0.6 ±0.8		³ AUBERT	09Y	BABR	+ $B^0 \rightarrow D_1^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$
2.74 ^{+1.40} _{-0.93}		⁴ AVERY	94C	CLE2	0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$

NODE=M253PAH

OCCUR=2

¹ From the combined fit of the $M(D^+ \pi^-)$ and $M(D^{*+} \pi^-)$ distributions. and A_{D_2} fixed to the theoretical prediction of -1 . A pure D -wave not excluded although some $\bar{S}$ -wave mixing possible.

NODE=M253PAH;LINKAGE=AR

² Systematic uncertainty not estimated. Resonance parameters fixed.

NODE=M253PAH;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M253PAH;LINKAGE=AU

³ Assuming $\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^+ B^-) / \Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0) = 1.065 \pm 0.026$ and equal partial widths and helicity angle distributions for charged and neutral D_1 mesons.

⁴ Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M253PAH;LINKAGE=AV

$D_1(2420)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M253

AAIJ 20D	PR D101 032005	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM 20P	PL B804 135395	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ 13CC	JHEP 1309 145	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABRAMOWICZ 13	NP B866 229	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...10P	PR D82 111101	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT 09Y	PRL 103 051803	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
CHEKANOV 09	EPJ C60 25	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ABULENCIA 06A	PR D73 051104	A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE 05A	PRL 94 221805	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE 04D	PR D69 112002	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABREU 98M	PL B426 231	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF 97W	ZPHY C76 425	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AVERY 94C	PL B331 236	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BERGFELD 94B	PL B340 194	T. Bergfeld <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FRABETTI 94B	PRL 72 324	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
AVERY 90	PR D41 774	P. Avery, D. Besson	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT 89H	PL B232 398	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.) JP
ANJOS 89C	PRL 62 1717	J.C. Anjos <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)

REFID=60253
REFID=60395
REFID=55581
REFID=54743
REFID=53534
REFID=52929
REFID=52733
REFID=51054
REFID=50755
REFID=50011
REFID=46315
REFID=45788
REFID=44096
REFID=44099
REFID=43687
REFID=41013
REFID=41001
REFID=40737

$D_1(2430)^0$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^+)$$

$J^P = 1^+$ determined by AAIJ 20D.

NODE=M180

NODE=M180

NODE=M180M

NODE=M180M

$D_1(2430)^0$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2412± 9 OUR AVERAGE				
2411± 3± 9	79k	¹ AAIJ	20D LHCb	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$
2427±26±25		ABE	04D BELLE	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2477±28		² AUBERT	06L BABR	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \omega \pi^-$
¹ From a full four-body amplitude analysis of the $B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$ decay.				
² Systematic errors not estimated.				

NODE=M180M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M180M;LINKAGE=AU

$D_1(2430)^0$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
314± 29 OUR AVERAGE				
309± 9±28	79k	¹ AAIJ	20D LHCb	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$
384 ⁺¹⁰⁷ ₋₇₅ ±74		ABE	04D BELLE	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
266± 97		² AUBERT	06L BABR	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \omega \pi^-$
¹ From a full four-body amplitude analysis of the $B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$ decay.				
² Systematic errors not estimated.				

NODE=M180W

NODE=M180W

NODE=M180W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M180W;LINKAGE=AU

$D_1(2430)^0$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad D^*(2010)^+ \pi^-$	seen

NODE=M180215;NODE=M180

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

$D_1(2430)^0$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	20D	PR D101 032005	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP
AUBERT	06L	PR D74 012001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ABE	04D	PR D69 112002	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

NODE=M180

REFID=60253
REFID=51140
REFID=50011

$D_2^*(2460)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^+)$$

NODE=M254

 $D_2^*(2460)$ MASS

NODE=M254M

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0 , $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M254M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
2461.1±0.8 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 6.3.					
2461.1±0.7 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 5.2. See the ideogram below.					
2463.7±0.4±0.7	28k	¹ AAIJ	16AH LHCB	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
2464.0±1.4±0.5	2k	² AAIJ	15V LHCB	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ K^- \pi^-$
2465.6±1.8±1.3		³ AAIJ	15X LHCB	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+ \pi^-$
2468.6±0.6±0.3		⁴ AAIJ	15Y LHCB	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
2460.4±0.4±1.2	82k	AAIJ	13CC LHCB	0	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
2460.4±0.1±0.1	675k	AAIJ	13CC LHCB	0	$pp \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
2463.1±0.2±0.6	342k	AAIJ	13CC LHCB	+	$pp \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
2462.5±2.4 ^{+1.3} _{-1.1}	2.3k	⁵ ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$
2460.6±4.4 ^{+3.6} _{-0.8}	1371	⁶ ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	+	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)0} \pi^+ X$
2462.2±0.1±0.8	243k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
2465.4±0.2±1.1	111k	⁷ DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
2460.4±1.2±2.2	3.4k	AUBERT	09AB BABR	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
2465.7±1.8 ^{+1.4} _{-4.8}	2909	KUZMIN	07 BELL	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
2461.6±2.1±3.3		⁸ ABE	04D BELL	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
2464.5±1.1±1.9	5.8k	⁸ LINK	04A FOCS	0	γA
2465 ±3 ±3	486	AVERY	94C CLE2	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
2463 ±3 ±3	310	BERGFELD	94B CLE2	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
2453 ±3 ±2	128	FRABETTI	94B E687	0	$\gamma \text{Be} \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
2453 ±3 ±2	185	FRABETTI	94B E687	+	$\gamma \text{Be} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
2461 ±3 ±1	440	AVERY	90 CLEO	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
2455 ±3 ±5	337	ALBRECHT	89B ARG	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
2469 ±4 ±6		ALBRECHT	89F ARG	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
2459 ±3 ±2	153	ANJOS	89C TPS	0	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
2468.1±0.6±0.5		⁹ AAIJ	15Y LHCB	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
2469.1±3.7 ^{+1.2} _{-1.3}	1.5k	¹⁰ CHEKANOV	09 ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$
2463.3±0.6±0.8	20k	ABULENCIA	06A CDF	0	$1900 p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
2467.6±1.5±0.8	3.5k	¹¹ LINK	04A FOCS	+	γA
2461 ±6	126	¹² ABREU	98M DLPH	0	$e^+ e^-$
2466 ±7	1	ASRATYAN	95 BEBC	0	$53,40 \nu(\bar{\nu}) \rightarrow pX, dX$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M254M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M254M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M254M;LINKAGE=CA

NODE=M254M;LINKAGE=BC

NODE=M254M;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=M254M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M254M;LINKAGE=DE

NODE=M254M;LINKAGE=LI

NODE=M254M;LINKAGE=CC

NODE=M254M;LINKAGE=CH

¹ From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$, $D_1^*(2680)^0$, $D_3^*(2760)^0$, and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.

² From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, nonresonant spin-0 and spin-1 components as well as the $D_0^*(2400)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$ and $D_1^*(2760)^0$ resonances.

³ From the Dalitz plot analysis including various K^* and D^{**} mesons as well as broad structures in the $K\pi$ S-wave and the $D\pi$ S- and P-waves.

⁴ Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S-wave with the Isobar formalism.

⁵ From the combined fit of the $M(D^+ \pi^-)$ and $M(D^{*+} \pi^-)$ distributions. and A_{D_2} fixed to the theoretical prediction of -1.

⁶ From the fit of the $M(D^0 \pi^+)$ distribution. The widths of the D_1^+ and D_2^{*+} are fixed to 25 MeV and 37 MeV, and A_{D_1} and A_{D_2} are fixed to the theoretical predictions of 3 and -1, respectively.

⁷ At a fixed width of 50.5 MeV.

⁸ Fit includes the contribution from $D_0^*(2400)^0$.

⁹ Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S-wave with the K-matrix formalism.


¹⁰ Calculated using the mass difference $m(D_2^{*0}) - m(D^{*+})_{PDG}$ reported below and $m(D^{*+})_{PDG} = 2010.27 \pm 0.17$ MeV. The 0.17 MeV uncertainty of the PDG mass value should be added to the experimental uncertainty of $^{+1.2}_{-1.3}$ MeV.

¹¹ Fit includes the contribution from $D_0^*(2400)^\pm$. Not independent of the corresponding mass difference measurement, $(m_{D_2^*(2460)^\pm} - (m_{D_2^*(2460)^0})$.

NODE=M254M;LINKAGE=LC

¹² No systematic error given.

NODE=M254M;LINKAGE=K



$$m_{D_2^*(2460)^0} - m_{D^+}$$

NODE=M254DM

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0 , $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M254DM

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
591.5±0.8 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 6.0.	[591.5 ± 0.8 MeV OUR 2025		
FIT Scale factor = 6.0]				
593.9±0.6±0.5	20k	ABULENCIA	06A CDF	1900 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$

NODE=M254DM
NEW

$$m_{D_2^*(2460)^0} - m_{D^{*+}}$$

NODE=M254DM2

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0 , $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M254DM2

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
450.9±0.8 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 6.0.	[450.9 ± 0.8 MeV OUR 2025		
FIT Scale factor = 6.0]				
458.8±3.7^{+1.2}_{-1.3}	1.5k	CHEKANOV	09 ZEUS	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$

NODE=M254DM2
NEW

$$m_{D_2^*(2460)^\pm} - m_{D_2^*(2460)^0}$$

NODE=M254DMC

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.4±1.7 OUR AVERAGE			
3.1±1.9±0.9	LINK	04A FOCS	γ A
− 2 ±4 ±4	BERGFELD	94B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0 ±4	FRABETTI	94B E687	$\gamma\text{Be} \rightarrow D\pi X$
14 ±5 ±8	ALBRECHT	89F ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0\pi^+X$

NODE=M254DMC

 $D_2^*(2460)$ WIDTH

NODE=M254W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
47.3± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.			
47.0± 0.8± 1.0	28k	¹ AAIJ	16AH LHCb	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+\pi^-\pi^-$
43.8± 2.9± 1.8	2k	² AAIJ	15V LHCb	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+K^-\pi^-$
46.0± 3.4± 3.2		³ AAIJ	15X LHCb	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0K^+\pi^-$
47.3± 1.5± 0.7		⁴ AAIJ	15Y LHCb	+	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+\pi^-$
43.2± 1.2± 3.0	82k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-X$
45.6± 0.4± 1.1	675k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^+\pi^-X$
48.6± 1.3± 1.9	342k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	+	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+X$
46.6± 8.1 ⁺ _− 5.9 _{3.8}	2.3k	⁵ ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+}\pi^-X$
50.5± 0.6± 0.7	243k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+\pi^-X$
41.8± 2.5± 2.9	3.4k	AUBERT	09AB BABR	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+\pi^-\pi^-$
49.7± 3.8± 6.4	2909	KUZMIN	07 BELL	+	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
49.2± 2.3± 1.3	20k	ABULENCIA	06A CDF	0	$1900\ p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^+\pi^-X$
45.6± 4.4± 6.7		⁶ ABE	04D BELL	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+\pi^-\pi^-$
38.7± 5.3± 2.9	5.8k	⁶ LINK	04A FOCS	0	γ A
34.1± 6.5± 4.2	3.5k	⁷ LINK	04A FOCS	+	γ A
28 ⁺ _− 8 ₇ ± 6	486	AVERY	94C CLE2	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+\pi^-X$
27 ⁺ _− 11 ₈ ± 5	310	BERGFELD	94B CLE2	+	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0\pi^+X$
25 ±10 ± 5	128	FRABETTI	94B E687	0	$\gamma\text{Be} \rightarrow D^+\pi^-X$
23 ± 9 ± 5	185	FRABETTI	94B E687	+	$\gamma\text{Be} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+X$
20 ⁺ _− 9 ₁₂ ⁺ _− 9 ₁₀	440	AVERY	90 CLEO	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-X$
15 ⁺ _− 13 ₁₀ ⁺ _− 5 ₁₀	337	ALBRECHT	89B ARG	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+\pi^-X$
20 ±10 ± 5	153	ANJOS	89C TPS	0	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^+\pi^-X$

NODE=M254W

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M254W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M254W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M254W;LINKAGE=AC

NODE=M254W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M254W;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=M254W;LINKAGE=LI

NODE=M254W;LINKAGE=LC

NODE=M254W;LINKAGE=C

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

46.0± 1.4± 1.8 ⁸ AAIJ 15Y LHCb + $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+\pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$, $D_1^*(2680)^0$, $D_3^*(2760)^0$, and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.

² From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+\pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, nonresonant spin-0 and spin-1 components as well as the $D_0^*(2400)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$ and $D_1^*(2760)^0$ resonances.

³ From the Dalitz plot analysis including various K^* and D^{**} mesons as well as broad structures in the $K\pi$ S-wave and the $D\pi$ S- and P-waves.

⁴ Modeling the $\pi^+\pi^-$ S-wave with the Isobar formalism.


⁵ From the combined fit of the $M(D^+\pi^-)$ and $M(D^{*+}\pi^-)$ distributions. and A_{D_2} fixed to the theoretical prediction of −1.

⁶ Fit includes the contribution from $D_0^*(2400)^0$.

⁷ Fit includes the contribution from $D_0^*(2400)^\pm$.

⁸ Modeling the $\pi^+\pi^-$ S-wave with the K-matrix formalism.

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
47.3±0.8 (Error scaled by 1.5)



$D_2^*(2460)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M254215;NODE=M254

$\bar{D}_2^*(2460)$ modes are charge conjugates of modes below.

NODE=M254

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $D\pi^-$	seen
Γ_2 $D^*(2010)\pi^-$	seen
Γ_3 $D\pi^+\pi^-$	
Γ_4 $D^*\pi^+\pi^-$	

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

$D_2^*(2460)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M254220

$\Gamma(D\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_1/Γ	
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT		
seen	3.4k	AUBERT	09AB	BABR	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	
seen	337	ALBRECHT	89B	ARG	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$	
seen		ALBRECHT	89F	ARG	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$	
seen		ANJOS	89C	TPS	0	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$	

NODE=M254R1
NODE=M254R1

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT		
seen	ACKERSTAFF 97W	OPAL	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-X$		
seen	AVERY 90	CLEO	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-X$		
seen	ALBRECHT 89H	ARG	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^*\pi^-X$		

NODE=M254R2
NODE=M254R2

$\Gamma(D\pi^-)/\Gamma(D^*(2010)\pi^-)$						Γ_1/Γ_2
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
1.52±0.14 OUR AVERAGE						
1.4 ±0.3 ±0.3	2.3k	¹ ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$	
1.1 ±0.4 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.3 \\ -0.2 \end{smallmatrix}$	1371	² ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	+	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)0} \pi^+ X$	
1.47±0.03±0.16	379k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$	
2.8 ±0.8 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.5 \\ -0.6 \end{smallmatrix}$	1.5k	CHEKANOV 09	ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$	
2.2 ±0.7 ±0.6		AVERY 94C	CLE2	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$	

NODE=M254R3
NODE=M254R3

OCCUR=2

1.9 ±1.1 ±0.3	BERGFELD	94B	CLE2	+	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
2.3 ±0.8	VERY	90	CLEO	0	e^+e^-
3.0 ±1.1 ±1.5	ALBRECHT	89H	ARG	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^*\pi^-X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					

1.9 ±0.5	ABE	04D	BELL	0	$B^- \rightarrow D^{(*)+}\pi^-\pi^-$
¹ From the combined fit of the $M(D^+\pi^-)$ and $M(D^{*+}\pi^-)$ distributions. and A_{D_2} fixed to the theoretical prediction of -1 .					
² From the fit of the $M(D^0\pi^+)$ distribution. The widths of the D_1^+ and D_2^{*+} are fixed to 25 MeV and 37 MeV, and A_{D_1} and A_{D_2} are fixed to the theoretical predictions of 3 and -1 , respectively.					

$\Gamma(D\pi^-)/[\Gamma(D\pi^-) + \Gamma(D^*(2010)\pi^-)]$					$\Gamma_1/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2)$
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.62 ±0.03 ±0.02	8414	¹ AUBERT	09Y	BABR	0 $B^+ \rightarrow D_2^{*0}\ell^+\nu_\ell$
0.62 ±0.03 ±0.02	3361	¹ AUBERT	09Y	BABR	0 $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D_2^{*+}\ell^-\nu_\ell$
¹ Assuming $\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^+B^-) / \Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0\bar{B}^0) = 1.065 \pm 0.026$ and equal partial widths for charged and neutral D_2^* mesons.					

$D_2^*(2460)$ POLARIZATION AMPLITUDE A_{D_2}

A polarization amplitude A_{D_2} is a parameter that depends on the initial polarization of the D_2 . For D_2 decays the helicity angle, θ_H , distribution varies like $1 + A_{D_2} \cos^2(\theta_H)$, where θ_H is the angle in the D^* rest frame between the two pions emitted by the $D_2 \rightarrow D^*\pi$ and $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
-1.16 ± 0.35	2.3k	¹ ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	0	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+}\pi^-X$
consistent with -1	243k	DEL-AMO-SA...10P	BABR	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+\pi^-X$
$-0.74^{+0.49}_{-0.38}$		² AVERY	94C	CLE2	0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-X$
¹ From the combined fit of the $M(D^+\pi^-)$ and $M(D^{*+}\pi^-)$ distributions.					
² Systematic uncertainties not estimated.					

$D_2^*(2460)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	16AH	PR D94 072001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57518
AAIJ	15V	PR D91 092002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=56575
Also		PR D93 119901 (errat.)	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57289
AAIJ	15X	PR D92 012012	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=56588
AAIJ	15Y	PR D92 032002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=56609
AAIJ	13CC	JHEP 1309 145	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55581
ABRAMOWICZ 13	NP	B866 229	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)	REFID=54743
DEL-AMO-SA...10P	PR	D82 111101	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53534
AUBERT	09AB	PR D79 112004	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52941
AUBERT	09Y	PRL 103 051803	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52929
CHEKANOV	09	EPJ C60 25	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)	REFID=52733
KUZMIN	07	PR D76 012006	A. Kuzmin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51854
ABULENCIA	06A	PR D73 051104	A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)	REFID=51054
ABE	04D	PR D69 112002	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50011
LINK	04A	PL B586 11	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=49775
ABREU	98M	PL B426 231	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)	REFID=46315
ACKERSTAFF	97W	ZPHY C76 425	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)	REFID=45788
ASRATYAN	95	ZPHY C68 43	A.E. Asratyan <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, BELG, CERN+)	REFID=44439
AVERY	94C	PL B331 236	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=44096
BERGFELD	94B	PL B340 194	T. Bergfeld <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=44099
FRABETTI	94B	PRL 72 324	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	REFID=43687
AVERY	90	PR D41 774	P. Avery, D. Besson	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=41013
ALBRECHT	89B	PL B221 422	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.) JP	REFID=40736
ALBRECHT	89F	PL B231 208	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=40931
ALBRECHT	89H	PL B232 398	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.) JP	REFID=41001
ANJOS	89C	PRL 62 1717	J.C. Anjos <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)	REFID=40737

OCCUR=2

NODE=M254R3;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=M254R3;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M254R01
NODE=M254R01

OCCUR=2

NODE=M254R01;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M254PAM


NODE=M254PAM

NODE=M254PAM

NODE=M254PAM;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M254PAM;LINKAGE=AV

NODE=M254

NODE=M198



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^-)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
 $J^P = 0^-$ determined by AAIJ 20D.

NODE=M198

NODE=M198M


NODE=M198M

NODE=M198M;LINKAGE=A

$D_0(2550)^0$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2549 ±19 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 4.6. See the ideogram below.		
2518 ± 2 ±7	79k	¹ AAIJ	20D LHCb	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$
2579.5± 3.4±5.5	60k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
2539.4± 4.5±6.8	34k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$

¹ From a full four-body amplitude analysis of the $B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$ decay.



$D_0(2550)^0$ WIDTH


NODE=M198W

NODE=M198W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
165 ±24 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.0. See the ideogram below.		
199 ± 5 ±17	79k	¹ AAIJ	20D LHCb	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$
177.5±17.8±46.0	60k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
130 ±12 ±13	34k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$

¹ From a full four-body amplitude analysis of the $B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$ decay.

NODE=M198W;LINKAGE=A



$D_0(2550)^0$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $D^{*+}\pi^-$	seen

NODE=M198215;NODE=M198

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

$D_0(2550)^0$ POLARIZATION AMPLITUDE A_{D_J}

A polarization amplitude A_{D_J} is a parameter that depends on the initial polarization of the D_J . For D_J decays the helicity angle, θ_H , distribution varies like $1 + A_{D_J} \cos^2(\theta_H)$, where θ_H is the angle in the D_J rest frame between the two pions emitted in the $D_J \rightarrow D^*\pi$ and $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$ decays.

NODE=M198PAM

NODE=M198PAM

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
4.2 ± 1.3	60k	¹ AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^- X$
¹ Systematic uncertainty not estimated.				

NODE=M198PAM

NODE=M198PAM;LINKAGE=A

$D_0(2550)^0$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	20D	PR D101 032005	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP
AAIJ	13CC	JHEP 1309 145	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	10P	PR D82 111101	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)

NODE=M198

REFID=60253
REFID=55581
REFID=53534

NODE=M199

 $D_1^*(2600)^0$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^-)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

was $D_J^*(2600)$ $J^P = 1^-$ determined by AAIJ 20D.

NODE=M199

 $D_1^*(2600)^0$ MASS

NODE=M199M

NODE=M199M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
2627 ±10 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 4.4. See the ideogram below.			
2641.9 ± 1.8 ± 4.5	79k	¹ AAIJ	20D	LHCB	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$
2681.1 ± 5.6 ± 14.0	28k	² AAIJ	16AH	LHCB	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
2649.2 ± 3.5 ± 3.5	51k	AAIJ	13CC	LHCB	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
2608.7 ± 2.4 ± 2.5	26k	DEL-AMO-SA...10P	BABR	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
2621.3 ± 3.7 ± 4.2	13k	³ DEL-AMO-SA...10P	BABR	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$


OCCUR=2

NODE=M199M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M199M;LINKAGE=A

¹ From a full four-body amplitude analysis of the $B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$ decay.² From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$, $D_1^*(2680)^0$, $D_3^*(2760)^0$, and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.³ At a fixed width of 93 MeV.

NODE=M199M;LINKAGE=DE

 $D_1^*(2600)^0$ WIDTH

NODE=M199W


NODE=M199W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
141 ±23 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.7. See the ideogram below.		
149 ± 4 ± 20	79k	¹ AAIJ	20D	LHCB
186.7 ± 8.5 ± 11.9	28k	² AAIJ	16AH	LHCB
140.2 ± 17.1 ± 18.6	51k	AAIJ	13CC	LHCB
93 ± 6 ± 13	26k	DEL-AMO-SA...10P	BABR	

¹ From a full four-body amplitude analysis of the $B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$ decay.² From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$, $D_1^*(2680)^0$, $D_3^*(2760)^0$, and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.

NODE=M199W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M199W;LINKAGE=A



$D_1^*(2600)^0$ DECAY MODES

Mode		Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$D\pi$	seen
Γ_2	$D^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_3	$D^0\pi^\pm$	seen
Γ_4	$D^*\pi$	seen
Γ_5	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	seen

NODE=M199215;NODE=M199

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

$D_1^*(2600)^0$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(D^{*+}\pi^-)$					Γ_2/Γ_5
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.32±0.02±0.09	76k	DEL-AMO-SA...10P	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{(*)+}\pi^- X$	

NODE=M199220

NODE=M199R01
NODE=M199R01


$D_1^*(2600)^0$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	20D	PR D101 032005	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP
AAIJ	16AH	PR D94 072001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	13CC	JHEP 1309 145	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	10P	PR D82 111101	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)

NODE=M199

REFID=60253
REFID=57518
REFID=55581
REFID=53534

NODE=M158



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(?^?)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
Seen in Z decays by ABREU 98M. Not seen by ABBIENDI 01N and
CHEKANOV 09. Needs confirmation.

NODE=M158

$D^*(2640)^\pm$ MASS

NODE=M158M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2637±2±6	66 ± 14	ABREU	98M DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^+\pi^-X$

NODE=M158M

$D^*(2640)^\pm$ WIDTH

NODE=M158W

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<15	95	ABREU	98M DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^+\pi^-X$

NODE=M158W

$D^*(2640)^+$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M158215;NODE=M158

$D^*(2640)^-$ modes are charge conjugates of modes below.

NODE=M158

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad D^*(2010)^+\pi^+\pi^-$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←


$D^*(2640)^\pm$ REFERENCES

NODE=M158

CHEKANOV 09	EPJ C60 25	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ABBIENDI 01N	EPJ C20 445	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABREU 98M	PL B426 231	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)

REFID=52733
REFID=48296
REFID=46315

NODE=M228



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^-)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
was $D(2740)^0$
 $J^P = 2^-$ determined by (AAIJ 20D).

NODE=M228

$D_2(2740)^0$ MASS

NODE=M228M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2747 ±6 OUR AVERAGE				
2751 ±3 ± 7	79k	¹ AAIJ	20D LHCB	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-\pi^-$
2737.0±3.5±11.2	7.7k	AAIJ	13CC LHCB	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-X$

NODE=M228M

¹ From a full four-body amplitude analysis of the $B^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-\pi^-$ decay.

NODE=M228M;LINKAGE=A

$D_2(2740)^0$ WIDTH

NODE=M228W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
88 ±19 OUR AVERAGE				
102 ± 6 ±26	79k	¹ AAIJ	20D LHCB	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-\pi^-$
73.2±13.4±25.0	7.7k	AAIJ	13CC LHCB	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-X$

NODE=M228W

¹ From a full four-body amplitude analysis of the $B^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-\pi^-$ decay.

NODE=M228W;LINKAGE=A

$D_2(2740)^0$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad D^{*+} \pi^-$	seen

 $D_2(2740)^0$ POLARIZATION AMPLITUDE A_{D_J}

A polarization amplitude A_{D_J} is a parameter that depends on the initial polarization of the D_J . For D_J decays the helicity angle, θ_H , distribution varies like $1 + A_{D_J} \cos^2(\theta_H)$, where θ_H is the angle in the D_J rest frame between the two pions emitted in the $D_J \rightarrow D^* \pi$ and $D^* \rightarrow D \pi$ decays.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
3.1 ± 2.2	7.7k	¹ AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
¹ Systematic uncertainty not estimated.				

 $D_2(2740)^0$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	20D PR D101 032005	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP
AAIJ	13CC JHEP 1309 145	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)

 $D_3^*(2750)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(3^-)$$

J^P determined by AAIJ 15Y from the Dalitz plot analysis of $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays.

 $D_3^*(2750)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
2763.1 ± 3.2 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.			
$2753 \pm 4 \pm 6$	79k	¹ AAIJ	20D LHCb		$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$
$2775.5 \pm 4.5 \pm 6.5$	28k	² AAIJ	16AH LHCb		$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
$2798 \pm 7 \pm 7$		³ AAIJ	15Y LHCb		$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
$2761.1 \pm 5.1 \pm 6.5$	14k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	0	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
$2760.1 \pm 1.1 \pm 3.7$	56k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	0	$pp \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
$2771.7 \pm 1.7 \pm 3.8$	20k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	+	$pp \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
$2752.4 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.7$	23.5k	⁴ DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
$2763.3 \pm 2.3 \pm 2.3$	11.3k	⁴ DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
$2769.7 \pm 3.8 \pm 1.5$	5.7k	^{4,5} DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	+	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$2802 \pm 11 \pm 10$		⁶ AAIJ	15Y LHCb		$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ From a full four-body amplitude analysis of the $B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$ decay.

² From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$, $D_1^*(2680)^0$, $D_3^*(2760)^0$, and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.

³ Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S-wave with the Isobar formalism.

⁴ The states observed in the $D^* \pi$ and $D \pi$ final states are not necessarily the same.

⁵ At a fixed width of 60.9 MeV.

⁶ Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S-wave with the K-matrix formalism.

NODE=M228215;NODE=M228

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M228PAM

NODE=M228PAM

NODE=M228PAM

NODE=M228PAM;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M228

REFID=60253
REFID=55581

NODE=M203

NODE=M203

NODE=M203M

NODE=M203M

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

NODE=M203M;LINKAGE=D


NODE=M203M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M203M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M203M;LINKAGE=DE

NODE=M203M;LINKAGE=DA

NODE=M203M;LINKAGE=B



$D_3^*(2750)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
66 $\pm$ 5 OUR AVERAGE					
66 $\pm$ 10 $\pm$ 14	79k	¹ AAIJ	20D LHCb		$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$
95.3 $\pm$ 9.6 $\pm$ 34.0	28k	² AAIJ	16AH LHCb		$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
105 $\pm$ 18 $\pm$ 24		³ AAIJ	15Y LHCb		$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
74.4 $\pm$ 3.4 $\pm$ 37.0	14k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	0	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
74.4 $\pm$ 3.4 $\pm$ 19.1	56k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	0	$pp \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
66.7 $\pm$ 6.6 $\pm$ 10.5	20k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	+	$pp \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$
71 $\pm$ 6 $\pm$ 11	23.5k	⁴ DEL-AMO-SA...10P	BABR		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
60.9 $\pm$ 5.1 $\pm$ 3.6	11.3k	⁴ DEL-AMO-SA...10P	BABR		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

154 $\pm$ 27 $\pm$ 16	⁵ AAIJ	15Y LHCb		$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ From a full four-body amplitude analysis of the $B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$ decay.

² From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$, $D_1^*(2680)^0$, $D_3^*(2760)^0$, and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.

³ Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S-wave with the Isobar formalism.

⁴ The states observed in the $D^* \pi$ and $D \pi$ final states are not necessarily the same.

⁵ Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S-wave with the K-matrix formalism.

NODE=M203W

NODE=M203W

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M203W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M203W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M203W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M203W;LINKAGE=DE

NODE=M203W;LINKAGE=B

$D_3^*(2750)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $D \pi$	seen
Γ_2 $D^+ \pi^-$	seen
Γ_3 $D^0 \pi^\pm$	seen
Γ_4 $D^* \pi$	seen
Γ_5 $D^{*+} \pi^-$	seen

NODE=M203215;NODE=M203

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$

DESIG=2;OUR EVAL; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$

DESIG=3;OUR EVAL; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$

DESIG=4;OUR EVAL; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$

DESIG=5;OUR EVAL; $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$

$D_3^*(2750)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(D^{*+} \pi^-)$	VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ_5
0.42 $\pm$ 0.05 $\pm$ 0.11	34.8k	¹ DEL-AMO-SA...10P	BABR		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$	

NODE=M203220

NODE=M203R01

NODE=M203R01

¹ The states observed in the $D^*\pi$ and $D\pi$ final states are not necessarily the same.

$D_3^*(2750)$ POLARIZATION AMPLITUDE A_D

A polarization amplitude A_D is a parameter that depends on the initial polarization of the $D_3^*(2750)$. For $D_3^*(2750)$ decays the helicity angle, θ_H , distribution varies like $1 + A_D \cos(\theta_H)$, where θ_H is the angle in the D^* rest frame between the two pions emitted by the $D_3^*(2750) \rightarrow D^*\pi$ and $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-0.33 ± 0.28	23.5k	¹ DEL-AMO-SA...10P	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^- X$

¹ Systematic uncertainties not estimated. The states observed in the $D^*\pi$ and $D\pi$ final states are not necessarily the same.

$D_3^*(2750)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	20D	PR D101 032005	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	16AH	PR D94 072001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	15Y	PR D92 032002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP
AAIJ	13CC	JHEP 1309 145	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA... 10P	PR D82 111101		P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)

$D_1^*(2760)^0$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^-)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

J^P determined by AAIJ 15V from the Dalitz plot analysis of $B^- \rightarrow D^+ K^- \pi^-$ decays.

$D_1^*(2760)^0$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$2781 \pm 18 \pm 13$	2k	¹ AAIJ	15V	LHCB $B^- \rightarrow D^+ K^- \pi^-$

¹ From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+\pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, nonresonant spin-0 and spin-1 components as well as the $D_0^*(2400)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$ and $D_1^*(2760)^0$ resonances.

$D_1^*(2760)^0$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$177 \pm 32 \pm 21$	2k	¹ AAIJ	15V	LHCB $B^- \rightarrow D^+ K^- \pi^-$

¹ From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+\pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, nonresonant spin-0 and spin-1 components as well as the $D_0^*(2400)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$ and $D_1^*(2760)^0$ resonances.

$D_1^*(2760)^0$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad D^+\pi^-$	seen

$D_1^*(2760)^0$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_1/Γ
seen	¹ AAIJ	15V	LHCB $B^- \rightarrow D^+ K^- \pi^-$	

¹ From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+\pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, nonresonant spin-0 and spin-1 components as well as the $D_0^*(2400)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$ and $D_1^*(2760)^0$ resonances.

NODE=M203R01;LINKAGE=DE

NODE=M203PAM

NODE=M203PAM

NODE=M203PAM

NODE=M203PAM;LINKAGE=DE

NODE=M203

REFID=60253
REFID=57518
REFID=56609
REFID=55581
REFID=53534

NODE=M249

NODE=M249

NODE=M249M

NODE=M249M

NODE=M249M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M249W

NODE=M249W

NODE=M249W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M249215;NODE=M249

DESIG=1

NODE=M249225

NODE=M249R01
NODE=M249R01
OCCUR=2

NODE=M249R01;LINKAGE=A

D₁^{*}(2760)⁰ REFERENCES

AAIJ 15V PR D91 092002 R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collab.) JP
Also PR D93 119901 (errat.) R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collab.)

NODE=M249

REFID=56575
REFID=57289

NODE=M229

D₂^{*}(3000)⁰

I(J^P) = $\frac{1}{2}(2^+)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

D₂^{*}(3000)⁰ MASS

NODE=M229M

NODE=M229M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3214 ±29 ±49	28k	¹ AAIJ	16AH LHCb	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2971.8± 8.7	9.5k	^{2,3} AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
3008.1± 4.0	17.6k	^{2,4} AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
¹ From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$, $D_1^*(2680)^0$, $D_3^*(2760)^0$, and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.				
² Systematic uncertainty not estimated.				
³ Unnatural parity preferred.				
⁴ Natural parity state. A state $D(3000)^+$ is possibly seen in $D^0 \pi^+$ final state.				

OCCUR=2

NODE=M229M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M229M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M229M;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M229M;LINKAGE=C

D₂^{*}(3000)⁰ WIDTH

NODE=M229W

NODE=M229W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
186 ±38 ±72	28k	¹ AAIJ	16AH LHCb	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
188.1±44.8	9.5k	^{2,3} AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
110.5±11.5	17.6k	^{2,4} AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
¹ From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and B^{*0} states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$, $D_1^*(2680)^0$, $D_3^*(2760)^0$, and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.				
² Systematic uncertainty not estimated.				
³ Unnatural parity preferred.				
⁴ Natural parity state. A state $D(3000)^+$ is possibly seen in $D^0 \pi^+$ final state.				

OCCUR=2

NODE=M229W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M229W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M229W;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M229W;LINKAGE=B

D₂^{*}(3000)⁰ DECAY MODES

NODE=M229215;NODE=M229

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $D^{*+} \pi^-$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

D₂^{*}(3000)⁰ POLARIZATION AMPLITUDE A_{D_J}

NODE=M229PAM

NODE=M229PAM

A polarization amplitude A_{D_J} is a parameter that depends on the initial polarization of the D_J . For D_J decays the helicity angle, θ_H , distribution varies like $1 + A_{D_J} \cos^2(\theta_H)$, where θ_H is the angle in the D_J rest frame between the two pions emitted in the $D_J \rightarrow D^* \pi$ and $D^* \rightarrow D \pi$ decays.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.5±0.9	9.5k	¹ AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
¹ Systematic uncertainty not estimated.				

NODE=M229PAM

NODE=M229PAM;LINKAGE=A

D₂^{*}(3000)⁰ REFERENCES

NODE=M229

AAIJ 16AH PR D94 072001 R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ 13CC JHEP 1309 145 R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collab.)

REFID=57518
REFID=55581

CHARMED, STRANGE MESONS

($C = \pm 1$, $S = \pm 1$)

(including possibly non- $q\bar{q}$ states)

$$D_s^+ = c\bar{s}, D_s^- = \bar{c}s, \text{ similarly for } D_s^{*+}s$$

$$D_s^{*\pm}$$

$$I(J^P) = 0(1^-)$$

$J^P = 1^-$ established by ABLIKIM 23AZ.

$D_s^{*\pm}$ MASS

The fit includes $D_s^\pm$, D^0 , $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2112.2$\pm$0.4 OUR FIT			
2106.6$\pm$2.1$\pm$2.7	¹ BLAYLOCK	87	MRK3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^\pm \gamma X$
¹ Assuming $D_s^\pm$ mass = 1968.7 $\pm$ 0.9 MeV.			

$$m_{D_s^{*\pm}} - m_{D_s^\pm}$$

The fit includes $D_s^\pm$, D^0 , $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
143.8 $\pm$ 0.4 OUR FIT				
143.9 $\pm$ 0.4 OUR AVERAGE				
143.76 $\pm$ 0.39 $\pm$ 0.40		GRONBERG	95	CLE2 e^+e^-
144.22 $\pm$ 0.47 $\pm$ 0.37		BROWN	94	CLE2 e^+e^-
142.5 $\pm$ 0.8 $\pm$ 1.5		² ALBRECHT	88	ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^\pm \gamma X$
139.5 $\pm$ 8.3 $\pm$ 9.7	60	AIHARA	84D	TPC $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
143.0 $\pm$ 18.0	8	ASRATYAN	85	HLBC FNAL 15-ft, ν - ² H
110 $\pm$ 46		BRANDELIK	79	DASP $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^\pm \gamma X$
² Result includes data of ALBRECHT 84B.				

$D_s^{*\pm}$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 1.9	90	GRONBERG	95	CLE2 e^+e^-
< 4.5	90	ALBRECHT	88	ARG $E_{cm}^{ee} = 10.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 4.9	90	BROWN	94	CLE2 e^+e^-
< 22	90	BLAYLOCK	87	MRK3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^\pm \gamma X$

D_s^{*+} DECAY MODES

D_s^{*-} modes are charge conjugates of the modes below.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $D_s^+ \gamma$	(93.6 $\pm$ 0.4) %
Γ_2 $D_s^+ \pi^0$	(5.77 $\pm$ 0.35) %
Γ_3 $D_s^+ e^+ e^-$	(6.7 $\pm$ 1.6) $\times 10^{-3}$
Γ_4 $e^+ \nu_e$	(2.1 $\pm$ 1.2 $\pm$ 0.9) $\times 10^{-5}$

NODE=MXXX040

NODE=MXXX040

NODE=S074

NODE=S074

NODE=S074M

NODE=S074M

NODE=S074M

NODE=S074M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=S074DM

NODE=S074DM

NODE=S074DM

NODE=S074DM;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S074W

NODE=S074W

NODE=S074215;NODE=S074

NODE=S074

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 2 branching ratios uses 4 measurements and one constraint to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 0.0$ for 2 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	−91	
x_3	−38	−4
	x_1	x_2

D_s^{*+} BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=S074220

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1 / Γ
VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		

NODE=S074R1
NODE=S074R1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	ASRATYAN	91	HLBC	$\bar{\nu}_\mu \text{Ne}$
seen	ALBRECHT	88	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^\pm \gamma X$
seen	AIHARA	84D		
seen	ALBRECHT	84B		
seen	BRANDELIK	79		

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^0) / \Gamma(D_s^+ \gamma)$					Γ_2 / Γ_1
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		

NODE=S074R2
NODE=S074R2**6.2 ± 0.4 OUR FIT****6.2 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE**

6.16 ± 0.43 ± 0.18	ABLIKIM	23P	BES3	$e^+ e^-$
6.2 ± 0.5 ± 0.6	AUBERT, BE	05G	BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
6.2 $^{+2.0}_{-1.8}$ ± 2.2	GRONBERG	95	CLE2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(D_s^+ e^+ e^-) / \Gamma(D_s^+ \gamma)$					Γ_3 / Γ_1
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=S074R01
NODE=S074R01**7.2 ± 1.7 OUR FIT**

7.2 $^{+1.5}_{-1.3}$ ± 1.0	38	CRONIN-HEN..12	CLEO	4.17 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_4 / Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		

NODE=S074R02
NODE=S074R02

2.1 $^{+1.2}_{-0.9}$ ± 0.2	ABLIKIM	23BF	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^- D_s^{*+}$

$D_s^{*\pm}$ REFERENCES

NODE=S074

ABLIKIM	23AZ	PL B846 138245	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.) JP	REFID=62420
ABLIKIM	23BF	PRL 131 141802	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62430
ABLIKIM	23P	PR D107 032011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62059
CRONIN-HEN..12	PR D86 072005		D. Cronin-Hennessey <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=54627
AUBERT, BE	05G	PR D72 091101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50942
GRONBERG	95	PRL 75 3232	J. Gronberg <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=44568
BROWN	94	PR D50 1884	D. Brown <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=43868
ASRATYAN	91	PL B257 525	A.E. Asratyan <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, BELG, SACL+)	REFID=41582
ALBRECHT	88	PL B207 349	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=40269
BLAYLOCK	87	PRL 58 2171	G.T. Blaylock <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40005
ASRATYAN	85	PL 156B 441	A.E. Asratyan <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, SERP)	REFID=22887
AIHARA	84D	PRL 53 2465	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC Collab.)	REFID=11561
ALBRECHT	84B	PL 146B 111	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=22886
BRANDELIK	79	PL 80B 412	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=11442

$$D_{s0}^*(2317)^\pm$$

$$I(J^P) = 0(0^+)$$

J, P need confirmation.

AUBERT 06P and CHOI 15A do not observe neutral and doubly charged partners of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)^\pm$. See the review on "Heavy Non- $q\bar{q}$ Mesons."

NODE=M172

NODE=M172

$D_{s0}^*(2317)^\pm$ MASS

The fit includes $D^\pm, D^0, D_s^\pm, D^{*\pm}, D^{*0}, D_s^{*\pm}, D_1(2420)^0, D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M172M

NODE=M172M

NODE=M172M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2317.8±0.5 OUR FIT				
2318.0±0.7 OUR AVERAGE				
2318.3±1.2±1.2	115	¹ ABLIKIM	18J BES3	4.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*\pm} D_{s0}^*(2317)^\mp$
2319.6±0.2±1.4	3.1k	AUBERT	06P BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^0 X$
2317.3±0.4±0.8	1.0k	² AUBERT	04E BABR	10.6 e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2317.2±1.3	88	³ AUBERT,B	04S BABR	$B \rightarrow D_{s0}^{(*)}(2317)^+ \bar{D}^{(*)}$
2317.2±0.5±0.9	761	⁴ MIKAMI	04 BELL	10.6 e^+e^-
2316.8±0.4±3.0	1.2k	^{4,5} AUBERT	03G BABR	10.6 e^+e^-
2317.6±1.3	273	^{4,6} AUBERT	03G BABR	10.6 e^+e^-
2319.8±2.1±2.0	24	⁴ KROKOVNY	03B BELL	10.6 e^+e^-
¹ From a fit of the D_s^* recoil mass where the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ signal is described with a Crystal Ball function convolved with a Gaussian function.				
² Supersedes AUBERT 03G.				
³ Systematic errors not evaluated.				
⁴ Not independent of the corresponding $m_{D_{s0}^*(2317)} - m_{D_s}$.				
⁵ From $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$ decay.				
⁶ From $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ decay.				

OCCUR=2

NODE=M172M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M172M;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M172M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M172M;LINKAGE=B1

NODE=M172M;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=M172M;LINKAGE=A2

$$m_{D_{s0}^*(2317)^\pm} - m_{D_s^\pm}$$

The fit includes $D^\pm, D^0, D_s^\pm, D^{*\pm}, D^{*0}, D_s^{*\pm}, D_1(2420)^0, D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M172DM

NODE=M172DM

NODE=M172DM

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
349.4±0.5 OUR FIT				
349.2±0.7 OUR AVERAGE				
348.7±0.5±0.7	761	MIKAMI	04 BELL	10.6 e^+e^-
350.0±1.2±1.0	135	BESSON	03 CLE2	10.6 e^+e^-
351.3±2.1±1.9	24	⁷ KROKOVNY	03B BELL	10.6 e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
349.6±0.4±3.0	1267	^{8,9} AUBERT	03G BABR	10.6 e^+e^-
350.2±1.3	273	^{10,11} AUBERT	03G BABR	10.6 e^+e^-
⁷ Recalculated by us using $m_{D_s^+} = 1968.5 \pm 0.6$ MeV.				
⁸ From $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$ decay.				
⁹ Recalculated by us using $m_{D_s^+} = 1967.20 \pm 0.03$ MeV.				
¹⁰ From $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ decay.				
¹¹ Recalculated by us using $m_{D_s^+} = 1967.4 \pm 0.2$ MeV. Systematic errors not estimated.				

OCCUR=2

NODE=M172DM;LINKAGE=K3

NODE=M172DM;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=M172DM;LINKAGE=C1

NODE=M172DM;LINKAGE=A2

NODE=M172DM;LINKAGE=C2

$D_{s0}^*(2317)^\pm$ WIDTH

NODE=M172W

NODE=M172W

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.8	95	3180	AUBERT	06P BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^0 X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 4.6	90	761	MIKAMI	04 BELL	10.6 e^+e^-
<10			AUBERT	03G BABR	10.6 e^+e^-
< 7	90	135	BESSON	03 CLE2	10.6 e^+e^-

$D_{s0}^*(2317)^\pm$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M172215;NODE=M172

 $D_{s0}^*(2317)^-$ modes are charge conjugates of modes below.

NODE=M172

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \quad D_s^+ \pi^0$	$(100^{+0}_{-20}) \%$	
$\Gamma_2 \quad D_s^+ \gamma$	$< 5 \%$	90%
$\Gamma_3 \quad D_s^*(2112)^+ \gamma$	$< 6 \%$	90%
$\Gamma_4 \quad D_s^+ \gamma \gamma$	$< 18 \%$	95%
$\Gamma_5 \quad D_s^*(2112)^+ \pi^0$	$< 11 \%$	90%
$\Gamma_6 \quad D_s^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	$< 4 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_7 \quad D_s^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$	not seen	

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=7;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $D_{s0}^*(2317)^\pm$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M172220

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$1.00^{+0.00+0.00}_{-0.14-0.14}$	47	ABLIKIM	18J	BES3	$4.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*\pm} D_{s0}^*(2317)^\mp$

NODE=M172R1

NODE=M172R1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen 1.5k AUBERT 03G BABR $10.6 e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \gamma)/\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^0)$					Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.05	90	MIKAMI	04	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.14	95	AUBERT	06P	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^-$
<0.052	90	BESSION	03	CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^-$

NODE=M172R5

NODE=M172R5

$\Gamma(D_s^*(2112)^+ \gamma)/\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^0)$					Γ_3/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.059	90	BESSION	03	CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.16	95	AUBERT	06P	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^-$
<0.18	90	MIKAMI	04	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^-$

NODE=M172R6

NODE=M172R6

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \gamma \gamma)/\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^0)$					Γ_4/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.18	95	AUBERT	06P	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
not seen		AUBERT	03G	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^-$

NODE=M172R7

NODE=M172R7

$\Gamma(D_s^*(2112)^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^0)$					Γ_5/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.11	90	BESSION	03	CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^-$

NODE=M172R8

NODE=M172R8

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^0)$					Γ_6/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.004	90	MIKAMI	04	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.005	95	AUBERT	06P	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^-$
<0.019	90	BESSION	03	CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^-$

NODE=M172R9

NODE=M172R9

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^0)$					Γ_7/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.25	95	AUBERT	06P	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^-$

NODE=M172R10

NODE=M172R10

$D_{s0}^*(2317)^\pm$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	18J	PR D97 051103	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
CHOI	15A	PR D91 092011	S.-K. Choi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	06P	PR D74 032007	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	04E	PR D69 031101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,B	04S	PRL 93 181801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
MIKAMI	04	PRL 92 012002	Y. Mikami <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	03G	PRL 90 242001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BESSION	03	PR D68 032002	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KROKOVNY	03B	PRL 91 262002	P. Krokovny <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

NODE=M172

REFID=58895
REFID=56577
REFID=51144
REFID=49747
REFID=50195
REFID=49629
REFID=49417
REFID=49583
REFID=49615

 $D_{s1}(2460)^\pm$

$$I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$$

See the review on "Heavy Non- $q\bar{q}$ Mesons."

NODE=M173

NODE=M173

 $D_{s1}(2460)^\pm$ MASS

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0 , $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$,
and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M173M

NODE=M173M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M173M

 2459.5 ± 0.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1. **2459.6 ± 0.9 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.3.2460.1 $\pm 0.2 \pm 0.8$ ¹ AUBERT 06P BABR 10.6 $e^+ e^-$ 2458.0 $\pm 1.0 \pm 1.0$ 195 AUBERT 04E BABR 10.6 $e^+ e^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2459.5 $\pm 1.2 \pm 3.7$ 920 AUBERT 06P BABR 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ \gamma X$

OCCUR=2

2458.6 $\pm 1.0 \pm 2.5$ 560 AUBERT 06P BABR 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^0 \gamma X$

OCCUR=3

2460.2 $\pm 0.2 \pm 0.8$ 123 AUBERT 06P BABR 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^+ \pi^- X$

OCCUR=4

2458.9 ± 1.5 112 ² AUBERT,B 04S BABR $B \rightarrow D_{s1}(2460)^+ \bar{D}^{(*)}$ 2461.1 ± 1.6 139 ³ AUBERT,B 04S BABR $B \rightarrow D_{s1}(2460)^+ \bar{D}^{(*)}$

OCCUR=2

2456.5 $\pm 1.3 \pm 1.3$ 126 ^{4,5} MIKAMI 04 BELL 10.6 $e^+ e^-$ 2459.5 $\pm 1.3 \pm 2.0$ 152 ^{6,7} MIKAMI 04 BELL 10.6 $e^+ e^-$

OCCUR=2

2459.9 $\pm 0.9 \pm 1.6$ 60 ^{6,7} MIKAMI 04 BELL 10.6 $e^+ e^-$

OCCUR=3

2459.2 $\pm 1.6 \pm 2.0$ 57 KROKOVNY 03B BELL 10.6 $e^+ e^-$ ¹ The average of the values obtained from the $D_s^+ \gamma$, $D_s^+ \pi^0 \gamma$, $D_s^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ final state.² Systematic errors not evaluated. From the decay to $D_s^{*+} \pi^0$.³ Systematic errors not evaluated. From the decay to $D_s^+ \gamma$.⁴ Not independent of the corresponding $m_{D_{s1}(2460)^\pm} - m_{D_s^{*\pm}}$.⁵ Using $m_{D_s^{*+}} = 2112.4 \pm 0.7$ MeV.⁶ Not independent of the corresponding $m_{D_{s1}(2460)^\pm} - m_{D_s^\pm}$.⁷ Using $m_{D_s^+} = 1968.5 \pm 0.6$ MeV.

NODE=M173M;LINKAGE=UB

NODE=M173M;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M173M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M173M;LINKAGE=B1

NODE=M173M;LINKAGE=B2

NODE=M173M;LINKAGE=B3

NODE=M173M;LINKAGE=B4

 $m_{D_{s1}(2460)^\pm} - m_{D_s^{*\pm}}$

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0 , $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$,
and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M173MD

NODE=M173MD


VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M173MD

 347.3 ± 0.7 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2. **347.1 ± 2.2 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.344.1 $\pm 1.3 \pm 1.1$ 126 MIKAMI 04 BELL 10.6 $e^+ e^-$ 351.2 $\pm 1.7 \pm 1.0$ 41 BESSION 03 CLE2 10.6 $e^+ e^-$ 346.8 $\pm 1.6 \pm 1.9$ 57 ⁸ KROKOVNY 03B BELL 10.6 $e^+ e^-$

⁸ Recalculated by us using $m_{D_s^{*+}} = 2112.4 \pm 0.7$ MeV.

NODE=M173MD;LINKAGE=K3



$m_{D_{s1}(2460)^{\pm}} - m_{D_s^{\pm}}$

The fit includes $D^{\pm}$, D^0 , $D_s^{\pm}$, $D_s^{*\pm}$, D_s^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^{\pm}$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M173DM

NODE=M173DM

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

491.1±0.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

491.3±1.4 OUR AVERAGE

491.0±1.3±1.9	152	⁹ MIKAMI	04	BELL	10.6 e^+e^-

491.4±0.9±1.5	60	¹⁰ MIKAMI	04	BELL	10.6 e^+e^-

⁹ From the decay to $D_s^{\pm}\gamma$.

¹⁰ From the decay to $D_s^{\pm}\pi^+\pi^-$.

NODE=M173DM

OCCUR=2

NODE=M173DM;LINKAGE=M1

NODE=M173DM;LINKAGE=M2

$D_{s1}(2460)^{\pm}$ WIDTH

NODE=M173W

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

< 3.5	95	123	AUBERT	06P	BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^+\pi^-X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 6.3	95	560	AUBERT	06P	BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^0\gamma X$

<10		195	AUBERT	04E	BABR 10.6 e^+e^-

< 5.5	90	126	MIKAMI	04	BELL 10.6 e^+e^-

< 7	90	41	BESSON	03	CLE2 10.6 e^+e^-

NODE=M173W

OCCUR=2

$D_{s1}(2460)^+$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M173215;NODE=M173

$D_{s1}(2460)^-$ modes are charge conjugates of the modes below.

NODE=M173

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1 $D_s^{*+}\pi^0$	(48 ±11) %	
Γ_2 $D_s^+\gamma$	(18 ± 4) %	
Γ_3 $D_s^+\pi^+\pi^-$	(4.3± 1.3) %	S=1.1
Γ_4 $D_s^{*+}\gamma$	< 8 %	CL=90%

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

Γ_5	$D_{s0}^*(2317)^+ \gamma$	$(3.7^{+5.0}_{-2.4}) \%$	DESIG=5
Γ_6	$D_s^+ \pi^0$		DESIG=7
Γ_7	$D_s^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$		DESIG=8
Γ_8	$D_s^+ \gamma \gamma$		DESIG=9

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 7 branching ratios uses 8 measurements and one constraint to determine 5 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 3.4$ for 4 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	80		
x_3	68	62	
x_5	-3	25	26
	x_1	x_2	x_3

$D_{s1}(2460)^\pm$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M173220

$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1 / Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.48 ± 0.11 OUR FIT**0.56 ± 0.13 ± 0.09** ¹¹ AUBERT 06N BABR $B \rightarrow D_{s1}(2460)^- \bar{D}^*$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen 41 BESSON 03 CLE2 10.6 $e^+ e^-$ ¹¹ Evaluated in AUBERT 06N including measurements from AUBERT,B 04s.NODE=M173R1
NODE=M173R1

NODE=M173R1;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2 / Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.18 ± 0.04 OUR FIT**0.16 ± 0.04 ± 0.03** ¹² AUBERT 06N BABR $B \rightarrow D_{s1}(2460)^- \bar{D}^*$ ¹² Evaluated in AUBERT 06N including measurements from AUBERT,B 04s.NODE=M173R6
NODE=M173R6

NODE=M173R6;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \gamma) / \Gamma(D_s^{*+} \pi^0)$ Γ_2 / Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.38 ± 0.05 OUR FIT**0.44 ± 0.09 OUR AVERAGE**0.55 ± 0.13 ± 0.08 152 MIKAMI 04 BELL 10.6 $e^+ e^-$ 0.38 ± 0.11 ± 0.04 38 KROKOVNY 03B BELL 10.6 $e^+ e^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.274 ± 0.045 ± 0.020 251 ¹³ AUBERT,B 04S BABR $B \rightarrow D_{s1}(2460)^+ \bar{D}^*$ < 0.49 90 BESSON 03 CLE2 10.6 $e^+ e^-$ ¹³ Used by AUBERT 06N in their measurement of $B(D_s^{*-} \pi^0)$ and $B(D_s^- \gamma)$.NODE=M173R2
NODE=M173R2

NODE=M173R2;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma(D_s^{*+} \pi^0)$ Γ_3 / Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.090 ± 0.020 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.**0.14 ± 0.04 ± 0.02** 60 MIKAMI 04 BELL 10.6 $e^+ e^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.08 90 BESSON 03 CLE2 10.6 $e^+ e^-$ NODE=M173R3
NODE=M173R3

$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \gamma) / \Gamma(D_s^{*+} \pi^0)$ Γ_4 / Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

< 0.16 90 BESSON 03 CLE2 10.6 $e^+ e^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.31 90 MIKAMI 04 BELL 10.6 $e^+ e^-$ NODE=M173R4
NODE=M173R4

$$\Gamma(D_{s0}^*(2317)^+\gamma)/\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\pi^0)$$

$$\Gamma_5/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.22	95	AUBERT	04E BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.58	90	BESSION	03 CLE2	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻

NODE=M173R5
NODE=M173R5

$$\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\pi^0)/[\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\pi^0) + \Gamma(D_{s0}^*(2317)^+\gamma)]$$

$$\Gamma_1/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_5)$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.93±0.09 OUR FIT			
0.97±0.09±0.05	AUBERT	06P BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻

NODE=M173R7
NODE=M173R7

$$\Gamma(D_s^+\gamma)/[\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\pi^0) + \Gamma(D_{s0}^*(2317)^+\gamma)]$$

$$\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_5)$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.35 ±0.04 OUR FIT			
0.337±0.036±0.038	AUBERT	06P BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻

NODE=M173R8
NODE=M173R8

$$\Gamma(D_s^+\pi^+\pi^-)/[\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\pi^0) + \Gamma(D_{s0}^*(2317)^+\gamma)]$$

$$\Gamma_3/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_5)$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.083±0.017 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
0.077±0.013±0.008	AUBERT	06P BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻

NODE=M173R9
NODE=M173R9

$$\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\gamma)/[\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\pi^0) + \Gamma(D_{s0}^*(2317)^+\gamma)]$$

$$\Gamma_4/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_5)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.24	95	AUBERT	06P BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻

NODE=M173R10
NODE=M173R10

$$\Gamma(D_{s0}^*(2317)^+\gamma)/[\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\pi^0) + \Gamma(D_{s0}^*(2317)^+\gamma)]$$

$$\Gamma_5/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_5)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.25	95	AUBERT	06P BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻

NODE=M173R11
NODE=M173R11

$$\Gamma(D_s^+\pi^0)/[\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\pi^0) + \Gamma(D_{s0}^*(2317)^+\gamma)]$$

$$\Gamma_6/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_5)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.042	95	AUBERT	06P BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻

NODE=M173R12
NODE=M173R12

$$\Gamma(D_s^+\pi^0\pi^0)/[\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\pi^0) + \Gamma(D_{s0}^*(2317)^+\gamma)]$$

$$\Gamma_7/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_5)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.68	95	AUBERT	06P BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻

NODE=M173R13
NODE=M173R13

$$\Gamma(D_s^+\gamma\gamma)/[\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\pi^0) + \Gamma(D_{s0}^*(2317)^+\gamma)]$$

$$\Gamma_8/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_5)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.33	95	AUBERT	06P BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻

NODE=M173R14
NODE=M173R14

$D_{s1}(2460)^\pm$ REFERENCES

NODE=M173

AUBERT	06N	PR D74 031103	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	06P	PR D74 032007	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	04E	PR D69 031101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,B	04S	PRL 93 181801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
MIKAMI	04	PRL 92 012002	Y. Mikami <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BESSION	03	PR D68 032002	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KROKOVNY	03B	PRL 91 262002	P. Krokovny <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

REFID=51142
REFID=51144
REFID=49747
REFID=50195
REFID=49629
REFID=49583
REFID=49615

$$D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$$

$$I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$$

J, P need confirmation.

Seen in $D^*(2010)^+ K^0$, $D^*(2007)^0 K^+$, and $D_s^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$. Not seen in $D^+ K^0$ or $D^0 K^+$. $J^P = 1^+$ assignment strongly favored.

NODE=M121

NODE=M121

$D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ MASS

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0 , $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M121M

NODE=M121M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2535.12 ± 0.06 OUR FIT				
[2535.11 ± 0.06 MeV OUR 2025 FIT]				
2535.21 ± 0.28 OUR AVERAGE				
2537.7 ± 0.5 ± 3.1	24	¹ ABLIKIM	19P BES3	$4.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ \bar{D}^0 K^-$
2535.7 ± 0.6 ± 0.5	46	² ABAZOV	09G D0	$B_s^0 \rightarrow D_{s1}^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu X$
2534.78 ± 0.31 ± 0.40	182	AUBERT	08B BABR	$B \rightarrow \bar{D}^{(*)} D^* K$
2534.6 ± 0.3 ± 0.7	193	AUBERT	06P BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^+ \pi^- X$
2535.3 ± 0.7	92	³ HEISTER	02B ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} K^0 X, D^{*0} K^+ X$
2534.2 ± 1.2	9	ASRATYAN	94 BEBC	$\nu N \rightarrow D^{*0} K^0 X, D^{*0} K^\pm X$
2535 ± 0.6 ± 1	75	FRABETTI	94B E687	$\gamma Be \rightarrow D^{*+} K^0 X, D^{*0} K^+ X$
2535.2 ± 0.5 ± 1.5	28	ALBRECHT	92R ARG	$10.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} K^+ X$
2536.6 ± 0.7 ± 0.4		AVERY	90 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} K^0 X$
2535.9 ± 0.6 ± 2.0		ALBRECHT	89E ARG	$D_{s1}^* \rightarrow D^*(2010) K^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2534.1 ± 0.6	116	⁴ AUSHEV	11 BELL	$B \rightarrow D_{s1}(2536)^+ D^{(*)}$
2535.08 ± 0.01 ± 0.15	8038	⁵ LEES	11B BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} K_S^0 X$
2535.57 ^{+0.44} _{-0.41} ± 0.10	236	⁶ CHEKANOV	09 ZEUS	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{*+} K_S^0 X, D^{*0} K^+ X$
2535.3 ± 0.2 ± 0.5	134	⁷ ALEXANDER	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} K^+ X$
2534.8 ± 0.6 ± 0.6	44	⁸ ALEXANDER	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} K^0 X$
2535 ± 28		⁹ ASRATYAN	88 HLBC	$\nu N \rightarrow D_s \gamma \gamma X$

NODE=M121M

NEW

OCCUR=2

NODE=M121M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M121M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M121M;LINKAGE=HI

NODE=M121M;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M121M;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M121M;LINKAGE=CH

NODE=M121M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M121M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M121M;LINKAGE=B

¹ From a fit of the D_s^+ recoil mass distribution with an incoherent sum of the S -wave and D -wave Breit-Wigner line shapes.

² Using the $D^*(2010)^\pm$ mass of 2010.0 ± 0.4 MeV from PDG 06.

³ Calculated using $m(D^*(2010)^\pm) = 2010.0 \pm 0.5$ MeV, $m(D^*(2007)^0) = 2006.7 \pm 0.5$ MeV, and the mass difference below.

⁴ Systematic uncertainties not evaluated.

⁵ Calculated using the mass difference $m(D_{s1}^+) - m(D^{*+})_{PDG}$ below and $m(D^{*+})_{PDG} = 2010.25 \pm 0.14$ MeV. Assuming S -wave decay of the $D_{s1}(2536)$ to $D^{*+} K_S^0$, using a Breit-Wigner line shape corresponding to $L=0$.

⁶ Calculated using the mass difference $m(D_{s1}^+) - m(D^{*+})_{PDG}$ reported below and $m(D^{*+})_{PDG} = 2010.27 \pm 0.17$ MeV.

⁷ Calculated using $m(D^*(2007)^0) = 2006.6 \pm 0.5$ MeV and the mass difference below.

⁸ Calculated using $m(D^*(2010)^\pm) = 2010.1 \pm 0.6$ MeV and the mass difference below.

⁹ Not seen in $D^* K$.

$m_{D_{s1}(2536)^\pm} - m_{D_s^*(2111)}$

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0 , $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M121DM

NODE=M121DM

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
422.9 ± 0.4 OUR FIT			
424 ± 28	ASRATYAN	88 HLBC	$D_s^{*\pm} \gamma$

NODE=M121DM

$m_{D_{s1}(2536)^\pm} - m_{D^*(2010)^\pm}$

NODE=M121DN

The fit includes $D^\pm, D^0, D_s^\pm, D^{*\pm}, D^{*0}, D_s^{*\pm}, D_1(2420)^0, D_2^*(2460)^0$,
and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M121DN

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
524.85±0.04 OUR FIT				
524.84±0.04 OUR AVERAGE				
524.83±0.01±0.04	8038	¹⁰ LEES	11B BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} K_S^0 X$
525.30 ^{+0.44} _{-0.41} ±0.10	236 ± 30	CHEKANOV	09 ZEUS	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{*+} K_S^0 X,$ $D^{*0} K^+ X$
525.3 ±0.6 ±0.1	41	HEISTER	02B ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} K^0 X$
524.7 ±0.6 ±0.2	44	ALEXANDER	93 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} K_S^0 X$
¹⁰ Assuming S -wave decay of the $D_{s1}(2536)$ to $D^{*+} K_S^0$, using a Breit-Wigner line shape corresponding to $L=0$.				

NODE=M121DN

OCCUR=2

NODE=M121DN;LINKAGE=LE

$m_{D_{s1}(2536)^\pm} - m_{D^{*}(2007)^0}$

The fit includes $D^\pm, D^0, D_s^\pm, D^{*\pm}, D^{*0}, D_s^{*\pm}, D_1(2420)^0, D_2^*(2460)^0$,
and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=M121DP

NODE=M121DP

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
528.26±0.05 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
528.68±0.28 OUR AVERAGE				
528.7 ±1.9 ±0.5	51	HEISTER	02B ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} K^+ X$
527.3 ±2.2	29	ACKERSTAFF	97W OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} K^+ X$
528.7 ±0.2 ±0.2	134	ALEXANDER	93 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} K^+ X$

NODE=M121DP

OCCUR=2

$D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL% EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.92±0.05 OUR AVERAGE				
1.7 ±1.2 ±0.6	24	¹¹ ABLIKIM	19P BES3	4.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ \bar{D}^0 K^-$
0.92±0.03±0.04	8038	¹² LEES	11B BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} K_S^0 X$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.75±0.23	116	¹³ AUSHEV	11 BELL	$B \rightarrow D_{s1}(2536)^+ D^{(*)}$
< 2.5	95	193 AUBERT	06P BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $D_s^+ \pi^+ \pi^- X$
< 3.2	90	75 FRABETTI	94B E687	$\gamma Be \rightarrow D^{*+} K^0 X,$ $D^{*0} K^+ X$
< 2.3	90	ALEXANDER	93 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} K^+ X$
< 3.9	90	ALBRECHT	92R ARG	10.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} K^+ X$
< 5.44	90	AVERY	90 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} K^0 X$
< 4.6	90	ALBRECHT	89E ARG	$D_{s1}^* \rightarrow D^*(2010) K^0$

NODE=M121W

NODE=M121W

¹¹ From a fit of the D_s^+ recoil mass distribution with an incoherent sum of the S -wave and S -wave Breit-Wigner line shapes.

¹² Assuming S -wave decay of the $D_{s1}(2536)$ to $D^{*+} K_S^0$, using a Breit-Wigner line shape corresponding to $L=0$.

¹³ Systematic uncertainties not evaluated.

NODE=M121W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M121W;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M121W;LINKAGE=AU

$D_{s1}(2536)^+$ DECAY MODES

Branching fractions are given relative to the one **DEFINED AS 1**.
 $D_{s1}(2536)^-$ modes are charge conjugates of the modes below.

NODE=M121215;NODE=M121

NODE=M121

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $D^*(2010)^+ K^0$	(31 ±7) %	DESIG=1
Γ_2 $(D^*(2010)^+ K^0)_{S-wave}$	(22 ±5) %	DESIG=7
Γ_3 $(D^*(2010)^+ K^0)_{D-wave}$		DESIG=9
Γ_4 $K_S^0 D^*(2010)^+$	(17 ±4) %	DESIG=10
Γ_5 $D^+ \pi^- K^+$	$(10.0 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=8
Γ_6 $D^*(2007)^0 K^+$	(36 ±6) %	DESIG=4
Γ_7 $D^+ K^0$	< 12 %	90% DESIG=2
Γ_8 $D^0 K^+$	< 4 %	90% DESIG=5
Γ_9 $D_s^{*+} \gamma$	possibly seen	DESIG=3
Γ_{10} $D_s^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen	DESIG=6

$D_{s1}(2536)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M121220

$$\Gamma((D^*(2010)^+ K^0)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ K^0) \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$$

NODE=M121R8
NODE=M121R8

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.72 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.01$	5485	BALAGURA	08	BELL $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} K^0 X$

$$\Gamma(D_s^0 D^*(2010)^+)/\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 K^+) \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma_6$$

NODE=M121R00
NODE=M121R00

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.48 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$	GAO	23	BELL $e^+ e^-$ at 10.52 GeV

$$\Gamma(D^+ \pi^- K^+)/\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ K^0) \quad \Gamma_5/\Gamma_1$$

NODE=M121R9
NODE=M121R9

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.27 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.37$	1264	BALAGURA	08	BELL $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- K^+ X$

$$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

NODE=M121R01
NODE=M121R01

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$35.9 \pm 4.8 \pm 3.5$	¹⁴ ABLIKIM	24BN BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_{s1}(2536)^-$

¹⁴ Determined as ratio of exclusive $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ [\bar{D}^{*0} K^-]$ and inclusive $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ X$ measurements.

NODE=M121R01;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 K^+)/\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ K^0) \quad \Gamma_6/\Gamma_1$$

NODE=M121R6
NODE=M121R6

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.18 ± 0.16 OUR AVERAGE				

$0.88 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.08$	116	AUSHEV	11	BELL $B \rightarrow D_{s1}(2536)^+ D^{(*)}$
$2.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3$	236 $\pm$ 30	CHEKANOV	09	ZEUS $e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{*+} K_S^0 X$,
				$D^{*0} K^+ X$
$1.32 \pm 0.47 \pm 0.23$	92	¹⁵ HEISTER	02B	ALEP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} K^0 X$,
				$D^{*0} K^+ X$
$1.9 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.9$	35	¹⁵ ACKERSTAFF	97W	OPAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} K^+ X$,
				$D^{*+} K^0 X$
1.1 ± 0.3		ALEXANDER	93	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$
				$D^{*0} K^+ X, D^{*+} K^0 X$
$1.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2$		¹⁶ ALBRECHT	92R	ARG $10.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow$
				$D^{*0} K^+ X, D^{*+} K^0 X$

¹⁵ Ratio of the production rates measured in Z^0 decays.

¹⁶ Evaluated by us from published inclusive cross-sections.

NODE=M121R6;LINKAGE=6A
NODE=M121R6;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(D^+ K^0)/\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ K^0) \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma_1$$

NODE=M121R1
NODE=M121R1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.40	90	ALEXANDER	93	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} K^0 X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.43	90	ALBRECHT	89E	ARG $D_{s1}^* \rightarrow D^*(2010) K^0$

$$\Gamma(D^0 K^+)/\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 K^+) \quad \Gamma_8/\Gamma_6$$

NODE=M121R4
NODE=M121R4

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.12	90	ALEXANDER	93	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} K^+ X$

$$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

NODE=M121R3
NODE=M121R3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
possibly seen	ASRATYAN	88	HLBC $\nu N \rightarrow D_s \gamma \gamma X$

$$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \gamma)/\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 K^+) \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma_6$$

NODE=M121R5
NODE=M121R5

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.42	90	ALEXANDER	93	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} K^+ X$

$$\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M121R7
NODE=M121R7

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AUBERT	06P	BABR $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^+ \pi^- X$

$D_{s1}(2536)^{\pm}$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	24BN	PRL 133 171903	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
GAO	23	PR D108 112015	B.S. Gao <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19P	CP C43 031001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AUSHEV	11	PR D83 051102	T. Aushev <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	11B	PR D83 072003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ABAZOV	09G	PRL 102 051801	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
CHEKANOV	09	EPJ C60 25	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
AUBERT	08B	PR D77 011102	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BALAGURA	08	PR D77 032001	V. Balagura <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	06P	PR D74 032007	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
HEISTER	02B	PL B526 34	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97W	ZPHY C76 425	K. Akerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ASRATYAN	94	ZPHY C61 563	A.E. Asratyan <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, BELG, CERN+)
FRABETTI	94B	PRL 72 324	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
ALEXANDER	93	PL B303 377	J. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92R	PL B297 425	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
AVERY	90	PR D41 774	P. Avery, D. Besson	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	89E	PL B230 162	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ASRATYAN	88	ZPHY C40 483	A.E. Asratyan <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, SERP)

NODE=M121

REFID=63015
REFID=62519
REFID=59617
REFID=16505
REFID=16773
REFID=52652
REFID=52733
REFID=52120
REFID=52133
REFID=51144
REFID=51004
REFID=48562
REFID=45788
REFID=43667
REFID=43687
REFID=43316
REFID=43179
REFID=41013
REFID=40914
REFID=40916

NODE=M148

$D_{s2}^*(2573)$

$I(J^P) = 0(2^+)$

$D_{s2}^*(2573)$ MASS


NODE=M148M

NODE=M148M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2569.1 ± 0.8	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.4. See the ideogram below.		
2570.7 $\pm 2.0 \pm 1.7$	62	¹ ABLIKIM	19P BES3	$4.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ \bar{D}^0 K^-$
2568.39 $\pm 0.29 \pm 0.26$		AAIJ	14AW LHCb	$B_s^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^- \pi^+$
2569.4 $\pm 1.6 \pm 0.5$	82	AAIJ	11A LHCb	$B_s \rightarrow D_{s2}^*(2573) \mu \bar{\nu} X$
2572.2 $\pm 0.3 \pm 1.0$		AUBERT,BE	06E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D K X$
2574.5 $\pm 3.3 \pm 1.6$		ALBRECHT	96 ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 K^+ X$
2573.2 $^{+1.7}_{-1.6} \pm 0.9$	217	KUBOTA	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \sim 10.5 \text{ GeV}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2570.0 ± 4.3	25	² EVDOKIMOV	04 SELX	$600 \Sigma^- A \rightarrow D^0 K^+ X$
2568.6 ± 3.2	64	³ HEISTER	02B ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 K^+ X$

- ¹ From a fit of the D_s^+ recoil mass distribution.
² Not independent of the mass difference below.
³ Calculated using $m_{D^0} = 1864.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ MeV}$ and the mass difference below.

NODE=M148M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M148M;LINKAGE=EV
NODE=M148M;LINKAGE=HI



$m_{D_{s2}^*(2573)} - m_{D^0}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
704 ±3 ±1	64	HEISTER	02B ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0 K^+ X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
705.4±4.3	25	¹ EVDOKIMOV	04 SELX	600 $\Sigma^- A \rightarrow D^0 K^+ X$
¹ Systematic errors not estimated.				

NODE=M148DM

NODE=M148DM

NODE=M148DM;LINKAGE=EV

 $D_{s2}^*(2573)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
16.9±0.7 OUR AVERAGE				
17.2±3.6±1.1	62	¹ ABLIKIM	19P BES3	4.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ \bar{D}^0 K^-$
16.9±0.5±0.6		AAIJ	14AW LHCb	$B_s^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^- \pi^+$
12.1±4.5±1.6	82	AAIJ	11A LHCb	$B_s \rightarrow D_{s2}^*(2573) \mu \bar{\nu} X$
27.1±0.6±5.6		AUBERT,BE	06E BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D K X$
10.4±8.3±3.0		ALBRECHT	96 ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0 K^+ X$
16 $\begin{smallmatrix} +5 \\ -4 \end{smallmatrix}$ ±3	217	KUBOTA	94 CLE2	$e^+e^- \sim 10.5$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
14 $\begin{smallmatrix} +9 \\ -6 \end{smallmatrix}$	25	² EVDOKIMOV	04 SELX	600 $\Sigma^- A \rightarrow D^0 K^+ X$
¹ From a fit of the D_s^+ recoil mass distribution.				
² Systematic errors not estimated.				

NODE=M148W

NODE=M148W

NODE=M148W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M148W;LINKAGE=EV $D_{s2}^*(2573)^+$ DECAY MODES $D_{s2}^*(2573)^-$ modes are charge conjugates of the modes below.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $D^0 K^+$	(37±6) %
Γ_2 $D^*(2007)^0 K^+$	not seen
Γ_3 $D^+ K_S^0$	seen
Γ_4 $D^{*+} K_S^0$	seen

NODE=M148215;NODE=M148

NODE=M148

DESIG=1

DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $D_{s2}^*(2573)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^0 K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_1/Γ
VALUE (%)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
37.4±3.1±4.6		¹ ABLIKIM	24BN BES3		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_{s2}^*(2573)^-$	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●						
seen	217	KUBOTA	94	CLE2 ±	$e^+ e^- \sim 10.5$ GeV	
¹ Determined as ratio of exclusive $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ [\bar{D}^0 K^-]$ and inclusive $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ X$ measurements.						

NODE=M148220

NODE=M148R2
NODE=M148R2

NODE=M148R2;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 K^+)/\Gamma(D^0 K^+)$					Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.33	90	KUBOTA 94	CLE2	+	$e^+e^- \sim 10.5$ GeV

NODE=M148R1
NODE=M148R1

$\Gamma(D^+ K_S^0)/\Gamma(D^0 K^+)$	Γ_3/Γ_1			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.49±0.10±0.02	GAO	23	BELL	$e^+ e^-$ at 10.52 GeV

NODE=M148R01
NODE=M148R01

$\Gamma(D^{*+} K_S^0)/\Gamma(D^+ K_S^0)$				Γ_4/Γ_3
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.044±0.005±0.011	2000	¹ AAIJ	16AW LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} K_S^0 X$ at 7, 8 TeV

NODE=M148R00
NODE=M148R00¹ First observation of the $D_{s2}^*(2573)^+ \rightarrow D^{*+} K_S^0$ decay with a significance of 6.9 σ .

NODE=M148R00;LINKAGE=A

D^{*}_{s2}(2573) REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	24BN	PRL 133 171903	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
GAO	23	PR D108 112015	B.S. Gao <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19P	CP C43 031001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	16AW	JHEP 1602 133	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	14AW	PRL 113 162001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP
AAIJ	11A	PL B698 14	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AUBERT,BE	06E	PRL 97 222001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
EVDOKIMOV	04	PRL 93 242001	A.V. Evdokimov <i>et al.</i>	(SELEX Collab.)
HEISTER	02B	PL B526 34	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ALBRECHT	96	ZPHY C69 405	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
KUBOTA	94	PRL 72 1972	Y. Kubota <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)

NODE=M148

REFID=63015
REFID=62519
REFID=59617
REFID=60464
REFID=56105
REFID=16665
REFID=51512
REFID=50337
REFID=48562
REFID=44631
REFID=43781

$D_{s0}(2590)^+$

$$I(J^P) = 0(0^-)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

NODE=M256

D_{s0}(2590)⁺ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EPTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2591±6±7	444	¹ AAIJ	21A LHCb	$B^0 \rightarrow D^- (D^+ K^+ \pi^-)$

¹ The mass is calculated from the position of the T-matrix pole

NODE=M256M

NODE=M256M

NODE=M256M;LINKAGE=B

D_{s0}(2590)⁺ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EPTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
89±16±12	444	¹ AAIJ	21A LHCb	$B^0 \rightarrow D^- (D^+ K^+ \pi^-)$

¹ The width is calculated from the position of the T-matrix pole

NODE=M256W

NODE=M256W

NODE=M256W;LINKAGE=B

D_{s0}(2590)⁺ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ _{<i>i</i>} /Γ)
Γ ₁ $D^+ K^+ \pi^-$	seen

NODE=M256215;NODE=M256

DESIG=1

D_{s0}(2590)⁺ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^+ K^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	444	AAIJ	21A LHCb	$B^0 \rightarrow D^- (D^+ K^+ \pi^-)$

NODE=M256225

NODE=M256R01
NODE=M256R01

D_{s0}(2590)⁺ REFERENCES

AAIJ	21A	PRL 126 122002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)

NODE=M256

REFID=61092

NODE=M182

 $D_{s1}^*(2700)^\pm$

$$I(J^P) = 0(1^-)$$

 $D_{s1}^*(2700)^+$ MASS

NODE=M182M

NODE=M182M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2714 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.		
2732.3 ± 4.3 ± 5.8	15.7k	AAIJ	16AW LHCB	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} K_S^0 X$ at 7, 8 TeV
2699 $^{+14}_{-7}$		¹ LEES	15C BABR	$B \rightarrow D D^0 K^+$
2709.2 ± 1.9 ± 4.5	52k	² AAIJ	12AU LHCB	$pp \rightarrow (DK)^+ X$ at 7 TeV
2710 ± 2 $^{+12}_{-7}$	10.4k	³ AUBERT	09AR BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{(*)} K X$
2708 ± 9 $^{+11}_{-10}$	182	BRODZICKA	08 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2694 ± 8 $^{+13}_{-3}$		LEES	15C BABR	$B^0 \rightarrow D^- D^0 K^+$
2707 ± 8 ± 8		LEES	15C BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D^0 K^+$
2688 ± 4 ± 3		⁴ AUBERT, BE	06E BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow DK X$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3


NODE=M182M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M182M;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M182M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M182M;LINKAGE=AU

- ¹ From a combined analysis of $B^0 \rightarrow D^- D^0 K^+$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D^0 K^+$.
² From the combined fit of the $D^+ K_S^0$ and $D^0 K^+$ modes in the model including the $D_{s2}^*(2573)^+$, $D_{s1}^*(2700)^+$ and spin-0 $D_{sJ}^*(2860)^+$.
³ From simultaneous fits to the two DK mass spectra and to the total $D^* K$ mass spectrum.
⁴ Superseded by AUBERT 09AR.

 $D_{s1}^*(2700)^+$ WIDTH

NODE=M182W

NODE=M182W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
122 ± 10 OUR AVERAGE				
136 ± 19 ± 24	15.7k	AAIJ	16AW LHCB	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} K_S^0 X$ at 7, 8 TeV
127 $^{+24}_{-19}$		¹ LEES	15C BABR	$B \rightarrow D D^0 K^+$
115.8 ± 7.3 ± 12.1	52k	² AAIJ	12AU LHCB	$pp \rightarrow (DK)^+ X$ at 7 TeV
149 ± 7 $^{+39}_{-52}$	10.4k	³ AUBERT	09AR BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{(*)} K X$
108 ± 23 $^{+36}_{-31}$	182	BRODZICKA	08 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 K^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

145	± 24	$^{+22}_{-14}$	LEES	15C	BABR	$B^0 \rightarrow D^- D^0 K^+$
113	± 21	$^{+20}_{-16}$	LEES	15C	BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D^0 K^+$
112	± 7	± 36	⁴ AUBERT,BE	06E	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow DKX$
¹ From a combined analysis of $B^0 \rightarrow D^- D^0 K^+$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D^0 K^+$.						
² From the combined fit of the $D^+ K_S^0$ and $D^0 K^+$ modes in the model including the $D_{s2}^*(2573)^+$, $D_{s1}^*(2700)^+$ and spin-0 $D_{sJ}^*(2860)^+$.						
³ From simultaneous fits to the two DK mass spectra and to the total $D^* K$ mass spectrum.						
⁴ Superseded by AUBERT 09AR.						

$D_{s1}^*(2700)^\pm$ DECAY MODES

Mode		Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	DK	
Γ_2	$D^0 K^+$	seen
Γ_3	$D^+ K_S^0$	seen
Γ_4	$D^* K$	
Γ_5	$D^{*0} K^+$	seen
Γ_6	$D^{*+} K_S^0$	seen

$D_{s1}^*(2700)^\pm$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^* K)/\Gamma(DK)$					Γ_4/Γ_1
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$0.91 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.12$	10.4k	¹ AUBERT	09AR BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{(*)} K X$	
¹ From the average of the corresponding ratios with $D^{(*)0} K^+$ and $D^{(*)+} K_S^0$.					
$\Gamma(D^{*0} K^+)/\Gamma(D^0 K^+)$					Γ_5/Γ_2
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$0.88 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.14$	7716	¹ AUBERT	09AR BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{(*)} K X$	
¹ From the $D^{*0} K^+$ and $D^0 K^+$, where $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0$.					
$\Gamma(D^{*+} K_S^0)/\Gamma(D^+ K_S^0)$					Γ_6/Γ_3
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$1.14 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.23$	2700	¹ AUBERT	09AR BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{(*)} K X$	
¹ From the $D^{*+} K_S^0$ and $D^+ K_S^0$, where $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^+ \pi^0$.					

$D_{s1}^*(2700)^\pm$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	16AW	JHEP 1602 133	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
LEES	15C	PR D91 052002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AAIJ	12AU	JHEP 1210 151	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AUBERT	09AR	PR D80 092003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BRODZICKA	08	PRL 100 092001	J. Brodzicka <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT,BE	06E	PRL 97 222001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

NODE=M182W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M182W;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M182W;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M182W;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M182215;NODE=M182

DESIG=2

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4

DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=6;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M182225

NODE=M182R01

NODE=M182R01

NODE=M182R01;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M182R02

NODE=M182R02

NODE=M182R02;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M182R03

NODE=M182R03

NODE=M182R03;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M182

REFID=60464

REFID=56412

REFID=54735

REFID=53135

REFID=52144

REFID=51512

NODE=M196

$$D_{s1}^*(2860)^\pm$$

$$I(J^P) = 0(1^-)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

was $D_{sJ}^*(2860)$ J^P consistent with 1^- from angular analysis of AAIJ 14AW.

NODE=M196

 $D_{s1}^*(2860)^+$ MASS

NODE=M196M

NODE=M196M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2859 ± 12 ± 24	¹ AAIJ	14AW LHCB	$B_s^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^- \pi^+$

¹ Separated from the spin-3 component $D_{s3}^*(2860)^-$ by a fit of the helicity angle of the $\bar{D}^0 K^-$ system, with a statistical significance of the spin-3 and spin-1 components in excess of 10 σ .

NODE=M196M;LINKAGE=A

 $D_{s1}^*(2860)^+$ WIDTH

NODE=M196W

NODE=M196W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
159 ± 23 ± 77	¹ AAIJ	14AW LHCB	$B_s^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^- \pi^+$

¹ Separated from the spin-3 component $D_{s3}^*(2860)^-$ by a fit of the helicity angle of the $\bar{D}^0 K^-$ system, with a statistical significance of the spin-3 and spin-1 components in excess of 10 σ .

NODE=M196W;LINKAGE=A

 $D_{s1}^*(2860)^\pm$ REFERENCES

NODE=M196

REFID=56105

NODE=M226

AAIJ 14AW PRL 113 162001 R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collab.) JP

$$D_{s3}^*(2860)^\pm$$

$$I(J^P) = 0(3^-)$$

J^P consistent with 3^- from angular analysis of AAIJ 14AW. Observed by AUBERT, BE 06E and AUBERT 09AR in inclusive production of DK and D^*K in e^+e^- annihilation.

NODE=M226

 $D_{s3}^*(2860)^+$ MASS

NODE=M226M

NODE=M226M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2860.5 ± 2.6 ± 6.5		¹ AAIJ	14AW LHCB	$B_s^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^- \pi^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2867.1 ± 4.3 ± 1.9	3.1k	AAIJ	16AW LHCB	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} K_S^0 X$ at 7, 8 TeV
2866.1 ± 1.0 ± 6.3	36k	^{2,3} AAIJ	12AU LHCB	$pp \rightarrow (DK)^+ X$ at 7 TeV
2862 ± 2 $^{+5}_{-2}$	3122	^{2,4} AUBERT	09AR BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{(*)} K X$
2856.6 ± 1.5 ± 5.0		⁵ AUBERT, BE 06E	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow DK X$

¹ Separated from the spin-1 component $D_{s1}^*(2860)^-$ by a fit of the helicity angle of the $\bar{D}^0 K^-$ system, with a statistical significance of the spin-3 and spin-1 components in excess of 10 σ .

² Possible contribution from the $D_{s1}^*(2860)$ state.

³ From the combined fit of the $D^+ K_S^0$ and $D^0 K^+$ modes in the model including the $D_{s2}^*(2573)^+$, $D_{s1}^*(2700)^+$ and spin-0 $D_{sJ}^*(2860)^+$.

⁴ From simultaneous fits to the two DK mass spectra and to the total D^*K mass spectrum.

⁵ Superseded by AUBERT 09AR.

NODE=M226M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M226M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M226M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M226M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M226M;LINKAGE=B

 $D_{s3}^*(2860)^+$ WIDTH

NODE=M226W

NODE=M226W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
53 ± 7 ± 7		¹ AAIJ	14AW LHCB	$B_s^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^- \pi^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

50 ±11 ±13	3.1k	AAIJ	16AW LHCB	$pp \rightarrow D^{*+} K_S^0 X$ at 7, 8 TeV
69.9 ± 3.2 ± 6.6	36k	^{2,3} AAIJ	12AU LHCB	$pp \rightarrow (DK)^+ X$ at 7 TeV
48 ± 3 ± 6	3122	^{2,4} AUBERT	09AR BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{(*)} K X$
47 ± 7 ± 10		⁵ AUBERT, BE	06E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow DK X$

- ¹ Separated from the spin-1 component $D_{s1}^*(2860)^-$ by a fit of the helicity angle of the $\bar{D}^0 K^-$ system, with a statistical significance of the spin-3 and spin-1 components in excess of 10 σ .
² Possible contribution from the $D_{s1}^*(2860)$ state.
³ From the combined fit of the $D^+ K_S^0$ and $D^0 K^+$ modes in the model including the $D_{s2}^*(2573)^+$, $D_{s1}^*(2700)^+$ and spin-0 $D_{sJ}^*(2860)^+$.
⁴ From simultaneous fits to the two DK mass spectra and to the total $D^* K$ mass spectrum.
⁵ Superseded by AUBERT 09AR.

NODE=M226W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M226W;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M226W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M226W;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M226W;LINKAGE=B

$D_{s3}^*(2860)^\pm$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 DK	
Γ_2 $D^0 K^+$	seen
Γ_3 $D^+ K_S^0$	seen
Γ_4 $D^* K$	
Γ_5 $D^{*0} K^+$	seen
Γ_6 $D^{*+} K_S^0$	seen

DESIG=1
DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4
DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=6;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M226215;NODE=M226

$D_{s3}^*(2860)^\pm$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^* K)/\Gamma(DK)$					Γ_4/Γ_1
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1.10 ± 0.15 ± 0.19	3122	¹ AUBERT	09AR BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{(*)} K X$	
¹ From the average of the corresponding ratios with $D^{(*)0} K^+$ and $D^{(*)+} K_S^0$.					
$\Gamma(D^{*0} K^+)/\Gamma(D^0 K^+)$					Γ_5/Γ_2
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1.04 ± 0.17 ± 0.20	2241	¹ AUBERT	09AR BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{(*)} K X$	
¹ From the $D^{*0} K^+$ and $D^0 K^+$, where $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0$.					
$\Gamma(D^{*+} K_S^0)/\Gamma(D^+ K_S^0)$					Γ_6/Γ_3
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1.38 ± 0.35 ± 0.49	881	¹ AUBERT	09AR BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{(*)} K X$	
¹ From the $D^{*+} K_S^0$ and $D^+ K_S^0$, where $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^+ \pi^0$.					

NODE=M226225

NODE=M226R01
NODE=M226R01

NODE=M226R01;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M226R02
NODE=M226R02

NODE=M226R02;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M226R03
NODE=M226R03

NODE=M226R03;LINKAGE=AU

$D_{s3}^*(2860)^\pm$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	16AW JHEP 1602 133	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	14AW PRL 113 162001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP
AAIJ	12AU JHEP 1210 151	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AUBERT	09AR PR D80 092003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT, BE	06E PRL 97 222001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)

NODE=M226

REFID=60464
REFID=56105
REFID=54735
REFID=53135
REFID=51512

NODE=M197

$D_{sJ}(3040)^{\pm}$

$$I(J^P) = 0(?)^?$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Observed by AUBERT 09AR in inclusive production of $D^* K$ in $e^+ e^-$ annihilation.

NODE=M197

$D_{sJ}(3040)^+$ MASS

NODE=M197M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3044 \pm 8^{+30}_{-5}$	AUBERT	09AR BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^* K X$

NODE=M197M

$D_{sJ}(3040)^+$ WIDTH

NODE=M197W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$239 \pm 35^{+46}_{-42}$	AUBERT	09AR BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^* K X$

NODE=M197W

$D_{sJ}(3040)^{\pm}$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M197215;NODE=M197

Mode	
Γ_1	$D^* K$
Γ_2	$D^{*0} K^+$
Γ_3	$D^{*+} K^0_S$

DESIG=1
DESIG=2
DESIG=3

$D_{sJ}(3040)^{\pm}$ REFERENCES

NODE=M197

AUBERT	09AR PR D80 092003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)

REFID=53135

BOTTOM MESONS

$(B = \pm 1)$

NODE=MXXX045

$$B^+ = u\bar{b}, B^0 = d\bar{b}, \bar{B}^0 = \bar{d}b, B^- = \bar{u}b, \text{ similarly for } B^{*'}\text{'s}$$

NODE=MXXX045

$B_1(5721)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^+)$$

I, J, P need confirmation.

NODE=M244

Quantum numbers shown are quark-model predictions.

NODE=M244

$B_1(5721)$ MASS

NODE=M244205

$B_1(5721)^+$ mass

NODE=M244M+

OUR FIT uses $m_{B^{*0}}$ and $m_{B_1^+} - m_{B^{*0}}$ to determine $m_{B_1(5721)^+}$.

NODE=M244M+
NODE=M244M+

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
$5726.0^{+2.5}_{-2.7}$ OUR FIT	

$$m_{B_1^+} - m_{B^{*0}}$$

NODE=M244DM+
NODE=M244DM+

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$401.2^{+2.4}_{-2.7}$ OUR FIT				

$$401.2^{+2.4}_{-2.7} \text{ OUR AVERAGE}$$

$400.5 \pm 1.8 \pm 3.1$	8k	¹ AAIJ	15AB LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV
$402 \pm 3^{+1}_{-3}$		² AALTONEN	14l CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

- ¹ AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_1^+} - m_{B^0}] - (m_{B^{*0}} - m_{B^0}) - m_{\pi^+} = 260.9 \pm 1.8 \pm 3.1$ MeV which we adjust by the π^+ mass and assume $(m_{B^{*0}} - m_{B^0}) = (m_{B^{*+}} - m_{B^+}) = 45.01 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.23$ MeV. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event.
- ² AALTONEN 14l reports $m_{B_1(5721)^+} - m_{B^{*0}} - m_{\pi^+} = 262 \pm 3^{+1}_{-3}$ MeV which we adjusted by the π^+ mass.

NODE=M244DM+;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M244DM+;LINKAGE=AA

 $B_1(5721)^0$ mass

OUR FIT uses mass differences measurements listed below to determine the mass

$$m_{B_1(5721)^0}.$$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
5726.1±1.2 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

NODE=M244M0
NODE=M244M0

NODE=M244M0

 $m_{B_1^0} - m_{B^+}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
446.7±1.2 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		

NODE=M244DM0
NODE=M244DM0

441.5±2.4±1.3	¹ ABAZOV	07T D0	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

446.2 ^{+1.9+1.0} _{-2.1-1.2}	¹ AALTONEN	09D CDF	Repl. by AALTONEN 14l

¹ Observed in $B_1^0 \rightarrow B^{*+} \pi^-$.

NODE=M244DM0;LINKAGE=AA

 $m_{B_1^0} - m_{B^{*+}}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
401.4±1.2 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			

NODE=M244DM1
NODE=M244DM1**402.8±1.1 OUR AVERAGE**

403.4±0.7±1.5	35k	¹ AAIJ	15AB LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV

402.3±0.9 ^{+1.1} _{-1.2}		² AALTONEN	14l CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

¹ AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_1^0} - m_{B^+}] - (m_{B^{*+}} - m_{B^+}) - m_{\pi^-} = 263.9 \pm 0.7 \pm 1.4$ MeV which we adjust by the π^- mass and $(m_{B^{*+}} - m_{B^+}) = 45.01 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.23$ MeV. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event.² AALTONEN 14l reports $m_{B_1(5721)^0} - m_{B^{*+}} - m_{\pi^-} = 262.7 \pm 0.9^{+1.1}_{-1.2}$ MeV which we adjusted by the π^- mass.

NODE=M244DM1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M244DM1;LINKAGE=AA

 $B_1(5721)$ WIDTH

NODE=M244210

 $B_1(5721)^+$ width

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
31 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			

NODE=M244W+
NODE=M244W+

29.1± 3.6± 4.3	8k	AAIJ	15AB LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV

49 ⁺¹²⁺² ₋₁₀₋₁₃		AALTONEN	14l CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

 $B_1(5721)^0$ width

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
27.5±3.4 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			

NODE=M244W0
NODE=M244W0

30.1±1.5±3.5	35k	AAIJ	15AB LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV

23 ±3 ±4		AALTONEN	14l CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

 $B_1(5721)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M244215;NODE=M244

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad B^* \pi$	seen

DESIG=1

 $B_1(5721)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M244220

 $\Gamma(B^* \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_1/Γ**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	15AB LHCB	±0	pp at 7, 8 TeV
seen	AALTONEN	14l CDF	±	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
seen	AALTONEN	09D CDF	0	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
seen	¹ ABAZOV	07T D0	0	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

NODE=M244R01
NODE=M244R01

OCCUR=2

¹ Observed in $B_1^0 \rightarrow B^{*+} \pi^-$ with $B^{*+} \rightarrow B^+ \gamma$ and $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+$.

NODE=M244R01;LINKAGE=AB

$B_1(5721)$ REFERENCES

AAJ	15AB	JHEP 1504 024	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AALTONEN	14I	PR D90 012013	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	09D	PRL 102 102003	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV	07T	PRL 99 172001	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)

NODE=M244

REFID=56628
REFID=56029
REFID=52700
REFID=52014

NODE=M151

 $B_J^*(5732)$

$$I(J^P) = ?(??)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Signal can be interpreted as stemming from several narrow and broad resonances.

NODE=M151

 $B_J^*(5732)$ MASS

NODE=M151M

NODE=M151M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5698 ± 8 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
5710 ± 20		¹ AFFOLDER	01F CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
5695 ⁺¹⁷ ₋₁₉		² BARATE	98L ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
5704 ± 4 ± 10	1944	³ BUSKULIC	96D ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
5732 ± 5 ± 20	2157	ABREU	95B DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
5681 ± 11	1738	AKERS	95E OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5713 ± 2		⁴ ACCIARRI	99N L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

¹ AFFOLDER 01F uses the reconstructed B meson through semileptonic decay channels. The fraction of light B mesons that are produced at $L=1$ B^{**} states is measured to be $0.28 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03$.

² BARATE 98L uses fully reconstructed B mesons to search for B^{**} production in the $B\pi^\pm$ system. In the framework of heavy quark symmetry (HQS), they also measured the mass of B_2^* to be 5739^{+8+6}_{-11-4} MeV/ c^2 and the relative production rate of $B(b \rightarrow B_2^* \rightarrow B^{(*)}\pi)/B(b \rightarrow B_{u,d}) = (31 \pm 9^{+6}_{-5})\%$.

³ Using $m_{B\pi} - m_B = 424 \pm 4 \pm 10$ MeV.

⁴ ACCIARRI 99N uses inclusive reconstructed B mesons to search for B^{**} production in the $B^{(*)}\pi^\pm$ system. In the framework of HQET, they measured the mass of B_1^* and B_2^* to be $5670 \pm 10 \pm 13$ MeV and $5768 \pm 5 \pm 6$ with the $B(b \rightarrow B^{**}) = (32 \pm 3 \pm 6) \times 10^{-2}$. They also reported the evidence for the existence of an excited B -meson state or mixture of states in the region 5.9–6.0 GeV.

NODE=M151M;LINKAGE=MF

NODE=M151M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M151M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M151M;LINKAGE=N

 $B_J^*(5732)$ WIDTH

NODE=M151W

NODE=M151W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
128 ± 18 OUR AVERAGE				
145 ± 28	2157	ABREU	95B DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
116 ± 24	1738	AKERS	95E OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV

 $B_J^*(5732)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M151215;NODE=M151

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $B^*\pi + B\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $B^*\pi(X)$	[a] (85 ± 29) %

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2

[a] X refers to decay modes with or without additional accompanying decay particles.

LINKAGE=151

 $B_J^*(5732)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M151220

NODE=M151220

X refers to decay modes with or without additional accompanying decay particles.

 $\Gamma(B^*\pi(X))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_2/Γ**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.85^{+0.26}_{-0.27} ± 0.12	ABBIENDI	02E OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

NODE=M151R1
NODE=M151R1

$B_2^*(5732)$ REFERENCES

ABBIENDI	02E	EPJ C23 437	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AFFOLDER	01F	PR D64 072002	T. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99N	PL B465 323	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BARATE	98L	PL B425 215	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96D	ZPHY C69 393	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABREU	95B	PL B345 598	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
AKERS	95E	ZPHY C66 19	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)

NODE=M151

REFID=48742
REFID=48369
REFID=47247
REFID=46082
REFID=44677
REFID=44131
REFID=44182

$$B_2^*(5747)$$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^+)$$

I, J, P need confirmation.

Quantum numbers shown are quark-model predictions.

NODE=M245

NODE=M245

 $B_2^*(5747)$ MASS

NODE=M245205

 $B_2^*(5747)^+$ mass

NODE=M245M+

OUR FIT uses m_{B^0} and $m_{B_2^{*+}} - m_{B^0}$ to determine $m_{B_2^*(5747)^+}$.

NODE=M245M+
NODE=M245M+

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
573.3$\pm$0.7 OUR FIT	

 $m_{B_2^{*+}} - m_{B^0}$

NODE=M245DM+
NODE=M245DM+

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
457.5 $\pm$0.7 OUR FIT				
457.5 $\pm$0.7 OUR AVERAGE				
457.62 $\pm$ 0.72 $\pm$ 0.40	4k	¹ AAIJ	15AB LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV
457.3 $\pm$ 1.3 $^{+0.3}_{-0.9}$		² AALTONEN	14l CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

¹ AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_2^{*+}} - m_{B^0}] - m_{\pi^+} = 318.1 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4$ MeV which we adjust by the π^+ mass. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event.

² AALTONEN 14l reports $m_{B_2^*(5747)^+} - m_{B^0} - m_{\pi^+} = 317.7 \pm 1.2^{+0.3}_{-0.9}$ MeV which we adjusted by the π^+ mass.

NODE=M245DM+;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M245DM+;LINKAGE=A

 $B_2^*(5747)^0$ mass

NODE=M245M0

OUR FIT uses m_{B^+} , $m_{B_1^0} - m_{B^+}$, and mass differences below to determine $m_{B_2^*(5747)^0}$. The -0.659 correlation between statistical uncertainties of $m_{B_1^0} - m_{B^+}$ and $m_{B_2^0} - m_{B_1^0}$ measurements reported by ABAZOV 07T is taken into account.

NODE=M245M0

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
5739.6$\pm$0.7 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.

NODE=M245M0

 $m_{B_2^{*0}} - m_{B_1^0}$

NODE=M245DM0
NODE=M245DM0

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
13.5$\pm$1.4 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		
26.2$\pm$3.1$\pm$0.9	¹ ABAZOV	07T D0	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

14.9 $^{+2.2+1.2}_{-2.5-1.4}$	¹ AALTONEN	09D CDF	Repl. by AALTONEN 14l

¹ Observed in $B_2^{*0} \rightarrow B^{*+}\pi^-$ and $B_2^{*0} \rightarrow B^+\pi^-$.

NODE=M245DM0;LINKAGE=AB

 $m_{B_2^{*0}} - m_{B^+}$

NODE=M245DM2
NODE=M245DM2

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
460.2 $\pm$0.6 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			
459.9 $\pm$0.8 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.8.			
460.18 $\pm$ 0.37 $\pm$ 0.33	17k	¹ AAIJ	15AB LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV
457.5 $\pm$ 1.2 $^{+0.8}_{-0.9}$		² AALTONEN	14l CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

¹ AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_2^{*0}} - m_{B^+}] - m_{\pi^-} = 320.6 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3$ MeV which we adjust by the π^- mass. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event.

NODE=M245DM2;LINKAGE=A

² AALTONEN 14l reports $m_{B_2^*(5747)^0} - m_{B^+} - m_{\pi^-} = 317.9 \pm 1.2^{+0.8}_{-0.9}$ MeV which we adjusted by the π^- mass.

NODE=M245DM2;LINKAGE=AA

$B_2^*(5747)$ WIDTH

NODE=M245210

 $B_2^*(5747)^+$ width

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
20 ±5 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.2.			
23.6±2.0±2.1	4k	AAIJ	15AB LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
11 $^{+4}_{-3}$ $^{+3}_{-4}$		AALTONEN	14l CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

NODE=M245W+
NODE=M245W+ **$B_2^*(5747)^0$ width**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
24.2±1.7 OUR AVERAGE				
24.5±1.0±1.5	17k	AAIJ	15AB LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
22 $^{+3}_{-2}$ $^{+4}_{-5}$		AALTONEN	14l CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
22.7 $^{+3.8}_{-3.2}$ $^{+3.2}_{-10.2}$		AALTONEN	09D CDF	Repl. by AALTONEN 14l

NODE=M245W0
NODE=M245W0 **$B_2^*(5747)$ DECAY MODES**

NODE=M245215;NODE=M245

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $B\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $B^*\pi$	seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

 $B_2^*(5747)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M245220

 $\Gamma(B\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
seen	4k,17k	AAIJ	15AB LHCB	±0	pp at 7, 8 TeV
seen		AALTONEN	14l CDF	±	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
seen		AALTONEN	09D CDF	0	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
seen		ABAZOV	07T D0	0	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

NODE=M245R01
NODE=M245R01 **$\Gamma(B^*\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
seen	4k,17k	AAIJ	15AB LHCB	±0	pp at 7, 8 TeV
seen		AALTONEN	09D CDF	0	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
seen		ABAZOV	07T D0	0	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

NODE=M245R02
NODE=M245R02 **$\Gamma(B^*\pi)/\Gamma(B\pi)$** Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.84±0.27 OUR AVERAGE					
0.71±0.14±0.30	17k	AAIJ	15AB LHCB	0	pp at 7, 8 TeV
1.0 ±0.5 ±0.8	4k	AAIJ	15AB LHCB	±	pp at 7, 8 TeV
1.10±0.42±0.31		¹ ABAZOV	07T D0	0	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

NODE=M245R03
NODE=M245R03

¹ Converted from measured ratio of $R = B(B_2^{*0} \rightarrow B^{*+}\pi^-) / B(B_2^{*0} \rightarrow B^{(*)+}\pi^-)$
 $= 0.475 \pm 0.095 \pm 0.069$.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M245R03;LINKAGE=AB

 $B_2^*(5747)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M245

AAIJ	15AB	JHEP 1504 024	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AALTONEN	14l	PR D90 012013	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	09D	PRL 102 102003	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV	07T	PRL 99 172001	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)

REFID=56628
REFID=56029
REFID=52700
REFID=52014

$B_J(5840)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(?)$$

I, J, P need confirmation.

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Quantum numbers shown are quark-model predictions.

NODE=M246

NODE=M246

NODE=M246205

NODE=M246M+

NODE=M246M+
NODE=M246M+NODE=M246DM+
NODE=M246DM+

OCCUR=2

NODE=M246DM+;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M246DM+;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M246DM1
NODE=M246DM1

NODE=M246DM1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M246M0

NODE=M246M0
NODE=M246M0NODE=M246DM0
NODE=M246DM0

OCCUR=2

NODE=M246DM0;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M246DM0;LINKAGE=B

 $B_J(5840)$ MASS **$B_J(5840)^+$ MASS**OUR FIT uses m_{B^0} and $m_{B_J(5840)^+} - m_{B^0}$ to determine $m_{B_J(5840)^+}$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID

5851±19 OUR FIT **$m_{B_J(5840)^+} - m_{B^0}$**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

571±19 OUR FIT**571±13±14** 7k ¹ AAIJ 15AB LHCb pp at 7, 8 TeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

595±26±14 7k ² AAIJ 15AB LHCb pp at 7, 8 TeV¹ AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_J^+} - m_{B^0}] - m_{\pi^+} = 431 \pm 13 \pm 14$ MeV which we adjust bythe π^+ mass. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event. The result assumes $P = (-1)^J$ and uses two relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.² AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_J^+} - m_{B^0}] - m_{\pi^+} = 455 \pm 26 \pm 14$ MeV which we adjust bythe π^+ mass. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event. The result assumes $P = (-1)^J$ and uses three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference. **$m_{B_J(5840)^+} - m_{B^{*0}}$**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

565±15±14 7k ¹ AAIJ 15AB LHCb pp at 7, 8 TeV¹ AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_J^+} - m_{B^0}] - (m_{B^{*+}} - m_{B^+}) - m_{\pi^+} = 425 \pm 15 \pm 14$ MeV which we adjust by the π^+ mass. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event. The result assumes $P = -(-1)^J$, $(m_{B^{*0}} - m_{B^0}) = (m_{B^{*+}} - m_{B^+}) = 45.01 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.23$ MeV, and uses three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference. **$B_J(5840)^0$ MASS**OUR FIT uses m_{B^+} and $m_{B_J(5840)^0} - m_{B^+}$ to determine $m_{B_J(5840)^0}$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID

5863±9 OUR FIT **$m_{B_J(5840)^0} - m_{B^+}$**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

584± 9 OUR FIT**584± 5±7** 12k ¹ AAIJ 15AB LHCb pp at 7, 8 TeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

610±22±7 12k ² AAIJ 15AB LHCb pp at 7, 8 TeV¹ AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_J^0} - m_{B^+}] - m_{\pi^-} = 444 \pm 5 \pm 7$ MeV which we adjust bythe π^- mass. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event. The result assumes $P = (-1)^J$ and uses two relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.² AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_J^0} - m_{B^+}] - m_{\pi^-} = 471 \pm 22 \pm 7$ MeV which we adjust bythe π^- mass. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event. The result assumes $P = (-1)^J$ and uses three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

$m_{B_J(5840)^0} - m_{B^{*+}}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

584 ± 5 ± 7 12k ¹ AAIJ 15AB LHCB pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_J^0} - m_{B^{*+}}] - (m_{B^{*+}} - m_{B^+}) - m_{\pi^-} = 444 \pm 5 \pm 7$ MeV

which we adjust by the π^- mass. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event. The result assumes $P = -(-1)^J$, $(m_{B^{*+}} - m_{B^+}) = 45.01 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.23$ MeV, and uses three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

NODE=M246DM2
NODE=M246DM2

NODE=M246DM2;LINKAGE=A

 $B_J(5840)$ WIDTH

NODE=M246210

 $B_J(5840)^+ \text{ WIDTH}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

224 ± 24 ± 80 7k ¹ AAIJ 15AB LHCB pp at 7, 8 TeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

215 ± 27 ± 80 7k ² AAIJ 15AB LHCB pp at 7, 8 TeV

229 ± 27 ± 80 7k ³ AAIJ 15AB LHCB pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ Assuming $P = (-1)^J$ and using two relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

² Assuming $P = (-1)^J$ and using three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

³ Assuming $P = -(-1)^J$ and using three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

NODE=M246W+
NODE=M246W+

OCCUR=2
OCCUR=3

NODE=M246W+;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M246W+;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M246W+;LINKAGE=C

 $B_J(5840)^0 \text{ WIDTH}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

127 ± 17 ± 34 12k ¹ AAIJ 15AB LHCB pp at 7, 8 TeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

107 ± 20 ± 34 12k ² AAIJ 15AB LHCB pp at 7, 8 TeV

119 ± 17 ± 34 12k ³ AAIJ 15AB LHCB pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ Assuming $P = (-1)^J$ and using two relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

² Assuming $P = (-1)^J$ and using three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

³ Assuming $P = -(-1)^J$ and using three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

NODE=M246W0
NODE=M246W0

OCCUR=2
OCCUR=3

NODE=M246W0;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M246W0;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M246W0;LINKAGE=C

 $B_J(5840)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M246215;NODE=M246

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $B^* \pi$	seen
Γ_2 $B \pi$	possibly seen

DESIG=1
DESIG=2

 $B_J(5840)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M246220

$\Gamma(B^* \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	Γ_1/Γ
seen		7k	AAIJ	15AB LHCB	±	pp at 7, 8 TeV	
seen		12k	AAIJ	15AB LHCB	0	pp at 7, 8 TeV	

NODE=M246R01
NODE=M246R01

OCCUR=2

$\Gamma(B \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ
possibly seen		7k	¹ AAIJ	15AB LHCB	±	pp at 7, 8 TeV	
possibly seen			¹ AAIJ	15AB LHCB	0	pp at 7, 8 TeV	

NODE=M246R02
NODE=M246R02

OCCUR=2

¹ A $B \pi$ decay is forbidden from a $P = -(-1)^J$ parent, whereas $B^* \pi$ is allowed.

NODE=M246R02;LINKAGE=A

 $B_J(5840)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M246

AAIJ 15AB JHEP 1504 024 R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collab.)

REFID=56628

$B_J(5970)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(??)$$

I, J, P need confirmation.

Quantum numbers shown are quark-model predictions.

NODE=M248

NODE=M248

NODE=M248205

NODE=M248M+

NODE=M248M+
NODE=M248M+NODE=M248DM+
NODE=M248DM+

OCCUR=2

NODE=M248DM+;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M248DM+;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M248DM+;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M248DM1
NODE=M248DM1

NODE=M248DM1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M248M0

NODE=M248M0
NODE=M248M0NODE=M248DM0
NODE=M248DM0

OCCUR=2

NODE=M248DM0;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M248DM0;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M248DM0;LINKAGE=C

 $B_J(5970)$ MASS **$B_J(5970)^+$ MASS**OUR FIT uses m_{B^0} and $m_{B_J(5970)^+} - m_{B^0}$ to determine $m_{B_J(5970)^+}$.

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

5965 ± 5 OUR FIT **$m_{B_J(5970)^+} - m_{B^0}$**

VALUE (MeV)

EPTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

685 ± 5 OUR FIT**685 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE**

685.3 ± 4.1 ± 2.5

2k

¹ AAIJ

15AB LHCb

 pp at 7, 8 TeV

681 ± 5 ± 12

1.4k

² AALTONEN

14l CDF

 $p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

686.8 ± 4.5 ± 2.5

2k

³ AAIJ

15AB LHCb

 pp at 7, 8 TeV¹ AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_J^+} - m_{B^0}] - m_{\pi^+} = 545.8 \pm 4.1 \pm 2.5$ MeV which we adjust bythe π^+ mass. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event. The result assumes $P = (-1)^J$ and uses two relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.² AALTONEN 14l reports $m_{B_J(5970)^+} - m_{B^0} - m_{\pi^+} = 541 \pm 5 \pm 12$ MeV which we adjusted by the π^+ mass.³ AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_J^+} - m_{B^0}] - m_{\pi^+} = 547 \pm 5 \pm 3$ MeV which we adjust bythe π^+ mass. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event. The result assumes $P = (-1)^J$ and uses three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference. **$m_{B_J(5970)^+} - m_{B^0}$**

VALUE (MeV)

EPTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

686.0 ± 4.0 ± 2.5

2k

¹ AAIJ

15AB LHCb

 pp at 7, 8 TeV¹ AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_J^+} - m_{B^0}] - (m_{B^{*+}} - m_{B^+}) - m_{\pi^+} = 547 \pm 4 \pm 3$ MeV whichwe adjust by the π^+ mass. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event. The result assumes $P = -(-1)^J$, $(m_{B^{*0}} - m_{B^0}) = (m_{B^{*+}} - m_{B^+}) = 45.01 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.23$ MeV, and uses three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference. **$B_J(5970)^0$ MASS**OUR FIT uses m_{B^+} and $m_{B_J(5970)^0} - m_{B^+}$ to determine $m_{B_J(5970)^0}$.

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

5971 ± 5 OUR FIT **$m_{B_J(5970)^0} - m_{B^+}$**

VALUE (MeV)

EPTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

691 ± 5 OUR FIT**691 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE**

689.9 ± 2.9 ± 5.1

10k

¹ AAIJ

15AB LHCb

 pp at 7, 8 TeV

698 ± 5 ± 12

2.6k

² AALTONEN

14l CDF

 $p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

714.3 ± 6.4 ± 5.1

10k

³ AAIJ

15AB LHCb

 pp at 7, 8 TeV¹ AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_J^0} - m_{B^+}] - m_{\pi^-} = 550.4 \pm 2.9 \pm 5.1$ MeV which we adjust bythe π^- mass. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event. The result assumes $P = (-1)^J$ and uses two relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.² AALTONEN 14l reports $m_{B_J(5970)^0} - m_{B^+} - m_{\pi^-} = 558 \pm 5 \pm 12$ MeV which we adjusted by the π^- mass.³ AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_J^0} - m_{B^+}] - m_{\pi^-} = 575 \pm 6 \pm 5$ MeV which we adjust bythe π^- mass. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event. The result assumes $P = (-1)^J$ and uses three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

$m_{B_J(5970)^0} - m_{B^{*+}}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

691.6 ± 3.7 ± 5.1	10k	¹ AAIJ	15AB LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
¹ AAIJ 15AB reports $[m_{B_J^0} - m_{B^{*+}}] - (m_{B^{*+}} - m_{B^+}) - m_{\pi^-} = 552 \pm 4 \pm 5$ MeV				

which we adjust by the π^- mass. The masses inside the square brackets were measured for each candidate event. The result assumes $P = -(-1)^J$, $(m_{B^{*+}} - m_{B^+}) = 45.01 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.23$ MeV, and uses three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

NODE=M248DM2
NODE=M248DM2

NODE=M248DM2;LINKAGE=A

 $B_J(5970)$ WIDTH **$B_J(5970)^+$ WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

62 ± 20 OUR AVERAGE

63 ± 15 ± 17	2k	¹ AAIJ	15AB LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
60 ⁺³⁰ ₋₂₀ ± 40	1.4k	AALTONEN	14I CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

61 ± 14 ± 17	2k	² AAIJ	15AB LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
61 ± 15 ± 17	2k	³ AAIJ	15AB LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ Assuming $P = (-1)^J$ and using two relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

² Assuming $P = (-1)^J$ and using three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

³ Assuming $P = -(-1)^J$ and using three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

NODE=M248210

NODE=M248W+
NODE=M248W+

OCCUR=2
OCCUR=3

NODE=M248W+;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M248W+;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M248W+;LINKAGE=C

 $B_J(5970)^0$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

81 ± 12 OUR AVERAGE

82 ± 8 ± 9	10k	¹ AAIJ	15AB LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
70 ⁺³⁰ ₋₂₀ ± 30	2.6k	AALTONEN	14I CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

56 ± 7 ± 9	10k	² AAIJ	15AB LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
82 ± 10 ± 9	10k	³ AAIJ	15AB LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ Assuming $P = (-1)^J$ and using two relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

² Assuming $P = (-1)^J$ and using three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

³ Assuming $P = -(-1)^J$ and using three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions in the fit for mass difference.

NODE=M248W0
NODE=M248W0

OCCUR=2
OCCUR=3

NODE=M248W0;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M248W0;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M248W0;LINKAGE=C

 $B_J(5970)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $B\pi$	possibly seen
Γ_2 $B^*\pi$	seen

NODE=M248215;NODE=M248

DESIG=1
DESIG=2

 $B_J(5970)$ BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(B\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	Γ_1/Γ

possibly seen	2k	¹ AAIJ	15AB LHCB	±	pp at 7, 8 TeV	
possibly seen	10k	¹ AAIJ	15AB LHCB	0	pp at 7, 8 TeV	
possibly seen	2.6k	AALTONEN	14I CDF	0	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV	
possibly seen	1.4k	AALTONEN	14I CDF	±	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV	

¹ A $B\pi$ decay is forbidden from a $P = -(-1)^J$ parent, whereas $B^*\pi$ is allowed.

NODE=M248220

NODE=M248R01
NODE=M248R01

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M248R01;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(B^*\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ

seen	10k	AAIJ	15AB LHCB	0	pp at 7, 8 TeV	
seen	2k	AAIJ	15AB LHCB	±	pp at 7, 8 TeV	
seen	2.6k	AALTONEN	14I CDF	0	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV	
seen	1.4k	AALTONEN	14I CDF	±	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV	

NODE=M248R02
NODE=M248R02

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

 $B_J(5970)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	15AB	JHEP 1504 024	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AALTONEN	14I	PR D90 012013	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)

NODE=M248

REFID=56628
REFID=56029

BOTTOM, STRANGE MESONS

$(B = \pm 1, S = \mp 1)$

$B_s^0 = s\bar{b}, \bar{B}_s^0 = \bar{s}b,$ similarly for $B_s^{* \prime}$'s

NODE=MXXX046

NODE=MXXX046

NODE=M187

NODE=M187

NODE=M187M

NODE=M187M

NODE=M187M;LINKAGE=AI
NODE=M187M;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M187DM

NODE=M187DM

NODE=M187DM;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M187DM;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M187W

NODE=M187W

NODE=M187215;NODE=M187

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

NODE=M187220

NODE=M187R01
NODE=M187R01

NODE=M187R00
NODE=M187R00

NODE=M187R00;LINKAGE=A

$B_{s1}(5830)^0$

$I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$
 I, J, P need confirmation.

Quantum numbers shown are quark-model predictions.

$B_{s1}(5830)^0$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5828.73±0.20 OUR FIT			
5828.65±0.24 OUR AVERAGE			
5828.78±0.09±0.29	SIRUNYAN	18DF CMS	$p\bar{p}$ at 8 TeV
5828.40±0.04±0.41	¹ AAIJ	130 LHCb	$p\bar{p}$ at 7 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
5829.4 ±0.7	² AALTONEN	08K CDF	Repl. by AALTONEN 14I
¹ Uses $B_{s1}(5830)^0 \rightarrow B^{*+} K^-$ decay.			
² Uses two-body decays into K^- and B^+ mesons reconstructed as $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+, J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ or $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+, \bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$.			

$m_{B_{s1}^0} - m_{B^{*+}}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
503.98±0.17 OUR FIT			
504.03±0.12±0.15			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
504.41±0.21±0.14	² AALTONEN	08K CDF	Repl. by AALTONEN 14I
¹ AALTONEN 14I reports $m_{B_{s1}(5830)^0} - m_{B^{*+}} - m_{K^-} = 10.35 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.15$ MeV which we adjusted by the K^- mass.			
² Uses two-body decays into K^- and B^+ mesons reconstructed as $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+, J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ or $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+, \bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$.			

$B_{s1}(5830)^0$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.5±0.3±0.3	AALTONEN	14I CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

$B_{s1}(5830)^0$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad B^{*+} K^-$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad B^{*0} K_S^0$	

$B_{s1}(5830)^0$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(B^{*+} K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	AALTONEN	08K CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV	
$\Gamma(B^{*0} K_S^0)/\Gamma(B^{*+} K^-)$				Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.49±0.12±0.07	¹ SIRUNYAN	18DF CMS	pp at 8 TeV	
¹ With the branching fractions $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+) = (1.026 \pm 0.031) \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*0}) = (1.28 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$.				

$B_{s1}(5830)^0$ REFERENCES

SIRUNYAN	18DF	EPJ C78 939	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AALTONEN	14I	PR D90 012013	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AAIJ	13O	PRL 110 151803	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AALTONEN	08K	PRL 100 082001	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)

NODE=M187

REFID=59328
REFID=56029
REFID=54968
REFID=52235

NODE=M186

 $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$

$I(J^P) = 0(2^+)$
 I, J, P need confirmation.

Quantum numbers shown are quark-model predictions.

NODE=M186

 $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$ MASS

NODE=M186M

NODE=M186M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5839.88±0.12 OUR FIT			
5839.92±0.14 OUR AVERAGE			
5839.86±0.09±0.17	SIRUNYAN	18DF CMS	pp at 8 TeV
5839.99±0.05±0.20	AAIJ	13O LHCb	pp at 7 TeV
5839.6 ±1.1 ±0.7	¹ ABAZOV	08E D0	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
5839.7 ±0.7	² AALTONEN	08K CDF	Repl. by AALTONEN 14I
¹ Observed in $B_{s2}^{*0} \rightarrow B^+ K^-$. Measured production rate of B_{s2}^{*0} relative to B^+ to be $(1.15 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.13)\%$.			
² Uses two-body decays into K^- and B^+ mesons reconstructed as $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ or $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+$, $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$.			

NODE=M186M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M186M;LINKAGE=AA

 $m_{B_{s2}^{*0}} - m_{B_{s1}^0}$

NODE=M186DM

NODE=M186DM

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
10.5±0.6	¹ AALTONEN	08K CDF	Repl. by AALTONEN 14I
¹ Uses two-body decays into K^- and B^+ mesons reconstructed as $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ or $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+$, $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$.			
$m_{B_{s2}^{*0}} - m_{B^+}$			

NODE=M186DM;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M186DM2

NODE=M186DM2

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
560.48±0.12 OUR FIT			
560.41±0.13±0.14	¹ AALTONEN	14I CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
¹ AALTONEN 14I reports $m_{B_{s2}^*(5840)^0} - m_{B^+} - m_{K^-} = 66.73 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.14$ MeV which we adjusted by the K^- mass.			

NODE=M186DM2;LINKAGE=AL

 $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$ WIDTH

NODE=M186W

NODE=M186W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.49±0.27 OUR AVERAGE			
1.52±0.34±0.30	SIRUNYAN	18DF CMS	pp at 8 TeV
1.4 ±0.4 ±0.2	AALTONEN	14I CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
1.56±0.13±0.47	¹ AAIJ	13O LHCb	pp at 7 TeV
¹ Uses $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0 \rightarrow B^{*+} K^-$ decays.			

NODE=M186W;LINKAGE=AI

 $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M186215;NODE=M186

NODE=M186

Branching fractions are given relative to the one **DEFINED AS 1**.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $B^+ K^-$	DEFINED AS 1
Γ_2 $B^{*+} K^-$	0.093 ± 0.018
Γ_3 $B^0 K_S^0$	0.43 ± 0.11
Γ_4 $B^{*0} K_S^0$	0.04 ± 0.04

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=4

DESIG=3

$B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(B^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	AALTONEN	08K	CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
seen	¹ ABAZOV	08E	D0	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
¹ Measured production rate of B_{s2}^{*0} relative to B^+ to be $(1.15 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.13)\%$.				

NODE=M186220

NODE=M186R01
NODE=M186R01

NODE=M186R01;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(B^{*+} K^-)/\Gamma(B^+ K^-)$	Γ_2/Γ_1			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$0.093 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.012$	AAIJ	130	LHCB	pp at 7 TeV

NODE=M186R02
NODE=M186R02

$\Gamma(B^{*0} K_S^0)/\Gamma(B^0 K_S^0)$	Γ_4/Γ_3			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$0.093 \pm 0.086 \pm 0.014$	¹ SIRUNYAN	18DF	CMS	pp at 8 TeV
¹ With the branching fraction $B(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*0}) = (1.28 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$.				

NODE=M186R00
NODE=M186R00

NODE=M186R00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(B^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma(B^+ K^-)$	Γ_3/Γ_1			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$0.432 \pm 0.077 \pm 0.078$	¹ SIRUNYAN	18DF	CMS	pp at 8 TeV
¹ With the branching fractions $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+) = (1.026 \pm 0.031) \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*0}) = (1.28 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$.				

NODE=M186R03
NODE=M186R03

NODE=M186R03;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(B^{*+} K^-)/\Gamma(B^+ K^-)$	Γ_2/Γ_1			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$0.081 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.015$	¹ SIRUNYAN	18DF	CMS	pp at 8 TeV
¹ With the branching fraction $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+) = (1.026 \pm 0.031) \times 10^{-3}$.				

NODE=M186R04
NODE=M186R04


NODE=M186R04;LINKAGE=A

$B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$ REFERENCES

SIRUNYAN	18DF	EPJ C78 939	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AALTONEN	14I	PR D90 012013	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AAIJ	130	PRL 110 151803	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AALTONEN	08K	PRL 100 082001	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV	08E	PRL 100 082002	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)

NODE=M186

REFID=59328
REFID=56029
REFID=54968
REFID=52235
REFID=52232



$I(J^P) = ?(??)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Signal can be interpreted as coming from $\bar{b}s$ states. Needs confirmation.

NODE=M153

NODE=M153

$B_{sJ}^*(5850)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5853 ± 15	141	AKERS	95E	OPAL $E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} = 88\text{--}94$ GeV

NODE=M153M

NODE=M153M

$B_{sJ}^*(5850)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
47 ± 22	141	AKERS	95E	OPAL $E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} = 88\text{--}94$ GeV

NODE=M153W

NODE=M153W

$B_{sJ}^*(5850)$ REFERENCES

AKERS	95E	ZPHY C66 19	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)

NODE=M153

REFID=44182

NODE=M257

$B_{sJ}(6063)^0$

$$I(J^P) = 0(?)^?$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

$B_{sJ}(6063)^0$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6063.5±1.2±0.8	¹ AAIJ	21D LHCb	pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
¹ Seen in the decay channel $B^\pm K^\mp$. Integrated luminosity = 9 fb ⁻¹ .			

NODE=M257M

NODE=M257M

NODE=M257M;LINKAGE=A

$B_{sJ}(6063)^0$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
26±4±4	¹ AAIJ	21D LHCb	pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
¹ Seen in the decay channel $B^\pm K^\mp$. Integrated luminosity = 9 fb ⁻¹ .			

NODE=M257W

NODE=M257W

NODE=M257W;LINKAGE=A

$B_{sJ}(6063)^0$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad B^+ K^-$	seen

DESIG=1

$B_{sJ}(6063)^0$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(B^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	21D LHCb	$B^\pm K^\mp$ mass spectrum

NODE=M257225

NODE=M257R01
NODE=M257R01

$B_{sJ}(6063)^0$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	21D EPJ C81 601	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)

NODE=M257

REFID=61130

NODE=M258

$B_{sJ}(6114)^0$

$$I(J^P) = 0(?)^?$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

$B_{sJ}(6114)^0$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6114±3±5	¹ AAIJ	21D LHCb	pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
¹ Seen in the decay channel $B^\pm K^\mp$. Integrated luminosity = 9 fb ⁻¹ .			

NODE=M258M

NODE=M258M

NODE=M258M;LINKAGE=A

$B_{sJ}(6114)^0$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
66±18±21	¹ AAIJ	21D LHCb	pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
¹ Seen in the decay channel $B^\pm K^\mp$. Integrated luminosity = 9 fb ⁻¹ .			

NODE=M258W

NODE=M258W

NODE=M258W;LINKAGE=B

$B_{sJ}(6114)^0$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad B^+ K^-$	seen

NODE=M258215;NODE=M258

DESIG=1

$B_{sJ}(6114)^0$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(B^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	21D LHCb	$B^\pm K^\mp$ mass spectrum

NODE=M258225

NODE=M258R01
NODE=M258R01

$B_s J(6114)^0$ REFERENCES

AAIJ

21D EPJ C81 601

R. Aaij *et al.*

(LHCb Collab.)

NODE=M258

REFID=61130

BOTTOM, CHARMED MESONS
 $(B = C = \pm 1)$

$$B_c^+ = c\bar{b}, B_c^- = \bar{c}b, \quad \text{similarly for } B_c^{*'}s$$

$$B_c(2S)^\pm$$

$$I(J^P) = 0(0^-)$$

Quantum numbers neither measured nor confirmed.

NODE=MXXX049

NODE=MXXX049

NODE=M217

NODE=M217

NODE=M217M

NODE=M217M

SYCLP=A

SYCLP=A

NODE=M217M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M217M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M217M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M217M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M217M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M217M;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M217M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M217215;NODE=M217

NODE=M217

DESIG=1

 $B_c(2S)^\pm$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6871.2$\pm$1.0 OUR AVERAGE				
6871.7 $\pm$ 1.3 $\pm$ 0.3	24	^{1,2} AAIJ	19Y LHCb	pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
6870.6 $\pm$ 1.4 $\pm$ 0.3	51	^{3,4} SIRUNYAN	19M CMS	pp at 13 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen		⁵ AAIJ	18AL LHCb	pp at 8 TeV
6842 ± 4 ± 5	57	^{6,7} AAD	14AQ ATLS	pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ AAIJ 19Y observed $B_c(2S)^+$ in the decay mode $B_c(2S)^+ \rightarrow B_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ ($B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+$) with 2.2 (3.2) global (local) standard deviations significance.

² AAIJ 19Y reports mass difference measurement of $M(B_c(2S)^+) - M(B_c^+) = 597.2 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.1$ MeV. We have adjusted this measurement with our best value of $M(B_c^+) = 6274.47 \pm 0.32$ MeV. The first uncertainty of the $M(B_c(2S)^+)$ value is a total of uncertainties reported by the experiment and the second one comes from our best value of $M(B_c^+)$.

³ SIRUNYAN 19M observed $B_c(2S)^+$ in the decay mode $B_c(2S)^+ \rightarrow B_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ ($B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+$) with 6.5 standard deviations significance.

⁴ SIRUNYAN 19M reports mass difference measurement of $M(B_c(2S)^+) - M(B_c^+) = 596.1 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.8$ MeV. We have adjusted this measurement with our best value of $M(B_c^+) = 6274.47 \pm 0.32$ MeV. The first uncertainty of the $M(B_c(2S)^+)$ value is a total of uncertainties reported by the experiment and the second one comes from our best value of $M(B_c^+)$.

⁵ AAIJ 18AL reports an upper limit on the ratio of production cross sections for $[\sigma(B_c(2S)^+)/\sigma(B_c^+)] \cdot B(B_c(2S)^+ \rightarrow B_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-) < 0.04-0.09$ at 95% CL for the mass value reported by AAD 14AQ.

⁶ Observed in the decay mode $B_c(2S)^+ \rightarrow B_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ ($B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+$) with 5.2 standard deviations significance.

⁷ Might be the $B_c^*(2S)$.

 $B_c(2S)^\pm$ DECAY MODES $\times B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_c(2S))$

The following quantities are not pure branching ratios; rather the fractions $\Gamma_i/\Gamma \times B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_c(2S))$.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad B_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen

$B_c(2S)^\pm$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M217225

$$\Gamma(B_c^+ \pi^\pm \pi^\mp) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$
 Γ_1/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen		SIRUNYAN	19M CMS	<i>pp</i> at 13 TeV
seen	57	¹ AAD	14AQ ATLS	<i>pp</i> at 7, 8 TeV
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
not seen		² AAJJ	18AL LHCB	<i>pp</i> at 8 TeV

¹ Observed with 5.2 standard deviations significance.

²AAJ 18AL reports an upper limit on the ratio of production cross sections for $[\sigma(B_c(2S)^+)/\sigma(B_c^+)] \cdot B(B_c(2S)^+ \rightarrow B_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-) < 0.04\text{--}0.09$ at 95% CL for the mass value reported by AAD 14AQ.

NODE=M217R01
NODE=M217R01

NODE=M217R01;LINKAGE=AA
NODE=M217R01;LINKAGE=A

$B_c(2S)^\pm$ REFERENCES

NODE=M217

AAIJ	19Y	PRL 122 232001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	19M	PRL 122 132001	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AAIJ	18AL	JHEP 1801 138	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAD	14AQ	PRL 113 212004	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)

REFID=59795
REFID=59639
REFID=59246
REFID=56117

See the related review(s):


Spectroscopy of Mesons Containing Two Heavy Quarks

$c\bar{c}$ MESONS

NODE=MXXX025

Updated March 2024.

NODE=M826



The level scheme of meson states containing a minimal quark content of $c\bar{c}$ and having $S = C = B = 0$. The name of a state is determined by its quantum numbers $I^G J^{PC}$ (see the review “Naming Scheme for Hadrons”). States included

in the Summary Tables are shown with solid lines; selected states not in the Summary Tables, but with assigned quantum numbers, are shown with dotted lines. The arrows indicate the most dominant hadronic transitions. Single photon transitions, including $\psi(nS) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(mS)$, $\psi(nS) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{cJ}(1P)$, and $\chi_{cJ}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$, are omitted for clarity. For orientation, the location of the thresholds related to a pair of ground state open charm mesons is indicated in the figure. The J^P of $T_{c\bar{c}}(4020)$ is not established. Decays to open flavour final states are not shown in the figure.

$\eta_c(1S)$

$$J^{PC} = 0^+(0^-+)$$

NODE=M026

$\eta_c(1S)$ MASS

NODE=M026M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2984.10 ± 0.24 OUR AVERAGE				
[2984.1 ± 0.4 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.2]				
2984.14 ± 0.12 ± 0.34	26k	¹ ABLIKIM	25R BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c \rightarrow \gamma 2(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$
2985.01 ± 0.17 ± 0.89	35k	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$
2983.9 ± 0.7 ± 0.1		² AAIJ	20H LHCb	$pp \rightarrow bX \rightarrow p\bar{p}X$
2985.9 ± 0.7 ± 2.1	1705	ABLIKIM	19AV BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$
2984.6 ± 0.7 ± 2.2	2673	XU	18 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$
2986.7 ± 0.5 ± 0.9	11k	³ AAIJ	17AD LHCb	$pp \rightarrow B^+X \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+X$
2982.8 ± 1.0 ± 0.5	6.4k	⁴ AAIJ	17BB LHCb	$pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}X \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)X$
2982.2 ± 1.5 ± 0.1	2.0k	⁵ AAIJ	15BI LHCb	$pp \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)X$
2983.5 ± 1.4 ± $\begin{smallmatrix} 1.6 \\ -3.6 \end{smallmatrix}$		⁶ ANASHIN	14 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
2979.8 ± 0.8 ± 3.5	4.5k	^{7,8} LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
2984.1 ± 1.1 ± 2.1	900	^{7,8,9} LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
2984.3 ± 0.6 ± 0.6		^{10,11} ABLIKIM	12F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
2984.49 ± 1.16 ± 0.52	832	⁷ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma \text{ hadrons}$
2982.7 ± 1.8 ± 2.2	486	ZHANG	12A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$
2984.5 ± 0.8 ± 3.1	11k	DEL-AMO-SA..	11M BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
2985.4 ± 1.5 ± $\begin{smallmatrix} 0.5 \\ -2.0 \end{smallmatrix}$	920	¹¹ VINOKUROVA	11 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm(K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp)$
2982.2 ± 0.4 ± 1.6	14k	¹² LEES	10 BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$
2985.8 ± 1.5 ± 3.1	0.9k	AUBERT	08AB BABR	$B \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)K^{(*)} \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi K^{(*)}$
2986.1 ± 1.0 ± 2.5	7.5k	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
2970 ± 5 ± 6	501	¹³ ABE	07 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi(c\bar{c})$
2971 ± 3 ± $\begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{smallmatrix}$	195	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+$
2974 ± 7 ± $\begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{smallmatrix}$	20	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^+$
2981.8 ± 1.3 ± 1.5	592	ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c' \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$
2984.1 ± 2.1 ± 1.0	190	¹⁴ AMBROGIANI	03 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2984.13 ± 0.13 ± 0.38	12k	¹⁵ ABLIKIM	25R BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c \rightarrow \gamma 2(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$

NODE=M026M

NEW

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

2982.5 ± 0.4 ± 1.4	12k	¹⁶ DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	OCCUR=2
2982.2 ± 0.6		¹⁷ MITCHELL	09 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$	
2982 ± 5	270	¹⁸ AUBERT	06E BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X_{c\bar{c}}$	
2982.5 ± 1.1 ± 0.9	2.5k	¹⁹ AUBERT	04D BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi$	
2977.5 ± 1.0 ± 1.2		^{17,20} BAI	03 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$	
2979.6 ± 2.3 ± 1.6	180	²¹ FANG	03 BELL	$B \rightarrow \eta_c K$	
2976.3 ± 2.3 ± 1.2		^{17,22} BAI	00F BES	$J/\psi, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$	
2976.6 ± 2.9 ± 1.3	140	^{17,23} BAI	00F BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$	OCCUR=2
2980.4 ± 2.3 ± 0.6		²⁴ BRANDENB...	00B CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$	
2975.8 ± 3.9 ± 1.2		²³ BAI	99B BES	Sup. by BAI 00F	
2999 ± 8	25	ABREU	98O DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- + \text{hadrons}$	
2988.3 + 3.3 - 3.1		ARMSTRONG	95F E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	
2974.4 ± 1.9		^{17,25} BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$	
2969 ± 4 ± 4	80	¹⁷ BAI	90B MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$	
2956 ± 12 ± 12		¹⁷ BAI	90B MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_L^0$	OCCUR=3
2982.6 + 2.7 - 2.3	12	BAGLIN	87B SPEC	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	
2980.2 ± 1.6		^{17,25} BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$	
2984 ± 2.3 ± 4.0		¹⁷ GAISER	86 CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$	
2976 ± 8		^{17,26} BALTRUSAIT..84	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow 2\phi \gamma$	
2982 ± 8	18	²⁷ HIMEL	80B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$	
2980 ± 9		²⁷ PARTRIDGE	80B CBAL	$e^+ e^-$	
¹ Destructive-interference solution.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=J
² AAIJ 20H report $m_{J/\psi} - m_{\eta_c(1S)} = 113.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.1$ MeV. We use the current value $m_{J/\psi} = 3096.900 \pm 0.006$ MeV to obtain the quoted mass.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=H
³ AAIJ 17AD report $m_{J/\psi} - m_{\eta_c(1S)} = 110.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.9$ MeV. We use the current value $m_{J/\psi} = 3096.900 \pm 0.006$ MeV to obtain the quoted mass.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=F
⁴ From a fit of the $\phi\phi$ invariant mass with the mass and width of $\eta_c(1S)$ as free parameters.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=G
⁵ AAIJ 15BI reports $m_{J/\psi} - m_{\eta_c(1S)} = 114.7 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.1$ MeV from a sample of $\eta_c(1S)$ and J/ψ produced in b -hadron decays. We have used current value of $m_{J/\psi} = 3096.900 \pm 0.006$ MeV to arrive at the quoted $m_{\eta_c(1S)}$ result.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=D
⁶ Taking into account an asymmetric photon lineshape.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=E
⁷ With floating width.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=AL
⁸ Ignoring possible interference with the non-resonant 0^- amplitude.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=LS
⁹ Using both, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decays.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=EL
¹⁰ From a simultaneous fit to six decay modes of the η_c .					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=BL
¹¹ Accounts for interference with non-resonant continuum.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=VA
¹² Taking into account interference with the non-resonant $J^P = 0^-$ amplitude.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=LE
¹³ From a fit of the J/ψ recoil mass spectrum. Supersedes ABE,K 02 and ABE 04G.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=EB
¹⁴ Using mass of $\psi(2S) = 3686.00$ MeV.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=BG
¹⁵ Constructive-interference solution.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=I
¹⁶ Not independent from the measurements reported by LEES 10.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=DE
¹⁷ MITCHELL 09 observes a significant asymmetry in the lineshapes of $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$ transitions. If ignored, this asymmetry could lead to significant bias whenever the mass and width are measured in $\psi(2S)$ or J/ψ radiative decays.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=MI
¹⁸ From the fit of the kaon momentum spectrum. Systematic errors not evaluated.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=AU
¹⁹ Superseded by LEES 10.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=UB
²⁰ From a simultaneous fit of five decay modes of the η_c .					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=AK
²¹ Superseded by VINOKUROVA 11.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=FA
²² Weighted average of the $\psi(2S)$ and $J/\psi(1S)$ samples. Using an η_c width of 13.2 MeV.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=KZ
²³ Average of several decay modes. Using an η_c width of 13.2 MeV.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=C1
²⁴ Superseded by ASNER 04.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=NN
²⁵ Average of several decay modes.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=A
²⁶ $\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi$.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=B
²⁷ Mass adjusted by us to correspond to $J/\psi(1S)$ mass = 3097 MeV.					NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=M

 $\eta_c(1S)$ WIDTH

NODE=M026W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
30.0 ± 0.5 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			[30.5 ± 0.5 MeV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]
30.2 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			[30.5 ± 0.5 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.1]
28.82 ± 0.11 ± 0.81	26k	¹ ABLIKIM	25R BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \eta$
29.7 ± 0.5 ± 0.2	35k	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ (K_S^0 K \pi)$
33.8 ± 1.6 ± 4.1	1705	ABLIKIM	19AV BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \omega$
30.8 $^{+2.3}_{-2.2}$ ± 2.9	2673	XU	18 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
34.0 ± 1.9 ± 1.3	11k	AAIJ	17AD LHCb	$pp \rightarrow B^+ X \rightarrow p \bar{p} K^+ X$
31.4 ± 3.5 ± 2.0	6.4k	² AAIJ	17BB LHCb	$pp \rightarrow b \bar{b} X \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-) X$
27.2 ± 3.1 $^{+5.4}_{-2.6}$		³ ANASHIN	14 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$
25.2 ± 2.6 ± 2.4	4.5k	^{4,5} LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
34.8 ± 3.1 ± 4.0	900	^{4,5,6} LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
32.0 ± 1.2 ± 1.0		^{7,8} ABLIKIM	12F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$
36.4 ± 3.2 ± 1.7	832	⁴ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \text{ hadrons}$
37.8 $^{+5.8}_{-5.3}$ ± 3.1	486	ZHANG	12A BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
36.2 ± 2.8 ± 3.0	11k	DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
35.1 ± 3.1 $^{+1.0}_{-1.6}$	920	⁸ VINOKUROVA	11 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm (K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$
31.7 ± 1.2 ± 0.8	14k	⁹ LEES	10 BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
36.3 $^{+3.7}_{-3.6}$ ± 4.4	0.9k	AUBERT	08AB BABR	$B \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) K^(*) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi K^(*)$
28.1 ± 3.2 ± 2.2	7.5k	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
48 $^{+8}_{-7}$ ± 5	195	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow p \bar{p} K^+$
40 ± 19 ± 5	20	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} K^+$
24.8 ± 3.4 ± 3.5	592	ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta'_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
20.4 $^{+7.7}_{-6.7}$ ± 2.0	190	AMBROGIANI	03 E835	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
23.9 $^{+12.6}_{-7.1}$		ARMSTRONG	95F E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
28.81 ± 0.11 ± 0.82	12k	¹⁰ ABLIKIM	25R BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \eta$
32.1 ± 1.1 ± 1.3	12k	¹¹ DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
34.3 ± 2.3 ± 0.9	2.5k	¹² AUBERT	04D BABR	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi$
17.0 ± 3.7 ± 7.4		¹³ BAI	03 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$
29 ± 8 ± 6	180	¹⁴ FANG	03 BELL	$B \rightarrow \eta_c K$
11.0 ± 8.1 ± 4.1		¹⁵ BAI	00F BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c \text{ and } \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$
27.0 ± 5.8 ± 1.4		¹⁶ BRANDENB...	00B CLE2	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
7.0 $^{+7.5}_{-7.0}$	12	BAGLIN	87B SPEC	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
10.1 $^{+33.0}_{-8.2}$	23	¹⁷ BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$
11.5 ± 4.5		GAISER	86 CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
< 40 90% CL	18	HIMEL	80B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$
< 20 90% CL		PARTRIDGE	80B CBAL	$e^+ e^-$

¹ Destructive-interference solution.

² From a fit of the $\phi \phi$ invariant mass with the mass and width of $\eta_c(1S)$ as free parameters.

³ Taking into account an asymmetric photon lineshape.

⁴ With floating mass.

⁵ Ignoring possible interference with the non-resonant 0^- amplitude.

⁶ Using both, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decays.

⁷ From a simultaneous fit to six decay modes of the η_c .

⁸ Accounts for interference with non-resonant continuum.

⁹ Taking into account interference with the non-resonant $J^P = 0^-$ amplitude.

¹⁰ Constructive-interference solution.

¹¹ Not independent from the measurements reported by LEES 10.

¹² Superseded by LEES 10.

¹³ From a simultaneous fit of five decay modes of the η_c .

¹⁴ Superseded by VINOKUROVA 11.

¹⁵ From a fit to the 4-prong invariant mass in $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$ and $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$ decays.

¹⁶ Superseded by ASNER 04.

¹⁷ Positive and negative errors correspond to 90% confidence level.

NODE=M026W

NEW

NEW

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=AL
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=LS
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=VA
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=BL
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=VE
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=LE
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=DE
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=UB
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=AK
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=FA
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=KZ
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=NN
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=L

$\eta_c(1S)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M026215;NODE=M026

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level	
Decays involving hadronic resonances			
Γ_1 $\eta'(958)\pi\pi$	(1.59 $\pm$ 0.34) %	S=1.7	NODE=M026;CLUMP=A
Γ_2 $\eta'(958)K\bar{K}$	(1.37 $\pm$ 0.31) %		DESIG=24
Γ_3 $\eta'(958)\eta\eta$	(2.7 $\pm$ 0.5) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=85
Γ_4 $\rho\rho$	(1.41 $\pm$ 0.34) %		DESIG=93
Γ_5 $K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	(1.43 $\pm$ 0.35) %		DESIG=19
Γ_6 $K^*(892)\bar{K}^*(892)$	(5.5 $\pm$ 1.1) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.2	DESIG=26
Γ_7 $K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	(1.1 $\pm$ 0.4) %		DESIG=18
Γ_8 $\phi K^+ K^-$	(3.0 $^{+1.2}_{-1.0}$) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=57
Γ_9 $\phi\phi$	(1.4 $\pm$ 0.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=2.9	DESIG=28
Γ_{10} $\phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	< 3.3 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=17
Γ_{11} $a_0(980)\pi$	seen		DESIG=58
Γ_{12} $a_2(1320)\pi$	seen		DESIG=21
Γ_{13} $K^*(892)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.28 %	CL=90%	DESIG=22
Γ_{14} $f_2(1270)\eta$	seen		DESIG=40
Γ_{15} $f_2(1270)\eta'$	seen		DESIG=23
Γ_{16} $\omega\omega$	(2.1 $\pm$ 0.8) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=2.4	DESIG=92
Γ_{17} $\omega\phi$	(3.0 $\pm$ 0.8) $\times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=20
Γ_{18} $f_2(1270)f_2(1270)$	(8.4 $\pm$ 2.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.2	DESIG=47
Γ_{19} $f_2(1270)f_2'(1525)$	(7.7 $\pm$ 2.5) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=46
Γ_{20} $f_0(500)\eta$	seen		DESIG=59
Γ_{21} $f_0(500)\eta'$	seen		DESIG=86
Γ_{22} $f_0(980)\eta$	seen		DESIG=87
Γ_{23} $f_0(980)\eta'$	seen		DESIG=70
Γ_{24} $f_0(1500)\eta$	seen		DESIG=88
Γ_{25} $f_0(1710)\eta'$	seen		DESIG=71
Γ_{26} $f_0(2100)\eta'$	seen		DESIG=90
Γ_{27} $f_0(2200)\eta$	seen		DESIG=91
Γ_{28} $a_0(1320)\pi$	seen		DESIG=72
Γ_{29} $a_0(1450)\pi$	seen		DESIG=74
Γ_{30} $a_2(1700)\pi$	seen		DESIG=75
Γ_{31} $a_0(1710)\pi$	seen		DESIG=94
Γ_{32} $a_0(1950)\pi$	seen		DESIG=97
Γ_{33} $K_0^*(1430)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen		DESIG=79
Γ_{34} $K_2^*(1430)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen		DESIG=76
Γ_{35} $K_0^*(1950)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen		DESIG=77
Γ_{36} $K_0^*(2600)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen		DESIG=78
Decays into stable hadrons			
Γ_{37} $K\bar{K}\pi$	(5.9 $\pm$ 0.5) %	S=1.8	NODE=M026;CLUMP=B
Γ_{38} $KK\eta$	(1.11 $\pm$ 0.15) %	S=1.3	DESIG=14
Γ_{39} $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	(1.5 $\pm$ 0.4) %		DESIG=25
Γ_{40} $\eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	(4.0 $\pm$ 1.2) %		DESIG=16
Γ_{41} $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	(6.7 $\pm$ 1.8) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=2.4	DESIG=61
Γ_{42} $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	(2.8 $\pm$ 0.5) %		DESIG=15
Γ_{43} $K^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	(5.1 $\pm$ 1.4) %		DESIG=60
Γ_{44} $K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	(6.9 $\pm$ 2.0) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=62
Γ_{45} $2(K^+ K^-)$	(1.2 $\pm$ 0.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.6	DESIG=55
Γ_{46} $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 4 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=27
Γ_{47} $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	(4.3 $\pm$ 1.0) %		DESIG=81
Γ_{48} $2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	(7.5 $\pm$ 1.3) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.6	DESIG=63
Γ_{49} $2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \eta$	(5.6 $\pm$ 2.0) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=11
Γ_{50} $2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$	(15.5 $\pm$ 2.0) %		DESIG=99
Γ_{51} $3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	(1.55 $\pm$ 0.28) %		DESIG=64
Γ_{52} $p\bar{p}$	(1.11 $\pm$ 0.12) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.4	DESIG=56
Γ_{53} $p\bar{p}\pi^0$	(3.2 $\pm$ 1.2) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=12
Γ_{54} $p\bar{p}\pi^+ \pi^-$	(3.4 $\pm$ 0.5) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.2	DESIG=65
Γ_{55} $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$	(9.4 $\pm$ 1.8) $\times 10^{-4}$	S=1.2	DESIG=13
Γ_{56} $K^+ \bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.}$	(2.26 $\pm$ 0.34) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=45
Γ_{57} $\bar{\Lambda}(1520)\Lambda + \text{c.c.}$	(2.8 $\pm$ 1.2) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=82
Γ_{58} $\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$	(1.98 $\pm$ 0.35) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=83
Γ_{59} $\Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+$	(8.3 $\pm$ 1.8) $\times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=66
			DESIG=67

Radiative decays

Γ_{60}	$\gamma\gamma$	$(2.13 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.5
Γ_{61}	$\gamma\phi$	$(1.15^{+0.33}_{-0.40}) \times 10^{-5}$	

NODE=M026;CLUMP=C
DESIG=31
DESIG=100

**Charge conjugation (C), Parity (P),
Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes**

Γ_{62}	$\pi^+\pi^-$	$P, CP < 1.0$	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{63}	$\pi^0\pi^0$	$P, CP < 3.3$	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{64}	K^+K^-	$P, CP < 5$	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{65}	$K_S^0 K_S^0$	$P, CP < 2.9$	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

NODE=M026;CLUMP=D
DESIG=51
DESIG=52
DESIG=53
DESIG=54

FIT INFORMATION

A multiparticle fit to $\eta_c(1S)$, $J/\psi(1S)$, $\psi(2S)$, $h_c(1P)$, and $B^\pm$ with the total width, 10 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 38 branching ratios uses 115 measurements to determine 19 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 215.5$ for 96 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

x_6	16									
x_9	13	14								
x_{16}	8	9	8							
x_{18}	10	12	12	7						
x_{37}	32	30	26	15	20					
x_{38}	19	18	15	9	12	60				
x_{41}	9	9	8	5	6	19	12			
x_{45}	7	6	6	3	4	17	10	5		
x_{48}	14	18	18	11	15	29	17	10	6	
x_{52}	22	23	21	12	17	45	27	13	12	25
x_{54}	10	10	10	5	7	28	17	7	13	11
x_{55}	9	11	13	7	10	22	13	7	7	16
x_{60}	-41	-37	-29	-17	-22	-76	-45	-20	-16	-33
Γ	-2	-1	-1	-1	-1	-3	-2	-1	-1	-1
	x_1	x_6	x_9	x_{16}	x_{18}	x_{37}	x_{38}	x_{41}	x_{45}	x_{48}
x_{54}	22									
x_{55}	20	16								
x_{60}	-51	-23	-20							
Γ	1	-1	-1	-15						
	x_{52}	x_{54}	x_{55}	x_{60}						

 $\eta_c(1S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M026217

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_{60}

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.4 ± 0.5 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.	[5.1 $\pm$ 0.4 keV OUR 2025 FIT		
Scale factor = 1.2]				

NODE=M026W1
NODE=M026W1
NEW

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.8 ± 1.1	486	¹ ZHANG	12A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'/\pi^+\pi^-$
5.2 ± 1.2	273 ± 43	^{2,3} AUBERT	06E BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X_{c\bar{c}}$
$5.5 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.8$	157 ± 33	⁴ KUO	05 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow p\bar{p}$
$7.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 2.3$		⁵ ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
$13.9 \pm 2.0 \pm 3.0$	41	⁶ ABDALLAH	03J DLPH	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c$
$3.8^{+1.1+1.9}_{-1.0-1.0}$	190	⁷ AMBROGIANI	03 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
$7.6 \pm 0.8 \pm 2.3$		^{5,8} BRANDENB...	00B CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$

6.9 ± 1.7 ± 2.1	76	⁹ ACCIARRI	99T L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta_c$
27 ± 16 ± 10	5	⁵ SHIRAI	98 AMY	58 e^+e^-
6.7 ⁺ ₋ 2.4 ⁺ ₋ 1.7 ± 2.3		⁴ ARMSTRONG	95F E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
11.3 ± 4.2		¹⁰ ALBRECHT	94H ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta_c$
8.0 ± 2.3 ± 2.4	17	¹¹ ADRIANI	93N L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta_c$
5.9 ⁺ ₋ 2.1 ⁺ ₋ 1.8 ± 1.9		⁷ CHEN	90B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta_c$
6.4 ⁺ ₋ 5.0 ⁺ ₋ 3.4		¹² AIHARA	88D TPC	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\chi$
4.3 ⁺ ₋ 3.4 ⁺ ₋ 3.7 ± 2.4		⁴ BAGLIN	87B SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
28 ± 15	^{5,13} BERGER	86 PLUT		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$

¹ Assuming there is no interference with the non-resonant background.

² Calculated by us using $\Gamma(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) \times \Gamma(\eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) / \Gamma = 0.44 \pm 0.05$ keV from PDG 06 and $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = (8.5 \pm 1.8)\%$ from AUBERT 06E.

³ Systematic errors not evaluated.

⁴ Normalized to $B(\eta_c \rightarrow p\bar{p}) = (1.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$.

⁵ Normalized to $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)$.

⁶ Average of $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$, $\pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$, and $2(K^+ K^-)$ decay modes.

⁷ Normalized to the sum of $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)$, $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$, and $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$.

⁸ Superseded by ASNER 04.

⁹ Normalized to the sum of 9 branching ratios.

¹⁰ Normalized to the sum of $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)$, $B(\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi)$, $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$, and $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$.

¹¹ Superseded by ACCIARRI 99T.

¹² Normalized to the sum of $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)$, $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2K^+ 2K^-)$, $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$, and $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$.

¹³ Re-evaluated by AIHARA 88D.

NODE=M026W1;LINKAGE=ZH

NODE=M026W1;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M026W1;LINKAGE=NS

NODE=M026W1;LINKAGE=N3

NODE=M026W1;LINKAGE=N2

NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=FF

NODE=M026W1;LINKAGE=N6

NODE=M026W1;LINKAGE=NN

NODE=M026W1;LINKAGE=N1

NODE=M026W1;LINKAGE=N5

NODE=M026W1;LINKAGE=WD

NODE=M026W1;LINKAGE=N4

NODE=M026W1;LINKAGE=A

$\eta_c(1S) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M026220

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{60} / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
102 ± 18 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.	[102 ± 18 eV OUR 2025 FIT		
Scale factor = 1.5]				

NODE=M026G10

NODE=M026G10

NEW

98.1 ± 3.9 ± 11.7	2673	XU	18 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

75.8 ⁺ ₋ 6.3 ⁺ ₋ 6.2 ± 8.4	486	¹ ZHANG	12A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Superseded by XU 18.

NODE=M026G10;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\rho\rho) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_4 \Gamma_{60} / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<39	90	< 1556	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

NODE=M026G09

NODE=M026G09

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\bar{K}^*(892)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_6 \Gamma_{60} / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

35 ± 6 OUR FIT				
32.4 ± 4.2 ± 5.8	882 ± 115	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- K^+ K^-$

NODE=M026G08

NODE=M026G08

$\Gamma(\phi\phi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_9 \Gamma_{60} / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

9.2 ± 2.2 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.7. [9.2 ± 2.2 eV OUR 2025 FIT
Scale factor = 2.7]

7.75 ± 0.66 ± 0.62	386 ± 31	¹ LIU	12B BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

6.8 ± 1.2 ± 1.3	132 ± 23	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

¹ Supersedes UEHARA 08. Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$.

NODE=M026G07

NODE=M026G07

NEW

NODE=M026G07;LINKAGE=LI

$\Gamma(\omega\gamma) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
13 ± 4 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 2.1.	[13 ± 5 eV OUR 2025 FIT		
Scale factor = 2.2]				
8.67 ± 2.86 ± 0.96	85 ± 29	¹ LIU	12B BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$
¹ Using $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.				

NODE=M026G03
NODE=M026G03
NEW

NODE=M026G03;LINKAGE=LI

 $\Gamma(\omega\phi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.49	90	¹ LIU	12B BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
¹ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.				

NODE=M026G04
NODE=M026G04

NODE=M026G04;LINKAGE=LI

 $\Gamma(f_2(1270)f_2'(1270)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
54 ± 13 OUR FIT				
[55 ± 14 eV OUR 2025 FIT]				
69 ± 17 ± 12	3182 ± 766	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

NODE=M026G19
NODE=M026G19
NEW

 $\Gamma(f_2(1270)f_2'(1525)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
49 ± 9 ± 13	1128 ± 206	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$

NODE=M026G20
NODE=M026G20

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (keV)	CL% EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.377 ± 0.020 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.	[0.360 ± 0.022 keV OUR 2025		
FIT Scale factor = 1.5]				
0.396 ± 0.016 OUR AVERAGE				
0.386 ± 0.008 ± 0.021	12k	DEL-AMO-SA...11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.374 ± 0.009 ± 0.031	14k	¹ LEES	10 BABR	$10.6 \frac{e^+e^-}{e^+e^-} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.407 ± 0.022 ± 0.028		^{2,3} ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.60 ± 0.12 ± 0.09	41	^{3,4} ABDALLAH	03J DLPH	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1.47 ± 0.87 ± 0.27		³ SHIRAI	98 AMY	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
0.84 ± 0.21		³ ALBRECHT	94H ARG	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
0.60 ^{+0.23} _{-0.20}		³ CHEN	90B CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
1.06 ± 0.41 ± 0.27	11	³ BRAUNSCH...	89 TASS	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
1.5 ^{+0.60} _{-0.45} ± 0.3	7	³ BERGER	86 PLUT	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.418 ± 0.044 ± 0.022		^{3,5} BRANDENB...	00B CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
<0.63	95	³ BEHREND	89 CELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
<4.4	95	ALTHOFF	85B TASS	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$

NODE=M026G14
NODE=M026G14
NEW

NODE=M026G14;LINKAGE=LE
NODE=M026G14;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M026G14;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M026G;LINKAGE=BB

NODE=M026G14;LINKAGE=NN

 $\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
42 ± 10 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 2.2.	[42 ± 9 eV OUR 2025 FIT		
Scale factor = 2.1]				
27 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE				
25.7 ± 3.2 ± 4.9	2019 ± 248	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
280 ± 100 ± 60	42	¹ ABDALLAH	03J DLPH	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
170 ± 80 ± 20	13.9 ± 6.6	ALBRECHT	94H ARG	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
¹ Calculated by us from the value reported in ABDALLAH 03J, which uses $B(\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-) = (2.0 \pm 0.7)\%$.				

NODE=M026G15
NODE=M026G15
NEW

NODE=M026G;LINKAGE=CC

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{42}\Gamma_{60}/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.190 ± 0.006 ± 0.028	11k	DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	

NODE=M026G02
NODE=M026G02

$\Gamma(2(K^+ K^-)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{45}\Gamma_{60}/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
7.5 ± 2.3 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5. [7.2 ± 2.1 eV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]				
5.8 ± 1.9 OUR AVERAGE					

NODE=M026G27
NODE=M026G27
NEW

5.6 ± 1.1 ± 1.6	216 ± 42	UEHARA	08	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$
350 ± 90 ± 60	46	¹ ABDALLAH	03J	DLPH	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$
231 ± 90 ± 23	9.1 ± 3.3	² ALBRECHT	94H	ARG	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

¹ Calculated by us from the value reported in ABDALLAH 03J, which uses $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)) = (2.1 \pm 1.2)\%$.

² Includes all topological modes except $\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi$.

NODE=M026G;LINKAGE=DD

NODE=M026G;LINKAGE=EE

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{48}\Gamma_{60}/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
48 ± 7 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4. [48 ± 7 eV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]				
42 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE					

NODE=M026G11
NODE=M026G11
NEW

40.7 ± 3.7 ± 5.3	5381 ± 492	UEHARA	08	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
180 ± 70 ± 20	21.4 ± 8.6	ALBRECHT	94H	ARG	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{52}\Gamma_{60}/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
7.1 ± 0.6 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2. [6.7 ± 0.6 eV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]				
6.2 ^{+1.1}_{-1.0} OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				

NODE=M026G01
NODE=M026G01
NEW

7.20 ± 1.53 ^{+0.67} _{-0.75}	157 ± 33	¹ KUO	05	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow p\bar{p}$
4.6 ^{+1.3} _{-1.1} ± 0.4	190	AMBROGIANI	03	E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
8.1 ^{+2.9} _{-2.0}		ARMSTRONG	95F	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

¹ Not independent from the $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$ reported by the same experiment.

NODE=M026G01;LINKAGE=GG

$\eta_c(1S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M026225

HADRONIC DECAYS

NODE=M026305

$\Gamma(\eta'(958) K \bar{K})/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \pi \pi)$					Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.859 ± 0.052 ± 0.043	¹ LEES	21A	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta' K^+ K^-$, $\eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$	

NODE=M026R55
NODE=M026R55

¹ Based on Dalitz-plot analysis of the $\eta_c \rightarrow \eta' K^+ K^-$, $\eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ final states where the fit fractions and relative phases are determined for numerous two-body intermediate states.

NODE=M026R55;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta'(958) \eta \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_3/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
2.7 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE	[(3.4 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]				
2.7 ± 0.4 ± 0.2	¹ ABLIKIM	21C	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$	

NODE=M026R63
NODE=M026R63

NEW

¹ ABLIKIM 21C reports [$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta'(958) \eta \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))$] = (4.86 ± 0.62 ± 0.45) × 10⁻⁵ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R63;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_4/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M026R9
NODE=M026R9

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.9 ± 0.4 ± 0.1	72	¹ ABLIKIM	05L	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1.8 ± 0.4 ± 0.1	113	^{2,3} BISELLO	91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0 \rho^0$
1.6 ± 0.8 ± 0.1	32	^{4,5} BISELLO	91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \rho^+ \rho^-$
<14	90	⁶ BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$	

OCCUR=2

¹ ABLIKIM 05L reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.6 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² BISELLO 91 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (3.30 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ The value reported by BISELLO 91 has been multiplied by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

⁴ BISELLO 91 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (3.0 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁵ The value reported by BISELLO 91 has been multiplied by 3/2 to account for isospin symmetry.

⁶ Using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

NODE=M026R9;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M026R9;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M026R9;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M026R9;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M026R9;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M026R9;LINKAGE=G

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.43 ± 0.33 ± 0.12	63	¹ BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (2.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R16
NODE=M026R16

NODE=M026R16;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

105 ± 44 ± 9	45	¹ ABLIKIM	06A BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*0} \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 06A reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.91 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R25
NODE=M026R25

NODE=M026R25;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\phi K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.9^{+0.9}_{-0.8} \pm 1.1$	$14.1^{+4.4}_{-3.7}$	^{1,2} HUANG	03 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow (\phi K^+ K^-) K^+$

¹ Using $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.25 \pm 0.12^{+0.10}_{-0.12}) \times 10^{-3}$ from FANG 03 and $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi) = (5.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-2}$.

² Not used since the same experimental measurement has been used in another related quantity included elsewhere in the fit.

NODE=M026R21
NODE=M026R21

NODE=M026R;LINKAGE=BB

NODE=M026R21;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<33 (CL = 90%) [$<40 \times 10^{-4}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]

<33	90	¹ ABLIKIM	06A BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 06A reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 0.603 \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.82 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M026R26
NODE=M026R26

NODE=M026R26;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(a_0(980) \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen		AAIJ	23AH LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$

seen		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

seen		LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.02	90	^{1,2} BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ The quoted branching ratio uses $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

² We are assuming $B(a_0(980) \rightarrow \eta \pi) > 0.5$.

NODE=M026R11
NODE=M026R11

NODE=M026R11;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M026R11;LINKAGE=F

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$

NODE=M026R12
NODE=M026R12

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.02 90 ¹ BALTRUSAIT..86 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ The quoted branching ratio uses $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

NODE=M026R12;LINKAGE=E

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0128	90	BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
<0.0132	90	¹ BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$

NODE=M026R17
NODE=M026R17

¹ The quoted branching ratios use $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M026R17;LINKAGE=E

 $\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$

NODE=M026R13
NODE=M026R13

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.011 90 ¹ BALTRUSAIT..86 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ The quoted branching ratio uses $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

NODE=M026R13;LINKAGE=E

 $\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$; $K^+K^-\eta'$

NODE=M026R60
NODE=M026R60

 $\Gamma(\omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.0 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.2$		118	¹ ABLIKIM 25AC	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$
< 25	90		² ABLIKIM 17P	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$
<170	90		³ ABLIKIM 05L	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$

NODE=M026R22
NODE=M026R22

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ ABLIKIM 25AC reports $(3.86 \pm 0.92 \pm 0.62) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) = 0.017 \pm 0.004$.

³ The quoted branching ratio uses $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

NODE=M026R22;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M026R22;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M026R22;LINKAGE=E

 $\Gamma(f_0(500)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$

NODE=M026R57
NODE=M026R57

 $\Gamma(f_0(500)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$

NODE=M026R58
NODE=M026R58

 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$
seen	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$

NODE=M026R41
NODE=M026R41

 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$, $K^+K^-\eta'$

NODE=M026R56
NODE=M026R56

 $\Gamma(f_0(1500)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$
seen	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$

NODE=M026R42
NODE=M026R42

$\Gamma(f_0(1710)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'$

 Γ_{25}/Γ

NODE=M026R59
 NODE=M026R59

 $\Gamma(f_0(2100)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

 Γ_{26}/Γ

NODE=M026R61
 NODE=M026R61

 $\Gamma(f_0(2200)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$

 Γ_{27}/Γ

NODE=M026R43
 NODE=M026R43

 $\Gamma(a_0(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$
seen	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

 Γ_{28}/Γ

NODE=M026R45
 NODE=M026R45

 $\Gamma(a_0(1450)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$
seen	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

 Γ_{29}/Γ

NODE=M026R46
 NODE=M026R46

 $\Gamma(a_2(1700)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$

 Γ_{30}/Γ

NODE=M026P10
 NODE=M026P10

 $\Gamma(a_0(1710)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$

 Γ_{31}/Γ

NODE=M026P13
 NODE=M026P13

 $\Gamma(a_0(1950)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$
seen	12k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi$

 Γ_{32}/Γ

NODE=M026R00
 NODE=M026R00

¹ From a model-independent partial wave analysis.

NODE=M026R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	12k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi$
seen		LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta/\pi^0$

 Γ_{33}/Γ

NODE=M026R47
 NODE=M026R47

¹ From a model-independent partial wave analysis.

NODE=M026R47;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'$
seen	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

 Γ_{34}/Γ

NODE=M026R48
 NODE=M026R48

 $\Gamma(K_0^*(1950)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen		AAIJ	23AH LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$
seen		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'$
seen	12k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi$
seen		LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta/\pi^0$

 Γ_{35}/Γ

NODE=M026R49
 NODE=M026R49

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis using an isobar model.

NODE=M026R49;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K_0^*(2600)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$

 Γ_{36}/Γ

NODE=M026P11
 NODE=M026P11

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{37}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

NODE=M026R4

NODE=M026R4

5.9±0.5 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.8. $[(7.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2} \text{ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor} = 1.1]$

NEW

7.4±0.6 OUR AVERAGE

6.9±0.7±0.6 146 ¹ ABLIKIM 19AP BES3 $h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$
 7.8±0.6±0.6 267 ² ABLIKIM 19AP BES3 $h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.7±1.1±0.6 55 ^{3,4,5} ABLIKIM 12N BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
 7.2±1.2±0.8 107 ^{5,6,7} ABLIKIM 12N BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K_S^0 K^\mp \pi^\pm$
 8.5±1.8 ^{5,8} AUBERT 06E BABR $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X_{c\bar{c}}$
 3.6±1.0±0.3 0.6k ^{5,9,10} BAI 04 BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$
 4.8±1.4±0.4 33 ^{5,11,12} BISELLO 91 DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
 3.8±0.9±0.3 68 ^{5,13,14} BISELLO 91 DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$
 4.8±1.7 95 ^{5,15,16} BALTRUSAIT..86 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
 4.3±1.6±0.3 32 ^{5,17,18} BALTRUSAIT..86 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
 3.1±0.8±0.3 63 ^{5,19,20} BALTRUSAIT..86 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

12 ⁺⁷₋₅ ±2 ^{5,21} HIMEL 80B MRK2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

< 10.7 90% CL ¹⁶ PARTRIDGE 80B CBAL $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 19AP quotes $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.15 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$ which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=C

² ABLIKIM 19AP quotes $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (2.60 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=F

³ ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (4.54 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=BK

⁴ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (27.24 \pm 4.56 \pm 2.88) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (64 \pm 5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=CK

⁵ Not used since the same experimental measurement has been used in another related quantity included elsewhere in the fit.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=U

⁶ ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (11.35 \pm 1.25 \pm 1.50) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=BL

⁷ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (34.05 \pm 3.75 \pm 4.50) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (64 \pm 5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=CL

⁸ Determined from the ratio of $B(B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = (7.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$ reported in AUBERT, B 04B and $B(B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \eta_c) = (8.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-3}$ reported in AUBERT 06E.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=AB

⁹ BAI 04 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (2.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=H

¹⁰ BAI 04 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (6.6 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=I

¹¹ BISELLO 91 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (8.76 \pm 1.80 \pm 1.68) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=J

¹² BISELLO 91 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.46 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=M

¹³ BISELLO 91 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (6.9 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=L

¹⁴ BISELLO 91 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (2.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=N

¹⁵ Average from $K^+ K^- \pi^0$ and $K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$ decay channels.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=D

¹⁶ The quoted branching ratios use $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$. Where relevant, the error in this branching ratio is treated as a common systematic in computing averages.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=E

- ¹⁷ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))]$
 $= (7.8 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) =$
 $(1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is
the systematic error from using our best value.
- ¹⁸ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$
which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.
- ¹⁹ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))]$
 $= (5.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) =$
 $(1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is
the systematic error from using our best value.
- ²⁰ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$
which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.
- ²¹ HIMEL 80B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))]$
 $= (4.5^{+2.4}_{-1.8}) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) =$
 $(3.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error
is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=Q

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=S

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=R

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=T

NODE=M026R4;LINKAGE=G

 $\Gamma(\phi K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ Γ_{8}/Γ_{37}

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.052^{+0.016}_{-0.014} ± 0.014	7	¹ HUANG	03	BELL $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \phi \phi$

NODE=M026R02
NODE=M026R02

- ¹ Using $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.25 \pm 0.12^{+0.10}_{-0.12}) \times 10^{-3}$ from FANG 03 and $B(\eta_c \rightarrow$
 $K\bar{K}\pi) = (5.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M026R02;LINKAGE=BB

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{38}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

0.9 ± 0.4 ± 0.1	7	^{1,2,3} ABLIKIM	12N	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta K^+ K^-$
<3.1	90	⁴ BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3		$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

OCCUR=2

- ¹ Not used since the same experimental measurement has been used in another related
quantity included elsewhere in the fit.

NODE=M026R15;LINKAGE=A

- ² ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta) =$
 $(2.11 \pm 1.01 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 2 to account for isospin symmetry.

NODE=M026R15;LINKAGE=AK

- ³ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times$
 $[B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (4.22 \pm 2.02 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best
values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) =$
 $(64 \pm 5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the
systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M026R15;LINKAGE=AM

- ⁴ The quoted branching ratios use $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

NODE=M026R15;LINKAGE=E

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}\eta)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ Γ_{38}/Γ_{37}

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.188 ± 0.018 OUR FIT

[0.186 ± 0.018 OUR 2025 FIT]

0.190 ± 0.008 ± 0.017	5.4k	¹ LEES	14E	BABR $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta/\pi^0$

NODE=M026R40
NODE=M026R40
NEW

- ¹ LEES 14E reports $B(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta)/B(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = 0.571 \pm 0.025 \pm$
0.051, which we divide by 3 to account for isospin symmetry. It uses both $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and
 $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decays.

NODE=M026R40;LINKAGE=LE

 $\Gamma(\eta\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{39}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.5 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE [(1.6 ± 0.4) × 10⁻² OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

1.5 ± 0.4 ± 0.2	33	¹ ABLIKIM	12N	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

5.4 ± 2.0	75	^{2,3} BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
3.7 ± 1.3 ± 2.0	18	^{2,3} PARTRIDGE 80B	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

NODE=M026R6
NODE=M026R6

NEW

- ¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times$
 $[B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (7.22 \pm 1.47 \pm 1.11) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our
best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) =$
 $(64 \pm 5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the
systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M026R6;LINKAGE=AB

- ² Not used since the same experimental measurement has been used in another related
quantity.

NODE=M026R6;LINKAGE=A

- ³ The quoted branching ratios use $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$. Where
relevant, the error in this branching ratio is treated as a common systematic in computing
averages.

NODE=M026R6;LINKAGE=E

$\Gamma(\eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{40}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

4.0±1.2 OUR AVERAGE $[(4.3 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-2}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**4.0±1.1±0.4** 39 ¹ ABLIKIM 12N BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (19.17 \pm 3.77 \pm 3.72) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (64 \pm 5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M026R05
NODE=M026R05

NEW

NODE=M026R05;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K \bar{K} \pi)$ Γ_{42}/Γ_{37}

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.477±0.017±0.070 11k ¹ DEL-AMO-SA..11M BABR $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ We have multiplied the value of $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$ reported in DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 11M by a factor 1/3 to obtain $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K \bar{K} \pi)$. Not independent from other measurements reported in DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 11M.

NODE=M026R01
NODE=M026R01

NODE=M026R01;LINKAGE=DE

 $\Gamma(K^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{43}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

5.1±1.4 OUR AVERAGE $[(5.4 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**5.1±1.3±0.5** 43 ^{1,2} ABLIKIM 12N BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K_S^0 K^\mp \pi^\mp 2\pi^\pm$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+) = (12.01 \pm 2.22 \pm 2.04) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 2 to take c.c. into account.

² ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (24.02 \pm 4.44 \pm 4.08) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (64 \pm 5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M026R06
NODE=M026R06

NEW

NODE=M026R06;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M026R06;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{44}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

6.9±2.0 OUR AVERAGE $[(8.4 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-3}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]8 ±4 ±1 10 ¹ ABLIKIM 12N BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ 6.7±2.2±0.5 100 ² ABLIKIM 06A BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (3.60 \pm 1.71 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (64 \pm 5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

² ABLIKIM 06A reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (1.21 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R23
NODE=M026R23

NEW

NODE=M026R23;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M026R23;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{46}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<4 × 10⁻⁴ 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 17AJ BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 17AJ reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] < 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 3.6 \times 10^{-3}$.

NODE=M026R51
NODE=M026R51

NODE=M026R51;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{47}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

4.3±1.0 OUR AVERAGE $[(4.6 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-2}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**4.3±0.8±0.5** 118 ¹ ABLIKIM 12N BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (20.31 \pm 2.20 \pm 3.33) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (64 \pm 5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M026R07
NODE=M026R07

NEW

NODE=M026R07;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{50}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT**15.5±2.0 OUR AVERAGE**[(15.9 ± 2.0) × 10⁻² OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

15.3±1.8±1.8

333

ABLIKIM

19AP

BES3

 $h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$

15.8±2.6±1.7

175

1 ABLIKIM

12N

BES3

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (75.13 \pm 7.42 \pm 9.99) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (64 \pm 5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M026R08
NODE=M026R08

NEW

NODE=M026R08;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{51}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT**15.5±2.8 OUR AVERAGE**[(18.9 ± 3.4) × 10⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

19 ± 5 ± 2

51

1 ABLIKIM

12N

BES3

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$

14.2±3.1±1.2

479

2 ABLIKIM

06A

BES2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (8.82 \pm 1.57 \pm 1.59) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (64 \pm 5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M026R24
NODE=M026R24

NEW

NODE=M026R24;LINKAGE=AL

² ABLIKIM 06A reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (2.59 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.47) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R24;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{52}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

11.1± 1.2 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.4. [(13.3 ± 1.1) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]

12.0± 2.6±1.5

34

ABLIKIM

19AP

BES3

 $h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

14 ± 5 ± 1

15

1,2 ABLIKIM

12N

BES3

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p\bar{p}$ 11.8⁺_{-1.9} ± 0.8

195

2,3 WU

06

BELL

 $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+$

10.4± 2.3±0.8

213

2,4 BAI

04

BES

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

7.1± 2.7±0.6

18

2,5 BISELLO

91

DM2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

8 ± 4 ± 1

23

2,6 BALTRUSAITIS

86

MRK3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$ 22⁺₋₁₁ ± 3

2,7

HIMEL

80B

MRK2

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (0.65 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (64 \pm 5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M026R2
NODE=M026R2

NEW

NODE=M026R2;LINKAGE=AB

² Not used since the same experimental measurement has been used in another related quantity included elsewhere in the fit.

NODE=M026R2;LINKAGE=H

³ WU 06 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)] = (1.42 \pm 0.11^{+0.16}_{-0.20}) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.20 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R2;LINKAGE=WU

⁴ BAI 04 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (1.9 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R2;LINKAGE=C

⁵ BISELLO 91 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (0.13 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R2;LINKAGE=D

⁶ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (1.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R2;LINKAGE=F

⁷ HIMEL 80B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (8_{-4}^{+8}) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (3.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R2;LINKAGE=G

$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{52}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_9/\Gamma$
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.16±0.06 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 2.8.				$[(0.24 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-5}$ OUR
	2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.9]				
4.0 $\frac{+3.5}{-3.2}$	BAGLIN	89	SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$	

NODE=M026R33
NODE=M026R33

NEW

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{53}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.32±0.11±0.03 14 ¹ ABLIKIM 12N BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p\bar{p}\pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.53 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (64 \pm 5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M026R09
NODE=M026R09

NODE=M026R09;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(K^+ \bar{p} \Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{56}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

2.26±0.34 OUR AVERAGE $[(2.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**2.26 $\frac{+0.31}{-0.29}$ ±0.16** 157 ¹ LU 19 BELL $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{p} \Lambda K^+ K^+$

¹ LU 19 reports $(2.83_{-0.34}^{+0.36} \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ \bar{p} \Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)]$ assuming $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (9.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.20 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R53
NODE=M026R53

NEW

NODE=M026R53;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\bar{\Lambda}(1520)\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{57}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

2.8±1.2 OUR AVERAGE $[(3.0 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**2.8±1.2±0.2** 43 ¹ LU 19 BELL $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{p} \Lambda K^+ K^+$

¹ LU 19 reports $(3.48 \pm 1.48 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}(1520)\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)]$ assuming $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (9.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.20 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R54
NODE=M026R54

NEW

NODE=M026R54;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{58}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.98±0.31±0.16 112 ¹ ABLIKIM 13C BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 13C reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (3.60 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R28
NODE=M026R28

NODE=M026R28;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{59}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.83±0.17±0.07 78 ¹ ABLIKIM 13C BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 13C reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.51 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R29
NODE=M026R29

NODE=M026R29;LINKAGE=AB

RADIATIVE DECAYS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{60}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) CL% EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

2.13 $\pm$ 0.15 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.5. $[(1.66 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-4}$ OUR
2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.5 $\pm$ 0.7 $\pm$ 0.2	73	1,2 ABLIKIM	13i	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
0.7 $\begin{smallmatrix} +1.5 \\ -0.6 \end{smallmatrix}$ $\pm$ 0.1	1.2 $\begin{smallmatrix} +2.8 \\ -1.1 \end{smallmatrix}$	1,3 ADAMS	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
1.8 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.8 \\ -0.6 \end{smallmatrix}$ $\pm$ 0.1	13	1,4 WICHT	08	BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \gamma\gamma$
1.87 $\pm$ 0.32 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.95 \\ -0.50 \end{smallmatrix}$		1 AMBROGIANI	03	E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
2.80 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.67 \\ -0.58 \end{smallmatrix}$ $\pm$ 1.0		1 ARMSTRONG	95F	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
< 9	90	1,5 BISELLO	91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$
6 $\begin{smallmatrix} +4 \\ -3 \end{smallmatrix}$ $\pm$ 4		1 BAGLIN	87B	SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
< 18	90	6 BLOOM	83	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ Not used since the same experimental measurement has been used in another related quantity included elsewhere in the fit.

² ABLIKIM 13i reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (4.5 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ ADAMS 08 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.2 \begin{smallmatrix} +2.7 \\ -1.1 \end{smallmatrix} \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴ WICHT 08 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)] = (2.2 \begin{smallmatrix} +0.9+0.4 \\ -0.7-0.2 \end{smallmatrix}) \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.20 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁵ The quoted branching ratios use $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

⁶ Using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{52}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{60}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.237 $\pm$ 0.020 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2. $[(0.221 \pm 0.019) \times 10^{-6}$ OUR
2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]

0.26 $\pm$ 0.05 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.4.

0.224 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.038 \\ -0.037 \end{smallmatrix}$ $\pm$ 0.020	190	AMBROGIANI	03	E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
0.336 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.080 \\ -0.070 \end{smallmatrix}$		ARMSTRONG	95F	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
0.68 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.42 \\ -0.31 \end{smallmatrix}$	12	BAGLIN	87B	SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{61}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6}) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

11.5 $\pm$ 3.2 $\pm$ 4.2 $\pm$ 0.9 ¹ ABLIKIM 25P BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\gamma\gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 25P reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (2.1 \pm 0.3 \begin{smallmatrix} +0.5 \\ -0.7 \end{smallmatrix}) \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.82 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

————— Charge conjugation (C), Parity (P), —————

————— Lepton family number (LF) violating modes —————

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{62}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5}) CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<10 (CL = 90%) [$<13 \times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]

<10 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 11G BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+ \pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<60 90 ² ABLIKIM 06B BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

NODE=M026310

NODE=M026R31
NODE=M026R31

NEW

NODE=M026R31;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M026R31;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M026R31;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=M026R31;LINKAGE=WI

NODE=M026R31;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M026R31;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M026R32
NODE=M026R32

NEW

NODE=M026P15
NODE=M026P15

NODE=M026P15;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M026320

NODE=M026R34
NODE=M026R34

¹ ABLIKIM 11G reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 1.82 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.82 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M026R34;LINKAGE=AL

² ABLIKIM 06B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.82 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M026R34;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{63}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

< 3.3 (CL = 90%) [$< 4 \times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]

< 3.3 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 11G BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<40 90 ² ABLIKIM 06B BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 11G reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 6.0 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.82 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M026R35;LINKAGE=AL

² ABLIKIM 06B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 0.71 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.82 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M026R35;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{64}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<50 (CL = 90%) [$< 70 \times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]

<50 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 06B BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 06B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 0.96 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.82 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M026R36
NODE=M026R36

NODE=M026R36;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{65}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<29 (CL = 90%) [$< 40 \times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]

<29 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 06B BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<32 90 ^{2,3} UEHARA 13 BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

< 5.6 90 ^{4,5} UEHARA 13 BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 06B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 0.53 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.82 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M026R37
NODE=M026R37

² Using $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)(\eta_c) = 5.3 \pm 0.5$ keV. UEHARA 13 reports $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times B(K_S^0 K_S^0) < 1.6$ eV.

³ Taking into account interference with the non-resonant continuum.

⁴ Using $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)(\eta_c) = 5.3 \pm 0.5$ keV. UEHARA 13 reports $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times B(K_S^0 K_S^0) < 0.29$ eV.

⁵ Neglecting interference with the non-resonant continuum.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M026R37;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M026R37;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M026R37;LINKAGE=U1

NODE=M026R37;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M026R37;LINKAGE=U2

 $\eta_c(1S)$ CROSS-PARTICLE BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M026230

$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta'(958)\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.9 ± 0.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.5. [$(2.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.4]

NODE=M026R64
NODE=M026R64

5.25 ± 1.65 14 ¹ BALTRUSAITIS.86 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

NEW

¹ The value reported by BALTRUSAITIS 86 has been multiplied by 3/2 to account for isospin symmetry.

NODE=M026R64;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.6 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

1.6 ± 0.6 ± 0.4 72 ABLIKIM 05L BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

3.30 ± 0.30 ± 0.60 113 ¹ BISELLO 91 DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\rho^0\rho^0$

3.0 ± 1.3 ± 0.6 32 ² BISELLO 91 DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\rho^+\rho^-$

NODE=M026R65
NODE=M026R65

OCCUR=2

¹ The value reported by BISELLO 91 has been multiplied by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

NODE=M026R65;LINKAGE=A

² The value reported by BISELLO 91 has been multiplied by 3/2 to account for isospin symmetry.

NODE=M026R65;LINKAGE=B

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_5/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.6 ± 0.6	63	BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

NODE=M026R66
NODE=M026R66

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892) \bar{K}^*(892))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_6/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.00 ± 0.18 OUR FIT				
[(0.99 ± 0.17) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 FIT]				
1.17 ± 0.29 OUR AVERAGE				
1.4 ± 0.3 ± 0.5	60	ABLIKIM	05L	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1.04 ± 0.36 ± 0.18	14	¹ BISELLO	91	DM2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
1.2 ± 0.6	9	¹ BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

NODE=M026R67
NODE=M026R67

NEW

¹ The reported value has been multiplied by 2 to account for isospin symmetry.

NODE=M026R67;LINKAGE=A

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_7/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.91 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.48$	45	ABLIKIM	06A	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*0} \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

NODE=M026R68
NODE=M026R68

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_8/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{274}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma_{B^\pm}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.6^{+1.1}_{-0.9} \pm 0.8$	$14.1^{+4.4}_{-3.7}$	HUANG	03	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow (\phi K^+ K^-) K^+$

NODE=M026R69
NODE=M026R69

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \phi \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.6 ± 0.6 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 2.3. [(2.6 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻⁵ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 2.2]
4.1 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				

NODE=M026R80
NODE=M026R80

NEW

4.3 ± 0.5 ^{+0.5} _{-1.2}	1.2k	ABLIKIM	17P	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
3.3 ± 0.6 ± 0.6	72	ABLIKIM	05L	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
3.9 ± 0.9 ± 0.7	19	BISELLO	91	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
3.8 ^{+2.3} _{-1.5} ± 0.7	5	BISELLO	91	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_L^0$
9.3 ± 2.0 ± 1.6	80	BAI	90B	MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
8.5 ± 2.7 ± 1.8		BAI	90B	MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_L^0$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.3 ± 0.6 ± 0.6	357	¹ BAI	04	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$

¹ Superseded by ABLIKIM 05L.

NODE=M026R80;LINKAGE=E

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \phi \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{274}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma_{B^\pm}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.7 ± 0.4 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 2.5. [(2.0 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻⁶ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 2.2]

NODE=M026R70
NODE=M026R70

NEW

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \phi \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{274}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma_{B^\pm}}$$

4.7 ± 1.2 ± 0.5		AUBERT,B	04B	BABR $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \eta_c$
2.2 ^{+1.0} _{-0.7} ± 0.5	7	HUANG	03	BELL $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \phi \phi$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \omega \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.8 ± 1.2 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 2.1. [(3.7 ± 1.2) × 10 ⁻⁵ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 2.1]
4.90 ± 0.17 ± 0.77	1705	ABLIKIM	19AV	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \omega$

NODE=M026R71
NODE=M026R71

NEW

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow f_2(1270) f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.5±0.4 OUR FIT				
1.3±0.3^{+0.3}_{-0.4}	91.2 ± 19.8	ABLIKIM	04M BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$

NODE=M026R72
NODE=M026R72

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.7 ±1.0 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.7. [(10.1 ± 0.9) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]			
6.7 ±0.8 OUR AVERAGE				
6.6 ±0.9 ±1.5	0.6k	¹ BAI	04 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$
8.76±1.80±1.68	33	² BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
6.9 ±1.2 ±1.2	68	³ BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$
7.8 ±3.0	32	⁴ BALTRUSAITIS	.86 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
5.7 ±1.5	63	⁵ BALTRUSAITIS	.86 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$

NODE=M026R73
NODE=M026R73

NEW

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M026R73;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M026R73;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M026R73;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M026R73;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M026R73;LINKAGE=E

- ¹ BAI 04 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (2.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.
- ² BISELLO 91 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.46 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.
- ³ BISELLO 91 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (2.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.
- ⁴ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.
- ⁵ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{274}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma_{B^\pm}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.1 ±0.6 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. [(7.9 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻⁵ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]		
7.5 ±0.8 OUR AVERAGE			

NODE=M026R74
NODE=M026R74

NEW

8.01±0.42 ^{+1.71} _{-1.65}	¹ VINOKUROVA 11	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
7.4 ±0.5 ±0.7	AUBERT,B	04B BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \eta_c$

- ¹ VINOKUROVA 11 reports $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+, \eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (26.7 \pm 1.4^{+2.9}_{-2.6} \pm 4.9) \times 10^{-6}$, where the first uncertainty is statistical, the second is due to systematics, and the third comes from interference of $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ with nonresonant $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$. We combined both systematic uncertainties to single values. We multiply the reported result by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

NODE=M026R74;LINKAGE=D

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{198}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.1±0.4 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.6. [(2.6 ± 0.4) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]		
4.5±2.4^{+2.4}_{-1.8}	HIMEL	80B MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

NODE=M026R75
NODE=M026R75

NEW

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma_{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.8±0.4 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. [(4.28 ± 0.34) × 10 ⁻² OUR 2025 FIT]			
4.1±0.6 OUR AVERAGE				
3.7±0.7±0.3	55	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
4.6±0.8±0.3	107	^{3,4} ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K_S^0 K^\mp \pi^\pm$

NODE=M026R76
NODE=M026R76

NEW

OCCUR=2

- ¹ ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (4.54 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.
- ² ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (27.24 \pm 4.56 \pm 2.88) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- ³ ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (11.35 \pm 1.25 \pm 1.50) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.
- ⁴ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (34.05 \pm 3.75 \pm 4.50) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{38}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma_{h_c(1P)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.1±1.0 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.	[(7.9 ± 1.0) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 FIT]		

NODE=M026R76;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M026R76;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M026R76;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M026R76;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M026R77
NODE=M026R77

NEW

- 5.7±2.9±0.4** 7 ^{1,2} ABLIKIM 12N BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta K^+ K^-$
- ¹ ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta) = (2.11 \pm 1.01 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 2 to account for isospin symmetry.
- ² ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (4.22 \pm 2.02 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R77;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M026R77;LINKAGE=B

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{39}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma_{h_c(1P)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.7±2.5±0.7	33	¹ ABLIKIM 12N BES3		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M026R78
NODE=M026R78

NODE=M026R78;LINKAGE=A

- ¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (7.22 \pm 1.47 \pm 1.11) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{39}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.2±0.9 OUR AVERAGE				
4.6±1.1	75	BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
3.1±1.1±1.5	18	PARTRIDGE 80B	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

NODE=M026R79
NODE=M026R79

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{40}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma_{h_c(1P)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.6±0.7±0.2	39	¹ ABLIKIM 12N BES3		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

NODE=M026R81
NODE=M026R81

NODE=M026R81;LINKAGE=A

- ¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (19.17 \pm 3.77 \pm 3.72) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{41}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.21±0.29 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 2.2.	[(1.17 ± 0.26) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 2.0]		

NODE=M026R84
NODE=M026R84

NEW

- 1.9 ±0.6 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 2.4.
- 1.5 ±0.2 ±0.2 0.4k BAI 04 BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
- 2.7 ±0.4 110 BALTRUSAIT..86 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}^{\psi(2S)} \times \Gamma_{41} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{198}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.4±0.8 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 2.1. $[(3.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.7]		
4.0^{+6.0}_{-2.5}	HIMEL	80B MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

NODE=M026R85
NODE=M026R85

NEW

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}^{h_c(1P)} \times \Gamma_{41} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)} / \Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.2±1.1 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 2.0. $[(5.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.7]			
5.6±1.3±0.4	38	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M026R83
NODE=M026R83

NEW

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (4.16 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.59) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R83;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}^{h_c(1P)} \times \Gamma_{43} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)} / \Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.2±0.8±0.2	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K_S^0 K^\mp \pi^\mp 2\pi^\pm$

NODE=M026R86
NODE=M026R86

¹ ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+) = (12.01 \pm 2.22 \pm 2.04) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 2 to take c.c. into account.

NODE=M026R86;LINKAGE=A

² ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (24.02 \pm 4.44 \pm 4.08) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R86;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}^{J/\psi(1S)} \times \Gamma_{44} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)} / \Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.21±0.32±0.24	100	ABLIKIM	06A BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$

NODE=M026R88
NODE=M026R88

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}^{h_c(1P)} \times \Gamma_{44} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)} / \Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.8±2.5±0.3	10	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

NODE=M026R87
NODE=M026R87

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (3.60 \pm 1.71 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R87;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}^{B^+} \times \Gamma_{45} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{274}^{B^+} / \Gamma^{B^+}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.4±0.4 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5. $[(1.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.4]			
1.8^{+0.6}_{-0.5}	14.5 ^{+4.6} _{-3.0}	HUANG	03 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-) K^+$

NODE=M026R90
NODE=M026R90

NEW

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}^{h_c(1P)} \times \Gamma_{45} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)} / \Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.75±0.24 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5. $[(0.85 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]			
1.3 ±0.5 ±0.1	7	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 2(K^+ K^-)$

NODE=M026R89
NODE=M026R89

NEW

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (0.94 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R89;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{47}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma_{h_c(1P)}$$

NODE=M026R91
NODE=M026R91

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.7±0.5±0.2	118	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (20.31 \pm 2.20 \pm 3.33) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R91;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{48}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}$$

NODE=M026R93
NODE=M026R93

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.37±0.19 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		$[(1.35 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]

NEW

1.36±0.23 OUR AVERAGE

1.3 ±0.2 ±0.4	0.5k	BAI	04	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1.33±0.22±0.20	137	BISELLO	91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1.6 ±0.6	25	BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$	

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{48}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{198}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M026R94
NODE=M026R94

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.7±0.6 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.6.	$[(3.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]

NEW

**5.7+3.9
-2.4**HIMEL 80B MRK2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{49}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{198}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M026P14
NODE=M026P14

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.01±0.17±0.64	260k	¹ ABLIKIM	25R BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.95±0.17±0.44	12k	² ABLIKIM	25R BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

OCCUR=2

¹ Destructive-interference solution.² Constructive-interference solution.NODE=M026P14;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M026P14;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{48}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma_{h_c(1P)}$$

NODE=M026R92
NODE=M026R92

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.48±0.09 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.4.		$[(0.58 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-2}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]

NEW

1.01±0.19±0.07	100	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (7.51 \pm 0.85 \pm 1.11) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R92;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{50}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma_{h_c(1P)}$$

NODE=M026R95
NODE=M026R95

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.1±1.7±0.7	175	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (75.13 \pm 7.42 \pm 9.99) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R95;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{51}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.59±0.32±0.47	471	ABLIKIM	06A BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$

NODE=M026R97
NODE=M026R97

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{51}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma_{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.19±0.30±0.08	51	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

NODE=M026R96
NODE=M026R96

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (8.82 \pm 1.57 \pm 1.59) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R96;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{52}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.02±0.19 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.2. $[(1.88 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5} \text{ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor} = 1.2]$

NODE=M026R99
NODE=M026R99

NEW

1.61±0.29 OUR AVERAGE

1.9 ±0.3 ±0.3	213	BAI	04 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$
1.3 ±0.4 ±0.3	18	BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$
1.4 ±0.7	23	BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{52}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma_{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.1±0.8 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.2. $[(8.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4} \text{ OUR 2025 FIT}]$

NODE=M026R98
NODE=M026R98

NEW

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{52}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma_{h_c(1P)}$$

8.7±2.9±0.6 15 ¹ ABLIKIM 12N BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p \bar{p}$
¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (0.65 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026R98;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{52}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{198}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.0±0.7 OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 1.4. $[(4.8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6} \text{ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor} = 1.2]$

NODE=M026P00
NODE=M026P00

NEW

$$8 \begin{smallmatrix} +8 \\ -4 \end{smallmatrix} \quad \text{HIMEL} \quad 80B \quad \text{MRK2} \quad \psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{52}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{274}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma_{B^\pm}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.34±0.13 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.2. $[(1.47 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-6} \text{ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor} = 1.1]$

NODE=M026P01
NODE=M026P01

NEW

1.54±0.19 OUR AVERAGE

1.42±0.11 ^{+0.16} _{-0.20}	195	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow p \bar{p} K^+$
1.8 ^{+0.3} _{-0.2} ±0.2		AUBERT,B	05L BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p \bar{p} \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{53}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma_{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.1±0.7±0.1	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p \bar{p} \pi^0$

NODE=M026P02
NODE=M026P02

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p \bar{p} \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (1.53 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M026P02;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{54}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{30}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma_{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.15±0.31 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			[(2.19 ± 0.30) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 FIT]

NODE=M026P07
NODE=M026P07

NEW

3.1 ±1.0 ±0.2	19	¹ ABLIKIM	12N	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-$
¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (2.30 \pm 0.65 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M026P07;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{54}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{274}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma_{B^\pm}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.0 ±0.4 OUR FIT			
3.94^{+0.41+0.22}_{-0.39-0.18}	CHILIKIN	19	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

NODE=M026R82
NODE=M026R82

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{55}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.72±0.28 OUR FIT			
[(1.5 ± 0.4) × 10 ⁻⁵ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]			
1.98±0.21±0.32	ABLIKIM	12B	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\gamma$

NODE=M026P03
NODE=M026P03

NEW

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{55}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{274}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma_{B^\pm}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.13±0.19 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			[(1.21 ± 0.30) × 10 ⁻⁶ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]
0.95^{+0.25+0.08}_{-0.22-0.11}	20	WU	06	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^+$

NODE=M026P04
NODE=M026P04

NEW

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{58}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.60±0.48±0.31	112	ABLIKIM	13C	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0$

NODE=M026P05
NODE=M026P05

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{59}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.51±0.27±0.14	78	ABLIKIM	13C	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M026P06
NODE=M026P06

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{60}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{245}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.9 ±0.4 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			[(2.34 ± 0.35) × 10 ⁻⁶ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]
5.1 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE				
[(3.8 ^{+1.3} _{-1.0}) × 10 ⁻⁶ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.1]				

NODE=M026P08
NODE=M026P08

NEW

NEW

5.23±0.26±0.30	678	ABLIKIM	25D	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
4.5 ±1.2 ±0.6	73	ABLIKIM	13I	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
1.2 ^{+2.7} _{-1.1} ±0.3	1.2 ^{+2.8} _{-1.1}	ADAMS	08	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{60}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{274}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma_{B^\pm}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.256±0.028 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			[(0.183 ± 0.022) × 10 ⁻⁶ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]
0.22^{+0.09}_{-0.07} ±0.04_{-0.02}	13	WICHT	08	BELL $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \gamma\gamma$

NODE=M026P09
NODE=M026P09

NEW

$\eta_c(1S)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M026

ABLIKIM	25AC	PR D112 012012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63274
ABLIKIM	25D	PRL 134 181901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63245
ABLIKIM	25P	PR D111 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63260
ABLIKIM	25R	PR D111 052013	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63262
AAIJ	23AH	PR D108 032010	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=62349
ABLIKIM	21C	PR D103 012009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61030
LEES	21A	PR D104 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=61442
AAIJ	20H	EPJ C80 191	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=60419
ABLIKIM	19AP	PR D100 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59901
ABLIKIM	19AV	PR D100 052012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59998
CHILIKIN	19	PR D100 012001	K. Chilikin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=59899
LU	19	PR D99 032003	P.-C. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=59614
XU	18	PR D98 072001	Q.N. Xu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=59453
AAIJ	17AD	PL B769 305	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57896
AAIJ	17BB	EPJ C77 609	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=58191
ABLIKIM	17AJ	PR D96 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58322
ABLIKIM	17P	PR D95 092004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57989
LEES	16A	PR D93 012005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=57125
AAIJ	15BI	EPJ C75 311	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57147
ANASHIN	14	PL B738 391	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=56130
LEES	14E	PR D89 112004	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55937
ABLIKIM	13C	PR D87 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54878
ABLIKIM	13I	PR D87 032003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54954
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55592
ABLIKIM	12B	PR D86 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54267
ABLIKIM	12F	PRL 108 222002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54271
ABLIKIM	12N	PR D86 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54741
LIU	12B	PRL 108 232001	Z.Q. Liu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=54303
ZHANG	12A	PR D86 052002	C.C. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=54763
ABLIKIM	11G	PR D84 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53711
DEL-AMO-SA...	11M	PR D84 012004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=16751
VINOKUROVA	11	PL B706 139	A. Vinokurova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53927
LEES	10	PR D81 052010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53236
MITCHELL	09	PRL 102 011801	R.E. Mitchell <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52676
ADAMS	08	PRL 101 101801	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52261
AUBERT	08AB	PR D78 012006	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52267
UEHARA	08	EPJ C53 1	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52064
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52204
ABE	07	PRL 98 082001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51627
ABLIKIM	06A	PL B633 19	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50987
ABLIKIM	06B	EPJ C45 337	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50988
AUBERT	06E	PRL 96 052002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51059
PDG	06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=51004
WU	06	PRL 97 162003	C.-H. Wu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51472
ABLIKIM	05L	PR D72 072005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50837
AUBERT,B	05L	PR D72 051101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50827
KUO	05	PL B621 41	C.C. Kuo <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50801
ABE	04G	PR D70 071102	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50182
ABLIKIM	04M	PR D70 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50329
ASNER	04	PRL 92 142001	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=49745
AUBERT	04D	PRL 92 142002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=49746
AUBERT,B	04B	PR D70 011101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50043
BAI	04	PL B578 16	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49620
ABDALLAH	03J	EPJ C31 481	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)	REFID=49625
AMBROGIANI	03	PL B566 45	M. Ambrogiani <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=49465
BAI	03	PL B555 174	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49185
FANG	03	PRL 90 071801	F. Fang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=49206
HUANG	03	PRL 91 241802	H.-C. Huang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=49621
ABE,K	02	PRL 89 142001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=49188
BAI	00F	PR D62 072001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=48546
BRANDENB...	00B	PRL 85 3095	G. Brandenburg <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=48553
ACCIARRI	99T	PL B461 155	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=47476
BAI	99B	PR D60 072001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47385
ABREU	98O	PL B441 479	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)	REFID=46553
SHIRAI	98	PL B424 405	M. Shirai <i>et al.</i>	(AMY Collab.)	REFID=46381
ARMSTRONG	95F	PR D52 4839	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=44623
ALBRECHT	94H	PL B338 390	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=44098
ADRIANI	93N	PL B318 575	O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=43670
BISELLO	91	NP B350 1	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41668
BAI	90B	PRL 65 1309	Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41354
CHEN	90B	PL B243 169	W.Y. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=41360
BAGLIN	89	PL B231 557	C. Baglin, S. Baird, G. Bassompierre	(R704 Collab.)	REFID=41015
BEHREND	89	ZPHY C42 367	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)	REFID=40732
BRAUNSCH...	89	ZPHY C41 533	W. Braunschweig <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)	REFID=40728
AIHARA	88D	PRL 60 2355	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC Collab.)	REFID=40588
BAGLIN	87B	PL B187 191	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(R704 Collab.)	REFID=40018
BALTRUSAIT...	86	PR D33 629	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=22009
BERGER	86	PL 167B 120	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)	REFID=22010
GAISER	86	PR D34 711	J. Gaiser <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=22012
ALTHOFF	85B	ZPHY C29 189	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)	REFID=21349
BALTRUSAIT...	84	PRL 52 2126	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, UCSC+)	REFID=22006
BLOOM	83	ARNS 33 143	E.D. Bloom, C. Peck	(SLAC, CIT)	REFID=21682
HIMEL	80B	PRL 45 1146	T.M. Himel <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL, UCB)	REFID=22003
PARTRIDGE	80B	PRL 45 1150	R. Partridge <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=22004

$J/\psi(1S)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

NODE=M070

 $J/\psi(1S)$ MASS

NODE=M070M

NODE=M070M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3096.900±0.006 OUR AVERAGE				
3096.900±0.002±0.006		¹ ANASHIN 15	KEDR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3096.89 ±0.09	502	² ARTAMONOV 00	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3096.91 ±0.03 ±0.01		³ ARMSTRONG 93B	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-$
3096.95 ±0.1 ±0.3	193	BAGLIN 87	SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^- X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3096.66 ±0.19 ±0.02	6.1k	⁴ AAIJ 15BI	LHCB	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi X$
3096.917±0.010±0.007		AULCHENKO 03	KEDR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3097.5 ±0.3		GRIBUSHIN 96	FMP5	515 $\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow 2\mu X$
3098.4 ±2.0	38k	LEMOIGNE 82	GOLI	185 $\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \gamma\mu^+\mu^- A$
3096.93 ±0.09	502	⁵ ZHOLENTZ 80	REDE	e^+e^-
3097.0 ±1		⁶ BRANDELIK 79C	DASP	e^+e^-

¹Supersedes AULCHENKO 03.²Reanalysis of ZHOLENTZ 80 using new electron mass (COHEN 87) and radiative corrections (KURAEV 85).³Mass central value and systematic error recalculated by us according to Eq.(16) in ARMSTRONG 93B, using the value for the $\psi(2S)$ mass from AULCHENKO 03.⁴From a sample of $\eta_c(1S)$ and J/ψ produced in b -hadron decays. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.⁵Superseded by ARTAMONOV 00.⁶From a simultaneous fit to e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$ and hadronic channels assuming $\Gamma(e^+e^-) = \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$.

NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=NW

NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=RZ

NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=F

 $J/\psi(1S)$ WIDTH

NODE=M070W

NODE=M070W

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
92.6 ± 1.7 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
92.45± 1.40±1.48		¹ ANASHIN 20	KEDR	e^+e^-
96.1 ± 3.2	13k	² ADAMS 06A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
84.4 ± 8.9		BAI 95B	BES	e^+e^-
91 ±11 ±6		³ ARMSTRONG 93B	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-$
85.5 ^{+6.1} _{-5.8}		⁴ HSUEH 92	RVUE	See Υ mini-review
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
92.94± 1.83		^{5,6} ANASHIN 18A	KEDR	e^+e^-
94.1 ± 2.7		⁷ ANASHIN 10	KEDR	3.097 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$
93.7 ± 3.5	7.8k	² AUBERT 04	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$

¹Based on the same dataset as ANASHIN 18A and correlated to the values reported there.²Calculated by us from the reported values of $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times B(\mu^+\mu^-)$ using $B(e^+e^-) = (5.94 \pm 0.06)\%$ and $B(\mu^+\mu^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.06)\%$.³The initial-state radiation correction reevaluated by ANDREOTTI 07 in its Ref. [4].⁴Using data from COFFMAN 92, BALDINI-CELIO 75, BOYARSKI 75, ESPOSITO 75B, BRANDELIK 79C.⁵Using $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ from ANASHIN 18A and $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (5.971 \pm 0.032)\%$ from PDG 16.⁶Superseded by ANASHIN 20 that is based on the same dataset.⁷Assuming $\Gamma(e^+e^-) = \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ and using $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (5.94 \pm 0.06)\%$.

NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=AS

 $J/\psi(1S)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M070215;NODE=M070

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1 hadrons	(87.7 ± 0.5) %	DESIG=3
Γ_2 virtual $\gamma \rightarrow$ hadrons	(13.46 ± 0.07) %	DESIG=4
Γ_3 ggg	(64.1 ± 1.0) %	DESIG=249
Γ_4 γgg	(8.8 ± 1.1) %	DESIG=250
Γ_5 e^+e^-	(5.971± 0.032) %	DESIG=1
Γ_6 $e^+e^-\gamma$	[a] (8.8 ± 1.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=5
Γ_7 $\mu^+\mu^-$	(5.961± 0.033) %	DESIG=2
Γ_8 $e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$	(5.5 ± 0.5) $\times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=472
Γ_9 $e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$	(3.53 ± 0.26) $\times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=473
Γ_{10} $\mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$	(1.11 ± 0.11) $\times 10^{-6}$	DESIG=471

Decays involving hadronic resonances

				NODE=M070;CLUMP=A
Γ ₁₁	$\rho\pi$	(1.88 ± 0.12) %	S=2.6	DESIG=20
Γ ₁₂	$\rho^0\pi^0$	(6.2 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=21
Γ ₁₃	$a_2(1320)^0\pi^+\pi^-\rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$	(2.8 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=442
Γ ₁₄	$a_2(1320)^+\pi^-\pi^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$	(3.7 ± 0.7) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=443
Γ ₁₅	$a_2(1320)\rho$	(1.09 ± 0.22) %		DESIG=43
Γ ₁₆	$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	(3.8 ± 0.7) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=239
Γ ₁₇	$\eta\rho$	(1.93 ± 0.23) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=22
Γ ₁₈	$\eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(1.17 ± 0.20) %		DESIG=420
Γ ₁₉	$\eta\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0$	(4.9 ± 1.0) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=422
Γ ₂₀	$\eta\phi(2170) \rightarrow \eta\phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \eta\phi\pi^+\pi^-$	(1.2 ± 0.4) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=287
Γ ₂₁	$\eta\phi(2170) \rightarrow \eta K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0$	< 2.52 × 10 ⁻⁴	CL=90%	DESIG=253
Γ ₂₂	$\eta K^+ K^-$	(8.6 ± 3.0) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=455
Γ ₂₃	$\eta K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$	[b] (2.2 ± 0.4) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=230
Γ ₂₄	$\eta K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0$	(1.15 ± 0.26) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=252
Γ ₂₅	$\rho\eta'(958)$	(8.1 ± 0.8) × 10 ⁻⁵	S=1.6	DESIG=23
Γ ₂₆	$\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$	(2.8 ± 0.8) %		DESIG=415
Γ ₂₇	$\rho^+\rho^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(6 ± 4) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=416
Γ ₂₈	$\rho^+K^+K^-\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(3.5 ± 0.8) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=444
Γ ₂₉	$\rho^\mp K^\pm K_S^0$	(1.9 ± 0.4) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=342
Γ ₃₀	$h_1(1415)\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$			DESIG=435
Γ ₃₁	$h_1(1595)\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$			DESIG=437
Γ ₃₂	$\rho(1450)\pi$	seen		DESIG=310;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ ₃₃	$\rho(1450)\pi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(2.2 ± 1.1) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=328
Γ ₃₄	$\rho(1450)^\pm\pi^\mp \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$	(3.3 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=329
Γ ₃₅	$\rho(1450)^0\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$	(2.7 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=312
Γ ₃₆	$\rho(1450)\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta'(958)$	(3.3 ± 0.7) × 10 ⁻⁶		DESIG=345
Γ ₃₇	$\rho(1700)\pi$	seen		DESIG=325;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ ₃₈	$\rho(1700)\pi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(1.6 ± 1.1) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=313
Γ ₃₉	$\rho(2150)\pi$	seen		DESIG=326;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ ₄₀	$\rho(2150)\pi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(10 ± 40) × 10 ⁻⁶		DESIG=314
Γ ₄₁	$\rho_3(1690)\pi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$			DESIG=316
Γ ₄₂	$\omega\pi^0$	(4.5 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻⁴	S=1.4	DESIG=32
Γ ₄₃	$\omega\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(1.6 ± 0.7) × 10 ⁻⁵		DESIG=327
Γ ₄₄	$\omega\pi^+\pi^-$	(8.5 ± 1.0) × 10 ⁻³	S=1.3	DESIG=24
Γ ₄₅	$\omega\pi^0\pi^0$	(3.4 ± 0.8) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=140
Γ ₄₆	$\omega 3\pi^0$	(1.9 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=421
Γ ₄₇	$\omega f_2(1270)$	(4.3 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=28
Γ ₄₈	$\omega\eta$	(1.74 ± 0.20) × 10 ⁻³	S=1.6	DESIG=30
Γ ₄₉	$\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(4.0 ± 0.7) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=211
Γ ₅₀	$\omega\pi^0\eta$	(3.4 ± 1.7) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=360
Γ ₅₁	$\omega\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$	(8.5 ± 3.4) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=26
Γ ₅₂	$\omega\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$	(3.3 ± 0.5) %		DESIG=412
Γ ₅₃	$\omega\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$	(1.12 ± 0.13) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=385
Γ ₅₄	$\omega\eta'(958)$	(1.89 ± 0.18) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=31
Γ ₅₅	$\omega f_0(980)$	(1.4 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=150
Γ ₅₆	$\omega f_0(1710) \rightarrow \omega K \bar{K}$	(4.8 ± 1.1) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=130
Γ ₅₇	$\omega f_1(1420)$	(6.8 ± 2.4) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=105
Γ ₅₈	$\omega f_2'(1525)$	< 2.2 × 10 ⁻⁴	CL=90%	DESIG=29
Γ ₅₉	$\omega X(1835) \rightarrow \omega p \bar{p}$	< 3.9 × 10 ⁻⁶	CL=95%	DESIG=263
Γ ₆₀	$\omega K^+ K^- \eta$	(3.33 ± 0.12) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=478
Γ ₆₁	$\omega X(1835), X \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-$	< 6.2 × 10 ⁻⁵		DESIG=386
Γ ₆₂	$\omega K^+ K^-$	(1.52 ± 0.31) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=441
Γ ₆₃	$\omega K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$	[b] (3.4 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=101
Γ ₆₄	$\omega K \bar{K}$	(1.9 ± 0.4) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=27

Г ₆₅	$\omega K^*(892) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	$(6.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=102
Г ₆₆	$\eta' K^{*\pm} K^\mp$	$(1.48 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=355
Г ₆₇	$\eta' K^{*0} \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.66 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=357
Г ₆₈	$\eta' h_1(1415) \rightarrow \eta' K^* \bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.16 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=353
Г ₆₉	$\eta' h_1(1415) \rightarrow \eta' K^{*\pm} K^\mp$	$(1.51 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=354
Г ₇₀	$\eta' h_1(1415) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$	$(4.7 \pm \frac{1.1}{2.0}) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=430
Г ₇₁	$\bar{K} K^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	seen		DESIG=331; OUR EST; → UNCHECKED ←
Г ₇₂	$\bar{K} K^*(892) + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	$(4.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=332
Г ₇₃	$K^+ K^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(6.0 \pm \frac{0.8}{1.0}) \times 10^{-3}$	S=2.9	DESIG=121
Г ₇₄	$K^+ K^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(2.69 \pm \frac{0.13}{0.20}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=231
Г ₇₅	$K^+ K^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=232
Г ₇₆	$K^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(4.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=122
Г ₇₇	$K^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=233
Г ₇₈	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(5.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=214
Г ₇₉	$K^*(892)^\pm K^\mp \pi^0$	$(4.1 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=343
Г ₈₀	$K^*(892)^+ K_S^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=299
Г ₈₁	$K^*(892)^+ K_S^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(6.7 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=300
Г ₈₂	$K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(3.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=445
Г ₈₃	$K^*(892)^0 K_S^0 \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	$(6.3 \pm \frac{0.6}{0.5}) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=376
Г ₈₄	$K^*(892)^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$	$(7 \pm 4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=344
Г ₈₅	$K^*(892)^\pm K^*(700)^\mp$	$(1.1 \pm \frac{1.0}{0.6}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=257
Г ₈₆	$K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0$	$(2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=46
Г ₈₇	$K^*(892)^\pm K^*(892)^\mp$	$(1.00 \pm \frac{0.22}{0.40}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=256
Г ₈₈	$K_1(1400)^\pm K^\mp$	$(3.8 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=132
Г ₈₉	$K^*(1410) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen		DESIG=317; OUR EST; → UNCHECKED ←
Г ₉₀	$K^*(1410) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^\pm K^\mp \pi^0$	$(7 \pm 4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=330
Г ₉₁	$K^*(1410) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	$(8 \pm 5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=318
Г ₉₂	$K_2^*(1430) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen		DESIG=319; OUR EST; → UNCHECKED ←
Г ₉₃	$K_2^*(1430) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^\pm K^\mp \pi^0$	$(1.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=321
Г ₉₄	$K_2^*(1430) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	$(3.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=320
Г ₉₅	$\bar{K}_2^*(1430) K + \text{c.c.}$	$< 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=45
Г ₉₆	$K_2^*(1430)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(2.69 \pm \frac{0.25}{0.19}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=381
Г ₉₇	$K_2^*(1430)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(2.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=446
Г ₉₈	$K_2^*(1430)^+ K_S^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.6 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=301
Г ₉₉	$\bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 K^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(4.67 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=48
Г ₁₀₀	$K_2^*(1430)^- K^*(892)^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=303
Г ₁₀₁	$K_2^*(1430)^- K^*(892)^+ + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^*(892)^+ K_S^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(4 \pm 4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=304
Г ₁₀₂	$K_2^*(1430)^0 \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0$	$< 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=47
Г ₁₀₃	$\bar{K}_2^*(1770)^0 K^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(6.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=235
Г ₁₀₄	$K_2^*(1980)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(1.10 \pm \frac{0.60}{0.14}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=382

Γ ₁₀₅	$K_4^*(2045)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(6.2 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=383
Γ ₁₀₆	$K_1(1270)^\pm K^\mp$	< 3.0	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90% DESIG=131
Γ ₁₀₇	$K_1(1270) K_S^0 \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	$(8.5 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=377
Γ ₁₀₈	$a_2(1320)^\pm \pi^\mp$	$[b] < 4.3$	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90% DESIG=42
Γ ₁₀₉	$\phi \pi^0$	3×10^{-6} or 1×10^{-7}		DESIG=33; OUR EVAL; → UNCHECKED ←
Γ ₁₁₀	$\phi \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(9.4 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.7	DESIG=34
Γ ₁₁₁	$\phi \pi^0 \pi^0$	$(4.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=76
Γ ₁₁₂	$\phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	$(1.60 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=35
Γ ₁₁₃	$\phi \eta$	$(7.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.2	DESIG=37
Γ ₁₁₄	$\phi \eta'(958)$	$(4.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.2	DESIG=38
Γ ₁₁₅	$\phi \eta \eta'$	$(2.32 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=387
Γ ₁₁₆	$\phi f_0(980)$	$(3.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.9	DESIG=41
Γ ₁₁₇	$\phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(2.57 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=236
Γ ₁₁₈	$\phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \pi^0$	$(1.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=237
Γ ₁₁₉	$\phi \pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(4.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=278
Γ ₁₂₀	$\phi \pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \rho^0 \pi^0$	$(1.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=279
Γ ₁₂₁	$\phi f_0(980) \eta \rightarrow \eta \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(3.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=229
Γ ₁₂₂	$\phi a_0(980)^0 \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$			DESIG=258
Γ ₁₂₃	$\phi(1680)^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$	$(6.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=480
Γ ₁₂₄	$X(2000)^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$	$(1.70 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=481
Γ ₁₂₅	$h_1(1900)^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$	$(8.4 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=482
Γ ₁₂₆	$\phi f_2(1270)$	$(3.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=39
Γ ₁₂₇	$\phi f_1(1285)$	$(2.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=106
Γ ₁₂₈	$\phi f_1(1285) \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(9.4 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=280
Γ ₁₂₉	$\phi f_1(1285) \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi 3\pi^0$	$(2.1 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=281
Γ ₁₃₀	$\phi \eta(1405) \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(2.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=128
Γ ₁₃₁	$\phi f_2'(1525)$	$(8 \pm 4) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.7	DESIG=40
Γ ₁₃₂	$\phi X(1835) \rightarrow \phi p \bar{p}$	< 2.1	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90% DESIG=291
Γ ₁₃₃	$\phi X(1835) \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 2.8	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90% DESIG=288
Γ ₁₃₄	$\phi X(1870) \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 6.13	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90% DESIG=289
Γ ₁₃₅	$\phi K \bar{K}$	$(1.77 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=36
Γ ₁₃₆	$\phi f_0(1710) \rightarrow \phi K \bar{K}$	$(3.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=129
Γ ₁₃₇	$\phi K^+ K^-$	$(8.2 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=295
Γ ₁₃₈	$\phi K_S^0 K_S^0$	$(5.8 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=305
Γ ₁₃₉	$\phi K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$	$[b] (7.2 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=103
Γ ₁₄₀	$\phi K^*(892) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.18 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=104
Γ ₁₄₁	$b_1(1235)^\pm \pi^\mp$	$[b] (3.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=49
Γ ₁₄₂	$b_1(1235)^0 \pi^0$	$(2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=160
Γ ₁₄₃	$f_2'(1525) K^+ K^-$	$(1.04 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=308
Γ ₁₄₄	$\Delta(1232)^+ \bar{p}$	< 1	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90% DESIG=112
Γ ₁₄₅	$\Delta(1232)^{++} \bar{p} \pi^-$	$(1.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=70
Γ ₁₄₆	$\Delta(1232)^{++} \Delta(1232)^{--}$	$(1.10 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=66
Γ ₁₄₇	$\bar{\Sigma}(1385)^0 p K^-$	$(5.1 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=74
Γ ₁₄₈	$\Sigma(1385)^0 \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$	< 8.2	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90% DESIG=111
Γ ₁₄₉	$\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$[b] (3.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=68
Γ ₁₅₀	$\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=450
Γ ₁₅₁	$\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$[b] (1.08 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=67
Γ ₁₅₂	$\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=451
Γ ₁₅₃	$\Sigma(1385)^0 \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^0$	$(1.07 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=309
Γ ₁₅₄	$\Lambda(1520) \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$	< 4.1	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90% DESIG=260
Γ ₁₅₅	$\bar{\Lambda}(1520) \Lambda + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.80	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90% DESIG=364
Γ ₁₅₆	$\Xi^0 \Xi^0$	$(1.17 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=248
Γ ₁₅₇	$\Xi(1530)^- \Xi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.18 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=107
Γ ₁₅₈	$\Xi(1530)^0 \Xi^0$	$(3.2 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=108
Γ ₁₅₉	$\Theta(1540) \bar{\Theta}(1540) \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \bar{n} + \text{c.c.}$	$[c] < 1.1$	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90% DESIG=205
Γ ₁₆₀	$\Theta(1540) K^- \bar{n} \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \bar{n}$	$[c] < 2.1$	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90% DESIG=206
Γ ₁₆₁	$\Theta(1540) K_S^0 \bar{p} \rightarrow K_S^0 \bar{p} K^+ n$	$[c] < 1.6$	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90% DESIG=207
Γ ₁₆₂	$\bar{\Theta}(1540) K^+ n \rightarrow K_S^0 \bar{p} K^+ n$	$[c] < 5.6$	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90% DESIG=208
Γ ₁₆₃	$\bar{\Theta}(1540) K_S^0 p \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \bar{n}$	$[c] < 1.1$	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90% DESIG=209

Decays into stable hadrons

NODE=M070;CLUMP=B

Γ ₁₆₄	$2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$	(4.2 ± 0.4) %	S=2.1	DESIG=9
Γ ₁₆₅	$3(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$	(2.9 ± 0.6) %		DESIG=11
Γ ₁₆₆	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(1.9 ± 0.9) %		DESIG=358
Γ ₁₆₇	$\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\pi^0$	(1.41 ± 0.22) %		DESIG=362
Γ ₁₆₈	$\rho^+\rho^-\pi^0$	(6.0 ± 1.1) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=363
Γ ₁₆₉	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(6.5 ± 1.3) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=419
Γ ₁₇₀	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(2.00 ± 0.07) %	S=2.0	DESIG=7
Γ ₁₇₁	$2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$	(1.61 ± 0.20) %		DESIG=210
Γ ₁₇₂	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0K^+K^-$	(1.52 ± 0.27) %	S=1.4	DESIG=18
Γ ₁₇₃	$\pi^+\pi^-$	(1.47 ± 0.14) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=6
Γ ₁₇₄	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	(3.20 ± 0.25) × 10 ⁻³	S=1.2	DESIG=8
Γ ₁₇₅	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	(4.3 ± 0.4) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=10
Γ ₁₇₆	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0$	(6.2 ± 0.9) %		DESIG=411
Γ ₁₇₇	$4(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$	(9.0 ± 3.0) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=12
Γ ₁₇₈	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta$	(2.29 ± 0.28) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=201
Γ ₁₇₉	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta$	(7.2 ± 1.5) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=202
Γ ₁₈₀	$2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\eta$	(1.6 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=418
Γ ₁₈₁	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta$	(2.4 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=359
Γ ₁₈₂	$\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\eta$	(1.9 ± 0.8) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=361
Γ ₁₈₃	K^+K^-	(3.06 ± 0.05) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=13
Γ ₁₈₄	$K_S^0K_L^0$	(1.95 ± 0.11) × 10 ⁻⁴	S=2.4	DESIG=75
Γ ₁₈₅	$K_S^0K_S^0$	< 1.4 × 10 ⁻⁸	CL=95%	DESIG=14
Γ ₁₈₆	$K\bar{K}\pi$	(6.1 ± 1.0) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=15
Γ ₁₈₇	$K^+K^-\pi^0$	(2.88 ± 0.12) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=334
Γ ₁₈₈	$K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$	(5.3 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=335
Γ ₁₈₉	$K_S^0K_L^0\pi^0$	(2.06 ± 0.26) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=336
Γ ₁₉₀	$K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0K_L^0\pi^0$	(1.21 ± 0.18) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=339
Γ ₁₉₁	$K_2^*(1430)^0\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0K_L^0\pi^0$	(4.3 ± 1.3) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=338
Γ ₁₉₂	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$	(7.0 ± 1.0) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=16
Γ ₁₉₃	$K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0$	(2.13 ± 0.22) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=234
Γ ₁₉₄	$K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$	(1.61 ± 0.29) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=452
Γ ₁₉₅	$K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\pi^0$	(5.3 ± 0.7) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=453
Γ ₁₉₆	$K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-$	(6.3 ± 0.4) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=454
Γ ₁₉₇	$K_S^0K^\pm\rho(770)^\pm\pi^0$	(2.9 ± 0.8) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=463
Γ ₁₉₈	$K_S^0K_L^0\pi^+\pi^-$	(3.8 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=296
Γ ₁₉₉	$K_S^0K_L^0\pi^0\pi^0$	(1.9 ± 0.4) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=337
Γ ₂₀₀	$K_S^0K_L^0\eta$	(1.45 ± 0.33) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=340
Γ ₂₀₁	$K_S^0K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$	(1.68 ± 0.19) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=297
Γ ₂₀₂	$K^\mp K_S^0\pi^\pm\pi^0$	(5.7 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=341
Γ ₂₀₃	$K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp\rho(770)^0$	(3.1 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=456
Γ ₂₀₄	$K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	(3.1 ± 1.3) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=17
Γ ₂₀₅	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta$	(4.7 ± 0.7) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=238
Γ ₂₀₆	$2(K^+K^-)$	(7.2 ± 0.8) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=19
Γ ₂₀₇	$K^+K^-K_S^0K_S^0$	(4.2 ± 0.7) × 10 ⁻⁴		DESIG=298
Γ ₂₀₈	$K_S^0K^*(892)^0\pi^+\pi^-$	(1.7 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=457
Γ ₂₀₉	$K_S^0K^*(892)^0\pi^0\pi^0$	(1.01 ± 0.18) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=462
Γ ₂₁₀	$K^\mp K^*(892)^\pm\pi^+\pi^-$	(3.4 ± 1.2) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=458
Γ ₂₁₁	$K^*(892)^\pm K^*(892)^0\pi^\mp$	(4.8 ± 1.0) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=459
Γ ₂₁₂	$K^\mp K^*(892)^\pm\pi^0\pi^0$	(1.57 ± 0.32) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=461
Γ ₂₁₃	$K^*(892)^+K^*(892)^-\pi^0$	(1.12 ± 0.23) %		DESIG=460
Γ ₂₁₄	$p\bar{p}$	(2.121 ± 0.029) × 10 ⁻³		DESIG=50
Γ ₂₁₅	$p\bar{p}\pi^0$	(1.19 ± 0.08) × 10 ⁻³	S=1.1	DESIG=52
Γ ₂₁₆	$p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-$	(6.0 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻³	S=1.3	DESIG=54
Γ ₂₁₇	$p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	[d] (2.3 ± 0.9) × 10 ⁻³	S=1.9	DESIG=55

Γ ₂₁₈	$p\bar{p}\eta$	$(1.513 \pm 0.023) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=56
Γ ₂₁₉	$p\bar{p}\rho$	$< 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=57
Γ ₂₂₀	$p\bar{p}\omega$	$(9.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.3	DESIG=58
Γ ₂₂₁	$p\bar{p}\eta'(958)$	$(1.29 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.0	DESIG=59
Γ ₂₂₂	$p\bar{p}a_0(980) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0\eta$	$(6.8 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=276
Γ ₂₂₃	$p\bar{p}\phi$	$(5.19 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=127
Γ ₂₂₄	$p\bar{n}\pi^-$	$(2.12 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=53
Γ ₂₂₅	$n\bar{n}$	$(2.09 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=64
Γ ₂₂₆	$n\bar{n}\pi^+\pi^-$	$(4 \pm 4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=65
Γ ₂₂₇	$nN(1440)$	seen		DESIG=215;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ ₂₂₈	$nN(1520)$	seen		DESIG=216;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ ₂₂₉	$nN(1535)$	seen		DESIG=217;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ ₂₃₀	$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$	$(1.88 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$	S=2.6	DESIG=60
Γ ₂₃₁	$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^0$	$(3.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=109
Γ ₂₃₂	$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$	$(4.3 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=261
Γ ₂₃₃	$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\eta$	$(1.62 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=228
Γ ₂₃₄	$\Lambda\bar{\Sigma}^-\pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.26 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.2	DESIG=71
Γ ₂₃₅	$\Lambda\bar{\Sigma}^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.21 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.8	DESIG=449
Γ ₂₃₆	$pK^-\bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$	$(8.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=72
Γ ₂₃₇	$pK^-\bar{\Sigma}^0$	$(2.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=73
Γ ₂₃₈	$pK_S^0\bar{\Sigma}^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.73 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=474
Γ ₂₃₉	$\bar{\Lambda}nK_S^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(6.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=225
Γ ₂₄₀	$\Lambda\bar{\Sigma}^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.83 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=61
Γ ₂₄₁	$\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$	$(1.07 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=247
Γ ₂₄₂	$\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$	$(1.172 \pm 0.032) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.4	DESIG=63
Γ ₂₄₃	$\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-\eta$	$(6.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=448
Γ ₂₄₄	$\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+$	$(9.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.4	DESIG=62

Radiative decays

Γ ₂₄₅	$\gamma\eta_c(1S)$	$(1.82 \pm 0.15) \%$	S=1.6	NODE=M070;CLUMP=C DESIG=85
Γ ₂₄₆	$\gamma\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3\gamma$	seen		DESIG=246;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ ₂₄₇	$\gamma\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta\eta'$	seen		DESIG=391;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ ₂₄₈	3γ	$(1.16 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=81
Γ ₂₄₉	4γ	$< 9 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=244
Γ ₂₅₀	5γ	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=245
Γ ₂₅₁	$\gamma\pi^0$	$(3.39 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=82
Γ ₂₅₂	$\gamma\pi^0\pi^0$	$(1.15 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=283
Γ ₂₅₃	$\gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	$(2.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.9	DESIG=95
Γ ₂₅₄	$\gamma f_2(1270) f_2(1270)$	$(9.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=203
Γ ₂₅₅	$\gamma f_2(1270) f_2(1270) (\text{non resonant})$	$(8.2 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=204
Γ ₂₅₆	$\gamma\pi^+\pi^- 2\pi^0$	$(8.3 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=99
Γ ₂₅₇	$\gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	$(8.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=378
Γ ₂₅₈	$\gamma(K\bar{K}\pi) [J^{PC} = 0^{-+}]$	$(7 \pm 4) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.1	DESIG=176
Γ ₂₅₉	$\gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(2.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=143
Γ ₂₆₀	$\gamma K^*(892) \bar{K}^*(892)$	$(4.0 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=145
Γ ₂₆₁	$\gamma\eta$	$(1.090 \pm 0.013) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=83
Γ ₂₆₂	$\gamma\eta\pi^0$	$(2.14 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=292
Γ ₂₆₃	$\gamma f_0(500) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$			DESIG=398
Γ ₂₆₄	$\gamma f_0(500) \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}$			DESIG=399
Γ ₂₆₅	$\gamma f_0(500) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$			DESIG=400
Γ ₂₆₆	$\gamma a_0(980)^0 \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^0$	$< 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=95%	DESIG=293
Γ ₂₆₇	$\gamma a_2(1320)^0 \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^0$	$< 6.6 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=95%	DESIG=294
Γ ₂₆₈	$\gamma\eta\pi\pi$	$(6.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=96
Γ ₂₆₉	$\gamma\eta_2(1870) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(6.2 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=142
Γ ₂₇₀	$\gamma\eta'(958)$	$(5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=84
Γ ₂₇₁	$\gamma f_0(980) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$			DESIG=393
Γ ₂₇₂	$\gamma f_0(980) \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}$			DESIG=394
Γ ₂₇₃	$\gamma\rho\rho$	$(4.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=94
Γ ₂₇₄	$\gamma\rho\omega$	$< 5.4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=226
Γ ₂₇₅	$\gamma\rho\phi$	$< 8.8 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=227

Γ ₂₇₆	$\gamma\omega\omega$	$(1.61 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=97
Γ ₂₇₇	$\gamma\phi\phi$	$(4.0 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.1	DESIG=98
Γ ₂₇₈	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$	$(2.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.6	DESIG=89
Γ ₂₇₉	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\rho^0$	$(7.8 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.8	DESIG=171
Γ ₂₈₀	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=170
Γ ₂₈₁	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma\rho^0\rho^0$	$(1.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=124
Γ ₂₈₂	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$	$(3.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=212
Γ ₂₈₃	$\gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(1.50 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=476
Γ ₂₈₄	$\gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$	$(6.64 \pm_{-0.23}^{+1.10}) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=477
Γ ₂₈₅	$\gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$	$< 2.63 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=348
Γ ₂₈₆	$\gamma\eta(1475) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$	$< 1.86 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=349
Γ ₂₈₇	$\gamma\eta(1760) \rightarrow \gamma\rho^0\rho^0$	$(1.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=125
Γ ₂₈₈	$\gamma\eta(1760) \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$	$(1.98 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=224
Γ ₂₈₉	$\gamma\eta(1760) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$	$< 4.80 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=347
Γ ₂₉₀	$\gamma\eta(2225)$	$(3.14 \pm_{-0.19}^{+0.50}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=126
Γ ₂₉₁	$\gamma f_2(1270)$	$(1.63 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=86
Γ ₂₉₂	$\gamma f_2(1270) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	$(2.58 \pm_{-0.22}^{+0.60}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=373
Γ ₂₉₃	$\gamma f_1(1285)$	$(6.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=88
Γ ₂₉₄	$\gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$	$(2.9 \pm_{-0.9}^{+1.1}) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=486
Γ ₂₉₅	$\gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$	$(5.6 \pm_{-3.1}^{+0.9}) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=494
Γ ₂₉₆	$\gamma f_0(1370) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$			DESIG=395
Γ ₂₉₇	$\gamma f_0(1370) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$	$(4.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=284
Γ ₂₉₈	$\gamma f_0(1370) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	$(1.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=368
Γ ₂₉₉	$\gamma f_0(1370) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$			DESIG=396
Γ ₃₀₀	$\gamma f_0(1370) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$			DESIG=397
Γ ₃₀₁	$\gamma f_1(1420) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$	$(7.9 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=175
Γ ₃₀₂	$\gamma f_1(1420) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$	$(5.5 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=487
Γ ₃₀₃	$\gamma f_1(1420) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$	$(2.23 \pm_{-1.20}^{+0.26}) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=496
Γ ₃₀₄	$\gamma f_0(1500) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$	$(1.09 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=172
Γ ₃₀₅	$\gamma f_0(1500) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$	$(1.7 \pm_{-1.4}^{+0.6}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=265
Γ ₃₀₆	$\gamma f_0(1500) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	$(1.59 \pm_{-0.60}^{+0.24}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=369
Γ ₃₀₇	$\gamma f_0(1500) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$			DESIG=401
Γ ₃₀₈	$\gamma f_1(1510) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(4.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=141
Γ ₃₀₉	$\gamma f_1(1510) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$	$(7.8 \pm_{-3.1}^{+3.5}) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=488
Γ ₃₁₀	$\gamma f_1(1510) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$			DESIG=498
Γ ₃₁₁	$\gamma f_2'(1525)$	$(5.7 \pm_{-0.5}^{+0.8}) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.5	DESIG=87
Γ ₃₁₂	$\gamma f_2'(1525) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	$(8.0 \pm_{-0.5}^{+0.7}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=374
Γ ₃₁₃	$\gamma f_2'(1525) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$	$(3.4 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=268
Γ ₃₁₄	$\gamma f_2'(1565) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$			DESIG=432
Γ ₃₁₅	$\gamma f_2'(1525) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$	$(2.8 \pm_{-0.6}^{+0.9}) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=489
Γ ₃₁₆	$\gamma f_2(1640) \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$	$(2.8 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=222
Γ ₃₁₇	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$	$(3.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=135
Γ ₃₁₈	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$	$(9.5 \pm_{-0.5}^{+1.0}) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.5	DESIG=91
Γ ₃₁₉	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$	$(3.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=221
Γ ₃₂₀	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$	$(2.4 \pm_{-0.7}^{+1.2}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=266
Γ ₃₂₁	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$			DESIG=402
Γ ₃₂₂	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma\omega\phi$	$(2.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=262

Г ₃₂₃	$\gamma f_0(1770) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	$(1.11 \pm_{-0.33}^{+0.20}) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=370
Г ₃₂₄	$\gamma f_2(1810) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$	$(5.4 \pm_{-2.4}^{+3.5}) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=269
Г ₃₂₅	$\gamma \eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$	$(2.7 \pm_{-0.5}^{+0.4}) \times 10^{-6}$	DESIG=447
Г ₃₂₆	$\gamma f_0(1770) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$		DESIG=431
Г ₃₂₇	$\gamma f_2(1910) \rightarrow \gamma \omega \omega$	$(2.0 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=223
Г ₃₂₈	$\gamma f_2(1950) \rightarrow \gamma K^*(892) \bar{K}^*(892)$	$(7.0 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=144
Г ₃₂₉	$\gamma f_2(1950) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$	$(10.0 \pm_{-2.2}^{+3.5}) \times 10^{-6}$	DESIG=490
Г ₃₃₀	$\gamma f_2(2010) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$		DESIG=440
Г ₃₃₁	$\gamma f_2(2010) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$	$(4.6 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-6}$	DESIG=491
Г ₃₃₂	$\gamma f_0(2020) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$		DESIG=403
Г ₃₃₃	$\gamma f_0(2020) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$		DESIG=404
Г ₃₃₄	$\gamma f_0(2020) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$		DESIG=405
Г ₃₃₅	$\gamma f_0(2020) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$	$(2.63 \pm_{-0.50}^{+0.32}) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=426
Г ₃₃₆	$\gamma f_0(2020) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$		DESIG=438
Г ₃₃₇	$\gamma f_4(2050)$	$(2.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=100
Г ₃₃₈	$\gamma f_4(2050) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$		DESIG=433
Г ₃₃₉	$\gamma f_0(2100) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$	$(1.13 \pm_{-0.30}^{+0.60}) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=267
Г ₃₄₀	$\gamma f_0(2100) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$		DESIG=406
Г ₃₄₁	$\gamma f_0(2100) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$	$(6.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=286
Г ₃₄₂	$\gamma f_0(2200)$	seen	DESIG=123;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Г ₃₄₃	$\gamma f_0(2200) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$	$(5.9 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=285
Г ₃₄₄	$\gamma f_0(2200) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	$(2.72 \pm_{-0.50}^{+0.19}) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=371
Г ₃₄₅	$\gamma f_0(2200) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$		DESIG=407
Г ₃₄₆	$\gamma f_0(2200) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$		DESIG=408
Г ₃₄₇	$\gamma f_0(2200) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$	$(2.0 \pm_{-0.8}^{+0.6}) \times 10^{-7}$	DESIG=492
Г ₃₄₈	$\gamma f_J(2220)$	seen	DESIG=92;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Г ₃₄₉	$\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$	$< 3.9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90% DESIG=136
Г ₃₅₀	$\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$	$< 4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90% DESIG=137
Г ₃₅₁	$\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$	$(1.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=138
Г ₃₅₂	$\gamma f_0(2330) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	$(4.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=372
Г ₃₅₃	$\gamma f_0(2330) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$		DESIG=409
Г ₃₅₄	$\gamma f_0(2330) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$		DESIG=410
Г ₃₅₅	$\gamma f_0(2330) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$	$(6.1 \pm_{-1.8}^{+4.0}) \times 10^{-6}$	DESIG=427
Г ₃₅₆	$\gamma f_0(2330) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$		DESIG=439
Г ₃₅₇	$\gamma f_2(2340) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$	$(5.6 \pm_{-2.2}^{+2.4}) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=270
Г ₃₅₈	$\gamma f_2(2340) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	$(5.5 \pm_{-1.5}^{+4.0}) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=375
Г ₃₅₉	$\gamma f_2(2340) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$	$(8.7 \pm_{-1.8}^{+0.9}) \times 10^{-6}$	DESIG=428
Г ₃₆₀	$\gamma f_0(2470) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$	$(8.2 \pm_{-2.8}^{+4.0}) \times 10^{-7}$	DESIG=429
Г ₃₆₁	$\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$	$(2.7 \pm_{-0.8}^{+0.6}) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.6 DESIG=213
Г ₃₆₂	$\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$	$(7.7 \pm_{-0.9}^{+1.5}) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=254
Г ₃₆₃	$\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta$	$(3.3 \pm_{-1.3}^{+2.0}) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=282
Г ₃₆₄	$\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi(1020)$	$(3.4 \pm_{-1.1}^{+0.8}) \times 10^{-6}$	DESIG=346
Г ₃₆₅	$\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$	$< 3.56 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90% DESIG=350
Г ₃₆₆	$\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	$(2.4 \pm_{-0.8}^{+0.7}) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=264
Г ₃₆₇	$\gamma \eta(2370) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'$	$(1.8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=388
Г ₃₆₈	$\gamma \eta(2370) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta'$	$(1.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=389

Γ ₃₆₉	$\gamma\eta(2370) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta\eta'$	< 9.2	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=390
Γ ₃₇₀	$\gamma D^0 + \text{c.c.}$	< 9.1	$\times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=479
Γ ₃₇₁	$\gamma p\bar{p}$	(3.8 ± 1.0)	$\times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=90
Γ ₃₇₂	$\gamma p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-$	< 7.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=93
Γ ₃₇₃	$\gamma\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=200
Γ ₃₇₄	$\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \text{invisible}$	[e] < 1.7	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=251
Γ ₃₇₅	$\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$	< 4.9	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=95%	DESIG=469
Γ ₃₇₆	$\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\mu^+\mu^-$	[f] < 7.8	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=259

Dalitz decays

Γ ₃₇₇	$\pi^0 e^+ e^-$	(8.1 ± 0.5)	$\times 10^{-7}$		NODE=M070;CLUMP=G DESIG=271
Γ ₃₇₈	$\eta e^+ e^-$	(1.42 ± 0.08)	$\times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=272
Γ ₃₇₉	$\eta'(958) e^+ e^-$	(6.59 ± 0.18)	$\times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=273
Γ ₃₈₀	$\eta(1405) e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ $f_0(980) \pi^0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 e^+ e^-$	(2.04 ± 0.22)	$\times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=475
Γ ₃₈₁	$X(1835) e^+ e^-, X \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$	(3.58 ± 0.25)	$\times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=423
Γ ₃₈₂	$X(2120) e^+ e^-, X \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$	(8.2 ± 1.3)	$\times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=425
Γ ₃₈₃	$\eta(2370) e^+ e^-, \eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$	(1.08 ± 0.17)	$\times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=424
Γ ₃₈₄	$\eta U \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$	[g] < 9.11	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=352
Γ ₃₈₅	$\eta'(958) U \rightarrow \eta'(958) e^+ e^-$	[g] < 2.0	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=366
Γ ₃₈₆	$\phi e^+ e^-$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=384

Weak decays

Γ ₃₈₇	$D^- e^+ \nu_e + \text{c.c.}$	< 7.1	$\times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	NODE=M070;CLUMP=E DESIG=218
Γ ₃₈₈	$D^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu + \text{c.c.}$	< 5.6	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=468
Γ ₃₈₉	$\bar{D}^0 e^+ e^- + \text{c.c.}$	< 8.5	$\times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=219
Γ ₃₉₀	$D^0 \mu^+ \mu^- + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.1	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=485
Γ ₃₉₁	$D_s^- e^+ \nu_e + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=220
Γ ₃₉₂	$D_s^{*-} e^+ \nu_e + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.8	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=290
Γ ₃₉₃	$D^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	< 7.0	$\times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=241
Γ ₃₉₄	$D^- \rho^+ + \text{c.c.}$	< 6.0	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=464
Γ ₃₉₅	$\bar{D}^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	< 4.7	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=465
Γ ₃₉₆	$\bar{D}^0 K^0 + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.7	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=242
Γ ₃₉₇	$\bar{D}^0 K^{*0} + \text{c.c.}$	< 2.5	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=275
Γ ₃₉₈	$\bar{D}^0 \eta + \text{c.c.}$	< 6.8	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=466
Γ ₃₉₉	$\bar{D}^0 \rho^0 + \text{c.c.}$	< 5.2	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=467
Γ ₄₀₀	$D_s^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=243
Γ ₄₀₁	$D_s^- \rho^+ + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=274

**Charge conjugation (C), Parity (P),
Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes**

Γ ₄₀₂	$\gamma\gamma$	C	< 2.7	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=80
Γ ₄₀₃	$\gamma\phi$	C	< 1.4	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=277
Γ ₄₀₄	$e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	< 1.6	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=177
Γ ₄₀₅	$e^\pm \tau^\mp$	LF	< 7.5	$\times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=178
Γ ₄₀₆	$\mu^\pm \tau^\mp$	LF	< 2.0	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=179
Γ ₄₀₇	$p e^- + \text{c.c.}$	L,B	< 3.1	$\times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=484
Γ ₄₀₈	$\Lambda_c^+ e^- + \text{c.c.}$		< 6.9	$\times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=379

Other decays

Γ ₄₀₉	invisible	< 7	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	NODE=M070;CLUMP=F DESIG=240
Γ ₄₁₀	$\mu^+ \mu^- X^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- + \text{invisible}$				DESIG=470

[a] For $E_\gamma > 100$ MeV.

[b] The value is for the sum of the charge states or particle/antiparticle states indicated.

[c] $\Theta(1540)$ is a hypothetical pentaquark state of 1.54 GeV/ c^2 mass and a width of less than 25 MeV/ c^2 .[d] Includes $p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and excludes $p\bar{p}\eta, p\bar{p}\omega, p\bar{p}\eta'$.[e] For a narrow state A with mass less than 960 MeV.[f] For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar A^0 with mass 0.21–3.0 GeV.[g] For a dark photon U with mass between 100 and 2100 MeV.

LINKAGE=E

LINKAGE=SG

LINKAGE=THT

LINKAGE=MF

LINKAGE=NSA

LINKAGE=NA0

LINKAGE=DPH

FIT INFORMATION

A multiparticle fit to $\eta_c(1S)$, $J/\psi(1S)$, $\psi(2S)$, $h_c(1P)$, and $B^\pm$ with the total width, 10 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 38 branching ratios uses 115 measurements to determine 19 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 215.5$ for 96 degrees of freedom.

J/ψ(1S) PARTIAL WIDTHS

Γ(hadrons)

Γ₁

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
81.37 ± 1.36 ± 1.30	¹ ANASHIN	20	KEDR e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
74.1 ± 8.1	BAI	95B	BES e^+e^-
59 ± 24	BALDINI-...	75	FRAG e^+e^-
59 ± 14	BOYARSKI	75	MRK1 e^+e^-
50 ± 25	ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM e^+e^-

¹ Based on the same dataset as ANASHIN 18A and correlated to the values reported there.

NODE=M070220

NODE=M070W3
NODE=M070W3

NODE=M070W3;LINKAGE=A

Γ(e⁺e⁻)

Γ₅

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.53 ± 0.10 OUR AVERAGE				
5.550 ± 0.056 ± 0.089		^{1,2} ANASHIN	18A	KEDR e^+e^-
5.36 ^{+0.29} _{-0.28}		³ HSUEH	92	RVUE See Υ mini-review
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5.58 ± 0.05 ± 0.08		⁴ ABLIKIM	16Q	BES3 $3.773 e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
5.71 ± 0.16	13k	⁵ ADAMS	06A	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
5.57 ± 0.19	7.8k	⁵ AUBERT	04	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
5.14 ± 0.39		BAI	95B	BES e^+e^-
4.72 ± 0.35		ALEXANDER	89	RVUE See Υ mini-review
4.4 ± 0.6		³ BRANDELIK	79C	DASP e^+e^-
4.6 ± 0.8		⁶ BALDINI-...	75	FRAG e^+e^-
4.8 ± 0.6		BOYARSKI	75	MRK1 e^+e^-
4.6 ± 1.0		ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM e^+e^-

¹ From the cross sections of $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ near the $J/\psi(1S)$ peak.

² Based on the same dataset as ANASHIN 20 and correlated to the values reported there.

³ From a simultaneous fit to e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$, and hadronic channels assuming $\Gamma(e^+e^-) = \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$.

⁴ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.973 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.037)\%$ from ABLIKIM 13R.

⁵ Calculated by us from the reported values of $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times B(\mu^+\mu^-)$ using $B(\mu^+\mu^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.06)\%$.

⁶ Assuming equal partial widths for e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$.

NODE=M070W1
NODE=M070W1

OCCUR=4

NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=B

Γ(μ⁺μ⁻)

Γ₇

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
5.13 ± 0.52	BAI	95B	BES e^+e^-
4.8 ± 0.6	BOYARSKI	75	MRK1 e^+e^-
5 ± 1	ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM e^+e^-

NODE=M070W2
NODE=M070W2

Γ(γγ)

Γ₄₀₂

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5.4	90	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP e^+e^-

NODE=M070W70
NODE=M070W70

$J/\psi(1S) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into $e^+ e^-$ and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel(l) in the $e^+ e^-$ annihilation.

NODE=M070225

NODE=M070225

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_1 \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$4.884 \pm 0.048 \pm 0.078$	^{1,2} ANASHIN	18A	KEDR $e^+ e^-$
4 ± 0.8	³ BALDINI-...	75	FRAG $e^+ e^-$
3.9 ± 0.8	³ ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM $e^+ e^-$

¹ From the cross sections of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ and $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ near the $J/\psi(1S)$ peak.

² Based on the same dataset as ANASHIN 20 and correlated to the values reported there.

³ Data redundant with branching ratios or partial widths above.

NODE=M070G3
NODE=M070G3

NODE=M070G3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070G3;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M070G3;LINKAGE=S

$\Gamma(e^+ e^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_5 \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$333.1 \pm 6.6 \pm 4.0$	^{1,2} ANASHIN	18A	KEDR $e^+ e^-$
$332.3 \pm 6.4 \pm 4.8$	ANASHIN	10	KEDR $3.097 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
350 ± 20	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP $e^+ e^-$
320 ± 70	³ BALDINI-...	75	FRAG $e^+ e^-$
340 ± 90	³ ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM $e^+ e^-$
360 ± 100	³ FORD	75	SPEC $e^+ e^-$

¹ From the cross sections of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ and $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ near the $J/\psi(1S)$ peak.

² Based on the same dataset as ANASHIN 20 and correlated to the values reported there.

³ Data redundant with branching ratios or partial widths above.

NODE=M070G1
NODE=M070G1

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070G1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070G1;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M070G1;LINKAGE=S

$\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_7 \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

333 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE

$333.4 \pm 2.5 \pm 4.4$		ABLIKIM	16Q	BES3 $3.773 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$
$331.8 \pm 5.2 \pm 6.3$		ANASHIN	10	KEDR $3.097 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$
$338.4 \pm 5.8 \pm 7.1$	13k	ADAMS	06A	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$
$330.1 \pm 7.7 \pm 7.3$	7.8k	AUBERT	04	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

510 ± 90		DASP	75	DASP $e^+ e^-$
380 ± 50		¹ ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM $e^+ e^-$

¹ Data redundant with branching ratios or partial widths above.

NODE=M070G2
NODE=M070G2

NODE=M070G2;LINKAGE=S

$\Gamma(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_{16} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.3 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE

$2.34 \pm 0.43 \pm 0.16$	49	LEES	18	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
$2.22 \pm 0.96 \pm 0.02$	9	¹ AUBERT	07AU	BABR $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

¹ AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)] = 0.51 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.03 \text{ eV}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (23.02 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G25
NODE=M070G25

NODE=M070G25;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_{18} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

64.8 ± 11.1 ± 0.4 200 ¹ LEES 21C BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}(\pi^+ \pi^- 4\pi^0)$

¹ LEES 21C reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0)] = 21.1 \pm 1.7 \pm 3.2 \text{ eV}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.56 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070Q20
NODE=M070Q20

NODE=M070Q20;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{19}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
26.9±5.7±0.1	101	¹ LEES	21C BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}(\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0\gamma\gamma)$

NODE=M070Q19
NODE=M070Q19

¹ LEES 21C reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = 10.6 \pm 1.6 \pm 1.6$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070Q19;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{22}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.76±1.64±0.03	¹ LEES	23 BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M070Q54
NODE=M070Q54

¹ LEES 23 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \eta K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0)] = 1.55 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.16$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.56 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070Q54;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{23}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.3±1.4±0.4	44	LEES	17D BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0 \gamma$

NODE=M070G38
NODE=M070G38

$$\Gamma(\rho^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{26}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
155±26±36	14k	LEES	21 BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M070Q13
NODE=M070Q13

$$\Gamma(\rho^+ \rho^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{27}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
32±13±15	14k	LEES	21 BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M070Q14
NODE=M070Q14

$$\Gamma(\rho^\mp K^\pm K_S^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{29}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.4±1.0±1.9	130	LEES	17D BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0 \gamma$

NODE=M070G31
NODE=M070G31

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{44}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
53.6±5.0±0.4	788	¹ AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

NODE=M070G24
NODE=M070G24

¹ AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 47.8 \pm 3.1 \pm 3.2$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G24;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{45}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
27.8±3.5±0.2	398	¹ LEES	18E BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M070P54
NODE=M070P54

¹ LEES 18E reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 24.8 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.5$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070P54;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega 3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{46}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.5±3.1±0.1	89	¹ LEES	21C BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}(\pi^+\pi^-4\pi^0)$

NODE=M070Q18
NODE=M070Q18

¹ LEES 21C reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \omega 3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 9.4 \pm 2.3 \pm 1.5$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070Q18;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{48}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
16.9±7.6±0.2	¹ LEES	21C BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}(\pi^+\pi^-4\pi^0)$

NODE=M070Q21
NODE=M070Q21

¹ Different final state as in AUBERT 06. LEES 21C reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \omega\eta) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0)] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 4.9 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.7$ eV which we divide by our best values $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.56 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M070Q21;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{49}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (10 ⁻² keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.2±0.3±0.2	170	AUBERT	06D BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻ → ωπ ⁺ π ⁻ π ⁰ γ

NODE=M070G8
NODE=M070G8

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{50}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.90±0.96±0.01	27	¹ LEES	18E BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁺ π ⁻ π ⁰ π ⁰ ηγ

NODE=M070P58
NODE=M070P58

¹ LEES 18E reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\eta) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 1.7 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.3$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070P58;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{52}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
185±30±1	14k	¹ LEES	21 BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻ → 2(π ⁺ π ⁻)3π ⁰ γ

NODE=M070Q11
NODE=M070Q11

¹ LEES 21 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 165 \pm 9 \pm 25$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070Q11;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{64}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.70±1.98±0.03	24	¹ AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻ → ωK ⁺ K ⁻ γ

NODE=M070G29
NODE=M070G29

¹ AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \omega K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 3.3 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.2$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G29;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(K^+K^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{73}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
29.0±1.7±1.3	AUBERT	08S BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻ → K ⁺ K [*] (892) ⁻ γ

NODE=M070G18
NODE=M070G18

$$\Gamma(K^+K^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{74}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.96±0.85±0.70	155	AUBERT	08S BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻ → K ⁺ K ⁻ π ⁰ γ

NODE=M070G20
NODE=M070G20

$$\Gamma(K^+K^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^0K^\pm\pi^\mp + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{75}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
16.76±1.70±1.00	89	AUBERT	08S BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻ → K _S ⁰ K [±] π [∓] γ

NODE=M070G21
NODE=M070G21

$$\Gamma(K^0\bar{K}^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{76}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
26.6±2.5±1.5	AUBERT	08S BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻ → K ⁰ $\bar{K}^*(892)^0$ γ

NODE=M070G19
NODE=M070G19

$$\Gamma(K^0\bar{K}^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^0K^\pm\pi^\mp + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{77}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
17.70±1.70±1.00	94	AUBERT	08S BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻ → K _S ⁰ K [±] π [∓] γ

NODE=M070G22
NODE=M070G22

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{78}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
42.6±4.8±7.2	99	¹ LEES	17D BABR	e ⁺ e ⁻ → K _S ⁰ K [±] π [∓] π ⁰ γ

NODE=M070G39
NODE=M070G39

¹ Dividing by 1/6 to account for $B(K^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^0)=1/6$.

NODE=M070G39;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm K^\mp\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{79}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
22.8±2.8±6.8	80	¹ LEES	17D BABR	e ⁺ e ⁻ → K _S ⁰ K [±] π [∓] π ⁰ γ

NODE=M070G32
NODE=M070G32

¹ Dividing by 1/4 to account for $B(K^*(892)^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^\pm)=1/4$.

NODE=M070G32;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K_S^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{80} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11.0 ± 2.8 OUR AVERAGE				
9.2 ± 1.2 ± 3.2	64	¹ LEES	17D BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0 \gamma$
14.8 ± 4.8 ± 1.2	53	² LEES	14H BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K_S^0 K_S^0 \gamma$

¹ Dividing by 1/2 to take into account $B(K^*(892)^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^\mp) = 1/2$.

² Dividing by 1/4 to take into account $B(K^*(892) \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi) = 1/4$.

NODE=M070GY4
NODE=M070GY4

NODE=M070GY4;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M070GY4;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K_S^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{81} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.7 ± 1.2 ± 0.3	53	LEES	14H BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K_S^0 K_S^0 \gamma$

NODE=M070GY5
NODE=M070GY5

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K_S^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{84} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.60 ± 0.75 ± 2.25	34	¹ LEES	17D BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0 \gamma$

¹ Dividing by 2/3 to account for $B(K^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-) = 2/3$.

NODE=M070G33
NODE=M070G33

NODE=M070G33;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{86} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.28 ± 0.34 ± 0.07	47 ± 12	¹ LEES	12F BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.28 ± 0.40 ± 0.11 25 ± 8 ^{1,2} AUBERT 07AK BABR 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$

¹ Dividing by $(2/3)^2$ to take twice into account that $B(K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-) = 2/3 B(K^{*0} \rightarrow K \pi)$.

² Superseded by LEES 12F.

NODE=M070G01
NODE=M070G01

NODE=M070G01;LINKAGE=AE

NODE=M070G01;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm K^*(892)^\mp) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{87} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.80 ± 0.48 ± 0.32	1 ± 5	¹ LEES	14H BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K_S^0 K_S^0 \gamma$

¹ Dividing by $(1/4)^2$ to take twice into account $B(K^*(892) \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi) = 1/4$.

NODE=M070GY8
NODE=M070GY8

NODE=M070GY8;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^+ K_S^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{98} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
20.1 ± 9.8 ± 0.5	35	^{1,2} LEES	14H BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K_S^0 K_S^0 \gamma$

¹ Dividing by 1/4 to take into account $B(K^*(1430) \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi) = 1/4 B(K^*(1430) \rightarrow K \pi)$.

² LEES 14H reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow K_2^*(1430)^+ K_S^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(K_2^*(1430) \rightarrow K \pi)] = 10.0 \pm 4.8 \pm 0.8$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(K_2^*(1430) \rightarrow K \pi) = (49.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070GY6
NODE=M070GY6

NODE=M070GY6;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070GY6;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 K^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{99} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
25.8 ± 1.4 ± 0.6	710	^{1,2,3} LEES	12F BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

33 ± 4 ± 1 317 ^{2,4} AUBERT 07AK BABR 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$

¹ LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 K^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(K_2^*(1430) \rightarrow K \pi)] = 12.89 \pm 0.54 \pm 0.41$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(K_2^*(1430) \rightarrow K \pi) = (49.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Dividing by 2/3 to take into account that $B(K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-) = 2/3 B(K^{*0} \rightarrow K \pi)$.

³ The $K_2^*(1430)$ cannot be distinguished from the $K_0^*(1430)$.

⁴ Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 K^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(K_2^*(1430) \rightarrow K \pi)] = 16.4 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.4$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(K_2^*(1430) \rightarrow K \pi) = (49.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G02
NODE=M070G02

NODE=M070G02;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070G02;LINKAGE=AE

NODE=M070G02;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M070G02;LINKAGE=UB

$$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^- K^*(892)^+ + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{100} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
18.6 ± 16.1 ± 0.4	8 ± 8	^{1,2} LEES	14H BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K_S^0 K_S^0 \gamma$

¹ Dividing by $(1/4)^2$ to take into account $B(K^*(892) \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi) = 1/4$ and $B(K^*(1430) \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi) = 1/4$ $B(K^*(1430) \rightarrow K \pi)$.

² LEES 14H reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow K_2^*(1430)^- K^*(892)^+ + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(K_2^*(1430) \rightarrow K \pi)] = 9.28 \pm 8.0 \pm 0.32$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(K_2^*(1430) \rightarrow K \pi) = (49.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070GY9
NODE=M070GY9

NODE=M070GY9;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070GY9;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^- K^*(892)^+ + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^*(892)^+ K_S^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{101} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.32 ± 2.00 ± 0.08	8 ± 8	¹ LEES	14H BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K_S^0 K_S^0 \gamma$

¹ Dividing by 1/4 to take into account $B(K^*(892) \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi) = 1/4$.

NODE=M070GZ0
NODE=M070GZ0

NODE=M070GZ0;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}_2(1770)^0 K^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{103} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.8 ± 0.4 ± 0.3	110 ± 14	¹ AUBERT 07AK	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$

¹ Dividing by 2/3 to take into account that $B(K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-) = 2/3$.

NODE=M070G03
NODE=M070G03

NODE=M070G03;LINKAGE=AE

$$\Gamma(\phi \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{110} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.39 ± 0.34 OUR AVERAGE				

[4.41 ± 0.34 eV OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

4.37 ± 0.48 ± 0.05	181	¹ LEES	12F BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
4.42 ± 0.47 ± 0.05	254 ± 23	² SHEN	09 BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.2 ± 0.7 ± 0.1	103	³ AUBERT, BE 06D	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

¹ LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)] = 2.19 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.07$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² SHEN 09 reports $4.50 \pm 0.41 \pm 0.26$ eV from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)]$ assuming $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT, BE 06D reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)] = 2.61 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.18$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G14
NODE=M070G14
NEW

NODE=M070G14;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M070G14;LINKAGE=SH

NODE=M070G14;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(\phi \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{111} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.71 ± 0.56 ± 0.03	45	¹ LEES	12F BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.07 ± 0.86 ± 0.03	23	² AUBERT, BE 06D	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

¹ LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)] = 1.36 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.07$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT, BE 06D reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)] = 1.54 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.16$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G15
NODE=M070G15

NODE=M070G15;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070G15;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(\phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{112} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.94±0.19±0.01	35	¹ AUBERT	06D BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$

¹ AUBERT 06D reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)] = (0.47 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-2}$ keV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G10
NODE=M070G10

NODE=M070G10;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(\phi \eta) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{113} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.6±1.4 OUR AVERAGE				
4.1±1.6±0.4		¹ LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$
6.1±2.7±0.4	6	² AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$

¹ LEES 23 quotes $\Gamma_{ee}^{J/\psi} \cdot B(J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta) \cdot B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) \cdot B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = 0.64 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.06$ eV.

² AUBERT 07AU quotes $\Gamma_{ee}^{J/\psi} \cdot B(J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta) \cdot B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) \cdot B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi) = 0.84 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.05$ eV.

NODE=M070G28
NODE=M070G28

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070G28;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070G28;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(\phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{117} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.42±0.18 OUR AVERAGE				

1.38±0.24±0.02	57 ± 9	¹ LEES	12F BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$
1.48±0.27±0.09	60 ± 11	² SHEN	09 BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.00±0.23±0.01	20 ± 5	³ AUBERT	07AK BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$

¹ LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)] = 0.69 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.05$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Multiplied by 2/3 to take into account the $\phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ mode only. Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6)\%$.

³ Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)] = 0.50 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.04$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G05
NODE=M070G05

NODE=M070G05;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070G05;LINKAGE=SH

NODE=M070G05;LINKAGE=UB

$$\Gamma(\phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{118} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.96±0.26±0.01	16 ± 4	¹ LEES	12F BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.94±0.39±0.01	7.0 ± 2.8	² AUBERT	07AK BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$

¹ LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)] = 0.48 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)] = 0.47 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.05$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G06
NODE=M070G06

NODE=M070G06;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070G06;LINKAGE=UB

$$\Gamma(\phi f_2(1270)) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{126} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.79±0.32^{+0.02}_{-0.06}	61	^{1,2,3} LEES	12F BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.08±0.73 ^{+0.05} _{-0.14}	44	^{2,4} AUBERT	07AK BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$

NODE=M070G07
NODE=M070G07

¹ LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi f_2(1270)) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi)] = 1.51 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.10 \text{ eV}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi) = (84.3^{+2.8}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$.

³ Using $\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass between 1.1 and 1.5 GeV. May include other sources such as $f_0(1370)$.

⁴ Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi f_2(1270)) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi)] = 3.44 \pm 0.55 \pm 0.28 \text{ eV}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi) = (84.3^{+2.8}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G07;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070G07;LINKAGE=AE

NODE=M070G07;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M070G07;LINKAGE=UB

$\Gamma(\phi f'_2(1525)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{131}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
8.1±3.2±0.2	11	^{1,2} LEES	14H	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$	

NODE=M070GZ2
NODE=M070GZ2

¹ Dividing by 1/4 to take into account $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0) = 1/4 B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K})$ and using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$.

² LEES 14H reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi f'_2(1525)) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K})] = 7.2 \pm 2.8 \pm 0.3 \text{ eV}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K}) = (88.8 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070GZ2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070GZ2;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\phi K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{137}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
4.51±0.61±0.05	163	¹ LEES	12F	BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^- K^+K^- \gamma$	

NODE=M070G09
NODE=M070G09

¹ LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-)] = 2.26 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.16 \text{ eV}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G09;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi K_S^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{138}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
3.19±0.82±0.04	29	¹ LEES	14H	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$	

NODE=M070GZ1
NODE=M070GZ1

¹ LEES 14H reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi K_S^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-)] = 1.6 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ eV}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070GZ1;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(f'_2(1525) K^+ K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{143}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
5.8±1.9±0.1	16	^{1,2} LEES	14H	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$	

NODE=M070GZ4
NODE=M070GZ4

¹ Dividing by 1/4 to take into account $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0) = 1/4 B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K})$.

² LEES 14H reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow f'_2(1525) K^+ K^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K})] = 5.12 \pm 1.68 \pm 0.20 \text{ eV}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K}) = (88.8 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070GZ4;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070GZ4;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{164}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
303±5±18	4990	AUBERT	07AU	BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0 \gamma$	

NODE=M070G23
NODE=M070G23

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{166}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
100 ±50 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 4.3.			

NODE=M070P53
NODE=M070P53

55 ±16 ±1	14k	¹ LEES	21	BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0 \gamma$	
150.0±4.0±15.0	2.3k	LEES	18E	BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 \gamma$	

¹ LEES 21 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] = 19.2 \pm 4.5 \pm 3.2 \text{ eV}$ which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.3468 \pm 0.0034$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070P53;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-4\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{169}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
35.8±4.4±5.4	340	LEES	21C BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}(\pi^+\pi^-4\pi^0)$

NODE=M070Q17
NODE=M070Q17

$$\Gamma(\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{167}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
78.0±9.0±8.0	1.2k	LEES	18E BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M070P55
NODE=M070P55

$$\Gamma(\rho^+\rho^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{168}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
33.0±5.0±3.3	529	LEES	18E BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M070P56
NODE=M070P56

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{170}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.1248±0.0019±0.0026	LEES	21B BABR	$10.5 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.122 ±0.005 ±0.008	AUBERT,B	04N BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M070G5
NODE=M070G5

$$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{171}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (10 ⁻² keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.9±0.5±1.0	761	AUBERT	06D BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\gamma$

NODE=M070G7
NODE=M070G7

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{172}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
107.0±4.3±6.4	768	AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M070G27
NODE=M070G27

$$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{174}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
20.4±0.9±0.4		LEES	12E BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

19.5±1.4±1.3	270	¹ AUBERT	05D BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$

¹ Superseded by LEES 12E.

NODE=M070G11
NODE=M070G11

NODE=M070G11;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{175}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (10 ⁻² keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.37±0.16±0.14	496	AUBERT	06D BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$

NODE=M070G6
NODE=M070G6

$$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{176}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
345±10±50	14k	LEES	21 BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M070Q12
NODE=M070Q12

$$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{178}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
13.1±2.4±0.1	85	¹ AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta\gamma$

¹ AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = 5.16 \pm 0.85 \pm 0.39$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G26
NODE=M070G26

NODE=M070G26;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{180}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.1±2.6±1.4	14k	LEES	21 BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M070Q15
NODE=M070Q15

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{181}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
13.1± 2.7 OUR AVERAGE				

26.1±17.9±0.3	14k	¹ LEES	21 BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$
12.8± 1.8±2.0	203	LEES	18E BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta\gamma$

¹ LEES 21 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 6 \pm 4 \pm 1$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (23.02 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070P57
NODE=M070P57

NODE=M070P57;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\rho^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0 \eta) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{182} \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.5±4.1±1.6	168	LEES	18E BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \eta \gamma$

NODE=M070P59
NODE=M070P59

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{183} \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.78±0.11±0.05	462	¹ LEES	15J BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \gamma$
1.94±0.11±0.05	462	² LEES	15J BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \gamma$
1.42±0.23±0.08	51	³ LEES	13Q BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \gamma$

NODE=M070G08
NODE=M070G08

OCCUR=2

¹ $\sin\phi > 0$.

² $\sin\phi < 0$.

³ Interference with non-resonant $K^+ K^-$ production not taken into account.

NODE=M070G08;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070G08;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M070G08;LINKAGE=BA

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{189} \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11.4±1.3±0.6	182	LEES	17A BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

NODE=M070G41
NODE=M070G41

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{190} \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.7±0.9±0.4	106	LEES	17A BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

NODE=M070G42
NODE=M070G42

$$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{191} \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.4±0.7±0.1	37	LEES	17A BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

NODE=M070G43
NODE=M070G43

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{192} \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
37.94±0.81±1.10	3.1k	LEES	12F BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$

NODE=M070G12
NODE=M070G12

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

36.3 ±1.3 ±2.1	1.5k	¹ AUBERT	07AK BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$
33.6 ±2.7 ±2.7	233	² AUBERT	05D BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

¹ Superseded by LEES 12F.

² Superseded by AUBERT 07AK.

NODE=M070G12;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M070G12;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{193} \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11.75±0.81±0.90	388	LEES	12F BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$

NODE=M070G04
NODE=M070G04

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

13.6 ±1.1 ±1.3	203	¹ AUBERT	07AK BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$

¹ Superseded by LEES 12F.

NODE=M070G04;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{194} \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.9±1.3±0.9	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M070Q51
NODE=M070Q51

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{195} \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
29.3±2.6±2.9	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M070Q52
NODE=M070Q52

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{196} \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
34.6±1.4±1.8	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M070Q53
NODE=M070Q53

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \rho(770)^\pm \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{197} \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
16.0±4.1±1.6	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M070Q62
NODE=M070Q62

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{198} \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
20.8±2.3±2.1	248	LEES	14H BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$

NODE=M070GY1
NODE=M070GY1

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{199} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.3±2.3±0.5	47	LEES	17A BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

NODE=M070G40
NODE=M070G40

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_L^0 \eta) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{200} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.0±1.8±0.4	45	LEES	17A BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \eta \gamma$

NODE=M070G35
NODE=M070G35

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{201} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.3±0.9±0.5	133	LEES	14H BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K_S^0 K_S^0 \gamma$

NODE=M070GY2
NODE=M070GY2

$$\Gamma(K^\mp K_S^0 \pi^\pm \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{202} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
31.7±1.9±1.8	393	LEES	17D BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0 \gamma$

NODE=M070G34
NODE=M070G34

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \rho(770)^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{203} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
17.3±2.1±1.7	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M070Q55
NODE=M070Q55

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{204} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (10 ⁻² keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.75±0.23±0.17	205	AUBERT	06D BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$

NODE=M070G9
NODE=M070G9

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{205} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
25.9±3.9±0.1	73	¹ AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta \gamma$

NODE=M070G30
NODE=M070G30

¹AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = 10.2 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.8 \text{ eV}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G30;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(2(K^+ K^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{206} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.00±0.33±0.29	287 ± 24	LEES	12F BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-) \gamma$

NODE=M070G13
NODE=M070G13

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.11±0.39±0.30	156 ± 15	¹ AUBERT	07AK BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-) \gamma$
4.0 ± 0.7 ± 0.6	38	² AUBERT	05D BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-) \gamma$

¹Superseded by LEES 12F.

²Superseded by AUBERT 07AK.

NODE=M070G13;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070G13;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{207} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.3±0.4±0.1	29	LEES	14H BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$

NODE=M070GY3
NODE=M070GY3

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{208} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.45±3.15±0.90	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M070Q56
NODE=M070Q56

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^*(892)^0 \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{209} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.59±0.79±0.55	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M070Q61
NODE=M070Q61

$$\Gamma(K^\mp K^*(892)^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{210} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
18.6±6.3±1.8	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M070Q57
NODE=M070Q57

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm K^*(892)^0 \pi^\mp) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{211} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
26.6±4.5±2.7	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M070Q58
NODE=M070Q58

$$\Gamma(K^\mp K^*(892)^\pm \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{212}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.67±1.56±0.84	LEES	23	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M070Q60
NODE=M070Q60

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K^*(892)^- \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{213}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
62.1±10.8±6.30	LEES	23	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M070Q59
NODE=M070Q59

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{214}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11.9±0.6 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below.		
11.3±0.4±0.3	821	¹ LEES	130	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\gamma$
12.9±0.4±0.4	918	² LEES	13Y	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\gamma$
9.7±1.7		³ ARMSTRONG	93B E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
12.0±0.6±0.5	438	⁴ AUBERT	06B	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\gamma$

NODE=M070G4
NODE=M070G4

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ ISR photon reconstructed in the detector

² ISR photon undetected


³ Using $\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 85.5^{+6.1}_{-5.8}$ MeV.

⁴ Superseded by LEES 130

NODE=M070G4;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M070G4;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M070G;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070G4;LINKAGE=A

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
11.9±0.6 (Error scaled by 1.8)



$$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{230}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.7±0.9±0.7	AUBERT	07BD	BABR $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\gamma$

NODE=M070G16
NODE=M070G16

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{241}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.8±1.5±0.8	GONG	23	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$

NODE=M070Q50
NODE=M070Q50

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{242}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.2±1.5±0.6	GONG	23	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$
6.4±1.2±0.6	AUBERT	07BD	BABR $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0 \gamma$

NODE=M070G17
NODE=M070G17

OCCUR=2

$J/\psi(1S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

For the first four branching ratios, see also the partial widths, and (partial widths) $\times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ above.

NODE=M070230

NODE=M070300

 $\Gamma(\text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_1/Γ**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.877$\pm$0.005 OUR AVERAGE			
0.878 $\pm$ 0.005	BAI	95B	BES e^+e^-
0.86 $\pm$ 0.02	BOYARSKI	75	MRK1 e^+e^-

NODE=M070R3
NODE=M070R3 **$\Gamma(\text{virtual } \gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.1346$\pm$0.0007	¹ LIAO	23	RVUE e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.135 $\pm$ 0.003	^{2,3} SETH	04	RVUE e^+e^-
0.17 $\pm$ 0.02	² BOYARSKI	75	MRK1 e^+e^-

NODE=M070R4
NODE=M070R4

¹ Using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = (5.967 \pm 0.023)\%$ and $R = 2.26 \pm 0.01$ determined by a fit to data from Mark-I, DM2, BESII, KEDR, and BESIII.

² Included in $\Gamma(\text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

³ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = (5.90 \pm 0.09)\%$ from RPP-2002 and $R = 2.28 \pm 0.04$ determined by a fit to data from BAI 00 and BAI 02C. Superseded by LIAO 23.

NODE=M070R4;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070R4;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M070R4;LINKAGE=SE **$\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
64.1$\pm$1.0	6 M	¹ BESSON	08	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- + \text{hadrons}$
¹ Calculated using the value $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg) = 0.137 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.004$ from BESSON 08 and the PDG 08 values of $B(\ell^+ \ell^-)$, $B(\text{virtual } \gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$, and $B(\gamma \eta_c)$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with that of $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ measurement of BESSON 08.				

NODE=M070S65
NODE=M070S65

NODE=M070S65;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_4/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.79$\pm$1.05	200 k	¹ BESSON	08	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma + \text{hadrons}$
¹ Calculated using the value $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg) = 0.137 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.004$ from BESSON 08 and the value of $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with that of $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ measurement of BESSON 08.				

NODE=M070S66
NODE=M070S66

NODE=M070S66;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg)$ **Γ_4/Γ_3**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
13.7$\pm$0.1$\pm$0.7	6 M	BESSON	08	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$

NODE=M070S67
NODE=M070S67 **$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_5/\Gamma$**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.971$\pm$0.032 OUR AVERAGE				
5.983 $\pm$ 0.007 $\pm$ 0.037	720k	ABLIKIM	13R	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
5.945 $\pm$ 0.067 $\pm$ 0.042	15k	LI	05C	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
5.90 $\pm$ 0.05 $\pm$ 0.10		BAI	98D	BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
6.09 $\pm$ 0.33		BAI	95B	BES e^+e^-
5.92 $\pm$ 0.15 $\pm$ 0.20		COFFMAN	92	MRK3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
6.9 $\pm$ 0.9		BOYARSKI	75	MRK1 e^+e^-

NODE=M070R1
NODE=M070R1 **$\Gamma(e^+e^-\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_6/\Gamma$**

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.8$\pm$1.3$\pm$0.4	¹ ARMSTRONG	96	E760 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$

NODE=M070S33
NODE=M070S33

¹ For $E_\gamma > 100$ MeV.

NODE=M070S33;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_7/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.961$\pm$0.033 OUR AVERAGE				
5.973 $\pm$ 0.007 $\pm$ 0.038	770k	ABLIKIM	13R	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
5.960 $\pm$ 0.065 $\pm$ 0.050	17k	LI	05C	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
5.84 $\pm$ 0.06 $\pm$ 0.10		BAI	98D	BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
6.08 $\pm$ 0.33		BAI	95B	BES e^+e^-
5.90 $\pm$ 0.15 $\pm$ 0.19		COFFMAN	92	MRK3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
6.9 $\pm$ 0.9		BOYARSKI	75	MRK1 e^+e^-

NODE=M070R2
NODE=M070R2

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ Γ_5/Γ_7 NODE=M070R5
NODE=M070R5

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.0016±0.0031 OUR AVERAGE			
1.0022±0.0044±0.0048	¹ AULCHENKO 14	KEDR	3.097 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$
1.0017±0.0017±0.0033	² ABLIKIM 13R	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$
1.002 ±0.021 ±0.013	³ ANASHIN 10	KEDR	3.097 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$
0.997 ±0.012 ±0.006	LI 05C	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.011 ±0.013 ±0.016	BAI 98D	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$
1.00 ±0.07	BAI 95B	BES	e^+e^-
1.00 ±0.05	BOYARSKI 75	MRK1	e^+e^-
0.91 ±0.15	ESPOSITO 75B	FRAM	e^+e^-
0.93 ±0.10	FORD 75	SPEC	e^+e^-

¹ From 235.3k $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and 156.6k $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ observed events.² Not independent of the corresponding measurements of $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ and $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$.³ Not independent of the corresponding measurements of $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ and $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$.NODE=M070R5;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070R5;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M070R5;LINKAGE=AN

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ NODE=M070Q71
NODE=M070Q71

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.48±0.31±0.45	700	¹ ABLIKIM 24L	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

¹ $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) = (34.68 \pm 0.30)\%$ from PDG 20 was used.

NODE=M070Q71;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ NODE=M070Q72
NODE=M070Q72

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.53±0.22±0.13	354	¹ ABLIKIM 24L	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

¹ $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) = (34.68 \pm 0.30)\%$ from PDG 20 was used.

NODE=M070Q72;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ NODE=M070Q74
NODE=M070Q74

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
11.3±1.1±0.1	452	¹ AAIJ 24AE	LHCB	$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$	
<16	90	² ABLIKIM 24L	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$	
10.1 ^{+3.3} _{-2.8} ±0.1	12	³ HAYRAPETY...24A	CMS	$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$	

OCCUR=2

¹ AAIJ 24AE reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)] = (1.89 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-5}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.961 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070Q74;LINKAGE=D

² Measured with $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$. $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) = (34.68 \pm 0.30)\%$ from PDG 20 was used.

NODE=M070Q74;LINKAGE=A

³ HAYRAPETYAN 24A reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)] = (16.9^{+5.5}_{-4.6} ± 0.6) × 10⁻⁶ which we multiply by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.961 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.$

NODE=M070Q74;LINKAGE=E

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ Γ_{10}/Γ_7 NODE=M070Q70
NODE=M070Q70

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
18.7±1.8 OUR AVERAGE				
18.9±1.7±0.9	452	¹ AAIJ 24AE	LHCB	$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$
16.9 ^{+5.5} _{-4.6} ±0.6	12	HAYRAPETY...24A	CMS	$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$

¹ Includes prompt production and inclusive decays of b -hadrons.

NODE=M070Q70;LINKAGE=C

HADRONIC DECAYS

NODE=M070305

 $\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ NODE=M070R20
NODE=M070R20

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.88 ±0.12 OUR AVERAGE				
2.072±0.017±0.062	19.8k	¹ ANASHIN 23	KEDR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
2.18 ±0.19		^{2,3} AUBERT,B 04N	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
2.184±0.005±0.201	220k	^{3,4} BAI 04H	BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

Error includes scale factor of 2.6. See the ideogram below.

$2.091 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.116$	3.5	BAI	04H	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
1.21 ± 0.20		BAI	96D	BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi$
$1.42 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.19$		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+ e^-$
1.3 ± 0.3	150	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$
1.6 ± 0.4	183	ALEXANDER	78	PLUT	$e^+ e^-$
1.33 ± 0.21		BRANDELIK	78B	DASP	$e^+ e^-$
1.0 ± 0.2	543	BARTEL	76	CNTR	$e^+ e^-$
1.3 ± 0.3	153	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

OCCUR=2

¹ By a simultaneous fit of the $\pi\pi$ invariant mass distribution over the decay modes $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^0 \pi^0$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^+ \pi^-$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^+$. In the fit only the intermediate states $\rho(770)\pi$ and $\rho(1450)\pi$ are considered.

² From the ratio of $\Gamma(e^+ e^-) B(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ and $\Gamma(e^+ e^-) B(\mu^+ \mu^-)$ (AUBERT 04).

³ Not independent of their $B(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$.

⁴ From $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ events directly.

⁵ Obtained comparing the rates for $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and $\mu^+ \mu^-$, using J/ψ events produced via $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ and with $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 5.88 \pm 0.10\%$.

NODE=M070R20;LINKAGE=A


NODE=M070R20;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M070R20;LINKAGE=BU

NODE=M070R20;LINKAGE=BA

NODE=M070R20;LINKAGE=BI

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 1.88 ± 0.12 (Error scaled by 2.6)



$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$

Γ_{11}/Γ_{170}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.142 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.026$	20k	¹ LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.331 ± 0.033	20k	² LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

NODE=M070P18
NODE=M070P18

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

² From a Dalitz plot analysis in a Veneziano model.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070P18;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070P18;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma(\rho\pi)$

Γ_{12}/Γ_{11}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.328 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.027$	COFFMAN 88 MRK3	$e^+ e^-$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.35 ± 0.08	ALEXANDER 78 PLUT	$e^+ e^-$	
0.32 ± 0.08	BRANDELIK 78B DASP	$e^+ e^-$	
0.39 ± 0.11	BARTEL 76 CNTR	$e^+ e^-$	
0.37 ± 0.09	JEAN-MARIE 76 MRK1	$e^+ e^-$	

NODE=M070R21
NODE=M070R21

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{13}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$2.84 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.60$	1317	ANASHIN 22 KEDR		$J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$

NODE=M070Q39
NODE=M070Q39

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)^+ \pi^- \pi^0 + \text{c.c} \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{14}/Γ

<i>VALUE</i> (units 10^{-3})	<i>EVTS</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>		<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>
$3.67 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.73$	1628	ANASHIN	22	KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$

NODE=M070Q40
NODE=M070Q40

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS**10.9±2.2 OUR AVERAGE**

11.7±0.7±2.5 7584

8.4±4.5 36

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

AUGUSTIN 89

DM2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^\pm \pi^\mp$

VANNUCCI 77

MRK1

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$ Γ_{15}/Γ

NODE=M070R43

NODE=M070R43

 $\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS**3.78±0.68**

471

¹ ABLIKIM

19Q

BES3

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ Γ_{16}/Γ

NODE=M070P81

NODE=M070P81

¹ From an energy scan of $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ assuming PDG 16 values for $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$, $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$, and $\Gamma(\text{total})$.

NODE=M070P81;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS**0.193±0.023 OUR AVERAGE**

0.194±0.017±0.029 299

0.193±0.013±0.029

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

JOUSSET 90

DM2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

COFFMAN 88

MRK3

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ Γ_{17}/Γ

NODE=M070R22

NODE=M070R22

 $\Gamma(\eta\phi(2170) \rightarrow \eta\phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \eta\phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS**1.20±0.14±0.37**

471

ABLIKIM

15H

BES3

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ Γ_{20}/Γ

NODE=M070B12

NODE=M070B12

 $\Gamma(\eta\phi(2170) \rightarrow \eta K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE CL%

<2.52 × 10⁻⁴

90

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

ABLIKIM 10C

BES2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta K^+\pi^- K^- \pi^+$ Γ_{21}/Γ

NODE=M070S70

NODE=M070S70

 $\Gamma(\eta K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS**21.8±2.2±3.4**

232 ± 23

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

ABLIKIM

08E

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$ Γ_{23}/Γ

NODE=M070S57

NODE=M070S57

 $\Gamma(\eta K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS**1.15±0.13±0.22**

209

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

ABLIKIM 10C

BES2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta K^+\pi^- K^- \pi^+$ Γ_{24}/Γ

NODE=M070S69

NODE=M070S69

 $\Gamma(\rho\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTS**8.1 ±0.8 OUR AVERAGE**

Error includes scale factor of 1.6.

7.90±0.19±0.49 3476

8.3 ±3.0 ±1.2 19

11.4 ±1.4 ±1.6

¹ ABLIKIM

17AK

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$

JOUSSET 90

DM2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

COFFMAN 88

MRK3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ Γ_{25}/Γ

NODE=M070R23

NODE=M070R23

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$.

NODE=M070R23;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\rho^+ K^+ K^- \pi^- + \text{c.c} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS**3.53±0.16±0.81**

485

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

ANASHIN 22

KEDR

 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ Γ_{28}/Γ

NODE=M070Q41

NODE=M070Q41

 $\Gamma(h_1(1415)\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.08±0.01^{+0.01}_{-0.02}¹ ABLIKIM

22AS

BES3

 $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$

¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta\eta'$ P-wave.

NODE=M070Q33;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(h_1(1595)\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.16±0.02^{+0.03}_{-0.01}¹ ABLIKIM

22AS

BES3

 $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$

¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta\eta'$ P-wave.

NODE=M070Q34;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\rho(1450)\pi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{33}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.2±0.2±1.1	19.8k	¹ ANASHIN	23 KEDR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ By a simultaneous fit of the $\pi\pi$ invariant mass distribution over the decay modes $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^0\pi^0$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^+\pi^-$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^-\pi^+$. In the fit only the intermediate states $\rho(770)\pi$ and $\rho(1450)\pi$ are considered.

NODE=M070Q49
NODE=M070Q49

NODE=M070Q49;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\rho(1450)\pi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{33}/Γ_{170}

VALUE (%)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

10.9 ±1.7 ±2.7	20k	¹ LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.80±0.27	20k	² LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

² From a Dalitz plot analysis in a Veneziano model.

NODE=M070P25
NODE=M070P25

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070P25;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070P25;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\rho(1450)^\pm\pi^\mp \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp)$ Γ_{34}/Γ_{188}

VALUE (%)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.3±0.8±0.6	4k	¹ LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P31
NODE=M070P31

NODE=M070P31;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\rho(1450)^0\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{35}/Γ_{187}

VALUE (%)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.3±2.0±0.6	2k	¹ LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P27
NODE=M070P27

NODE=M070P27;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\rho(1450)\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{36}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.28±0.55±0.44	119	¹ ABLIKIM	17AK BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$.

NODE=M070P36
NODE=M070P36

NODE=M070P36;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\rho(1700)\pi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{38}/Γ_{170}

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8±2±5	20k	¹ LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

22±6	20k	² LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

² From a Dalitz plot analysis in a Veneziano model.

NODE=M070P21
NODE=M070P21

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070P21;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070P21;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\rho(2150)\pi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{40}/Γ_{170}

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4± 1±20	20k	¹ LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

600±250	20k	² LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

² From a Dalitz plot analysis in a Veneziano model.

NODE=M070P22
NODE=M070P22

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070P22;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070P22;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\rho_3(1690)\pi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{41}/Γ_{170}

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.0±0.8	20k	¹ LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in a Veneziano model.

NODE=M070P24
NODE=M070P24

NODE=M070P24;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\omega\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{42}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT


0.45 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.

0.538±0.012±0.065	2090	¹ ABLIKIM	06F BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$
0.360±0.028±0.054	222	JOUSSET	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.482±0.019±0.064		COFFMAN	88 MRK3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M070R32
NODE=M070R32

¹ Using $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.1 \pm 0.7)\%$.

NODE=M070R32;LINKAGE=BL



$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ Γ_{43}/Γ_{170}

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8±3±2	20k	¹ LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model and significance 4.9 σ .

NODE=M070P23
NODE=M070P23

NODE=M070P23;LINKAGE=A


$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{44}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.5±1.0 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.		
10.6±1.2±0.1	3531	¹ ANASHIN	22 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$
7.0±1.6	18058	AUGUSTIN	89 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$
7.8±1.6	215	BURMESTER	77D PLUT	$e^+ e^-$
6.8±1.9	348	VANNUCCI	77 MRK1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$

¹ ANASHIN 22 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \omega\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)] = (0.946 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.108) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070R24
NODE=M070R24

NODE=M070R24;LINKAGE=A



$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{45}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.7$	509	AUGUSTIN	89 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0$

NODE=M070S26
 NODE=M070S26

 $\Gamma(\omega f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{47}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.3 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE				
$4.3 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.6$	5860	AUGUSTIN	89 DM2	e^+e^-
4.0 ± 1.6	70	BURMESTER	77D PLUT	e^+e^-

NODE=M070R28
 NODE=M070R28

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.9 ± 0.8	81	VANNUCCI	77 MRK1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

 $\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{48}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.74 ± 0.20 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.
2.352 ± 0.273	5k	¹ ABLIKIM	06F BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\eta$
$1.44 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.14$	13	² AUBERT	06D BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta\gamma$
$1.43 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.21$	378	JOUSSET	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
$1.71 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.20$		COFFMAN	88 MRK3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi\eta$


NODE=M070R30
 NODE=M070R30

¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.43 \pm 0.26)\%$, $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = 22.6 \pm 0.4\%$, $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma) = 4.68 \pm 0.11\%$, and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.1 \pm 0.7)\%$.

NODE=M070R30;LINKAGE=BL

² Using $\Gamma(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 5.52 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.04$ keV.

NODE=M070R30;LINKAGE=EE

 $\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{51}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
85 ± 34	140	VANNUCCI	77 MRK1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

NODE=M070R26
 NODE=M070R26

 $\Gamma(\omega\eta'\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{53}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.12 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.13$	14k	¹ ABLIKIM	19AC BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M070P83
 NODE=M070P83

¹ Using the decays $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$.

NODE=M070P83;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\omega\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{54}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.89 ± 0.18 OUR AVERAGE				
$2.08 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.14$	137	¹ ABLIKIM	17AK BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
2.26 ± 0.43	218	² ABLIKIM	06F BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\eta'$
$1.8 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.3$	6	JOUSSET	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
$1.66 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.19$		COFFMAN	88 MRK3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi\eta'$

NODE=M070R31
 NODE=M070R31

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$.

² Using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) = (44.3 \pm 1.5)\%$, $B(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma) = 29.5 \pm 1.0\%$, $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.43 \pm 0.26\%$, and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.1 \pm 0.7)\%$.

NODE=M070R31;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070R31;LINKAGE=BL

$\Gamma(\omega f_0(980))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{55}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.41 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.47$	¹ AUGUSTIN	89	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$

¹ Assuming $B(f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi \pi) = 0.78$.

NODE=M070S27
NODE=M070S27

NODE=M070S27;LINKAGE=K

$\Gamma(\omega f_0(1710) \rightarrow \omega K \bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{56}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.8 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.3$	^{1,2} FALVARD	88	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Includes unknown branching fraction $f_0(1710) \rightarrow K \bar{K}$.

² Addition of $f_0(1710) \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ and $f_0(1710) \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}^0$ branching ratios.

NODE=M070S25
NODE=M070S25

NODE=M070S25;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M070S25;LINKAGE=G

$\Gamma(\omega f_1(1420))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{57}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$6.8^{+1.9}_{-1.6} \pm 1.7$	111^{+31}_{-26}	BECKER	87	MRK3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M070S5
NODE=M070S5

$\Gamma(\omega f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{58}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ VANNUCCI	77	MRK1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 K^+ K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ FALVARD	88	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Re-evaluated assuming $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K \bar{K}) = 0.713$.

NODE=M070R29
NODE=M070R29

NODE=M070R29;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(\omega X(1835) \rightarrow \omega p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{59}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 3.9 \times 10^{-6}$	95	ABLIKIM	13P	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 p \bar{p}$

NODE=M070S81
NODE=M070S81

$\Gamma(\omega X(1835), X \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{61}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 6.2 \times 10^{-5}$	¹ ABLIKIM	19AC	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Using the decays $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$.

NODE=M070P84
NODE=M070P84

NODE=M070P84;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{62}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.52 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.01$	276	¹ ANASHIN	22	KEDR $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ ANASHIN 22 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)] = (0.136 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.026) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070Q38
NODE=M070Q38

NODE=M070Q38;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega K^+ K^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{60}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.33 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.12$	ABLIKIM	24BQ	BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)$

NODE=M070Q78
NODE=M070Q78

$\Gamma(\omega K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{63}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
34 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE				
$37.7 \pm 0.8 \pm 5.8$	1972 ± 41	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$
$29.5 \pm 1.4 \pm 7.0$	879 ± 41	BECKER	87	MRK3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M070S1
NODE=M070S1

$\Gamma(\omega K \bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{64}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
19 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE				
$19.8 \pm 2.1 \pm 3.9$		¹ FALVARD	88	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
16 ± 10	22	FELDMAN	77	MRK1 $e^+ e^-$

¹ Addition of $\omega K^+ K^-$ and $\omega K^0 \bar{K}^0$ branching ratios.

NODE=M070R27
NODE=M070R27

NODE=M070R27;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\omega K^*(892) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{65}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
61 ± 9 OUR AVERAGE				
62.0 ± 6.8 ± 10.6	899 ± 98	ABLIKIM	08E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
65.3 ± 10.2 ± 13.5	176 ± 28	ABLIKIM	08E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^- \pi^0$
53 ± 14 ± 14	530 ± 140	BECKER	87 MRK3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M070S2
 NODE=M070S2

OCCUR=2

 $\Gamma(\eta' K^{*\pm} K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{66}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.48 ± 0.13 OUR AVERAGE			
1.50 ± 0.02 ± 0.19	¹ ABLIKIM	18AB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' K^* \bar{K}$
1.47 ± 0.03 ± 0.17	² ABLIKIM	18AB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' K^* \bar{K}$
¹ From $\eta' K^+ K^- \pi^0$.			
² From $\eta' K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$.			

NODE=M070P48
 NODE=M070P48

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070P48;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M070P48;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\eta' K^{*0} \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{67}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.66 ± 0.03 ± 0.21	¹ ABLIKIM	18AB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' K^* \bar{K}$
¹ From $\eta' K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$.			

NODE=M070P49
 NODE=M070P49

NODE=M070P49;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta' h_1(1415) \rightarrow \eta' K^* \bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{68}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.16 ± 0.12 ± 0.29	1.1k	¹ ABLIKIM	18AB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' h_1 \rightarrow \eta' K^* \bar{K}$
¹ From $\eta' K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$.				

NODE=M070P52
 NODE=M070P52

NODE=M070P52;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta' h_1(1415) \rightarrow \eta' K^{*\pm} K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{69}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.51 ± 0.09 ± 0.21	1.0k	¹ ABLIKIM	18AB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' h_1 \rightarrow \eta' K^* \bar{K}$
¹ From $\eta' K^+ K^- \pi^0$.				

NODE=M070P44
 NODE=M070P44

NODE=M070P44;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta' h_1(1415) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{70}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.69 ± 0.80 ^{+0.74}_{-1.82}	¹ ABLIKIM	22C BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta' \rightarrow 4/5 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.			

NODE=M070Q28
 NODE=M070Q28

NODE=M070Q28;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\bar{K} K^*(892) + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$ Γ_{72}/Γ_{188}

VALUE (%)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
90.5 ± 0.9 ± 3.8	4k	¹ LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.				

NODE=M070P30
 NODE=M070P30


NODE=M070P30;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{73}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.0 ^{+0.8}_{-1.0} OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.9. See the ideogram below.			
8.07 ± 0.04 ^{+0.38} _{-0.61}	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
4.57 ± 0.17 ± 0.70	2285	JOUSSET	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
5.26 ± 0.13 ± 0.53		COFFMAN	88 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp, K^+ K^- \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.6 ± 0.6	24	FRANKLIN	83 MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
3.2 ± 0.6	48	VANNUCCI	77 MRK1	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
4.1 ± 1.2	39	BRAUNSCH...	76 DASP	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm X$

NODE=M070S15
 NODE=M070S15

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
6.0±0.8-1.0 (Error scaled by 2.9)



$\Gamma(K^+K^*(892)^- + c.c. \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{74}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.69±0.01^{+0.13}_{-0.20}	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$

NODE=M070P79
NODE=M070P79

$\Gamma(K^+K^*(892)^- + c.c. \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{74}/Γ_{187}

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
92.4±1.5±3.4	2k	¹ LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$

NODE=M070P26
NODE=M070P26

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P26;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^0\bar{K}^*(892)^0 + c.c.)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{76}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.2 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE				
3.96±0.15±0.60	1192	JOUSSET	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
4.33±0.12±0.45		COFFMAN	88 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.7 ±0.6	45	VANNUCCI	77 MRK1	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$

NODE=M070S16
NODE=M070S16

$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^- + c.c.)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{78}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.73±0.14±0.82	¹ ANASHIN	22 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
seen	² ABLIKIM	06C BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M070S52
NODE=M070S52

¹ Obtained from $J/\psi \rightarrow K^*(892)K^-\pi^+ + c.c. \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$ taking the value 2/3 for the probability of the $K^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ decay.

NODE=M070S52;LINKAGE=A

² A $K_0^*(700)$ is observed by ABLIKIM 06C in the $K^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum of the $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+\pi^-$ final state against the $\bar{K}^*(892)$. A corresponding branching fraction of the $J/\psi(1S)$ is not presented.

NODE=M070S52;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^-\pi^+ + c.c. \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{82}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.81±0.10±0.54	1559	ANASHIN	22 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M070Q42
NODE=M070Q42

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K_S^0 \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{83}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.28^{+0.16+0.59}_{-0.17-0.52}	ABLIKIM	18AA BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M070P71
NODE=M070P71

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm K^*(700)^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{85}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.09±0.18^{+0.94}_{-0.54}	655	ABLIKIM	10E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^0$

NODE=M070S74
NODE=M070S74

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{86}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<5 90 VANNUCCI 77 MRK1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$

NODE=M070R46
NODE=M070R46

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm K^*(892)^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{87}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

$1.00 \pm 0.19^{+0.11}_{-0.32}$ 323 ABLIKIM 10E BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^0$

NODE=M070S73
NODE=M070S73

 $\Gamma(K_1(1400)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{88}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

$3.8 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.2$ ¹ BAI 99C BES $e^+ e^-$

¹ Assuming $B(K_1(1400) \rightarrow K^* \pi) = 0.94 \pm 0.06$

NODE=M070S35
NODE=M070S35

NODE=M070S35;LINKAGE=M3

 $\Gamma(K^*(1410) \bar{K} + c.c. \rightarrow K^\pm K^\mp \pi^0)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)$ Γ_{90}/Γ_{187}

VALUE (%) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

$2.3 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.7$ 2k ¹ LEES 17C BABR $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P28
NODE=M070P28

NODE=M070P28;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K^*(1410) \bar{K} + c.c. \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$ Γ_{91}/Γ_{188}

VALUE (%) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

$1.5 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.9$ 4k ¹ LEES 17C BABR $J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P32
NODE=M070P32

NODE=M070P32;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K_2^*(1430) \bar{K} + c.c. \rightarrow K^\pm K^\mp \pi^0)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)$ Γ_{93}/Γ_{187}

VALUE (%) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

$3.5 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.9$ 2k ¹ LEES 17C BABR $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P29
NODE=M070P29

NODE=M070P29;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K_2^*(1430) \bar{K} + c.c. \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$ Γ_{94}/Γ_{188}

VALUE (%) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

$7.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.2$ 4k ¹ LEES 17C BABR $J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P33
NODE=M070P33

NODE=M070P33;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\bar{K}_2^*(1430) K + c.c.)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{95}/Γ

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

$<40 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 VANNUCCI 77 MRK1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}_2^{*0}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<66 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 BRAUNSCH... 76 DASP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^\pm \bar{K}_2^{*\mp}$

NODE=M070R45
NODE=M070R45

 $\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^+ K^- + c.c. \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{96}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

$2.69 \pm 0.04^{+0.25}_{-0.19}$ 183k ABLIKIM 19AQ BES $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

NODE=M070P76
NODE=M070P76

 $\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^0 K^- \pi^+ + c.c. \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{97}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

$2.65 \pm 0.80 \pm 0.44$ 1094 ANASHIN 22 KEDR $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M070Q43
NODE=M070Q43

 $\Gamma(\bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 K^*(892)^0 + c.c.)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{99}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

6.7 ± 2.6 40 VANNUCCI 77 MRK1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$

NODE=M070R48
NODE=M070R48

 $\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^0 \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{102}/Γ

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

$<29 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 VANNUCCI 77 MRK1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$

NODE=M070R47
NODE=M070R47

 $\Gamma(K_2^*(1980)^+ K^- + c.c. \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{104}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

$1.1 \pm 0.1^{+0.6}_{-0.1}$ 183k ABLIKIM 19AQ BES $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

NODE=M070P77
NODE=M070P77

$\Gamma(K_4^*(2045)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{105}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$6.2 \pm 0.7^{+2.8}_{-1.4}$	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

NODE=M070P78
NODE=M070P78

 $\Gamma(K_1(1270)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{106}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ BAI	99C BES	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070S34
NODE=M070S34

¹ Assuming $B(K_1(1270) \rightarrow K \rho) = 0.42 \pm 0.06$

NODE=M070S34;LINKAGE=M2

 $\Gamma(K_1(1270) K_S^0 \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{107}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$8.54^{+1.07+2.35}_{-1.20-2.13}$	ABLIKIM	18AA BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M070P72
NODE=M070P72

 $\Gamma(a_2(1320)^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{108}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<43 \times 10^{-4}$	90	BRAUNSCH...	76 DASP	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070R42
NODE=M070R42

 $\Gamma(\phi \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{109}/Γ

The two different fit values of ABLIKIM 15K below have the same statistical significance of 6.4σ and cannot be distinguished at this moment.

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.94 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.16$		0.8k	¹ ABLIKIM	15K BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \gamma \gamma$
$0.124 \pm 0.033 \pm 0.030$		35 ± 9	² ABLIKIM	15K BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \gamma \gamma$

NODE=M070R33
NODE=M070R33

NODE=M070R33

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6.4	90	³ ABLIKIM	05B BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \gamma \gamma$
<6.8	90	COFFMAN	88 MRK3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ Corresponding to one of the two fit solutions with $\delta = (-95.9 \pm 1.5)^\circ$ for the phase angle between the resonant $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^0$ and non-phi $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$ contributions.

NODE=M070R33;LINKAGE=A

² Corresponding to one of the two fit solutions with $\delta = (-152.1 \pm 7.7)^\circ$ for the phase angle between the resonant $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^0$ and non-phi $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$ contributions.

NODE=M070R33;LINKAGE=C

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 15K.

NODE=M070R33;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\phi \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{110}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.94 ± 0.15 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7.		
$1.09 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.13$		ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
$0.78 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.12$		FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
2.1 ± 0.9	23	FELDMAN	77 MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070R34
NODE=M070R34

 $\Gamma(\phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{112}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
16.0 ± 1.0 ± 3.0	FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M070R35
NODE=M070R35

 $\Gamma(\phi \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{113}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.74 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
$0.71 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.05$	99 ± 14	¹ ZHU	23 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(\text{nS}) \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$
$0.898 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.089$		ABLIKIM	05B BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadr}$
$0.64 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.11$	346	JOUSSET	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
$0.661 \pm 0.045 \pm 0.078$		COFFMAN	88 MRK3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$

NODE=M070R37
NODE=M070R37

¹ From a fit to the combined $\phi \eta$ invariant mass spectrum with a Gaussian function for the J/ψ signals and a second-order polynomial function for the backgrounds.


NODE=M070R37;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{114}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.6 ± 0.5			Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.		
5.10 ± 0.03 ± 0.32		31k	ABLIKIM	16M BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
5.46 ± 0.31 ± 0.56			ABLIKIM	05B BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
4.1 ± 0.3 ± 0.8		167	JOUSSET	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3.08 ± 0.34 ± 0.36			COFFMAN	88 MRK3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 13		90	VANNUCCI	77 MRK1	e^+e^-

NODE=M070R38
 NODE=M070R38

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 4.6 ± 0.5 (Error scaled by 2.2)

 $\Gamma(\phi\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{115}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.32 ± 0.06 ± 0.16	2.2k	¹ ABLIKIM	19AN BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M070P85
 NODE=M070P85

¹ Including contributions from intermediate resonances. Evidence for an intermediate resonance at $M \approx 2$ GeV and $\Gamma \approx 150$ MeV decaying to $\phi\eta'$ with $J^P = 1^+$ or $J^P = 1^-$, and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta X) \times B(X \rightarrow \phi\eta') \approx 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M070P85;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\phi f_0(980))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{116}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.2 ± 0.9		Error includes scale factor of 1.9.		
4.6 ± 0.4 ± 0.8		¹ FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
2.6 ± 0.6	50	¹ GIDAL	81 MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-K^+K^-$

NODE=M070R41
 NODE=M070R41

¹ Assuming $B(f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi\pi) = 0.78$.

NODE=M070R41;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\phi\pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{119}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.50 ± 0.80 ± 0.61	355	ABLIKIM	15P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-3\pi$

NODE=M070S97
 NODE=M070S97

 $\Gamma(\phi\pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi\pi^0\rho^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{120}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.67 ± 0.50 ± 0.24	70	ABLIKIM	15P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-3\pi$

NODE=M070S98
 NODE=M070S98

 $\Gamma(\phi f_0(980)\eta \rightarrow \eta\phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{121}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.23 ± 0.75 ± 0.73	52	ABLIKIM	08F BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\phi f_0(980)$

NODE=M070R08
 NODE=M070R08

$\Gamma(\phi a_0(980)^0 \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{122}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$3.24 \pm 0.20^{+0.52}_{-0.22}$	¹ ABLIKIM	24CB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$
$2.74 \pm 0.13^{+0.15}_{-0.16}$	² ABLIKIM	24CB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$
4.37 ± 1.35	^{3,4} ABLIKIM	18D BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$
$5.0 \pm 2.7 \pm 2.5$	⁵ ABLIKIM	11D BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$

¹ $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi a_0(980)$ electromagnetic decay.

² $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi f_0(980)$, $\phi a_0(980)$ mixing.

³ Assuming constructive interference between $a_0(980) - f_0(980)$ mixing and electromagnetic decay. Destructive interference gives a value of $(4.93 \pm 1.77) \times 10^{-6}$ for this branching fraction.

⁴ Superseded by ABLIKIM 24CB.

⁵ Assuming $a_0(980) - f_0(980)$ mixing and isospin breaking via γ^* and $K^* K$ loops.

NODE=M070S75
NODE=M070S75

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070S75;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M070S75;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M070S75;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070S75;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M070S75;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\phi(1680)^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{123}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$6.66 \pm 0.26^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$	ABLIKIM	24CB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$

NODE=M070Q80
NODE=M070Q80

 $\Gamma(X(2000)^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{124}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$1.70 \pm 0.19^{+0.48}_{-0.13}$	ABLIKIM	24CB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$

NODE=M070Q81
NODE=M070Q81

 $\Gamma(h_1(1900)^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{125}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$8.44 \pm 0.35^{+1.4}_{-1.2}$	ABLIKIM	24CB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$

NODE=M070Q82
NODE=M070Q82

 $\Gamma(\phi f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{126}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.45	90	FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
< 0.37	90	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$

NODE=M070R39
NODE=M070R39

 $\Gamma(\phi f_1(1285))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{127}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.6 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE

$3.4 \pm 1.8 \pm 1.5$	1.1k	¹ ABLIKIM	15H	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$3.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4$		JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
$2.1 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.4$	25	² JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.6 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1$	16	BECKER	87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K \bar{K} \pi$

¹ ABLIKIM 15H reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \phi f_1(1285))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(f_1(1285) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-)] = (1.20 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_1(1285) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) = (35 \pm 15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² We attribute to the $f_1(1285)$ the signal observed in the $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta$ invariant mass distribution at 1297 MeV.

NODE=M070S6;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070S6;LINKAGE=Q

 $\Gamma(\phi f_1(1285) \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{128}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$9.36 \pm 2.31 \pm 1.54$	78	ABLIKIM	15P	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$

NODE=M070S99
NODE=M070S99

 $\Gamma(\phi f_1(1285) \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi 3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{129}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$2.08 \pm 1.63 \pm 1.47$	9	ABLIKIM	15P	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$

NODE=M070S00
NODE=M070S00

$\Gamma(\phi\eta(1405) \rightarrow \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{130}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.01 \pm 0.58 \pm 0.82$		172	¹ ABLIKIM	15H BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 17 90 ² FALVARD 88 DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ With 3.6 σ significance.

² Includes unknown branching fraction $\eta(1405) \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi$.

NODE=M070S23
NODE=M070S23

NODE=M070S23;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M070S23;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\phi f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{131}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE			Error includes scale factor of 2.7.		
$12.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 2.0$			^{1,2} FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
4.8 ± 1.8		46	¹ GIDAL	81 MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-K^+K^-$

¹ Re-evaluated using $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K}) = 0.713$.

² Including interference with $f_0(1710)$.

NODE=M070R40
NODE=M070R40

NODE=M070R40;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M070R40;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(\phi X(1835) \rightarrow \phi p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{132}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	16K BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p}K_S^0K_L^0, p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

¹ Upper limit applies to any $p\bar{p}$ mass enhancement near threshold.

NODE=M070P00
NODE=M070P00
OCCUR=2

NODE=M070P00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\phi X(1835) \rightarrow \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{133}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	15H BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M070B10
NODE=M070B10

 $\Gamma(\phi X(1870) \rightarrow \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{134}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 6.13 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	15H BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M070B11
NODE=M070B11

 $\Gamma(\phi K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{135}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
17.7 ± 1.6 OUR AVERAGE			Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.		
$16.6 \pm 1.9 \pm 1.2$		163 ± 19	LEES	12F BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)\gamma$
$21.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 2.2$			ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-$
$48^{+20}_{-16} \pm 6$		$9.0^{+3.7}_{-3.0}$	^{1,2} HUANG	03 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow (\phi K^+K^-)K^+$
$14.6 \pm 0.8 \pm 2.1$			³ FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
18 ± 8		14	FELDMAN	77 MRK1	e^+e^-

¹ We have multiplied K^+K^- measurement by 2 to obtain $K\bar{K}$.


² Using $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+) = (1.01 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$.

³ Addition of ϕK^+K^- and $\phi K^0\bar{K}^0$ branching ratios.

NODE=M070R36
NODE=M070R36

NODE=M070R36;LINKAGE=AA
NODE=M070R36;LINKAGE=CC
NODE=M070R36;LINKAGE=A

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 17.7 ± 1.6 (Error scaled by 1.3)



$\Gamma(\phi f_0(1710) \rightarrow \phi K \bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{136}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

3.6±0.2±0.6

1,2 FALVARD

88

DM2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ ¹ Including interference with $f'_2(1525)$.² Includes unknown branching fraction $f_0(1710) \rightarrow K \bar{K}$.NODE=M070S24
NODE=M070S24NODE=M070S24;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M070S24;LINKAGE=E $\Gamma(\phi K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{139}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

7.2±0.8 OUR AVERAGE

7.4±0.6±1.4 227 ± 19

ABLIKIM

08E

BES2

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

7.4±0.9±1.1

FALVARD

88

DM2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

7 ± 0.6±1.0 163 ± 15

BECKER

87

MRK3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ NODE=M070S3
NODE=M070S3 $\Gamma(\phi K^*(892) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{140}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

21.8±2.3 OUR AVERAGE

20.8±2.7±3.9 195 ± 25

ABLIKIM

08E

BES2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

29.6±3.7±4.7 238 ± 30

ABLIKIM

08E

BES2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^\pm K^\mp \pi^0$

20.7±2.4±3.0

FALVARD

88

DM2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

20 ± 3 ± 3 155 ± 20

BECKER

87

MRK3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ NODE=M070S4
NODE=M070S4

OCCUR=2

 $\Gamma(b_1(1235)^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{141}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

30±5 OUR AVERAGE

31±6 4600

AUGUSTIN

89

DM2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$

29±7 87

BURMESTER

77D

PLUT

 $e^+ e^-$ NODE=M070R49
NODE=M070R49 $\Gamma(b_1(1235)^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{142}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

23±3±5

229

AUGUSTIN

89

DM2

 $e^+ e^-$ NODE=M070S28
NODE=M070S28 $\Gamma(\Delta(1232)^+ \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{144}/Γ

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<0.1 × 10⁻³

90

HENRARD

87

DM2

 $e^+ e^-$ NODE=M070S14
NODE=M070S14 $\Gamma(\Delta(1232)^{++} \bar{p} \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{145}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

1.58±0.23±0.40

332

EATON

84

MRK2

 $e^+ e^-$ NODE=M070R70
NODE=M070R70 $\Gamma(\Delta(1232)^{++} \bar{\Delta}(1232)^{--})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{146}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

1.10±0.09±0.28

233

EATON

84

MRK2

 $e^+ e^-$ NODE=M070R66
NODE=M070R66 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^0 p K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{147}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

0.51±0.26±0.18

89

EATON

84

MRK2

 $e^+ e^-$ NODE=M070R74
NODE=M070R74 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^0 \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{148}/Γ

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<0.82 × 10⁻⁵

90

ABLIKIM

13F

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow p \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.2 × 10⁻³

90

HENRARD

87

DM2

 $e^+ e^-$ NODE=M070S13
NODE=M070S13 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{149}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

0.30±0.07 OUR AVERAGE

0.30±0.03±0.08 74 ± 8

HENRARD

87

DM2

 $e^+ e^-$

0.29±0.11±0.10 26

EATON

84

MRK2

 $e^+ e^-$ NODE=M070R68
NODE=M070R68 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{150}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

0.33±0.08 OUR AVERAGE

0.34±0.04±0.08 77

HENRARD

87

DM2

 $e^+ e^-$

0.31±0.11±0.11 28

EATON

84

MRK2

 $e^+ e^-$ NODE=M070Q47
NODE=M070Q47

$$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{151}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.08 $\pm$ 0.06 OUR AVERAGE				
1.096 $\pm$ 0.012 $\pm$ 0.071	43k	ABLIKIM	16L BES3	$e^+ e^-$
1.23 $\pm$ 0.07 $\pm$ 0.30	0.8k	ABLIKIM	12P BES2	$e^+ e^-$
1.00 $\pm$ 0.04 $\pm$ 0.21	0.6k	HENRARD	87 DM2	$e^+ e^-$
0.86 $\pm$ 0.18 $\pm$ 0.22	56	EATON	84 MRK2	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070R67
NODE=M070R67

$$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{152}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.25 $\pm$ 0.07 OUR AVERAGE				
1.258 $\pm$ 0.014 $\pm$ 0.078	53k	ABLIKIM	16L BES3	$e^+ e^-$
1.50 $\pm$ 0.08 $\pm$ 0.38	1k	ABLIKIM	12P BES2	$e^+ e^-$
1.19 $\pm$ 0.04 $\pm$ 0.25	0.7k	HENRARD	87 DM2	$e^+ e^-$
1.03 $\pm$ 0.24 $\pm$ 0.25	68	EATON	84 MRK2	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070Q48
NODE=M070Q48

$$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^0 \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{153}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.071 $\pm$ 0.009 $\pm$ 0.082	103k	ABLIKIM	17E BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M070P17
NODE=M070P17

OCCUR=2

$$\Gamma(\Lambda(1520) \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{154}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 4.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	12B BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$

NODE=M070S77
NODE=M070S77

$$\Gamma(\bar{\Lambda}(1520) \Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{155}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.80 \times 10^{-3}$	90	LU	19 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \bar{p} \Lambda K^+ K^+$

NODE=M070P60
NODE=M070P60

$$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \Xi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{156}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.17 $\pm$ 0.04 OUR AVERAGE				
1.165 $\pm$ 0.004 $\pm$ 0.043	135k	ABLIKIM	17E BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow$ hadrons
1.20 $\pm$ 0.12 $\pm$ 0.21	206	ABLIKIM	08O BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070S64
NODE=M070S64

$$\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^- \bar{\Xi}^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{157}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.318 $\pm$ 0.008 OUR AVERAGE				
0.317 $\pm$ 0.002 $\pm$ 0.008	70k	ABLIKIM	20 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$
0.59 $\pm$ 0.09 $\pm$ 0.12	75	HENRARD	87 DM2	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070S9
NODE=M070S9

$$\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^0 \Xi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{158}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.32 $\pm$ 0.12 $\pm$ 0.07	24 $\pm$ 9	HENRARD	87 DM2	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070S10
NODE=M070S10

$$\Gamma(\Theta(1540) \bar{\Theta}(1540) \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \bar{n} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{159}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BAI	04G BES2	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070S47
NODE=M070S47

$$\Gamma(\Theta(1540) K^- \bar{n} \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \bar{n})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{160}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BAI	04G BES2	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070S48
NODE=M070S48

$$\Gamma(\Theta(1540) K_S^0 \bar{p} \rightarrow K_S^0 \bar{p} K^+ n)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{161}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BAI	04G BES2	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070S49
NODE=M070S49

$$\Gamma(\bar{\Theta}(1540) K^+ n \rightarrow K_S^0 \bar{p} K^+ n)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{162}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 5.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BAI	04G BES2	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070S50
NODE=M070S50

$$\Gamma(\bar{\Theta}(1540) K_S^0 p \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \bar{n})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{163}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BAI	04G BES2	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070S51
NODE=M070S51

STABLE HADRONS

NODE=M070307

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{164}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.2 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.		
5.44 ± 0.07 ± 0.33	23k	ANASHIN	22	KEDR $J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
3.5 ± 0.8 ± 0.1	14k	¹ LEES	21	BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$
4.73 ± 0.44	228k	² ABLIKIM	19Q	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
3.25 ± 0.49	46055	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
3.17 ± 0.42	147	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3.64 ± 0.52	1500	BURMESTER	77D	PLUT e^+e^-
4 ± 1	675	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1 e^+e^-

NODE=M070R9
NODE=M070R9


¹ LEES 21 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)] = (14.8 \pm 2.6 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best values $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 2.33 \pm 0.04$ keV, $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^0\pi^0) = (18.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M070R9;LINKAGE=B

² From an energy scan of $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$, assuming PDG 16 values for $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$, $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$, and $\Gamma(\text{total})$, and for a phase difference between strong and electromagnetic amplitudes of $(84.9 \pm 3.6)^\circ$. An alternative solution is $(4.85 \pm 0.45)\%$ with a phase of $(-84.7 \pm 3.1)^\circ$.

NODE=M070R9;LINKAGE=A

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
4.2 ± 0.4 (Error scaled by 2.1)

 $\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{165}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.029 ± 0.006 OUR AVERAGE				
0.028 ± 0.009	11	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.029 ± 0.007	181	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1 e^+e^-

NODE=M070R11
NODE=M070R11 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{170}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
20.0 ± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.0. See the ideogram below.		
18.78 ± 0.13 ± 0.51	19.8k	¹ ANASHIN	23	KEDR $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
21.37 ± 0.04 ^{+0.64} _{-0.62}	1.8M	² ABLIKIM	12H	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
23.0 ± 2.0 ± 0.4	256	³ AUBERT	07AU	BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
21.84 ± 0.05 ± 2.01	220k	^{4,5} BAI	04H	BES e^+e^-
20.91 ± 0.21 ± 1.16		^{5,6} BAI	04H	BES e^+e^-
15 ± 2	168	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2 e^+e^-

NODE=M070R7
NODE=M070R7

OCCUR=2

- ¹ By a simultaneous fit of the $\pi\pi$ invariant mass distribution over the decay modes $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^0\pi^0$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^+\pi^-$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^-\pi^+$. In the fit only the intermediate states $\rho(770)\pi$ and $\rho(1450)\pi$ are considered.
- ² The quoted systematic error includes a contribution of 1.23% (added in quadrature) from the uncertainty on the number of J/ψ events.
- ³ AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] = (18.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.808 \pm 0.014$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- ⁴ From $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ events directly.
- ⁵ Mostly $\rho\pi$, see also $\rho\pi$ subsection.
- ⁶ Obtained comparing the rates for $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$, using J/ψ events produced via $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ and with $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 5.88 \pm 0.10\%$.

NODE=M070R7;LINKAGE=B


NODE=M070R7;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M070R7;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=BA

NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=BU

NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=BI



$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{172}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.52±0.27 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4.		
1.74±0.08±0.24	2616	ANASHIN	22 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1.2 ±0.3	309	VANNUCCI	77 MRK1	e^+e^-

NODE=M070R18
NODE=M070R18

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{173}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.47±0.14 OUR AVERAGE				
1.47±0.13±0.13	140	¹ METREVELI	12	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1.58±0.20±0.15	84	BALTRUSAIT..85D	MRK3	e^+e^-
1.0 ±0.5	5	BRANDELIK	78B DASP	e^+e^-
1.6 ±1.6	1	VANNUCCI	77 MRK1	e^+e^-

NODE=M070R6
NODE=M070R6

- ¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M070R6;LINKAGE=ME

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{174}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.20±0.25 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
2.88±0.14±0.24	2654	ANASHIN	22 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
3.53±0.12±0.29	1107	¹ ABLIKIM	05H BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-, J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
4.0 ±1.0	76	JEAN-MARIE	76 MRK1	e^+e^-

NODE=M070R8
NODE=M070R8

- ¹ Computed using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 0.0588 \pm 0.0010$.

NODE=M070R8;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{175}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

40±20	32	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

NODE=M070R10
NODE=M070R10

 $\Gamma(4(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{177}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

90±30	13	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

NODE=M070R12
NODE=M070R12

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{178}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.29±0.28 OUR AVERAGE

3.1 ±1.5 ±0.1	14k	¹ LEES	21	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$
2.26±0.08±0.27	4.8k	ABLIKIM	05C	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta$

¹ LEES 21 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0)] = (5.6 \pm 2.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best values $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 5.53 \pm 0.10$ keV, $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.56 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M070S42
NODE=M070S42

NODE=M070S42;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{179}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

7.24±0.96±1.11	616	ABLIKIM	05C	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta$

NODE=M070S43
NODE=M070S43

 $\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{183}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

3.06 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE

3.072±0.023±0.050	1.8 k	¹ ABLIKIM	24AB	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$
2.86 ±0.09 ±0.19	1k	² METREVELI	12		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.39 ±0.24 ±0.22	107	³ BALTRUSAIT..85D	MRK3	e^+e^-	
2.2 ±0.9	6	³ BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.916 \pm 0.033)\%$.

² Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

³ Interference with non-resonant K^+K^- production not taken into account.

NODE=M070R13;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M070R13;LINKAGE=ME
NODE=M070R13;LINKAGE=BA

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K_L^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{184}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.95±0.11 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.4. See the ideogram below.

1.93±0.01±0.05	110k	ABLIKIM	17AH	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$
2.62±0.15±0.14	0.3k	¹ METREVELI	12		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K_S^0 K_L^0$
1.82±0.04±0.13	2.1k	² BAI	04A	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •


1.18±0.12±0.18		JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1.01±0.16±0.09	74	BALTRUSAIT..85D	MRK3	e^+e^-	

¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

² Using $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = 0.6868 \pm 0.0027$.

NODE=M070R75
NODE=M070R75

NODE=M070R75;LINKAGE=ME
NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=HZ



$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{185}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<1.4 \times 10^{-8}$	95	¹ ABLIKIM	17AH BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	

NODE=M070R14
NODE=M070R14

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1 \times 10^{-6}$	95	¹ BAI	04D BES	$e^+ e^-$
$<5.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ BALTRUSAIT..85C	MRK3	$e^+ e^-$

¹ Forbidden by CP.

NODE=M070R14;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(K \bar{K} \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{186}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
61 ±10 OUR AVERAGE					
55.2±12.0	25	FRANKLIN	83 MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$	
78.0±21.0	126	VANNUCCI	77 MRK1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	

NODE=M070R15
NODE=M070R15

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{187}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2.88±0.01±0.12	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$	

NODE=M070P80
NODE=M070P80

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$					$\Gamma_{187}/\Gamma_{170}$
VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
12.0±0.3±0.9	23k	LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow h^+ h^- \pi^0$	

NODE=M070P34
NODE=M070P34

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$					$\Gamma_{188}/\Gamma_{170}$
VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
26.5±0.5±2.1	24k	LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow h^0 h^+ h^-$	

NODE=M070P35
NODE=M070P35

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{192}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
7.04±0.26±0.92	2671	ANASHIN	22 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	
7.2 ±2.3	205	VANNUCCI	77 MRK1	$e^+ e^-$	

NODE=M070R16
NODE=M070R16

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{204}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
31±13	30	VANNUCCI	77 MRK1	$e^+ e^-$	

NODE=M070R17
NODE=M070R17

$\Gamma(2(K^+ K^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{206}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.4^{+0.5}_{-0.4} \pm 0.2$	$11.0^{+4.3}_{-3.5}$	¹ HUANG 03	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-) K^+$
0.7 ± 0.3		VANNUCCI 77	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

¹ Using $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+) = (1.01 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$.

NODE=M070R19
NODE=M070R19

NODE=M070R19;LINKAGE=CC

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{214}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.121 ± 0.029 OUR AVERAGE

[(2.120 ± 0.029) × 10⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

$2.112 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.031$	314k	ABLIKIM	12C	BES3 $e^+ e^-$
$2.17 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.04$	317	¹ WU	06	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+$
$2.26 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.14$	63316	BAI	04E	BES2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$
1.97 ± 0.22	99	BALDINI	98	FENI $e^+ e^-$
$1.91 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.30$		PALLIN	87	DM2 $e^+ e^-$
$2.16 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.15$	1420	EATON	84	MRK2 $e^+ e^-$
2.5 ± 0.4	133	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP $e^+ e^-$
2.0 ± 0.5		BESCH	78	BONA $e^+ e^-$
2.2 ± 0.2	331	² PERUZZI	78	MRK1 $e^+ e^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.0 ± 0.3	48	ANTONELLI	93	SPEC $e^+ e^-$

¹ WU 06 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) K^+)] = (2.21 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) K^+) = (1.019 \pm 0.019) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Assuming angular distribution $(1 + \cos^2 \theta)$.

NODE=M070R50
NODE=M070R50
NEW

NODE=M070R50;LINKAGE=WU

NODE=M070R50;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{215}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.19 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

$1.33 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.11$	11k	ABLIKIM	09B	BES2 $e^+ e^-$
$1.13 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.09$	685	EATON	84	MRK2 $e^+ e^-$
1.4 ± 0.4		BRANDELIK	79C	DASP $e^+ e^-$
1.00 ± 0.15	109	PERUZZI	78	MRK1 $e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070R52
NODE=M070R52

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{216}/Γ


VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

6.0 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.

$6.46 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.43$	1435	EATON	84	MRK2 $e^+ e^-$
3.8 ± 1.6	48	BESCH	81	BONA $e^+ e^-$
5.5 ± 0.6	533	PERUZZI	78	MRK1 $e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070R54
NODE=M070R54

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
6.0 ± 0.5 (Error scaled by 1.3)



$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Including $p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and excluding ω, η, η' Γ_{217}/Γ

NODE=M070R55

NODE=M070R55

NODE=M070R55

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.3 $\pm$ 0.9 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.9.		
3.36 $\pm$ 0.65 $\pm$ 0.28	364	EATON	84 MRK2	e^+e^-
1.6 $\pm$ 0.6	39	PERUZZI	78 MRK1	e^+e^-

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{218}/Γ

NODE=M070R56

NODE=M070R56

NEW

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.513 $\pm$ 0.023 OUR AVERAGE		[(2.00 $\pm$ 0.12) $\times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
1.496 $\pm$ 0.001 $\pm$ 0.023	3.2M	¹ ABLIKIM	24BV BES3	e^+e^-
1.91 $\pm$ 0.02 $\pm$ 0.17	13k	¹ ABLIKIM	09 BES2	e^+e^-
2.03 $\pm$ 0.13 $\pm$ 0.15	826	EATON	84 MRK2	e^+e^-
2.5 $\pm$ 1.2		BRANDELIK	79C DASP	e^+e^-
2.3 $\pm$ 0.4	197	PERUZZI	78 MRK1	e^+e^-

¹ Combining the $p\bar{p}\eta \rightarrow p\bar{p}\gamma\gamma$ and $p\bar{p}\eta \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ channels.

NODE=M070R56;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{219}/Γ

NODE=M070R57

NODE=M070R57


VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.31 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	EATON	84 MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}\gamma$

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{220}/Γ

NODE=M070R58

NODE=M070R58

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.98 $\pm$ 0.10 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.		
0.90 $\pm$ 0.02 $\pm$ 0.09	2670	ABLIKIM	13P BES3	e^+e^-
0.98 $\pm$ 0.03 $\pm$ 0.14	2449	ABLIKIM	08 BES2	e^+e^-
1.10 $\pm$ 0.17 $\pm$ 0.18	486	EATON	84 MRK2	e^+e^-
1.6 $\pm$ 0.3	77	PERUZZI	78 MRK1	e^+e^-

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
0.98 $\pm$ 0.10 (Error scaled by 1.3) $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{221}/Γ

NODE=M070R59

NODE=M070R59

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.129 $\pm$ 0.014 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.0.		
0.126 $\pm$ 0.002 $\pm$ 0.007	16k	¹ ABLIKIM	19N BES3	e^+e^-
0.200 $\pm$ 0.023 $\pm$ 0.028	265 $\pm$ 31	² ABLIKIM	09 BES2	e^+e^-
0.68 $\pm$ 0.23 $\pm$ 0.17	19	EATON	84 MRK2	e^+e^-
1.8 $\pm$ 0.6	19	PERUZZI	78 MRK1	e^+e^-

¹ From the combination of $p\bar{p}\eta' \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\eta$ and $p\bar{p}\eta' \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ channels.² From the combination of $p\bar{p}\eta' \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\eta$ and $p\bar{p}\eta' \rightarrow p\bar{p}\gamma\rho^0$ channels.

NODE=M070R59;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070R59;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}a_0(980) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{222}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $6.8 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.3$

ABLIKIM

14N

BES3

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$ NODE=M070S94
NODE=M070S94 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{223}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 0.519 ± 0.033 OUR AVERAGE $0.523 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.033$

14k

ABLIKIM

16K

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p}K_S^0 K_L^0,$ $p\bar{p}K^+K^-$ $0.45 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.07$

FALVARD

88

DM2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ NODE=M070S22
NODE=M070S22 $\Gamma(p\bar{n}\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{224}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 2.12 ± 0.09 OUR AVERAGE $2.36 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.21$

59k

ABLIKIM

06K

BES2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow p\pi^-\bar{n}$ $2.47 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.24$

55k

ABLIKIM

06K

BES2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{p}\pi^+n$ $2.02 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.16$

1288

EATON

84

MRK2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\pi^-$ $1.93 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.16$

1191

EATON

84

MRK2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{p}\pi^+$ 1.7 ± 0.7

32

BESCH

81

BONA

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\pi^-$ 1.6 ± 1.2

5

BESCH

81

BONA

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{p}\pi^+$ 2.16 ± 0.29

194

PERUZZI

78

MRK1

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\pi^-$ 2.04 ± 0.27

204

PERUZZI

78

MRK1

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{p}\pi^+$ NODE=M070R53
NODE=M070R53

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

 $\Gamma(n\bar{n})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{225}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 2.09 ± 0.16 OUR AVERAGE $2.07 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.17$

36k

ABLIKIM

12C

BES3

 e^+e^- 2.31 ± 0.49

79

BALDINI

98

FENI

 e^+e^- 1.8 ± 0.9

BESCH

78

BONA

 e^+e^-

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 1.90 ± 0.55

40

ANTONELLI

93

SPEC

 e^+e^- NODE=M070R64
NODE=M070R64 $\Gamma(n\bar{n}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{226}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 3.8 ± 3.6

5

BESCH

81

BONA

 e^+e^- NODE=M070R65
NODE=M070R65 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{230}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 1.88 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE $1.64 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.09$

441k

ABLIKIM

23S

BES3

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ $1.943 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.033$

8887

ABLIKIM

17L

BES3

 e^+e^- $2.03 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.15$

8887

ABLIKIM

06

BES2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ $1.96^{+0.47}_{-0.44} \pm 0.04$

46

¹WU

06

BELL

 $B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^+$ $1.08 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.24$

631

BAI

98G

BES

 e^+e^- $1.38 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.20$

1847

PALLIN

87

DM2

 e^+e^- $1.58 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.19$

365

EATON

84

MRK2

 e^+e^- 2.6 ± 1.6

5

BESCH

81

BONA

 e^+e^- 1.1 ± 0.2

196

PERUZZI

78


MRK1

 e^+e^- NODE=M070R60
NODE=M070R60

¹WU 06 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+)] = (2.00^{+0.34}_{-0.29} \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+) = (1.019 \pm 0.019) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070R60;LINKAGE=WU

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
1.88±0.08 (Error scaled by 2.6)



$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{231}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.78±0.27±0.30		323	¹ ABLIKIM	13F BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$

NODE=M070S11
NODE=M070S11

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 6.4	90	² ABLIKIM	07H BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
23 ±7 ±8	11	BAI	98G BES	e^+e^-
22 ±5 ±5	19	HENRARD	87 DM2	e^+e^-

¹ Using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) = 63.9\%$ and $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 98.8\%$.

² Using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) = 63.9\%$.

NODE=M070S11;LINKAGE=AL
NODE=M070S11;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{232}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.30±0.13±0.99	2.4k	ABLIKIM	12P BES2	J/ψ

NODE=M070S78
NODE=M070S78

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{233}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
16.2±1.7 OUR AVERAGE				
15.7±0.80±1.54	454	¹ ABLIKIM	13F BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
26.2±6.0 ±4.4	44	² ABLIKIM	07H BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

¹ Using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) = 63.9\%$ and $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 39.31\%$.

² Using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) = 63.9\%$ and $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 39.4\%$.

NODE=M070R07
NODE=M070R07

NODE=M070R07;LINKAGE=AL
NODE=M070R07;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Sigma}^-\pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{234}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.26 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
1.244±0.002±0.045	2.6M	ABLIKIM	23BU BES3	e^+e^-
1.52 ±0.08 ±0.16	589	¹ ABLIKIM	07H BES2	e^+e^-
1.11 ±0.06 ±0.20	342 ± 18	HENRARD	87 DM2	e^+e^-
1.38 ±0.21 ±0.35	118	EATON	84 MRK2	e^+e^-

¹ Using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) = 63.9\%$ and $B(\Sigma^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 p) = 51.6\%$.

NODE=M070R71
NODE=M070R71

OCCUR=3
OCCUR=2
OCCUR=2

NODE=M070R71;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Sigma}^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{235}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.21 ±0.07 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.8.
1.221±0.002±0.038	2.7M	ABLIKIM	23BU BES3	e^+e^-
0.90 ±0.06 ±0.16	225	HENRARD	87 DM2	e^+e^-
1.53 ±0.17 ±0.38	135	EATON	84 MRK2	e^+e^-

NODE=M070Q46
NODE=M070Q46

$\Gamma(pK^-\bar{\Lambda}+c.c.)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{236}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

0.86±0.11 OUR AVERAGE0.84^{+0.17}_{-0.15}±0.02 45¹ LU

19

BELL

 $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{p}\Lambda K^+ K^+$

0.89±0.07±0.14 307

EATON

84

MRK2

 e^+e^-

¹ LU 19 reports $(8.32^{+1.63}_{-1.45} \pm 0.49) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow pK^-\bar{\Lambda}+c.c.)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+)]$ assuming $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+) = (1.026 \pm 0.031) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+) = (1.019 \pm 0.019) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070R72
NODE=M070R72

NODE=M070R72;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(pK^-\bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{237}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

0.29±0.06±0.05

90

EATON

84

MRK2

 e^+e^- NODE=M070R73
NODE=M070R73 $\Gamma(pK_S^0\bar{\Sigma}^-+c.c.)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{238}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

2.725±0.009±0.050

120k

¹ ABLIKIM

24H

BES3

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$ NODE=M070Q73
NODE=M070Q73

¹ The branching fractions for the charge-conjugate channels are measured separately as $(1.361 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.025) \times 10^{-4}$ for $\bar{p}K_S^0\Sigma^+$ and $(1.352 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.025) \times 10^{-4}$ for $pK_S^0\bar{\Sigma}^-$.

NODE=M070Q73;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\bar{\Lambda}nK_S^0+c.c.)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{239}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

6.46±0.20±1.07

1058

¹ ABLIKIM

08C

BES2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$ NODE=M070S56
NODE=M070S56

¹ Using $B(\bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \bar{p}\pi^+) = 63.9\%$ and $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = 69.2\%$.

NODE=M070S56;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Sigma}+c.c.)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{240}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5}) CL% EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

2.83±0.23 OUR AVERAGE

2.74±0.24±0.22

234 ± 21

¹ ABLIKIM

12B

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Sigma}^0$

2.92±0.22±0.24

308 ± 24

² ABLIKIM

12B

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Sigma^0$

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<18

² HENRARD

87

DM2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Sigma^0$

<15

90

PERUZZI

78

MRK1

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda X$

¹ ABLIKIM 12B quotes $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Sigma}^0)$ which we multiply by 2.

² ABLIKIM 12B and HENRARD 87 quote results for $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Sigma^0)$ which we multiply by 2.

NODE=M070R61;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M070R61;LINKAGE=AC $\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{241}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

1.07 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE

1.061±0.004±0.036

87k

ABLIKIM

21AT

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow p\pi^0\bar{p}\pi^0$

1.50 ±0.10 ±0.22

399

ABLIKIM

08O

BES2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$ NODE=M070S09
NODE=M070S09 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{242}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

1.172±0.032 OUR AVERAGE

Error includes scale factor of 1.4.

1.164±0.004±0.023

111k

ABLIKIM

17L

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$

1.33 ±0.04 ±0.11

1.7k

ABLIKIM

06

BES2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$

1.06 ±0.04 ±0.23

884

PALLIN

87

DM2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$

1.58 ±0.16 ±0.25

90

EATON

84

MRK2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$

1.3 ±0.4

52

PERUZZI

78

MRK1

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.4 ±2.6

3

BESCH

81

BONA

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$ NODE=M070R63
NODE=M070R63 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{243}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

6.34±0.21±0.37

1821

ABLIKIM

22AY

BES3


 $J/\psi \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-\eta$ NODE=M070Q45
NODE=M070Q45

$\Gamma(\Xi^- \Xi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{244}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.97 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.		
1.040 ± 0.006 ± 0.074	43k	ABLIKIM	16L BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \Xi^- \Xi^+$
0.90 ± 0.03 ± 0.18	961	ABLIKIM	12P BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \Xi^- \Xi^+$
0.70 ± 0.06 ± 0.12	132	HENRARD	87 DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \Xi^+$
1.14 ± 0.08 ± 0.20	194	EATON	84 MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \Xi^+$
1.4 ± 0.5	51	PERUZZI	78 MRK1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \Xi^+$

NODE=M070R62
 NODE=M070R62

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 0.97±0.08 (Error scaled by 1.4)



RADIATIVE DECAYS

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{245}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.82±0.15 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.6. [(1.41 ± 0.14) × 10 ⁻² OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]		
1.7 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.		
2.00 ± 0.31 ± 0.02	¹ MITCHELL	09 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
1.27 ± 0.36	GAISER	86 CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
3.40 ± 0.33	² ANASHIN	14 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$

¹ MITCHELL 09 reports $(1.98 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (35.04 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.77) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.68 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Statistical uncertainty only.

NODE=M070310

NODE=M070R85
 NODE=M070R85

NEW

NODE=M070R85;LINKAGE=MI

NODE=M070R85;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{248}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11.6±2.2 OUR AVERAGE					
11.3 ± 1.8 ± 2.0	113 ± 18		ABLIKIM	13I BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
12 ± 3 ± 2	24.2 ^{+7.2} _{-6.0}		ADAMS	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<55	90		PARTRIDGE	80 CBAL	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070R81
 NODE=M070R81

 $\Gamma(4\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{249}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<9 × 10⁻⁶	90	ADAMS	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

NODE=M070S06
 NODE=M070S06

 $\Gamma(5\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{250}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<15 × 10⁻⁶	90	ADAMS	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

NODE=M070S07
 NODE=M070S07

$\Gamma(\gamma\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.39±0.08 OUR AVERAGE				
3.34±0.02±0.09	176k	ABLIKIM	23BD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
3.59±0.20±0.04	1.6k	¹ ABLIKIM	180 BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
3.63±0.36±0.13		PEDLAR	09 CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
3.13 ^{+0.65} _{-0.47}	586	ABLIKIM	06E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.6 ±1.1 ±0.7		BLOOM	83 CBAL	e^+e^-
7.3 ±4.7	10	BRANDELIK	79C DASP	e^+e^-

¹ ABLIKIM 180 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = (3.57 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma)] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.49 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best values $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (98.823 \pm 0.034) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.68 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M070R82
NODE=M070R82

NODE=M070R82;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.15±0.05	¹ ABLIKIM	15AE BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ The uncertainty is systematic as statistical is negligible.

NODE=M070B00
NODE=M070B00

NODE=M070B00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.8 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.			
4.32±0.14±0.73	¹ BISELLO	89B DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$
2.08±0.13±0.35	² BISELLO	89B DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$
3.05±0.08±0.45	² BALTRUSAIT..86B	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$
4.85±0.45±1.20	³ BURKE	82 MRK2	e^+e^-


- ¹ 4π mass less than 3.0 GeV.
² 4π mass less than 2.0 GeV.
³ 4π mass less than 2.5 GeV.

NODE=M070R95
NODE=M070R95

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070R95;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M070R95;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M070R95;LINKAGE=M

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
2.8±0.5 (Error scaled by 1.9)

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270) f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.5±0.7±1.6	646 ± 45	ABLIKIM	04M BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$

NODE=M070S45
NODE=M070S45

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270) f_2(1270) (\text{non resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{255}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$8.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.7$	¹ ABLIKIM	04M BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$

¹ Subtracting contribution from intermediate $\eta_c(1S)$ decays.

NODE=M070S46
NODE=M070S46

NODE=M070S46;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\gamma \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{256}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$8.3 \pm 0.2 \pm 3.1$	¹ BALTRUSAIT..86B	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$

¹ 4π mass less than 2.0 GeV.

NODE=M070R99
NODE=M070R99

NODE=M070R99;LINKAGE=M

 $\Gamma(\gamma K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{257}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.1 ± 0.4	ABLIKIM	18AA BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M070P73
NODE=M070P73

 $\Gamma(\gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)[J^{PC}=0^{-+}])/ \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{258}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.7 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.1.		
$0.58 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.20$	¹ BAI	00D BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
$2.1 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.7$	² BAI	00D BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$

¹ For a broad structure around 1800 MeV.

² For a broad structure around 2040 MeV.

NODE=M070S38
NODE=M070S38

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070S38;LINKAGE=BD
NODE=M070S38;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(\gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{259}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.1 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.6$	1516	BAI	00B BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M070B05
NODE=M070B05

 $\Gamma(\gamma K^*(892) \bar{K}^*(892))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{260}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.0 \pm 0.3 \pm 1.3$	320	¹ BAI	00B BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Summed over all charges.

NODE=M070B07
NODE=M070B07

NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=B7

 $\Gamma(\gamma \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{261}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.090 ± 0.013 OUR AVERAGE				
$1.096 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.019$	2.2M	ABLIKIM	23BD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
$1.067 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.023$	87.9k	ABLIKIM	21AMBES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$
$1.12 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.01$	18.6k	¹ ABLIKIM	18O BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma\gamma\gamma$
$1.101 \pm 0.029 \pm 0.022$		PEDLAR	09 CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
1.123 ± 0.089	11k	ABLIKIM	06E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.88 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.11$		BLOOM	83 CBAL	$e^+ e^-$
0.82 ± 0.10		BRANDELIK	79C DASP	$e^+ e^-$
1.3 ± 0.4	21	BARTEL	77 CNTR	$e^+ e^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 180 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = (4.42 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (34.49 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best values $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (34.68 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M070R83
NODE=M070R83

NODE=M070R83;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma \eta \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{262}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$21.4 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.5$	596	ABLIKIM	16P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow 5\gamma$

NODE=M070P01
NODE=M070P01

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(500) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{263}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.5 ± 2.0	SARANTSEV	21 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

NODE=M070P95
NODE=M070P95

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(500) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{264}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5 ± 5	SARANTSEV	21 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

NODE=M070P97
NODE=M070P97

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(500) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{265} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4 ± 3 SARANTSEV 21 RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

NODE=M070P98
NODE=M070P98

 $\Gamma(\gamma a_0(980)^0 \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{266} / Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$< 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ 95 ABLIKIM 16P BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow 5\gamma$

NODE=M070P02
NODE=M070P02

 $\Gamma(\gamma a_2(1320)^0 \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{267} / Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$< 6.6 \times 10^{-6}$ 95 ABLIKIM 16P BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow 5\gamma$

NODE=M070P03
NODE=M070P03

 $\Gamma(\gamma \eta \pi \pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{268} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

6.1 ± 1.0 OUR AVERAGE

5.85 $\pm 0.3 \pm 1.05$ ¹ EDWARDS 83B CBAL $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

7.8 $\pm 1.2 \pm 2.4$ ¹ EDWARDS 83B CBAL $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta 2\pi^0$

¹ Broad enhancement at 1700 MeV.

NODE=M070R96
NODE=M070R96

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070R96;LINKAGE=M

 $\Gamma(\gamma \eta_2(1870) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{269} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

6.2 $\pm 2.2 \pm 0.9$ BAI 99 BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M070S37
NODE=M070S37

 $\Gamma(\gamma \eta'(958)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{270} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

5.28 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.

5.40 $\pm 0.01 \pm 0.11$ 638k ABLIKIM 23BD BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

5.27 $\pm 0.03 \pm 0.05$ 36k ABLIKIM 19T BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

5.43 $\pm 0.23 \pm 0.09$ 5.0k ¹ ABLIKIM 180 BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma \gamma \gamma$

4.76 $\pm 0.22 \pm 0.06$ ² ABLIKIM 11 BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

5.24 $\pm 0.12 \pm 0.11$ PEDLAR 09 CLE3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

5.55 ± 0.44 35k ABLIKIM 06E BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.50 $\pm 0.14 \pm 0.53$ BOLTON 92B MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta, \eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

4.30 $\pm 0.31 \pm 0.71$ BOLTON 92B MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta, \eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

OCCUR=2

4.04 $\pm 0.16 \pm 0.85$ 622 AUGUSTIN 90 DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

4.39 $\pm 0.09 \pm 0.66$ 2420 AUGUSTIN 90 DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

OCCUR=2

4.1 $\pm 0.3 \pm 0.6$ BLOOM 83 CBAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3\gamma + \text{hadrons}$

2.9 ± 1.1 6 BRANDELIK 79C DASP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3\gamma$


2.4 ± 0.7 57 BARTEL 76 CNTR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2\gamma \rho$

¹ ABLIKIM 180 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)] = (1.26 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (34.49 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best values $B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) = (2.307 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (34.68 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M070R84;LINKAGE=A

² ABLIKIM 11 reports $(4.84 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)]$ assuming $B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) = (43.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.31 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best values $B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) = (42.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M070R84;LINKAGE=AB



$\Gamma(\gamma \eta(980) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{271} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.3±0.2	SARANTSEV 21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

NODE=M070P90
NODE=M070P90

$\Gamma(\gamma \eta(980) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{272} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.8±0.3	SARANTSEV 21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

NODE=M070P91
NODE=M070P91

$\Gamma(\gamma \rho \rho) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{273} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.5 ± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE				
4.7 ± 0.3 ± 0.9		¹ BALTRUSAIT..86B	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$
3.75±1.05±1.20		² BURKE 82	MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$
<0.09	90	³ BISELLO 89B		$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$

¹ 4π mass less than 2.0 GeV.
² 4π mass less than 2.0 GeV. We have multiplied $2\rho^0$ measurement by 3 to obtain 2ρ .
³ 4π mass in the range 2.0–25 GeV.

NODE=M070R94
NODE=M070R94

NODE=M070R94;LINKAGE=N
NODE=M070R94;LINKAGE=M
NODE=M070R94;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma \rho \omega) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{274} / Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5.4 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	ABLIKIM 08A	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070R05
NODE=M070R05

$\Gamma(\gamma \rho \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{275} / Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8.8 × 10 ⁻⁵	90	ABLIKIM 08A	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070R06
NODE=M070R06

$\Gamma(\gamma \omega \omega) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{276} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.61±0.33 OUR AVERAGE				
6.0 ± 4.8 ± 1.8		ABLIKIM 08A	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$
1.41±0.2 ± 0.42	120 ± 17	BISELLO 87	SPEC	e^+e^- , hadrons γ
1.76±0.09±0.45		BALTRUSAIT..85C	MRK3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}\gamma$

NODE=M070R97
NODE=M070R97


$\Gamma(\gamma\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{277}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.0 ± 1.2 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.		
$7.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.2$	168	BAI	90B MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 4K$
$3.4 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.6$	33 ± 7	¹ BISELLO	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_L^0$
$3.1 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4$		¹ BISELLO	86B DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$

¹ $\phi\phi$ mass less than 2.9 GeV, η_C excluded.

NODE=M070R98
NODE=M070R98

NODE=M070R98;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{278}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.8 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.	
$1.66 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.58$	^{1,2} BAI	00D BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
$3.8 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.6$	³ AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$
$4.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 1.0$	³ EDWARDS	82E CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \gamma$
4.3 ± 1.7	^{3,4} SCHARRE	80 MRK2	$e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$1.78 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.33$	^{3,5,6} AUGUSTIN	92 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$
$0.83 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.18$	^{3,7,8} AUGUSTIN	92 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$
$0.66^{+0.17+0.24}_{-0.16-0.15}$	^{3,6,9} BAI	90C MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
$1.03^{+0.21+0.26}_{-0.18-0.19}$	^{3,8,10} BAI	90C MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ Interference with the $J/\psi(1S)$ radiative transition to the broad $K \bar{K} \pi$ pseudoscalar state around 1800 is $(0.15 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$.

² Interference with $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1420)$ is $(-0.03 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}$.

³ Includes unknown branching fraction $\eta(1405) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi$.

⁴ Corrected for spin-zero hypothesis for $\eta(1405)$.

⁵ From fit to the $a_0(980) \pi 0^- +$ partial wave.

⁶ $a_0(980) \pi$ mode.

⁷ From fit to the $K^*(892) K 0^- +$ partial wave.

⁸ $K^* K$ mode.

⁹ From $a_0(980) \pi$ final state.

¹⁰ From $K^*(890) K$ final state.


NODE=M070R89
NODE=M070R89

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=BD

NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=BE
NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=H
NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=K9
NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=J
NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=K8
NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=E



$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\rho^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{279}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.78±0.20 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.8.		
1.07±0.17±0.11	¹ BAI	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
0.64±0.12±0.07	¹ COFFMAN	90 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Includes unknown branching fraction $\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma\rho^0$.

NODE=M070S30
NODE=M070S30

NODE=M070S30;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{280}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.0 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE				
2.6 ±0.7 ±0.4		BAI	99 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
3.38±0.33±0.64		¹ BOLTON	92B MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
7.0 ±0.6 ±1.1	261	² AUGUSTIN	90 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Via $a_0(980)\pi$.

² Includes unknown branching fraction to $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$.

NODE=M070S29
NODE=M070S29

NODE=M070S29;LINKAGE=RR
NODE=M070S29;LINKAGE=R

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma\rho^0\rho^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{281}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.7 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		
2.1 ±0.4	BUGG	95 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
1.36±0.38	^{1,2} BISELLO	89B DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$

¹ Estimated by us from various fits.

² Includes unknown branching fraction to $\rho^0\rho^0$.

NODE=M070S19
NODE=M070S19

NODE=M070S19;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070S19;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{282}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.57±0.18^{+0.59}_{-0.61}			¹ ABLIKIM	25P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
7.03±0.92±0.91	1.3k		² ABLIKIM	18i BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$
10.36±1.51±1.54	1.9k		³ ABLIKIM	18i BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$
<82	95		BAI	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma K^+K^-$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ with significance 18.9σ.

² Constructive interference between the $X(1835)$ and $\eta(1405)/\eta(1475)$ is assumed in a fit to the $\gamma\phi$ invariant mass.

³ Destructive interference between the $X(1835)$ and $\eta(1405)/\eta(1475)$ is assumed in a fit to the $\gamma\phi$ invariant mass.

NODE=M070R77
NODE=M070R77

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070R77;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M070R77;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M070R77;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{283}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.5±0.11±0.11	743	ABLIKIM	12E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta(1405)$

NODE=M070Q76
NODE=M070Q76

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{284}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

6.64^{+1.10}_{-0.23} OUR AVERAGE[(7.1 ± 1.1) × 10⁻⁶ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]4.62 ± 0.15^{+5.08}_{-0.18}¹ ABLIKIM

25AB BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$

7.10 ± 0.82 ± 0.72

198

ABLIKIM

12E BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta(1405)$

¹ ABLIKIM 25AB measured $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++}$ PHSP → $\gamma\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$. Here $\gamma\pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++}$ PHSP indicates an intermediate state which could be either $\gamma\pi^0 f_0(980)$ or $\gamma\pi^0 0^{++}$ phase space.

NODE=M070Q77
NODE=M070Q77

NEW

NODE=M070Q77;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{285}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<2.63 × 10⁻⁶

90

ABLIKIM

180 BES3

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma\gamma$ NODE=M070P38
NODE=M070P38 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1475) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{286}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<1.86 × 10⁻⁶

90

ABLIKIM

180 BES3

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma\gamma$ NODE=M070P39
NODE=M070P39 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1760) \rightarrow \gamma\rho^0\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{287}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.13 ± 0.09^{1,2} BISELLO

89B DM2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$ ¹ Estimated by us from various fits.² Includes unknown branching fraction to $\rho^0\rho^0$.NODE=M070S20
NODE=M070S20NODE=M070S20;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070S20;LINKAGE=B $\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1760) \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{288}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.98 ± 0.08 ± 0.32

1045

ABLIKIM

06H BES

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$ NODE=M070R04
NODE=M070R04 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1760) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{289}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<4.80 × 10⁻⁶

90

ABLIKIM

180 BES3

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma\gamma$ NODE=M070P40
NODE=M070P40 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta(2225))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{290}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

3.14^{+0.50}_{-0.19} OUR AVERAGE2.40 ± 0.10^{+2.47}_{-0.18}^{1,2} ABLIKIM

16N

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$

4.4 ± 0.4 ± 0.8

196

² ABLIKIM

08I

BES

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_L^0$

3.3 ± 0.8 ± 0.5

² BAI

90B

MRK3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$

2.7 ± 0.6 ± 0.6

² BAI

90B

MRK3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_L^0$ 2.4^{+1.5}_{-1.0}^{3,4} BISELLO

89B

DM2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$

OCCUR=2

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\phi\phi$ that also finds significant signals for for $\eta(2100)$, 0^{-+} phase space, $f_0(2100)$, $f_2(2010)$, $f_2(2300)$, $f_2(2340)$, and a previously unseen 0^{-+} state $X(2500)$ ($M = 2470^{+15+101}_{-19-23}$ MeV, $\Gamma = 230^{+64+56}_{-35-33}$ MeV).

² Includes unknown branching fraction to $\phi\phi$.³ Estimated by us from various fits.⁴ Includes unknown branching fraction to $\rho^0\rho^0$.

NODE=M070S21;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M070S21;LINKAGE=U
NODE=M070S21;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070S21;LINKAGE=B $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{291}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.63 ± 0.12 OUR AVERAGE

Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.

2.07 ± 0.16^{+0.02}_{-0.07}

2.4k

^{1,2} DOBBS

15

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$ 1.63 ± 0.26^{+0.02}_{-0.05}³ ABLIKIM

06V

BES2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$ 1.42 ± 0.21^{+0.02}_{-0.05}⁴ ABLIKIM

06V

BES2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$

OCCUR=2

1.33 ± 0.05 ± 0.20

⁵ AUGUSTIN

87

DM2

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

1.36 ± 0.09 ± 0.23

⁵ BALTRUSAIT..

87

MRK3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

1.48 ± 0.25 ± 0.30

178

EDWARDS

82B

CBAL

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^0\gamma$

2.0 ± 0.7

35

ALEXANDER

78

PLUT

 e^+e^-

1.2 ± 0.6

30

⁶ BRANDELIK

78B

DASP

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ NODE=M070R86
NODE=M070R86

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

² DOBBS 15 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi)] = (1.744 \pm 0.052 \pm 0.122) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi) = (84.3^{+2.8}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ ABLIKIM 06V reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi)] = (1.371 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.222) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi) = (84.3^{+2.8}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴ ABLIKIM 06V reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi)] = (1.200 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.174) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi) = (84.3^{+2.8}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁵ Estimated using $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi) = 0.843 \pm 0.012$. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $f_2(1270)$ decay.

⁶ Restated by us to take account of spread of E1, M2, E3 transitions.


NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=DO

NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=AI

NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=X

NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=T



$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{292}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$2.58^{+0.08+0.59}_{-0.09-0.20}$	ABLIKIM	18AA BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	

NODE=M070P68
NODE=M070P68

$\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1285))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{293}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.61 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE				
0.69 $\pm 0.16 \pm 0.20$	1 BAI	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \rho^0$	
0.61 $\pm 0.04 \pm 0.21$	2 BAI	00D BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$	
0.45 $\pm 0.09 \pm 0.17$	3 BAI	99 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$	
0.625 $\pm 0.063 \pm 0.103$	4 BOLTON	92 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285)$	
0.70 $\pm 0.08 \pm 0.16$	5 BOLTON	92B MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$	

NODE=M070R88
NODE=M070R88

- ¹ Assuming $B(f_1(1285) \rightarrow \rho^0 \gamma) = 0.055 \pm 0.013$.
- ² Assuming $\Gamma(f_1(1285) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.090 \pm 0.004$.
- ³ Assuming $\Gamma(f_1(1285) \rightarrow \eta \pi \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.5 \pm 0.18$.
- ⁴ Obtained summing the sequential decay channels
 $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285), f_1(1285) \rightarrow \pi \pi \pi \pi) = (1.44 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-4}$;
 $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285), f_1(1285) \rightarrow a_0(980) \pi, a_0(980) \rightarrow \eta \pi) = (3.90 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.87) \times 10^{-4}$;
 $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285), f_1(1285) \rightarrow a_0(980) \pi, a_0(980) \rightarrow K \bar{K}) = (0.66 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-4}$;
 $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285), f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0) = (0.25 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4}$.
- ⁵ Using $B(f_1(1285) \rightarrow a_0(980) \pi) = 0.37$, and including unknown branching ratio for $a_0(980) \rightarrow \eta \pi$.

NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=BI
NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=BD
NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=BA
NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{294}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-6})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $0.29 \pm 0.03^{+0.11}_{-0.09}$ ¹ ABLIKIM 25P BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ ¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ with significance 17.3σ .NODE=M070Q88
NODE=M070Q88

NODE=M070Q88;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{295}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-7})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

 $5.64 \pm 0.45^{+0.74}_{-3.05}$ ¹ ABLIKIM 25AB BES3¹ ABLIKIM 25AB measured $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++} \text{ PHSP} \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$. Here $\gamma\pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++} \text{ PHSP}$ indicates an intermediate state which could be either $\gamma\pi^0 f_0(980)$ or $\gamma\pi^0 0^{++}$ phase space.NODE=M070Q95
NODE=M070Q95

NODE=M070Q95;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1370) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{296}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 38 ± 10 SARANTSEV 21 RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$ NODE=M070P92
NODE=M070P92 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1370) \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{297}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $4.19 \pm 0.73 \pm 1.34$ 478 ¹ DOBBS 15 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 1.3 ± 0.4 SARANTSEV 21 RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$ NODE=M070R00
NODE=M070R00¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M070R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1370) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{298}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $1.07^{+0.08+0.36}_{-0.07-0.34}$ ABLIKIM 18AA BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$ NODE=M070P63
NODE=M070P63 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1370) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{299}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 3.5 ± 1.0 SARANTSEV 21 RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$ NODE=M070P93
NODE=M070P93 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1370) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{300}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 0.9 ± 0.3 SARANTSEV 21 RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$ NODE=M070P94
NODE=M070P94 $\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1420) \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{301}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

0.79 $\pm$ 0.13 OUR AVERAGE $0.68 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.24$ BAI 00D BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$ $0.76 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.21$ ^{1,2} AUGUSTIN 92 DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}\pi$ $0.87 \pm 0.14^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$ ¹ BAI 90C MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ ¹ Included unknown branching fraction $f_1(1420) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$.² From fit to the $K^*(892)K 1^{++}$ partial wave.NODE=M070S31
NODE=M070S31

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070S31;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070S31;LINKAGE=D $\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1420) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{302}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-6})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $0.55 \pm 0.07^{+0.18}_{-0.17}$ ¹ ABLIKIM 25P BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ ¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ with significance 9.0σ .NODE=M070Q89
NODE=M070Q89

NODE=M070Q89;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1420) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{303}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-6})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

 $2.23 \pm 0.16^{+0.20}_{-1.20}$ ¹ ABLIKIM 25AB BES3¹ ABLIKIM 25AB measured $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1420) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++} \text{ PHSP} \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$. Here $\gamma\pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++} \text{ PHSP}$ indicates an intermediate state which could be either $\gamma\pi^0 f_0(980)$ or $\gamma\pi^0 0^{++}$ phase space.NODE=M070Q96
NODE=M070Q96

NODE=M070Q96;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1500) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{304} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.09 ± 0.24 OUR AVERAGE				
1.21 ± 0.29 ± 0.24	174	¹ DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$
1.00 ± 0.03 ± 0.45		² ABLIKIM	06V BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
1.02 ± 0.09 ± 0.45		² ABLIKIM	06V BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.90 ± 0.17		SARANTSEV	21 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
5.7 ± 0.8		^{3,4} BUGG	95 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

² Including unknown branching fraction to $\pi\pi$.

³ Including unknown branching ratio for $f_0(1500) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$.

⁴ Assuming that $f_0(1500)$ decays only to two S -wave dipions.

NODE=M070S32
NODE=M070S32

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070S32;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M070S32;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M070S32;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070S32;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1500) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{305} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.65^{+0.26+0.51}_{-0.31-1.40}	5.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.1 ± 0.4		SARANTSEV	21 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.

NODE=M070S83
NODE=M070S83

NODE=M070S83;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1500) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{306} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.59 ± 0.16^{+0.18}_{-0.56}	ABLIKIM	18AA BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.7 ± 0.3		SARANTSEV	21 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

NODE=M070P64
NODE=M070P64

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1500) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta') / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{307} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

18.1 ± 1.1 ^{+1.9} _{-1.3}	¹ ABLIKIM	22AS BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$
12 ± 5	SARANTSEV	21 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta \eta'$ P -wave.

NODE=M070P99
NODE=M070P99

NODE=M070P99;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1510) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{308} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.5 ± 1.0 ± 0.7	BAI	99 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M070S36
NODE=M070S36

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1510) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{309} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.78 ± 0.09^{+0.34}_{-0.30}	¹ ABLIKIM	25P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$ with significance 5.3 σ .

NODE=M070Q90
NODE=M070Q90

NODE=M070Q90;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1510) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{310} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

7.91 ± 1.20 ^{+0.74} _{-3.83}	¹ ABLIKIM	25AB BES3

¹ ABLIKIM 25AB measured $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1510) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++} PHSP \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$. Here $\gamma \pi^0 f_0(980) / 0^{++} PHSP$ indicates an intermediate state which could be either $\gamma \pi^0 f_0(980)$ or $\gamma \pi^0 0^{++}$ phase space. Evidence for the $f_1(1510)$ is seen with a 5 σ significance in the partial wave analysis.

NODE=M070Q97
NODE=M070Q97

NODE=M070Q97;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{311}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M070R87
NODE=M070R87

5.7^{+0.8}_{-0.5} OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.

below.

8.0 $\pm$ 0.9 $\pm$ 0.2	750	1,2	DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$
3.85 $\pm$ 0.17 ^{+1.91} _{-0.73}		3	BAI	03G BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$
3.6 $\pm$ 0.4 ^{+1.4} _{-0.4}		3	BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
5.6 $\pm$ 1.4 $\pm$ 0.9		3	AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
4.5 $\pm$ 0.4 $\pm$ 0.9		3	AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
6.8 $\pm$ 1.6 $\pm$ 1.4		3	BALTRUSAIT..87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<3.4	90	4	4	BRANDELIK	79C DASP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
<2.3	90	3		ALEXANDER	78 PLUT	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \gamma$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

² DOBBS 15 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(15) \rightarrow \gamma f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K \bar{K})] = (7.09 \pm 0.46 \pm 0.67) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K \bar{K}) = (88.8 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Using $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K \bar{K}) = 0.888$.


⁴ Assuming isotropic production and decay of the $f'_2(1525)$ and isospin.

NODE=M070R87;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M070R87;LINKAGE=DO

NODE=M070R87;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=M070R87;LINKAGE=I

 $\Gamma(\gamma f'_2(1525) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{312}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M070P69
NODE=M070P69

7.99^{+0.03+0.69}_{-0.04-0.50}

ABLIKIM 18AA BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

 $\Gamma(\gamma f'_2(1525) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{313}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M070S86
NODE=M070S86

3.42^{+0.43+1.37}_{-0.51-1.30}

5.5k ¹ ABLIKIM 13N BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$

¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.

NODE=M070S86;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f'_2(1525) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{315}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M070Q91
NODE=M070Q91

2.76 $\pm$ 0.18^{+0.90}_{-0.61}

¹ ABLIKIM 25P BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$ with significance 16.4 σ .

NODE=M070Q91;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1565) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta') / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{314} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.32 \pm 0.05^{+0.12}_{-0.02}$	¹ ABLIKIM	22AS BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$

¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta \eta'$ P-wave.

NODE=M070Q31
NODE=M070Q31

NODE=M070Q31;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1640) \rightarrow \gamma \omega \omega) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{316} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$0.28 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.17$	141	ABLIKIM	06H BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \omega$

NODE=M070R02
NODE=M070R02

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{317} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

3.8 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE

$3.72 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.43$	483	¹ DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$
$3.96 \pm 0.06 \pm 1.12$		² ABLIKIM	06V BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
$3.99 \pm 0.15 \pm 2.64$		² ABLIKIM	06V BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.6 ± 0.2		³ SARANTSEV	21 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi \pi, K \bar{K}, \eta \eta, \omega \phi)$
$2.5 \pm 1.6 \pm 0.8$		BAI	98H BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

² Including unknown branching fraction to $\pi \pi$.

³ There is a further $(2.4 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$ scalar contribution at 1765 MeV.

NODE=M070B01
NODE=M070B01

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070B01;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070B01;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070B01;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{318} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

9.5 ± 1.0 OUR AVERAGE

Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.

$8.00^{+0.12+1.24}_{-0.08-0.40}$		¹ ABLIKIM	18AA BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
$11.76 \pm 0.54 \pm 0.94$	1.2k	² DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$
$9.62 \pm 0.29^{+3.51}_{-1.86}$		³ BAI	03G BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$
$5.0 \pm 0.8^{+1.8}_{-0.4}$		^{1,4} BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
$9.2 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.4$		¹ AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
$10.4 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.6$		¹ AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
$9.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.8$		¹ BALTRUSAIT..87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.3 ± 0.8		⁵ SARANTSEV	21 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi \pi, K \bar{K}, \eta \eta, \omega \phi)$
$1.6 \pm 0.2^{+0.6}_{-0.2}$		^{1,6} BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
< 0.8	90	⁷ BISELLO	89B	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi \gamma$
$1.6 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3$		⁸ BALTRUSAIT..87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
3.8 ± 1.6		⁹ EDWARDS	82D CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \eta \gamma$

¹ Includes unknown branching fraction to $K^+ K^-$ or $K_S^0 K_S^0$. We have multiplied $K^+ K^-$ measurement by 2, and $K_S^0 K_S^0$ by 4 to obtain $K \bar{K}$ result.

² Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

³ Includes unknown branching ratio to $K^+ K^-$ or $K_S^0 K_S^0$.

⁴ Assuming $J^P = 2^+$ for $f_0(1710)$.

⁵ There is a further $(6 \pm 2) \times 10^{-4}$ scalar contribution at 1765 MeV.

⁶ Assuming $J^P = 0^+$ for $f_0(1710)$.

⁷ Includes unknown branching fraction to $\rho^0 \rho^0$.

⁸ Includes unknown branching fraction to $\pi^+ \pi^-$.

⁹ Includes unknown branching fraction to $\eta \eta$.

NODE=M070R91
NODE=M070R91


OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=K9
NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=A1
NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=A2
NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=Z
NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=A



$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \omega \omega) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{319} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.31 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.08$	180	ABLIKIM	06H BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \omega$

NODE=M070R01
NODE=M070R01

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{320} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.35^{+0.13+1.24}_{-0.11-0.74}$	5.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$

NODE=M070S84
NODE=M070S84

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.2 ± 0.4 ² SARANTSEV 21 RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.

² There is a further $(0.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4}$ scalar contribution at 1765 MeV.

NODE=M070S84;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070S84;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta') / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{321} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.5 ± 2.5	¹ SARANTSEV 21 RVUE		$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

NODE=M070Q00
NODE=M070Q00

¹ There is a further $(2.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$ scalar contribution at 1765 MeV.

NODE=M070Q00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \omega \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{322} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.5 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE				

NODE=M070S79
NODE=M070S79

$2.00 \pm 0.08^{+1.38}_{-1.64}$ 1.3k ABLIKIM 13J BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \phi$

$2.61 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.65$ 95 ABLIKIM 06J BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \phi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.1 ± 0.1 ¹ SARANTSEV 21 RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

¹ There is a further $(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ scalar contribution at 1765 MeV.

NODE=M070S79;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1770) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{323} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.11 \pm 0.06^{+0.19}_{-0.32}$	ABLIKIM	18AA BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M070P65
NODE=M070P65

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1810) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{324} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
$5.40^{+0.60+3.42}_{-0.67-2.35}$	5.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	13N $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$

NODE=M070S87
NODE=M070S87

¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.

NODE=M070S87;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{325}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-6})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $2.70 \pm 0.41^{+0.16}_{-0.35}$ ¹ ABLIKIM 22A1 BES3 $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$ ¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and the resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta\eta'$ P -wave. For analysis details see ABLIKIM 22A5.NODE=M070Q44
NODE=M070Q44

NODE=M070Q44;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1770) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{326}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $0.11 \pm 0.01^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$ ¹ ABLIKIM 22A5 BES3 $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$ ¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta\eta'$ P -wave.NODE=M070Q30
NODE=M070Q30

NODE=M070Q30;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1910) \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{327}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $0.20 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.13$

151

ABLIKIM 06H BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$ NODE=M070R03
NODE=M070R03 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1950) \rightarrow \gamma K^*(892) \bar{K}^*(892))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{328}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $0.7 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.2$ BAI 00B BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ NODE=M070B06
NODE=M070B06 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1950) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{329}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-6})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $9.96 \pm 0.60^{+3.44}_{-2.13}$ ¹ ABLIKIM 25P BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ ¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ with significance 13.1 σ .NODE=M070Q92
NODE=M070Q92

NODE=M070Q92;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(2010) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{330}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $0.71 \pm 0.06^{+0.10}_{-0.06}$ ¹ ABLIKIM 22A5 BES3 $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta'$ ¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta\eta'$ P -wave.NODE=M070Q37
NODE=M070Q37

NODE=M070Q37;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(2010) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{331}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-6})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $4.63 \pm 0.43^{+1.42}_{-1.46}$ ¹ ABLIKIM 25P BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ ¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$ with significance 11.3 σ .NODE=M070Q93
NODE=M070Q93

NODE=M070Q93;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2020) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{332}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

42 $\pm$ 10SARANTSEV 21 RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$ NODE=M070Q01
NODE=M070Q01 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2020) \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{333}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

55 $\pm$ 25SARANTSEV 21 RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$ NODE=M070Q02
NODE=M070Q02 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2020) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{334}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

10 $\pm$ 10SARANTSEV 21 RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$ NODE=M070Q03
NODE=M070Q03 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2020) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{335}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $2.63 \pm 0.06^{+0.31}_{-0.46}$ ¹ ABLIKIM 22C BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\eta' \rightarrow 4/5\gamma 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ ¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta'\eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.NODE=M070Q25
NODE=M070Q25

NODE=M070Q25;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2020) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{336}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.28 \pm 0.12^{+0.29}_{-0.20}$	¹ ABLIKIM	22AS BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$

¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta \eta'$ P-wave.

NODE=M070Q35
NODE=M070Q35

NODE=M070Q35;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_4(2050))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{337}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$2.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$	¹ BALTRUSAIT..87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Assuming branching fraction $f_4(2050) \rightarrow \pi \pi$ / total = 0.167.

NODE=M070S7
NODE=M070S7

NODE=M070S7;LINKAGE=V

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_4(2050) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{338}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.06 \pm 0.01^{+0.03}_{-0.01}$	¹ ABLIKIM	22AS BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$

¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta \eta'$ P-wave.

NODE=M070Q32
NODE=M070Q32

NODE=M070Q32;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2100) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{339}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$1.13^{+0.09+0.64}_{-0.10-0.28}$	5.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.8 ± 1.5	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi \pi, K \bar{K}, \eta \eta, \omega \phi)$

¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.

NODE=M070S85
NODE=M070S85

NODE=M070S85;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2100) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{340}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

32 ± 20	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi \pi, K \bar{K}, \eta \eta, \omega \phi)$

NODE=M070Q04
NODE=M070Q04

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2100) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{341}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$6.24 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.87$	744	¹ DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.0 ± 0.8	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi \pi, K \bar{K}, \eta \eta, \omega \phi)$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M070B08
NODE=M070B08

NODE=M070B08;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2200))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{342}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.5	¹ AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ Includes unknown branching fraction to $K_S^0 K_S^0$.

NODE=M070S18
NODE=M070S18

NODE=M070S18;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2200) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{343}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$5.86 \pm 0.49 \pm 1.20$	490	¹ DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.5 ± 0.5	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi \pi, K \bar{K}, \eta \eta, \omega \phi)$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M070B09
NODE=M070B09

NODE=M070B09;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2200) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{344}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$2.72^{+0.08+0.17}_{-0.06-0.47}$	ABLIKIM	18AA BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M070P66
NODE=M070P66

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2200) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{345} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5 ± 2	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

NODE=M070Q05
NODE=M070Q05

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2200) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{346} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.7 ± 0.4	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

NODE=M070Q06
NODE=M070Q06

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2200) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{347} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$0.20 \pm 0.04^{+0.05}_{-0.07}$	¹ ABLIKIM	25P	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$ with significance 6.3σ .

NODE=M070Q94
NODE=M070Q94

NODE=M070Q94;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{348} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>300			¹ BAI	96B	BES $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \bar{p}p, K\bar{K}$
>250	99.9		² HASAN	96	SPEC $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
< 2.3	95		³ AUGUSTIN	88	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$
< 1.6	95		³ AUGUSTIN	88	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
$12.4^{+6.4}_{-5.2} \pm 2.8$		23	³ BALTRUSAIT..86D	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
$8.4^{+3.4}_{-2.8} \pm 1.6$		93	³ BALTRUSAIT..86D	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$

¹ Using BARNES 93.

² Using BAI 96B.

³ Includes unknown branching fraction to K^+K^- or $K_S^0 K_S^0$.

NODE=M070R92
NODE=M070R92

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070R92;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070R92;LINKAGE=M
NODE=M070R92;LINKAGE=W

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{349} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

< 3.9	90	^{1,2} DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$14 \pm 8 \pm 4$		BAI	98H	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$
$8.4 \pm 2.6 \pm 3.0$		BAI	96B	BES $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

² For $\Gamma = 20/50$ MeV, the 90% CL upper limits for $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$ are $2.6/5.2 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1.3/1.9 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively.

NODE=M070B02
NODE=M070B02

NODE=M070B02;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070B02;LINKAGE=DO

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{350} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

< 4.1	90	^{1,2} DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 3.6		³ DEL-AMO-SA..100	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$
< 2.9		³ DEL-AMO-SA..100	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
$6.6 \pm 2.9 \pm 2.4$		BAI	96B	BES $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$
$10.8 \pm 4.0 \pm 3.2$		BAI	96B	BES $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

² For $\Gamma = 20/50$ MeV, the 90% CL upper limits for K^+K^- and $K_S^0 K_S^0$ are $1.7/3.1 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1.2/2.0 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively.

³ For spin 2 and helicity 0; other combinations lead to more stringent upper limits.

NODE=M070B03
NODE=M070B03

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070B03;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070B03;LINKAGE=DO

NODE=M070B03;LINKAGE=DE

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{351} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$1.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5$	BAI	96B	BES $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$

NODE=M070B04
NODE=M070B04

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2330) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{352} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$4.95 \pm 0.21^{+0.66}_{-0.72}$	ABLIKIM	18AA	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.6 ± 0.1	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

NODE=M070P67
NODE=M070P67

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2330) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{353} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4 ± 2	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

NODE=M070Q07
 NODE=M070Q07

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2330) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{354} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.5 ± 0.4	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

NODE=M070Q08
 NODE=M070Q08

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2330) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta') / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{355} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$6.09 \pm 0.64^{+4.00}_{-1.68}$	¹ ABLIKIM	22C	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta' \rightarrow 4/5 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

NODE=M070Q26
 NODE=M070Q26

NODE=M070Q26;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2330) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta') / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{356} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.10 \pm 0.02^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$	¹ ABLIKIM	22AS	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$

¹ From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \rightarrow \eta \eta'$ P -wave.

NODE=M070Q36
 NODE=M070Q36

NODE=M070Q36;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(2340) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{357} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$5.60^{+0.62+2.37}_{-0.65-2.07}$	5.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	13N	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$

¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.

NODE=M070S88
 NODE=M070S88

NODE=M070S88;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(2340) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{358} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$5.54^{+0.34+3.82}_{-0.40-1.49}$	ABLIKIM	18AA	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M070P70
 NODE=M070P70

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(2340) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta') / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{359} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$8.67 \pm 0.70^{+0.61}_{-1.67}$	¹ ABLIKIM	22C	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta' \rightarrow 4/5 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

NODE=M070Q29
 NODE=M070Q29

NODE=M070Q29;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2470) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta') / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{360} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$8.18 \pm 1.77^{+3.73}_{-2.23}$	¹ ABLIKIM	22C	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta' \rightarrow 4/5 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX) , with $X \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

NODE=M070Q27
 NODE=M070Q27

NODE=M070Q27;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta') / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{361} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.7 $^{+0.6}_{-0.8}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.6.

$3.93 \pm 0.38^{+0.31}_{-0.84}$	¹ ABLIKIM	16J	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$

$2.2 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4$	264	ABLIKIM	05R	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.87 \pm 0.09^{+0.49}_{-0.52}$	4265	² ABLIKIM	11C	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$

NODE=M070R78
 NODE=M070R78

¹ From a fit of the measured $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ lineshape that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold with a Flatte formula in addition to known backgrounds and contributors, as well as an *ad hoc* Breit-Wigner ($M \approx 1919$ MeV; $\Gamma \approx 51$ MeV) that is required for a good fit. Another explanation for the distortion provided by ABLIKIM 16J is that a second resonance near 1870 MeV interferes with the $X(1835)$; fits to this possibility yield product branching fraction values compatible with that shown within the respective systematic uncertainties.

² From a fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma f_1(1510)$, $\gamma X(1835)$, and two states $\gamma X(2120)$ and $\gamma \eta(2370)$, for $M(\pi^+\pi^-\eta') < 2.8$ GeV, and accounting for backgrounds from non- η' events and $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$.

NODE=M070R78;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070R78;LINKAGE=AI

 $\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{362}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

 $0.77^{+0.15}_{-0.09}$ OUR AVERAGE

$0.90^{+0.04+0.27}_{-0.11-0.55}$		¹ ABLIKIM	12D BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

$1.14^{+0.43+0.42}_{-0.30-0.26}$	231	² ALEXANDER	10 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

$0.70 \pm 0.04^{+0.19}_{-0.08}$		BAI	03F BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

¹ From the fit including final state interaction effects in isospin 0 S -wave according to SIBIRTSEV 05A.

² From a fit of the $p\bar{p}$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma X(1835)$, γR with $M(R) = 2100$ MeV and $\Gamma(R) = 160$ MeV, and $\gamma p\bar{p}$ phase space, for $M(p\bar{p}) < 2.85$ GeV.

NODE=M070S71
NODE=M070S71

NODE=M070S71;LINKAGE=AK

NODE=M070S71;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{363}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$3.31^{+0.33+1.96}_{-0.30-1.29}$	ABLIKIM	15T BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta$

NODE=M070S96
NODE=M070S96 **$\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi(1020))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_{364}/\Gamma$**

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$3.37 \pm 0.19^{+0.78}_{-1.10}$		¹ ABLIKIM	25P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.77 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.25$	305	² ABLIKIM	18I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi(1020)$

$8.09 \pm 1.99 \pm 1.36$	1.3k	³ ABLIKIM	18I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi(1020)$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \phi$ with significance 15.3σ .

² Constructive interference between the $X(1835)$ and $\eta(1405)/\eta(1475)$ is assumed in a fit to the $\gamma \phi$ invariant mass.

³ Destructive interference between the $X(1835)$ and $\eta(1405)/\eta(1475)$ is assumed in a fit to the $\gamma \phi$ invariant mass.

NODE=M070P37
NODE=M070P37

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070P37;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M070P37;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070P37;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{365}/Γ**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$< 3.56 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	18O BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma\gamma$

NODE=M070P41
NODE=M070P41 **$\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_{366}/\Gamma$**

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$2.44 \pm 0.36^{+0.60}_{-0.74}$	0.6k	ABLIKIM	13U BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$

NODE=M070S82
NODE=M070S82 **$\Gamma(\gamma \eta(2370) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_{367}/\Gamma$**

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$1.79 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.65$	ABLIKIM	20Q BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'$

NODE=M070P86
NODE=M070P86 **$\Gamma(\gamma \eta(2370) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_{368}/\Gamma$**

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$1.18 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.39$	ABLIKIM	20Q BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.31 \pm 0.22^{+2.85}_{-0.84}$	¹ ABLIKIM	24 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta'$

¹ Decaying via the intermediate $f_0(980)\eta'$, fitted together with $X(1835)$, a 600 MeV broad structure around 2.8 GeV, and the tail of the $\eta_c(1S)$.

NODE=M070P87
NODE=M070P87

NODE=M070P87;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma \eta(2370) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{369}/Γ**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$< 9.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	21C BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta \eta'$

NODE=M070P88
NODE=M070P88

$\Gamma(\gamma D^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{370}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<9.1 \times 10^{-8}$	90	ABLIKIM	24BZ BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)$

NODE=M070Q79
 NODE=M070Q79

 $\Gamma(\gamma p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{371}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.38 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.07$		49	EATON	84	MRK2 $e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.11	90		PERUZZI	78	MRK1 $e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070R90
 NODE=M070R90

 $\Gamma(\gamma p \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{372}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<0.79 \times 10^{-3}$	90	EATON	84	MRK2 $e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070R93
 NODE=M070R93

 $\Gamma(\gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{373}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<0.13 \times 10^{-3}$	90	HENRARD	87	DM2 $e^+ e^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$<0.16 \times 10^{-3}$	90	BAI	98G	BES $e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070S8
 NODE=M070S8

 $\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \text{invisible})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{374}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	88M	¹ ABLIKIM	20K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<6.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	3.7M	² INSLE	10 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M070S68
 NODE=M070S68

¹ For a narrow state, A^0 , with mass $m_{A^0} < 1.2$ GeV. The limit varies with m_{A^0} , reaching its largest value of 1.7×10^{-6} at 1.2 GeV and being 7.0×10^{-7} for $m_{A^0} = 0$.

NODE=M070S68;LINKAGE=A

² The limit varies with mass m_{A^0} of a narrow state A^0 and is 4.3×10^{-6} for $m_{A^0} = 0$, reaches its largest value of 6.3×10^{-6} at $m_{A^0} = 500$ MeV, and is 3.6×10^{-6} at $m_{A^0} = 960$ MeV.

NODE=M070S68;LINKAGE=IN

 $\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{375}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.9 \times 10^{-7}$	95	¹ ABLIKIM	24AD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$<1.8 \times 10^{-6}$	95	² ABLIKIM	23E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$

NODE=M070Q68
 NODE=M070Q68

¹ For a light pseudoscalar axion-like particle, A^0 , with a mass in the range 0.18–2.85 GeV. The measured 95% CL limit as a function of m_{A^0} ranges from 3.7×10^{-8} to 4.85×10^{-7} .

NODE=M070Q68;LINKAGE=B

² For a light pseudoscalar axion-like particle, A^0 , with a mass in the range 0.165–2.84 GeV. The measured 95% CL limit as a function of m_{A^0} ranges from 8.3×10^{-8} to 1.8×10^{-6} .

NODE=M070Q68;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{376}/Γ (narrow state A^0 with $0.2 \text{ GeV} < m_{A^0} < 3 \text{ GeV}$)

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7.8 \times 10^{-7}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	22H BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$<0.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	² ABLIKIM	16E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$
$<2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	³ ABLIKIM	12 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

NODE=M070S76
 NODE=M070S76

¹ For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , with a mass in the range 0.212–3.0 GeV. The measured 90% CL limit as a function of m_{A^0} is in the range $(1.2\text{--}778.0) \times 10^{-9}$.

NODE=M070S76;LINKAGE=B

² For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , with a mass in the range 0.212–3 GeV. The measured 90% CL limit as a function of m_{A^0} is in the range $(2.8\text{--}495.3) \times 10^{-8}$.

NODE=M070S76;LINKAGE=A

³ For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , with a mass in the range 0.21–3.00 GeV. The measured 90% CL limit as a function of m_{A^0} ranges from 4×10^{-7} to 2.1×10^{-5} .

NODE=M070S76;LINKAGE=AB

DALITZ DECAYS

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{377}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.1 $\pm$ 0.5 OUR AVERAGE		[(7.6 $\pm$ 1.4) $\times 10^{-7}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
8.06$\pm$0.31$\pm$0.38		¹ ABLIKIM	25AD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
7.56 $\pm$ 1.32 $\pm$ 0.50	39	ABLIKIM	14I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070S89
 NODE=M070S89

NEW

¹ Includes the $\rho - \omega$ resonant contribution.

NODE=M070S89;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{378}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.42 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.07$	2.47k	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	19A BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1.16 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.06$	320	¹ ABLIKIM	14I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$

¹ Using both $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decays.² Approximation of the transition form factor squared as an incoherent sum of the ρ -meson and one-pole non-resonant amplitudes gives the pole mass $m(\Lambda) = 2.56 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03$ GeV. Supersedes ABLIKIM 14I.NODE=M070S90
NODE=M070S90NODE=M070S90;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070S90;LINKAGE=C $\Gamma(\eta'(958) e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{379}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$6.59 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.17$	8.9k	¹ ABLIKIM	19H BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'(958) e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$5.81 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.31$	1.4k	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	14I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'(958) e^+ e^-$

¹ Using both $\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ decays.² Superseded by ABLIKIM 19H.NODE=M070S91
NODE=M070S91NODE=M070S91;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070S91;LINKAGE=B $\Gamma(\eta(1405) e^+ e^- \rightarrow f_0(980) \pi^0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{380}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.04 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.08$	203	¹ ABLIKIM	24I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta(1405)$

¹ With a significance of 9.8σ .NODE=M070Q75
NODE=M070Q75

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070Q75;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(X(1835) e^+ e^-, X \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{381}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.58 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.16$	1364	¹ ABLIKIM	22B BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta' e^+ e^-$

¹ Assuming constructive interference. Destructive interference gives a value of $(4.43 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-6}$ for this branching fraction.NODE=M070Q22
NODE=M070Q22

NODE=M070Q22;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(X(2120) e^+ e^-, X \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{382}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.82 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.06$	310	ABLIKIM	22B BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta' e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070Q24
NODE=M070Q24 $\Gamma(\eta(2370) e^+ e^-, \eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{383}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.08 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.10$	397	ABLIKIM	22B BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta' e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070Q23
NODE=M070Q23 $\Gamma(\eta U \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{384}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 9.11 \times 10^{-7}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	19A BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$

¹ For a dark photon U with mass between 10 and 2400 MeV. Obtained 90% C.L. limits as a function of m_U range from 1.9×10^{-8} to 91.1×10^{-8} .NODE=M070P42
NODE=M070P42

NODE=M070P42;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta'(958) U \rightarrow \eta'(958) e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{385}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.0 \times 10^{-7}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	19H BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'(958) e^+ e^-$

¹ For a dark photon U with mass between 100 and 2100 MeV. Obtained 90% C.L. limits as a function of m_U range from 1.8×10^{-8} to 2.0×10^{-7} . The corresponding limits on the branching fraction $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' U$ range from 5.7×10^{-8} to 7.4×10^{-7} .NODE=M070P61
NODE=M070P61

NODE=M070P61;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\phi e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{386}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 1.2	90	¹ ABLIKIM	19AB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi e^+ e^-$

¹ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi) = (34.49 \pm 0.30)\%$.NODE=M070P82
NODE=M070P82

NODE=M070P82;LINKAGE=A

WEAK DECAYS

 $\Gamma(D^- e^+ \nu_e + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{387}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 7.1 \times 10^{-8}$	90	ABLIKIM	21Q BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	06M BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070320

NODE=M070S53
NODE=M070S53

$\Gamma(D^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{388}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.6 \times 10^{-7}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AMBES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

¹ Using $B(D^- \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^-) = 9.38 \pm 0.16 \%$.

NODE=M070Q67
NODE=M070Q67

NODE=M070Q67;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 e^+ e^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{389}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.5 \times 10^{-8}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	17AF BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	06M BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

¹ Using D^0 decays to $K^- \pi^+$, $K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$, and $K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$.

NODE=M070S54
NODE=M070S54

NODE=M070S54;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D^0 \mu^+ \mu^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{390}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	25AH BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

¹ Using D^0 decays to $K^- \pi^+$, $K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$, and $K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$.

NODE=M070Q87
NODE=M070Q87

NODE=M070Q87;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D_s^- e^+ \nu_e + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{391}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	14R BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<3.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	06M BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

¹ Using $B(D_s^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^-) = 4.4 \pm 0.5 \%$.

NODE=M070S55
NODE=M070S55

NODE=M070S55;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(D_s^{*-} e^+ \nu_e + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{392}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	14R BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070B13
NODE=M070B13

 $\Gamma(D^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{393}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7.0 \times 10^{-8}$	90	ABLIKIM	24BI BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<7.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	08J BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070S61
NODE=M070S61

 $\Gamma(D^- \rho^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{394}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.0 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	24BI BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070Q63
NODE=M070Q63

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{395}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.7 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	24BI BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070Q64
NODE=M070Q64

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{396}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	08J BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070S62
NODE=M070S62

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \bar{K}^{*0} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{397}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	14K BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070S93
NODE=M070S93

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \eta + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{398}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.8 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	24BI BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070Q65
NODE=M070Q65

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \rho^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{399}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.2 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	24BI BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070Q66
NODE=M070Q66

 $\Gamma(D_s^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{400}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	08J BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070S63
NODE=M070S63

$\Gamma(D_s^- \rho^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{401}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	14K BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070S92
NODE=M070S92

———— CHARGE CONJUGATION (C), PARITY (P), ————
———— LEPTON FAMILY NUMBER (LF) VIOLATING MODES ————

NODE=M070315

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{402}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.7 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	14Q BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$< 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ADAMS	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ WICHT	08 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \gamma\gamma$
$< 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	07J BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
$< 50 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BARTEL	77 CNTR	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M070R80
NODE=M070R80

¹ WICHT 08 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) K^+)] < 0.16 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) K^+) = 1.019 \times 10^{-3}$.

NODE=M070R80;LINKAGE=WI

 $\Gamma(\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{403}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	14Q BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$

NODE=M070S95
NODE=M070S95

 $\Gamma(e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{404}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.6 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	13L BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	BAI	03D BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070S39
NODE=M070S39

 $\Gamma(e^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{405}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7.5 \times 10^{-8}$	90	ABLIKIM	21M BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<8.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	04 BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

¹ Superseded by ABLIKIM 21M.

NODE=M070S40
NODE=M070S40

NODE=M070S40;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\mu^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{406}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	04 BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

NODE=M070S41
NODE=M070S41

 $\Gamma(p e^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{407}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.1 \times 10^{-8}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	25AA BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S)$

¹ Using $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S)$ branching fraction from PDG 24.

NODE=M070Q84
NODE=M070Q84

NODE=M070Q84;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ e^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{408}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.9 \times 10^{-8}$	90	ABLIKIM	19AF BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+ e^- (+ \text{c.c.})$

NODE=M070P74
NODE=M070P74

———— OTHER DECAYS ————

NODE=M070325

 $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(e^+ e^-)$ Γ_{409}/Γ_5

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.6 \times 10^{-2}$	90	LEES	13I BABR	$B \rightarrow K^{(*)} J/\psi$

NODE=M070S80
NODE=M070S80

 $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-)$ Γ_{409}/Γ_7

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.2 \times 10^{-2}$	90	ABLIKIM	08G BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$

NODE=M070S60
NODE=M070S60

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-X^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- + \text{invisible})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{410}/Γ	
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<5.5 \times 10^{-7}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-X^0$ (scalar) $\rightarrow$ $\mu^+\mu^- + \text{invisible}$	NODE=M070Q69 NODE=M070Q69
$<9.6 \times 10^{-7}$	90	² ABLIKIM	24G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-X^0$ (vector) $\rightarrow$ $\mu^+\mu^- + \text{invisible}$	OCCUR=2 NODE=M070Q69;LINKAGE=A NODE=M070Q69;LINKAGE=B

¹ For a light scalar, X^0 , with a mass in the range 1–1000 MeV. The measured limit at the 90% credibility level as a function of m_{X^0} ranges from 6.2×10^{-9} to 5.5×10^{-7} .

² For a light vector, X^0 , with a mass in the range 1–1000 MeV. The measured limit at the 90% credibility level as a function of m_{X^0} ranges from 4.5×10^{-9} to 9.6×10^{-7} .

$J/\psi(1S)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	25AA	PR D111 112010	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63272
ABLIKIM	25AB	PR D112 032007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63273
ABLIKIM	25AD	PR D112 L011101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63275
ABLIKIM	25AH	JHEP 2504 061	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63279
ABLIKIM	25P	PR D111 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63260
AAIJ	24AE	JHEP 2412 062	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=63039
ABLIKIM	24	PRL 132 181901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62645
ABLIKIM	24AB	PR D110 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62681
ABLIKIM	24AD	PR D110 L031101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62683
ABLIKIM	24AM	JHEP 2401 126	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62697
ABLIKIM	24BI	PR D110 032020	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62938
ABLIKIM	24BQ	PR D110 052005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63020
ABLIKIM	24BV	PR D110 072021	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63027
Also	PR D111 099902	(errat.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63269
ABLIKIM	24BZ	PR D110 112012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63031
ABLIKIM	24CB	PR D110 112014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63033
ABLIKIM	24G	PR D109 L031102	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62657
ABLIKIM	24H	PR D109 012006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62658
ABLIKIM	24I	PR D109 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62659
ABLIKIM	24L	PR D109 052006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62662
HAYRAPETY...	24A	PR D109 L111101	A. Hayrapetyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)	REFID=62675
PDG	24	PR D110 030001	S. Navas <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=62629
ABLIKIM	23BD	PR D108 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62425
ABLIKIM	23BU	PR D108 112012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62517
ABLIKIM	23E	PL B838 137698	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62047
ABLIKIM	23S	PR D107 072005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62063
ANASHIN	23	JHEP 2306 196	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=62057
GONG	23	PR D107 072008	G. Gong <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=62073
LEES	23	PR D107 072001	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=62061
LIAO	23	PR D107 112007	L. Liao <i>et al.</i>		REFID=62279
ZHU	23	PR D107 012006	W. Zhu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=61911
ABLIKIM	22AI	PRL 129 192002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61881
Also	PR D106 072012		M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61891
ABLIKIM	22AS	PR D106 072012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61891
Also	PR D107 079901	(errat.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62033
ABLIKIM	22AY	PR D106 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61902
ABLIKIM	22B	PRL 129 022002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61636
ABLIKIM	22C	PR D105 072002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61637
ABLIKIM	22H	PR D105 012008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61643
ANASHIN	22	EPJ C82 938	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=61894
ABLIKIM	21AM	PR D104 092004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61445
ABLIKIM	21AT	JHEP 2111 226	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61463
ABLIKIM	21C	PR D103 012009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61030
ABLIKIM	21M	PR D103 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61118
ABLIKIM	21Q	JHEP 2106 157	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61125
LEES	21	PR D103 092001	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=61113
LEES	21B	PR D104 112003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=61450
LEES	21C	PR D104 112004	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=61451
SARANTSEV	21	PL B816 136227	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)	REFID=61091
ABLIKIM	20	PR D101 012004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60048
ABLIKIM	20K	PR D101 112005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60316
ABLIKIM	20Q	EPJ C80 746	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60457
ANASHIN	20	JHEP 2007 112	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=60512
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=60676
ABLIKIM	19A	PR D99 012006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59517
Also	PR D104 099901	(errat.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61449
ABLIKIM	19AB	PR D99 052010	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59846
ABLIKIM	19AC	PR D99 071101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59850
ABLIKIM	19AF	PR D99 072006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59857
ABLIKIM	19AN	PR D99 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59890
ABLIKIM	19AQ	PR D100 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59909
ABLIKIM	19H	PR D99 012013	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59604
ABLIKIM	19N	PR D99 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59615
ABLIKIM	19Q	PL B791 375	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59747
ABLIKIM	19T	PRL 122 142002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59773
LU	19	PR D99 032003	P.-C. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=59614
ABLIKIM	18AA	PR D98 072003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59455
ABLIKIM	18AB	PR D98 072005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59456
ABLIKIM	18D	PRL 121 022001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58849
ABLIKIM	18I	PR D97 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58893
ABLIKIM	18O	PR D97 072014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58925
ANASHIN	18A	JHEP 1805 119	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=59102
LEES	18	PR D97 052007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=58900
LEES	18E	PR D98 112015	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=59505
ABLIKIM	17AF	PR D96 111101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58315
ABLIKIM	17AH	PR D96 112001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58317
ABLIKIM	17AK	PR D96 112012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58324
ABLIKIM	17E	PL B770 217	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57903
ABLIKIM	17L	PR D95 052003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57967
LEES	17A	PR D95 052001	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=57966

LEES	17C	PR D95 072007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=57981
LEES	17D	PR D95 092005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=57990
ABLIKIM	16E	PR D93 052005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57265
ABLIKIM	16J	PRL 117 042002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57454
ABLIKIM	16K	PR D93 052010	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57509
ABLIKIM	16L	PR D93 072003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57510
ABLIKIM	16M	PR D93 072008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57511
ABLIKIM	16N	PR D93 112011	M. Ablikim	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57512
ABLIKIM	16P	PR D94 072005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57522
ABLIKIM	16Q	PL B761 98	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57566
PDG	16	CP C40 100001	C. Patrignani <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=57140
AAJ	15BI	EPJ C75 311	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57147
ABLIKIM	15AE	PR D92 052003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56984
ABLIKIM	15H	PR D91 052017	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56773
ABLIKIM	15K	PR D91 112001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56776
ABLIKIM	15P	PR D92 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56781
ABLIKIM	15T	PRL 115 091803	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56785
ANASHIN	15	PL B749 50	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=56792
DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)	REFID=56805
LEES	15J	PR D92 072008	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=56988
ABLIKIM	14I	PR D89 092008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55900
ABLIKIM	14K	PR D89 071101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55902
ABLIKIM	14N	PR D90 052009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55905
ABLIKIM	14Q	PR D90 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56238
ABLIKIM	14R	PR D90 112014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56388
ANASHIN	14	PL B738 391	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=56130
AULCHENKO	14	PL B731 227	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=55655
LEES	14H	PR D89 092002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55940
ABLIKIM	13F	PR D87 052007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54920
ABLIKIM	13I	PR D87 032003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54954
ABLIKIM	13J	PR D87 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54955
ABLIKIM	13L	PR D87 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55300
ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55387
ABLIKIM	13P	PR D87 112004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55392
ABLIKIM	13R	PR D88 032007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55402
ABLIKIM	13U	PR D88 091502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55582
LEES	13I	PR D87 112005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55161
LEES	13O	PR D87 092005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55293
LEES	13Q	PR D88 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55404
LEES	13Y	PR D88 072009	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55589
ABLIKIM	12	PR D85 092012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54265
ABLIKIM	12B	PR D86 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54267
ABLIKIM	12C	PR D86 032014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54268
ABLIKIM	12D	PRL 108 112003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54269
ABLIKIM	12E	PRL 108 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54270
ABLIKIM	12H	PL B710 594	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54273
ABLIKIM	12P	CP C36 1031	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=54863
LEES	12E	PR D85 112009	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54297
LEES	12F	PR D86 012008	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54298
METREVELI	12	PR D85 092007	Z. Metreveli <i>et al.</i>	(NWES, FLOR, WAYN+)	REFID=54304
ABLIKIM	11	PR D83 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53646
ABLIKIM	11C	PRL 106 072002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53684
ABLIKIM	11D	PR D83 032003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=16715
ABLIKIM	10C	PL B685 27	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=53349
ABLIKIM	10E	PL B693 88	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=53361
ALEXANDER	10	PR D82 092002	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53525
ANASHIN	10	PL B685 134	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=53220
DEL-AMO-SA...	10O	PRL 105 172001	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53533
INSLER	10	PR D81 091101	J. Insler <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53359
ABLIKIM	09	PL B676 25	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52718
ABLIKIM	09B	PR D80 052004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=53099
MITCHELL	09	PRL 102 011801	R.E. Mitchell <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52676
PEDLAR	09	PR D79 111101	T.K. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52998
SHEN	09	PR D80 031101	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53000
ABLIKIM	08	EPJ C53 15	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52047
ABLIKIM	08A	PR D77 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52128
ABLIKIM	08C	PL B659 789	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52130
ABLIKIM	08E	PR D77 032005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52143
ABLIKIM	08F	PRL 100 102003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52154
ABLIKIM	08G	PRL 100 192001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52253
ABLIKIM	08I	PL B662 330	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52255
ABLIKIM	08J	PL B663 297	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52256
ABLIKIM	08O	PR D78 092005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52571
ADAMS	08	PRL 101 101801	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52261
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52242
BESSION	08	PR D78 032012	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52685
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=52166
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52204
ABLIKIM	07H	PR D76 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52046
ABLIKIM	07J	PR D76 117101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52072
ANDREOTTI	07	PL B654 74	M. Andreotti <i>et al.</i>	(Femilab E835 Collab.)	REFID=51944
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51908
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52049
Also		PR D77 119902E (errat.)	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52266
AUBERT	07BD	PR D76 092006	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52050
ABLIKIM	06	PL B632 181	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50986
ABLIKIM	06C	PL B633 681	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51037
ABLIKIM	06E	PR D73 052008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51057
ABLIKIM	06F	PR D73 052007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51058
ABLIKIM	06H	PR D73 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51125
ABLIKIM	06J	PRL 96 162002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51127
ABLIKIM	06K	PRL 97 062001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=51128
ABLIKIM	06M	PL B639 418	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51130
ABLIKIM	06V	PL B642 441	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51507

ADAMS	06A	PR D73 051103	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51036
AUBERT	06	PR D73 011101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51017
AUBERT	06B	PR D73 012005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51026
AUBERT	06D	PR D73 052003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51047
AUBERT,BE	06D	PR D74 091103	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51511
WU	06	PRL 97 162003	C.-H. Wu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51472
ABLIKIM	05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50450
ABLIKIM	05B	PR D71 032003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50496
ABLIKIM	05C	PL B610 192	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50507
ABLIKIM	05H	PR D72 012002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50759
ABLIKIM	05R	PRL 95 262001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50985
AUBERT	05D	PR D71 052001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50509
LI	05C	PR D71 111103	Z. Li <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50802
SIBIRTSEV	05A	PR D71 054010	A. Sibirtsev, J. Haidenbauer		REFID=51038
ABLIKIM	04	PL B598 172	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49739
ABLIKIM	04M	PR D70 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50329
AUBERT	04	PR D69 011103	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=49611
AUBERT,B	04N	PR D70 072004	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50184
BAI	04A	PR D69 012003	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49607
BAI	04D	PL B589 7	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49750
BAI	04E	PL B591 42	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49751
BAI	04G	PR D70 012004	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49753
BAI	04H	PR D70 012005	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49754
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50167
SETH	04	PR D69 097503	K.K. Seth		REFID=49779
AULCHENKO	03	PL B573 63	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=49579
BAI	03D	PL B561 49	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49403
BAI	03F	PRL 91 022001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=49473
BAI	03G	PR D68 052003	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49580
HUANG	03	PRL 91 241802	H.-C. Huang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=49621
BAI	02C	PRL 88 101802	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50506
ARTAMONOV	00	PL B474 427	A.S. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47424
BAI	00	PRL 84 594	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50503
BAI	00B	PL B472 200	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47427
BAI	00D	PL B476 25	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47954
BAI	99	PL B446 356	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46606
BAI	99C	PRL 83 1918	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47420
BAI	98D	PR D58 092006	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46338
BAI	98G	PL B424 213	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46341
BAI	98H	PRL 81 1179	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46342
BALDINI	98	PL B444 111	R. Baldini <i>et al.</i>	(FENICE Collab.)	REFID=46608
ARMSTRONG	96	PR D54 7067	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(E760 Collab.)	REFID=45146
BAI	96B	PRL 76 3502	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=44736
BAI	96C	PRL 77 3959	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=45169
BAI	96D	PR D54 1221	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=45198
GRIBUSHIN	96	PR D53 4723	A. Gribushin <i>et al.</i>	(E672 and E706 Collab.)	REFID=44739
HASAN	96	PL B388 376	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(BRUN, LOQM)	REFID=45197
BAI	95B	PL B355 374	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=44434
BUGG	95	PL B353 378	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, PNPI, WASH)	REFID=44438
ANTONELLI	93	PL B301 317	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(FENICE Collab.)	REFID=43314
ARMSTRONG	93B	PR D47 772	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E760 Collab.)	REFID=43307
BARNES	93	PL B309 469	P.D. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(PS185 Collab.)	REFID=43601
AUGUSTIN	92	PR D46 1951	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41584
BOLTON	92	PL B278 495	T. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=42175
BOLTON	92B	PRL 69 1328	T. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=42176
COFFMAN	92	PRL 68 282	D.M. Coffman <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41866
HSUEH	92	PR D45 2181	S. Hsueh, S. Palestini	(FNAL, TORI)	REFID=41899
AUGUSTIN	90	PR D42 10	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41352
BAI	90B	PRL 65 1309	Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41354
BAI	90C	PRL 65 2507	Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41578
BISELLO	90	PL B241 617	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41359
COFFMAN	90	PR D41 1410	D.M. Coffman <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41350
JOUSSET	90	PR D41 1389	J. Jousset <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41349
ALEXANDER	89	NP B320 45	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MICH, SLAC)	REFID=40345
AUGUSTIN	89	NP B320 1	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41004
BISELLO	89B	PR D39 701	G. Busetto <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40575
AUGUSTIN	88	PRL 60 2238	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40574
COFFMAN	88	PR D38 2695	D.M. Coffman <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40346
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)	REFID=40576
AUGUSTIN	87	ZPHY C36 369	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, CLER, FRAS+)	REFID=40268
BAGLIN	87	NP B286 592	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(LAPP, CERN, GENO, LYON+)	REFID=40002
BALTRUSAIT...	87	PR D35 2077	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40010
BECKER	87	PRL 59 186	J.J. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40015
BISELLO	87	PL B192 239	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)	REFID=40012
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)	REFID=11616
HENRARD	87	NP B292 670	P. Henrard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)	REFID=40261
PALLIN	87	NP B292 653	D. Pallin <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO, PADO)	REFID=40243
BALTRUSAIT...	86B	PR D33 1222	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=22100
BALTRUSAIT...	86D	PRL 56 107	R.M. Baltrusaitis	(CIT, UCSC, ILL, SLAC+)	REFID=21865
BISELLO	86B	PL B179 294	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=22101
GAISER	86	PR D34 711	J. Gaiser <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=22012
BALTRUSAIT...	85C	PRL 55 1723	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, UCSC+)	REFID=22095
BALTRUSAIT...	85D	PR D32 566	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, UCSC+)	REFID=22097
KURAEV	85	SJNP 41 466	E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin	(NOVO)	REFID=40033
Translated from YAF 41 733.					
EATON	84	PR D29 804	M.W. Eaton <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22092
BLOOM	83	ARNS 33 143	E.D. Bloom, C. Peck	(SLAC, CIT)	REFID=21682
EDWARDS	83B	PRL 51 859	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21318
FRANKLIN	83	PRL 51 963	M.E.B. Franklin <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22216
BURKE	82	PRL 49 632	D.L. Burke <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=21676
EDWARDS	82B	PR D25 3065	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=22080
EDWARDS	82D	PRL 48 458	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21677
Also		ARNS 33 143	E.D. Bloom, C. Peck	(SLAC, CIT)	REFID=21682
EDWARDS	82E	PRL 49 259	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21314
LEMOIGNE	82	PL 113B 509	Y. Lemoigne <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, LOIC, SHMP+)	REFID=22084
BESCH	81	ZPHY C8 1	H.J. Besch <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, DESY, MAINZ)	REFID=22077
GIDAL	81	PL 107B 153	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=20386
PARTRIDGE	80	PRL 44 712	R. Partridge <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=22073
SCHARRE	80	PL 97B 329	D.L. Scharre <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=21329
ZHOLENTZ	80	PL 96B 214	A.A. Zholents <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=10320
Also		SJNP 34 814	A.A. Zholents <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=10321
Translated from YAF 34 1471.					

BRANDELIK	79C	ZPHY C1 233	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22114
ALEXANDER	78	PL 72B 493	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, HAMB, SIEG+)	REFID=22065
BESCH	78	PL 78B 347	H.J. Besch <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, DESY, MAINZ)	REFID=22066
BRANDELIK	78B	PL 74B 292	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22067
PERUZZI	78	PR D17 2901	I. Peruzzi <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22068
BARTEL	77	PL 66B 489	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, HEIDP)	REFID=22058
BURMESTER	77D	PL 72B 135	J. Burmester <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, HAMB, SIEG+)	REFID=22060
FELDMAN	77	PRPL 33C 285	G.J. Feldman, M.L. Perl	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22062
VANNUCCI	77	PR D15 1814	F. Vannucci <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22063
BARTEL	76	PL 64B 483	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, HEIDP)	REFID=22192
BRAUNSCH...	76	PL 63B 487	W. Braunschweig <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22054
JEAN-MARIE	76	PRL 36 291	B. Jean-Marie <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL) IG	REFID=22056
BALDINI-...	75	PL 58B 471	R. Baldini-Celio <i>et al.</i>	(FRAS, ROMA)	REFID=22026
BOYARSKI	75	PRL 34 1357	A.M. Boyarski <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL) JPC	REFID=22030
DASP	75	PL 56B 491	W. Braunschweig <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22036
ESPOSITO	75B	LNC 14 73	B. Esposito <i>et al.</i>	(FRAS, NAPL, PADO+)	REFID=22038
FORD	75	PRL 34 604	R.L. Ford <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, PENN)	REFID=22039

NODE=M870

BRANCHING RATIOS OF $\psi(2S)$, $\chi_{c0,1,2}$ AND η_c

Updated July 2025 by J.J. Hernández-Rey (IFIC, CSIC-UV, Valencia), R. Mitchell (Indiana U.), S. Navas (U. of Granada), C. Patrignani (Bologna Univ., INFN), and C.-Z. Yuan (IHEP, Beijing)

Since 2002, the treatment of the branching ratios of the $\psi(2S)$ and $\chi_{c0,1,2}$ has undergone an important restructuring [1]. Since the 2023 online edition, the $\eta_c(1S)$ is now treated in a similar way.

When measuring a branching fraction experimentally, it is not always possible to normalize the number of events observed in the corresponding decay mode to the total number of particles produced. Therefore, the experimenters sometimes report the number of observed decays with respect to another decay mode of the same or another particle in the relevant decay chain. This is actually equivalent to measuring combinations of branching ratios of several decay modes.

To extract the branching fraction of a given decay mode, the collaborations use some previously reported measurements of the required branching ratios. However, the values are frequently taken from the *Review of Particle Physics* (RPP), which in turn uses the branching fraction reported by the experiment in the following edition, giving rise either to correlations or to plain vicious circles, as has been pointed out in several publications [2,3,4].

The way to avoid these dependencies and correlations is to extract the branching fractions through a fit that uses the truly measured combinations of branching ratios and partial widths.

In the case of the $\psi(2S)$, χ_{c0} , χ_{c1} , and χ_{c2} , such a fit involves decays from the four particles and, occasionally, some combinations of branching ratios of more than one of them. We tried to identify those branching ratios which can be correlated in a non-trivial way, and although we cannot preclude the

existence of other cases, we are confident that the most relevant correlations have already been removed.

The $\eta_c(1S)$ can be produced in $\gamma\gamma$ collisions, in the radiative decays of vector and axial-vector charmonia (J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$ and $h_c(1P)$) or in B meson decays. As in the previous case, we now obtain the corresponding branching fractions using the products of branching ratios originally measured by the experiments, which sometimes involve several particles (cross-particle branching ratios) and performing an overall fit.

The PDG policy is to quote the results of the collaborations in a manner as close as possible to what appears in their original publications. However, in order to avoid the problems mentioned above, we had in some cases to work out the values originally measured, using the number of events and detection efficiencies given by the collaborations, or rescaling back the published results. The information was sometimes spread over several articles, and some articles referred to papers still unpublished, which in turn contained the relevant numbers in footnotes.

Even though the experimental collaborations are entitled to extract whatever branching ratios they consider appropriate by using other published results, we would like to encourage them to also quote explicitly in their articles the actual quantities measured, so that they can be used directly in averages and fits of different experimental determinations. For recent experiments our policy is not to use anymore extracted branching fractions, so that if the original measured quantity is missing in the relevant publication, the value will not be used by us in our averages and fits.

To inform the reader how we computed some of the values, we use footnotes to indicate the branching fractions actually given by the experiments and the quantities they use to derive them from the true combination of branching ratios actually measured. Likewise, we show the values of derived quantities even if they are not used in the fit, but to avoid confusion, we include in these measurements the footnote ‘Not used since the same experimental measurement has been used in another related quantity included elsewhere in the fit.’

1. Fit Information for the $\psi(2S)$ and $\chi_{c0,1,2}$

This is an overall fit to 4 total widths, 1 partial width, 25 combinations of partial widths, 10 branching fractions, and 78 branching ratios or combinations thereof. Of the latter, 56 involve decays of more than one particle.

The overall fit uses 255 measurements to determine 49 parameters and gives a χ^2 of 393.1 for 206 degrees of freedom.

We have applied scaling factors in the final errors of the fitted values following the procedure described in section 5.2.3 of our Introduction. After rescaling, the total χ^2 is 151.3.

In the listing we provide the inter-particle correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the corresponding parameter x_i .

2. Fit Information for the $\eta_c(1S)$

This is an overall fit to 1 total width, 10 combinations of partial widths, 6 branching fractions and 32 branching ratios or combinations thereof. Of the latter, 29 involve decays of more than one particle.

The overall fit uses 113 measurements to determine 19 parameters and has a χ^2 of 184.6 for 94 degrees of freedom.

We have applied scaling factors in the final errors of the fitted values following the procedure described in section 5.2.3 of our Introduction. After rescaling, the total χ^2 is 74.1.

In the listing we provide the inter-particle correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the corresponding parameter x_i .

References

1. K. Hagiwara *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. **D68**, 010001 (2002).
 2. Y.F. Gu and X.H. Li, Phys. Lett. **B449**, 361 (1999).
 3. C. Patrignani, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 034017 (2001).
 4. H. Wang and C.-Z. Yuan, Chin. Phys. **C46**, 071001 (2022).
-

$\chi_{c0}(1P)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

NODE=M056

 $\chi_{c0}(1P)$ MASS

NODE=M056M

NODE=M056M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3414.71 ± 0.30 OUR AVERAGE				
3413.0 ± 1.9 ± 0.6	933	¹ AAIJ	17BB LHCb	$pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}X \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)X$
3414.2 ± 0.5 ± 2.3	5.4k	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3406 ± 7 ± 6	230	² ABE	07 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi(c\bar{c})$
3414.21 ± 0.39 ± 0.27		ABLIKIM	05G BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}$
3414.7 $^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$ ± 0.2		³ ANDREOTTI	03 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$
3415.5 ± 0.4 ± 0.4	392	⁴ BAGNASCO	02 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$
3417.4 $^{+1.8}_{-1.9}$ ± 0.2		³ AMBROGIANI	99B E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$
3414.1 ± 0.6 ± 0.8		BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
3417.8 ± 0.4 ± 4		³ GAISER	86 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
3416 ± 3 ± 4		⁵ TANENBAUM	78 MRK1	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3414.6 ± 1.1	266	UEHARA	13 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
3416.5 ± 3.0		EISENSTEIN	01 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\chi_{c0}$
3422 ± 10		⁵ BARTEL	78B CNTR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$
3415 ± 9		⁵ BIDDICK	77 CNTR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ From a fit of the $\phi\phi$ invariant mass with the width of $\chi_{c0}(1P)$ fixed to the PDG 16 value.

² From a fit of the J/ψ recoil mass spectrum. Supersedes ABE,K 02 and ABE 04G.

³ Using mass of $\psi(2S) = 3686.0$ MeV.

⁴ Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A, using the value of $\psi(2S)$ mass from AULCHENKO 03.

⁵ Mass value shifted by us by amount appropriate for $\psi(2S)$ mass = 3686 MeV and $J/\psi(1S)$ mass = 3097 MeV.

NODE=M056M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M056M;LINKAGE=EB

NODE=M056M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M056M;LINKAGE=NW

NODE=M056M;LINKAGE=D

 $\chi_{c0}(1P)$ WIDTH

NODE=M056W

NODE=M056W

NEW

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11.1 ± 0.7 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2. [10.9 ± 0.6 MeV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]				
10.5 ± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
10.6 ± 1.9 ± 2.6	5.4k	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
12.6 $^{+1.5+0.9}_{-1.6-1.1}$		ABLIKIM	05G BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}$
8.6 $^{+1.7}_{-1.3}$ ± 0.1		ANDREOTTI	03 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$
9.7 ± 1.0	392	¹ BAGNASCO	02 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$
16.6 $^{+5.2}_{-3.7}$ ± 0.1		AMBROGIANI	99B E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$
14.3 ± 2.0 ± 3.0		BAI	98I BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
13.5 ± 3.3 ± 4.2		GAISER	86 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X, \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
13.2 ± 2.1	266	UEHARA	13 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A.

NODE=M056W;LINKAGE=AN

 $\chi_{c0}(1P)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M056215;NODE=M056

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level

Hadronic decays

Γ_1	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$(2.14 \pm 0.11) \%$	$S=1.2$	NODE=M056;CLUMP=A DESIG=3
Γ_2	$\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	$(8.4 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=9
Γ_3	$\rho^0\rho^0$			DESIG=54
Γ_4	$f_0(980)f_0(980)$	$(6.6 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=20
Γ_5	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$	$(3.3 \pm 0.4) \%$		DESIG=61
Γ_6	$\rho^+\pi^-\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.8 \pm 0.4) \%$		DESIG=62
Γ_7	$4\pi^0$	$(3.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=70
Γ_8	$\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$	$(1.78 \pm 0.16) \%$	$S=1.2$	DESIG=5
Γ_9	$K_0^*(1430)^0\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$	$(9.7 \pm 4.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=31
Γ_{10}	$K_0^*(1430)^0\bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$	$(7.9 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=32
Γ_{11}	$K_1(1270)^+K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$	$(6.2 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=33
Γ_{12}	$K_1(1400)^+K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$	$< 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=34
Γ_{13}	$f_0(980)f_0(980)$	$(1.6 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=23
Γ_{14}	$f_0(980)f_0(2200)$	$(7.8 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=24
Γ_{15}	$f_0(1370)f_0(1370)$	$< 2.7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=25
Γ_{16}	$f_0(1370)f_0(1500)$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=26
Γ_{17}	$f_0(1370)f_0(1710)$	$(6.6 \pm 3.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=27
Γ_{18}	$f_0(1500)f_0(1370)$	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=28
Γ_{19}	$f_0(1500)f_0(1500)$	$< 5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=29
Γ_{20}	$f_0(1500)f_0(1710)$	$< 7 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=30
Γ_{21}	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(8.7 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$	$S=1.2$	DESIG=75
Γ_{22}	$K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-$	$(4.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=87
Γ_{23}	$K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0$	$(5.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=63
Γ_{24}	$K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.46 \pm 0.33) \%$		DESIG=65
Γ_{25}	$\rho^+K^-K^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.19 \pm 0.21) \%$		DESIG=66
Γ_{26}	$K^*(892)^-K^+\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(4.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=67
Γ_{27}	$K_S^0K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$	$(5.6 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=41
Γ_{28}	$K^+K^-\eta\pi^0$	$(3.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=68
Γ_{29}	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$(1.99 \pm 0.22) \%$	$S=3.0$	DESIG=4
Γ_{30}	$K^+\bar{K}^*(892)^0\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(7.3 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=10
Γ_{31}	$K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^*(892)^0$	$(1.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=21
Γ_{32}	$\pi\pi$	$(8.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	$S=1.4$	DESIG=18
Γ_{33}	$\pi^0\eta$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=35
Γ_{34}	$\pi^0\eta'$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=36
Γ_{35}	$\pi^0\eta_c$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=86
Γ_{36}	$\eta\eta$	$(2.97 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$	$S=1.3$	DESIG=13
Γ_{37}	$\eta\eta'$	$(9.0 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=37
Γ_{38}	$\eta'\eta'$	$(2.14 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=46
Γ_{39}	$\omega\omega$	$(1.03 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=22
Γ_{40}	$\omega\phi$	$(1.22 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$	$S=1.4$	DESIG=76
Γ_{41}	ωK^+K^-	$(1.89 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=88
Γ_{42}	K^+K^-	$(5.97 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-3}$	$S=1.1$	DESIG=2
Γ_{43}	$K_S^0K_S^0$	$(3.12 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-3}$	$S=1.1$	DESIG=15
Γ_{44}	$\pi^+\pi^-\eta$	$< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=50
Γ_{45}	$2\pi^+2\pi^-\eta$	$(4.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=110
Γ_{46}	$\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$	$< 3.5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=53
Γ_{47}	$\bar{K}^0K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$< 9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=17
Γ_{48}	$K^+K^-\pi^0$	$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=47
Γ_{49}	$K^+K^-\eta$	$< 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=51
Γ_{50}	$K^+K^-K_S^0K_S^0$	$(1.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=42
Γ_{51}	$K_S^0K_S^0K_S^0K_S^0$	$(5.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=94
Γ_{52}	$K^+K^-K^+K^-$	$(2.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	$S=1.5$	DESIG=14

Γ ₅₃	$K^+ K^- \phi$	$(9.6 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=44
Γ ₅₄	$\bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- \phi + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=91
Γ ₅₅	$K^+ K^- \pi^0 \phi$	$(1.90 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=92
Γ ₅₆	$K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	$(7.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=111
Γ ₅₇	$3(K^+ K^-)$	$(1.06 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=106
Γ ₅₈	$\phi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(1.18 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=89
Γ ₅₉	$\phi \phi$	$(8.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.2	DESIG=16
Γ ₆₀	$\phi \phi \eta$	$(8.3 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=96
Γ ₆₁	$\rho \bar{\rho}$	$(2.38 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.0	DESIG=11
Γ ₆₂	$\rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0$	$(6.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.3	DESIG=48
Γ ₆₃	$\rho \bar{\rho} \eta$	$(3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=52
Γ ₆₄	$\rho \bar{\rho} \omega$	$(5.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=69
Γ ₆₅	$\rho \bar{\rho} \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(2.1 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.4	DESIG=8
Γ ₆₆	$\rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0 \pi^0$	$(1.02 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=64
Γ ₆₇	$\rho \bar{\rho} \eta \pi^0$	$(2.38 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=112
Γ ₆₈	$\rho \bar{\rho} K^+ K^-$	$(2.51 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=113
Γ ₆₉	$\rho \bar{\rho} K^+ K^- (\text{non-resonant})$	$(1.20 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=71
Γ ₇₀	$K^+ \bar{p} \Lambda(1520) + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=72
Γ ₇₁	$\Lambda(1520) \bar{\Lambda}(1520)$	$(3.1 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=73
Γ ₇₂	$\rho \bar{\rho} \phi$	$(5.9 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=74
Γ ₇₃	$\rho \bar{\rho} K_S^0 K_S^0$	$< 8 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=40
Γ ₇₄	$\rho \bar{\rho} K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=107
Γ ₇₅	$\rho \bar{n} \pi^-$	$(1.25 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=43
Γ ₇₆	$\bar{\rho} n \pi^+$	$(1.35 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=82
Γ ₇₇	$\rho \bar{n} \pi^- \pi^0$	$(2.31 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=83
Γ ₇₈	$\bar{\rho} n \pi^+ \pi^0$	$(2.18 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=84
Γ ₇₉	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$	$(3.55 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1	DESIG=19
Γ ₈₀	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(1.16 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=38
Γ ₈₁	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^- (\text{non-resonant})$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=77
Γ ₈₂	$\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Lambda} \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=78
Γ ₈₃	$\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=79
Γ ₈₄	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \eta$	$(2.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=102
Γ ₈₅	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \omega$	$(2.34 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=105
Γ ₈₆	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \phi$	$(2.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=104
Γ ₈₇	$K^+ \bar{p} \Lambda + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.23 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=49
Γ ₈₈	$n K_S^0 \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$	$(6.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=101
Γ ₈₉	$K^*(892)^+ \bar{p} \Lambda + \text{c.c.}$	$(4.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=98
Γ ₉₀	$\bar{p} \Lambda(1520) K_S^0 \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=108
Γ ₉₁	$\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$	$(4.62 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=58
Γ ₉₂	$\Sigma^+ \bar{p} K_S^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.48 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=97
Γ ₉₃	$\Sigma^0 \bar{p} K^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.00 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=100
Γ ₉₄	$\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$	$(4.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.5	DESIG=59
Γ ₉₅	$\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- \eta$	$(1.24 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=109
Γ ₉₆	$\Sigma^- \bar{\Sigma}^+$	$(5.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=99
Γ ₉₇	$\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^-$	$(1.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=80
Γ ₉₈	$\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+$	$(2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=81
Γ ₉₉	$K^- \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.92 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=85
Γ ₁₀₀	$\Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0$	$(4.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.7	DESIG=60
Γ ₁₀₁	$\Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+$	$(4.40 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=39
Γ ₁₀₂	$\Omega^- \bar{\Omega}^+$	$(3.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=103
Γ ₁₀₃	$\eta_c \pi^+ \pi^-$	$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=90

Radiative decays

Γ ₁₀₄	$\gamma J/\psi(1S)$	$(1.36 \pm 0.09) \%$	S=1.9	DESIG=6
Γ ₁₀₅	$\gamma \rho^0$	$< 9 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=55
Γ ₁₀₆	$\gamma \omega$	$< 8 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=56
Γ ₁₀₇	$\gamma \phi$	$< 6 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=57
Γ ₁₀₈	$\gamma \gamma$	$(2.05 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.3	DESIG=7
Γ ₁₀₉	$e^+ e^- J/\psi(1S)$	$(1.29 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=93
Γ ₁₁₀	$\mu^+ \mu^- J/\psi(1S)$	$< 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=95

NODE=M056;CLUMP=B

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

A multiparticle fit to $\chi_{c1}(1P)$, $\chi_{c0}(1P)$, $\chi_{c2}(1P)$, and $\psi(2S)$ with 4 total widths, a partial width, 27 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 88 branching ratios uses 258 measurements to determine 50 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 414.2$ for 208 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

x_2	16									
x_8	14	2								
x_{21}	12	2	6							
x_{30}	5	1	33	3						
x_{32}	28	4	12	12	5					
x_{36}	19	3	8	8	3	18				
x_{42}	26	4	12	11	5	26	17			
x_{43}	25	4	11	11	4	25	17	23		
x_{52}	10	2	7	4	3	9	6	9	8	
x_{59}	33	5	14	14	5	32	22	30	29	10
x_{61}	19	3	8	8	3	16	11	18	17	6
x_{79}	32	5	13	13	5	31	21	29	28	9
x_{104}	8	1	3	3	1	9	6	8	7	2
x_{108}	11	2	-4	4	-4	12	8	11	11	-1
Γ	-24	-4	-22	-11	-11	-21	-14	-21	-19	-13
	x_1	x_2	x_8	x_{21}	x_{30}	x_{32}	x_{36}	x_{42}	x_{43}	x_{52}

x_{61}	22									
x_{79}	37	21								
x_{104}	9	-15	9							
x_{108}	15	9	16	9						
Γ	-24	-12	-21	-8	-51					
	x_{59}	x_{61}	x_{79}	x_{104}	x_{108}					

$\chi_{c0}(1P)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

———— $\chi_{c0}(1P) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$ ————

NODE=M056217

NODE=M056224

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{108} / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
48.8 ± 3.5 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2. [48.7 ± 3.5 eV OUR 2025 FIT
 Scale factor = 1.2]

NODE=M056G2

NODE=M056G2

NEW

49 ± 10 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8.

44.7 ± 3.6 ± 4.9 3.6k UEHARA 08 BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
 75 ± 13 ± 8 EISENSTEIN 01 CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\chi_{c0}$

$\Gamma(\rho^0\rho^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_3 \Gamma_{108} / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV) CL% EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<12 90 <252 UEHARA 08 BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

NODE=M056G07

NODE=M056G07

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_8 \Gamma_{108} / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

41 ± 4 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [41 ± 4 eV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]

NODE=M056G08

NODE=M056G08

NEW

38.8 ± 3.7 ± 4.7 1.7k UEHARA 08 BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{21}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
19.9±2.6 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
26 ±4 ±4	1094	DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

NODE=M056G01
NODE=M056G01

$$\Gamma(K^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{30}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
17 ±4 OUR FIT				
16.7±6.1±3.0	495 ± 182	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M056G09
NODE=M056G09

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{31}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<6	90	<148	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M056G10
NODE=M056G10

$$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{32}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
19.1± 1.5 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2. [19.1 ± 1.5 eV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]			
23 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE				

29.7 ^{+17.4} _{-12.0} ± 4.8	103 ⁺⁶⁰ ₋₄₂	1	UEHARA	09	BELL	10.6	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
22.7 ± 3.2 ± 3.5	129 ± 18	2	NAKAZAWA	05	BELL	10.6	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ We multiplied the measurement by 3 to convert from $\pi^0 \pi^0$ to $\pi\pi$. Interference with the continuum included.

² We have multiplied $\pi^+ \pi^-$ measurement by 3/2 to obtain $\pi\pi$.

NODE=M056G3
NODE=M056G3

NEW

NODE=M056G3;LINKAGE=UE

NODE=M056G;LINKAGE=NA

$$\Gamma(\eta\eta) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{36}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.8±0.7 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
9.4±2.3±1.2	22	¹ UEHARA	10A	BELL $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\eta$

¹ Interference with the continuum not included.

NODE=M056G06
NODE=M056G06

NODE=M056G06;LINKAGE=UE

$$\Gamma(\omega\omega) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{39}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<3.9	90	¹ LIU	12B	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$

¹ Using $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.

NODE=M056G02
NODE=M056G02

NODE=M056G02;LINKAGE=LI

$$\Gamma(\omega\phi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{40}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.34	90	¹ LIU	12B	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.

NODE=M056G03
NODE=M056G03

NODE=M056G03;LINKAGE=LI

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{42}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
13.6±1.1 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [13.6 ± 1.1 eV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]			
14.3±1.6±2.3	153 ± 17	NAKAZAWA	05	BELL $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$

NODE=M056G4
NODE=M056G4

NEW

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{43}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.1 ±0.6 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2. [7.1 ± 0.6 eV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]			
8.7 ±1.7 ±0.9	266	¹ UEHARA	13	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

7.00±0.65±0.71	134 ± 12	CHEN	07B	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \chi_{c0}$

¹ Supersedes CHEN 07B.

NODE=M056G5
NODE=M056G5

NEW

NODE=M056G5;LINKAGE=UE

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- K^+ K^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{52}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.3±1.0 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4. [6.3 ± 1.0 eV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.4]			
7.9±1.3±1.1	215 ± 36	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

NODE=M056G11
NODE=M056G11

NEW

$\Gamma(\phi\phi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{59}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1.90±0.14 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.	[1.89 ± 0.13 eV OUR 2025 FIT			
Scale factor = 1.1]					
1.72±0.33±0.14	56 ± 11	¹ LIU	12B BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.3 ± 0.9 ± 0.4	23.6 ± 9.6	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$	
¹ Supersedes UEHARA 08. Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$.					

NODE=M056G12
 NODE=M056G12
 NEW

NODE=M056G12;LINKAGE=LI

$\chi_{c0}(1P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M056220

HADRONIC DECAYS

NODE=M056305

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.10±0.09±0.06	1946k	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	24BT BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	
¹ ABLIKIM 24BT reports $2.127 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.101\%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.79 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.					
² Not used since the same experimental measurement has been used in another related quantity included elsewhere in the fit.					

NODE=M056P08;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M056P08;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^-))$					Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.39±0.12 OUR FIT					
0.39±0.12	TANENBAUM	78 MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$		

NODE=M056R54
 NODE=M056R54

$\Gamma(f_0(980) f_0(980))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_4/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
6.6±2.1 OUR AVERAGE	[(6.7 ± 2.1) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]				
6.6±2.1±0.2	36 ± 9	¹ ABLIKIM	04G BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	
¹ ABLIKIM 04G reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow f_0(980) f_0(980))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ = (6.5 ± 1.6 ± 1.3) × 10 ⁻⁵ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.					

NODE=M056R24
 NODE=M056R24

NEW

NODE=M056R24;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_5/Γ
VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
3.3±0.4±0.1	1751.4	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$	
¹ HE 08B reports $3.54 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.43 \pm 0.18\%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.					

NODE=M056R62
 NODE=M056R62

NODE=M056R62;LINKAGE=HE

$\Gamma(\rho^+ \pi^- \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_6/Γ
VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2.8±0.4 OUR AVERAGE	[(2.9 ± 0.4)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]				
2.8±0.4±0.1	1358.5	^{1,2} HE	08B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$	
¹ HE 08B reports $3.04 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.16\%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \rho^+ \pi^- \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.					
² Calculated by us. We have added the values from HE 08B for $\rho^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and $\rho^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ decays assuming uncorrelated statistical and fully correlated systematic uncertainties.					

NODE=M056R63
 NODE=M056R63

NEW

NODE=M056R63;LINKAGE=HE

NODE=M056R63;LINKAGE=OC

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

3.2±0.4 OUR AVERAGE $[(3.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]NODE=M056R71
NODE=M056R71

NEW

3.2±0.4±0.1 3296 ¹ ABLIKIM 11A BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}$

¹ ABLIKIM 11A reports $(3.34 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.44) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow 4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.62 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R71;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)^0 \bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

9.7^{+4.0}_{-2.8} OUR AVERAGE $[(9.9^{+4.0}_{-2.8}) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]NODE=M056R36
NODE=M056R36

NEW

9.7^{+3.6}_{-2.8}±0.3 83 ¹ ABLIKIM 05Q BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 05Q reports $(10.44 \pm 2.37^{+3.05}_{-1.90}) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)^0 \bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R36;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)^0 \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

7.9^{+1.9}_{-2.4} OUR AVERAGE $[(8.0^{+2.0}_{-2.4}) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]NODE=M056R37
NODE=M056R37

NEW

7.9^{+1.9}_{-2.4}±0.2 62 ¹ ABLIKIM 05Q BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 05Q reports $(8.49 \pm 1.66^{+1.32}_{-1.99}) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)^0 \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R37;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K_1(1270)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

6.2±1.9 OUR AVERAGE $[(6.3 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-3}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]NODE=M056R38
NODE=M056R38

NEW

6.2^{+1.9}_{-1.8}±0.2 68 ¹ ABLIKIM 05Q BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 05Q reports $(6.66 \pm 1.31^{+1.60}_{-1.51}) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K_1(1270)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. The measurement assumes $B(K_1(1270) \rightarrow K\rho(770)) = 42 \pm 6\%$.

NODE=M056R38;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K_1(1400)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3}) CL%

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<2.7 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 05Q BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$ NODE=M056R39
NODE=M056R39

NODE=M056R39;LINKAGE=AB

¹ ABLIKIM 05Q reports $< 2.85 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K_1(1400)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$. The measurement assumes $B(K_1(1400) \rightarrow K^*(892)\pi) = 94 \pm 6\%$.

 $\Gamma(f_0(980) f_0(980))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

16.0^{+10.3}_{-8.8}±0.4 28 ¹ ABLIKIM 05Q BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$ NODE=M056R28
NODE=M056R28

¹ABLIKIM 05Q reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow f_0(980)f_0(980))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))] = (1.59 \pm 0.50^{+0.89}_{-0.72}) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. One of the $f_0(980)$ mesons is identified via decay to $\pi^+\pi^-$ while the other via K^+K^- decay.

NODE=M056R28;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(f_0(980)f_0(2200))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M056R29
NODE=M056R29

$7.8^{+2.0}_{-2.5}$ OUR AVERAGE $[(8.0^{+2.0}_{-2.5}) \times 10^{-4}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NEW

$7.8^{+2.0}_{-2.5} \pm 0.2$ 77 ¹ABLIKIM 05Q BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- K^+K^-$

¹ABLIKIM 05Q reports $(8.42 \pm 1.42^{+1.65}_{-2.29}) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow f_0(980)f_0(2200))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. The f_0 mesons are identified via $f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ and $f_0(2200) \rightarrow K^+K^-$ decays.

NODE=M056R29;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(f_0(1370)f_0(1370))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M056R30
NODE=M056R30

<2.7 90 ¹ABLIKIM 05Q BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- K^+K^-$

¹ABLIKIM 05Q reports $< 2.9 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow f_0(1370)f_0(1370))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$. One of the $f_0(1370)$ mesons is identified via decay to $\pi^+\pi^-$ while the other via K^+K^- decay. Both branching fractions for these f_0 decays are implicitly included in the quoted result.

NODE=M056R30;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(f_0(1370)f_0(1500))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M056R31
NODE=M056R31

<1.7 90 ¹ABLIKIM 05Q BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- K^+K^-$

¹ABLIKIM 05Q reports $< 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow f_0(1370)f_0(1500))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$. The f_0 mesons are identified via $f_0(1370) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ and $f_0(1500) \rightarrow K^+K^-$ decays. Both branching fractions for these f_0 decays are implicitly included in the quoted result.

NODE=M056R31;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(f_0(1370)f_0(1710))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M056R32
NODE=M056R32

$6.6^{+3.5}_{-2.3}$ OUR AVERAGE $[(6.7^{+3.5}_{-2.3}) \times 10^{-4}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NEW

$6.6^{+3.5}_{-2.3} \pm 0.2$ 61 ¹ABLIKIM 05Q BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- K^+K^-$

¹ABLIKIM 05Q reports $(7.12 \pm 1.85^{+3.28}_{-1.68}) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow f_0(1370)f_0(1710))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. The f_0 mesons are identified via $f_0(1370) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ and $f_0(1710) \rightarrow K^+K^-$ decays. Both branching fractions for these f_0 decays are implicitly included in the quoted result.

NODE=M056R32;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(f_0(1500)f_0(1370))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M056R33
NODE=M056R33

<1.3 90 ¹ABLIKIM 05Q BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- K^+K^-$

¹ABLIKIM 05Q reports $< 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow f_0(1500)f_0(1370))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$. The f_0 mesons are identified via $f_0(1500) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ and $f_0(1370) \rightarrow K^+K^-$ decays. Both branching fractions for these f_0 decays are implicitly included in the quoted result.

NODE=M056R33;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(f_0(1500) f_0(1500))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.5	90	¹ ABLIKIM	05Q BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
¹ ABLIKIM 05Q reports $< 0.55 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow f_0(1500) f_0(1500))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$. One of the $f_0(1500)$ is identified via decay to $\pi^+ \pi^-$ while the other via $K^+ K^-$ decay. Both branching fractions for these f_0 decays are implicitly included in the quoted result.				

NODE=M056R34
 NODE=M056R34

NODE=M056R34;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(f_0(1500) f_0(1710))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.7	90	¹ ABLIKIM	05Q BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
¹ ABLIKIM 05Q reports $< 0.73 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow f_0(1500) f_0(1710))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$. The f_0 mesons are identified via $f_0(1500) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $f_0(1710) \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ decays. Both branching fractions for these f_0 decays are implicitly included in the quoted result.				

NODE=M056R35
 NODE=M056R35

NODE=M056R35;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
8.7±1.0 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
8.4±0.9±0.2	9.0k	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	13B BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}$
¹ ABLIKIM 13B reports $(8.61 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.94) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.68 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				
² Not used since the same experimental measurement has been used in another related quantity included elsewhere in the fit.				

NODE=M056R85
 NODE=M056R85

NODE=M056R85;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M056R85;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.1 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE		[(4.2 ± 0.4) $\times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
$4.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1$	2.7k	¹ ABLIKIM	13B BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$
¹ ABLIKIM 13B reports $(4.22 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.43) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.68 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M056R86
 NODE=M056R86

NEW

NODE=M056R86;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.55 ± 0.09 OUR AVERAGE		[(0.56 ± 0.09)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
$0.55 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.01$	213.5	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$
¹ HE 08B reports $0.59 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.03$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M056R64
 NODE=M056R64

NEW

NODE=M056R64;LINKAGE=HE

 $\Gamma(K^+ \pi^- \bar{K}^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.46 ± 0.33 OUR AVERAGE		[(2.50 ± 0.33)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
$2.46 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.07$	401.7	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$
¹ HE 08B reports $2.64 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.14$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \bar{K}^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M056R66
 NODE=M056R66

NEW

NODE=M056R66;LINKAGE=HE

$$\Gamma(\rho^+ K^- K^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{25}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.19±0.21 OUR AVERAGE		[(1.21 ± 0.21)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
1.19±0.21±0.03	179.7	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $1.28 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.07$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \rho^+ K^- K^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R67
NODE=M056R67
NEW

NODE=M056R67;LINKAGE=HE

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^- K^+ \pi^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \bar{K}^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.46±0.11±0.01	64.1	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $0.49 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^- K^+ \pi^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \bar{K}^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R68
NODE=M056R68

NODE=M056R68;LINKAGE=HE

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{27}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.6±1.0 OUR AVERAGE		[(5.7 ± 1.1) $\times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
5.6±1.0±0.2	152 ± 14	¹ ABLIKIM	050 BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

¹ ABLIKIM 050 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ = $(0.558 \pm 0.051 \pm 0.089) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R47
NODE=M056R47

NEW

NODE=M056R47;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \eta \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{28}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.30±0.07±0.01	56.4	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $0.32 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.02$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R69
NODE=M056R69

NODE=M056R69;LINKAGE=HE

$$\Gamma(3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{29}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
19.9±2.2 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 3.0. [(19.6 ± 2.2) $\times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 3.4]		
20.5±0.5±0.6	145k	¹ ABLIKIM	22Q BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
11.0±2.2±0.3	191	² BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$
14.1±5.0±0.4	37	³ TANENBAUM	78 MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

¹ ABLIKIM 22Q reports $(2.080 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.068) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.79 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² BAI 99B reports $(11.7 \pm 1.0 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ TANENBAUM 78 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ = $(1.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R4
NODE=M056R4

NEW

NODE=M056R4;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M056R4;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M056R4;LINKAGE=C

$$\Gamma(K^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-) \quad \Gamma_{30}/\Gamma_8$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.41±0.09 OUR FIT			
0.41±0.10	TANENBAUM 78	MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

NODE=M056R55
NODE=M056R55

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$1.69^{+0.59}_{-0.54} \pm 0.05$	64	¹ ABLIKIM	05Q BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.54 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.04$	30 ± 6	^{2,3} ABLIKIM	04H BES	Repl. by ABLIKIM 05Q

¹ ABLIKIM 05Q reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ = $(0.168 \pm 0.035^{+0.047}_{-0.040}) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Assumes $B(K^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) = 2/3$.

³ ABLIKIM 04H reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ = $(1.53 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R26
NODE=M056R26

NODE=M056R26;LINKAGE=AI

NODE=M056R;LINKAGE=AL
NODE=M056R26;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \eta_c)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{35}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$<1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	15N BES3	$\psi(2S) e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \eta_c$

¹ Using $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) \times B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) \times B(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) = (1.66 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M056R00
NODE=M056R00

NODE=M056R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{37}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

9.0 $\pm$ 1.1 OUR AVERAGE [(9.1 $\pm$ 1.1) $\times 10^{-5}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

9.0$\pm$1.0$\pm$0.2	85	¹ ABLIKIM	17AI BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<23	90	² ASNER	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta$

<50	90	³ ADAMS	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

¹ ABLIKIM 17AI reports $(8.92 \pm 0.84 \pm 0.65) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \eta \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.99 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ASNER 09 reports $< 0.25 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \eta \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.

³ Superseded by ASNER 09. ADAMS 07 reports $< 0.5 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \eta \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M056R03
NODE=M056R03

NEW

NODE=M056R03;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M056R03;LINKAGE=AS

NODE=M056R03;LINKAGE=AD

 $\Gamma(\eta' \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{38}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.14 $\pm$ 0.12 OUR AVERAGE
[(2.18 $\pm$ 0.12) $\times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

2.21 $\pm$ 0.13 $\pm$ 0.06	2.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	17AI BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$

1.97 $\pm$ 0.21 $\pm$ 0.05	0.4k	² ASNER	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.58 $\pm$ 0.41 $\pm$ 0.04	23	³ ADAMS	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

¹ ABLIKIM 17AI reports $(2.19 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \eta' \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.99 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ASNER 09 reports $(2.12 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \eta' \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Superseded by ASNER 09. ADAMS 07 reports $(1.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \eta' \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 0.0922 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0046$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R04
NODE=M056R04

NEW

NODE=M056R04;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M056R04;LINKAGE=AS

NODE=M056R04;LINKAGE=AD

$\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.03±0.05 OUR AVERAGE[(0.97 ± 0.11) × 10⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

1.05±0.05±0.03	17.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	25K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$
0.92±0.11±0.02	991	² ABLIKIM	11K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{ hadrons}$
2.1 ± 0.7 ± 0.1	38.1 ± 9.6	³ ABLIKIM	05N BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0} \rightarrow \gamma 6\pi$

¹ ABLIKIM 25K reports $(10.66 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.57) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.79 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 11K reports $(0.95 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.62 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ ABLIKIM 05N reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))] = (0.212 \pm 0.053 \pm 0.037) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R27

NODE=M056R27

NEW

NODE=M056R27;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M056R27;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M056R27;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.22±0.10 OUR AVERAGEError includes scale factor of 1.4. [(1.42 ± 0.13) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

1.17±0.07±0.03	1.9k	¹ ABLIKIM	25K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 K^+ K^-$
1.40±0.12±0.04	486	² ABLIKIM	19J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{ hadrons}$
• • •		We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
1.16±0.21±0.03	76	^{3,4} ABLIKIM	11K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{ hadrons}$

¹ ABLIKIM 25K reports $(1.18 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.79 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 19J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))] = (13.83 \pm 0.70 \pm 1.01) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ ABLIKIM 11K reports $(1.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.62 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴ Superseded by ABLIKIM 19J.

NODE=M056R76

NODE=M056R76

NEW

NODE=M056R76;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M056R76;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M056R76;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M056R76;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\omega K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.89±0.20 OUR AVERAGE [(1.94 ± 0.21) × 10⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

1.89±0.19±0.05	1.4k	¹ ABLIKIM	13B BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

¹ ABLIKIM 13B reports $(1.94 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.68 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R87

NODE=M056R87

NEW

NODE=M056R87;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<0.20	90	¹ ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.0	90	² ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

NODE=M056R08

NODE=M056R08

NEW

- ¹ ATHAR 07 reports $< 0.21 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.
- ² ABLIKIM 06R reports $< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M056R08;LINKAGE=AT

NODE=M056R08;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta') / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{46} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.35 (CL = 90%)		[<0.4 $\times 10^{-3}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]		
<0.35	90	¹ ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$
¹ ATHAR 07 reports $< 0.38 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta') / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M056R51
NODE=M056R51

NODE=M056R51;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(\bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{47} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.09	90	¹ ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.6	90	^{2,3} ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$
<0.7	90	^{3,4} BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$
¹ ATHAR 07 reports $< 0.10 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.				
² ABLIKIM 06R reports $< 0.70 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.				
³ We have multiplied the $K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-$ measurement by a factor of 2 to convert to $K^0 K^+ \pi^-$.				
⁴ Rescaled by us using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}) = (9.4 \pm 0.4)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.6 \pm 0.5)\%$.				

NODE=M056R17
NODE=M056R17

NODE=M056R17;LINKAGE=AT

NODE=M056R17;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M056R17;LINKAGE=BA

NODE=M056R17;LINKAGE=X1

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{48} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.06	90	¹ ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$
¹ ATHAR 07 reports $< 0.06 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M056R05
NODE=M056R05

NODE=M056R05;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{49} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.22 (CL = 90%)		[<0.23 $\times 10^{-3}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]		
<0.22	90	¹ ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$
¹ ATHAR 07 reports $< 0.24 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M056R09
NODE=M056R09

NODE=M056R09;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_S^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{50} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.39 $\pm$ 0.47 $\pm$ 0.04	16.8 $\pm$ 4.8	¹ ABLIKIM	050 BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$
¹ ABLIKIM 050 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_S^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ = $(0.138 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.025) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M056R48
NODE=M056R48

NODE=M056R48;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{51}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

5.7±0.5 OUR AVERAGE [(5.8 ± 0.5) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**5.7±0.5±0.2** 319 ¹ ABLIKIM 19AA BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 4K_S^0$

¹ Using $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (69.20 \pm 0.05)\%$. ABLIKIM 19AA reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))] = (5.64 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.37) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value..

NODE=M056R95
NODE=M056R95

NEW

NODE=M056R95;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{53}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.96±0.24 OUR AVERAGE [(0.97 ± 0.25) × 10⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**0.96±0.24±0.03** 38 ¹ ABLIKIM 06T BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2K^+ 2K^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 06T reports $(1.03 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R01
NODE=M056R01

NEW

NODE=M056R01;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- \phi + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{54}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

3.68±0.30±0.50 ABLIKIM 15M BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$ NODE=M056R90
NODE=M056R90 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0 \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{55}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.90±0.14±0.32 ABLIKIM 15M BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$ NODE=M056R91
NODE=M056R91 $\Gamma(\phi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{58}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.18±0.07±0.13 538 ¹ ABLIKIM 13B BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

¹ Using $1.06 \times 10^8 \psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \gamma) = (9.68 \pm 0.31)\%$.

NODE=M056R88
NODE=M056R88

NODE=M056R88;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\phi \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{59}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.84 ±0.04 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2. [(0.848 ± 0.031) × 10⁻³ OUR 2025 FIT]NODE=M056R16
NODE=M056R16

NEW

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.848±0.028±0.023 2701 ^{1,2,3} ABLIKIM 23N BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma$ hadrons

¹ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$ from PDG 22.

² ABLIKIM 23N reports $(8.59 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \phi \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.79 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Not used since the same experimental measurement has been used in another related quantity included elsewhere in the fit.

NODE=M056R16;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M056R16;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M056R16;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(\phi \phi \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{60}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

8.3±1.0 OUR AVERAGE [(8.4 ± 1.0) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**8.3±0.9±0.2** 186.6 ¹ ABLIKIM 20B BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \phi \phi \eta$

¹ ABLIKIM 20B reports $(8.41 \pm 0.74 \pm 0.62) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \phi \phi \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.79 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R98
NODE=M056R98

NEW

NODE=M056R98;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\pi \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{61}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{32}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

19.9±1.7 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.7. [(18.9 ± 1.6) × 10⁻⁷ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]**15.3±2.4±0.8** ¹ ANDREOTTI 03 E835 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$

¹ We have multiplied $B(p \bar{p}) \cdot B(\pi^0 \pi^0)$ measurement by 3 to obtain $B(p \bar{p}) \cdot B(\pi \pi)$.

NODE=M056R21
NODE=M056R21

NEW

NODE=M056R;LINKAGE=AD

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{61}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.4	ANDREOTTI	05C E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta$

NODE=M056R41
NODE=M056R41

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\pi^0\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{61}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{34}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.5	ANDREOTTI	05C E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta$

NODE=M056R42
NODE=M056R42

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{61}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{36}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.1±0.7 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5. $[(6.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-7} \text{ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor} = 1.4]$		
4.0±1.2^{+0.5}_{-0.3}	ANDREOTTI	05C E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta$

NODE=M056R40
NODE=M056R40

NEW

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{61}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{37}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M056R43
NODE=M056R43

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.1 ^{+2.3} _{-1.5}	ANDREOTTI	05C E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta$

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{62}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.69±0.07 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. $[(0.70 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3} \text{ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor} = 1.3]$

NODE=M056R06
NODE=M056R06

NEW

0.72±0.06±0.02	¹ ONYISI	10 CLE3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}X$
0.55±0.12±0.01	² ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

¹ ONYISI 10 reports $(7.76 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.39) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R06;LINKAGE=ON

² ATHAR 07 reports $(0.59 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R06;LINKAGE=AT

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{63}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.35±0.04 OUR AVERAGE
 $[(0.36 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3} \text{ OUR 2025 AVERAGE}]$

NODE=M056R50
NODE=M056R50

NEW

0.35±0.04±0.01	¹ ONYISI	10 CLE3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}X$
0.36±0.11±0.01	² ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

¹ ONYISI 10 reports $(3.73 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R50;LINKAGE=ON

² ATHAR 07 reports $(0.39 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R50;LINKAGE=AT

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{64}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.52±0.06 OUR AVERAGE $[(0.53 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3} \text{ OUR 2025 AVERAGE}]$

NODE=M056R70
NODE=M056R70

NEW

0.52±0.05±0.01	¹ ONYISI	10 CLE3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}X$

¹ ONYISI 10 reports $(5.57 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R70;LINKAGE=ON

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{65}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT**2.1 ± 0.7 OUR EVALUATION** Error includes scale factor of 1.4. Treating systematic error as correlated.**1.9 ± 1.1 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 2.3. $[(2.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 2.0]1.47 $\pm 0.53 \pm 0.04$ 81 ¹BAI 99B BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}$
4.7 $\pm 1.3 \pm 0.1$ 23 ²TANENBAUM 78 MRK1 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}$ ¹BAI 99B reports $(1.57 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.²TANENBAUM 78 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ $= (4.7 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.NODE=M056R7
NODE=M056R7

→ UNCHECKED ←

NEW

NODE=M056R7;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M056R7;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{66}/Γ

VALUE (%) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.102 ± 0.028 OUR AVERAGE $[(0.104 \pm 0.028)\%$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**0.102 $\pm 0.027 \pm 0.003$** 39.5 ¹HE 08B CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$ ¹HE 08B reports $0.11 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01 \%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.NODE=M056R65
NODE=M056R65

NEW

NODE=M056R65;LINKAGE=HE

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{67}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT**2.38 $\pm 0.19 \pm 0.06$** 1898 ¹ABLIKIM 25L BES3 $\psi(3686) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}\eta\pi^0$ ¹ABLIKIM 25L reports $(2.42 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.77 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.NODE=M056P15
NODE=M056P15

NODE=M056P15;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{68}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT**2.51 $\pm 0.15 \pm 0.07$** 9952 ¹ABLIKIM 25V BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$ ¹ABLIKIM 25V reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ $= (2.49 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.NODE=M056P16
NODE=M056P16

NODE=M056P16;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}K^+K^- (\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{69}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT**1.20 ± 0.26 OUR AVERAGE** $[(1.22 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-4}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**1.20 $\pm 0.26 \pm 0.03$** 48 ± 8 ¹ABLIKIM 11F BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$ ¹ABLIKIM 11F reports $(1.24 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+K^- (\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.62 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.NODE=M056R72
NODE=M056R72

NEW

NODE=M056R72;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K^+\bar{p}\Lambda(1520) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{70}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT**2.9 ± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE** $[(3.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**2.9 $\pm 0.7 \pm 0.1$** 62 ± 12 ¹ABLIKIM 11F BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$ ¹ABLIKIM 11F reports $(3.00 \pm 0.58 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+\bar{p}\Lambda(1520) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.62 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.NODE=M056R73
NODE=M056R73

NEW

NODE=M056R73;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Lambda(1520)\bar{\Lambda}(1520))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{71}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.1±1.2±0.1	28 ± 10	¹ ABLIKIM	11F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p} K^+ K^-$

NODE=M056R74
 NODE=M056R74

¹ ABLIKIM 11F reports $(3.18 \pm 1.11 \pm 0.53) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\bar{\Lambda}(1520))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.62 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R74;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{72}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.9±1.4 OUR AVERAGE	[(6.0 ± 1.4) × 10 ⁻⁵ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			

NODE=M056R75
 NODE=M056R75

NEW

5.9±1.4±0.2	42 ± 8	¹ ABLIKIM	11F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p} K^+ K^-$

NODE=M056R75;LINKAGE=AB

¹ ABLIKIM 11F reports $(6.12 \pm 1.18 \pm 0.86) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.62 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{73}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8 (CL = 90%)	[<8.8 × 10 ⁻⁴ (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]			

NODE=M056R46
 NODE=M056R46

<8	90	¹ ABLIKIM	06D BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 06D reports $< 8.8 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M056R46;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(p\bar{n}\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{75}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
12.5±1.1 OUR AVERAGE	[(12.7 ± 1.1) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			

NODE=M056R49
 NODE=M056R49

NEW

12.7±1.1±0.3	5150	¹ ABLIKIM	12J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{n} \pi^-$
11.1±3.0±0.3		² ABLIKIM	06I BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \pi^- X$

¹ ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{n}\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ = $(1.26 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R49;LINKAGE=AL

² ABLIKIM 06I reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{n}\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ = $(1.10 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R49;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\bar{p}n\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{76}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
13.5±1.2 OUR AVERAGE	[(13.7 ± 1.2) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			

NODE=M056R82
 NODE=M056R82

NEW

13.5±1.2±0.4	5808	¹ ABLIKIM	12J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \bar{p} n \pi^+$

NODE=M056R82;LINKAGE=AL

¹ ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{p}n\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ = $(1.34 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(p\bar{n}\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{77}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
23.1±2.1 OUR AVERAGE	[(23.5 ± 2.1) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			

NODE=M056R83
 NODE=M056R83

NEW

23.1±2.0±0.6	2480	¹ ABLIKIM	12J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{n} \pi^- \pi^0$

NODE=M056R83;LINKAGE=AL

¹ ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{n}\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ = $(2.29 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\bar{p}n\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{78}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

21.8±1.9 OUR AVERAGE $[(22.2 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**21.8±1.8±0.6** 2757 ¹ ABLIKIM 12J BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \bar{p}n\pi^+\pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{p}n\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))] = (2.16 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R84
 NODE=M056R84

NEW

NODE=M056R84;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{80}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

116±13 OUR AVERAGE $[(118 \pm 13) \times 10^{-5}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**116±12±3** 426 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<400 90 ² ABLIKIM 06D BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c0}\gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports $(119.0 \pm 6.4 \pm 11.4) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.68 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c0}\gamma) = (9.2 \pm 0.5)\%$

NODE=M056R44
 NODE=M056R44

NEW

NODE=M056R44;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M056R44;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^- (\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{81}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<50 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports $< 54 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^- (\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.68 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M056R77
 NODE=M056R77

NODE=M056R77;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+\bar{\Lambda}\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{82}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<50 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma(1385)^+\bar{\Lambda}\pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports $< 55 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^+\bar{\Lambda}\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.68 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M056R78
 NODE=M056R78

NODE=M056R78;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^-\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{83}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<50 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma(1385)^-\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports $< 50 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^-\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.68 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M056R79
 NODE=M056R79

NODE=M056R79;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(K^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{87}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.23±0.12 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. $[(1.25 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.3]1.29±0.09±0.03 9k ^{1,2} ABLIKIM 13D BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda\bar{p}K^+$ 1.00±0.19±0.03 ³ ATHAR 07 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+h^-h^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 13D reports $(1.32 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.68 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-) = 63.9\%$.

³ ATHAR 07 reports $(1.07 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R07
 NODE=M056R07

NEW

NODE=M056R07;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M056R07;LINKAGE=LB
 NODE=M056R07;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ \bar{p} \Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{89}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.7±0.9 OUR AVERAGE	[(4.8 ± 0.9) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			
4.7±0.9±0.1	254	¹ ABLIKIM	19AU BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^{*+} \bar{p} \Lambda$
¹ ABLIKIM 19AU reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^+ \bar{p} \Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ = (4.7 ± 0.7 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻⁵ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))$ = (9.91 ± 0.27) × 10 ⁻² . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M056R99
 NODE=M056R99

NEW

NODE=M056R99;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(nK_S^0 \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{88}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.6±0.5 OUR AVERAGE	[(6.7 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			
6.6±0.5±0.2	1284	¹ ABLIKIM	21AV BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma n K_S^0 \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$
¹ ABLIKIM 21AV reports (6.65 ± 0.26 ± 0.41) × 10 ⁻⁴ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow n K_S^0 \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))$ = 0.0979 ± 0.0020, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))$ = (9.91 ± 0.27) × 10 ⁻² . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Also uses $B(\bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \bar{p} \pi^+) = (63.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (69.20 \pm 0.05)\%$.				

NODE=M056P02
 NODE=M056P02

NEW

NODE=M056P02;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{91}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.62±0.32 OUR AVERAGE	[(4.70 ± 0.32) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			
4.76±0.34±0.13	1046	¹ ABLIKIM	18V BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$
4.1 ± 0.6 ± 0.1	78 ± 10	² NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4.6 ± 0.5 ± 0.1	243	^{3,4} ABLIKIM	13H BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$
¹ ABLIKIM 18V reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ = (4.72 ± 0.18 ± 0.28) × 10 ⁻⁵ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))$ = (9.91 ± 0.27) × 10 ⁻² . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				
² NAIK 08 reports (4.41 ± 0.56 ± 0.47) × 10 ⁻⁴ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))$ = (9.22 ± 0.11 ± 0.46) × 10 ⁻² , which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))$ = (9.91 ± 0.27) × 10 ⁻² . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				
³ ABLIKIM 13H reports (4.78 ± 0.34 ± 0.39) × 10 ⁻⁴ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))$ = (9.62 ± 0.31) × 10 ⁻² , which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))$ = (9.91 ± 0.27) × 10 ⁻² . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				
⁴ Superseded by ABLIKIM 18V				

NODE=M056R59
 NODE=M056R59

NEW

NODE=M056R59;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M056R59;LINKAGE=NA

NODE=M056R59;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M056R59;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{94}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.6 ± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.5. [(4.7 ± 0.8) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 2.6]			
5.03±0.34±0.14	747	¹ ABLIKIM	18V BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$
3.0 ± 0.6 ± 0.1	39 ± 7	² NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4.4 ± 0.5 ± 0.1	148	^{3,4} ABLIKIM	13H BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$
¹ ABLIKIM 18V reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ = (4.99 ± 0.24 ± 0.24) × 10 ⁻⁵ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))$ = (9.91 ± 0.27) × 10 ⁻² . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				
² NAIK 08 reports (3.25 ± 0.57 ± 0.43) × 10 ⁻⁴ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))$ = (9.22 ± 0.11 ± 0.46) × 10 ⁻² , which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))$ = (9.91 ± 0.27) × 10 ⁻² . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				
³ ABLIKIM 13H reports (4.54 ± 0.42 ± 0.30) × 10 ⁻⁴ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))$ = (9.62 ± 0.31) × 10 ⁻² , which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))$ =				

NODE=M056R60
 NODE=M056R60

NEW

NODE=M056R60;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M056R60;LINKAGE=NA

NODE=M056R60;LINKAGE=AB

$(9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴Superseded by ABLIKIM 18V

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

12.4 ± 2.4 OUR AVERAGE [(12.7 ± 2.4) × 10⁻⁵ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

12.4 ± 2.3 ± 0.3 74 ¹ ABLIKIM 24CA BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)$

¹ ABLIKIM 24CA reports $(12.6 \pm 2.0 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.79 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R60;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M056P12
NODE=M056P12

NEW
OCCUR=3

NODE=M056P12;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Sigma^- \bar{\Sigma}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

5.1 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE [(5.2 ± 0.5) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

5.1 ± 0.5 ± 0.1 2143 ¹ ABLIKIM 20I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^- \bar{\Sigma}^+$

¹ ABLIKIM 20I reports $(5.13 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^- \bar{\Sigma}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.79 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056P00
NODE=M056P00

NEW

NODE=M056P00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

16.0 ± 5.8 ± 0.4 27 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports $(16.4 \pm 5.7 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.68 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R80
NODE=M056R80

NODE=M056R80;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

23 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE [(23 ± 7) × 10⁻⁵ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

23 ± 6 ± 1 33 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports $(23.5 \pm 6.2 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.68 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R81
NODE=M056R81

NEW

NODE=M056R81;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(K^- \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

1.92 ± 0.35 OUR AVERAGE [(1.95 ± 0.35) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

1.92 ± 0.34 ± 0.05 57 ¹ ABLIKIM 15I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^- \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ + \text{c.c.}$

¹ ABLIKIM 15I reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^- \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ = $(1.90 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R92
NODE=M056R92

NEW

NODE=M056R92;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

4.4 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.7. [(4.5 ± 0.5) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.7]

4.61 ± 0.30 ± 0.12 1741 ¹ ABLIKIM 220 BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0$

3.1 ± 0.8 ± 0.1 23.3 ± 4.9 ² NAIK 08 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0$

NODE=M056R61
NODE=M056R61

NEW

¹ ABLIKIM 220 reports $(4.67 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Xi^0 \Xi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.79 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R61;LINKAGE=A

² NAIK 08 reports $(3.34 \pm 0.70 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Xi^0 \Xi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R61;LINKAGE=NA

 $\Gamma(\Xi^- \Xi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{101}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.40 ± 0.20 OUR AVERAGE					
[(4.48 ± 0.20) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]					

NODE=M056R45
NODE=M056R45

NEW

4.37 ± 0.17 ± 0.12	4932	¹ ABLIKIM	220	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Xi^- \Xi^+$
4.8 ± 0.7 ± 0.1	95	² NAIK	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Xi^+ \Xi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 10.3	90	³ ABLIKIM	06D	BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 220 reports $(4.43 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Xi^- \Xi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.79 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R45;LINKAGE=A

² NAIK 08 reports $(5.14 \pm 0.60 \pm 0.47) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Xi^- \Xi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M056R45;LINKAGE=NA

³ Using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \gamma) = (9.2 \pm 0.5)\%$

NODE=M056R45;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\Omega^- \bar{\Omega}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{102}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.51 ± 0.54 ± 0.29	284	ABLIKIM	23T	BES3 $\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow \Omega^- \bar{\Omega}^+$

NODE=M056P04
NODE=M056P04 **$\Gamma(\eta_c \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_{103}/\Gamma$**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 7 × 10⁻⁴	90	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	13B	BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

NODE=M056R89
NODE=M056R89

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 41 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	^{3,4} ABLIKIM	13B	BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

OCCUR=2

¹ From the $\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ decays.

NODE=M056R89;LINKAGE=B

² ABLIKIM 13B reports $< 7 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \eta_c \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.68 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M056R89;LINKAGE=D

³ Using 1.06×10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \gamma) = (9.68 \pm 0.31)\%$.

NODE=M056R89;LINKAGE=A

⁴ From the $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$ decays.

NODE=M056R89;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M056310

———— RADIATIVE DECAYS ———— **$\Gamma(\gamma \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_{105}/\Gamma$**

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 9	90	1.2 ± 4.5	¹ BENNETT	08A	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \rho^0$

NODE=M056R56
NODE=M056R56

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 10	90	6 ± 12	² ABLIKIM	11E	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \rho^0$

¹ BENNETT 08A reports $< 9.6 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M056R56;LINKAGE=BE

² ABLIKIM 11E reports $< 10.5 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.62 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M056R56;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\gamma\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{106}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 8	90	0.0 ± 2.8	¹ BENNETT	08A CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\omega$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<13	90	5 ± 11	² ABLIKIM	11E BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\omega$
¹ BENNETT 08A reports $< 8.8 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.					
² ABLIKIM 11E reports $< 12.9 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.62 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.					

NODE=M056R57
NODE=M056R57

NODE=M056R57;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M056R57;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{107}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 6	90	0.1 ± 1.6	¹ BENNETT	08A CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<16	90	15 ± 7	² ABLIKIM	11E BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$
¹ BENNETT 08A reports $< 6.4 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.					
² ABLIKIM 11E reports $< 16.2 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.62 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = 9.91 \times 10^{-2}$.					

NODE=M056R58
NODE=M056R58

NODE=M056R58;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M056R58;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{109}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.52 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.04$		56	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	17I BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^- J/\psi$
¹ ABLIKIM 17I reports $(1.51 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow e^+ e^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.99 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.					
² Not independent from other measurements reported by ABLIKIM 17I					

NODE=M056R93
NODE=M056R93

NODE=M056R93;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M056R93;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S))$ $\Gamma_{109}/\Gamma_{104}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$9.5 \pm 1.9 \pm 0.7$		56	¹ ABLIKIM	17I BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma J/\psi$
¹ Uses $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) \times B(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) = (15.8 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$ from ABLIKIM 17N and accounts for common systematic errors.					

NODE=M056R94
NODE=M056R94

NODE=M056R94;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma(e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))$ $\Gamma_{110}/\Gamma_{109}$

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.14	90	<9.5	ABLIKIM	19Z BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_c \rightarrow \gamma(\mu^+\mu^- J/\psi)$

NODE=M056R97
NODE=M056R97

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S))$ $\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma_{104}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.50 ± 0.14 OUR FIT					Error includes scale factor of 1.8. $[(1.46 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-2}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.6]
2.0 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
2.2 ± 0.4	$^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$		¹ ANDREOTTI	04 E835	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
1.45 ± 0.74			² AMBROGIANI	00B E835	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, \gamma J/\psi$
¹ The values of $B(p\bar{p})B(\gamma\gamma)$ and $B(\gamma\gamma)B(\gamma J/\psi)$ measured by ANDREOTTI 04 are not independent. The latter is used in the fit because of smaller systematics.					
² Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.0593 \pm 0.0010$.					

NODE=M056R18
NODE=M056R18

NEW

NODE=M056R;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M056R;LINKAGE=7A

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{61}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{104}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-7}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

32.4±2.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.8. $[(31.2 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-7} \text{ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor} = 1.4]$

28.2±2.1 OUR AVERAGE

28.0±1.9±1.3 392 ^{1,2,3} BAGNASCO 02 E835 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$

29.3^{+5.7}_{-4.7}±1.5 89 ^{1,2} AMBROGIANI 99B $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$

¹ Values in $(\Gamma(p\bar{p}) \times \Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}})$ and $(\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}})$ are not independent. The latter is used in the fit since it is less correlated to the total width.

² Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.0593 \pm 0.0010$.

³ Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A.

NODE=M056R19
NODE=M056R19

NEW

NODE=M056R;LINKAGE=KS

NODE=M056R19;LINKAGE=7A
NODE=M056R19;LINKAGE=AN

$\chi_{c0}(1P)$ CROSS-PARTICLE BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M056230

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

6.12±0.26 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1. $[(6.12 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3} \text{ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor} = 1.1]$

6.9 ±2.4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 3.8.

4.4 ±0.1 ±0.9 ¹ BAI 99B BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

9.3 ±0.9 ² TANENBAUM 78 MRK1 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

¹ Calculated by us. The value for $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$ reported in BAI 99B is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}) = (9.3 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

² The value $B(\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}) \times B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$ reported in TANENBAUM 78 is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) \times B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = (4.6 \pm 0.7)\%$. Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0020$.

NODE=M056B4
NODE=M056B4

NEW

NODE=M056B;LINKAGE=B2

NODE=M056B;LINKAGE=J1

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

2.12 ±0.09 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1. $[(2.12 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3} \text{ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor} = 1.1]$

2.082±0.002±0.089 1946k ¹ ABLIKIM 24BT BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

¹ Calculated by us. The value given here is derived from the value of $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))$ reported in ABLIKIM 24BT using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}) = (9.79 \pm 0.20)\%$ [PDG 22].

NODE=M056P09
NODE=M056P09

NEW

NODE=M056P09;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_8/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

1.77±0.15 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

1.64±0.05±0.2 ABLIKIM 05Q BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

NODE=M056B18
NODE=M056B18

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) \quad \Gamma_8/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

5.1 ±0.4 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

5.8 ±1.6 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.3.

4.22±0.20±0.97 BAI 99B BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

7.4 ±1.0 ¹ TANENBAUM 78 MRK1 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

¹ The reported value is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi) \times B(J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = (4.6 \pm 0.7)\%$. Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0020$.

NODE=M056B19
NODE=M056B19

NODE=M056B19;LINKAGE=TA

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{21}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

8.7 ±1.0 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

8.33±0.13±0.87 9.0k ¹ ABLIKIM 13B BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

¹ Calculated by us using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \gamma) = (9.68 \pm 0.10)\%$.

NODE=M056P17
NODE=M056P17

NODE=M056P17;LINKAGE=A

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \pi\pi)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma_{32}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

8.3 ± 0.4 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3. $[(8.33 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]

8.80 ± 0.34 OUR AVERAGE

9.11 ± 0.08 ± 0.65	17k	¹ ABLIKIM	10A	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}$
8.81 ± 0.11 ± 0.43	8.9k	² ASNER	09	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
8.13 ± 0.19 ± 0.89	2.8k	³ ASNER	09	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 10A reports $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0) = (3.23 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}) = (9.4 \pm 0.4)\%$. We have multiplied the $\pi^0\pi^0$ measurement by 3 to obtain $\pi\pi$.

² Calculated by us. ASNER 09 reports $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = (6.37 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46)\%$. We have multiplied the $\pi^+\pi^-$ measurement by 3/2 to obtain $\pi\pi$.

³ Calculated by us. ASNER 09 reports $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0) = (2.94 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46)\%$. We have multiplied the $\pi^0\pi^0$ measurement by 3 to obtain $\pi\pi$.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \pi\pi)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))}{\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)} \times \frac{\Gamma_{32}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}{\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

23.9 ± 1.1 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3. $[(24.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]

20.7 ± 1.7 OUR AVERAGE

23.9 ± 2.7 ± 4.1	97 ± 11	¹ BAI	03C	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$
20.2 ± 1.1 ± 1.5	720 ± 32	² BAI	98I	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ We have multiplied $\pi^0\pi^0$ measurement by 3 to obtain $\pi\pi$.

² Calculated by us. The value for $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$ reported in BAI 98I is derived using $B(\psi' \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}) = (9.3 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi' \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D]. We have multiplied $\pi^+\pi^-$ measurement by 3/2 to obtain $\pi\pi$.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \eta\eta)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma_{36}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}{\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

2.95 ± 0.21 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2. $[(2.94 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]

3.12 ± 0.19 OUR AVERAGE

3.23 ± 0.09 ± 0.23	2132	¹ ABLIKIM	10A	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}$
2.93 ± 0.12 ± 0.29	0.9k	² ASNER	09	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.86 ± 0.46 ± 0.37	48	³ ADAMS	07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}$

¹ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 10A reports $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \eta\eta) = (3.44 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}) = (9.4 \pm 0.4)\%$.

² Calculated by us. ASNER 09 reports $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \eta\eta) = (3.18 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46)\%$.

³ Superseded by ASNER 09. Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \eta\eta)$ reported by ADAMS 07 was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46)\%$ (ATHAR 04).

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \eta\eta)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))}{\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)} \times \frac{\Gamma_{36}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}{\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.85 ± 0.06 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2. $[(0.85 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]

0.578 ± 0.241 ± 0.158

BAI	03C	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+K^-)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma_{42}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}{\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

5.92 ± 0.28 OUR FIT

5.97 ± 0.07 ± 0.32

8.1k	¹ ASNER	09	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$

¹ Calculated by us. ASNER 09 reports $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (6.47 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46)\%$.

NODE=M056B22
NODE=M056B22

NEW

OCCUR=2

NODE=M056B22;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M056B22;LINKAGE=AS

NODE=M056B22;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M056B5
NODE=M056B5

NEW

NODE=M056B;LINKAGE=D1

NODE=M056B;LINKAGE=D2

NODE=M056B11
NODE=M056B11

NEW

NODE=M056B11;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M056B11;LINKAGE=AS

NODE=M056B11;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=M056B10
NODE=M056B10

NEW

NODE=M056B23
NODE=M056B23

NODE=M056B23;LINKAGE=AS

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)}{\Gamma_{42}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.71±0.08 OUR FIT				
1.63±0.10±0.15	774 ± 38	¹ BAI	98I BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

NODE=M056B9
NODE=M056B9

¹ Calculated by us. The value for $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow K^+ K^-)$ reported by BAI 98I is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}) = (9.3 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

NODE=M056B9;LINKAGE=BA

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{43}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.10±0.16 OUR FIT				
3.18±0.17 OUR AVERAGE				
3.22±0.07±0.17	2.1k	¹ ASNER	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
3.02±0.19±0.33	322	ABLIKIM	05O BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M056B12
NODE=M056B12

¹ Calculated by us. ASNER 09 reports $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0) = (3.49 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46)\%$.

NODE=M056B12;LINKAGE=AS

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)}{\Gamma_{43}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.9±0.5 OUR FIT			
5.6±0.8±1.3	¹ BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M056B13
NODE=M056B13

¹ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0)$ reported by BAI 99B was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.3 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

NODE=M056B13;LINKAGE=BA

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{45}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
44.4±0.3±3.7	43k	ABLIKIM	25R BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M056P13
NODE=M056P13

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{52}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.8 ±0.4 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			
3.20±0.11±0.41	278	¹ ABLIKIM	06T BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2K^+ 2K^-$

NODE=M056B14
NODE=M056B14

¹ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow 2K^+ 2K^-)$ reported by ABLIKIM 06T was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4)\%$.

NODE=M056B14;LINKAGE=AB

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)}{\Gamma_{52}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.0±1.2 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.		
6.1±0.8±0.9	¹ BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2K^+ 2K^-$

NODE=M056B15
NODE=M056B15

¹ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow 2K^+ 2K^-)$ reported by BAI 99B was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.3 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

NODE=M056B15;LINKAGE=BA

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{56}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.78±0.49±0.64	343	¹ ABLIKIM	25AY BES3	$\psi(3686) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

NODE=M056P14
NODE=M056P14

¹ ABLIKIM 24AY reports also a measurement $B(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (7.95 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.65) \times 10^{-5}$ from this product branching fraction using PDG 24 $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0})$ value.

NODE=M056P14;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow 3(K^+ K^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{57}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.5 ± 1.8 ± 1.1	37.7 ± 6.2	¹ ABLIKIM	24P BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

¹ Systematic error derived by us, based on the text.

NODE=M056P06
NODE=M056P06

NODE=M056P06;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{59}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.829 ± 0.029 OUR FIT				

[(0.827 ± 0.033) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 FIT]

0.832 ± 0.029 OUR AVERAGE

[(0.78 ± 0.08) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

0.84 ± 0.03 ± 0.01	2701	¹ ABLIKIM	23N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2K^+ 2K^-$
0.77 ± 0.03 ± 0.08	612	² ABLIKIM	11K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{ hadrons}$
0.86 ± 0.19 ± 0.12	26	³ ABLIKIM	06T BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2K^+ 2K^-$

¹ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \phi\phi)$ reported by ABLIKIM 23N was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.79 \pm 0.20)\%$.

² Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \phi\phi)$ reported by ABLIKIM 11K was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.62 \pm 0.31)\%$.

³ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \phi\phi)$ reported by ABLIKIM 06T was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4)\%$.

NODE=M056B16
NODE=M056B16

NEW

NEW

NODE=M056B16;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M056B16;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M056B16;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-} \\ \Gamma_{59}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.39 ± 0.09 OUR FIT

[(2.38 ± 0.10) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 FIT]

2.6 ± 1.0 ± 1.1	¹ BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2K^+ 2K^-$

¹ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \phi\phi)$ reported by BAI 99B was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.3 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

NODE=M056B17
NODE=M056B17

NEW

NODE=M056B17;LINKAGE=BA

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{61}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

23.6 ± 1.2 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.0. [(21.6 ± 1.3) × 10⁻⁶ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]

24.5 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE

[(23.7 ± 1.0) × 10⁻⁶ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

24.6 ± 0.2 ± 0.6	31268	¹ ABLIKIM	25H BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
23.7 ± 1.4 ± 1.4	383 ± 22	² NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
23.6 ^{+3.7} _{-3.4} ± 3.4	89.5 ⁺¹⁴ ₋₁₃	BAI	04F BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

23.7 ± 0.8 ± 0.9	1222	³ ABLIKIM	13v BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

¹ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 25H reports $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow p\bar{p}) = (2.51 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}) = (9.79 \pm 0.20)\%$.

² Calculated by us. NAIK 08 reports $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow p\bar{p}) = (25.7 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-5}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46)\%$.

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 25H

NODE=M056B6
NODE=M056B6

NEW

NEW

NODE=M056B6;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M056B6;LINKAGE=NA

NODE=M056B6;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-} \\ \Gamma_{61}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

6.80 ± 0.34 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.0. [(6.2 ± 0.4) × 10⁻⁵ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]

4.6 ± 1.9	¹ BAI	98I BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0} \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

¹ Calculated by us. The value for $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow p\bar{p})$ reported in BAI 98I is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}) = (9.3 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

NODE=M056B1
NODE=M056B1

NEW

NODE=M056B;LINKAGE=B1

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{74}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.55 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.31$	173	ABLIKIM	24BX BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}$

NODE=M056P10
NODE=M056P10

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{79}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
35.2$\pm$1.3 OUR FIT				
35.1$\pm$1.4 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
35.6 $\pm$ 1.0 $\pm$ 1.0	1486	ABLIKIM	21L BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \pi^- \bar{p} \pi^+$
31.2 $\pm$ 3.3 $\pm$ 2.0	131	¹ NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$

NODE=M056B20
NODE=M056B20

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

32.0 $\pm$ 1.9 $\pm$ 2.2	369	^{2,3} ABLIKIM	13H BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$

¹ Calculated by us. NAIK 08 reports $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}) = (33.8 \pm 3.6 \pm 2.2 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-5}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}) = (9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46)\%$.
² Superseded by ABLIKIM 21L
³ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 13H reports $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}) = (33.3 \pm 2.0 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}) \times B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0})$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}) = (9.62 \pm 0.31)\%$.

NODE=M056B20;LINKAGE=NA

NODE=M056B20;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M056B20;LINKAGE=AB

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)}{\Gamma_{79}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.1$\pm$0.4 OUR FIT				
13.0$\pm$3.6$\pm$2.5	15.2 $\pm$ 4.2 $\pm$ 4.0	¹ BAI	03E BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$

NODE=M056B21
NODE=M056B21

¹ BAI 03E reports $[B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}) B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}) / B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)] \times [B^2(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) / B(J/\psi \rightarrow p \bar{p})] = (2.45^{+0.68}_{-0.65} \pm 0.46)\%$. We calculate from this measurement the presented value using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) = (63.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow p \bar{p}) = (2.17 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$.

NODE=M056B21;LINKAGE=BA

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{84}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.26$\pm$0.30$\pm$0.20	67	ABLIKIM	22AO BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \pi^- \bar{p} \pi^+ \gamma \gamma$

NODE=M056P03
NODE=M056P03

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{85}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
23.2$\pm$2.2$\pm$2.4	316 $\pm$ 30	¹ ABLIKIM	24BE BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}$

NODE=M056P07
NODE=M056P07

¹ Calculated by us. The authors report $B(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\omega)$ obtained from a product using PDG 22 value of $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0})$.

NODE=M056P07;LINKAGE=A

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{86}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.92$\pm$1.22$\pm$0.19	7.2	ABLIKIM	24AC BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}$

NODE=M056P05
NODE=M056P05

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{p}\Lambda(1520)K_S^0 \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{90}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.57$\pm$0.66$\pm$0.22	27	ABLIKIM	24BX BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}$

NODE=M056P11
NODE=M056P11

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{p} K_S^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{92}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.45$\pm$0.17$\pm$0.19	493	¹ ABLIKIM	19BB BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^+ \bar{p} K_S^0 + \text{c.c.}$

NODE=M056B24
NODE=M056B24

¹ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 19BB reports $B(\chi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{p} K_S^0 + \text{c.c.}) = (3.52 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_c^0) = (9.79 \pm 0.20)\%$ and other branching fractions from PDG 18.

NODE=M056B24;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{p} K^+ + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{93} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.97±0.12±0.14	871	¹ ABLIKIM	20AE BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^0 \bar{p} K^+ + \text{c.c.}$

¹ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 20AE reports $B(\chi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{p} K^+ + \text{c.c.}) = (3.03 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_c^0) = (9.79 \pm 0.20)\%$ and other branching fractions from PDG 20.

NODE=M056P01
NODE=M056P01

NODE=M056P01;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{104} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.135±0.009 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.8. $[(0.137 \pm 0.009) \times 10^{-2} \text{ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor} = 1.7]$		

0.147±0.029 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 4.6.

0.158±0.003±0.006	4.8k	¹ ABLIKIM	17N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma J/\psi$
0.024±0.015±0.205	12k	ABLIKIM	17U BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
0.069±0.018		² OREGLIA	82 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$
0.4 ±0.3		³ BRANDELIK	79B DASP	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$
0.16 ±0.11		³ BARTEL	78B CNTR	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$
3.3 ±1.7		⁴ BIDDICK	77 CNTR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.151±0.003±0.010	4.3k	⁵ ABLIKIM	12O BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$
0.125±0.007±0.013	560	⁶ MENDEZ	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$
0.18 ±0.01 ±0.02	172	⁷ ADAM	05A CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08

¹ Uses $B(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.971 \pm 0.032)\%$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (5.961 \pm 0.033)\%$.

² Recalculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0020$.

³ Recalculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 0.0588 \pm 0.0010$.

⁴ Assumes isotropic gamma distribution.

⁵ Superseded by ABLIKIM 17N.

⁶ Not independent from other measurements of MENDEZ 08.

⁷ Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A.

NODE=M056B2
NODE=M056B2

NEW

NODE=M056B2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M056B;LINKAGE=3Q
NODE=M056B;LINKAGE=2Q
NODE=M056B;LINKAGE=EA
NODE=M056B2;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M056B2;LINKAGE=ME
NODE=M056B2;LINKAGE=AD

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^- \Gamma_{104} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.389±0.026 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.8. $[(0.396 \pm 0.025) \times 10^{-2} \text{ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor} = 1.7]$		

0.358±0.020±0.037 560 MENDEZ 08 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.55 ±0.04 ±0.06	172	¹ ADAM	05A CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08

¹ Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A.

NODE=M056B8
NODE=M056B8

NEW

NODE=M056B;LINKAGE=AD

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{108} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{195}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.03±0.11 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. $[(2.00 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-5} \text{ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor} = 1.1]$		

1.95±0.09 OUR AVERAGE

1.93±0.08±0.05	3.5k	ABLIKIM	17AE BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0} \rightarrow 3\gamma$
2.17±0.32±0.10	0.2k	ECKLUND	08A CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0} \rightarrow 3\gamma$
3.7 ±1.8 ±1.0		LEE	85 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.17±0.17±0.12	0.8k	¹ ABLIKIM	12A BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0} \rightarrow 3\gamma$

¹ Superseded by ABLIKIM 17AE.

NODE=M056B3
NODE=M056B3

NEW

NODE=M056B3;LINKAGE=A

$\chi_{c0}(1P)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M056

ABLIKIM	25AY	PR D112 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63416
ABLIKIM	25H	PR D111 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63251
ABLIKIM	25K	PR D111 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63255
ABLIKIM	25L	PR D111 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63256
ABLIKIM	25R	PR D111 052013	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63262
ABLIKIM	25V	PR D111 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63266
ABLIKIM	24AC	PR D110 032016	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62682
ABLIKIM	24AY	PR D109 L071103	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62787
ABLIKIM	24BE	PR D110 032022	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62904
ABLIKIM	24BT	PR D110 072009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63025
ABLIKIM	24BX	PR D110 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63029
ABLIKIM	24CA	PR D110 112013	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63032
ABLIKIM	24P	PR D109 072016	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62667
PDG	24	PR D110 030001	S. Navas <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=62629
ABLIKIM	23N	JHEP 2305 069	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62056
ABLIKIM	23T	PR D107 092004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62064
ABLIKIM	22AO	PR D106 072004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61887
ABLIKIM	22O	JHEP 2206 074	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61652
ABLIKIM	22Q	PR D106 032014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61663
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=61634
ABLIKIM	21AV	JHEP 2111 217	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61465
ABLIKIM	21L	PR D103 112004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61117
ABLIKIM	20AE	PR D102 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60733
ABLIKIM	20B	PR D101 012012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60212
ABLIKIM	20I	PR D101 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60303
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=60676
ABLIKIM	19AA	PR D99 052008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59844
ABLIKIM	19AU	PR D100 052010	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59996
ABLIKIM	19BB	PR D100 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60026
ABLIKIM	19J	PR D99 012015	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59606
ABLIKIM	19Z	PR D99 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59837
ABLIKIM	18V	PR D97 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58990
PDG	18	PR D98 030001	M. Tanabashi <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=59304
AAJ	17BB	EPJ C77 609	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=58191
ABLIKIM	17AE	PR D96 092007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58310
ABLIKIM	17AI	PR D96 112006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58321
ABLIKIM	17I	PRL 118 221802	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57931
ABLIKIM	17N	PR D95 072004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57978
ABLIKIM	17U	PR D96 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58026
PDG	16	CP C40 100001	C. Patrignani <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=57140
ABLIKIM	15I	PR D91 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56774
ABLIKIM	15M	PR D91 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56778
ABLIKIM	15N	PR D91 112018	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56779
ABLIKIM	13B	PR D87 012002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54877
ABLIKIM	13D	PR D87 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54879
ABLIKIM	13H	PR D87 032007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54953
ABLIKIM	13V	PR D88 112001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55583
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55592
ABLIKIM	12A	PR D85 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54266
ABLIKIM	12I	PR D86 052004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54736
ABLIKIM	12J	PR D86 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54737
ABLIKIM	12O	PRL 109 172002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54742
LIU	12B	PRL 108 232001	Z.Q. Liu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=54303
ABLIKIM	11A	PR D83 012006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53647
ABLIKIM	11E	PR D83 112005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=16717
ABLIKIM	11F	PR D83 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=16719
ABLIKIM	11K	PRL 107 092001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53940
DEL-AMO-SA...	11M	PR D84 012004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=16751
ABLIKIM	10A	PR D81 052005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53347
ONYISI	10	PR D82 011103	P.U.E. Onyisi <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53360
UEHARA	10A	PR D82 114031	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53641
ASNER	09	PR D79 072007	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52721
UEHARA	09	PR D79 052009	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52761
BENNETT	08A	PRL 101 151801	J.V. Bennett <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52575
ECKLUND	08A	PR D78 091501	K.M. Ecklund <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52583
HE	08B	PR D78 092004	Q. He <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52588
MENDEZ	08	PR D78 011102	H. Mendez <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52684
NAIK	08	PR D78 031101	P. Naik <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52301
UEHARA	08	EPJ C53 1	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52064
ABE	07	PRL 98 082001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51627
ADAMS	07	PR D75 071101	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51651
ATHAR	07	PR D75 032002	S.B. Athar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51618
CHEN	07B	PL B651 15	W.T. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51710
ABLIKIM	06D	PR D73 052006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51049
ABLIKIM	06I	PR D74 012004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51126
ABLIKIM	06R	PR D74 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51447
ABLIKIM	06T	PL B642 197	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51453
ABLIKIM	05G	PR D71 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50756
ABLIKIM	05N	PL B630 7	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50847
ABLIKIM	05O	PL B630 21	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50846
ABLIKIM	05Q	PR D72 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50958
ADAM	05A	PRL 94 232002	N.E. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50763
ANDREOTTI	05A	NP B717 34	M. Andreotti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=50769
ANDREOTTI	05C	PR D72 112002	M. Andreotti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=50991
NAKAZAWA	05	PL B615 39	H. Nakazawa <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50807
ABE	04G	PR D70 071102	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50182
ABLIKIM	04G	PR D70 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50187
ABLIKIM	04H	PR D70 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50188
ANDREOTTI	04	PL B584 16	M. Andreotti <i>et al.</i>	(E835 Collab.)	REFID=49744
ATHAR	04	PR D70 112002	S.B. Athar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50331
BAI	04F	PR D69 092001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49752
ANDREOTTI	03	PRL 91 091801	M. Andreotti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=49578
AULCHENKO	03	PL B573 63	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=49579
BAI	03C	PR D67 032004	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49190
BAI	03E	PR D67 112001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49416
ABE,K	02	PRL 89 142001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=49188
BAGNASCO	02	PL B533 237	S. Bagnasco <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=48833
EISENSTEIN	01	PRL 87 061801	B.I. Eisenstein <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=48344
AMBROGIANI	00B	PR D62 052002	M. Ambrogiani <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=47940
AMBROGIANI	99B	PRL 83 2902	M. Ambrogiani <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=47389
BAI	99B	PR D60 072001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47385
BAI	98D	PR D58 092006	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46338
BAI	98I	PRL 81 3091	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46343
GAISER	86	PR D34 711	J. Gaiser <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=22012
LEE	85	SLAC 282	R.A. Lee	(SLAC)	REFID=40589
OREGLIA	82	PR D25 2259	M.J. Oreglia <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CIT, HARV+)	REFID=22120
BRANDELIK	79B	NP B160 426	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22115
BARTEL	78B	PL 79B 492	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, HEIDP)	REFID=22111
TANENBAUM	78	PR D17 1731	W.M. Tanenbaum <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22112
Also		Private Comm.	G. Trilling	(LBL, UCB)	REFID=22113
BIDDICK	77	PRL 38 1324	C.J. Biddick <i>et al.</i>	(UCSD, UMD, PAVI+)	REFID=22059

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$$

See the Review on “Branching Ratios of $\psi(2S)$, $\chi_{c0,1,2}$ and $\eta_c(1S)$ ” before the $\chi_{c0}(1P)$ Listings.

NODE=M055

NODE=M055

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ MASS

NODE=M055M

NODE=M055M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3510.67 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
3509.84 ± 0.69 ± 0.64	2.8k	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ (K_S^0 K \pi)$
3508.4 ± 1.9 ± 0.7	460	¹ AAIJ	17BB LHCb	$p p \rightarrow b \bar{b} X \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-) X$
3510.71 ± 0.04 ± 0.09	4.8k	² AAIJ	17BI LHCb	$\chi_{c1} \rightarrow J/\psi \mu^+ \mu^-$
3510.30 ± 0.14 ± 0.16		ABLIKIM	05G BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
3510.719 ± 0.051 ± 0.019		ANDREOTTI	05A E835	$p \bar{p} \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$
3509.4 ± 0.9		BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
3510.60 ± 0.087 ± 0.019	513	³ ARMSTRONG	92 E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$
3511.3 ± 0.4 ± 0.4	30	BAGLIN	86B SPEC	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow e^+ e^- X$
3512.3 ± 0.3 ± 4.0		⁴ GAISER	86 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
3507.4 ± 1.7	91	⁵ LEMOIGNE	82 GOLI	$185 \pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- \text{A}$
3510.4 ± 0.6		OREGLIA	82 CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$
3510.1 ± 1.1	254	⁶ HIMEL	80 MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$
3509 ± 11	21	BRANDELIK	79B DASP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$
3507 ± 3		⁶ BARTEL	78B CNTR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$
3505.0 ± 4 ± 4		^{6,7} TANENBAUM	78 MRK1	$e^+ e^-$
3513 ± 7	367	⁶ BIDDICK	77 CNTR	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
3500 ± 10	40	TANENBAUM	75 MRK1	Hadrons γ

OCCUR=2

- ¹ From a fit of the $\phi\phi$ invariant mass with the width of $\chi_{c1}(1P)$ fixed to the PDG 16 value.
- ² AAIJ 17BI reports also $m(\chi_{c2}) - m(\chi_{c1}) = 45.39 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03$ MeV.
- ³ Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A, using the value of $\psi(2S)$ mass from AULCHENKO 03.
- ⁴ Using mass of $\psi(2S) = 3686.0$ MeV.
- ⁵ $J/\psi(1S)$ mass constrained to 3097 MeV.
- ⁶ Mass value shifted by us by amount appropriate for $\psi(2S)$ mass = 3686 MeV and $J/\psi(1S)$ mass = 3097 MeV.
- ⁷ From a simultaneous fit to radiative and hadronic decay channels.

NODE=M055M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M055M;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M055M;LINKAGE=NW
 NODE=M055M;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M055M;LINKAGE=P
 NODE=M055M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M055M;LINKAGE=M

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ WIDTH

NODE=M055W

NODE=M055W
 NEW

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.82 ± 0.04 OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [0.84 ± 0.04 MeV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]		
0.88 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE					
1.39 +0.40 +0.26 -0.38 -0.77			ABLIKIM	05G BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
0.876 ± 0.045 ± 0.026			ANDREOTTI	05A E835	$p \bar{p} \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$
0.87 ± 0.11 ± 0.08		513	¹ ARMSTRONG	92 E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
<1.3		95	BAGLIN	86B SPEC	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow e^+ e^- X$
<3.8		90	GAISER	86 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

- ¹ Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A.

NODE=M055W;LINKAGE=AN

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M055215;NODE=M055

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1 hadrons		
Γ_2 $e^+ e^-$	$(1.5^{+1.6}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-7}$	

DESIG=112

DESIG=110

Hadronic decays

NODE=M055;CLUMP=A

Г ₃	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$(1.06 \pm 0.11) \%$	S=3.0	DESIG=6
Г ₄	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$(6.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$	S=2.3	DESIG=5
Г ₅	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$	$(1.18 \pm 0.15) \%$		DESIG=51
Г ₆	$\rho^+\pi^-\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.44 \pm 0.24) \%$		DESIG=52
Г ₇	$\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.9 \pm 3.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=9
Г ₈	$4\pi^0$	$(5.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=60
Г ₉	$\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$	$(4.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=7
Г ₁₀	$K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0$	$(1.10 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=53
Г ₁₁	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(1.15 \pm 0.13) \%$		DESIG=79
Г ₁₂	$K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(7.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=84
Г ₁₃	$K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(8.5 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=55
Г ₁₄	$\rho^-K^+\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(5.0 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=56
Г ₁₅	$K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=57
Г ₁₆	$K^+K^-\eta\pi^0$	$(1.10 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=58
Г ₁₇	$\pi^+\pi^-K_S^0K_S^0$	$(6.8 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=28
Г ₁₈	$K^+K^-\eta$	$(3.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=42
Г ₁₉	$\bar{K}^0K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(6.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1	DESIG=17
Г ₂₀	$K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.04 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=32
Г ₂₁	$K^*(892)^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.22 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=33
Г ₂₂	$K_J^*(1430)^0\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$< 8 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=34
Г ₂₃	$K_J^*(1430)^+K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=35
Г ₂₄	$K^+K^-\pi^0$	$(1.80 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=38
Г ₂₅	$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(4.58 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=31
Г ₂₆	$a_0(980)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	S=2.1	DESIG=36
Г ₂₇	$a_2(1320)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=93
Г ₂₈	$a_2(1700)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(4.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=96
Г ₂₉	$f_2(1270)\eta \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=94
Г ₃₀	$f_4(2050)\eta \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(2.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=95
Г ₃₁	$\pi_1(1400)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 4 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=97
Г ₃₂	$\pi_1(1600)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=98
Г ₃₃	$\pi_1(2015)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 8 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=99
Г ₃₄	$2\pi^+2\pi^-\eta$	$(8.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=123
Г ₃₅	$f_2(1270)\eta$	$(6.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=37
Г ₃₆	$\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$	$(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=44
Г ₃₇	$K^+K^-\eta'(958)$	$(8.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=85
Г ₃₈	$K_0^*(1430)^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(6.4 \pm_{-2.8}^{+2.2}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=86
Г ₃₉	$f_0(980)\eta'(958)$	$(1.6 \pm_{-0.7}^{+1.4}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=87
Г ₄₀	$f_0(1710)\eta'(958)$	$(7 \pm_{-5}^{+7}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=88
Г ₄₁	$f_2'(1525)\eta'(958)$	$(9 \pm 6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=89
Г ₄₂	$K_2^*(1430)^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.63 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=115
Г ₄₃	$K_2^*(1430)\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.18 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=116
Г ₄₄	$\pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=61
Г ₄₅	$K^+\bar{K}^*(892)^0\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.2 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=10
Г ₄₆	$K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^*(892)^0$	$(1.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=21
Г ₄₇	$K^+K^-K_S^0K_S^0$	$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=29
Г ₄₈	$K_S^0K_S^0K_S^0K_S^0$	$(3.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=102
Г ₄₉	$K^+K^-K^+K^-$	$(5.3 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=14
Г ₅₀	$K^+K^-\phi$	$(4.1 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=30
Г ₅₁	$\bar{K}^0K^+\pi^-\phi + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=90
Г ₅₂	$K^+K^-\pi^0\phi$	$(1.62 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=91
Г ₅₃	$K_S^0K_S^0K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$	$(2.60 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=124
Г ₅₄	$3(K^+K^-)$	$(4.2 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=119

Г55	$\phi\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(7.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=82
Г56	$\omega\omega$	$(6.26 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=66
Г57	$\omega K^+ K^-$	$(7.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=81
Г58	$\omega\phi$	$(2.13 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.5	DESIG=67
Г59	$\phi\phi$	$(4.22 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=68
Г60	$\phi\phi\eta$	$(2.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=104
Г61	$\rho\bar{\rho}$	$(7.97 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.3	DESIG=11
Г62	$\rho\bar{\rho}\pi^0$	$(1.54 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=39
Г63	$\rho\bar{\rho}\eta$	$(1.44 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=43
Г64	$\rho\bar{\rho}\omega$	$(2.10 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=59
Г65	$\rho\bar{\rho}\pi^+\pi^-$	$(5.0 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=8
Г66	$\rho\bar{\rho}\pi^0\pi^0$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=54
Г67	$\rho\bar{\rho}\eta\pi^0$	$(1.93 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=125
Г68	$\rho\bar{\rho}K^+ K^-$	$(1.86 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=126
Г69	$\rho\bar{\rho}K^+ K^-$ (non-resonant)	$(1.26 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=62
Г70	$K^+ \bar{p} \Lambda(1520) + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=63
Г71	$\Lambda(1520) \bar{\Lambda}(1520)$	$< 9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=64
Г72	$\rho\bar{\rho}\phi$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=65
Г73	$\rho\bar{\rho}K_S^0 K_S^0$	$< 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=25
Г74	$\rho\bar{\rho}K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(4.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=120
Г75	$\rho\bar{n}\pi^-$	$(3.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=74
Г76	$\bar{\rho}n\pi^+$	$(3.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=75
Г77	$\rho\bar{n}\pi^-\pi^0$	$(1.02 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=76
Г78	$\bar{\rho}n\pi^+\pi^0$	$(9.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=77
Г79	$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$	$(1.26 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1	DESIG=19
Г80	$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$	$(2.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=24
Г81	$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$ (non-resonant)	$(2.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=69
Г82	$\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Lambda}\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=70
Г83	$\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Lambda}\pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=71
Г84	$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\eta$	$(5.8 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=111
Г85	$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\omega$	$(9.9 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=118
Г86	$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\phi$	$(5.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=117
Г87	$K^+ \bar{p} \Lambda + \text{c.c.}$	$(4.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.2	DESIG=40
Г88	$n K_S^0 \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.64 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=109
Г89	$\bar{p} \Lambda(1520) K_S^0 \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(4.0 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=121
Г90	$K^*(892)^+ \bar{p} \Lambda + \text{c.c.}$	$(4.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=106
Г91	$\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$	$(4.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=48
Г92	$\Sigma^+ \bar{p} K_S^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.51 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=105
Г93	$\Sigma^0 \bar{p} K^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.44 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=108
Г94	$\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$	$(3.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=49
Г95	$\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- \eta$	$(5.0 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=122
Г96	$\Sigma^- \bar{\Sigma}^+$	$(5.6 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=107
Г97	$\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^-$	$< 9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=72
Г98	$\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+$	$< 5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=73
Г99	$K^- \Lambda \Xi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.34 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=92
Г100	$\Xi^0 \Xi^0$	$(7.4 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=50
Г101	$\Xi^- \Xi^+$	$(5.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=26
Г102	$\Omega^- \bar{\Omega}^+$	$(1.49 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=113
Г103	$\pi^+ \pi^- + K^+ K^-$	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=23
Г104	$K_S^0 K_S^0$	$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=27
Г105	$\eta_c \pi^+ \pi^-$	$< 3.2 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=83

Radiative decays

Г106	$\gamma J/\psi(1S)$	$(33.8 \pm 1.2) \%$	S=1.3	NODE=M055;CLUMP=B DESIG=1
Г107	$\gamma\rho^0$	$(2.14 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=45
Г108	$\gamma\omega$	$(6.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=46
Г109	$\gamma\phi$	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=47
Г110	$\gamma\gamma$	$< 6.3 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=4
Г111	$e^+ e^- J/\psi(1S)$	$(3.42 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=100
Г112	$\mu^+ \mu^- J/\psi(1S)$	$(2.30 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=103

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

A multiparticle fit to $\chi_{c1}(1P)$, $\chi_{c0}(1P)$, $\chi_{c2}(1P)$, and $\psi(2S)$ with 4 total widths, a partial width, 27 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 88 branching ratios uses 258 measurements to determine 50 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 414.2$ for 208 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

x_{49}	4				
x_{61}	15	6			
x_{79}	11	5	17		
x_{106}	17	7	13	20	
Γ	-16	-7	-58	-18	-55
	x_{19}	x_{49}	x_{61}	x_{79}	x_{106}

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$					Γ_2
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$0.12^{+0.13}_{-0.08}$	250	¹ ABLIKIM	22AF BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$	
¹ Assuming $\Gamma(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi) = 0.28$ MeV.					

NODE=M055220

NODE=M055W1
NODE=M055W1

NODE=M055W1;LINKAGE=A

$\chi_{c1}(1P) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}) \times \Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{61} \Gamma_{106} / \Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
22.1 ± 0.8 OUR FIT [21.9 ± 0.8 eV OUR 2025 FIT]					
21.4 ± 0.9 OUR AVERAGE					
21.5 ± 0.5 ± 0.8	¹ ANDREOTTI 05A	E835	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$		
21.4 ± 1.5 ± 2.2	^{1,2} ARMSTRONG 92	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$		
19.9 ^{+4.4} _{-4.0}	¹ BAGLIN 86B	SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-X$		
¹ Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 0.0593 \pm 0.0010$.					
² Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A.					

NODE=M055223

NODE=M055G1
NODE=M055G1
NEWNODE=M055G;LINKAGE=7A
NODE=M055G;LINKAGE=AN

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M055225

HADRONIC DECAYS

NODE=M055305

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_3 / Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
10.6 ± 1.1 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 3.0.	
OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 4.6]				[(10.4 ± 1.6) × 10 ⁻³	
10.81 ± 0.23 ± 0.29	84k	¹ ABLIKIM 22Q	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	
5.1 ± 1.1 ± 0.1	98	² BAI 99B	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$	
19 ± 7 ± 1	48	³ TANENBAUM 78	MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$	
¹ ABLIKIM 22Q reports $(1.092 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.035) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.					
² BAI 99B reports $(5.8 \pm 0.7 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.					
³ TANENBAUM 78 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ = $(1.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.					

NODE=M055R6
NODE=M055R6
NEW

NODE=M055R6;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M055R6;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M055R6;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.6 ± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.3.	[(8 ± 4) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.5]		
6.78 ± 0.26 ± 0.18	670k	¹ ABLIKIM	24BT BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$
4.0 ± 0.9 ± 0.1		² BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$
13.0 ± 3.7 ± 0.3		^{3,4} TANENBAUM	78 MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

¹ ABLIKIM 24BT reports $(0.685 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.031) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² BAI 99B reports $(0.49 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.68 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

³ TANENBAUM 78 reports $(1.8 \pm 0.54 \pm 0.45) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (7.1 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴ The value $(2.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5)\%$ reported by TANENBAUM 78 has been rescaled by us using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.69 \pm 0.34)\%$ and $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (11.932 \pm 0.064)\%$.

NEW

NODE=M055R4;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M055R4;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M055R4;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M055R4;LINKAGE=E

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.18 ± 0.15 OUR AVERAGE	[(1.19 ± 0.15)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			
1.18 ± 0.15 ± 0.03	604.7	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $1.28 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.08\%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NEW

NODE=M055R35;LINKAGE=HE

 $\Gamma(\rho^+\pi^-\pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.44 ± 0.24 OUR AVERAGE	[(1.45 ± 0.24)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			
1.44 ± 0.24 ± 0.04	712.3	^{1,2} HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $1.56 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.10\%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \rho^+\pi^-\pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Calculated by us. We have added the values from HE 08B for $\rho^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\rho^-\pi^+\pi^0$ decays assuming uncorrelated statistical and fully correlated systematic uncertainties.

NEW

NODE=M055R36;LINKAGE=HE

NODE=M055R36;LINKAGE=OC

 $\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.9 ± 3.5	¹ TANENBAUM	78 MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

¹ Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.087$. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $\psi(2S)$ decay.

NEW

NODE=M055R;LINKAGE=T

 $\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.3 ± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE	[(5.4 ± 0.8) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			
5.3 ± 0.8 ± 0.1	608	¹ ABLIKIM	11A BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

¹ ABLIKIM 11A reports $(0.57 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow 4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NEW

NODE=M055R44;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

4.5±1.0 OUR EVALUATION Treating systematic error as correlated.**4.5±0.9 OUR AVERAGE**

4.2±0.4±0.9

¹ BAI 99B BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

7.3±3.0±0.4

¹ TANENBAUM 78 MRK1 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

¹ Rescaled by us using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (8.8 \pm 0.4)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.6 \pm 0.5)\%$.

NODE=M055R5
 NODE=M055R5

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M055R5;LINKAGE=X2

 $\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.10±0.27 OUR AVERAGE [(1.12 ± 0.27) × 10⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**1.10±0.27±0.03** 45.1 ¹ HE 08B CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $(0.12 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R37
 NODE=M055R37

NEW

NODE=M055R37;LINKAGE=HE

 $\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

11.46±0.12±1.29 12k ¹ ABLIKIM 13B BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

¹ Using 1.06×10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma) = (9.2 \pm 0.4)\%$.

NODE=M055R00
 NODE=M055R00

NODE=M055R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

7.52±0.11±0.79 5.1k ¹ ABLIKIM 13B BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

¹ Using 1.06×10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma) = (9.2 \pm 0.4)\%$.

NODE=M055R60
 NODE=M055R60

NODE=M055R60;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.85±0.13 OUR AVERAGE [(0.86 ± 0.14)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**0.85±0.13±0.02** 141.3 ¹ HE 08B CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $0.92 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.06 \%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R39
 NODE=M055R39

NEW

NODE=M055R39;LINKAGE=HE

 $\Gamma(\rho^-K^+\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.50±0.12±0.01 141.3 ¹ HE 08B CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $0.54 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03 \%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \rho^-K^+\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R40
 NODE=M055R40

NODE=M055R40;LINKAGE=HE

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.23±0.06±0.01 141.3 ¹ HE 08B CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $0.25 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02 \%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R41
 NODE=M055R41

NODE=M055R41;LINKAGE=HE

 $\Gamma(K^+K^-\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.110±0.034 OUR AVERAGE [(0.112 ± 0.034)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**0.110±0.034±0.003** 141.3 ¹ HE 08B CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

NODE=M055R42
 NODE=M055R42

NEW

¹ HE 08B reports $0.12 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R42;LINKAGE=HE

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{17}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.8±2.9 OUR AVERAGE		[(6.9 ± 2.9) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
6.8±2.9±0.2	19.8 ± 7.7	¹ ABLIKIM	050 BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma$

NODE=M055R05
NODE=M055R05

NEW

¹ ABLIKIM 050 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ = $(0.67 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R05;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{18}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.1 ±1.0 OUR AVERAGE		[(3.2 ± 1.0) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
3.1 ±1.0 ±0.1		¹ ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

NODE=M055R25
NODE=M055R25

NEW

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.30±0.05	8690	² ABLIKIM	24BWBES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
¹ ATHAR 07 reports $(0.34 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0907 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0054$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				
² No systematic error reported.				

NODE=M055R25;LINKAGE=AT

NODE=M055R25;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{20}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.04±0.15 OUR AVERAGE		[(1.03 ± 0.15) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
1.05±0.14±0.09	262	¹ AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$
0.97±0.36±0.03	22	² ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

NODE=M055R09
NODE=M055R09

NEW

¹ AAIJ 23AH reports $(1.04 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})]$ assuming $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) = (7.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) = (6.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 06R reports $(1.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R09;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M055R09;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{21}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.22±0.23 OUR AVERAGE		[(1.21 ± 0.23) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
1.19±0.22±0.10	288	¹ AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$
1.41±0.64±0.04	27	² ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

NODE=M055R10
NODE=M055R10

NEW

¹ AAIJ 23AH reports $(1.18 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})]$ assuming $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) = (7.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) = (6.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 06R reports $(1.6 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R10;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M055R10;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(K_J^*(1430)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{22}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
¹ ABLIKIM 06R reports $< 0.9 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K_J^*(1430)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M055R12
NODE=M055R12

NODE=M055R12;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(K_J^*(1430)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{23}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
¹ ABLIKIM 06R reports $< 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K_J^*(1430)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M055R13
NODE=M055R13

NODE=M055R13;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{24}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.80 ± 0.24 OUR AVERAGE [(1.81 ± 0.24) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			
1.80 ± 0.23 ± 0.05	¹ ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$
¹ ATHAR 07 reports $(1.95 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0907 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0054$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.			

NODE=M055R20
NODE=M055R20

NEW

NODE=M055R20;LINKAGE=AT

$$\Gamma(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{25}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.58 ± 0.23 OUR AVERAGE [(4.62 ± 0.24) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]				
4.53 ± 0.23 ± 0.12		^{1,2} ABLIKIM	17K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
4.6 ± 0.5 ± 0.1		³ ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$
5.2 ± 0.9 ± 0.1	222	⁴ ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

NODE=M055R08
NODE=M055R08

NEW

¹ From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

² ABLIKIM 17K reports $(4.67 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ ATHAR 07 reports $(5.0 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0907 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0054$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴ ABLIKIM 06R reports $(5.9 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R08;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M055R08;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M055R08;LINKAGE=AT

NODE=M055R08;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(a_0(980)^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.2 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.1. [(3.2 ± 0.4) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 2.1]				
3.30 ± 0.19 ± 0.09		^{1,2} ABLIKIM	17K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
1.77 ± 0.62 ± 0.05	58	³ ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

NODE=M055R15
NODE=M055R15

NEW

¹ From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

² ABLIKIM 17K reports $(3.40 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow a_0(980)^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ ABLIKIM 06R reports $(2.0 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow a_0(980)^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming

NODE=M055R15;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M055R15;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M055R15;LINKAGE=AB

$B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value
 $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.175$\pm$0.024 OUR AVERAGE	[(0.176 $\pm$ 0.024) $\times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
0.175$\pm$0.023$\pm$0.005	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	17K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M055R72
 NODE=M055R72

NEW

NODE=M055R72;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M055R72;LINKAGE=B

¹ From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

² ABLIKIM 17K reports $(0.18 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow a_2(1320)^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(a_2(1700)^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.6$\pm$0.7$\pm$0.1	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	17K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M055R75
 NODE=M055R75

NODE=M055R75;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M055R75;LINKAGE=B

¹ From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

² ABLIKIM 17K reports $(4.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow a_2(1700)^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(f_2(1270) \eta \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.5$\pm$0.6$\pm$0.1	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	17K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M055R73
 NODE=M055R73

OCCUR=2

NODE=M055R73;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M055R73;LINKAGE=D

¹ From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

² ABLIKIM 17K reports $(0.36 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow f_2(1270) \eta \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(f_4(2050) \eta \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.5$\pm$0.9$\pm$0.1	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	17K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M055R74
 NODE=M055R74

NODE=M055R74;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M055R74;LINKAGE=B

¹ From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

² ABLIKIM 17K reports $(2.6 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow f_4(2050) \eta \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\pi_1(1400)^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4 $\times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%)	<5 $\times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]			
<4 $\times 10^{-5}$	90	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	17K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M055R76
 NODE=M055R76

NODE=M055R76;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M055R76;LINKAGE=B

¹ From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

² ABLIKIM 17K reports $< 4.6 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \pi_1(1400)^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(\pi_1(1600)^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.5 $\times 10^{-5}$	90	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	17K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M055R77
 NODE=M055R77

NODE=M055R77;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M055R77;LINKAGE=B

¹ From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

² ABLIKIM 17K reports $< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \pi_1(1600)^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

$$\Gamma(\pi_1(2015)^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	1,2 ABLIKIM	17K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

² ABLIKIM 17K reports $< 8 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \pi_1(2015)^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R78
NODE=M055R78

NODE=M055R78;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M055R78;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{35}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.66 ± 0.11 OUR AVERAGE				
$[(0.67 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}]$				OUR 2025 AVERAGE]
$0.62 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.02$		1,2 ABLIKIM	17K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$2.6 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.1$	53	3 ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

¹ ABLIKIM 17K reports $(6.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow f_2(1270)\eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² From an amplitude analysis using an isobar model.

³ ABLIKIM 06R reports $(3.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow f_2(1270)\eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R16
NODE=M055R16

NEW

NODE=M055R16;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M055R16;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M055R16;LINKAGE=C

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta') / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{36}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.2 ± 0.4 ± 0.1	1 ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

¹ ATHAR 07 reports $(2.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta') / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0907 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0054$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R28
NODE=M055R28

NODE=M055R28;LINKAGE=AT

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \eta'(958)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{37}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.3 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE				
$[(8.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}]$				OUR 2025 AVERAGE]
$8.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$		1 ABLIKIM	25I BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'(958)$
$8.2 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.2$	310	2 ABLIKIM	14J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'(958)$

¹ ABLIKIM 25I reports $(8.48 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.47) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'(958)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 14J reports $(8.75 \pm 0.87) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'(958)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R64
NODE=M055R64

NEW

NODE=M055R64;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M055R64;LINKAGE=D

$$\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{38}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.41 ± 0.57^{+2.09}_{-2.71}	1 ABLIKIM	14J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'(958)$

¹ Normalized to $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'(958))$ branching fraction.

NODE=M055R65
NODE=M055R65

NODE=M055R65;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(f_0(980)\eta'(958)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{39}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.65 ± 0.47^{+1.32}_{-0.56}	1 ABLIKIM	14J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'(958)$

¹ Normalized to $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'(958))$ branching fraction.

NODE=M055R66
NODE=M055R66

NODE=M055R66;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(f_0(1710)\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{40}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.71 \pm 0.22^{+0.68}_{-0.48}$	¹ ABLIKIM	14J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'(958)$

¹ Normalized to $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'(958))$ branching fraction.

NODE=M055R67
NODE=M055R67

NODE=M055R67;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(f'_2(1525)\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{41}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.92 \pm 0.23^{+0.55}_{-0.51}$	¹ ABLIKIM	14J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'(958)$

¹ Normalized to $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'(958))$ branching fraction.

NODE=M055R68
NODE=M055R68

NODE=M055R68;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K^*(1430)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{42}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.63 ± 0.31 OUR AVERAGE		[(1.61 ± 0.31) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
1.63 ± 0.27 ± 0.14	351	¹ AAIJ	23AH LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$

¹ AAIJ 23AH reports $(1.61 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(1430)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})]$ assuming $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) = (7.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) = (6.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R93
NODE=M055R93

NEW

NODE=M055R93;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(K^*(1430)\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{43}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.18 ± 0.20 OUR AVERAGE		[(1.17 ± 0.20) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
1.18 ± 0.17 ± 0.10	278	¹ AAIJ	23AH LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$

¹ AAIJ 23AH reports $(1.17 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(1430)\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})]$ assuming $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) = (7.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) = (6.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R94
NODE=M055R94

NEW

NODE=M055R94;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(\pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{44}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.35 ± 0.09		ABLIKIM	18D BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 11D BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 11D reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^0 f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ < 6.0×10^{-7} which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R18
NODE=M055R18

NODE=M055R18;LINKAGE=BR

$$\Gamma(K^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{45}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
32 ± 21	¹ TANENBAUM	78 MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

¹ Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.087$. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $\psi(2S)$ decay.

NODE=M055R9
NODE=M055R9

NODE=M055R9;LINKAGE=T

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{46}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.42 ± 0.35 ± 0.04	28.4 ± 5.5	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	04H BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 04H reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ = $(1.40 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Assumes $B(K^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) = 2/3$.

NODE=M055R26
NODE=M055R26

NODE=M055R26;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M055R26;LINKAGE=AL

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{47}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4 × 10⁻⁴	90	3.2 ± 2.4	¹ ABLIKIM	050 BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 050 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ < 4.2×10^{-5} which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R06
NODE=M055R06

NODE=M055R06;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{48}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.35±0.10±0.01	22	¹ ABLIKIM	19AA BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 4K_S^0$

NODE=M055R82
 NODE=M055R82

¹ Using $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (69.20 \pm 0.05)\%$. ABLIKIM 19AA reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))] = (3.4 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value..

NODE=M055R82;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{50}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.41±0.15±0.01	17	¹ ABLIKIM	06T BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2K^+ 2K^-$

NODE=M055R07
 NODE=M055R07

¹ ABLIKIM 06T reports $(0.46 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R07;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- \phi + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{51}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.27±0.28±0.46	ABLIKIM	15M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

NODE=M055R69
 NODE=M055R69

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0 \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{52}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.62±0.12±0.28	ABLIKIM	15M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

NODE=M055R70
 NODE=M055R70

 $\Gamma(\phi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{55}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.75±0.06±0.08	373	¹ ABLIKIM	13B BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

NODE=M055R62
 NODE=M055R62

¹ Using 1.06×10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma) = (9.2 \pm 0.4)\%$.

NODE=M055R62;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\omega \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{56}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.26±0.30 OUR AVERAGE				

NODE=M055R49
 NODE=M055R49
 NEW

[(5.7 ± 0.7) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

6.36±0.27±0.17	11.7k	¹ ABLIKIM	25K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$
5.6 ± 0.7 ± 0.1	597	² ABLIKIM	11K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma$ hadrons

¹ ABLIKIM 25K reports $(6.43 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \omega \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R49;LINKAGE=A

² ABLIKIM 11K reports $(6.0 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \omega \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R49;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\omega K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{57}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.78±0.04±0.08	628	¹ ABLIKIM	13B BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

NODE=M055R61
 NODE=M055R61

¹ Using 1.06×10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma) = (9.2 \pm 0.4)\%$.

NODE=M055R61;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\omega \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{58}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.13±0.30 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.5. [(0.27 ± 0.04) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NODE=M055R50
 NODE=M055R50

NEW

1.98±0.22±0.05	356	¹ ABLIKIM	25K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 K^+ K^-$
2.7 ± 0.4 ± 0.1	105	² ABLIKIM	19J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma$ hadrons

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.1 ± 0.6 ± 0.1	15	^{3,4} ABLIKIM	11K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma$ hadrons

¹ ABLIKIM 25K reports $(0.20 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 19J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] = (2.67 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ ABLIKIM 11K reports $(0.22 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴ Superseded by ABLIKIM 19J.

NODE=M055R50;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M055R50;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M055R50;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M055R50;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{59}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.22±0.20 OUR AVERAGE		[(4.26 ± 0.21) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
4.22±0.17±0.11	1529	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	23N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

NODE=M055R51
NODE=M055R51

NEW

4.1 ±0.5 ±0.1 366 ³ ABLIKIM 11K BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma$ hadrons

¹ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$ from PDG 22.

² ABLIKIM 23N reports $(4.26 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ ABLIKIM 11K reports $(4.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R51;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M055R51;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M055R51;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\phi\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{60}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.9±0.5 OUR AVERAGE		[(3.0 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
2.9±0.5±0.1	83.6	¹ ABLIKIM	20B BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\phi\phi\eta$

NODE=M055R85
NODE=M055R85

NEW

¹ ABLIKIM 20B reports $(2.96 \pm 0.43 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \phi\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R85;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{62}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.154±0.018 OUR AVERAGE			
[(0.155 ± 0.018) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			
0.161±0.019±0.004	¹ ONYISI	10 CLE3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}X$
0.110±0.046±0.003	² ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

NODE=M055R21
NODE=M055R21

NEW

¹ ONYISI 10 reports $(1.75 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R21;LINKAGE=ON

² ATHAR 07 reports $(1.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R21;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.144±0.024 OUR AVERAGE		[(0.145 ± 0.025) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
0.144±0.024±0.004		¹ ONYISI	10 CLE3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.15	90	² ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$
¹ ONYISI 10 reports (1.56 ± 0.22 ± 0.14 ± 0.10) × 10 ⁻⁴ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				
² ATHAR 07 reports < 0.16 × 10 ⁻³ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.				

 Γ_{63}/Γ NODE=M055R27
NODE=M055R27

NEW

NODE=M055R27;LINKAGE=ON

NODE=M055R27;LINKAGE=AT

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.210±0.030 OUR AVERAGE	[(0.212 ± 0.031) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
0.210±0.030±0.006	¹ ONYISI	10 CLE3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}X$
¹ ONYISI 10 reports (2.28 ± 0.28 ± 0.16 ± 0.14) × 10 ⁻⁴ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.			

 Γ_{64}/Γ NODE=M055R43
NODE=M055R43

NEW

NODE=M055R43;LINKAGE=ON

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.50±0.19 OUR EVALUATION	Treating systematic error as correlated.		
0.50±0.19 OUR AVERAGE			
0.46±0.12±0.15	¹ BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$
1.08±0.77±0.05	¹ TANENBAUM	78 MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$
¹ Rescaled by us using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (8.8 \pm 0.4)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.6 \pm 0.5)\%$.			

 Γ_{65}/Γ NODE=M055R7
NODE=M055R7

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M055R7;LINKAGE=X2

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5 × 10⁻⁴	90	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$
¹ HE 08B reports < 0.05 × 10 ⁻² from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.				

 Γ_{66}/Γ NODE=M055R38
NODE=M055R38

NODE=M055R38;LINKAGE=HE

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.93±0.12±0.05	1695	¹ ABLIKIM	25L BES3	$\psi(3686) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}\eta\pi^0$
¹ ABLIKIM 25L reports (1.95 ± 0.05 ± 0.12) × 10 ⁻⁴ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

 Γ_{67}/Γ NODE=M055P04
NODE=M055P04

NODE=M055P04;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.86±0.11±0.05	8721	¹ ABLIKIM	25V BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$
¹ ABLIKIM 25V reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ = (1.83 ± 0.02 ± 0.11) × 10 ⁻⁵ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

 Γ_{68}/Γ NODE=M055P05
NODE=M055P05

NODE=M055P05;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}K^+K^- \text{ (non-resonant)})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{69}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.26±0.22 OUR AVERAGE $[(1.27 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**1.26±0.22±0.03** 82 ± 9 ¹ ABLIKIM 11F BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 11F reports $(1.35 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+K^- \text{ (non-resonant)})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R45
NODE=M055R45

NEW

NODE=M055R45;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K^+\bar{p}\Lambda(1520) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{70}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.69±0.43±0.04 48 ± 10 ¹ ABLIKIM 11F BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 11F reports $(1.81 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+\bar{p}\Lambda(1520) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R46
NODE=M055R46

NODE=M055R46;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\Lambda(1520)\bar{\Lambda}(1520))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{71}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<9 × 10⁻⁵ 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 11F BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 11F reports $< 1.00 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\bar{\Lambda}(1520))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R47
NODE=M055R47

NODE=M055R47;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{72}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<1.7 × 10⁻⁵ 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 11F BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 11F reports $< 1.82 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R48
NODE=M055R48

NODE=M055R48;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}K_S^0K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{73}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<4.5 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 06D BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

¹ Using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma) (9.1 \pm 0.6)\%$.

NODE=M055R02
NODE=M055R02

NODE=M055R;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(p\bar{n}\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{75}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

3.8±0.5±0.1 1412 ¹ ABLIKIM 12J BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{n}\pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{n}\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ = $(0.37 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R56
NODE=M055R56

NODE=M055R56;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\bar{p}n\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{76}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

3.9±0.5±0.1 1625 ¹ ABLIKIM 12J BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\bar{p}n\pi^+$

¹ ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{p}n\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ = $(0.38 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R57
NODE=M055R57

NODE=M055R57;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(p\bar{n}\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{77}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

10.2±1.2 OUR AVERAGE $[(10.3 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**10.2±1.1±0.3** 1082 ¹ ABLIKIM 12J BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{n}\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{n}\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ = $(1.00 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R58
NODE=M055R58

NEW

NODE=M055R58;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\bar{p}n\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{78}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

9.9±1.2 OUR AVERAGE $[(10.1 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**9.9±1.1±0.3** 1261 ¹ ABLIKIM 12J BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \bar{p}n\pi^+\pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{p}n\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))] = (0.98 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R59
NODE=M055R59

NEW

NODE=M055R59;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{80}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

29±5±1 105 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<150 90 ² ABLIKIM 06D BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports $(31.1 \pm 3.4 \pm 3.9) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma) (9.1 \pm 0.6)\%$.NODE=M055R01
NODE=M055R01

NODE=M055R01;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M055R01;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^- (\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{81}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

24±6 OUR AVERAGE $[(25 \pm 6) \times 10^{-5}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**24±6±1** 13 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports $(26.2 \pm 5.5 \pm 3.3) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^- (\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R19
NODE=M055R19

NEW

NODE=M055R19;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+\bar{\Lambda}\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{82}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<**1.3 × 10⁻⁴** 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma(1385)^+\bar{\Lambda}\pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports $< 14 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^+\bar{\Lambda}\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R52
NODE=M055R52

NODE=M055R52;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^-\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{83}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<**13** 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma(1385)^-\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports $< 14 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^-\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R53
NODE=M055R53

NODE=M055R53;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(K^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{87}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

4.1±0.4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2. $[(4.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.2]9.2^{+2.8}_{-2.4}±0.4 24 ¹ LU 19 BELL $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{p}\Lambda K^+ K^+$ 4.2±0.4±0.1 3k ^{2,3} ABLIKIM 13D BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda\bar{p}K^+$ 3.0±0.9±0.1 ⁴ ATHAR 07 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

¹ LU 19 reports $(9.15^{+2.63}_{-2.25} \pm 0.86) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)K^+)]$ assuming $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)K^+) = (4.79 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-4}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)K^+) = (4.74 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 13D reports $(4.5 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))$

NODE=M055R22
NODE=M055R22

NEW

NODE=M055R22;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M055R22;LINKAGE=AB

$= (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³Using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-) = 63.9\%$.

⁴ATHAR 07 reports $(3.3 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ \bar{p} \Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R22;LINKAGE=LB

NODE=M055R22;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(nK_S^0 \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{88}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.64±0.17 OUR AVERAGE		[(1.66 ± 0.17) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
1.64±0.16±0.04	399	¹ ABLIKIM	21AV BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma nK_S^0 \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$

NODE=M055R89
NODE=M055R89

NEW

¹ABLIKIM 21AV reports $(1.66 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow nK_S^0 \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0975 \pm 0.0024$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Also uses $B(\bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \bar{p}\pi^+) = (63.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = (69.20 \pm 0.05)\%$.

NODE=M055R89;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ \bar{p} \Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{90}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.9±0.7±0.1	328	¹ ABLIKIM	19AU BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^* \bar{p} \Lambda$

NODE=M055R86
NODE=M055R86

¹ABLIKIM 19AU reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^+ \bar{p} \Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ $= (4.8 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R86;LINKAGE=F

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{91}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.2±0.6±0.1		103	¹ ABLIKIM	18V BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$

NODE=M055R32
NODE=M055R32

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6	90		² ABLIKIM	13H BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$
<4	90	3.8 ± 2.5	³ NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$

¹ABLIKIM 18V reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ $= (0.41 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R32;LINKAGE=B

²ABLIKIM 13H reports $< 0.62 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R32;LINKAGE=AB

³NAIK 08 reports $< 0.44 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R32;LINKAGE=NA

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{94}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.6±0.6 OUR AVERAGE			[(3.6 ± 0.7) × 10 ⁻⁵ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
3.6±0.6±0.1		59	¹ ABLIKIM	18V BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$

NODE=M055R33
NODE=M055R33

NEW

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<8	90		² ABLIKIM	13H BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$
<6	90	4.3 ± 2.3	³ NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$

¹ABLIKIM 18V reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ $= (0.35 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R33;LINKAGE=B

²ABLIKIM 13H reports $< 0.87 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R33;LINKAGE=AB

³NAIK 08 reports $< 0.65 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R33;LINKAGE=NA

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

5.0±1.4 OUR AVERAGE $[(5.1 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-5}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**5.0±1.4±0.1** 36 ¹ ABLIKIM 24CA BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)$

¹ ABLIKIM 24CA reports $(5.10 \pm 1.21 \pm 0.67) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^- \bar{\Sigma}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

5.6±1.5 OUR AVERAGE $[(5.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-5}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**5.6±1.5±0.1** 214 ¹ ABLIKIM 20I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^- \bar{\Sigma}^+$

¹ ABLIKIM 20I reports $(5.7 \pm 1.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^- \bar{\Sigma}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<9 × 10⁻⁵ 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports $< 10 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<5 × 10⁻⁵ 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports $< 5.7 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

 $\Gamma(K^- \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.34±0.24 OUR AVERAGE $[(1.35 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**1.34±0.24±0.04** 49 ¹ ABLIKIM 15I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^- \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ + \text{c.c.}$

¹ ABLIKIM 15I reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^- \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ $= (1.32 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

7.4±1.2 OUR AVERAGE $[(7.5 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-5}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**7.4±1.2±0.2** 325 ¹ ABLIKIM 220 BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6 90 1.7 ± 2.4 ² NAIK 08 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 220 reports $(0.75 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² NAIK 08 reports $< 0.60 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

 Γ_{95}/Γ NODE=M055P01
NODE=M055P01

NEW

NODE=M055P01;LINKAGE=A

 Γ_{96}/Γ NODE=M055R87
NODE=M055R87

NEW

NODE=M055R87;LINKAGE=A

 Γ_{97}/Γ NODE=M055R54
NODE=M055R54

NODE=M055R54;LINKAGE=AL

 Γ_{98}/Γ NODE=M055R55
NODE=M055R55

NODE=M055R55;LINKAGE=AL

 Γ_{99}/Γ NODE=M055R71
NODE=M055R71

NEW

NODE=M055R71;LINKAGE=A

 Γ_{100}/Γ NODE=M055R34
NODE=M055R34

NEW

NODE=M055R34;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M055R34;LINKAGE=NA

$\Gamma(\Xi^- \Xi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{101}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.59±0.06 OUR AVERAGE[(0.60 ± 0.06) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]0.57±0.06±0.02 692 ¹ ABLIKIM 220 BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Xi^- \Xi^+$ 0.79±0.21±0.02 16.4 ± 4.3 ² NAIK 08 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Xi^+ \Xi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 3.4 90 ³ ABLIKIM 06D BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

¹ ABLIKIM 220 reports (0.58 ± 0.04 ± 0.05) × 10⁻⁴ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Xi^- \Xi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² NAIK 08 reports (0.86 ± 0.22 ± 0.08) × 10⁻⁴ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Xi^- \Xi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma) (9.1 \pm 0.6)\%$.

NODE=M055R03

NODE=M055R03

NEW

NODE=M055R03;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M055R03;LINKAGE=NA

NODE=M055R03;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\Omega^- \bar{\Omega}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{102}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.49±0.23±0.10

277

ABLIKIM

23T

BES3

 $\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow \Omega^- \bar{\Omega}^+$

NODE=M055R91

NODE=M055R91

 $[\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-) + \Gamma(K^+ K^-)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{103}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<21 × 10⁻⁴¹ FELDMAN 77 MRK1 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<38 × 10⁻⁴ 90 ¹ BRANDELIK 79B DASP $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

¹ Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.087$. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $\psi(2S)$ decay.

NODE=M055R2

NODE=M055R2

NODE=M055R2;LINKAGE=T

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{104}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<6 × 10⁻⁵

90

¹ ABLIKIM

050

BES2

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 050 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ < 0.6 × 10⁻⁵ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R04

NODE=M055R04

NODE=M055R04;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\eta_c \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{105}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<3.2 × 10⁻³

90

^{1,2} ABLIKIM

13B

BES3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<4.4 × 10⁻³ 90 ^{1,3} ABLIKIM 13B BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

¹ Using 1.06×10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma) = (9.2 \pm 0.4)\%$.

² Using the $\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ decays.

³ Using the $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$ decays.

NODE=M055R63

NODE=M055R63

OCCUR=2

NODE=M055R63;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M055R63;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M055R63;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M055310

RADIATIVE DECAYS

 $\Gamma(\gamma \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{107}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

214±17 OUR AVERAGE[(216 ± 17) × 10⁻⁶ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]213 ± 22 ± 6 432 ± 25 ¹ ABLIKIM 11E BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \rho^0$ 215 ± 24 ± 6 186 ± 15 ² BENNETT 08A CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \rho^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 11E reports (228 ± 13 ± 22) × 10⁻⁶ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² BENNETT 08A reports (243 ± 19 ± 22) × 10⁻⁶ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R29

NODE=M055R29

NEW

NODE=M055R29;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M055R29;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\gamma\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{108}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

67 ± 8 OUR AVERAGE

[(68 ± 8) × 10^{-6} OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

65 ± 9 ± 2 136 ± 14 ¹ ABLIKIM 11E BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\omega$
 73 ± 17 ± 2 39 ± 7 ² BENNETT 08A CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\omega$

¹ ABLIKIM 11E reports $(69.7 \pm 7.2 \pm 6.6) \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² BENNETT 08A reports $(83 \pm 15 \pm 12) \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M055R30
 NODE=M055R30
 NEW

NODE=M055R30;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M055R30;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{109}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6}) CL% EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

24 ± 5 ± 1 43 ± 9 ¹ ABLIKIM 11E BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<23 90 5.2 ± 3.1 ² BENNETT 08A CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$

¹ ABLIKIM 11E reports $(25.8 \pm 5.2 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² BENNETT 08A reports $< 26 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055R31
 NODE=M055R31

NODE=M055R31;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M055R31;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{110}/Γ

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

< **6.3 × 10^{-6}** 90 ABLIKIM 17AE BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1} \rightarrow 3\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< **3.5 × 10^{-5}** 90 ECKLUND 08A CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1} \rightarrow 3\gamma$

<150 × 10^{-5} 90 ¹ YAMADA 77 DASP $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\gamma$

¹ Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.087$. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $\psi(2S)$ decay.

NODE=M055R3
 NODE=M055R3

NODE=M055R;LINKAGE=T1

$\Gamma(e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{111}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.62 ± 0.23 ± 0.10 1.9k ^{1,2} ABLIKIM 17I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^- J/\psi$

¹ ABLIKIM 17I reports $(3.73 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Not independent from other measurements reported by ABLIKIM 17I

NODE=M055R79
 NODE=M055R79

NODE=M055R79;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M055R79;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S))$ $\Gamma_{111}/\Gamma_{106}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

10.1 ± 0.3 ± 0.5 1.9k ¹ ABLIKIM 17I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^- \gamma J/\psi$

¹ Uses $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) \times B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) = (351.8 \pm 1.0 \pm 12.0) \times 10^{-4}$ from ABLIKIM 17N and accounts for common systematic errors.

NODE=M055R80
 NODE=M055R80

NODE=M055R80;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma(e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))$ $\Gamma_{112}/\Gamma_{111}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

6.73 ± 0.51 ± 0.50 222 ABLIKIM 19Z BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_c \rightarrow \gamma(\mu^+\mu^- J/\psi)$

NODE=M055R84
 NODE=M055R84

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ CROSS-PARTICLE BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M055230

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{49}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M055R98
NODE=M055R98

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$6.68 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.25$	670k	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	24BT BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

¹ Calculated by us. The value given here is derived from the value of $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))$ reported in ABLIKIM 24BT using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}) = (9.75 \pm 0.24)\%$ [PDG 22].

NODE=M055R98;LINKAGE=A

² Not used since the same experimental measurement has been used in another related quantity elsewhere.

NODE=M055R98;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M055B16
NODE=M055B16

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

6.8 ± 0.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.**7.2 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE**

$7.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$	¹ ATHAR	07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-$

$7.0 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.9$	² ABLIKIM	06R	BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

¹ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})$ reported by ATHAR 07 was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54)\%$.

NODE=M055B16;LINKAGE=AT

² Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 06R reports $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-) = (4.0 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$. We use $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M055B16;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M055B17
NODE=M055B17

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

19.6 ± 1.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

13.2 ± 2.4 ± 3.2	¹ BAI	99B	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-$

¹ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-)$ reported by BAI 99B was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

NODE=M055B17;LINKAGE=BA

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{34}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M055P02
NODE=M055P02

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

78.7 ± 0.3 ± 7.4	78k	ABLIKIM	25R BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{49}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M055B14
NODE=M055B14

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.53 ± 0.11 OUR FIT

0.61 ± 0.11 ± 0.08	54	¹ ABLIKIM	06T BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^+ K^- K^-$

¹ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow 2K^+ 2K^-)$ reported by ABLIKIM 06T was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.8)\%$.

NODE=M055B14;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{49}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M055B15
NODE=M055B15

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.52 ± 0.31 OUR FIT

1.13 ± 0.40 ± 0.29	¹ BAI	99B	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^+ K^- K^-$

¹ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow 2K^+ 2K^-)$ reported by BAI 99B was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

NODE=M055B15;LINKAGE=BA

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{53}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M055P03
NODE=M055P03

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

25.6 ± 0.8 ± 1.9	1190	¹ ABLIKIM	25AY BES3	$\psi(3686) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

¹ ABLIKIM 24AY reports also a measurement $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (26.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-5}$ from this product branching fraction using PDG 24 $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1})$ value.

NODE=M055P03;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow 3(K^+ K^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{54}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.1±0.9±0.5	24.9 ± 5.1	¹ ABLIKIM	24P BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

¹ Systematic error derived by us, based on the text.

NODE=M055R96
NODE=M055R96

NODE=M055R96;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{61}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.85±0.26 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.6. $[(7.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]

7.93±0.17 OUR AVERAGE

$[(7.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.4]

7.96±0.09±0.15	11279	¹ ABLIKIM	25H BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
8.2 ± 0.7 ± 0.4	141 ± 13	² NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
4.8 $\begin{smallmatrix} +1.4 \\ -1.3 \end{smallmatrix}$ ± 0.6	18.2 $\begin{smallmatrix} +5.5 \\ -4.9 \end{smallmatrix}$	BAI	04F BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

7.9 ± 0.4 ± 0.3	453	³ ABLIKIM	13v BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

¹ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 25H reports $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow p\bar{p}) = (8.16 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-5}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}) = (9.75 \pm 0.24)\%$.

² Calculated by us. NAIK 08 reports $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow p\bar{p}) = (9.0 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54)\%$.

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 25H

NODE=M055B6
NODE=M055B6

NEW

NEW

NODE=M055B6;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M055B6;LINKAGE=NA

NODE=M055B6;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma_{61}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.26±0.08 OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 1.5. $[(2.13 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-5}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]

1.1 ± 1.0	¹ BAI	98I BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

¹ Calculated by us. The value for $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow p\bar{p})$ reported in BAI 98I is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}) = (8.7 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

NODE=M055B1
NODE=M055B1

NEW

NODE=M055B;LINKAGE=B2

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{74}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.05±0.24±0.39	396	ABLIKIM	24BX BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

NODE=M055R99
NODE=M055R99

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{79}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
12.4±0.9 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

12.3±0.9 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

12.8±0.6±0.6	528	ABLIKIM	21L BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\pi^-\bar{p}\pi^+$
10.5±1.6±0.6	46	¹ NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

11.2±1.0±0.9	136	^{2,3} ABLIKIM	13H BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$

¹ Calculated by us. NAIK 08 reports $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}) = (11.6 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54)\%$.

² Superseded by ABLIKIM 21L

³ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 13H reports $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}) = (12.2 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}) \times B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1})$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}) = (9.2 \pm 0.4)\%$.

NODE=M055B10
NODE=M055B10

NODE=M055B10;LINKAGE=NA

NODE=M055B10;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M055B10;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma_{79}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.58±0.25 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

7.1 $\begin{smallmatrix} +2.8 \\ -2.4 \end{smallmatrix}$ ± 1.3	9.0 $\begin{smallmatrix} +3.5 \\ -3.1 \end{smallmatrix}$	¹ BAI	03E BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$

NODE=M055B11
NODE=M055B11

¹ BAI 03E reports $[B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}) B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}) / B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)] \times [B^2(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) / B(J/\psi \rightarrow p \bar{p})] = (1.33^{+0.52}_{-0.46} \pm 0.25)\%$. We calculate from this measurement the presented value using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) = (63.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow p \bar{p}) = (2.17 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$.

NODE=M055B11;LINKAGE=BA

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{84} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M055R90
NODE=M055R90

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.72 ± 1.34 ± 0.65	21	ABLIKIM	22A0 BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \pi^- \bar{p} \pi^+ \gamma \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \omega) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{85} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M055R97
NODE=M055R97

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.8 ± 1.0 ± 1.1	202 ± 20	¹ ABLIKIM	24BE BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

¹ Calculated by us. The authors report $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \omega)$ obtained from a product using PDG 22 value of $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1})$.

NODE=M055R97;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{86} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M055R95
NODE=M055R95

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.86 ± 0.87 ± 0.39	51.6	ABLIKIM	24AC BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{p} \Lambda(1520) K_S^0 \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{89} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M055P00
NODE=M055P00

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.96^{+0.77}_{-0.74} ± 0.50	88	ABLIKIM	24BX BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{p} K_S^0 + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{92} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M055B01
NODE=M055B01

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.49 ± 0.09 ± 0.07	258	¹ ABLIKIM	19BB BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^+ \bar{p} K_S^0 + \text{c.c.}$

¹ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 19BB reports $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{p} K_S^0 + \text{c.c.}) = (1.53 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}) = (9.75 \pm 0.24)\%$ and other branching fractions from PDG 18.

NODE=M055B01;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{p} K^+ + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{93} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M055R88
NODE=M055R88

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.42 ± 0.07 ± 0.06	493	¹ ABLIKIM	20AE BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^0 \bar{p} K^+ + \text{c.c.}$

¹ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 20AE reports $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{p} K^+ + \text{c.c.}) = (1.46 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}) = (9.75 \pm 0.24)\%$ and other branching fractions from PDG 20.

NODE=M055R88;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{106} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

NODE=M055B2
NODE=M055B2

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.33 ± 0.10 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.7. $[(3.34 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2} \text{ OUR } 2025 \text{ FIT Scale factor} = 1.7]$		

NEW

3.24 ± 0.16 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.

3.518 ± 0.010 ± 0.120	143k	¹ ABLIKIM	17N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma J/\psi$
3.442 ± 0.010 ± 0.132	1.9M	ABLIKIM	17U BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
2.81 ± 0.05 ± 0.23	13k	BAI	04I BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma \gamma$
2.56 ± 0.12 ± 0.20		GAISER	86 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
2.78 ± 0.30		² OREGLIA	82 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
2.2 ± 0.5		³ BRANDELIK	79B DASP	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
2.9 ± 0.5		³ BARTEL	78B CNTR	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
5.0 ± 1.5		⁴ BIDDICK	77 CNTR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
2.8 ± 0.9		² WHITAKER	76 MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$3.377 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.183$	142k	⁵ ABLIKIM	120	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
$3.56 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.12$	24.9k	⁶ MENDEZ	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
$3.44 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.13$	3.7k	⁷ ADAM	05A	CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08

¹ Uses $B(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.971 \pm 0.032)\%$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (5.961 \pm 0.033)\%$.

² Recalculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0020$.

³ Recalculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 0.0588 \pm 0.0010$.

⁴ Assumes isotropic gamma distribution.

⁵ Superseded by ABLIKIM 17N.

⁶ Not independent from other measurements of MENDEZ 08.

⁷ Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A.

NODE=M055B2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M055B;LINKAGE=3Q

NODE=M055B;LINKAGE=2Q


NODE=M055B;LINKAGE=EA

NODE=M055B2;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M055B2;LINKAGE=ME

NODE=M055B;LINKAGE=AD

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
3.24±0.16 (Error scaled by 2.1)



$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ (units 10^{-2})

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)$
 $\Gamma_{106} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{196}^{(2S)} / \Gamma_{12}^{(2S)}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

9.61±0.29 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.7. $[(9.62 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}]$ OUR
2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.7]

10.15±0.28 OUR AVERAGE

$10.17 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.27$	24.9k	MENDEZ	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
$12.6 \pm 0.3 \pm 3.8$	3k	¹ ABLIKIM	04B	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$
8.5 ± 2.1		² HIMEL	80	MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$10.24 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.23$	3.7k	³ ADAM	05A	CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08

¹ From a fit to the J/ψ recoil mass spectra.

² The value for $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}) \times B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S))$ quoted in HIMEL 80 is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (33 \pm 3)\%$ and $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 0.138 \pm 0.018$. Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0020$.

³ Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A.

NODE=M055B;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M055B;LINKAGE=J3

NODE=M055B3;LINKAGE=AD

MULTIPOLE AMPLITUDES IN $\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$

$a_2 = M_2 / \sqrt{E_1^2 + M_2^2}$ Magnetic quadrupole fractional transition amplitude

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

-6.7 ± 0.9 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.6. See the ideogram below.

$-7.40 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.34$	164k	¹ ABLIKIM	17N	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$
$-6.26 \pm 0.63 \pm 0.24$	39k	ARTUSO	09	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$
$0.2 \pm 3.2 \pm 0.4$	2090	AMBROGIANI	02	E835	$p \bar{p} \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$
$-0.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 2.0$	921	OREGLIA	82	CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma \gamma$


NODE=M055240

NODE=M055A1

NODE=M055A1

¹ Correlated with b_2 with correlation coefficient $\rho_{a_2 b_2} = 0.133$.

NODE=M055A1;LINKAGE=A



MULTIPOLE AMPLITUDES IN $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1S)$ RADIATIVE DECAY

NODE=M055250

$b_2 = M2/\sqrt{E1^2 + M2^2}$ Magnetic quadrupole fractional transition amplitude

NODE=M055QB2
NODE=M055QB2

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.5 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE				
2.29 ± 0.39 ± 0.27	164k	¹ ABLIKIM	17N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$
2.76 ± 0.73 ± 0.23	39k	ARTUSO	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$
7.7 ^{+5.0} _{-4.5}	921	OREGLIA	82 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$

¹ Correlated with a_2 with correlation coefficient $\rho_{a_2 b_2} = 0.133$.

NODE=M055QB2;LINKAGE=A

MULTIPOLE AMPLITUDE RATIOS IN RADIATIVE DECAYS $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1S)$ and $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$

NODE=M055260

a_2/b_2 Magnetic quadrupole transition amplitude ratio

NODE=M055QAR
NODE=M055QAR

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-2.27 ^{+0.57}_{-0.99}	39k	¹ ARTUSO	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$

¹ Statistical and systematic errors combined. Not independent of $a_2(\chi_{c1})$ and $b_2(\chi_{c1})$ values from ARTUSO 09.

NODE=M055QAR;LINKAGE=AR

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M055

ABLIKIM	25AY	PR D112 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63416
ABLIKIM	25H	PR D111 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63251
ABLIKIM	25I	PR D111 012004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63252
ABLIKIM	25K	PR D111 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63255
ABLIKIM	25L	PR D111 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63256
ABLIKIM	25R	PR D111 052013	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63262
ABLIKIM	25V	PR D111 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63266
ABLIKIM	24AC	PR D110 032016	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62682
ABLIKIM	24AY	PR D109 L071103	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62787
ABLIKIM	24BE	PR D110 032022	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62904
ABLIKIM	24BT	PR D110 072009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63025
ABLIKIM	24BW	PR D110 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63028
ABLIKIM	24BX	PR D110 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63029
ABLIKIM	24CA	PR D110 112013	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63032
ABLIKIM	24P	PR D109 072016	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62667
PDG	24	PR D110 030001	S. Navas <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=62629
AAJ	23AH	PR D108 032010	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=62349
ABLIKIM	23N	JHEP 2305 069	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62056
ABLIKIM	23T	PR D107 092004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62064
ABLIKIM	22AF	PRL 129 122001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61878
ABLIKIM	22AO	PR D106 072004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61887
ABLIKIM	22O	JHEP 2206 074	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61652
ABLIKIM	22Q	PR D106 032014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61663
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=61634
ABLIKIM	21AV	JHEP 2111 217	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61465
ABLIKIM	21L	PR D103 112004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61117

ABLIKIM	20AE	PR D102 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60733
ABLIKIM	20B	PR D101 012012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60212
ABLIKIM	20I	PR D101 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60303
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=60676
ABLIKIM	19AA	PR D99 052008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59844
ABLIKIM	19AU	PR D100 052010	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59996
ABLIKIM	19BB	PR D100 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60026
ABLIKIM	19J	PR D99 012015	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59606
ABLIKIM	19Z	PR D99 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59837
LU	19	PR D99 032003	P.-C. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=59614
ABLIKIM	18D	PRL 121 022001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58849
ABLIKIM	18V	PR D97 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58990
PDG	18	PR D98 030001	M. Tanabashi <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=59304
AAIJ	17BB	EPJ C77 609	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=58191
AAIJ	17BI	PRL 119 221801	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=58278
ABLIKIM	17AE	PR D96 092007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58310
ABLIKIM	17I	PRL 118 221802	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57931
ABLIKIM	17K	PR D95 032002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57953
ABLIKIM	17N	PR D95 072004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57978
ABLIKIM	17U	PR D96 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58026
PDG	16	CP C40 100001	C. Patrignani <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=57140
ABLIKIM	15I	PR D91 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56774
ABLIKIM	15M	PR D91 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56778
ABLIKIM	14J	PR D89 074030	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55901
ABLIKIM	13B	PR D87 012002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54877
ABLIKIM	13D	PR D87 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54879
ABLIKIM	13H	PR D87 032007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54953
ABLIKIM	13V	PR D88 112001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55583
ABLIKIM	12I	PR D86 052004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54736
ABLIKIM	12J	PR D86 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54737
ABLIKIM	12O	PRL 109 172002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54742
ABLIKIM	11A	PR D83 012006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53647
ABLIKIM	11D	PR D83 032003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=16715
ABLIKIM	11E	PR D83 112005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=16717
ABLIKIM	11F	PR D83 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=16719
ABLIKIM	11K	PRL 107 092001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53940
ONYISI	10	PR D82 011103	P.U.E. Onyisi <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53360
ARTUSO	09	PR D80 112003	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53206
BENNETT	08A	PRL 101 151801	J.V. Bennett <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52575
ECKLUND	08A	PR D78 091501	K.M. Ecklund <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52583
HE	08B	PR D78 092004	Q. He <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52588
MENDEZ	08	PR D78 011102	H. Mendez <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52684
NAIK	08	PR D78 031101	P. Naik <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52301
ATHAR	07	PR D75 032002	S.B. Athar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51618
ABLIKIM	06D	PR D73 052006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51049
ABLIKIM	06R	PR D74 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51447
ABLIKIM	06T	PL B642 197	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51453
ABLIKIM	05G	PR D71 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50756
ABLIKIM	05O	PL B630 21	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50846
ADAM	05A	PRL 94 232002	N.E. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50763
ANDREOTTI	05A	NP B717 34	M. Andreotti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=50769
ABLIKIM	04B	PR D70 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49741
ABLIKIM	04H	PR D70 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50188
BAI	04F	PR D69 092001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49752
BAI	04I	PR D70 012006	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49755
AULCHENKO	03	PL B573 63	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=49579
BAI	03E	PR D67 112001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49416
AMBROGIANI	02	PR D65 052002	M. Ambrogiani <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=48552
BAI	99B	PR D60 072001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47385
BAI	98D	PR D58 092006	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46338
BAI	98I	PRL 81 3091	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46343
ARMSTRONG	92	NP B373 35	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=41865
Also		PRL 68 1468	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=41907
BAGLIN	86B	PL B172 455	C. Baglin	(LAPP, CERN, GENO, LYON, OSLO+)	REFID=22145
GAISER	86	PR D34 711	J. Gaiser <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=22012
LEMOIGNE	82	PL 113B 509	Y. Lemoigne <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, LOIC, SHMP+)	REFID=22084
OREGLIA	82	PR D25 2259	M.J. Oreglia <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CIT, HARV+)	REFID=22120
Also		Private Comm.	M.J. Oreglia	(EFI)	REFID=22143
HIMEL	80	PRL 44 920	T. Himel <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22119
Also		Private Comm.	G. Trilling	(LBL, UCB)	REFID=22113
BRANDELIK	79B	NP B160 426	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22115
BARTEL	78B	PL 79B 492	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, HEIDP)	REFID=22111
TANENBAUM	78	PR D17 1731	W.M. Tanenbaum <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22112
Also		Private Comm.	G. Trilling	(LBL, UCB)	REFID=22113
BIDDICK	77	PRL 38 1324	C.J. Biddick <i>et al.</i>	(UCSD, UMD, PAVI+)	REFID=22059
FELDMAN	77	PRPL 33C 285	G.J. Feldman, M.L. Perl	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22062
YAMADA	77	Hamburg Conf. 69	S. Yamada	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22064
WHITAKER	76	PRL 37 1596	J.S. Whitaker <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22151
TANENBAUM	75	PRL 35 1323	W.M. Tanenbaum <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22106

$h_c(1P)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{+-})$$

Quantum numbers are quark model prediction, $C = -$ established by $\eta_c \gamma$ decay.

NODE=M144

NODE=M144

$h_c(1P)$ MASS

NODE=M144M

NODE=M144M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3525.37$\pm$0.14 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
3525.32 $\pm$ 0.06 $\pm$ 0.15	23k	ABLIKIM	22AQ BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0$ hadrons; $\pi^0 \gamma(\eta_c)$
3525.20 $\pm$ 0.18 $\pm$ 0.12	1282	¹ DOBBS	08A CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta_c \gamma$
3525.8 $\pm$ 0.2 $\pm$ 0.2	13	ANDREOTTI	05B E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3525.31 $\pm$ 0.11 $\pm$ 0.14	832	^{2,3} ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ hadrons
3525.40 $\pm$ 0.13 $\pm$ 0.18	3679	² ABLIKIM	10B BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta_c$
3525.6 $\pm$ 0.5	92	ADAMS	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$
3524.4 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.4	168	⁴ ROSNER	05 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta_c \gamma$
3527 $\pm$ 8	42	ANTONIAZZI	94 E705	$300 \pi^\pm, p\text{Li} \rightarrow$ $J/\psi \pi^0 X$
3526.28 $\pm$ 0.18 $\pm$ 0.19	59	⁵ ARMSTRONG	92D E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$
3525.4 $\pm$ 0.8 $\pm$ 0.4	5	BAGLIN	86 SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow J/\psi X$

¹ Combination of exclusive and inclusive analyses for the reaction $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta_c \gamma$. This result is the average of DOBBS 08A and ROSNER 05.

² Superseded by ABLIKIM 22AQ

³ With floating width.

⁴ Superseded by DOBBS 08A.

⁵ Mass central value and systematic error recalculated by us according to Eq.(16) in ARMSTRONG 93B, using the value for the $\psi(2S)$ mass from AULCHENKO 03.

NODE=M144M;LINKAGE=DO

NODE=M144M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M144M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M144M;LINKAGE=RO

NODE=M144M;LINKAGE=NW

$h_c(1P)$ WIDTH

NODE=M144W

NODE=M144W

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.78$^{+0.27}_{-0.24}$$\pm$0.12		23k	ABLIKIM	22AQ BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0$ hadrons; $\pi^0 \gamma(\eta_c)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.70 $\pm$ 0.28 $\pm$ 0.22		832	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ hadrons
< 1.44	90	3679	³ ABLIKIM	10B BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta_c$
< 1		13	ANDREOTTI	05B E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
< 1.1	90	59	ARMSTRONG	92D E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$

¹ Superseded by ABLIKIM 22AQ

² With floating mass.

³ The central value is $\Gamma = 0.73 \pm 0.45 \pm 0.28$ MeV.

NODE=M144W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M144W;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M144W;LINKAGE=AB

$h_c(1P)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M144215;NODE=M144

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1 $J/\psi(1S)\pi^0$	< 5 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_2 $J/\psi(1S)\pi\pi$	< 9 $\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_3 $J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	< 9 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_4 $p\bar{p}$	< 4 $\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_5 $p\bar{p}\pi^0$	< 8 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_6 $p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-$	(3.3 $\pm$ 0.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_7 $p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0$	< 6 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_8 $p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(4.4 $\pm$ 1.3) $\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_9 $p\bar{p}\eta$	(7.4 $\pm$ 2.2) $\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{10} $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(1.57 $\pm$ 0.13) $\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{11} $\pi^+\pi^-\eta$	< 5 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{12} $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$	(8.3 $\pm$ 2.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{13} $2\pi^+2\pi^-\pi^0\eta$	(7.2 $\pm$ 1.7) $\times 10^{-3}$	

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=10

DESIG=3

DESIG=24

DESIG=11

DESIG=13

DESIG=25

DESIG=23

DESIG=5

DESIG=31

DESIG=14

DESIG=29

Γ_{14}	$2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$	$(9.4 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=6
Γ_{15}	$2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \eta$	$< 6 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=30
Γ_{16}	$3\pi^+ 3\pi^- \pi^0$	$(9.1 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=7
Γ_{17}	$2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \omega$	$(3.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=28
Γ_{18}	$K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=12
Γ_{19}	$K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(3.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=15
Γ_{20}	$K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	$< 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=16
Γ_{21}	$K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(3.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=17
Γ_{22}	$K^+ K^- \pi^0 \eta$	$< 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=18
Γ_{23}	$K^+ K^- \eta$	$(3.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=19
Γ_{24}	$2K^+ 2K^- \pi^0$	$< 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=20
Γ_{25}	$K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	$(7.1 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=21
Γ_{26}	$K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(3.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=22

Radiative decays

Γ_{27}	$\gamma \eta$	$(3.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=9
Γ_{28}	$\gamma \eta'(958)$	$(1.41 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=8
Γ_{29}	$\gamma \pi^0$	$< 5 \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=32
Γ_{30}	$\gamma \eta_c(1S)$	$(64 \pm 5) \%$	S=1.2	DESIG=4
Γ_{31}	$\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(3.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=34
Γ_{32}	$\gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	$(3.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=35
Γ_{33}	$\gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	$(2.18 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=37
Γ_{34}	$\gamma p \bar{p}$	$(3.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=38
Γ_{35}	$e^+ e^- \eta_c(1S)$	$(3.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=33
Γ_{36}	$\gamma f_2(1270) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(1.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=39

FIT INFORMATION

A multiparticle fit to $\eta_c(1S)$, $J/\psi(1S)$, $\psi(2S)$, $h_c(1P)$, and $B^\pm$ with the total width, 10 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 38 branching ratios uses 115 measurements to determine 19 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 215.5$ for 96 degrees of freedom.

$h_c(1P)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$$h_c(1P) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\bar{p}p) / \Gamma(\text{total})$$

$\Gamma(\gamma \eta_c(1S)) \times \Gamma(p \bar{p}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{30} \Gamma_4 / \Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

12.0 ± 4.5 13 ¹ ANDREOTTI 05B E835 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ Assuming $\Gamma = 1 \text{ MeV}$.

NODE=M144220

NODE=M144223

NODE=M144G1
NODE=M144G1

NODE=M144G1;LINKAGE=AN

$h_c(1P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^0) / \Gamma(\gamma \eta_c(1S))$	Γ_1 / Γ_{30}
VALUE	CL%

$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$ (CL = 90%) [$< 9 \times 10^{-4}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]

$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 22N BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- h_c$

¹ ABLIKIM 22N reports [$\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^0) / \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))$] / [$B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0)$] $< 7.5 \times 10^{-2}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = 1/6 B(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi) = 1/6 (5.9 \times 10^{-2})$.

NODE=M144R24
NODE=M144R24

NODE=M144R24;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi\pi) / \Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^0)$	Γ_2 / Γ_1
VALUE	CL%

< 0.18 90 ARMSTRONG 92D E760 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$

NODE=M144R1
NODE=M144R1

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24BY BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<2.7 \times 10^{-3}$	90	² ABLIKIM	18M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
¹ ABLIKIM 24BY reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 6.7 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.				
² ABLIKIM 18M reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M144R07
 NODE=M144R07

OCCUR=2

NODE=M144R07;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M144R07;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24R BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
¹ ABLIKIM 24R reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 3.22 \times 10^{-8}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M144R20
 NODE=M144R20

NODE=M144R20;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	22M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
¹ ABLIKIM 22M reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 5.67 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M144R22
 NODE=M144R22

NODE=M144R22;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.2$	230	¹ ABLIKIM	19AG BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
¹ ABLIKIM 19AG reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (2.49 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M144R08
 NODE=M144R08

NODE=M144R08;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	12	¹ ABLIKIM	20AH BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
¹ ABLIKIM 20AH reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 4.4 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.					

NODE=M144R10
 NODE=M144R10

NODE=M144R10;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.4 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.3$	86	¹ ABLIKIM	22M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
¹ ABLIKIM 22M reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (3.30 \pm 0.71 \pm 0.59) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M144R23
 NODE=M144R23

NODE=M144R23;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$7.4 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.5$	20	¹ ABLIKIM	22M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
¹ ABLIKIM 22M reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (5.51 \pm 1.50 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M144R21
 NODE=M144R21

NODE=M144R21;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.57 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.11$		472	¹ ABLIKIM	24BF BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$1.9 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1$		101	^{2,3} ABLIKIM	19AG BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
<2.6	90		⁴ ADAMS	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta_c$

NODE=M144R01
 NODE=M144R01

¹ ABLIKIM 24BF reports $(1.36 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (8.6 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R01;LINKAGE=B

² ABLIKIM 19AG reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (1.38 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R01;LINKAGE=A

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 24BF.

NODE=M144R01;LINKAGE=C

⁴ ADAMS 09 reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 0.19 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M144R01;LINKAGE=AD

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			Γ_{11}/Γ		
VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	44.5	¹ ABLIKIM	24BF BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$

NODE=M144R28
NODE=M144R28

¹ ABLIKIM 24BF reports $< 4.0 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (8.6 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M144R28;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			Γ_{12}/Γ		
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$8.3 \pm 2.3 \pm 0.6$	35	¹ ABLIKIM	20AH BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$	

NODE=M144R11
NODE=M144R11

¹ ABLIKIM 20AH reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (6.2 \pm 1.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R11;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0 \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			Γ_{13}/Γ		
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
$7.2 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.5$	¹ ABLIKIM	24R BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$		

NODE=M144R26
NODE=M144R26

¹ ABLIKIM 24R reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0 \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (5.33 \pm 1.10 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R26;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			Γ_{14}/Γ		
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.94 ± 0.17 OUR AVERAGE					
$0.86 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.06$	254	¹ ABLIKIM	19AG BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$	
$2.5 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.7$	92	² ADAMS	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta_c$	

NODE=M144R02
NODE=M144R02

¹ ABLIKIM 19AG reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (6.40 \pm 0.81 \pm 0.87) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R02;LINKAGE=A

² ADAMS 09 reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (1.88 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.47 \pm 0.45 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R02;LINKAGE=AD

$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			Γ_{15}/Γ		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24R BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$	

NODE=M144R27
NODE=M144R27

¹ ABLIKIM 24R reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 4.53 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M144R27;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			Γ_{16}/Γ		
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$9.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.6$		¹ ABLIKIM	24R BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$	

NODE=M144R03
NODE=M144R03

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

OCCUR=3

<10	90	² ABLIKIM	19AG BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$	
<34	90	³ ADAMS	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta_c$	

- ¹ ABLIKIM 24R reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (6.79 \pm 0.83 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- ² ABLIKIM 19AG reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 7.5 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$. Superseded by ABLIKIM 24R.
- ³ ADAMS 09 reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M144R03;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M144R03;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M144R03;LINKAGE=AD

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{17}/Γ** VALUE (units 10^{-3})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $3.9 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.3$ ¹ ABLIKIM 24R BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$

- ¹ ABLIKIM 24R reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (2.93 \pm 0.63 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R25
NODE=M144R25

NODE=M144R25;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{18}/Γ**

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $< 7 \times 10^{-4}$

90

¹ ABLIKIM 19AG BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$

- ¹ ABLIKIM 19AG reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 0.5 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M144R09
NODE=M144R09

NODE=M144R09;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{19}/Γ** VALUE (units 10^{-3})

EVTs

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $3.8 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.3$

80

¹ ABLIKIM 20AH BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$

- ¹ ABLIKIM 20AH reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (2.8 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R12
NODE=M144R12

NODE=M144R12;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{20}/Γ**

VALUE

CL%

EVTs

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $< 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$

90

24

¹ ABLIKIM 20AH BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$

- ¹ ABLIKIM 20AH reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M144R13
NODE=M144R13

NODE=M144R13;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{21}/Γ** VALUE (units 10^{-4})

CL%

EVTs

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $3.8 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.3$

62

¹ ABLIKIM 24BF BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6

90

20

^{2,3} ABLIKIM 20AH BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$

- ¹ ABLIKIM 24BF reports $(3.26 \pm 0.84 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (8.6 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Significance 3.5σ .

NODE=M144R14;LINKAGE=B

- ² ABLIKIM 20AH reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 4.8 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M144R14;LINKAGE=A

- ³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 24BF.

NODE=M144R14;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0 \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{22}/Γ**

VALUE

CL%

EVTs

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $< 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$

90

20

¹ ABLIKIM 20AH BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$

- ¹ ABLIKIM 20AH reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M144R15
NODE=M144R15

NODE=M144R15;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.3$		32	¹ ABLIKIM	24BF BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$

NODE=M144R16
NODE=M144R16

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<10	90	18	^{2,3} ABLIKIM	20AH BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$

¹ ABLIKIM 24BF reports $(3.13 \pm 1.08 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (8.6 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Significance 3.3σ .

NODE=M144R16;LINKAGE=B

² ABLIKIM 20AH reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 7.5 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M144R16;LINKAGE=A

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 24BF.

NODE=M144R16;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(2K^+ 2K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	11	¹ ABLIKIM	20AH BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$

NODE=M144R17
NODE=M144R17

¹ ABLIKIM 20AH reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow 2K^+ 2K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M144R17;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$7.1 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.5$		¹ ABLIKIM	24Y BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$

NODE=M144R18
NODE=M144R18

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6	90	^{2,3} ABLIKIM	20AH BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$

¹ ABLIKIM 24Y reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (5.3 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R18;LINKAGE=B

² ABLIKIM 20AH reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] < 4.8 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M144R18;LINKAGE=A

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 24Y.

NODE=M144R18;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.2 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.2$	41	¹ ABLIKIM	20AH BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$

NODE=M144R19
NODE=M144R19

¹ ABLIKIM 20AH reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (2.4 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R19;LINKAGE=A

RADIATIVE DECAYS

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.8 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE				

NODE=M144230

$3.8 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3$		¹ ABLIKIM	24BJ BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
$4.7 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.4$	18	ABLIKIM	16I BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma\eta$

NODE=M144R06
NODE=M144R06

¹ ABLIKIM 24BJ reports $(3.77 \pm 0.55 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M144R06;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.41 ± 0.15 OUR AVERAGE				

NODE=M144R00
NODE=M144R00

$1.40 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.11$		¹ ABLIKIM	24BJ BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma\eta'$
$1.52 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.29$	44	ABLIKIM	16I BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma\eta'(958)$

¹ ABLIKIM 24BJ reports $(1.40 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M144R00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5 × 10⁻⁵	¹ ABLIKIM	24BJ BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
¹ ABLIKIM 24BJ reports < 5.0 × 10 ⁻⁵ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$.			

NODE=M144R29
NODE=M144R29

NODE=M144R29;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻²)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
64 ± 5 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.2. $[(60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2} \text{ OUR 2025 FIT}]$
57 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE				
57 ± 4 ± 4	23k	¹ ABLIKIM	22AQ BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \text{ hadrons};$ $\pi^0 \gamma(\eta_c)$
56 ± 6 ± 4		² DOBBS	08A CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta_c \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
62 ± 9 ± 4	3679	^{3,4} ABLIKIM	10B BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta_c \gamma$
56 ± 7 ± 4	1282	⁵ DOBBS	08A CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta_c \gamma$
54 ± 14 ± 4	168	⁶ ROSNER	05 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta_c \gamma$

NODE=M144R2
NODE=M144R2

NEW

OCCUR=2

¹ ABLIKIM 22AQ reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (4.22^{+0.27}_{-0.26} \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R2;LINKAGE=C

² Average of DOBBS 08A and ROSNER 05. DOBBS 08A reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (4.16 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.37) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R2;LINKAGE=DB

³ ABLIKIM 10B reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (4.58 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R2;LINKAGE=A

⁴ Superseded by ABLIKIM 22AQ

⁵ DOBBS 08A reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (4.19 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.45) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R2;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M144R2;LINKAGE=DO

⁶ ROSNER 05 reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (4.0 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M144R2;LINKAGE=RO

 $\Gamma(\gamma\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.1 ± 0.5 ± 0.4	127 ± 22	¹ ABLIKIM	25G BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
¹ ABLIKIM 25G reports $(3.06 \pm 0.54 \pm 0.43) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M144R31
NODE=M144R31

NODE=M144R31;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻³)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.5 ± 0.5 ± 0.4	192 ± 27	¹ ABLIKIM	25G BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
¹ ABLIKIM 25G reports $(3.52 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M144R32
NODE=M144R32

NODE=M144R32;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻³)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.19 ± 0.20 ± 0.22	495 ± 45	¹ ABLIKIM	25G BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
¹ ABLIKIM 25G reports $(2.19 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M144R33
NODE=M144R33

NODE=M144R33;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma P \bar{P})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{34}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.4$	127 ± 20	¹ ABLIKIM	25G BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
¹ ABLIKIM 25G reports $(3.34 \pm 0.53 \pm 0.40) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma P \bar{P})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M144R34
 NODE=M144R34

NODE=M144R34;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c(1S))$ Γ_{35}/Γ_{30}

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.9 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.4$	961	¹ ABLIKIM	24CC BES3	$\psi(3686) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c,$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- h_c$
¹ Average between $\pi^0 h_c (4.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ and $\pi^+\pi^- h_c (8.9 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$.				

NODE=M144R30
 NODE=M144R30

NODE=M144R30;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{36}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.81 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.22$	72 ± 14	¹ ABLIKIM	25G BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)$
¹ ABLIKIM 25G reports $(1.81 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma f_2(1270) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M144R35
 NODE=M144R35

NODE=M144R35;LINKAGE=A

$h_c(1P)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M144

ABLIKIM	25G	PRL 134 241902	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63250
ABLIKIM	24BF	PR D110 032023	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62905
ABLIKIM	24BJ	JHEP 2408 180	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62945
ABLIKIM	24BY	PR D110 112010	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63030
ABLIKIM	24CC	PR D110 L111101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63034
ABLIKIM	24R	PR D109 072018	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62669
ABLIKIM	24Y	PR D110 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62678
ABLIKIM	22AQ	PR D106 072007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61889
ABLIKIM	22M	JHEP 2205 108	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61650
Also		JHEP 2303 022 (errata.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62053
ABLIKIM	22N	JHEP 2205 003	M. Ablikim	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61651
ABLIKIM	20AH	PR D102 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60750
ABLIKIM	19AG	PR D99 072008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59858
ABLIKIM	18M	PR D97 052008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58901
ABLIKIM	16I	PRL 116 251802	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57450
ABLIKIM	12N	PR D86 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54741
ABLIKIM	10B	PRL 104 132002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53348
ADAMS	09	PR D80 051106	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53103
DOBBS	08A	PRL 101 182003	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52579
ANDREOTTI	05B	PR D72 032001	M. Andreotti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=50768
ROSNER	05	PRL 95 102003	J.L. Rosner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50812
AULCHENKO	03	PL B573 63	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=49579
ANTONIAZZI	94	PR D50 4258	L. Antoniazzi <i>et al.</i>	(E705 Collab.)	REFID=44074
ARMSTRONG	93B	PR D47 772	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E760 Collab.)	REFID=43307
ARMSTRONG	92D	PRL 69 2337	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=43174
BAGLIN	86	PL B171 135	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(LAPP, CERN, TORI, STRB+)	REFID=43180

$\chi_{c2}(1P)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

See the Review on "Branching Ratios of $\psi(2S)$, $\chi_{c0,1,2}$ and $\eta_c(1S)$ " before the $\chi_{c0}(1P)$ Listings.

NODE=M057

NODE=M057

NODE=M057M

NODE=M057M

 $\chi_{c2}(1P)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3556.17 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE				
3557.3 ± 1.7 ± 0.7	611	¹ AAIJ	17BB LHCB	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow b\bar{b}X \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)X$
3556.10 ± 0.06 ± 0.11	4.0k	² AAIJ	17BI LHCB	$\chi_{c2} \rightarrow J/\psi \mu^+ \mu^-$
3555.3 ± 0.6 ± 2.2	2.5k	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3555.70 ± 0.59 ± 0.39		ABLIKIM	05G BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}$
3556.173 ± 0.123 ± 0.020		ANDREOTTI	05A E835	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$
3559.9 ± 2.9		EISENSTEIN	01 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\chi_{c2}$
3556.4 ± 0.7		BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
3556.22 ± 0.131 ± 0.020	585	³ ARMSTRONG	92 E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$
3556.9 ± 0.4 ± 0.5	50	BAGLIN	86B SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-X$
3557.8 ± 0.2 ± 4		⁴ GAISER	86 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
3553.4 ± 2.2	66	⁵ LEMOIGNE	82 GOLI	$185\pi^-\text{Be} \rightarrow \gamma\mu^+\mu^-A$
3555.9 ± 0.7		⁶ OREGLIA	82 CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$
3557 ± 1.5	69	⁷ HIMEL	80 MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$
3551 ± 11	15	BRANDELIK	79B DASP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$
3553 ± 4		⁷ BARTEL	78B CNTR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$
3553 ± 4 ± 4		^{7,8} TANENBAUM	78 MRK1	e^+e^-
3563 ± 7	360	⁷ BIDDICK	77 CNTR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
3555.4 ± 1.3	53	UEHARA	13 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
3543 ± 10	4	WHITAKER	76 MRK1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$

¹ From a fit of the $\phi\phi$ invariant mass with the width of $\chi_{c2}(1P)$ fixed to the PDG 16 value.

² AAIJ 17BI reports also $m(\chi_{c2}) - m(\chi_{c1}) = 45.39 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03$ MeV.

³ Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A, using the value of $\psi(2S)$ mass from AULCHENKO 03.

⁴ Using mass of $\psi(2S) = 3686.0$ MeV.

⁵ $J/\psi(1S)$ mass constrained to 3097 MeV.

⁶ Assuming $\psi(2S)$ mass = 3686 MeV and $J/\psi(1S)$ mass = 3097 MeV.

⁷ Mass value shifted by us by amount appropriate for $\psi(2S)$ mass = 3686 MeV and $J/\psi(1S)$ mass = 3097 MeV.

⁸ From a simultaneous fit to radiative and hadronic decay channels.

NODE=M057M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M057M;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M057M;LINKAGE=NW
 NODE=M057M;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M057M;LINKAGE=P
 NODE=M057M;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M057M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M057M;LINKAGE=M

 $\chi_{c2}(1P)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.92 ± 0.09 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [1.97 ± 0.09 MeV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]			
2.00 ± 0.11 OUR AVERAGE				
2.10 ± 0.20 ± 0.02	4.0k	AAIJ	17BI LHCB	$\chi_{c2} \rightarrow J/\psi \mu^+ \mu^-$
1.915 ± 0.188 ± 0.013		ANDREOTTI	05A E835	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$
1.96 ± 0.17 ± 0.07	585	¹ ARMSTRONG	92 E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$
2.6 ^{+1.4} _{-1.0}	50	BAGLIN	86B SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-X$
2.8 ^{+2.1} _{-2.0}		² GAISER	86 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A.

² Errors correspond to 90% confidence level; authors give only width range.

NODE=M057W

NODE=M057W
 NEW

NODE=M057W;LINKAGE=AN
 NODE=M057W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M057215;NODE=M057

 $\chi_{c2}(1P)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level

Hadronic decays

Γ_1	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$(1.11 \pm 0.07) \%$	S=1.3	NODE=M057;CLUMP=A DESIG=3
Γ_2	$\rho\rho$			DESIG=43
Γ_3	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$	$(1.83 \pm 0.23) \%$		DESIG=50
Γ_4	$\rho^+\pi^-\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.19 \pm 0.34) \%$		DESIG=51
Γ_5	$4\pi^0$	$(1.11 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=62
Γ_6	$K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0$	$(2.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=52
Γ_7	$K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.38 \pm 0.20) \%$		DESIG=54
Γ_8	$\rho^-K^+\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(4.1 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=55
Γ_9	$K^*(892)^0K^-\pi^+ \rightarrow$ $K^-\pi^+K^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=60
Γ_{10}	$K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0\pi^0 \rightarrow$ $K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=56
Γ_{11}	$K^*(892)^-K^+\pi^0 \rightarrow$ $K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=57
Γ_{12}	$K^*(892)^+K^0\pi^- \rightarrow$ $K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=58
Γ_{13}	$K^+K^-\eta\pi^0$	$(1.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=59
Γ_{14}	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$	$(8.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.2	DESIG=5
Γ_{15}	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(1.17 \pm 0.13) \%$		DESIG=67
Γ_{16}	$K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-$	$(7.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=78
Γ_{17}	$K^+\bar{K}^*(892)^0\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.1 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=10
Γ_{18}	$K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^*(892)^0$	$(2.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	S=2.2	DESIG=21
Γ_{19}	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$(1.53 \pm 0.17) \%$	S=3.4	DESIG=4
Γ_{20}	$\phi\phi$	$(1.23 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.9	DESIG=16
Γ_{21}	$\phi\phi\eta$	$(5.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=99
Γ_{22}	$\omega\omega$	$(8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=25
Γ_{23}	ωK^+K^-	$(7.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=79
Γ_{24}	$\omega\phi$	$(9.9 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=68
Γ_{25}	$\pi\pi$	$(2.23 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=22
Γ_{26}	$\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	$(4.0 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=9
Γ_{27}	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 (\text{non-resonant})$	$(2.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=95
Γ_{28}	$\rho(770)^\pm\pi^\mp$	$(6 \pm 4) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=96
Γ_{29}	$\pi^+\pi^-\eta$	$(4.8 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=39
Γ_{30}	$2\pi^+2\pi^-\eta$	$(5.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=113
Γ_{31}	$\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$	$(5.0 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=42
Γ_{32}	$\eta\eta$	$(5.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=14
Γ_{33}	K^+K^-	$(1.01 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$	S=2.2	DESIG=2
Γ_{34}	$K_S^0K_S^0$	$(5.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=15
Γ_{35}	$K^*(892)^\pm K^\mp$	$(1.44 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=87
Γ_{36}	$K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.24 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=88
Γ_{37}	$K_2^*(1430)^\pm K^\mp$	$(1.48 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=89
Γ_{38}	$K_2^*(1430)^0\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.24 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=90
Γ_{39}	$K_3^*(1780)^\pm K^\mp$	$(5.2 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=91
Γ_{40}	$K_3^*(1780)^0\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(5.6 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=92
Γ_{41}	$a_2(1320)^0\pi^0$	$(1.29 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=93
Γ_{42}	$a_2(1320)^\pm\pi^\mp$	$(1.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=94
Γ_{43}	$\bar{K}^0K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.28 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=17
Γ_{44}	$K^+K^-\pi^0$	$(3.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=36
Γ_{45}	$K^+K^-\eta$	$< 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=40
Γ_{46}	$K^+K^-\eta'(958)$	$(1.55 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=82
Γ_{47}	$\eta\eta'$	$(2.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=34
Γ_{48}	$\eta'\eta'$	$(4.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=35
Γ_{49}	$\pi^+\pi^-K_S^0K_S^0$	$(2.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=29
Γ_{50}	$K^+K^-K_S^0K_S^0$	$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=30
Γ_{51}	$K_S^0K_S^0K_S^0K_S^0$	$(1.14 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=97
Γ_{52}	$K^+K^-K^+K^-$	$(1.65 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1	DESIG=24
Γ_{53}	$K^+K^-\phi$	$(1.42 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=32
Γ_{54}	$\bar{K}^0K^+\pi^-\phi + \text{c.c.}$	$(4.8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=83

Γ ₅₅	$K^+ K^- \pi^0 \phi$	$(2.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=84
Γ ₅₆	$K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	$(1.72 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=114
Γ ₅₇	$3(K^+ K^-)$	$(7.1 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=109
Γ ₅₈	$\phi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(9.3 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=80
Γ ₅₉	$p \bar{p}$	$(8.14 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.6	DESIG=11
Γ ₆₀	$p \bar{p} \pi^0$	$(4.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=37
Γ ₆₁	$p \bar{p} \eta$	$(1.74 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=41
Γ ₆₂	$p \bar{p} \omega$	$(3.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=61
Γ ₆₃	$p \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(1.32 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=8
Γ ₆₄	$p \bar{p} \pi^0 \pi^0$	$(7.8 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=53
Γ ₆₅	$p \bar{p} \eta \pi^0$	$(1.31 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=115
Γ ₆₆	$p \bar{p} K^+ K^-$	$(2.55 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=116
Γ ₆₇	$p \bar{p} K^+ K^-$ (non-resonant)	$(1.91 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=63
Γ ₆₈	$K^+ \bar{p} \Lambda(1520) + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=64
Γ ₆₉	$\Lambda(1520) \bar{\Lambda}(1520)$	$(4.6 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=65
Γ ₇₀	$p \bar{p} \phi$	$(2.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=66
Γ ₇₁	$p \bar{p} K_S^0 K_S^0$	$< 7.9 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=28
Γ ₇₂	$p \bar{p} K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(5.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=110
Γ ₇₃	$p \bar{n} \pi^-$	$(8.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=31
Γ ₇₄	$\bar{p} n \pi^+$	$(8.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=75
Γ ₇₅	$p \bar{n} \pi^- \pi^0$	$(2.18 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=76
Γ ₇₆	$\bar{p} n \pi^+ \pi^0$	$(2.11 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=77
Γ ₇₇	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$	$(1.83 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=19
Γ ₇₈	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(1.26 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=27
Γ ₇₉	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-$ (non-resonant)	$(6.6 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=70
Γ ₈₀	$\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Lambda} \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=71
Γ ₈₁	$\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$< 6 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=72
Γ ₈₂	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \eta$	$(1.05 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=105
Γ ₈₃	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \omega$	$(1.40 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=108
Γ ₈₄	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \phi$	$(7.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=107
Γ ₈₅	$K^+ \bar{p} \Lambda + \text{c.c.}$	$(7.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=38
Γ ₈₆	$n K_S^0 \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.58 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=104
Γ ₈₇	$K^*(892)^+ \bar{p} \Lambda + \text{c.c.}$	$(8.2 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=101
Γ ₈₈	$\bar{p} \Lambda(1520) K_S^0 \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(4.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=111
Γ ₈₉	$\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$	$(3.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=47
Γ ₉₀	$\Sigma^+ \bar{p} K_S^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(8.3 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=100
Γ ₉₁	$\Sigma^0 \bar{p} K^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(9.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=103
Γ ₉₂	$\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$	$(3.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=48
Γ ₉₃	$\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- \eta$	$(5.5 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=112
Γ ₉₄	$\Sigma^- \bar{\Sigma}^+$	$(4.4 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=102
Γ ₉₅	$\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^-$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=73
Γ ₉₆	$\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+$	$< 8 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=74
Γ ₉₇	$K^- \Lambda \Xi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.77 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=85
Γ ₉₈	$\Xi^0 \Xi^0$	$(1.83 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=49
Γ ₉₉	$\Xi^- \Xi^+$	$(1.44 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=26
Γ ₁₀₀	$\Omega^- \bar{\Omega}^+$	$(4.52 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=106
Γ ₁₀₁	$J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$< 1.5 \%$	CL=90%	DESIG=12
Γ ₁₀₂	$\pi^0 \eta_c$	$< 3.2 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=81
Γ ₁₀₃	$\eta_c(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-$	$< 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=69

Radiative decays

Γ ₁₀₄	$\gamma J/\psi(1S)$	$(19.1 \pm 0.8) \%$	S=1.6	NODE=M057;CLUMP=B DESIG=6
Γ ₁₀₅	$\gamma \rho^0$	$< 1.9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=44
Γ ₁₀₆	$\gamma \omega$	$< 6 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=45
Γ ₁₀₇	$\gamma \phi$	$< 7 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=46
Γ ₁₀₈	$\gamma \gamma$	$(2.91 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1	DESIG=7
Γ ₁₀₉	$e^+ e^- J/\psi(1S)$	$(2.16 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=86
Γ ₁₁₀	$\mu^+ \mu^- J/\psi(1S)$	$(2.03 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=98

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

A multiparticle fit to $\chi_{c1}(1P)$, $\chi_{c0}(1P)$, $\chi_{c2}(1P)$, and $\psi(2S)$ with 4 total widths, a partial width, 27 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 88 branching ratios uses 258 measurements to determine 50 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 414.2$ for 208 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

x_{14}	4									
x_{17}	1	26								
x_{18}	2	2	0							
x_{20}	4	4	1	1						
x_{25}	17	4	1	2	5					
x_{26}	12	1	0	0	1	2				
x_{32}	9	1	0	1	2	14	1			
x_{33}	5	3	1	1	2	6	1	3		
x_{34}	10	3	1	1	3	15	1	8	4	
x_{43}	5	1	0	1	1	8	1	4	2	4
x_{52}	6	3	1	1	2	8	1	4	2	4
x_{59}	14	6	1	2	5	21	2	11	6	12
x_{77}	9	1	0	1	2	14	1	7	3	8
x_{104}	17	10	3	3	8	23	3	12	8	13
x_{108}	12	-7	-2	-1	-2	25	1	14	2	12
Γ	-22	-19	-5	-5	-14	-27	-4	-13	-12	-16
	x_1	x_{14}	x_{17}	x_{18}	x_{20}	x_{25}	x_{26}	x_{32}	x_{33}	x_{34}

x_{52}	2									
x_{59}	6	7								
x_{77}	4	4	10							
x_{104}	6	9	1	11						
x_{108}	7	4	27	13	20					
Γ	-8	-12	-38	-13	-46	-45				
	x_{43}	x_{52}	x_{59}	x_{77}	x_{104}	x_{108}				

$\chi_{c2}(1P)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$$\chi_{c2}(1P) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma(\text{total})$$

NODE=M057220

NODE=M057223

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p}) \times \Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{59} \Gamma_{104} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

29.9 ± 1.4 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.4. [27.9 ± 1.3 eV OUR 2025 FIT

Scale factor = 1.1]

27.5 ± 1.5 OUR AVERAGE

27.0 ± 1.5 ± 1.1

¹ ANDREOTTI 05A E835 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$

27.7 ± 1.5 ± 2.0

^{1,2} ARMSTRONG 92 E760 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$

36 ± 8

¹ BAGLIN 86B SPEC $p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+ e^- X$

¹ Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.0593 \pm 0.0010$.

² Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A.

NODE=M057G;LINKAGE=7A

NODE=M057G;LINKAGE=AN

$$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{108} \Gamma_{104} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

107 ± 5 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.4. [111 ± 5 eV OUR 2025 FIT

Scale factor = 1.3]

123 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE

124.1 ± 2.5 ± 5.9 4960

¹ SEINO 23 BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \chi_{c2}$

111 ± 12 ± 9 147

² DOBBS 06 CLE3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \chi_{c2}$

139 ± 55 ± 21

^{2,3} ACCIARRI 99E L3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057G2

NODE=M057G2

NEW

242 ± 65 ± 51	2,4 ACKER.,K...	98	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \chi_{c2}$
150 ± 42 ± 36	2,5 DOMINICK	94	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \chi_{c2}$
470 ± 240 ± 120	2,6 BAUER	93	TPC	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \chi_{c2}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

114 ± 11 ± 9	136	2,7 ABE	02T BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \chi_{c2}$

¹ Calculated from the measured $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \times B(\chi_{c2}(1S) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) \times B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = 14.8 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.7$ eV, using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = 11.93 \pm 0.05\%$.

² Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = 0.1187 \pm 0.0008$.

³ The value for $\Gamma(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ reported in ACCIARRI 99E is derived using $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) \times B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = 0.0162 \pm 0.0014$.

⁴ The value for $\Gamma(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ reported in ACKERSTAFF, K 98 is derived using $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) = 0.135 \pm 0.011$ and $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = 0.1203 \pm 0.0038$.

⁵ The value for $\Gamma(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ reported in DOMINICK 94 is derived using $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) = 0.135 \pm 0.011$, $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 0.0627 \pm 0.0020$, and $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 0.0597 \pm 0.0025$.

⁶ The value for $\Gamma(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ reported in BAUER 93 is derived using $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) = 0.135 \pm 0.011$, $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 0.0627 \pm 0.0020$, and $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 0.0597 \pm 0.0025$.

⁷ All systematic errors added in quadrature. Superseded by SEINO 23.

NODE=M057G2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M057G;LINKAGE=LL

NODE=M057G;LINKAGE=J4

NODE=M057G;LINKAGE=J5

NODE=M057G;LINKAGE=J6

NODE=M057G;LINKAGE=J7

NODE=M057G;LINKAGE=GT

NODE=M057224

$\chi_{c2}(1P) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$					$\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{108} / \Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

6.2 ± 0.4 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.4. [6.4 ± 0.5 eV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]

5.2 ± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE

5.01 ± 0.44 ± 0.55	1597 ± 138	UEHARA	08	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
6.4 ± 1.8 ± 0.8		EISENSTEIN	01	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057G3

NODE=M057G3

NEW

$\Gamma(\rho\rho) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_2 \Gamma_{108} / \Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<7.8	90	<598	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

NODE=M057G08

NODE=M057G08

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{14} \Gamma_{108} / \Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

4.7 ± 0.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2. [4.8 ± 0.6 eV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]

4.42 ± 0.42 ± 0.53 780 ± 74 UEHARA 08 BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M057G09

NODE=M057G09

NEW

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{15} \Gamma_{108} / \Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

6.5 ± 0.9 ± 1.5 1250 DEL-AMO-SA..11M BABR $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M057G02

NODE=M057G02

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{18} \Gamma_{108} / \Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.3 ± 0.5 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.2. [1.3 ± 0.5 eV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 2.3]

0.8 ± 0.17 ± 0.27 151 ± 30 UEHARA 08 BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M057G10

NODE=M057G10

NEW

$\Gamma(\phi\phi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{20} \Gamma_{108} / \Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.69 ± 0.05 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.5. [0.70 ± 0.05 eV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]

0.62 ± 0.07 ± 0.05 89 ± 11 ¹ LIU 12B BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.58 ± 0.18 ± 0.16 26.5 ± 8.1 UEHARA 08 BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)$

¹ Supersedes UEHARA 08. Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$.

NODE=M057G12

NODE=M057G12

NEW

NODE=M057G12;LINKAGE=LI

$\Gamma(\omega\omega) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{22} \Gamma_{108} / \Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.64 90 ¹ LIU 12B BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

¹ Using $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.

NODE=M057G03

NODE=M057G03

NODE=M057G03;LINKAGE=LI

$$\Gamma(\omega\phi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{24}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.04	90	¹ LIU	12B	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.

NODE=M057G04
NODE=M057G04

NODE=M057G04;LINKAGE=LI

$$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{25}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.25±0.07 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2. [1.29 ± 0.08 eV OUR 2025 FIT
Scale factor = 1.2]

1.18±0.25 OUR AVERAGE

1.44±0.54±0.47	34 ± 13	¹ UEHARA	09	BELL $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
1.14±0.21±0.17	54 ± 10	² NAKAZAWA	05	BELL $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ We multiplied the measurement by 3 to convert from $\pi^0 \pi^0$ to $\pi\pi$. Interference with the continuum included.

² We have multiplied $\pi^+ \pi^-$ measurement by 3/2 to obtain $\pi\pi$.

NODE=M057G4
NODE=M057G4
NEW

NODE=M057G4;LINKAGE=UE

NODE=M057G;LINKAGE=NA

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{26}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.2±0.9 OUR FIT

[2.3 ± 0.9 eV OUR 2025 FIT]

3.2±1.9±0.5	986 ± 578	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

NODE=M057G07
NODE=M057G07
NEW

$$\Gamma(\eta\eta) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{32}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.53±0.22±0.09	8	¹ UEHARA	10A	BELL $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\eta$

¹ Interference with the continuum not included.

NODE=M057G13
NODE=M057G13

NODE=M057G13;LINKAGE=UE

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{33}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.56±0.08 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.0. [0.58 ± 0.08 eV OUR 2025 FIT
Scale factor = 2.1]

0.44±0.11±0.07	33 ± 8	NAKAZAWA	05	BELL $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$

NODE=M057G5
NODE=M057G5
NEW

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{34}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.294±0.025 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [0.303 ± 0.025 eV OUR 2025 FIT]

0.27 ^{+0.07}_{-0.06} ±0.03	53	¹ UEHARA	13	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.31 ± 0.05 ± 0.03	38 ± 7	CHEN	07B	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \chi_{c2}$

¹ Supersedes CHEN 07B.

NODE=M057G6
NODE=M057G6
NEW

NODE=M057G6;LINKAGE=UE

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{43}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.72±0.11 OUR FIT

[0.74 ± 0.11 eV OUR 2025 FIT]

1.20±0.33±0.13	126	¹ DEL-AMO-SA...11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ We have multiplied $\bar{K} K \pi$ by 2/3 to obtain $\bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$

NODE=M057G01
NODE=M057G01
NEW

NODE=M057G01;LINKAGE=DE

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- K^+ K^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{52}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.93±0.13 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [0.95 ± 0.13 eV OUR 2025 FIT
Scale factor = 1.1]

1.10±0.21±0.15	126 ± 24	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

NODE=M057G11
NODE=M057G11
NEW

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{103}\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<15.7	90	LEES	12AE	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c$

NODE=M057G05
NODE=M057G05

$\chi_{c2}(1P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M057225

HADRONIC DECAYS

NODE=M057305

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.15±0.06±0.03	1042k	¹ ABLIKIM	24BT BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}$

¹ ABLIKIM 24BT reports $1.153 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.063$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.52 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057P07
NODE=M057P07

NODE=M057P07;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.83±0.23 OUR AVERAGE [(1.86 ± 0.24)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

1.83±0.23±0.04	903.5	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $1.87 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.13$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R46
NODE=M057R46

NEW

NODE=M057R46;LINKAGE=HE

 $\Gamma(\rho^+\pi^-\pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.19±0.34 OUR AVERAGE [(2.22 ± 0.35)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

2.19±0.34±0.05	1031.9	^{1,2} HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $2.23 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.16$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \rho^+\pi^-\pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Calculated by us. We have added the values from HE 08B for $\rho^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\rho^-\pi^+\pi^0$ decays assuming uncorrelated statistical and fully correlated systematic uncertainties.

NODE=M057R47
NODE=M057R47

NEW

NODE=M057R47;LINKAGE=HE

NODE=M057R47;LINKAGE=OC

 $\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.11±0.15 OUR AVERAGE [(1.13 ± 0.15) × 10⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

1.11±0.15±0.03	1164	¹ ABLIKIM	11A BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}$

¹ ABLIKIM 11A reports $(1.21 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow 4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.74 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R58
NODE=M057R58

NEW

NODE=M057R58;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.206±0.040±0.005	76.9	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $0.21 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.01$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R48
NODE=M057R48

NODE=M057R48;LINKAGE=HE

 $\Gamma(K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.38±0.20 OUR AVERAGE [(1.40 ± 0.20)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

1.38±0.19±0.03	211.6	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $1.41 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.10$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R50
NODE=M057R50

NEW

NODE=M057R50;LINKAGE=HE

$$\Gamma(\rho^- K^+ \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_8/\Gamma$$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.41±0.12 OUR AVERAGE		[(0.42 ± 0.13)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
0.41±0.12±0.01	62.9	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $0.42 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \rho^- K^+ \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R51
NODE=M057R51

NEW

NODE=M057R51;LINKAGE=HE

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ K^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.29±0.08 OUR AVERAGE		[(0.30 ± 0.08)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
0.29±0.08±0.01	38.7	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $0.30 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ K^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R57
NODE=M057R57

NEW

NODE=M057R57;LINKAGE=HE

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \bar{K}^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.38±0.09 OUR AVERAGE		[(0.39 ± 0.09)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
0.38±0.09±0.01	63.0	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $0.39 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.03$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \bar{K}^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R52
NODE=M057R52

NEW

NODE=M057R52;LINKAGE=HE

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^- K^+ \pi^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \bar{K}^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.37±0.08 OUR AVERAGE		[(0.38 ± 0.08)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
0.37±0.08±0.01	51.1	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $0.38 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^- K^+ \pi^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \bar{K}^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R53
NODE=M057R53

NEW

NODE=M057R53;LINKAGE=HE

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^- \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \bar{K}^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.29±0.08 OUR AVERAGE		[(0.30 ± 0.08)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
0.29±0.08±0.01	39.3	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $0.30 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^- \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \bar{K}^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R54
NODE=M057R54

NEW

NODE=M057R54;LINKAGE=HE

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \eta \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.127±0.044±0.003	22.9	¹ HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $0.13 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R55
NODE=M057R55

NODE=M057R55;LINKAGE=HE

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11.69±0.13±1.31	11k	¹ ABLIKIM	13B BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

¹ Using 1.06×10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma) = (8.72 \pm 0.34)\%$.

NODE=M057R00
NODE=M057R00

NODE=M057R00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.30±0.11±0.75	4.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	13B BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}$

¹ Using 1.06×10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma) = (8.72 \pm 0.34)\%$.

NODE=M057R73
NODE=M057R73

NODE=M057R73;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$ Γ_{17}/Γ_{14}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.25±0.13 OUR FIT			
0.25±0.13	TANENBAUM 78	MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057R39
NODE=M057R39

 $\Gamma(3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
15.3±1.7 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 3.4. $[(15.3 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 3.8]

15.7±0.4±0.4 112k ¹ ABLIKIM 22Q BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

7.4±1.7±0.2 112 ² BAI 99B BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}$

9.5±6.3±0.2 23 ³ TANENBAUM 78 MRK1 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}$

¹ ABLIKIM 22Q reports $(1.565 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.048) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.52 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² BAI 99B reports $(9.0 \pm 1.0 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (7.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ TANENBAUM 78 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ = $(0.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R4
NODE=M057R4

NEW

NODE=M057R4;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M057R4;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M057R4;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.23 ±0.07 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.9. $[(1.23 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.9]
1.267±0.028±0.033	4247	¹ ABLIKIM	23N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma$ hadrons

¹ Measured using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.75 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$ and $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$ from PDG 22.

NODE=M057R20
NODE=M057R20

NEW

NODE=M057R20;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\phi\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.3±0.7 OUR AVERAGE				$[(5.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]
5.3±0.6±0.1	143.6	¹ ABLIKIM	20B BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\phi\phi\eta$

¹ ABLIKIM 20B reports $(5.33 \pm 0.52 \pm 0.39) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \phi\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.52 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R97
NODE=M057R97

NEW

NODE=M057R97;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.87±0.04 OUR AVERAGE				$[(0.86 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]
0.88±0.04±0.02	14.3k	¹ ABLIKIM	25K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$
0.82±0.10±0.02	762	² ABLIKIM	11K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma$ hadrons
1.73±0.57±0.04	27.7±7.4	³ ABLIKIM	05N BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma 6\pi$

¹ ABLIKIM 25K reports $(8.75 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.42) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.52 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 11K reports $(8.9 \pm 0.3 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.74 \pm$

NODE=M057R28
NODE=M057R28

NEW

NODE=M057R28;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M057R28;LINKAGE=AL

$0.35) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ABLIKIM 05N reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))] = (0.165 \pm 0.044 \pm 0.032) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R28;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\omega K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.73±0.04±0.08	512	¹ ABLIKIM	13B BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057R74
NODE=M057R74

¹ Using 1.06×10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma) = (8.72 \pm 0.34)\%$.

NODE=M057R74;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL% EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.9±1.3 OUR AVERAGE				

NODE=M057R63
NODE=M057R63

$[(9.7 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{-6}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NEW

10.0±1.4±0.2 151 ¹ABLIKIM 25K BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 K^+ K^-$
9.6±2.7±0.2 33 ²ABLIKIM 19J BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma$ hadrons

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<18 90 ^{3,4}ABLIKIM 11K BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma$ hadrons

NODE=M057R63;LINKAGE=C

¹ABLIKIM 25K reports $(0.10 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.52 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R63;LINKAGE=A

²ABLIKIM 19J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))] = (0.91 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R63;LINKAGE=AL

³ABLIKIM 11K reports $< 2 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.74 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

⁴Superseded by ABLIKIM 19J.

NODE=M057R63;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^-))$ Γ_{26}/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.36±0.15 OUR FIT			
[0.35 ± 0.15 OUR 2025 FIT]			
0.31±0.17	TANENBAUM 78	MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057R38
NODE=M057R38
NEW $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 (\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.01±0.42±0.05	64	¹ ABLIKIM	17AG BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

NODE=M057R84
NODE=M057R84

OCCUR=2

NODE=M057R84;LINKAGE=B

¹ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(2.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 (\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\rho(770)^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.61±0.38±0.01	15	¹ ABLIKIM	17AG BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

NODE=M057R85
NODE=M057R85

NODE=M057R85;LINKAGE=A

¹ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(0.64 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \rho(770)^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.48±0.13 OUR AVERAGE				
0.48±0.13±0.01		¹ ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

NODE=M057R08
NODE=M057R08

NEW

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.4 90 ²ABLIKIM 06R BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

- ¹ ATHAR 07 reports $(0.49 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- ² ABLIKIM 06R reports $< 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R08;LINKAGE=AT

NODE=M057R08;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{31}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

0.50±0.18 OUR AVERAGE $[(0.51 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-3}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]NODE=M057R35
NODE=M057R35

NEW

0.50±0.18±0.01¹ ATHAR 07 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

- ¹ ATHAR 07 reports $(0.51 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R35;LINKAGE=AT

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{35}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

1.44±0.21 OUR AVERAGE $[(1.46 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]NODE=M057R86
NODE=M057R86

NEW

1.44±0.21±0.03¹ ABLIKIM 17AG BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.72±0.26±0.04

² ABLIKIM 17AG BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$

OCCUR=2

1.34±0.27±0.03

³ ABLIKIM 17AG BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

OCCUR=3

- ¹ ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(1.5 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R86;LINKAGE=A

- ² ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(1.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R86;LINKAGE=B

- ³ ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(1.4 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R86;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{36}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

1.24±0.27 OUR AVERAGE $[(1.26 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]NODE=M057R87
NODE=M057R87

NEW

1.24±0.27±0.03¹ ABLIKIM 17AG BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

- ¹ ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(1.3 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R87;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{37}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

14.8±1.2 OUR AVERAGE $[(15.1 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]NODE=M057R88
NODE=M057R88

NEW

14.8±1.2±0.3¹ ABLIKIM 17AG BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

17.4±1.6±0.4

² ABLIKIM 17AG BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$

OCCUR=2

13.0±1.5±0.3

³ ABLIKIM 17AG BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

OCCUR=3

¹ ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(15.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K_2^*(1430)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R88;LINKAGE=A

² ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(18.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K_2^*(1430)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R88;LINKAGE=B

³ ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(13.6 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K_2^*(1430)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R88;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{38}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

12.4±1.7 OUR AVERAGE [(12.6 ± 1.7) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NODE=M057R89
NODE=M057R89

12.4±1.7±0.3 ¹ ABLIKIM 17AG BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

NEW

¹ ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(13.0 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K_2^*(1430)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R89;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_3^*(1780)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{39}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

5.2±0.8±0.1 ¹ ABLIKIM 17AG BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$

NODE=M057R90
NODE=M057R90

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.1±1.0±0.1 ² ABLIKIM 17AG BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
5.6±1.8±0.1 ³ ABLIKIM 17AG BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

¹ ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(5.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K_3^*(1780)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R90;LINKAGE=A

² ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(5.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K_3^*(1780)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R90;LINKAGE=B

³ ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(5.9 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K_3^*(1780)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R90;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(K_3^*(1780)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{40}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

5.6±2.1 OUR AVERAGE [(5.7 ± 2.1) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NODE=M057R91
NODE=M057R91

5.6±2.1±0.1 ¹ ABLIKIM 17AG BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

NEW

¹ ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(5.9 \pm 1.6 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K_3^*(1780)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R91;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{41}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

12.9±3.4 OUR AVERAGE [(13.1 ± 3.5) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NODE=M057R92
NODE=M057R92

12.9±3.4±0.3 ¹ ABLIKIM 17AG BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$

NEW

¹ ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(13.5 \pm 1.6 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow a_2(1320)^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R92;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{42}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
17.6±6.1±0.4	¹ ABLIKIM	17AG BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

NODE=M057R93
NODE=M057R93

¹ ABLIKIM 17AG reports $(18.4 \pm 3.3 \pm 5.5) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow a_2(1320)^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R93;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{44}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.30±0.08 OUR AVERAGE	[(0.31 ± 0.08) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
0.30±0.08±0.01	¹ ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

NODE=M057R05
NODE=M057R05

NEW

¹ ATHAR 07 reports $(0.31 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R05;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{45}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.32 (CL = 90%)			[<0.33 × 10 ⁻³ (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]		
<0.32	90		¹ ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

NODE=M057R09
NODE=M057R09

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.181±0.004 3693 ² ABLIKIM 24BWBES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

¹ ATHAR 07 reports $< 0.33 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R09;LINKAGE=AT

² No systematic error reported.

NODE=M057R09;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{46}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.55±0.09 OUR AVERAGE		[(1.94 ± 0.34) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
1.53±0.08±0.04		¹ ABLIKIM	25I BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'(958)$
1.78±0.30±0.04	107	² ABLIKIM	14J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'(958)$

NODE=M057R78
NODE=M057R78

NEW

¹ ABLIKIM 25I reports $(1.53 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.52 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R78;LINKAGE=B

² ABLIKIM 14J reports $(1.94 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.72 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R78;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{47}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.2±0.5±0.1		20	¹ ABLIKIM	17AI BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 6	90	3.3 ± 8.0	² ASNER	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$
<23	90		³ ADAMS	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057R03
NODE=M057R03

¹ ABLIKIM 17AI reports $(2.27 \pm 0.43 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R03;LINKAGE=A

² ASNER 09 reports $< 0.6 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R03;LINKAGE=AS

³ Superseded by ASNER 09. ADAMS 07 reports $< 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = 0.0933 \pm 0.0014 \pm 0.0061$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R03;LINKAGE=AD

$\Gamma(\eta'\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{48}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.6 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.1$		60	¹ ABLIKIM	17AI BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<10	90	12 $\pm$ 7	² ASNER	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\eta'$
<30	90		³ ADAMS	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057R04
NODE=M057R04

¹ ABLIKIM 17AI reports $(4.76 \pm 0.56 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \eta'\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R04;LINKAGE=A

² ASNER 09 reports $< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \eta'\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R04;LINKAGE=AS

³ Superseded by ASNER 09. ADAMS 07 reports $< 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \eta'\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = 0.0933 \pm 0.0014 \pm 0.0061$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R04;LINKAGE=AD

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-K_S^0K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{49}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1$		57 $\pm$ 11	¹ ABLIKIM	050 BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057R31
NODE=M057R31

¹ ABLIKIM 050 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K_S^0K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ = $(0.207 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R31;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(K^+K^-K_S^0K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{50}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	2.3 $\pm$ 2.2	¹ ABLIKIM	050 BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma$

NODE=M057R32
NODE=M057R32

¹ ABLIKIM 050 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+K^-K_S^0K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ < 3.5×10^{-5} which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R32;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(K_S^0K_S^0K_S^0K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{51}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.14 ± 0.18 OUR AVERAGE			[(1.15 $\pm$ 0.18) $\times 10^{-4}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
$1.14 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.03$		68	¹ ABLIKIM	19AA BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 4K_S^0$

NODE=M057R94
NODE=M057R94

NEW

¹ Using $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = (69.20 \pm 0.05)\%$. ABLIKIM 19AA reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0K_S^0K_S^0K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ = $(10.8 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value..

NODE=M057R94;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{53}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.42 ± 0.29 OUR AVERAGE			[(1.44 $\pm$ 0.30) $\times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
$1.42 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.03$		52	¹ ABLIKIM	06T BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2K^+ 2K^-$

NODE=M057R01
NODE=M057R01

NEW

¹ ABLIKIM 06T reports $(1.67 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R01;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- \phi + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{54} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.83 ± 0.32 ± 0.66	ABLIKIM	15M	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057R79
NODE=M057R79

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0 \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{55} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.74 ± 0.16 ± 0.44	ABLIKIM	15M	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057R80
NODE=M057R80

$\Gamma(\phi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{58} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.93 ± 0.06 ± 0.10	408	¹ ABLIKIM	13B	BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057R75
NODE=M057R75

¹ Using 1.06×10^8 $\psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma) = (8.72 \pm 0.34)\%$.

NODE=M057R75;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(p \bar{p} \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{60} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.47 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE			
0.47 ± 0.04 ± 0.01	¹ ONYISI	10	CLE3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p} X$
0.43 ± 0.09 ± 0.01	² ATHAR	07	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

NODE=M057R06
NODE=M057R06

¹ ONYISI 10 reports $(4.83 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p \bar{p} \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R06;LINKAGE=ON

² ATHAR 07 reports $(0.44 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p \bar{p} \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R06;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(p \bar{p} \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{61} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.174 ± 0.025 OUR AVERAGE			
[(0.177 ± 0.025) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			
0.173 ± 0.026 ± 0.004	¹ ONYISI	10	CLE3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p} X$
0.186 ± 0.070 ± 0.004	² ATHAR	07	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

NODE=M057R34
NODE=M057R34

NEW

¹ ONYISI 10 reports $(1.76 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p \bar{p} \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R34;LINKAGE=ON

² ATHAR 07 reports $(0.19 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p \bar{p} \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R34;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(p \bar{p} \omega) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{62} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.36 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE			
[(0.37 ± 0.04) × 10 ⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]			
0.36 ± 0.04 ± 0.01	¹ ONYISI	10	CLE3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p} X$

NODE=M057R56
NODE=M057R56

NEW

¹ ONYISI 10 reports $(3.68 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p \bar{p} \omega) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R56;LINKAGE=ON

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

1.32±0.34 OUR EVALUATION Treating systematic error as correlated.**1.3 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.3.

1.17±0.19±0.30

¹ BAI

99B

BES

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}$

2.64±1.03±0.14

¹ TANENBAUM

78

MRK1

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}$

¹ Rescaled by us using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}) = (8.3 \pm 0.4)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.6 \pm 0.5)\%$. Multiplied by a factor of 2 to convert from $K_S^0 K^+\pi^-$ to $K^0 K^+\pi^-$ decay.

 Γ_{63}/Γ

NODE=M057R6

NODE=M057R6

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M057R6;LINKAGE=X3

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (%)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

0.078±0.024 OUR AVERAGE [(0.080 ± 0.024)% OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**0.078±0.023±0.002** 29.2¹ HE

08B

CLEO

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

¹ HE 08B reports $0.08 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01\%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 Γ_{64}/Γ

NODE=M057R49

NODE=M057R49

NEW

NODE=M057R49;LINKAGE=HE

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

1.31±0.09±0.03 1059¹ ABLIKIM

25L

BES3

 $\psi(3686) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}\eta\pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 25L reports $(1.33 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.36 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 Γ_{65}/Γ

NODE=M057P14

NODE=M057P14

NODE=M057P14;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

2.55±0.16±0.06 11463¹ ABLIKIM

25V

BES3

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 25V reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ = $(2.43 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 Γ_{66}/Γ

NODE=M057P15

NODE=M057P15

NODE=M057P15;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}K^+K^- (\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

1.91±0.32 OUR AVERAGE [(1.94 ± 0.32) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**1.91±0.32±0.04** 131 ± 12¹ ABLIKIM

11F

BES3

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 11F reports $(2.08 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+K^- (\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.74 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 Γ_{67}/Γ

NODE=M057R59

NODE=M057R59

NEW

NODE=M057R59;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(K^+\bar{p}\Lambda(1520) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

2.8±0.7 OUR AVERAGE [(2.9 ± 0.7) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**2.8±0.7±0.1** 79 ± 13¹ ABLIKIM

11F

BES3

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 11F reports $(3.06 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+\bar{p}\Lambda(1520) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.74 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 Γ_{68}/Γ

NODE=M057R60

NODE=M057R60

NEW

NODE=M057R60;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\Lambda(1520)\bar{\Lambda}(1520))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

4.6±1.5 OUR AVERAGE [(4.7 ± 1.5) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**4.6±1.4±0.1** 29 ± 7¹ ABLIKIM

11F

BES3

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}K^+K^-$ Γ_{69}/Γ

NODE=M057R61

NODE=M057R61

NEW

¹ ABLIKIM 11F reports $(5.05 \pm 1.29 \pm 0.93) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\bar{\Lambda}(1520))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.74 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R61;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{70}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.8 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.1$	24 ± 7	¹ ABLIKIM	11F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p} K^+ K^-$

NODE=M057R62
NODE=M057R62

¹ ABLIKIM 11F reports $(3.04 \pm 0.85 \pm 0.43) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.74 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R62;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{71}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<7.9	90	¹ ABLIKIM	06D BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma$

NODE=M057R30
NODE=M057R30

¹ Using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma) = (9.3 \pm 0.6)\%$.

NODE=M057R;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(p\bar{n}\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{73}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.5 ± 1.0 OUR AVERAGE				

NODE=M057R33
NODE=M057R33

$[(8.7 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NEW

$8.4 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.2$	3309	¹ ABLIKIM	12J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{n} \pi^-$
$10.2 \pm 3.4 \pm 0.2$		² ABLIKIM	06I BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \pi^- X$

¹ ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{n}\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ = $(0.80 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R33;LINKAGE=AL

² ABLIKIM 06I reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{n}\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ = $(0.97 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R33;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\bar{p}n\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{74}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.9 ± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE				

NODE=M057R70
NODE=M057R70

$[(9.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NEW

$8.9 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.2$	3732	¹ ABLIKIM	12J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \bar{p} n \pi^+$

¹ ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{p}n\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ = $(0.85 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R70;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(p\bar{n}\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{75}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
21.8 ± 1.8 OUR AVERAGE				

NODE=M057R71
NODE=M057R71

$[(22.1 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NEW

$21.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.5$	2128	¹ ABLIKIM	12J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{n} \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{n}\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ = $(2.07 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R71;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\bar{p}n\pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{76}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
21.1 ± 1.9 OUR AVERAGE				

NODE=M057R72
NODE=M057R72

$[(21.4 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NEW

$21.1 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.5$	2352	¹ ABLIKIM	12J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \bar{p} n \pi^+ \pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 12J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{p}n\pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ = $(2.01 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R72;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{78}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

126±15 OUR AVERAGE [(127 ± 16) × 10⁻⁵ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**126±15±3** 371 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<350 90 ² ABLIKIM 06D BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports (137.0 ± 7.6 ± 15.7) × 10⁻⁵ from a measurement of [$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$)] assuming B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$) = (8.72 ± 0.34) × 10⁻², which we rescale to our best value B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$) = (9.51 ± 0.22) × 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Using B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma$) = (9.3 ± 0.6)%.NODE=M057R29
NODE=M057R29

NEW

NODE=M057R29;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M057R29;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^- (\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{79}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

66±15 OUR AVERAGE [(67 ± 15) × 10⁻⁵ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**66±15±2** 36 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports (71.8 ± 14.5 ± 8.2) × 10⁻⁵ from a measurement of [$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^- (\text{non-resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$)] assuming B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$) = (8.72 ± 0.34) × 10⁻², which we rescale to our best value B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$) = (9.51 ± 0.22) × 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R65
NODE=M057R65

NEW

NODE=M057R65;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+\bar{\Lambda}\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{80}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<40 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\Sigma(1385)^+\bar{\Lambda}\pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports < 42 × 10⁻⁵ from a measurement of [$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^+\bar{\Lambda}\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$)] assuming B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$) = (8.72 ± 0.34) × 10⁻², which we rescale to our best value B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$) = 9.51 × 10⁻².

NODE=M057R66
NODE=M057R66

NODE=M057R66;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^-\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{81}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<60 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\Sigma(1385)^-\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports < 61 × 10⁻⁵ from a measurement of [$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^-\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$)] assuming B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$) = (8.72 ± 0.34) × 10⁻², which we rescale to our best value B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$) = 9.51 × 10⁻².

NODE=M057R67
NODE=M057R67

NODE=M057R67;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(K^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{85}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

7.8±0.5 OUR AVERAGE[(7.9 ± 0.5) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]7.7±0.5±0.2 5k ^{1,2} ABLIKIM 13D BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\Lambda\bar{p}K^+$ 8.3±1.6±0.2 ³ ATHAR 07 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 13D reports (8.4 ± 0.3 ± 0.6) × 10⁻⁴ from a measurement of [$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$)] assuming B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$) = (8.72 ± 0.34) × 10⁻², which we rescale to our best value B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$) = (9.51 ± 0.22) × 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Using B($\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$) = 63.9%.

³ ATHAR 07 reports (8.5 ± 1.4 ± 1.0) × 10⁻⁴ from a measurement of [$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$)] assuming B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$) = (9.33 ± 0.14 ± 0.61) × 10⁻², which we rescale to our best value B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$) = (9.51 ± 0.22) × 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R07
NODE=M057R07

NEW

NODE=M057R07;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M057R07;LINKAGE=LB
NODE=M057R07;LINKAGE=AT $\Gamma(nK_S^0\bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{86}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

3.58±0.28 OUR AVERAGE [(3.63 ± 0.29) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**3.58±0.27±0.08** 879 ¹ ABLIKIM 21AV BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma nK_S^0\bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$ NODE=M057P01
NODE=M057P01

NEW

¹ABLIKIM 21AV reports $(3.58 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow nK_S^0 \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = 0.0952 \pm 0.0020$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Also uses $B(\bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \bar{p}\pi^+) = (63.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = (69.20 \pm 0.05)\%$.

NODE=M057P01;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{87}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.2±1.2 OUR AVERAGE		[(8.3 ± 1.2) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
8.2±1.1±0.2	476	¹ ABLIKIM	19AU BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^{*+}\bar{p}\Lambda$

NODE=M057R98
NODE=M057R98

NEW

¹ABLIKIM 19AU reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))] = (7.8 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R98;LINKAGE=F

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{89}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.7±0.6±0.1		91	¹ ABLIKIM	18V BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<6	90		² ABLIKIM	13H BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$
<7	90	7.5 ± 3.4	³ NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$

NODE=M057R43
NODE=M057R43

¹ABLIKIM 18V reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))] = (0.35 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R43;LINKAGE=A

²ABLIKIM 13H reports $< 0.65 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.74 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R43;LINKAGE=AB

³NAIK 08 reports $< 0.75 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R43;LINKAGE=NA

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{92}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.4±0.7±0.1		55	¹ ABLIKIM	18V BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<8	90		² ABLIKIM	13H BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$
<7	90	4.0 ± 3.5	³ NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$

NODE=M057R44
NODE=M057R44

¹ABLIKIM 18V reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))] = (0.32 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R44;LINKAGE=A

²ABLIKIM 13H reports $< 0.88 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.74 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R44;LINKAGE=AB

³NAIK 08 reports $< 0.67 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R44;LINKAGE=NA

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{93}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.5±1.3±0.1	35	¹ ABLIKIM	24CA BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$

NODE=M057P11
NODE=M057P11

¹ABLIKIM 24CA reports $(5.46 \pm 1.18 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.52 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057P11;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Sigma^- \bar{\Sigma}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{94}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

4.4±1.8 OUR AVERAGE $[(4.5 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-5}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**4.4±1.8±0.1** 131 ¹ ABLIKIM 20I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^- \bar{\Sigma}^+$

¹ ABLIKIM 20I reports $(4.4 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^- \bar{\Sigma}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.52 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R99
 NODE=M057R99

NEW

NODE=M057R99;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{95}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<16 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports $< 17 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.72 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R68
 NODE=M057R68

NODE=M057R68;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{96}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<8 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 12I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+$

¹ ABLIKIM 12I reports $< 8.5 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.72 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R69
 NODE=M057R69

NODE=M057R69;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(K^- \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{97}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.77±0.32 OUR AVERAGE $[(1.79 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**1.77±0.32±0.04** 51 ¹ ABLIKIM 15I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^- \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ + \text{c.c.}$

¹ ABLIKIM 15I reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^- \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ $= (1.68 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M057R81
 NODE=M057R81

NEW

NODE=M057R81;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{98}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.83±0.22 OUR AVERAGE $[(1.86 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**1.83±0.22±0.04** 804 ¹ ABLIKIM 220 BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.0 90 3 ² NAIK 08 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 220 reports $(1.83 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.52 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² NAIK 08 reports $< 1.06 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R45
 NODE=M057R45

NEW

NODE=M057R45;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M057R45;LINKAGE=NA

 $\Gamma(\Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{99}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.44±0.12 OUR AVERAGE $[(1.46 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]1.44±0.12±0.03 1691 ¹ ABLIKIM 220 BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+$ 1.42±0.31±0.03 29 ± 5 ² NAIK 08 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Xi^+ \bar{\Xi}^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 3.7 90 ³ ABLIKIM 06D BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma$

NODE=M057R17
 NODE=M057R17

NEW

¹ ABLIKIM 220 reports $(1.44 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Xi^- \Xi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.52 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² NAIK 08 reports $(1.45 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Xi^- \Xi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma) = (9.3 \pm 0.6)\%$.

NODE=M057R17;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M057R17;LINKAGE=NA

NODE=M057R17;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Omega^- \bar{\Omega}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{100}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$4.52 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.18$	1038	ABLIKIM	23T BES3	$\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow \Omega^- \bar{\Omega}^+$	

NODE=M057P03
NODE=M057P03

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{101}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.015	90	BARATE	81 SPEC	190 GeV $\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow 2\pi 2\mu$	

NODE=M057R13
NODE=M057R13

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \eta_c)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{102}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<3.2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	15N BES3	$\psi(2S) e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \eta_c$	

NODE=M057R77
NODE=M057R77

¹ Using $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) \times B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) \times B(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) = (1.66 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R77;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{103}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<0.54 \times 10^{-2}$	90	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	13B BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ 90 ^{1,3} ABLIKIM 13B BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

¹ Using $1.06 \times 10^8 \psi(2S)$ mesons and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma) = (8.72 \pm 0.34)\%$.

² From the $\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ decays.

³ From the $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$ decays.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M057R76;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M057R76;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M057R76;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(K^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})$					Γ_{103}/Γ_{43}
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<16.4	90	¹ LEES	12AE BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c$	

NODE=M057R64
NODE=M057R64

¹ We divided the reported limit by 2 to take into account the $K_L^0 K^+ \pi^-$ mode.

NODE=M057R64;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M057310

RADIATIVE DECAYS

$\Gamma(\gamma \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{105}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<19	90	13 ± 11	¹ ABLIKIM	11E BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \rho^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<40 90 17.2 ± 6.8 ² BENNETT 08A CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \rho^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 11E reports $<20.8 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.74 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R40;LINKAGE=AB

² BENNETT 08A reports $<50 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R40;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\gamma \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{106}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6	90	1 ± 6	¹ ABLIKIM	11E BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \omega$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6 90 0.0 ± 1.8 ² BENNETT 08A CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \omega$

¹ ABLIKIM 11E reports $<6.1 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.74 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R41;LINKAGE=AB

² BENNETT 08A reports $<7.0 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R41;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{107}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

< 7 (CL = 90%) [$< 8 \times 10^{-6}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]

< 7 90 5 ± 5 ¹ ABLIKIM 11E BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 11 90 1.3 ± 2.5 ² BENNETT 08A CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$

¹ ABLIKIM 11E reports $< 8.1 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.74 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

² BENNETT 08A reports $< 13 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = 9.51 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M057R42
NODE=M057R42

NODE=M057R42;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M057R42;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S))$
 $\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma_{104}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.53 ± 0.07 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.4. $[(1.49 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]

0.99 ± 0.18 ¹ AMBROGIANI 00B E835 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, \gamma J/\psi$

¹ Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 0.0593 \pm 0.0010$.

NODE=M057R23
NODE=M057R23

NEW

NODE=M057R;LINKAGE=7A

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-8})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.37 ± 0.15 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3. $[(2.12 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-8}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]

1.7 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE

1.60 ± 0.42 ARMSTRONG 93 E760 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma X$

9.9 ± 4.5 BAGLIN 87B SPEC $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma X$

NODE=M057R24
NODE=M057R24

NEW

 $\Gamma(e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{109}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.37 ± 0.15 ± 0.06 1.3k ^{1,2} ABLIKIM 17I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^- J/\psi$

¹ ABLIKIM 17I reports $(2.48 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.11 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) = (9.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Not independent from other measurements reported by ABLIKIM 17I

NODE=M057R82
NODE=M057R82

NODE=M057R82;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M057R82;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S))$
 $\Gamma_{109}/\Gamma_{104}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

11.3 ± 0.4 ± 0.5 1.3k ¹ ABLIKIM 17I BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^- \gamma J/\psi$

¹ Uses $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)) \times B(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) = (199.6 \pm 0.8 \pm 7.0) \times 10^{-4}$ from ABLIKIM 17N and accounts for common systematic errors.

NODE=M057R83
NODE=M057R83

NODE=M057R83;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma(e^+e^- J/\psi(1S))$
 $\Gamma_{110}/\Gamma_{109}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

9.40 ± 0.79 ± 1.15 219 ABLIKIM 19Z BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_c \rightarrow \gamma(\mu^+\mu^- J/\psi)$

NODE=M057R96
NODE=M057R96

$\chi_{c2}(1P)$ CROSS-PARTICLE BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M057230

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.06 ± 0.06 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3. $[(1.05 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.6]

1.098 ± 0.001 ± 0.055 1042k ¹ ABLIKIM 24BT BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}$

¹ Calculated by us. The value given here is derived from the value of $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))$ reported in ABLIKIM 24BT using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}) = (9.52 \pm 0.20)\%$ [PDG 22].

NODE=M057P08
NODE=M057P08

NEW

NODE=M057P08;LINKAGE=A

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)}{\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻³)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

3.06±0.18 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3. [(3.04 ± 0.21) × 10⁻³ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.6]

3.1 ±1.0 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.5.

2.3 ±0.1 ±0.5 ¹ BAI 99B BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

4.3 ±0.6 ² TANENBAUM 78 MRK1 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

¹ Calculated by us. The value for $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$ reported in BAI 99B is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (7.8 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

² The value for $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) \times B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow 2\pi^+ \pi^-)$ reported in TANENBAUM 78 is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) \times B(J/\psi(1S) \ell^+ \ell^-) = (4.6 \pm 0.7)\%$. Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0020$.

NODE=M057B5
NODE=M057B5

NEW

NODE=M057B;LINKAGE=K1

NODE=M057B;LINKAGE=K2

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)}{\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻³)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.32±0.31 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2. [(2.27 ± 0.31) × 10⁻³ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]

2.5 ±0.9 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.3.

1.90±0.14±0.44 BAI 99B BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

3.8 ±0.67 ¹ TANENBAUM 78 MRK1 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

¹ The reported value is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi) \times B(J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = (4.6 \pm 0.7)\%$. Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0020$.

NODE=M057B18
NODE=M057B18

NEW

NODE=M057B18;LINKAGE=TA

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.1 ±0.9 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.2. [(2.1 ± 0.9) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 2.3]

3.11±0.36±0.48 ABLIKIM 04H BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057B19
NODE=M057B19

NEW

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \phi \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.17±0.07 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.8. [(1.15 ± 0.07) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.7]

0.98±0.13 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3.

0.94±0.03±0.10 849 ¹ ABLIKIM 11K BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma$ hadrons

1.38±0.24±0.23 41 ² ABLIKIM 06T BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2K^+ 2K^-$

¹ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \phi \phi)$ reported by ABLIKIM 11K was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.74 \pm 0.35)\%$.

² Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \phi \phi)$ reported by ABLIKIM 06T was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.1 \pm 0.4)\%$.

NODE=M057B16
NODE=M057B16

NEW

NODE=M057B16;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M057B16;LINKAGE=AB

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \phi \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)}{\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

3.36±0.21 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.7. [(3.32 ± 0.21) × 10⁻⁴ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.7]

4.8 ±1.3 ±1.3 ¹ BAI 99B BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2K^+ 2K^-$

¹ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \phi \phi)$ reported by BAI 99B was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (7.8 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

NODE=M057B17
NODE=M057B17

NEW

NODE=M057B17;LINKAGE=BA

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \pi \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{25}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.12±0.08 OUR FIT

2.17±0.09 OUR AVERAGE

2.19±0.05±0.15 4.5k ¹ ABLIKIM 10A BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

2.23±0.06±0.10 2.5k ² ASNER 09 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

1.90±0.08±0.20 0.8k ³ ASNER 09 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$

NODE=M057B02
NODE=M057B02

OCCUR=2

- ¹ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 10A reports $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0) = (0.88 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (8.3 \pm 0.4)\%$. We have multiplied the $\pi^0 \pi^0$ measurement by 3 to obtain $\pi\pi$.
- ² Calculated by us. ASNER 09 reports $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (1.59 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61)\%$. We have multiplied the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ measurement by 3/2 to obtain $\pi\pi$.
- ³ Calculated by us. ASNER 09 reports $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0) = (0.68 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61)\%$. We have multiplied the $\pi^0 \pi^0$ measurement by 3 to obtain $\pi\pi$.

NODE=M057B02;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M057B02;LINKAGE=AS

NODE=M057B02;LINKAGE=AN

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)}{\Gamma_{25}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.612±0.023 OUR FIT[(0.611 ± 0.023) × 10⁻³ OUR 2025 FIT]**0.54 ±0.06 OUR AVERAGE**

0.66 ±0.18 ±0.37	21 ± 6	¹ BAI	03C BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$
0.54 ±0.05 ±0.04	185 ± 16	² BAI	98I BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ We have multiplied $\pi^0 \pi^0$ measurement by 3 to obtain $\pi\pi$.² Calculated by us. The value for $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$ reported by BAI 98I is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (7.8 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D]. We have multiplied $\pi^+ \pi^-$ measurement by 3/2 to obtain $\pi\pi$.NODE=M057B9
NODE=M057B9

NEW

NODE=M057B;LINKAGE=BM

NODE=M057B;LINKAGE=BA

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{30}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

55.3±0.3±5.3 51k ABLIKIM 25R BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$ NODE=M057P12
NODE=M057P12

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{32}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.52±0.04 OUR FIT**0.52±0.04 OUR AVERAGE**

0.54±0.03±0.04	386	¹ ABLIKIM	10A BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$
0.47±0.05±0.05	156	ASNER	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.44	90	² ADAMS	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$
< 3	90	BAI	03C BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta \rightarrow 5\gamma$
0.62±0.31±0.19		LEE	85 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{photons}$

¹ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 10A reports $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \eta\eta) = (0.65 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (8.3 \pm 0.4)\%$.² Superseded by ASNER 09.

NODE=M057B04;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M057B04;LINKAGE=AD

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{33}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

9.6±1.3 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.3. [(9.5 ± 1.4) × 10⁻⁵ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 2.4]**10.5±0.3±0.6** 1.6k ¹ ASNER 09 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$ ¹ Calculated by us. ASNER 09 reports $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (1.13 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61)\%$.NODE=M057B03
NODE=M057B03

NEW

NODE=M057B03;LINKAGE=AS

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)}{\Gamma_{33}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.28 ±0.04 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.3. [(0.28 ± 0.04) × 10⁻³ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 2.3]**0.190±0.034±0.019** 115 ± 13 ¹ BAI 98I BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$ ¹ Calculated by us. The value for $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow K^+ K^-)$ reported by BAI 98I is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (7.8 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].NODE=M057B8
NODE=M057B8

NEW

NODE=M057B;LINKAGE=BI

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{34} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.0 ± 0.4 OUR FIT				
5.0 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE				
4.9 ± 0.3 ± 0.3	373 ± 20	¹ ASNER	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
5.72 ± 0.76 ± 0.63	65	ABLIKIM	05o BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ Calculated by us. ASNER 09 reports $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0) = (0.53 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61)\%$.

NODE=M057B12
NODE=M057B12

NODE=M057B12;LINKAGE=AS

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) / \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma_{34} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
14.4 ± 1.1 OUR FIT			
[(14.3 ± 1.1) × 10 ⁻⁵ OUR 2025 FIT]			
14.7 ± 4.1 ± 3.3	¹ BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0)$ reported by BAI 99B was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (7.8 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

NODE=M057B13
NODE=M057B13

NEW

NODE=M057B13;LINKAGE=BA

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{43} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.22 ± 0.18 OUR FIT				
1.15 ± 0.18 OUR AVERAGE				
1.21 ± 0.19 ± 0.09	37	¹ ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.97 ± 0.32 ± 0.13	28	² ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ Calculated by us. ATHAR 07 reports $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) = (1.3 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61)\%$.

² Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 06R reports $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (0.6 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (8.1 \pm 0.6)\%$. We have multiplied by 2 to obtain $\bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$ from $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$.

NODE=M057B05
NODE=M057B05

NODE=M057B05;LINKAGE=AT

NODE=M057B05;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+ K^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{52} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.57 ± 0.21 OUR FIT				
Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [(1.57 ± 0.21) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]				
1.76 ± 0.16 ± 0.24	160	¹ ABLIKIM	06T BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2K^+ 2K^-$

¹ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow 2K^+ 2K^-)$ reported by ABLIKIM 06T was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (8.1 \pm 0.4)\%$.

NODE=M057B14
NODE=M057B14

NEW

NODE=M057B14;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+ K^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) / \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma_{52} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.5 ± 0.6 OUR FIT			
Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [(4.5 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]			
3.6 ± 0.6 ± 0.6	¹ BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2K^+ 2K^-$

¹ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow 2K^+ 2K^-)$ reported by BAI 99B was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) = (7.8 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

NODE=M057B15
NODE=M057B15

NEW

NODE=M057B15;LINKAGE=BA

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{56} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
16.4 ± 0.6 ± 1.4	767	¹ ABLIKIM	25AY BES3	$\psi(3686) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

¹ ABLIKIM 24AY reports also a measurement $B(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (17.2 \pm 0.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-5}$ from this product branching fraction using PDG 24 $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2})$ value.

NODE=M057P13
NODE=M057P13

NODE=M057P13;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow 3(K^+ K^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{57}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$6.8 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.8$	46.4 ± 7.0	¹ ABLIKIM	24P BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

¹ Systematic error derived by us, based on the text.

NODE=M057P05
NODE=M057P05

NODE=M057P05;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{59}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.23 ± 0.09 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.9. $[(1.97 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-5}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]

1.4 ± 1.1 ¹ BAI 98i BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$

¹ Calculated by us. The value for $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow p \bar{p})$ reported in BAI 98i is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (7.8 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

NODE=M057B1
NODE=M057B1

NEW

NODE=M057B;LINKAGE=J8

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{59}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

7.74 ± 0.30 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.1. $[(6.83 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-6}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]

7.87 ± 0.15 OUR AVERAGE

$[(7.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-6}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.2]

$7.93 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.13$ 10672 ¹ ABLIKIM 25H BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$

$7.2 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4$ 121 ± 12 ² NAIK 08 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$

$4.4 \pm 1.6 \pm 0.6$ $14.3 \pm 5.2 \pm 4.7$ BAI 04F BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$7.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3$ 405 ³ ABLIKIM 13V BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$

¹ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 25H reports $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow p \bar{p}) = (8.33 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-5}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (9.52 \pm 0.20)\%$.

² Calculated by us. NAIK 08 reports $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow p \bar{p}) = (7.7 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61)\%$.

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 25H

NODE=M057B6
NODE=M057B6

NEW

NEW

NODE=M057B6;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M057B6;LINKAGE=NA

NODE=M057B6;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow p \bar{p} K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{72}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.36 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.43$	574	ABLIKIM	24BX BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057P09
NODE=M057P09

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{77}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

17.4 ± 1.4 OUR FIT

17.3 ± 1.5 OUR AVERAGE

$18.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.7$ 670 ABLIKIM 21L BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \pi^- \bar{p} \pi^+$

$15.9 \pm 2.1 \pm 1.0$ 71 ¹ NAIK 08 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$18.2 \pm 1.4 \pm 0.9$ 207 ^{2,3} ABLIKIM 13H BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$

¹ Calculated by us. NAIK 08 reports $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}) = (17.0 \pm 2.2 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (9.33 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.61)\%$.

² Superseded by ABLIKIM 21L

³ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 13H reports $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}) = (20.8 \pm 1.6 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}) \times B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2})$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (8.74 \pm 0.35)\%$.

NODE=M057B10
NODE=M057B10

NODE=M057B10;LINKAGE=NA

NODE=M057B10;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M057B10;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma_{77}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

5.0 ± 0.4 OUR FIT

$7.1 \pm 3.1 \pm 2.9 \pm 1.3$ $8.3 \pm 3.7 \pm 3.4$ ¹ BAI 03E BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$

NODE=M057B11
NODE=M057B11

¹ BAI 03E reports $[B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}) B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) / B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)] \times [B^2(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) / B(J/\psi \rightarrow p \bar{p})] = (1.33^{+0.59}_{-0.55} \pm 0.25)\%$. We calculate from this measurement the presented value using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) = (63.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow p \bar{p}) = (2.17 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$.

NODE=M057B11;LINKAGE=BA

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{82} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.00 ± 0.20 ± 0.14	32	ABLIKIM	22A0 BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \pi^- \bar{p} \pi^+ \gamma \gamma$

NODE=M057P02
NODE=M057P02

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \omega) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{83} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
13.3 ± 1.2 ± 1.6	251 ± 23	¹ ABLIKIM	24BE BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057P06
NODE=M057P06

¹ Calculated by us. The authors report $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \omega)$ obtained from a product using PDG 22 value of $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2})$.

NODE=M057P06;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{84} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.79 ± 0.77 ± 0.35	94.4	ABLIKIM	24AC BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057P04
NODE=M057P04

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{p} \Lambda(1520) K_S^0 \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{88} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.89^{+0.83}_{-0.80} ± 0.39	94	ABLIKIM	24BX BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

NODE=M057P10
NODE=M057P10

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{p} K_S^0 + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{90} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.85 ± 0.77 ± 0.44	129	¹ ABLIKIM	19BB BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^+ \bar{p} K_S^0 + \text{c.c.}$

NODE=M057B07
NODE=M057B07

¹ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 19BB reports $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{p} K_S^0 + \text{c.c.}) = (8.25 \pm 0.83 \pm 0.49) \times 10^{-5}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (9.52 \pm 0.20)\%$ and other branching fractions from PDG 18.

NODE=M057B07;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{p} K^+ + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{91} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.87 ± 0.06 ± 0.04	271	¹ ABLIKIM	20AE BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \Sigma^0 \bar{p} K^+ + \text{c.c.}$

NODE=M057P00
NODE=M057P00

¹ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 20AE reports $B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{p} K^+ + \text{c.c.}) = (0.91 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) = (9.52 \pm 0.20)\%$ and other branching fractions from PDG 20.

NODE=M057P00;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{104} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.82 ± 0.07 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.9. $[(1.83 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-2} \text{ OUR } 2025 \text{ FIT Scale factor} = 1.8]$		

NODE=M057B2
NODE=M057B2

1.69 ± 0.16 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 3.4. See the ideogram below.

1.996 ± 0.008 ± 0.070	81k	¹ ABLIKIM	17N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma J/\psi$
1.793 ± 0.008 ± 0.163	1.0M	ABLIKIM	17U BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
1.62 ± 0.04 ± 0.12	5.8k	BAI	04I BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma \gamma$
0.99 ± 0.10 ± 0.08		GAISER	86 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
1.47 ± 0.17		² OREGLIA	82 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$
1.8 ± 0.5		³ BRANDELIK	79B DASP	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$
1.2 ± 0.2		³ BARTEL	78B CNTR	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$
2.2 ± 1.2		⁴ BIDDICK	77 CNTR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
1.2 ± 0.7		² WHITAKER	76 MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

NEW

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.874 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.102$	76k	⁵ ABLIKIM	120	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$
$1.95 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.07$	12.4k	⁶ MENDEZ	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$
$1.85 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.07$	1.9k	⁷ ADAM	05A	CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08

¹ Uses $B(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.971 \pm 0.032)\%$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (5.961 \pm 0.033)\%$.

² Recalculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0020$.

³ Recalculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 0.0588 \pm 0.0010$.

⁴ Assumes isotropic gamma distribution.

⁵ Superseded by ABLIKIM 17N.

⁶ Not independent from other measurements of MENDEZ 08.

⁷ Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A.

NODE=M057B2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M057B;LINKAGE=3Q

NODE=M057B;LINKAGE=2Q


NODE=M057B;LINKAGE=EA

NODE=M057B2;LINKAGE=BA

NODE=M057B2;LINKAGE=ME

NODE=M057B;LINKAGE=AD

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 1.69 ± 0.16 (Error scaled by 3.4)



$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ (units 10^{-2})

$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)$
 $\Gamma_{104}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.24 ± 0.19 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.9. [(5.27 ± 0.18) × 10 ⁻² OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.8]

5.53 ± 0.17 OUR AVERAGE

$5.56 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.16$	12.4k	MENDEZ	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$
6.0 ± 2.8	1.3k	¹ ABLIKIM	04B	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$
3.9 ± 1.2		² HIMEL	80	MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$5.52 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.13$	1.9k	³ ADAM	05A	CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08

¹ From a fit to the J/ψ recoil mass spectra.

² The value for $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}) \times B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S))$ reported in HIMEL 80 is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (33 \pm 3)\%$ and $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 0.138 \pm 0.018$. Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = (0.1181 \pm 0.0020)$.

³ Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A.

NODE=M057B;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M057B;LINKAGE=H8

NODE=M057B3;LINKAGE=AD

$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_{108}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{197}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.77 ± 0.09 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [(2.73 ± 0.11) × 10 ⁻⁵ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]

2.82 ± 0.10 OUR AVERAGE

$2.83 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.06$	5k	¹ ABLIKIM	17AE	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2} \rightarrow 3\gamma$
$2.68 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.15$	0.3k	ECKLUND	08A	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2} \rightarrow 3\gamma$
$7.0 \pm 2.1 \pm 2.0$		LEE	85	CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.81 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.15$	1.1k	² ABLIKIM	12A	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2} \rightarrow 3\gamma$

NODE=M057B4

NODE=M057B4

NEW

- ¹ ABLIKIM 17AE measures the ratio of two-photon partial widths for the helicity $\lambda = 0$ and helicity $\lambda = 2$ components to be $f_{0/2} = \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}^{\lambda=0} / \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}^{\lambda=2} = 0.000 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.012$.
- ² ABLIKIM 12A measures the ratio of two-photon partial widths for the helicity $\lambda = 0$ and helicity $\lambda = 2$ components to be $f_{0/2} = \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}^{\lambda=0} / \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}^{\lambda=2} = 0.00 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02$. Superseded by ABLIKIM 17AE.

NODE=M057B4;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M057B4;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$		$\Gamma_{108} / \Gamma_{108}^{\chi_{c0}(1P)}$		
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.292±0.028 OUR AVERAGE				
0.295±0.014±0.028	8k	¹ ABLIKIM	17AE BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow 3\gamma$
0.278±0.050±0.036	0.5k	¹ ECKLUND	08A CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow 3\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.271±0.029±0.030	1.9k	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	12A BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow 3\gamma$
¹ Not independent from the values of $\Gamma(\chi_{c0}, \chi_{c2})$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c0}, \chi_{c2})$.				
² Superseded by ABLIKIM 17AE.				

NODE=M057B06
NODE=M057B06NODE=M057B06;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M057B06;LINKAGE=A

MULTIPOLE AMPLITUDES IN $\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$ RADIATIVE DECAY

NODE=M057240

$a_2 = M2 / \sqrt{E1^2 + M2^2 + E3^2}$ Magnetic quadrupole fractional transition amplitude

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-11.0± 1.0 OUR AVERAGE				
-12.0± 1.3±0.4	89k	¹ ABLIKIM	17N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
- 9.3± 1.6±0.3	19.8k	² ARTUSO	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
- 9.3 ⁺ ₋ 3.9 ⁺ ₋ 4.1±0.6	5.9k	³ AMBROGIANI	02 E835	$\rho\bar{\rho} \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$
-14 ± 6	1.9k	³ ARMSTRONG	93E E760	$\rho\bar{\rho} \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$
-33.3 ⁺ ₋ 11.6 ₋ 29.2	441	³ OREGLIA	82 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
- 7.9± 1.9±0.3	19.8k	⁴ ARTUSO	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
¹ Correlated with a_3 , b_2 , and b_3 with correlation coefficients $\rho_{a_2 a_3} = 0.733$, $\rho_{a_2 b_2} = -0.605$, and $\rho_{a_2 b_3} = -0.095$.				
² From a fit with floating $M2$ amplitudes a_2 and b_2 , and fixed $E3$ amplitudes $a_3=b_3=0$.				
³ Assuming $a_3=0$.				
⁴ From a fit with floating $M2$ and $E3$ amplitudes a_2 , b_2 , and a_3 , and b_3 .				

NODE=M057A1
NODE=M057A1

OCCUR=2

NODE=M057A1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M057A1;LINKAGE=AR
NODE=M057A1;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M057A1;LINKAGE=AT


$a_3 = E3 / \sqrt{E1^2 + M2^2 + E3^2}$ Electric octupole fractional transition amplitude

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.3±1.0 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.				
-1.3±0.9±0.4	89k	¹ ABLIKIM	17N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
1.7±1.4±0.3	19.8k	² ARTUSO	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
2.0 ⁺ ₋ 5.5 ₋ 4.4±0.9	5908	AMBROGIANI	02 E835	$\rho\bar{\rho} \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$
0 ⁺ ₋ 6 ₋ 5	1904	ARMSTRONG	93E E760	$\rho\bar{\rho} \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$
¹ Correlated with a_2 , b_2 , and b_3 with correlation coefficients $\rho_{a_2 a_3} = 0.733$, $\rho_{a_3 b_2} = -0.422$, and $\rho_{a_3 b_3} = -0.024$.				
² From a fit with floating $M2$ and $E3$ amplitudes a_2 , b_2 , and a_3 , and b_3 .				

NODE=M057A2
NODE=M057A2

NODE=M057A2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M057A2;LINKAGE=AR



MULTIPOLE AMPLITUDES IN $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$ RADIATIVE DECAY

NODE=M057250

$b_2 = M2/\sqrt{E1^2 + M2^2 + E3^2}$ Magnetic quadrupole fractional transition amplitude

NODE=M057QB2
NODE=M057QB2

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.9±0.9 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.		
1.7±0.8±0.2	89k	1 ABLIKIM	17N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
4.6±1.0±1.3	13.8k	2 ABLIKIM	11I BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-, \gamma K^+K^-$
0.2±1.5±0.4	19.8k	3 ARTUSO	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
- 5.1 ^{+5.4} _{-3.6}	721	2 ABLIKIM	04I BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-, \gamma K^+K^-$
13.2 ^{+9.8} _{-7.5}	441	4 OREGLIA	82 CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.0±1.3±0.3 19.8k 4 ARTUSO 09 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

NODE=M057QB2;LINKAGE=A


¹ Correlated with a_2 , a_3 , and b_3 with correlation coefficients $\rho_{a_2 b_2} = -0.605$, $\rho_{a_3 b_2} = -0.422$, and $\rho_{b_2 b_3} = 0.384$.

NODE=M057QB2;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M057QB2;LINKAGE=AT
NODE=M057QB2;LINKAGE=AR

² From a fit with floating $M2$ and $E3$ amplitudes b_2 and b_3 .

³ From a fit with floating $M2$ and $E3$ amplitudes a_2 , b_2 , and a_3 , and b_3 .

⁴ From a fit with floating $M2$ amplitudes a_2 and b_2 , and fixed $E3$ amplitudes $a_3=b_3=0$.



$b_2 = M_2/\sqrt{E_1^2 + M_2^2 + E_3^2}$ Magnetic quadrupole fractional transition amplitude (units 10^{-2})

$b_3 = E_3/\sqrt{E_1^2 + M_2^2 + E_3^2}$ Electric octupole fractional transition amplitude

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-1.0±0.6 OUR AVERAGE				
-1.4±0.7±0.4	89k	¹ ABLIKIM 17N BES3		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
1.5±0.8±1.8	13.8k	² ABLIKIM 11I BES3		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-, \gamma K^+K^-$
-0.8±1.2±0.2	19.8k	ARTUSO 09 CLEO		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
-2.7 ^{+4.3} _{-2.9}	721	² ABLIKIM 04I BES2		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-, \gamma K^+K^-$

¹ Correlated with a_2 , a_3 , and b_2 with correlation coefficients $\rho_{a_2 b_3} = -0.095$, $\rho_{a_3 b_3} = -0.024$, and $\rho_{b_2 b_3} = 0.384$.

² From a fit with floating M_2 and E_3 amplitudes b_2 and b_3 .

NODE=M057QB3
NODE=M057QB3

NODE=M057QB3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M057QB3;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M057260

MULTIPOLE AMPLITUDE RATIOS IN RADIATIVE DECAYS

$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}(1P)$ and $\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$

b_2/a_2 Magnetic quadrupole transition amplitude ratio

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-11⁺¹⁴₋₁₅	19.8k	¹ ARTUSO 09 CLEO		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

¹ Statistical and systematic errors combined. From a fit with floating M_2 amplitudes a_2 and b_2 , and fixed E_3 amplitudes $a_3=b_3=0$. Not independent of values for $a_2(\chi_{c2}(1P))$ and $b_2(\chi_{c2}(1P))$ from ARTUSO 09.

NODE=M057QAR
NODE=M057QAR

NODE=M057QAR;LINKAGE=AR


$\chi_{c2}(1P)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM 25AY PR D112 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 25H PR D111 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 25I PR D111 012004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 25K PR D111 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 25L PR D111 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 25R PR D111 052013	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 25V PR D111 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 24AC PR D110 032016	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 24AY PR D109 L071103	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 24BE PR D110 032022	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 24BT PR D110 072009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 24BW PR D110 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 24BX PR D110 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 24CA PR D110 112013	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 24P PR D109 072016	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PDG 24 PR D110 030001	S. Navas <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM 23N JHEP 2305 069	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 23T PR D107 092004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
SEINO 23 JHEP 2301 160	Y. Seino <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM 22AO PR D106 072004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 22O JHEP 2206 074	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM 22Q PR D106 032014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PDG 22 PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)

NODE=M057

REFID=63416
REFID=63251
REFID=63252
REFID=63255
REFID=63256
REFID=63262
REFID=63266
REFID=62682
REFID=62787
REFID=62904
REFID=63025
REFID=63028
REFID=63029
REFID=63032
REFID=62667
REFID=62629
REFID=62056
REFID=62064
REFID=62051
REFID=61887
REFID=61652
REFID=61663
REFID=61634

ABLIKIM	21AV	JHEP 2111 217	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61465
ABLIKIM	21L	PR D103 112004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61117
ABLIKIM	20AE	PR D102 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60733
ABLIKIM	20B	PR D101 012012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60212
ABLIKIM	20I	PR D101 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60303
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=60676
ABLIKIM	19AA	PR D99 052008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59844
ABLIKIM	19AU	PR D100 052010	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59996
ABLIKIM	19BB	PR D100 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60026
ABLIKIM	19J	PR D99 012015	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59606
ABLIKIM	19Z	PR D99 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59837
ABLIKIM	18V	PR D97 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58990
PDG	18	PR D98 030001	M. Tanabashi <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=59304
AAIJ	17BB	EPJ C77 609	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=58191
AAIJ	17BI	PRL 119 221801	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=58278
ABLIKIM	17AE	PR D96 092007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58310
ABLIKIM	17AG	PR D96 111102	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58316
ABLIKIM	17AI	PR D96 112006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58321
ABLIKIM	17I	PRL 118 221802	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57931
ABLIKIM	17N	PR D95 072004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57978
ABLIKIM	17U	PR D96 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58026
PDG	16	CP C40 100001	C. Patrignani <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=57140
ABLIKIM	15I	PR D91 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56774
ABLIKIM	15M	PR D91 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56778
ABLIKIM	15N	PR D91 112018	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56779
ABLIKIM	14J	PR D89 074030	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55901
ABLIKIM	13B	PR D87 012002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54877
ABLIKIM	13D	PR D87 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54879
ABLIKIM	13H	PR D87 032007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54953
ABLIKIM	13V	PR D88 112001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55583
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55592
ABLIKIM	12A	PR D85 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54266
ABLIKIM	12I	PR D86 052004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54736
ABLIKIM	12J	PR D86 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54737
ABLIKIM	12O	PRL 109 172002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54742
LEES	12AE	PR D86 092005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54752
LIU	12B	PRL 108 232001	Z.Q. Liu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=54303
ABLIKIM	11A	PR D83 012006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53647
ABLIKIM	11E	PR D83 112005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=16717
ABLIKIM	11F	PR D83 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=16719
ABLIKIM	11I	PR D84 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53930
ABLIKIM	11K	PRL 107 092001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53940
DEL-AMO-SA...	11M	PR D84 012004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=16751
ABLIKIM	10A	PR D81 052005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53347
ONYISI	10	PR D82 011103	P.U.E. Onyisi <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53360
UEHARA	10A	PR D82 114031	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53641
ARTUSO	09	PR D80 112003	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53206
ASNER	09	PR D79 072007	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52721
UEHARA	09	PR D79 052009	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52761
BENNETT	08A	PRL 101 151801	J.V. Bennett <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52575
ECKLUND	08A	PR D78 091501	K.M. Ecklund <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52583
HE	08B	PR D78 092004	Q. He <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52588
MENDEZ	08	PR D78 011102	H. Mendez <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52684
NAIK	08	PR D78 031101	P. Naik <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52301
UEHARA	08	EPJ C53 1	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52064
ADAMS	07	PR D75 071101	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51651
ATHAR	07	PR D75 032002	S.B. Athar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51618
CHEN	07B	PL B651 15	W.T. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51710
ABLIKIM	06D	PR D73 052006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51049
ABLIKIM	06I	PR D74 012004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51126
ABLIKIM	06R	PR D74 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51447
ABLIKIM	06T	PL B642 197	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51453
DOBBS	06	PR D73 071101	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51062
ABLIKIM	05G	PR D71 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50756
ABLIKIM	05N	PL B630 7	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50847
ABLIKIM	05O	PL B630 21	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50846
ADAM	05A	PRL 94 232002	N.E. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50763
ANDREOTTI	05A	NP B717 34	M. Andreotti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=50769
NAKAZAWA	05	PL B615 39	H. Nakazawa <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50807
ABLIKIM	04B	PR D70 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49741
ABLIKIM	04H	PR D70 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50188
ABLIKIM	04I	PR D70 092004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50189
BAI	04F	PR D69 092001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49752
BAI	04I	PR D70 012006	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49755
AULCHENKO	03	PL B573 63	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=49579
BAI	03C	PR D67 032004	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49190
BAI	03E	PR D67 112001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49416
ABE	02T	PL B540 33	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=48813
AMBROGIANI	02	PR D65 052002	M. Ambrogiani <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=48552
EISENSTEIN	01	PRL 87 061801	B.I. Eisenstein <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=48344
AMBROGIANI	00B	PR D62 052002	M. Ambrogiani <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=47940
ACCARI	99E	PL B453 73	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=46943
BAI	99B	PR D60 072001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47385
ACKER...K...	98	PL B439 197	K. Ackers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)	REFID=46324
BAI	98D	PR D58 092006	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46338
BAI	98I	PRL 81 3091	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46343
DOMINICK	94	PR D50 4265	J. Dominick <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=44077
ARMSTRONG	93	PRL 70 2988	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E760 Collab.)	REFID=43306
ARMSTRONG	93E	PR D48 3037	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E760 Collab.)	REFID=48616
BAUER	93	PL B302 345	D.A. Bauer <i>et al.</i>	(TPC Collab.)	REFID=43315
ARMSTRONG	92	NP B373 35	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=41865
Also		PRL 68 1468	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=41907
BAGLIN	87B	PL B187 191	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(R704 Collab.)	REFID=40018
BAGLIN	86B	PL B172 455	C. Baglin	(LAPP, CERN, GENO, LYON, OSLO+)	REFID=22145
GAISER	86	PR D34 711	J. Gaiser <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=22012
LEE	85	SLAC 282	R.A. Lee	(SLAC)	REFID=40589
LEMOIGNE	82	PL 113B 509	Y. Lemoigne <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, LOIC, SHMP+)	REFID=22084
OREGLIA	82	PR D25 2259	M.J. Oreglia <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CIT, HARV+)	REFID=22120
Also		Private Comm.	M.J. Oreglia	(EFI)	REFID=22143
BARATE	81	PR D24 2994	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, LOIC, SHMP, CERN+)	REFID=22164
HIMEL	80	PRL 44 920	T. Himel <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22119
Also		Private Comm.	G. Trilling	(LBL, UCB)	REFID=22113
BRANDELIK	79B	NP B160 426	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22115
BARTEL	78B	PL 79B 492	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, HEIDP)	REFID=22111
TANENBAUM	78	PR D17 1731	W.M. Tanenbaum <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22112
Also		Private Comm.	G. Trilling	(LBL, UCB)	REFID=22113
BIDDICK	77	PRL 38 1324	C.J. Biddick <i>et al.</i>	(UCSD, UMD, PAVI+)	REFID=22059
WHITAKER	76	PRL 37 1596	J.S. Whitaker <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22151



$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^-+)$$

Quantum numbers are quark model predictions.

NODE=M059

NODE=M059

NODE=M059M

NODE=M059M

$\eta_c(2S)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3637.8 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
3637.8 ± 0.8 ± 0.2	1.6k	ABLIKIM	24J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$
3637.90 ± 0.54 ± 1.40	3.7k	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ (K_S^0 K \pi)$
3643.4 ± 2.3 ± 4.4	569	ABLIKIM	22Q BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
3635.1 ± 3.7 ± 2.9	106	XU	18 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
3633.6 ± 1.7 ± 0.6	106	¹ AAIJ	17AD LHCb	$p p \rightarrow B^+ X \rightarrow p \bar{p} K^+ X$
3636.4 ± 4.1 ± 0.7	365	² AAIJ	17BB LHCb	$p p \rightarrow b \bar{b} X \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-) X$
3637.0 ± 5.7 ± 3.4	178	^{3,4} LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
3635.1 ± 5.8 ± 2.1	47	^{3,5} LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
3646.9 ± 1.6 ± 3.6	57	ABLIKIM	13K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
3637.6 ± 2.9 ± 1.6	127	⁶ ABLIKIM	12G BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^0 K \pi, K K \pi^0$
3638.5 ± 1.5 ± 0.8	624	³ DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
3640.5 ± 3.2 ± 2.5	1201	³ DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
3636.1 ^{+3.9} _{-4.2} ^{+0.7} _{-2.0}	128	⁷ VINOKUROVA	11 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm (K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$
3626 ± 5 ± 6	311	⁸ ABE	07 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi (c \bar{c})$
3645.0 ± 5.5 ^{+4.9} _{-7.8}	121	AUBERT	05C BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi c \bar{c}$
3642.9 ± 3.1 ± 1.5	61	ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta'_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3639 ± 7	98	⁹ AUBERT	06E BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X_{c \bar{c}}$
3630.8 ± 3.4 ± 1.0	112	¹⁰ AUBERT	04D BABR	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi$
3654 ± 6 ± 8	39	¹¹ CHOI	02 BELL	$B \rightarrow K K_S K^- \pi^+$
3594 ± 5		¹² EDWARDS	82C CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ AAIJ 17AD report $m_{\psi(2S)} - m_{\eta_c(2S)} = 52.5 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.6$ MeV. We use the current value $m_{\psi(2S)} = 3686.097 \pm 0.025$ MeV to obtain the quoted mass.

² From a fit of the $\phi \phi$ invariant mass with the width of $\eta_c(2S)$ fixed to the PDG 16 value.

³ Ignoring possible interference with continuum.

⁴ With a width fixed to 11.3 MeV.

⁵ With a width fixed to 11.3 MeV. Using both $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decays.

⁶ From a simultaneous fit to $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ and $K^+ K^- \pi^0$ decay modes.

⁷ Accounts for interference with non-resonant continuum.

⁸ From a fit of the J/ψ recoil mass spectrum. Supersedes ABE, K 02 and ABE 04G.

⁹ From the fit of the kaon momentum spectrum. Systematic errors not evaluated.

¹⁰ Superseded by DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 11M.

¹¹ Superseded by VINOKUROVA 11.

¹² Assuming mass of $\psi(2S) = 3686$ MeV.

NODE=M059M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M059M;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M059M;LINKAGE=DE
 NODE=M059M;LINKAGE=LE
 NODE=M059M;LINKAGE=LS
 NODE=M059M;LINKAGE=AB
 NODE=M059M;LINKAGE=VA
 NODE=M059M;LINKAGE=EB
 NODE=M059M;LINKAGE=AU
 NODE=M059M;LINKAGE=AR
 NODE=M059M;LINKAGE=CH
 NODE=M059M;LINKAGE=A

$\eta_c(2S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL% EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11.6 ± 1.4 OUR AVERAGE				
10.5 ± 1.7 ± 3.5	1.6k	ABLIKIM	24J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$
10.77 ± 1.62 ± 1.08	3.7k	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ (K_S^0 K \pi)$
19.8 ± 3.9 ± 3.1	569	ABLIKIM	22Q BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
9.9 ± 4.8 ± 2.9	57	ABLIKIM	13K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M059W

NODE=M059W

$16.9 \pm 6.4 \pm 4.8$	127	¹ ABLIKIM	12G	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^0 K \pi,$ $K K \pi^0$
$13.4 \pm 4.6 \pm 3.2$	624	² DEL-AMO-SA...11M	BABR		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
$6.6 \pm 8.4 \pm 2.6$ $-5.1 -0.9$	128	³ VINOKUROVA 11	BELL		$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$
$6.3 \pm 12.4 \pm 4.0$	61	ASNER	04	CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'_c \rightarrow$ $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 23	90	98	⁴ AUBERT	06E	BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X_{c\bar{c}}$
22 ± 14		121	AUBERT	05C	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi c \bar{c}$
$17.0 \pm 8.3 \pm 2.5$		112	⁵ AUBERT	04D	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(2S) \rightarrow$ $K \bar{K} \pi$
< 55	90	39	⁶ CHOI	02	BELL	$B \rightarrow K K_S K^- \pi^+$
< 8.0	95		⁷ EDWARDS	82C	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ From a simultaneous fit to $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ and $K^+ K^- \pi^0$ decay modes.

² Ignoring possible interference with continuum.

³ Accounts for interference with non-resonant continuum.

⁴ From the fit of the kaon momentum spectrum. Systematic errors not evaluated.

⁵ Superseded by DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 11M.

⁶ For a mass value of 3654 ± 6 MeV. Superseded by VINOKUROVA 11.

⁷ For a mass value of 3594 ± 5 MeV

NODE=M059W;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M059W;LINKAGE=DE
NODE=M059W;LINKAGE=VA
NODE=M059W;LINKAGE=AU
NODE=M059W;LINKAGE=AR
NODE=M059W;LINKAGE=W2
NODE=M059W;LINKAGE=W

$\eta_c(2S)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M059215;NODE=M059

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 hadrons	seen	
Γ_2 $K \bar{K} \pi$	$(1.9^{+1.2}_{-1.0}) \%$	
Γ_3 $K \bar{K} \eta$	$(7^{+5}_{-4}) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_4 $K \bar{K} \eta'$	$(2.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_5 $2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	$< 2.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_6 $a_0(1450)\pi$	seen	
Γ_7 $a_2(1700)\pi$	seen	
Γ_8 $a_0(1710)\pi$	seen	
Γ_9 $\rho^0 \rho^0$	$< 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{10} $3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$	$(1.7^{+0.9}_{-1.1}) \%$	
Γ_{11} $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$< 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{12} $K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*0}$	$< 4 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{13} $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(1.5^{+1.0}_{-0.9}) \%$	
Γ_{14} $K^+ K^- 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	$< 1.8 \%$	90%
Γ_{15} $K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.4^{+0.8}_{-1.0}) \%$	
Γ_{16} $2K^+ 2K^-$	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{17} $K_2^*(1430) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_{18} $K_0^*(1950) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_{19} $K_0^*(2600) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_{20} $\phi \phi$	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{21} $\omega \omega$	$< 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{22} $\omega \phi$	$< 3.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{23} $\rho \bar{\rho}$	$< 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{24} $\rho \bar{\rho} \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen	
Γ_{25} $\rho \bar{\rho} K^+ K^-$	$(3.6 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{26} $\gamma \gamma$	$(1.8^{+1.0}_{-1.1}) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{27} $\gamma J/\psi(1S)$	$< 1.8 \%$	90%
Γ_{28} $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	$(5.5^{+3.3}_{-4.0}) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{29} $2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \eta$	$(1.6^{+0.9}_{-1.0}) \%$	
Γ_{30} $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$	$(2.7^{+2.0}_{-1.8}) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{31} $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c(1S)$	$< 4 \%$	90%

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4

DESIG=20

DESIG=35

DESIG=5

DESIG=27

DESIG=28

DESIG=26

DESIG=16

DESIG=8

DESIG=6

DESIG=17

DESIG=9

DESIG=10

DESIG=11

DESIG=7

DESIG=24

DESIG=25

DESIG=29

DESIG=18

DESIG=33

DESIG=34

DESIG=3

DESIG=22

DESIG=30

DESIG=2

DESIG=21

DESIG=12

DESIG=31

DESIG=13

DESIG=15

FIT INFORMATION

A multiparticle fit to $\eta_c(2S)$ and $\psi(2S)$ with 4 branching ratios uses 5 measurements to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 2.6$ for 2 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

x_3	92
x_2	

$\eta_c(2S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M059216

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$

Γ_{26}

VALUE (keV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.44 ± 0.14	106	¹ XU	18 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$
1.3 ± 0.6		² ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

NODE=M059W1
NODE=M059W1

¹ Assuming that the branching fraction into $\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ is the same as for $\eta_c(1S)$.

² They measure $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S)\gamma\gamma) B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = (0.18 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.02) \Gamma(\eta_c(1S)\gamma\gamma) B(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)$. The value for $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ is derived assuming that the branching fractions for $\eta_c(2S)$ and $\eta_c(1S)$ decays to $K_S K\pi$ are equal and using $\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 7.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 2.3$ keV.

NODE=M059W1;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M059W1;LINKAGE=AS

$\eta_c(2S) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M059218

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_2 \Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
39 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE				
$41 \pm 4 \pm 6$	624	DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
$33.6 \pm 7.2 \pm 8.1$		¹ NAKAZAWA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

NODE=M059G04
NODE=M059G04

¹ NAKAZAWA 08 reports $B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) = 11.2 \pm 2.4 \pm 2.7$ eV which we multiplied by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

NODE=M059G04;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_5 \Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 6.5	90	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

NODE=M059G01
NODE=M059G01

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{11} \Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 5.0	90	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M059G02
NODE=M059G02

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{13} \Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
30 ± 6 ± 5	1201	DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M059G05
NODE=M059G05

$\Gamma(2K^+2K^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{16} \Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.9	90	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(2S) \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)$

NODE=M059G03
NODE=M059G03

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta') \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{30} \Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.6^{+1.2}_{-1.1} \pm 1.1$	106	XU	18 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M059R29
NODE=M059R29

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta_c(1S)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{31} \Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 133	90	LEES	12AE BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta_c$

NODE=M059G06
NODE=M059G06

$\eta_c(2S) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma^2(\text{total})$

NODE=M059217

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{23} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{26} / \Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-8})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 5.6	90	1,2,3 AMBROGIANI 01	E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 8.0	90	1,2,4 AMBROGIANI 01	E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
< 12.0	90	2,4 AMBROGIANI 01	E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

¹ Including the measurements of of ARMSTRONG 95F in the AMBROGIANI 01 analysis.² For a total width $\Gamma=5$ MeV.³ For the resonance mass region 3589–3599 MeV/ c^2 .⁴ For the resonance mass region 3575–3660 MeV/ c^2 .NODE=M059G1
NODE=M059G1

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

NODE=M059G1;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M059G1;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M059G1;LINKAGE=C1
NODE=M059G1;LINKAGE=C2 $\eta_c(2S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M059220

 $\Gamma(\text{hadrons}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1 / Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	ABREU	98o	DLPH $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- + \text{hadrons}$
seen	¹ EDWARDS	82c	CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ For a mass value of 3594 ± 5 MeVNODE=M059R1
NODE=M059R1

NODE=M059R;LINKAGE=W

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2 / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.9^{+1.2}_{-1.0} OUR FIT**1.9^{±0.4}±1.1** 59 ± 12 ¹ AUBERT 08AB BABR $B \rightarrow \eta_c(2S) K \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi K$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	127 ± 18	ABLIKIM	12G	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}\pi$
seen	39 ± 11	² CHOI	02	BELL $B \rightarrow K K_S^- \pi^+$

¹ Derived from a measurement of $[B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c(2S) K^+) \times B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)] / [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) \times B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)] = (9.6^{+2.0}_{-1.9} \pm 2.5)\%$ and using $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c(2S) K^+) = (3.4 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$, and $[B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) \times B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)] = (6.88 \pm 0.77^{+0.55}_{-0.66}) \times 10^{-5}$.² For a mass value of 3654 ± 6 MeVNODE=M059R3
NODE=M059R3

NODE=M059R3;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M059R;LINKAGE=W2

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}\eta) / \Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ Γ_3 / Γ_2

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
39 ± 10 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.3.
27.3 ± 7.0 ± 9.0	225	¹ LEES	14E	BABR $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \gamma\gamma$

¹ LEES 14E reports $B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta) / B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = 0.82 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.27$, which we divide by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.NODE=M059R26
NODE=M059R26

NODE=M059R26;LINKAGE=LE

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5 / Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(2S)$

NODE=M059R01
NODE=M059R01 $\Gamma(a_0(1450)\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6 / Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ AAIJ	23AH	LHCB $B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis of $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$ NODE=M059R38
NODE=M059R38

NODE=M059R38;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(a_2(1700)\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7 / Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ AAIJ	23AH	LHCB $B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis of $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$ NODE=M059R39
NODE=M059R39

NODE=M059R39;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(a_0(1710)\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8 / Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ AAIJ	23AH	LHCB $B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis of $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$ NODE=M059R37
NODE=M059R37

NODE=M059R37;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen

ABLIKIM 11H BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$ NODE=M059R15
NODE=M059R15 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen

UEHARA 08 BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(2S)$ NODE=M059R02
NODE=M059R02 $\Gamma(K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen

ABLIKIM 11H BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ NODE=M059R16
NODE=M059R16 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K \bar{K} \pi)$ Γ_{13}/Γ_2

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $0.73 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.17$ 1201 ¹ DEL-AMO-SA..11M BABR $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ Not independent from other measurements reported in DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 11M. We have multiplied the value of $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$ reported in DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 11M by a factor 1/3 to obtain $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K \bar{K} \pi)$.

NODE=M059R21
NODE=M059R21

NODE=M059R21;LINKAGE=DE

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen

57 ± 17

ABLIKIM

13K

BES3

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$ NODE=M059R22
NODE=M059R22 $\Gamma(2K^+ 2K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen

UEHARA 08 BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(2S)$ NODE=M059R03
NODE=M059R03 $\Gamma(K_2^*(1430) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen

¹ AAIJ

23AH

LHCB

 $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ (K_S^0 K \pi)$ NODE=M059R34
NODE=M059R34

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis of $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$

NODE=M059R34;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K_0^*(1950) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen

¹ AAIJ

23AH

LHCB

 $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ (K_S^0 K \pi)$ NODE=M059R35
NODE=M059R35

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis of $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$

NODE=M059R35;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K_0^*(2600) \bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen

¹ AAIJ

23AH

LHCB

 $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ (K_S^0 K \pi)$ NODE=M059R40
NODE=M059R40

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis of $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$

NODE=M059R40;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen

ABLIKIM 11H BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$ NODE=M059R17
NODE=M059R17 $\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen

106

¹ AAIJ

17AD

LHCB

 $pp \rightarrow B^+ X \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+ X$ NODE=M059R04
NODE=M059R04

OCCUR=2

¹ AAIJ 17AD report a 6.4 standard deviation signal, with $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c(2S) K^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+)/B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+) = (1.58 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M059R04;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{24}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	110	¹ CHILIKIN	19 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
¹ CHILIKIN 19 reports signals in $B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c(2S)K^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow \eta_c(2S)K_S^0$ with 12.3 and 5.9 standard deviations, respectively.				

NODE=M059R30
NODE=M059R30

NODE=M059R30;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ WICHT	08 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \gamma\gamma$
not seen		AMBROGIANI	01 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
<0.01	90	LEE	85 CBAL	$\psi' \rightarrow \text{photons}$
¹ WICHT 08 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c(2S)K^+)] < 0.18 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c(2S)K^+) = 4.4 \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M059R2
NODE=M059R2

NODE=M059R2;LINKAGE=WI

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi) \quad \Gamma_{31}/\Gamma_2$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<3.33	90	¹ LEES	12AE BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta_c$
¹ We divided the reported limit by 3 to take into account isospin relations.				

NODE=M059R23
NODE=M059R23

NODE=M059R23;LINKAGE=LE

$\eta_c(2S)$ CROSS-PARTICLE BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M059230

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.03±0.12 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
1.00±0.10 OUR AVERAGE				
$0.97 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.09$	1.6k	ABLIKIM	24J BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}\pi$
$1.30 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.30$	127	ABLIKIM	12G BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}\pi$

NODE=M059R42
NODE=M059R42

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.0 ±1.0 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.				
4.78±0.64±0.68	362	¹ ABLIKIM	24BWBES3		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<11.8	90	² CRONIN-HEN..10	CLEO		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta$
¹ ABLIKIM 24BW reports a value of $(2.39 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-6}$ for the decay $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$ which we multiply by 2 to account for isospin symmetry.					
² CRONIN-HENNESSY 10 reports a limit of $< 5.9 \times 10^{-6}$ for the decay $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$ which we multiply by 2 account for isospin symmetry. It assumes $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S)) = 14$ MeV. It also gives the analytic dependence of limits on width.					

NODE=M059R25
NODE=M059R25

NODE=M059R25;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M059R25;LINKAGE=CR

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11.56±4.86±1.9	¹ ABLIKIM	25I BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'$
¹ ABLIKIM 25I reports a value of $(5.78 \pm 2.43 \pm 0.95) \times 10^{-7}$ for the decay $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'$ which we multiply by 2 to account for isospin symmetry.			

NODE=M059R45
NODE=M059R45

NODE=M059R45;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_5/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.43 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	24BT BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<14.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ CRONIN-HEN..10	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
¹ Assuming $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S)) = 14$ MeV. CRONIN-HENNESSY 10 gives the analytic dependence of limits on width.				

NODE=M059R05
NODE=M059R05

NODE=M059R05;LINKAGE=CR

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_9 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<12.7 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	11H BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$

NODE=M059R18
NODE=M059R18

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{10} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$9.2 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.2$		569	ABLIKIM	22Q BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

NODE=M059R06
NODE=M059R06

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<13.2	90	¹ CRONIN-HEN..10	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$

¹ Assuming $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S)) = 14$ MeV. CRONIN-HENNESSY 10 gives the analytic dependence of limits on width.

NODE=M059R06;LINKAGE=CR

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{11} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<9.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ CRONIN-HEN..10	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M059R07
NODE=M059R07

¹ Assuming $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S)) = 14$ MeV. CRONIN-HENNESSY 10 gives the analytic dependence of limits on width.

NODE=M059R07;LINKAGE=CR

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*0}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{12} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<19.6 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	11H BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M059R19
NODE=M059R19

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{13} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<43.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ CRONIN-HEN..10	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

NODE=M059R08
NODE=M059R08

¹ Assuming $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S)) = 14$ MeV. CRONIN-HENNESSY 10 gives the analytic dependence of limits on width.

NODE=M059R08;LINKAGE=CR

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{14} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<9.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ CRONIN-HEN..10	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$

NODE=M059R09
NODE=M059R09

¹ Assuming $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S)) = 14$ MeV. CRONIN-HENNESSY 10 gives the analytic dependence of limits on width.

NODE=M059R09;LINKAGE=CR

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{15} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.9 ± 1.8	OUR AVERAGE				

NODE=M059R10
NODE=M059R10

$9.31 \pm 0.72 \pm 2.77$	3140	ABLIKIM	24Q BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$

$7.03 \pm 2.10 \pm 0.7$	60	ABLIKIM	13K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<15.2	90	¹ CRONIN-HEN..10	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$

¹ Assuming $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S)) = 14$ MeV. CRONIN-HENNESSY 10 gives the analytic dependence of limits on width.

NODE=M059R10;LINKAGE=CR

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \phi \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{20} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7.8 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	11H BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$

NODE=M059R20
NODE=M059R20

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{23}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.4 \times 10^{-7}$ (CL = 90%)		[$<1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]		
$<2.4 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	25H BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<1.4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13V BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
¹ Superseded by ABLIKIM 25H.				

NODE=M059R24
NODE=M059R24

NODE=M059R24;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{25}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.98 \pm 0.41 \pm 0.99$	84	ABLIKIM	25V BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M059R43
NODE=M059R43

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{27}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)$$

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<9.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	33	¹ ABLIKIM	17N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$
¹ Uses $B(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (5.971 \pm 0.032)\%$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.961 \pm 0.033)\%$.					

NODE=M059R27
NODE=M059R27

NODE=M059R27;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{28}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.97 \pm 0.81 \pm 0.26$		106	ABLIKIM	23Q BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<4.3	90		¹ CRONIN-HEN..10	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta$
¹ Assuming $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S)) = 14$ MeV. CRONIN-HENNESSY 10 gives the analytic dependence of limits on width.					

NODE=M059R11
NODE=M059R11

NODE=M059R11;LINKAGE=CR

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{29}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.85 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.13$	565	ABLIKIM	25R BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M059R44
NODE=M059R44

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{30}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<14.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ CRONIN-HEN..10	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
¹ Assuming $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S)) = 14$ MeV. CRONIN-HENNESSY 10 gives the analytic dependence of limits on width.				

NODE=M059R12
NODE=M059R12

NODE=M059R12;LINKAGE=CR

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{31}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.21 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24Q BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta_c(1S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	² CRONIN-HEN..10	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta_c(1S)$
¹ $\eta_c(1S)$ reconstructed in the final states $K^+K^-\pi^0$ and $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$.				
² Assuming $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S)) = 14$ MeV. CRONIN-HENNESSY 10 gives the analytic dependence of limits on width.				

NODE=M059R14
NODE=M059R14

NODE=M059R14;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M059R14;LINKAGE=CR

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma\psi(2S)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.04 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	25K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

NODE=M059R46
NODE=M059R46

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \omega\phi)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma_{22}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{199}^{\psi(2S)}}{\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}}$$


VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.85 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	25K BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 K^+ K^-$

NODE=M059R47
NODE=M059R47

$\eta_c(2S)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M059

ABLIKIM	25H	PR D111 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63251
ABLIKIM	25I	PR D111 012004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63252
ABLIKIM	25K	PR D111 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63255
ABLIKIM	25R	PR D111 052013	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63262
ABLIKIM	25V	PR D111 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63266
ABLIKIM	24BT	PR D110 072009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63025
ABLIKIM	24BW	PR D110 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63028
ABLIKIM	24J	PR D109 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62660
ABLIKIM	24Q	PR D109 072017	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62668
AAIJ	23AH	PR D108 032010	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=62349
ABLIKIM	23Q	PR D107 052007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62060
ABLIKIM	22Q	PR D106 032014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61663
CHILIKIN	19	PR D100 012001	K. Chilikin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=59899
XU	18	PR D98 072001	Q.N. Xu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=59453
AAIJ	17AD	PL B769 305	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57896
AAIJ	17BB	EPJ C77 609	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=58191
ABLIKIM	17N	PR D95 072004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57978
PDG	16	CP C40 100001	C. Patrignani <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=57140
LEES	14E	PR D89 112004	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55937
ABLIKIM	13K	PR D87 052005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54956
ABLIKIM	13V	PR D88 112001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55583
ABLIKIM	12G	PRL 109 042003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54272
LEES	12AE	PR D86 092005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54752
ABLIKIM	11H	PR D84 091102	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53929
DEL-AMO-SA...	11M	PR D84 012004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=16751
VINOKUROVA	11	PL B706 139	A. Vinokurova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53927
CRONIN-HEN...	10	PR D81 052002	D. Cronin-Hennessey <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53233
AUBERT	08AB	PR D78 012006	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52267
NAKAZAWA	08	NPBPS 184 220	H. Nakazawa	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=63007
UEHARA	08	EPJ C53 1	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52064
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52204
ABE	07	PRL 98 082001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51627
AUBERT	06E	PRL 96 052002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51059
AUBERT	05C	PR D72 031101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50773
ABE	04G	PR D70 071102	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50182
ASNER	04	PRL 92 142001	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=49745
AUBERT	04D	PRL 92 142002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=49746
ABE,K	02	PRL 89 142001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=49188
CHOI	02	PRL 89 102001	S.-K. Choi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=48760
AMBROGIANI	01	PR D64 052003	M. Ambrogiani <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=48340
ABREU	98O	PL B441 479	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)	REFID=46553
ARMSTRONG	95F	PR D52 4839	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=44623
LEE	85	SLAC 282	R.A. Lee	(SLAC)	REFID=40589
EDWARDS	82C	PRL 48 70	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=22173



$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

See the Review on “Branching Ratios of $\psi(2S)$, $\chi_{c0,1,2}$ and $\eta_c(1S)$ ” before the $\chi_{c0}(1P)$ Listings.

$\psi(2S)$ MASS

OUR FIT includes measurements of $m_{\psi(2S)}$, $m_{\psi(3770)}$, and $m_{\psi(3770)} - m_{\psi(2S)}$.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3686.097±0.011 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
3686.097±0.010 OUR AVERAGE				
3686.099±0.004±0.009		¹ ANASHIN	15 KEDR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3686.12 ±0.06 ±0.10	4k	AAIJ	12H LHCb	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- X$
3685.95 ±0.10	413	² ARTAMONOV	00 OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3685.98 ±0.09 ±0.04		³ ARMSTRONG	93B E760	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3686.08 ±0.07	1301	⁴ AAIJ	23AP LHCb	$B_S^0 \rightarrow J/\psi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
3686.114±0.007 ^{+0.011} _{-0.016}		⁵ ANASHIN	12 KEDR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3686.111±0.025±0.009		AULCHENKO	03 KEDR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3686.00 ±0.10	413	⁶ ZHOLENTZ	80 OLYA	e^+e^-

¹ Supersedes AULCHENKO 03 and ANASHIN 12.

² Reanalysis of ZHOLENTZ 80 using new electron mass (COHEN 87) and radiative corrections (KURAEV 85).

³ Mass central value and systematic error recalculated by us according to Eq.(16) in ARMSTRONG 93B, using the value for the $J/\psi(1S)$ mass from AULCHENKO 03.

⁴ From a fit of a relativistic S -wave Breit-Wigner convolved with the detector resolution. The width of $\psi(2S)$ is constrained to the PDG 22 value. Systematic errors not evaluated.

⁵ From the scans in 2004 and 2006. ANASHIN 12 reports the value $3686.114 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.011^{+0.002}_{-0.012}$ MeV, where the third uncertainty is due to assumptions on the interference between the resonance and hadronic continuum. We combined the two systematic uncertainties.

⁶ Superseded by ARTAMONOV 00.

$m_{\psi(2S)} - m_{J/\psi(1S)}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
589.188±0.028 OUR AVERAGE			
589.194±0.027±0.011	¹ AULCHENKO	03 KEDR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
589.7 ±1.2	LEMOIGNE	82 GOLI	$185 \pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- \text{A}$
589.07 ±0.13	¹ ZHOLENTZ	80 OLYA	e^+e^-
588.7 ±0.8	LUTH	75 MRK1	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

588 ±1 ² BAI 98E BES e^+e^-

¹ Redundant with data in mass above.

² Systematic errors not evaluated.

$\psi(2S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
294± 9 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2. [293 ± 9 keV OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]			
286±16 OUR AVERAGE				
358±88± 4		ABLIKIM	08B BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
290±25± 4	2.7k	ANDREOTTI	07 E835	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-, J/\psi X$
331±58± 2		ABLIKIM	06L BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
264±27		¹ BAI	02B BES2	e^+e^-
287±37±16		² ARMSTRONG	93B E760	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-$

¹ From a simultaneous fit to the hadronic and $\mu^+ \mu^-$ cross section, assuming $\Gamma = \Gamma_h + \Gamma_e + \Gamma_\mu + \Gamma_\tau$ and lepton universality. Does not include vacuum polarization correction.

² The initial-state radiation correction reevaluated by ANDREOTTI 07 in its Ref. [4].

NODE=M071

NODE=M071

NODE=M071M

NODE=M071M

NODE=M071M

NODE=M071M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071M;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=M071M;LINKAGE=NW

NODE=M071M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M071M;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M071M;LINKAGE=RZ

NODE=M071DM

NODE=M071DM

NODE=M071DM;LINKAGE=R
NODE=M071DM;LINKAGE=BD

NODE=M071W

NODE=M071W
NEW

NODE=M071W;LINKAGE=BC

NODE=M071W;LINKAGE=AN

$\psi(2S)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M071220;NODE=M071

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level	
Γ_1 hadrons	(97.85 $\pm$ 0.13) %		DESIG=3
Γ_2 virtual $\gamma \rightarrow$ hadrons	(1.79 $\pm$ 0.04) %		DESIG=4
Γ_3 $g g g$	(10.6 $\pm$ 1.6) %		DESIG=255
Γ_4 $\gamma g g$	(1.03 $\pm$ 0.29) %		DESIG=256
Γ_5 light hadrons	(15.4 $\pm$ 1.5) %		DESIG=226
Γ_6 K_S^0 anything	(16.0 $\pm$ 1.1) %		DESIG=325
Γ_7 $e^+ e^-$	(7.93 $\pm$ 0.22) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=1
Γ_8 $\mu^+ \mu^-$	(8.0 $\pm$ 0.6) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=2
Γ_9 $\tau^+ \tau^-$	(3.1 $\pm$ 0.4) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=68
Decays into $J/\psi(1S)$ and anything			
Γ_{10} $J/\psi(1S)$ anything	(61.4 $\pm$ 0.7) %	S=1.3	NODE=M071;CLUMP=A DESIG=11
Γ_{11} $J/\psi(1S)$ neutrals	(25.4 $\pm$ 0.5) %	S=1.6	DESIG=12
Γ_{12} $J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-$	(34.68 $\pm$ 0.34) %	S=1.1	DESIG=13
Γ_{13} $J/\psi(1S) \pi^0 \pi^0$	(18.2 $\pm$ 0.5) %	S=1.6	DESIG=14
Γ_{14} $J/\psi(1S) \eta$	(3.37 $\pm$ 0.06) %	S=1.2	DESIG=15
Γ_{15} $J/\psi(1S) \pi^0$	(1.268 $\pm$ 0.032) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=18
Hadronic decays			
Γ_{16} $\pi^+ \pi^-$	(7.8 $\pm$ 2.6) $\times 10^{-6}$		NODE=M071;CLUMP=B DESIG=21
Γ_{17} $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	(2.01 $\pm$ 0.17) $\times 10^{-4}$	S=1.7	DESIG=36
Γ_{18} $\rho(770) \pi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	(3.2 $\pm$ 1.2) $\times 10^{-5}$	S=1.8	DESIG=22
Γ_{19} $\rho(2150) \pi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	(1.9 $\pm$ 1.2) $\times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=201
Γ_{20} $2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	(2.4 $\pm$ 0.6) $\times 10^{-4}$	S=2.2	DESIG=24
Γ_{21} $\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	(2.2 $\pm$ 0.6) $\times 10^{-4}$	S=1.4	DESIG=33
Γ_{22} $2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$	(2.9 $\pm$ 1.0) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=4.7	DESIG=25
Γ_{23} $\rho a_2(1320)$	(2.6 $\pm$ 0.9) $\times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=65
Γ_{24} $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$	(5.3 $\pm$ 1.0) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=312
Γ_{25} $\rho^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0 \pi^0$	< 2.7 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=315
Γ_{26} $\pi^+ \pi^- 4\pi^0$	(1.4 $\pm$ 1.0) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=332
Γ_{27} $3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	(3.5 $\pm$ 2.0) $\times 10^{-4}$	S=2.8	DESIG=32
Γ_{28} $2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$	(4.8 $\pm$ 1.5) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=221
Γ_{29} $3(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$	(3.5 $\pm$ 1.6) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=37
Γ_{30} $2(\pi^+ \pi^-) 3\pi^0$	(1.42 $\pm$ 0.31) %		DESIG=329
Γ_{31} $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 1.6 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=202
Γ_{32} $\eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	(9.5 $\pm$ 1.7) $\times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=203
Γ_{33} $\eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	(1.2 $\pm$ 0.6) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=251
Γ_{34} $\eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	< 4 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=313
Γ_{35} $\eta \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$	< 2.1 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=334
Γ_{36} $\eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$	< 2.1 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=328
Γ_{37} $\rho \eta$	(2.2 $\pm$ 0.6) $\times 10^{-5}$	S=1.1	DESIG=94
Γ_{38} $\eta' \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	(4.5 $\pm$ 2.1) $\times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=204
Γ_{39} $\eta' \rho$	(1.9 $\pm$ 1.7) $\times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=93
Γ_{40} $\omega \pi^0$	(2.1 $\pm$ 0.6) $\times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=92
Γ_{41} $\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$	(7.3 $\pm$ 1.2) $\times 10^{-4}$	S=2.1	DESIG=75
Γ_{42} $\omega \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$	(8.7 $\pm$ 2.4) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=327
Γ_{43} $b_1^\pm \pi^\mp$	(4.0 $\pm$ 0.6) $\times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1	DESIG=40
Γ_{44} $\omega f_2(1270)$	(2.2 $\pm$ 0.4) $\times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=64
Γ_{45} $\omega \pi^0 \pi^0$	(1.11 $\pm$ 0.35) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=314
Γ_{46} $\omega 3\pi^0$	< 8 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=333
Γ_{47} $b_1^0 \pi^0$	(2.4 $\pm$ 0.6) $\times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=193
Γ_{48} $\omega \eta$	< 1.1 $\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=95
Γ_{49} $\omega \eta'$	(3.2 $\pm$ 2.5) $\times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=91
Γ_{50} $\phi \pi^0$	< 4 $\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=96
Γ_{51} $\phi \pi^+ \pi^-$	(1.18 $\pm$ 0.26) $\times 10^{-4}$	S=1.5	DESIG=78
Γ_{52} $\phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$	(7.5 $\pm$ 3.3) $\times 10^{-5}$	S=1.6	DESIG=81

Г53	$\phi\eta$	$(3.10 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=89
Г54	$\eta\phi(2170), \phi(2170) \rightarrow$ $\phi f_0(980), f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	$< 2.2 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=316
Г55	$\phi\eta'$	$(1.54 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=90
Г56	$\phi\eta\eta'$	$(3.14 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=361
Г57	$h_1(1900)\eta \rightarrow \phi\eta\eta'$	$(3.8 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=362
Г58	$\phi(2170)\eta \rightarrow \phi\eta\eta'$	$(3.3 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=363
Г59	$\phi(2170)\eta' \rightarrow \phi\eta\eta'$	$(3.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=364
Г60	$h_1(2300)\eta \rightarrow \phi\eta\eta'$	$(2.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=365
Г61	$h_1(2300)\eta' \rightarrow \phi\eta\eta'$	$(4.8 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=366
Г62	$\phi\phi\phi$	$(1.46 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=354
Г63	$\phi f_1(1285)$	$(3.0 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=319
Г64	$\phi\eta(1405) \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-\eta$	$(8.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=320
Г65	$\phi f'_2(1525)$	$(4.4 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=67
Г66	K^+K^-	$(7.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=23
Г67	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$	$(7.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=26
Г68	$K^+K^-\pi^0$	$(4.07 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=38
Г69	$K_S^0 K_S^0$	$< 4.6 \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=86
Г70	$K_S^0 K_L^0$	$(5.34 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=85
Г71	$K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$	$< 3.0 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=303
Г72	$K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0$	$(2.6 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=298
Г73	$K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$	$(6.6 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=341
Г74	$K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0 \pi^0$	$(1.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=342
Г75	$K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=343
Г76	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(1.26 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=206
Г77	$\omega f_0(1710) \rightarrow \omega K^+K^-$	$(5.9 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=216
Г78	$K^*(892)^0 K^-\pi^+\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(8.6 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=217
Г79	$K^*(892)^+ K^-\pi^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(9.6 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=218
Г80	$K^*(892)^+ K^-\rho^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(7.3 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=219
Г81	$K^*(892)^0 K^-\rho^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(6.1 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=220
Г82	$K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=225
Г83	$K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$	$(1.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=304
Г84	$K_S^0 K^*(892)^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$	$(3.0 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=348
Г85	$K_S^0 K^\pm \rho(770)^\mp \pi^0$	$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=352
Г86	$K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \rho(770)^0$	$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=353
Г87	$K^\mp K^*(892)^\pm \pi^0 \pi^0$	$(7.0 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=349
Г88	$K^*(892)^+ K^*(892)^- \pi^0$	$(3.6 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=350
Г89	$K_S^0 K_L^0 \eta$	$(1.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=305
Г90	$K^+K^-\rho^0$	$(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=205
Г91	$K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0$	$(1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=66
Г92	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta$	$(1.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=252
Г93	$K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$(1.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=222
Г94	$K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$	$(1.00 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=240
Г95	$K^+K^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.2	DESIG=39
Г96	$2(K^+K^-)$	$(6.3 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=208
Г97	$2(K^+K^-)\pi^0$	$(1.10 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=209
Г98	$K^+K^-\phi$	$(7.0 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=79
Г99	$K_S^0 K_S^0 \phi$	$(3.53 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=347
Г100	$K_1(1270)^\pm K^\mp$	$(1.00 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=41
Г101	$K^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(6.7 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=34
Г102	$\eta K^+K^-, \text{ no } \eta\phi$	$(3.49 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=207
Г103	ηK^+K^-	$< 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=351
Г104	$X(1750)\eta \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$	$(4.8 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=324
Г105	$K_1(1400)^\pm K^\mp$	$< 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=42
Г106	$K_2^*(1430)^\pm K^\mp$	$(7.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=265
Г107	$K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.09 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=194
Г108	ωK^+K^-	$(1.62 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1	DESIG=76

Г ₁₀₉	$\omega K_S^0 K_S^0$	$(7.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=330
Г ₁₁₀	$\omega K^*(892)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.07 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=276
Г ₁₁₁	$\omega K_2^*(1430)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(6.1 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=277
Г ₁₁₂	$\omega \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^0$	$(1.68 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=278
Г ₁₁₃	$\omega \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 K^0$	$(5.8 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=279
Г ₁₁₄	$\omega X(1440) \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=282
Г ₁₁₅	$\omega X(1440) \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(1.09 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=283
Г ₁₁₆	$\omega f_1(1285) \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=284
Г ₁₁₇	$\omega f_1(1285) \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(1.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=285
Г ₁₁₈	$\rho \bar{\rho}$	$(2.94 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.3	DESIG=27
Г ₁₁₉	$n \bar{n}$	$(3.06 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=309
Г ₁₂₀	$\rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0$	$1.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ to } 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=35
Г ₁₂₁	$N(940) \bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0$	$(1.14 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=267
Г ₁₂₂	$N(1440) \bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0$	$(7.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.7	DESIG=261
Г ₁₂₃	$N(1520) \bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0$	$(6.6 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=268
Г ₁₂₄	$N(1535) \bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0$	$(1.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=269
Г ₁₂₅	$N(1650) \bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0$	$(9.1 \pm 3.3) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=270
Г ₁₂₆	$N(1710) \bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0$	$(4 \pm 4) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=367
Г ₁₂₇	$N(1720) \bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0$	$(6.2 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=271
Г ₁₂₈	$N(2100) \bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0$	$(8 \pm 4) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=368
Г ₁₂₉	$N(2300) \bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0$	$(3.6 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=272
Г ₁₃₀	$N(2570) \bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0$	$(1.9 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=273
Г ₁₃₁	$\rho \bar{\rho} \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(6.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=31
Г ₁₃₂	$\rho \bar{\rho} K^+ K^-$	$(2.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=212
Г ₁₃₃	$\rho \bar{\rho} \eta$	$5.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ to } 9.1 \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=200
Г ₁₃₄	$N(1535) \bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \rho \bar{\rho} \eta$	$(4.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=264
Г ₁₃₅	$N(1710) \bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \rho \bar{\rho} \eta$	$(7.8 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=369
Г ₁₃₆	$\rho \bar{\rho} \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(7.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=211
Г ₁₃₇	$\rho \bar{\rho} \rho^0$	$(5.0 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=210
Г ₁₃₈	$\rho \bar{\rho} \omega$	$(6.9 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=77
Г ₁₃₉	$\rho \bar{\rho} \eta'$	$(1.10 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=317
Г ₁₄₀	$\rho \bar{\rho} \phi$	$(6.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=80
Г ₁₄₁	$\phi X(1835) \rightarrow \rho \bar{\rho} \phi$	$< 1.82 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=318
Г ₁₄₂	$\rho \bar{n} \pi^- \text{ or c.c.}$	$(2.48 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=227
Г ₁₄₃	$\rho \bar{n} \pi^- \pi^0$	$(3.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=228
Г ₁₄₄	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$	$(3.81 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.4	DESIG=28
Г ₁₄₅	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^0$	$(1.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=238
Г ₁₄₆	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \eta$	$(2.43 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=239
Г ₁₄₇	$\Lambda(1670) \bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \eta$	$(1.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=336
Г ₁₄₈	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \eta'$	$(7.3 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=346
Г ₁₄₉	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \omega(782)$	$(3.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=340
Г ₁₅₀	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(2.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=213
Г ₁₅₁	$\Lambda \bar{p} K^+$	$(1.00 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=214
Г ₁₅₂	$\Lambda \bar{p} K^*(892)^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(6.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=321
Г ₁₅₃	$\Lambda \bar{p} K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(1.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=215
Г ₁₅₄	$\bar{\Lambda} n K_S^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(8.1 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=237
Г ₁₅₅	$\Delta^{++} \bar{\Delta}^{--}$	$(1.28 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=70
Г ₁₅₆	$\Lambda \bar{\Sigma}^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.40 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=280
Г ₁₅₇	$\Lambda \bar{\Sigma}^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.54 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=281
Г ₁₅₈	$\Lambda \bar{\Sigma}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=326
Г ₁₅₉	$\Lambda \bar{\Sigma}^0$			DESIG=307
Г ₁₆₀	$\Lambda \bar{\Sigma}^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.54 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=358
Г ₁₆₁	$\Lambda(1520) \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.},$ $\Lambda(1520) \rightarrow p K^-$	$(9.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=359
Г ₁₆₂	$\Sigma^0 \bar{p} K^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.67 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=274
Г ₁₆₃	$\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$	$(2.43 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.4	DESIG=223
Г ₁₆₄	$\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$	$(2.35 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1	DESIG=71

Γ ₁₆₅	$\Sigma^- \bar{\Sigma}^+$	$(2.82 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=335
Γ ₁₆₆	$\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- \eta$	$(9.6 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=339
Γ ₁₆₇	$\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- \omega$	$(1.89 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=344
Γ ₁₆₈	$\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0 \omega(782)$	$(1.24 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=357
Γ ₁₆₉	$\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- \phi$	$(3.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=345
Γ ₁₇₀	$\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0 \phi$	$(2.64 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=360
Γ ₁₇₁	$\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^-$	$(8.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=72
Γ ₁₇₂	$\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+$	$(8.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=297
Γ ₁₇₃	$\Sigma(1385)^0 \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^0$	$(6.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=299
Γ ₁₇₄	$\Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+$	$(2.87 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1	DESIG=29
Γ ₁₇₅	$\Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0$	$(2.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	S=4.2	DESIG=224
Γ ₁₇₆	$\Xi(1530)^0 \bar{\Xi}(1530)^0$	$(6.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=73
Γ ₁₇₇	$\Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.67 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=293
Γ ₁₇₈	$\Xi(1690)^- \bar{\Xi}^+ \rightarrow K^- \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(6.2 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-6}$	S=1.5	DESIG=294
Γ ₁₇₉	$\Xi(1820)^- \bar{\Xi}^+ \rightarrow K^- \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.48 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.2	DESIG=295
Γ ₁₈₀	$\Xi(1530)^- \bar{\Xi}(1530)^+$	$(1.15 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=322
Γ ₁₈₁	$\Xi(1530)^- \bar{\Xi}^+$	$(7.0 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=323
Γ ₁₈₂	$\Xi(1530)^0 \bar{\Xi}^0$	$(5.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=331
Γ ₁₈₃	$\Sigma^0 \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=296
Γ ₁₈₄	$\Omega^- K^+ \bar{\Xi}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=355
Γ ₁₈₅	$\bar{\Omega}^+ K_S^0 \Xi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=356
Γ ₁₈₆	$\Omega^- \bar{\Omega}^+$	$(5.66 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.3	DESIG=74
Γ ₁₈₇	$\eta_c \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=229
Γ ₁₈₈	$h_c(1P) \pi^0$	$(7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=254
Γ ₁₈₉	$\Lambda_c^+ \bar{p} e^+ e^- + \text{c.c.}$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=310
Γ ₁₉₀	$\bar{\Theta}(1540) \bar{\Theta}(1540) \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \bar{n} + \text{c.c.}$	$[a] < 8.8 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=195
Γ ₁₉₁	$\bar{\Theta}(1540) K^- \bar{n} \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \bar{n}$	$[a] < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=196
Γ ₁₉₂	$\bar{\Theta}(1540) K_S^0 \bar{p} \rightarrow K_S^0 \bar{p} K^+ n$	$[a] < 7.0 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=197
Γ ₁₉₃	$\bar{\Theta}(1540) K^+ n \rightarrow K_S^0 \bar{p} K^+ n$	$[a] < 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=198
Γ ₁₉₄	$\bar{\Theta}(1540) K_S^0 p \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \bar{n}$	$[a] < 6.0 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=199

Radiative decays

Γ ₁₉₅	$\gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)$	$(9.91 \pm 0.27) \%$	S=1.4	DESIG=56
Γ ₁₉₆	$\gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)$	$(9.85 \pm 0.26) \%$	S=1.2	DESIG=58
Γ ₁₉₇	$\gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)$	$(9.51 \pm 0.22) \%$	S=1.2	DESIG=59
Γ ₁₉₈	$\gamma \eta_c(1S)$	$(3.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=61
Γ ₁₉₉	$\gamma \eta_c(2S)$	$(5.4 \pm 3.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=63
Γ ₂₀₀	$\gamma \pi^0$			DESIG=52
Γ ₂₀₁	$\gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	$(4.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=241
Γ ₂₀₂	$\gamma 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=249
Γ ₂₀₃	$\gamma \eta'(958)$	$(1.24 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=54
Γ ₂₀₄	$\gamma f_2(1270)$	$(2.73 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.8	DESIG=82
Γ ₂₀₅	$\gamma f_0(1370) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$	$(3.1 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=286
Γ ₂₀₆	$\gamma f_0(1500)$	$(9.3 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=287
Γ ₂₀₇	$\gamma f_2'(1525)$	$(3.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=288
Γ ₂₀₈	$\gamma f_0(1710)$	seen		DESIG=236; OUR EST; → UNCHECKED ←
Γ ₂₀₉	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$	$(3.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=83
Γ ₂₁₀	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$	$(6.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=84
Γ ₂₁₁	$\gamma f_0(2100) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$	$(4.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=289
Γ ₂₁₂	$\gamma f_0(2200) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$	$(3.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=290
Γ ₂₁₃	$\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$	$< 5.8 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=291
Γ ₂₁₄	$\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$	$< 9.5 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=292
Γ ₂₁₅	$\gamma \eta$	$(9.2 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=53
Γ ₂₁₆	$\gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(8.7 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=230
Γ ₂₁₇	$\gamma \eta(1405)$	seen		DESIG=231; OUR EST; → UNCHECKED ←
Γ ₂₁₈	$\gamma \eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$	$< 9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=62

NODE=M071;CLUMP=C

Γ_{219}	$\gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.6 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=232
Γ_{220}	$\gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$< 5.0 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=308
Γ_{221}	$\gamma\eta(1475)$	seen		DESIG=233;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{222}	$\gamma\eta(1475) \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}\pi$	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=234
Γ_{223}	$\gamma\eta(1475) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 8.8 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=235
Γ_{224}	$\gamma K^{*0}K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=242
Γ_{225}	$\gamma K^{*0}\bar{K}^0$	$(2.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=243
Γ_{226}	$\gamma K_S^0 K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=244
Γ_{227}	$\gamma K^+ K^-\pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=245
Γ_{228}	$\gamma K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$< 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=248
Γ_{229}	$\gamma 2(K^+K^-)$	$< 4 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=250
Γ_{230}	$\gamma p\bar{p}$	$(3.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$	S=2.0	DESIG=246
Γ_{231}	$\gamma f_2(1950) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$	$(1.20 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=257
Γ_{232}	$\gamma f_2(2150) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$	$(7.2 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=258
Γ_{233}	$\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$	$(4.6 \pm 1.8 \pm 4.0) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=259
Γ_{234}	$\gamma X \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$	$[b] < 2 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=260
Γ_{235}	$\gamma p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-$	$(2.8 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=247
Γ_{236}	$\gamma\gamma$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=51
Γ_{237}	$\gamma\gamma J/\psi$	$(3.1 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=266
Γ_{238}	$e^+e^-\eta'$	$(1.90 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=311
Γ_{239}	$e^+e^-\eta_c(1S)$	$(3.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=338
Γ_{240}	$e^+e^-\chi_{c0}(1P)$	$(1.09 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=300
Γ_{241}	$e^+e^-\chi_{c1}(1P)$	$(8.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=301
Γ_{242}	$e^+e^-\chi_{c2}(1P)$	$(6.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=302
Weak decays				
Γ_{243}	$D^0 e^+e^- + \text{c.c.}$	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	NODE=M071;CLUMP=E DESIG=306
Γ_{244}	$\Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- + \text{c.c.}$	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=337
Other decays				
Γ_{245}	invisible	$< 1.6 \%$	CL=90%	NODE=M071;CLUMP=D DESIG=275

[a] $\Theta(1540)$ is a hypothetical pentaquark state of $1.54 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ mass and a width of less than $25 \text{ MeV}/c^2$.

LINKAGE=THT

[b] For a narrow resonance in the range $2.2 < M(X) < 2.8 \text{ GeV}$.

LINKAGE=NMR

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

A multiparticle fit to $\chi_{c1}(1P)$, $\chi_{c0}(1P)$, $\chi_{c2}(1P)$, and $\psi(2S)$ with 4 total widths, a partial width, 27 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 88 branching ratios uses 258 measurements to determine 50 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 414.2$ for 208 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

x_8	3									
x_9	0	0								
x_{12}	21	13	2							
x_{13}	22	5	1	28						
x_{14}	11	6	1	44	11					
x_{118}	0	0	0	3	2	1				
x_{195}	0	0	0	2	0	1	0			
x_{196}	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	0		
x_{197}	1	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	
Γ	-85	-4	-1	-29	-31	-15	-4	0	-1	-1
	x_7	x_8	x_9	x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{14}	x_{118}	x_{195}	x_{196}	x_{197}

FIT INFORMATION

A multiparticle fit to $\eta_c(2S)$ and $\psi(2S)$ with 4 branching ratios uses 5 measurements to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 2.6$ for 2 degrees of freedom.

FIT INFORMATION

A multiparticle fit to $\eta_c(1S)$, $J/\psi(1S)$, $\psi(2S)$, $h_c(1P)$, and $B^\pm$ with the total width, 10 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 38 branching ratios uses 115 measurements to determine 19 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 215.5$ for 96 degrees of freedom.

$\psi(2S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M071225

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons})$

Γ_1

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
258 ± 26	BAI	02B	BES2 e^+e^-
224 ± 56	LUTH	75	MRK1 e^+e^-

NODE=M071W3
NODE=M071W3

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$

Γ_7

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.33 ± 0.04 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
2.29 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE			
$2.23 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.02$	¹ ABLIKIM	15V	BES3 $4.0-4.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
$2.338 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.096$	ABLIKIM	08B	BES2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
$2.330 \pm 0.036 \pm 0.110$	ABLIKIM	06L	BES2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
2.44 ± 0.21	² BAI	02B	BES2 e^+e^-
2.14 ± 0.21	ALEXANDER	89	RVUE See Υ mini-review
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$2.279 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.042$	³ ANASHIN	18	KEDR e^+e^-
$2.282 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.042$	⁴ ANASHIN	18	KEDR e^+e^-
2.0 ± 0.3	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP e^+e^-
2.1 ± 0.3	⁵ LUTH	75	MRK1 e^+e^-

NODE=M071W1
NODE=M071W1

OCCUR=2

¹ ABLIKIM 15V reports $2.213 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.099$ keV from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.95 \pm 0.45) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.68 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071W1;LINKAGE=A

² From a simultaneous fit to e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$, and hadronic channel, assuming $\Gamma_e = \Gamma_\mu = \Gamma_\tau/0.38847$.

NODE=M071W;LINKAGE=BB

³ Combining $\Gamma_{e^+e^-} \cdot B(\mu^+\mu^-)$ from ANASHIN 18 with $\Gamma_{e^+e^-} \cdot B(\text{hadrons})$ from ANASHIN 12 and assuming lepton universality.

NODE=M071W1;LINKAGE=B

⁴ From the sum of $\Gamma_{e^+e^-} \cdot B(\text{hadrons})$ from ANASHIN 12, $\Gamma_{e^+e^-} \cdot B(e^+e^-)$ and $\Gamma_{e^+e^-} \cdot B(\mu^+\mu^-)$ from ANASHIN 18, and $\Gamma_{e^+e^-} \cdot B(\tau^+\tau^-)$ from ANASHIN 07.

NODE=M071W1;LINKAGE=C

⁵ From a simultaneous fit to e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$, and hadronic channels assuming $\Gamma(e^+e^-) = \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$.

NODE=M071W1;LINKAGE=F

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$

Γ_{236}

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<43	90	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP e^+e^-

NODE=M071W51
NODE=M071W51

$\psi(2S) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M071230

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into e^+e^- and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel(i) in the e^+e^- annihilation. We list only data that have not been used to determine the partial width $\Gamma(i)$ or the branching ratio $\Gamma(i)/\text{total}$.

NODE=M071230

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_1\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.233 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.042$	¹ ANASHIN	12	KEDR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2.2 ± 0.4	ABRAMS	75	MRK1 e^+e^-

NODE=M071G3
NODE=M071G3

¹ ANASHIN 12 reports the value $2.233 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.020$ keV, where the third uncertainty is due to assumptions on the interference between the resonance and hadronic continuum. We combined the two systematic uncertainties.

$\Gamma(K_S^0 \text{ anything}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_6\Gamma_7/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.3738$\pm$0.0067$\pm$0.0200	ABLIKIM	21S	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \text{ anything}$	

NODE=M071G3;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M071P30
NODE=M071P30

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_7\Gamma_7/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
21.2$\pm$0.7$\pm$1.2	¹ ANASHIN	18	KEDR	e^+e^-	

NODE=M071P14
NODE=M071P14

¹ From the average of nine scans of the $\psi(2S)$.

NODE=M071P14;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_8\Gamma_7/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
19.3$\pm$0.3$\pm$0.5	¹ ANASHIN	18	KEDR	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	

NODE=M071P13
NODE=M071P13

¹ From the average of nine scans of the $\psi(2S)$.

NODE=M071P13;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_9\Gamma_7/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

9.0 $\pm$ 2.6 79 ¹ ANASHIN 07 KEDR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$

NODE=M071G9
NODE=M071G9

¹ Using $\psi(2S)$ total width of 337 ± 13 keV. Systematic errors not evaluated.

NODE=M071G9;LINKAGE=AN

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{12}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

0.808 $\pm$ 0.014 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

0.836 $\pm$ 0.025 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.

0.78 $\pm$ 0.12 $\pm$ 0.07 ¹ LEES 23 BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

0.837 $\pm$ 0.028 $\pm$ 0.005 ² LEES 12E BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-\gamma$

0.852 $\pm$ 0.010 $\pm$ 0.026 19.5k ADAM 06 CLEO 3.773 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)$

0.68 $\pm$ 0.09 ³ BAI 98E BES e^+e^-

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.93 $\pm$ 0.08 $\pm$ 0.03 256 ⁴ AUBERT 07AU BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

0.755 $\pm$ 0.048 $\pm$ 0.004 544 ⁵ AUBERT 05D BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$

NODE=M071G1
NODE=M071G1

NODE=M071G1;LINKAGE=B

¹ LEES 23 reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)] = (4.14 \pm 0.55 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (5.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² LEES 12E reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)] = (49.9 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.961 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071G1;LINKAGE=LE

³ The value of $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ quoted in BAI 98E is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-2}$ and $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = 0.1203 \pm 0.0038$. Recalculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0020$.

NODE=M071G1;LINKAGE=A


⁴ AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 0.0186 \pm 0.0012 \pm 0.0011$ keV which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (2.00 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071G1;LINKAGE=UB

⁵ AUBERT 05D reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)] = 0.0450 \pm 0.0018 \pm 0.0022$ keV which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.961 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Superseded by LEES 12E.

NODE=M071G1;LINKAGE=AU

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
0.836±0.025 (Error scaled by 1.3)



$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{13}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.425±0.012 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.4.

0.413±0.019 OUR AVERAGE

0.45 ± 0.13 ± 0.02	1	LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$
0.45 ± 0.12 ± 0.04	2	LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$
0.411 ± 0.008 ± 0.018	3.6k	ADAM	06	CLEO	3.773 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.51 ± 0.09 ± 0.02	142	3 LEES	18E	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

¹ LEES 23 reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0)] = (1.31 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3} \text{ keV}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0) = (2.88 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² LEES 23 reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp)] = (2.36 \pm 0.59 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3} \text{ keV}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp) = (5.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ LEES 18E reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 0.0101 \pm 0.0015 \pm 0.0011 \text{ keV}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (2.00 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{14}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

78.6± 1.8 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

87 ± 9 OUR AVERAGE

83 ± 25 ± 5	14	1 AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
88 ± 6 ± 7	291 ± 24	ADAM	06	CLEO	3.773 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)$

¹ AUBERT 07AU quotes $\Gamma_{ee}^{\psi(2S)} \cdot B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta) \cdot B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \cdot B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = 1.11 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.07 \text{ eV}$.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{15}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV) CL% EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<8	90	<37	ADAM	06	CLEO	3.773 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)$

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{22}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

29.7±2.2±1.8	410	AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\gamma$

NODE=M071G6
NODE=M071G6

OCCUR=2

NODE=M071G6;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M071G6;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M071G6;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M071G7
NODE=M071G7

NODE=M071G7;LINKAGE=UB

NODE=M071G8
NODE=M071G8

NODE=M071G01
NODE=M071G01

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{24}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
12.4±1.8±1.2	177	LEES	18E BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M071P16
NODE=M071P16

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-4\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{26}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.3±2.3±0.5	18	LEES	21C BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}(\pi^+\pi^-4\pi^0)$

NODE=M071P36
NODE=M071P36

$$\Gamma(\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{25}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6.2	90	LEES	18E BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M071P18
NODE=M071P18

$$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{28}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11.2±3.3±1.3	43	AUBERT	06D BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\gamma$

NODE=M071G4
NODE=M071G4

$$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{30}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
33±5±5	14k	LEES	21 BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M071P31
NODE=M071P31

$$\Gamma(\eta 2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{33}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.87±1.41±0.01		16	¹ AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta\gamma$

NODE=M071G03
NODE=M071G03

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<7	90	14k	² LEES	21 BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$

¹ AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta 2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = 1.13 \pm 0.55 \pm 0.08$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071G03;LINKAGE=UB

² LEES 21 reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta 2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0)] < 2.3$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = 32.56 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M071G03;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{34}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.85	90	LEES	18E BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta\gamma$

NODE=M071P15
NODE=M071P15

$$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{35}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	¹ LEES	21C BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}(\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0\gamma\gamma)$

NODE=M071P40
NODE=M071P40

¹ LEES 21C reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.9$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M071P40;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{36}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	14k	¹ LEES	21 BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M071P33
NODE=M071P33

¹ LEES 21 reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.9$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M071P33;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{41}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.01±0.84±0.02	37	¹ AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

NODE=M071G02
NODE=M071G02

¹ AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 2.69 \pm 0.73 \pm 0.16$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071G02;LINKAGE=UB

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{42}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
20.2±5.6±0.1	14k	¹ LEES	21 BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$

¹ LEES 21 reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 18 \pm 4 \pm 3$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071P32
NODE=M071P32

NODE=M071P32;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{45}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.58±0.82±0.02	33	¹ LEES	18E BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0\gamma$

¹ LEES 18E reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 2.3 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.2$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071P17
NODE=M071P17

NODE=M071P17;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{46}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.8	90	¹ LEES	21C BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}(\pi^+\pi^-4\pi^0)$

¹ LEES 21C reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] < 1.6$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = 89.2 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M071P39
NODE=M071P39

NODE=M071P39;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{51}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.54±0.18±0.01	19	¹ LEES	12F BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.56±0.22±0.01	10	² AUBERT,BE 06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

¹ LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-)] = 0.27 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.02$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT,BE 06D reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-)] = 0.28 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.02$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071G10
NODE=M071G10

NODE=M071G10;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M071G10;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(\phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{52}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.339±0.126±0.004	12	¹ LEES	12F BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.339±0.165±0.004	6±3	² AUBERT	07AK BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$

¹ LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-)] = 0.17 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.02$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-)] = 0.17 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.02$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (50.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071G13
NODE=M071G13

NODE=M071G13;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M071G13;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{66}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.147±0.035±0.005	66	¹ LEES	15J BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\gamma$
0.197±0.035±0.005	66	² LEES	15J BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\gamma$
0.35 ±0.14 ±0.03	11	³ LEES	13Q BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ $\sin\phi > 0$.

² $\sin\phi < 0$.

³ Interference with non-resonant K^+K^- production not taken into account.

NODE=M071G06
NODE=M071G06

OCCUR=2

NODE=M071G06;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071G06;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M071G06;LINKAGE=BA

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{67} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.92±0.30±0.06	133	LEES	12F BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.56±0.42±0.16	85	¹ AUBERT	07AK BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$
¹ Superseded by LEES 12F.				

NODE=M071G12
NODE=M071G12

NODE=M071G12;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{71} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.7	90	8	LEES	17A BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

NODE=M071G15
NODE=M071G15

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{72} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.60±0.31±0.03	17	LEES	12F BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$

NODE=M071G08
NODE=M071G08

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{73} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.54±0.63±0.15	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M071P47
NODE=M071P47

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{74} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.0±1.4±0.4	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M071P48
NODE=M071P48

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{75} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.1±0.7±0.4	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M071P49
NODE=M071P49

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{76} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.4±1.3±0.3	32	AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$

NODE=M071G04
NODE=M071G04

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{83} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.92±1.27±0.15	14	LEES	17A BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

NODE=M071G14
NODE=M071G14

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^*(892)^0 \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{84} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.71±0.29±0.07	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M071P54
NODE=M071P54

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \rho(770)^\mp \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{85} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.6	90	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M071P58
NODE=M071P58

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \rho(770)^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{86} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.6	90	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M071P59
NODE=M071P59

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K^*(892)^- \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{88} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.46±4.05±0.90	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M071P56
NODE=M071P56

$$\Gamma(K^\mp K^*(892)^\pm \pi^0 \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{87} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.62±0.66±0.15	LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M071P55
NODE=M071P55

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_L^0 \eta) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{89} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.14±1.08±0.16	16	LEES	17A BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \eta \gamma$

NODE=M071G16
NODE=M071G16

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{92} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.05 ± 1.80 ± 0.01	7	¹ AUBERT 07AU BABR	10.6	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta \gamma$

¹ AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = 1.2 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ eV}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071G05
NODE=M071G05

NODE=M071G05;LINKAGE=UB

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{93} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.4 ± 2.1 ± 0.3	26	AUBERT 06D BABR	10.6	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$

NODE=M071G5
NODE=M071G5

$$\Gamma(2(K^+ K^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{96} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.22 ± 0.10 ± 0.02	13	LEES 12F BABR	10.6	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+ K^- \gamma$

NODE=M071G07
NODE=M071G07

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{118} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.686 ± 0.024 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			

0.63 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

0.67 ± 0.12 ± 0.02	43	¹ LEES 130 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p} \gamma$
0.74 ± 0.07 ± 0.04	142	² LEES 13Y BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p} \gamma$
0.579 ± 0.038 ± 0.036	2.7k	ANDREOTTI 07 E835	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+ e^-, J/\psi X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.70 ± 0.17 ± 0.03	22	³ AUBERT 06B BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p} \gamma$

¹ ISR photon reconstructed in the detector

² ISR photon undetected

³ Superseded by LEES 130

NODE=M071G2
NODE=M071G2

NODE=M071G2;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M071G2;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M071G2;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{144} \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.5 ± 0.4 ± 0.1	AUBERT 07BD BABR	10.6	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda} \gamma$

NODE=M071G11
NODE=M071G11

$\psi(2S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M071235

$$\Gamma(\text{hadrons}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.9785±0.0013 OUR AVERAGE			
0.9779±0.0015	¹ BAI	02B BES2	e ⁺ e ⁻
0.981 ±0.003	¹ LUTH	75 MRK1	e ⁺ e ⁻

¹ Includes cascade decay into $J/\psi(1S)$.

NODE=M071R3
NODE=M071R3

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=P

$$\Gamma(\text{virtual } \gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2 / \Gamma$$

<i>VALUE</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>
0.0179±0.0004	¹ LIAO	23 RVUE	e^+e^-
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.0166±0.0010	^{2,3} SETH	04 RVUE	e^+e^-
0.0199±0.0019	² BAI	02B BES2	e^+e^-
0.029 ±0.004	² LUTH	75 MRK1	e^+e^-

¹ Using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = (0.794 \pm 0.017)\%$ and $R = 2.26 \pm 0.01$ determined by a fit to data from Mark-I, DM2, BESII, KEDR, and BESIII.

² Included in $\Gamma(\text{hadrons}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

³ Using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = (0.73 \pm 0.04)\%$ from RPP-2002 and $R = 2.28 \pm 0.04$ determined by a fit to data from BAI 00 and BAI 02c. Superseded by LIAO 23.

NODE=M071R5
NODE=M071R5

NODE=M071R5;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=Z
NODE=M071R5;LINKAGE=SE

$$\Gamma(g g g) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3 / \Gamma$$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
10.58±1.62	2.9 M	¹ LIBBY	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Calculated using $\Gamma(\gamma g g) / \Gamma(g g g) = 0.097 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.016$ from LIBBY 09, $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow X J/\psi)$ relative and absolute branching fractions from MENDEZ 08, $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c)$ from MITCHELL 09, and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{virtual } \gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$, $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{cJ})$, and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$ from PDG 08. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is largely uncorrelated with that of $\Gamma(\gamma g g) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ LIBBY 09 measurement.

NODE=M071S43
NODE=M071S43

NODE=M071S43;LINKAGE=LI

$\Gamma(\gamma g g)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.025±0.288	200 k	¹ LIBBY	09	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$

¹ Calculated using $\Gamma(\gamma g g)/\Gamma(g g g) = 0.097 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.016$ from LIBBY 09. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is largely uncorrelated with that of $\Gamma(g g g)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ LIBBY 09 measurement.

NODE=M071S44
NODE=M071S44

NODE=M071S44;LINKAGE=LI

 $\Gamma(\gamma g g)/\Gamma(g g g)$
 Γ_4/Γ_3

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.7±2.6±1.6	2.9 M	LIBBY	09	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow (\gamma +) \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M071S45
NODE=M071S45

 $\Gamma(\text{light hadrons})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.154±0.015	¹ MENDEZ	08	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.169±0.026 ² ADAM 05A CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

¹ Uses $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X)$ from MENDEZ 08 and other branching fractions from PDG 07.

² Uses $B(J/\psi X)$ from ADAM 05A, $B(\chi_{cJ}\gamma)$, $B(\eta_c\gamma)$ from ATHAR 04 and $B(\ell^+\ell^-)$ from PDG 04. Superseded by MENDEZ 08.

NODE=M071S27
NODE=M071S27

NODE=M071S27;LINKAGE=ME
NODE=M071S27;LINKAGE=AD

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
79.3± 2.2 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. $[(79.4 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]		

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

88 ±13 ¹ FELDMAN 77 RVUE e^+e^-

¹ From an overall fit assuming equal partial widths for e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$. For a measurement of the ratio see the entry $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ below. Includes LUTH 75, HILGER 75, BURMESTER 77.

NODE=M071R1
NODE=M071R1

NEW

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=L

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID
80±6 OUR FIT	

NODE=M071R2
NODE=M071R2

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(e^+e^-)$
 Γ_8/Γ_7

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.00±0.08 OUR FIT			

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.89±0.16 BOYARSKI 75C MRK1 e^+e^-

NODE=M071R4
NODE=M071R4

 $\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
31 ±4 OUR FIT			
30.8±2.1±3.8	¹ ABLIKIM	06W	BES $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

¹ Computed using PDG 02 value of $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) = 0.9810 \pm 0.0030$ to estimate the total number of $\psi(2S)$ events.

NODE=M071R75
NODE=M071R75

NODE=M071R75;LINKAGE=AB

———— DECAYS INTO $J/\psi(1S)$ AND ANYTHING ————

NODE=M071305

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma = (\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{13} + \Gamma_{14} + 0.338\Gamma_{196} + 0.191\Gamma_{197})/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.614 ±0.007 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. $[0.615 \pm 0.007 \text{ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor} = 1.3]$			

0.55 ±0.07 OUR AVERAGE

0.51 ±0.12 BRANDELIK 79C DASP $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-X$

0.57 ±0.08 ABRAMS 75B MRK1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.644 ±0.006 ±0.016 ¹ ABLIKIM 21Z BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-X$

0.6254±0.0016±0.0155 1.1M ² MENDEZ 08 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-X$

0.5950±0.0015±0.0190 151k ADAM 05A CLEO Repl. by MENDEZ 08

¹ From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$ cross section between 3.645 and 3.891 GeV, with $\Gamma(ee)$ and Γ fixed to the PDG 20 values of the cross particle fit which are correlated to "OUR FIT" value for $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X)$.

² Not independent from other measurements of MENDEZ 08.

NODE=M071R10
NODE=M071R10
NEW

NODE=M071R10;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M071R10;LINKAGE=ME

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})$


Γ_7/Γ_{10}

NODE=M071R72
NODE=M071R72

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.291±0.035 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3.				
1.28 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.				
1.22 ±0.02 ±0.05	5097 ± 73	¹ ANDREOTTI	05 E835	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-$
1.28 ±0.03 ±0.02		¹ AMBROGIANI	00A E835	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
1.44 ±0.08 ±0.02		¹ ARMSTRONG	97 E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

¹ Using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 0.0593 \pm 0.0010$.

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=7A



$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})$

Γ_8/Γ_{10}

NODE=M071R74
NODE=M071R74

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0130±0.0010 OUR FIT			
0.014 ±0.003	HILGER	75 SPEC	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{11}/Γ

NODE=M071R18
NODE=M071R18

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
0.254±0.005 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.6.	

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$


Γ_{12}/Γ

NODE=M071R12
NODE=M071R12
NEW

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.3468±0.0034 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [0.3469 ± 0.0034 OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]				
0.348 ±0.005 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.				
0.3498±0.0002±0.0045	20M	ABLIKIM	13R BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
0.3504±0.0007±0.0077	565k	MENDEZ	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\pi^+\pi^-$
0.323 ±0.014		BAI	02B BES2	e^+e^-
0.32 ±0.04		ABRAMS	75B MRK1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.3354±0.0014±0.0110	60k	¹ ADAM	05A CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08

¹ Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A.

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=AD



$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$

Γ_7/Γ_{12}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0229±0.0006 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		
0.0252±0.0028±0.0011	¹ AUBERT	02B BABR	e^+e^-
¹ Using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 0.0593 \pm 0.0010$.			

NODE=M071R73
NODE=M071R73

NODE=M071R73;LINKAGE=7A

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$

Γ_8/Γ_{12}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0230±0.0017 OUR FIT			
0.0228±0.0018 OUR AVERAGE			
0.0230±0.0020±0.0012	¹ AAIJ	16Y LHCB	$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$
0.0216±0.0026±0.0014	² AUBERT	02B BABR	e^+e^-
0.0327±0.0077±0.0072	² GRIBUSHIN	96 FMPS	$515\pi^-Be \rightarrow 2\mu X$
¹ Using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.961 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$.			
² Using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.88 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$.			

NODE=M071R63
NODE=M071R63

NODE=M071R63;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=Q2

$\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$

Γ_9/Γ_{12}

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.8 ±1.1 OUR FIT			
8.73±1.39±1.57	BAI	02 BES	e^+e^-

NODE=M071R76
NODE=M071R76

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})$

Γ_{12}/Γ_{10}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.564 ±0.004 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.7.			
0.554 ±0.008 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.			
0.5604±0.0009±0.0062	565k	MENDEZ	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\pi^+\pi^-$
0.525 ±0.009 ±0.022	4k	ANDREOTTI	05 E835	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$
0.536 ±0.007 ±0.016	20k	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	04B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$
0.496 ±0.037		ARMSTRONG	97 E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.5637±0.0027±0.0046	60k	ADAM	05A CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08


NODE=M071R70
NODE=M071R70

¹ From a fit to the J/ψ recoil mass spectra.

² ABLIKIM 04B quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X) / B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$.

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=AL

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
0.554±0.008 (Error scaled by 1.3)



$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{neutrals})/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$

$$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_{12} = (0.9761\Gamma_{13} + 0.719\Gamma_{14} + 0.338\Gamma_{196} + 0.191\Gamma_{197})/\Gamma_{12}$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
0.732±0.014 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.7. [0.732±0.013 OUR 2025 FIT
 Scale factor = 1.7]

0.73 ±0.09 TANENBAUM 76 MRK1 e^+e^-

NODE=M071R11
 NODE=M071R11
 NEW

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})$

Γ_{13}/Γ_{10}

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
0.297 ±0.005 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.7.
0.320 ±0.012 OUR AVERAGE
 0.300 ±0.008 ±0.022 1655 ± 44 ANDREOTTI 05 E835 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$
 0.328 ±0.013 ±0.008 AMBROGIANI 00A E835 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
 0.323 ±0.033 ARMSTRONG 97 E760 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
 • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
 0.2829±0.0012±0.0056 61k MENDEZ 08 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-2\pi^0$
 0.2776±0.0025±0.0043 13.4k ADAM 05A CLEO Repl. by MENDEZ 08

NODE=M071R69
 NODE=M071R69

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$

Γ_{13}/Γ_{12}

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
0.526 ±0.013 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.7.
0.513 ±0.022 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.2.
 0.5047±0.0022±0.0102 61k MENDEZ 08 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-2\pi^0$
 0.570 ±0.009 ±0.026 14k ¹ ABLIKIM 04B BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$
 • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
 0.4924±0.0047±0.0086 73k ^{2,3} ADAM 05A CLEO Repl. by MENDEZ 08
 0.571 ±0.018 ±0.044 ⁴ ANDREOTTI 05 E835 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$
 0.53 ±0.06 TANENBAUM 76 MRK1 e^+e^-
 0.64 ±0.15 ⁵ HILGER 75 SPEC e^+e^-

NODE=M071R14
 NODE=M071R14

¹ From a fit to the J/ψ recoil mass spectra.

² Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A.

³ Using 13,217 $J/\psi\pi^0\pi^0$ and 60,010 $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ events.

⁴ Not independent from other values reported by ANDREOTTI 05.

⁵ Ignoring the $J/\psi(1S)\eta$ and $J/\psi(1S)\gamma\gamma$ decays.

NODE=M071R14;LINKAGE=AB
 NODE=M071R14;LINKAGE=AD
 NODE=M071R14;LINKAGE=AM
 NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=AN
 NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=I

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
33.7 ± 0.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
32.9 ± 1.7 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.
 33.75 ± 0.17±0.86 68.2k ABLIKIM 12M BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-2\gamma$
 29.8 ± 0.9 ±2.3 5.7k BAI 04I BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma\gamma$
 25.5 ± 2.9 386 ¹ OREGLIA 80 CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi2\gamma$
 45 ±12 17 ² BRANDELIK 79B DASP $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi2\gamma$
 42 ± 6 164 ² BARTEL 78B CNTR e^+e^-


NODE=M071R15
 NODE=M071R15

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

34.3 ± 0.4 ± 0.9	18.4k	³ MENDEZ	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \eta$
32.5 ± 0.6 ± 1.1	2.8k	⁴ ADAM	05A	CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08
43 ± 8	44	TANENBAUM	76	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

- ¹ Recalculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0020$.
² Recalculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 0.0588 \pm 0.0010$.
³ Not independent from other measurements of MENDEZ 08.
⁴ Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A.

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=3Q
NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=2Q
NODE=M071R15;LINKAGE=ME
NODE=M071R15;LINKAGE=AD



$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})$ Γ_{14}/Γ_{10}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0549±0.0009 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
0.058 ±0.007 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.
0.050 ±0.006 ±0.003	298 ± 20	ANDREOTTI 05	E835	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$
0.072 ±0.009		AMBROGIANI 00A	E835	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
0.061 ±0.015		ARMSTRONG 97	E760	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •


0.0549±0.0006±0.0009	18.4k	¹ MENDEZ	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \eta$
0.0546±0.0010±0.0007	2.8k	ADAM	05A	CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08

- ¹ Not independent from other measurements of MENDEZ 08.

NODE=M071R68
NODE=M071R68

OCCUR=2

NODE=M071R68;LINKAGE=ME



$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$

Γ_{14}/Γ_{12}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0972±0.0016 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
0.0979±0.0018 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0979±0.0010±0.0015	18.4k	MENDEZ	08	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\eta$
0.098 ±0.005 ±0.010	2k	¹ ABLIKIM	04B	BES $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$
0.091 ±0.021		² HIMEL	80	MRK2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.0968±0.0019±0.0013	2.8k	³ ADAM	05A	CLEO Repl. by MENDEZ 08
0.095 ±0.007 ±0.007		⁴ ANDREOTTI	05	E835 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$

¹ From a fit to the J/ψ recoil mass spectra.

² The value for $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\eta)$ reported in HIMEL 80 is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (33 \pm 3)\%$ and $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = 0.138 \pm 0.018$. Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = (0.1181 \pm 0.0020)$.

³ Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A.

⁴ Not independent from other values reported by ANDREOTTI 05.

NODE=M071R71
NODE=M071R71

NODE=M071R71;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=8H

NODE=M071R71;LINKAGE=AD
NODE=M071R71;LINKAGE=AN

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
12.68±0.32 OUR AVERAGE				
12.6 ±0.2 ±0.3	4.1k	ABLIKIM	12M	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-2\gamma$
13.3 ±0.8 ±0.3	530	MENDEZ	08	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-2\gamma$
14.3 ±1.4 ±1.2	280	BAI	04i	BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma\gamma$
14 ±6	7	HIMEL	80	MRK2 e^+e^-
9 ±2 ±1	23	¹ OREGLIA	80	CBAL $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
13 ±1 ±1	88	ADAM	05A	CLEO Repl. by MENDEZ 08

¹ Recalculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0020$.

NODE=M071R16;LINKAGE=3Q

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})$

$\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma_{10} = \Gamma_{15}/(\Gamma_{12}+\Gamma_{13}+\Gamma_{14}+0.338\Gamma_{196}+0.191\Gamma_{197})$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.213±0.012±0.003	527	¹ MENDEZ	08	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma\gamma$
0.22 ±0.02 ±0.01		² ADAM	05A	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma\gamma$

¹ Not independent from other values reported by MENDEZ 08. Supersedes ADAM 05A.

² Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A.

NODE=M071S25
NODE=M071S25

NODE=M071S25;LINKAGE=ME
NODE=M071S25;LINKAGE=AD

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{15}/Γ_{12}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.380 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.005$	527	¹ MENDEZ	08	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma\gamma$
$0.39 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.01$		² ADAM	05A	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma\gamma$

¹ Not independent from other values reported by MENDEZ 08. Supersedes ADAM 05A.² Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A.

NODE=M071S26
 NODE=M071S26

NODE=M071S26;LINKAGE=ME
 NODE=M071S26;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=M071310

HADRONIC DECAYS

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.78 ± 0.26 OUR AVERAGE

$0.76 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.06$	30	¹ METREVELI	12		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
8 ± 5		BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 2.1	90	DOBBS	06A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
< 5	90	FELDMAN	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. Using $\psi(3770) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ for continuum subtraction.

NODE=M071R20
 NODE=M071R20

NODE=M071R20;LINKAGE=ME

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.01 ± 0.17 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.


$2.14 \pm 0.03^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$	7k	¹ ABLIKIM	12H	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
$1.81 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.19$	260 ± 19	² ABLIKIM	05J	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
$1.88^{+0.16}_{-0.15} \pm 0.28$	194	ADAM	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
0.85 ± 0.46	4	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ From $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ events directly. The quoted systematic error includes a contribution of 4% (added in quadrature) from the uncertainty on the number of $\psi(2S)$ events.² From a PW analysis of $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$.

NODE=M071R36
 NODE=M071R36

NODE=M071R36;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=AK

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
2.01 ± 0.17 (Error scaled by 1.7) $\Gamma(\rho(770)\pi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.32 ± 0.12 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8.

$0.51 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.11$		¹ ABLIKIM	05J	BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \rho(770)\pi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$0.24^{+0.08}_{-0.07} \pm 0.02$	22	ADAM	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071R26
 NODE=M071R26

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.83	90	1	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2	e^+e^-
<10	90		BARTEL	76	CNTR	e^+e^-
<10	90	2	ABRAMS	75	MRK1	e^+e^-

¹ From a PW analysis of $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$.

² Final state $\rho^0\pi^0$.

NODE=M071R26;LINKAGE=AK
NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=N

$\Gamma(\rho(2150)\pi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$1.94 \pm 0.25^{+1.15}_{-0.34}$ ¹ ABLIKIM 05J BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \rho(2150)\pi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M071R57
NODE=M071R57

¹ From a PW analysis of $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$.

NODE=M071R57;LINKAGE=AK

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.4 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.2.

2.2 $\pm 0.2 \pm 0.2$ 308 BRIERE 05 CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

4.5 ± 1.0 TANENBAUM 78 MRK1 e^+e^-

NODE=M071R27
NODE=M071R27

$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.2 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.4.

2.0 $\pm 0.2 \pm 0.4$ 285.5 BRIERE 05 CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

4.2 ± 1.5 TANENBAUM 78 MRK1 e^+e^-

NODE=M071R33
NODE=M071R33

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

29 ± 10 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 4.7. See the ideogram below.

24.9 $\pm 0.7 \pm 3.6$ 2173 ABLIKIM 07D BES2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

127 $\pm 12 \pm 2$ 410 ¹ AUBERT 07AU BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0\gamma$

26.1 $\pm 0.7 \pm 3.0$ 1703 BRIERE 05 CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$


30 ± 8 42 FRANKLIN 83 MRK2 e^+e^-

NODE=M071R22
NODE=M071R22

¹ AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)] = (297 \pm 22 \pm 18) \times 10^{-4}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 2.33 \pm 0.04$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071R22;LINKAGE=UB

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
29 ± 10 (Error scaled by 4.7)



$\Gamma(\rho a_2(1320))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.55 \pm 0.73 \pm 0.47$		112 ± 31	BAI	04C BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<2.3		90	BAI	98J BES	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M071R65
NODE=M071R65

 $\Gamma(3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.5 ± 2.0 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.8.			
$5.45 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.87$	671	ABLIKIM	05H BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1.5 ± 1.0		¹ TANENBAUM	78 MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M071R32
NODE=M071R32

¹ Assuming entirely strong decay.

NODE=M071R32;LINKAGE=K

 $\Gamma(3(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
35 ± 16	6	FRANKLIN	83 MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M071R37
NODE=M071R37

 $\Gamma(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.6	90	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$

NODE=M071S06
NODE=M071S06

 $\Gamma(\eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{32}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>EVTs</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
9.5±0.7±1.5		¹ BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadr}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
10.3±0.8±1.4	201.7	² BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta 3\pi(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$
8.1±1.4±1.6	50.0	² BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta 3\pi(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi)$

NODE=M071S07
NODE=M071S07

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

¹ Average of $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi$.

² Not independent from other values reported by BRIERE 05.

NODE=M071S07;LINKAGE=BR
NODE=M071S07;LINKAGE=BI

 $\Gamma(\rho \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{37}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>EVTs</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.2 ±0.6 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
3.0 $^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$ ±0.2	18	ADAM	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
1.78 $^{+0.67}_{-0.62}$ ±0.17	13	ABLIKIM	04L BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071R94
NODE=M071R94

 $\Gamma(\eta' \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{38}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.5 \pm 1.6 \pm 1.3$	12.8	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadr}$

NODE=M071S08
NODE=M071S08

 $\Gamma(\eta' \rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{39}/Γ

<i>VALUE</i> (units 10^{-5})	<i>EVTs</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>
$1.87^{+1.64}_{-1.11} \pm 0.33$	2	ABLIKIM	04L BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$1.02 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.24$	143	¹ ABLIKIM	17AK BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
$0.569 \pm 0.128 \pm 0.236$	80	² ABLIKIM	17AK BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071R93
NODE=M071R93

OCCUR=2

¹ Destructive-interference solution of a partial wave analysis of the decay $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$.

² Constructive-interference solution of a partial wave analysis of the decay $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$.

NODE=M071R93;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M071R93;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\omega \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{40}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>EVTs</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.1 $\pm$ 0.6 OUR AVERAGE				
2.5 ^{+1.2} _{-1.0} $\pm$ 0.2	14	ADAM	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
1.87 ^{+0.68} _{-0.62} $\pm$ 0.28	14	ABLIKIM	04L BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071R92
NODE=M071R92

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$


VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.3±1.2 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.		
8.4±0.5±1.2	386	ABLIKIM	07D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
12.2±2.2±0.7	37	¹ AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
8.2±0.5±0.7	391	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
4.8±0.6±0.7	100 ± 22	² BAI	03B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
¹ AUBERT 07AU quotes $\Gamma_{ee}^{\psi(2S)} \cdot B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-) \cdot B(\omega \rightarrow 3\pi) = 2.69 \pm 0.73 \pm 0.16$ eV.				
² Normalized to $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) = 0.305 \pm 0.016$.				

NODE=M071R77
NODE=M071R77

NODE=M071R77;LINKAGE=UB

NODE=M071R77;LINKAGE=B3

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
7.3±1.2 (Error scaled by 2.1)

 $\Gamma(b_1^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.0 ±0.6 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
5.1 ±0.6 ±0.8	202	ABLIKIM	07D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
4.18 ^{+0.43} _{-0.42} ±0.92	170	ADAM	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
3.2 ±0.6 ±0.5	61 ± 11	^{1,2} BAI	03B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5.2 ±0.8 ±1.0		¹ BAI	99C BES	Repl. by BAI 03B
¹ Assuming $B(b_1 \rightarrow \omega\pi)=1$.				
² Normalized to $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) = 0.305 \pm 0.016$.				

NODE=M071R40
NODE=M071R40

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=M1
NODE=M071R40;LINKAGE=B3

 $\Gamma(\omega f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.2 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
2.3 ±0.5 ±0.4		57	ABLIKIM	07D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
2.05±0.41±0.38		62 ± 12	BAI	04C BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<1.5	90		¹ BAI	03B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
<1.7	90		BAI	98J BES	Repl. by BAI 03B
¹ Normalized to $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) = 0.305 \pm 0.016$.					

NODE=M071R64
NODE=M071R64

NODE=M071R64;LINKAGE=B3

 $\Gamma(b_1^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.35^{+0.47}_{-0.42} ±0.40	45	ADAM	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

 Γ_{47}/Γ

NODE=M071R21
NODE=M071R21

$\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.1	90	ADAM	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<3.1	90	ABLIKIM	04K BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

 Γ_{48}/Γ

 NODE=M071R95
 NODE=M071R95

$\Gamma(\omega\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.2^{+2.4}_{-2.0} \pm 0.7$	4	¹ ABLIKIM	04K BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

 Γ_{49}/Γ

 NODE=M071R91
 NODE=M071R91

¹ Calculated combining $\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\rho$ and $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ channels.

NODE=M071R91;LINKAGE=AI

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.04	90	ABLIKIM	12L BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.7	90	ADAM	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
<0.4	90	ABLIKIM	04K BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

 Γ_{50}/Γ

 NODE=M071R96
 NODE=M071R96

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.18 ± 0.26 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.		
$2.3 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.1$	19 ± 6	LEES	12F BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$
$0.9 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1$	47.6	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
$1.5 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2$	51.5 ± 8.3	¹ BAI	03B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$2.45 \pm 0.96 \pm 0.04$	10 ± 4	^{2,3} AUBERT	07AK BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$

 Γ_{51}/Γ

 NODE=M071R80
 NODE=M071R80

¹ Normalized to $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) = 0.305 \pm 0.016$.


NODE=M071R80;LINKAGE=B3

² Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)] = (0.57 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 2.33 \pm 0.04$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071R80;LINKAGE=BE

³ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (49.3 \pm 0.6)\%$.

NODE=M071R80;LINKAGE=UB



$\Gamma(\phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{52}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.75±0.33 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.6.		
1.5 ±0.5 ±0.1	12 ± 4	LEES	12F BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$
0.6 ±0.2 ±0.1	18.4 ± 6.4	¹ BAI	03B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.46±0.71±0.02	6 ± 3	^{2,3} AUBERT	07AK BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$

¹ Normalized to $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.305 \pm 0.016$.² Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-)] = (0.34 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 2.33 \pm 0.04$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.³ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (49.3 \pm 0.6)\%$.NODE=M071R83
NODE=M071R83NODE=M071R83;LINKAGE=B3
NODE=M071R83;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M071R83;LINKAGE=UB

 $\Gamma(\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{53}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.10±0.31 OUR AVERAGE				
3.14±0.23±0.23	0.2k	ABLIKIM	12L BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
2.0 ^{+1.5} _{-1.1} ±0.4	6	ADAM	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
3.3 ±1.1 ±0.5	17	ABLIKIM	04K BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071R89
NODE=M071R89 $\Gamma(\eta\phi(2170), \phi(2170) \rightarrow \phi f_0(980), f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{54}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.2 × 10⁻⁶	90	ABLIKIM	19I BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\phi f_0(980)$

NODE=M071P19
NODE=M071P19 $\Gamma(\phi\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{55}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.54±0.20 OUR AVERAGE				
1.51±0.16±0.12	201	ABLIKIM	19BA BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
3.1 ±1.4 ±0.7	8	¹ ABLIKIM	04K BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071R90
NODE=M071R90¹ Calculated combining $\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\rho$ and $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ channels.

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=AI

 $\Gamma(\phi\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{56}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(3.14±0.17±0.24) × 10⁻⁵		¹ ABLIKIM	25E BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071P68
NODE=M071P68¹ The continuum is subtracted using data at 3.773 GeV. No interference between the $\psi(2S)$ and continuum amplitudes is assumed.

NODE=M071P68;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(h_1(1900)\eta \rightarrow \phi\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{57}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(3.8±1.4±1.5) × 10⁻⁶	ABLIKIM	25E BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071P69
NODE=M071P69 $\Gamma(\phi(2170)\eta \rightarrow \phi\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{58}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(3.3±1.4±1.2) × 10⁻⁶	ABLIKIM	25E BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071P70
NODE=M071P70 $\Gamma(\phi(2170)\eta' \rightarrow \phi\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{59}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(3.8±0.9±0.5) × 10⁻⁶	ABLIKIM	25E BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071P71
NODE=M071P71 $\Gamma(h_1(2300)\eta \rightarrow \phi\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{60}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(2.2±0.7±0.7) × 10⁻⁶	ABLIKIM	25E BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071P73
NODE=M071P73 $\Gamma(h_1(2300)\eta' \rightarrow \phi\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{61}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(4.8±1.3±0.7) × 10⁻⁶	ABLIKIM	25E BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071P74
NODE=M071P74 $\Gamma(\phi\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{62}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.46±0.05±0.17	1.3k	¹ ABLIKIM	240 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071P60
NODE=M071P60¹ Data at 3.773 GeV assumed to be $e^+ e^- (3.773) \rightarrow 3\phi$ events yield an estimate for the continuum amplitude at the $\psi(2S)$. No interference between the $\psi(2S)$ and continuum amplitudes is assumed.

NODE=M071P60;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi f_1(1285))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{63}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.0±0.4±1.3	234	¹ ABLIKIM	19BA BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

¹ ABLIKIM 19BA reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \phi f_1(1285))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(f_1(1285) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-)] = (1.03 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_1(1285) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) = (35 \pm 15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071P22
NODE=M071P22

NODE=M071P22;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\phi \eta(1405) \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{64}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.46±1.37±0.92	195	ABLIKIM	19BA BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071P24
NODE=M071P24

 $\Gamma(\phi f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{65}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.44±0.12±0.11	20 ± 6	BAI	04C		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.45	90	BAI	98J BES		$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

NODE=M071R67
NODE=M071R67

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{66}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.48±0.23±0.39	1.3k	¹ METREVELI	12		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

6.2 ±1.5 ±0.2	66	^{2,3} LEES	15J BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \gamma$	
8.3 ±1.5 ±0.2	66	^{3,4} LEES	15J BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \gamma$	
6.3 ±0.6 ±0.3		⁵ DOBBS	06A CLEO	e^+e^-	
10 ±7		⁵ BRANDELIK	79C DASP	e^+e^-	
< 5	90	FELDMAN	77 MRK1	e^+e^-	

¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

² $\sin\phi > 0$.

³ Using $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.37 \pm 0.04)$ keV.

⁴ $\sin\phi < 0$.

⁵ Interference with non-resonant $K^+ K^-$ production not taken into account.

NODE=M071R23
NODE=M071R23

OCCUR=2

NODE=M071R23;LINKAGE=ME
NODE=M071R23;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071R23;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M071R23;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M071R23;LINKAGE=BA

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{67}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.3±0.5 OUR AVERAGE				

8.1±1.3±0.3	133	LEES	12F BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$	
7.1±0.3±0.4	817.2	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	

16 ±4

¹ TANENBAUM 78 MRK1 e^+e^-

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

11.0±1.9±0.2	85	² AUBERT	07AK BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$	

¹ Assuming entirely strong decay.

² Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)] = (2.56 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 2.33 \pm 0.04$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071R24
NODE=M071R24

NODE=M071R24;LINKAGE=K
NODE=M071R24;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{68}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.07±0.16±0.26	0.9k	ABLIKIM	12L BES3		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<8.9	90	1	FRANKLIN	83 MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M071R38
NODE=M071R38

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{69}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.046	¹ BAI	04D BES	e^+e^-

¹ Forbidden by CP.

NODE=M071R88
NODE=M071R88

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=BA

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_L^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{70}/Γ NODE=M071R87
NODE=M071R87**5.34±0.33 OUR AVERAGE**

5.28±0.25±0.34 478 ± 23

¹ METREVELI

12

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$

5.8 ±0.8 ±0.4

DOBBS

06A CLEO

 $e^+ e^-$

5.24±0.47±0.48 156 ± 14

² BAI

04B BES2

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$ ¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.² Using $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.6860 \pm 0.0027$.NODE=M071R87;LINKAGE=ME
NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=KZ $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{76}/Γ NODE=M071S10
NODE=M071S10**12.6±0.9 OUR AVERAGE**

18.9±5.7±0.3 32

¹ AUBERT

07AU BABR

 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$

11.7±1.0±1.5 597

ABLIKIM

06G BES2

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

12.7±0.5±1.0 711.6

BRIERE

05 CLEO

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ ¹ AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-)] = (44 \pm 13 \pm 3) \times 10^{-4}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 2.33 \pm 0.04$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071S10;LINKAGE=UB

 $\Gamma(\omega f_0(1710) \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{77}/Γ NODE=M071S20
NODE=M071S20**5.9±2.0±0.9**

19

ABLIKIM

06G

BES2

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{78}/Γ NODE=M071S21
NODE=M071S21**8.6±1.3±1.8**

238

ABLIKIM

06G

BES2

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ $\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{79}/Γ NODE=M071S22
NODE=M071S22**9.6±2.2±1.7**

133

ABLIKIM

06G

BES2

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ $\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K^- \rho^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{80}/Γ NODE=M071S23
NODE=M071S23**7.3±2.2±1.4**

78

ABLIKIM

06G

BES2

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^- \rho^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{81}/Γ NODE=M071S24
NODE=M071S24**6.1±1.3±1.2**

125

ABLIKIM

06G

BES2

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ $\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{82}/Γ NODE=M071R49
NODE=M071R49**2.20±0.25±0.37**

83 ± 9

ABLIKIM

050

BES2

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$ $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{90}/Γ NODE=M071S09
NODE=M071S09**2.2±0.2±0.4**

223.8

BRIERE

05

CLEO

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (units 10^{-4}) CL% EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 Γ_{91}/Γ NODE=M071R66
NODE=M071R66**1.86±0.32±0.43**

93 ± 16

BAI

04C

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.2

90

BAI

98J BES

 $e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{92} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.3 ± 0.7 ± 0.1	7	¹ AUBERT 07AU BABR	10.6	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta \gamma$
¹ AUBERT 07AU quotes $\Gamma_{ee}^{\psi(2S)} \cdot B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \eta) \cdot B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) = 1.2 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ eV}$.				

NODE=M071S39
NODE=M071S39

NODE=M071S39;LINKAGE=UB

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{94} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.0 ± 2.5 ± 1.8	65	ABLIKIM	07D BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071R09
NODE=M071R09

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{95} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.9 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
3.18±0.30 ^{+0.26} _{-0.31}		0.2k	ABLIKIM	12L BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
2.9 ^{+1.3} _{-1.7} ±0.4		9.6 ± 4.2	ABLIKIM	05I BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
1.3 ^{+1.0} _{-0.7} ±0.3		7	ADAM	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
<5.4		90	FRANKLIN	83 MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M071R39
NODE=M071R39

 $\Gamma(2(K^+ K^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{96} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.63 ± 0.13 OUR AVERAGE				
0.9 ± 0.4 ± 0.1	13	LEES	12F BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-) \gamma$
0.6 ± 0.1 ± 0.1	59.2	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

NODE=M071S12
NODE=M071S12

 $\Gamma(2(K^+ K^-) \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{97} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.1 ± 0.2 ± 0.2	44.7	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-) \pi^0$

NODE=M071S13
NODE=M071S13

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{98} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.70 ± 0.16 OUR AVERAGE				
0.8 ± 0.2 ± 0.1	36.8	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$
0.6 ± 0.2 ± 0.1	16.1 ± 5.0	¹ BAI	03B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$
¹ Normalized to $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.305 \pm 0.016$.				

NODE=M071R81
NODE=M071R81

NODE=M071R81;LINKAGE=B3

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{99} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.353 ± 0.020 ± 0.021	687	¹ ABLIKIM	23BA BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K^+ K^-$

NODE=M071P53
NODE=M071P53

¹ Solution with a constructive interference of the signal with the continuum background.

NODE=M071P53;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K_1(1270)^\pm K^\mp) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{100} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.0 ± 1.8 ± 2.1	¹ BAI 99C	BES	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M071R41
NODE=M071R41

¹ Assuming $B(K_1(1270) \rightarrow K \rho) = 0.42 \pm 0.06$

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=M2

 $\Gamma(K^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{101} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.7 ± 2.5	TANENBAUM 78	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M071R34
NODE=M071R34

 $\Gamma(\eta K^+ K^-, \text{no } \eta \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{102} / Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.49±0.09±0.15		1.8k	¹ ABLIKIM	20F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \gamma \gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
3.08±0.29±0.25		0.3k	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	12L BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \gamma \gamma$
<13	90		BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

NODE=M071S11
NODE=M071S11

¹ Excluding $\eta \phi$.

² Superseded by ABLIKIM 20F.

NODE=M071S11;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M071S11;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta K^+ K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{103}\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.6	90	¹ LEES	23 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \text{ hadrons}$
¹ LEES 23 reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta K^+ K^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0)] < 0.2 \text{ eV}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = 32.56 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M071P57
 NODE=M071P57

NODE=M071P57;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(X(1750)\eta \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{104}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.8 \pm 1.0 \pm 2.6$	ABLIKIM	20F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$

NODE=M071P28
 NODE=M071P28

 $\Gamma(K_1(1400)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{105}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.1	90	¹ BAI	99C BES	$e^+ e^-$
¹ Assuming $B(K_1(1400) \rightarrow K^* \pi) = 0.94 \pm 0.06$				

NODE=M071R45
 NODE=M071R45

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=M3

 $\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{106}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$7.12 \pm 0.62^{+1.13}_{-0.61}$	251 ± 22	ABLIKIM	12L BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071S54
 NODE=M071S54

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{107}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.9 ± 2.0 OUR AVERAGE				
$13.3^{+2.4}_{-2.8} \pm 1.7$	65.6 ± 9.0	ABLIKIM	05i BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
$9.2^{+2.7}_{-2.2} \pm 0.9$	25	ADAM	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071R30
 NODE=M071R30

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_{95}/Γ_{107}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.16 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE			
$0.22^{+0.10}_{-0.14}$	ABLIKIM	05i BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
$0.14^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$	ADAM	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071R46
 NODE=M071R46

 $\Gamma(\omega K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{108}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.62 ± 0.11 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
$1.56 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.11$	2.8k	ABLIKIM	14G BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$2.38 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.29$	78	ABLIKIM	06G BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$1.9 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3$	76.8	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$1.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2$	23	¹ BAI	03B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
¹ Normalized to $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.305 \pm 0.016$.				

NODE=M071R78
 NODE=M071R78

NODE=M071R78;LINKAGE=B3

 $\Gamma(\omega K_S^0 \bar{K}_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{109}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$7.04 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.36$	1.5k	ABLIKIM	21AL BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 K_S^0 \bar{K}_S^0$

NODE=M071P34
 NODE=M071P34

 $\Gamma(\omega K^*(892)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{110}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
20.7 ± 2.6 OUR AVERAGE				
$18.9 \pm 2.9 \pm 2.2$	396	ABLIKIM	13M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^- \pi^+$
$22.6 \pm 3.0 \pm 2.4$	535	ABLIKIM	13M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^- \pi^0$

NODE=M071S67
 NODE=M071S67

OCCUR=2

 $\Gamma(\omega K_2^*(1430)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{111}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.1 ± 1.2 OUR AVERAGE				
$6.39 \pm 1.50 \pm 0.78$	128	ABLIKIM	13M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^- \pi^+$
$5.86 \pm 1.61 \pm 0.83$	143	ABLIKIM	13M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^- \pi^0$

NODE=M071S68
 NODE=M071S68

OCCUR=2

 $\Gamma(\omega \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{112}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$16.8 \pm 2.5 \pm 1.6$	356	ABLIKIM	13M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^- \pi^+$

NODE=M071S69
 NODE=M071S69

$$\Gamma(\omega \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 K^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{113} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.82 ± 2.08 ± 0.72	116	ABLIKIM	13M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^- \pi^+$

NODE=M071S70
NODE=M071S70

$$\Gamma(\omega X(1440) \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{114} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.60 ± 0.27 ± 0.24	109	¹ ABLIKIM	13M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^- \pi^+$

NODE=M071S71
NODE=M071S71

¹ X(1440) compatible with $\eta(1405)$ and $\eta(1475)$. A $f_1(1420)$ is also possible.

NODE=M071S71;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(\omega X(1440) \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{115} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.09 ± 0.20 ± 0.16	82	¹ ABLIKIM	13M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^- \pi^0$

NODE=M071S72
NODE=M071S72

¹ X(1440) compatible with $\eta(1405)$ and $\eta(1475)$. A $f_1(1420)$ is also possible.

NODE=M071S72;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(\omega f_1(1285) \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{116} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.302 ± 0.098 ± 0.027	22	¹ ABLIKIM	13M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega K_S^0 K^- \pi^+$

NODE=M071S73
NODE=M071S73

¹ Statistical significance 4.5 σ . This measurement is equivalent to a limit of $< 0.478 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90% C.L.

NODE=M071S73;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(\omega f_1(1285) \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{117} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.125 ± 0.070 ± 0.013	10	¹ ABLIKIM	13M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^- \pi^0$

NODE=M071S74
NODE=M071S74

¹ Statistical significance 3.2 σ . This measurement is equivalent to a limit of $< 0.221 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90% C.L.

NODE=M071S74;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{118} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.94 ± 0.09 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.			
3.02 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE				

NODE=M071R25
NODE=M071R25

3.05 ± 0.02 ± 0.12	19k	ABLIKIM	18T BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}$
3.08 ± 0.05 ± 0.18	4.5k	¹ DOBBS	14	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}$
3.36 ± 0.09 ± 0.25	1.6k	ABLIKIM	07C BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}$
2.87 ± 0.12 ± 0.15	557	PEDLAR	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}$
1.4 ± 0.8	4	BRANDELIK	79C DASP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}$
2.3 ± 0.7		FELDMAN	77 MRK1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M071R25;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p}) / \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{118} / \Gamma_{12}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.49 ± 0.28 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		
6.98 ± 0.49 ± 0.97	BAI	01 BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}$

NODE=M071S40
NODE=M071S40

$$\Gamma(n\bar{n}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{119} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.06 ± 0.06 ± 0.14	6k	ABLIKIM	18T BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow n\bar{n}$

NODE=M071P10
NODE=M071P10

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{120} / \Gamma$$

The two different fit values of ABLIKIM 25M cannot be distinguished. Previous measurements did not consider the large uncertainty due to interference with the $e^+ e^-$ continuum.

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.2 to 2.4 OUR EVALUATION				

NODE=M071R35
NODE=M071R35

1.339 ± 0.112 ± 0.023	188k	¹ ABLIKIM	25M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$
1.837 ± 0.137 ± 0.032	188k	² ABLIKIM	25M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$

NODE=M071R35
→ UNCHECKED ←

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

OCCUR=2

1.65 ± 0.03 ± 0.15	4.5k	ABLIKIM	13A BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$
1.54 ± 0.06 ± 0.06	948	ALEXANDER	10 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 p\bar{p}$
1.32 ± 0.10 ± 0.15	256	³ ABLIKIM	05E BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\gamma\gamma$
1.4 ± 0.5	9	FRANKLIN	83 MRK2	$e^+ e^-$

¹ Constructive-interference solution of a partial wave analysis of the decay $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$.

NODE=M071R35;LINKAGE=A

² Destructive-interference solution of a partial wave analysis of the decay $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$.

NODE=M071R35;LINKAGE=B

³ Computed using $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (98.80 \pm 0.03)\%$.

NODE=M071R35;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(N(940)\bar{p} + c.c. \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{121}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

11.4 ± 1.2 OUR AVERAGE $[(6.4^{+1.8}_{-1.3}) \times 10^{-5}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**11.39 ± 0.31 ± 1.19** 121k ¹ ABLIKIM 25M BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

6.42 ± 0.20 $^{+1.78}_{-1.28}$ 1.9k ² ABLIKIM 13A BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$ ¹ From a fit (PWA) of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to ten distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states.² From a fit of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to eight distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states. Superseded by ABLIKIM 25M.NODE=M071S56
NODE=M071S56

NEW

NODE=M071S56;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071S56;LINKAGE=AB $\Gamma(N(1440)\bar{p} + c.c. \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{122}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

7.5 ± 1.1 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.7. $[(7.3^{+1.7}_{-1.5}) \times 10^{-5}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 2.5]5.42 ± 0.14 ± 1.41 56k ¹ ABLIKIM 25M BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$ 8.1 ± 0.7 ± 0.3 474 ² ALEXANDER 10 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 p\bar{p}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.58 ± 0.25 $^{+1.59}_{-0.84}$ 1.1k ³ ABLIKIM 13A BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$ ¹ From a fit (PWA) of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to ten distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states.² From a fit of the $p\bar{p}$ and $p\pi^0$ mass distributions to a combination of $N(1440)\bar{p}$, a broad $p\bar{p}$ enhancement around 2100 MeV, and two other broad, unestablished resonances.³ From a fit of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to eight distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states. Superseded by ABLIKIM 25M.NODE=M071S50
NODE=M071S50

NEW

NODE=M071S50;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071S50;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M071S50;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(N(1520)\bar{p} + c.c. \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{123}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.66 ± 0.20 OUR AVERAGE $[(0.64^{+0.23}_{-0.18}) \times 10^{-5}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**0.66 ± 0.04 ± 0.20** 6749 ¹ ABLIKIM 25M BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.64 ± 0.05 $^{+0.22}_{-0.17}$ 0.2k ² ABLIKIM 13A BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$ ¹ From a fit (PWA) of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to ten distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states.² From a fit of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to eight distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states. Superseded by ABLIKIM 25M.NODE=M071S57
NODE=M071S57

NEW

NODE=M071S57;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071S57;LINKAGE=AB $\Gamma(N(1535)\bar{p} + c.c. \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{124}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.8 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE $[(2.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-5}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**1.75 ± 0.07 ± 0.36** 19k ¹ ABLIKIM 25M BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.47 ± 0.28 $^{+0.99}_{-0.97}$ 0.7k ² ABLIKIM 13A BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$ ¹ From a fit (PWA) of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to ten distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states.² From a fit of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to eight distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states. Superseded by ABLIKIM 25M.NODE=M071S58
NODE=M071S58

NEW

NODE=M071S58;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071S58;LINKAGE=AB $\Gamma(N(1650)\bar{p} + c.c. \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{125}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.91 ± 0.33 OUR AVERAGE $[(3.8^{+1.4}_{-1.7}) \times 10^{-5}$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]**0.91 ± 0.07 ± 0.32** 11k ¹ ABLIKIM 25M BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.76 ± 0.28 $^{+1.37}_{-1.66}$ 1.1k ² ABLIKIM 13A BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$ ¹ From a fit (PWA) of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to ten distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states. Superseded by ABLIKIM 25M.² From a fit of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to eight distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states.NODE=M071S59
NODE=M071S59

NEW

NODE=M071S59;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071S59;LINKAGE=AB $\Gamma(N(1710)\bar{p} + c.c. \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{126}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

4.2 ± 0.5 ± 4.0 4466 ¹ ABLIKIM 25M BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$ ¹ From a fit (PWA) of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to ten distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states.NODE=M071P75
NODE=M071P75

NODE=M071P75;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(N(1720)\bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{127}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.62±0.25 OUR AVERAGE $[(1.79^{+0.26}_{-0.70}) \times 10^{-5} \text{ OUR 2025 AVERAGE}]$ **0.62±0.05±0.24** 6644 ¹ ABLIKIM 25M BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.79±0.10^{+0.24}_{-0.71} 0.5k ² ABLIKIM 13A BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$ ¹ From a fit (PWA) of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to ten distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states.² From a fit of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to eight distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states. Superseded by ABLIKIM 25M.NODE=M071S60
NODE=M071S60

NEW

NODE=M071S60;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071S60;LINKAGE=AB $\Gamma(N(2100)\bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{128}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

8.5±0.9±3.8 10k ¹ ABLIKIM 25M BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$ ¹ From a fit (PWA) of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to ten distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states.NODE=M071P76
NODE=M071P76

NODE=M071P76;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(N(2300)\bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{129}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.36±0.31 OUR AVERAGE $[(2.6^{+1.2}_{-0.7}) \times 10^{-5} \text{ OUR 2025 AVERAGE}]$ **0.36±0.06±0.30** 4235 ¹ ABLIKIM 25M BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.62±0.28^{+1.12}_{-0.64} 0.9k ² ABLIKIM 13A BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$ ¹ From a fit (PWA) of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to ten distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states.² From a fit of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to eight distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states. Superseded by ABLIKIM 25M.NODE=M071S61
NODE=M071S61

NEW

NODE=M071S61;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071S61;LINKAGE=AB $\Gamma(N(2570)\bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{130}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.0 ±1.5 OUR AVERAGE $[(2.13^{+0.40}_{-0.31}) \times 10^{-5} \text{ OUR 2025 AVERAGE}]$ **1.95±0.09±1.45** 24k ¹ ABLIKIM 25M BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.13±0.08^{+0.40}_{-0.30} 0.8k ² ABLIKIM 13A BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0$ ¹ From a fit (PWA) of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to ten distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states.² From a fit of $\pi^0 p\bar{p}$ data to eight distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states. Superseded by ABLIKIM 25M.NODE=M071S62
NODE=M071S62

NEW

NODE=M071S62;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071S62;LINKAGE=AB $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{131}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

6.0±0.4 OUR AVERAGE5.9±0.2±0.4 904.5 BRIERE 05 CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ 8 ±2 ¹ TANENBAUM 78 MRK1 $p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-$
 e^+e^- ¹ Assuming entirely strong decay.NODE=M071R31
NODE=M071R31

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=K

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{132}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.7±0.6±0.4 30.1 BRIERE 05 CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
 $p\bar{p}K^+K^-$ NODE=M071S16
NODE=M071S16 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{133}/Γ The two different fit values of ABLIKIM 25M cannot be distinguished. Previous measurements did not consider the large uncertainty due to interference with the e^+e^- continuum.

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

5.5 to 9.1 OUR EVALUATION6.15±0.65±0.11 31k ¹ ABLIKIM 25M BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta$ 8.44±0.69±0.14 31k ² ABLIKIM 25M BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

6.4 ±0.2 ±0.6 679 ³ ABLIKIM 13S BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta$ 5.6 ±0.6 ±0.3 154 ³ ALEXANDER 10 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta$ 5.8 ±1.1 ±0.7 45 ⁴ ABLIKIM 05E BES2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\gamma\gamma$ 8 ±3 ±3 9.8 BRIERE 05 CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
 $p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ NODE=M071R56
NODE=M071R56NODE=M071R56
→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2

- ¹ Constructive-interference solution of a partial wave analysis of the decay $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta$.
² Destructive-interference solution of a partial wave analysis of the decay $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta$.
³ With $N(1535)$ decaying to $p\eta$.
⁴ Computed using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.43 \pm 0.26)\%$.

NODE=M071R56;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M071R56;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M071R56;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M071R56;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(N(1535)\bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{134}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

4.7 $\pm$ 0.5 OUR AVERAGE

$[(4.5^{+0.7}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-5}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

5.05 $\pm$ 0.13 $\pm$ 0.71	19k	¹ ABLIKIM	25M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta$

4.4 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.3	123	² ALEXANDER	10 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta p\bar{p}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.2 $\pm$ 0.3 $^{+3.2}_{-1.2}$	527	³ ABLIKIM	13s BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta p\bar{p}$

¹ From a fit (PWA) of $\eta p\bar{p}$ data to four distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states.

² From a fit of the $p\bar{p}$ and $p\eta$ distributions to a combination of $N^*(1535)\bar{p}$ and a broad $p\bar{p}$ enhancement around 2100 MeV.

³ With $N(1535)$ decaying to $p\eta$. Superseded by ABLIKIM 25P.

NODE=M071S53
 NODE=M071S53

NEW

NODE=M071S53;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M071S53;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M071S53;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(N(1710)\bar{p} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{135}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

7.8 $\pm$ 0.7 $\pm$ 3.1	3250	¹ ABLIKIM	25M BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta$

¹ From a fit (PWA) of $\eta p\bar{p}$ data to four distinct intermediate $N\bar{p}$ resonant states.

NODE=M071P77
 NODE=M071P77

NODE=M071P77;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{136}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

7.3 $\pm$ 0.4 $\pm$ 0.6	434.9	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M071S15
 NODE=M071S15

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{137}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.5 $\pm$ 0.1 $\pm$ 0.2	61.1	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M071S14
 NODE=M071S14

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{138}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.69 $\pm$ 0.21 OUR AVERAGE

0.6 $\pm$ 0.2 $\pm$ 0.2	21.2	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

0.8 $\pm$ 0.3 $\pm$ 0.1	14.9 $\pm$ 0.1	¹ BAI	03B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ Normalized to $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) = 0.305 \pm 0.016$.

NODE=M071R79
 NODE=M071R79

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=B3

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{139}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.10 $\pm$ 0.10 $\pm$ 0.08	491	¹ ABLIKIM	19N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta' p\bar{p}$

¹ From the combination of $p\bar{p}\eta' \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\eta$ and $p\bar{p}\eta' \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ channels.

NODE=M071P20
 NODE=M071P20

NODE=M071P20;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{140}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

6.06 $\pm$ 0.38 $\pm$ 0.48	753	ABLIKIM	19AO BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<24	90	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

<26	90	¹ BAI	03B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+K^-p\bar{p}$

¹ Normalized to $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) = 0.305 \pm 0.016$.

NODE=M071R82
 NODE=M071R82

NODE=M071R82;LINKAGE=B3

$\Gamma(\phi X(1835) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{141}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<1.82 $\times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	19AO BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

NODE=M071P21
 NODE=M071P21

$\Gamma(p\bar{n}\pi^- \text{ or c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{142}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.48 ± 0.17 OUR AVERAGE				
$2.45 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.21$	851	ABLIKIM	06I BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow p\pi^- X$
$2.52 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.22$	849	ABLIKIM	06I BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{p}\pi^+ X$

NODE=M071R01
 NODE=M071R01

OCCUR=2

 $\Gamma(p\bar{n}\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{143}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.18 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.50$	135 ± 21	ABLIKIM	06I BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow p\pi^- \pi^0 X$

NODE=M071R02
 NODE=M071R02

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{144}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.81 ± 0.13 OUR AVERAGE			Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.		
$3.97 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.12$	31k		ABLIKIM	17L BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$
$3.71 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.15$	6.5k		¹ DOBBS	17	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$
$3.39 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.32$		337	ABLIKIM	07C BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
$6.4 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.1$			² AUBERT	07BD BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\gamma$
$3.28 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.25$		208	PEDLAR	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$3.75 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.23$	1.9k		^{1,3} DOBBS	14	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$
$1.81 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.27$	80		⁴ BAI	01 BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
< 4	90		FELDMAN	77 MRK1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M071R28
 NODE=M071R28

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.


² AUBERT 07BD reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)] = (15 \pm 4 \pm 1) \times 10^{-4}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 2.33 \pm 0.04$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Superseded by DOBBS 17.

⁴ Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.310 \pm 0.028$.

NODE=M071R28;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M071R28;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M071R28;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M071R28;LINKAGE=PP

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{145}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.42 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.59$		23	¹ ABLIKIM	22AP BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 2.9	90		² ABLIKIM	13F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma\gamma$
< 120	90		³ ABLIKIM	07H BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

¹ With a significance of 3.7σ . The corresponding 90% CL upper limit is 2.47×10^{-6} .

² Using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) = 63.9\%$ and $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 98.8\%$.

³ Using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) = 63.9\%$ and $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 39.4\%$.

NODE=M071R6
 NODE=M071R6

NODE=M071R6;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M071R6;LINKAGE=AL
 NODE=M071R6;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{146}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL% EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.43±0.32 OUR AVERAGE				
2.34±0.18±0.52	218	ABLIKIM	22AP BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
2.48±0.34±0.19	60	¹ ABLIKIM	13F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<4.9	90	² ABLIKIM	07H BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
¹ Using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) = 63.9\%$ and $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 39.31\%$.				
² Using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) = 63.9\%$.				

NODE=M071R7
 NODE=M071R7

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{148}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.34±0.94±0.43	218	ABLIKIM	23BV BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}2(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma(\gamma))$

NODE=M071P52
 NODE=M071P52

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\omega(782))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{149}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.30±0.34±0.29	207	¹ ABLIKIM	22AZ BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
¹ Using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) = 0.639$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = 0.893$.				

NODE=M071P46
 NODE=M071P46

OCCUR=2

NODE=M071P46;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\Lambda(1670)\bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{147}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.29±0.31±0.62	116	¹ ABLIKIM	22AP BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
¹ From a partial wave analysis of the $\Lambda\eta$ system.				

NODE=M071P42
 NODE=M071P42

NODE=M071P42;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{150}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.8±0.4±0.5	73.4	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

NODE=M071S17
 NODE=M071S17

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{p}K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{151}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.0±0.1±0.1	74.0	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+\pi^-$

NODE=M071S18
 NODE=M071S18

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{p}K^*(892)^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{152}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.3±0.5±0.5	1011	ABLIKIM	19AU BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071P25
 NODE=M071P25

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{p}K^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{153}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.8±0.3±0.3	45.8	BRIERE	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$

NODE=M071S19
 NODE=M071S19

 $\Gamma(\bar{\Lambda}nK_S^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{154}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.81±0.11±0.14	50	¹ ABLIKIM	08C BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$
¹ Using $B(\bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \bar{p}\pi^+) = 63.9\%$ and $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = 69.2\%$.				

NODE=M071R08
 NODE=M071R08

NODE=M071R08;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\Delta^{++}\bar{\Delta}^{--})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{155}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
12.8±1.0±3.4	157	¹ BAI	01 BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) = 0.310 \pm 0.028$.

NODE=M071R50
 NODE=M071R50

NODE=M071R50;LINKAGE=PP

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Sigma}^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{156}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.40±0.03±0.13	2.8k	ABLIKIM	13W BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M071S65
 NODE=M071S65

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Sigma}^-\pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{157}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.54±0.04±0.13	2.8k	ABLIKIM	13W BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M071S66
 NODE=M071S66

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Sigma}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{158}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.60±0.31±0.59	60	ABLIKIM	21L BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M071P29
 NODE=M071P29

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{159}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.23±0.23±0.08 30 ¹ DOBBS 17 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M071P08
 NODE=M071P08

NODE=M071P08;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Sigma}^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{160}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.544±0.013±0.071 15.5k ¹ ABLIKIM 25AF BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

¹ Using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow pK^-)$, $B(\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma)$ and $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ from PDG 22, together with a partial wave analysis of the intermediate $\Lambda\pi^0$ and $\Sigma^0\pi^0$ resonances, $\Lambda(1405, 1520, 1600, 1670, 1690, 1800, 1890, 2325)$ and $\Sigma^0(1385, 1660, 1670, 1750, 1910)$.

NODE=M071P65
 NODE=M071P65

NODE=M071P65;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\Lambda(1520)\Xi^+K^- + \text{c.c.}, \Lambda(1520) \rightarrow pK^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{161}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

9.47±0.75±0.97 190 ABLIKIM 25AG BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071P67
 NODE=M071P67

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0\bar{p}K^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{162}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.67±0.13±0.12 276 ¹ ABLIKIM 13D BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\Lambda\bar{p}K^+$

¹ Using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-) = 63.9\%$, and $B(\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma) = 100\%$.

NODE=M071S63
 NODE=M071S63

NODE=M071S63;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{163}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.43±0.10 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.4.

2.52±0.04±0.09 5.4k ABLIKIM 21AT BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow p\pi^0\bar{p}\pi^0$

2.31±0.06±0.10 1.9k ¹ DOBBS 17 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

2.57±0.44±0.68 35 PEDLAR 05 CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.51±0.15±0.16 281 ^{1,2} DOBBS 14 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

² Superseded by DOBBS 17.

NODE=M071R47
 NODE=M071R47

NODE=M071R47;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M071R47;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{164}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.35±0.09 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

2.44±0.03±0.11 7k ABLIKIM 17L BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

2.22±0.05±0.11 2.6k ¹ DOBBS 17 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

2.35±0.36±0.32 59 ABLIKIM 07C BES $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

2.63±0.35±0.21 58 PEDLAR 05 CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.25±0.11±0.16 439 ^{1,2} DOBBS 14 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

1.2 ±0.4 ±0.4 8 ³ BAI 01 BES $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

² Superseded by DOBBS 17.

³ Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) = 0.310 \pm 0.028$.

NODE=M071R51
 NODE=M071R51

NODE=M071R51;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M071R51;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M071R51;LINKAGE=PP

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^-\bar{\Sigma}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{165}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.82±0.04±0.08 6.6k ABLIKIM 22AV BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow n\pi^-\bar{n}\pi^+$

NODE=M071P41
 NODE=M071P41

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{166}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

9.59±2.37±0.61 21 ABLIKIM 22AY BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-\eta$

NODE=M071P45
 NODE=M071P45

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{167}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.89±0.18±0.21 199 ABLIKIM 23BE BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M071P50
 NODE=M071P50

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0 \omega(782))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{168}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.24±0.16±0.11	172	ABLIKIM	25W BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071P64
NODE=M071P64

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{169}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.96±0.54±0.41	55	ABLIKIM	23BE BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M071P51
NODE=M071P51

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0 \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{170}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.64±0.32±0.12	108	¹ ABLIKIM	25Q BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071P66
NODE=M071P66

¹ ABLIKIM 25Q result is the first observation of this decay.

NODE=M071P66;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{171}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.5±0.7 OUR AVERAGE				
8.4±0.5±0.5	1.5k	ABLIKIM	16L BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^+ \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^-$
11 ±3 ±3	14	¹ BAI	01 BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M071R52
NODE=M071R52

OCCUR=2

¹ Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.310 \pm 0.028$.

NODE=M071R52;LINKAGE=PP

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{172}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.5±0.6±0.6	1.4k	ABLIKIM	16L BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)^- \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^+$

NODE=M071R00
NODE=M071R00

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^0 \bar{\Sigma}(1385)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{173}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.69±0.05±0.05	2.2k	ABLIKIM	17E BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M071P00
NODE=M071P00

 $\Gamma(\Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{174}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.87±0.11 OUR AVERAGE					Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
3.03±0.05±0.14	3.6k	¹ DOBBS	17		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons
2.78±0.05±0.14	5k	ABLIKIM	16L BES3		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+$
3.03±0.40±0.32	67	ABLIKIM	07C BES		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons
2.38±0.30±0.21	63	PEDLAR	05 CLEO		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.66±0.12±0.20	548	^{1,2} DOBBS	14		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.94±0.27±0.15	12	³ BAI	01 BES		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons
<2	90	FELDMAN	77 MRK1		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M071R29
NODE=M071R29

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

² Superseded by DOBBS 17.

³ Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.310 \pm 0.028$.

NODE=M071R29;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071R29;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M071R29;LINKAGE=PP

 $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{175}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.3 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 4.2.
2.73±0.03±0.13	11k	ABLIKIM	17E BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons
1.97±0.06±0.11	1.2k	¹ DOBBS	17	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons
2.75±0.64±0.61	19	PEDLAR	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.02±0.19±0.15	112	^{1,2} DOBBS	14	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M071R48
NODE=M071R48

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

² Superseded by DOBBS 17.

NODE=M071R48;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071R48;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^0 \bar{\Xi}(1530)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{176}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.77±0.14±0.39		2951	ABLIKIM	21AO BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<32	90		PEDLAR	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons
< 8.1	90	¹ BAI	01 BES		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M071R53
NODE=M071R53

¹ Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.310 \pm 0.028$.

NODE=M071R53;LINKAGE=PP

$\Gamma(\Lambda\Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{177}/Γ NODE=M071S82
NODE=M071S82VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTsDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT**3.67±0.22 OUR AVERAGE**

3.60±0.10±0.24

1572

ABLIKIM

24N

BES3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
 $K^- \Lambda\Xi^+ + \text{c.c.}$
 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
 $K^- \Lambda\Xi^+ + \text{c.c.}$

3.86±0.27±0.32

236

ABLIKIM

15I

BES3

 $\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^- \Xi(1530)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{180}/Γ NODE=M071P26
NODE=M071P26VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTsDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT**11.45±0.40±0.59**

5k

ABLIKIM

19AT

BES3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
hadrons $\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^- \Xi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{181}/Γ NODE=M071P27
NODE=M071P27VALUE (units 10^{-6}) EVTsDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT**7.0±1.1±0.4**

399

ABLIKIM

19AT

BES3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons $\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^0 \Xi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{182}/Γ NODE=M071P35
NODE=M071P35VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTsDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT**0.53±0.04±0.03**

278

ABLIKIM

21A0

BES3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons $\Gamma(\Xi(1690)^- \Xi^+ \rightarrow K^- \Lambda\Xi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{178}/Γ NODE=M071S83
NODE=M071S83VALUE (units 10^{-6}) EVTsDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT**6.2 ±2.1 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.5.

10.6 ±1.0 ±3.1

464

ABLIKIM

24N

BES3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
 $K^- \Lambda\Xi^+ + \text{c.c.}$
 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
 $K^- \Lambda\Xi^+ + \text{c.c.}$

5.21±1.48±0.57

74

ABLIKIM

15I

BES3

 $\Gamma(\Xi(1820)^- \Xi^+ \rightarrow K^- \Lambda\Xi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{179}/Γ NODE=M071S84
NODE=M071S84VALUE (units 10^{-6}) EVTsDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT**14.8 ±2.9 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

17.8 ±1.0 ±3.2

776

ABLIKIM

24N

BES3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
 $K^- \Lambda\Xi^+ + \text{c.c.}$
 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
 $K^- \Lambda\Xi^+ + \text{c.c.}$

12.03±2.94±1.22

136

ABLIKIM

15I

BES3

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{183}/Γ NODE=M071S85
NODE=M071S85VALUE (units 10^{-5}) EVTsDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT**3.67±0.33±0.28**

142

ABLIKIM

15I

BES3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
 $K^- \Sigma^0 \Xi^+ + \text{c.c.}$ $\Gamma(\Omega^- K^+ \Xi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{184}/Γ NODE=M071P62
NODE=M071P62VALUE (units 10^{-6}) EVTsDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT**2.78±0.40±0.18**

242

ABLIKIM

24AG

BES3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$ $\Gamma(\bar{\Omega}^+ K_S^0 \Xi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{185}/Γ NODE=M071P63
NODE=M071P63VALUE (units 10^{-6}) EVTsDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT**2.91±0.47±0.33**

224

ABLIKIM

25AJ

BES3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$ $\Gamma(\Omega^- \bar{\Omega}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{186}/Γ NODE=M071R54
NODE=M071R54VALUE (units 10^{-5}) CL% EVTsDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT**5.66±0.30 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.3.

5.85±0.12±0.25

4k

1

ABLIKIM

21E

BES3

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \Omega^- \bar{\Omega}^+ \rightarrow$
 $\Lambda K^- \bar{\Lambda} K^+$
 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
hadrons

5.2 ±0.3 ±0.3

326

1,2

DOBBS

17

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.7 ±0.9 ±0.5

27

1,2,3

DOBBS

14

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
hadrons

<15

90

ABLIKIM

12Q

BES2

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
hadrons

<16

90

PEDLAR

05

CLEO

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
hadrons

< 7.3

90

4

BAI

01

BES

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow$
hadrons

¹ Using $B(\Omega^- \rightarrow \Lambda K^-) = (67.8 \pm 0.7)\%$ and $B(\Lambda \rightarrow p \pi^-) = (63.9 \pm 0.5)\%$.

² Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

³ Superseded by DOBBS 17.

⁴ Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.310 \pm 0.028$.

NODE=M071R54;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M071R54;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071R54;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M071R54;LINKAGE=PP

$\Gamma(\eta_c \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{187}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<1.0	90	PEDLAR	07	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071R03
NODE=M071R03

$\Gamma(h_c(1P)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{188}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M071S42
NODE=M071S42

7.4 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE

7.32 $\pm 0.34 \pm 0.41$	46k	ABLIKIM	22AQ	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c$
9.0 $\pm 1.5 \pm 1.3$	3k	¹ GE	11	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0$ anything

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

8.4 $\pm 1.3 \pm 1.0$	11k	² ABLIKIM	10B	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c$
seen	92 $^{+23}_{-22}$	ADAMS	09	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- 2\pi^0$
seen	1282	DOBBS	08A	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta_c \gamma$
seen	168 ± 40	ROSNER	05	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta_c \gamma$

¹ Assuming a width $\Gamma(h_c(1P)) = 0.86 \text{ MeV} \equiv \Gamma_0$, a measured dependence of the central value of $B = (7.6 + 1.4 \times \Gamma(h_c(1P))/\Gamma_0) \times 10^{-4}$, and with a systematic error that accounts for the width variation range 0.43–1.29 MeV.

² Superseded by ABLIKIM 22AQ

NODE=M071S42;LINKAGE=GE

NODE=M071S42;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \bar{p} e^+ e^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{189}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.7 $\times 10^{-6}$	90	450M	ABLIKIM	18Q	BES3

NODE=M071P11
NODE=M071P11

$\Gamma(\Theta(1540) \bar{\Theta}(1540) \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \bar{n} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{190}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.88	90	BAI	04G	BES2	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M071S01
NODE=M071S01

$\Gamma(\Theta(1540) K^- \bar{n} \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \bar{n})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{191}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<1.0	90	BAI	04G	BES2	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M071S02
NODE=M071S02

$\Gamma(\Theta(1540) K_S^0 \bar{p} \rightarrow K_S^0 \bar{p} K^+ n)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{192}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.70	90	BAI	04G	BES2	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M071S03
NODE=M071S03

$\Gamma(\bar{\Theta}(1540) K^+ n \rightarrow K_S^0 \bar{p} K^+ n)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{193}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<2.6	90	BAI	04G	BES2	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M071S04
NODE=M071S04

$\Gamma(\bar{\Theta}(1540) K_S^0 p \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \bar{n})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{194}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.60	90	BAI	04G	BES2	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M071S05
NODE=M071S05

RADIATIVE DECAYS

NODE=M071315

$\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{195}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M071R55
NODE=M071R55

9.91 ± 0.27 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.4. $[(9.75 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]

NEW

9.33 ± 0.26 OUR AVERAGE

9.389 $\pm 0.014 \pm 0.332$	4.7M	ABLIKIM	17U	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
9.22 $\pm 0.11 \pm 0.46$	72k	ATHAR	04	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
9.9 $\pm 0.5 \pm 0.8$		¹ GAISER	86	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
7.2 ± 2.3		¹ BIDDICK	77	CNTR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
7.5 ± 2.6		¹ WHITAKER	76	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

¹ Angular distribution $(1 + \cos^2 \theta)$ assumed.

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{196}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.85 ± 0.26 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.2. $[(9.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]
9.54 ± 0.29 OUR AVERAGE				
9.905 $\pm 0.011 \pm 0.353$	5.0M	ABLIKIM	17U	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
9.07 $\pm 0.11 \pm 0.54$	76k	ATHAR	04	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
9.0 $\pm 0.5 \pm 0.7$		¹ GAISER	86	CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
7.1 ± 1.9		² BIDDICK	77	CNTR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ Angular distribution $(1 - 0.189 \cos^2\theta)$ assumed.² Valid for isotropic distribution of the photon.

NODE=M071R58

NODE=M071R58

NEW

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))$ $\Gamma_{195}/\Gamma_{196}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.02 $\pm 0.01 \pm 0.07$	¹ ATHAR	04	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ Not independent from ATHAR 04 measurements of $B(\gamma\chi_{cJ})$.

NODE=M071R97

NODE=M071R97

NODE=M071R97;LINKAGE=AH

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{197}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.51 ± 0.22 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.2. $[(9.38 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-2}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]
9.42 ± 0.31 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.
9.621 $\pm 0.013 \pm 0.272$	4.2M	ABLIKIM	17U	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
9.33 $\pm 0.14 \pm 0.61$	79k	ATHAR	04	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
8.0 $\pm 0.5 \pm 0.7$		¹ GAISER	86	CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
7.0 ± 2.0		² BIDDICK	77	CNTR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ Angular distribution $(1 - 0.052 \cos^2\theta)$ assumed.² Valid for isotropic distribution of the photon.


NODE=M071R59

NODE=M071R59

NEW

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M071R59;LINKAGE=B

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
9.42 $\pm$ 0.31 (Error scaled by 1.3) $[\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) + \Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) + \Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ ($\Gamma_{195} + \Gamma_{196} + \Gamma_{197}$)/ Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
27.6 $\pm 0.3 \pm 2.0$	¹ ATHAR	04	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ Not independent from ATHAR 04 measurements of $B(\gamma\chi_{cJ})$.

NODE=M071R19

NODE=M071R19

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=AH

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))$ $\Gamma_{195}/\Gamma_{197}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.99 $\pm 0.02 \pm 0.08$	¹ ATHAR	04	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ Not independent from ATHAR 04 measurements of $B(\gamma\chi_{cJ})$.

NODE=M071R99

NODE=M071R99

NODE=M071R99;LINKAGE=AH

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))$ $\Gamma_{197}/\Gamma_{196}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.03 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03$	¹ ATHAR	04	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ Not independent from ATHAR 04 measurements of $B(\gamma\chi_{cJ})$.

NODE=M071R98
NODE=M071R98

NODE=M071R98;LINKAGE=AH

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{198}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.36 ± 0.05 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3. $[(0.36 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-2}]$ OUR 2025 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]

0.34 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.

$0.432 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.060$		MITCHELL	09	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

$0.32 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.06$	2.5k	¹ ATHAR	04	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

0.28 ± 0.06		² GAISER	86	CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ ATHAR 04 used $\Gamma_{\eta_c(1S)} = 24.8 \pm 4.9$ MeV to obtain this result.

² GAISER 86 used $\Gamma_{\eta_c(1S)} = 11.5 \pm 4.5$ MeV to obtain this result.


NODE=M071R60
NODE=M071R60

NEW

NODE=M071R60;LINKAGE=AT

NODE=M071R60;LINKAGE=GA

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 0.34 ± 0.05 (Error scaled by 1.3)

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{199}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

5.4 $^{+3.4}_{-2.9}$ OUR FIT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$5.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 2.7$	1.6k	¹ ABLIKIM	24J	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$

$7 \pm 2 \pm 4$		² ABLIKIM	12G	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^0 K \pi, K K \pi^0$

< 8	90	³ CRONIN-HEN..10	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$

< 20	90	ATHAR	04	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

20-130	95	EDWARDS	82C	CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ ABLIKIM 24J reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi)] = (0.97 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi) = (1.9 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 12G reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi)] = (1.30 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi) = (1.9 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ CRONIN-HENNESSY 10 reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi)] < 14.5 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi) = 1.9 \times 10^{-2}$. This measurement assumes $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S)) = 14$ MeV. CRONIN-HENNESSY 10 gives the analytic dependence of limits on width.

NODE=M071R62
NODE=M071R62

NODE=M071R62;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M071R62;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M071R62;LINKAGE=CR

$\Gamma(\gamma\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{200}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.7 to 9.7	68		1 ABLIKIM	24BS BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$9.5 \pm 1.6 \pm 0.5$		423	2 ABLIKIM	17X BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0$
$15.8 \pm 4.0 \pm 1.3$		37	2 ABLIKIM	10F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0$
< 50	90		PEDLAR	09 CLE3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
< 54000	95		3 LIBERMAN	75 SPEC	e^+e^-
< 100000	90		WIIK	75 DASP	e^+e^-

¹ Taking into account interference between $\psi(2S)$ and continuum amplitudes. Range of the 1σ contour in the plane of $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0)$ versus ϕ , the relative phase of the amplitudes. The fit provides two solutions for $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0)$: 3.74×10^{-7} ($\phi = 3.93$ rad) and 7.87×10^{-7} ($\phi = 2.08$ rad).

² Interference between $\psi(2S)$ and the continuum is not taken into account.

³ Restated by us using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 0.0077$.

NODE=M071R42
NODE=M071R42

NODE=M071R42;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M071R42;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=U

 $\Gamma(\gamma 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{201}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$39.6 \pm 2.8 \pm 5.0$	583	ABLIKIM	07D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071S28
NODE=M071S28

 $\Gamma(\gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{202}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 17	90	ABLIKIM	07D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071S36
NODE=M071S36

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{203}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.24 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
$1.251 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.062$		56k	ABLIKIM	17X BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta$, $\gamma\pi^0\pi^0\eta$
$1.26 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.08$		2226	1 ABLIKIM	10F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow 3\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$, $2\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
$1.19 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.03$			PEDLAR	09 CLE3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
$1.24 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.15$	23		ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
$1.54 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.20$	~ 43		BAI	98F BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\gamma$, $\pi^+\pi^-3\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 60 90 2 BRAUNSCH... 77 DASP e^+e^-

< 11 90 3 BARTEL 76 CNTR e^+e^-

¹ Combining the results from $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ decay modes.

² Restated by us using total decay width 228 keV.

³ The value is normalized to the branching ratio for $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

NODE=M071R44
NODE=M071R44

NODE=M071R44;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=R
NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{204}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.73^{+0.29}_{-0.25}$ OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.8.

$2.84 \pm 0.15^{+0.03}_{-0.10}$	1.9k	1,2 DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$
$2.12 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.32$		3,4 BAI	03C BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.08 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.33$	200.6 ± 18.8	3 BAI	03C BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
$2.90 \pm 1.08 \pm 1.07$	29.9 ± 11.1	3 BAI	03C BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

² DOBBS 15 reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi)] = (2.39 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi) = (84.3^{+2.8}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Normalized to $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) = 0.305 \pm 0.016$.

⁴ Combining the results from $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$ decay modes.

NODE=M071R84
NODE=M071R84

OCCUR=2
OCCUR=3

NODE=M071R84;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071R84;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=3B
NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=B9

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1370) \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{205}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
$3.1 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.4$	175	1 DOBBS 15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}$

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M071S75
NODE=M071S75

NODE=M071S75;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1500))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{206}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
9.3±1.8±0.6	274	1,2 DOBBS 15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$

NODE=M071S76
 NODE=M071S76

¹ DOBBS 15 reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1500))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(f_0(1500) \rightarrow \pi \pi)] = (3.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_0(1500) \rightarrow \pi \pi) = (34.5 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071S76;LINKAGE=A

² Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M071S76;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\gamma f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{207}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
3.3±0.8±0.1	136	1,2 DOBBS 15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$

NODE=M071S77
 NODE=M071S77

¹ DOBBS 15 reports $[\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K \bar{K})] = (2.9 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K \bar{K}) = (88.8 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071S77;LINKAGE=A

² Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M071S77;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{209}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.5 ±0.6 OUR AVERAGE				
3.6 ±0.4 ±0.5	290	¹ DOBBS 15		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$
3.01±0.41±1.24	35.6 ± 4.8	² BAI 03C	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M071R85
 NODE=M071R85

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M071R85;LINKAGE=A

² Normalized to $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.305 \pm 0.016$.

NODE=M071R85;LINKAGE=3B

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{210}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.6 ±0.7 OUR AVERAGE					
6.7 ±0.6 ±0.6		375	¹ DOBBS 15		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$
6.04±0.90±1.32		39.6 ± 5.9	^{2,3} BAI 03C	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 15.6	90	6.8 ± 3.1	^{2,3} BAI 03C	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M071R86
 NODE=M071R86

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M071R86;LINKAGE=A

² Includes unknown branching fractions to $K^+ K^-$ or $K_S^0 K_S^0$. We have multiplied the $K^+ K^-$ result by a factor of 2 and the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ result by a factor of 4 to obtain the $K \bar{K}$ result.

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=CK

³ Normalized to $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.305 \pm 0.016$.

NODE=M071R86;LINKAGE=3B

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2100) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{211}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
4.8±0.5±0.9	373	¹ DOBBS 15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$

NODE=M071S78
 NODE=M071S78

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M071S78;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2200) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{212}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
3.2±0.6±0.8	207	¹ DOBBS 15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$

NODE=M071S79
 NODE=M071S79

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M071S79;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{213}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
<5.8 × 10⁻⁶	90	1,2 DOBBS 15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$

NODE=M071S80
 NODE=M071S80

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M071S80;LINKAGE=A

² For $\Gamma = 20/50$ MeV, the 90% CL upper limits for $\pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\pi^0 \pi^0$ are $3.2/4.3 \times 10^{-6}$ and $2.6/4.0 \times 10^{-6}$, respectively.

NODE=M071S80;LINKAGE=DO

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{214}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
<9.5 × 10⁻⁶	90	1,2 DOBBS 15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$

NODE=M071S81
 NODE=M071S81

¹ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M071S81;LINKAGE=A

² For $\Gamma = 20/50$ MeV, the 90% CL upper limits for $K^+ K^-$ and $K_S^0 K_S^0$ are $2.1/4.3 \times 10^{-6}$ and $3.7/5.5 \times 10^{-6}$, respectively.

NODE=M071S81;LINKAGE=DO

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{215}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.92±0.18 OUR AVERAGE					
0.85±0.18±0.04	382		¹ ABLIKIM	17X BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0,$ $\gamma 3\pi^0$
1.38±0.48±0.09	13		¹ ABLIKIM	10F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0,$ $\gamma 3\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 2	90	PEDLAR	09	CLE3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
< 90	90	BAI	98F	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-3\gamma$
< 200	90	YAMADA	77	DASP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\gamma$

¹ Combining the results from $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ decay modes.

NODE=M071R43
NODE=M071R43

NODE=M071R43;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{216}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.71±1.25±1.64	418	ABLIKIM	06R	BES2
				$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M071R04
NODE=M071R04

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{218}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.9	90	ABLIKIM	06R	BES2
				$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.3	90	ABLIKIM	06R	BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
<1.2	90	¹ SCHARRE	80	MRK1	e^+e^-

¹ Includes unknown branching fraction $\eta(1405) \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi$.

NODE=M071R61
NODE=M071R61

OCCUR=2

NODE=M071R;LINKAGE=E

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{219}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.36±0.25±0.05	10	ABLIKIM	06R	BES2
				$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M071R05
NODE=M071R05

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405) \rightarrow \gamma f_0(980)\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{220}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5.0 × 10⁻⁷	90	ABLIKIM	17AJ	BES3
				$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M071P09
NODE=M071P09

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1475) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{222}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.4	90	ABLIKIM	06R	BES2
				$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.5	90	ABLIKIM	06R	BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$

NODE=M071R06
NODE=M071R06

OCCUR=2

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1475) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{223}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.88	90	ABLIKIM	06R	BES2
				$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M071R07
NODE=M071R07

 $\Gamma(\gamma K^{*0} K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{224}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
37.0±6.1±7.2	237	ABLIKIM	07D	BES2
				$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071S29
NODE=M071S29

 $\Gamma(\gamma K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{225}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
24.0±4.5±5.0	41	ABLIKIM	07D	BES2
				$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071S30
NODE=M071S30

 $\Gamma(\gamma K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{226}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
25.6±3.6±3.6	115	ABLIKIM	07D	BES2
				$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071S31
NODE=M071S31

 $\Gamma(\gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{227}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
19.1±2.7±4.3	132	ABLIKIM	07D	BES2
				$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071S32
NODE=M071S32

 $\Gamma(\gamma K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{228}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<22	90	ABLIKIM	07D	BES2
				$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071S35
NODE=M071S35

$\Gamma(\gamma 2(K^+ K^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{229}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	ABLIKIM	07D BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071S37
 NODE=M071S37

 $\Gamma(\gamma p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{230}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.9 $\pm$ 0.5 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.0.			
4.18 $\pm$ 0.26 $\pm$ 0.18	348	¹ ALEXANDER	10 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$
2.9 $\pm$ 0.4 $\pm$ 0.4	142	ABLIKIM	07D BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071S33
 NODE=M071S33

¹ From a fit of the $p\bar{p}$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma f_2(1950)$, $\gamma f_2(2150)$, and $\gamma p\bar{p}$ phase space, for $M(p\bar{p}) < 2.85$ GeV, and accounting for backgrounds from $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 p\bar{p}$ and continuum.

NODE=M071S33;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1950) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{231}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.2 $\pm$ 0.2 $\pm$ 0.1	111	¹ ALEXANDER	10 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$

NODE=M071S46
 NODE=M071S46

¹ From a fit of the $p\bar{p}$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma f_2(1950)$, $\gamma f_2(2150)$, and $\gamma p\bar{p}$ phase space, for $M(p\bar{p}) < 2.85$ GeV, and accounting for backgrounds from $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 p\bar{p}$ and continuum.

NODE=M071S46;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(2150) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{232}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.72 $\pm$ 0.18 $\pm$ 0.03	73	¹ ALEXANDER	10 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$

NODE=M071S47
 NODE=M071S47

¹ From a fit of the $p\bar{p}$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma f_2(1950)$, $\gamma f_2(2150)$, and $\gamma p\bar{p}$ phase space, for $M(p\bar{p}) < 2.85$ GeV, and accounting for backgrounds from $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 p\bar{p}$ and continuum.

NODE=M071S47;LINKAGE=AL

 $\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{233}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.57 $\pm$ 0.36 $^{+1.77}_{-4.26}$		ABLIKIM	12D BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$

NODE=M071S48
 NODE=M071S48

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.6	90	ALEXANDER	10 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$
<5.4	90	ABLIKIM	07D BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$

 $\Gamma(\gamma X \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{234}/Γ

For a narrow resonance in the range $2.2 < M(X) < 2.8$ GeV.

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2	90	ALEXANDER	10 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$

NODE=M071S49
 NODE=M071S49
 NODE=M071S49

 $\Gamma(\gamma p \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{235}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.8 $\pm$ 1.2 $\pm$ 0.7	17	ABLIKIM	07D BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071S34
 NODE=M071S34

 $\Gamma(\gamma \gamma J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{237}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.1 $\pm$ 0.6 $^{+0.8}_{-1.0}$	1.1k	ABLIKIM	120 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071S55
 NODE=M071S55

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.2 $\pm$ 0.6	1.1k	¹ ABLIKIM	17N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma J/\psi$

¹ Uses $B(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.971 \pm 0.032)\%$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (5.961 \pm 0.033)\%$. No systematic error estimation.

NODE=M071S55;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(e^+ e^- \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{238}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.90 $\pm$ 0.26 OUR AVERAGE				
1.99 $\pm$ 0.33 $\pm$ 0.12	57	ABLIKIM	18Z BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta' e^+ e^-$, $\eta' \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
1.79 $\pm$ 0.38 $\pm$ 0.11	20	ABLIKIM	18Z BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta' e^+ e^-$, $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M071P12
 NODE=M071P12

OCCUR=2

 $\Gamma(e^+ e^- \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{239}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.77 $\pm$ 0.40 $\pm$ 0.18	3k	¹ ABLIKIM	22AX BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

NODE=M071P44
 NODE=M071P44

¹ From a fit to the recoil mass distribution of $e^+ e^-$ with inclusive $\eta_c(1S)$ decays.

NODE=M071P44;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(e^+e^- \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{240}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.9±2.6 OUR AVERAGE		[(10.5 ± 2.5) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
10.9±2.5±0.8	48	¹ ABLIKIM	17i BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^- \gamma J/\psi$

¹ ABLIKIM 17i reports (11.7 ± 2.5 ± 1.0) × 10⁻⁴ from a measurement of [$\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^- \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$)] assuming B($\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$) = (1.27 ± 0.06) × 10⁻², which we rescale to our best value B($\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$) = (1.36 ± 0.09) × 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071P01
NODE=M071P01

NEW

NODE=M071P01;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(e^+e^- \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{c0}(1P))$ $\Gamma_{240}/\Gamma_{195}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.4±1.9±0.6	48	¹ ABLIKIM	17i BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^- \gamma J/\psi$

¹ Uses B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)$) × B($\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$) = (15.8 ± 0.3 ± 0.6) × 10⁻⁴ from ABLIKIM 17N and accounts for common systematic errors.

NODE=M071P04
NODE=M071P04

NODE=M071P04;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(e^+e^- \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{241}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.6±0.7 OUR AVERAGE		[(8.5 ± 0.7) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
8.6±0.6±0.3	873	¹ ABLIKIM	17i BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^- \gamma J/\psi$

¹ ABLIKIM 17i reports (8.6 ± 0.3 ± 0.6) × 10⁻⁴ from a measurement of [$\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^- \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$)] assuming B($\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$) = (33.9 ± 1.2) × 10⁻², which we rescale to our best value B($\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$) = (33.8 ± 1.2) × 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071P02
NODE=M071P02

NEW

NODE=M071P02;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(e^+e^- \chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))$ $\Gamma_{241}/\Gamma_{196}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.3±0.3±0.4	873	¹ ABLIKIM	17i BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^- \gamma J/\psi$

¹ Uses B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)$) × B($\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$) = (351.8 ± 1.0 ± 12.0) × 10⁻⁴ from ABLIKIM 17N and accounts for common systematic errors.

NODE=M071P05
NODE=M071P05

NODE=M071P05;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(e^+e^- \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{242}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.9±0.8 OUR AVERAGE		[(6.8 ± 0.8) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
6.9±0.7±0.3	227	¹ ABLIKIM	17i BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^- \gamma J/\psi$

¹ ABLIKIM 17i reports (6.9 ± 0.5 ± 0.6) × 10⁻⁴ from a measurement of [$\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^- \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$] × [B($\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$)] assuming B($\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$) = (19.2 ± 0.7) × 10⁻², which we rescale to our best value B($\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$) = (19.1 ± 0.8) × 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M071P03
NODE=M071P03

NEW

NODE=M071P03;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(e^+e^- \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{c2}(1P))$ $\Gamma_{242}/\Gamma_{197}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.6±0.5±0.4	227	¹ ABLIKIM	17i BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^- \gamma J/\psi$

¹ Uses B($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)$) × B($\chi_{c2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$) = (199.6 ± 0.8 ± 7.0) × 10⁻⁴ from ABLIKIM 17N and accounts for common systematic errors.

NODE=M071P06
NODE=M071P06

NODE=M071P06;LINKAGE=A

WEAK DECAYS

 $\Gamma(D^0 e^+ e^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{243}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.4 × 10⁻⁷	90	¹ ABLIKIM	17AF BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

¹ Using D^0 decays to $K^- \pi^+$, $K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$, and $K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$.

NODE=M071P07
NODE=M071P07

NODE=M071P07;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Sigma}^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{244}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.4 × 10⁻⁵	90	¹ ABLIKIM	23 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)$

¹ Using $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ and $\bar{\Sigma}^- \rightarrow \bar{p} \pi^0$.

NODE=M071P43
NODE=M071P43

NODE=M071P43;LINKAGE=A

OTHER DECAYS

 $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_{245}/Γ_7

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.0	90	LEES	13i BABR	$B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\psi(2S)$

NODE=M071320

NODE=M071S64
NODE=M071S64 $\psi(2S)$ CROSS-PARTICLE BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M071240

For measurements involving $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S)) \times B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow X)$ see the corresponding entries in the $\eta_c(2S)$ sections.

NODE=M071240

MULTIPOLE AMPLITUDE RATIOS IN RADIATIVE DECAYS

NODE=M071250

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{cJ}(1P)$ and $\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$ $a_2(\chi_{c1})/a_2(\chi_{c2})$ Magnetic quadrupole transition amplitude ratioNODE=M071QAR
NODE=M071QAR

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
63 ± 7 OUR AVERAGE				
61.7 ± 8.3	253k	¹ ABLIKIM	17N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
67 ⁺¹⁹ ₋₁₃	59k	² ARTUSO	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

¹ Statistical and systematic errors combined.² Statistical and systematic errors combined. Using values from fits with floating $M2$ amplitudes $a_2(\chi_{c1})$, $a_2(\chi_{c2})$, $b_2(\chi_{c1})$, $b_2(\chi_{c2})$ and fixed $E3$ amplitudes of $a_3(\chi_{c2}) = b_3(\chi_{c2}) = 0$. Not independent of values for $a_2(\chi_{c1}(1P))$ and $a_2(\chi_{c2}(1P))$ from ARTUSO 09.NODE=M071QAR;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071QAR;LINKAGE=AR $b_2(\chi_{c2})/b_2(\chi_{c1})$ Magnetic quadrupole transition amplitude ratioNODE=M071QBR
NODE=M071QBR

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
60 ± 31 OUR AVERAGE				
74 ± 40	253k	¹ ABLIKIM	17N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
37 ⁺⁵³ ₋₄₇	59k	² ARTUSO	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

¹ Statistical and systematic errors combined. Derived from the reported measurement of $b_2(\chi_{c1})/b_2(\chi_{c2}) = 1.35 \pm 0.72$.² Statistical and systematic errors combined. Using values from fits with floating $M2$ amplitudes $a_2(\chi_{c1})$, $a_2(\chi_{c2})$, $b_2(\chi_{c1})$, $b_2(\chi_{c2})$ and fixed $E3$ amplitudes of $a_3(\chi_{c2}) = b_3(\chi_{c2}) = 0$. Not independent of values for $b_2(\chi_{c1}(1P))$ and $b_2(\chi_{c2}(1P))$ from ARTUSO 09.

NODE=M071QBR;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M071QBR;LINKAGE=AR

 $\psi(2S)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M071

ABLIKIM	25AF	JHEP 2502 212	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63277
ABLIKIM	25AG	JHEP 2503 145	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63278
ABLIKIM	25AJ	JHEP 2506 233	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63281
ABLIKIM	25E	PRL 134 191901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63246
ABLIKIM	25M	PR D111 032011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63257
ABLIKIM	25P	PR D111 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63260
ABLIKIM	25Q	PR D111 052012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63261
ABLIKIM	25W	PR D111 092007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63267
ABLIKIM	24AG	JHEP 2404 013	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62687
ABLIKIM	24BS	PR D110 052011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63022
ABLIKIM	24J	PR D109 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62660
ABLIKIM	24N	PR D109 072008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62664
ABLIKIM	24O	PR D109 072015	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62666
AAIJ	23AP	JHEP 2307 084	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=62412
ABLIKIM	23	CP C47 013002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61897
ABLIKIM	23BA	PR D108 052001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62421
ABLIKIM	23BE	PR D108 092011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62427
ABLIKIM	23BV	PR D108 112014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62518
LEES	23	PR D107 072001	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=62061
LIAO	23	PR D107 112007	L. Liao <i>et al.</i>		REFID=62279
ABLIKIM	22AP	PR D106 072006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61888
ABLIKIM	22AQ	PR D106 072007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61889
ABLIKIM	22AV	JHEP 2212 016	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61899
ABLIKIM	22AX	PR D106 112002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61901
ABLIKIM	22AY	PR D106 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61902
ABLIKIM	22AZ	PR D106 112011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61903
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=61634
ABLIKIM	21AL	PR D104 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61444
ABLIKIM	21AO	PR D104 092012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61447
ABLIKIM	21AT	JHEP 2111 226	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61463
ABLIKIM	21E	PRL 126 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61033
ABLIKIM	21L	PR D103 112004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61117
ABLIKIM	21S	PL B820 136576	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61152
ABLIKIM	21Z	PRL 127 082002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61265
LEES	21	PR D103 092001	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=61113
LEES	21C	PR D104 112004	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=61451
ABLIKIM	20F	PR D101 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60256
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=60676
ABLIKIM	19AO	PR D99 112010	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59892
ABLIKIM	19AT	PR D100 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59989
ABLIKIM	19AU	PR D100 052010	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59996
ABLIKIM	19BA	PR D100 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60024

ABLIKIM	19I	PR D99 012014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59605
ABLIKIM	19N	PR D99 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59615
ABLIKIM	18Q	PR D97 091102	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58933
ABLIKIM	18T	PR D98 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58975
ABLIKIM	18Z	PL B783 452	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59038
ANASHIN	18	PL B781 174	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=59013
LEES	18E	PR D98 112015	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=59505
ABLIKIM	17AF	PR D96 111101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58315
ABLIKIM	17AJ	PR D96 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58322
ABLIKIM	17AK	PR D96 112012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58324
ABLIKIM	17E	PL B770 217	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57903
ABLIKIM	17I	PRL 118 221802	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57931
ABLIKIM	17L	PR D95 052003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57967
ABLIKIM	17N	PR D95 072004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57978
ABLIKIM	17U	PR D96 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58026
ABLIKIM	17X	PR D96 052003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58216
DOBBS	17	PR D96 092004	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES, WAYN)	REFID=58670
LEES	17A	PR D95 052001	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=57966
AAIJ	16Y	JHEP 1605 132	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57333
ABLIKIM	16L	PR D93 072003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57510
ABLIKIM	15I	PR D91 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56774
ABLIKIM	15V	PL B749 414	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56787
ANASHIN	15	PL B749 50	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=56792
DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)	REFID=56805
LEES	15J	PR D92 072008	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=56988
ABLIKIM	14G	PR D89 112006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55898
DOBBS	14	PL B739 90	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES, WAYN)	REFID=56333
ABLIKIM	13A	PRL 110 022001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54834
ABLIKIM	13D	PR D87 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54879
ABLIKIM	13F	PR D87 052007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54920
ABLIKIM	13M	PR D87 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55386
ABLIKIM	13R	PR D88 032007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55402
ABLIKIM	13S	PR D88 032010	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55403
ABLIKIM	13W	PR D88 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55634
LEES	13I	PR D87 112005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55161
LEES	13O	PR D87 092005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55293
LEES	13Q	PR D88 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55404
LEES	13Y	PR D88 072009	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55589
AAIJ	12H	EPJ C72 1972	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=54056
ABLIKIM	12D	PRL 108 112003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54269
ABLIKIM	12G	PRL 109 042003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54272
ABLIKIM	12H	PL B710 594	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54273
ABLIKIM	12L	PR D86 072011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54739
ABLIKIM	12M	PR D86 092008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54740
ABLIKIM	12O	PRL 109 172002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54742
ABLIKIM	12Q	CP C36 1040	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=54864
ANASHIN	12	PL B711 280	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=54038
LEES	12E	PR D85 112009	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54297
LEES	12F	PR D86 012008	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54298
METREVELI	12	PR D85 092007	Z. Metreveli <i>et al.</i>	(NWES, FLOR, WAYN+)	REFID=54304
GE	11	PR D84 032008	J.Y. Ge <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53960
ABLIKIM	10B	PRL 104 132002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53348
ABLIKIM	10F	PRL 105 261801	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53630
ALEXANDER	10	PR D82 092002	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53525
CRONIN-HEN...	10	PR D81 052002	D. Cronin-Hennessey <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53233
ADAMS	09	PR D80 051106	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53103
ARTUSO	09	PR D80 112003	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53206
LIBBY	09	PR D80 072002	J. Libby <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53124
MITCHELL	09	PRL 102 011801	R.E. Mitchell <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52676
PEDLAR	09	PR D79 111101	T.K. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52998
ABLIKIM	08B	PL B659 74	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52129
ABLIKIM	08C	PL B659 789	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52130
DOBBS	08A	PRL 101 182003	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52579
MENDEZ	08	PR D78 011102	H. Mendez <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52684
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=52166
ABLIKIM	07C	PL B648 149	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51636
ABLIKIM	07D	PRL 99 011802	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=51725
ABLIKIM	07H	PR D76 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52046
ANASHIN	07	JETPL 85 347	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=51655
Translated from ZETFP 85 429.					
ANDREOTTI	07	PL B654 74	M. Andreotti <i>et al.</i>	(Femilab E835 Collab.)	REFID=51944
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51908
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52049
Also		PR D77 119902E (errat.)	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52266
AUBERT	07BD	PR D76 092006	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52050
PDG	07	Unofficial 2007 WWW edition		(PDG Collab.)	REFID=52717; ERROR=8
PEDLAR	07	PR D75 011102	T.K. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51630
ABLIKIM	06G	PR D73 052004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51048
ABLIKIM	06I	PR D74 012004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51126
ABLIKIM	06L	PRL 97 121801	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51129
ABLIKIM	06R	PR D74 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51447
ABLIKIM	06W	PR D74 112003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51560
ADAM	06	PRL 96 082004	N.E. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50989
AUBERT	06B	PR D73 012005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51026
AUBERT	06D	PR D73 052003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51047
AUBERT_BE	06D	PR D74 091103	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51511
DOBBS	06A	PR D74 011105	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51158
ABLIKIM	05E	PR D71 072006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50757
ABLIKIM	05H	PR D72 012002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50759
ABLIKIM	05I	PL B614 37	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50758
ABLIKIM	05J	PL B619 247	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50760
ABLIKIM	05O	PL B630 21	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50846
ADAM	05	PRL 94 012005	N.E. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50451

ADAM	05A	PRL 94 232002	N.E. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50763
ANDREOTTI	05	PR D71 032006	M. Andreotti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=50497
AUBERT	05D	PR D71 052001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50509
BRIERE	05	PRL 95 062001	R.A. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50785
PEDLAR	05	PR D72 051108	T.K. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50808
ROSNER	05	PRL 95 102003	J.L. Rosner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50812
ABLIKIM	04B	PR D70 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49741
ABLIKIM	04K	PR D70 112003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50327
ABLIKIM	04L	PR D70 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50328
ATHAR	04	PR D70 112002	S.B. Athar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50331
BAI	04B	PRL 92 052001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49608
BAI	04C	PR D69 072001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49749
BAI	04D	PL B589 7	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49750
BAI	04G	PR D70 012004	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49753
BAI	04I	PR D70 012006	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49755
PDG	04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=49653
SETH	04	PR D69 097503	K.K. Seth		REFID=49779
AULCHENKO	03	PL B573 63	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=49579
BAI	03B	PR D67 052002	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49186
BAI	03C	PR D67 032004	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49190
AUBERT	02B	PR D65 031101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=48548
BAI	02	PR D65 052004	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=48578
BAI	02B	PL B550 24	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49171
BAI	02C	PRL 88 101802	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50506
PDG	02	PR D66 010001	K. Hagiwara <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=48632
BAI	01	PR D63 032002	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=48003
AMBROGIANI	00A	PR D62 032004	M. Ambrogiani <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)	REFID=47939
ARTAMONOV	00	PL B474 427	A.S. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47424
BAI	00	PRL 84 594	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50503
BAI	99C	PRL 83 1918	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47420
BAI	98E	PR D57 3854	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46339
BAI	98F	PR D58 097101	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46340
BAI	98J	PRL 81 5080	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46554
ARMSTRONG	97	PR D55 1153	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(E760 Collab.)	REFID=45416
GRIBUSHIN	96	PR D53 4723	A. Gribushin <i>et al.</i>	(E672 and E706 Collab.)	REFID=44739
ARMSTRONG	93B	PR D47 772	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E760 Collab.)	REFID=43307
ALEXANDER	89	NP B320 45	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MICH, SLAC)	REFID=40345
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)	REFID=11616
GAISER	86	PR D34 711	J. Gaiser <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=22012
KURAEV	85	SJNP 41 466	E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin	(NOVO)	REFID=40033
FRANKLIN	83	PRL 51 963	M.E.B. Franklin <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22216
EDWARDS	82C	PRL 48 70	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=22173
LEMOIGNE	82	PL 113B 509	Y. Lemoigne <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, LOIC, SHMP+)	REFID=22084
HIMEL	80	PRL 44 920	T. Himel <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22119
OREGLIA	80	PRL 45 959	M.J. Oreglia <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CIT, HARV+)	REFID=22207
SCHARRE	80	PL 97B 329	D.L. Scharre <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=21329
ZHOLENTZ	80	PL 96B 214	A.A. Zholents <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=10320
Also		SJNP 34 814	A.A. Zholents <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=10321
BRANDELIK	79B	NP B160 426	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22115
BRANDELIK	79C	ZPHY C1 233	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22114
BARTEL	78B	PL 79B 492	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, HEIDP)	REFID=22111
TANENBAUM	78	PR D17 1731	W.M. Tanenbaum <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22112
BIDDICK	77	PRL 38 1324	C.J. Biddick <i>et al.</i>	(UCSD, UMD, PAVI+)	REFID=22059
BRAUNSCH...	77	PL 67B 249	W. Braunschweig <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22197
BURMESTER	77	PL 66B 395	J. Burmester <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, HAMB, SIEG+)	REFID=22198
FELDMAN	77	PRPL 33C 285	G.J. Feldman, M.L. Perl	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22062
YAMADA	77	Hamburg Conf. 69	S. Yamada	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22064
BARTEL	76	PL 64B 483	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, HEIDP)	REFID=22192
TANENBAUM	76	PRL 36 402	W.M. Tanenbaum <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL) IG	REFID=22194
WHITAKER	76	PRL 37 1596	J.S. Whitaker <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22151
ABRAMS	75	Stanford Symp. 25	G.S. Abrams	(LBL)	REFID=22176
ABRAMS	75B	PRL 34 1181	G.S. Abrams <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22177
BOYARSKI	75C	Palermo Conf. 54	A.M. Boyarski <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22179
HILGER	75	PRL 35 625	E. Hilger <i>et al.</i>	(STAN, PENN)	REFID=22186
LIBERMAN	75	Stanford Symp. 55	A.D. Liberman	(STAN)	REFID=22046
LUTH	75	PRL 35 1124	V. Luth <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL) JPC	REFID=22188
WIIK	75	Stanford Symp. 69	B.H. Wiik	(DESY)	REFID=22050

$\psi(3770)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

NODE=M053

 $\psi(3770)$ MASS (MeV)

NODE=M053M

OUR FIT includes measurements of $m_{\psi(2S)}$, $m_{\psi(3770)}$, and $m_{\psi(3770)} - m_{\psi(2S)}$.

NODE=M053M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3773.7±0.7 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.3.				
3778.1±0.7 OUR AVERAGE				
3778.1±0.7±0.6		¹ AAIJ	19M LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D\bar{D} + \text{anything}$
3779.2 ^{+1.8+0.6} _{-1.7-0.8}		² ANASHIN	12A KEDR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}$
3775.5±2.4±0.5	57	AUBERT	08B BABR	$B \rightarrow D\bar{D}K$
3776 ±5 ±4	68	BRODZICKA	08 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0K^+$
3778.8±1.9±0.9		AUBERT	07BE BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3778.8±0.3		³ HANHART	24 RVUE	K-matrix formalism
3779.8±0.6		⁴ SHAMOV	17 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}$, hadrons
3772.0±1.9		^{5,6} ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3778.4±3.0±1.3	34	CHISTOV	04 BELL	Sup. by BRODZICKA 08

NODE=M053M

¹ Measured in prompt hadroproduction.

² Taking into account interference between the resonant and non-resonant $D\bar{D}$ production.

³ Real part of pole location extracted using K-matrix formalism that includes two resonance poles, $\psi(2S)$ and $\psi(3770)$.

⁴ From the joint analysis of the data on the $D\bar{D}$ and inclusive hadronic cross sections in the $\psi(3770)$ region from BaBar, Belle, BES-II, CLEO and KEDR.

⁵ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = 0^\circ$.

⁶ Interference between the resonant and non-resonant $D\bar{D}$ production not taken into account.

NODE=M053M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M053M;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M053M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M053M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M053M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M053M;LINKAGE=NI

 $m_{\psi(3770)} - m_{\psi(2S)}$

NODE=M053DM

OUR FIT includes measurements of $m_{\psi(2S)}$, $m_{\psi(3770)}$, and $m_{\psi(3770)} - m_{\psi(2S)}$.

NODE=M053DM

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
87.6±0.7 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.3.			
86.6±0.7 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.0. See the ideogram below.			
86.9±0.4	¹ ABLIKIM	07E BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
86.7±0.7	ABLIKIM	06L BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
80 ±2	SCHINDLER	80 MRK2	e^+e^-
86 ±2	² BACINO	78 DLCO	e^+e^-
88 ±3	RAPIDIS	77 LGW	e^+e^-

NODE=M053DM


¹ BES-II $\psi(2S)$ mass subtracted (see ABLIKIM 06L).

² SPEAR $\psi(2S)$ mass subtracted (see SCHINDLER 80).

NODE=M053DM;LINKAGE=AK

NODE=M053DM;LINKAGE=S

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
86.6±0.7 (Error scaled by 2.0)



$\psi(3770)$ WIDTH

NODE=M053W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
27.2± 1.0 OUR FIT				
27.5± 0.9 OUR AVERAGE				
24.9 ^{+4.6+0.5} _{-4.0-1.1}		¹ ANASHIN	12A KEDR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}$
30.4± 8.5		^{2,3} ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
27 ±10 ±5	68	BRODZICKA	08 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0 K^+$
28.5± 1.2±0.2		³ ABLIKIM	07E BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
23.5± 3.7±0.9		AUBERT	07BE BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}\gamma$
26.9± 2.4±0.3		³ ABLIKIM	06L BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
24 ± 5		³ SCHINDLER	80 MRK2	e^+e^-
24 ± 5		³ BACINO	78 DLCO	e^+e^-
28 ± 5		³ RAPIDIS	77 LGW	e^+e^-
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
25.0± 0.5		⁴ HANHART	24 RVUE	K-matrix formalism
25.8± 1.3		⁵ SHAMOV	17 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}, \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M053W

¹ Taking into account interference between the resonant and non-resonant $D\bar{D}$ production.

² Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = 0^\circ$.

³ Interference between the resonant and non-resonant $D\bar{D}$ production not taken into account.

⁴ $-2 \times$ imaginary part of pole location extracted using K-matrix formalism that includes two resonance poles, $\psi(2S)$ and $\psi(3770)$.

⁵ From the joint analysis of the data on the $D\bar{D}$ and inclusive hadronic cross sections in the $\psi(3770)$ region from BaBar, Belle, BES-II, CLEO and KEDR.

NODE=M053W;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M053W;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M053W;LINKAGE=NI

NODE=M053W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M053W;LINKAGE=A

$\psi(3770)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M053220;NODE=M053

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1 $D\bar{D}$	(93 ⁺⁸ ₋₉) %	S=2.0
Γ_2 $D^0\bar{D}^0$	(52 ⁺⁴ ₋₅) %	S=2.0
Γ_3 D^+D^-	(41 ±4) %	S=2.0
Γ_4 $J/\psi X$	(5.0 ±2.2) × 10 ⁻³	
Γ_5 $J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$	(1.93±0.28) × 10 ⁻³	
Γ_6 $J/\psi \pi^0\pi^0$	(8.0 ±3.0) × 10 ⁻⁴	
Γ_7 $J/\psi \eta$	(8.7 ±1.2) × 10 ⁻⁴	
Γ_8 $J/\psi \pi^0$	< 2.8 × 10 ⁻⁴	CL=90%
Γ_9 e^+e^-	(9.6 ±0.7) × 10 ⁻⁶	S=1.3

DESIG=2

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=235

DESIG=4

DESIG=46

DESIG=47

DESIG=48

DESIG=1

Decays to light hadrons

NODE=M053;CLUMP=H

Г10	$b_1(1235)\pi$	< 1.4	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=20
Г11	$\phi\eta'$	< 2.3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=17
Г12	$\omega\eta'$	< 4	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=16
Г13	$\rho^0\eta'$	< 6	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=15
Г14	$\phi\eta$	$(3.1 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$			DESIG=8
Г15	$\omega\eta$	< 1.4	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=14
Г16	$\rho^0\eta$	< 5	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=13
Г17	$\phi\pi^0$	< 3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=12
Г18	$\omega\pi^0$	< 6	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=11
Г19	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	< 5	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=9
Г20	$\rho\pi$	< 5	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=10
Г21	K^+K^-	not seen			DESIG=234;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Г22	$K^*(892)^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.4	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=19
Г23	$K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=18
Г24	$K_S^0 K_L^0$	$(2.6^{+1.4}_{-1.6}) \times 10^{-5}$			DESIG=3
Г25	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	< 1.12	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=21
Г26	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$	< 1.06	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=22
Г27	$2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$	< 5.85	%	CL=90%	DESIG=208
Г28	$\omega\pi^+\pi^-$	< 6.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=24
Г29	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	< 9.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=52
Г30	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$	< 1.37	%	CL=90%	DESIG=55
Г31	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)2\pi^0$	< 11.74	%	CL=90%	DESIG=210
Г32	$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	< 1.24	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=23
Г33	$\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$	< 8.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=206
Г34	$\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	< 6.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=64
Г35	$\eta 3\pi$	< 1.34	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=25
Г36	$\eta 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	< 2.43	%	CL=90%	DESIG=53
Г37	$\eta\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	< 1.45	%	CL=90%	DESIG=221
Г38	$\eta' 3\pi$	< 2.44	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=26
Г39	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$	< 9.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=27
Г40	$\phi\pi^+\pi^-$	< 4.1	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=28
Г41	$K^+K^-2\pi^0$	< 4.2	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=207
Г42	$4(\pi^+\pi^-)$	< 1.67	%	CL=90%	DESIG=62
Г43	$4(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$	< 3.06	%	CL=90%	DESIG=63
Г44	$\phi f_0(980)$	< 4.5	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=29
Г45	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	< 2.36	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=30
Г46	$K^+K^-\rho^0\pi^0$	< 8	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=67
Г47	$K^+K^-\rho^+\pi^-$	< 1.46	%	CL=90%	DESIG=68
Г48	ωK^+K^-	< 3.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=32
Г49	$\phi\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	< 3.8	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=69
Г50	$K^{*0}K^-\pi^+\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.62	%	CL=90%	DESIG=70
Г51	$K^{*+}K^-\pi^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	< 3.23	%	CL=90%	DESIG=71
Г52	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$	< 2.67	%	CL=90%	DESIG=209
Г53	$K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	< 1.03	%	CL=90%	DESIG=57
Г54	$K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$	< 3.60	%	CL=90%	DESIG=58
Г55	ηK^+K^-	< 4.1	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=31
Г56	$\eta K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$	< 1.24	%	CL=90%	DESIG=222
Г57	$\rho^0 K^+K^-$	< 5.0	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=65
Г58	$2(K^+K^-)$	< 6.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=33
Г59	ϕK^+K^-	< 7.5	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=34
Г60	$2(K^+K^-)\pi^0$	< 2.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=35
Г61	$2(K^+K^-)\pi^+\pi^-$	< 3.2	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=59
Г62	$K_S^0 K^-\pi^+$	< 3.2	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=200
Г63	$K_S^0 K^-\pi^+\pi^0$	< 1.33	%	CL=90%	DESIG=201
Г64	$K_S^0 K^-\rho^+$	< 6.6	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=214
Г65	$K_S^0 K^-\pi^+\pi^-$	< 8.7	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=202
Г66	$K_S^0 K^-\pi^+\rho^0$	< 1.6	%	CL=90%	DESIG=215

Γ ₆₇	$K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ \eta$	< 1.3	%	CL=90%	DESIG=216
Γ ₆₈	$K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 4.18	%	CL=90%	DESIG=203
Γ ₆₉	$K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	< 4.8	%	CL=90%	DESIG=217
Γ ₇₀	$K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	< 1.22	%	CL=90%	DESIG=204
Γ ₇₁	$K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ 2\pi^0$	< 2.65	%	CL=90%	DESIG=205
Γ ₇₂	$K_S^0 K^- K^+ K^- \pi^+$	< 4.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=218
Γ ₇₃	$K_S^0 K^- K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	< 3.0	%	CL=90%	DESIG=219
Γ ₇₄	$K_S^0 K^- K^+ K^- \pi^+ \eta$	< 2.2	%	CL=90%	DESIG=220
Γ ₇₅	$K_S^{*0} K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	< 9.7	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=60
Γ ₇₆	$\rho \bar{\rho}$	not seen			DESIG=233;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ ₇₇	$\rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0$	< 4	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=54
Γ ₇₈	$\rho \bar{\rho} \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 5.8	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=36
Γ ₇₉	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=42
Γ ₈₀	$\rho \bar{\rho} \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 1.85	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=37
Γ ₈₁	$\omega \rho \bar{\rho}$	< 2.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=39
Γ ₈₂	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^0$	< 7	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=72
Γ ₈₃	$\rho \bar{\rho} 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	< 2.6	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=61
Γ ₈₄	$\eta \rho \bar{\rho}$	< 5.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=38
Γ ₈₅	$\eta \rho \bar{\rho} \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 3.3	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=223
Γ ₈₆	$\rho^0 \rho \bar{\rho}$	< 1.7	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=66
Γ ₈₇	$\rho \bar{\rho} K^+ K^-$	< 3.2	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=40
Γ ₈₈	$\eta \rho \bar{\rho} K^+ K^-$	< 6.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=224
Γ ₈₉	$\pi^0 \rho \bar{\rho} K^+ K^-$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=225
Γ ₉₀	$\phi \rho \bar{\rho}$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=41
Γ ₉₁	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 2.5	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=43
Γ ₉₂	$\Lambda \bar{\rho} K^+$	< 2.8	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=44
Γ ₉₃	$\Lambda \bar{\rho} K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 6.3	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=45
Γ ₉₄	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \eta$	< 1.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=226
Γ ₉₅	$\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=227
Γ ₉₆	$\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$	< 4	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=228
Γ ₉₇	$\Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0$	< 3.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=230
Γ ₉₈	$\Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+$	(1.4 ± 0.4)	$\times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=236
Γ ₉₉	$\Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=237
Γ ₁₀₀	$\Sigma^0 \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	< 3.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=238

Radiative decays

Γ ₁₀₁	$\gamma \chi_{c2}$	< 6.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	NODE=M053;CLUMP=R DESIG=51
Γ ₁₀₂	$\gamma \chi_{c1}$	(2.49 ± 0.23)	$\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=50
Γ ₁₀₃	$\gamma \chi_{c0}$	(7.0 ± 0.6)	$\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=49
Γ ₁₀₄	$\gamma \eta_c$	< 8	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=231
Γ ₁₀₅	$\gamma \eta_c(2S)$	< 9	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=232
Γ ₁₀₆	$\gamma \eta'$	< 1.8	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=213
Γ ₁₀₇	$\gamma \eta$	< 1.5	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=212
Γ ₁₀₈	$\gamma \pi^0$	< 2	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=211

FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, a partial width, and 3 branching ratios uses 23 measurements to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 20.1$ for 19 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

x_3	99		
x_9	0	0	
Γ	0	0	-44
	x_2	x_3	x_9

	Mode	Rate (MeV)	Scale factor	
Γ ₂	$D^0 \bar{D}^0$	14.0 ± 1.4	1.8	DESIG=5
Γ ₃	$D^+ D^-$	11.2 ± 1.1	1.7	DESIG=6
Γ ₉	$e^+ e^-$	(2.62 ± 0.18) $\times 10^{-4}$	1.4	DESIG=1

$\psi(3770)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M053225

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_9

VALUE (keV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.262±0.018 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			
0.256±0.016 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
$0.154^{+0.079+0.021}_{-0.058-0.027}$	1,2	ANASHIN	12A KEDR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}$
0.22 ± 0.05	3,4	ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
$0.277 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.013$	4	ABLIKIM	07E BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
$0.203 \pm 0.003^{+0.041}_{-0.027}$	1.4M 4,5	BESSION	06 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.276 ± 0.050	4	SCHINDLER	80 MRK2	e^+e^-
0.18 ± 0.06	4	BACINO	78 DLCO	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.196 ± 0.018	6	SHAMOV	17 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}, \text{hadrons}$
$0.414^{+0.072+0.093}_{-0.080-0.028}$	2,7	ANASHIN	12A KEDR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}$
0.37 ± 0.09	8	RAPIDIS	77 LGW	e^+e^-

NODE=M053W1
NODE=M053W1

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ Solution I of the two solutions.² Taking into account interference between the resonant and non-resonant $D\bar{D}$ production.³ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = 0^\circ$.⁴ Interference between the resonant and non-resonant $D\bar{D}$ production not taken into account.⁵ BESSION 06 (as corrected in BESSION 10) measure $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) = 6.36 \pm 0.08^{+0.41}_{-0.30}$ nb at $\sqrt{s} = 3773 \pm 1$ MeV, and obtain Γ_{ee} from the Born-level cross section calculated using $\psi(3770)$ mass and width from our 2004 edition, PDG 04.⁶ From the joint analysis of the data on the $D\bar{D}$ and inclusive hadronic cross sections in the $\psi(3770)$ region from BaBar, Belle, BES-II, CLEO and KEDR.⁷ Solution II of the two solutions.⁸ See also $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ below.NODE=M053W1;LINKAGE=A1
NODE=M053W1;LINKAGE=AN
NODE=M053W1;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M053W1;LINKAGE=NI

NODE=M053W1;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M053W1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M053W1;LINKAGE=A2

NODE=M053W1;LINKAGE=R

 $\psi(3770) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M053235

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{95}\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<101.5 × 10⁻³	90	1 ABLIKIM	24AH BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$

NODE=M053P03
NODE=M053P03¹ Interference effect between resonance and continuum amplitudes is considered. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M053P03;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{96}\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<29.2 × 10⁻³	90	1 ABLIKIM	25U BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$

NODE=M053P07
NODE=M053P07¹ Interference effect between resonance and continuum amplitudes is considered. Upper limit is for the larger of the two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M053P07;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{97}\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<89.0 × 10⁻³	90	1 ABLIKIM	24CD BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053P06
NODE=M053P06¹ From a fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0$ cross sections.

NODE=M053P06;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{98}\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(3.55±0.92) × 10⁻²	1 ABLIKIM	23BK BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053P01
NODE=M053P01¹ From a fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+$ cross sections. Signal significance is 4.5 σ .

NODE=M053P01;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Xi}^+K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{99}\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<25.0 × 10⁻³	90	1 ABLIKIM	24AL BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Xi}^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$

NODE=M053P04
NODE=M053P04¹ A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Xi}^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M053P04;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{100} \Gamma_9 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 89.5 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AL BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$

¹ A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M053P05
NODE=M053P05

NODE=M053P05;LINKAGE=A

$\psi(3770)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M053230

$$\Gamma(D\bar{D}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1 / \Gamma = (\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3) / \Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.93 $^{+0.08}_{-0.09}$ OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.0.

0.93 $^{+0.08}_{-0.09}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.1.

$0.849 \pm 0.056 \pm 0.018$		¹ ABLIKIM	08B BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{non-} D\bar{D}$
$1.033 \pm 0.014^{+0.048}_{-0.066}$	1.427M	² BESSON	06 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.836 ± 0.049		³ SHAMOV	17 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}, \text{hadrons}$
$0.866 \pm 0.050 \pm 0.036$		^{4,5} ABLIKIM	07K BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{non-} D\bar{D}$
$0.836 \pm 0.073 \pm 0.042$		⁵ ABLIKIM	06L BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}$
$0.855 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.058$		^{5,6} ABLIKIM	06N BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}$

¹ Neglecting interference.

² Obtained by comparing a measurement of the total cross section (corrected in BESSON 10) with that of $D\bar{D}$ reported by CLEO in DOBBS 07.

³ From the joint analysis of the data on the $D\bar{D}$ and inclusive hadronic cross sections in the $\psi(3770)$ region from BaBar, Belle, BES-II, CLEO and KEDR.

⁴ Using $\sigma^{\text{obs}} = 7.07 \pm 0.58 \text{ nb}$ and neglecting interference.

⁵ Not independent of ABLIKIM 08B.

⁶ From a measurement of $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D})$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3773 \text{ MeV}$, using the $\psi(3770)$ resonance parameters measured by ABLIKIM 06L.

NODE=M053R1
NODE=M053R1

NODE=M053R1;LINKAGE=AI
NODE=M053R1;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M053R1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M053R1;LINKAGE=AL
NODE=M053R1;LINKAGE=SU
NODE=M053R1;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2 / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.52 $^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$ OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.0.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.467 \pm 0.047 \pm 0.023$		ABLIKIM	06L BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0$
$0.499 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.038$		¹ ABLIKIM	06N BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0$

¹ From a measurement of $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D})$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3773 \text{ MeV}$, using the $\psi(3770)$ resonance parameters measured by ABLIKIM 06L.

NODE=M053R46
NODE=M053R46

NODE=M053R46;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(D^+ D^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3 / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.41 ± 0.04 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.0.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.369 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.028$		ABLIKIM	06L BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^-$
$0.357 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.034$		¹ ABLIKIM	06N BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^-$

¹ From a measurement of $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D})$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3773 \text{ MeV}$, using the $\psi(3770)$ resonance parameters measured by ABLIKIM 06L.

NODE=M053R47
NODE=M053R47

NODE=M053R47;LINKAGE=AB

$$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0) / \Gamma(D^+ D^-) \quad \Gamma_2 / \Gamma_3$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.253 ± 0.016 OUR FIT

1.253 ± 0.016 OUR AVERAGE

$1.252 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.013$	5.3M	BONVICINI	14 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}$
$1.39 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.12$		PAKHLOVA	08 BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D} \gamma$
$1.78 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.24$		AUBERT	07BE BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D} \gamma$
$1.27 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.08$		ABLIKIM	06L BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}$
$2.43 \pm 1.50 \pm 0.43$	34	¹ CHISTOV	04 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.258 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.014$		² DOBBS	07 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}$

¹ See ADLER 88C for older measurements of this quantity.

² Superseded by BONVICINI 14.

NODE=M053R5
NODE=M053R5

NODE=M053R5;LINKAGE=CH
NODE=M053R5;LINKAGE=DO

$\Gamma(J/\psi X)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.5±0.2±0.1	¹ ABLIKIM	21Z BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^- X$

NODE=M053P00
 NODE=M053P00

¹ From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$ cross section between 3.645 and 3.891 GeV, with $\psi(2S)$ and $\psi(3770)$ masses, total widths and leptonic widths fixed to the values from the PDG 20. An alternative fit with an improved χ^2 , corresponding to a significance of 5.3σ , uses an additional resonance with a mass of $3766.2 \pm 3.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, a total width of $22.2 \pm 5.9 \pm 1.4 \text{ MeV}$, and $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \cdot B(J/\psi X) = 79.4 \pm 85.5 \pm 11.7 \text{ eV}$, possibly compatible with the results of ABLIKIM 08H.

NODE=M053P00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.93±0.28 OUR AVERAGE				
1.89±0.20±0.20	231 ± 33	ADAM	06 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
3.4 ±1.4 ±0.9	17.8 ± 4.8	BAI	05 BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R4
 NODE=M053R4

 $\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.080±0.025±0.016	39 ± 14	ADAM	06 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R7
 NODE=M053R7

 $\Gamma(J/\psi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.7±1.2 OUR AVERAGE				
8.7±1.0±0.8	232 ± 23	¹ ABLIKIM	23v BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
8.7±3.3±2.2	22 ± 10	ADAM	06 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R8
 NODE=M053R8

¹ Incoherent fit. Alternate fits that include interference with background yield results between $(11.2 \pm 5.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$ and $(11.6 \pm 6.0 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M053R8;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<28	90	<10	ADAM	06 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R9
 NODE=M053R9

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.96±0.07 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		
1.3 ±0.2	RAPIDIS	77 LGW	e^+e^-

NODE=M053R2
 NODE=M053R2

DECAYS TO LIGHT HADRONS

 $\Gamma(b_1(1235)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.4	90	¹ ADAMS	06 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053250

¹ Comparing cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 3.671 \text{ GeV}$, neglecting interference, and using $\sigma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 6.39 \pm 0.20 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R82
 NODE=M053R82

NODE=M053R82;LINKAGE=AD

 $\Gamma(\phi\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.3 × 10⁻⁵	90	¹ ABLIKIM	23BC BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<7 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	² ADAMS	06 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R83
 NODE=M053R83

¹ ABLIKIM 23BC fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta'$ cross sections between 3.508 and 4.951 GeV considering interference between continuum and $\psi(3770)$ amplitudes.

NODE=M053R83;LINKAGE=A

² ADAMS 06 compare cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 3.671 \text{ GeV}$, neglecting interference, and using $\sigma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 6.39 \pm 0.20 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R83;LINKAGE=AD

 $\Gamma(\omega\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	¹ ADAMS	06 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R84
 NODE=M053R84

¹ Comparing cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 3.671 \text{ GeV}$, neglecting interference, and using $\sigma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 6.39 \pm 0.20 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R84;LINKAGE=AD

 $\Gamma(\rho^0\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6	90	¹ ADAMS	06 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R85
 NODE=M053R85

¹ Comparing cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 3.671 \text{ GeV}$, neglecting interference, and using $\sigma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 6.39 \pm 0.20 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R85;LINKAGE=AD

$\Gamma(\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3$		¹ ADAMS	06 CLEO	$3.773 \text{ e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \phi\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<19 90 ² ABLIKIM 07B BES2 $\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Comparing cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 3.671 \text{ GeV}$, neglecting interference, and using $\sigma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 6.39 \pm 0.20 \text{ nb}$.

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R6
NODE=M053R6

NODE=M053R6;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=M053R6;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.4	90	¹ ADAMS	06 CLEO	$\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Comparing cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 3.671 \text{ GeV}$, neglecting interference, and using $\sigma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 6.39 \pm 0.20 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R86
NODE=M053R86

NODE=M053R86;LINKAGE=AD

 $\Gamma(\rho^0\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	¹ ADAMS	06 CLEO	$\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Comparing cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 3.671 \text{ GeV}$, neglecting interference, and using $\sigma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 6.39 \pm 0.20 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R87
NODE=M053R87

NODE=M053R87;LINKAGE=AD

 $\Gamma(\phi\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3	90	¹ ADAMS	06 CLEO	$\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<50 90 ² ABLIKIM 07B BES2 $\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Comparing cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 3.671 \text{ GeV}$, neglecting interference, and using $\sigma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 6.39 \pm 0.20 \text{ nb}$.

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R11
NODE=M053R11

NODE=M053R11;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=M053R11;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\omega\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6	90	¹ ADAMS	06 CLEO	$\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Comparing cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 3.671 \text{ GeV}$, neglecting interference, and using $\sigma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 6.39 \pm 0.20 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R88
NODE=M053R88

NODE=M053R88;LINKAGE=AD

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	^{1,2} ADAMS	06 CLEO	$\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Data suggest possible destructive interference with continuum.

² Comparing cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 3.671 \text{ GeV}$, neglecting interference, and using $\sigma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 6.39 \pm 0.20 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R89
NODE=M053R89

NODE=M053R89;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=M053R89;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	^{1,2} ADAMS	06 CLEO	$\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Comparing cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 3.671 \text{ GeV}$, neglecting interference, and using $\sigma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 6.39 \pm 0.20 \text{ nb}$.

² Data suggest possible destructive interference with continuum.

NODE=M053R90
NODE=M053R90

NODE=M053R90;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=M053R90;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			

$\sim 10^{-5}$ ¹ DRUZHININ 15 RVUE $\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ DRUZHININ 15 uses BABAR and CLEO data taking into account interference of the processes $\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ and $\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$.

NODE=M053R00
NODE=M053R00

NODE=M053R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^+K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.4	90	¹ ADAMS	06 CLEO	$\text{e}^+ \text{e}^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Comparing cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 3.671 \text{ GeV}$, neglecting interference, and using $\sigma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 6.39 \pm 0.20 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R91
NODE=M053R91

NODE=M053R91;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.2	90	¹ ADAMS	06 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Comparing cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773$ GeV and $\sqrt{s} = 3.671$ GeV, neglecting interference, and using $\sigma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 6.39 \pm 0.20$ nb.

NODE=M053R92
NODE=M053R92

NODE=M053R92;LINKAGE=AD

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K_L^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.63^{+1.40}_{-1.59}$		¹ ABLIKIM	24A BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 (K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 1.2	90	² CRONIN-HEN..06	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
<21	90	³ ABLIKIM	04F BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ From the 1σ contour in the B.R. versus ϕ (relative phase between the continuum and $\psi(3770)$ amplitudes) likelihood scan. Mass, total width and electronic width of $\psi(3770)$ fixed at PDG 22 values.

² Using $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) = (6.38 \pm 0.08^{+0.41}_{-0.30})$ nb from BESSON 06 and $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.6895 \pm 0.0014$.

³ Using $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.6860 \pm 0.0027$.

NODE=M053R3
NODE=M053R3

NODE=M053R3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M053R3;LINKAGE=CR

NODE=M053R3;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<11.2	90	¹ HUANG	06A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<48	90	² ABLIKIM	07B BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R21
NODE=M053R21

NODE=M053R21;LINKAGE=HU
NODE=M053R21;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<10.6	90	¹ HUANG	06A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<62	90	² ABLIKIM	07B BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R22
NODE=M053R22

NODE=M053R22;LINKAGE=HU
NODE=M053R22;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<58.5	90	305	ABLIKIM	08N BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R72
NODE=M053R72

 $\Gamma(\omega \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 6.0	90	¹ HUANG	06A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<55	90	² ABLIKIM	07I BES2	$3.77 e^+ e^-$

¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R24
NODE=M053R24

NODE=M053R24;LINKAGE=HU
NODE=M053R24;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<91	90	¹ ABLIKIM	07B BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R07
NODE=M053R07

NODE=M053R07;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(3(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<137	90	¹ ABLIKIM	07B BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R10
NODE=M053R10

NODE=M053R10;LINKAGE=AK

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-)2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<117.4	90	59	ABLIKIM	08N BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R74
 NODE=M053R74

 $\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.24	90	¹ HUANG 06A CLEO		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<2.3	90	² ABLIKIM 10D BES2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R23
 NODE=M053R23

¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R23;LINKAGE=HU
 NODE=M053R23;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{33}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8.9	90	218	ABLIKIM	08N BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R70
 NODE=M053R70

 $\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{34}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6.9	90	¹ ABLIKIM 07F BES2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R53
 NODE=M053R53

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R53;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\eta 3\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{35}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<13.4	90	¹ HUANG 06A CLEO		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R25
 NODE=M053R25

¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

NODE=M053R25;LINKAGE=HU

 $\Gamma(\eta 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{36}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<243	90	¹ ABLIKIM 07B BES2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R08
 NODE=M053R08

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R08;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\eta\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{37}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.45	90	¹ ABLIKIM 10D BES2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R77
 NODE=M053R77

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R77;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\eta' 3\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{38}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<24.4	90	¹ HUANG 06A CLEO		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R26
 NODE=M053R26

¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

NODE=M053R26;LINKAGE=HU

 $\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{39}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 9.0	90	¹ HUANG 06A CLEO		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<48	90	² ABLIKIM 07B BES2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R27
 NODE=M053R27

¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R27;LINKAGE=HU
 NODE=M053R27;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{40}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 4.1	90	¹ HUANG 06A CLEO		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<16	90	² ABLIKIM 07B BES2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R28
 NODE=M053R28

¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R28;LINKAGE=HU
 NODE=M053R28;LINKAGE=AK

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{41}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.2	90	14	ABLIKIM	08N BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R71
 NODE=M053R71

 $\Gamma(4(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{42}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<16.7	90	¹ ABLIKIM	07F BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R50
 NODE=M053R50

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma_{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R50;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(4(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{43}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<30.6	90	¹ ABLIKIM	07F BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R52
 NODE=M053R52

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma_{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R52;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\phi f_0(980))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{44}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.5	90	¹ HUANG	06A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R29
 NODE=M053R29

¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

NODE=M053R29;LINKAGE=HU

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{45}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 23.6	90	¹ HUANG	06A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R30
 NODE=M053R30

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<111	90	² ABLIKIM	07B BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

NODE=M053R30;LINKAGE=HU

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma_{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R30;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \rho^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{46}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8	90	¹ ABLIKIM	07I BES2	$3.77 e^+ e^-$

NODE=M053R58
 NODE=M053R58

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma_{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R58;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \rho^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{47}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<146	90	¹ ABLIKIM	07I BES2	$3.77 e^+ e^-$

NODE=M053R59
 NODE=M053R59

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma_{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R59;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\omega K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{48}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.4	90	¹ HUANG	06A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R32
 NODE=M053R32

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<66	90	² ABLIKIM	07I BES2	$3.77 e^+ e^-$

¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

NODE=M053R32;LINKAGE=HU

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma_{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R32;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\phi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{49}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<38	90	¹ ABLIKIM	07I BES2	$3.77 e^+ e^-$

NODE=M053R60
 NODE=M053R60

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma_{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R60;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(K^{*0} K^- \pi^+ \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{50}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<162	90	¹ ABLIKIM	07I BES2	$3.77 e^+ e^-$

NODE=M053R61
 NODE=M053R61

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma_{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R61;LINKAGE=AK

$\Gamma(K^{*+} K^- \pi^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{51}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<323	90	¹ ABLIKIM	07I BES2	$3.77 e^+ e^-$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R62
NODE=M053R62

NODE=M053R62;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{52}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<26.7	90	24	ABLIKIM	08N BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R73
NODE=M053R73

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{53}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<10.3	90	¹ ABLIKIM	07F BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R57
NODE=M053R57

NODE=M053R57;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{54}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<36.0	90	¹ ABLIKIM	07F BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R51
NODE=M053R51

NODE=M053R51;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\eta K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{55}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 4.1	90	¹ HUANG	06A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<31	90	² ABLIKIM	10D BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Using $\sigma_{tot}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ nb}$ at the resonance.

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R31
NODE=M053R31

NODE=M053R31;LINKAGE=HU
NODE=M053R31;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\eta K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{56}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.24	90	¹ ABLIKIM	10D BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R78
NODE=M053R78

NODE=M053R78;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\rho^0 K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{57}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5.0	90	¹ ABLIKIM	07F BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R54
NODE=M053R54

NODE=M053R54;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(2(K^+ K^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{58}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 6.0	90	¹ HUANG	06A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<17	90	² ABLIKIM	07B BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Using $\sigma_{tot}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ nb}$ at the resonance.

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R33
NODE=M053R33

NODE=M053R33;LINKAGE=HU
NODE=M053R33;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\phi K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{59}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 7.5	90	¹ HUANG	06A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<24	90	² ABLIKIM	07B BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Using $\sigma_{tot}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ nb}$ at the resonance.

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38 \text{ nb}$.

NODE=M053R34
NODE=M053R34

NODE=M053R34;LINKAGE=HU
NODE=M053R34;LINKAGE=AK

$\Gamma(2(K^+ K^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.9	90	¹ HUANG 06A	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<46	90	² ABLIKIM 07B	BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R35
NODE=M053R35

NODE=M053R35;LINKAGE=HU
NODE=M053R35;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(2(K^+ K^-)\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.2	90	¹ ABLIKIM 07F	BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R48
NODE=M053R48

NODE=M053R48;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.2	90	18	ABLIKIM 08M	BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R64
NODE=M053R64

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<13.3	90	40	ABLIKIM 08M	BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R65
NODE=M053R65

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- \rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6.6	90	ABLIKIM 09C	BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R15
NODE=M053R15

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8.7	90	39	ABLIKIM 08M	BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R66
NODE=M053R66

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.6	90	ABLIKIM 09C	BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R16
NODE=M053R16

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.3	90	ABLIKIM 09C	BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R17
NODE=M053R17

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<41.8	90	23	ABLIKIM 08M	BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R67
NODE=M053R67

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.8	90	ABLIKIM 09C	BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R18
NODE=M053R18

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<12.2	90	4	ABLIKIM 08M	BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R68
NODE=M053R68

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<26.5	90	17	ABLIKIM 08M	BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R69
NODE=M053R69

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- K^+ K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.9	90	ABLIKIM 09C	BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R19
NODE=M053R19

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.0	90	ABLIKIM 09C	BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R75
NODE=M053R75

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- K^+ K^- \pi^+ \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{74}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.2	90	ABLIKIM	09C BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R76
 NODE=M053R76

 $\Gamma(K^{*0} K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{75}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<9.7	90	¹ ABLIKIM	07F BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

NODE=M053R55
 NODE=M053R55

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R55;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{76}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen ¹ AAIJ 17AD LHCb $p\bar{p} \rightarrow B^+ X \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+ X$

$7.1^{+8.6}_{-2.9}$ 684 ² ABLIKIM 14L BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

310 ± 30 684 ³ ABLIKIM 14L BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

OCCUR=2

¹ AAIJ 17AD reports $B(B^+ \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+)/B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+) < 0.09$ (0.10) at 90% (95%) CL.

NODE=M053R98;LINKAGE=C

² Solution I of two equivalent solutions in a fit with a resonance interfering with continuum.

NODE=M053R98;LINKAGE=A

³ Solution II of two equivalent solutions in a fit with a resonance interfering with continuum.

NODE=M053R98;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{77}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

< 0.4 90 1,2 ABLIKIM 14O BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

59 $^{+3}_{-2} \pm 5$ 1,3 ABLIKIM 14O BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

OCCUR=2

<12 90 ⁴ ABLIKIM 07B BES2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Calculated by the authors using $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) = 6.36 \pm 0.08^{+0.41}_{-0.30}$ nb from BESSON 10.

NODE=M053R09;LINKAGE=A

² Solution I of two equivalent solutions in a fit with a resonance interfering with continuum.

NODE=M053R09;LINKAGE=B

³ Solution II of two equivalent solutions in a fit with a resonance interfering with continuum.

NODE=M053R09;LINKAGE=C

⁴ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R09;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{78}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

< 5.8 90 ¹ HUANG 06A CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<16 90 ² ABLIKIM 07B BES2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Using $\sigma_{tot}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

NODE=M053R36;LINKAGE=HU

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R36;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{79}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<1.2 $\times 10^{-4}$ 90 ¹ HUANG 06A CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.8 $\times 10^{-4}$ 90 ² ABLIKIM 21AS BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

<4 $\times 10^{-4}$ 90 ³ ABLIKIM 07F BES2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Using $\sigma_{tot}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

NODE=M053R42;LINKAGE=HU

² From a measurement of the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ cross section between 3.5 and 4.6 GeV. At a 90% CL the lower bound is $> 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$.

NODE=M053R42;LINKAGE=A

³ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R42;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{80}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<18.5 90 ¹ HUANG 06A CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<73 90 ² ABLIKIM 07B BES2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Using $\sigma_{tot}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

NODE=M053R37;LINKAGE=HU

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R37;LINKAGE=AK

$\Gamma(\omega p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{81}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.9	90	¹ HUANG 06A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<30	90	² ABLIKIM 07I	BES2	$3.77 e^+e^-$
¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.				
² Using $\sigma^{\text{obs}} = 7.15 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.27$ nb and neglecting interference.				

NODE=M053R39
 NODE=M053R39

NODE=M053R39;LINKAGE=HU
 NODE=M053R39;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{82}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.7	90	¹ ABLIKIM 13Q	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<12	90	² ABLIKIM 07I	BES2	$3.77 e^+e^-$
¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.				
² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.				

NODE=M053R63
 NODE=M053R63

NODE=M053R63;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M053R63;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(p \bar{p} 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{83}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.6	90	¹ ABLIKIM 07F	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.				

NODE=M053R49
 NODE=M053R49

NODE=M053R49;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\eta p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{84}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 5.4	90	¹ HUANG 06A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<11	90	² ABLIKIM 10D	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.				
² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.				

NODE=M053R38
 NODE=M053R38

NODE=M053R38;LINKAGE=HU
 NODE=M053R38;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\eta p \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{85}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.3	90	¹ ABLIKIM 10D	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.				

NODE=M053R79
 NODE=M053R79

NODE=M053R79;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\rho^0 p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{86}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.7	90	¹ ABLIKIM 07F	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.				

NODE=M053R56
 NODE=M053R56

NODE=M053R56;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(p \bar{p} K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{87}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.2	90	¹ HUANG 06A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<11	90	² ABLIKIM 07B	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
¹ Using $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.				
² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.				

NODE=M053R40
 NODE=M053R40

NODE=M053R40;LINKAGE=HU
 NODE=M053R40;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\eta p \bar{p} K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{88}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6.9	90	¹ ABLIKIM 10D	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{\text{obs}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.				

NODE=M053R80
 NODE=M053R80

NODE=M053R80;LINKAGE=AK

$\Gamma(\pi^0 p \bar{p} K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{89}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.2	90	¹ ABLIKIM	10D BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R81
NODE=M053R81

NODE=M053R81;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\phi p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{90}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.3	90	¹ HUANG	06A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<9	90	² ABLIKIM	07B BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Using $\sigma_{tot}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R41
NODE=M053R41

NODE=M053R41;LINKAGE=HU
NODE=M053R41;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{91}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.5	90	¹ HUANG	06A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 4.7	90	² ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

<39	90	³ ABLIKIM	07F BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Using $\sigma_{tot}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

³ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected and using $\sigma^{obs}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.15 \pm 0.38$ nb.

NODE=M053R43
NODE=M053R43

NODE=M053R43;LINKAGE=HU
NODE=M053R43;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M053R43;LINKAGE=AK

 $\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{p} K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{92}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.8	90	¹ HUANG	06A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Using $\sigma_{tot}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

NODE=M053R44
NODE=M053R44

NODE=M053R44;LINKAGE=HU

 $\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{p} K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{93}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6.3	90	¹ HUANG	06A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Using $\sigma_{tot}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) = 7.9 \pm 0.6$ nb at the resonance.

NODE=M053R45
NODE=M053R45

NODE=M053R45;LINKAGE=HU

 $\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{94}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.9	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

NODE=M053R93
NODE=M053R93

NODE=M053R93;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{95}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.0	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

NODE=M053R94
NODE=M053R94

NODE=M053R94;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{96}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.4	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

NODE=M053R95
NODE=M053R95

NODE=M053R95;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{97}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.4	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

NODE=M053R97
NODE=M053R97

NODE=M053R97;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Xi^- \Xi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{98}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.5	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

NODE=M053R96
NODE=M053R96

NODE=M053R96;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M053240

RADIATIVE DECAYS

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{101}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<0.64	90	¹ ABLIKIM	15J	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<2.0	90	² BRIERE	06	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$

<0.9	90	³ COAN	06A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$

¹ This limit is equivalent to $(0.25 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$ branching fraction value.

² Uses $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}) = 9.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.46\%$ from ATHAR 04, $\psi(2S)$ mass and width from PDG 04, and $\Gamma_{ee}(\psi(2S)) = 2.54 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.11$ keV from ADAM 06.

³ Using $\Gamma_{ee}(\psi(2S)) = (2.54 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.11)$ keV from ADAM 06 and taking $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D})$ from HE 05 for $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770))$.

NODE=M053R03
NODE=M053R03

NODE=M053R03;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M053R03;LINKAGE=BR

NODE=M053R03;LINKAGE=CO

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{102}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

2.49±0.23 OUR AVERAGE

2.0 ± 0.8 ± 0.1	202	¹ ABLIKIM	16B	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$

2.48±0.15±0.23	0.6k	ABLIKIM	15J	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$

2.5 ± 0.8 ± 0.2		² ABLIKIM	14H	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

2.9 ± 0.5 ± 0.4		³ BRIERE	06	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}, \gamma\gamma J/\psi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.9 ± 1.4 ± 0.6	54	⁴ BRIERE	06	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$

2.8 ± 0.5 ± 0.4	53	⁵ COAN	06A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$

¹ ABLIKIM 16B reports $(1.94 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.55 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 14H reports $[\Gamma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)] = (8.51 \pm 2.39 \pm 1.42) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = 0.00346 \pm 0.00030$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. We have calculated the best value of $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$ as 1/2 of $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) = (6.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$.

³ Averages the two measurements from COAN 06A and BRIERE 06.

⁴ Uses $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}) = 9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54\%$ from ATHAR 04, $\psi(2S)$ mass and width from PDG 04, and $\Gamma_{ee}(\psi(2S)) = 2.54 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.11$ keV from ADAM 06.

⁵ Using $\Gamma_{ee}(\psi(2S)) = (2.54 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.11)$ keV from ADAM 06 and taking $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D})$ from HE 05 for $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770))$.

NODE=M053R02
NODE=M053R02

OCCUR=2

NODE=M053R02;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M053R02;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M053R02;LINKAGE=BI

NODE=M053R02;LINKAGE=BR

NODE=M053R02;LINKAGE=CO

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1})/\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{102}/Γ_5

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.49±0.31±0.26	53 ± 10	¹ COAN	06A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$

¹ Using $B(\psi(3770) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) = (1.89 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}$ from ADAM 06.

NODE=M053R04
NODE=M053R04

NODE=M053R04;LINKAGE=CO

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{103}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

7.0±0.6 OUR AVERAGE[(6.9 ± 0.6) × 10⁻³ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

6.8±0.7±0.2	2.2k	1	ABLIKIM	16B BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$
7.3±0.7±0.6	274		BRIERE	06 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 44	90	2	COAN	06A CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$

¹ ABLIKIM 16B reports $(6.88 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.67) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.99 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c0}(1P)) = (9.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Using $\Gamma_{ee}(\psi(2S)) = (2.54 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.11)$ keV from ADAM 06 and taking $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D})$ from HE 05 for $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770))$.

NODE=M053R01
 NODE=M053R01
 NEW

NODE=M053R01;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M053R01;LINKAGE=CO

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c0})/\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c2})$ $\Gamma_{103}/\Gamma_{101}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>8	90	1	BRIERE	06 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Not independent of other results in BRIERE 06.

NODE=M053R06
 NODE=M053R06

NODE=M053R06;LINKAGE=BR

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c0})/\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1})$ $\Gamma_{103}/\Gamma_{102}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.5±0.6	1	BRIERE	06 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

¹ Not independent of other results in BRIERE 06.

NODE=M053R05
 NODE=M053R05

NODE=M053R05;LINKAGE=BR

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{104}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN

<8 × 10⁻⁴ (CL = 90%) [$<7 \times 10^{-4}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2025 BEST LIMIT]

<8 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	1	ABLIKIM 14H BES3

¹ ABLIKIM 14H reports $[\Gamma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)] < 16 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = 1.97 \times 10^{-2}$. We have calculated the best value of $B(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$ as 1/3 of $B(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M053R99
 NODE=M053R99

NODE=M053R99;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{105}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN

<9 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	1	ABLIKIM 14H BES3

¹ ABLIKIM 14H reports $[\Gamma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)] < 5.6 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = 6.3 \times 10^{-3}$. We have calculated the best value of $B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$ as 1/3 of $B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = 1.9 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M053R20
 NODE=M053R20

NODE=M053R20;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{106}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<1.8	90	1	PEDLAR 09 CLE3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ Assuming maximal destructive interference between $\psi(3770)$ and continuum sources.

NODE=M053R14
 NODE=M053R14

NODE=M053R14;LINKAGE=PE

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{107}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<1.5	90	1	PEDLAR 09 CLE3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ Assuming maximal destructive interference between $\psi(3770)$ and continuum sources.

NODE=M053R13
 NODE=M053R13

NODE=M053R13;LINKAGE=PE

 $\Gamma(\gamma\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{108}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<2	90		PEDLAR 09 CLE3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M053R12
 NODE=M053R12

$\psi(3770)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M053

ABLIKIM	25U	PR D111 L051502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63265
ABLIKIM	24A	PRL 132 131901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62650
ABLIKIM	24AH	JHEP 2405 022	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62688
ABLIKIM	24AL	JHEP 2407 258	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62693
ABLIKIM	24CD	JHEP 2411 062	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63037
HANHART	24	EPJ C84 483	C. Hanhart <i>et al.</i>	(JULI, BONN, SIEG+)	REFID=62695
ABLIKIM	23BC	PR D108 052015	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62423
ABLIKIM	23BK	JHEP 2311 228	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62437
ABLIKIM	23V	PR D107 L091101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62269
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=61634
ABLIKIM	21AS	PR D104 L091104	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61454
ABLIKIM	21Z	PRL 127 082002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61265
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=60676
AAIJ	19M	JHEP 1907 035	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=59697
AAIJ	17AD	PL B769 305	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57896
SHAMOV	17	PL B769 187	A.G. Shamov, K.Yu. Todyshev		REFID=57900
ABLIKIM	16B	PL B753 103	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57126
ABLIKIM	15J	PR D91 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56775
DRUZHININ	15	PR D92 054024	V.P. Druzhinin	(NOVO)	REFID=56962
ABLIKIM	14H	PR D89 112005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55899
ABLIKIM	14L	PL B735 101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55903
ABLIKIM	14O	PR D90 032007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55906
BONVICINI	14	PR D89 072002	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=55798
ABLIKIM	13Q	PR D87 112011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55393
ANASHIN	12A	PL B711 292	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=54055
ABLIKIM	10D	EPJ C66 11	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=53350
BESSION	10	PRL 104 159901 (errat.)	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53245
ABLIKIM	09C	EPJ C64 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=53134
PEDLAR	09	PR D79 111101	T.K. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52998
ABLIKIM	08B	PL B659 74	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52129
ABLIKIM	08D	PL B660 315	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52142
ABLIKIM	08H	PRL 101 102004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52254
ABLIKIM	08M	PL B670 179	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52569
ABLIKIM	08N	PL B670 184	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52570
AUBERT	08B	PR D77 011102	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52120
BRODZICKA	08	PRL 100 092001	J. Brodzicka <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52144
PAKHLOVA	08	PR D77 011103	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52132
ABLIKIM	07B	PL B650 111	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51704
ABLIKIM	07E	PL B652 238	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51882
ABLIKIM	07F	PL B656 30	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51940
ABLIKIM	07I	EPJ C52 805	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52045
ABLIKIM	07K	PR D76 122002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52073
AUBERT	07BE	PR D76 111105	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52074
DOBBS	07	PR D76 112001	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52075
ABLIKIM	06L	PRL 97 121801	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51129
ABLIKIM	06N	PL B641 145	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51131
ADAM	06	PRL 96 082004	N.E. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50989
ADAMS	06	PR D73 012002	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50990
BESSION	06	PRL 96 092002	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51041
Also		PRL 104 159901 (errat.)	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53245
BRIERE	06	PR D74 031106	R.A. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51149
COAN	06A	PRL 96 182002	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51155
CRONIN-HEN...	06	PR D74 012005	D. Cronin-Hennessy <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51156
HUANG	06A	PRL 96 032003	G.S. Huang <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50999
BAI	05	PL B605 63	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50332
HE	05	PRL 95 121801	Q. He <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50924
Also		PRL 96 199903 (errat.)	Q. He <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51211
ABLIKIM	04F	PR D70 077101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50185
ATHAR	04	PR D70 112002	S.B. Athar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50331
CHISTOV	04	PRL 93 051803	R. Chistov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50002
PDG	04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=49653
BAI	02C	PRL 88 101802	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50506
ADLER	88C	PRL 60 89	J. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40361
SCHINDLER	80	PR D21 2716	R.H. Schindler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)	REFID=22222
BACINO	78	PRL 40 671	W.J. Bacino <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, UCLA, UCI)	REFID=11437
RAPIDIS	77	PRL 39 526	P.A. Rapidis <i>et al.</i>	(LGW Collab.)	REFID=22220

$\psi_2(3823)$
 $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(2^{--})$
 I, J, P need confirmation.
was $\psi(3823)$, $X(3823)$

Seen by BHARDWAJ 13 in $B \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma K$ and ABLIKIM 15S in $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma \chi_{c1}$ decays as a narrow peak in the invariant mass distribution of the $\chi_{c1} \gamma$ system. Properties consistent with the $\psi_2(1^3D_2) c \bar{c}$ state.

NODE=M212

NODE=M212

 $\psi_2(3823)$ MASS

NODE=M212M

NODE=M212M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3823.51 ± 0.34 OUR AVERAGE				
3824.5 ± 2.4 ± 1.0	30	¹ ABLIKIM 23J	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \chi_{c1} \gamma$
3823.12 ± 0.43 ± 0.13	120	ABLIKIM 22R	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1} \gamma$
3824.08 ± 0.53 ± 0.14	137	² AAIJ 20S	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- K^+$
3823.1 ± 1.8 ± 0.7	33 ± 10	³ BHARDWAJ 13	BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma K^\pm$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3821.7 ± 1.3 ± 0.7	19 ± 5	⁴ ABLIKIM 15S	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1} \gamma$

¹ Using the measured $m_{\psi_2(3823)} - m_{\psi(2S)}$ and assuming $m_{\psi(2S)} = 3686.097$ MeV from PDG 22.

² Using the measured $m_{\psi_2(3823)} - m_{\psi(2S)} = 137.98 \pm 0.53 \pm 0.14$ MeV.

³ From a simultaneous fit to $B^\pm \rightarrow (\chi_{c1} \gamma) K^\pm$ and $B^0 \rightarrow (\chi_{c1} \gamma) K_S^0$ with significance 4.0σ including systematics. Corrected for the measured $\psi(2S)$ mass using $B \rightarrow \psi(2S) K \rightarrow (\gamma \chi_{c1}) K$ decays.

⁴ From a simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1} \gamma$ data (the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ recoil mass) taken at $\sqrt{s}$ values of 4.23, 4.26, 4.36, 4.42, and 4.60 GeV to simulated events including both $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma$ and $\psi_2(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma$ together, with floating mass scale offset for $\psi(2S)$, floating $\psi_2(3823)$ mass, and zero $\psi_2(3823)$ width, resulting in a significance of 5.9σ when including systematic uncertainties. Superseded by ABLIKIM 22R.

NODE=M212M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M212M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M212M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M212M;LINKAGE=B

 $m_{\psi_2(3823)} = m_{\psi(2S)}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
137.98 ± 0.53 ± 0.14	137	¹ AAIJ 20S	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- K^+$
¹ AAIJ 20S also reports $m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{\psi_2(3823)} = 47.50 \pm 0.53 \pm 0.13$ MeV.				

NODE=M212A00
NODE=M212A00

NODE=M212A00;LINKAGE=A

 $\psi_2(3823)$ WIDTH

NODE=M212W

NODE=M212W

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.9					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 18.8	90	30	¹ ABLIKIM 23J	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \chi_{c1} \gamma$
< 5.2	90		² AAIJ 20S	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- K^+$
< 16	90		³ ABLIKIM 15S	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1} \gamma$
< 24	90		⁴ BHARDWAJ 13	BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma K^\pm$

¹ From a fit of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \chi_{c1} \gamma$ data at $\sqrt{s}$ values from 4.23 to 4.70 GeV to a Breit-Wigner function with floating width, using the Bayesian approach.

² AAIJ 20S also provides a limit of < 6.6 MeV with 95% CL.

³ From a fit of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1} \gamma$ data (the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ recoil mass) taken at $\sqrt{s}$ values of 4.23, 4.26, 4.36, 4.42, and 4.60 GeV to a Breit-Wigner function with the mass fixed from the likelihood fit above, Gaussian resolution smearing, and floating width.

⁴ From a simultaneous fit to $B^\pm \rightarrow (\chi_{c1} \gamma) K^\pm$ and $B^0 \rightarrow (\chi_{c1} \gamma) K_S^0$ with significance 4.0σ including systematics.

NODE=M212W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M212W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M212W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M212W;LINKAGE=A

$\psi_2(3823)$ DECAY MODESBranching fractions are given relative to the one **DEFINED AS 1**.

NODE=M212215;NODE=M212

NODE=M212

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	<0.06	90%
Γ_2 $J/\psi(1S)\pi^0\pi^0$	<0.11	90%
Γ_3 $J/\psi(1S)\pi^0$	<0.030	90%
Γ_4 $J/\psi(1S)\eta$	<0.14	90%
Γ_5 $\chi_{c0}\gamma$	<0.24	90%
Γ_6 $\chi_{c1}\gamma$	DEFINED AS 1	
Γ_7 $\chi_{c2}\gamma$	0.28 $^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$	

DESIG=3

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=7

DESIG=4

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

 $\psi_2(3823)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M212225

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen	¹ ABLIKIM	210	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$
seen	137 $\pm$ 26	AAIJ	20S	LHCB $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-K^+$

¹ From a simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ recoil mass distributions of seven decay channels in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$.

NODE=M212R00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma)$	Γ_1/Γ_6
VALUE	CL%

<0.06	90	¹ ABLIKIM	210	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$

¹ From a simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ recoil mass distributions of seven decay channels in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$.NODE=M212R06
NODE=M212R06

NODE=M212R06;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma)$	Γ_2/Γ_6
VALUE	CL%

<0.11	90	¹ ABLIKIM	210	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$

¹ From a simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ recoil mass distributions of seven decay channels in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$.NODE=M212R07
NODE=M212R07

NODE=M212R07;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma)$	Γ_3/Γ_6
VALUE	CL%

<0.03	90	¹ ABLIKIM	210	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$

¹ From a simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ recoil mass distributions of seven decay channels in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$.NODE=M212R08
NODE=M212R08

NODE=M212R08;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta)/\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma)$	Γ_4/Γ_6
VALUE	CL%

<0.14	90	¹ ABLIKIM	210	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$

¹ From a simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ recoil mass distributions of seven decay channels in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$.NODE=M212R09
NODE=M212R09

NODE=M212R09;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$	Γ_4/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID

4.4 $^{+2.5}_{-1.9} \pm 0.9$	¹ AAIJ	22D	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\eta K^+$

¹ Using the branching ratio for $B^+ \rightarrow \psi_2(3823)K^+$ with $\psi_2(3823) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ from AAIJ 20S.NODE=M212R10
NODE=M212R10

NODE=M212R10;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_5/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen	¹ ABLIKIM	210	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$

¹ From a simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ recoil mass distributions of seven decay channels in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$.NODE=M212R04
NODE=M212R04

NODE=M212R04;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
seen	120	¹ ABLIKIM	22R BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\chi_{c1}\gamma$
seen	63 ± 9	² ABLIKIM	210 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$
seen	16 ± 5	³ ABLIKIM	210 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0X$
seen	33 ± 10	⁴ BHARDWAJ	13 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma K^\pm$

¹ From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(3823)$ cross section between 4.23 and 4.70 GeV with two coherent Breit-Wigner resonances. The data is also consistent with a single peak R with mass $4417.5 \pm 26.2 \pm 3.5$ MeV and width $245 \pm 48 \pm 13$ MeV, which leads to $\Gamma(e^+e^-) B(R \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)) B(\psi_2(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma) = 0.57 \pm 0.08$ eV.

² From a simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ recoil mass distributions of seven decay channels in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$. Signal has a 11.8 σ significance.

³ From a fit of the invariant $\pi^0\pi^0$ recoil-mass distribution. Signal has a 4.3 σ significance.

⁴ BHARDWAJ 13 reports $B(B^\pm \rightarrow \psi_2(3823)K^\pm) \times B(\psi_2(3823) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (9.7 \pm 2.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-6}$ with statistical significance 3.8 σ .

NODE=M212R01
NODE=M212R01

OCCUR=2

NODE=M212R01;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M212R01;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M212R01;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M212R01;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c0}\gamma)/\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma)$ Γ_5/Γ_6

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.24	90	¹ ABLIKIM	210 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$

¹ From a simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ recoil mass distributions of seven decay channels in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$.

NODE=M212R05
NODE=M212R05

NODE=M212R05;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
seen	¹ ABLIKIM 210 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$	
not seen	² ABLIKIM 15s BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\chi_{c2}\gamma$	
not seen	³ BHARDWAJ 13 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma K^\pm$	

¹ From a simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ recoil mass distributions of seven decay channels in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$. Signal has a 3.2 σ significance.

² From a simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\chi_{c2}\gamma$ data (the $\pi^+\pi^-$ recoil mass) taken at $\sqrt{s}$ values of 4.23, 4.26, 4.36, 4.42, and 4.60 GeV to simulated events including both $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma$ and $\psi_2(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma$ together, with floating mass scale offset for $\psi(2S)$, $\psi_2(3823)$ mass floating (fixed to that above), and zero $\psi_2(3823)$ width.

³ BHARDWAJ 13 reports $B(B^\pm \rightarrow \psi_2(3823)K^\pm) \times B(\psi_2(3823) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2}) < 3.6 \times 10^{-6}$ at 90% CL.

NODE=M212R02
NODE=M212R02

NODE=M212R02;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M212R02;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M212R02;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma)/\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma)$ Γ_7/Γ_6

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.28^{+0.14}_{-0.11} \pm 0.02$	9 ± 4		¹ ABLIKIM	210 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\chi_{c2}\gamma$

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

<0.42	90		² ABLIKIM	15s BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\chi_{c2}\gamma$
<0.41	90		BHARDWAJ	13 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1/c2}\gamma K^\pm$

¹ From a simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ recoil mass distributions of seven decay channels in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$.

² From a simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\chi_{c1(2)}\gamma$ data (the $\pi^+\pi^-$ recoil mass) taken at $\sqrt{s}$ values of 4.23, 4.26, 4.36, 4.42, and 4.60 GeV to simulated events including both $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1(2)}\gamma$ and $\psi_2(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1(2)}\gamma$ together, with floating mass scale offset for $\psi(2S)$, $\psi_2(3823)$ mass floating (fixed to that above), and zero $\psi_2(3823)$ width.

NODE=M212R03
NODE=M212R03

NODE=M212R03;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M212R03;LINKAGE=A

 $\psi_2(3823)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	23J	JHEP 2302 171	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	22D	JHEP 2204 046	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22R	PRL 129 102003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	210	PR D103 L091102	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	20S	JHEP 2008 123	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15S	PRL 115 011803	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
BHARDWAJ	13	PRL 111 032001	V. Bhardwaj <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

NODE=M212

REFID=62052
REFID=61647
REFID=61664
REFID=61634
REFID=61121
REFID=60526
REFID=56784
REFID=55412

$\psi_3(3842)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{--})$
 J, P need confirmation.

J^P has not been measured, 3^- is the quark model prediction.

NODE=M241

NODE=M241

NODE=M241M

NODE=M241M

NODE=M241W

NODE=M241W

NODE=M241215;NODE=M241

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

NODE=M241225

NODE=M241R01
NODE=M241R01

NODE=M241R01;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M241R02
NODE=M241R02

NODE=M241

REFID=61884
REFID=59697

$\psi_3(3842)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3842.71±0.16±0.12	AAIJ	19M LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D\bar{D} + \text{anything}$

$\psi_3(3842)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.79±0.51±0.35	AAIJ	19M LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D\bar{D} + \text{anything}$

$\psi_3(3842)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad D^+ D^-$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad D^0 \bar{D}^0$	seen

$\psi_3(3842)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^+ D^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	19M LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D\bar{D} + \text{anything}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

possibly seen ¹ ABLIKIM 22AL BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- D^+ D^-$

¹ From a fit to the $\pi^+\pi^-$ recoil mass for $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- \pi^+\pi^-$.

$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	19M LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D\bar{D} + \text{anything}$

$\psi_3(3842)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	22AL PR D106 052012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	19M JHEP 1907 035	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)

NODE=M237

$\chi_{c0}(3860)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

The assignment $J^P = 0^+$ is preferred over 2^+ by 2.5 sigma.

NODE=M237

Observed by CHILIKIN 17 using full amplitude analysis of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi D\bar{D}$, where $D = D^0, D^+$. Not seen by AAIJ 20AI in the decay $B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$.

$\chi_{c0}(3860)$ MASS

NODE=M237M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3862^{+26+40}_{-32-13}	CHILIKIN	17	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi D\bar{D}$

NODE=M237M

$\chi_{c0}(3860)$ WIDTH

NODE=M237W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$201^{+154+88}_{-67-82}$	CHILIKIN	17	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi D\bar{D}$

NODE=M237W

$\chi_{c0}(3860)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M237215;NODE=M237

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad D^0\bar{D}^0$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad D^+D^-$	seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

$\chi_{c0}(3860)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M237220

$\Gamma(D^0\bar{D}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	CHILIKIN	17	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi D^0\bar{D}^0$
$\Gamma(D^+D^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	CHILIKIN	17	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi D^+D^-$

NODE=M237R00
NODE=M237R00

NODE=M237R01
NODE=M237R01

$\chi_{c0}(3860)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M237

AAIJ	20AI	PR D102 112003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
CHILIKIN	17	PR D95 112003	K. Chilikin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.) JPC

REFID=60739
REFID=57995

$\chi_{c1}(3872)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$$

also known as $X(3872)$

This state shows properties different from a conventional $q\bar{q}$ state.
A candidate for an exotic structure. See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

First observed by CHOI 03 in $B \rightarrow K\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S)$ decays as a narrow peak in the invariant mass distribution of the $\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S)$ final state. Isovector hypothesis excluded by AUBERT 05B and CHOI 11.

AAIJ 13Q perform a full five-dimensional amplitude analysis of the angular correlations between the decay products in $B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+$ decays, where $\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, which unambiguously gives the $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ assignment under the assumption that the $\pi^+\pi^-$ and J/ψ are in an S -wave. AAIJ 15AO extend this analysis with more data to limit D -wave contributions to $< 4\%$ at 95% CL.

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Mesons Containing Two Heavy Quarks."

NODE=M176

NODE=M176

 $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(3871.70 \pm 0.15^{+0.07}_{-0.08})$ $-i(0.19 \pm 0.08^{+0.14}_{-0.19})$	¹ ABLIKIM	24C BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(3872)$

¹ From simultaneous line shape fits of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma[D^0\bar{D}^0\pi^0]$ and $\gamma[J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-]$. The most prominent pole is reported at $7.04 \pm 0.15^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$ MeV above the $D^0\bar{D}^0\pi^0$ threshold of 3864.66 MeV on the first sheet with respect to the $D^{*0}\bar{D}^0$ channel. The uncertainty in the D^0 width is included in the uncertainty of the pole mass.

NODE=M176PP

NODE=M176PP

NODE=M176PP

NODE=M176PP;LINKAGE=A

 $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ MASS FROM $J/\psi X$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3871.64 $\pm$ 0.06 OUR AVERAGE				
3870.2 $\pm$ 0.7 $\pm$ 0.3	24.6	ABLIKIM	23W BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-\omega$
3871.64 $\pm$ 0.06 $\pm$ 0.01	19.8k	¹ AAIJ	20S LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-K^+$
3871.9 $\pm$ 0.7 $\pm$ 0.2	20	ABLIKIM	14 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
3871.95 $\pm$ 0.48 $\pm$ 0.12	0.6k	AAIJ	12H LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-X$
3871.85 $\pm$ 0.27 $\pm$ 0.19	170	² CHOI	11 BELL	$B \rightarrow K\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$
3873 $\pm$ 1.8 $\pm$ 1.3	27	³ DEL-AMO-SA...10B	BABR	$B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$
3871.61 $\pm$ 0.16 $\pm$ 0.19	6k	^{3,4} AALTONEN	09AU CDF2	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-X$
3871.4 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.1	93.4	AUBERT	08Y BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
3868.7 $\pm$ 1.5 $\pm$ 0.4	9.4	AUBERT	08Y BABR	$B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
3871.8 $\pm$ 3.1 $\pm$ 3.0	522	^{3,5} ABAZOV	04F D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3871.57 $\pm$ 0.09	155	⁶ AAIJ	23AP LHCb	$B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
3871.695 $\pm$ 0.067 $\pm$ 0.068	15.6k	⁷ AAIJ	20AD LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-X$
3871.59 $\pm$ 0.06 $\pm$ 0.03	4.2k	⁸ AAIJ	20S LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-K^+$
3873.3 $\pm$ 1.1 $\pm$ 1.0	45	⁹ ABLIKIM	19V BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\omega J/\psi$
3860.0 $\pm$ 10.4	13.6	^{3,10} AGHASYAN	18A COMP	$\gamma^* N \rightarrow X\pi^\pm N'$
3868.6 $\pm$ 1.2 $\pm$ 0.2	8	¹¹ AUBERT	06 BABR	$B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M176M

NODE=M176M

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

3871.3	± 0.6	± 0.1	61	¹¹	AUBERT	06	BABR	$B^- \rightarrow K^- J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
3873.4	± 1.4		25	¹²	AUBERT	05R	BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
3871.3	± 0.7	± 0.4	730	^{3,13}	ACOSTA	04	CDF2	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- X$
3872.0	± 0.6	± 0.5	36	¹⁴	CHOI	03	BELL	$B \rightarrow K \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
3836	± 13		58	^{3,15}	ANTONIAZZI	94	E705	$300 \pi^\pm \text{Li} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- X$

OCCUR=2

¹ Calculated from $m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{\psi(2S)} = 185.54 \pm 0.06$ MeV obtained by combining the data with $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ produced in B^+ decays from AAIJ 20S and inclusive b -hadron decays from AAIJ 20AD and using $m_{\psi(2S)} = 3686.097$ MeV. Breit-Wigner parametrization.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=F

² The mass difference for the $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ produced in B^+ and B^0 decays is $(-0.71 \pm 0.96 \pm 0.19)$ MeV.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=CO

³ Width consistent with detector resolution.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=AC

⁴ A possible equal mixture of two states with a mass difference greater than 3.6 MeV/ c^2 is excluded at 95% CL.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=AA

⁵ Calculated from the corresponding $m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{J/\psi}$ using $m_{J/\psi} = 3096.916$ MeV.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=AB

⁶ From a fit of a relativistic S -wave Breit-Wigner convolved with the detector resolution. The width of $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ is constrained to the PDG 22 value. Systematic errors not evaluated.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=H

⁷ Using $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ produced in inclusive b -hadron decays and $m_{\psi(2S)} = 3686.097 \pm 0.010$ MeV. Breit-Wigner parametrization. Superseded by the combined value in AAIJ 20S.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=D

⁸ Using Breit-Wigner parametrization. Superseded by the combined value in AAIJ 20S.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=E

⁹ Fit with fixed width and including two resonances, $\chi_{c0}(3915)$ and $X(3960)$.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=B

¹⁰ Could be a different state.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=A

¹¹ Calculated from the corresponding $m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{\psi(2S)}$ using $m_{\psi(2S)} = 3686.093$ MeV. Superseded by AUBERT 08Y.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=AE

¹² Calculated from the corresponding $m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{\psi(2S)}$ using $m_{\psi(2S)} = 3685.96$ MeV. Superseded by AUBERT 06.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=AU

¹³ Superseded by AALTONEN 09AU.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=AT

¹⁴ Superseded by CHOI 11.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=CH

¹⁵ A lower mass value can be due to an incorrect momentum scale for soft pions.

NODE=M176M;LINKAGE=AN

$\chi_{c1}(3872)$ MASS FROM $\bar{D}^{*0} D^0$ MODE

NODE=M176MD0

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
3873.71 ^{+0.56} _{-0.50} ± 0.13		¹ HIRATA	23	BELL $B^0 \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^{*0} K^0$ $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^{*0} K^+$
3872.9 ^{+0.6} _{-0.4} ± 0.4	50	^{2,3} AUSHEV	10	BELL $B \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} D^0 K$
3875.1 ^{+0.7} _{-0.5} ± 0.5	33 ± 6	³ AUBERT	08B	BABR $B \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} D^0 K$
3875.2 ± 0.7	24 ± 6	^{3,4} GOKHROO	06	BELL $B \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^{*0} \pi^0 K$

¹ From a fit of a Breit-Wigner function with energy dependent width.

NODE=M176MD0;LINKAGE=A

² Calculated from the measured $m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{D^{*0}} - m_{\bar{D}^0} = 1.1^{+0.6+0.1}_{-0.4-0.3}$ MeV.

NODE=M176MD0;LINKAGE=AS

³ Experiments report $D^{*0} \bar{D}^0$ invariant mass above $D^{*0} \bar{D}^0$ threshold because D^{*0} decay products are kinematically constrained to the D^{*0} mass, even though the D^{*0} may decay off-shell.

NODE=M176MD0;LINKAGE=AU

⁴ Superseded by AUSHEV 10.

NODE=M176MD0;LINKAGE=GO

$m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{J/\psi}$

NODE=M176DM

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
774.9 $\pm 3.1 \pm 3.0$	522	ABAZOV	04F D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- X$

NODE=M176DM

$m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{\psi(2S)}$

NODE=M176DM2

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
185.598 $\pm 0.067 \pm 0.068$	15.6k	¹ AAIJ	20AD LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- X$
185.54 ± 0.06	19.8k	² AAIJ	20S LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- X$
187.4 ± 1.4	25	³ AUBERT	05R BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M176DM2

¹ Using $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ produced in inclusive b -hadron decays. Breit-Wigner parametrization. Superseded by the combined value in AAIJ 20S.

² Combining $m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{\psi(2S)} = 185.49 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03$ MeV from AAIJ 20S and the measured mass difference from AAIJ 20AD. Breit-Wigner parametrization.

³ Superseded by AUBERT 06.

NODE=M176DM2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M176DM2;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M176DM2;LINKAGE=AU

$\chi_{c1}(3872)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL% EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.19±0.21 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
1.39±0.24±0.10	15.6k	¹ AAIJ	20AD LHCb	$p p \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- X$
0.96 ^{+0.19} _{-0.18} ±0.21	4.2k	² AAIJ	20S LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- K^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<2.4	90	ABLIKIM	14	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
<1.2	90	CHOI	11	BELL	$B \rightarrow K \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
<3.3	90	AUBERT	08Y	BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
<4.1	90	69 AUBERT	06	BABR	$B \rightarrow K \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
<2.3	90	36	3	CHOI	03 BELL $B \rightarrow K \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$

¹ Using $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ produced in inclusive b -hadron decays. Breit-Wigner parametrization.

² Using Breit-Wigner parametrization. Partially overlapping dataset with that of AAIJ 20AD.

³ Superseded by CHOI 11.

NODE=M176W

NODE=M176W

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

NODE=M176W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M176W;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M176W;LINKAGE=CH

$\chi_{c1}(3872)$ WIDTH FROM $\bar{D}^{*0} D^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5.2 ^{+2.2} _{-1.5} ±0.4		¹ HIRATA	23	BELL $B^0 \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^{*0} K^0$, $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^{*0} K^+$
3.9 ^{+2.8+0.2} _{-1.4-1.1}	50	² AUSHEV	10	BELL $B \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} D^0 K$
3.0 ^{+1.9} _{-1.4} ±0.9	33 ± 6	AUBERT	08B	BABR $B \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} D^0 K$

¹ From a fit of a Breit-Wigner function with energy dependent width.

² With a measured value of $B(B \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K) \times B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0) = (0.80 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$, assumed to be equal for both charged and neutral modes.

NODE=M176WD0

NODE=M176WD0

NODE=M176WD0;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M176WD0;LINKAGE=AU

$\chi_{c1}(3872)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $e^+ e^-$	< 2.7 $\times 10^{-7}$	90%
Γ_2 $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 1.0 %	90%
Γ_3 $\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S)$	(4.3 ± 1.4) %	
Γ_4 $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi(1S)$	not seen	
Γ_5 $\omega \eta_c(1S)$	< 40 %	90%
Γ_6 $\rho(770)^0 J/\psi(1S)$	(3.4 ± 1.1) %	
Γ_7 $\omega J/\psi(1S)$	(5.0 ± 1.9) %	
Γ_8 $\phi \phi$	not seen	
Γ_9 $D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0$	(55 ± 28) %	
Γ_{10} $\bar{D}^{*0} D^0$	(46 ± 16) %	
Γ_{11} $\gamma \gamma$	< 13 %	90%
Γ_{12} $D^0 \bar{D}^0$	< 32 %	90%
Γ_{13} $D^+ D^-$	< 22 %	90%
Γ_{14} $\pi^0 \chi_{c2}$	< 5 %	90%
Γ_{15} $\pi^0 \chi_{c1}$	(3.8 ⁺ _{-1.7} ± 1.9) %	
Γ_{16} $\pi^0 \chi_{c0}$	< 16 %	90%
Γ_{17} $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c(1S)$	< 16 %	90%
Γ_{18} $\pi^0 \pi^0 \chi_{c0}$	< 7 %	90%
Γ_{19} $\pi^0 \pi^0 \chi_{c1}$	< 5 %	90%
Γ_{20} $\pi^0 \pi^0 \chi_{c2}$	< 2.2 %	90%
Γ_{21} $\pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c0}$	< 2.4 %	90%
Γ_{22} $\pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1}$	< 8 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{23} $p \bar{p}$	< 2.7 $\times 10^{-5}$	95%
Γ_{24} $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	< 5 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%

NODE=M176215;NODE=M176

DESIG=1

DESIG=29

DESIG=2

DESIG=25

DESIG=24

DESIG=32

DESIG=13

DESIG=26

DESIG=8

DESIG=12

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=7

DESIG=20

DESIG=18

DESIG=19

DESIG=14

DESIG=28

DESIG=36

DESIG=37

DESIG=27

DESIG=17

DESIG=16

DESIG=34

Radiative decays

Γ_{25}	$\gamma D^+ D^-$	< 4	%	90%
Γ_{26}	$\gamma \bar{D}^0 D^0$	< 7	%	90%
Γ_{27}	$\gamma J/\psi$	$(10 \pm 4) \times 10^{-3}$		
Γ_{28}	$\gamma \chi_{c1}$	< 1.0	%	90%
Γ_{29}	$\gamma \chi_{c2}$	< 4	%	90%
Γ_{30}	$\gamma \psi(2S)$	possibly seen		
Γ_{31}	$\gamma \psi_2(3823)$	< 3.3	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%

C-violating decays

Γ_{32}	$\eta J/\psi$	< 2.1	%	90%

NODE=M176;CLUMP=B

DESIG=21

DESIG=23

DESIG=9

DESIG=3

DESIG=15

DESIG=11

DESIG=35

NODE=M176;CLUMP=A

DESIG=4

 $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS **$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$** **Γ_1**

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.32	90	¹ ABLIKIM 230	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 4.3	90	² ABLIKIM 15v	BES3	$4.0\text{--}4.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
<280	90	³ YUAN 04	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

¹ Fit to cross section using a total width value of 1.19 ± 0.21 MeV and $B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S)) = (3.8 \pm 1.2)\%$ from PDG 20.

² ABLIKIM 15v reports this limit from the measurement of $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S)) \times \Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma < 0.13$ eV using $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma = 3\%$.

³ Using BAI 98E data on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$. Assuming that $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi)$ of $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ is the same as that of $\psi(2S)$ (85.4 keV).

NODE=M176220

NODE=M176W1
NODE=M176W1

NODE=M176W1;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M176W1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M176W1;LINKAGE=A

 $\chi_{c1}(3872) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$ **$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_3 \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$**

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 7.5×10^{-3}	90	¹ ABLIKIM 230	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 0.13	90	ABLIKIM 15v	BES3	$4.0\text{--}4.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
< 6.2	90	^{2,3} AUBERT 05D	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^- \pi^+\pi^- \gamma$
< 8.3	90	³ DOBBS 05	CLE3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
<10	90	⁴ YUAN 04	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

¹ Fit to cross section using a total width value of 1.19 ± 0.21 MeV from PDG 20.

² Using $B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-) \cdot B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \cdot \Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow e^+e^-) < 0.37$ eV from AUBERT 05D and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 0.0588 \pm 0.0010$ from the PDG 04.

³ Assuming $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ has $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$.

⁴ Using BAI 98E data on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$. From theoretical calculation of the production cross section and using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.88 \pm 0.10)\%$.

NODE=M176230

NODE=M176G1
NODE=M176G1

NODE=M176G1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M176G1;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M176G1;LINKAGE=DO

NODE=M176G1;LINKAGE=A

 $\chi_{c1}(3872) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$ **$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_3 \Gamma_{11} / \Gamma$**

VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.5^{+4.1}_{-3.8} \pm 0.7$		3	¹ TERAMOTO 21	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^* \gamma$ at $\Upsilon(nS)$
<12.9	90		² DOBBS 05	CLE3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi \gamma$

¹ Measured in single-tag two-photon production assuming Q^2 dependence of a $c\bar{c}$ meson model. Here, $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ is the reduced two-photon decay width, $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\gamma}$.

² Assuming $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ has positive C parity and spin 0.

NODE=M176232

NODE=M176H1
NODE=M176H1

NODE=M176H1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M176H1;LINKAGE=DO

 $\Gamma(\omega J/\psi(1S)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_7 \Gamma_{11} / \Gamma$**

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.7	90	¹ LEES 12AD	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \omega J/\psi$

¹ Assuming $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ has spin 2.

NODE=M176G01
NODE=M176G01

NODE=M176G01;LINKAGE=LE

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta_c(1S)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{17}\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<11.1	90	LEES	12AE BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta_c$	

NODE=M176G02
NODE=M176G02

$\chi_{c1}(3872)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M176235

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_2/Γ
VALUE (%)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M176R30
NODE=M176R30

<1.0 90 1,2 YIN 23 BELL $B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.3 90 2,3 YIN 23 BELL $B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^0$

OCCUR=2

¹YIN 23 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] < 1.9 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M176R30;LINKAGE=A

²Assuming the decay products, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, are uniformly distributed in phase space. The limit is the 90% "credible" upper limit (i.e. Bayesian).

NODE=M176R30;LINKAGE=E

³YIN 23 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^0)] < 1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^0) = 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M176R30;LINKAGE=D

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_3/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M176R6
NODE=M176R6

0.043±0.014 OUR AVERAGE

0.043±0.002±0.013 ¹AAIJ 20S LHC B $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-K^+$

0.047±0.005±0.015 ²CHOI 11 BELL $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi K^+$

0.045±0.009±0.014 93 ^{3,4}AUBERT 08Y BABR $B \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen 151 ⁵BALA 15 BELL $B \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K\pi$

0.069±0.022±0.022 30 ⁶AUBERT 05R BABR $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$

0.074±0.016±0.023 36 ⁷CHOI 03 BELL $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$

NODE=M176R6;LINKAGE=E

¹AAIJ 20S reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] = (7.95 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = (1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

²CHOI 11 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] = (8.63 \pm 0.82 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = (1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M176R6;LINKAGE=F

³AUBERT 08Y reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] = (8.4 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = (1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M176R6;LINKAGE=AB

⁴superseded by LEES 20C

⁵BALA 15 reports $B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi) \times B(B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+\pi^-) = (7.9 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$ and $B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi) \times B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^0\pi^+) = (10.6 \pm 3.0 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-6}$.

NODE=M176R6;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M176R6;LINKAGE=A

⁶Superseded by AUBERT 08Y. AUBERT 05R reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] = (1.28 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = (1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M176R6;LINKAGE=AE

⁷CHOI 03 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] / [B(B^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S)K^+)] / [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)] = 0.063 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.007$ which we multiply or divide by our best values $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = (1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(B^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S)K^+) = (6.24 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.68 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M176R6;LINKAGE=CH

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_4/Γ
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M176R25
NODE=M176R25

not seen ¹WANG 11B BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

not seen ²SHEN 10A BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹WANG 11B reports $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(3872)) \times B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0J/\psi) < 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$ at 95% CL.

NODE=M176R25;LINKAGE=B

²SHEN 10A reports $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(3872)) \times B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0J/\psi) < 2.8 \times 10^{-6}$ at 95% CL.

NODE=M176R25;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.4	90	¹ VINOKUROVA 15	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \omega\eta_c K^+$
¹ VINOKUROVA 15 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \omega\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] < 6.9 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M176R24
NODE=M176R24

NODE=M176R24;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\rho(770)^0 J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S)) \quad \Gamma_6/\Gamma_3$$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
78.6±2.3±2.0	¹ AAIJ 23S	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Assuming pure ρ contribution only, i.e. excluding the contribution from ρ - ω interference. Using $B(\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = 100\%$.

NODE=M176R33
NODE=M176R33

NODE=M176R33;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.032±0.012±0.010	21±7	¹ DEL-AMO-SA..10B	BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K^+$
¹ DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10B reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \omega J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] = (6 \pm 2 \pm 1) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = (1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10B also reports $B(B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^0) \times B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \omega) = (6 \pm 3 \pm 1) \times 10^{-6}$.				

NODE=M176R14
NODE=M176R14

NODE=M176R14;LINKAGE=DE

$$\Gamma(\omega J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S)) \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma_3$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.16±0.24 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
1.24±0.33±0.10	^{1,2} AAIJ 23S	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
1.6 ^{+0.4} _{-0.3} ±0.2	³ ABLIKIM 19V	BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \omega J/\psi$
0.8 ±0.3	⁴ DEL-AMO-SA..10B	BABR	$B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$

¹ AAIJ 23S reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \omega J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)] = (1.9 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (1.53 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Excluding ρ - ω interference effects.

³ Fit with fixed width and including two resonances, $\chi_{c0}(3915)$ and $X(3960)$.

⁴ Statistical and systematic errors added in quadrature. Uses the values of $B(B \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K) \times B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)$ reported in AUBERT 08Y, taking into account the common systematics.

NODE=M176R15
NODE=M176R15

OCCUR=3

NODE=M176R15;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M176R15;LINKAGE=H
NODE=M176R15;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M176R15;LINKAGE=DE

$$\Gamma(\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_8/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ AAIJ 17BB	LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ AAIJ 17BB reports $B(b \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)\text{anything}) \times B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \phi\phi) < 4.5 \times 10^{-7}$ at 95% CL.

NODE=M176R26
NODE=M176R26

NODE=M176R26;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.55^{+0.20}_{-0.23} \pm 0.17$		17	¹ GOKHROO 06	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0 K^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.32	90	² CHISTOV 04	BELL	Sup. by GOKHROO 06
¹ GOKHROO 06 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] = (1.02 \pm 0.31+0.21-0.29) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = (1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				
² CHISTOV 04 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] < 0.6 \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M176R12
NODE=M176R12

NODE=M176R12;LINKAGE=GO

NODE=M176R12;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S)) \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma_3$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.16	90	ABLIKIM 20W	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

NODE=M176R17
NODE=M176R17

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^{*0} D^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.46 $\pm$ 0.16 OUR AVERAGE

0.52 $^{+0.13}_{-0.11}$ $\pm$ 0.16		1,2 HIRATA	23	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.42 $\pm$ 0.10 $\pm$ 0.13	41 $^{+9}_{-8}$	3 AUSHEV	10	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0 K^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.90 $\pm$ 0.32 $\pm$ 0.28	27 $\pm$ 6	4 AUBERT	08B	BABR $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} D^0 K^+$

¹ HIRATA 23 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} D^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (0.97^{+0.21}_{-0.18} \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

³ AUSHEV 10 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} D^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (0.77 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴ AUBERT 08B reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} D^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (1.67 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.47) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M176R13
NODE=M176R13

NODE=M176R13;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M176R13;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M176R13;LINKAGE=AS

NODE=M176R13;LINKAGE=AU

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^{*0} D^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$
 Γ_{10}/Γ_3

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

11.77 $\pm$ 3.09 50 ABLIKIM 20W BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

NODE=M176R16
NODE=M176R16

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<0.13 90 ¹ WICHT 08 BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

¹ WICHT 08 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M176R09
NODE=M176R09

NODE=M176R09;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<0.32 90 ¹ CHISTOV 04 BELL $B \rightarrow K D^0 \bar{D}^0$

¹ CHISTOV 04 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 6 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M176R3
NODE=M176R3

NODE=M176R3;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D^+ D^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<0.22 90 ¹ CHISTOV 04 BELL $B \rightarrow K D^+ D^-$

¹ CHISTOV 04 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow D^+ D^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 4 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M176R4
NODE=M176R4

NODE=M176R4;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \chi_{c2})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$
 Γ_{14}/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<1.1 90 ABLIKIM 19U BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

NODE=M176R06
NODE=M176R06

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.04 90 ¹ BHARDWAJ 19 BELL $B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^0 \chi_{c1} K^\pm$

¹ BHARDWAJ 19 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^0 \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 8.1 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M176R23
NODE=M176R23

NODE=M176R23;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \chi_{c1})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$
 Γ_{15}/Γ_3

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

88 $^{+33}_{-27}$ $\pm$ 10 10.8 ABLIKIM 19U BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

NODE=M176R05
NODE=M176R05

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \chi_{c0})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$ Γ_{16}/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.6	90	ABLIKIM	22D BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<19	90	ABLIKIM	19U BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

NODE=M176R04
 NODE=M176R04

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.16	90	¹ VINOKUROVA 15	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c K^+$
¹ VINOKUROVA 15 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 3.0 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M176R22
 NODE=M176R22

NODE=M176R22;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \chi_{c0})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$ Γ_{18}/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.7	90	ABLIKIM	22D BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

NODE=M176R28
 NODE=M176R28

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \chi_{c1})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$ Γ_{19}/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.1	90	ABLIKIM	24BU BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

NODE=M176R37
 NODE=M176R37

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \chi_{c2})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$ Γ_{20}/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.5	90	ABLIKIM	24BU BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

NODE=M176R38
 NODE=M176R38

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c0})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$ Γ_{21}/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.56	90	ABLIKIM	22D BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

NODE=M176R29
 NODE=M176R29

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8 × 10⁻³	90	¹ BHARDWAJ 16	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1} K^+$
¹ BHARDWAJ 16 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M176R00
 NODE=M176R00

NODE=M176R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.7 × 10⁻⁵	95	¹ AAIJ	17AD LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<9 × 10 ⁻⁵	95	² AAIJ	13S LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+$
¹ AAIJ 17AD reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 0.5 \times 10^{-8}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.				
² AAIJ 13S reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 1.7 \times 10^{-8}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M176R03
 NODE=M176R03

NODE=M176R03;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M176R03;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$ Γ_{24}/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.12	90	ABLIKIM	24K BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

NODE=M176R35
 NODE=M176R35

Radiative decays

NODE=M176410

 $\Gamma(\gamma D^+ D^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$ Γ_{25}/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.99	90	ABLIKIM	20W BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

NODE=M176R20
 NODE=M176R20

 $\Gamma(\gamma \bar{D}^0 D^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$ Γ_{26}/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.58	90	ABLIKIM	20W BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

NODE=M176R21
 NODE=M176R21

$\Gamma(\gamma J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$9.6^{+2.7}_{-2.5} \pm 3.0$		¹ BHARDWAJ 11	BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi K^\pm$

 Γ_{27}/Γ

NODE=M176R7
NODE=M176R7

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

15 ± 4 ± 5	20	² AUBERT	09B BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi K^+$
18 ± 6 ± 6	19	³ AUBERT,BE	06M BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi K^+$

¹ BHARDWAJ 11 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (1.78^{+0.48}_{-0.44} \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M176R7;LINKAGE=BA

² AUBERT 09B reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (2.8 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M176R7;LINKAGE=AB

³ Superseded by AUBERT 09B. AUBERT,BE 06M reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (3.3 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M176R7;LINKAGE=AU

 $\Gamma(\gamma J/\psi)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.52$\pm$0.19 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
0.38 $\pm$ 0.20 $\pm$ 0.01	8 $\pm$ 4	ABLIKIM	24X BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \chi_{c1}(3872)$
0.79 $\pm$ 0.28		ABLIKIM	20W BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

 Γ_{27}/Γ_3

NODE=M176R18
NODE=M176R18

 $\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.010	90	¹ BHARDWAJ 13	BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma K^\pm$

 Γ_{28}/Γ

NODE=M176R08
NODE=M176R08

¹ BHARDWAJ 13 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 1.9 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M176R08;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{c1})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.89	90	CHOI	03 BELL	$B \rightarrow K \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$

 Γ_{28}/Γ_3

NODE=M176R1
NODE=M176R1

 $\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.04	90	¹ BHARDWAJ 13	BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma K^\pm$

 Γ_{29}/Γ

NODE=M176R01
NODE=M176R01

¹ BHARDWAJ 13 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 6.7 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M176R01;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\gamma \psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
possibly seen	36 $\pm$ 9	¹ AAIJ	14AH LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma \psi(2S) K^+$

 Γ_{30}/Γ

NODE=M176R10
NODE=M176R10

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen		² BHARDWAJ 11	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma \psi(2S) K^+$
0.051 $\pm$ 0.015 $\pm$ 0.016	25 $\pm$ 7	³ AUBERT	09B BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma \psi(2S) K^+$

¹ From 36.4 $\pm$ 9.0 events of $\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$ decays with a statistical significance of 4.4σ .

NODE=M176R10;LINKAGE=A

² BHARDWAJ 11 reports $B(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \chi_{c1}(3872)) \times B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma \psi(2S)) < 3.45 \times 10^{-6}$ at 90% CL.

NODE=M176R10;LINKAGE=BB

³ AUBERT 09B reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma \psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (9.5 \pm 2.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M176R10;LINKAGE=AU

 $\Gamma(\gamma \psi(2S))/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.42	90	ABLIKIM	20W BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

 Γ_{30}/Γ_3

NODE=M176R19
NODE=M176R19

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.18	90	ABLIKIM	24S BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

 Γ_{22}/Γ_3

NODE=M176R34
NODE=M176R34

$\Gamma(\gamma\psi(2S))/\Gamma(\gamma J/\psi)$ Γ_{30}/Γ_{27}

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.67±0.21±0.13			¹ AAIJ	24AD LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)K^+$ and $\gamma J/\psi K^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.59	90		ABLIKIM	20W BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(3872)$
2.46±0.64±0.29	36±9		² AAIJ	14AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)K^+$
<2.1	90		BHARDWAJ	11 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)K^+$
3.4 ±1.4			AUBERT	09B BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma c\bar{c}K'$

¹ AAIJ 24AD reports this ratio as $1.67 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.04$ where the last uncertainty is due to the uncertainties of the branching fractions of $\psi(2S)$ and J/ψ mesons. We have added the last two uncertainties in quadrature.

² From 36.4 ± 9.0 events of $\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$ decays with a statistical significance of 4.4σ . Superseded by AAIJ 24AD.

NODE=M176R11
NODE=M176R11

NODE=M176R11;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M176R11;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma\psi_2(3823))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S))$ Γ_{31}/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.075	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24Z BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\psi_2(3823)$

¹ Using $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) = 0.343 \pm 0.010$. We have assumed that $B(\psi_2(3823) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}) = 1$.

NODE=M176R36
NODE=M176R36

NODE=M176R36;LINKAGE=A

C-violating decays $\Gamma(\eta J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.021	90	^{1,2} IWASHITA	14 BELL	$B \rightarrow K\eta J/\psi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.04	90	³ AUBERT	04Y BABR	$B \rightarrow K\eta J/\psi$
¹ IWASHITA 14 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \eta J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] < 3.8 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.				
² IWASHITA 14 also scans the $\eta J/\psi$ mass range 3.8–4.75 GeV and sets upper limits for $B(B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^\pm) \times B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \eta J/\psi)$ in 5 MeV intervals.				
³ AUBERT 04Y reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \eta J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] < 7.7 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.				

NODE=M176405

NODE=M176R2
NODE=M176R2

NODE=M176R2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M176R2;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M176R2;LINKAGE=D

 $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M176

AAIJ	24AD	JHEP 2411 121	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24BU	PR D110 072015	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24C	PRL 132 151903	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24K	PR D109 L011102	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24S	PR D109 L071101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24X	PR D110 012006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24Z	PR D110 012012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	23AP	JHEP 2307 084	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	23S	PR D108 L011103	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23O	PR D107 032007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23W	PRL 130 151904	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
HIRATA	23	PR D107 112011	H. Hirata <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
YIN	23	PR D107 052004	J.H. Yin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22D	PR D105 072009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
TERAMOTO	21	PRL 126 122001	Y. Teramoto <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	20AD	PR D102 092005	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	20S	JHEP 2008 123	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20W	PRL 124 242001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	20C	PRL 124 152001	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19U	PRL 122 202001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19V	PRL 122 232002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
BHARDWAJ	19	PR D99 111101	V. Bhardwaj <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AGHASYAN	18A	PL B783 334	M. Aghasyan <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
AAIJ	17AD	PL B769 305	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17BB	EPJ C77 609	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
BHARDWAJ	16	PR D93 052016	V. Bhardwaj <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	15AO	PR D92 011102	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15V	PL B749 414	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
BALA	15	PR D91 051101	A. Bala <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
VINOKUROVA	15	JHEP 1506 132	A. Vinokurova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
Also		JHEP 1702 088 (errata.)	A. Vinokurava <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	14AH	NP B886 665	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	14	PRL 112 092001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
IWASHITA	14	PTEP 2014 043C01	T. Iwashita <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	13Q	PRL 110 222001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP

REFID=63038
REFID=63026
REFID=62652
REFID=62661
REFID=62670
REFID=62677
REFID=62679
REFID=62412
REFID=62287
REFID=62058
REFID=62068
REFID=62281
REFID=61909
REFID=61638
REFID=61634
REFID=61098
REFID=60673
REFID=60526
REFID=60570
REFID=60554
REFID=60676
REFID=59786
REFID=59796
REFID=59884
REFID=59036
REFID=57896
REFID=58191
REFID=57272
REFID=56771
REFID=56787
REFID=56408
REFID=56706
REFID=57795
REFID=55897
REFID=55647
REFID=55925
REFID=54985

AAIJ	13S	EPJ C73 2462	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55008
BHARDWAJ	13	PRL 111 032001	V. Bhardwaj <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55412
AAIJ	12H	EPJ C72 1972	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=54056
LEES	12AD	PR D86 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54751
LEES	12AE	PR D86 092005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54752
BHARDWAJ	11	PRL 107 091803	V. Bhardwaj <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53779
CHOI	11	PR D84 052004	S.-K. Choi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53934
WANG	11B	PR D84 071107	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53939
AUSHEV	10	PR D81 031103	T. Aushev <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53225
DEL-AMO-SA...	10B	PR D82 011101	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53362
SHEN	10A	PR D82 051504	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53545
AALTONEN	09AU	PRL 103 152001	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)	REFID=53098
AUBERT	09B	PRL 102 132001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52722
AUBERT	08B	PR D77 011102	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52120
AUBERT	08Y	PR D77 111101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52265
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52204
AUBERT	06	PR D73 011101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51017
AUBERT,BE	06M	PR D74 071101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51454
GOKHROO	06	PRL 97 162002	G. Gokhroo <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51432
AUBERT	05B	PR D71 031501	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50498
AUBERT	05D	PR D71 052001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50509
AUBERT	05R	PR D71 071103	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50627
DOBBS	05	PRL 94 032004	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50458
ABAZOV	04F	PRL 93 162002	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)	REFID=50200
ACOSTA	04	PRL 93 072001	D. Acosta <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)	REFID=49742
AUBERT	04Y	PRL 93 041801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=49997
CHISTOV	04	PRL 93 051803	R. Chistov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50002
PDG	04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=49653
YUAN	04	PL B579 74	C.Z. Yuan <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49677
CHOI	03	PRL 91 262001	S.-K. Choi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=49628
BAI	98E	PR D57 3854	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46339
ANTONIAZZI	94	PR D50 4258	L. Antoniazzi <i>et al.</i>	(E705 Collab.)	REFID=44074

$\chi_{c0}(3915)$

$$J^{PC} = 0^{++}$$

was $X(3915)$

The $\chi_{c0}(3915)$ was originally seen by BELLE in its $\omega J/\psi$ decay mode and was produced in both B decays in CHOI 05 and $\gamma\gamma$ collisions in UEHARA 10. The J^{PC} was determined to be 0^{++} by BABAR in LEES 12AD but this assignment was questioned by ZHOU 15C. In AAIJ 20AI LHCb found the $D^+ D^-$ decay mode of the $\chi_{c0}(3915)$ using B decays and determined its J^{PC} to be 0^{++} . Based on their compatible mass, width, and J^{PC} , we assume the state decaying to $\omega J/\psi$ and the state decaying to $D^+ D^-$ are both the $\chi_{c0}(3915)$. See also the $\chi_{c2}(3930)$.

$\chi_{c0}(3915)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3922.1 ± 1.8 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.		
3956 ± 5 ± 10	360	¹ AAIJ	23AA LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- K^+$
3923.8 ± 1.5 ± 0.4	1.2k	² AAIJ	20AI LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$
3919.4 ± 2.2 ± 1.6	59 ± 10	LEES	12AD BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$
3919.1 ⁺ _{-3.4} ± 3.8 ± 2.0		DEL-AMO-SA..10B	BABR	$B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$
3915 ± 3 ± 2	49 ± 15	UEHARA	10 BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$
3943 ± 11 ± 13	58 ± 11	³ CHOI	05 BELL	$B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
3920.9 ± 0.9		⁴ LI	24 RVUE	$\omega J/\psi$ invariant mass
3922.4 ± 6.5 ± 2.0		⁵ WANG	22A BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)$
3926.4 ± 2.2 ± 1.2		⁶ ABLIKIM	19V BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma\omega J/\psi$
3914.6 ⁺ _{-3.4} ± 3.8 ± 2.0		³ AUBERT	08W BABR	Superseded by DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10B

¹ $D_s^+ D_s^-$ near-threshold enhancement parameterized with a Flatté-like function.

² Obtained from the full amplitude analysis. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape.

³ $\omega J/\psi$ threshold enhancement fitted as an S-wave Breit-Wigner resonance.

⁴ From a simultaneous χ^2 fit to the distributions of the $\omega J/\psi$ invariant mass measured by BaBar and Belle in the processes $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \omega J/\psi$ and $B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$. LHCb results also taken into account as an additional constraint in the χ^2 calculation.

⁵ Not distinguished from the $\chi_{c2}(3930)$.

⁶ Could also be $X(3940)$. Significance 3.1σ . Fit with additional resonance at 3963.7 ± 5.7 MeV, significance 3.4σ .

NODE=M159

NODE=M159

NODE=M159M

NODE=M159M

NODE=M159M;LINKAGE=D


NODE=M159M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M159M;LINKAGE=CH

NODE=M159M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M159M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M159M;LINKAGE=A



$\chi_{c0}(3915)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
20 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
43 ±13 ± 8	360	¹ AAIJ	23AA LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- K^+$
17.4 ± 5.1 ± 0.8	1.2k	² AAIJ	20AI LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$
13 ± 6 ± 3	59	LEES	12AD BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$
31 $^{+10}_{-8}$ ± 5		DEL-AMO-SA..10B	BABR	$B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$
17 ±10 ± 3	49	UEHARA	10 BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$
87 ±22 ±26	58	³ CHOI	05 BELL	$B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
18.2 ± 2.4		⁴ LI	24 RVUE	$\omega J/\psi$ invariant mass
22 ±17 ± 4		⁵ WANG	22A BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)$
3.8 ± 7.5 ± 2.6		⁶ ABLIKIM	19V BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma\omega J/\psi$
34 $^{+12}_{-8}$ ± 5		³ AUBERT	08W BABR	Superseded by DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10B

¹ $D_s^+ D_s^-$ near-threshold enhancement parameterized with a Flatte-like function .

² Obtained from the full amplitude analysis. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape.

³ $\omega J/\psi$ threshold enhancement fitted as an S-wave Breit-Wigner resonance.

⁴ From a simultaneous χ^2 fit to the distributions of the $\omega J/\psi$ invariant mass measured by BaBar and Belle in the processes $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \omega J/\psi$ and $B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$. LHCb results also taken into account as an additional constraint in the χ^2 calculation.

⁵ Not distinguished from the $\chi_{c2}(3930)$.

⁶ Could also be $X(3940)$. Significance 3.1σ . Fit with additional resonance at 3963.7 ± 5.7 MeV, significance 3.4σ .

$\chi_{c0}(3915)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\omega J/\psi$	seen
Γ_2 $\bar{D}^{*0} D^0$	not seen
Γ_3 $D^+ D^-$	seen
Γ_4 $D_s^+ D_s^-$	seen
Γ_5 $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c(1S)$	not seen
Γ_6 $\eta_c \eta$	not seen
Γ_7 $\eta_c \pi^0$	not seen
Γ_8 $K \bar{K}$	not seen
Γ_9 $\gamma\gamma$	seen
Γ_{10} $\gamma\psi(2S)$	not seen
Γ_{11} $\pi^0 \chi_{c1}$	not seen

NODE=M159W

NODE=M159W

NODE=M159W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M159W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M159W;LINKAGE=CH

NODE=M159W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M159W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M159W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M159215;NODE=M159

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=9

DESIG=11;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=6

DESIG=7

DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=2

DESIG=10;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=8

$\chi_{c0}(3915) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M159220

 $\Gamma(\omega J/\psi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1 \Gamma_9 / \Gamma$ NODE=M159G01
NODE=M159G01

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

54 ± 9 OUR AVERAGE

52 ± 10 ± 3	59 ± 10	¹ LEES	12AD BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$
61 ± 17 ± 8	49 ± 15	¹ UEHARA	10 BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

18 ± 5 ± 2	49 ± 15	² UEHARA	10 BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$

¹ For $J^P = 0^+$.² For $J^P = 2^+$, helicity-2.NODE=M159G01;LINKAGE=UH
NODE=M159G01;LINKAGE=UR $\Gamma(\gamma\psi(2S)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{10} \Gamma_9 / \Gamma$ NODE=M159R07
NODE=M159R07

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

9.8 ± 3.6 ± 1.3	¹ WANG	22A BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)$

¹ Not distinguished from the $\chi_{c2}(3930)$.

NODE=M159R07;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c(1S)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_5 \Gamma_9 / \Gamma$ NODE=M159G02
NODE=M159G02

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<16	90	LEES	12AE BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c$

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_8 \Gamma_9 / \Gamma$ NODE=M159G03
NODE=M159G03

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<1.96	90	UEHARA	13 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

 $\chi_{c0}(3915)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M159225

 $\Gamma(\omega J/\psi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$ NODE=M159R03
NODE=M159R03

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	¹ DEL-AMO-SA..10B	BABR	$B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$

seen	² CHOI	05 BELL	$B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$

¹ DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10B reports $B(B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(3915) K^\pm) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi \omega) = (3.0^{+0.7+0.5}_{-0.6-0.3}) \times 10^{-5}$ and $B(B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(3915) K^0) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi \omega) = (2.1 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$.

NODE=M159R03;LINKAGE=DE

² CHOI 05 reports $B(B \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(3915) K) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi \omega) = (7.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-5}$.

NODE=M159R03;LINKAGE=CH

 $\Gamma(\omega J/\psi) / \Gamma(\bar{D}^{*0} D^0) \quad \Gamma_1 / \Gamma_2$ NODE=M159R02
NODE=M159R02

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

>0.71	90	¹ AUSHEV	10 BELL	$B \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} D^0 K$

¹ By combining the upper limit $B(B \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(3915) K) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0) < 0.67 \times 10^{-4}$ from AUSHEV 10 with the average of CHOI 05 and AUBERT 08w measurements $B(B \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(3915) K) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \omega J/\psi) = (0.51 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M159R02;LINKAGE=AU

 $\Gamma(D^+ D^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3 / \Gamma$ NODE=M159R06
NODE=M159R06

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	AAIJ	20AI LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$

 $\Gamma(D^+ D^-) / \Gamma(D_s^+ D_s^-) \quad \Gamma_3 / \Gamma_4$ NODE=M159R08
NODE=M159R08

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.29 ± 0.09 ± 0.10 ± 0.08	¹ AAIJ	23AA LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- K^+$

¹ Assuming that AAIJ 20AI reporting on $B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$ also refers to $\chi_{c0}(3915)$. The last uncertainty is due to the values of $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$ and $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+)$ from PDG 22.

NODE=M159R08;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta_c \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_6 / \Gamma$ NODE=M159R00
NODE=M159R00

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

not seen	90	¹ VINOKUROVA 15	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \eta_c \eta$

OCCUR=2

¹ VINOKUROVA 15 reports $B(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \chi_{c0}(3915)) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \eta_c \eta) < 4.7 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90% CL.

NODE=M159R00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta_c \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_7/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	90	¹ VINOKUROVA 15	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \eta_c \pi^0$
¹ VINOKUROVA 15 reports $B(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \chi_{c0}(3915)^0) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \eta_c \pi^0) < 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90% CL.				

NODE=M159R04
NODE=M159R04

OCCUR=2

NODE=M159R04;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_9/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	59 ± 10	LEES	12AD BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$
seen		UEHARA	10 BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$

NODE=M159R01
NODE=M159R01

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{11}/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	42 ± 14	¹ BHARDWAJ 19	BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \pi^0 K^\pm$
¹ BHARDWAJ 19 reports $B(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \chi_{c0}(3915)) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \pi^0) < 3.8 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90% CL. A signal significance 2.3 standard deviations.				

NODE=M159R05
NODE=M159R05

NODE=M159R05;LINKAGE=A

$\chi_{c0}(3915)$ REFERENCES

LI	24	CP C48 063001	C. Li, X. Wang, C. Wu	(LNUDA)
AAIJ	23AA	PRL 131 071901	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
WANG	22A	PR D105 112011	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	20AI	PR D102 112003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JPC
ABLIKIM	19V	PRL 122 232002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
BHARDWAJ	19	PR D99 111101	V. Bhardwaj <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
VINOKUROVA	15	JHEP 1506 132	A. Vinokurova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
Also		JHEP 1702 088 (errat.)	A. Vinokurava <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ZHOU	15C	PRL 115 022001	Z.-Y. Zhou, Z. Xiao, H.-Q. Zhou	(BEIJT, NANJ)
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	12AD	PR D86 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	12AE	PR D86 092005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUSHEV	10	PR D81 031103	T. Aushev <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	10B	PR D82 011101	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
UEHARA	10	PRL 104 092001	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	08W	PRL 101 082001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
CHOI	05	PRL 94 182002	S.-K. Choi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

NODE=M159

REFID=62694
REFID=62314
REFID=61634
REFID=61640
REFID=60739
REFID=59796
REFID=59884
REFID=56706
REFID=57795
REFID=56842
REFID=55592
REFID=54751
REFID=54752
REFID=53225
REFID=53362
REFID=53232
REFID=52263
REFID=50737

NODE=M050

$\chi_{c2}(3930)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

$\chi_{c2}(3930)$ MASS

NODE=M050M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3922.5 ± 1.0 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.		
3926.8 ± 2.4 ± 0.8	1.2k	¹ AAIJ	20AI LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$
3921.9 ± 0.6 ± 0.2		² AAIJ	19M LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D \bar{D} + \text{anything}$
3926.7 ± 2.7 ± 1.1	76 ± 17	AUBERT	10G BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- D \bar{D}$
3929 ± 5 ± 2	64	UEHARA	06 BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- D \bar{D}$


NODE=M050M

¹ Obtained from the full amplitude analysis. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape. Previous measurements assumed a single state in this region. This analysis revealed the presence of $\chi_{c0}(3930)$ with the same mass.

NODE=M050M;LINKAGE=B

² Measured in prompt hadroproduction.

NODE=M050M;LINKAGE=A



$\chi_{c2}(3930)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
35.2± 2.2 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
34.2± 6.6±1.1	1.2k	¹ AAIJ	20AI LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$
36.6± 1.9±0.9		² AAIJ	19M LHCB	$pp \rightarrow D \bar{D} + \text{anything}$
21.3± 6.8±3.6	76 ± 17	AUBERT	10G BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- D \bar{D}$
29 ±10 ±2	64	UEHARA	06 BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- D \bar{D}$

¹ Obtained from the full amplitude analysis. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape. Previous measurements assumed a single state in this region. This analysis revealed the presence of $\chi_{c0}(3930)$ with the same mass.

² Measured in prompt hadroproduction.

$\chi_{c2}(3930)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\gamma\gamma$	seen
Γ_2 $K \bar{K} \pi$	not seen
Γ_3 $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	not seen
Γ_4 $D \bar{D}$	seen
Γ_5 $D^+ D^-$	seen
Γ_6 $D^0 \bar{D}^0$	seen
Γ_7 $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c(1S)$	not seen
Γ_8 $K \bar{K}$	not seen

$\chi_{c2}(3930)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\chi_{c2}(3930)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_2\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.1	90	DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_3 \Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.4	90	DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

NODE=M050W

NODE=M050W

NODE=M050W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M050W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M050215;NODE=M050

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=6;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=7;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=8;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M050220

NODE=M050222

NODE=M050G01

NODE=M050G01

NODE=M050G02

NODE=M050G02

$\Gamma(D\bar{D}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_4\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.21±0.04 OUR AVERAGE				
0.24±0.05±0.04	76 ± 17	AUBERT	10G BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- D\bar{D}$
0.18±0.05±0.03	64	¹ UEHARA	06 BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- D\bar{D}$

¹ Assuming $B(D^+ D^-) = 0.89 B(D^0 \bar{D}^0)$.NODE=M050G1
NODE=M050G1

NODE=M050G1;LINKAGE=UE

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c(1S)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<18	90	LEES	12AE BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c$

NODE=M050G03
NODE=M050G03 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_8\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.256	90	UEHARA	13 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M050G04
NODE=M050G04 **$\chi_{c2}(3930)$ BRANCHING RATIOS**

NODE=M050225

 $\Gamma(D^+ D^-)/\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0)$ Γ_5/Γ_6

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.74±0.43±0.16	64	UEHARA	06 BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- D\bar{D}$

NODE=M050R01
NODE=M050R01 **$\chi_{c2}(3930)$ REFERENCES**

NODE=M050

AAIJ	20AI	PR D102 112003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	19M	JHEP 1907 035	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	12AE	PR D86 092005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	11M	PR D84 012004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	10G	PR D81 092003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
UEHARA	06	PRL 96 082003	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

REFID=60739
REFID=59697
REFID=55592
REFID=54752
REFID=16751
REFID=53357
REFID=51039

NODE=M029

X(3940)

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^?)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Reported by ABE 07, observed in $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$.

NODE=M029

X(3940) MASS

NODE=M029M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3942± 9 OUR AVERAGE				

NODE=M029M

3945 ⁺²⁸⁺³⁷ ₋₁₇₋₂₈	1.6k	¹ AAIJ	24AB LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp K^+$
3942 ⁺⁷ ₋₆ ± 6	52	PAKHLOV	08 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3943± 6 ± 6	25	² ABE	07 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$
3936±14	266	³ ABE	07 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi(c\bar{c})$

OCCUR=2

¹ From a simultaneous amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- K^+$, $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*-} D^+ K^+$ and their c.c.

NODE=M029M;LINKAGE=A

² From a fit to $D^{*+} D^-$ and $D^{*0} \bar{D}^0$ events.

NODE=M029M;LINKAGE=EB

³ From the inclusive fit. Not independent of the exclusive measurement by ABE 07.

NODE=M029M;LINKAGE=EM

X(3940) WIDTH

NODE=M029W

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M029W

43⁺²⁸₋₁₈ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

130 ⁺⁹²⁺¹⁰¹ ₋₄₉₋₇₀	1.6k	¹ AAIJ	24AB LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp K^+$
37 ⁺²⁶ ₋₁₅ ± 8	52	PAKHLOV	08 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 52	90	25	ABE	07 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

¹ From a simultaneous amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- K^+$, $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*-} D^+ K^+$ and their c.c.

NODE=M029W;LINKAGE=A

X(3940) DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $D\bar{D}^* + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_2 $D\bar{D}$	not seen
Γ_3 $J/\psi\omega$	not seen

NODE=M029215;NODE=M029

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

X(3940) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D\bar{D}^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	CL% EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
>0.45 90 25 1,2 ABE 07 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$
¹ For X(3940) decaying to final states with more than two tracks.
² PAKHLOV 08 finds that the inclusive peak near 3940 MeV/c² may consist of several states.

NODE=M029225

NODE=M029R01
NODE=M029R01

NODE=M029R01;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M029R01;LINKAGE=AE

$\Gamma(D\bar{D})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
<0.41 90 1,2 ABE 07 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$
¹ For X(3940) decaying to final states with more than two tracks.
² PAKHLOV 08 finds that the inclusive peak near 3940 MeV/c² may consist of several states.

NODE=M029R02
NODE=M029R02

NODE=M029R02;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M029R02;LINKAGE=AE

$\Gamma(J/\psi\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_3/Γ
VALUE	CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
<0.26 90 1,2 ABE 07 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$
¹ For X(3940) decaying to final states with more than two tracks.
² PAKHLOV 08 finds that the inclusive peak near 3940 MeV/c² may consist of several states.

NODE=M029R03
NODE=M029R03

NODE=M029R03;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M029R03;LINKAGE=AE

X(3940) REFERENCES

AAIJ	24AB PRL 133 131902	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
PAKHLOV	08 PRL 100 202001	P. Pakhlov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE	07 PRL 98 082001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

NODE=M029

REFID=63014
REFID=52302
REFID=51627

$\psi(4040)$ [a]

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

[a] J^{PC} known by production in e^+e^- via single photon annihilation. J^G is not known; interpretation of this state as a single resonance is unclear because of the expectation of substantial threshold effects in this energy region.

NODE=M072

LINKAGE=MPD

 $\psi(4040)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4039.6 ± 4.3	¹ ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
4034 ± 6	² MO	10 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4037 ± 2	³ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4040 ± 1	⁴ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4040 ± 10	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	e^+e^-
¹ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (130 \pm 46)^\circ$.			
² Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects.			
³ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.			
⁴ From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.			

NODE=M072M

NODE=M072M

OCCUR=2

NODE=M072M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M072M;LINKAGE=MO

NODE=M072M;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M072M;LINKAGE=SE

 $\psi(4040)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
84.5 ± 12.3	¹ ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
87 ± 11	² MO	10 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
85 ± 10	³ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
89 ± 6	⁴ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
52 ± 10	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	e^+e^-
¹ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (130 \pm 46)^\circ$.			
² Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects.			
³ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.			
⁴ From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.			

NODE=M072W

NODE=M072W

OCCUR=2

NODE=M072W;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M072W;LINKAGE=MO

NODE=M072W;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M072W;LINKAGE=SE

 $\psi(4040)$ DECAY MODES

Due to the complexity of the $c\bar{c}$ threshold region, in this listing, “seen” (“not seen”) means that a cross section for the mode in question has been measured at effective $\sqrt{s}$ near this particle’s central mass value, more (less) than 2σ above zero, without regard to any peaking behavior in $\sqrt{s}$ or absence thereof. See mode listing(s) for details and references.

NODE=M072215;NODE=M072

NODE=M072

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 e^+e^-	$(1.02 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=5
Γ_2 $D\bar{D}$	seen	DESIG=17;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_3 $D^0\bar{D}^0$	seen	DESIG=1
Γ_4 D^+D^-	seen	DESIG=18
Γ_5 $D^*\bar{D} + \text{c.c.}$	seen	DESIG=19;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_6 $D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	seen	DESIG=2
Γ_7 $D^*(2010)^+D^- + \text{c.c.}$	seen	DESIG=20
Γ_8 $D^*\bar{D}^*$	seen	DESIG=21;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_9 $D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^*(2007)^0$	seen	DESIG=3
Γ_{10} $D^*(2010)^+D^*(2010)^-$	seen	DESIG=22

Γ_{11}	$D\bar{D}\pi$ (excl. $D^*\bar{D}$)	not seen				DESIG=23;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{12}	$D^0 D^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$ (excl. $D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.}$)	not seen				DESIG=24
Γ_{13}	$D\bar{D}^*\pi$ (excl. $D^*\bar{D}^*$)	not seen				DESIG=25
Γ_{14}	$D^0 \bar{D}^{*-} \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$ (excl. $D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-$)	seen				DESIG=26
Γ_{15}	$D_s^+ D_s^-$	seen				DESIG=27
Γ_{16}	$\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^0$	seen				DESIG=37;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{17}	$J/\psi(1S)$ hadrons	seen				DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{18}	$J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%		DESIG=7
Γ_{19}	$J/\psi \pi^0 \pi^0$	< 2	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%		DESIG=8
Γ_{20}	$J/\psi \eta$	(5.2 ± 0.7)	$\times 10^{-3}$			DESIG=9
Γ_{21}	$J/\psi \pi^0$	< 2.8	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%		DESIG=10
Γ_{22}	$J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 2	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%		DESIG=11
Γ_{23}	$\chi_{c1} \gamma$	< 3.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%		DESIG=12
Γ_{24}	$\chi_{c2} \gamma$	< 5	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%		DESIG=13
Γ_{25}	$\chi_{c1} \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 1.1	%	90%		DESIG=14
Γ_{26}	$\chi_{c2} \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 3.2	%	90%		DESIG=15
Γ_{27}	$h_c(1P) \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 3	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%		DESIG=28
Γ_{28}	$\phi \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 3	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%		DESIG=16
Γ_{29}	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 2.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%		DESIG=29
Γ_{30}	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^0$	< 9	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%		DESIG=30
Γ_{31}	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \eta$	< 3.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%		DESIG=31
Γ_{32}	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$	< 6	$\times 10^{-6}$	90%		DESIG=36
Γ_{33}	$\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%		DESIG=32
Γ_{34}	$\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$	< 7	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%		DESIG=33
Γ_{35}	$\Xi^+ \bar{\Xi}^-$	< 1.6	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%		DESIG=34
Γ_{36}	$\Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0$	< 1.8	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%		DESIG=35
Γ_{37}	$\Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+$	< 6	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%		DESIG=38
Γ_{38}	$\Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	< 7	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%		DESIG=39
Γ_{39}	$\Sigma^0 \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.5	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%		DESIG=40
Γ_{40}	$\mu^+ \mu^-$	(9 ± 6)	$\times 10^{-6}$			DESIG=6

 $\psi(4040)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M072220

 $\Gamma(e^+ e^-)$ **Γ_1**

VALUE (keV)

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 0.86 ± 0.07 OUR ESTIMATE **0.83 ± 0.20**

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.6 to 1.4

² MO

10

RVUE

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons 0.88 ± 0.11 ³ SETH

05A

RVUE

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons 0.91 ± 0.13 ⁴ SETH

05A

RVUE

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons 0.75 ± 0.15

BRANDELIK

78C

DASP

 $e^+ e^-$

OCCUR=2

¹ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (130 \pm 46)^\circ$.

NODE=M072W5;LINKAGE=AB

² Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects. Four sets of solutions are obtained with the same fit quality, mass and total width, but with different $e^+ e^-$ partial widths. We quote only the range of values.

NODE=M072W5;LINKAGE=MO

³ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.

NODE=M072W5;LINKAGE=ST

⁴ From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.

NODE=M072W5;LINKAGE=SE

 $\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-)$ **Γ_{40}**

VALUE (keV)

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $0.73 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.12$ ^{1,2} ABLIKIM

20AG

BES3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

¹ From a fit to the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ cross section between 3.8 and 4.6 GeV to the coherent sum of four resonant amplitudes assuming $\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-) = \Gamma(e^+ e^-)$.

NODE=M072W2;LINKAGE=A

² From solution 1 of 8 with equal fit quality. Other solutions range from $0.58 \pm 0.52 \pm 0.10$ to $0.80 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.13$ keV.

NODE=M072W2;LINKAGE=B

$\psi(4040) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M072235

 $\Gamma(J/\psi\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{20}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$ NODE=M072R00
NODE=M072R00

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$1.0 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1$	¹ ABLIKIM	24T BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
$7.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9$	² ABLIKIM	24T BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
$1.1 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2$	³ ABLIKIM	24T BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
$7.8 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.1$	⁴ ABLIKIM	24T BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
1.5 ± 0.3	⁵ ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
1.4 ± 0.3	⁶ ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
7.0 ± 0.6	⁷ ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

¹ Solution 1 of 4. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.² Solution 2 of 4. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.³ Solution 3 of 4. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.⁴ Solution 4 of 4. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.⁵ Solution 1 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.⁶ Solution 2 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.⁷ Solution 3 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.NODE=M072R00;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M072R00;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M072R00;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M072R00;LINKAGE=G
NODE=M072R00;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M072R00;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M072R00;LINKAGE=C $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{23}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$ NODE=M072G01
NODE=M072G01

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.9	90	¹ HAN	15 BELL	$10.58 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma$

¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$.

NODE=M072G01;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{24}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$ NODE=M072G02
NODE=M072G02

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.6	90	¹ HAN	15 BELL	$10.58 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma$

¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$.

NODE=M072G02;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{32}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$ NODE=M072R33
NODE=M072R33

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5.5 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	21AS BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

¹ From a measurement of the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ cross section between 3.5 and 4.6 GeV.

NODE=M072R33;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{33}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$ NODE=M072R37
NODE=M072R37

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<216.6 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AH BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$

¹ Interference effect between resonance and continuum amplitudes is considered. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M072R37;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{34}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$ NODE=M072R41
NODE=M072R41

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<66.1 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	25U BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$

¹ Interference effect between resonance and continuum amplitudes is considered. Upper limit is for the larger of the two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M072R41;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{36}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$ NODE=M072R40
NODE=M072R40

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<83.4 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24CD BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

¹ From a fit to $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0$ cross sections.

NODE=M072R40;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{37}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$ NODE=M072R35
NODE=M072R35

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<51.9 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	23BK BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

¹ From a fit to $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+$ cross sections.

NODE=M072R35;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{38}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$ NODE=M072R38
NODE=M072R38

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<62.0 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AL BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$

¹ A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M072R38;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{39} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<12.5 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AL BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$

¹ A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M072R39
NODE=M072R39

NODE=M072R39;LINKAGE=A

$$\psi(4040) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma^2(\text{total})$$

NODE=M072230

$$\Gamma(J/\psi \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{20} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-8})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$5.1 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.5$	¹ WANG	13B	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \eta \gamma$
$12.8 \pm 2.1 \pm 1.9$	² WANG	13B	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \eta \gamma$

¹ Solution I of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances. Mass and width fixed at 4039 MeV and 80 MeV, respectively.

² Solution II of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances. Mass and width fixed at 4039 MeV and 80 MeV, respectively.

NODE=M072R25
NODE=M072R25

OCCUR=2

NODE=M072R25;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M072R25;LINKAGE=B

$\psi(4040)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M072225

$$\Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 1.0	FELDMAN	77	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M072R4
NODE=M072R4

$$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3 / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	¹ ABLIKIM	24BH	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0$
seen	AUBERT	09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0$
seen	PAKHLOVA	08	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \gamma$

¹ A precision measurement of the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0$ cross section shows complex structure in this mass region.

NODE=M072R14
NODE=M072R14

NODE=M072R14;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(D^+ D^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4 / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	¹ ABLIKIM	24BH	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^-$
seen	AUBERT	09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^-$
seen	PAKHLOVA	08	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- \gamma$

¹ A precision measurement of the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^-$ cross section shows complex structure in this mass region.

NODE=M072R15
NODE=M072R15

NODE=M072R15;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(D \bar{D}) / \Gamma(D^* \bar{D} + \text{c.c.}) \quad \Gamma_2 / \Gamma_5$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$0.24 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.12$	AUBERT	09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^{(*)} \bar{D}$

NODE=M072R12
NODE=M072R12

$$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0) / \Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.}) \quad \Gamma_3 / \Gamma_6$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.05 ± 0.03	¹ GOLDBABER	77	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

¹ Phase-space factor (p^3) explicitly removed.

NODE=M072R1
NODE=M072R1

NODE=M072R;LINKAGE=P

$$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_6 / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	AUBERT	09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0 \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0$

NODE=M072R16
NODE=M072R16

$$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_7 / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	¹ ZHUKOVA	18	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$
seen	AUBERT	09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	PAKHLOVA	07	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$

¹ Supersedes PAKHLOVA 07.

NODE=M072R17
NODE=M072R17

OCCUR=3

NODE=M072R17;LINKAGE=C

$$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.}) \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma_6$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.95±0.09±0.10	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^* \bar{D}$

NODE=M072R11
NODE=M072R11

$$\Gamma(D^* \bar{D}^*)/\Gamma(D^* \bar{D} + \text{c.c.}) \quad \Gamma_8/\Gamma_5$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.18±0.14±0.03	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^{(*)} \bar{D}^{(*)}$

NODE=M072R13
NODE=M072R13

$$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^*(2007)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0} \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0}$

NODE=M072R18
NODE=M072R18

$$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^*(2007)^0)/\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.}) \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma_6$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
32.0±12.0	¹ GOLDHABER	77 MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M072R2
NODE=M072R2

¹ Phase-space factor (p^3) explicitly removed.

NODE=M072R2;LINKAGE=P

$$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ ZHUKOVA	18 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$
seen	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-}$

NODE=M072R19
NODE=M072R19
OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	PAKHLOVA	07 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$

¹ Supersedes PAKHLOVA 07.

NODE=M072R19;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(D^0 D^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	PAKHLOVA	08A BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^- \pi^+ \gamma$

NODE=M072R20
NODE=M072R20

$$\Gamma(D \bar{D}^* \pi (\text{excl. } D^* \bar{D}^*))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D \bar{D}^* \pi$

NODE=M072R21
NODE=M072R21

$$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^{*-} \pi^+ + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	PAKHLOVA	09 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+ \gamma$

NODE=M072R22
NODE=M072R22

$$\Gamma(D_s^+ D_s^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	PAKHLOVA	11 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \gamma$
seen	DEL-AMO-SA..10N	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$

NODE=M072R23
NODE=M072R23

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{16}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$(3.51 \pm 1.89 \pm 1.24) \times 10^{-5}$	¹ ABLIKIM	21AW BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$
$(2.41 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.79) \times 10^{-2}$	² ABLIKIM	21AW BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$

OCCUR=2

¹ Solution 1 of two solutions with equal fit quality. The significance of the $\psi(4040)$ signal is 3.6σ .

NODE=M072R34;LINKAGE=A

² Solution 2 of two solutions with equal fit quality. The significance of the $\psi(4040)$ signal is 3.6σ .

NODE=M072R34;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{18}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	COAN	06 CLEO	$3.97-4.06 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M072R01
NODE=M072R01

$$\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{19}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2	90	COAN	06 CLEO	$3.97-4.06 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M072R02
NODE=M072R02

$\Gamma(J/\psi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$		¹ ABLIKIM	12K BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-2\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<7 90 COAN 06 CLEO 3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

¹ ABLIKIM 12K measure $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\eta) = 32.1 \pm 2.8 \pm 1.3$ pb. They assume the $\eta J/\psi$ fully originates from $\psi(4040)$ decays. Also see ABLIKIM 24T.

NODE=M072R03
NODE=M072R03

NODE=M072R03;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.28	90	¹ ABLIKIM	12K BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-2\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<2 90 COAN 06 CLEO 3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

¹ ABLIKIM 12K measure $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^0) < 1.6$ pb. They assume the $\eta J/\psi$ fully originates from $\psi(4040)$ decays.

NODE=M072R04
NODE=M072R04

NODE=M072R04;LINKAGE=AB

 $\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2	90	COAN	06 CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M072R05
NODE=M072R05

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<11 90 COAN 06 CLEO 3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M072R06
NODE=M072R06

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<17 90 COAN 06 CLEO 3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M072R07
NODE=M072R07

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<11	90	COAN	06 CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M072R08
NODE=M072R08

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<32	90	COAN	06 CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M072R09
NODE=M072R09

 $\Gamma(h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3	90	¹ PEDLAR	11 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From several values of $\sqrt{s}$ near the peak of the $\psi(4040)$, PEDLAR 11 measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-) = 1.0 \pm 8.0 \pm 5.4 \pm 0.2$ pb, where the errors are statistical, systematic, and due to uncertainty in $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))$, respectively.

NODE=M072R24
NODE=M072R24

NODE=M072R24;LINKAGE=PE

 $\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3	90	COAN	06 CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M072R10
NODE=M072R10

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.9	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

NODE=M072R26
NODE=M072R26

NODE=M072R26;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.9	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

NODE=M072R27
NODE=M072R27

NODE=M072R27;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.0	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected. Γ_{31}/Γ NODE=M072R28
NODE=M072R28

NODE=M072R28;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.3	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected. Γ_{33}/Γ NODE=M072R29
NODE=M072R29

NODE=M072R29;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.7	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected. Γ_{34}/Γ NODE=M072R30
NODE=M072R30

NODE=M072R30;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Xi^+\bar{\Xi}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.6	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected. Γ_{35}/Γ NODE=M072R31
NODE=M072R31

NODE=M072R31;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.8	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected. Γ_{36}/Γ NODE=M072R32
NODE=M072R32

NODE=M072R32;LINKAGE=A

$\psi(4040)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	25U	PR D111 L051502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24AH	JHEP 2405 022	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24AL	JHEP 2407 258	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24BH	PRL 133 081901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24CD	JHEP 2411 062	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24T	PR D109 092012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23BK	JHEP 2311 228	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21AS	PR D104 L091104	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21AV	PR D104 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20AG	PR D102 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20O	PR D102 031101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ZHUKOVA	18	PR D97 012002	V. Zhukova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
HAN	15	PR D92 012011	Y.L. Han <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13Q	PR D87 112011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
WANG	13B	PR D87 051101	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12K	PR D86 071101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	11	PR D83 011101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PEDLAR	11	PRL 107 041803	T. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	10N	PR D82 052004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
MO	10	PR D82 077501	X.H. Mo, C.Z. Yuan, P. Wang	(BHEP)
AUBERT	09M	PR D79 092001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
CRONIN-HEN...	09	PR D80 072001	D. Cronin-Hennessy <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	09	PR D80 091101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	08D	PL B660 315	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	08	PR D77 011103	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	08A	PRL 100 062001	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	07	PRL 98 092001	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
COAN	06	PRL 96 162003	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
SETH	05A	PR D72 017501	K.K. Seth	
BAI	02C	PRL 88 101802	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BAI	00	PRL 84 594	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
OSTERHELD	86	SLAC-PUB-4160	A. Osterheld <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC Crystal Ball Collab.)
BRANDELIK	78C	PL 76B 361	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)
Also		ZPHY C1 233	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)
FELDMAN	77	PRPL 33C 285	G.J. Feldman, M.L. Perl	(LBL, SLAC)
GOLDHABER	77	PL 69B 503	G. Goldhaber <i>et al.</i>	(Mark I Collab.)

NODE=M072

REFID=63265
REFID=62688
REFID=62693
REFID=62924
REFID=63037
REFID=62671
REFID=62437
REFID=61454
REFID=61479
REFID=60744
REFID=60344
REFID=58710
REFID=56816
REFID=55393
REFID=55377
REFID=54738
REFID=53638
REFID=16787
REFID=53532
REFID=53540
REFID=52724
REFID=53114
REFID=53143
REFID=52142
REFID=52132
REFID=52134
REFID=51628
REFID=51075
REFID=50813
REFID=50506
REFID=50503
REFID=51064
REFID=22232
REFID=22114
REFID=22062
REFID=11434

NODE=M193

$\chi_{c1}(4140)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$

was $X(4140)$

This state shows properties different from a conventional $q\bar{q}$ state. A candidate for an exotic structure. See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

NODE=M193

Seen by AALTONEN 09AH, ABAZOV 14A, CHATRCHYAN 14M, AAIJ 17C in $B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1} K^+$, $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$, and by ABAZOV 15M separately in both prompt (4.7σ) and non-prompt (5.6σ) production in $p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi \phi + \text{anything}$. Not seen by SHEN 10 in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ and ABLIKIM 15 in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \phi$ at $\sqrt{s} = 4.23, 4.26, 4.36 \text{ GeV}$.

$\chi_{c1}(4140)$ MASS

NODE=M193M


NODE=M193M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4146.5± 3.0 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.		
4118 ±11 ⁺¹⁹ ₋₃₆	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
4143.4 ^{+2.9} _{-3.0} ± 0.6	19	² AALTONEN	17 CDF	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
4152.5± 1.7 ^{+6.2} _{-5.4}	616	³ ABAZOV	15M D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi \phi + \text{anything}$
4159.0± 4.3± 6.6	52	⁴ ABAZOV	14A D0	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
4148.0± 2.4± 6.3	0.3k	⁵ CHATRCHYAN 14M	CMS	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
4146.5± 4.5 ^{+4.6} _{-2.8}	4289	^{6,7} AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
4143.0± 2.9± 1.2	14	^{8,9} AALTONEN	09AH CDF	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$

NODE=M193M;LINKAGE=G
NODE=M193M;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M193M;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M193M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M193M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M193M;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M193M;LINKAGE=H
NODE=M193M;LINKAGE=AA
NODE=M193M;LINKAGE=F

- ¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 13σ .
- ² Statistical significance of more than 5σ .
- ³ Statistical significance of more than 6σ .
- ⁴ Statistical significance of 3.1σ .
- ⁵ From a fit assuming an S -wave relativistic Breit-Wigner shape above a three-body phase-space non-resonant component with statistical significance of more than 5σ .
- ⁶ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 8.4σ .
- ⁷ Superseded by AAIJ 21E.
- ⁸ Statistical significance of 3.8σ .
- ⁹ Superseded by AALTONEN 17.



$\chi_{c1}(4140)$ WIDTH

NODE=M193W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
19 $\pm \frac{7}{5}$ OUR AVERAGE				
162 ± 21 $^{+24}_{-49}$	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
15.3 $^{+10.4}_{-6.1} \pm 2.5$	19	² AALTONEN	17 CDF	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
16.3 $\pm 5.6 \pm 11.4$	616	³ ABAZOV	15M D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi \phi + \text{anything}$
20 ± 13 $^{+3}_{-8}$	52	⁴ ABAZOV	14A D0	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
28 $^{+15}_{-11} \pm 19$	0.3k	⁵ CHATRCHYAN 14M	CMS	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
83 ± 21 $^{+21}_{-14}$	4289	^{6,7} AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
11.7 $^{+8.3}_{-5.0} \pm 3.7$	14	^{8,9} AALTONEN	09AH CDF	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 13 σ . ² Statistical significance of more than 5 σ . ³ Statistical significance of more than 6 σ . ⁴ Statistical significance of 3.1 σ . ⁵ From a fit assuming an S-wave relativistic Breit-Wigner shape above a three-body phase-space non-resonant component with statistical significance of more than 5 σ . ⁶ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 8.4 σ . ⁷ Superseded by AAIJ 21E. ⁸ Statistical significance of 3.8 σ . ⁹ Superseded by AALTONEN 17.				

NODE=M193W

NODE=M193W;LINKAGE=G
 NODE=M193W;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M193W;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M193W;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M193W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M193W;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M193W;LINKAGE=H
 NODE=M193W;LINKAGE=AA
 NODE=M193W;LINKAGE=F

 $\chi_{c1}(4140)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $J/\psi \phi$	seen
Γ_2 $\gamma\gamma$	not seen

NODE=M193215;NODE=M193

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

 $\chi_{c1}(4140)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<41	90	¹ SHEN	10 BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- J/\psi \phi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 6	90	² SHEN	10 BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- J/\psi \phi$
¹ For $J^P = 0^+$. ² For $J^P = 2^+$.				

NODE=M193220

NODE=M193G01
 NODE=M193G01

OCCUR=2

NODE=M193G01;LINKAGE=S0
 NODE=M193G01;LINKAGE=S2

 $\chi_{c1}(4140)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
seen	616	² ABAZOV	15M D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi \phi + \text{anything}$
seen	52	³ ABAZOV	14A D0	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
seen	0.3k	⁴ CHATRCHYAN 14M	CMS	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
seen	14	⁵ AALTONEN	09AH CDF	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	4289	^{6,7} AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
not seen		⁸ ABLIKIM	15 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \phi J/\psi$
not seen		⁹ AAIJ	12AA LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow B^+ X$ at 7 TeV

NODE=M193225

NODE=M193R01
 NODE=M193R01

- ¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 13 σ .
² Statistical significance of more than 6 σ .
³ ABAZOV 14A reports $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(4140) K^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+)/B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+) = (19 \pm 7 \pm 4)\%$ with 3.1 σ significance.
⁴ From a fit assuming an S-wave relativistic Breit-Wigner shape above a three-body phase-space non-resonant component with statistical significance of more than 5 σ .
⁵ Statistical significance of 3.8 σ .
⁶ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 8.4 σ .
⁷ Superseded by AAIJ 21E.
⁸ Reported $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(4140)) \cdot B(\chi_{c1}(4140) \rightarrow J/\psi \phi) < 0.35, 0.28, \text{ and } 0.33$ pb at 4.23, 4.26, and 4.36 GeV, respectively, at 90% CL.
⁹ Reported $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(4140) K^+) \cdot B(\chi_{c1}(4140) \rightarrow J/\psi \phi)/B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+) < 0.07$ at 90% CL.

NODE=M193R01;LINKAGE=F
 NODE=M193R01;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M193R01;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M193R01;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M193R01;LINKAGE=AA
 NODE=M193R01;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M193R01;LINKAGE=G
 NODE=M193R01;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M193R01;LINKAGE=AI

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE

not seen

DOCUMENT ID

SHEN

TECN

10

BELL

COMMENT

10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- J/\psi\phi$ Γ_2/Γ

NODE=M193R02

NODE=M193R02

 $\chi_{c1}(4140)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17C	PRL 118 022003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP
Also		PR D95 012002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AALTONEN	17	MPL A32 1750139	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV	15M	PRL 115 232001	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15	PR D91 032002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABAZOV	14A	PR D89 012004	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN	14M	PL B734 261	S. Chatrchyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AAIJ	12AA	PR D85 091103	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
SHEN	10	PRL 104 112004	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AALTONEN	09AH	PRL 102 242002	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)

NODE=M193

REFID=61150
 REFID=57657
 REFID=57636
 REFID=58161
 REFID=56957
 REFID=56368
 REFID=55650
 REFID=55753
 REFID=54263
 REFID=53235
 REFID=52968

NODE=M025

 $\psi(4160)$ [a]

$$J^{PC} = 0^-(1^--)$$

[a] J^{PC} known by production in e^+e^- via single photon annihilation. J^G is not known; interpretation of this state as a single resonance is unclear because of the expectation of substantial threshold effects in this energy region.

LINKAGE=MPD

 $\psi(4160)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4191 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE			
4186.8 ± 8.7 ± 30	¹ ABLIKIM	23BH BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$
4191 + - 8	AAIJ	13BC LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$
4191.7 ± 6.5	² ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
4193 ± 7	³ MO	10 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
4151 ± 4	⁴ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
4155 ± 5	⁵ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
4159 ± 20	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	e^+e^-

NODE=M025M

NODE=M025M

OCCUR=2

¹ Could also be the $\psi(4230)$.

² Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (293 \pm 57)^\circ$.

³ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects.

⁴ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.⁵ From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.

NODE=M025M;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M025M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M025M;LINKAGE=MO

NODE=M025M;LINKAGE=ST
 NODE=M025M;LINKAGE=SE

 $\psi(4160)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
69 ± 10 OUR AVERAGE			
55 ± 15 ± 53	¹ ABLIKIM	23BH BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$
65 + - 22 - 16	AAIJ	13BC LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$
71.8 ± 12.3	² ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
79 ± 14	³ MO	10 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
107 ± 10	⁴ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
107 ± 16	⁵ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
78 ± 20	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	e^+e^-

NODE=M025W

NODE=M025W

OCCUR=2

¹ Could also be the $\psi(4230)$.

² Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (293 \pm 57)^\circ$.

³ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects.

⁴ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.⁵ From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.

NODE=M025W;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M025W;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M025W;LINKAGE=MO

NODE=M025W;LINKAGE=ST
 NODE=M025W;LINKAGE=SE

$\psi(4160)$ DECAY MODES

Due to the complexity of the $c\bar{c}$ threshold region, in this listing, “seen” (“not seen”) means that a cross section for the mode in question has been measured at effective $\sqrt{s}$ near this particle’s central mass value, more (less) than 2σ above zero, without regard to any peaking behavior in $\sqrt{s}$ or absence thereof. See mode listing(s) for details and references.

NODE=M025215;NODE=M025

NODE=M025

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level	
Γ_1 e^+e^-	$(6.9 \pm 3.3) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=1
Γ_2 $\mu^+\mu^-$	seen		DESIG=33
Γ_3 $D\bar{D}$	seen		DESIG=15;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_4 $D^0\bar{D}^0$	seen		DESIG=16
Γ_5 D^+D^-	seen		DESIG=17
Γ_6 $D^*\bar{D} + \text{c.c.}$	seen		DESIG=18;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_7 $D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	seen		DESIG=19
Γ_8 $D^*(2010)^+D^- + \text{c.c.}$	seen		DESIG=20
Γ_9 $D^*\bar{D}^*$	seen		DESIG=21;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{10} $D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^*(2007)^0$	seen		DESIG=22
Γ_{11} $D^*(2010)^+D^*(2010)^-$	seen		DESIG=23
Γ_{12} $D^0D^-\pi^+ + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*(2010)^+D^- + \text{c.c.)}$	not seen		DESIG=24
Γ_{13} $D\bar{D}^*\pi + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*\bar{D}^*)$	seen		DESIG=25
Γ_{14} $D^0D^{*-}\pi^+ + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*(2010)^+D^*(2010)^-)$	not seen		DESIG=26
Γ_{15} $D^+D_s^-$	not seen		DESIG=27
Γ_{16} $D_s^{*+}\bar{D}_s^- + \text{c.c.}$	seen		DESIG=28
Γ_{17} $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 3 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=2
Γ_{18} $J/\psi\pi^0\pi^0$	$< 3 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=3
Γ_{19} $J/\psi K^+K^-$	$< 2 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=4
Γ_{20} $J/\psi\eta$	$< 8 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=5
Γ_{21} $J/\psi\pi^0$	$< 1 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=6
Γ_{22} $J/\psi\eta'$	$< 5 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=7
Γ_{23} $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$< 1 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=8
Γ_{24} $\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 4 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=9
Γ_{25} $\chi_{c1}\gamma$	$< 5 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=10
Γ_{26} $\chi_{c2}\gamma$	$< 1.3 \%$	90%	DESIG=11
Γ_{27} $\chi_{c1}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$< 2 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=12
Γ_{28} $\chi_{c2}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$< 8 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=13
Γ_{29} $h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 5 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=29
Γ_{30} $h_c(1P)\pi^0\pi^0$	$< 2 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=30
Γ_{31} $h_c(1P)\eta$	$< 2 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=31
Γ_{32} $h_c(1P)\pi^0$	$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=32
Γ_{33} $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$	seen		DESIG=49;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{34} $\phi\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 2 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=14
Γ_{35} $\gamma\chi_{c1}(3872)$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=44
Γ_{36} $\gamma\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 1.36 \times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=35
Γ_{37} $\gamma X(3930) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 1.18 \times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=36
Γ_{38} $\gamma X(3940) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 1.47 \times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=37
Γ_{39} $\gamma\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$	$< 1.26 \times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=39
Γ_{40} $\gamma X(3930) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$	$< 8.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=40
Γ_{41} $\gamma X(3940) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$	$< 1.79 \times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=41
Γ_{42} $\omega\pi^0$	not seen		DESIG=47
Γ_{43} $\omega\eta$	not seen		DESIG=48
Γ_{44} K^+K^-	not seen		DESIG=42;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{45} $K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$	seen		DESIG=43;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{46} $p\bar{p}p\bar{p}$	not seen		DESIG=45
Γ_{47} $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90%	DESIG=46
Γ_{48} $\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$	$< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=52
Γ_{49} $\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$	$< 5 \times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=56
Γ_{50} $\Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0$	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=55
Γ_{51} $\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+$	$< 8 \times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=50
Γ_{52} $pK^-\bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$	$< 6 \times 10^{-6}$	90%	DESIG=51
Γ_{53} $\Lambda\bar{\Xi}^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$	seen		DESIG=53;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{54} $\Sigma^0\bar{\Xi}^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$	$< 3.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90%	DESIG=54

$\psi(4160)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_1

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.48±0.22	¹ ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.4 to 1.1	² MO	10 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.83±0.08	³ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.84±0.13	⁴ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.77±0.23	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	e^+e^-

¹ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (293 \pm 57)^\circ$.

² Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects. Four sets of solutions are obtained with the same fit quality, mass and total width, but with different e^+e^- partial widths. We quote only the range of values.

³ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.

⁴ From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.

NODE=M025220

NODE=M025W1
NODE=M025W1

OCCUR=2

NODE=M025W1;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M025W1;LINKAGE=MO

NODE=M025W1;LINKAGE=ST

NODE=M025W1;LINKAGE=SE

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ Γ_2

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.45±1.24±0.94	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	20AG BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

¹ From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ cross section between 3.8 and 4.6 GeV to the coherent sum of four resonant amplitudes assuming $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-) = \Gamma(e^+e^-)$.

² From solution 1 of 8 with equal fit quality. Other solutions range from $2.08 \pm 0.99 \pm 0.80$ to $2.45 \pm 1.24 \pm 0.94$ keV.

NODE=M025W2
NODE=M025W2

NODE=M025W2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M025W2;LINKAGE=B

 $\psi(4160) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M025235

 $\Gamma(J/\psi\eta') \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{22}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.17±0.04	86	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	20A BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi$
1.07±0.09	86	^{1,3} ABLIKIM	20A BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi$

¹ Based on a fit to $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi)$ from $\sqrt{s} = 4.18$ to 4.60 GeV assuming interfering $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4260)$ contributions. At $\sqrt{s} = 4.18$ GeV, $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi) = 2.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2$ pb.

² Solution I of the fit, corresponding to a phase of -0.03 ± 0.44 rad.

³ Solution II of the fit, corresponding to a phase of 2.54 ± 0.04 rad.

NODE=M025R42
NODE=M025R42

OCCUR=2

NODE=M025R42;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M025R42;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M025R42;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{25}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.2	90	¹ HAN	15 BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma$

¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$.

NODE=M025G01
NODE=M025G01

NODE=M025G01;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{26}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6.1	90	¹ HAN	15 BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma$

¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$.

NODE=M025G02
NODE=M025G02

NODE=M025G02;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{33}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0011±0.0008±0.0001	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	23AQ BES3	fit to cross sections
0.651 ±0.012 ±0.040	^{2,3} ABLIKIM	23AQ BES3	fit to cross sections

¹ Solution I of the fit.

² From a fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$ cross sections between 4 and 4.6 GeV. Recalculated from 12 $\pi \Gamma(e^+e^-) B(\psi(4230) \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-)$. First uncertainty is from statistical and uncommon systematic uncertainties, and the second is a 6.2% common systematic uncertainty quoted in the paper.

³ Solution II of the fit.

NODE=M025R48
NODE=M025R48

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

NODE=M025R48;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M025R48;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M025R48;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{45} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.71 ± 0.13 ± 0.12		¹ ABLIKIM	19AE BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.0095 ± 0.0088 ± 0.0004		² ABLIKIM	19AE BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ Solution I of the fit including the $\psi(4160)$ with mass 4191 ± 5 MeV and width 70 ± 10 MeV from PDG 16 and the $\psi(4230)$ with mass $4219.6 \pm 3.3 \pm 5.1$ MeV and width $56.0 \pm 3.6 \pm 6.9$ MeV from GAO 17.

² Solution II of the fit including the $\psi(4160)$ with mass 4191 ± 5 MeV and width 70 ± 10 MeV from PDG 16 and the $\psi(4230)$ with mass $4219.6 \pm 3.3 \pm 5.1$ MeV and width $56.0 \pm 3.6 \pm 6.9$ MeV from GAO 17.

$$\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{47} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$< 0.7 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	21AS BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4160)$

¹ From a measurement of the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ cross section between 3.5 and 4.6 GeV.

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{48} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$< 94.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AH BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$

¹ Interference effect between resonance and continuum amplitudes is considered. Two solutions from the fit.

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{49} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$< 22.7 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	25U BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$

¹ Interference effect between resonance and continuum amplitudes is considered. Upper limit is for the larger of the two solutions from the fit.

$$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{50} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$< 69.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24CD BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4160)$

¹ From a fit to $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Xi^0 \bar{\Xi}^0$ cross sections.

$$\Gamma(\Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{51} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$< 37.2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	23BK BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4160)$

¹ From a fit to $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \bar{\Xi}^+$ cross sections.

$$\Gamma(p K^- \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{52} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$< 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	23BL BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4160)$

¹ From a fit to $e^+ e^- \rightarrow p K^- \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$ cross sections.

$$\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{53} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (10^{-3} eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.1 ± 0.2		¹ ABLIKIM	24AL BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$
1.5 ± 0.4		² ABLIKIM	24AL BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$

¹ A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Significance is 4.4σ . Solution 1 of 2.

² A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Significance is 4.4σ . Solution 2 of 2.

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{54} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$< 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AL BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$

¹ A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M025R00
NODE=M025R00

OCCUR=5

NODE=M025R00;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M025R00;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M025R45
NODE=M025R45

NODE=M025R45;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M025R52
NODE=M025R52

NODE=M025R52;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M025R56
NODE=M025R56

NODE=M025R56;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M025R55
NODE=M025R55

NODE=M025R55;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M025R49
NODE=M025R49

NODE=M025R49;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M025R51
NODE=M025R51

NODE=M025R51;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M025R53
NODE=M025R53

OCCUR=2

NODE=M025R53;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M025R53;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M025R54
NODE=M025R54

NODE=M025R54;LINKAGE=A

$\psi(4160) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma^2(\text{total})$

NODE=M025230

 $\Gamma(J/\psi\eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{20} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$ NODE=M025R32
NODE=M025R32VALUE (units 10^{-8})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $2.8 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.9$ ¹ WANG

13B

BELL

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \eta \gamma$ $12.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.0$ ² WANG

13B

BELL

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \eta \gamma$

OCCUR=2

¹ Solution I of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances. Mass and width fixed at 4153 MeV and 103 MeV, respectively.

NODE=M025R32;LINKAGE=A

² Solution II of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances. Mass and width fixed at 4153 MeV and 103 MeV, respectively.

NODE=M025R32;LINKAGE=B

 $\psi(4160) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$

NODE=M025225

 $\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2 / Γ NODE=M025R31
NODE=M025R31

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

seen

¹ AAIJ

13BC

LHCB

 $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ ¹ AAIJ 13BC report $B(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \psi(4160)) B(\psi(4160) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (3.5^{+0.9}_{-0.8}) \times 10^{-9}$.

NODE=M025R31;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D\bar{D}) / \Gamma(D^* \bar{D}^*)$ Γ_3 / Γ_9 NODE=M025R14
NODE=M025R14

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $0.02 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02$

AUBERT

09M

BABR

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^{(*)} \bar{D}^{(*)}$ $\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4 / Γ NODE=M025R16
NODE=M025R16

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

seen

¹ ABLIKIM

24BH

BES3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0$

seen

CRONIN-HEN..09

CLEO

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0$

seen

PAKHLOVA 08

BELL

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen

AUBERT

09M

BABR

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \gamma$ ¹ A precision measurement of the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0$ cross section shows complex structure in this mass region.

NODE=M025R16;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D^+ D^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5 / Γ NODE=M025R17
NODE=M025R17

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

seen

¹ ABLIKIM

24BH

BES3

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^-$

seen

CRONIN-HEN..09

CLEO

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^-$

seen

PAKHLOVA 08

BELL

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen

AUBERT

09M

BABR

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- \gamma$ ¹ A precision measurement of the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^-$ cross section shows complex structure in this mass region.

NODE=M025R17;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7 / Γ NODE=M025R18
NODE=M025R18

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

seen

AUBERT

09M

BABR

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0 \gamma$

seen

CRONIN-HEN..09

CLEO

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0$ $\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8 / Γ NODE=M025R19
NODE=M025R19

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

seen

¹ ZHUKOVA

18

BELL

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$

seen

AUBERT

09M

BABR

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$

seen

CRONIN-HEN..09

CLEO

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen

PAKHLOVA 07

BELL

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$ ¹ Supersedes PAKHLOVA 07.

NODE=M025R19;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D^* \bar{D} + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma(D^* \bar{D}^*)$ Γ_6 / Γ_9 NODE=M025R15
NODE=M025R15

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

 $0.34 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.05$

AUBERT

09M

BABR

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^{(*)} \bar{D}^{(*)}$ $\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^*(2007)^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10} / Γ NODE=M025R20
NODE=M025R20

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

seen

AUBERT

09M

BABR

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0} \gamma$

seen

CRONIN-HEN..09

CLEO

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0}$

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ ZHUKOVA 18	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$
seen	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
seen	PAKHLOVA 07	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$

¹ Supersedes PAKHLOVA 07.NODE=M025R21
NODE=M025R21

NODE=M025R21;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D^0 D^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	PAKHLOVA 08A	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^- \pi^+ \gamma$

NODE=M025R22
NODE=M025R22 $\Gamma(D \bar{D}^* \pi + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^* \bar{D}^*))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D \bar{D}^* \pi$

NODE=M025R23
NODE=M025R23 $\Gamma(D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+ + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	PAKHLOVA 09	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+ \gamma$

NODE=M025R24
NODE=M025R24 $\Gamma(D_s^+ D_s^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	PAKHLOVA 11	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \gamma$
not seen	DEL-AMO-SA..10N	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \gamma$
not seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$

NODE=M025R25
NODE=M025R25 $\Gamma(D_s^{*+} D_s^- + \text{c.c.}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	PAKHLOVA 11	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^- \gamma$
seen	DEL-AMO-SA..10N	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^- \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^-$

NODE=M025R26
NODE=M025R26 $\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3	90	COAN 06	CLEO	4.12–4.2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M025R01
NODE=M025R01 $\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3	90	COAN 06	CLEO	4.12–4.2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M025R02
NODE=M025R02 $\Gamma(J/\psi K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2	90	COAN 06	CLEO	4.12–4.2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M025R03
NODE=M025R03 $\Gamma(J/\psi \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8	90	COAN 06	CLEO	4.12–4.2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M025R04
NODE=M025R04

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

possibly seen	¹ ABLIKIM 15L	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \eta$
seen	WANG 13B	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \eta \gamma$

¹ An enhancement around 4.2 GeV is observed.

NODE=M025R04;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1	90	COAN 06	CLEO	4.12–4.2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M025R05
NODE=M025R05 $\Gamma(J/\psi \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	COAN 06	CLEO	4.12–4.2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M025R06
NODE=M025R06

$\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1	90	COAN	06	CLEO 4.12–4.2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M025R07
 NODE=M025R07

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	COAN	06	CLEO 4.12–4.2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M025R08
 NODE=M025R08

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<7	90	COAN	06	CLEO 4.12–4.2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M025R09
 NODE=M025R09

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<13	90	COAN	06	CLEO 4.12–4.2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M025R10
 NODE=M025R10

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2	90	COAN	06	CLEO 4.12–4.2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M025R11
 NODE=M025R11

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8	90	COAN	06	CLEO 4.12–4.2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M025R12
 NODE=M025R12

 $\Gamma(h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	¹ PEDLAR	11	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ At $\sqrt{s} = 4170$ MeV, PEDLAR 11 measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-) = 15.6 \pm 2.3 \pm 1.9 \pm 3.0$ pb, where the errors are statistical, systematic, and due to uncertainty in $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))$, respectively.

NODE=M025R27
 NODE=M025R27

NODE=M025R27;LINKAGE=PE

 $\Gamma(h_c(1P)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2	90	¹ PEDLAR	11	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ At $\sqrt{s} = 4170$ MeV, PEDLAR 11 measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0\pi^0) = 3.0 \pm 3.3 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.6$ pb, where the errors are statistical, systematic, and due to uncertainty in $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))$, respectively.

NODE=M025R28
 NODE=M025R28

NODE=M025R28;LINKAGE=PE

 $\Gamma(h_c(1P)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2	90		¹ PEDLAR	11	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

possibly seen 41 ² ABLIKIM 17R BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\eta$

¹ At $\sqrt{s} = 4170$ MeV, PEDLAR 11 measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\eta) = 4.7 \pm 1.7 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.9$ pb, where the errors are statistical, systematic, and due to uncertainty in $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))$, respectively.

² An enhancement around 4.2 GeV is observed.

NODE=M025R29
 NODE=M025R29

NODE=M025R29;LINKAGE=PE

NODE=M025R29;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(h_c(1P)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.4	90	¹ PEDLAR	11	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0$

¹ At $\sqrt{s} = 4170$ MeV, PEDLAR 11 measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = -0.7 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.1$ pb, where the errors are statistical, systematic, and due to uncertainty in $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))$, respectively.

NODE=M025R30
 NODE=M025R30

NODE=M025R30;LINKAGE=PE

 $\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{34}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2	90	COAN	06	CLEO 4.12–4.2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M025R13
 NODE=M025R13

$$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1}(3872))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{35}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
$<1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	^{1,2} XIAO 13	$\psi(4160) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
<0.011	90	^{1,3} XIAO 13	$\psi(4160) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.			
² XIAO 13 reports $[\Gamma(\psi(4160) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(3872))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))] < 0.68 \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S)) = 4.3 \times 10^{-2}$.			
³ XIAO 13 reports $[\Gamma(\psi(4160) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(3872))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi)] < 1.05 \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi) = 10 \times 10^{-3}$.			

NODE=M025R43
NODE=M025R43

OCCUR=2

NODE=M025R43;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M025R43;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M025R43;LINKAGE=C

$$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{36}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
$<1.36 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ XIAO 13	$\psi(4160) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.			

NODE=M025R35
NODE=M025R35

NODE=M025R35;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\gamma X(3930) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{37}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
$<1.18 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ XIAO 13	$\psi(4160) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.			

NODE=M025R36
NODE=M025R36

NODE=M025R36;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\gamma X(3940) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{38}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
$<1.47 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ XIAO 13	$\psi(4160) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.			

NODE=M025R37
NODE=M025R37

NODE=M025R37;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{39}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
$<1.26 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ XIAO 13	$\psi(4160) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$
¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.			

NODE=M025R39
NODE=M025R39

NODE=M025R39;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\gamma X(3930) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{40}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
$<0.88 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ XIAO 13	$\psi(4160) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$
¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.			

NODE=M025R40
NODE=M025R40

NODE=M025R40;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\gamma X(3940) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{41}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
$<1.79 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ XIAO 13	$\psi(4160) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$
¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.			

NODE=M025R41
NODE=M025R41

NODE=M025R41;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{42}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM 22K	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$

NODE=M025R46
NODE=M025R46

$$\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{43}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM 22K	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$

NODE=M025R47
NODE=M025R47

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{44}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ DRUZHININ 15	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
¹ DRUZHININ 15 uses BABAR and CLEO data taking into account interference of the processes $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ and $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$.				

NODE=M025R33
NODE=M025R33

NODE=M025R33;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p}p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{46}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM 21D	BES3	$4.0\text{--}4.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}p\bar{p}$

NODE=M025R44
NODE=M025R44

$\psi(4160)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	25U	PR D111 L051502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63265
ABLIKIM	24AH	JHEP 2405 022	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62688
ABLIKIM	24AL	JHEP 2407 258	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62693
ABLIKIM	24BH	PRL 133 081901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62924
ABLIKIM	24CD	JHEP 2411 062	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63037
ABLIKIM	23AQ	JHEP 2308 159	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62313
ABLIKIM	23BH	PRL 131 151903	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62433
ABLIKIM	23BK	JHEP 2311 228	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62437
ABLIKIM	23BL	JHEP 2312 027	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62438
ABLIKIM	22K	JHEP 2207 064	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61648
ABLIKIM	21AS	PR D104 L091104	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61454
ABLIKIM	21D	PR D103 052003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61031
ABLIKIM	20A	PR D101 012008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60210
ABLIKIM	20AG	PR D102 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60744
ABLIKIM	19AE	PR D99 072005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59856
ZHUKOVA	18	PR D97 012002	V. Zhukova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=58710
ABLIKIM	17R	PR D96 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58009
GAO	17	PR D95 092007	X.Y. Gao, C.P. Shen, C.Z. Yuan		REFID=57991
PDG	16	CP C40 100001	C. Patrignani <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=57140
ABLIKIM	15L	PR D91 112005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56777
DRUZHININ	15	PR D92 054024	V.P. Druzhinin	(NOVO)	REFID=56962
HAN	15	PR D92 012011	Y.L. Han <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=56816
AAIJ	13BC	PRL 111 112003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55229
WANG	13B	PR D87 051101	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55377
XIAO	13	PR D87 057501	T. Xiao <i>et al.</i>	(NWES, WAYN)	REFID=55381
PAKHLOVA	11	PR D83 011101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53638
PEDLAR	11	PRL 107 041803	T. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=16787
DEL-AMO-SA...	10N	PR D82 052004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53532
MO	10	PR D82 077501	X.H. Mo, C.Z. Yuan, P. Wang	(BHEP)	REFID=53540
AUBERT	09M	PR D79 092001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52724
CRONIN-HEN...	09	PR D80 072001	D. Cronin-Hennessy <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53114
PAKHLOVA	09	PR D80 091101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53143
ABLIKIM	08D	PL B660 315	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52142
PAKHLOVA	08	PR D77 011103	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52132
PAKHLOVA	08A	PRL 100 062001	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52134
PAKHLOVA	07	PRL 98 092001	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51628
COAN	06	PRL 96 162003	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51075
SETH	05A	PR D72 017501	K.K. Seth		REFID=50813
BAI	02C	PRL 88 101802	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50506
BAI	00	PRL 84 594	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50503
OSTERHELD	86	SLAC-PUB-4160	A. Osterheld <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=51064
BRANDELIK	78C	PL 76B 361	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22232

NODE=M190

 $X(4160)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(???)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Seen by PAKHLOV 08 in $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$, $X \rightarrow D^*\bar{D}^*$

NODE=M190

A state with consistent mass and width is seen by AAIJ 21E in $B^+ \rightarrow X(4160)K^+$ with $X(4160) \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ using an amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with a significance (accounting for systematic uncertainties) of 4.8σ . The $J^{PC} = 2^-+$ assignment is favored over other assignments with a significance of more than 4σ .

 $X(4160)$ MASS

NODE=M190M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4153^{+23}_{-21} OUR AVERAGE				
$4146 \pm 18 \pm 33$	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E	LHCb $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$
$4156^{+25}_{-20} \pm 15$	24	PAKHLOV	08	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with a significance of 4.8σ .

NODE=M190M;LINKAGE=A

 $X(4160)$ WIDTH

NODE=M190W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
136^{+60}_{-35} OUR AVERAGE				
$135 \pm 28^{+59}_{-30}$	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E	LHCb $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$
$139^{+111}_{-61} \pm 21$	24	PAKHLOV	08	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with a significance of 4.8σ .

NODE=M190W;LINKAGE=A

X(4160) DECAY MODES

NODE=M190215;NODE=M190

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad D\bar{D}$	not seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad D^*\bar{D} + \text{c.c.}$	not seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad D^*\bar{D}^*$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \quad J/\psi\phi$	seen

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4

X(4160) BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M190225

$\Gamma(D\bar{D})/\Gamma(D^*\bar{D}^*)$					Γ_1/Γ_3
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.09	90	PAKHLOV	08	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M190R01
NODE=M190R01

$\Gamma(D^*\bar{D} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(D^*\bar{D}^*)$					Γ_2/Γ_3
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.22	90	PAKHLOV	08	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M190R02
NODE=M190R02

$\Gamma(J/\psi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_4/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$

NODE=M190R00
NODE=M190R00

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with a significance of 4.8 σ .

NODE=M190R00;LINKAGE=A


X(4160) REFERENCES

NODE=M190

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
PAKHLOV	08	PRL 100 202001	P. Pakhlov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

REFID=61150
REFID=52302

NODE=M074



$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

also known as Y(4230); was $\psi(4260)$

NODE=M074

The original $\psi(4260)$ (also known as Y(4260)) was observed by AUBERT,B 05I as a peak in the energy dependence of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ cross section and was confirmed by HE 06B, YUAN 07, LEES 12AC, and LIU 13B in the same process. A higher-statistics analysis by ABLIKIM 17B revealed an asymmetry in the cross section and resulted in a shift of the peak position to a lower mass. The $\psi(4260)$ was therefore renamed $\psi(4230)$. The energy-dependent cross sections for e^+e^- to other channels also exhibit peaks in the same mass region. The parameters corresponding to those peaks are also listed here, but the number of states in this region remains to be determined.

For details see the review on "Spectroscopy of mesons containing two heavy quarks."

$\psi(4230)$ MASS

NODE=M074M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4221.7± 2.5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below. [4222.2 ± 2.4 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.7]				
$4223.6^{+3.6}_{-3.7} \pm 2.6_{-2.9}$	1	ABLIKIM	25B	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- h_c(1P)$
$4188.8 \pm 4.7 \pm 8.0$		ABLIKIM	25S	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta h_c$
$4219.7 \pm 2.5 \pm 4.5$	2	ABLIKIM	24T	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
$4226.9 \pm 6.6 \pm 22.0$	3	ABLIKIM	23U	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 J/\psi$
$4209.6 \pm 4.7 \pm 5.9$	4	ABLIKIM	23X	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D^{*-} \pi^+$
$4221.4 \pm 1.5 \pm 2.0$	5	ABLIKIM	22AMB	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
$4225.3 \pm 2.3 \pm 21.5$	6	ABLIKIM	22AU	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- J/\psi$

NODE=M074M
NEW

$4234.4 \pm 3.2 \pm 0.2$	⁷ ABLIKIM	21AJ BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
$4216.7 \pm 8.9 \pm 4.1$	⁸ ABLIKIM	20AG BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
$4220.4 \pm 2.4 \pm 2.3$	⁹ ABLIKIM	20N BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi$
$4218.5 \pm 1.6 \pm 4.0$	¹⁰ ABLIKIM	19AI BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\chi_{c0}$
$4228.6 \pm 4.1 \pm 6.3$	ABLIKIM	19R BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+D^0D^{*-} + \text{c.c.}$
$4200.6^{+7.9}_{-13.3} \pm 3.0$	¹¹ ABLIKIM	19V BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(3872)$
$4218^{+5.5}_{-4.5} \pm 0.9$	ABLIKIM	17G BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$4231.9 \pm 5.3 \pm 4.9$	ABLIKIM	20N BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^0,$ $T_{c\bar{c}1}^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 J/\psi$	OCCUR=2
$4218.6 \pm 3.8 \pm 2.5$	⁹ ABLIKIM	20O BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$	
$4222.0 \pm 3.1 \pm 1.4$	¹² ABLIKIM	17B BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$	
$4209.5 \pm 7.4 \pm 1.4$	¹³ ABLIKIM	17V BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$	
$4209.1 \pm 6.8 \pm 7.0$	¹⁴ ZHANG	17B RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$	
$4223.3 \pm 1.6 \pm 2.5$	¹⁵ ZHANG	17C RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi \text{ or } \psi(2S)$	OCCUR=2
$4230 \pm 8 \pm 6180$	¹⁶ ABLIKIM	15C BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\chi_{c0}$	
$4258.6 \pm 8.3 \pm 12.1$	¹⁷ LIU	13B BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$	
$4245 \pm 5 \pm 4$	¹⁸ LEES	12AC BABR	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$	
$4247 \pm 12^{+17}_{-32}$	^{17,19} YUAN	07 BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$	
$4284^{+17}_{-16} \pm 413.6$	HE	06B CLEO	$9.4\text{--}10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$	
$4259 \pm 8^{+2}_{-6} 125$	²⁰ AUBERT,B	05I BABR	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$	

¹ Using three coherent Breit-Wigner functions between 4.009 and 4.950 GeV.

² From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 3.808\text{--}4.951$ GeV. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.

³ From a three-resonance fit to the dressed cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.128\text{--}4.950$ GeV.

⁴ From a cross-section measurement of $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0}D^{*-}\pi^+$ between 4.189 and 4.951 GeV, assuming a coherent sum of 3 Breit-Wigner resonances plus a continuum amplitude. The two other resonances have masses (widths) 4675.3 ± 29.7 (218.3 $\pm$ 73.5) MeV and 4469.1 ± 26.4 (246.3 $\pm$ 37.9) MeV.

⁵ From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 3.7730\text{--}4.7008$ GeV.

⁶ From a two-resonance fit to the dressed cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.127\text{--}4.600$ GeV. The second resonance has a mass of $4484.7 \pm 13.3 \pm 24.1$ MeV and a total width of $111.1 \pm 30.1 \pm 15.2$ MeV.

⁷ From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.008\text{--}4.698$ GeV.

⁸ Solution 1 of 8 with equal fit quality to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ cross section between 3.8 and 4.6 GeV to the coherent sum of four resonant amplitudes. Other solutions range from $4212.8 \pm 7.2 \pm 4.0$ to $4219.4 \pm 11.2 \pm 4.1$ MeV.

⁹ From a fit of the measured cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 3.808\text{--}4.600$ GeV.

¹⁰ From a fit of the measured cross section from $\sqrt{s} = 4.178\text{--}4.278$ GeV. Supersedes ABLIKIM 15C.

¹¹ Simultaneous fit to $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \omega J/\psi$ and $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$.

¹² From a three-resonance fit. Supersedes by ABLIKIM 22AM.

¹³ From a fit to the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\ell^+\ell^-$ obtained from 16 center-of-mass energies between 4.008 and 4.600 GeV and comprising 5.1 fb^{-1} . Supersedes by ABLIKIM 21AJ.

¹⁴ From a three-resonance fit.

¹⁵ From a combined fit of BELLE, BABAR and BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ data.

¹⁶ From a 3-parameter fit of measured cross sections from $\sqrt{s} = 4.21\text{--}4.42$ GeV to a phase-space modified Breit-Wigner function, using the decays $\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\chi_{c0} \rightarrow K^+K^-$, and $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$.

¹⁷ From a two-resonance fit.

¹⁸ From a single-resonance fit. Supersedes AUBERT,B 05I.

¹⁹ Supersedes by LIU 13B.

²⁰ From a single-resonance fit. Two interfering resonances are not excluded. Supersedes by LEES 12AC.

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=L
NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=HP

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=GP

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=CP

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=FP

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=BP

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=CA


NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=AP

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=YU

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=YN

NODE=M074M;LINKAGE=AU



$\psi(4230)$ WIDTH

NODE=M074W

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

51 ± 7 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 3.4. See the ideogram below.
[51 ± 8 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 3.7]

NODE=M074W

NEW

58.5 ^{+10.8} _{-11.4} ± 6.7	1	ABLIKIM	25B	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- h_c(1P)$
49 ± 16 ± 19		ABLIKIM	25S	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta h_c$
80.7 ± 4.4 ± 1.4	2	ABLIKIM	24T	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
71.7 ± 16.2 ± 32.8	3	ABLIKIM	23U	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 J/\psi$
81.6 ± 17.8 ± 9.0	4	ABLIKIM	23X	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D^{*-} \pi^+$
41.8 ± 2.9 ± 2.7	5	ABLIKIM	22AM	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
72.9 ± 6.1 ± 30.8	6	ABLIKIM	22AU	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- J/\psi$
17.6 ± 18.1 ± 0.9	7	ABLIKIM	21AJ	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \psi(2S)$
47.2 ± 22.8 ± 10.5	8	ABLIKIM	20AG	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
46.2 ± 4.7 ± 2.1	9	ABLIKIM	20N	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 J/\psi$
28.2 ± 3.9 ± 1.6	10	ABLIKIM	19AI	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \chi_{c0}$
77.0 ± 6.8 ± 6.3		ABLIKIM	19R	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0 D^{*-} + \text{c.c.}$
115 ⁺³⁸ ₋₂₆ ± 12	11	ABLIKIM	19V	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$
66.0 ^{+12.3} _{-8.3} ± 0.4		ABLIKIM	17G	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- h_c$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

41.2 ± 16.0 ± 16.4		ABLIKIM	20N	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^0,$ $T_{c\bar{c}1}^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 J/\psi$
82.0 ± 5.7 ± 0.4	9	ABLIKIM	20O	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
44.1 ± 4.3 ± 2.0	12	ABLIKIM	17B	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
80.1 ± 24.6 ± 2.9	13	ABLIKIM	17V	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \psi(2S)$
76.6 ± 14.2 ± 2.4	14	ZHANG	17B	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \psi(2S)$
54.2 ± 2.6 ± 1.0	15	ZHANG	17C	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi \text{ or } \psi(2S)$
38 ± 12 ± 2 180	16	ABLIKIM	15C	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \chi_{c0}$
134.1 ± 16.4 ± 5.5	17	LIU	13B	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
114 ⁺¹⁶ ₋₁₅ ± 7	18	LEES	12AC	BABR	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
108 ± 19 ± 10	17,19	YUAN	07	BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
73 ⁺³⁹ ₋₂₅ ± 5 13.6		HE	06B	CLEO	9.4–10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
88 ± 23 ⁺⁶ ₋₄ 125	20	AUBERT,B	05I	BABR	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$

OCCUR=2

¹ Using three coherent Breit-Wigner functions between 4.009 and 4.950 GeV.

² From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 3.808\text{--}4.951$ GeV. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.

³ From a three-resonance fit to the dressed cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.128\text{--}4.950$ GeV.

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=H

- ⁴ From a cross-section measurement of $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0}D^{*-}\pi^+$ between 4.189 and 4.951 GeV, assuming a coherent sum of 3 Breit-Wigner resonances plus a continuum amplitude. The two other resonances have masses (widths) 4675.3 ± 29.7 (218.3 ± 73.5) MeV and 4469.1 ± 26.4 (246.3 ± 37.9) MeV.
- ⁵ From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 3.7730\text{--}4.7008$ GeV.
- ⁶ From a two-resonance fit to the dressed cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.127\text{--}4.600$ GeV. The second resonance has a mass of $4484.7 \pm 13.3 \pm 24.1$ MeV and a total width of $111.1 \pm 30.1 \pm 15.2$ MeV.
- ⁷ From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.008\text{--}4.698$ GeV.
- ⁸ Solution 1 of 8 with equal fit quality to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ cross section between 3.8 and 4.6 GeV to the coherent sum of four resonant amplitudes. Other solutions range from $36.4 \pm 16.8 \pm 8.1$ to $49.6 \pm 22.6 \pm 11.0$ MeV.
- ⁹ From a fit of the measured cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 3.808\text{--}4.600$ GeV.
- ¹⁰ From a fit of the measured cross section from $\sqrt{s} = 4.178\text{--}4.278$ GeV. Supersedes ABLIKIM 15C.
- ¹¹ Simultaneous fit to $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \omega J/\psi$ and $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$.
- ¹² From a three-resonance fit. Superseded by ABLIKIM 22AM.
- ¹³ From a fit to the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\ell^+\ell^-$ obtained from 16 center-of-mass energies between 4.008 and 4.600 GeV and comprising 5.1 fb^{-1} . Superseded by ABLIKIM 21AJ.
- ¹⁴ From a three-resonance fit.
- ¹⁵ From a combined fit of BELLE, BABAR and BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ data.
- ¹⁶ From a 3-parameter fit of measured cross sections from $\sqrt{s} = 4.21\text{--}4.42$ GeV to a phase-space modified Breit-Wigner function, using the decays $\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\chi_{c0} \rightarrow K^+K^-$, and $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$.
- ¹⁷ From a two-resonance fit.
- ¹⁸ From a single-resonance fit. Supersedes AUBERT, B 05I.
- ¹⁹ Superseded by LIU 13B.
- ²⁰ From a single-resonance fit. Two interfering resonances are not excluded. Superseded by LEES 12AC.

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=GP

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=FP

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=CP

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=EP

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=BP

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=B


NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=AP

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=YU

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=YN

NODE=M074W;LINKAGE=AU



$\psi(4230)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M074215;NODE=M074

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 e^+e^-	
Γ_2 $\mu^+\mu^-$	$(3.0 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-5}$
Γ_3 $\eta_c(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	not seen
Γ_4 $\eta_c(1S)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	seen
Γ_5 $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_6 $J/\psi f_0(980), f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_7 $T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^\pm \pi^\mp, T_{c\bar{c}1}^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^\pm$	seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=63

DESIG=65

DESIG=64

DESIG=2

DESIG=41;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=43;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

「 ₈	$J/\psi \pi^0 \pi^0$	seen	DESIG=4
「 ₉	$J/\psi K^+ K^-$	seen	DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₁₀	$J/\psi K_S^0 K_S^0$	not seen	DESIG=44
「 ₁₁	$J/\psi \eta$	seen	DESIG=6
「 ₁₂	$J/\psi \pi^0$	not seen	DESIG=7;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₁₃	$J/\psi \eta'$	seen	DESIG=8;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₁₄	$J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	not seen	DESIG=9;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₁₅	$J/\psi \eta \pi^0$	not seen	DESIG=45
「 ₁₆	$J/\psi \eta \eta$	not seen	DESIG=10;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₁₇	$\psi(2S) \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen	DESIG=11
「 ₁₈	$\psi(2S) \eta$	not seen	DESIG=12;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₁₉	$\chi_{c0} \omega$	seen	DESIG=13
「 ₂₀	$\chi_{c1} \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	not seen	DESIG=16;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₂₁	$\chi_{c2} \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	not seen	DESIG=17;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₂₂	$h_c(1P) \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen	DESIG=40
「 ₂₃	$h_c(1P) \eta$	seen	DESIG=83
「 ₂₄	$\phi \pi^+ \pi^-$	not seen	DESIG=18;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₂₅	$\phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$	not seen	DESIG=22;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₂₆	$\phi K^+ K^-$	not seen	DESIG=72;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₂₇	$\phi K_S^0 K_S^0$	not seen	DESIG=73;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₂₈	$\phi \eta$	not seen	DESIG=76
「 ₂₉	$\phi \eta'$	not seen	DESIG=70;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₃₀	$D \bar{D}$	not seen	DESIG=19;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₃₁	$D^0 \bar{D}^0$	possibly seen	DESIG=31
「 ₃₂	$D^+ D^-$	possibly seen	DESIG=32
「 ₃₃	$D^* \bar{D} + c.c.$	not seen	DESIG=23;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₃₄	$D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + c.c.$	not seen	DESIG=33
「 ₃₅	$D^*(2010)^+ D^- + c.c.$	not seen	DESIG=34
「 ₃₆	$D^* \bar{D}^*$	not seen	DESIG=24;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₃₇	$D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^*(2007)^0$	not seen	DESIG=35
「 ₃₈	$D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-$	not seen	DESIG=36
「 ₃₉	$D \bar{D} \pi + c.c.$	not seen	DESIG=37;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₄₀	$D^0 D^- \pi^+ + c.c. \text{ (excl. } D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^{*0} + c.c., D^*(2010)^+ D^- + c.c.)$	not seen	DESIG=38
「 ₄₁	$D \bar{D}^* \pi + c.c. \text{ (excl. } D^* \bar{D}^*)$	not seen	DESIG=25
「 ₄₂	$D^0 D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ + c.c.$	seen	DESIG=30
「 ₄₃	$D_1(2420) \bar{D} + c.c.$	not seen	DESIG=50
「 ₄₄	$D^* \bar{D}^* \pi$	seen	DESIG=26
「 ₄₅	$D^{*0} D^{*-} \pi^+$	seen	DESIG=74;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₄₆	$D_s^+ D_s^-$	not seen	DESIG=27
「 ₄₇	$D_s^{*+} D_s^- + c.c.$	not seen	DESIG=28
「 ₄₈	$D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$	not seen	DESIG=29
「 ₄₉	$p \bar{p}$	not seen	DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₅₀	$p \bar{p} \pi^0$	not seen	DESIG=46;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₅₁	$p \bar{p} \eta$	not seen	DESIG=61
「 ₅₂	$\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen	DESIG=71;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₅₃	$p \bar{p} \omega$	not seen	DESIG=62
「 ₅₄	$\Xi^0 \Xi^0$		DESIG=80
「 ₅₅	$\Xi^- \Xi^+$	not seen	DESIG=51;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₅₆	$\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	not seen	DESIG=53;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₅₇	$\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^0$	not seen	DESIG=54;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₅₈	$\omega \pi^0$	not seen	DESIG=68
「 ₅₉	$\omega \eta$	not seen	DESIG=69
「 ₆₀	$K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	not seen	DESIG=20;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₆₁	$K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0$	not seen	DESIG=48;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
「 ₆₂	$K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \eta$	not seen	DESIG=49;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

Γ_{63}	$K^+ K^- \pi^0$	not seen
Γ_{64}	$K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	not seen
Γ_{65}	$K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	not seen
Γ_{66}	$K^+ K^+ K^- K^-$	not seen
Γ_{67}	$K^+ K^+ K^- K^- \pi^0$	not seen
Γ_{68}	$p \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^-$	not seen
Γ_{69}	$p \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	not seen
Γ_{70}	$p \bar{p} p \bar{p}$	not seen
Γ_{71}	$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$	not seen
Γ_{72}	$\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$	not seen
Γ_{73}	$\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$	not seen
Γ_{74}	$p K^- \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$	not seen
Γ_{75}	$\Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	not seen
Γ_{76}	$\Sigma^0 \bar{\Xi}^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	not seen

Radiative decays

Γ_{77}	$\eta_c(1S) \gamma$	possibly seen
Γ_{78}	$\eta_c(1S) \pi^0 \gamma$	not seen
Γ_{79}	$\eta_c(1S) \eta \gamma$	not seen
Γ_{80}	$\eta_c(1S) \eta' \gamma$	not seen
Γ_{81}	$\chi_{c1} \gamma$	not seen
Γ_{82}	$\chi_{c2} \gamma$	not seen
Γ_{83}	$\chi_{c1}(3872) \gamma$	seen

DESIG=21;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=55;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=56;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=57;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=58;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=59;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=60;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=67
 DESIG=52;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=77;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=84
 DESIG=75;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=78;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=79;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M074;CLUMP=C

DESIG=47

DESIG=66

DESIG=81

DESIG=82

DESIG=14;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=15;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=42

$\psi(4230)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-)$				Γ_2
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$1.53 \pm 1.26 \pm 0.54$	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	20AG BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	

¹ From a fit to the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ cross section between 3.8 and 4.6 GeV to the coherent sum of four resonant amplitudes assuming $\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-) = \Gamma(e^+ e^-)$.

² From solution 1 of 8 with equal fit quality. Other solutions range from $1.09 \pm 0.84 \pm 0.39$ to $1.53 \pm 1.26 \pm 0.54$ keV.

NODE=M074235

NODE=M074W01
 NODE=M074W01

NODE=M074W01;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M074W01;LINKAGE=B

$\psi(4230) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_5 \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.2 ± 1.0 OUR AVERAGE				
$9.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.7$	¹	LEES	12AC BABR	$10.58 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
$8.9^{+3.9}_{-3.1} \pm 1.8$	8.1	HE	06B CLEO	$9.4\text{--}10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$6.4 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.6$	²	LIU	13B BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
$20.5 \pm 1.4 \pm 2.0$	³	LIU	13B BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
$6.0 \pm 1.2^{+4.7}_{-0.5}$	^{2,4}	YUAN	07 BELL	$10.58 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
$20.6 \pm 2.3^{+9.1}_{-1.7}$	^{3,4}	YUAN	07 BELL	$10.58 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
$5.5 \pm 1.0^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$	125 ⁵	AUBERT,B	05I BABR	$10.58 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$

¹ From a single-resonance fit. Supersedes AUBERT,B 05I.

² Solution I of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances.

³ Solution II of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances.

⁴ Superseded by LIU 13B.

⁵ From a single-resonance fit. Two interfering resonances are not excluded. Superseded by LEES 12AC.

NODE=M074230

NODE=M074G1
 NODE=M074G1

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M074G1;LINKAGE=LE
 NODE=M074G1;LINKAGE=YU
 NODE=M074G1;LINKAGE=YA
 NODE=M074G1;LINKAGE=YN
 NODE=M074G1;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(J/\psi K^+ K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$
 $\Gamma_9 \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.42 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.15$		¹ ABLIKIM	22AU BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- J/\psi$
$0.29 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.10$		² ABLIKIM	22AU BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- J/\psi$
<1.7	90	³ SHEN	14 BELL	$9.4\text{--}10.9 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- J/\psi$
<1.2	90	⁴ YUAN	08 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- J/\psi$

NODE=M074G3
NODE=M074G3

OCCUR=2

NODE=M074G3;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M074G3;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M074G3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M074G3;LINKAGE=YU

¹ Solution I from a two-resonance fit to the dressed cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.127\text{--}4.600$ GeV. The second resonance has a mass of $4484.7 \pm 13.3 \pm 24.1$ MeV, a total width of $111.1 \pm 30.1 \pm 15.2$ MeV, and $\Gamma_{ee} \cdot B = 1.35 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.07$ eV. The phase difference is $1.72 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.52$ rad.

² Solution II from a two-resonance fit to the dressed cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.127\text{--}4.600$ GeV. The second resonance has a mass of $4484.7 \pm 13.3 \pm 24.1$ MeV, a total width of $111.1 \pm 30.1 \pm 15.2$ MeV, and $\Gamma_{ee} \cdot B = 0.41 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.13$ eV. The phase difference is $5.49 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.58$ rad.

³ From a fit of the broad $K^+ K^- J/\psi$ enhancement including a coherent $\psi(4260)$ amplitude with mass and width from LIU 13B. Supersedes YUAN 08. The shape of the cross section observed by ABLIKIM 18N between 4.2 and 4.3 GeV is incompatible with that of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ in ABLIKIM 13T and ABLIKIM 17B. They also observe a broad enhancement around 4.5 GeV.

⁴ From a fit of the broad $K^+ K^- J/\psi$ enhancement including a coherent $\psi(4260)$ amplitude with mass and width from YUAN 07.

$$\Gamma(J/\psi K_S^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$
 $\Gamma_{10} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.85	90	¹ SHEN	14 BELL	$9.4\text{--}10.9 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 J/\psi$

NODE=M074G02
NODE=M074G02

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.13 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.05$		^{2,3} ABLIKIM	23U BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 J/\psi$
$0.14 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.06$		^{2,4} ABLIKIM	23U BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 J/\psi$
$0.18 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.07$		^{2,5} ABLIKIM	23U BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 J/\psi$
$0.20 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.07$		^{2,6} ABLIKIM	23U BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 J/\psi$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

¹ From a fit of the $K_S^0 K_S^0 J/\psi$ mass range from 4.4 to 5.5 GeV including a coherent $\psi(4260)$ amplitude with mass and width from LIU 13B.

² A three-resonance fit to the dressed cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.128\text{--}4.950$ GeV.

³ Solution I.

⁴ Solution II.

⁵ Solution III.

⁶ Solution IV.

NODE=M074G02;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M074G02;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M074G02;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M074G02;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M074G02;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M074G02;LINKAGE=E

$$\Gamma(J/\psi \eta) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$
 $\Gamma_{11} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$4.0 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1$		¹ ABLIKIM	24T BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
$5.5 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.3$		² ABLIKIM	24T BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
$8.7 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.4$		³ ABLIKIM	24T BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
$11.9 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.6$		⁴ ABLIKIM	24T BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
8.0 ± 1.7		⁵ ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
4.8 ± 1.0		⁶ ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
7.0 ± 1.5		⁷ ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
<14.2	90	WANG	13B BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \eta \gamma$

NODE=M074G01
NODE=M074G01

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

¹ Solution 1 of 4. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.

² Solution 2 of 4. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.

³ Solution 3 of 4. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.

⁴ Solution 4 of 4. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.

⁵ Solution 1 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.

⁶ Solution 2 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.

⁷ Solution 3 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.

NODE=M074G01;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M074G01;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M074G01;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M074G01;LINKAGE=G
NODE=M074G01;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M074G01;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M074G01;LINKAGE=C

$$\Gamma(J/\psi \eta') \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$
 $\Gamma_{13} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.06 ± 0.03	46	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	20A BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi$
1.38 ± 0.11	46	^{1,3} ABLIKIM	20A BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi$

NODE=M074R34
NODE=M074R34

OCCUR=2

NODE=M074R34;LINKAGE=A

¹ Based on a fit to $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi)$ from $\sqrt{s} = 4.18$ to 4.60 GeV assuming interfering $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4260)$ contributions. At $\sqrt{s} = 4.23$ GeV, $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi) = 3.6 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3$ pb.

² Solution I of the fit, corresponding to a phase of -0.03 ± 0.44 rad.

³ Solution II of the fit, corresponding to a phase of 2.54 ± 0.04 rad.

NODE=M074R34;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M074R34;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{17}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.59 ± 0.75		¹ ABLIKIM	21AJ BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
1.63 ± 0.78		² ABLIKIM	21AJ BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
0.02 ± 0.01		³ ABLIKIM	21AJ BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
1.6 ± 1.3		⁴ ABLIKIM	19K BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
1.8 ± 1.4		⁵ ABLIKIM	19K BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
< 4.3	90	⁶ LIU	08H RVUE	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
$7.4^{+2.1}_{-1.7}$		⁷ LIU	08H RVUE	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

NODE=M074G7
 NODE=M074G7

OCCUR=2
 OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ Solution I of four equivalent solutions in a fit using three interfering resonances.² Solution II of four equivalent solutions in a fit using three interfering resonances³ Solutions III and IV of four equivalent solutions in a fit using three interfering resonances.⁴ Solution I of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances.⁵ Solution II of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances.⁶ For constructive interference with the $\psi(4360)$ in a combined fit of AUBERT 07S and WANG 07D data with three resonances.⁷ For destructive interference with the $\psi(4360)$ in a combined fit of AUBERT 07S and WANG 07D data with three resonances.

NODE=M074G7;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M074G7;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M074G7;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M074G7;LINKAGE=AA
 NODE=M074G7;LINKAGE=BB
 NODE=M074G7;LINKAGE=LI

NODE=M074G7;LINKAGE=LU

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c0}\omega) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{19}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.5 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.3$		¹ ABLIKIM	19AI BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\chi_{c0}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$2.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.4$	180	² ABLIKIM	15C BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\chi_{c0}$

¹ From a fit of the measured cross section from $\sqrt{s} = 4.178\text{--}4.278$ GeV. Supersedes ABLIKIM 15C.² From a 3-parameter fit of measured cross sections from $\sqrt{s} = 4.21\text{--}4.42$ GeV to a phase-space modified Breit-Wigner function, using the decays $\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\chi_{c0} \rightarrow K^+K^-$, and $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$.

NODE=M074G05
 NODE=M074G05

NODE=M074G05;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M074G05;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{22}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$8.4^{+2.4}_{-2.0}$ OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.5. $[4.6^{+3.0}_{-1.6}$ eV OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		

NODE=M074R47
 NODE=M074R47

NEW

$10.2^{+1.2+1.4}_{-1.5-1.4}$	¹ ABLIKIM	25B BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c(1P)$
$4.6^{+2.9}_{-1.4} \pm 0.8$	ABLIKIM	17G BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c(1P)$

¹ Using three coherent Breit-Wigner functions between 4.009 and 4.950 GeV. Second solution: 0.9 ± 0.5 eV.

NODE=M074R47;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(h_c(1P)\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{23}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.80 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.45$	ABLIKIM	25S BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta h_c$

NODE=M074R75
 NODE=M074R75

 $\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{24}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.4	90	AUBERT,BE	06D BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

NODE=M074G2
 NODE=M074G2

 $\Gamma(\phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{25}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.28	90	¹ AUBERT	07AK BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$

NODE=M074G6
 NODE=M074G6

¹ AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(\psi(4230) \rightarrow \phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(4230) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-)] < 0.14$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-) = 50.1 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M074G6;LINKAGE=AU

 $\Gamma(\phi K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{26}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 1.75	90	ABLIKIM	23AE BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi K^+K^-$

NODE=M074R63
 NODE=M074R63

 $\Gamma(\phi K_S^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{27}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.47	90	ABLIKIM	23AE BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M074R64
 NODE=M074R64

$$\Gamma(\phi\eta') \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{29}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.53	90	ABLIKIM	23R BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta'$

NODE=M074R61
NODE=M074R61

$$\Gamma(D^{*0}D^{*-}\pi^+) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{45}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5 to 22 ¹ ABLIKIM 23X BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0}D^{*-}\pi^+$

¹ From a cross-section measurement of $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0}D^{*-}\pi^+$ between 4.189 and 4.951 GeV, assuming a coherent sum of 3 Breit-Wigner resonances plus a continuum amplitude. Depending on solutions I – VIII with the same fit qualities.

NODE=M074R65
NODE=M074R65

NODE=M074R65;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{52}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.487 ± 0.008 ± 0.030 ^{1,2} ABLIKIM 23AQ BES3 fit to cross sections

0.0005 ± 0.0003 ± 0.0001 ^{2,3} ABLIKIM 23AQ BES3 fit to cross sections

¹ Solution I of the fit.

² From a fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$ cross sections between 4 and 4.6 GeV. Recalculated from 12 $\pi\Gamma(e^+e^-)B(\psi(4230) \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-)$. First uncertainty is from statistical and uncommon systematic uncertainties, and the second is a 6.2% common systematic uncertainty quoted in the paper.

³ Solution II of the fit.

NODE=M074R62
NODE=M074R62

OCCUR=2

NODE=M074R62;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M074R62;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M074R62;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{56}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<32 90 ABLIKIM 21AW BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-$

NODE=M074R37
NODE=M074R37

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{57}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<16 90 ABLIKIM 21AW BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M074R38
NODE=M074R38

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{60}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.04 ± 0.19 ± 0.09 ¹ ABLIKIM 19AE BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

0.0027 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0001 ² ABLIKIM 19AE BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

< 0.5 at 90% CL AUBERT 08S BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \gamma$

¹ Solution I of the fit including the $\psi(4160)$ with mass 4191 ± 5 MeV and width 70 ± 10 MeV from PDG 16 and the $\psi(4230)$ with mass $4219.6 \pm 3.3 \pm 5.1$ MeV and width $56.0 \pm 3.6 \pm 6.9$ MeV from GAO 17.

² Solution II of the fit including the $\psi(4160)$ with mass 4191 ± 5 MeV and width 70 ± 10 MeV from PDG 16 and the $\psi(4230)$ with mass $4219.6 \pm 3.3 \pm 5.1$ MeV and width $56.0 \pm 3.6 \pm 6.9$ MeV from GAO 17.

NODE=M074G4
NODE=M074G4

OCCUR=2

NODE=M074G4;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M074G4;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{61}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<0.05 90 ABLIKIM 19 BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0$

NODE=M074R31
NODE=M074R31

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{62}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<0.19 90 ABLIKIM 19 BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp \eta$

NODE=M074R32
NODE=M074R32

$$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{63}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.6 90 AUBERT 08S BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M074G5
NODE=M074G5

$$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{64}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<20 90 ABLIKIM 21AW BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M074R39
NODE=M074R39

$$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{65}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<43 90 ABLIKIM 21AW BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M074R40
NODE=M074R40

$$\Gamma(K^+K^+K^-K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{66}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.8	90	ABLIKIM	21AW BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2K^+2K^-$

NODE=M074R41
NODE=M074R41

$$\Gamma(K^+K^+K^-K^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{67}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.1	90	ABLIKIM	21AW BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2K^+2K^-\pi^0$

NODE=M074R42
NODE=M074R42

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{68}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<7.2	90	ABLIKIM	21AW BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M074R43
NODE=M074R43

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{69}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<15	90	ABLIKIM	21AW BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M074R44
NODE=M074R44

$$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{71}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<0.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	21AS BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4260)$

¹ From a measurement of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ cross section between 3.5 and 4.6 GeV.

NODE=M074R36
NODE=M074R36

NODE=M074R36;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{72}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<72.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AH BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$

¹ Interference effect between resonance and continuum amplitudes is considered. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M074R69
NODE=M074R69

NODE=M074R69;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{73}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<14.7 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	25U BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$

¹ Interference effect between resonance and continuum amplitudes is considered. Upper limit is for the larger of the two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M074R77
NODE=M074R77

NODE=M074R77;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{54}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<40.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24CD BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4230)$

¹ From a fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0$ cross sections.

NODE=M074R72
NODE=M074R72

NODE=M074R72;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{55}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<25.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	23BK BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4230)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<2.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	² ABLIKIM	20C BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+$

¹ From a fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+$ cross sections.

² Superseded by ABLIKIM 23BK.

NODE=M074R35
NODE=M074R35

NODE=M074R35;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M074R35;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(pK^-\bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{74}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	23BL BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4230)$

¹ From a fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow pK^-\bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$ cross sections.

NODE=M074R67
NODE=M074R67

NODE=M074R67;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Xi}^+K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{75}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<24.9 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AL BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Xi}^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$

¹ A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Xi}^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M074R70
NODE=M074R70

NODE=M074R70;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\bar{\Xi}^+K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{76}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AL BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Xi}^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$

¹ A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Xi}^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M074R71
NODE=M074R71

NODE=M074R71;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{81}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<1.4	90	¹ HAN	15	BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma$
¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$.					

NODE=M074G03
NODE=M074G03

NODE=M074G03;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{82}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<4.0	90	¹ HAN	15	BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma$
¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$.					

NODE=M074G04
NODE=M074G04

NODE=M074G04;LINKAGE=A

$\psi(4230) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$					
$\Gamma(D^0 D^{*+}(2010)^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{42}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.42 $\times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ PAKHLOVA	09	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+$
¹ Using 4263^{+8}_{-9} MeV for the mass of $\psi(4260)$.					

NODE=M074245

NODE=M074R11
NODE=M074R11

NODE=M074R11;LINKAGE=PA

$\psi(4230)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M074225

$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_3/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
not seen	¹ ABLIKIM	21B	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta_c$	
¹ Not seen in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta_c$ at $\sqrt{s} = 4.226$ GeV with a 90% C.L. upper limit on the cross section of 16.8 pb.					

NODE=M074R56
NODE=M074R56

NODE=M074R56;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_4/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	21B	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta_c$	
¹ Seen as a peak in the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta_c$ cross section with a peak value of $46.1^{+9.5}_{-9.4} \pm 6.6$ pb at $\sqrt{s} = 4.226$ GeV.					

NODE=M074R55
NODE=M074R55

NODE=M074R55;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_5/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	22AMBES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
seen	² ABLIKIM	17B	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$	
¹ From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 3.7730\text{--}4.7008$ GeV.					
² From a three-resonance fit. Superseded by ABLIKIM 22AM.					

NODE=M074R51
NODE=M074R51

NODE=M074R51;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M074R51;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(J/\psi f_0(980), f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$					Γ_6/Γ_5
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.17 ± 0.13	¹ LEES	12AC	BABR	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$	
¹ Systematic uncertainties not estimated.					

NODE=M074R02
NODE=M074R02

NODE=M074R02;LINKAGE=LE

$\Gamma(T_{cc1}(3900)^\pm \pi^\mp, T_{cc1}^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^\pm)/\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$					Γ_7/Γ_5
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
$0.215 \pm 0.033 \pm 0.075$	¹ ABLIKIM	13T	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.29 ± 0.08	² LIU	13B	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$	
¹ Assuming that the cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ is fully due to the $\psi(4260)$.					
² Systematic error not evaluated.					

NODE=M074R01
NODE=M074R01

NODE=M074R01;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M074R01;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_8/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	20N	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0J/\psi$	
¹ From a fit to the cross section $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0J/\psi$ at center-of-mass energies between 3.808 and 4.600 GeV.					

NODE=M074R50
NODE=M074R50

NODE=M074R50;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(J/\psi K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{10}/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
not seen	SHEN	14	BELL	$9.4\text{--}10.9 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 J/\psi$	

NODE=M074R27
NODE=M074R27

$\Gamma(J/\psi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	24T BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
seen	ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$

¹ Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.NODE=M074R52
NODE=M074R52

NODE=M074R52;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(J/\psi\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM	15Q BES3	$4.0\text{--}4.6\ e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\eta\pi^0$

NODE=M074R28
NODE=M074R28 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	17V BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$

¹ From a fit to the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\ell^+\ell^-$ obtained from 16 center-of-mass energies between 4.008 and 4.600 GeV and comprising 5.1 fb^{-1} .NODE=M074R53
NODE=M074R53

NODE=M074R53;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
($0.11 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.03$) to ($0.55 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.19$)	¹ ZHANG	17C RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ or $\psi(2S)$

¹ From a combined fit of BELLE, BABAR and BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ data.NODE=M074R30
NODE=M074R30

NODE=M074R30;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c0}\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	180	¹ ABLIKIM	15C BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\chi_{c0}$

¹ From a 3-parameter fit of measured cross sections from $\sqrt{s} = 4.21\text{--}4.42$ GeV to a phase-space modified Breit-Wigner function, using the decays $\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\chi_{c0} \rightarrow K^+K^-$, and $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$.NODE=M074R48
NODE=M074R48

NODE=M074R48;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	ABLIKIM	17G BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c$

NODE=M074R49
NODE=M074R49 $\Gamma(h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.0	90	¹ PEDLAR	11 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ At $\sqrt{s} = 4260$ MeV, PEDLAR 11 measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-) = 32 \pm 17 \pm 6 \pm 6$ pb, where the errors are statistical, systematic, and due to uncertainty in $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))$, respectively.NODE=M074R25
NODE=M074R25

NODE=M074R25;LINKAGE=PE

 $\Gamma(h_c(1P)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	ABLIKIM	25S BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta h_c$

NODE=M074R76
NODE=M074R76 $\Gamma(\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM	23BT BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta$

NODE=M074R68
NODE=M074R68 $\Gamma(D\bar{D})/\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.0	90	¹ AUBERT	07BE BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D\bar{D}\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<4.0 90 CRONIN-HEN..09 CLEO e^+e^- ¹ Using 4259 ± 10 MeV for the mass and 88 ± 24 MeV for the width of $\psi(4260)$.NODE=M074R2
NODE=M074R2

NODE=M074R2;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
possibly seen	¹ ABLIKIM	24BH BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \gamma$
not seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0$
not seen	PAKHLOVA 08	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \gamma$

¹ A precision measurement of the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0$ cross section shows complex structure in this mass region.

NODE=M074R12
NODE=M074R12

NODE=M074R12;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D^+ D^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
possibly seen	¹ ABLIKIM	24BH BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- \gamma$
not seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^-$
not seen	PAKHLOVA 08	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- \gamma$

¹ A precision measurement of the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^-$ cross section shows complex structure in this mass region.

NODE=M074R13
NODE=M074R13

NODE=M074R13;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D^* \bar{D} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<34	90	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^* \bar{D}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<45	90	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M074R03
NODE=M074R03

 $\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0 \gamma$

NODE=M074R14
NODE=M074R14

 $\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^-$
not seen	PAKHLOVA 07	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$

NODE=M074R15
NODE=M074R15

 $\Gamma(D^* \bar{D}^*)/\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<11	90	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<40	90	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^* \bar{D}^*$

NODE=M074R04
NODE=M074R04

 $\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^*(2007)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0} \gamma$

NODE=M074R17
NODE=M074R17

 $\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-}$
not seen	PAKHLOVA 07	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$

NODE=M074R18
NODE=M074R18

 $\Gamma(D^0 D^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^{*0} + \text{c.c., } D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	PAKHLOVA 08A	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^- \pi^+ \gamma$

NODE=M074R16
NODE=M074R16

$$\Gamma(D\bar{D}^*\pi + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*\bar{D}^*)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{41}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^*\bar{D}\pi$

NODE=M074R22
NODE=M074R22

$$\Gamma(D\bar{D}^*\pi + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*\bar{D}^*)) / \Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{41}/\Gamma_5$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<15	90	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	e^+e^-

NODE=M074R05
NODE=M074R05

$$\Gamma(D^0 D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{42}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	ABLIKIM 19R BES3		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0 D^{*-} + \text{c.c.}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen PAKHLOVA 09 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+ \gamma$

NODE=M074R54
NODE=M074R54

$$\Gamma(D^0 D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{42}/\Gamma_5$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<9	90	PAKHLOVA 09	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+$

NODE=M074R10
NODE=M074R10

$$\Gamma(D_1(2420)\bar{D} + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{43}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ ABLIKIM 19AR BES3		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- D\bar{D}$

¹ Results from a measurement of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow D_1(2420)\bar{D} + \text{c.c.})$ between $\sqrt{s} = 4.3$ and 4.6 GeV.

NODE=M074R33
NODE=M074R33

NODE=M074R33;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(D^*\bar{D}^*\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{44}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^*\bar{D}^*\pi$

NODE=M074R24
NODE=M074R24

$$\Gamma(D^*\bar{D}^*\pi) / \Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{44}/\Gamma_5$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8.2	90	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	e^+e^-

NODE=M074R06
NODE=M074R06

$$\Gamma(D_s^+ D_s^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{46}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	DEL-AMO-SA..10N	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \gamma$

not seen CRONIN-HEN..09 CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen PAKHLOVA 11 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \gamma$

NODE=M074R19
NODE=M074R19

$$\Gamma(D_s^+ D_s^-) / \Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{46}/\Gamma_5$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.7	95	DEL-AMO-SA..10N	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.3 90 CRONIN-HEN..09 CLEO e^+e^-

NODE=M074R07
NODE=M074R07

$$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} D_s^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{47}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	DEL-AMO-SA..10N	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^- \gamma$

not seen CRONIN-HEN..09 CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen PAKHLOVA 11 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^- \gamma$

NODE=M074R20
NODE=M074R20

$$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} D_s^- + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{47}/\Gamma_5$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.8	90	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	e^+e^-

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<44 95 DEL-AMO-SA..10N BABR $10.6 e^+e^-$

NODE=M074R08
NODE=M074R08

$$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{48}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen PAKHLOVA 11 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-} \gamma$

not seen DEL-AMO-SA..10N BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-} \gamma$

NODE=M074R21
NODE=M074R21

$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-})/\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{48}/Γ_5

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 9.5	90	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<30	95	DEL-AMO-SA..10N	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^-$

NODE=M074R09
 NODE=M074R09

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{49}/Γ_5

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.13	90	¹ AUBERT	06B BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\gamma$

¹ Using 4259 ± 10 MeV for the mass and 88 ± 24 MeV for the width of $\psi(4260)$.

NODE=M074R1
 NODE=M074R1

NODE=M074R1;LINKAGE=AU

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{50}/Γ_5

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	ABLIKIM	17F BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4260) \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M074R00
 NODE=M074R00
 OCCUR=2

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{51}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM	21AN BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta$

NODE=M074R45
 NODE=M074R45

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{53}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM	21AN BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\omega$

NODE=M074R46
 NODE=M074R46

 $\Gamma(\omega\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{58}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM	22K BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$

NODE=M074R59
 NODE=M074R59

 $\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{59}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM	22K BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$

NODE=M074R60
 NODE=M074R60

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{70}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM	21D BES3	$4.0\text{--}4.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}p\bar{p}$

NODE=M074R58
 NODE=M074R58

Radiative decays

 $\Gamma(\eta_c(1S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{77}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
possibly seen	¹ ABLIKIM	17W $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)$

¹ Significance ranges from 4.2σ to as low as 1.5σ for a flat component plus $\psi(4260)$ spectrum. Needs confirmation.

NODE=M074310

NODE=M074R29
 NODE=M074R29

NODE=M074R29;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta_c(1S)\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{78}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ ABLIKIM	21B BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\eta_c$

¹ Not seen in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\eta_c$ at $\sqrt{s} = 4.226$ GeV with a 90% C.L. upper limit on the cross section of 11.2 pb.

NODE=M074R57
 NODE=M074R57

NODE=M074R57;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta_c(1S)\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{79}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ ABLIKIM	25Y BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta_c$

¹ Not seen in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta_c$ at $\sqrt{s} = 4.258$ GeV with a 90% C.L. upper limit on the cross section of 27.3 pb.

NODE=M074R73
 NODE=M074R73

NODE=M074R73;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta_c(1S)\eta'\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{80}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ ABLIKIM	25Y BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\eta_c$

¹ Not seen in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\eta_c$ at $\sqrt{s} = 4.258$ GeV with a 90% C.L. upper limit on the cross section of 51.2 pb.

NODE=M074R74
 NODE=M074R74

NODE=M074R74;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{83}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen		ABLIKIM	19V BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(3872)$
seen	20 ± 5	ABLIKIM	14 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

NODE=M074R26
 NODE=M074R26

$\psi(4230)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M074

ABLIKIM	25B	PRL 135 071901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63240
ABLIKIM	25S	PR D111 L011101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63263
ABLIKIM	25U	PR D111 L051502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63265
ABLIKIM	25Y	PR D111 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63270
ABLIKIM	24AH	JHEP 2405 022	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62688
ABLIKIM	24AL	JHEP 2407 258	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62693
ABLIKIM	24BH	PRL 133 081901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62924
ABLIKIM	24CD	JHEP 2411 062	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63037
ABLIKIM	24T	PR D109 092012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62671
ABLIKIM	23AE	PR D108 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62092
ABLIKIM	23AQ	JHEP 2308 159	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62313
ABLIKIM	23BK	JHEP 2311 228	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62437
ABLIKIM	23BL	JHEP 2312 027	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62438
ABLIKIM	23BT	PR D108 112011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62516
ABLIKIM	23R	PR D107 072003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62062
ABLIKIM	23U	PR D107 092005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62065
ABLIKIM	23X	PRL 130 121901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62072
ABLIKIM	22AM	PR D106 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61885
ABLIKIM	22AU	CP C46 111002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61896
ABLIKIM	22K	JHEP 2207 064	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61648
ABLIKIM	21AJ	PR D104 052012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61441
ABLIKIM	21AN	PR D104 092008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61446
ABLIKIM	21AS	PR D104 L091104	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61454
ABLIKIM	21AW	PR D104 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61479
ABLIKIM	21B	PR D103 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61029
ABLIKIM	21D	PR D103 052003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61031
ABLIKIM	20A	PR D101 012008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60210
ABLIKIM	20AG	PR D102 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60744
ABLIKIM	20C	PRL 124 032002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60215
ABLIKIM	20N	PR D102 012009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60338
ABLIKIM	20O	PR D102 031101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60344
ABLIKIM	19	PR D99 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59515
ABLIKIM	19AE	PR D99 072005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59856
ABLIKIM	19AI	PR D99 091103	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59871
ABLIKIM	19AR	PR D100 032005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59910
ABLIKIM	19K	PR D99 019903 (err.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59611
ABLIKIM	19R	PRL 122 102002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59765
ABLIKIM	19V	PRL 122 232002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59796
ABLIKIM	18N	PR D97 071101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58914
ABLIKIM	17B	PRL 118 092001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57755
ABLIKIM	17F	PL B771 45	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57909
ABLIKIM	17G	PRL 118 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57915
ABLIKIM	17V	PR D96 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58029
Also		PR D99 019903 (err.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59611
ABLIKIM	17W	PR D96 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58212
GAO	17	PR D95 092007	X.Y. Gao, C.P. Shen, C.Z. Yuan		REFID=57991
ZHANG	17B	PR D96 054008	J. Zhang, J. Zhang		REFID=58219
ZHANG	17C	EPJ C77 727	J. Zhang, L. Yuan		REFID=58463
PDG	16	CP C40 100001	C. Patrignani <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=57140
ABLIKIM	15C	PRL 114 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56401
ABLIKIM	15Q	PR D92 012008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56782
HAN	15	PR D92 012011	Y.L. Han <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=56816
ABLIKIM	14	PRL 112 092001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55647
SHEN	14	PR D89 072015	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55944
ABLIKIM	13T	PRL 110 252001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55409
LIU	13B	PRL 110 252002	Z.Q. Liu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55410
WANG	13B	PR D87 051101	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55377
LEES	12AC	PR D86 051102	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54750
PAKHLOVA	11	PR D83 011101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53638
PEDLAR	11	PRL 107 041803	T. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=16787
DEL-AMO-SA...	10N	PR D82 052004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53532
AUBERT	09M	PR D79 092001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52724
CRONIN-HEN...	09	PR D80 072001	D. Cronin-Hennessy <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53114
PAKHLOVA	09	PR D80 091101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53143
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52242
LIU	08H	PR D78 014032	Z.Q. Liu, X.S. Qin, C.Z. Yuan		REFID=52296
PAKHLOVA	08	PR D77 011103	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52132
PAKHLOVA	08A	PRL 100 062001	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52134
YUAN	08	PR D77 011105	C.Z. Yuan <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52135
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51908
AUBERT	07BE	PR D76 111105	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52074
AUBERT	07S	PRL 98 212001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51724
PAKHLOVA	07	PRL 98 092001	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51628
WANG	07D	PRL 99 142002	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51959
YUAN	07	PRL 99 182004	C.Z. Yuan <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51960
AUBERT	06B	PR D73 012005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51026
AUBERT,BE	06D	PR D74 091103	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51511
HE	06B	PR D74 091104	Q. He <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51523
AUBERT,B	05I	PRL 95 142001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50776

NODE=M233

 $\chi_{c1}(4274)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$$

was $X(4274)$

See the review on the "Spectroscopy of Mesons Containing two Heavy Quarks."

NODE=M233

 $\chi_{c1}(4274)$ MASS

NODE=M233M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4290 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.		
[4286 $^{+8}_{-9}$ MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.7]				

NODE=M233M

NEW

4298 ± 6 $^{+4}_{-5}$		¹ AAIJ	25B	LHCB	$pp \rightarrow p(J/\psi\phi)p$
4294 ± 4 $^{+3}_{-6}$	24k	² AAIJ	21E	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$
4274.4 $^{+8.4}_{-6.7} \pm 1.9$	22	³ AALTONEN	17	CDF	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4273.3 ± 8.3 $^{+17.2}_{-3.6}$	4289	^{4,5} AAIJ	17C	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$

¹ From a fit using the five resonances reported in AAIJ 21E, described by relativistic Breit-Wigner distributions.

² From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with a significance of 18σ .

³ From a fit to the invariant mass spectrum with a significance of 3.1σ .

⁴ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with a significance of 6.0σ .

⁵ Superseded by AAIJ 21E.


NODE=M233M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M233M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M233M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M233M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M233M;LINKAGE=D

 **$\chi_{c1}(4274)$ WIDTH**

NODE=M233W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
54 ± 8 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2. [51 ± 7 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		

NODE=M233W

NEW

92 $^{+22}_{-18}$ $^{+33}_{-19}$		¹ AAIJ	25B	LHCB	$pp \rightarrow p(J/\psi\phi)p$
53 ± 5 ± 5	24k	² AAIJ	21E	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$
32.3 $^{+21.9}_{-15.3} \pm 7.6$	22	³ AALTONEN	17	CDF	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

56 ± 11 $^{+8}_{-11}$	4289	^{4,5} AAIJ	17C	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$

¹ From a fit using the five resonances reported in AAIJ 21E, described by relativistic Breit-Wigner distributions.
² From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 18σ .
³ From a fit to the invariant mass spectrum with a significance of 3.1σ .
⁴ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 6.0σ .
⁵ Superseded by AAIJ 21E.

$\chi_{c1}(4274)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad J/\psi \phi$	seen

$\chi_{c1}(4274)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(J/\psi \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
seen	4289	^{2,3} AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$	
¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 18σ . ² From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 6.0σ . ³ Superseded by AAIJ 21E.					

$\chi_{c1}(4274)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	25B	PRL 134 031902	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17C	PRL 118 022003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP
Also		PR D95 012002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AALTONEN	17	MPL A32 1750139	T. Altonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)

$X(4350)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(?^?+)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
Seen by SHEN 10 in the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$. Needs confirmation.

$X(4350)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4350.6^{+4.6}_{-5.1} \pm 0.7$	$8.8^{+4.2}_{-3.2}$	¹ SHEN	10 BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- J/\psi \phi$
¹ Statistical significance of 3.2σ .				

$X(4350)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$13^{+18}_{-9} \pm 4$	$8.8^{+4.2}_{-3.2}$	¹ SHEN	10 BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- J/\psi \phi$
¹ Statistical significance of 3.2σ .				

$X(4350)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad J/\psi \phi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \gamma\gamma$	seen

NODE=M233W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M233W;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M233W;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M233W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M233W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M233215;NODE=M233

DESIG=1

NODE=M233220

NODE=M233R01
NODE=M233R01

NODE=M233R01;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M233R01;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M233R01;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M233

REFID=63242
REFID=61150
REFID=57657
REFID=57636
REFID=58161

NODE=M194

NODE=M194

NODE=M194M

NODE=M194M

NODE=M194M;LINKAGE=SH

NODE=M194W

NODE=M194W

NODE=M194W;LINKAGE=SH

NODE=M194215;NODE=M194

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

X(4350) $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M194220

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(J/\psi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_2\Gamma_1/\Gamma$**

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$6.7^{+3.2}_{-2.4} \pm 1.1$	$8.8^{+4.2}_{-3.2}$	¹ SHEN	10	BELL 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- J/\psi\phi$

NODE=M194G01
NODE=M194G01

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.5^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \pm 0.3$	$8.8^{+4.2}_{-3.2}$	² SHEN	10	BELL 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- J/\psi\phi$

OCCUR=2

¹ For $J^P = 0^+$. Statistical significance of 3.2 σ .² For $J^P = 2^+$. Statistical significance of 3.2 σ .NODE=M194G01;LINKAGE=S0
NODE=M194G01;LINKAGE=S2**X(4350) BRANCHING RATIOS**

NODE=M194225

 $\Gamma(J/\psi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_1/Γ**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ SHEN	10	BELL 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- J/\psi\phi$

NODE=M194R01
NODE=M194R01¹ Statistical significance of 3.2 σ .

NODE=M194R01;LINKAGE=SH

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_2/Γ**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ SHEN	10	BELL 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- J/\psi\phi$

NODE=M194R02
NODE=M194R02¹ Statistical significance of 3.2 σ .

NODE=M194R02;LINKAGE=SH

X(4350) REFERENCES

NODE=M194

SHEN 10 PRL 104 112004 C.P. Shen *et al.* (BELLE Collab.)

REFID=53235

NODE=M181

 $\psi(4360)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

also known as $Y(4360)$; was $X(4360)$

See the reviews on the "Spectroscopy of Mesons Containing two Heavy Quarks" and on "Heavy Non-qqbar Mesons."

NODE=M181

 $\psi(4360)$ MASS

NODE=M181M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4373 ± 7	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.3. See the ideogram below. [4374 $\pm$ 7 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 2.4]		

NODE=M181M
NEW

$4327.4^{+20.1+10.7}_{-18.8-9.3}$	¹ ABLIKIM	25B	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- h_c(1P)$
$4386 \pm 13 \pm 17$	² ABLIKIM	24T	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
$4371.6 \pm 2.5 \pm 9.2$	³ ABLIKIM	22AL	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- D^+D^-$
$4298 \pm 12 \pm 26$	⁴ ABLIKIM	22AMBES3		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
$4390.3 \pm 6.0 \pm 0.7$	⁵ ABLIKIM	21AJ	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \psi(2S)$
$4371.7 \pm 7.5 \pm 1.8$	⁶ ABLIKIM	21AK	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma J/\psi$
$4391.5^{+6.3}_{-6.8} \pm 1.0$	ABLIKIM	17G	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- h_c$
$4347 \pm 6 \pm 3$	⁷ WANG	15A	BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^- \psi(2S)$
$4340 \pm 16 \pm 9$	⁸ LEES	14F	BABR	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^- \psi(2S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$4406.9 \pm 17.2 \pm 4.5$	⁹ ABLIKIM	22R	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \chi_{c1} \gamma$
$4382.0 \pm 13.3 \pm 1.7$	¹⁰ ABLIKIM	20O	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
$4320.0 \pm 10.4 \pm 7.0$	¹¹ ABLIKIM	17B	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
$4383.8 \pm 4.2 \pm 0.8$	¹² ABLIKIM	17V	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \psi(2S)$
$4383.7 \pm 2.9 \pm 6.2$	¹³ ZHANG	17B	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \psi(2S)$
$4386.4 \pm 2.1 \pm 6.4$	¹⁴ ZHANG	17C	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi \text{ or } \psi(2S)$
$4355^{+9}_{-10} \pm 9$	¹⁵ LIU	08H	RVUE	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^- \psi(2S)$
4324 ± 24	¹⁶ AUBERT	07S	BABR	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^- \psi(2S)$
$4361 \pm 9 \pm 9$	⁸ WANG	07D	BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^- \psi(2S)$

- 1 Using three coherent Breit-Wigner functions between 4.009 and 4.950 GeV.
- 2 From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 3.808\text{--}4.951$ GeV. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.
- 3 From a fit to the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+D^-\pi^+\pi^-$ in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.190\text{--}4.946$ GeV.
- 4 From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 3.7730\text{--}4.7008$ GeV. Parameters depend on the existence or non-existence of a state near 4.5 GeV.
- 5 From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.008\text{--}4.698$ GeV.
- 6 From a five-resonance fit to the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$.
- 7 From a two-resonance fit. Supersedes WANG 07D.
- 8 From a two-resonance fit.
- 9 From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(3823)$ cross section between 4.23 and 4.70 GeV with two coherent Breit-Wigner resonances. The data is also consistent with a single peak with mass $4417.5 \pm 26.2 \pm 3.5$ MeV and width $245 \pm 48 \pm 13$ MeV.
- 10 From a fit of the measured cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 3.808\text{--}4.600$ GeV.
- 11 From a three-resonance fit. Superseded by ABLIKIM 22AM.
- 12 From a fit to the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\ell^+\ell^-$ obtained from 16 center-of-mass energies between 4.008 and 4.600 GeV and comprising 5.1 fb^{-1} . Superseded by ABLIKIM 21AJ.
- 13 From a three-resonance fit.
- 14 From a combined fit of BELLE, BABAR and BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ data.
- 15 From a combined fit of AUBERT 07S and WANG 07D data with two resonances.
- 16 From a single-resonance fit. Systematic errors not estimated.

NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=O
 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=N

 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=M

 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=L


 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=H

 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=G
 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=WA
 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=J

 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=BA
 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=K
 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=C

 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=D

 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=LI
 NODE=M181M;LINKAGE=AU



$\psi(4360)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
124 ± 13 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.4. See the ideogram below. [120 ± 12 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 2.1]		
244.1 ^{+34.0+24.2} _{-27.1-18.3}		1 ABLIKIM 25B	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c(1P)$
177 ± 32 ± 13		2 ABLIKIM 24T	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
167 ± 4 ± 29		3 ABLIKIM 22AL	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-D^+D^-$
127 ± 17 ± 10		4 ABLIKIM 22AM	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$
143.3 ± 10.0 ± 0.5		5 ABLIKIM 21AJ	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
51.1 ± 17.6 ± 1.9		6 ABLIKIM 21AK	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$
139.5 ^{+16.2} _{-20.6}		ABLIKIM 17G	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c$
103 ± 9 ± 5	279	7 WANG 15A	BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
94 ± 32 ± 13	37	8 LEES 14F	BABR	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$

NODE=M181W

 NODE=M181W
 NEW

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$128.1 \pm 37.2 \pm 2.3$		⁹ ABLIKIM	22R	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\chi_{c1}\gamma$
$135.8 \pm 60.8 \pm 22.5$		¹⁰ ABLIKIM	20O	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
$101.4^{+25.3}_{-19.7} \pm 10.2$		¹¹ ABLIKIM	17B	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$
$84.2 \pm 12.5 \pm 2.1$		¹² ABLIKIM	17V	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
$94.2 \pm 7.3 \pm 2.0$		¹³ ZHANG	17B	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
$96.0 \pm 6.7 \pm 2.7$		¹⁴ ZHANG	17C	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi \text{ or } \psi(2S)$
$103^{+17}_{-15} \pm 11$	74	¹⁵ LIU	08H	RVUE	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
172 ± 33		¹⁶ AUBERT	07S	BABR	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
$74 \pm 15 \pm 10$	47	⁸ WANG	07D	BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$

¹ Using three coherent Breit-Wigner functions between 4.009 and 4.950 GeV.

² From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 3.808\text{--}4.951$ GeV. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.

³ From a fit to the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+D^-\pi^+\pi^-$ in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.190\text{--}4.946$ GeV.

⁴ From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 3.7730\text{--}4.7008$ GeV. Parameters depend on the existence or non-existence of a state near 4.5 GeV.

⁵ From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.008\text{--}4.698$ GeV.

⁶ From a five-resonance fit to the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$.

⁷ From a two-resonance fit. Supersedes WANG 07D.

⁸ From a two-resonance fit.

⁹ From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(3823)$ cross section between 4.23 and 4.70 GeV with two coherent Breit-Wigner resonances. The data is also consistent with a single peak with mass $4417.5 \pm 26.2 \pm 3.5$ MeV and width $245 \pm 48 \pm 13$ MeV.

¹⁰ From a fit of the measured cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 3.808\text{--}4.600$ GeV.

¹¹ From a three-resonance fit. Superseded by ABLIKIM 22AM.

¹² From a fit to the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\ell^+\ell^-$ obtained from 16 center-of-mass energies between 4.008 and 4.600 GeV and comprising 5.1 fb^{-1} . Superseded by ABLIKIM 21AJ.

¹³ From a three-resonance fit.

¹⁴ From a combined fit of BELLE, BABAR and BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ data.

¹⁵ From a combined fit of AUBERT 07S and WANG 07D data with two resonances.

¹⁶ From a single-resonance fit. Systematic errors not estimated.

NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=N
NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=G


NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=WA
NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=BA
NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=J
NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=LI
NODE=M181W;LINKAGE=AU

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 124 ± 13 (Error scaled by 2.4)



$\psi(4360)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M181215;NODE=M181

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 e^+e^-	seen
Γ_2 $h_c\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_3 $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_4 $\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_5 $\psi(3770)\pi^+\pi^-$	possibly seen
Γ_6 $\psi_2(3823)\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_7 $J/\psi\eta$	seen
Γ_8 $D^0D^{*-}\pi^+$	not seen
Γ_9 $D^+D^-\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_{10} $D_1(2420)\bar{D} + \text{c.c.}$	possibly seen
Γ_{11} $\phi\eta$	not seen
Γ_{12} $\omega\pi^0$	not seen
Γ_{13} $\omega\eta$	not seen
Γ_{14} $p\bar{p}\eta$	not seen
Γ_{15} $p\bar{p}\omega$	not seen
Γ_{16} $\chi_{c1}\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{17} $\chi_{c2}\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{18} $\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$	not seen
Γ_{19} $\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$	
Γ_{20} $\Xi^0\Xi^0$	
Γ_{21} $\Xi^-\Xi^+$	not seen
Γ_{22} $pK^-\bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$	not seen
Γ_{23} $\Lambda\Xi^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$	not seen
Γ_{24} $\Sigma^0\Xi^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$	not seen

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=12
DESIG=8;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2
DESIG=11
DESIG=5
DESIG=4
DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=17
DESIG=10
DESIG=20
DESIG=15
DESIG=16
DESIG=13
DESIG=14
DESIG=6;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=7;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=21;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=25
DESIG=24
DESIG=18;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=19;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=22;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=23;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\psi(4360) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M181230

$\Gamma(h_c\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_2\Gamma_1/\Gamma$**
VALUE (eV) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

NODE=M181R11
NODE=M181R11

21 $\frac{+10}{-8}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.4. [12 $\pm$ 5 eV OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NEW

29.1 $^{+5.7}_{-3.9}$ ± 4.4 ± 3.4	¹ ABLIKIM	25B	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- h_c(1P)$
11.6 $^{+5.0}_{-4.4}$ ± 1.9	ABLIKIM	17G	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- h_c(1P)$

¹ Using three coherent Breit-Wigner functions between 4.009 and 4.950 GeV. Second solution: 10.8 $^{+3.1}_{-2.3}$ eV.

NODE=M181R11;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_4\Gamma_1/\Gamma$**
VALUE (eV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

NODE=M181G1
NODE=M181G1

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

10.7 $\pm$ 4.1	¹ ABLIKIM	21AJ	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
20.7 $\pm$ 2.5	² ABLIKIM	21AJ	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
9.9 $\pm$ 4.1	³ ABLIKIM	21AJ	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
19.4 $\pm$ 2.0	⁴ ABLIKIM	21AJ	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
7.3 $\pm$ 2.8	⁵ ABLIKIM	19K	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
11.0 $\pm$ 3.8	⁶ ABLIKIM	19K	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
9.2 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.6	⁷ WANG	15A	BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
10.9 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.7	⁸ WANG	15A	BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
6.0 $\pm$ 1.0 $\pm$ 0.5	⁵ LEES	14F	BABR	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
7.2 $\pm$ 1.0 $\pm$ 0.6	⁶ LEES	14F	BABR	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
11.1 $^{+1.3}_{-1.2}$	⁹ LIU	08H	RVUE	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
12.3 $\pm$ 1.2	¹⁰ LIU	08H	RVUE	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
10.4 $\pm$ 1.7 $\pm$ 1.5	⁵ WANG	07D	BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
11.8 $\pm$ 1.8 $\pm$ 1.4	⁶ WANG	07D	BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$

OCCUR=2
OCCUR=3
OCCUR=4
OCCUR=2
OCCUR=2
OCCUR=2
OCCUR=2
OCCUR=2
OCCUR=2

- ¹ Solution I of four equivalent solutions in a fit using three interfering resonances. Supersedes ABLIKIM 19K.
- ² Solution II of four equivalent solutions in a fit using three interfering resonances. Supersedes ABLIKIM 19K.
- ³ Solution III of four equivalent solutions in a fit using three interfering resonances. Supersedes ABLIKIM 19K.
- ⁴ Solution IV of four equivalent solutions in a fit using three interfering resonances. Supersedes ABLIKIM 19K.
- ⁵ Solution I of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances.
- ⁶ Solution II of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances.
- ⁷ Solution I of two equivalent solutions from a fit using two interfering resonances. Supersedes WANG 07D.
- ⁸ Solution II of two equivalent solutions from a fit using two interfering resonances. Supersedes WANG 07D.
- ⁹ Solution I in a combined fit of AUBERT 07S and WANG 07D data with two resonances.
- ¹⁰ Solution II in a combined fit of AUBERT 07S and WANG 07D data with two resonances.

$\Gamma(J/\psi\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1.8 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3$		¹ ABLIKIM	24T BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
$2.1 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.3$		² ABLIKIM	24T BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
$4.3 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.5$		³ ABLIKIM	24T BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
$5.0 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.5$		⁴ ABLIKIM	24T BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
3.4 ± 2.2		⁵ ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
1.5 ± 1.0		⁶ ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
1.7 ± 1.1		⁷ ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
< 6.8	90	WANG	13B BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \eta \gamma$

- ¹ Solution 1 of 4. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.
- ² Solution 2 of 4. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.
- ³ Solution 3 of 4. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.
- ⁴ Solution 4 of 4. Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.
- ⁵ Solution 1 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.
- ⁶ Solution 2 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.
- ⁷ Solution 3 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{16}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.57	90	¹ HAN	15 BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma$

- ¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$.

$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{17}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.9	90	¹ HAN	15 BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma$

- ¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$.

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{18}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<118.8 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AH BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$

- ¹ Interference effect between resonance and continuum amplitudes is considered. Two solutions from the fit.

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{19}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<29.3 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	25U BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$

- ¹ Interference effect between resonance and continuum amplitudes is considered. Upper limit is for the larger of the two solutions from the fit.

$\Gamma(\Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{20}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<84.5 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24CD BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4360)$

- ¹ From a fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0$ cross sections.

$\Gamma(\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{21}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<44.8 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	23BK BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4360)$

- ¹ From a fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+$ cross sections.

NODE=M181G1;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M181G1;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M181G1;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M181G1;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M181G1;LINKAGE=WA

NODE=M181G1;LINKAGE=WN

NODE=M181G1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M181G1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M181G1;LINKAGE=LI

NODE=M181G1;LINKAGE=LU

NODE=M181G01

NODE=M181G01

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

NODE=M181G01;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M181G01;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M181G01;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M181G01;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M181G01;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M181G01;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M181G01;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M181G02

NODE=M181G02

NODE=M181G02;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M181G03

NODE=M181G03

NODE=M181G03;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M181R19

NODE=M181R19

NODE=M181R19;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M181R23

NODE=M181R23

NODE=M181R23;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M181R22

NODE=M181R22

NODE=M181R22;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M181R15

NODE=M181R15

NODE=M181R15;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(pK^-\bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{22}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.7 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	23BL BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4360)$

¹ From a fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow pK^-\bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$ cross sections.

NODE=M181R17
NODE=M181R17

NODE=M181R17;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Lambda\Xi^+K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{23}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<35.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AL BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\Xi^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$

¹ A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\Xi^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M181R20
NODE=M181R20

NODE=M181R20;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\Xi^+K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{24}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AL BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0\Xi^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$

¹ A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0\Xi^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M181R21
NODE=M181R21

NODE=M181R21;LINKAGE=A

$\psi(4360)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M181225

$$\Gamma(h_c\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	ABLIKIM	17G BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c$

NODE=M181R08
NODE=M181R08

$$\Gamma(\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	17V BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$

¹ From a fit to the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\ell^+\ell^-$ obtained from 16 center-of-mass energies between 4.008 and 4.600 GeV and comprising 5.1 fb^{-1} .

NODE=M181R00
NODE=M181R00

NODE=M181R00;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma_3$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			

($0.81 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.13$) to ($42 \pm 15 \pm 15$)	¹ ZHANG	17C RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ or $\psi(2S)$

¹ From a combined fit of BELLE, BABAR and BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ data.

NODE=M181R04
NODE=M181R04

NODE=M181R04;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\psi(3770)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
possibly seen	¹ ABLIKIM	19AR BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-D\bar{D}$

¹ Observe $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(3770)$ at $\sqrt{s} = 4.26, 4.36, \text{ and } 4.42 \text{ GeV}$ but cannot establish if continuum or resonant.

NODE=M181R06
NODE=M181R06

NODE=M181R06;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\psi_2(3823)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen		¹ ABLIKIM	22R BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\chi_{c1}\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

possibly seen	19	² ABLIKIM	15S BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\chi_{c1}\gamma$

¹ From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(3823)$ cross section between 4.23 and 4.70 GeV with two coherent Breit-Wigner resonances.

² From a fit of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)$, $\psi_2(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma$ cross sections taken at $\sqrt{s}$ values of 4.23, 4.26, 4.36, 4.42, and 4.60 GeV to the $\psi(4360)$ line shape.

NODE=M181R03
NODE=M181R03

NODE=M181R03;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M181R03;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(J/\psi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	24T BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	² ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$

¹ Supersedes ABLIKIM 200.

² With a significance of 6.0σ .

NODE=M181R07
NODE=M181R07

NODE=M181R07;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M181R07;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_8/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$	
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
$<0.72 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ PAKHLOVA	09 BELL

$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4360) \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+$

¹ Using $4355^{+9}_{-10} \pm 9$ MeV for the mass of $\psi(4360)$.

NODE=M181R02
NODE=M181R02

NODE=M181R02;LINKAGE=PA

$\Gamma(D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+)/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \pi^+ \pi^-)$		Γ_8/Γ_4	
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
<8	90	PAKHLOVA	09 BELL

$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4360) \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+$

NODE=M181R01
NODE=M181R01

$\Gamma(D^+ D^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_9/Γ	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ ABLIKIM	22AL BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- D^+ D^-$

¹ From a fit to the cross section for $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.190\text{--}4.946$ GeV.

NODE=M181R14
NODE=M181R14

NODE=M181R14;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(D_1(2420) \bar{D} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{10}/Γ	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
possibly seen	¹ ABLIKIM	19AR BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- D \bar{D}$

¹ Evidence for $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_1(2420) \bar{D} + \text{c.c.}$ between $\sqrt{s} = 4.3$ and 4.6 GeV, not necessarily resonant.

NODE=M181R05
NODE=M181R05

NODE=M181R05;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{11}/Γ	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM	23BT BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \eta$

NODE=M181R18
NODE=M181R18

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{12}/Γ	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM	22K BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$

NODE=M181R12
NODE=M181R12

$\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{13}/Γ	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM	22K BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \eta$

NODE=M181R13
NODE=M181R13

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{14}/Γ	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM	21AN BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta$

NODE=M181R09
NODE=M181R09

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{15}/Γ	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABLIKIM	21AN BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\omega$

NODE=M181R10
NODE=M181R10

$\psi(4360)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M181

ABLIKIM	25B	PRL 135 071901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63240
ABLIKIM	25U	PR D111 L051502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63265
ABLIKIM	24AH	JHEP 2405 022	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62688
ABLIKIM	24AL	JHEP 2407 258	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62693
ABLIKIM	24CD	JHEP 2411 062	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63037
ABLIKIM	24T	PR D109 092012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62671
ABLIKIM	23BK	JHEP 2311 228	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62437
ABLIKIM	23BL	JHEP 2312 027	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62438
ABLIKIM	23BT	PR D108 112011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62516
ABLIKIM	22AL	PR D106 052012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61884
ABLIKIM	22AM	PR D106 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61885
ABLIKIM	22K	JHEP 2207 064	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61648
ABLIKIM	22R	PRL 129 102003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61664
ABLIKIM	21AJ	PR D104 052012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61441
ABLIKIM	21AK	PR D104 092001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61443
ABLIKIM	21AN	PR D104 092008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61446
ABLIKIM	20O	PR D102 031101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60344
ABLIKIM	19AR	PR D100 032005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59910
ABLIKIM	19K	PR D99 019903 (err.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59611
ABLIKIM	17B	PRL 118 092001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57755
ABLIKIM	17G	PRL 118 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57915
ABLIKIM	17V	PR D96 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58029
Also		PR D99 019903 (err.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59611
ZHANG	17B	PR D96 054008	J. Zhang, J. Zhang		REFID=58219
ZHANG	17C	EPJ C77 727	J. Zhang, L. Yuan		REFID=58463
ABLIKIM	15S	PRL 115 011803	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56784
HAN	15	PR D92 012011	Y.L. Han <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=56816
WANG	15A	PR D91 112007	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=56839
LEES	14F	PR D89 111103	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55938
WANG	13B	PR D87 051101	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55377
PAKHLOVA	09	PR D80 091101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53143
LIU	08H	PR D78 014032	Z.Q. Liu, X.S. Qin, C.Z. Yuan		REFID=52296
AUBERT	07S	PRL 98 212001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51724
WANG	07D	PRL 99 142002	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51959

$\psi(4415)$ [a]

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

[a] J^{PC} known by production in e^+e^- via single photon annihilation. I^G is not known; interpretation of this state as a single resonance is unclear because of the expectation of substantial threshold effects in this energy region.

NODE=M073

LINKAGE=MPD

 $\psi(4415)$ MASS

NODE=M073M

NODE=M073M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4415 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE			
4413.6 ± 9.0 ± 0.8	¹ ABLIKIM	24D BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\gamma J/\psi$
4414.6 ± 3.4 ± 6.1	ABLIKIM	23BH BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$
4415.1 ± 7.9	² ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
4412 ± 15	³ MO	10 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
4411 ± 7	⁴ PAKHLOVA	08A BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^- \pi^+ \gamma$
4425 ± 6	⁵ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
4429 ± 9	⁶ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
4417 ± 10	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	e^+e^-
4414 ± 7	SIEGRIST	76 MRK1	e^+e^-

OCCUR=2

¹ Assuming one single Breit-Wigner resonance in $\omega\chi_{c2}(1P)$ ($\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$).

² Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (234 \pm 88)^\circ$.

³ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects.

⁴ Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

⁵ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.

⁶ From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.

NODE=M073M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M073M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M073M;LINKAGE=MO

NODE=M073M;LINKAGE=NS
NODE=M073M;LINKAGE=ST
NODE=M073M;LINKAGE=SE

 $\psi(4415)$ WIDTH

NODE=M073W

NODE=M073W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
110 ± 13 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.		
110.5 ± 15.0 ± 2.9	⁷ ABLIKIM	24D BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\gamma J/\psi$
122.5 ± 7.5 ± 8.1	ABLIKIM	23BH BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$
71.5 ± 19.0	⁸ ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
118 ± 32	⁹ MO	10 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
77 ± 20	¹⁰ PAKHLOVA	08A BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^- \pi^+ \gamma$
119 ± 16	¹¹ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
118 ± 35	¹² SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
66 ± 15	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	e^+e^-
33 ± 10	SIEGRIST	76 MRK1	e^+e^-

OCCUR=2

⁷ Assuming one single Breit-Wigner resonance in $\omega\chi_{c2}(1P)$ ($\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$).

⁸ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (234 \pm 88)^\circ$.

⁹ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects.

¹⁰ Systematic uncertainties not estimated.


¹¹ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.

¹² From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.

NODE=M073W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M073W;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M073W;LINKAGE=MO

NODE=M073W;LINKAGE=NS
NODE=M073W;LINKAGE=ST
NODE=M073W;LINKAGE=SE



$\psi(4415)$ DECAY MODES

Due to the complexity of the $c\bar{c}$ threshold region, in this listing, “seen” (“not seen”) means that a cross section for the mode in question has been measured at effective $\sqrt{s}$ near this particle’s central mass value, more (less) than 2σ above zero, without regard to any peaking behavior in $\sqrt{s}$ or absence thereof. See mode listing(s) for details and references.

NODE=M073215;NODE=M073

NODE=M073

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $D\bar{D}$	seen	
Γ_2 $D^0\bar{D}^0$	seen	
Γ_3 D^+D^-	seen	
Γ_4 $D^*\bar{D} + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_5 $D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_6 $D^*(2010)^+D^- + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_7 $D^*\bar{D}^*$	seen	
Γ_8 $D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_9 $D^*(2010)^+D^*(2010)^- + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_{10} $D^0D^-\pi^+$ (excl. $D^*(2010)^+D^-$ + c.c.)	< 2.3 %	90%
Γ_{11} $D\bar{D}_2^*(2460) \rightarrow D^0D^-\pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	(10 ± 4) %	
Γ_{12} $D^0D^{*-}\pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	< 31 %	90%
Γ_{13} $D_1(2420)\bar{D} + \text{c.c.}$	possibly seen	
Γ_{14} $D_s^+D_s^-$	not seen	
Γ_{15} $\omega\chi_{c2}$	(9 ± 4) × 10 ⁻³	
Γ_{16} $D_s^{*+}D_s^- + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_{17} $D_s^{*+}D_s^{*-}$	seen	
Γ_{18} $\psi_2(3823)\pi^+\pi^-$	possibly seen	
Γ_{19} $\psi(3770)\pi^+\pi^-$	possibly seen	
Γ_{20} $J/\psi\eta$	< 1.0 %	90%
Γ_{21} $\chi_{c1}\gamma$	< 1.3 × 10 ⁻³	90%
Γ_{22} $\chi_{c2}\gamma$	< 7 × 10 ⁻³	90%
Γ_{23} $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$	< 5 × 10 ⁻⁶	90%
Γ_{24} $\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$	< 1.8 × 10 ⁻⁴	90%
Γ_{25} $\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$	< 4 × 10 ⁻⁵	90%
Γ_{26} $\Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0$	< 1.4 × 10 ⁻⁴	90%
Γ_{27} $\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+$	< 6 × 10 ⁻⁵	90%

DESIG=7;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=8
DESIG=9
DESIG=10;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=11
DESIG=12
DESIG=13;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=14
DESIG=15
DESIG=4
DESIG=5
DESIG=6
DESIG=25
DESIG=16
DESIG=20
DESIG=17
DESIG=18
DESIG=21
DESIG=24
DESIG=19
DESIG=22
DESIG=23
DESIG=27
DESIG=32
DESIG=36
DESIG=35
DESIG=30

Γ_{28}	$pK^-\bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=31
Γ_{29}	$\Lambda\bar{\Xi}^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$	< 4	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=33
Γ_{30}	$\Sigma^0\bar{\Xi}^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$	< 2.5	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%	DESIG=34
Γ_{31}	$\omega\pi^0$	not seen			DESIG=28
Γ_{32}	$\omega\eta$	not seen			DESIG=29
Γ_{33}	e^+e^-	$(3.2 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-6}$			DESIG=1
Γ_{34}	$\mu^+\mu^-$	$(1.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$			DESIG=26

$\psi(4415)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M073220

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$

 Γ_{33}

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.35 ± 0.12	¹³ ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.4 to 0.8	¹⁴ MO	10 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.72 ± 0.11	¹⁵ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.64 ± 0.23	¹⁶ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.49 ± 0.13	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	e^+e^-
0.44 ± 0.14	SIEGRIST	76 MRK1	e^+e^-

NODE=M073W1
NODE=M073W1

OCCUR=2

¹³ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (234 \pm 88)^\circ$.

NODE=M073W1;LINKAGE=AB

¹⁴ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects. Four sets of solutions are obtained with the same fit quality, mass and total width, but with different e^+e^- partial widths. We quote only the range of values.

NODE=M073W1;LINKAGE=MO

¹⁵ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.

NODE=M073W1;LINKAGE=ST

¹⁶ From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.

NODE=M073W1;LINKAGE=SE

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$

 Γ_{34}

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.25 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.35$	^{17,18} ABLIKIM	20AG BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
¹⁷ From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ cross section between 3.8 and 4.6 GeV to the coherent sum of four resonant amplitudes assuming $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-) = \Gamma(e^+e^-)$.			
¹⁸ From solution 1 of 8 with equal fit quality. Other solutions range from $1.24 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.35$ to $1.27 \pm 0.41 \pm 0.36$ keV.			

NODE=M073W2
NODE=M073W2

NODE=M073W2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M073W2;LINKAGE=B

$\psi(4415) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M073230

$\Gamma(\omega\chi_{c2}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_{15}\Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.17 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.24$	¹⁹ ABLIKIM	24D BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\gamma J/\psi$

NODE=M073R22
NODE=M073R22

¹⁹ Assuming one single Breit-Wigner resonance in $\omega\chi_{c2}(1P)$ ($\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$).

NODE=M073R22;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(J/\psi\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_{20}\Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.6	90	WANG	13B BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\eta\gamma$

NODE=M073G01
NODE=M073G01

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_{21}\Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.47	90	²⁰ HAN	15 BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma$

NODE=M073G02
NODE=M073G02

²⁰ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$.

NODE=M073G02;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_{22}\Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.3	90	²¹ HAN	15 BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma$

NODE=M073G03
NODE=M073G03

²¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$.

NODE=M073G03;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma_{23}\Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	²² ABLIKIM	21AS BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4415)$

NODE=M073R16
NODE=M073R16

²² From a measurement of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ cross section between 3.5 and 4.6 GeV.

NODE=M073R16;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{24} \Gamma_{33} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 62.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	²³ ABLIKIM	24AH BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$

²³ Interference effect between resonance and continuum amplitudes is considered. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M073R23
NODE=M073R23

NODE=M073R23;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{25} \Gamma_{33} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 14.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	²⁴ ABLIKIM	25U BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$

²⁴ Interference effect between resonance and continuum amplitudes is considered. Upper limit is for the larger of the two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M073R27
NODE=M073R27

NODE=M073R27;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \Xi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{26} \Gamma_{33} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 48.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	²⁵ ABLIKIM	24CD BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4415)$

²⁵ From a fit to $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Xi^0 \Xi^0$ cross sections.

NODE=M073R26
NODE=M073R26

NODE=M073R26;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Xi^- \Xi^+) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{27} \Gamma_{33} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 21.7 \times 10^{-3}$	90	²⁶ ABLIKIM	23BK BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4415)$

²⁶ From a fit to $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \Xi^+$ cross sections.

NODE=M073R19
NODE=M073R19

NODE=M073R19;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(p K^- \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{28} \Gamma_{33} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	²⁷ ABLIKIM	23BL BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4415)$

²⁷ From a fit to $e^+ e^- \rightarrow p K^- \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$ cross sections.

NODE=M073R21
NODE=M073R21

NODE=M073R21;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Lambda \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{29} \Gamma_{33} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 14.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	²⁸ ABLIKIM	24AL BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$

²⁸ A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M073R24
NODE=M073R24

NODE=M073R24;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{30} \Gamma_{33} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 87.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	²⁹ ABLIKIM	24AL BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$

²⁹ A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M073R25
NODE=M073R25

NODE=M073R25;LINKAGE=A

$$\psi(4415) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma^2(\text{total})$$

NODE=M073235

$$\Gamma(D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{12} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{33} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 0.99 \times 10^{-6}$	90	³⁰ PAKHLOVA	09 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+$

³⁰ Using 4421 ± 4 MeV for the mass of $\psi(4415)$.

NODE=M073R01
NODE=M073R01

NODE=M073R01;LINKAGE=PA

$\psi(4415)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M073225

$$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2 / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	³¹ ABLIKIM	24BH BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0$
seen	PAKHLOVA	08 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen AUBERT 09M BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \gamma$

³¹ A precision measurement of the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0$ cross section shows complex structure in this mass region.

NODE=M073R04
NODE=M073R04

NODE=M073R04;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(D^+ D^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3 / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	³² ABLIKIM	24BH BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^-$
seen	PAKHLOVA	08 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen AUBERT 09M BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- \gamma$

³² A precision measurement of the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^-$ cross section shows complex structure in this mass region.

NODE=M073R05
NODE=M073R05

NODE=M073R05;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0 \gamma$

NODE=M073R06
NODE=M073R06

 $\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	³³ ZHUKOVA	18 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$
seen	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$

NODE=M073R07
NODE=M073R07

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	PAKHLOVA	07 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$

³³ Supersedes PAKHLOVA 07.

NODE=M073R07;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D \bar{D})/\Gamma(D^* \bar{D}^*)$
 Γ_1/Γ_7

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.14 ± 0.12 ± 0.03	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^{(*)} \bar{D}^{(*)}$

NODE=M073R02
NODE=M073R02

 $\Gamma(D^* \bar{D} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(D^* \bar{D}^*)$
 Γ_4/Γ_7

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.17 ± 0.25 ± 0.03	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^{(*)} \bar{D}^{(*)}$

NODE=M073R03
NODE=M073R03

 $\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^*(2007)^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_8/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0} \gamma$

NODE=M073R08
NODE=M073R08

 $\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	³⁴ ZHUKOVA	18 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$
seen	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$

NODE=M073R09
NODE=M073R09

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	PAKHLOVA	07 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$

³⁴ Supersedes PAKHLOVA 07.

NODE=M073R09;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D \bar{D}_2^*(2460) \rightarrow D^0 D^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.5 ± 2.4 ± 3.8	³⁵ PAKHLOVA	08A BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^- \pi^+ \gamma$

NODE=M073R3
NODE=M073R3

³⁵ Using 4421 ± 4 MeV for the mass and 62 ± 20 MeV for the width of $\psi(4415)$.

NODE=M073R3;LINKAGE=PA

 $\Gamma(D^0 D^- \pi^+ (\text{excl. } D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(D \bar{D}_2^*(2460) \rightarrow D^0 D^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})$
 Γ_{10}/Γ_{11}

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.22	90	³⁶ PAKHLOVA	08A BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^- \pi^+ \gamma$

NODE=M073R4
NODE=M073R4

³⁶ Using 4421 ± 4 MeV for the mass and 62 ± 20 MeV for the width of $\psi(4415)$.

NODE=M073R4;LINKAGE=PA

 $\Gamma(D_1(2420) \bar{D} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
possibly seen	³⁷ ABLIKIM	19AR BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- D \bar{D}$

NODE=M073R15
NODE=M073R15

³⁷ Evidence for $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_1(2420) \bar{D} + \text{c.c.}$ between $\sqrt{s} = 4.3$ and 4.6 GeV, not necessarily resonant.

NODE=M073R15;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D_s^+ D_s^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	PAKHLOVA	11 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \gamma$
not seen	DEL-AMO-SA...10N	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \gamma$

NODE=M073R10
NODE=M073R10

 $\Gamma(D_s^{*+} D_s^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	PAKHLOVA	11 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^- \gamma$
seen	DEL-AMO-SA...10N	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^- \gamma$

NODE=M073R11
NODE=M073R11

$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{17}/Γ
seen	ABLIKIM	23BH BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen	PAKHLOVA	11	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-} \gamma$	
not seen	DEL-AMO-SA...10N	BABR		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-} \gamma$	

 $\Gamma(\psi_2(3823) \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{18}/Γ
possibly seen	19	38 ABLIKIM	15S BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1} \gamma$	

³⁸ From a fit of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi_2(3823)$, $\psi_2(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma$ cross sections taken at $\sqrt{s}$ values of 4.23, 4.26, 4.36, 4.42, and 4.60 GeV to the $\psi(4415)$ line shape.

 $\Gamma(\psi(3770) \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{19}/Γ
possibly seen	39 ABLIKIM	19AR BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- D \bar{D}$	

³⁹ Observe $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(3770)$ at $\sqrt{s} = 4.26, 4.36$, and 4.42 GeV but cannot establish if continuum or resonant.

 $\Gamma(\omega \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{31}/Γ
not seen	ABLIKIM	22K BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$	

 $\Gamma(\omega \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{32}/Γ
not seen	ABLIKIM	22K BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \eta$	

$\psi(4415)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	25U	PR D111 L051502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63265
ABLIKIM	24AH	JHEP 2405 022	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62688
ABLIKIM	24AL	JHEP 2407 258	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62693
ABLIKIM	24BH	PRL 133 081901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62924
ABLIKIM	24CD	JHEP 2411 062	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63037
ABLIKIM	24D	PRL 132 161901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62653
ABLIKIM	23BH	PRL 131 151903	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62433
ABLIKIM	23BK	JHEP 2311 228	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62437
ABLIKIM	23BL	JHEP 2312 027	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62438
ABLIKIM	22K	JHEP 2207 064	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61648
ABLIKIM	21AS	PR D104 L091104	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61454
ABLIKIM	20AG	PR D102 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60744
ABLIKIM	19AR	PR D100 032005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59910
ZHUKOVA	18	PR D97 012002	V. Zhukova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=58710
ABLIKIM	15S	PRL 115 011803	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56784
HAN	15	PR D92 012011	Y.L. Han <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=56816
WANG	13B	PR D87 051101	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55377
PAKHLOVA	11	PR D83 011101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53638
DEL-AMO-SA...10N	10N	PR D82 052004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53532
MO	10	PR D82 077501	X.H. Mo, C.Z. Yuan, P. Wang	(BHEP)	REFID=53540
AUBERT	09M	PR D79 092001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52724
PAKHLOVA	09	PR D80 091101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53143
ABLIKIM	08D	PL B660 315	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52142
PAKHLOVA	08	PR D77 011103	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52132
PAKHLOVA	08A	PRL 100 062001	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52134
PAKHLOVA	07	PRL 98 092001	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51628
SETH	05A	PR D72 017501	K.K. Seth		REFID=50813
BAI	02C	PRL 88 101802	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50506
BAI	00	PRL 84 594	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50503
OSTERHELD	86	SLAC-PUB-4160	A. Osterheld <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=51064
BRANDELIK	78C	PL 76B 361	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22232
SIEGRIST	76	PRL 36 700	J.L. Siegrist <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22243

NODE=M073R12
 NODE=M073R12

NODE=M073R13
 NODE=M073R13

NODE=M073R13;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M073R14
 NODE=M073R14

NODE=M073R14;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M073R17
 NODE=M073R17

NODE=M073R18
 NODE=M073R18

NODE=M073

$\chi_{c0}(4500)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
was $X(4500)$

See the review on the "Spectroscopy of Mesons Containing two Heavy Quarks."

NODE=M234

NODE=M234

 $\chi_{c0}(4500)$ MASS

NODE=M234M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4485 ± 17 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 4.8. [4474 ± 4 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
4512.5 $^{+6.0}_{-6.2}$ $^{+3.2}_{-2.7}$		¹ AAIJ	25B LHCb	$pp \rightarrow p(J/\psi\phi)p$
4474 ± 3 ± 3	24k	² AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4506 ± 11 $^{+12}_{-15}$	4289	^{3,4} AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$

NODE=M234M

NEW

¹ From a fit using the five resonances reported in AAIJ 21E, described by relativistic Breit-Wigner distributions.

² From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with a significance of 20 σ .

³ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with a significance of 6.1 σ .

⁴ Superseded by AAIJ 21E.

NODE=M234M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M234M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M234M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M234M;LINKAGE=C

 $\chi_{c0}(4500)$ WIDTH

NODE=M234W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
75$^{+11}_{-9}$ OUR AVERAGE		[77 $^{+12}_{-10}$ MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
65 $^{+20}_{-16}$ $^{+24}_{-9}$		¹ AAIJ	25B LHCb	$pp \rightarrow p(J/\psi\phi)p$
77 ± 6 $^{+10}_{-8}$	24k	² AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
92 ± 21 $^{+21}_{-20}$	4289	^{3,4} AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$

NODE=M234W

NEW

¹ From a fit using the five resonances reported in AAIJ 21E, described by relativistic Breit-Wigner distributions.

² From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with a significance of 20 σ .

³ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with a significance of 6.1 σ .

⁴ Superseded by AAIJ 21E.

NODE=M234W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M234W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M234W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M234W;LINKAGE=C

 $\chi_{c0}(4500)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M234215;NODE=M234

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $J/\psi\phi$	seen

DESIG=1

 $\chi_{c0}(4500)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M234220

$\Gamma(J/\psi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
seen	4289	^{2,3} AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$	
¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with a significance of 20 σ .					
² From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with a significance of 6.1 σ .					
³ Superseded by AAIJ 21E.					

NODE=M234R01

NODE=M234R01

NODE=M234R01;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M234R01;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M234R01;LINKAGE=C

 $\chi_{c0}(4500)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M234

AAIJ	25B	PRL 134 031902	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17C	PRL 118 022003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP
Also		PR D95 012002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)

REFID=63242

REFID=61150

REFID=57657

REFID=57636

NODE=M262

X(4630)

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(?^{?+})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

This state shows properties different from a conventional $q\bar{q}$ state. A candidate for an exotic structure. See the review on "Heavy Non- $q\bar{q}$ Mesons."

NODE=M262

Seen by AAIJ 21E in $B^+ \rightarrow X(4630) K^+$ with $X(4630) \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ using an amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance (accounting for systematic uncertainties) of 5.5σ . The $J^P = 1^-$ assignment is favored over 2^- with a significance of 3σ and other assignments are disfavored by more than 5σ .

X(4630) MASS

NODE=M262M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4626 \pm 16^{+18}_{-110}$	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$

NODE=M262M

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.5σ .

NODE=M262M;LINKAGE=A

X(4630) WIDTH

NODE=M262W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$174 \pm 27^{+134}_{-73}$	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$

NODE=M262W

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.5σ .

NODE=M262W;LINKAGE=A

X(4630) DECAY MODES

NODE=M262215;NODE=M262

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad J/\psi \phi$	seen

DESIG=1

X(4630) BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M262225

$\Gamma(J/\psi \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$	

NODE=M262R01
NODE=M262R01

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.5σ .

NODE=M262R01;LINKAGE=A

X(4630) REFERENCES

NODE=M262

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)

REFID=61150

$\psi(4660)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

also known as $Y(4660)$; was $X(4660)$

See the reviews on the "Spectroscopy of Mesons Containing two Heavy Quarks" and on "Heavy Non-qqbar Mesons."

NODE=M189

NODE=M189

 $\psi(4660)$ MASS

NODE=M189M

NODE=M189M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4623 ± 10 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 3.7. See the ideogram below.		
4603.1 ± 3.9 ± 0.8		¹ ABLIKIM	24BN BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_{s2}^{*-}(2573)^-$
4584 ± 14 ± 80		² ABLIKIM	24BN BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_{s1}^{*-}(2536)^-$
4708 $^{+17}_{-15}$ ± 21		³ ABLIKIM	23BI BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- J/\psi$
4701.8 ± 10.9 ± 2.7		⁴ ABLIKIM	23H BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \chi_{c2}$
4675.3 ± 29.5 ± 3.5		⁵ ABLIKIM	23X BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D^{*-} \pi^+$
4651.0 ± 37.8 ± 2.1		⁶ ABLIKIM	21AJ BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
4619.8 $^{+8.9}_{-8.0}$ ± 2.3	66	⁷ JIA	20 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma D_s^+ D_{s2}^{*-}(2573)^-$
4625.9 $^{+6.2}_{-6.0}$ ± 0.4	89	⁸ JIA	19A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma D_s^+ D_{s1}^{*-}(2536)^-$
4652 ± 10 ± 11	279	⁹ WANG	15A BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
4669 ± 21 ± 3	37	¹⁰ LEES	14F BABR	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
4634 $^{+8}_{-7}$ $^{+5}_{-8}$	142	¹¹ PAKHLOVA	08B BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4647.9 ± 8.6 ± 0.8		¹² ABLIKIM	22R BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1} \gamma$
4652.5 ± 3.4 ± 1.1		¹³ DAI	17 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$
4645.2 ± 9.5 ± 6.0		¹⁴ ZHANG	17B RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
4646.4 ± 9.7 ± 4.8		¹⁵ ZHANG	17C RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ or $\psi(2S)$
4661 $^{+9}_{-8}$ ± 6	44	¹⁶ LIU	08H RVUE	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
4664 ± 11 ± 5	44	WANG	07D BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$

OCCUR=2

¹ Extracted in a fit that employs two BW resonances. The second one at about 4720 MeV shows low statistical significance of 2.7 σ .

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=N

² Extracted from a fit with two BW functions. The second one located at about 4750 MeV show a low statistical significance of 4.3 σ .

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=O

³ Seen as a peak in the c.m. energy dependence of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- J/\psi$ cross section using 5.85 fb⁻¹ of data at c.m. energies 4.61–4.95 GeV. Statistical significance is over 5 σ .

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=M

⁴ Fit model parameterized as the coherent sum of a Breit-Wigner resonance and a continuum amplitude term.

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=J

⁵ From a cross-section measurement of $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D^{*-} \pi^+$ between 4.189 and 4.951 GeV, assuming a coherent sum of 3 Breit-Wigner resonances plus a continuum amplitude. The two other resonances have masses (widths) 4209.6 ± 7.5 (81.6 ± 19.9) MeV and 4469.1 ± 26.4 (246.3 ± 37.9) MeV.

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=L

⁶ From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.008$ –4.698 GeV.

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=G

⁷ Using $D_{s2}^{*-}(2573)^- \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^-$ decays.

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=F

⁸ From a fit of a Breit-Wigner convolved with a Gaussian.

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=E

⁹ From a two-resonance fit. Supersedes WANG 07D.

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=A

¹⁰ From a two-resonance fit.

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=LE

¹¹ The $\pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$ and $\Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$ states are not necessarily the same.

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=PA

¹² From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(3823)$ cross section between 4.23 and 4.70 GeV with two coherent Breit-Wigner resonances. The data is also consistent with a single peak with mass 4417.5 ± 26.2 ± 3.5 MeV and width 245 ± 48 ± 13 MeV.

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=I

¹³ The pole parameters are extracted from the speed plot.

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=C

¹⁴ From a three-resonance fit.


NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=D

¹⁵ From a combined fit of BELLE, BABAR and BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$ data.

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=B

¹⁶ From a combined fit of AUBERT 07S and WANG 07D data with two resonances.

NODE=M189M;LINKAGE=LI



$\psi(4660)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
55 ± 9 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.		
57 ±12 ±219		¹ ABLIKIM	24BN BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_{s1}(2536)^-$
45.2± 5.7± 0.7		² ABLIKIM	24BN BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_{s2}^*(2573)^-$
126 $^{+27}_{-23}$ ± 30		³ ABLIKIM	23BI BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- J/\psi$
30.5±22.3± 14.6		⁴ ABLIKIM	23H BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \chi_{c2}$
218.3±72.9± 9.3		⁵ ABLIKIM	23X BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D^{*-} \pi^+$
155.4±24.8± 0.8		⁶ ABLIKIM	21AJ BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
47.0 $^{+31.3}_{-14.8}$ ± 4.6	66	⁷ JIA	20 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma D_s^+ D_{s2}^*(2573)^-$
49.8 $^{+13.9}_{-11.5}$ ± 4.0	89	⁸ JIA	19A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma D_s^+ D_{s1}(2536)^-$
68 ±11 ± 5	279	⁹ WANG	15A BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
104 ±48 ± 10	37	¹⁰ LEES	14F BABR	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
92 $^{+40}_{-24}$ $^{+10}_{-21}$	142	¹¹ PAKHLOVA	08B BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
33.1±18.6± 4.1		¹² ABLIKIM	22R BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1} \gamma$
62.6± 5.6± 4.3		¹³ DAI	17 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$
113.8±18.1± 3.4		¹⁴ ZHANG	17B RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
103.5±15.6± 4.0		¹⁵ ZHANG	17C RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ or $\psi(2S)$
42 $^{+17}_{-12}$ ± 6	44	¹⁶ LIU	08H RVUE	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
48 ±15 ± 3	44	WANG	07D BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$

¹ Extracted from a fit with two BW functions. The second one located at about 4750 MeV show a low statistical significance of 4.3 σ .

² Extracted in a fit that employs two BW resonances. The second one at about 4720 MeV shows low statistical significance of 2.7 σ .

³ Seen as a peak in the c.m. energy dependence of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- J/\psi$ cross section using 5.85 fb⁻¹ of data at c.m. energies 4.61–4.95 GeV. Statistical significance is over 5 σ .

⁴ Fit model parameterized as the coherent sum of a Breit-Wigner resonance and a continuum amplitude term.

⁵ From a cross-section measurement of $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D^{*-} \pi^+$ between 4.189 and 4.951 GeV, assuming a coherent sum of 3 Breit-Wigner resonances plus a continuum amplitude. The two other resonances have masses (widths) 4209.6 ± 7.5 (81.6 ± 19.9) MeV and 4469.1 ± 26.4 (246.3 ± 37.9) MeV.

⁶ From a three-resonance fit to the Born cross section in the range $\sqrt{s} = 4.008$ –4.698 GeV.

NODE=M189W

NODE=M189W

OCCUR=2

NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=N

NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=H

⁷ Using $D_{s2}^*(2573)^- \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^-$ decays.

⁸ From a fit of a Breit-Wigner convolved with a Gaussian.

⁹ From a two-resonance fit. Supersedes WANG 07D.

¹⁰ From a two-resonance fit.

¹¹ The $\pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$ and $\Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$ states are not necessarily the same.

¹² From a fit to the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(3823)$ cross section between 4.23 and 4.70 GeV with two coherent Breit-Wigner resonances. The data is also consistent with a single peak with mass $4417.5 \pm 26.2 \pm 3.5$ MeV and width $245 \pm 48 \pm 13$ MeV.

¹³ The pole parameters are extracted from the speed plot.

¹⁴ From a three-resonance fit.


¹⁵ From a combined fit of BELLE, BABAR and BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ and $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$ data.

¹⁶ From a combined fit of AUBERT 07S and WANG 07D data with two resonances.

NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=G
NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=LE
NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M189W;LINKAGE=LI



$\psi(4660)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M189215;NODE=M189

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $e^+ e^-$	not seen
Γ_2 $\psi(2S) \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
Γ_3 $J/\psi \eta$	not seen
Γ_4 $D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+$	not seen
Γ_5 $D^{*0} D^{*-} \pi^+$	seen
Γ_6 $\psi_2(3823) \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
Γ_7 $\chi_{c0} \phi$	not seen
Γ_8 $\chi_{c1} \gamma$	not seen
Γ_9 $\chi_{c1} \phi$	not seen
Γ_{10} $\chi_{c2} \gamma$	not seen
Γ_{11} $\chi_{c2} \phi$	seen
Γ_{12} $\Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$	seen
Γ_{13} $D_s^+ D_{s1}^-(2536)^-$	seen
Γ_{14} $D_s^+ D_{s2}^*(2573)^-$	seen
Γ_{15} $\omega \pi^0$	not seen
Γ_{16} $\omega \eta$	not seen
Γ_{17} $\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$	not seen
Γ_{18} $\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$	
Γ_{19} $\Xi^0 \Xi^0$	not seen
Γ_{20} $\Xi^- \Xi^+$	not seen
Γ_{21} $p K^- \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$	not seen
Γ_{22} $\Lambda \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	not seen
Γ_{23} $\Sigma^0 \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$	not seen

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=15;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=10
DESIG=22;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=6;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=13;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=7;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=14;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=5;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=8;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=9;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=11
DESIG=12
DESIG=18;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=23
DESIG=21;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=16;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=17;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=19;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=20;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

$\psi(4660) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M189230

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_2 \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4.7±3.8		¹ ABLIKIM	21AJ BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
11.2±3.2		² ABLIKIM	21AJ BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
4.7±4.2		³ ABLIKIM	21AJ BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
11.3±3.3		⁴ ABLIKIM	21AJ BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
2.0±0.3±0.2	279	⁵ WANG	15A BELL	10.58 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
8.1±1.1±1.0	279	⁶ WANG	15A BELL	10.58 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
2.7±1.3±0.5	37	⁷ LEES	14F BABR	10.58 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
7.5±1.7±0.7	37	⁸ LEES	14F BABR	10.58 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
2.2 ^{+0.7} _{-0.6}	44	⁹ LIU	08H RVUE	10.58 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
5.9±1.6	44	¹⁰ LIU	08H RVUE	10.58 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
3.0±0.9±0.3	44	⁷ WANG	07D BELL	10.58 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
7.6±1.8±0.8	44	⁸ WANG	07D BELL	10.58 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$

NODE=M189G1
NODE=M189G1

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ Solution I of four equivalent solutions in a fit using three interfering resonances.² Solution II of four equivalent solutions in a fit using three interfering resonances.³ Solution III of four equivalent solutions in a fit using three interfering resonances.⁴ Solution IV of four equivalent solutions in a fit using three interfering resonances.⁵ Solution I of two equivalent solutions from a fit using two interfering resonances. Supersedes WANG 07D.⁶ Solution II of two equivalent solutions from a fit using two interfering resonances. Supersedes WANG 07D.⁷ Solution I of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances.⁸ Solution II of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances.⁹ Solution I in a combined fit of AUBERT 07S and WANG 07D data with two resonances.¹⁰ Solution II in a combined fit of AUBERT 07S and WANG 07D data with two resonances.

NODE=M189G1;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M189G1;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M189G1;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M189G1;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M189G1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M189G1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M189G1;LINKAGE=WA

NODE=M189G1;LINKAGE=WN

NODE=M189G1;LINKAGE=LI

NODE=M189G1;LINKAGE=LU

 $\Gamma(J/\psi\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_3 \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.94	90	WANG	13B BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \eta \gamma$

NODE=M189G01
NODE=M189G01 $\Gamma(D^{*0} D^{*-} \pi^+) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_5 \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
19 to 2005	¹ ABLIKIM	23X BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D^{*-} \pi^+$

NODE=M189R10
NODE=M189R10¹ From a cross-section measurement of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D^{*-} \pi^+$ between 4.189 and 4.951 GeV, assuming a coherent sum of 3 Breit-Wigner resonances plus a continuum amplitude. Depending on solutions I – VIII with same fit qualities.

NODE=M189R10;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c0}\phi) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7 \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.35	90	ABLIKIM	25J BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \chi_{c0}$

NODE=M189R18
NODE=M189R18 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_8 \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.45	90	¹ HAN	15 BELL	10.58 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma$

NODE=M189G02
NODE=M189G02¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$.

NODE=M189G02;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\phi) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_9 \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M189R08
NODE=M189R08

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.04 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 23H BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \chi_{c1}$ ¹ Fit model parameterized as the coherent sum of a Breit-Wigner resonance and a continuum amplitude term.

NODE=M189R08;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{10} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.1	90	¹ HAN	15 BELL	10.58 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma$

NODE=M189G03
NODE=M189G03¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$.

NODE=M189G03;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\phi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{11}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.13±0.13 ¹ ABLIKIM 23H BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\chi_{c2}$

¹ Fit model parameterized as the coherent sum of a Breit-Wigner resonance and a continuum amplitude term. Constructive solution of the interference. Destructive solution gives 0.66 ± 0.41 eV.

NODE=M189R09
NODE=M189R09

NODE=M189R09;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(D_s^+ D_{s1}(2536)^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{13}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

14.3^{+2.8}_{-2.6} ± 1.5 89 ¹ JIA 19A BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma D_s^+ D_{s1}(2536)^-$

¹ Assuming $B(D_{s1}(2536)^- \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} K^-) = 1$.

NODE=M189R00
NODE=M189R00

NODE=M189R00;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(D_s^+ D_{s2}^*(2573)^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{14}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

14.7^{+5.9}_{-4.5} ± 3.6 66 ¹ JIA 20 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma D_s^+ D_{s2}^*(2573)^-$

¹ Assuming $B(D_{s2}^*(2573)^- \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^-) = 1$.

NODE=M189R04
NODE=M189R04

NODE=M189R04;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{17}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<49.6 × 10⁻³ 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 24AH BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$

¹ Interference effect between resonance and continuum amplitudes is considered. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M189R14
NODE=M189R14

NODE=M189R14;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{18}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<17.4 × 10⁻³ 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 25U BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$

¹ Interference effect between resonance and continuum amplitudes is considered. Upper limit is for the larger of the two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M189R19
NODE=M189R19

NODE=M189R19;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \Xi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{19}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<45.0 × 10⁻³ 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 24CD BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4660)$

¹ From a fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi^0 \Xi^0$ cross sections.

NODE=M189R17
NODE=M189R17

NODE=M189R17;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Xi^- \Xi^+) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{20}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<19.9 × 10⁻³ 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 23BK BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4660)$

¹ From a fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \Xi^+$ cross sections.

NODE=M189R11
NODE=M189R11

NODE=M189R11;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(pK^- \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{21}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<2.8 × 10⁻³ 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 23BL BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4660)$

¹ From a fit to $e^+e^- \rightarrow pK^- \bar{\Lambda} + \text{c.c.}$ cross sections.

NODE=M189R13
NODE=M189R13

NODE=M189R13;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Lambda \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{22}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<13.0 × 10⁻³ 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 24AL BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$

¹ A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M189R15
NODE=M189R15

NODE=M189R15;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{23}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<77.3 × 10⁻³ 90 ¹ ABLIKIM 24AL BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$

¹ A fit to the Born cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \Xi^+ K^- + \text{c.c.}$ including interference with the continuum. Two solutions from the fit.

NODE=M189R16
NODE=M189R16

NODE=M189R16;LINKAGE=A

$$\psi(4660) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$$

NODE=M189235

$$\Gamma(D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<0.37 × 10⁻⁶ 90 ¹ PAKHLOVA 09 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+$

¹ Using 4664 ± 11 ± 5 MeV for the mass of $\psi(4660)$.

NODE=M189R02
NODE=M189R02

NODE=M189R02;LINKAGE=PA

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$0.68^{+0.16+0.29}_{-0.15-0.30}$	142	¹ PAKHLOVA	08B BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$	

¹ The $\pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$ and $\Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$ states are not necessarily the same.

NODE=M189R03
NODE=M189R03

NODE=M189R03;LINKAGE=A

$\psi(4660)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+)/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \pi^+ \pi^-)$					Γ_4/Γ_2
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<10	90	PAKHLOVA	09 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+$	

NODE=M189225

NODE=M189R01
NODE=M189R01

$\Gamma(\psi_2(3823) \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_6/Γ
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen		¹ ABLIKIM	22R BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1} \gamma$	

NODE=M189R05
NODE=M189R05

¹ From a fit to the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(3823)$ cross section between 4.23 and 4.70 GeV with two coherent Breit-Wigner resonances.

NODE=M189R05;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{15}/Γ
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
not seen		ABLIKIM	22K BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$	

NODE=M189R06
NODE=M189R06

$\Gamma(\omega \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{16}/Γ
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
not seen		ABLIKIM	22K BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \eta$	

NODE=M189R07
NODE=M189R07

$\psi(4660)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M189

ABLIKIM	25J	PR D111 012016	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	25U	PR D111 L051502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24AH	JHEP 2405 022	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24AL	JHEP 2407 258	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24BN	PRL 133 171903	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24CD	JHEP 2411 062	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23BI	PRL 131 211902	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23BK	JHEP 2311 228	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23BL	JHEP 2312 027	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23H	JHEP 2301 132	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23X	PRL 130 121901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22K	JHEP 2207 064	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22R	PRL 129 102003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21AJ	PR D104 052012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
JIA	20	PR D101 091101	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
JIA	19A	PR D100 111103	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DAI	17	PR D96 116001	L.-Y. Dai, J. Haidenbauer, U.-G. Meissner	(JULI+)
ZHANG	17B	PR D96 054008	J. Zhang, J. Zhang	
ZHANG	17C	EPJ C77 727	J. Zhang, L. Yuan	
HAN	15	PR D92 012011	Y.L. Han <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
WANG	15A	PR D91 112007	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	14F	PR D89 111103	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
WANG	13B	PR D87 051101	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	09	PR D80 091101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LIU	08H	PR D78 014032	Z.Q. Liu, X.S. Qin, C.Z. Yuan	
PAKHLOVA	08B	PRL 101 172001	C. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	07S	PRL 98 212001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
WANG	07D	PRL 99 142002	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

REFID=63253
REFID=63265
REFID=62688
REFID=62693
REFID=63015
REFID=63037
REFID=62434
REFID=62437
REFID=62438
REFID=62050
REFID=62072
REFID=61648
REFID=61664
REFID=61441
REFID=60301
REFID=60037
REFID=58704
REFID=58219
REFID=58463
REFID=56816
REFID=56839
REFID=55938
REFID=55377
REFID=53143
REFID=52296
REFID=52596
REFID=51724
REFID=51959

NODE=M261

$\chi_{c1}(4685)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

This state shows properties different from a conventional $q\bar{q}$ state. A candidate for an exotic structure. See the review on "Heavy Non- $q\bar{q}$ Mesons."

NODE=M261

Seen by AAIJ 21E in $B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(4685) K^+$ with $\chi_{c1}(4685) \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ using an amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance (accounting for systematic uncertainties) of 15σ . The $J^P = 1^+$ assignment is favored with high significance.

$\chi_{c1}(4685)$ MASS

NODE=M261M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4684 \pm 7^{+13}_{-16}$	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$

NODE=M261M

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 15σ .

NODE=M261M;LINKAGE=A

$\chi_{c1}(4685)$ WIDTH

NODE=M261W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$126 \pm 15^{+37}_{-41}$	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$

NODE=M261W

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 15σ .

NODE=M261W;LINKAGE=A

$\chi_{c1}(4685)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M261215;NODE=M261

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad J/\psi \phi$	seen

DESIG=1

$\chi_{c1}(4685)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M261225

$\Gamma(J/\psi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$	

NODE=M261R01
NODE=M261R01

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 15σ .

NODE=M261R01;LINKAGE=A

$\chi_{c1}(4685)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M261

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij et al.	(LHCb Collab.) JP

REFID=61150

NODE=M235

$\chi_{c0}(4700)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
was $X(4700)$

See the review on the "Spectroscopy of Mesons Containing two Heavy Quarks."

NODE=M235

$\chi_{c0}(4700)$ MASS

NODE=M235M

NODE=M235M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4694 \pm 4^{+16}_{-3}$	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$4741 \pm 6 \pm 6$	175	² AAIJ	21C LHCb	$B^0_S \rightarrow J/\psi \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
$4704 \pm 10^{+14}_{-24}$	4289	^{3,4} AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 17 σ .				
² From a 1D fit to the $J/\psi \phi$ mass distribution with a significance of 5.3 σ . The identification of this structure as the $\chi_{c0}(4700)$ needs confirmation.				
³ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.6 σ .				
⁴ Superseded by AAIJ 21E.				

NODE=M235M;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M235M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M235M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M235M;LINKAGE=D

$\chi_{c0}(4700)$ WIDTH

NODE=M235W

NODE=M235W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$87 \pm 8^{+16}_{-6}$	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$53 \pm 15 \pm 11$	175	² AAIJ	21C LHCb	$B^0_S \rightarrow J/\psi \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
$120 \pm 31^{+42}_{-33}$	4289	^{3,4} AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 17 σ .				
² From a 1D fit to the $J/\psi \phi$ mass distribution with a significance of 5.3 σ . The identification of this structure as the $\chi_{c0}(4700)$ needs confirmation.				
³ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.6 σ .				
⁴ Superseded by AAIJ 21E.				

NODE=M235W;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M235W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M235W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M235W;LINKAGE=D

$\chi_{c0}(4700)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M235215;NODE=M235

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad J/\psi \phi$	seen

DESIG=1

$\chi_{c0}(4700)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M235220

NODE=M235R01
NODE=M235R01

$\Gamma(J/\psi \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_1/Γ
seen		24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
seen		175	² AAIJ	21C LHCb	$B^0_S \rightarrow J/\psi \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$	
seen		4289	^{3,4} AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$	
¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 17 σ .						
² From a 1D fit to the $J/\psi \phi$ mass distribution with a significance of 5.3 σ . The identification of this structure as the $\chi_{c0}(4700)$ needs confirmation.						
³ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.6 σ .						
⁴ Superseded by AAIJ 21E.						

NODE=M235R01;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M235R01;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M235R01;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M235R01;LINKAGE=D

$\chi_{c0}(4700)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M235

AAIJ	21C	JHEP 2102 024	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17C	PRL 118 022003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP
Also		PR D95 012002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)


REFID=61124
REFID=61150
REFID=57657
REFID=57636

$b\bar{b}$ MESONS (including possibly non- $q\bar{q}$ states)

NODE=MXXX030

NODE=M849

Updated March 2024.



The level scheme of meson states containing a minimal quark content of $b\bar{b}$ and having $S = C = B = 0$. The name of a state is determined by its quantum numbers $I^G J^{PC}$ (see the review “Naming Scheme for Hadrons”). States included in the Summary Tables are shown with solid lines; those requiring confirmation are shown with dotted lines. The arrows indicate the most dominant hadronic transitions. Single photon transitions, including $\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_b(mS)$, $\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{bJ}(mP)$, and $\chi_{bJ}(nP) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(mS)$, are omitted for clarity. For orientation, the location of the thresholds related to a pair of ground state open bottom mesons is indicated in the figure. Decays to open flavour final states are not shown in the figure. The transitions $\Upsilon(10753) \rightarrow \omega\chi_{b1}(1P)$, $\omega\chi_{b2}(1P)$ have also been reported.

NODE=M849

WIDTH DETERMINATIONS OF THE Υ STATES

As is the case for the $J/\psi(1S)$ and $\psi(2S)$, the full widths of the $b\bar{b}$ states $\Upsilon(1S)$, $\Upsilon(2S)$, and $\Upsilon(3S)$ are not directly measurable, since they are much narrower than the energy resolution of the e^+e^- storage rings where these states are

produced. The common indirect method to determine Γ starts from

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_{\ell\ell}/B_{\ell\ell} , \quad (2.1)$$

where $\Gamma_{\ell\ell}$ is one leptonic partial width and $B_{\ell\ell}$ is the corresponding branching fraction ($\ell = e, \mu$, or τ). One then assumes e - μ - τ universality and uses

$$\Gamma_{\ell\ell} = \Gamma_{ee}$$

$$B_{\ell\ell} = \text{average of } B_{ee}, B_{\mu\mu}, \text{ and } B_{\tau\tau} . \quad (2.2)$$

The electronic partial width Γ_{ee} is also not directly measurable at e^+e^- storage rings, only in the combination $\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\text{had}}/\Gamma$, where Γ_{had} is the hadronic partial width and

$$\Gamma_{\text{had}} + 3\Gamma_{ee} = \Gamma . \quad (2.3)$$

This combination is obtained experimentally from the energy-integrated hadronic cross section

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\text{resonance}} \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) dE \\ &= \frac{6\pi^2}{M^2} \frac{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\text{had}}}{\Gamma} C_r = \frac{6\pi^2}{M^2} \frac{\Gamma_{ee}^{(0)}\Gamma_{\text{had}}}{\Gamma} C_r^{(0)} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where M is the Υ mass, and C_r and $C_r^{(0)}$ are radiative correction factors. C_r is used for obtaining Γ_{ee} as defined in Eq. (2.1), and contains corrections from all orders of QED for describing $(b\bar{b}) \rightarrow e^+e^-$. The lowest order QED value $\Gamma_{ee}^{(0)}$, relevant for comparison with potential-model calculations, is defined by the lowest order QED graph (Born term) alone, and is about 7% lower than Γ_{ee} .

The Listings give experimental results on B_{ee} , $B_{\mu\mu}$, $B_{\tau\tau}$, and $\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\text{had}}/\Gamma$. The entries of the last quantity have been re-evaluated consistently using the correction procedure of KURA EV 85 [1]. The partial width Γ_{ee} is obtained from the average values for $\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\text{had}}/\Gamma$ and $B_{\ell\ell}$ using

$$\Gamma_{ee} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\text{had}}}{\Gamma(1 - 3B_{\ell\ell})} . \quad (2.5)$$

The total width Γ is then obtained from Eq. (2.1). We do not list Γ_{ee} and Γ values of individual experiments. The Γ_{ee} values in the Meson Summary Table are also those defined in Eq. (2.1).

References

1. E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **41**, 466 (1985).

$$\eta_b(1S)$$

$$J^{PC} = 0^{+}(0^{-+})$$

Quantum numbers shown are quark-model predictions. Observed in radiative decay of the $\Upsilon(3S)$, therefore $C = +$.

NODE=M171

NODE=M171

NODE=M171M

NODE=M171M

$\eta_b(1S)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9398.7 ± 2.0 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.				
9394.8 ^{+2.7} _{-3.1} ± 2.7	29k	FULSOM	18 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
9400.7 ± 1.7 ± 1.6	33.1k	TAMPONI	15 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \eta + \text{hadrons}$
9402.4 ± 1.5 ± 1.8	34k	¹ MIZUK	12 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- + \text{hadrons}$
9391.8 ± 6.6 ± 2.0	2.3k	² BONVICINI	10 CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
9394.2 ^{+4.8} _{-4.9} ± 2.0	13k	² AUBERT	09AQ BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
9388.9 ^{+3.1} _{-2.3} ± 2.7	19k	² AUBERT	08V BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
9393.2 ± 3.4 ± 2.3	10	^{2,3} DOBBS	12	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{hadrons}$
9300 ± 20 ± 20		HEISTER	02D ALEP	181–209 e^+e^-

¹ With floating width. Not independent of the corresponding mass difference measurement.


² Assuming $\Gamma_{\eta_b(1S)} = 10$ MeV. Not independent of the corresponding γ energy or mass difference measurements.

³ Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M171M;LINKAGE=MI

NODE=M171M;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M171M;LINKAGE=DO



$m_{\Upsilon(1S)} - m_{\eta_b}$


VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
62.3 ± 3.2 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below.				
57.9 ± 1.5 ± 1.8	34k	¹ MIZUK	12 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- + \text{hadrons}$
68.5 ± 6.6 ± 2.0	2.3 ± 0.5k	² BONVICINI	10 CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
66.1 ^{+4.8} _{-4.9} ± 2.0	13 ± 5k	² AUBERT	09AQ BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
71.4 ^{+2.3} _{-3.1} ± 2.7	19 ± 3k	² AUBERT	08V BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
67.1 ± 3.4 ± 2.3	10 ⁺⁵ ₋₄	^{2,3} DOBBS	12	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M171M2

NODE=M171M2

¹With floating width. Not independent of the corresponding mass measurement.
²Assuming $\Gamma_{\eta_b(1S)} = 10$ MeV. Not independent of the corresponding γ energy or mass measurements.
³Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M171M2;LINKAGE=MI
NODE=M171M2;LINKAGE=AU
NODE=M171M2;LINKAGE=DO



γ ENERGY IN $\Upsilon(3S)$ DECAY

NODE=M171DM

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$920.6^{+2.8}_{-3.2}$ OUR AVERAGE				
$918.6 \pm 6.0 \pm 1.9$	$2.3 \pm 0.5k$	¹ BONVICINI	10 CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
$921.2^{+2.1}_{-2.8} \pm 2.4$	$19 \pm 3k$	¹ AUBERT	08v BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M171DM

¹Assuming $\Gamma_{\eta_b(1S)} = 10$ MeV. Not independent of the corresponding mass or mass difference measurements.

NODE=M171DM;LINKAGE=BO

γ ENERGY IN $\Upsilon(2S)$ DECAY

NODE=M171U2S

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$609.3^{+4.6}_{-4.5} \pm 1.9$	$13 \pm 5k$	¹ AUBERT	09AQ BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M171U2S

¹Assuming $\Gamma_{\eta_b(1S)} = 10$ MeV. Not independent of the corresponding mass or mass difference measurements.

NODE=M171U2S;LINKAGE=AU

$\eta_b(1S)$ WIDTH

NODE=M171W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10^{+5}_{-4} OUR AVERAGE				
$8^{+6}_{-5} \pm 5$	$33.1k$	¹ TAMPONI	15 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\eta + \text{hadrons}$
$10.8^{+4.0+4.5}_{-3.7-2.0}$	$34k$	¹ MIZUK	12 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- + \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M171W

¹With floating mass.

NODE=M171W;LINKAGE=MI

$\eta_b(1S)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M171225;NODE=M171

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1	hadrons	seen	
Γ_2	$3h^+3h^-$	not seen	
Γ_3	$2h^+2h^-$	not seen	
Γ_4	$4h^+4h^-$	not seen	
Γ_5	$\gamma\gamma$	not seen	
Γ_6	$\mu^+\mu^-$	$<9 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_7	$\tau^+\tau^-$	$<8\%$	90%

DESIG=7

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=2;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

 $\eta_b(1S) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M171230

$\Gamma(3h^+3h^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_2\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M171G1

NODE=M171G1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<470	95	ABDALLAH	06	DLPH	161–209 e^+e^-
<132	95	HEISTER	02D	ALEP	181–209 e^+e^-

$\Gamma(2h^+2h^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_3\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M171G2

NODE=M171G2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<190	95	ABDALLAH	06	DLPH	161–209 e^+e^-
<48	95	HEISTER	02D	ALEP	181–209 e^+e^-

$\Gamma(4h^+4h^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_4\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M171G3

NODE=M171G3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<660	95	ABDALLAH	06	DLPH	161–209 e^+e^-

 $\eta_b(1S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M171235

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	34k	MIZUK	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- + \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M171R03

NODE=M171R03

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_6/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<9 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ AUBERT	09Z	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S, 3S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_b$

NODE=M171R01

NODE=M171R01

¹ Obtained using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_b) = (4.2^{+1.1}_{-1.0} \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$ and $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_b) = (4.8 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. This limit is equivalent to $B(\eta_b \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (-0.25 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.33)\%$ measurement.

NODE=M171R01;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_7/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<8 \times 10^{-2}$	90	AUBERT	09P	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\tau^+\tau^-$

NODE=M171R02

NODE=M171R02

 $\eta_b(1S)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M171

FULSOM	18	PRL 121 232001	B.G. Fulsom <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
TAMPONI	15	PRL 115 142001	U. Tamponi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DOBBS	12	PRL 109 082001	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	
MIZUK	12	PRL 109 232002	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BONVICINI	10	PR D81 031104	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	09AQ	PRL 103 161801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09P	PRL 103 181801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09Z	PRL 103 081803	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	08V	PRL 101 071801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ABDALLAH	06	PL B634 340	J.M. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
HEISTER	02D	PL B530 56	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)

REFID=59535

REFID=56996

REFID=54288

REFID=54718

REFID=53231

REFID=53106

REFID=53062

REFID=52930

REFID=52262

REFID=51042

REFID=48577

$\Upsilon(1S)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

NODE=M049

 $\Upsilon(1S)$ MASS

NODE=M049M

NODE=M049M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9460.40$\pm$0.10 OUR AVERAGE				
9460.37 $\pm$ 0.01 $\pm$ 2.85	15M	¹ AAIJ	24AC LHCb	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$
9460.40 $\pm$ 0.09 $\pm$ 0.04		² SHAMOV	23 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
9460.11 $\pm$ 0.11 $\pm$ 0.07		³ SHAMOV	23 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
9460.51 $\pm$ 0.09 $\pm$ 0.05		^{4,5} ARTAMONOV	00 MD1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
9460.60 $\pm$ 0.09 $\pm$ 0.05		^{6,7} BARU	92B MD1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
9460.59 $\pm$ 0.12		BARU	86 MD1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
9460.6 $\pm$ 0.4		^{7,8} ARTAMONOV	84 MD1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
9459.97 $\pm$ 0.11 $\pm$ 0.07		⁹ MACKAY	84 CUSB	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

OCCUR=2

¹ Observed in prompt pp production.² Reanalysis of MD1 data using the electron mass from COHEN 87, the radiative corrections from KURAEV 85 and interference effects.³ Obtained by reanalysing CUSB data (MACKAY 84), but not authored by the CUSB collaboration.⁴ Reanalysis of BARU 92B and ARTAMONOV 84 using new electron mass (COHEN 87).⁵ Superseded by SHAMOV 23.⁶ Supersedes BARU 86.⁷ Superseded by ARTAMONOV 00.⁸ Value includes data of ARTAMONOV 82.⁹ Reanalysed by SHAMOV 23.

NODE=M049M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M049M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M049M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M049M;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=M049M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M049M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M049M;LINKAGE=RZ

NODE=M049M;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M049M;LINKAGE=C

 $\Upsilon(1S)$ WIDTH

NODE=M049W

NODE=M049W

 $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID
54.02$\pm$1.25 OUR EVALUATION	See the Note on "Width Determinations of the Υ States"

 $\Upsilon(1S)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M049215;NODE=M049

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \quad \tau^+ \tau^-$	(2.60 $\pm$ 0.10) %	
$\Gamma_2 \quad e^+ e^-$	(2.39 $\pm$ 0.08) %	
$\Gamma_3 \quad \mu^+ \mu^-$	(2.48 $\pm$ 0.04) %	

DESIG=3

DESIG=2

DESIG=1

Hadronic decays

NODE=M049;CLUMP=A

$\Gamma_4 \quad g g g$	(81.7 $\pm$ 0.7) %		
$\Gamma_5 \quad \gamma g g$	(2.2 $\pm$ 0.6) %		
$\Gamma_6 \quad \eta'(958) \text{ anything}$	(2.94 $\pm$ 0.24) %		
$\Gamma_7 \quad J/\psi(1S) \text{ anything}$	(5.4 $\pm$ 0.4) $\times 10^{-4}$	S=1.4	
$\Gamma_8 \quad J/\psi(1S) \eta_c$	< 2.2	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_9 \quad J/\psi(1S) \chi_{c0}$	< 3.4	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{10} \quad J/\psi(1S) \chi_{c1}$	(3.9 $\pm$ 1.2) $\times 10^{-6}$		
$\Gamma_{11} \quad J/\psi(1S) \chi_{c2}$	< 1.4	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{12} \quad J/\psi(1S) \eta_c(2S)$	< 2.2	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{13} \quad J/\psi(1S) X(3940)$	< 5.4	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{14} \quad J/\psi(1S) X(4160)$	< 5.4	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{15} \quad X(4350) \text{ anything}, X \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \phi$	< 8.1	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{16} \quad T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^\pm \text{ anything}, T_{c\bar{c}1} \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^\pm$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{17} \quad T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^\pm \text{ anything}, Z_c \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^\pm$	< 6.0	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{18} \quad T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^\pm \text{ anything}, T_{c\bar{c}1} \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^\pm$	< 4.9	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{19} \quad P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459) \text{ anything}, \bar{P}_{c\bar{c}s}(4459) \text{ anything}$	(3.5 $\pm$ 2.0) $\times 10^{-6}$		

DESIG=117

DESIG=118

DESIG=73

DESIG=12

DESIG=146

DESIG=147

DESIG=148

DESIG=149

DESIG=150

DESIG=151

DESIG=152

DESIG=167

DESIG=168

DESIG=169

DESIG=170

DESIG=201

Г20	$P_{c\bar{c}s}(4338)$ anything, $\bar{P}_{c\bar{c}s}(4338)$ anything				DESIG=203
Г21	$J/\psi(1S)\Lambda$ anything, $J/\psi(1S)\bar{\Lambda}$ anything	$(3.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$			DESIG=202
Г22	$X_{cs}^{\pm}$ anything, $X \rightarrow J/\psi K^{\pm}$	< 5.7	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=173
Г23	$\psi(4230)$ anything, $\psi \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	< 3.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=161
Г24	$\psi(4230)$ anything, $\psi \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+K^-$	< 7.5	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=165
Г25	$\chi_{c1}(4140)$ anything, $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi$	< 5.2	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=166
Г26	χ_{c0} anything	< 4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=5
Г27	χ_{c1} anything	$(1.90 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-4}$			DESIG=6
Г28	$\chi_{c1}(1P)X_{tetra}$	< 3.78	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=175
Г29	χ_{c2} anything	$(2.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$			DESIG=7
Г30	$\psi(2S)$ anything	$(1.23 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-4}$			DESIG=8
Г31	$\psi(2S)\eta_c$	< 3.6	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=153
Г32	$\psi(2S)\chi_{c0}$	< 6.5	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=154
Г33	$\psi(2S)\chi_{c1}$	< 4.5	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=155
Г34	$\psi(2S)\chi_{c2}$	< 2.1	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=156
Г35	$\psi(2S)\eta_c(2S)$	< 3.2	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=157
Г36	$\psi(2S)X(3940)$	< 2.9	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=158
Г37	$\psi(2S)X(4160)$	< 2.9	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=159
Г38	$\psi(4230)$ anything, $\psi \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	< 7.9	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=162
Г39	$\psi(4360)$ anything, $\psi \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	< 5.2	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=163
Г40	$\psi(4660)$ anything, $\psi \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	< 2.2	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=164
Г41	$T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^{\pm}$ anything, $X \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^{\pm}$	< 8.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=171
Г42	$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^{\pm}$ anything, $T_{c\bar{c}1} \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^{\pm}$	< 6.7	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=172
Г43	$\chi_{c1}(3872)$ anything	< 2.2	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=194
Г44	$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^+ T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^-$	< 2.23	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=178
Г45	$T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^{\pm} T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^{\mp}$	< 8.1	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=179
Г46	$T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^+ T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^-$	< 1.8	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=180
Г47	$T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^+ T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^-$	< 1.58	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=181
Г48	$T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^+ T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^-$	< 2.66	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=182
Г49	$T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^{\pm} T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^{\mp}$	< 4.42	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=183
Г50	$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+ T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^-$	< 2.03	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=184
Г51	$T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^{\pm} T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^{\mp}$	< 2.33	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=186
Г52	$T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^{\pm} T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^{\mp}$	< 4.55	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=189
Г53	$\rho\pi$	< 3.68	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=11
Г54	$\omega\pi^0$	< 3.90	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=131
Г55	$\pi^+\pi^-$	< 5	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=23
Г56	K^+K^-	< 5	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=24
Г57	$p\bar{p}$	< 5	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=25
Г58	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(2.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-6}$			DESIG=72
Г59	ϕK^+K^-	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-6}$			DESIG=136
Г60	$\omega\pi^+\pi^-$	$(4.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$			DESIG=137
Г61	$K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	$(4.4 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-6}$			DESIG=138
Г62	$\phi f'_2(1525)$	< 1.63	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=139
Г63	$\omega f_2(1270)$	< 1.79	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=140
Г64	$\rho(770) a_2(1320)$	< 2.24	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=141
Г65	$K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-6}$			DESIG=142
Г66	$K_1(1270)^{\pm} K^{\mp}$	< 2.41	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=143
Г67	$K_1(1400)^{\pm} K^{\mp}$	$(1.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$			DESIG=144

Γ ₆₈	$b_1(1235)^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$	$< 1.25 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=145
Γ ₆₉	$\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^0\pi^0$	$(1.28 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=132
Γ ₇₀	$K_S^0 K^{+}\pi^{-} + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=133
Γ ₇₁	$K^{*}(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=134
Γ ₇₂	$K^{*}(892)^{-} K^{+} + \text{c.c.}$	$< 1.11 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=135
Γ ₇₃	$f_1(1285) \text{ anything}$	$(4.6 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=174
Γ ₇₄	$D^{*}(2010)^{\pm} \text{ anything}$	$(2.52 \pm 0.20) \%$		DESIG=30
Γ ₇₅	$f_1(1285) X_{tetra}$	$< 6.24 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=176
Γ ₇₆	$^2H \text{ anything}$	$(2.85 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=107
Γ ₇₇	Sum of 100 exclusive modes	$(1.200 \pm 0.017) \%$		DESIG=128

Radiative decays

NODE=M049;CLUMP=B

Γ ₇₈	$\gamma\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$	$(6.3 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=70
Γ ₇₉	$\gamma\pi^0\pi^0$	$(1.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=71
Γ ₈₀	$\gamma\pi\pi \text{ (S-wave)}$	$(4.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=190
Γ ₈₁	$\gamma\pi^0\eta$	$< 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=111
Γ ₈₂	$\gamma K^{+}K^{-}$	[a] $(1.14 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=102
Γ ₈₃	$\gamma p\bar{p}$	[b] $< 6 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=103
Γ ₈₄	$\gamma 2h^{+}2h^{-}$	$(7.0 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=20
Γ ₈₅	$\gamma 3h^{+}3h^{-}$	$(5.4 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=21
Γ ₈₆	$\gamma 4h^{+}4h^{-}$	$(7.4 \pm 3.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=22
Γ ₈₇	$\gamma\pi^{+}\pi^{-}K^{+}K^{-}$	$(2.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=14
Γ ₈₈	$\gamma 2\pi^{+}2\pi^{-}$	$(2.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=13
Γ ₈₉	$\gamma 3\pi^{+}3\pi^{-}$	$(2.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=17
Γ ₉₀	$\gamma 2\pi^{+}2\pi^{-}K^{+}K^{-}$	$(2.4 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=18
Γ ₉₁	$\gamma\pi^{+}\pi^{-}p\bar{p}$	$(1.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=15
Γ ₉₂	$\gamma 2\pi^{+}2\pi^{-}p\bar{p}$	$(4 \pm 6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=19
Γ ₉₃	$\gamma 2K^{+}2K^{-}$	$(2.0 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=16
Γ ₉₄	$\gamma\eta'(958)$	$< 1.9 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=55
Γ ₉₅	$\gamma\eta$	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=54
Γ ₉₆	$\gamma f_0(980)$	$< 3 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=105
Γ ₉₇	$\gamma f_2'(1525)$	$(2.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=52
Γ ₉₈	$\gamma f_2(1270)$	$(1.01 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=51
Γ ₉₉	$\gamma\eta(1405)$	$< 8.2 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=65
Γ ₁₀₀	$\gamma f_0(1500)$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=108
Γ ₁₀₁	$\gamma f_0(1500) \rightarrow \gamma K^{+}K^{-}$	$(1.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=192
Γ ₁₀₂	$\gamma f_0(1710)$	$< 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=53
Γ ₁₀₃	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma K^{+}K^{-}$	$(1.01 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=112
Γ ₁₀₄	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$	$(5.3 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=191
Γ ₁₀₅	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=109
Γ ₁₀₆	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$	$< 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=110
Γ ₁₀₇	$\gamma f_4(2050)$	$< 5.3 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=104
Γ ₁₀₈	$\gamma f_0(2200) \rightarrow \gamma K^{+}K^{-}$	$< 2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=69
Γ ₁₀₉	$\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma K^{+}K^{-}$	$< 8 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=60
Γ ₁₁₀	$\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$	$< 6 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=61
Γ ₁₁₁	$\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=62
Γ ₁₁₂	$\gamma\eta(2225) \rightarrow \gamma\phi\phi$	$< 3 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=68
Γ ₁₁₃	$\gamma\eta_c(1S)$	$< 2.9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=119
Γ ₁₁₄	$\gamma\eta_c(2S)$	$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=193
Γ ₁₁₅	$\gamma\chi_{c0}$	$< 6.6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=120
Γ ₁₁₆	$\gamma\chi_{c1}$	$(4.7 \pm 2.4 - 1.9) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=121
Γ ₁₁₇	$\gamma\chi_{c2}$	$< 7.6 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=122
Γ ₁₁₈	$\gamma\chi_{c1}(3872)$	$< 4 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=195
Γ ₁₁₉	$\gamma\chi_{c1}(3872), \chi_{c1} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^0 J/\psi$	$< 2.8 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=124
Γ ₁₂₀	$\gamma\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \omega J/\psi$	$< 3.0 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=125
Γ ₁₂₁	$\gamma\chi_{c1}(4140) \rightarrow \phi J/\psi$	$< 2.2 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=126
Γ ₁₂₂	$\gamma X X (m_X < 3.1 \text{ GeV})$	[c] $< 1 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=67
Γ ₁₂₃	$\gamma X X (m_X < 4.5 \text{ GeV})$	[d] $< 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=127
Γ ₁₂₄	$\gamma X \rightarrow \gamma + \geq 4 \text{ prongs}$	[e] $< 1.78 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=95%	DESIG=113
Γ ₁₂₅	γA^0	[f]		DESIG=66
Γ ₁₂₆	$\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$	[g] $< 9 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=114
Γ ₁₂₇	$\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\tau^{+}\tau^{-}$	[a] $< 1.30 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=115
Γ ₁₂₈	$\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma g g$	[h] $< 1 \%$	CL=90%	DESIG=129
Γ ₁₂₉	$\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma s\bar{s}$	[h] $< 1 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=130

Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes

$\Gamma_{130} e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	< 3.9	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{131} \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$	LF	< 2.7	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{132} e^\pm \tau^\mp$	LF	< 2.7	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{133} \gamma e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	< 4.2	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{134} \gamma \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$	LF	< 6.1	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{135} \gamma e^\pm \tau^\mp$	LF	< 6.5	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%

Other decays

Γ_{136} invisible	< 3.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{137} hadrons	(96 $\pm$ 4) %		

NODE=M049;CLUMP=C

DESIG=196

DESIG=116

DESIG=197

DESIG=198

DESIG=199

DESIG=200

NODE=M049;CLUMP=D

DESIG=106

DESIG=101

[a] $2m_\tau < M(\tau^+ \tau^-) < 9.2 \text{ GeV}$

[b] $2 \text{ GeV} < m_{K^+ K^-} < 3 \text{ GeV}$

[c] $X \bar{X} = \text{vectors with } m < 3.1 \text{ GeV}$

[d] $X \text{ and } \bar{X} = \text{zero spin with } m < 4.5 \text{ GeV}$

[e] $1.5 \text{ GeV} < m_X < 5.0 \text{ GeV}$

[f] $A^0 = \text{scalar with } m < 8.0 \text{ GeV}$

[g] $201 \text{ MeV} < M(\mu^+ \mu^-) < 3565 \text{ MeV}$

[h] $0.5 \text{ GeV} < m_X < 9.0 \text{ GeV}$, where m_X is the invariant mass of the hadronic final state.

LINKAGE=E49

LINKAGE=G49

LINKAGE=B49

LINKAGE=F49

LINKAGE=C49

LINKAGE=A49

LINKAGE=D49

LINKAGE=I49

 $\mathcal{T}(1S) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M049218

$\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_3 \Gamma_2 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
31.2$\pm$1.6$\pm$1.7	KOBEL	92	CBAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

NODE=M049G1

NODE=M049G1

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{137} \Gamma_2 / \Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.240$\pm$0.016 OUR AVERAGE			
1.252 $\pm$ 0.004 $\pm$ 0.019	¹ ROSNER	06	CLEO $9.5 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1.187 $\pm$ 0.023 $\pm$ 0.031	¹ BARU	92B	MD1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1.23 $\pm$ 0.02 $\pm$ 0.05	¹ JAKUBOWSKI	88	CBAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1.37 $\pm$ 0.06 $\pm$ 0.09	² GILES	84B	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1.23 $\pm$ 0.08 $\pm$ 0.04	² ALBRECHT	82	DASP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1.13 $\pm$ 0.07 $\pm$ 0.11	² NICZYPORUK	82	LENA $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1.09 $\pm$ 0.25	² BOCK	80	CNTR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1.35 $\pm$ 0.14	³ BERGER	79	PLUT $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M049G2

NODE=M049G2

¹ Radiative corrections evaluated following KURAEV 85.² Radiative corrections reevaluated by BUCHMUELLER 88 following KURAEV 85.³ Radiative corrections reevaluated by ALEXANDER 89 using $B(\mu\mu) = 0.026$.

NODE=M049G2;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M049G2;LINKAGE=R

NODE=M049G2;LINKAGE=P

 $\mathcal{T}(1S) \text{ PARTIAL WIDTHS}$

NODE=M049220

$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)$

Γ_2

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID
1.340$\pm$0.018 OUR EVALUATION	

NODE=M049W2

NODE=M049W2

 $\rightarrow$ UNCHECKED $\leftarrow$ **$\mathcal{T}(1S) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$**

NODE=M049225

$\Gamma(\tau^+ \tau^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_1 / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.60$\pm$0.10 OUR AVERAGE				
2.53 $\pm$ 0.13 $\pm$ 0.04	60k	¹ BESSON	07	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(1S) \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$
2.61 $\pm$ 0.12 $^{+0.09}_{-0.13}$	25k	CINABRO	94B	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$
2.7 $\pm$ 0.4 $\pm$ 0.2		² ALBRECHT	85C	ARG $\mathcal{T}(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \tau^+ \tau^-$
3.4 $\pm$ 0.4 $\pm$ 0.4		GILES	83	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$

¹ BESSON 07 reports $[\Gamma(\mathcal{T}(1S) \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\mathcal{T}(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)] = 1.02 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.05$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\mathcal{T}(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.² Using $B(\mathcal{T}(1S) \rightarrow ee) = B(\mathcal{T}(1S) \rightarrow \mu\mu) = 0.0256$; not used for width evaluations.

NODE=M049R3

NODE=M049R3

NODE=M049R3;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M049R3;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS**2.39±0.08 OUR AVERAGE**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.40±0.01±0.12	191k	PATRA	22	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$
2.29±0.08±0.11		ALEXANDER	98	CLE2 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$
2.42±0.14±0.14	307	ALBRECHT	87	ARG $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$
2.8 ±0.3 ±0.2	826	BESSON	84	CLEO $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$
5.1 ±3.0		BERGER	80c	PLUT $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$

NODE=M049R2
NODE=M049R2 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS**2.48±0.04 OUR AVERAGE**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.46±0.01±0.11	246k	PATRA	22	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$
2.49±0.02±0.07	345k	ADAMS	05	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
2.49±0.08±0.13		ALEXANDER	98	CLE2 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$
2.12±0.20±0.10		¹ BARU	92	MD1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
2.31±0.12±0.10		¹ KOBEL	92	CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
2.52±0.07±0.07		CHEN	89B	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
2.61±0.09±0.11		KAARSBERG	89	CSB2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
2.30±0.25±0.13	86	ALBRECHT	87	ARG $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$
2.9 ±0.3 ±0.2	864	BESSON	84	CLEO $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$
2.7 ±0.3 ±0.3		ANDREWS	83	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
3.2 ±1.3 ±0.3		ALBRECHT	82	DASP $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
3.8 ±1.5 ±0.2		NICZYPORUK	82	LENA $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
1.4 +3.4 -1.4		BOCK	80	CNTR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
2.2 ±2.0		BERGER	79	PLUT $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

NODE=M049R1
NODE=M049R1¹ Taking into account interference between the resonance and continuum.

NODE=M049R1;LINKAGE=G

 $\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ Γ_1/Γ_3

VALUE EVTS

1.008±0.023 OUR AVERAGE

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.005±0.013±0.022	0.7M	¹ DEL-AMO-SA..10c	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \Upsilon(1S)$
1.02 ±0.02 ±0.05	60k	BESSON	07	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)$

NODE=M049R43
NODE=M049R43¹ Allows any number of extra photons with total energy < 500 MeV.

NODE=M049R43;LINKAGE=DE

 $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS**81.7±0.7**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
81.7±0.7	20M	¹ BESSON	06A	CLEO $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M049R35
NODE=M049R35¹ Calculated using the value $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg) = (2.70 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.24)\%$ from BESSON 06A and PDG 08 values of $B(\mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$ and $R_{\text{hadrons}} = 3.51$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with that of $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ measurement of BESSON 06A.

NODE=M049R35;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS**2.20±0.60**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.20±0.60	400k	¹ BESSON	06A	CLEO $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M049R36
NODE=M049R36¹ Calculated using BESSON 06A values of $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg) = (2.70 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.24)\%$ and $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with that of $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ measurement of BESSON 06A.

NODE=M049R36;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg)$ Γ_5/Γ_4 VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS**2.70±0.01±0.27**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.70±0.01±0.27	20M	BESSON	06A	CLEO $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow (\gamma +) \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M049R37
NODE=M049R37 $\Gamma(\eta'(958) \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE

0.0294±0.0024 OUR AVERAGE

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.030 ±0.002 ±0.002	AQUINES	06A	CLE3 $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \eta' \text{ anything}$
0.028 ±0.004 ±0.002	ARTUSO	03	CLE2 $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \eta' \text{ anything}$

NODE=M049R73
NODE=M049R73

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.4 $\pm$ 0.4 OUR FIT					Error includes scale factor of 1.4.
5.4 $\pm$ 0.4 OUR AVERAGE					Error includes scale factor of 1.5.
5.25 $\pm$ 0.13 $\pm$ 0.25		3k	SHEN	16	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$
6.4 $\pm$ 0.4 $\pm$ 0.6		730	BRIERE	04	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$
11 $\pm$ 4 $\pm$ 2			¹ FULTON	89	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<6.8	90		ALBRECHT	92J	ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- X$, $\mu^+\mu^- X$
<17	90		MASCHMANN	90	CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
<200	90		NICZYPORUK	83	LENA

¹ Using $B((J/\psi) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (6.9 \pm 0.9)\%$.NODE=M049R12
NODE=M049R12

NODE=M049R12;LINKAGE=K

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta_c)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.2 $\times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M049R85
NODE=M049R85 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.4 $\times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M049R86
NODE=M049R86 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.90 $\pm$ 1.21 $\pm$ 0.23	20	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M049R87
NODE=M049R87 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.4 $\times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M049R88
NODE=M049R88 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.2 $\times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M049R89
NODE=M049R89 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)X(3940))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5.4 $\times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M049R90
NODE=M049R90 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)X(4160))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5.4 $\times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M049R91
NODE=M049R91 $\Gamma(X(4350) \text{ anything}, X \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8.1 $\times 10^{-6}$	90	SHEN	16	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^- X$

NODE=M049P05
NODE=M049P05 $\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^\pm \text{ anything}, T_{c\bar{c}1} \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^\pm)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.3 $\times 10^{-5}$	90	SHEN	16	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^\pm X$

NODE=M049P06
NODE=M049P06 $\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^\pm \text{ anything}, Z_c \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^\pm)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6.0 $\times 10^{-5}$	90	SHEN	16	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^\pm X$

NODE=M049P07
NODE=M049P07 $\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^\pm \text{ anything}, T_{c\bar{c}1} \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^\pm)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.9 $\times 10^{-5}$	90	SHEN	16	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^\pm X$

NODE=M049P08
NODE=M049P08 $\Gamma(P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459) \text{ anything}, \bar{P}_{c\bar{c}s}(4459) \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(3.5 $\pm$ 2.0 $\pm$ 0.2) $\times 10^{-6}$	21 $\pm$ 5	¹ ADACHI	25A	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)$

NODE=M049P38
NODE=M049P38¹ 21 $\pm$ 5 corresponds to the number of $P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)$ events in the combined $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\Upsilon(2S)$ samples.

NODE=M049P38;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(P_{c\bar{c}s}(4338)\text{anything}, \bar{P}_{c\bar{c}s}(4338)\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ADACHI	25A	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)$

NODE=M049P40
NODE=M049P40

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\Lambda\text{anything}, J/\psi(1S)\bar{\Lambda}\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(36.9 \pm 5.3 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-6}$	$^{+11}_{-11}$	ADACHI	25A	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)$

NODE=M049P39
NODE=M049P39

 $\Gamma(X_{cs}^{\pm}\text{anything}, X \rightarrow J/\psi K^{\pm})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	SHEN	16	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow J/\psi K^- X$

NODE=M049P11
NODE=M049P11

 $\Gamma(\psi(4230)\text{anything}, \psi \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	SHEN	16	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- X$

NODE=M049R99
NODE=M049R99

 $\Gamma(\psi(4230)\text{anything}, \psi \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	SHEN	16	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^- X$

NODE=M049P03
NODE=M049P03

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(4140)\text{anything}, \chi_{c1} \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	SHEN	16	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^- X$

NODE=M049P04
NODE=M049P04

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c0}\text{anything})/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})$
 Γ_{26}/Γ_7

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<7.4	90	BRIERE	04	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M049R25
NODE=M049R25

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.90 ± 0.35 OUR FIT				
1.90 ± 0.43 ± 0.14	215	JIA	17	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$

NODE=M049P13
NODE=M049P13

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\text{anything})/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})$
 Γ_{27}/Γ_7

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.35 ± 0.07 OUR FIT				
0.35 ± 0.08 ± 0.06	52 ± 12	BRIERE	04	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M049R26
NODE=M049R26

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)X_{tetra})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<37.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	17A	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M049P15
NODE=M049P15

¹ For a tetraquark state X_{tetra} , with mass in the range 1.16–2.46 GeV and width in the range 0–0.3 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of X_{tetra} mass and width range from 4.4×10^{-6} to 37.8×10^{-6} .

NODE=M049P15;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\text{anything})/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})$
 Γ_{29}/Γ_7

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.52 ± 0.12 ± 0.09	47 ± 11	BRIERE	04	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M049R27
NODE=M049R27

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.23 ± 0.17 ± 0.11	215	SHEN	16	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) X$

NODE=M049P12
NODE=M049P12

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\text{anything})/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})$
 Γ_{30}/Γ_7

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.41 ± 0.11 ± 0.08	42 ± 11	BRIERE	04	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- X$

NODE=M049R28
NODE=M049R28

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\eta_c)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) X$

NODE=M049R92
NODE=M049R92

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\chi_{c0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) X$

NODE=M049R93
NODE=M049R93

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)\chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{33}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$

NODE=M049R94
NODE=M049R94

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{34}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$

NODE=M049R95
NODE=M049R95

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{35}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$

NODE=M049R96
NODE=M049R96

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)X(3940))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{36}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$

NODE=M049R97
NODE=M049R97

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)X(4160))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{37}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$

NODE=M049R98
NODE=M049R98

 $\Gamma(\psi(4230) \text{ anything}, \psi \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{38}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	SHEN	16	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-X$

NODE=M049P00
NODE=M049P00

 $\Gamma(\psi(4360) \text{ anything}, \psi \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{39}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	SHEN	16	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-X$

NODE=M049P01
NODE=M049P01

 $\Gamma(\psi(4660) \text{ anything}, \psi \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{40}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	SHEN	16	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-X$

NODE=M049P02
NODE=M049P02

 $\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^\pm \text{ anything}, X \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{41}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	SHEN	16	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm X$

NODE=M049P09
NODE=M049P09

 $\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^\pm \text{ anything}, T_{c\bar{c}1} \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{42}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	SHEN	16	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm X$

NODE=M049P10
NODE=M049P10

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{43}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ SHEN	16	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-X$

NODE=M049P31
NODE=M049P31

¹ SHEN 16 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi(1S))] < 9.5 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi(1S)) = 4.3 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M049P31;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^+ T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{44}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<22.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^\pm X$

NODE=M049P17
NODE=M049P17

¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^\pm) = 1$.

NODE=M049P17;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^\pm T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{45}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^\pm X$

NODE=M049P18
NODE=M049P18

¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^\pm) = 1 = B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^\pm)$.

NODE=M049P18;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^+ T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{46}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^\pm X$

NODE=M049P19
NODE=M049P19

¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^\pm) = 1$

NODE=M049P19;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^+ T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{47}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<15.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\pi^\pm X$

¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\pi^\pm) = 1$

NODE=M049P20
NODE=M049P20

NODE=M049P20;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^+ T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{48}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<26.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\pi^\pm X$

¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\pi^\pm) = 1$

NODE=M049P21
NODE=M049P21

NODE=M049P21;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^\pm T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{49}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<44.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\pi^\pm X$

¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\pi^\pm) = 1 = B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\pi^\pm)$

NODE=M049P22
NODE=M049P22

NODE=M049P22;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+ T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{50}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<20.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm X$

¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^\pm \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm) = 1$

NODE=M049P23
NODE=M049P23

NODE=M049P23;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^\pm T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{51}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<23.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm X$

¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^\pm \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm) = 1$

NODE=M049P25
NODE=M049P25

NODE=M049P25;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^\pm T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{52}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<45.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm X$

¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^\pm \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm) = 1 = B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^\pm \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm)$

NODE=M049P26
NODE=M049P26

NODE=M049P26;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{53}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.68	90	SHEN	13	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1 \times 10^3$	90	BLINOV	90	MD1 $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \rho^0\pi^0$
$<2 \times 10^2$	90	FULTON	90B	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \rho^0\pi^0$
$<2.1 \times 10^3$	90	NICZYPORUK	83	LENA $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \rho^0\pi^0$

NODE=M049R11
NODE=M049R11

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{54}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.90	90	SHEN	13	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$

NODE=M049R05
NODE=M049R05

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{55}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	BARU	92	MD1 $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M049R57
NODE=M049R57

$$\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{56}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	BARU	92	MD1 $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K^+K^-$

NODE=M049R58
NODE=M049R58

$$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{57}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	¹ BARU	96	MD1 $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}$

¹ Supersedes BARU 92 in this node.

NODE=M049R59
NODE=M049R59

NODE=M049R59;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{58}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.14 \pm 0.72 \pm 0.34$		26 ± 9	SHEN	13	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<18.4	90	ANASTASSOV	99	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M049R72
NODE=M049R72

$\Gamma(\phi K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{59}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.36 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.29$	56	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

NODE=M049R75
NODE=M049R75

 $\Gamma(\omega \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{60}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.46 \pm 0.67 \pm 0.72$	64	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$

NODE=M049R76
NODE=M049R76

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{61}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.42 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.58$	173	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M049R77
NODE=M049R77

 $\Gamma(\phi f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{62}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.63	90	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

NODE=M049R78
NODE=M049R78

 $\Gamma(\omega f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{63}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.79	90	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$

NODE=M049R79
NODE=M049R79

 $\Gamma(\rho(770) a_2(1320))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{64}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.24	90	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$

NODE=M049R80
NODE=M049R80

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{65}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.02 \pm 0.68 \pm 0.34$	42	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M049R81
NODE=M049R81

 $\Gamma(K_1(1270)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{66}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.41	90	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M049R82
NODE=M049R82

 $\Gamma(K_1(1400)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{67}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.02 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.22$	24	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M049R83
NODE=M049R83

 $\Gamma(b_1(1235)^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{68}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.25	90	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$

NODE=M049R84
NODE=M049R84

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{69}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$12.8 \pm 2.0 \pm 2.3$	143 $\pm$ 22	SHEN	13 BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$

NODE=M049R06
NODE=M049R06

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{70}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.59 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.18$		37 $\pm$ 8	SHEN	13 BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- \pi^+$

NODE=M049R07
NODE=M049R07

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<3.4	90	¹ DOBBS	12A		$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- \pi^+$

¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M049R07;LINKAGE=DO

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{71}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.92 \pm 0.85 \pm 0.37$	16 $\pm$ 5	SHEN	13 BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- \pi^+$

NODE=M049R08
NODE=M049R08

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^- K^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{72}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.11	90	SHEN	13 BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- \pi^+$

NODE=M049R09
NODE=M049R09

 $\Gamma(f_1(1285) \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{73}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.6 \pm 2.8 \pm 1.3$	3.1k	JIA	17A BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M049P14
NODE=M049P14

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^\pm \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{74}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$25.2 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.5$	$\approx 2k$		¹ AUBERT 10C	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \Upsilon(1S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<19	90		² ALBRECHT 92J	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm X$

¹ For $x_p > 0.1$.² For $x_p > 0.2$.NODE=M049R32
NODE=M049R32NODE=M049R32;LINKAGE=AU
NODE=M049R32;LINKAGE=B $\Gamma(f_1(1285) X_{tetra})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{75}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 62.4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA 17A	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
¹ For a tetraquark state X_{tetra} , with mass in the range 1.16–2.46 GeV and width in the range 0–0.3 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of X_{tetra} mass and width range from 4.6×10^{-6} to 62.4×10^{-6} .				

NODE=M049P16
NODE=M049P16

NODE=M049P16;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\overline{2H} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{76}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.85 ± 0.25 OUR AVERAGE				
$2.81 \pm 0.49^{+0.20}_{-0.24}$		LEES	14G	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \overline{2H} X$
$2.86 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.21$	455	ASNER	07	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \overline{2H} X$

NODE=M049R33
NODE=M049R33

OCCUR=2

 $\Gamma(\text{Sum of 100 exclusive modes})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{77}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
1.200 ± 0.017	^{1,2} DOBBS 12A	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ DOBBS 12A presents individual exclusive branching fractions or upper limits for 100 modes of four to ten pions, kaons, or protons.² Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.NODE=M049R02
NODE=M049R02

NODE=M049R02;LINKAGE=DO

NODE=M049R02;LINKAGE=NC

 $\Gamma(ggg, \gamma g g \rightarrow \bar{d} \text{ anything})/\Gamma(ggg, \gamma g g \rightarrow \text{anything})$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.36 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.25$	455	ASNER	07	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \bar{d} X$

NODE=M049R34
NODE=M049R34 $\Gamma(\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{78}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$6.3 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.3$	¹ ANASTASSOV 99	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
¹ For $m_{\pi\pi} > 1 \text{ GeV}$.			

NODE=M049R70
NODE=M049R70

NODE=M049R70;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{79}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.7 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3$	¹ ANASTASSOV 99	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
¹ For $m_{\pi\pi} > 1 \text{ GeV}$.			

NODE=M049R71
NODE=M049R71

NODE=M049R71;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma \pi \pi (\text{S-wave}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{80}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.63 \pm 0.56 \pm 0.48$	LEES	18A	BABR $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M049P27
NODE=M049P27 $\Gamma(\gamma \pi^0 \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{81}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.4	90	¹ BESSON 07A	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)$
¹ BESSON 07A obtained this limit for $0.7 < m_{\pi^0 \eta} < 3 \text{ GeV}$.				

NODE=M049R47
NODE=M049R47

NODE=M049R47;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(\gamma K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{82}/Γ $(2 < m_{K^+ K^-} < 3 \text{ GeV})$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.14 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.10$	90	ATHAR	06	CLE3 $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

NODE=M049R24
NODE=M049R24
NODE=M049R24 $\Gamma(\gamma p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{83}/Γ $(2 < m_{p \bar{p}} < 3 \text{ GeV})$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.6	90	ATHAR	06	CLE3 $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$

NODE=M049R29
NODE=M049R29
NODE=M049R29

$$\Gamma(\gamma 2h^+ 2h^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

$7.0 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.0$ 80 ± 12

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

FULTON 90B CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$$\Gamma_{84}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M049R20
NODE=M049R20

$$\Gamma(\gamma 3h^+ 3h^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

$5.4 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.3$ 39 ± 11

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

FULTON 90B CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$$\Gamma_{85}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M049R21
NODE=M049R21

$$\Gamma(\gamma 4h^+ 4h^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

$7.4 \pm 2.5 \pm 2.5$ 36 ± 12

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

FULTON 90B CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$$\Gamma_{86}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M049R22
NODE=M049R22

$$\Gamma(\gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

$2.9 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.6$ 29 ± 8

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

FULTON 90B CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$$\Gamma_{87}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M049R14
NODE=M049R14

$$\Gamma(\gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

$2.5 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.5$ 26 ± 7

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

FULTON 90B CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$$\Gamma_{88}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M049R13
NODE=M049R13

$$\Gamma(\gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

$2.5 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.8$ 17 ± 5

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

FULTON 90B CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$$\Gamma_{89}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M049R17
NODE=M049R17

$$\Gamma(\gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

$2.4 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.8$ 18 ± 7

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

FULTON 90B CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$$\Gamma_{90}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M049R18
NODE=M049R18

$$\Gamma(\gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \rho \bar{\rho})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

$1.5 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3$ 22 ± 6

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

FULTON 90B CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$$\Gamma_{91}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M049R15
NODE=M049R15

$$\Gamma(\gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \rho \bar{\rho})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

$0.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4$ 7 ± 6

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

FULTON 90B CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$$\Gamma_{92}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M049R19
NODE=M049R19

$$\Gamma(\gamma 2K^+ 2K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS

0.2 ± 0.2 2 ± 2

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

FULTON 90B CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$$\Gamma_{93}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M049R16
NODE=M049R16

$$\Gamma(\gamma \eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6}) CL%

< 1.9 90

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

ATHAR 07A CLEO $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta, \gamma \rho$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 16 90

RICHICHI 01B CLE2 $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

$$\Gamma_{94}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M049R55
NODE=M049R55

$$\Gamma(\gamma \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6}) CL%

< 1.0 90

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

ATHAR 07A CLEO $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma, \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, \gamma 3\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 21 90

MASEK 02 CLEO $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta$

$$\Gamma_{95}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M049R54
NODE=M049R54

$$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(980))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5}) CL%

< 3 90

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

¹ATHAR 06 CLE3 $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Assuming $B(f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi \pi) = 1$.

$$\Gamma_{96}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M049R31
NODE=M049R31

NODE=M049R31;LINKAGE=AT

$\Gamma(\gamma f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.9 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE					
2.13 ± 0.28 ± 0.72			¹ LEES	18A BABR	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
4.0 ± 1.4 ± 0.1		17	² BESSON	11 CLEO	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
3.7 $^{+0.9}_{-0.7}$ ± 0.8			ATHAR	06 CLE3	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<14	90	³ FULTON	90B CLEO	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
<19.4	90	³ ALBRECHT	89 ARG	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

¹ Using $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K \bar{K}) = 0.887 \pm 0.022$ and $B(K^0 \bar{K}^0) = 1/2 B(K \bar{K})$.

² BESSON 11 reports $(4.0 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K \bar{K})]$ assuming $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K \bar{K}) = (88.8 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K \bar{K}) = (88.8 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. The result also assumes $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (69.20 \pm 0.05)\%$ and $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K \bar{K}) = 4 B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0)$.

³ Assuming $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K \bar{K}) = 0.71$.

NODE=M049R52
NODE=M049R52

NODE=M049R52;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M049R52;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M049R52;LINKAGE=D

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.1 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE				
10.15 ± 0.59 $^{+0.54}_{-0.43}$		¹ LEES	18A BABR	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
10.5 ± 1.6 $^{+1.9}_{-1.8}$		² BESSON	07A CLE3	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$
10.2 ± 0.8 ± 0.7		ATHAR	06 CLE3	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
8.1 ± 2.3 $^{+2.9}_{-2.7}$		³ ANASTASSOV	99 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<21	90	³ FULTON	90B CLEO	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
<13	90	³ ALBRECHT	89 ARG	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
<81	90	SCHMITT	88 CBAL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ Using $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0) = 1/3 B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi \pi)$ and $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi \pi) = (84.2 $^{+2.9}_{-0.9}$)\%$.

² Using $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0) = B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi \pi)/3$ and $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi \pi) = (84.7 $^{+2.5}_{-1.2}$)\%$.

³ Using $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi \pi) = 0.84$.

NODE=M049R51;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M049R51;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M049R51;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(\gamma \eta(1405))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8.2	90	¹ FULTON	90B CLEO	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$

¹ Includes unknown branching ratio of $\eta(1405) \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$.

NODE=M049R23
NODE=M049R23

NODE=M049R23;LINKAGE=J

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1500))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.5	90	¹ BESSON	07A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6.1	90	² BESSON	07A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$

¹ Using $B(f_0(1500) \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0) = B(f_0(1500) \rightarrow \pi \pi)/3$ and $B(f_0(1500) \rightarrow \pi \pi) = (0.349 \pm 0.023)\%$.

² Calculated by us using $B(f_0(1500) \rightarrow \eta \eta) = (5.1 \pm 0.9)\%$.

NODE=M049R44
NODE=M049R44

OCCUR=2

NODE=M049R44;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M049R44;LINKAGE=BS

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1500) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.04 ± 0.14 ± 0.33	¹ LEES	18A BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

¹ LEES 18A quotes $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1500) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}) = (2.08 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.65) \times 10^{-5}$ assuming $B(K^0 \bar{K}^0) = 1/2 B(K \bar{K})$.

NODE=M049P29
NODE=M049P29

NODE=M049P29;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{102}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.6	90	¹ ALBRECHT 89	ARG	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 6.3	90	¹ FULTON	90B	CLEO $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
< 19	90	¹ FULTON	90B	CLEO $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$
< 8	90	² ALBRECHT 89	ARG	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
< 24	90	³ SCHMITT 88	CBAL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ Assuming $B(f_0(1710) \rightarrow K \bar{K}) = 0.38$.² Assuming $B(f_0(1710) \rightarrow \pi \pi) = 0.04$.³ Assuming $B(f_0(1710) \rightarrow \eta \eta) = 0.18$.NODE=M049R53
NODE=M049R53

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M049R53;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M049R53;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M049R53;LINKAGE=A $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{103}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.01 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.18$		¹ LEES 18A	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 0.7	90	ATHAR 06	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
¹ LEES 18A quotes $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}) = (2.02 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-5}$ assuming $B(K^0 \bar{K}^0) = 1/2 B(K \bar{K})$.				

NODE=M049R50
NODE=M049R50

NODE=M049R50;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{104}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.53 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.11$		¹ LEES 18A	BABR	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
¹ LEES 18A quotes $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi) = (0.79 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-5}$ assuming $B(\pi^0 \pi^0) = 1/3 B(\pi \pi)$.				

NODE=M049P28
NODE=M049P28

NODE=M049P28;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{105}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 1.4	90	BESSON 07A	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$

NODE=M049R45
NODE=M049R45 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{106}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 1.8	90	BESSON 07A	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$

NODE=M049R46
NODE=M049R46 $\Gamma(\gamma f_4(2050))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{107}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 5.3	90	¹ ATHAR 06	CLE3	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
¹ Assuming $B(f_4(2050) \rightarrow \pi \pi) = 0.17$.				

NODE=M049R30
NODE=M049R30

NODE=M049R30;LINKAGE=AT

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2200) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{108}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.0002	90	BARU 89	MD1	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

NODE=M049R63
NODE=M049R63 $\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{109}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 8	90	ATHAR 06	CLE3	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 160	90	MASEK 02	CLEO	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
< 150	90	FULTON 90B	CLEO	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
< 290	90	ALBRECHT 89	ARG	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
< 2000	90	BARU 89	MD1	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

NODE=M049R56
NODE=M049R56 $\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{110}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 6	90	ATHAR 06	CLE3	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 120	90	MASEK 02	CLEO	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M049R41
NODE=M049R41 $\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{111}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 11	90	ATHAR 06	CLE3	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 160	90	MASEK 02	CLEO	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma p \bar{p}$

NODE=M049R42
NODE=M049R42

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(2225) \rightarrow \gamma\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{112}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.003	90	BARU	89 MD1	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$

NODE=M049R62
 NODE=M049R62

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{113}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.9 × 10 ⁻⁵	90	¹ KATRENKO	20 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<5.7 × 10 ⁻⁵	90	SHEN	10A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
¹ Using $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ decays.				

NODE=M049R38
 NODE=M049R38

NODE=M049R38;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{114}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	¹ KATRENKO	20 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$
¹ Using $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ decays.				

NODE=M049P30
 NODE=M049P30

NODE=M049P30;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{115}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6.6 × 10 ⁻⁵	90	¹ KATRENKO	20 BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<6.5 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	SHEN	10A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
¹ Using $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ decays.				

NODE=M049R39
 NODE=M049R39

NODE=M049R39;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{116}/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁵)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.7^{+2.4+0.4}_{-1.8-0.5}$		5	¹ KATRENKO	20 BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<2.3	90		SHEN	10A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
¹ Using $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ decays.					

NODE=M049R40
 NODE=M049R40

NODE=M049R40;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{117}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<7.6 × 10 ⁻⁶	90	SHEN	10A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<3.3 × 10 ⁻⁵	90	¹ KATRENKO	20 BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$
¹ Using $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ decays.				

NODE=M049R48
 NODE=M049R48

NODE=M049R48;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1}(3872))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{118}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4 × 10 ⁻⁵	90	¹ SHEN	10A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
¹ SHEN 10A reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(3872))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S))] < 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S)) = 4.3 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M049P32
 NODE=M049P32

NODE=M049P32;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1}(3872), \chi_{c1} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{119}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.8 × 10 ⁻⁶	90	SHEN	10A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M049R68
 NODE=M049R68

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \omega J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{120}/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁶)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.0	90	SHEN	10A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M049R69
 NODE=M049R69

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1}(4140) \rightarrow \phi J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{121}/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁶)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.2	90	SHEN	10A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M049R74
 NODE=M049R74

 $\Gamma(\gamma X \bar{X}(m_X < 3.1 \text{ GeV}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{122}/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻³)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1	90	¹ BALEST	95 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma + X \bar{X}$

NODE=M049R61
 NODE=M049R61
 NODE=M049R61

¹ For a noninteracting vector X with mass < 3.1 GeV.

NODE=M049R61;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma X \bar{X} (m_X < 4.5 \text{ GeV}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{123}/Γ X and $\bar{X}$ = zero spin with $m < 4.5 \text{ GeV}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<24	90	¹ DEL-AMO-SA..11J	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma + X \bar{X}$

¹ For a noninteracting scalar X with mass $m < 4.5 \text{ GeV}$.

NODE=M049R01

NODE=M049R01
NODE=M049R01

NODE=M049R01;LINKAGE=DA

 $\Gamma(\gamma X \rightarrow \gamma + \geq 4 \text{ prongs})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{124}/Γ $(1.5 \text{ GeV} < m_X < 5.0 \text{ GeV})$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.78	95	ROSNER	07A CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M049R64

NODE=M049R64
NODE=M049R64 $\Gamma(\gamma A^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{125}/Γ $(A^0 = \text{scalar with } m < 8.0 \text{ GeV})$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<4.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ DEL-AMO-SA..11J	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma + X$
$<3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	² BALEST	95 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma + X$
$<5.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	² ANTREASYAN	90C CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma + X$

¹ For a non-interacting scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , with mass $m_{A^0} < 8.0 \text{ GeV}$. 90% CL upper limits range from 1.9×10^{-6} to 4.5×10^{-6} .² For any non-interacting long-lived particle with mass $< 7.2 \text{ GeV}$.

NODE=M049R60

NODE=M049R60
NODE=M049R60

NODE=M049R60;LINKAGE=DA

NODE=M049R60;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{126}/Γ $(201 < M(\mu^+ \mu^-) < 3565 \text{ MeV})$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 9	90	¹ LOVE	08 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<16	90	² JIA	22 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
< 9.7	90	³ LEES	13C BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

¹ For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , with $201 < M(\mu^+ \mu^-) < 3565 \text{ MeV}$, excluding J/ψ . Measured 90% CL limits as a function of $M(\mu^+ \mu^-)$ range from $1-9 \times 10^{-6}$.² For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , with $0.22 < M(A^0) < 9.2 \text{ GeV}$, resulting in 90% CL upper limits ranging from 3.1×10^{-7} at $M(A^0) = 0.22 \text{ GeV}$ to 1.6×10^{-5} at $M(A^0) = 9.2 \text{ GeV}$.³ For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , with mass in the range $0.212-9.2 \text{ GeV}$, excluding J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of m_{A^0} are in the range $0.28-9.7 \times 10^{-6}$.

NODE=M049R65

NODE=M049R65
NODE=M049R65

NODE=M049R65;LINKAGE=LO

NODE=M049R65;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M049R65;LINKAGE=LE

 $\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tau^+ \tau^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{127}/Γ $(2m_\tau < M(\tau^+ \tau^-) < 9.2 \text{ GeV})$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<130	90	¹ LEES	13R BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \tau^+ \tau^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<150	90	² JIA	22 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \tau^+ \tau^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
< 50	90	³ LOVE	08 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tau^+ \tau^-$

¹ For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , with $2m_\tau < M(A^0) < 9.2 \text{ GeV}$, resulting in 90% CL upper limits of 0.9×10^{-5} at $M(A^0) = 2m_\tau$, $\approx 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ at $M(A^0) = 7.5 \text{ GeV}$, and 13×10^{-5} at $M(A^0) = 9.2 \text{ GeV}$.² For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , with $2m_\tau < M(A^0) < 9.2 \text{ GeV}$, resulting in 90% CL upper limits ranging from 3.8×10^{-6} at $M(A^0) = 2m_\tau$ to 1.5×10^{-4} at $M(A^0) = 9.2 \text{ GeV}$.³ For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , with $2m_\tau < M(A^0) < 7.5 \text{ GeV}$, resulting in 90% CL limits ranging from 1×10^{-5} at $M(A^0)=2m_\tau$ to 5×10^{-5} at $M(A^0)=7.5 \text{ GeV}$.

NODE=M049R66

NODE=M049R66
NODE=M049R66

NODE=M049R66;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M049R66;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M049R66;LINKAGE=LO

 $\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma g g)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{128}/Γ $(0.5 \text{ GeV} < m < 9.0 \text{ GeV})$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1 $\times 10^{-2}$	90	¹ LEES	13L BABR	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ For a narrow, CP -odd pseudoscalar, A^0 , searched for in 26 hadronic decay modes with invariant mass $0.5 \text{ GeV} < m_{A^0} < 9.0 \text{ GeV}$. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of m_{A^0} range from 10^{-6} to 10^{-2} .

NODE=M049R03

NODE=M049R03
NODE=M049R03

NODE=M049R03;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma s \bar{s})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ (0.5 GeV < m < 9.0 GeV) Γ_{129}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ LEES	13L BABR	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ For a narrow, CP -odd pseudoscalar, A^0 , searched for in 14 hadronic decay modes with invariant mass $1.5 \text{ GeV} < m_{A^0} < 9.0 \text{ GeV}$. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of m_{A^0} range from 10^{-5} to 10^{-3} .

NODE=M049R04

NODE=M049R04
NODE=M049R04

NODE=M049R04;LINKAGE=A

LEPTON FAMILY NUMBER (LF) VIOLATING MODES

 $\Gamma(e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{130}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.9	90	PATRA	22 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^\pm \mu^\mp$

NODE=M049230

NODE=M049P33
NODE=M049P33 $\Gamma(\mu^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{131}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	PATRA	22 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<6.0 \times 10^{-6}$	95	LOVE	08A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$

NODE=M049R67
NODE=M049R67 $\Gamma(e^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{132}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.7	90	PATRA	22 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^\pm \tau^\mp$

NODE=M049P34
NODE=M049P34 $\Gamma(\gamma e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{133}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.2	90	PATRA	22 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma e^\pm \mu^\mp$

NODE=M049P35
NODE=M049P35 $\Gamma(\gamma \mu^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{134}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6.1	90	PATRA	22 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$

NODE=M049P36
NODE=M049P36 $\Gamma(\gamma e^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{135}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6.5	90	PATRA	22 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma e^\pm \tau^\mp$

NODE=M049P37
NODE=M049P37

OTHER DECAYS

 $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{136}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.0	90	AUBERT	09AX BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \Upsilon(1S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<39	90	RUBIN	07 CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \Upsilon(1S)$
<25	90	TAJIMA	07 BELL	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \Upsilon(1S)$

NODE=M049235

NODE=M049R10
NODE=M049R10 $\Upsilon(1S)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M049

ADACHI	25A	PRL 135 041901	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE and BELLE II Collab.)
AAIJ	24AC	JHEP 2410 122	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
SHAMOV	23	PL B839 137766	A.G. Shamov, O.L. Rezanova	(NOVO, NOVOU)
JIA	22	PRL 128 081804	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PATRA	22	JHEP 2205 095	S. Patra <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
KATRENKO	20	PRL 124 122001	P. Katrenko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
JIA	18	PR D97 112004	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	18A	PR D97 112006	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
JIA	17	PR D95 012001	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
JIA	17A	PR D96 112002	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SHEN	16	PR D93 112013	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	14G	PR D89 111102	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
YANG	14	PR D90 112008	S.D. Yang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	13C	PR D87 031102	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	13L	PR D88 031701	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	13R	PR D88 071102	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
SHEN	13	PR D88 011102	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DOBBS	12A	PR D86 052003	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	
SHEN	12A	PR D86 031102	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BESSION	11	PR D83 037101	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	11J	PRL 107 021804	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	10C	PR D81 011102	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	10C	PRL 104 191801	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
SHEN	10A	PR D82 051504	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	09AX	PRL 103 251801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LOVE	08	PRL 101 151802	W. Love <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)

REFID=63241
REFID=63036
REFID=62012
REFID=61657
REFID=61653
REFID=60544
REFID=58949
REFID=58950
REFID=57635
REFID=58318
REFID=57515
REFID=55939
REFID=56345
REFID=54949
REFID=55167
REFID=55451
REFID=55395
REFID=54746
REFID=54314
REFID=16737
REFID=16495
REFID=53211
REFID=53354
REFID=53545
REFID=53201
REFID=52565

LOVE	08A	PRL 101 201601	W. Love <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52592
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=52166
ASNER	07	PR D75 012009	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51617
ATHAR	07A	PR D76 072003	S.B. Athar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51945
BESSON	07	PRL 98 052002	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51620
BESSON	07A	PR D75 072001	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51638
ROSNER	07A	PR D76 117102	J.L. Rosner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52079
RUBIN	07	PR D75 031104	P. Rubin <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51629
TAJIMA	07	PRL 98 132001	O. Tajima <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51645
AQUINES	06A	PR D74 092006	O. Aquines <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51510
ATHAR	06	PR D73 032001	S.B. Athar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50993
BESSON	06A	PR D74 012003	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51147
ROSNER	06	PRL 96 092003	J.L. Rosner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51035
ADAMS	05	PRL 94 012001	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50452
BRIERE	04	PR D70 072001	R.A. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50183
ARTUSO	03	PR D67 052003	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=49395
MASEK	02	PR D65 072002	G. Masek <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=48846
RICHICHI	01B	PRL 87 141801	S.J. Richichi <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=48345
ARTAMONOV	00	PL B474 427	A.S. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47424
ANASTASSOV	99	PRL 82 286	A. Anastassov <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=46609
ALEXANDER	98	PR D58 052004	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=46329
BARU	96	PRPL 267 71	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=44651
BALEST	95	PR D51 2053	R. Balest <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=44146
CINABRO	94B	PL B340 129	D. Cinabro <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=44102
ALBRECHT	92J	ZPHY C55 25	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=42167
BARU	92	ZPHY C54 229	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41860
BARU	92B	ZPHY C56 547	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=42168
KOBEL	92	ZPHY C53 193	M. Kobel <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=41861
ANTREASYAN	90C	PL B251 204	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=41455
BLINOV	90	PL B245 311	A.E. Blinov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=41361
FULTON	90B	PR D41 1401	R. Fulton <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=41012
MASCHMANN	90	ZPHY C46 555	W.S. Maschmann <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=41224
ALBRECHT	89	ZPHY C42 349	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=40731
ALEXANDER	89	NP B320 45	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MICH, SLAC)	REFID=40345
BARU	89	ZPHY C42 505	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=40917
CHEN	89B	PR D39 3528	W.Y. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=40919
FULTON	89	PL B224 445	R. Fulton <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=40918
KAARSBERG	89	PRL 62 2077	T.M. Kaarsberg <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)	REFID=40733
BUCHMUEL...	88	HE e^+e^- Physics 412	W. Buchmueller, S. Cooper	(HANN, DESY, MIT)	REFID=40034
Editors: A. Ali and P. Soeding, World Scientific, Singapore					
JAKUBOWSKI	88	ZPHY C40 49	Z. Jakubowski <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	IGJPC
SCHMITT	88	ZPHY C40 199	P. Schmitt <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=40582
ALBRECHT	87	ZPHY C35 283	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=40016
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)	REFID=11616
BARU	86	ZPHY C30 551	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=22284
ALBRECHT	85C	PL 154B 452	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=22282
KURAEV	85	SJNP 41 466	E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin	(NOVO)	REFID=40033
Translated from YAF 41 733.					
ARTAMONOV	84	PL 137B 272	A.S. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=22278
BESSON	84	PR D30 1433	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=22279
GILES	84B	PR D29 1285	R. Giles <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=22280
MACKAY	84	PR D29 2483	W.W. MacKay <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)	REFID=22281
ANDREWS	83	PRL 50 807	D.E. Andrews <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=22273
GILES	83	PRL 50 877	R. Giles <i>et al.</i>	(HARV, OSU, ROCH, RUTG+)	REFID=22274
NICZYPORUK	83	ZPHY C17 197	B. Niczyporuk <i>et al.</i>	(LENA Collab.)	REFID=12488
ALBRECHT	82	PL 116B 383	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, DORT, HEIDH+)	REFID=22270
ARTAMONOV	82	PL 118B 225	A.S. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=22271
NICZYPORUK	82	ZPHY C15 299	B. Niczyporuk <i>et al.</i>	(LENA Collab.)	REFID=22272
BERGER	80C	PL 93B 497	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)	REFID=22263
BOCK	80	ZPHY C6 125	P. Bock <i>et al.</i>	(HEIDP, MPIM, DESY, HAMB)	REFID=22264
BERGER	79	ZPHY C1 343	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)	REFID=22259

$\chi_{b0}(1P)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

J needs confirmation.

Observed in radiative decay of the $\Upsilon(2S)$, therefore $C = +$. Branching ratio requires E1 transition, M1 is strongly disfavored, therefore $P = +$.

NODE=M076

NODE=M076

 $\chi_{b0}(1P)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

9859.44 ± 0.42 ± 0.31 OUR EVALUATION From average γ energy below, using $\Upsilon(2S)$ mass = 10023.26 ± 0.31 MeV

NODE=M076M

NODE=M076M

→ UNCHECKED ←

 $m_{\chi_{b1}(1P)} - m_{\chi_{b0}(1P)}$

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

32.49 ± 0.93

LEES

14M

BABR

 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$

NODE=M076M2

NODE=M076M2

 γ ENERGY IN $\Upsilon(2S)$ DECAY

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

162.5 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE

162.56 ± 0.19 ± 0.42

162.0 ± 0.8 ± 1.2

162.1 ± 0.5 ± 1.4

163.8 ± 1.6 ± 2.7

158.0 ± 7 ± 1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

149.4 ± 0.7 ± 5.0

KLOPFEN...

83

CUSB

 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M076DM

NODE=M076DM

 $\chi_{b0}(1P)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \quad \gamma \Upsilon(1S)$	(1.94 ± 0.27) %	
$\Gamma_2 \quad D^0 X$	< 10.4 %	90%
$\Gamma_3 \quad \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$	< 1.6 × 10 ⁻⁴	90%
$\Gamma_4 \quad 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0$	< 5 × 10 ⁻⁵	90%
$\Gamma_5 \quad 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0 2\pi^0$	< 5 × 10 ⁻⁴	90%
$\Gamma_6 \quad 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- 2\pi^0$	< 2.1 × 10 ⁻⁴	90%
$\Gamma_7 \quad 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^-$	(1.1 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻⁴	
$\Gamma_8 \quad 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$	< 2.7 × 10 ⁻⁴	90%
$\Gamma_9 \quad 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0$	< 5 × 10 ⁻⁴	90%
$\Gamma_{10} \quad 3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0$	< 1.6 × 10 ⁻⁴	90%
$\Gamma_{11} \quad 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$	< 8 × 10 ⁻⁵	90%
$\Gamma_{12} \quad 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0$	< 6 × 10 ⁻⁴	90%
$\Gamma_{13} \quad 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-$	(2.4 ± 1.2) × 10 ⁻⁴	
$\Gamma_{14} \quad 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$	< 1.0 × 10 ⁻³	90%
$\Gamma_{15} \quad 4\pi^+ 4\pi^-$	< 8 × 10 ⁻⁵	90%
$\Gamma_{16} \quad 4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0$	< 2.1 × 10 ⁻³	90%
$\Gamma_{17} \quad J/\psi J/\psi$	< 7 × 10 ⁻⁵	90%
$\Gamma_{18} \quad J/\psi \psi(2S)$	< 1.2 × 10 ⁻⁴	90%
$\Gamma_{19} \quad \psi(2S) \psi(2S)$	< 3.1 × 10 ⁻⁵	90%
$\Gamma_{20} \quad J/\psi(1S) \text{ anything}$	< 2.3 × 10 ⁻³	90%

NODE=M076215;NODE=M076

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=7

DESIG=8

DESIG=9

DESIG=10

DESIG=11

DESIG=12

DESIG=13

DESIG=14

DESIG=15

DESIG=16

DESIG=17

DESIG=18

DESIG=19

DESIG=20

 $\chi_{b0}(1P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS $\Gamma(\gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE (%)

CL% EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

1.94 ± 0.27 OUR AVERAGE

2.07 ± 0.24 ± 0.21

1.76 ± 0.30 ± 0.18

87

1,2

3,4

LEES

KORNICER

14M

CLEO

BABR

 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

NODE=M076220

NODE=M076R1

NODE=M076R1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 4.6	90	⁵ LEES	11J	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow X\gamma$
< 6	90	WALK	86	CBAL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
<11	90	PAUSS	83	CUSB	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

¹ LEES 14M quotes $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $= (7.75 \pm 0.91) \times 10^{-4}$ combining the results from samples of $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$
 with and without converted photons. Assumes $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

NODE=M076R1;LINKAGE=A

² LEES 14M reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))]$
 $= (7.75 \pm 0.91) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)) =$
 $(3.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is
 the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M076R1;LINKAGE=B

³ Assuming $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

NODE=M076R1;LINKAGE=KA

⁴ KORNICER 11 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))]$
 $= (6.59 \pm 0.96 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)) =$
 $(3.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is
 the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M076R1;LINKAGE=KR

⁵ LEES 11J quotes a central value of $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow$
 $\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (8.3 \pm 5.6^{+3.7}_{-2.6}) \times 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M076R1;LINKAGE=LE

$\Gamma(D^0X)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<10.4 × 10 ⁻²	90	6,7 BRIERE	08 CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma D^0X$

NODE=M076R01
 NODE=M076R01

⁶ For $p_{D^0} > 2.5$ GeV/c.

NODE=M076R01;LINKAGE=BR

⁷ The authors also present their result as $(5.6 \pm 3.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M076R01;LINKAGE=RI

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.6	90	⁸ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0$

NODE=M076R02
 NODE=M076R02

⁸ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow$
 $\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))]$ < 6×10^{-6} which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)) =$
 3.8×10^{-2} .

NODE=M076R02;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.5	90	⁹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0$

NODE=M076R03
 NODE=M076R03

⁹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow$
 $\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))]$ < 2×10^{-6} which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)) =$
 3.8×10^{-2} .

NODE=M076R03;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	¹⁰ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-2\pi^0$

NODE=M076R04
 NODE=M076R04

¹⁰ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow$
 $\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))]$ < 18×10^{-6} which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)) =$
 3.8×10^{-2} .

NODE=M076R04;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.1	90	¹¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0$

NODE=M076R05
 NODE=M076R05

¹¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))]$
 < 8×10^{-6} which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)) = 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M076R05;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.1±0.6±0.1	7	¹² ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-$

NODE=M076R06
 NODE=M076R06

¹² ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow$
 $\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))]$ = $(4 \pm 2 \pm 1) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow$
 $\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)) = (3.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our
 second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M076R06;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.7	90	13 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$ 13 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))]$ < 10×10^{-6} which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)) = 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M076R07
 NODE=M076R07

NODE=M076R07;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	14 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0$ 14 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))]$ < 20×10^{-6} which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)) = 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M076R08
 NODE=M076R08

NODE=M076R08;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.6	90	15 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0$ 15 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))]$ < 6×10^{-6} which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)) = 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M076R09
 NODE=M076R09

NODE=M076R09;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.8	90	16 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$ 16 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))]$ < 3×10^{-6} which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)) = 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M076R10
 NODE=M076R10

NODE=M076R10;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6	90	17 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0$ 17 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))]$ < 22×10^{-6} which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)) = 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M076R11
 NODE=M076R11

NODE=M076R11;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.4 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.2$	9	18 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-$ 18 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))]$ = $(9 \pm 4 \pm 2) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)) = (3.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M076R12
 NODE=M076R12

NODE=M076R12;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<10	90	19 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$ 19 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))]$ < 37×10^{-6} which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)) = 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M076R13
 NODE=M076R13

NODE=M076R13;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(4\pi^+ 4\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.8	90	20 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 4\pi^+ 4\pi^-$ 20 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow 4\pi^+ 4\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))]$ < 3×10^{-6} which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)) = 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M076R14
 NODE=M076R14

NODE=M076R14;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<21	90	21 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0$ 21 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow 4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))]$ < 77×10^{-6} which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)) = 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M076R15
 NODE=M076R15

NODE=M076R15;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(J/\psi J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<7	90	22 SHEN	12 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \psi X$
22 SHEN 12 reports $< 7.1 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)) = (3.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M076R16
 NODE=M076R16

NODE=M076R16;LINKAGE=SH

 $\Gamma(J/\psi \psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<12	90	23 SHEN	12 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \psi X$
23 SHEN 12 reports $< 12 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow J/\psi \psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)) = (3.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M076R17
 NODE=M076R17

NODE=M076R17;LINKAGE=SH

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.1	90	24 SHEN	12 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \psi X$
24 SHEN 12 reports $< 3.1 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow \psi(2S) \psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)) = (3.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M076R18
 NODE=M076R18

NODE=M076R18;LINKAGE=SH

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.3 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	JIA	17A BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M076R00
 NODE=M076R00

 $\chi_{b0}(1P)$ CROSS-PARTICLE BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M076230

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{76}^{\Upsilon(2S)}/\Gamma \Upsilon(2S)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.7 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	25 LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow X \gamma$
25 LEES 11J quotes a central value of $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (8.3 \pm 5.6_{-2.6}^{+3.7}) \times 10^{-4}$ and derives a 90% CL upper limit of $\Gamma(\gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} < 4.6\%$ using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)) = (3.8 \pm 0.4)\%$.				

NODE=M076B02
 NODE=M076B02

NODE=M076B02;LINKAGE=LE

 $B(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)) \times B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$

NODE=M076B01
 NODE=M076B01

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.67$\pm$0.28 OUR AVERAGE				
2.9 $^{+1.7}_{-1.4}$ $^{+0.1}_{-0.8}$		26 LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$
1.63 $\pm$ 0.24 $\pm$ 0.15	87	KORNICER	11 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$
26 From a sample of $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ with one converted photon.				

NODE=M076B01;LINKAGE=A

$$[B(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P))] / [B(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))]$$

NODE=M076A01
 NODE=M076A01

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.28$\pm$0.37	27 LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$
27 From a sample of $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ without converted photons.			

NODE=M076A01;LINKAGE=A

 $\chi_{b0}(1P)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M076

JIA	17A	PR D96 112002	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	14M	PR D90 112010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
SHEN	12	PR D85 071102	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
KORNICER	11	PR D83 054003	M. Kornicer <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LEES	11J	PR D84 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ASNER	08A	PR D78 091103	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BRIERE	08	PR D78 092007	R.A. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTUSO	05	PRL 94 032001	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
EDWARDS	99	PR D59 032003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
WALK	86	PR D34 2611	W.S. Walk <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ALBRECHT	85E	PL 160B 331	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
NERNST	85	PRL 54 2195	R. Nernst <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
HAAS	84	PRL 52 799	J. Haas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KLOPFEN...	83	PRL 51 160	C. Klopfenstein <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)
PAUSS	83	PL 130B 439	F. Pauss <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, COLU, CORN, LSU+)

REFID=58318
 REFID=56343
 REFID=54313
 REFID=16769
 REFID=53936
 REFID=52574
 REFID=52577
 REFID=50454
 REFID=46612
 REFID=22290
 REFID=22288
 REFID=22289
 REFID=22287
 REFID=22285
 REFID=22286

$\chi_{b1}(1P)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$$

J needs confirmation.

Observed in radiative decay of the $\Upsilon(2S)$, therefore $C = +$. Branching ratio requires E1 transition, M1 is strongly disfavored, therefore $P = +$. $J = 1$ from SKWARNICKI 87.

NODE=M077

NODE=M077

 $\chi_{b1}(1P)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

9892.78 ± 0.26 ± 0.31 OUR EVALUATION From average γ energy below, using $\Upsilon(2S)$
mass = 10023.26 ± 0.31 MeV

NODE=M077M

NODE=M077M

→ UNCHECKED ←

 $m_{\chi_{b1}(1P)} - m_{\Upsilon(1S)}$

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

432.10 ± 0.26 ± 0.10 50 ¹ AAIJ 24AC LHCB $\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\mu^+\mu^-$

¹ Observed in prompt $p\bar{p}$ production.

NODE=M077A00

NODE=M077A00

NODE=M077A00;LINKAGE=A

 γ ENERGY IN $\Upsilon(2S)$ DECAY

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT


129.63 ± 0.33 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.

129.58 ± 0.09 ± 0.29	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
128.8 ± 0.4 ± 0.6	EDWARDS	99	CLE2	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi(1P)$
131.7 ± 0.9 ± 1.3	WALK	86	CBAL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$
131.7 ± 0.3 ± 1.1	ALBRECHT	85E	ARG	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \text{conv.} \gamma X$
130.6 ± 0.8 ± 2.4	NERNST	85	CBAL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
129 ± 0.8 ± 1	HAAS	84	CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \text{conv.} \gamma X$
128.1 ± 0.4 ± 3.0	KLOPFEN...	83	CUSB	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
130.6 ± 3.0	PAUSS	83	CUSB	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$

NODE=M077DM

NODE=M077DM

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
129.63 ± 0.33 (Error scaled by 1.3)

 **$\chi_{b1}(1P)$ DECAY MODES**

NODE=M077215;NODE=M077

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \quad \gamma \Upsilon(1S)$	(35.2 ± 2.0) %	DESIG=1
$\Gamma_2 \quad D^0 X$	(12.6 ± 2.2) %	DESIG=2
$\Gamma_3 \quad \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$	(2.0 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻⁴	DESIG=3
$\Gamma_4 \quad 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0$	(1.3 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻⁴	DESIG=4
$\Gamma_5 \quad 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0 2\pi^0$	< 6 × 10 ⁻⁴	90% DESIG=5
$\Gamma_6 \quad 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- 2\pi^0$	(8.0 ± 2.5) × 10 ⁻⁴	DESIG=6

Γ_7	$2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^-$	$(1.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=7
Γ_8	$2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(3.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=8
Γ_9	$2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0$	$(8.6 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=9
Γ_{10}	$3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0$	$(9.3 \pm 3.3) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=10
Γ_{11}	$3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$	$(1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=11
Γ_{12}	$3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0$	$(1.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=12
Γ_{13}	$3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-$	$(2.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=13
Γ_{14}	$3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(7.5 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=14
Γ_{15}	$4\pi^+ 4\pi^-$	$(2.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=15
Γ_{16}	$4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0$	$(1.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=16
Γ_{17}	ω anything	$(4.9 \pm 1.4) \%$	DESIG=21
Γ_{18}	ωX_{tetra}	$< 4.44 \times 10^{-4}$	90% DESIG=22
Γ_{19}	$J/\psi J/\psi$	$< 2.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90% DESIG=17
Γ_{20}	$J/\psi \psi(2S)$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90% DESIG=18
Γ_{21}	$\psi(2S) \psi(2S)$	$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	90% DESIG=19
Γ_{22}	$J/\psi(1S)$ anything	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90% DESIG=20
Γ_{23}	$J/\psi(1S) X_{tetra}$	$< 2.27 \times 10^{-4}$	90% DESIG=23

 $\chi_{b1}(1P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M077220

 $\Gamma(\gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{total}$ **Γ_1/Γ**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.352$\pm$0.020 OUR AVERAGE				
0.356 $^{+0.016}_{-0.022}$ $\pm$ 0.019	964k	¹ FULSOM	18 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
0.364 $\pm$ 0.017 $\pm$ 0.019		^{2,3,4} LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$
0.331 $\pm$ 0.018 $\pm$ 0.017	3222	^{4,5} KORNICER	11 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$
0.350 $\pm$ 0.023 $\pm$ 0.018	13k	⁶ LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow X \gamma$
0.34 $\pm$ 0.07 $\pm$ 0.02	53	^{4,7,8} WALK	86 CBAL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$
0.47 $\pm$ 0.18		KLOPFEN...	83 CUSB	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$

NODE=M077R1
NODE=M077R1

OCCUR=4

¹ FULSOM 18 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))] = (2.45 \pm 0.02^{+0.11}_{-0.15}) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M077R1;LINKAGE=J

² LEES 14M quotes $\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{total} = (2.51 \pm 0.12) \%$ combining the results from samples of $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ with and without converted photons.

NODE=M077R1;LINKAGE=B

³ LEES 14M reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))] = (2.51 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M077R1;LINKAGE=D

⁴ Assuming $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

NODE=M077R1;LINKAGE=KA
NODE=M077R1;LINKAGE=KR

⁵ KORNICER 11 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))] = (22.8 \pm 0.4 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁶ LEES 11J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))] = (24.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M077R1;LINKAGE=LE

⁷ WALK 86 quotes $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)) \times B(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = (5.8 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.7) \%$.

NODE=M077R1;LINKAGE=A

⁸ WALK 86 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))] = (23.4 \pm 3.63 \pm 2.82) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M077R1;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(D^0 X)/\Gamma_{total}$ **Γ_2/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
12.6$\pm$1.9$\pm$1.1	2310	¹ BRIERE	08 CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma D^0 X$

NODE=M077R01
NODE=M077R01

¹ For $p_{D^0} > 2.5$ GeV/c.

NODE=M077R01;LINKAGE=BR

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.0±0.6±0.1	18	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0$
¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))] = (14 \pm 3 \pm 3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M077R02
NODE=M077R02

NODE=M077R02;LINKAGE=AS

$$\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.3±0.5±0.1	11	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0$
¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))] = (9 \pm 3 \pm 2) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M077R03
NODE=M077R03

NODE=M077R03;LINKAGE=AS

$$\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6	90	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-2\pi^0$
¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))] < 42 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)) = 6.9 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M077R04
NODE=M077R04

NODE=M077R04;LINKAGE=AS

$$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.0±2.4±0.4	46	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0$
¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))] = (55 \pm 9 \pm 14) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M077R05
NODE=M077R05

NODE=M077R05;LINKAGE=AS

$$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.5±0.5±0.1	18	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-$
¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))] = (10 \pm 3 \pm 2) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M077R06
NODE=M077R06

NODE=M077R06;LINKAGE=AS

$$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_8/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.5±1.2±0.2	22	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0$
¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))] = (24 \pm 6 \pm 6) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M077R07
NODE=M077R07

NODE=M077R07;LINKAGE=AS

$$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.6±3.2±0.4	26	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-2\pi^0$
¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))] = (59 \pm 14 \pm 17) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M077R08
NODE=M077R08

NODE=M077R08;LINKAGE=AS

$$\Gamma(3\pi^+2\pi^-K^-K_S^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.3±3.3±0.5	21	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+2\pi^-K^-K_S^0\pi^0$
¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+2\pi^-K^-K_S^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))] = (64 \pm 16 \pm 16) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M077R09
NODE=M077R09

NODE=M077R09;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(3\pi^+3\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.9 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.1$	25	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))]$ $= (13 \pm 3 \pm 3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)) =$ $(6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M077R10
 NODE=M077R10

NODE=M077R10;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+3\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$17 \pm 5 \pm 1$	56	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0$
¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))]$ $= (119 \pm 18 \pm 32) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)) =$ $(6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M077R11
 NODE=M077R11

NODE=M077R11;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+3\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.6 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.1$	21	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-$
¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))]$ $= (18 \pm 4 \pm 4) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)) =$ $(6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M077R12
 NODE=M077R12

NODE=M077R12;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+3\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$7.5 \pm 2.6 \pm 0.4$	28	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-\pi^0$
¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))]$ $= (52 \pm 11 \pm 14) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)) =$ $(6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M077R13
 NODE=M077R13

NODE=M077R13;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(4\pi^+4\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.6 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.1$	24	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 4\pi^+ 4\pi^-$
¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow 4\pi^+ 4\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))]$ $= (18 \pm 4 \pm 5) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)) =$ $(6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M077R14
 NODE=M077R14

NODE=M077R14;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(4\pi^+4\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$14 \pm 5 \pm 1$	26	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0$
¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow 4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))]$ $= (96 \pm 24 \pm 29) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)) =$ $(6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M077R15
 NODE=M077R15

NODE=M077R15;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(\omega \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.9 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.6$	51k	JIA	17A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M077R19
 NODE=M077R19

 $\Gamma(\omega X_{\text{tetra}})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 44.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ JIA	17A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
¹ For a tetraquark state X_{tetra} , with mass in the range 1.16–2.46 GeV and width in the range 0–0.3 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of X_{tetra} mass and width range from 3.3×10^{-5} to 44.4×10^{-5} .				

NODE=M077R23
 NODE=M077R23

NODE=M077R23;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(J/\psi J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.7	90	¹ SHEN	12 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \psi X$
¹ SHEN 12 reports $< 2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}]$ $\times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M077R16
 NODE=M077R16

NODE=M077R16;LINKAGE=SH

$\Gamma(J/\psi\psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.7	90	¹ SHEN 12	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\psi X$
¹ SHEN 12 reports $< 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow J/\psi\psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M077R17
 NODE=M077R17

NODE=M077R17;LINKAGE=SH

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6	90	¹ SHEN 12	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\psi X$
¹ SHEN 12 reports $< 6.2 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)) = (6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M077R18
 NODE=M077R18

NODE=M077R18;LINKAGE=SH

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.1 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	JIA	17A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M077R00
 NODE=M077R00

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)X_{\text{tetra}})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<22.7 $\times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ JIA	17A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
¹ For a tetraquark state X_{tetra} , with mass in the range 1.16–2.46 GeV and width in the range 0–0.3 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of X_{tetra} mass and width range from 1.8×10^{-5} to 22.7×10^{-5} .				

NODE=M077R22
 NODE=M077R22

NODE=M077R22;LINKAGE=A

 $\chi_{b1}(1P)$ Cross-Particle Branching Ratios

NODE=M077230

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{74}^{\Upsilon(2S)}/\Gamma^{\Upsilon(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$24.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.5$	13k	LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow X\gamma$

NODE=M077B03
 NODE=M077B03

 $B(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)) \times B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)$


VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.90 ± 0.34 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.			

NODE=M077B01
 NODE=M077B01

$6.86^{+0.47+0.44}_{-0.45-0.35}$		¹ LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$
$5.65 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.27$	3222	KORNICER	11 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
$5.8 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.7$	53	WALK	86 CBAL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

¹ From a sample of $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$ with one converted photon.

NODE=M077B01;LINKAGE=A



$B(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)) \times B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.30±0.34 OUR AVERAGE				
$1.16^{+0.78+0.14}_{-0.67-0.16}$		¹ LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$
$1.33 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.23$	50	KORNICER	11 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$

¹ From a sample of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ with converted photons.

$B(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \rho X + \bar{\rho} X)/B(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \rho X + \bar{\rho} X)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.068±0.010±0.040	BRIERE	07 CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{bJ}(1P)$

$B(\chi_{b0}(1P) \rightarrow \rho X + \bar{\rho} X)/B(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \rho X + \bar{\rho} X)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.11±0.15±0.20	BRIERE	07 CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{bJ}(1P)$

NODE=M077B02
NODE=M077B02

NODE=M077B02;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M077R20
NODE=M077R20


NODE=M077R21
NODE=M077R21

$\chi_{b1}(1P)$ REFERENCES

AAJ	24AC	JHEP 2410 122	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
FULSOM	18	PRL 121 232001	B.G. Fulsom <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
JIA	17A	PR D96 112002	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	14M	PR D90 112010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
SHEN	12	PR D85 071102	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
KORNICER	11	PR D83 054003	M. Kornicer <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LEES	11J	PR D84 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ASNER	08A	PR D78 091103	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BRIERE	08	PR D78 092007	R.A. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BRIERE	07	PR D76 012005	R.A. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTUSO	05	PRL 94 032001	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
EDWARDS	99	PR D59 032003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
SKWARNICKI	87	PRL 58 972	T. Skwarnicki <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.) J
WALK	86	PR D34 2611	W.S. Walk <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ALBRECHT	85E	PL 160B 331	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
NERNST	85	PRL 54 2195	R. Nernst <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
HAAS	84	PRL 52 799	J. Haas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KLOPFEN...	83	PRL 51 160	C. Klopfenstein <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)
PAUSS	83	PL 130B 439	F. Pauss <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, COLU, CORN, LSU+)

NODE=M077

REFID=63036
REFID=59535
REFID=58318
REFID=56343
REFID=54313
REFID=16769
REFID=53936
REFID=52574
REFID=52577
REFID=51887
REFID=50454
REFID=46612
REFID=40019
REFID=22290
REFID=22288
REFID=22289
REFID=22287
REFID=22285
REFID=22286



$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{+-})$

Quantum numbers are quark model predictions, $C = -$ established by $\eta_b \gamma$ decay.

NODE=M204

NODE=M204

$h_b(1P)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9899.3±0.8 OUR AVERAGE				
$9899.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 1.0$	112k	TAMPONI	15 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \eta + \text{hadrons}$
$9899.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 1.0$	70k	MIZUK	12 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \text{ hadrons}$
$9902 \pm 4 \pm 2$	10.8k	LEES	11k BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \eta_b \gamma \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$9898.2^{+1.1+1.0}_{-1.0-1.1}$	50.0k	¹ ADACHI	12 BELL	$10.86 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \text{ MM}$

¹ Superseded by MIZUK 12.

NODE=M204M

NODE=M204M

NODE=M204M;LINKAGE=AD

$h_b(1P)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M204215;NODE=M204

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \quad \eta_b(1S) \gamma$	$(52^{+6}_{-5}) \%$	
$\Gamma_2 \quad \Upsilon(1S) \pi^0$	$< 1.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90%

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

$h_b(1P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(h_b(1S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

**52 ± 6
 -5 OUR AVERAGE**

56 $\pm 8 \pm 4$	33.1k	¹ TAMPONI	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\eta + \text{hadrons}$
49.2 ± 5.7 -3.3	24k	MIZUK	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\pi^+\pi^- \text{ hadrons}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen 10.8k LEES 11k BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \eta_b \gamma \pi^0$ ¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20)\%$. **$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$**

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

 $<1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ 90 0.43 KOVALENKO 24 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$ **$h_b(1P)$ REFERENCES**

KOVALENKO	24	PRL 133 261901	E. Kovalenko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
TAMPONI	15	PRL 115 142001	U. Tamponi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ADACHI	12	PRL 108 032001	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
MIZUK	12	PRL 109 232002	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	11K	PR D84 091101	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)

 $\chi_{b2}(1P)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$ J needs confirmation.

Observed in radiative decay of the $\Upsilon(2S)$, therefore $C = +$. Branching ratio requires E1 transition, M1 is strongly disfavored, therefore $P = +$. $J = 2$ from SKWARNICKI 87.

 $\chi_{b2}(1P)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID

9912.21 $\pm 0.26 \pm 0.31$ OUR EVALUATION From average γ energy below, using $\Upsilon(2S)$ mass = 10023.26 ± 0.31 MeV **$m_{\chi_{b2}(1P)} - m_{\chi_{b1}(1P)}$**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

19.17 ± 0.20 OUR AVERAGE

19.4 ± 0.4	50	¹ AAIJ	24AC	LHCB	$\chi_b(1P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\mu^+\mu^-$
19.81 $\pm 0.65 \pm 0.20$		² AAIJ	14BG	LHCB	$pp \rightarrow \gamma\mu^+\mu^- X$
19.01 ± 0.24		LEES	14M	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$

¹ Observed in prompt pp production.² From the $\chi_{bj}(1P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\gamma$ transition. **γ ENERGY IN $\Upsilon(2S)$ DECAY**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

110.44 ± 0.29 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

110.58 $\pm 0.08 \pm 0.30$	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
110.8 $\pm 0.3 \pm 0.6$	EDWARDS	99	CLE2	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi(1P)$
107.0 $\pm 1.1 \pm 1.3$	WALK	86	CBAL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
110.6 $\pm 0.3 \pm 0.9$	ALBRECHT	85E	ARG	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \text{conv.}\gamma X$
110.4 $\pm 0.8 \pm 2.2$	NERNST	85	CBAL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
109.5 $\pm 0.7 \pm 1.0$	HAAS	84	CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \text{conv.}\gamma X$
108.2 $\pm 0.3 \pm 2.0$	KLOPFEN...	83	CUSB	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
108.8 ± 4.0	PAUSS	83	CUSB	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

NODE=M204225

NODE=M204R01
NODE=M204R01

NODE=M204R01;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M204R00
NODE=M204R00

NODE=M204

REFID=63017
REFID=56996
REFID=53962
REFID=54718
REFID=53937

NODE=M078

NODE=M078

NODE=M078M

NODE=M078M
→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M078DM2

NODE=M078DM2

NODE=M078DM2;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M078DM2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M078DM

NODE=M078DM

$\chi_{b2}(1P)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M078215;NODE=M078

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1	$\gamma \Upsilon(1S)$	$(18.0 \pm 1.0) \%$	
Γ_2	$D^0 X$	$< 7.9 \%$	90%
Γ_3	$\pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(8 \pm 5) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_4	$2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0$	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_5	$2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0 2\pi^0$	$(5.3 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_6	$2\pi^+ 2\pi^- 2\pi^0$	$(3.5 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_7	$2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^-$	$(1.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_8	$2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(2.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_9	$2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0$	$(3.9 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{10}	$3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{11}	$3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$	$(7.0 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{12}	$3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0$	$(1.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{13}	$3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-$	$< 8 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{14}	$3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(3.6 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{15}	$4\pi^+ 4\pi^-$	$(8 \pm 4) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{16}	$4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0$	$(1.8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{17}	$J/\psi J/\psi$	$< 4 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{18}	$J/\psi \psi(2S)$	$< 5 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{19}	$\psi(2S) \psi(2S)$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{20}	$J/\psi(1S)$ anything	$(1.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=7

DESIG=8

DESIG=9

DESIG=10

DESIG=11

DESIG=12

DESIG=13

DESIG=14

DESIG=15

DESIG=16

DESIG=17

DESIG=18

DESIG=19

DESIG=20

 $\chi_{b2}(1P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M078220

$\Gamma(\gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.180 ± 0.010 OUR AVERAGE						
$0.164^{+0.009}_{-0.010} \pm 0.008$	503k	¹ FULSOM	18 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$		
$0.185 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.009$		^{2,3,4} LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$		
$0.186 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.009$	1770	^{4,5} KORNICER	11 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$		
$0.194^{+0.014}_{-0.017} \pm 0.009$	8k	⁶ LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow X \gamma$		
$0.25 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01$	35	^{4,7,8} WALK	86 CBAL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$		
0.20 ± 0.05		KLOPFEN...	83 CUSB	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$		
¹ FULSOM 18 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))]$ = $(1.17 \pm 0.01^{+0.06}_{-0.07}) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))$ = $(7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.						NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=H
² LEES 14M quotes $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ = $(1.32 \pm 0.06)\%$ combining the results from samples of $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ with and without converted photons.						NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=B
³ LEES 14M reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))]$ = $(1.32 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))$ = $(7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.						NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=F
⁴ Assuming $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.						NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=KA
⁵ KORNICER 11 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))]$ = $(1.33 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))$ = $(7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.						NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=KR
⁶ LEES 11J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))]$ = $(13.9 \pm 0.5^{+0.9}_{-1.1}) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))$ = $(7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.						NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=LE
⁷ WALK 86 quotes $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) \times B(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = (4.4 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.5) \%$.						NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=A
⁸ WALK 86 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))]$ = $(17.7 \pm 3.6 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))$ = $(7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.						NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M078R1

NODE=M078R1

OCCUR=2

NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=KA

NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=KR

NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M078R1;LINKAGE=E

$\Gamma(D^0 X)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7.9 \times 10^{-2}$	90	1,2 BRIERE	08 CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma D^0 X$

¹ For $p_{D^0} > 2.5$ GeV/c.² The authors also present their result as $(5.4 \pm 1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$.NODE=M078R01
NODE=M078R01NODE=M078R01;LINKAGE=BR
NODE=M078R01;LINKAGE=RI $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.84 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.04$	8	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] = (6 \pm 3 \pm 2) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = (7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M078R02
NODE=M078R02

NODE=M078R02;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.0	90	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0$

¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] < 7 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = 7.15 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M078R03
NODE=M078R03

NODE=M078R03;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.3 \pm 2.4 \pm 0.3$	11	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- 2\pi^0$

¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] = (38 \pm 14 \pm 10) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = (7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M078R04
NODE=M078R04

NODE=M078R04;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.5 \pm 1.4 \pm 0.2$	19	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- 2\pi^0$

¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] = (25 \pm 8 \pm 6) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = (7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M078R05
NODE=M078R05

NODE=M078R05;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1$	14	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^-$

¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] = (8 \pm 2 \pm 2) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = (7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M078R06
NODE=M078R06

NODE=M078R06;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.1 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.1$	13	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] = (15 \pm 5 \pm 4) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = (7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M078R07
NODE=M078R07

NODE=M078R07;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.9 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.2$	11	¹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0$

¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] = (28 \pm 11 \pm 7) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = (7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M078R08
NODE=M078R08

NODE=M078R08;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	1 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0$
^{1} ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] < 36 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = 7.15 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M078R09
 NODE=M078R09

NODE=M078R09;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.70±0.31±0.03	9	1 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
^{1} ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] = (5 \pm 2 \pm 1) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = (7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M078R10
 NODE=M078R10

NODE=M078R10;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.2±3.6±0.5	34	1 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0$
^{1} ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] = (73 \pm 16 \pm 20) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = (7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M078R11
 NODE=M078R11

NODE=M078R11;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.8	90	1 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-$
^{1} ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] < 6 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = 7.15 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M078R12
 NODE=M078R12

NODE=M078R12;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.6±1.5±0.2	14	1 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$
^{1} ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] = (26 \pm 8 \pm 7) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = (7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M078R13
 NODE=M078R13

NODE=M078R13;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(4\pi^+ 4\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.84±0.40±0.04	7	1 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 4\pi^+ 4\pi^-$
^{1} ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow 4\pi^+ 4\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] = (6 \pm 2 \pm 2) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = (7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M078R14
 NODE=M078R14

NODE=M078R14;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
18±7±1	29	1 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0$
^{1} ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow 4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] = (132 \pm 31 \pm 40) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = (7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M078R15
 NODE=M078R15

NODE=M078R15;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(J/\psi J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	1 SHEN	12 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \psi X$
^{1} SHEN 12 reports $< 4.5 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) = (7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M078R16
 NODE=M078R16

NODE=M078R16;LINKAGE=SH

$\Gamma(J/\psi\psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	¹ SHEN	12 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\psi X$
¹ SHEN 12 reports $< 4.9 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow J/\psi\psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P)) = (7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M078R17
 NODE=M078R17

NODE=M078R17;LINKAGE=SH

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.6	90	¹ SHEN	12 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\psi X$
¹ SHEN 12 reports $< 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P)) = (7.15 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M078R18
 NODE=M078R18

NODE=M078R18;LINKAGE=SH

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.50 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.22$	462	JIA	17A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M078R00
 NODE=M078R00

 $\chi_{b2}(1P)$ Cross-Particle Branching Ratios

NODE=M078230

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{75}^{\Upsilon(2S)}/\Gamma \Upsilon(2S)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$13.9 \pm 0.5^{+0.9}_{-1.1}$	8k	LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow X\gamma$

NODE=M078B03
 NODE=M078B03

 $B(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) \times B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$

NODE=M078B01
 NODE=M078B01

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.38 ± 0.16 OUR AVERAGE				
$3.63^{+0.36+0.18}_{-0.34-0.19}$		¹ LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$
$3.29 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.16$	1770	KORNICER	11 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
$4.4 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.5$	35	WALK	86 CBAL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

¹ From a sample of $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$ with converted photons.

NODE=M078B01;LINKAGE=A

$$[B(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P))] / [B(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P))]$$

NODE=M078A00
 NODE=M078A00

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
55.6 ± 1.6	¹ LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$

¹ From a sample of $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$ events without converted photons.

NODE=M078A00;LINKAGE=A

 $B(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)) \times B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$

NODE=M078B02
 NODE=M078B02

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.8 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE				
$4.68^{+0.99}_{-0.92} \pm 0.37$		¹ LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$
$3.56 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.41$	126	KORNICER	11 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

¹ From a sample of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$ with converted photons.

NODE=M078B02;LINKAGE=A

 $\chi_{b2}(1P)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M078

AAIJ	24AC	JHEP 2410 122	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
FULSOM	18	PRL 121 232001	B.G. Fulsom <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
JIA	17A	PR D96 112002	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	14BG	JHEP 1410 088	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
LEES	14M	PR D90 112010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
SHEN	12	PR D85 071102	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
KORNICER	11	PR D83 054003	M. Kornicer <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LEES	11J	PR D84 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ASNER	08A	PR D78 091103	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BRIERE	08	PR D78 092007	R.A. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTUSO	05	PRL 94 032001	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
EDWARDS	99	PR D59 032003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
SKWARNICKI	87	PRL 58 972	T. Skwarnicki <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.) J
WALK	86	PR D34 2611	W.S. Walk <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ALBRECHT	85E	PL 160B 331	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
NERNST	85	PRL 54 2195	R. Nernst <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
HAAS	84	PRL 52 799	J. Haas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KLOPFEN...	83	PRL 51 160	C. Klopfenstein <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)
PAUSS	83	PL 130B 439	F. Pauss <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, COLU, CORN, LSU+)

REFID=63036
 REFID=59535
 REFID=58318
 REFID=56199
 REFID=56343
 REFID=54313
 REFID=16769
 REFID=53936
 REFID=52574
 REFID=52577
 REFID=50454
 REFID=46612
 REFID=40019
 REFID=22290
 REFID=22288
 REFID=22289
 REFID=22287
 REFID=22285
 REFID=22286

$$\eta_b(2S)$$

$$J^{PC} = 0^+(0^-+)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Quantum numbers shown are quark-model predictions.

 $\eta_b(2S)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$9999.0 \pm 3.5^{+2.8}_{-1.9}$	26k	¹ MIZUK	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- + \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
9974.6 $\pm 2.3 \pm 2.1$	11 ± 4	^{2,3,4} DOBBS	12	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{ hadrons}$
¹ Assuming $\Gamma_{\eta_b(2S)} = 4.9$ MeV. Not independent of the corresponding mass difference measurement.				
² SANDILYA 13 (Belle Collab.) search for such a state reconstructed in the same 26 exclusive hadronic final states as DOBBS 12 using a sample of $(157.8 \pm 3.6) \times 10^6$ $\Upsilon(2S)$ decays or about 17 times larger and find no evidence for a signal. Their 90% C.L. upper limit on the branching fraction $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \eta_b(2S)\gamma) \times \sum_i B(\eta_b(2S) \rightarrow X_i) < 4.9 \times 10^{-6}$, summed over the exclusive hadronic final states X_i , is an order of magnitude smaller than that reported by DOBBS 12.				
³ Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.				
⁴ Assuming $\Gamma_{\eta_b(2S)} = 5$ MeV. Not independent of the corresponding mass difference measurement.				

 $m_{\Upsilon(2S)} - m_{\eta_b(2S)}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$24.3 \pm 3.5^{+2.8}_{-1.9}$	26k	⁵ MIZUK	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- + \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
48.7 $\pm 2.3 \pm 2.1$	11 ± 4	^{6,7,8} DOBBS	12	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{ hadrons}$
⁵ Assuming $\Gamma_{\eta_b(2S)} = 4.9$ MeV. Not independent of the corresponding mass measurement.				
⁶ SANDILYA 13 (Belle Collab.) search for such a state reconstructed in the same 26 exclusive hadronic final states as DOBBS 12 using a sample of $(157.8 \pm 3.6) \times 10^6$ $\Upsilon(2S)$ decays or about 17 times larger and find no evidence for a signal. Their 90% C.L. upper limit on the branching fraction $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \eta_b(2S)\gamma) \times \sum_i B(\eta_b(2S) \rightarrow X_i) < 4.9 \times 10^{-6}$, summed over the exclusive hadronic final states X_i , is an order of magnitude smaller than that reported by DOBBS 12.				
⁷ Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.				
⁸ Assuming $\Gamma_{\eta_b(2S)} = 5$ MeV. Not independent of the corresponding mass measurement.				

 $\eta_b(2S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<24	90	MIZUK	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- \text{ hadrons}$

 $\eta_b(2S)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 hadrons	seen

 $\eta_b(2S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	26k	MIZUK	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
seen	9,10	DOBBS	12	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma$ hadrons	

NODE=M200

NODE=M200

NODE=M200M

NODE=M200M

NODE=M200M;LINKAGE=MI

NODE=M200M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M200M;LINKAGE=DO

NODE=M200M;LINKAGE=NI

NODE=M200DM

NODE=M200DM

NODE=M200DM;LINKAGE=MI

NODE=M200DM;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M200DM;LINKAGE=DO

NODE=M200DM;LINKAGE=NI

NODE=M200W

NODE=M200W

NODE=M200215;NODE=M200

DESIG=1

NODE=M200225

NODE=M200R01

NODE=M200R01

⁹SANDILYA 13 (Belle Collab.) search for such a state reconstructed in the same 26 exclusive hadronic final states as DOBBS 12 using a sample of $(157.8 \pm 3.6) \times 10^6$ $\Upsilon(2S)$ decays or about 17 times larger and find no evidence for a signal. Their 90% C.L. upper limit on the branching fraction $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \eta_b(2S)\gamma) \times \sum_i B(\eta_b(2S) \rightarrow X_i) < 4.9 \times 10^{-6}$, summed over the exclusive hadronic final states X_i , is an order of magnitude smaller than that reported by DOBBS 12.

¹⁰Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M200R01;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M200R01;LINKAGE=DO

NODE=M200

REFID=55590
REFID=54288
REFID=54718

NODE=M052

NODE=M052M

NODE=M052M

OCCUR=2

NODE=M052M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M052M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M052M;LINKAGE=AR
NODE=M052M;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M052M;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M052M;LINKAGE=RZ
NODE=M052M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M052A00

NODE=M052A00

OCCUR=2

NODE=M052A00;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M052W

NODE=M052W
→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M052215;NODE=M052

$\eta_b(2S)$ REFERENCES

SANDILYA	13	PRL 111 112001	S. Sandilya <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DOBBS	12	PRL 109 082001	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	
MIZUK	12	PRL 109 232002	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

$\Upsilon(2S)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

$\Upsilon(2S)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10023.4±0.5	¹ SHAMOV	23	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
10022.7±0.4	² SHAMOV	23	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
10023.5±0.5	^{3,4} ARTAMONOV	00	MD1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
10023.6±0.5	^{5,6} BARU	86B	MD1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
10023.1±0.4	⁷ BARBER	84	ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

¹ Reanalysis of MD1 data using the electron mass from COHEN 87, the radiative corrections from KURAEV 85 and interference effects.

² Obtained by reanalysing ARGUS and Crystal Ball data (BARBER 84), but not authored by the ARGUS and Crystal Ball collaboration.

³ Reanalysis of BARU 86B using new electron mass (COHEN 87).

⁴ Superseded by SHAMOV 23.

⁵ Reanalysis of ARTAMONOV 84.

⁶ Superseded by ARTAMONOV 00.

⁷ Reanalysed by SHAMOV 23.

$m_{\Upsilon(2S)} - m_{\Upsilon(1S)}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
562.81±0.11 OUR AVERAGE				
562.71±0.04±0.20	3.7M	¹ AAIJ	24AC LHCb	$\Upsilon \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
562.85±0.03±0.12	89k	¹ AAIJ	24AC LHCb	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Observed in prompt $p\bar{p}$ production.

$\Upsilon(2S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID
31.98±2.63 OUR EVALUATION	See the Note on "Width Determinations of the Υ States"

$\Upsilon(2S)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1 $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$(17.85 \pm 0.26) \%$	
Γ_2 $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0$	$(8.6 \pm 0.4) \%$	
Γ_3 $\tau^+\tau^-$	$(2.00 \pm 0.21) \%$	
Γ_4 $\mu^+\mu^-$	$(1.93 \pm 0.17) \%$	S=2.2
Γ_5 e^+e^-	$(1.91 \pm 0.16) \%$	
Γ_6 $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$	< 4	$\times 10^{-5}$ CL=90%
Γ_7 $\Upsilon(1S)\eta$	$(2.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.0
Γ_8 $J/\psi(1S)$ anything	< 6	$\times 10^{-3}$ CL=90%
Γ_9 $J/\psi(1S)\eta_c$	< 5.4	$\times 10^{-6}$ CL=90%
Γ_{10} $J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c0}$	< 3.4	$\times 10^{-6}$ CL=90%
Γ_{11} $J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c1}$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-6}$ CL=90%

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

DESIG=3

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=10

DESIG=6

DESIG=20

DESIG=143

DESIG=144

DESIG=145

Γ ₁₂	$J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c2}$	< 2.0	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=146
Γ ₁₃	$J/\psi(1S)\eta_c(2S)$	< 2.5	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=147
Γ ₁₄	$J/\psi(1S)X(3940)$	< 2.0	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=148
Γ ₁₅	$J/\psi(1S)X(4160)$	< 2.0	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=149
Γ ₁₆	χ_{c1} anything	(2.2 ± 0.5)	$\times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=157
Γ ₁₇	$\chi_{c1}(1P)^0 X_{tetra}$	< 3.67	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=160
Γ ₁₈	χ_{c2} anything	(2.3 ± 0.8)	$\times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=158
Γ ₁₉	$\psi(2S)\eta_c$	< 5.1	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=150
Γ ₂₀	$\psi(2S)\chi_{c0}$	< 4.7	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=151
Γ ₂₁	$\psi(2S)\chi_{c1}$	< 2.5	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=152
Γ ₂₂	$\psi(2S)\chi_{c2}$	< 1.9	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=153
Γ ₂₃	$\psi(2S)\eta_c(2S)$	< 3.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=154
Γ ₂₄	$\psi(2S)X(3940)$	< 3.9	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=155
Γ ₂₅	$\psi(2S)X(4160)$	< 3.9	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=156
Γ ₂₆	$T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^+ T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^-$	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=162
Γ ₂₇	$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^+ T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^-$	< 1.67	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=163
Γ ₂₈	$T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^\pm T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^\mp$	< 7.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=164
Γ ₂₉	$T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^+ T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^-$	< 1.35	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=165
Γ ₃₀	$T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^+ T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^-$	< 2.67	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=166
Γ ₃₁	$T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^\pm T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^\mp$	< 2.72	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=167
Γ ₃₂	$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+ T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^-$	< 2.03	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=168
Γ ₃₃	$T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^\pm T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^\mp$	< 1.11	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=170
Γ ₃₄	$T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^\pm T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^\mp$	< 2.11	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=171
Γ ₃₅	$P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)$ anything, $\bar{P}_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)$ anything	(2.9 ± 1.7)	$\times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=189
Γ ₃₆	$P_{c\bar{c}s}(4338)$ anything, $\bar{P}_{c\bar{c}s}(4338)$ anything				DESIG=191
Γ ₃₇	$J/\psi(1S)\Lambda$ anything, $\bar{J}/\psi(1S)\bar{\Lambda}$ anything	(2.2 ± 0.6)	$\times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=190
Γ ₃₈	$\bar{2}H^-$ anything	(2.78 ⁺ ₋ 0.30 0.26)	$\times 10^{-5}$	S=1.2	DESIG=16
Γ ₃₉	hadrons	(94 ± 11)	%		DESIG=101
Γ ₄₀	ggg	(58.8 ± 1.2)	%		DESIG=105
Γ ₄₁	γgg	(1.87 ± 0.28)	%		DESIG=106
Γ ₄₂	$\phi K^+ K^-$	(1.6 ± 0.4)	$\times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=133
Γ ₄₃	$\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 2.58	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=134
Γ ₄₄	$K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	(2.3 ± 0.7)	$\times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=135
Γ ₄₅	$\phi f'_2(1525)$	< 1.33	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=136
Γ ₄₆	$\omega f_2(1270)$	< 5.7	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=137
Γ ₄₇	$\rho(770) a_2(1320)$	< 8.8	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=138
Γ ₄₈	$K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 + \text{c.c.}$	(1.5 ± 0.6)	$\times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=139
Γ ₄₉	$K_1(1270)^\pm K^\mp$	< 3.22	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=140
Γ ₅₀	$K_1(1400)^\pm K^\mp$	< 8.3	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=141
Γ ₅₁	$b_1(1235)^\pm \pi^\mp$	< 4.0	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=142
Γ ₅₂	$\rho \pi$	< 1.16	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=126
Γ ₅₃	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 8.0	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=127
Γ ₅₄	$\omega \pi^0$	< 1.63	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=128
Γ ₅₅	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	(1.30 ± 0.28)	$\times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=129
Γ ₅₆	$K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	(1.14 ± 0.33)	$\times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=130
Γ ₅₇	$K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	< 4.22	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=131
Γ ₅₈	$K^*(892)^- K^+ + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.45	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=132
Γ ₅₉	$f_1(1285)$ anything	(2.2 ± 1.6)	$\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=159
Γ ₆₀	$f_1(1285) X_{tetra}$	< 6.47	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=161
Γ ₆₁	$D_s^+ D_{s1}(2536)^-$				DESIG=177
Γ ₆₂	$D_s^+ D_{s1}(2536)^-, D_{s1}^- \rightarrow$ $K^- D^*(2007)^0$	(1.6 ± 0.4)	$\times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=178
Γ ₆₃	$D_s^+ D_{s1}(2536)^-, D_{s1}^- \rightarrow$ $K_S^0 D^*(2010)^-$	(8.4 ± 2.3)	$\times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=179

Γ_{64}	$D_s^{*+} D_{s1}(2536)^-$				DESIG=180
Γ_{65}	$D_s^{*+} D_{s1}(2536)^-, D_{s1}^- \rightarrow K^- D^*(2007)^0$	$(1.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$			DESIG=181
Γ_{66}	$D_s^{*+} D_{s1}(2536)^-, D_{s1}^- \rightarrow K_S^0 D^*(2010)^-$	$(8.2 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-6}$			DESIG=182
Γ_{67}	$D_s^+ D_{s2}^*(2573)^-$				DESIG=183
Γ_{68}	$D_s^+ D_{s2}^*(2573)^-, D_{s2}^{*-} \rightarrow K^- D^0$	$(1.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$			DESIG=184
Γ_{69}	$D_s^+ D_{s2}^*(2573)^-, D_{s2}^{*-} \rightarrow K_S^0 D^-$	$(6.9 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-6}$			DESIG=185
Γ_{70}	$D_s^{*+} D_{s2}^*(2573)^-$				DESIG=186
Γ_{71}	$D_s^{*+} D_{s2}^*(2573)^-, D_{s2}^{*-} \rightarrow K^- D^0$	$(9 \pm 5) \times 10^{-6}$			DESIG=187
Γ_{72}	$D_s^{*+} D_{s2}^*(2573)^-, D_{s2}^{*-} \rightarrow K_S^0 D^-$	$(5 \pm 6) \times 10^{-6}$			DESIG=188
Γ_{73}	Sum of 100 exclusive modes	$(2.90 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3}$			DESIG=121
Radiative decays					
Γ_{74}	$\gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)$	$(6.9 \pm 0.4) \%$			NODE=M052;CLUMP=A DESIG=8
Γ_{75}	$\gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)$	$(7.15 \pm 0.35) \%$			DESIG=7
Γ_{76}	$\gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)$	$(3.8 \pm 0.4) \%$			DESIG=9
Γ_{77}	$\gamma f_0(1710)$	< 5.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=13
Γ_{78}	$\gamma f_2'(1525)$	< 5.3	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=12
Γ_{79}	$\gamma f_2(1270)$	< 2.41	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=11
Γ_{80}	$\gamma f_J(2220)$				DESIG=14
Γ_{81}	$\gamma \eta_c(1S)$	< 2.7	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=111
Γ_{82}	$\gamma \chi_{c0}$	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=112
Γ_{83}	$\gamma \chi_{c1}$	< 3.6	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=113
Γ_{84}	$\gamma \chi_{c2}$	< 1.5	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=114
Γ_{85}	$\gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$	< 1.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=172
Γ_{86}	$\gamma \chi_{c1}(3872), \chi_{c1} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi$	< 2.4	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=116
Γ_{87}	$\gamma \chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \omega J/\psi$	< 2.8	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=117
Γ_{88}	$\gamma \chi_{c1}(4140) \rightarrow \phi J/\psi$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=118
Γ_{89}	$\gamma X(4350) \rightarrow \phi J/\psi$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=119
Γ_{90}	$\gamma \eta_b(1S)$	$(5.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		S=1.2	DESIG=102
Γ_{91}	$\gamma \eta_b(1S) \rightarrow \gamma$ Sum of 26 exclusive modes	< 3.7	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=124
Γ_{92}	$\gamma X_{b\bar{b}} \rightarrow \gamma$ Sum of 26 exclusive modes	< 4.9	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=125
Γ_{93}	$\gamma X \rightarrow \gamma + \geq 4$ prongs	[a] < 1.95	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=95%	DESIG=103
Γ_{94}	$\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma$ hadrons	< 8	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=108
Γ_{95}	$\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$	< 8.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=123
Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes					
Γ_{96}	$e^\pm \tau^\mp$	LF < 1.12	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	NODE=M052;CLUMP=B DESIG=107
Γ_{97}	$\mu^\pm \tau^\mp$	LF < 2.3	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=104

[a] $1.5 \text{ GeV} < m_X < 5.0 \text{ GeV}$

LINKAGE=C52

FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 3 branching ratios uses 13 measurements to determine 2 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 11.8$ for 11 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

$$x_7 \begin{vmatrix} & 2 \\ & x_1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$\Upsilon(2S) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M052218

$$\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_4 \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.5±1.5±1.0	KOBEL	92	CBAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

NODE=M052G1
NODE=M052G1

$$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_1 \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
105.4±1.0±4.2	11.8k	¹ AUBERT	08BP BABR	10.58 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$

NODE=M052G03
NODE=M052G03

¹ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

NODE=M052G03;LINKAGE=AU

$$\Gamma(\text{hadrons}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{39} \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.577±0.009 OUR AVERAGE			
0.581±0.004±0.009	¹ ROSNER	06	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.552±0.031±0.017	¹ BARU	96	MD1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.54 ±0.04 ±0.02	¹ JAKUBOWSKI	88	CBAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.58 ±0.03 ±0.04	² GILES	84B	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.60 ±0.12 ±0.07	² ALBRECHT	82	DASP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.54 ±0.07 ^{+0.09} _{-0.05}	² NICZYPORUK	81C	LENA $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.41 ±0.18	² BOCK	80	CNTR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M052G2
NODE=M052G2

¹ Radiative corrections evaluated following KURAEV 85.

² Radiative corrections reevaluated by BUCHMUELLER 88 following KURAEV 85.

NODE=M052G2;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M052G2;LINKAGE=R

 $\Upsilon(2S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M052220

$$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)$$

$$\Gamma_5$$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID
0.612±0.011 OUR EVALUATION	

NODE=M052W2
NODE=M052W2
→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\Upsilon(2S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M052225

$$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

Abbreviation MM in the COMMENT field below stands for missing mass.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
17.85±0.26 OUR FIT				
17.92±0.26 OUR AVERAGE				
16.8 ±1.1 ±1.3	906k	¹ LEES	11C BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$
17.80±0.05±0.37	170k	² LEES	11L BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$
18.02±0.02±0.61	851k	³ BHARI	09 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \text{MM}$
17.22±0.17±0.75	11.8k	⁴ AUBERT	08BP BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$
19.2 ±0.2 ±1.0	52.6k	⁵ ALEXANDER	98 CLE2	$\pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-, \pi^+ \pi^- \text{MM}$
18.1 ±0.5 ±1.0	11.6k	ALBRECHT	87 ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \text{MM}$
16.9 ±4.0		GELPHMAN	85 CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
19.1 ±1.2 ±0.6		BESSON	84 CLEO	$\pi^+ \pi^- \text{MM}$
18.9 ±2.6		FONSECA	84 CUSB	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
21 ±7	7	NICZYPORUK	81B LENA	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M052R4
NODE=M052R4
NODE=M052R4

¹ LEES 11C reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) \text{anything})] = (1.78 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) \text{anything}) = (10.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M052R4;LINKAGE=ES

² Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

³ A weighted average of the inclusive and exclusive results.

⁴ Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (1.91 \pm 0.16)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$ and, $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(2S)) = 0.612 \pm 0.011$ keV.

NODE=M052R4;LINKAGE=LE
NODE=M052R4;LINKAGE=BH
NODE=M052R4;LINKAGE=AU

⁵ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.52 \pm 0.17)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.07)\%$.

NODE=M052R4;LINKAGE=T

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.6 $\pm$ 0.4 OUR AVERAGE				
8.43 $\pm$ 0.16 $\pm$ 0.42	38k	¹ BHARI	09	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
9.2 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.8	275	² ALEXANDER	98	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
9.5 $\pm$ 1.9 $\pm$ 1.9	25	ALBRECHT	87	ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
8.0 $\pm$ 1.5		GELPHMAN	85	CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
10.3 $\pm$ 2.3		FONSECA	84	CUSB $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$

¹ Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 4.96\%$.² Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.52 \pm 0.17)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.07)\%$.NODE=M052R5
NODE=M052R5NODE=M052R5;LINKAGE=BH
NODE=M052R5;LINKAGE=T $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.462 $\pm$ 0.037	¹ BHARI	09	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)$

¹ Not independent of other values reported by BHARI 09.NODE=M052R21
NODE=M052R21

NODE=M052R21;LINKAGE=BH

 $\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ


VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.00 $\pm$ 0.12 $\pm$ 0.18	22k	¹ BESSON	07	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$
1.7 $\pm$ 1.5 $\pm$ 0.6		HAAS	84B	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$

¹ BESSON 07 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)] = 1.04 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.NODE=M052R3
NODE=M052R3

NODE=M052R3;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0193 $\pm$ 0.0017 OUR AVERAGE					Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.
0.0203 $\pm$ 0.0003 $\pm$ 0.0008		120k	ADAMS	05	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
0.0122 $\pm$ 0.0028 $\pm$ 0.0019			¹ KOBEL	92	CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
0.0138 $\pm$ 0.0025 $\pm$ 0.0015			KAARSBERG	89	CSB2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
0.009 $\pm$ 0.006 $\pm$ 0.006			² ALBRECHT	85	ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
0.018 $\pm$ 0.008 $\pm$ 0.005			HAAS	84B	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
<0.038		90	NICZYPORUK	81c	LENA $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

¹ Taking into account interference between the resonance and continuum.² Re-evaluated using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 0.026$.NODE=M052R1
NODE=M052R1NODE=M052R1;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M052R1;LINKAGE=RWEIGHTED AVERAGE
0.0193 $\pm$ 0.0017 (Error scaled by 2.2)

$$\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.04±0.04±0.05	22k	BESSION	07	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)$

$$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_4$$

NODE=M052R17
NODE=M052R17

$$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$$\Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R10
NODE=M052R10

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 4	90	¹ TAMPONI	13	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$
< 18	90	² HE	08A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
<110	90	ALEXANDER	98	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
<800	90	LURZ	87	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$

¹ TAMPONI 13 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)]$
 $< 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = 17.85 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M052R10;LINKAGE=TA

² Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 4.96\%$.

NODE=M052R10;LINKAGE=HE

$$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.3	90	TAMPONI	13	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$

$$\Gamma_6/\Gamma_1$$

NODE=M052R09
NODE=M052R09

$$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$$\Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R6
NODE=M052R6

2.9 ±0.4 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.0.

2.9 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.

2.39±0.31±0.14	112	¹ LEES	11L	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\eta$
2.1 $^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$ ±0.3	14	² HE	08A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\eta$

• • • We use the following data for averages but not for fits. • • •

3.55±0.32±0.05	241	³ TAMPONI	13	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\eta$

NOTFITTED

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 9	90	^{1,4} AUBERT	08BP	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
< 28	90	ALEXANDER	98	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\eta$
< 50	90	ALBRECHT	87	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$ MM
< 70	90	LURZ	87	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-(\gamma\gamma, 3\pi^0)$
< 100	90	BESSION	84	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$ MM
< 20	90	FONSECA	84	CUSB	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-(\gamma\gamma, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

¹ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

NODE=M052R6;LINKAGE=AU

² Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 4.96\%$.

NODE=M052R6;LINKAGE=HE


³ TAMPONI 13 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)]$
 $= (1.99 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (17.85 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M052R6;LINKAGE=TA

⁴ Using $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(2S)) = 0.612 \pm 0.011$ keV.

NODE=M052R6;LINKAGE=UB

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
2.9±0.4 (Error scaled by 1.9)



$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$

Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) CL% EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

1.64±0.25 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.0.

1.99±0.14±0.11 241 TAMPONI 13 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.35±0.17±0.08 ¹ LEES 11L BABR $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow (\pi^+\pi^-)(\gamma\gamma)\mu^+\mu^-$

< 5.2 90 ² AUBERT 08BP BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-(\pi^0)\ell^+\ell^-$

¹ Not independent of other values reported by LEES 11L.

² Not independent of other values reported by AUBERT 08BP.

NODE=M052R22
NODE=M052R22

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)$

Γ_6/Γ_7

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.13 90 TAMPONI 13 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$

NODE=M052R23
NODE=M052R23

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_8/Γ

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<0.006 90 MASCHMANN 90 CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M052R16
NODE=M052R16

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta_c)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_9/Γ

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<5.4 × 10⁻⁶ 90 YANG 14 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M052R53
NODE=M052R53

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<3.4 × 10⁻⁶ 90 YANG 14 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M052R54
NODE=M052R54

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<1.2 × 10⁻⁶ 90 YANG 14 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M052R55
NODE=M052R55

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<2.0 × 10⁻⁶ 90 YANG 14 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M052R56
NODE=M052R56

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<2.5 × 10⁻⁶ 90 YANG 14 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M052R57
NODE=M052R57

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)X(3940))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<2.0 × 10⁻⁶ 90 YANG 14 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M052R58
NODE=M052R58

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)X(4160))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M052R59
NODE=M052R59

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.24 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.20$	376	JIA	17	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$

NODE=M052R00
NODE=M052R00

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)^0 X_{\text{tetra}})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<36.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	17A	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M052R69
NODE=M052R69

¹ For a tetraquark state X_{tetra} , with mass in the range 1.16–2.46 GeV and width in the range 0–0.3 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of X_{tetra} mass and width range from 4.4×10^{-6} to 36.7×10^{-6} .

NODE=M052R69;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.28 \pm 0.73 \pm 0.34$	JIA	17	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$

NODE=M052R67
NODE=M052R67

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\eta_c)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$

NODE=M052R60
NODE=M052R60

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\chi_{c0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$

NODE=M052R61
NODE=M052R61

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$

NODE=M052R62
NODE=M052R62

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$

NODE=M052R63
NODE=M052R63

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$

NODE=M052R64
NODE=M052R64

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)X(3940))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$

NODE=M052R65
NODE=M052R65

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)X(4160))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	YANG	14	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$

NODE=M052R66
NODE=M052R66

 $\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^+ T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^\pm X$

¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^\pm) = 1$.

NODE=M052R71
NODE=M052R71

NODE=M052R71;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^+ T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<16.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^\pm X$

¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^\pm) = 1$

NODE=M052R72
NODE=M052R72

NODE=M052R72;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^\pm T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^\pm X$

¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^\pm) = 1 = B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^\pm)$.

NODE=M052R73
NODE=M052R73

NODE=M052R73;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^+ T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{29}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<13.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\pi^\pm X$
¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\pi^\pm)$				

NODE=M052R74
NODE=M052R74

NODE=M052R74;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^+ T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{30}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<26.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\pi^\pm X$
¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\pi^\pm) = 1$				

NODE=M052R75
NODE=M052R75

NODE=M052R75;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^\pm T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{31}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<27.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\pi^\pm X$
¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\pi^\pm) = 1 = B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\pi^\pm)$				

NODE=M052R76
NODE=M052R76

NODE=M052R76;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+ T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{32}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<20.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm X$
¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^\pm \rightarrow \psi(2P)\pi^\pm) = 1$				

NODE=M052R77
NODE=M052R77

NODE=M052R77;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^\pm T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<11.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm X$
¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^\pm \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm) = 1$				

NODE=M052R79
NODE=M052R79

NODE=M052R79;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^\pm T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{34}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<21.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	18	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm X$
¹ Assuming $B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^\pm \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm) = 1 = B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^\pm \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^\pm)$				

NODE=M052R80
NODE=M052R80

NODE=M052R80;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)\text{anything}, \bar{P}_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{35}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(2.9 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$	21 ± 5	¹ ADACHI	25A	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)$

¹ 21 ± 5 corresponds to the number of $P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)$ events in the combined $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\Upsilon(2S)$ samples.

NODE=M052R94
NODE=M052R94

NODE=M052R94;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(P_{c\bar{c}s}(4338)\text{anything}, \bar{P}_{c\bar{c}s}(4338)\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{36}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ADACHI	25A	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)$

NODE=M052R96
NODE=M052R96

$$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\Lambda\text{anything}, J/\psi(1S)\bar{\Lambda}\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{37}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(22.3 \pm 5.7 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-6}$	10 ± 17	ADACHI	25A	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)$

NODE=M052R95
NODE=M052R95

$$\Gamma(\overline{2H}\text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{38}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.78^{+0.30}_{-0.26}$				OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

$2.64 \pm 0.11^{+0.26}_{-0.21}$		LEES	14G	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \overline{2H} X$
$3.37 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.25$	58	ASNER	07	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \overline{2H} X$

NODE=M052R18
NODE=M052R18

$$\Gamma(g g g)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{40}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
58.8 ± 1.2	6M	¹ BESSON	06A	CLEO $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Calculated using the value $\Gamma(\gamma g g)/\Gamma(g g g) = (3.18 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.41)\%$ from BESSON 06A and PDG 08 values of $B(\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S)) = (18.1 \pm 0.4)\%$, $B(\pi^0\pi^0\Upsilon(1S)) = (8.6 \pm 0.4)\%$, $B(\mu^+\mu^-\Upsilon(1S)) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$, and $R_{\text{hadrons}} = 3.51$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with that of $\Gamma(\gamma g g)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ measurement of BESSON 06A.

NODE=M052R01
NODE=M052R01

NODE=M052R01;LINKAGE=BE

$$\Gamma(\gamma g g)/\Gamma(g g g)$$

$$\Gamma_{41}/\Gamma_{40}$$

NODE=M052R03
NODE=M052R03

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.18 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.47$	6M	BESSON	06A CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow (\gamma +) \text{ hadrons}$

$$\Gamma(\phi K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{42}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R43
NODE=M052R43

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.58 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.18$	58	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

$$\Gamma(\omega \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{43}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R44
NODE=M052R44

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.58	90	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{44}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R45
NODE=M052R45

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.32 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.54$	135	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

$$\Gamma(\phi f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{45}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R46
NODE=M052R46

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.33	90	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

$$\Gamma(\omega f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{46}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R47
NODE=M052R47

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.57	90	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$

$$\Gamma(\rho(770) a_2(1320))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{47}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R48
NODE=M052R48

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.88	90	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{48}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R49
NODE=M052R49

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.53 \pm 0.52 \pm 0.19$	32	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

$$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{49}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R50
NODE=M052R50

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.22	90	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

$$\Gamma(K_1(1400)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{50}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R51
NODE=M052R51

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.83	90	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

$$\Gamma(b_1(1235)^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R52
NODE=M052R52

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.40	90	SHEN	12A BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$

$$\Gamma(\rho \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{52}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R27
NODE=M052R27

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.16	90	SHEN	13 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{53}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R28
NODE=M052R28

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.80	90	SHEN	13 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

$$\Gamma(\omega \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{54}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R29
NODE=M052R29

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.63	90	SHEN	13 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{55}/\Gamma$$

NODE=M052R30
NODE=M052R30

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$13.0 \pm 1.9 \pm 2.1$	261 ± 37	SHEN	13 BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{56}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.14 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.13$	40 $\pm$ 10		SHEN	13	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- \pi^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<3.2	90		¹ DOBBS	12A	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- \pi^+$

¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M052R40
NODE=M052R40

NODE=M052R40;LINKAGE=DO

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{57}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.22	90	SHEN	13	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- \pi^+$

NODE=M052R41
NODE=M052R41

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^- K^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{58}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.45	90	SHEN	13	BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- \pi^+$

NODE=M052R42
NODE=M052R42

$$\Gamma(f_1(1285) \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.20 \pm 1.50 \pm 0.63$	2.9k	JIA	17A	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M052R68
NODE=M052R68

$$\Gamma(f_1(1285) X_{\text{tetra}})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{60}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<64.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ JIA	17A	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M052R70
NODE=M052R70

¹ For a tetraquark state X_{tetra} , with mass in the range 1.16–2.46 GeV and width in the range 0–0.3 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of X_{tetra} mass and width range from 7.8×10^{-6} to 64.7×10^{-6} .

NODE=M052R70;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(D_s^+ D_{s1}(2536)^-, D_{s1}^- \rightarrow K^- D^*(2007)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{62}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.6 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2$	GAO	23	BELL $e^+ e^-$ at 10.52 GeV

NODE=M052R86
NODE=M052R86

$$\Gamma(D_s^+ D_{s1}(2536)^-, D_{s1}^- \rightarrow K_S^0 D^*(2010)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{63}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.84 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.15$	GAO	23	BELL $e^+ e^-$ at 10.52 GeV

NODE=M052R87
NODE=M052R87

$$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} D_{s1}(2536)^-, D_{s1}^- \rightarrow K^- D^*(2007)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{65}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$	GAO	23	BELL $e^+ e^-$ at 10.52 GeV

NODE=M052R88
NODE=M052R88

$$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} D_{s1}(2536)^-, D_{s1}^- \rightarrow K_S^0 D^*(2010)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{66}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.82 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.19$	GAO	23	BELL $e^+ e^-$ at 10.52 GeV

NODE=M052R89
NODE=M052R89

$$\Gamma(D_s^+ D_{s2}^*(2573)^-, D_{s2}^{*-} \rightarrow K^- D^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{68}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$	GAO	23	BELL $e^+ e^-$ at 10.52 GeV

NODE=M052R90
NODE=M052R90

$$\Gamma(D_s^+ D_{s2}^*(2573)^-, D_{s2}^{*-} \rightarrow K_S^0 D^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{69}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.69 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.22$	GAO	23	BELL $e^+ e^-$ at 10.52 GeV

NODE=M052R91
NODE=M052R91

$$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} D_{s2}^*(2573)^-, D_{s2}^{*-} \rightarrow K^- D^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{71}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.9 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.2$	GAO	23	BELL $e^+ e^-$ at 10.52 GeV

NODE=M052R92
NODE=M052R92

$$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} D_{s2}^*(2573)^-, D_{s2}^{*-} \rightarrow K_S^0 D^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{72}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.54 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.47$	GAO	23	BELL $e^+ e^-$ at 10.52 GeV

NODE=M052R93
NODE=M052R93

$\Gamma(\text{Sum of 100 exclusive modes})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{73}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-2})

DOCUMENT ID

COMMENT

0.29 ± 0.031,2 DOBBS 12A $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ DOBBS 12A presents individual exclusive branching fractions or upper limits for 100 modes of four to ten pions, kaons, or protons.

² Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M052R08
NODE=M052R08

NODE=M052R08;LINKAGE=DO

NODE=M052R08;LINKAGE=NC

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{74}/Γ

VALUE EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

0.069 ± 0.004 OUR AVERAGE

0.0693 ± 0.0012 ± 0.0041 407k

ARTUSO

05

CLEO

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

0.069 ± 0.005 ± 0.009

EDWARDS

99

CLE2

 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi(1P)$

0.091 ± 0.018 ± 0.022

ALBRECHT

85E

ARG

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \text{conv. } X$

0.065 ± 0.007 ± 0.012

NERNST

85

CBAL

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

0.080 ± 0.017 ± 0.016

HAAS

84

CLEO

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \text{conv. } X$

0.059 ± 0.014

KLOPFEN...

83

CUSB

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M052R8
NODE=M052R8

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{75}/Γ

VALUE EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

0.0715 ± 0.0035 OUR AVERAGE

0.0724 ± 0.0011 ± 0.0040 410k

ARTUSO

05

CLEO

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

0.074 ± 0.005 ± 0.008

EDWARDS

99

CLE2

 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi(1P)$

0.098 ± 0.021 ± 0.024

ALBRECHT

85E

ARG

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \text{conv. } X$

0.058 ± 0.007 ± 0.010

NERNST

85

CBAL

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

0.102 ± 0.018 ± 0.021

HAAS

84

CLEO

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \text{conv. } X$

0.061 ± 0.014

KLOPFEN...

83

CUSB

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M052R7
NODE=M052R7

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{76}/Γ

VALUE EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

0.038 ± 0.004 OUR AVERAGE

0.0375 ± 0.0012 ± 0.0047 198k

ARTUSO

05

CLEO

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

0.034 ± 0.005 ± 0.006

EDWARDS

99

CLE2

 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi(1P)$

0.064 ± 0.014 ± 0.016

ALBRECHT

85E

ARG

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \text{conv. } X$

0.036 ± 0.008 ± 0.009

NERNST

85

CBAL

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

0.044 ± 0.023 ± 0.009

HAAS

84

CLEO

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \text{conv. } X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.035 ± 0.014

KLOPFEN...

83

CUSB

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M052R9
NODE=M052R9

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{77}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<59

90

¹

ALBRECHT

89

ARG

 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 5.9

90

²

ALBRECHT

89

ARG

 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Re-evaluated assuming $B(f_0(1710) \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = 0.19$.

² Includes unknown branching ratio of $f_0(1710) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$.

NODE=M052R13
NODE=M052R13

OCCUR=2

NODE=M052R13;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M052R13;LINKAGE=N

 $\Gamma(\gamma f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{78}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<53

90

¹

ALBRECHT

89

ARG

 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

¹ Re-evaluated assuming $B(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K \bar{K}) = 0.71$.

NODE=M052R12
NODE=M052R12

NODE=M052R12;LINKAGE=L

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{79}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<24.1

90

¹

ALBRECHT

89

ARG

 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Using $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi \pi) = 0.84$.

NODE=M052R11
NODE=M052R11

NODE=M052R11;LINKAGE=K

 $\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{80}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-5})

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6.8

90

¹

ALBRECHT

89

ARG

 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

¹ Includes unknown branching ratio of $f_J(2220) \rightarrow K^+ K^-$.

NODE=M052R14
NODE=M052R14

NODE=M052R14;LINKAGE=S

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{81}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	WANG	11B BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M052R31
NODE=M052R31

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{82}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	WANG	11B BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M052R32
NODE=M052R32

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{83}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M052R33
NODE=M052R33

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{84}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	WANG	11B BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M052R34
NODE=M052R34

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1}(3872))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{85}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ WANG	11B BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M052R81
NODE=M052R81

¹ WANG 11B reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(3872))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi(1S))] < 0.8 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi(1S)) = 4.3 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M052R81;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1}(3872), \chi_{c1} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{86}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M052R36
NODE=M052R36

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \omega J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{87}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M052R37
NODE=M052R37

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1}(4140) \rightarrow \phi J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{88}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M052R38
NODE=M052R38

 $\Gamma(\gamma X(4350) \rightarrow \phi J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{89}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M052R39
NODE=M052R39

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta_b(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{90}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M052R15
NODE=M052R15

$5.5^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

$6.1^{+0.6+0.9}_{-0.7-0.6}$ 29k FULSOM 18 BELL $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

$3.9 \pm 1.1^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$ $13 \pm 5k$ ¹ AUBERT 09AQ BABR $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<21 90 LEES 11J BABR $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow X\gamma$

<8.4 90 ¹ BONVICINI 10 CLEO $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

<5.1 90 ² ARTUSO 05 CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ Assuming $\Gamma_{\eta_b(1S)} = 10$ MeV.

² Superseded by BONVICINI 10.

NODE=M052R15;LINKAGE=BO
NODE=M052R15;LINKAGE=SU

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta_b(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{Sum of 26 exclusive modes})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{91}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	SANDILYA 13	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M052R25
NODE=M052R25

 $\Gamma(\gamma X_{b\bar{b}} \rightarrow \gamma \text{Sum of 26 exclusive modes})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{92}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.9	90		SANDILYA 13	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{ hadrons}$

NODE=M052R26
NODE=M052R26

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$46.2^{+29.7}_{-14.2} \pm 10.6$ 10 ¹ DOBBS 12 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{ hadrons}$

¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M052R26;LINKAGE=DO

$\Gamma(\gamma X \rightarrow \gamma + \geq 4 \text{ prongs})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ (1.5 GeV < m_X < 5.0 GeV) Γ_{93}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.95	95	ROSNER	07A CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M052R19

NODE=M052R19
NODE=M052R19 $\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ (0.3 GeV < m_{A^0} < 7 GeV) Γ_{94}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8 × 10⁻⁵	90	¹ LEES	11H BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M052R06

NODE=M052R06
NODE=M052R06

¹ For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , excluding known resonances, with mass in the range 0.3–7 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of m_{A^0} range from 1×10^{-6} to 8×10^{-5} .

NODE=M052R06;LINKAGE=LE

 $\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{95}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8.3	90	¹ AUBERT	09Z BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

NODE=M052R24
NODE=M052R24

¹ For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , with mass in the range 212–9300 MeV, excluding J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of m_{A^0} range from $0.26\text{--}8.3 \times 10^{-6}$.

NODE=M052R24;LINKAGE=AU

LEPTON FAMILY NUMBER (LF) VIOLATING MODES

NODE=M052230

 $\Gamma(e^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{96}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.12	90	DHAMIJA	24 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^\pm \tau^\mp$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<3.2	90	LEES	10B BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^\pm \tau^\mp$

NODE=M052R04
NODE=M052R04 $\Gamma(\mu^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{97}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.23	90	DHAMIJA	24 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 3.3	90	LEES	10B BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$
<14.4	95	LOVE	08A CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$

NODE=M052R20
NODE=M052R20 $\Upsilon(2S)$ Cross-Particle Branching Ratios

NODE=M052240

 $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) X)$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.78 ± 0.02 ± 0.11	906k	LEES	11C BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$

NODE=M052R05
NODE=M052R05 $\Upsilon(2S)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M052

ADACHI	25A	PRL 135 041901	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE and BELLE II Collab.)
AAIJ	24AC	JHEP 2410 122	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
DHAMIJA	24	JHEP 2402 187	R. Dhamija <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
GAO	23	PR D108 112015	B.S. Gao <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SHAMOV	23	PL B839 137766	A.G. Shamov, O.L. Rezanova	(NOVO, NOVOU)
FULSOM	18	PRL 121 232001	B.G. Fulsom <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
JIA	18	PR D97 112004	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
JIA	17	PR D95 012001	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
JIA	17A	PR D96 112002	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	14G	PR D89 111102	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
YANG	14	PR D90 112008	S.D. Yang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SANDILYA	13	PRL 111 112001	S. Sandilya <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SHEN	13	PR D88 011102	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
TAMPONI	13	PR D87 011104	U. Tamponi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DOBBS	12	PRL 109 082001	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	
DOBBS	12A	PR D86 052003	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	
SHEN	12A	PR D86 031102	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	11C	PR D84 011104	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11H	PRL 107 221803	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11J	PR D84 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11L	PR D84 092003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
WANG	11B	PR D84 071107	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BONVICINI	10	PR D81 031104	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LEES	10B	PRL 104 151802	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09AQ	PRL 103 161801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09Z	PRL 103 081803	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BHARI	09	PR D79 011103	S.R. Bhari <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	08BP	PR D78 112002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
HE	08A	PRL 101 192001	Q. He <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LOVE	08A	PRL 101 201601	W. Love <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)

REFID=63241
REFID=63036
REFID=62686
REFID=62519
REFID=62012
REFID=59535
REFID=58949
REFID=57635
REFID=58318
REFID=55939
REFID=56345
REFID=55590
REFID=55395
REFID=54919
REFID=54288
REFID=54746
REFID=54314
REFID=16775
REFID=53877
REFID=53936
REFID=53938
REFID=53939
REFID=53231
REFID=53355
REFID=53106
REFID=52930
REFID=52662
REFID=52660
REFID=52587
REFID=52592
REFID=52166

ASNER	07	PR D75 012009	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51617
BESSON	07	PRL 98 052002	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51620
ROSNER	07A	PR D76 117102	J.L. Rosner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52079
BESSON	06A	PR D74 012003	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51147
ROSNER	06	PRL 96 092003	J.L. Rosner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51035
ADAMS	05	PRL 94 012001	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50452
ARTUSO	05	PRL 94 032001	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50454
ARTAMONOV	00	PL B474 427	A.S. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47424
EDWARDS	99	PR D59 032003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=46612
ALEXANDER	98	PR D58 052004	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=46329
BARU	96	PRPL 267 71	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=44651
KOBEL	92	ZPHY C53 193	M. Kobel <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=41861
MASCHMANN	90	ZPHY C46 555	W.S. Maschmann <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=41224
ALBRECHT	89	ZPHY C42 349	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=40731
KAARSBERG	89	PRL 62 2077	T.M. Kaarsberg <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)	REFID=40733
BUCHMUEL...	88	HE e ⁺ e ⁻ Physics 412	W. Buchmueller, S. Cooper	(HANN, DESY, MIT)	REFID=40034
Editors: A. Ali and P. Soeding, World Scientific, Singapore					
JAKUBOWSKI	88	ZPHY C40 49	Z. Jakubowski <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=40742
ALBRECHT	87	ZPHY C35 283	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=40016
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)	REFID=11616
LURZ	87	ZPHY C36 383	B. Lurz <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=40021
BARU	86B	ZPHY C32 622 (errat.)	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=22338
ALBRECHT	85	ZPHY C28 45	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=22334
ALBRECHT	85E	PL 160B 331	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=22288
GELPHMAN	85	PR D32 2893	D. Gelphman <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=22336
KURAEV	85	SJNP 41 466	E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin	(NOVO)	REFID=40033
Translated from YAF 41 733.					
NERNST	85	PRL 54 2195	R. Nernst <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=22289
ARTAMONOV	84	PL 137B 272	A.S. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=22278
BARBER	84	PL 135B 498	D.P. Barber <i>et al.</i>		REFID=22327;ERROR=9
BESSON	84	PR D30 1433	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=22279
FONSECA	84	NP B242 31	V. Fonseca <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)	REFID=22329
GILES	84B	PR D29 1285	R. Giles <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=22280
HAAS	84	PRL 52 799	J. Haas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=22287
HAAS	84B	PR D30 1996	J. Haas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=22332
KLOPFEN...	83	PRL 51 160	C. Klopfenstein <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)	REFID=22285
ALBRECHT	82	PL 116B 383	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, DORT, HEIDH+)	REFID=22270
NICZYPORUK	81B	PL 100B 95	B. Niczyporuk <i>et al.</i>	(LENA Collab.)	REFID=22319
NICZYPORUK	81C	PL 99B 169	B. Niczyporuk <i>et al.</i>	(LENA Collab.)	REFID=22318
BOCK	80	ZPHY C6 125	P. Bock <i>et al.</i>	(HEIDP, MPIM, DESY, HAMB)	REFID=22264

$\gamma_2(1D)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(2^{--})$$

was $\Upsilon(1D)$

First observed by BONVICINI 04 in the decay to $\gamma\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$ and confirmed by DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10R in the decay to $\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S)$.
Data consistent with $J^P = 2^-$. The states with $J = 1$ and 3 also possibly seen, but need confirmation.

$\Upsilon_2(1D)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10163.7±1.4 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7.		
10164.5±0.8±0.5		DEL-AMO-SA..10R	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
10161.1±0.6±1.6	38	BONVICINI 04	CLE3	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow 4\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

$\Upsilon_2(1D)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \gamma\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \gamma\chi_{bJ}(1P)$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad \eta\Upsilon(1S)$	not seen
$\Gamma_4 \quad \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S)$	$(6.6\pm1.6)\times10^{-3}$

$\Upsilon_2(1D)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\eta\Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma\Upsilon(1S))$		Γ_3/Γ_1		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.25	90	BONVICINI 04	CLE3	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow 4\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_4/Γ		
VALUE (units 10 ⁻²)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.66^{+0.15}_{-0.14}±0.06		¹ DEL-AMO-SA..10R	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$

¹ Using theoretical predictions for $B(\chi_{bJ}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon_2(1D))$.

NODE=M177

NODE=M177

NODE=M177M

NODE=M177M

NODE=M177215;NODE=M177

DESIG=1;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4

NODE=M177225

NODE=M177R01
NODE=M177R01

NODE=M177R03
NODE=M177R03

NODE=M177R03;LINKAGE=DE

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma\Upsilon(1S))$					Γ_4/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<1.2	90	² BONVICINI	04	CLE3	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow 4\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
² Assuming $J = 2$.					

NODE=M177R02
NODE=M177R02

NODE=M177R02;LINKAGE=BO

$\Upsilon_2(1D)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M177

DEL-AMO-SA... 10R	PR D82 111102	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BONVICINI 04	PR D70 032001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)

REFID=53634
REFID=49759

NODE=M079

$\chi_{b0}(2P)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$
 J needs confirmation.

Observed in radiative decay of the $\Upsilon(3S)$, therefore $C = +$. Branching ratio requires E1 transition, M1 is strongly disfavored, therefore $P = +$.

NODE=M079

$\chi_{b0}(2P)$ MASS

NODE=M079M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
10232.5±0.4±0.5 OUR EVALUATION	From γ energy below, using $\Upsilon(3S)$ mass = 10355.2 ± 0.5 MeV

NODE=M079M
→ UNCHECKED ←

$m_{\chi_{b1}(2P)} - m_{\chi_{b0}(2P)}$		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
VALUE (MeV)				
23.8±1.7		LEES	14M	BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$

NODE=M079M2
NODE=M079M2

γ ENERGY IN $\Upsilon(3S)$ DECAY

NODE=M079DM

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
121.9 ±0.4 OUR EVALUATION		Treating systematic errors as correlated		
122.2 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.		
121.55±0.16±0.46		ARTUSO	05	CLEO $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
123.0 ±0.8	4959	¹ HEINTZ	92	CSB2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
124.6 ±1.4	17	² HEINTZ	92	CSB2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
122.3 ±0.3 ±0.6	9903	MORRISON	91	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$


NODE=M079DM
→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2

- ¹ A systematic uncertainty on the energy scale of 0.9% not included. Supersedes NARAIN 91.
- ² A systematic uncertainty on the energy scale of 0.9% not included. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.

NODE=M079DM;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M079DM;LINKAGE=B



$\chi_{b0}(2P)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M079215;NODE=M079

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \quad \gamma \Upsilon(2S)$	$(1.38 \pm 0.30) \%$	
$\Gamma_2 \quad \gamma \Upsilon(1S)$	$(3.8 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_3 \quad D^0 X$	$< 8.2 \%$	90%
$\Gamma_4 \quad \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$< 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_5 \quad 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0$	$< 5 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_6 \quad 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0 2\pi^0$	$< 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_7 \quad 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- 2\pi^0$	$< 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_8 \quad 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^-$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_9 \quad 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$< 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{10} \quad 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{11} \quad 3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0$	$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{12} \quad 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$	$< 7 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{13} \quad 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0$	$< 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{14} \quad 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{15} \quad 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{16} \quad 4\pi^+ 4\pi^-$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{17} \quad 4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0$	$< 6 \times 10^{-4}$	90%

DESIG=2

DESIG=1

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=7

DESIG=8

DESIG=9

DESIG=10

DESIG=11

DESIG=12

DESIG=13

DESIG=14

DESIG=15

DESIG=16

DESIG=17

 $\chi_{b0}(2P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M079220

$\Gamma(\gamma \Upsilon(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_1/Γ
1.38$\pm$0.30 OUR AVERAGE					
1.31 $\pm$ 0.27 $^{+0.13}_{-0.12}$		3,4 LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$	
3.6 $\pm$ 1.6 $\pm$ 0.3		3,5 HEINTZ	92 CSB2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma \gamma$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<2.8	90	⁶ LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X \gamma$	
<8.9	90	⁷ CRAWFORD	92B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma \gamma$	
³ Assuming $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$.					
⁴ LEES 14M reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))] = (7.7 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = (5.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.					
⁵ Recalculated by us. HEINTZ 92 quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) = (0.28 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.03)\%$ using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.44 \pm 0.10)\%$. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.					
⁶ LEES 11J quotes a central value of $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (-0.3 \pm 0.2^{+0.5}_{-0.4})\%$.					
⁷ Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.37 \pm 0.26)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) \times 2 B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) < 1.19 \times 10^{-4}$, and $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \chi_{b0}(2P) \gamma) = 0.049$.					

NODE=M079R2;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M079R2;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M079R2;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M079R2;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M079R2;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ
0.38$\pm$0.17 OUR AVERAGE					
0.36 $\pm$ 0.17 $\pm$ 0.03		8,9,10 LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$	
0.9 $\pm$ 0.7 $\pm$ 0.1		9,11 HEINTZ	92 CSB2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma \gamma$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<1.2	90	¹² LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X \gamma$	
<2.5	90	¹³ CRAWFORD	92B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma \gamma$	
⁸ LEES 14M quotes $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (2.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$ combining the results from $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ samples with and without photon conversions.					
⁹ Assuming $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.					
¹⁰ LEES 14M reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))] = (2.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = (5.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.					
¹¹ Recalculated by us. HEINTZ 92 quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) = (0.05 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.01)\%$ using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.57 \pm 0.05)\%$. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.					
¹² LEES 11J quotes a central value of $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (3.9 \pm 2.2^{+1.2}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-4}$.					
¹³ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.57 \pm 0.07)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times 2 B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) < 0.63 \times 10^{-4}$, and $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \chi_{b0}(2P) \gamma) = 0.049$.					

NODE=M079R1

NODE=M079R1

NODE=M079R1;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M079R1;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M079R1;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M079R1;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M079R1;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M079R1;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(D^0 X)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8.2 × 10⁻²	90	14,15 BRIERE	08 CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma D^0 X$

¹⁴ For $p_{D^0} > 2.5$ GeV/c.¹⁵ The authors also present their result as $(4.1 \pm 3.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$.NODE=M079R01
NODE=M079R01NODE=M079R01;LINKAGE=BR
NODE=M079R01;LINKAGE=RI $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.34	90	16 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹⁶ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))] < 2 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.NODE=M079R02
NODE=M079R02

NODE=M079R02;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.5	90	17 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0$

¹⁷ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))] < 3 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.NODE=M079R03
NODE=M079R03

NODE=M079R03;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.2	90	18 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- 2\pi^0$

¹⁸ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))] < 13 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.NODE=M079R04
NODE=M079R04

NODE=M079R04;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.4	90	19 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- 2\pi^0$

¹⁹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))] < 14 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.NODE=M079R05
NODE=M079R05

NODE=M079R05;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.5	90	20 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^-$

²⁰ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))] < 9 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.NODE=M079R06
NODE=M079R06

NODE=M079R06;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.2	90	21 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$

²¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))] < 13 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.NODE=M079R07
NODE=M079R07

NODE=M079R07;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<11	90	22 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0$

²² ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))] < 63 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.NODE=M079R08
NODE=M079R08

NODE=M079R08;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<7	90	23 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0$

²³ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))] < 39 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.NODE=M079R09
NODE=M079R09

NODE=M079R09;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(3\pi^+3\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.7	90	²⁴ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
²⁴ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))]$ $< 4 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M079R10
 NODE=M079R10

NODE=M079R10;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+3\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<12	90	²⁵ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0$
²⁵ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))]$ $< 72 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M079R11
 NODE=M079R11

NODE=M079R11;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+3\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.5	90	²⁶ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-$
²⁶ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))]$ $< 9 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M079R12
 NODE=M079R12

NODE=M079R12;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+3\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<7	90	²⁷ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-\pi^0$
²⁷ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))]$ $< 43 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M079R13
 NODE=M079R13

NODE=M079R13;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(4\pi^+4\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.7	90	²⁸ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 4\pi^+ 4\pi^-$
²⁸ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow 4\pi^+ 4\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))]$ $< 10 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M079R14
 NODE=M079R14

NODE=M079R14;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(4\pi^+4\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6	90	²⁹ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0$
²⁹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow 4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))]$ $< 38 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M079R15
 NODE=M079R15

NODE=M079R15;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_2/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{22}^{\Upsilon(3S)}/\Gamma \Upsilon(3S)$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8.2	90	³⁰ LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$
³⁰ LEES 11J quotes a central value of $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (3.9 \pm 2.2^{+1.2}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-4}$ and derives a 90% CL upper limit of $B(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) < 1.2\%$ using $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = (5.9 \pm 0.6)\%$.				

NODE=M079B01
 NODE=M079B01

NODE=M079B01;LINKAGE=LE

 $B(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) \times B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.4±0.9 OUR AVERAGE			
$1.7^{+1.5+0.1}_{-1.4-1.2}$	³¹ LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$
$1.3 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.3$	³² HEINTZ	92 CSB2	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$

³¹ From a sample of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ with one converted photon.

³² Calculated by us. HEINTZ 92 quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) = (0.05 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.01)\%$ using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.57 \pm 0.05)\%$.

NODE=M079A02
 NODE=M079A02

NODE=M079A02;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M079A02;LINKAGE=K

 $[B(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))] / [B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))]$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.71±0.80	³³ LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

³³ From a sample of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ without converted photons.

NODE=M079A00
 NODE=M079A00

NODE=M079A00;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_1 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{22}^{\Upsilon(3S)} / \Gamma \Upsilon(3S)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.6	90	³⁴ LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$
³⁴ LEES 11J quotes a central value of $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} = (-0.3 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.4)\%$ and derives a 90% CL upper limit of $B(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) < 2.8\%$ using $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) = (5.9 \pm 0.6)\%$.				

NODE=M079B02
NODE=M079B02

NODE=M079B02;LINKAGE=LE

$$B(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) \times B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.4 ± 1.6 OUR AVERAGE			

$6.6^{+4.9+2.0}_{-4.0-0.3}$	³⁵ LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$
$4.0 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.3$	³⁶ HEINTZ	92 CSB2	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$
³⁵ From a sample of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ with one converted photon.			
³⁶ Calculated by us. HEINTZ 92 quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) = (0.28 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.03)\%$ using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.44 \pm 0.10)\%$.			

NODE=M079A03
NODE=M079A03

NODE=M079A03;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M079A03;LINKAGE=B

$$[B(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P))] / [B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))]$$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.31 ± 0.56	³⁷ LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

³⁷ From a sample of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ without converted photons.

NODE=M079A01
NODE=M079A01

NODE=M079A01;LINKAGE=A

$\chi_{b0}(2P)$ REFERENCES

LEES	14M	PR D90 112010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11J	PR D84 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ASNER	08A	PR D78 091103	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BRIERE	08	PR D78 092007	R.A. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTUSO	05	PRL 94 032001	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
CRAWFORD	92B	PL B294 139	G. Crawford <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
HEINTZ	92	PR D46 1928	U. Heintz <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB II Collab.)
HEINTZ	91	PRL 66 1563	U. Heintz <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)
MORRISON	91	PRL 67 1696	R.J. Morrison <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
NARAIN	91	PRL 66 3113	M. Narain <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)

NODE=M079

REFID=56343
REFID=53936
REFID=52574
REFID=52577
REFID=50454
REFID=43177
REFID=43604
REFID=41580
REFID=41634
REFID=41586

NODE=M080

$\chi_{b1}(2P)$

$$J^{G(J^{PC})} = 0^+(1^{++})$$

J needs confirmation.

Observed in radiative decay of the $\Upsilon(3S)$, therefore $C = +$. Branching ratio requires E1 transition, M1 is strongly disfavored, therefore $P = +$.

NODE=M080

$\chi_{b1}(2P)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
$10255.46 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.50$ OUR EVALUATION	From γ energy below, using $\Upsilon(3S)$ mass = 10355.2 ± 0.5 MeV

NODE=M080M

NODE=M080M
→ UNCHECKED ←

$$m_{\chi_{b1}(2P)} - m_{\chi_{b0}(2P)}$$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$23.5 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.7$	¹ HEINTZ	92 CSB2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X, \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma \gamma$

¹ From the average photon energy for inclusive and exclusive events. Supersedes NARAIN 91.

NODE=M080M2

NODE=M080M2

NODE=M080M2;LINKAGE=A

$$m_{\chi_{b1}(2P)} - m_{\Upsilon(1S)}$$

NODE=M080A02


VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$793.57 \pm 0.75 \pm 0.22$	50	² AAIJ	24AC LHCb	$\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \mu^+ \mu^-$

NODE=M080A02

² Observed in prompt $p\bar{p}$ production. **γ ENERGY IN $\Upsilon(3S)$ DECAY**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
99.26$\pm$0.22 OUR EVALUATION		Treating systematic errors as correlated		
99.53$\pm$0.23 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.		
99.15 $\pm$ 0.07 $\pm$ 0.25		ARTUSO	05	CLEO $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
99 $\pm$ 1	169	CRAWFORD	92B	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
100.1 $\pm$ 0.4	11147	³ HEINTZ	92	CSB2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
100.2 $\pm$ 0.5	223	⁴ HEINTZ	92	CSB2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
99.5 $\pm$ 0.1 $\pm$ 0.5	25759	MORRISON	91	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
³ A systematic uncertainty on the energy scale of 0.9% not included. Supersedes NARAIN 91.				
⁴ A systematic uncertainty on the energy scale of 0.9% not included. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.				

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
99.53 $\pm$ 0.23 (Error scaled by 1.3)

 **$\chi_{b1}(2P)$ DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\omega \Upsilon(1S)$	(1.63 $^{+0.40}_{-0.34}$) %
Γ_2 $\gamma \Upsilon(2S)$	(18.1 $\pm$ 1.9) %
Γ_3 $\gamma \Upsilon(1S)$	(9.9 $\pm$ 1.0) %
Γ_4 $\pi\pi\chi_{b1}(1P)$	(9.1 $\pm$ 1.3) $\times 10^{-3}$
Γ_5 $D^0 X$	(8.8 $\pm$ 1.7) %
Γ_6 $\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0$	(3.1 $\pm$ 1.0) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_7 $2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0$	(1.1 $\pm$ 0.5) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_8 $2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^02\pi^0$	(7.7 $\pm$ 3.2) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_9 $2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0$	(5.9 $\pm$ 2.0) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{10} $2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-$	(10 $\pm$ 4) $\times 10^{-5}$
Γ_{11} $2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0$	(5.5 $\pm$ 1.8) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{12} $2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-2\pi^0$	(10 $\pm$ 4) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{13} $3\pi^+2\pi^-K^-K_S^0\pi^0$	(6.7 $\pm$ 2.6) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{14} $3\pi^+3\pi^-$	(1.2 $\pm$ 0.4) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{15} $3\pi^+3\pi^-2\pi^0$	(1.2 $\pm$ 0.4) $\times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{16} $3\pi^+3\pi^-K^+K^-$	(2.0 $\pm$ 0.8) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{17} $3\pi^+3\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0$	(6.1 $\pm$ 2.2) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{18} $4\pi^+4\pi^-$	(1.7 $\pm$ 0.6) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{19} $4\pi^+4\pi^-2\pi^0$	(1.9 $\pm$ 0.7) $\times 10^{-3}$

NODE=M080A02;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M080DM

NODE=M080DM

→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2

NODE=M080DM;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M080DM;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M080215;NODE=M080

DESIG=3

DESIG=2

DESIG=1

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=7

DESIG=8

DESIG=9

DESIG=10

DESIG=11

DESIG=12

DESIG=13

DESIG=14

DESIG=15

DESIG=16

DESIG=17

DESIG=18

DESIG=19

$\chi_{b1}(2P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M080220

 $\Gamma(\omega \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$1.63^{+0.35+0.16}_{-0.31-0.15}$	$32.6^{+6.9}_{-6.1}$	⁵ CRONIN-HEN..04	CLE3	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \omega \Upsilon(1S)$

⁵ Using $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) = (11.3 \pm 0.6)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 2$
 $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 2 (2.48 \pm 0.06)\%$.

NODE=M080R3
NODE=M080R3

NODE=M080R3;LINKAGE=CR

 $\Gamma(\gamma \Upsilon(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.181±0.019 OUR AVERAGE

$0.211 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.019$	$6,7,8$	LEES	14M	BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$
$0.190 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.017$	$4.3k$	⁹ LEES	11J	BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X \gamma$
$0.206 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.019$	$6,10$	CRAWFORD	92B	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma \gamma$
$0.132 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.012$	$6,11$	HEINTZ	92	CSB2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma \gamma$

⁶ Assuming $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$.

⁷ LEES 14M quotes $\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (2.66 \pm 0.22)\%$ combining the results from $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ samples with and without photon conversions.

⁸ LEES 14M reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))] = (2.66 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁹ LEES 11J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))] = (2.4 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

¹⁰ CRAWFORD 92B quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) \times 2$
 $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = (10.23 \pm 1.20 \pm 1.26) 10^{-4}$.

¹¹ Recalculated by us. HEINTZ 92 quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) = (2.29 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.21) \%$ using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.44 \pm 0.10)\%$. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.

NODE=M080R2
NODE=M080R2

NODE=M080R2;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M080R2;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M080R2;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M080R2;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M080R2;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M080R2;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(\gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.099±0.010 OUR AVERAGE

$0.107 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.010$	$12,13,14$	LEES	14M	BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$
$0.098 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.009$	$15k$	¹⁵ LEES	11J	BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X \gamma$
$0.103 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.009$	$12,16$	CRAWFORD	92B	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma \gamma$
$0.075 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.007$	$12,17$	HEINTZ	92	CSB2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma \gamma$

¹² Assuming $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

¹³ LEES 14M quotes $\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (13.48 \pm 0.72) \times 10^{-3}$ combining the results from samples of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ with and without converted photons.

¹⁴ LEES 14M reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))] = (13.48 \pm 0.72) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

¹⁵ LEES 11J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))] = (12.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

¹⁶ CRAWFORD 92B quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times 2$
 $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = (6.47 \pm 1.12 \pm 0.82) 10^{-4}$.

¹⁷ Recalculated by us. HEINTZ 92 quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) = (0.91 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.06)\%$ using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.57 \pm 0.05)\%$. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.

NODE=M080R1
NODE=M080R1

NODE=M080R1;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M080R1;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M080R1;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M080R1;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M080R1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M080R1;LINKAGE=F

 $\Gamma(\pi \pi \chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

9.1±1.3 OUR AVERAGE

$9.2 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.8$	$31k$	¹⁸ LEES	11C	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$
$8.6 \pm 2.3 \pm 2.1$		¹⁹ CAWLFIELD	06	CLE3 $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow 2(\gamma \pi \ell)$

NODE=M080R4
NODE=M080R4

¹⁸ LEES 11C measures $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \chi_{b1}(2P)X) \times B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \chi_{b1}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-) = (1.16 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$. We derive the value assuming $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \chi_{b1}(2P)X) = B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \chi_{b1}(2P)\gamma) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M080R4;LINKAGE=LE

¹⁹ CAWLFIELD 06 quote $\Gamma(\chi_b(2P) \rightarrow \pi\pi\chi_b(1P)) = 0.83 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.19$ keV assuming l-spin conservation, no D-wave contribution, $\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P)) = 96 \pm 16$ keV, and $\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P)) = 138 \pm 19$ keV.

NODE=M080R4;LINKAGE=CA

 $\Gamma(D^0 X)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$8.8 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.8$	2243	²⁰ BRIERE	08	CLEO $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma D^0 X$

NODE=M080R01
NODE=M080R01

²⁰ For $p_{D^0} > 2.5$ GeV/c.

NODE=M080R01;LINKAGE=BR

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.1 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.3$	30	²¹ ASNER	08A	CLEO $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0$

NODE=M080R02
NODE=M080R02

²¹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(2P))] = (39 \pm 8 \pm 9) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M080R02;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.1 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1$	10	²² ASNER	08A	CLEO $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0$

NODE=M080R03
NODE=M080R03

²² ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(2P))] = (14 \pm 5 \pm 3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M080R03;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$7.7 \pm 3.1 \pm 0.7$	15	²³ ASNER	08A	CLEO $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-2\pi^0$

NODE=M080R04
NODE=M080R04

²³ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(2P))] = (97 \pm 30 \pm 26) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M080R04;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.9 \pm 2.0 \pm 0.5$	36	²⁴ ASNER	08A	CLEO $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0$

NODE=M080R05
NODE=M080R05

²⁴ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(2P))] = (74 \pm 16 \pm 19) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M080R05;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.0 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1$	12	²⁵ ASNER	08A	CLEO $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-$

NODE=M080R06
NODE=M080R06

²⁵ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(2P))] = (12 \pm 4 \pm 3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M080R06;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.5 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.5$	38	²⁶ ASNER	08A	CLEO $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0$

NODE=M080R07
NODE=M080R07

²⁶ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(2P))] = (69 \pm 13 \pm 17) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M080R07;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.6$\pm$3.5$\pm$0.9	27	27 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0$ 27 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^+ K^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))]$ = $(121 \pm 29 \pm 33) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M080R08
 NODE=M080R08

NODE=M080R08;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.7$\pm$2.5$\pm$0.6	17	28 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0$ 28 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^- K^- K_S^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))]$ = $(85 \pm 23 \pm 22) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M080R09
 NODE=M080R09

NODE=M080R09;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.2$\pm$0.4$\pm$0.1	18	29 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$ 29 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))]$ = $(15 \pm 4 \pm 3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M080R10
 NODE=M080R10

NODE=M080R10;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
12$\pm$4$\pm$1	44	30 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0$ 30 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))]$ = $(150 \pm 30 \pm 40) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M080R11
 NODE=M080R11

NODE=M080R11;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.0$\pm$0.7$\pm$0.2	16	31 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-$ 31 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))]$ = $(25 \pm 7 \pm 6) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M080R12
 NODE=M080R12

NODE=M080R12;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.1$\pm$2.1$\pm$0.6	25	32 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$ 32 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))]$ = $(77 \pm 17 \pm 21) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M080R13
 NODE=M080R13

NODE=M080R13;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(4\pi^+ 4\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.7$\pm$0.6$\pm$0.2	16	33 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 4\pi^+ 4\pi^-$ 33 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow 4\pi^+ 4\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))]$ = $(22 \pm 6 \pm 5) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M080R14
 NODE=M080R14

NODE=M080R14;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
19$\pm$7$\pm$2	41	34 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0$ 34 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow 4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))]$ = $(241 \pm 47 \pm 72) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M080R15
 NODE=M080R15

NODE=M080R15;LINKAGE=AS

$\chi_{b1}(2P)$ Cross-Particle Branching Ratios

NODE=M080230

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma_3/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{21}^{\Upsilon(3S)}}{\Gamma \Upsilon(3S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$12.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.6$	15k	LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$

NODE=M080B01
NODE=M080B01

$$B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) \times B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.9 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.		

NODE=M080A00
NODE=M080A00

3.52^{+0.28+0.17}_{-0.27-0.18} 35 LEES 14M BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

3.24 $\pm$ 0.56 $\pm$ 0.41 58 36 CRAWFORD 92B CLE2 $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$

2.34 $\pm$ 0.28 $\pm$ 0.15 37 HEINTZ 92 CSB2 $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$

35 From a sample of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ with one converted photon.


36 CRAWFORD 92B quotes $2 \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{bJ}(2P)) B(\chi_{bJ}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(nS))$
 $B(\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$.

NODE=M080A00;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M080A00;LINKAGE=C

37 Calculated by us. HEINTZ 92 quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) = (0.91 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.06)\%$ using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.57 \pm 0.05)\%$.

NODE=M080A00;LINKAGE=B

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
2.9 $\pm$ 0.4 (Error scaled by 1.9)



$$B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) \times B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$$

(units 10^{-4})

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S))}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma_2/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{21}^{\Upsilon(3S)}}{\Gamma \Upsilon(3S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.4 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.2$	4.3k	LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$

NODE=M080B02
NODE=M080B02

$$B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) \times B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.8 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below.		

NODE=M080A01
NODE=M080A01

4.95^{+0.75+1.01}_{-0.70-0.24} 38 LEES 14M BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

5.12 $\pm$ 0.60 $\pm$ 0.63 111 39 CRAWFORD 92B CLE2 $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$

3.30 $\pm$ 0.33 $\pm$ 0.20 40 HEINTZ 92 CSB2 $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$


38 From a sample of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ with one converted photon.

39 CRAWFORD 92B quotes $2 \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{bJ}(2P)) B(\chi_{bJ}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(nS))$
 $B(\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$.

NODE=M080A01;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M080A01;LINKAGE=C

40 Calculated by us. HEINTZ 92 quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) = (2.29 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.21)\%$ using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.44 \pm 0.10)\%$.

NODE=M080A01;LINKAGE=B



$B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) \times B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$
(units 10^{-4})

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.16±0.07±0.12	31k	LEES	11C BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$

NODE=M080R16
NODE=M080R16

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.109±0.007±0.040	BRIERE 07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{bJ}(2P)$

NODE=M080R20
NODE=M080R20

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.082±0.025±0.060	BRIERE 07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{bJ}(2P)$

NODE=M080R21
NODE=M080R21

$\chi_{b1}(2P)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M080

AAIJ	24AC	JHEP 2410 122	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=63036
LEES	14M	PR D90 112010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=56343
LEES	11C	PR D84 011104	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=16775
LEES	11J	PR D84 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53936
ASNER	08A	PR D78 091103	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52574
BRIERE	08	PR D78 092007	R.A. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52577
BRIERE	07	PR D76 012005	R.A. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51887
CAWLFIELD	06	PR D73 012003	C. Cawfield <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50997
ARTUSO	05	PRL 94 032001	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50454
CRONIN-HENNESSY	04	PRL 92 222002	D. Cronin-Hennessy <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=49766
CRAWFORD	92B	PL B294 139	G. Crawford <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=43177
HEINTZ	92	PR D46 1928	U. Heintz <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB II Collab.)	REFID=43604
HEINTZ	91	PRL 66 1563	U. Heintz <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)	REFID=41580
MORRISON	91	PRL 67 1696	R.J. Morrison <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=41634
NARAIN	91	PRL 66 3113	M. Narain <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)	REFID=41586

$h_b(2P)$

$$J^{PC} = 0^-(1^{+-})$$

Quantum numbers are quark model predictions. $C = -$ established by $\eta_b \gamma$ decay.

NODE=M205

NODE=M205

$h_b(2P)$ MASS

NODE=M205M

NODE=M205M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$10259.8 \pm 0.5 \pm 1.1$	90k	¹ MIZUK	12 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$10259.8 \pm 0.6^{+1.4}_{-1.0}$	83.9k	² ADACHI	12 BELL	$10.86 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ MM

¹ Observed with 9 standard deviations significance.

² Superseded by MIZUK 12.

NODE=M205M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M205M;LINKAGE=AD

$h_b(2P)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M205215;NODE=M205

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 hadrons	not seen	
Γ_2 $\eta_b(1S)\gamma$	$(22 \pm 5) \%$	
Γ_3 $\eta_b(2S)\gamma$	$(48 \pm 13) \%$	
Γ_4 $\Upsilon(1S)\eta$	$(7.1^{+4.0}_{-3.3}) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_5 $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$	$< 1.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_6 $\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)$	$< 27 \%$	90%
Γ_7 $\gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)$	$< 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_8 $\gamma\chi_{b2}(1P)$	$< 1.3 \%$	90%

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=7

DESIG=8

$h_b(2P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M205225

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
not seen	83.9k	ADACHI	12 BELL	$10.86 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ MM	

NODE=M205R01

NODE=M205R01

$\Gamma(\eta_b(1S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_2/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$22.3 \pm 3.8^{+3.1}_{-3.3}$	10k	MIZUK	12 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\pi^+\pi^-$ hadrons	

NODE=M205R02

NODE=M205R02

$\Gamma(\eta_b(2S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_3/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$47.5 \pm 10.5^{+6.8}_{-7.7}$	26k	MIZUK	12 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\pi^+\pi^-$ hadrons	

NODE=M205R03

NODE=M205R03

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_4/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$7.1^{+3.7}_{-3.2} \pm 0.8$	3.8	KOVALENKO	24 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$	

NODE=M205R00

NODE=M205R00

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_5/Γ
VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	0.1	KOVALENKO	24 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$

NODE=M205R04

NODE=M205R04

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_6/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$< 2.7 \times 10^{-1}$	90	BOSCHETTI	25 BELL	$\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$	

NODE=M205R05

NODE=M205R05

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_7/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$< 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	BOSCHETTI	25 BELL	$\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$	

NODE=M205R06

NODE=M205R06

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_8/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<1.3 \times 10^{-2}$	90	BOSCHETTI 25	BELL	$\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$	

NODE=M205R07
NODE=M205R07

$h_b(2P)$ REFERENCES

BOSCHETTI	25	PR D111 L011102	A. Boschetti <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
KOVALENKO	24	PRL 133 261901	E. Kovalenko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ADACHI	12	PRL 108 032001	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
MIZUK	12	PRL 109 232002	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

NODE=M205

REFID=63264
REFID=63017
REFID=53962
REFID=54718

NODE=M081

$\chi_{b2}(2P)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$
 J needs confirmation.

Observed in radiative decay of the $\Upsilon(3S)$, therefore $C = +$. Branching ratio requires E1 transition, M1 is strongly disfavored, therefore $P = +$.

NODE=M081

$\chi_{b2}(2P)$ MASS

NODE=M081M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
10268.65$\pm$0.22$\pm$0.50 OUR EVALUATION	From γ energy below, using $\Upsilon(3S)$ mass = 10355.2 $\pm$ 0.5 MeV

NODE=M081M
→ UNCHECKED ←

$m_{\chi_{b2}(2P)} - m_{\chi_{b1}(2P)}$

NODE=M081M2


NODE=M081M2

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
13.2 $\pm$0.4 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below.		
15.7 $\pm$ 1.0	50	¹ AAIJ	24AC LHCb	$\chi_b(2P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\mu^+\mu^-$
12.3 $\pm$ 2.6 $\pm$ 0.6		² AAIJ	14BG LHCb	$pp \rightarrow \gamma\mu^+\mu^-X$
13.04 $\pm$ 0.26		LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$
13.5 $\pm$ 0.4 $\pm$ 0.5		³ HEINTZ	92 CSB2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X, \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$

- ¹ Observed in prompt pp production.
² From the $\chi_{bj}(2P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\gamma$ transition.
³ From the average photon energy for inclusive and exclusive events. Supersedes NARAIN 91.

NODE=M081M2;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M081M2;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M081M2;LINKAGE=A

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
13.2 $\pm$ 0.4 (Error scaled by 1.8)



γ ENERGY IN $\Upsilon(3S)$ DECAY

NODE=M081DM

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
86.19±0.22 OUR EVALUATION		Treating systematic errors as correlated		
86.40±0.18 OUR AVERAGE				
86.04±0.06±0.27		ARTUSO	05	CLEO $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
86 ±1	101	CRAWFORD	92B	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
86.7 ±0.4	10319	⁴ HEINTZ	92	CSB2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
86.9 ±0.4	157	⁵ HEINTZ	92	CSB2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
86.4 ±0.1 ±0.4	30741	MORRISON	91	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
⁴ A systematic uncertainty on the energy scale of 0.9% not included. Supersedes NARAIN 91.				
⁵ A systematic uncertainty on the energy scale of 0.9% not included. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.				

NODE=M081DM
→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2

NODE=M081DM;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M081DM;LINKAGE=B

$\chi_{b2}(2P)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M081215;NODE=M081

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \quad \omega \Upsilon(1S)$	$(1.10^{+0.34}_{-0.30}) \%$	
$\Gamma_2 \quad \gamma \Upsilon(2S)$	$(8.9 \pm 1.2) \%$	
$\Gamma_3 \quad \gamma \Upsilon(1S)$	$(6.6 \pm 0.8) \%$	
$\Gamma_4 \quad \pi\pi\chi_{b2}(1P)$	$(5.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_5 \quad D^0 X$	$< 2.4 \%$	90%
$\Gamma_6 \quad \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_7 \quad 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^0$	$< 9 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_8 \quad 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^02\pi^0$	$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_9 \quad 2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0$	$(3.9 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{10} \quad 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-$	$(9 \pm 4) \times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_{11} \quad 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0$	$(2.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{12} \quad 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-2\pi^0$	$(4.7 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{13} \quad 3\pi^+2\pi^-K^-K_S^0\pi^0$	$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{14} \quad 3\pi^+3\pi^-$	$(9 \pm 4) \times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_{15} \quad 3\pi^+3\pi^-2\pi^0$	$(1.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{16} \quad 3\pi^+3\pi^-K^+K^-$	$(1.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{17} \quad 3\pi^+3\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0$	$(4.2 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{18} \quad 4\pi^+4\pi^-$	$(9 \pm 5) \times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_{19} \quad 4\pi^+4\pi^-2\pi^0$	$(1.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	

DESIG=3

DESIG=2

DESIG=1

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=7

DESIG=8

DESIG=9

DESIG=10

DESIG=11

DESIG=12

DESIG=13

DESIG=14

DESIG=15

DESIG=16

DESIG=17

DESIG=18

DESIG=19

$\chi_{b2}(2P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M081220

$\Gamma(\omega \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_1/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-2})					
1.10^{+0.32+0.11}_{-0.28-0.10}	20.1 ^{+5.8} _{-5.1}	⁶ CRONIN-HEN..04	CLE3	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\omega \Upsilon(1S)$	

NODE=M081R3
NODE=M081R3

⁶ Using $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)) = (11.4 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = 2$
 $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 2 (2.48 \pm 0.06)\%$.

NODE=M081R3;LINKAGE=CR

$\Gamma(\gamma \Upsilon(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ
VALUE					
0.089±0.012 OUR AVERAGE					
0.085±0.010±0.010	^{7,8,9}	LEES	14M	BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$	
0.084±0.011±0.010	¹⁰	LEES	11J	BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$	
0.096±0.022±0.012	^{8,11}	CRAWFORD	92B	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$	
0.106±0.016±0.013	^{8,12}	HEINTZ	92	CSB2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$	

NODE=M081R2
NODE=M081R2

⁷ LEES 14M quotes $\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (1.12 \pm 0.13)\%$ combining the results from samples of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$ with and without converted photons.

NODE=M081R2;LINKAGE=D

⁸ Assuming $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$.

NODE=M081R2;LINKAGE=E

⁹ LEES 14M reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P))] = (1.12 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M081R2;LINKAGE=F

¹⁰ LEES 11J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P))] = (1.1 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P))$

NODE=M081R2;LINKAGE=LE

$= (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

- 11 CRAWFORD 92B quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) \times 2 B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = (4.98 \pm 0.94 \pm 0.62) 10^{-4}$.
- 12 Recalculated by us. HEINTZ 92 quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) = (1.90 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.18) \%$ using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.44 \pm 0.10)\%$. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.

NODE=M081R2;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M081R2;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(\gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.066±0.008 OUR AVERAGE				
0.061±0.004±0.007	13,14,15	LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$
0.070±0.004±0.008	11k	16 LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X \gamma$
0.077±0.018±0.009	14,17	CRAWFORD	92B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma \gamma$
0.061±0.009±0.007	14,18	HEINTZ	92 CSB2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma \gamma$

NODE=M081R1
NODE=M081R1

- 13 LEES 14M quotes $\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (8.03 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-3}$ combining the results from samples of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ with and without converted photons.

NODE=M081R1;LINKAGE=D

- 14 Assuming $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

NODE=M081R1;LINKAGE=E

- 15 LEES 14M reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))] = (8.03 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M081R1;LINKAGE=F

- 16 LEES 11J reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))] = (9.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M081R1;LINKAGE=LE

- 17 CRAWFORD 92B quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times 2 B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = (5.03 \pm 0.94 \pm 0.63) 10^{-4}$.

NODE=M081R1;LINKAGE=B

- 18 Recalculated by us. HEINTZ 92 quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) = (0.77 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.05)\%$ using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.57 \pm 0.05)\%$. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.

NODE=M081R1;LINKAGE=C

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi\chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.1±0.9 OUR AVERAGE				
4.9±0.7±0.6	17k	19 LEES	11C BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$
6.0±1.6±1.4		20 CAWLFIELD	06 CLE3	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow 2(\gamma\pi\ell)$

NODE=M081R4
NODE=M081R4

- 19 $(0.64 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$. We derive the value assuming $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \chi_{b2}(2P)X) = B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \chi_{b2}(2P)\gamma) = (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M081R4;LINKAGE=LE

- 20 CAWLFIELD 06 quote $\Gamma(\chi_b(2P) \rightarrow \pi\pi\chi_b(1P)) = 0.83 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.19$ keV assuming l-spin conservation, no D-wave contribution, $\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(2P)) = 96 \pm 16$ keV, and $\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P)) = 138 \pm 19$ keV.

NODE=M081R4;LINKAGE=CA

 $\Gamma(D^0 X)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.4 × 10⁻²	90	21,22 BRIERE	08 CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma D^0 X$

NODE=M081R01
NODE=M081R01

- 21 For $p_{D^0} > 2.5$ GeV/c.

- 22 The authors also present their result as $(0.2 \pm 1.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M081R01;LINKAGE=BR

NODE=M081R01;LINKAGE=RI

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.1	90	23 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$
23 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))] < 14 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) = 13.1 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M081R02
NODE=M081R02

NODE=M081R02;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.9	90	24 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0$

NODE=M081R03
NODE=M081R03

- 24 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ \pi^- K^- K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))] < 12 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) = 13.1 \times 10^{-2}$.

NODE=M081R03;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^02\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<7	90	25 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-2\pi^0$
25 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+\pi^-K^-K_S^02\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P))] < 87 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)) = 13.1 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M081R04
 NODE=M081R04

NODE=M081R04;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.9 \pm 1.6 \pm 0.5$	23	26 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0$
26 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P))] = (51 \pm 16 \pm 13) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M081R05
 NODE=M081R05

NODE=M081R05;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.9 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1$	11	27 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-$
27 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P))] = (12 \pm 4 \pm 3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M081R06
 NODE=M081R06

NODE=M081R06;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.4 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.3$	16	28 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0$
28 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P))] = (32 \pm 11 \pm 8) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M081R07
 NODE=M081R07

NODE=M081R07;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.7 \pm 2.2 \pm 0.6$	14	29 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-2\pi^0$
29 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-K^+K^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P))] = (62 \pm 23 \pm 17) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M081R08
 NODE=M081R08

NODE=M081R08;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+2\pi^-K^-K_S^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	30 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+2\pi^-K^-K_S^0\pi^0$
30 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+2\pi^-K^-K_S^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P))] < 58 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)) = 13.1 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M081R09
 NODE=M081R09

NODE=M081R09;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+3\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.9 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1$	14	31 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+3\pi^-$
31 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P))] = (12 \pm 4 \pm 3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M081R10
 NODE=M081R10

NODE=M081R10;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+3\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$12 \pm 4 \pm 1$	45	32 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+3\pi^-2\pi^0$
32 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P))] = (159 \pm 33 \pm 43) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M081R11
 NODE=M081R11

NODE=M081R11;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.4±0.7±0.2	12	33 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-$
33 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))] = (19 \pm 7 \pm 5) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M081R12
 NODE=M081R12

NODE=M081R12;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.2±1.7±0.5	16	34 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$
34 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))] = (55 \pm 16 \pm 15) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M081R13
 NODE=M081R13

NODE=M081R13;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(4\pi^+ 4\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.9±0.4±0.1	9	35 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 4\pi^+ 4\pi^-$
35 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow 4\pi^+ 4\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))] = (12 \pm 5 \pm 3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M081R14
 NODE=M081R14

NODE=M081R14;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
13±5±2	27	36 ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma 4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0$
36 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow 4\pi^+ 4\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))] = (165 \pm 46 \pm 50) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M081R15
 NODE=M081R15

NODE=M081R15;LINKAGE=AS

 $\chi_{b2}(2P)$ Cross-Particle Branching Ratios

NODE=M081230

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_3/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{20}^{\Upsilon(3S)}/\Gamma \Upsilon(3S)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.2±0.3±0.4	11k	LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$

NODE=M081B01
 NODE=M081B01

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_2/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{20}^{\Upsilon(3S)}/\Gamma \Upsilon(3S)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.1±0.1±0.1	2.5k	LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$

NODE=M081B02
 NODE=M081B02

 $B(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \chi_{b2}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \chi_{b2}(2P)X)$

NODE=M081R16
 NODE=M081R16

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.64±0.05±0.08	17k	LEES	11C BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$

 $B(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) \times B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)$

NODE=M081A01
 NODE=M081A01

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.02±0.18 OUR AVERAGE				
$1.95^{+0.22+0.10}_{-0.21-0.16}$		37 LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$
$2.52 \pm 0.47 \pm 0.32$	48	38 CRAWFORD	92B CLE2	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$
$1.98 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.12$		39 HEINTZ	92 CSB2	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$

37 From a sample of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ with converted photons.

38 CRAWFORD 92B quotes $2 \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{bJ}(2P)) B(\chi_{bJ}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(nS)) B(\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$.

39 Calculated by us. HEINTZ 92 quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) = (0.77 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.05)\%$ using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.57 \pm 0.05)\%$.

NODE=M081A01;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M081A01;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M081A01;LINKAGE=B

$$[B(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))] / [B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))]$$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
66.6±3.0	40 LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

⁴⁰ From a sample of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ events without converted photons.

NODE=M081A00
NODE=M081A00

NODE=M081A00;LINKAGE=A

$$B(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) \times B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.74±0.29 OUR AVERAGE				

3.22^{+0.58+0.16}_{-0.53-0.71} 41 LEES 14M BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

2.49±0.47±0.31 53 42 CRAWFORD 92B CLE2 $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$

2.74±0.33±0.18 43 HEINTZ 92 CSB2 $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$

⁴¹ From a sample of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ with converted photons.

⁴² CRAWFORD 92B quotes $2 \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{bJ}(2P)) B(\chi_{bJ}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(nS)) B(\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)$.

⁴³ Calculated by us. HEINTZ 92 quotes $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P)) \times B(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) = (1.90 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.18) \%$ using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.44 \pm 0.10) \%$.

NODE=M081A02
NODE=M081A02

NODE=M081A02;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M081A02;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M081A02;LINKAGE=B

$$[B(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))] / [B(\chi_{b1}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))]$$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
46.9±2.0	44 LEES	14M BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

⁴⁴ From a sample of $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$ without converted photons.

NODE=M081A03
NODE=M081A03

NODE=M081A03;LINKAGE=A

$\chi_{b2}(2P)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	24AC	JHEP 2410 122	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	14BG	JHEP 1410 088	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
LEES	14M	PR D90 112010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11C	PR D84 011104	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11J	PR D84 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ASNER	08A	PR D78 091103	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BRIERE	08	PR D78 092007	R.A. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
CAWLFIELD	06	PR D73 012003	C. Cawlfeld <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTUSO	05	PRL 94 032001	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
CRONIN-HEN...	04	PRL 92 222002	D. Cronin-Hennessy <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
CRAWFORD	92B	PL B294 139	G. Crawford <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
HEINTZ	92	PR D46 1928	U. Heintz <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB II Collab.)
HEINTZ	91	PRL 66 1563	U. Heintz <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)
MORRISON	91	PRL 67 1696	R.J. Morrison <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
NARAIN	91	PRL 66 3113	M. Narain <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)

NODE=M081

REFID=63036
REFID=56199
REFID=56343
REFID=16775
REFID=53936
REFID=52574
REFID=52577
REFID=50997
REFID=50454
REFID=49766
REFID=43177
REFID=43604
REFID=41580
REFID=41634
REFID=41586

$$\Upsilon(3S)$$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

NODE=M048

$\Upsilon(3S)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10355.1±0.5	¹ SHAMOV	23 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

10355.2±0.5 2,3 ARTAMONOV 00 MD1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

10355.3±0.5 4,5 BARU 86B MD1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Reanalysis of MD1 data using the electron mass from COHEN 87, the radiative corrections from KURAEV 85 and interference effects.

² Reanalysis of BARU 86B using new electron mass (COHEN 87).

³ Superseded by SHAMOV 23.

⁴ Reanalysis of ARTAMONOV 84.

⁵ Superseded by ARTAMONOV 00.

NODE=M048M

NODE=M048M

NODE=M048M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M048M;LINKAGE=AR
NODE=M048M;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M048M;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M048M;LINKAGE=RZ

$$m_{\Upsilon(3S)} = m_{\Upsilon(2S)}$$

NODE=M048DM2


VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
331.82±0.08 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.
331.77±0.07±0.10	1.8M	⁶ AAIJ	24AC LHCb	$\Upsilon \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$
331.88±0.03±0.04	1.5k	⁶ AAIJ	24AC LHCb	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) \pi^+ \pi^-$
331.50±0.02±0.13		LEES	11C BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$

NODE=M048DM2

OCCUR=2

⁶ Observed in prompt $p\bar{p}$ production.

NODE=M048DM2;LINKAGE=A



$\Upsilon(3S)$ WIDTH

NODE=M048W

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID
20.32±1.85 OUR EVALUATION	See the Note on "Width Determinations of the Υ States"

Radiative decays

Γ_{20}	$\gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)$	$(13.1 \pm 1.6) \%$	$S=3.4$
Γ_{21}	$\gamma\chi_{b1}(2P)$	$(12.6 \pm 1.2) \%$	$S=2.4$
Γ_{22}	$\gamma\chi_{b0}(2P)$	$(5.9 \pm 0.6) \%$	$S=1.4$
Γ_{23}	$\gamma\chi_{b2}(1P)$	$(10.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$	$S=1.7$
Γ_{24}	$\gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)$	$(9 \pm 5) \times 10^{-4}$	$S=1.8$
Γ_{25}	$\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)$	$(2.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{26}	$\gamma\eta_b(2S)$	$< 6.2 \times 10^{-4}$	$CL=90\%$
Γ_{27}	$\gamma\eta_b(1S)$	$(5.1 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{28}	$\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \text{hadrons}$	$< 8 \times 10^{-5}$	$CL=90\%$
Γ_{29}	$\gamma X \rightarrow \gamma + \geq 4 \text{ prongs}$	$[a] < 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	$CL=95\%$
Γ_{30}	$\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$	$< 5.5 \times 10^{-6}$	$CL=90\%$
Γ_{31}	$\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tau^+ \tau^-$	$[b] < 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	$CL=90\%$

NODE=M048;CLUMP=B

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=7

DESIG=103

DESIG=104

DESIG=13

DESIG=14

DESIG=15

DESIG=115

DESIG=102

DESIG=116

DESIG=108

Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes

Γ_{32}	$e^\pm \tau^\mp$	LF	$< 4.2 \times 10^{-6}$	$CL=90\%$
Γ_{33}	$e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	$< 3.6 \times 10^{-7}$	$CL=90\%$
Γ_{34}	$\mu^\pm \tau^\mp$	LF	$< 3.1 \times 10^{-6}$	$CL=90\%$

NODE=M048;CLUMP=C

DESIG=111

DESIG=119

DESIG=105

[a] $1.5 \text{ GeV} < m_X < 5.0 \text{ GeV}$

LINKAGE=C48

[b] For $m_{\tau^+ \tau^-}$ in the ranges 4.03–9.52 and 9.61–10.10 GeV.

LINKAGE=MRG

 $\Upsilon(3S) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M048218

 $\Gamma(\text{hadrons}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_{16} \Gamma_{15} / \Gamma$**

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M048G2

NODE=M048G2

0.414 ± 0.007 OUR AVERAGE0.413 ± 0.004 ± 0.006 ROSNER 06 CLEO $10.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ 0.45 ± 0.03 ± 0.03 ⁷ GILES 84B CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ ⁷ Radiative corrections reevaluated by BUCHMUELLER 88 following KURAEV 85.

NODE=M048G2;LINKAGE=R

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_6 \Gamma_{15} / \Gamma$**

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M048G01

NODE=M048G01

18.46 ± 0.27 ± 0.77 6.4k ⁸ AUBERT 08BP BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$ ⁸ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

NODE=M048G01;LINKAGE=AU

 $\Upsilon(3S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M048220

 $\Gamma(e^+ e^-)$ **Γ_{15}**

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID

NODE=M048W2

NODE=M048W2

0.443 ± 0.008 OUR EVALUATION

→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\Upsilon(3S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M048225

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \text{anything}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_1 / Γ**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M048R8

NODE=M048R8

0.106 ± 0.008 OUR AVERAGE0.1023 ± 0.0105 4625 ^{9,10,11} BUTLER 94B CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- X$ 0.111 ± 0.012 4891 ^{10,11,12} BROCK 91 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X, \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$ ⁹ Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \gamma \gamma) = (0.038 \pm 0.007)\%$, and $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^0 \pi^0) = (1/2)B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)$.

NODE=M048R;LINKAGE=A

¹⁰ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.06)\%$. With the assumption of $e\mu$ universality.

NODE=M048R;LINKAGE=B

¹¹ Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (18.5 \pm 0.8)\%$.

NODE=M048R;LINKAGE=D

¹² Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.31 \pm 0.21)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \gamma \gamma) \times 2B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (0.188 \pm 0.035)\%$, and $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^0 \pi^0) \times 2B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (0.436 \pm 0.056)\%$. With the assumption of $e\mu$ universality.

NODE=M048R;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.82 ± 0.18 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.		
$3.00 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.14$	543k	LEES	11C BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- X$
$2.40 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.26$	800	¹³ AUBERT	08BP BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- e^+e^-$
3.12 ± 0.49	980	^{14,15} BUTLER	94B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \ell^+\ell^-$
2.13 ± 0.38	974	¹⁶ BROCK	91 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- X,$ $\pi^+\pi^- \ell^+\ell^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$4.82 \pm 0.65 \pm 0.53$	138	¹⁶ WU	93 CUSB	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \ell^+\ell^-$
3.1 ± 2.0	5	MAGERAS	82 CUSB	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \ell^+\ell^-$
¹³ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$, and $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(3S)) = 0.443 \pm 0.008$ keV.				
¹⁴ From the exclusive mode.				
¹⁵ Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\gamma\gamma) = (0.038 \pm 0.007)\%$, and $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0) = (1/2)B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$.				
¹⁶ Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.31 \pm 0.21)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\gamma\gamma) \times 2B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (0.188 \pm 0.035)\%$, and $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0) \times 2B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (0.436 \pm 0.056)\%$. With the assumption of $e\mu$ universality.				


NODE=M048R4
NODE=M048R4

NODE=M048R4;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M048R;LINKAGE=M
NODE=M048R4;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M048R4;LINKAGE=C

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 2.82 ± 0.18 (Error scaled by 1.6)

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.85 ± 0.14 OUR AVERAGE				
$1.82 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.12$	4391	¹⁷ BHARI	09 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 \ell^+\ell^-$
2.16 ± 0.39		^{18,19} BUTLER	94B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 \ell^+\ell^-$
$1.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.2$	10	²⁰ HEINTZ	92 CSB2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 \ell^+\ell^-$

¹⁷ Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 4.06\%$.
¹⁸ $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.31 \pm 0.21)\%$ and assuming $e\mu$ universality.
¹⁹ From the exclusive mode.
²⁰ $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.44 \pm 0.10)\%$ and assuming $e\mu$ universality. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.

NODE=M048R10
NODE=M048R10

NODE=M048R10;LINKAGE=BH
NODE=M048R;LINKAGE=K
NODE=M048R10;LINKAGE=M
NODE=M048R;LINKAGE=G

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0502 ± 0.0069	²¹ BUTLER	94B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^- 2\gamma$

²¹ From the exclusive mode.

NODE=M048R12
NODE=M048R12

NODE=M048R12;LINKAGE=M

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.51	90	²² HE	08A CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^- \gamma\gamma$

²² Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 4.06\%$.

NODE=M048R25
NODE=M048R25

NODE=M048R25;LINKAGE=HE

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ Abbreviation MM in the *COMMENT* field below stands for missing mass.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.37±0.08 OUR AVERAGE				
4.32±0.07±0.13	90k	23 LEES	11L BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
4.46±0.01±0.13	190k	24 BHARI	09 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ MM
4.17±0.06±0.19	6.4k	25 AUBERT	08BP BABR	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
4.52±0.35	11830	26 BUTLER	94B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$, $\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
4.46±0.34±0.50	451	26 WU	93 CUSB	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
4.46±0.30	11221	26 BROCK	91 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$, $\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.9 ±1.0	22	GREEN	82 CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
3.9 ±1.3	26	MAGERAS	82 CUSB	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
23 Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.				
24 A weighted average of the inclusive and exclusive results.				
25 Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (1.91 \pm 0.16)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$, and $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(3S)) = 0.443 \pm 0.008$ keV.				
26 Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.06)\%$. With the assumption of $e\mu$ universality.				

NODE=M048R3

NODE=M048R3

NODE=M048R3

NODE=M048R3;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M048R3;LINKAGE=BH

NODE=M048R3;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M048R3;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_2/Γ_6

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.577±0.026±0.060	800	27 AUBERT	08BP BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
27 Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (1.91 \pm 0.16)\%$, and $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$. Not independent of other values reported by AUBERT 08BP.				

NODE=M048R28

NODE=M048R28

NODE=M048R28;LINKAGE=AU

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.20±0.13 OUR AVERAGE				
2.24±0.09±0.11	6584	28 BHARI	09 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
1.99±0.34	56	29 BUTLER	94B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
2.2 ±0.4 ±0.3	33	30 HEINTZ	92 CSB2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
28 Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 4.96\%$.				
29 Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.06)\%$ and assuming $e\mu$ universality.				
30 Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.57 \pm 0.07)\%$ and assuming $e\mu$ universality. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.				

NODE=M048R11

NODE=M048R11

NODE=M048R11;LINKAGE=BH

NODE=M048R11;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M048R;LINKAGE=I

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_7/Γ_6

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.501±0.043	31 BHARI	09 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)$
31 Not independent of other values reported by BHARI 09.			

NODE=M048R26

NODE=M048R26

NODE=M048R26;LINKAGE=BH

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.1	90	32 LEES	11L BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow (\pi^+\pi^-)(\gamma\gamma)\ell^+\ell^-$
<0.8	90	32,33 AUBERT	08BP BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
<0.18	90	34 HE	08A CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\eta$
<2.2	90	BROCK	91 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\eta$
32 Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.				
33 Using $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(3S)) = 0.443 \pm 0.008$ keV.				
34 Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 4.96\%$.				

NODE=M048R9

NODE=M048R9

NODE=M048R9;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M048R9;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M048R9;LINKAGE=HE

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_8/Γ_6

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.23	90	35 LEES	11L BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow (\pi^+\pi^-)(\gamma\gamma)\ell^+\ell^-$
<1.9	90	36 AUBERT	08BP BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-(\pi^0)\ell^+\ell^-$
35 Not independent of other values reported by LEES 11L.				
36 Not independent of other values reported by AUBERT 08BP.				

NODE=M048R27

NODE=M048R27

NODE=M048R27;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M048R27;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.07	90	³⁷ HE 08A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
³⁷ Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 4.96\%$.				

NODE=M048R24
 NODE=M048R24

NODE=M048R24;LINKAGE=HE

 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.2 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	³⁸ GE 11	CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^0$ anything
³⁸ Assuming $M(h_b(1P)) = 9900$ MeV and $\Gamma(h_b(1P)) = 0$ MeV, and allowing $B(h_b(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_b(1S))$ to vary from 0–100%.				

NODE=M048R03
 NODE=M048R03

NODE=M048R03;LINKAGE=GE

 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\eta_b(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.3$\pm$1.1$\pm$0.9	LEES	11K	BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \eta_b\gamma\pi^0$

NODE=M048R33
 NODE=M048R33

 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 1.2	90	³⁹ LEES 11C	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<18		³⁹ BUTLER 94B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$
<15		³⁹ BROCK 91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$
³⁹ For $M(h_b(1P)) = 9900$ MeV.				

NODE=M048R34
 NODE=M048R34

NODE=M048R34;LINKAGE=MH

 $\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.29$\pm$0.21$\pm$0.22	15k	⁴⁰ BESSON 07	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$
⁴⁰ BESSON 07 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)] = 1.05 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.18 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

NODE=M048R18
 NODE=M048R18

NODE=M048R18;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ Γ_{13}/Γ_{14}


VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.968$\pm$0.016 OUR AVERAGE				
0.966 $\pm$ 0.008 $\pm$ 0.014	2.2M	LEES	20E	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)$
1.05 $\pm$ 0.08 $\pm$ 0.05	15k	BESSON	07	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)$

NODE=M048R19
 NODE=M048R19

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0218$\pm$0.0021 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.
0.0239 $\pm$ 0.0007 $\pm$ 0.0010	81k	ADAMS	05	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
0.0202 $\pm$ 0.0019 $\pm$ 0.0033		CHEN	89B	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
0.0173 $\pm$ 0.0015 $\pm$ 0.0011		KAARSBERG	89	CSB2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
0.033 $\pm$ 0.013 $\pm$ 0.007	1096	ANDREWS	83	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

NODE=M048R1
 NODE=M048R1

 **$\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
35.7±2.6	3M	⁴¹ BESSON	06A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

⁴¹ Calculated using BESSON 06A value of $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg) = (2.72 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.37)\%$ and the PDG 08 values of $B(\Upsilon(2S) + \text{anything}) = (10.6 \pm 0.8)\%$, $B(\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S)) = (4.40 \pm 0.10)\%$, $B(\pi^0\pi^0\Upsilon(1S)) = (2.20 \pm 0.13)\%$, $B(\gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6)\%$, $B(\gamma\chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2)\%$, $B(\gamma\chi_{b0}(2P)) = (5.9 \pm 0.6)\%$, $B(\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)) = (0.30 \pm 0.11)\%$, $B(\mu^+\mu^-) = (2.18 \pm 0.21)\%$, and $R_{\text{hadrons}} = 3.51$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ BESSON 06A value.

 $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.97±0.18	60k	⁴² BESSON	06A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$

⁴² Calculated using BESSON 06A values of $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg) = (2.72 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.37)\%$ and $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ BESSON 06A value.

 $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg)$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.72±0.06±0.49	3M	BESSON	06A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow (\gamma +) \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(\overline{2H} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.33±0.15^{+0.31}_{-0.28}	LEES	14G BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \overline{2H} X$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b2}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.131 ±0.016 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 3.4. See the ideogram below.		

0.1579±0.0017±0.0073	568k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
0.111 ±0.005 ±0.004	10319	⁴³ HEINTZ	92	CSB2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
0.135 ±0.003 ±0.017	30741	MORRISON	91	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

⁴³ Supersedes NARAIN 91.

NODE=M048R30
NODE=M048R30

NODE=M048R30;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M048R31
NODE=M048R31


NODE=M048R31;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=M048R32
NODE=M048R32

NODE=M048R00
NODE=M048R00

NODE=M048R5
NODE=M048R5

NODE=M048R;LINKAGE=H




$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b1}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{21}/Γ	
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.126 ±0.012 OUR AVERAGE					Error includes scale factor of 2.4. See the ideogram below.	
0.1454±0.0018±0.0073	537k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$	
0.115 ±0.005 ±0.005	11147	44 HEINTZ	92	CSB2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$	
0.105 +0.003 -0.002 ±0.013	25759	MORRISON	91	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$	

⁴⁴Supersedes NARAIN 91.

NODE=M048R6
NODE=M048R6

NODE=M048R6;LINKAGE=H




$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b0}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{22}/Γ	
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.059 ±0.006 OUR AVERAGE					Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.	
0.0677±0.0020±0.0065	225k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$	
0.060 ±0.004 ±0.006	4959	45 HEINTZ	92	CSB2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$	
0.049 +0.003 -0.004 ±0.006	9903	MORRISON	91	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$	

NODE=M048R7
NODE=M048R7

⁴⁵ Supersedes NARAIN 91.

NODE=M048R7;LINKAGE=H



$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.0±1.0 OUR AVERAGE			Error includes scale factor of 1.7.		
8.0±1.3±0.4		126	^{46,47} KORNICER	11 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
10.5±0.3 ^{+0.7} _{-0.6}		9.7k	LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<19	90		⁴⁸ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$
seen			⁴⁹ HEINTZ	92 CSB2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

NODE=M048R21
NODE=M048R21

⁴⁶ Assuming $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

⁴⁷ KORNICER 11 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S))]$
 $= (1.435 \pm 0.162 \pm 0.169) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S)) = (18.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴⁸ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))]$
 $< 27.1 \times 10^{-2}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P)) = 7.15 \times 10^{-2}$.

⁴⁹ HEINTZ 92, while unable to distinguish between different J states, measures $\sum_J B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{bJ}) \times B(\chi_{bJ} \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S)) = (1.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ for $J = 0,1,2$ using inclusive $\Upsilon(1S)$ decays and $(1.2^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$ for $J = 1,2$ using $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$.

NODE=M048R21;LINKAGE=KA
NODE=M048R21;LINKAGE=KR

NODE=M048R21;LINKAGE=AS

NODE=M048R21;LINKAGE=HE

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.9±0.5 OUR AVERAGE			Error includes scale factor of 1.8.		
1.5±0.4±0.1		50	^{50,51} KORNICER	11 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
0.5±0.3 ^{+0.2} _{-0.1}			LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<1.7	90		⁵² ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$
seen			⁵³ HEINTZ	92 CSB2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

NODE=M048R22
NODE=M048R22

⁵⁰ Assuming $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

⁵¹ KORNICER 11 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S))]$
 $= (5.38 \pm 1.20 \pm 0.95) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S)) = (35.2 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁵² ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))] < 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)) = 6.9 \times 10^{-2}$.

⁵³ HEINTZ 92, while unable to distinguish between different J states, measures $\sum_J B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{bJ}) \times B(\chi_{bJ} \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S)) = (1.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ for $J = 0,1,2$ using inclusive $\Upsilon(1S)$ decays and $(1.2^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$ for $J = 1,2$ using $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$.

NODE=M048R22;LINKAGE=KA
NODE=M048R22;LINKAGE=KR

NODE=M048R22;LINKAGE=AS

NODE=M048R22;LINKAGE=HE

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.27±0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
0.27±0.04±0.02		2.3k	LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$
0.30±0.04±0.10		8.7k	ARTUSO	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.8	90	⁵⁴ ASNER	08A CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$
⁵⁴ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))]$ $< 21.9 \times 10^{-2}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)) = 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$.				

NODE=M048R15
NODE=M048R15

NODE=M048R15;LINKAGE=AS

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta_b(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 6.2				
	90	ARTUSO	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<19	90	LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$

NODE=M048R16
NODE=M048R16

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta_b(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.1±0.7 OUR AVERAGE					
7.1±1.8±1.3		2.3 ± 0.5k	⁵⁵ BONVICINI	10 CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
4.8±0.5±0.6		19 ± 3k	⁵⁵ AUBERT	09AQ BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<8.5	90		LEES	11J BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$
4.8±0.5±1.2		19 ± 3k	^{55,56} AUBERT	08V BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
<4.3	90		⁵⁷ ARTUSO	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

⁵⁵ Assuming $\Gamma_{\eta_b(1S)} = 10$ MeV.

⁵⁶ Systematic error re-evaluated by AUBERT 09AQ.

⁵⁷ Superseded by BONVICINI 10.

NODE=M048R17
NODE=M048R17

NODE=M048R17;LINKAGE=BO
NODE=M048R17;LINKAGE=AU
NODE=M048R17;LINKAGE=SU

 $\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

(0.3 GeV < m_{A^0} < 7 GeV)

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8 × 10⁻⁵				
	90	⁵⁸ LEES	11H BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{hadrons}$

⁵⁸ For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , excluding known resonances, with mass in the range 0.3–7 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of m_{A^0} range from 1×10^{-6} to 8×10^{-5} .

NODE=M048R02

NODE=M048R02
NODE=M048R02

NODE=M048R02;LINKAGE=LE

 $\Gamma(\gamma X \rightarrow \gamma + \geq 4 \text{ prongs})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

(1.5 GeV < m_X < 5.0 GeV)

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.2				
	95	ROSNER	07A CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

NODE=M048R20

NODE=M048R20
NODE=M048R20

 $\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5.5				
	90	⁵⁹ AUBERT	09Z BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

⁵⁹ For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , with mass in the range 212–9300 MeV, excluding J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of m_{A^0} range from 0.27 – 5.5×10^{-6} .

NODE=M048R04
NODE=M048R04

NODE=M048R04;LINKAGE=AU

 $\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tau^+ \tau^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.6 × 10⁻⁴				
	90	⁶⁰ AUBERT	09P BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tau^+ \tau^-$

⁶⁰ For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0 , with $M(\tau^+ \tau^-)$ in the ranges 4.03–9.52 and 9.61–10.10 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of $M(\tau^+ \tau^-)$ range from 1.5 – 16×10^{-5} .

NODE=M048R29
NODE=M048R29

NODE=M048R29;LINKAGE=AU

LEPTON FAMILY NUMBER (LF) VIOLATING MODES

NODE=M048230

 $\Gamma(e^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.2				
	90	LEES	10B BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^\pm \tau^\mp$

NODE=M048R01
NODE=M048R01

$\Gamma(e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.6 \times 10^{-7}$	90	LEES	22A BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$

 Γ_{33}/Γ

NODE=M048R07
 NODE=M048R07

 $\Gamma(\mu^{\pm}\tau^{\mp})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.1	90	LEES	10B BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^{\pm}\tau^{\mp}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<20.3	95	LOVE	08A CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^{\pm}\tau^{\mp}$

 Γ_{34}/Γ

NODE=M048R23
 NODE=M048R23

 $\tau(3S)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M048

AAIJ	24AC	JHEP 2410 122	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=63036
SHAMOV	23	PL B839 137766	A.G. Shamov, O.L. Rezanova	(NOVO, NOVOU)	REFID=62012
LEES	22A	PRL 128 091804	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=61659
LEES	20E	PRL 125 241801	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=60700
LEES	14G	PR D89 111102	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55939
GE	11	PR D84 032008	J.Y. Ge <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53960
KORNICER	11	PR D83 054003	M. Kornicer <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=16769
LEES	11C	PR D84 011104	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=16775
LEES	11H	PRL 107 221803	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53877
LEES	11J	PR D84 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53936
LEES	11K	PR D84 091101	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53937
LEES	11L	PR D84 092003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53938
BONVICINI	10	PR D81 031104	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53231
LEES	10B	PRL 104 151802	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53355
AUBERT	09AQ	PRL 103 161801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53106
AUBERT	09P	PRL 103 181801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53062
AUBERT	09Z	PRL 103 081803	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52930
BHARI	09	PR D79 011103	S.R. Bhari <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52662
ASNER	08A	PR D78 091103	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52574
AUBERT	08BP	PR D78 112002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52660
AUBERT	08V	PRL 101 071801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52262
HE	08A	PRL 101 192001	Q. He <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52587
LOVE	08A	PRL 101 201601	W. Love <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52592
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=52166
BESSION	07	PRL 98 052002	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51620
ROSNER	07A	PR D76 117102	J.L. Rosner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52079
BESSION	06A	PR D74 012003	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51147
ROSNER	06	PRL 96 092003	J.L. Rosner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51035
ADAMS	05	PRL 94 012001	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50452
ARTUSO	05	PRL 94 032001	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50454
ARTAMONOV	00	PL B474 427	A.S. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47424
BUTLER	94B	PR D49 40	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=43799
WU	93	PL B301 307	Q.W. Wu <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)	REFID=43313
HEINTZ	92	PR D46 1928	U. Heintz <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB II Collab.)	REFID=43604
BROCK	91	PR D43 1448	I.C. Brock <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=41579
HEINTZ	91	PRL 66 1563	U. Heintz <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)	REFID=41580
MORRISON	91	PRL 67 1696	R.J. Morrison <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=41634
NARAIN	91	PRL 66 3113	M. Narain <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)	REFID=41586
CHEN	89B	PR D39 3528	W.Y. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=40919
KAARSBERG	89	PRL 62 2077	T.M. Kaarsberg <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)	REFID=40733
BUCHMUEL...	88	HE e^+e^- Physics 412	W. Buchmueller, S. Cooper	(HANN, DESY, MIT)	REFID=40034
Editors: A. Ali and P. Soeding, World Scientific, Singapore					
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)	REFID=11616
BARU	86B	ZPHY C32 622 (errat.)	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=22338
KURAEV	85	SJNP 41 466	E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin	(NOVO)	REFID=40033
Translated from YAF 41 733.					
ARTAMONOV	84	PL 137B 272	A.S. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=22278
GILES	84B	PR D29 1285	R. Giles <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=22280
ANDREWS	83	PRL 50 807	D.E. Andrews <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=22273
GREEN	82	PRL 49 617	J. Green <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=22321
MAGERAS	82	PL 118B 453	G. Mageras <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, CORN, LSU+)	REFID=22359

$\chi_{b1}(3P)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$$

J needs confirmation.

Observed in the radiative decay to $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, therefore $C = +$.

NODE=M206

NODE=M206

NODE=M206M

NODE=M206M

OCCUR=2

NODE=M206M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M206M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M206M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M206M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M206M;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M206215;NODE=M206

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

NODE=M206225

 NODE=M206R01
 NODE=M206R01

NODE=M206R01;LINKAGE=A

 NODE=M206R02
 NODE=M206R02

NODE=M206R02;LINKAGE=A

 NODE=M206R03
 NODE=M206R03
 $\chi_{b1}(3P)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10513.42 ± 0.41 ± 0.53		¹ SIRUNYAN	18N CMS	$pp \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
10515.7 $\pm 2.2 \pm 1.5$ $-3.9 -2.1$	169	² AAIJ	14BG LHCb	$pp \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$
10512.1 $\pm 2.1 \pm 0.9$	351	³ AAIJ	14BG LHCb	$pp \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$
10511.3 $\pm 1.7 \pm 2.5$	182	⁴ AAIJ	14BI LHCb	$pp \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$
10530 $\pm 5 \pm 9$		⁵ AAD	12A ATLS	$pp \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$
10551 $\pm 14 \pm 17$		⁵ ABAZOV	12Q D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$

¹ Systematic error includes an additional 0.5 MeV for the uncertainty on the $\Upsilon(3S)$ mass. Also measures $m_{\chi_{b2}(3P)} - m_{\chi_{b1}(3P)} = 10.60 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.17$ MeV. A total of 372 $\chi_{b1}(3P)$ and $\chi_{b2}(3P)$ events was observed.

² From $\chi_{b1}(3P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S)\gamma$ transitions assuming $m_{\chi_{b2}(3P)} - m_{\chi_{b1}(3P)} = 10.5 \pm 1.5$ MeV and allowing for $\pm 30\%$ variation in the $\chi_{b2}(3P)$ production rate relative to that of $\chi_{b1}(3P)$.

³ The mass of the $\chi_{b1}(3P)$ state obtained by combining the results of AAIJ 14BG with that of AAIJ 14BI. The first uncertainty is experimental and the second attributable to the unknown mass splitting, assumed to be $m_{\chi_{b2}(3P)} - m_{\chi_{b1}(3P)} = 10.5 \pm 1.5$ MeV.

⁴ From $\chi_{b1}(3P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\gamma$ transition assuming $m_{\chi_{b2}(3P)} - m_{\chi_{b1}(3P)} = 10.5 \pm 1.5$ MeV.

⁵ The mass barycenter of the merged lineshapes from the $J = 1$ and 2 states.

 $\chi_{b1}(3P)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\Upsilon(1S)\gamma$	seen
Γ_2 $\Upsilon(2S)\gamma$	seen
Γ_3 $\Upsilon(3S)\gamma$	seen

 $\chi_{b1}(3P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_1/Γ
seen	169	¹ AAIJ	14BG LHCb	$pp \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
seen		AAD	12A ATLS	$pp \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$	
seen		ABAZOV	12Q D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$	

¹ From $\chi_{b1}(3P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S)\gamma$ transitions assuming $m_{\chi_{b2}(3P)} - m_{\chi_{b1}(3P)} = 10.5 \pm 1.5$ MeV and allowing for $\pm 30\%$ variation in the $\chi_{b2}(3P)$ production rate relative to that of $\chi_{b1}(3P)$.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ
seen	169	¹ AAIJ	14BG LHCb	$pp \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
seen		AAD	12A ATLS	$pp \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$	

¹ From $\chi_{b1}(3P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S)\gamma$ transitions assuming $m_{\chi_{b2}(3P)} - m_{\chi_{b1}(3P)} = 10.5 \pm 1.5$ MeV and allowing for $\pm 30\%$ variation in the $\chi_{b2}(3P)$ production rate relative to that of $\chi_{b1}(3P)$.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_3/Γ
seen		SIRUNYAN	18N CMS	$pp \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$	
seen	182	AAIJ	14BI LHCb	$pp \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$	

$\chi_{b1}(3P)$ REFERENCES

SIRUNYAN	18N	PRL 121 092002	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AAIJ	14BG	JHEP 1410 088	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	14BI	EPJ C74 3092	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAD	12A	PRL 108 152001	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
ABAZOV	12Q	PR D86 031103	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)

NODE=M206

REFID=58873
REFID=56199
REFID=56235
REFID=54037
REFID=54264

$\chi_{b2}(3P)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

J needs confirmation.

Observed in the radiative decay to $\Upsilon(3S)$, therefore $C = +$.

NODE=M238

NODE=M238

$\chi_{b2}(3P)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10524.02±0.57±0.53	¹ SIRUNYAN	18N CMS	$pp \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
10530 ±5 ±9	² AAD	12A ATLS	$pp \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$
¹ Systematic error includes an additional 0.5 MeV for the uncertainty on the $\Upsilon(3S)$ mass. Also measures $m_{\chi_{b2}(3P)} - m_{\chi_{b1}(3P)} = 10.60 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.17$ MeV. A total of 372 $\chi_{b1}(3P)$ and $\chi_{b2}(3P)$ events was observed.			
² The mass barycenter of the merged lineshapes from the $J = 1$ and 2 states.			

NODE=M238M

NODE=M238M

NODE=M238M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M238M;LINKAGE=AA

$\chi_{b2}(3P)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \Upsilon(3S)\gamma$	seen

NODE=M238215;NODE=M238

DESIG=1

$\chi_{b2}(3P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	SIRUNYAN	18N CMS	$pp \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- X$	

NODE=M238225

NODE=M238R01
NODE=M238R01

$\chi_{b2}(3P)$ REFERENCES

SIRUNYAN	18N	PRL 121 092002	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AAD	12A	PRL 108 152001	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)

NODE=M238

REFID=58873
REFID=54037

$\Upsilon(4S)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

also known as $\Upsilon(10580)$

NODE=M047

 $\Upsilon(4S)$ MASS

NODE=M047M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10579.4±1.2 OUR AVERAGE			
10579.3±0.4±1.2	AUBERT	05Q BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
10580.0±3.5	¹ BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
10577.4±1.0	² LOVELOCK	85 CUSB	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
¹ Reanalysis of BESSON 85.			
² No systematic error given.			

NODE=M047M

NODE=M047M;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M047M;LINKAGE=B **$\Upsilon(4S)$ WIDTH**

NODE=M047W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
20.5±2.5 OUR AVERAGE			
20.7±1.6±2.5	AUBERT	05Q BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
20 ±2 ±4	BESSON	85 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
25 ±2.5	LOVELOCK	85 CUSB	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M047W

 $\Upsilon(4S)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M047215;NODE=M047

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $B\bar{B}$	> 96 %	95%
Γ_2 B^+B^-	(51.4 ±0.6) %	
Γ_3 D^+_S anything + c.c.	(17.8 ±2.6) %	
Γ_4 $B^0\bar{B}^0$	(48.6 ±0.6) %	
Γ_5 $J/\psi K^0_S + (J/\psi, \eta_c) K^0_S$	< 4 × 10 ⁻⁷	90%
Γ_6 non- $B\bar{B}$	< 4 %	95%
Γ_7 e^+e^-	(1.57±0.08) × 10 ⁻⁵	
Γ_8 $\rho^+\rho^-$	< 5.7 × 10 ⁻⁶	90%
Γ_9 $K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0$	< 2.0 × 10 ⁻⁶	90%
Γ_{10} $J/\psi(1S)$ anything	< 1.9 × 10 ⁻⁴	95%
Γ_{11} D^{*+} anything + c.c.	< 7.4 %	90%
Γ_{12} ϕ anything	(7.1 ±0.6) %	
Γ_{13} $\phi\eta$	< 1.8 × 10 ⁻⁶	90%
Γ_{14} $\phi\eta'$	< 4.3 × 10 ⁻⁶	90%
Γ_{15} $\rho\eta$	< 1.3 × 10 ⁻⁶	90%
Γ_{16} $\rho\eta'$	< 2.5 × 10 ⁻⁶	90%
Γ_{17} $\Upsilon(1S)$ anything	< 4 × 10 ⁻³	90%
Γ_{18} $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	(8.2 ±0.4) × 10 ⁻⁵	
Γ_{19} $\Upsilon(1S)\eta$	(1.81±0.18) × 10 ⁻⁴	
Γ_{20} $\Upsilon(1S)\eta'$	(3.4 ±0.9) × 10 ⁻⁵	
Γ_{21} $\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	(8.2 ±0.8) × 10 ⁻⁵	
Γ_{22} $h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$	not seen	
Γ_{23} $h_b(1P)\eta$	(2.18±0.21) × 10 ⁻³	
Γ_{24} $\eta_b(1S)\omega$	< 1.8 × 10 ⁻⁴	90%
Γ_{25} ^2H anything	< 1.3 × 10 ⁻⁵	90%

DESIG=8;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=10

DESIG=12

DESIG=11

DESIG=15

DESIG=6

DESIG=1

DESIG=16

DESIG=22

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

DESIG=13

DESIG=18

DESIG=19

DESIG=20

DESIG=5

DESIG=7

DESIG=17

DESIG=26

DESIG=9

DESIG=21

DESIG=23

DESIG=27

DESIG=14


Double Radiative Decays

Γ_{26} $\gamma\gamma \Upsilon(D) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\eta \Upsilon(1S)$	< 2.3 × 10 ⁻⁵	90%

NODE=M047;CLUMP=B
DESIG=24

$\Upsilon(4S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS **$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$** **Γ_7**

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.272 ± 0.029 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.		
$0.321 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.029$	AUBERT	05Q BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
$0.28 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.01$	¹ ALBRECHT	95E ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
$0.192 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.038$	BESSON	85 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
0.283 ± 0.037	LOVELOCK	85 CUSB	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Using LEYAOUANC 77 parametrization of $\Gamma(s)$.WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 0.272 ± 0.029 (Error scaled by 1.5)

NODE=M047220

NODE=M047W1
NODE=M047W1

NODE=M047W1;LINKAGE=A

 $\Upsilon(4S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS **$B\bar{B}$ DECAYS**

The ratio of branching fraction to charged and neutral B mesons is often derived assuming isospin invariance in the decays, and relies on the knowledge of the B^+/B^0 lifetime ratio. "OUR EVALUATION" is obtained based on averages of rescaled data listed below. The average and rescaling were performed by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFLAV) and are described at <https://hflav.web.cern.ch/>. The averaging/rescaling procedure takes into account the common dependence of the measurement on the value of the lifetime ratio.

NODE=M047230

NODE=M047BBD

NODE=M047BBD

 $\Gamma(B^+B^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_2/Γ**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
0.514 ± 0.006 OUR EVALUATION	(Produced by HFLAV) Assuming $B(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}) = 1$

NODE=M047R11
NODE=M047R11
→ UNCHECKED ← **$\Gamma(D_s^+ \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.178 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.016$	¹ ARTUSO	05B CLE3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ X$
¹ ARTUSO 05B reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow D_s^+ \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+)] = (8.0 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (4.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.			

NODE=M047R13
NODE=M047R13

NODE=M047R13;LINKAGE=AR

 $\Gamma(B^0\bar{B}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_4/Γ**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.486 ± 0.006 OUR EVALUATION	Assuming $B(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}) = 1$		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.487 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.008$	¹ AUBERT,B	05H BABR	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \bar{B}B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu_\ell$

NODE=M047R12
NODE=M047R12
→ UNCHECKED ←

¹ Direct measurement. This value is averaged with the value extracted from the $\Gamma(B^+ B^-) / \Gamma(B^0 \bar{B}^0)$ measurements.

NODE=M047R12;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(B^+ B^-) / \Gamma(B^0 \bar{B}^0)$

Γ_2 / Γ_4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.058 ± 0.024 OUR EVALUATION			
1.065 ± 0.012 ± 0.051	¹ CHOUDHURY 23	BELL	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B \bar{B} \rightarrow J/\psi K$
1.006 ± 0.036 ± 0.031	² AUBERT 04F	BABR	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B \bar{B} \rightarrow J/\psi K$
1.01 ± 0.03 ± 0.09	² HASTINGS 03	BELL	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B \bar{B} \rightarrow \text{dileptons}$
1.058 ± 0.084 ± 0.136	³ ATHAR 02	CLEO	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B \bar{B} \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$
1.10 ± 0.06 ± 0.05	⁴ AUBERT 02	BABR	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B \bar{B} \rightarrow (c \bar{c}) K^*$
1.04 ± 0.07 ± 0.04	⁵ ALEXANDER 01	CLEO	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B \bar{B} \rightarrow J/\psi K^*$

NODE=M047R10
NODE=M047R10
→ UNCHECKED ←

¹ CHOUDHURY 23 includes uncertainty due to the isospin symmetry assumption in $B \rightarrow J/\psi K$ decays.

² HASTINGS 03 and AUBERT 04F assume $\tau(B^+) / \tau(B^0) = 1.083 \pm 0.017$.

³ ATHAR 02 assumes $\tau(B^+) / \tau(B^0) = 1.074 \pm 0.028$. Supersedes BARISH 95.

⁴ AUBERT 02 assumes $\tau(B^+) / \tau(B^0) = 1.062 \pm 0.029$.

⁵ ALEXANDER 01 assumes $\tau(B^+) / \tau(B^0) = 1.066 \pm 0.024$.

NODE=M047R10;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M047R10;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M047R10;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M047R10;LINKAGE=E
NODE=M047R10;LINKAGE=C

$[\Gamma(J/\psi K_S^0) + \Gamma((J/\psi, \eta_c) K_S^0)] / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_5 / Γ

Forbidden by CP invariance.

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	¹ TAJIMA 07A	BELL	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0$

¹ $\Upsilon(4S)$ with $CP = +1$ decays to the final state with $CP = -1$.

NODE=M047R16
NODE=M047R16
NODE=M047R16

NODE=M047R16;LINKAGE=TA

NODE=M047NBB

non- $B \bar{B}$ DECAYS

$\Gamma(\text{non-} B \bar{B}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_6 / Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.04	95	BARISH 96B	CLEO	$e^+ e^-$

NODE=M047R6
NODE=M047R6

$\Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_7 / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.57 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE			
1.55 ± 0.04 ± 0.07	AUBERT 05Q	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
2.77 ± 0.50 ± 0.49	¹ ALBRECHT 95E	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Using LEYAOUANC 77 parametrization of $\Gamma(s)$.

NODE=M047R5
NODE=M047R5

NODE=M047R5;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\rho^+ \rho^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_8 / Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5.7 × 10⁻⁶	90	AUBERT 08BO	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$

NODE=M047R17
NODE=M047R17

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_9 / Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.0 × 10⁻⁶	90	SHEN 13A	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0$

NODE=M047R02
NODE=M047R02

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \text{ anything}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{10} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.9	95	¹ ABE 02D	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<4.7 90 ¹ AUBERT 01C BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- X$

¹ Uses $B(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.0593 \pm 0.0010$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 0.0588 \pm 0.0010$.

NODE=M047R1
NODE=M047R1

NODE=M047R;LINKAGE=AC

$\Gamma(D^{*+} \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{11} / Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.074	90	¹ ALEXANDER 90C	CLEO	$e^+ e^-$

¹ For $x > 0.473$.

NODE=M047R2
NODE=M047R2

NODE=M047R2;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi \text{ anything}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{12} / Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.1 ± 0.1 ± 0.6		HUANG 07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \phi X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.23 90 ¹ ALEXANDER 90C CLEO $e^+ e^-$

¹ For $x > 0.52$.

NODE=M047R3
NODE=M047R3

NODE=M047R3;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.8	90	¹ BELOUS 09	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<2.5	90	AUBERT,BE 06F	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta$

¹ Using all intermedite branching fraction values from PDG 08.

NODE=M047R14
NODE=M047R14

NODE=M047R14;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(\phi\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.3	90	¹ BELOUS 09	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta'$

¹ Using all intermedite branching fraction values from PDG 08.

NODE=M047R21
NODE=M047R21

NODE=M047R21;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(\rho\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.3	90	¹ BELOUS 09	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\eta$

¹ Using all intermedite branching fraction values from PDG 08.

NODE=M047R22
NODE=M047R22

NODE=M047R22;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(\rho\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.5	90	¹ BELOUS 09	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\eta'$

¹ Using all intermedite branching fraction values from PDG 08.

NODE=M047R23
NODE=M047R23

NODE=M047R23;LINKAGE=BE

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S) \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.004	90	ALEXANDER 90C	CLEO	e^+e^-

NODE=M047R4
NODE=M047R4

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.2 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
8.2 ± 0.5 ± 0.4		515	GUIDO 17	BELL	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$
8.5 ± 1.3 ± 0.1	113 ± 16		¹ SOKOLOV 09	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$
8.00 ± 0.64 ± 0.27	430		² AUBERT 08BP	BABR	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
17.8 ± 4.0 ± 0.3			^{3,4} SOKOLOV 07	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$
9.0 ± 1.5 ± 0.2	167 ± 19		⁵ AUBERT 06R	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$
<12	90		GLENN 99	CLE2	e^+e^-

¹ SOKOLOV 09 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)] = (0.211 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.014) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

³ SOKOLOV 07 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)] = (4.42 \pm 0.81 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴ According to the authors, systematic errors were underestimated.

⁵ Superseded by AUBERT 08BP. AUBERT 06R reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)] = (2.23 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M047R7
NODE=M047R7

NODE=M047R7;LINKAGE=SK

NODE=M047R7;LINKAGE=UB

NODE=M047R7;LINKAGE=SO

NODE=M047R7;LINKAGE=US
NODE=M047R7;LINKAGE=AU

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.81 ± 0.18 OUR AVERAGE					

1.70 ± 0.23 ± 0.08	49		GUIDO 17	BELL	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\mu^+\mu^-$
1.96 ± 0.26 ± 0.09	56		¹ AUBERT 08BP	BABR	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<2.7	90		² TAMPONI 15	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\eta + \text{hadrons}$

¹ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

² Using $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20)\%$.

NODE=M047R18
NODE=M047R18

NODE=M047R18;LINKAGE=UB

NODE=M047R18;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.43 \pm 0.88 \pm 0.21$	27	GUIDO	18	BELL $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow (\rho^0 \gamma, \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) \mu^+ \mu^-$

 Γ_{20}/Γ

NODE=M047R03
 NODE=M047R03

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

 Γ_{19}/Γ_{18}

NODE=M047R19
 NODE=M047R19

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.41 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.12$ 56 ¹ AUBERT 08BP BABR $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- (\pi^0) \ell^+ \ell^-$

¹ Not independent of other values reported by AUBERT 08BP.

NODE=M047R19;LINKAGE=UB

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

 Γ_{21}/Γ

NODE=M047R9
 NODE=M047R9

8.2 ± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE

$7.9 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.4$ 181 GUIDO 17 BELL $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$

$8.6 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.7$ 220 ¹ AUBERT 08BP BABR $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$8.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.8$ 97 ± 15 ² AUBERT 06R BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$
 < 3.9 90 GLENN 99 CLE2 $e^+ e^-$

¹ Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (1.91 \pm 0.16)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$.

NODE=M047R9;LINKAGE=UB

² Superseded by AUBERT 08BP. AUBERT 06R reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)] = (1.69 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M047R9;LINKAGE=AU

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

 Γ_{21}/Γ_{18}

NODE=M047R20
 NODE=M047R20

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.16 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.14$ 220 ¹ AUBERT 08BP BABR $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$

¹ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (1.91 \pm 0.16)\%$, and $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$. Not independent of other values reported by AUBERT 08BP.

NODE=M047R20;LINKAGE=UB

 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

 Γ_{22}/Γ

NODE=M047R01
 NODE=M047R01

not seen $(35^{+32}_{-26})k$ ¹ ADACHI 12 BELL $10.58 e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From the upper limit on the ratio of $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)$ at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ to that at the $\Upsilon(5S)$ of 0.27.

NODE=M047R01;LINKAGE=AD

 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

 Γ_{23}/Γ

NODE=M047R00
 NODE=M047R00

$2.18 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.18$ 112k ¹ TAMPONI 15 BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\eta$

¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20)\%$.

NODE=M047R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta_b(1S)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

 Γ_{24}/Γ

NODE=M047R04
 NODE=M047R04

$< 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 OSKIN 20 BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega X$

 $\Gamma(\eta_b(1S)\omega)/\Gamma(h_b(1P)\eta)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

 Γ_{24}/Γ_{23}

NODE=M047R05
 NODE=M047R05

$< 8.4 \times 10^{-2}$ 90 ¹ OSKIN 20 BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega X$

¹ Using $B(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow h_b(1P)\eta) = (2.18 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$ from TAMPONI 15.

NODE=M047R05;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\overline{2H} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

 Γ_{25}/Γ

NODE=M047R15
 NODE=M047R15

< 1.3 90 ASNER 07 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \overline{d} X$

Double Radiative Decays

NODE=M047240

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma \Upsilon(D) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\eta \Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

 Γ_{26}/Γ

NODE=M047R24
 NODE=M047R24

$< 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$ 90 GUIDO 17 BELL $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\mu^+\mu^-$

$\Upsilon(4S)$ REFERENCES

CHOUDHURY	23	PR D107 L031102	S. Choudhury <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
OSKIN	20	PR D102 092011	P. Oskin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
GUIDO	18	PRL 121 062001	E. Guido <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
GUIDO	17	PR D96 052005	E. Guido <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
TAMPONI	15	PRL 115 142001	U. Tamponi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SHEN	13A	PR D88 052019	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ADACHI	12	PRL 108 032001	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BELOUS	09	PL B681 400	K. Belous <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SOKOLOV	09	PR D79 051103	A. Sokolov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	08BO	PR D78 071103	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	08BP	PR D78 112002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ASNER	07	PR D75 012009	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
HUANG	07	PR D75 012002	G.S. Huang <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
SOKOLOV	07	PR D75 071103	A. Sokolov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
TAJIMA	07A	PRL 99 211601	O. Tajima <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	06R	PRL 96 232001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,BE	06F	PR D74 111103	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ARTUSO	05B	PRL 95 261801	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	05Q	PR D72 032005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,B	05H	PRL 95 042001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	04F	PR D69 071101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
HASTINGS	03	PR D67 052004	N.C. Hastings <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE	02D	PRL 88 052001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ATHAR	02	PR D66 052003	S.B. Athar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	02	PR D65 032001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ALEXANDER	01	PRL 86 2737	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	01C	PRL 87 162002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
GLENN	99	PR D59 052003	S. Glenn <i>et al.</i>	
BARISH	96B	PRL 76 1570	B.C. Barish <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	95E	ZPHY C65 619	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BARISH	95	PR D51 1014	B.C. Barish <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALEXANDER	90C	PRL 64 2226	J. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BEBEK	87	PR D36 1289	C. Bebek <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BESSION	85	PRL 54 381	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LOVELOCK	85	PRL 54 377	D.M.J. Lovelock <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)
LEYAOUANC	77	PL B71 397	A. Le Yaouanc <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)

NODE=M047

REFID=62074
 REFID=60735
 REFID=58860
 REFID=58218
 REFID=56996
 REFID=55591
 REFID=53962
 REFID=53107
 REFID=52760
 REFID=52659
 REFID=52660
 REFID=52166
 REFID=51617
 REFID=51624
 REFID=51715
 REFID=52066
 REFID=51143
 REFID=51563
 REFID=50992
 REFID=50774
 REFID=50777
 REFID=49748
 REFID=49209
 REFID=48557
 REFID=48832
 REFID=48514
 REFID=48316
 REFID=48346
 REFID=46890
 REFID=44693
 REFID=44372
 REFID=44139
 REFID=41346
 REFID=40270
 REFID=22368
 REFID=22369
 REFID=44695

 $\Upsilon(10753)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(1^- -)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

A candidate for $\Upsilon(3D)$ state or an exotic structure.

NODE=M243

Seen by MIZUK 19 in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ($n=1,2,3$) with a significance of 5.2 σ .

 $\Upsilon(10753)$ MASS

NODE=M243M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10756.6$\pm$2.8 OUR AVERAGE	[10753 $\pm$ 6 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
10756.6$\pm$2.7$\pm$0.9	¹ ADACHI	24v BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
10761 $\pm$ 2	² DONG	20A	$e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$
10752.7 $\pm$ 5.9 ^{+0.7} _{-1.1}	³ MIZUK	19 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section.² From a fit to the dressed cross sections of AUBERT 09E by BaBar and SANTEL 16 by Belle above 10.68 GeV with a coherent sum of a continuum amplitude and three Breit-Wigner functions with constant widths.³ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.63\text{--}11.02$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$. Superseded by ADACHI 24v.

NODE=M243M;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M243M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M243M;LINKAGE=A

 $\Upsilon(10753)$ WIDTH

NODE=M243W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
29 $\pm$ 9 OUR AVERAGE	[36 ⁺¹⁸ ₋₁₂ MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE]		
29.0$\pm$ 8.8$\pm$1.2	¹ ADACHI	24v BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
48.5 $\pm$ 3.0	² DONG	20A	$e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$
35.5 ^{+17.6+3.9} _{-11.3-3.3}	³ MIZUK	19 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section.² From a fit to the dressed cross sections of AUBERT 09E by BaBar and SANTEL 16 by Belle above 10.68 GeV with a coherent sum of a continuum amplitude and three Breit-Wigner functions with constant widths.³ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.63\text{--}11.02$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$. Superseded by ADACHI 24v.

NODE=M243W

NEW

NODE=M243W;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M243W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M243W;LINKAGE=A

$\Upsilon(10753)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M243215;NODE=M243

Mode	
Γ_1	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
Γ_2	$\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
Γ_3	$\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
Γ_4	$\omega\chi_{b1}(1P)$
Γ_5	$\omega\chi_{b2}(1P)$
Γ_6	e^+e^-

DESIG=3
DESIG=4
DESIG=5
DESIG=6
DESIG=7
DESIG=2

 $\Upsilon(10753) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M243225

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_1\Gamma_6/\Gamma$**

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M243R00
NODE=M243R00

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.295 $\pm$ 0.175^{1,2} MIZUK 19 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.63\text{--}11.02$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$.

NODE=M243R00;LINKAGE=A

² Reported as the range 0.12–0.47 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.

NODE=M243R00;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_2\Gamma_6/\Gamma$**

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M243R02
NODE=M243R02

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.875 $\pm$ 0.345^{1,2} MIZUK 19 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.63\text{--}11.02$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$.

NODE=M243R02;LINKAGE=A

² Reported as the range 0.53–1.22 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.

NODE=M243R02;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_3\Gamma_6/\Gamma$**

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M243R03
NODE=M243R03

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.235 $\pm$ 0.025^{1,2} MIZUK 19 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.63\text{--}11.02$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$.

NODE=M243R03;LINKAGE=A

² Reported as the range 0.21–0.26 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.

NODE=M243R03;LINKAGE=B

 $\Gamma(\omega\chi_{b1}(1P)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_4\Gamma_6/\Gamma$**

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M243R05
NODE=M243R05

0.63 $\pm$ 0.39 $\pm$ 0.20 68¹ ADACHI 23 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$ ¹ A fit solution with constructive interference. The other solution corresponding to destructive interference gives a value of $2.01 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.76$ eV.

NODE=M243R05;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\omega\chi_{b2}(1P)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_5\Gamma_6/\Gamma$**

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

NODE=M243R06
NODE=M243R06

0.53 $\pm$ 0.46 $\pm$ 0.15 68¹ ADACHI 23 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$ ¹ A fit solution with constructive interference. The other solution corresponding to destructive interference gives a value of $1.32 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.55$ eV.

NODE=M243R06;LINKAGE=A

 $\Upsilon(10753)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M243

ADACHI	24V	JHEP 2407 116	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE II Collab.)
ADACHI	23	PRL 130 091902	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE II Collab.)
DONG	20A	CP C44 083001	X.-K. Dong <i>et al.</i>	
MIZUK	19	JHEP 1910 220	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SANTEL	16	PR D93 011101	D. Santel <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	09E	PRL 102 012001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)

REFID=63595
REFID=62210
REFID=60595
REFID=60090
REFID=57121
REFID=52661

$\Upsilon(10860)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

NODE=M092

 $\Upsilon(10860)$ MASS

NODE=M092M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10885.2^{+2.6}_{-1.6} OUR AVERAGE			
10885.3 ^{+1.5} _{-0.9}	1 MIZUK	19	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$
10884.7 ^{+3.6} _{-3.4}	2 MIZUK	16	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P,2P)\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
10882 ^{±1}	3 DONG	20A	$e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$
10881.8 ^{+1.0} _{-1.1}	4,5 SANTEL	16	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
10891.1 ^{+3.2} _{-2.0}	6,7 SANTEL	16	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S,2S,3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10879 ^{±3}	8,9 CHEN	10	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
10888.4 ^{+2.7} _{-2.6}	10 CHEN	10	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S,2S,3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10876 ^{±2}	8 AUBERT	09E	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
10869 ^{±2}	11 AUBERT	09E	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
10868 ^{±6}	12 BESSON	85	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
10845 ^{±20}	13 LOVELOCK	85	CUSB $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M092M

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$.

NODE=M092M;LINKAGE=E

² From a simultaneous fit to the $h_b(nP)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2$ cross sections at 22 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.77\text{--}11.02$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with eight resonance parameters (a mass and width for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, a single relative phase, a single relative amplitude, and two overall normalization factors, one for each n). The systematic error estimate is dominated by possible interference with a small nonresonant continuum amplitude.

NODE=M092M;LINKAGE=D

³ From a fit to the dressed cross sections of AUBERT 09E by BaBar and SANTEL 16 by Belle above 10.68 GeV with a coherent sum of a continuum amplitude and three Breit-Wigner functions with constant widths.

NODE=M092M;LINKAGE=H

⁴ From a fit to the total hadronic cross sections measured at 60 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.82\text{--}11.05$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes and two floating continuum amplitudes with $1/\sqrt{s}$ dependence, one coherent with the resonances and one incoherent, with six resonance parameters (a mass, width, and an amplitude for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, one relative phase, and one decoherence coefficient).

NODE=M092M;LINKAGE=A

⁵ Not including uncertain and potentially large systematic errors due to assumed continuum amplitude $1/\sqrt{s}$ dependence and related interference contributions.

NODE=M092M;LINKAGE=B

⁶ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 25 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with fourteen resonance parameters (a mass, width, and three amplitudes for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, a single universal relative phase, and three decoherence coefficients, one for each n). Continuum contributions were measured (and therefore fixed) to be zero.

NODE=M092M;LINKAGE=C

⁷ Superseded by MIZUK 19.

NODE=M092M;LINKAGE=F

⁸ In a model where a flat non-resonant $b\bar{b}$ -continuum is incoherently added to a second flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M092M;LINKAGE=AU

⁹ The parameters of the $\Upsilon(11020)$ are fixed to those in AUBERT 09E.

NODE=M092M;LINKAGE=CH

¹⁰ In a model where a flat nonresonant $\Upsilon(1S,2S,3S)\pi^+\pi^-$ continuum interferes with a single Breit-Wigner resonance.

NODE=M092M;LINKAGE=CE

¹¹ In a model where a non-resonant $b\bar{b}$ -continuum represented by a threshold function at $\sqrt{s}=2m_B$ is incoherently added to a flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Not independent of other AUBERT 09E results. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M092M;LINKAGE=UB

¹² Assuming four Gaussians with radiative tails and a single step in R .

NODE=M092M;LINKAGE=BE

¹³ In a coupled-channel model with three resonances and a smooth step in R .

NODE=M092M;LINKAGE=LO

 $\Upsilon(10860)$ WIDTH

NODE=M092W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
37^{±4} OUR AVERAGE			
36.6 ^{+4.5} _{-3.9}	1 MIZUK	19	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$
40.6 ^{+12.7} _{-8.0}	2 MIZUK	16	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P,2P)\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M092W

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

49.5 ± 1.5	³ DONG	20A	$e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$	
48.5 ^{+1.9+2.0} _{-1.8-2.8}	4,5 SANTEL	16 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	
53.7 ^{+7.1+1.3} _{-5.6-5.4}	6,7 SANTEL	16 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=2
46 ⁺⁹ ₋₇	8,9 CHEN	10 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	
30.7 ^{+8.3} _{-7.0} ± 3.1	¹⁰ CHEN	10 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=2
43 ± 4	⁸ AUBERT	09E BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	
74 ± 4	¹¹ AUBERT	09E BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	OCCUR=2
112 ± 17 ± 23	¹² BESSON	85 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	
110 ± 15	¹³ LOVELOCK	85 CUSB	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	
¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$.				NODE=M092W;LINKAGE=F
² From a simultaneous fit to the $h_b(nP)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2$ cross sections at 22 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.77\text{--}11.02$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with eight resonance parameters (a mass and width for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, a single relative phase, a single relative amplitude, and two overall normalization factors, one for each n). The systematic error estimate is dominated by possible interference with a small nonresonant continuum amplitude.				NODE=M092W;LINKAGE=D
³ From a fit to the dressed cross sections of AUBERT 09E by BaBar and SANTEL 16 by Belle above 10.68 GeV with a coherent sum of a continuum amplitude and three Breit-Wigner functions with constant widths.				NODE=M092W;LINKAGE=G
⁴ From a fit to the total hadronic cross sections measured at 60 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.82\text{--}11.05$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes and two floating continuum amplitudes with $1/\sqrt{s}$ dependence, one coherent with the resonances and one incoherent, with six resonance parameters (a mass, width, and an amplitude for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, one relative phase, and one decoherence coefficient).				NODE=M092W;LINKAGE=A
⁵ Not including uncertain and potentially large systematic errors due to assumed continuum amplitude $1/\sqrt{s}$ dependence and related interference contributions.				NODE=M092W;LINKAGE=B
⁶ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 25 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with fourteen resonance parameters (a mass, width, and three amplitudes for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, a single universal relative phase, and three decoherence coefficients, one for each n). Continuum contributions were measured (and therefore fixed) to be zero.				NODE=M092W;LINKAGE=C
⁷ Superseded by MIZUK 19.				NODE=M092W;LINKAGE=E
⁸ In a model where a flat non-resonant $b\bar{b}$ -continuum is incoherently added to a second flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.				NODE=M092W;LINKAGE=AU
⁹ The parameters of the $\Upsilon(11020)$ are fixed to those in AUBERT 09E.				NODE=M092W;LINKAGE=CH
¹⁰ In a model where a flat nonresonant $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$ continuum interferes with a single Breit-Wigner resonance.				NODE=M092W;LINKAGE=CE
¹¹ In a model where a non-resonant $b\bar{b}$ -continuum represented by a threshold function at $\sqrt{s}=2m_B$ is incoherently added to a flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Not independent of other AUBERT 09E results. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.				NODE=M092W;LINKAGE=UB
¹² Assuming four Gaussians with radiative tails and a single step in R .				NODE=M092W;LINKAGE=BE
¹³ In a coupled-channel model with three resonances and a smooth step in R .				NODE=M092W;LINKAGE=LO

$\Upsilon(10860)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M092215;NODE=M092

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $B\bar{B}X$	(76.2 ^{+2.7} _{-4.0}) %	DESIG=9
Γ_2 $B\bar{B}$	(5.5 ± 1.0) %	DESIG=2
Γ_3 $B\bar{B}^* + \text{c.c.}$	(13.7 ± 1.6) %	DESIG=3
Γ_4 $B^*\bar{B}^*$	(38.1 ± 3.4) %	DESIG=4
Γ_5 $B\bar{B}^{(*)}\pi$	< 19.7 %	90% DESIG=10
Γ_6 $B\bar{B}\pi$	(0.0 ± 1.2) %	DESIG=23
Γ_7 $B^*\bar{B}\pi + B\bar{B}^*\pi$	(7.3 ± 2.3) %	DESIG=24
Γ_8 $B^*\bar{B}^*\pi$	(1.0 ± 1.4) %	DESIG=25
Γ_9 $B\bar{B}\pi\pi$	< 8.9 %	90% DESIG=11
Γ_{10} $B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$	(20.1 ± 3.1) %	DESIG=16
Γ_{11} $B_s\bar{B}_s$	(5 ± 5) × 10 ⁻³	DESIG=5
Γ_{12} $B_s\bar{B}_s^* + \text{c.c.}$	(1.35 ± 0.32) %	DESIG=7
Γ_{13} $B_s^*\bar{B}_s^*$	(17.6 ± 2.7) %	DESIG=8
Γ_{14} no open-bottom	(3.8 ^{+5.0} _{-0.5}) %	DESIG=28

Γ_{15}	e^+e^-	$(8.3 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=1
Γ_{16}	$K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0$	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=29
Γ_{17}	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$(5.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=17
Γ_{18}	$\Upsilon(1S)\eta$	$(8.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=44
Γ_{19}	$\Upsilon(1S)\eta'$	$< 6.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=45
Γ_{20}	$\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$(7.8 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=18
Γ_{21}	$\Upsilon(2S)\eta$	$(4.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=46
Γ_{22}	$\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$(4.8^{+1.9}_{-1.7}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=19
Γ_{23}	$\Upsilon(1S)K^+K^-$	$(6.1 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=20
Γ_{24}	$\eta \Upsilon_J(1D)$	$(4.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=40
Γ_{25}	$h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.5^{+1.0}_{-1.3}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=26
Γ_{26}	$h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$	$(5.7^{+1.7}_{-2.1}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=27
Γ_{27}	$\chi_{bJ}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(2.5 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=41
Γ_{28}	$\chi_{b0}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$< 6.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=30
Γ_{29}	$\chi_{b0}(1P)\omega$	$< 3.9 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=31
Γ_{30}	$\chi_{b0}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega}$	$< 4.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=32
Γ_{31}	$\chi_{b1}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(1.85 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=33
Γ_{32}	$\chi_{b1}(1P)\omega$	$(1.57 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=34
Γ_{33}	$\chi_{b1}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega}$	$(5.2 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=35
Γ_{34}	$\chi_{b2}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(1.17 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=36
Γ_{35}	$\chi_{b2}(1P)\omega$	$(6.0 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=37
Γ_{36}	$\chi_{b2}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega}$	$(6 \pm 4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=38
Γ_{37}	$\gamma X_b \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)\omega$	$< 3.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90%	DESIG=39
Γ_{38}	$\eta_b(1S)\omega$	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=42
Γ_{39}	$\eta_b(2S)\omega$	$< 5.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90%	DESIG=43

Inclusive Decays.

NODE=M092;CLUMP=I

These decay modes are submodes of one or more of the decay modes above.

NODE=M092

Γ_{40}	ϕ anything	$(13.8^{+2.4}_{-1.7})\%$		DESIG=12
Γ_{41}	D^0 anything + c.c.	$(112 \pm 6)\%$		DESIG=13
Γ_{42}	D_s anything + c.c.	$(44.7 \pm 2.6)\%$		DESIG=6
Γ_{43}	J/ψ anything	$(2.06 \pm 0.21)\%$		DESIG=14
Γ_{44}	B^0 anything + c.c.	$(77 \pm 8)\%$		DESIG=21
Γ_{45}	B^+ anything + c.c.	$(72 \pm 6)\%$		DESIG=22

$\Upsilon(10860)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M092220

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$				Γ_{15}
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.31 $\pm$ 0.07 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.			
0.22 $\pm$ 0.05 $\pm$ 0.07	BESSION	85	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons	
0.365 $\pm$ 0.070	LOVELOCK	85	CUSB $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons	

NODE=M092W1
NODE=M092W1

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_{15}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M092R50
NODE=M092R50

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.09 $\pm$ 0.34	1,2 MIZUK	19	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6$ –11.05 GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$.

NODE=M092R50;LINKAGE=A

² Reported as the range 0.75–1.43 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.

NODE=M092R50;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_{15}\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M092R51
NODE=M092R51

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.58 $\pm$ 1.22	1,2 MIZUK	19	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6$ –11.05 GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$.

NODE=M092R51;LINKAGE=A

² Reported as the range 1.35–3.80 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.

NODE=M092R51;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{15}\Gamma_{22}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.73±0.30	^{1,2} MIZUK	19	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$
¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$.			
² Reported as the range 0.43–1.03 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.			

NODE=M092R52
NODE=M092R52

NODE=M092R52;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M092R52;LINKAGE=B

$\Upsilon(10860)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

“OUR EVALUATION” is obtained based on averages of rescaled data listed below. The averages and rescaling were performed by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFLAV) and are described at <https://hflav.web.cern.ch/>.

NODE=M092230

NODE=M092230

$$\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.762^{+0.027}_{-0.043} OUR EVALUATION				(Produced by HFLAV)

NODE=M092R13
NODE=M092R13

→ UNCHECKED ←

0.735^{+0.015}_{-0.028} OUR AVERAGE
[0.71 ± 0.06 OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NEW

0.738 ^{+0.015} _{-0.029}	ADACHI	250	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$
0.589±0.100±0.092	¹ HUANG	07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.737±0.032±0.051	1063	² DRUTSKOY	10	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^+X, B^0X$
¹ Using measurements or limits from AQUINES 06.				
² Not independent of DRUTSKOY 10 values for $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^{\pm,0}$ anything. Superseded by ADACHI 250.				

NODE=M092R13;LINKAGE=HU
NODE=M092R13;LINKAGE=DR

$$\Gamma(B\bar{B})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻²)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.5^{+1.0}_{-0.9}±0.4		¹ DRUTSKOY	10	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^+X, B^0X$

NODE=M092R16
NODE=M092R16

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<13.8	90	² HUANG	07	CLEO $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
¹ Assuming isospin conservation.				
² Using measurements or limits from AQUINES 06.				

NODE=M092R16;LINKAGE=DR
NODE=M092R16;LINKAGE=HU

$$\Gamma(B\bar{B})/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X) \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.22	90	AQUINES	06	CLE3 $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M092R05
NODE=M092R05

$$\Gamma(B\bar{B}^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.137±0.016 OUR AVERAGE			
0.137±0.013±0.011	¹ DRUTSKOY	10	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^+X, B^0X$
0.143±0.053±0.027	² HUANG	07	CLEO $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M092R15
NODE=M092R15

¹ Assuming isospin conservation.
² Using measurements or limits from AQUINES 06.

NODE=M092R15;LINKAGE=DR
NODE=M092R15;LINKAGE=HU

$$\Gamma(B\bar{B}^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X) \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.24±0.09±0.03	10	AQUINES	06	CLE3 $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M092R06
NODE=M092R06

$$\Gamma(B^*\bar{B}^*)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.381±0.034 OUR AVERAGE			
0.375 ^{+0.021} _{-0.019} ±0.030	¹ DRUTSKOY	10	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^+X, B^0X$
0.436±0.083±0.072	² HUANG	07	CLEO $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M092R14
NODE=M092R14

¹ Assuming isospin conservation.
² Using measurements or limits from AQUINES 06.

NODE=M092R14;LINKAGE=DR
NODE=M092R14;LINKAGE=HU

$$\Gamma(B^*\bar{B}^*)/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X) \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.74±0.15±0.08	31	AQUINES	06	CLE3 $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M092R07
NODE=M092R07

$$\Gamma(B\bar{B}^{(*)}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.197	90	¹ HUANG 07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Using measurements or limits from AQUINES 06.

NODE=M092R17
NODE=M092R17

NODE=M092R17;LINKAGE=HU

$$\Gamma(B\bar{B}^{(*)}\pi)/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X) \quad \Gamma_5/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.32	90	AQUINES 06	CLE3	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M092R08
NODE=M092R08

$$\Gamma(B\bar{B}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.3$	0	¹ DRUTSKOY 10	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^+, 0 \pi^- X$

¹ Assuming isospin conservation.

NODE=M092R28
NODE=M092R28

NODE=M092R28;LINKAGE=DR

$$[\Gamma(B^*\bar{B}\pi) + \Gamma(B\bar{B}^*\pi)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$7.3^{+2.3}_{-2.1} \pm 0.8$	38	¹ DRUTSKOY 10	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^+, 0 \pi^- X$

¹ Assuming isospin conservation.

NODE=M092R29
NODE=M092R29

NODE=M092R29;LINKAGE=DR

$$\Gamma(B^*\bar{B}^*\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_8/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.0^{+1.4}_{-1.3} \pm 0.4$	5	¹ DRUTSKOY 10	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^+, 0 \pi^- X$

¹ Assuming isospin conservation.

NODE=M092R30
NODE=M092R30

NODE=M092R30;LINKAGE=DR

$$\Gamma(B\bar{B}\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.089	90	¹ HUANG 07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Using measurements or limits from AQUINES 06.

NODE=M092R18
NODE=M092R18

NODE=M092R18;LINKAGE=HU

$$\Gamma(B\bar{B}\pi\pi)/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X) \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.14	90	AQUINES 06	CLE3	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M092R09
NODE=M092R09

$$\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma = (\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{13})/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$0.201^{+0.030}_{-0.031}$ OUR EVALUATION

0.213 ± 0.015 OUR AVERAGE

$[0.189^{+0.027}_{-0.021}]$ OUR 2025 AVERAGE]

NODE=M092R01
NODE=M092R01

→ UNCHECKED ←
NEW

$0.214^{+0.015}_{-0.017}$	ADACHI	250	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$

$0.21^{+0.06}_{-0.03}$	¹ HUANG	07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow D_s X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.172 ± 0.030	² ESEN	13	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow D^0 X, D_s X$

$0.180 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.032$	³ DRUTSKOY	07	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow D^0 X, D_s X$

$0.160 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.058$	⁴ ARTUSO	05B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D_X X$

¹ Supersedes ARTUSO 05B. Combining inclusive ϕ , D_s , and B measurements. Using $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 4.4 \pm 0.6\%$ from PDG 06.

² Superseded by ADACHI 2025O.

³ Using $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (4.4 \pm 0.6)\%$ from PDG 06. Superseded by ESEN 13

⁴ Uses a model-dependent estimate $B(B_s \rightarrow D_s X) = (92 \pm 11)\%$.

NODE=M092R01;LINKAGE=HU

NODE=M092R01;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M092R01;LINKAGE=DR

NODE=M092R01;LINKAGE=AR

$$\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X) \quad \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID

$0.264^{+0.052}_{-0.045}$ OUR EVALUATION

NODE=M092R34
NODE=M092R34

→ UNCHECKED ←

$$\Gamma(B_s^* \bar{B}_s^*) / \Gamma(B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)})$$

$$\Gamma_{13} / \Gamma_{10} = \Gamma_{13} / (\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{13})$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS
87.8 ± 1.5 OUR AVERAGE

87.0 ± 1.7	1,2	ESEN	13	BELL	$B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+$
90.5 ± 3.2 ± 0.1	227	2,3	LI	12	BELL $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \eta^{(\prime)}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

90.1 ^{+3.8} _{-4.0} ± 0.2	4	LOUVOT	09	BELL	10.86 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)}$
93 ⁺⁷ ₋₉ ± 1	4	DRUTSKOY	07A	BELL	Superseded by LOUVOT 09

¹ Supersedes LOUVOT 09.

² With $N(B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)}) = (7.11 \pm 1.30) \times 10^6$.

³ The ratios $N(B_s^* \bar{B}_s^*) / N(B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)})$ and $N(B_s^* \bar{B}_s^0) / N(B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)})$ are measured with a correlation coefficient of -0.72 .

⁴ From a measurement of $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^* \bar{B}_s^*) / \sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)})$ at $\sqrt{s} = 10.86$ GeV.

NODE=M092R19
NODE=M092R19

NODE=M092R19;LINKAGE=ES

NODE=M092R19;LINKAGE=IL

NODE=M092R19;LINKAGE=LI

NODE=M092R19;LINKAGE=DR

$$\Gamma(B_s \bar{B}_s) / \Gamma(B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)})$$

$$\Gamma_{11} / \Gamma_{10} = \Gamma_{11} / (\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{13})$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

2.6^{+2.6}_{-2.5}

LOUVOT	09	BELL	10.86 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)}$

NODE=M092R24
NODE=M092R24

$$\Gamma(B_s \bar{B}_s) / \Gamma(B_s^* \bar{B}_s^*)$$

$$\Gamma_{11} / \Gamma_{13}$$

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<0.16

90

BONVICINI

06

CLE3 $e^+ e^-$

NODE=M092R03
NODE=M092R03

$$\Gamma(B_s \bar{B}_s + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma(B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)})$$

$$\Gamma_{12} / \Gamma_{10} = \Gamma_{12} / (\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{13})$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

6.7 ± 1.2 OUR AVERAGE

7.3 ± 1.4	1,2	ESEN	13	BELL	$B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+$
4.9 ± 2.5 ± 0.0	227	2,3	LI	12	BELL $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \eta^{(\prime)}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

7.3 ^{+3.3} _{-3.0} ± 0.1	LOUVOT	09	BELL	10.86 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)}$

¹ Supersedes LOUVOT 09.

² With $N(B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)}) = (7.11 \pm 1.30) \times 10^6$.

³ The ratios $N(B_s^* \bar{B}_s^*) / N(B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)})$ and $N(B_s^* \bar{B}_s^0) / N(B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)})$ are measured with a correlation coefficient of -0.72 .

NODE=M092R25
NODE=M092R25

NODE=M092R25;LINKAGE=ES

NODE=M092R25;LINKAGE=IL

NODE=M092R25;LINKAGE=LI

$$\Gamma(B_s \bar{B}_s + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma(B_s^* \bar{B}_s^*)$$

$$\Gamma_{12} / \Gamma_{13}$$

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<0.16

90

BONVICINI

06

CLE3 $e^+ e^-$

NODE=M092R04
NODE=M092R04

$$\Gamma(\text{no open-bottom}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{14} / \Gamma$$

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

0.038^{+0.051}_{-0.005} OUR EVALUATION

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.048 ^{+0.036} _{-0.005}	ADACHI	250	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$

NODE=M092R33
NODE=M092R33

→ UNCHECKED ←

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{16} / \Gamma$$

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

<1.0 × 10⁻⁵

90

SHEN
13A	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0$

NODE=M092R35
NODE=M092R35

$$\Gamma(\eta \Upsilon_J(1D)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{24} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

4.82 ± 0.92 ± 0.67

1	TAMPONI	18	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \eta X$

¹ Mainly $J = 2$, assumes no continuum contribution under $\Upsilon(5S)$.

NODE=M092R48
NODE=M092R48

NODE=M092R48;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{17} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

5.3 ± 0.3 ± 0.5

1	CHEN	08	BELL	10.87 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance.

NODE=M092R20
NODE=M092R20

NODE=M092R20;LINKAGE=CH

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $0.85 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.08$ 1,2 KOVALENKO 21 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$ ¹ Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance.² Using a data sample of 118.3 fb^{-1} of e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 10.866 \text{ GeV}$.NODE=M092R55
NODE=M092R55NODE=M092R55;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M092R55;LINKAGE=B $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $<6.9 \times 10^{-5}$

90

1,2 KOVALENKO 21 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$ ¹ Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance.² Using a data sample of 118.3 fb^{-1} of e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 10.866 \text{ GeV}$.NODE=M092R56
NODE=M092R56NODE=M092R56;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M092R56;LINKAGE=B $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $7.8 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.1$

186

¹ CHEN 08 BELL $10.87 e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$ ¹ Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance.NODE=M092R21
NODE=M092R21

NODE=M092R21;LINKAGE=CH

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $4.13 \pm 0.41 \pm 0.37$ 1,2 KOVALENKO 21 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$ ¹ Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance.² Using a data sample of 118.3 fb^{-1} of e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 10.866 \text{ GeV}$.NODE=M092R57
NODE=M092R57NODE=M092R57;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M092R57;LINKAGE=B $\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $4.8^{+1.8}_{-1.5} \pm 0.7$

10

¹ CHEN 08 BELL $10.87 e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$ ¹ Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance.NODE=M092R22
NODE=M092R22

NODE=M092R22;LINKAGE=CH

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-4})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $6.1^{+1.6}_{-1.4} \pm 1.0$

20

¹ CHEN 08 BELL $10.87 e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)K^+K^-$ ¹ Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance.NODE=M092R23
NODE=M092R23

NODE=M092R23;LINKAGE=CH

 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{25}/Γ_{20}

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $0.45 \pm 0.08^{+0.07}_{-0.12}$ ADACHI 12 BELL $10.86 e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ NODE=M092R31
NODE=M092R31 $\Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{26}/Γ_{20}

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $0.77 \pm 0.08^{+0.22}_{-0.17}$ ADACHI 12 BELL $10.86 e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ NODE=M092R32
NODE=M092R32 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{25}/Γ_{26}

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $0.616 \pm 0.052 \pm 0.017$ MIZUK 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P, 2P)\pi^+\pi^-$ NODE=M092R00
NODE=M092R00 $\Gamma(\chi_{bJ}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-3})

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $2.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 2.2$ YIN 18 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ NODE=M092R49
NODE=M092R49 $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $<6.3 \times 10^{-3}$

90

¹ HE 14 BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$ ¹ Assuming that all the $b\bar{b}$ events are from $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance decays and using $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016 \text{ nb}$ from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.NODE=M092R36
NODE=M092R36

NODE=M092R36;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE

CL%

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $<3.9 \times 10^{-3}$

90

¹ HE 14 BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$ ¹ Assuming that all the $b\bar{b}$ events are from $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance decays and using $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016 \text{ nb}$ from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.NODE=M092R37
NODE=M092R37

NODE=M092R37;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{30}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ HE	14	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$

¹ Assuming that all the $b\bar{b}$ events are from $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance decays and using $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$ nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

NODE=M092R38
NODE=M092R38

NODE=M092R38;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{31}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.85 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.23$	80	¹ HE	14	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$

¹ Assuming that all the $b\bar{b}$ events are from $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance decays and using $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$ nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

NODE=M092R39
NODE=M092R39

NODE=M092R39;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{32}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.57 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.21$	60	¹ HE	14	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$

¹ Assuming that all the $b\bar{b}$ events are from $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance decays and using $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$ nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

NODE=M092R40
NODE=M092R40

NODE=M092R40;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.52 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.11$	24	¹ HE	14	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$

¹ Assuming that all the $b\bar{b}$ events are from $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance decays and using $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$ nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

NODE=M092R41
NODE=M092R41

NODE=M092R41;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{34}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.17 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.14$	29	¹ HE	14	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$

¹ Assuming that all the $b\bar{b}$ events are from $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance decays and using $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$ nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

NODE=M092R42
NODE=M092R42

NODE=M092R42;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{35}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.60 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.15$	13	¹ HE	14	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$

¹ Assuming that all the $b\bar{b}$ events are from $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance decays and using $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$ nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

NODE=M092R43
NODE=M092R43

NODE=M092R43;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)\omega)/\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)\omega) \quad \Gamma_{35}/\Gamma_{32}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.38 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.09$	¹ HE	14	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$

¹ Accounting for correlated systematics.

NODE=M092R44
NODE=M092R44

NODE=M092R44;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{36}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.61 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.28$	16	¹ HE	14	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$

¹ Assuming that all the $b\bar{b}$ events are from $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance decays and using $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$ nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

NODE=M092R45
NODE=M092R45

NODE=M092R45;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega})/\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega}) \quad \Gamma_{36}/\Gamma_{33}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.20 \pm 0.55 \pm 0.65$	¹ HE	14	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$

¹ Accounting for correlated systematics.

NODE=M092R46
NODE=M092R46

NODE=M092R46;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\eta_b(1S)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{38}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ OSKIN	20	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega X$

¹ Using $\sigma_{b\bar{b}} = 0.340 \pm 0.016$ nb from TAMPONI 15.

NODE=M092R53
NODE=M092R53

NODE=M092R53;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta_b(2S)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{39}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ OSKIN 20	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega X$

¹ Using $\sigma_{b\bar{b}} = 0.340 \pm 0.016$ nb from TAMPONI 15.

NODE=M092R54
NODE=M092R54

NODE=M092R54;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\gamma X_b \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{37}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ HE 14	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$

¹ Assuming that all the $b\bar{b}$ events are from $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance decays and using $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$ nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14. For a state X_b with mass between 10.55 GeV/c² and 10.65 GeV/c², the obtained 90% upper limit as a function of m_{X_b} varies from 2.6×10^{-5} to 3.8×10^{-5} .

NODE=M092R47
NODE=M092R47

NODE=M092R47;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\phi \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{40}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.138 \pm 0.007^{+0.023}_{-0.015}$	HUANG 07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \phi X$

NODE=M092R12
NODE=M092R12

 $\Gamma(D^0 \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{41}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.117 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.060$	¹ ZHUKOVA 23	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow D^0 X, \bar{D}^0 X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.076 \pm 0.040 \pm 0.068$	DRUTSKOY 07	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow D^0 X, \bar{D}^0 X$

¹ Supersedes DRUTSKOY 07.

NODE=M092R10
NODE=M092R10

NODE=M092R10;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(D_s \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{42}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.447 ± 0.026 OUR AVERAGE			

$0.447 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.027$	¹ ZHUKOVA 23	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow D_s^\pm X$

$0.44 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.04$	² ARTUSO 05B	CLE3	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow D_s^\pm X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.472 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.072$	³ DRUTSKOY 07	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow D_s^\pm X$

¹ Supersedes DRUTSKOY 07.

² ARTUSO 05B reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow D_s \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+)] = 0.0198 \pm 0.0019 \pm 0.0038$ which we divide by our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (4.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Using $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (4.4 \pm 0.6)\%$ from PDG 06.

NODE=M092R02
NODE=M092R02

NODE=M092R02;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M092R02;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=M092R02;LINKAGE=DR

 $\Gamma(J/\psi \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{43}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.060 ± 0.160 ± 0.134	DRUTSKOY 07	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$

NODE=M092R11
NODE=M092R11

 $\Gamma(B^0 \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{44}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.770^{+0.058}_{-0.056} \pm 0.061$	352	DRUTSKOY 10	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^0 X$

NODE=M092R26
NODE=M092R26

 $\Gamma(B^+ \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{45}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.721^{+0.039}_{-0.038} \pm 0.050$	711	DRUTSKOY 10	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^+ X$

NODE=M092R27
NODE=M092R27

$\Upsilon(10860)$ REFERENCES

ADACHI 250	JHEP 2504 114	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE and BELLEII Collab.)
ZHUKOVA 23	JHEP 2308 131	V. Zhukova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
KOVALENKO 21	PR D104 112006	E. Kovalenko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DONG 20A	CP C44 083001	X.-K. Dong <i>et al.</i>	
OSKIN 20	PR D102 092011	P. Oskin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
MIZUK 19	JHEP 1910 220	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
TAMPONI 18	EPJ C78 633	U. Tamponi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
YIN 18	PR D98 091102	J.H. Yin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
MIZUK 16	PRL 117 142001	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SANTEL 16	PR D93 011101	D. Santel <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
TAMPONI 15	PRL 115 142001	U. Tamponi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
HE 14	PRL 113 142001	X.H. He <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ESEN 13	PR D87 031101	S. Esen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SHEN 13A	PR D88 052019	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ADACHI 12	PRL 108 032001	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

NODE=M092

REFID=63463
REFID=62312
REFID=61452
REFID=60595
REFID=60735
REFID=60090
REFID=59195
REFID=59468
REFID=57465
REFID=57121
REFID=56996
REFID=55927
REFID=54894
REFID=55591
REFID=53962

LI	12	PRL 108 181808	J. Li <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
CHEN	10	PR D82 091106	K.-F. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DRUTSKOY	10	PR D81 112003	A. Drutskoy <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	09E	PRL 102 012001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LOUVOT	09	PRL 102 021801	R. Louvot <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
CHEN	08	PRL 100 112001	K.-F. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DRUTSKOY	07	PRL 98 052001	A. Drutskoy <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DRUTSKOY	07A	PR D76 012002	A. Drutskoy <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
HUANG	07	PR D75 012002	G.S. Huang <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AQUINES	06	PRL 96 152001	O. Aquines <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BONVICINI	06	PRL 96 022002	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ARTUSO	05B	PRL 95 261801	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BESSION	85	PRL 54 381	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LOVELOCK	85	PRL 54 377	D.M.J. Lovelock <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)

REFID=54116
REFID=53531
REFID=53358
REFID=52661
REFID=52646
REFID=52153
REFID=51621
REFID=51852
REFID=51624
REFID=51106
REFID=50995
REFID=51004
REFID=50992
REFID=22368
REFID=22369

NODE=M093

$\Upsilon(11020)$

$$J^{PC} = 0^{-}(1^{-}-)$$

$\Upsilon(11020)$ MASS

NODE=M093M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11000 $\pm$ 4 OUR AVERAGE			
11000.0 ⁺ ₋ 4.0 ⁺ ₋ 1.0 ⁺ ₋ 4.5 ⁺ ₋ 1.3	¹ MIZUK	19 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10999.0 ⁺ ₋ 7.3 ⁺ ₋ 16.9 ⁺ ₋ 7.8 ⁺ ₋ 1.0	² MIZUK	16 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P, 2P)\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
11001 $\pm$ 1	³ DONG	20A	$e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$
11003.0 $\pm$ 1.1 ⁺ ₋ 0.9 ⁺ ₋ 1.0	^{4,5} SANTEL	16 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
10987.5 ⁺ ₋ 6.4 ⁺ ₋ 9.1 ⁺ ₋ 2.5 ⁺ ₋ 2.3	^{6,7} SANTEL	16 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10996 $\pm$ 2	⁸ AUBERT	09E BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
11019 $\pm$ 5 $\pm$ 7	BESSION	85 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
11020 $\pm$ 30	LOVELOCK	85 CUSB	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

NODE=M093M

OCCUR=2

¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6$ –11.05 GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$.

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=E

² From a simultaneous fit to the $h_b(nP)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2$ cross sections at 22 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.77$ –11.02 GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with eight resonance parameters (a mass and width for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, a single relative phase, a single relative amplitude, and two overall normalization factors, one for each n). The systematic error estimate is dominated by possible interference with a small nonresonant continuum amplitude.

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=D

³ From a fit to the dressed cross sections of AUBERT 09E by BaBar and SANTEL 16 by Belle above 10.68 GeV with a coherent sum of a continuum amplitude and three Breit-Wigner functions with constant widths.

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=G

⁴ From a fit to the total hadronic cross sections measured at 60 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.82$ –11.05 GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes and two floating continuum amplitudes with $1/\sqrt{s}$ dependence, one coherent with the resonances and one incoherent, with six resonance parameters (a mass, width, and an amplitude for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, one relative phase, and one decoherence coefficient).

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=A

⁵ Not including uncertain and potentially large systematic errors due to assumed continuum amplitude $1/\sqrt{s}$ dependence and related interference contributions.

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=B

⁶ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 25 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6$ –11.05 GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with fourteen resonance parameters (a mass, width, and three amplitudes for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, a single universal relative phase, and three decoherence coefficients, one for each n). Continuum contributions were measured (and therefore fixed) to be zero.

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=C

⁷ Superseded by MIZUK 19.

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=F

⁸ In a model where a flat non-resonant $b\bar{b}$ -continuum is incoherently added to a second flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M093M;LINKAGE=AU

$\Upsilon(11020)$ WIDTH

NODE=M093W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
24 $\pm$ 8 OUR AVERAGE			
23.8 ⁺ ₋ 8.0 ⁺ ₋ 0.7 ⁺ ₋ 6.8 ⁺ ₋ 1.8	¹ MIZUK	19 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$
27 $\pm$ 27 $\pm$ 5 -11 -12	² MIZUK	16 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P, 2P)\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M093W

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

35.1 ± 1.2	³ DONG	20A	$e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$
39.3 ^{+1.7+1.3} _{-1.6-2.4}	4,5 SANTEL	16 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
61 ⁺⁹⁺² ₋₁₉₋₂₀	6,7 SANTEL	16 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
37 ± 3	⁸ AUBERT	09E BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
61 ± 13 ± 22	BESSON	85 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
90 ± 20	LOVELOCK	85 CUSB	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

OCCUR=2

¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$.

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=E

² From a simultaneous fit to the $h_b(nP)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2$ cross sections at 22 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.77\text{--}11.02$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with eight resonance parameters (a mass and width for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, a single relative phase, a single relative amplitude, and two overall normalization factors, one for each n). The systematic error estimate is dominated by possible interference with a small nonresonant continuum amplitude.

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=D

³ From a fit to the dressed cross sections of AUBERT 09E by BaBar and SANTEL 16 by Belle above 10.68 GeV with a coherent sum of a continuum amplitude and three Breit-Wigner functions with constant widths.

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=G

⁴ From a fit to the total hadronic cross sections measured at 60 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.82\text{--}11.05$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes and two floating continuum amplitudes with $1/\sqrt{s}$ dependence, one coherent with the resonances and one incoherent, with six resonance parameters (a mass, width, and an amplitude for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, one relative phase, and one decoherence coefficient).

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=A

⁵ Not including uncertain and potentially large systematic errors due to assumed continuum amplitude $1/\sqrt{s}$ dependence and related interference contributions.

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=B

⁶ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n=1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 25 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with fourteen resonance parameters (a mass, width, and three amplitudes for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, a single universal relative phase, and three decoherence coefficients, one for each n). Continuum contributions were measured (and therefore fixed) to be zero.

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=C

⁷ Superseded by MIZUK 19.

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=F

⁸ In a model where a flat non-resonant $b\bar{b}$ -continuum is incoherently added to a second flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M093W;LINKAGE=AU

$\Upsilon(11020)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M093215;NODE=M093

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 e^+e^-	$(5.4^{+1.9}_{-2.1}) \times 10^{-6}$
Γ_2 $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	
Γ_3 $\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	
Γ_4 $\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	
Γ_5 $\chi_{bJ}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(9^{+9}_{-8}) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_6 $\chi_{b1}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	seen
Γ_7 $\chi_{b2}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=7

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

$\Upsilon(11020)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M093220

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$				Γ_1
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.130 ± 0.030 OUR AVERAGE				
0.095 ± 0.03 ± 0.035	BESSON	85	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	
0.156 ± 0.040	LOVELOCK	85	CUSB $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	

NODE=M093W1
NODE=M093W1

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_1\Gamma_2/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M093R04
NODE=M093R04

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.46 ± 0.08	^{1,2} MIZUK	19	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$.

NODE=M093R04;LINKAGE=A

² Reported as the range 0.38–0.54 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.

NODE=M093R04;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1\Gamma_3/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.65±0.52	1,2 MIZUK	19	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$.

² Reported as the range 0.13–1.16 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.

NODE=M093R05
NODE=M093R05

NODE=M093R05;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M093R05;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1\Gamma_4/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.33±0.16	1,2 MIZUK	19	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$.

² Reported as the range 0.17–0.49 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.

NODE=M093R06
NODE=M093R06

NODE=M093R06;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M093R06;LINKAGE=B

$$\Gamma(\chi_{bJ}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$8.7 \pm 4.3^{+7.6}_{-6.6}$	YIN	18	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M093R00
NODE=M093R00

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	YIN	18	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M093R01
NODE=M093R01

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen	YIN	18	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M093R02
NODE=M093R02

$$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma_6$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

0.4 ± 0.2	YIN	18	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

NODE=M093R03
NODE=M093R03

$\Upsilon(11020)$ REFERENCES

DONG	20A	CP C44 083001	X.-K. Dong <i>et al.</i>	
MIZUK	19	JHEP 1910 220	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
YIN	18	PR D98 091102	J.H. Yin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
MIZUK	16	PRL 117 142001	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SANTEL	16	PR D93 011101	D. Santel <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	09E	PRL 102 012001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BESSION	85	PRL 54 381	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LOVELOCK	85	PRL 54 377	D.M.J. Lovelock <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)

NODE=M093

REFID=60595
REFID=60090
REFID=59468
REFID=57465
REFID=57121
REFID=52661
REFID=22368
REFID=22369

OTHER MESONS

$T_{\overline{c}s0}^*(2870)^0$

$I(J^P) = ?(0^+)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
was $X_0(2900)$

An exotic state with minimal quark content $\overline{c}d\overline{s}u$. Observed by
AAIJ 20AI using full amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$ de-
cays.

NODE=MXXX050
NODE=M250

NODE=M250

$T_{\overline{c}s0}^*(2870)^0$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2874±11 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below. [2872 ± 16 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 2.4]				
2883±11± 8	1.5k	1 AAIJ	25C LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ \overline{D}^0 K_S^0$
2914±11±15	1.6k	2 AAIJ	24AB LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- K^+$
2866± 7± 2	1.2k	3 AAIJ	20AI LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$


- ¹ Obtained from the amplitude analysis. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape.

² From a simultaneous amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- K^+$, $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*-} D^+ K^+$ and their c.c.

³ Obtained from the full amplitude analysis. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape. Also confirmed by the model-independent analysis of AAIJ 20AF.

NODE=M250M
NODE=M250M
NEW

NODE=M250M;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M250M;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M250M;LINKAGE=A



$T_{\overline{c}s0}^*(2870)^0$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
68±17 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below. [67 ± 24 MeV OUR 2025 AVERAGE Scale factor = 2.1]				
87 ⁺²² ₋₄₇ ±17	1.5k	1 AAIJ	25C LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ \overline{D}^0 K_S^0$
128±22±23	1.6k	2 AAIJ	24AB LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- K^+$
57±12± 4	1.2k	3 AAIJ	20AI LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$


NODE=M250W
NODE=M250W
NEW

- 1 Obtained from the amplitude analysis. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape.
- 2 From a simultaneous amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*-} K^+$, $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*-} D^+ K^+$ and their c.c.
- 3 Obtained from the full amplitude analysis. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape. Also confirmed by the model-independent analysis of AAIJ 20AF.

NODE=M250W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M250W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M250W;LINKAGE=A



$T_{c\bar{s}0}^{*}(2870)^0$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M250215;NODE=M250

Mode		Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$D^- K^+$	seen
Γ_2	$\bar{D}^0 K_S^0$	

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

$T_{c\bar{s}0}^{*}(2870)^0$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M250225

$\Gamma(D^- K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_1/Γ	
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN COMMENT
seen		AAIJ 20AI LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma(D^- K^+)$		Γ_2/Γ_1	
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN COMMENT
$3.3 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.6$	1.5k	¹ AAIJ 25C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ \bar{D}^0 K_S^0$ and $B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$

NODE=M250R01

NODE=M250R01

NODE=M250R00

NODE=M250R00

¹ Obtained from fit fractions based an amplitude analyses. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape. Systematic uncertainty is enlarged to account for the $B(B^+)$ input.

NODE=M250R00;LINKAGE=A

$T_{c\bar{s}0}^{*}(2870)^0$ REFERENCES

NODE=M250

AAIJ 25C PRL 134 101901	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> (LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ 24AB PRL 133 131902	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> (LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ 20AF PRL 125 242001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> (LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ 20AI PR D102 112003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> (LHCb Collab.)

REFID=63243

REFID=63014

REFID=60702

REFID=60739

NODE=M251

$T_{\overline{c}s1}^*(2900)^0$

$I(J^P) = ?(1^-)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
was $X_1(2900)$

An exotic state with minimal quark content $\overline{c}d\overline{s}u$. Observed by
AAIJ 20AI using full amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$ de-
cays.

NODE=M251

$T_{\overline{c}s1}^*(2900)^0$ MASS

NODE=M251M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2887 +- 8 + 7	1.6k	¹ AAIJ	24AB LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- K^+$
2904 ± 5 ± 1	1.2k	² AAIJ	20AI LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$

NODE=M251M

- ¹ From a simultaneous amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- K^+$, $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*-} D^+ K^+$ and their c.c.
- ² Obtained from the full amplitude analysis. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape. Also confirmed by the model-independent analysis of AAIJ 20AF.

NODE=M251M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M251M;LINKAGE=B

$T_{\overline{c}s1}^*(2900)^0$ WIDTH

NODE=M251W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
106 ± 10 OUR AVERAGE				
92 ± 16 ± 16	1.6k	¹ AAIJ	24AB LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- K^+$
110 ± 11 ± 4	1.2k	² AAIJ	20AI LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$

NODE=M251W

- ¹ From a simultaneous amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- K^+$, $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*-} D^+ K^+$ and their c.c.
- ² Obtained from the full amplitude analysis. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape. Also confirmed by the model-independent analysis of AAIJ 20AF.

NODE=M251W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M251W;LINKAGE=A

$T_{\overline{c}s1}^*(2900)^0$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M251215;NODE=M251

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad D^- K^+$	seen

DESIG=1

$T_{\overline{c}s1}^*(2900)^0$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M251225

$\Gamma(D^- K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	AAIJ	20AI LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$	

NODE=M251R01
NODE=M251R01

$T_{\overline{c}s1}^*(2900)^0$ REFERENCES

NODE=M251

AAIJ	24AB PRL 133 131902	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	20AF PRL 125 242001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	20AI PR D102 112003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)

REFID=63014
REFID=60702
REFID=60739

$T_{c\bar{s}0}^*(2900)$

$$I(J^P) = 1(0^+)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Observed by LHCb in AAIJ 23B using a simultaneous amplitude analysis of $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D_s^+ \pi^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow D^- D_s^+ \pi^+$. The $T_{c\bar{s}0}^*(2900)^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^-$ and $T_{c\bar{s}0}^*(2900)^{++} \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^+$ decays are observed with 8.0 and 6.5 σ significance, respectively.

$T_{c\bar{s}0}^*(2900)^0$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2892 \pm 14 \pm 15$	¹ AAIJ	23C LHCb	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D_s^+ \pi^-$

¹ From an amplitude analysis of $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D_s^+ \pi^-$. A simultaneous fit to $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D_s^+ \pi^-$ and $B^- \rightarrow D^- D_s^+ \pi^+$ assuming isospin symmetry yields a mass of $2908 \pm 11 \pm 20$ MeV.

$T_{c\bar{s}0}^*(2900)^{++}$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2921 \pm 17 \pm 20$	² AAIJ	23C LHCb	$B^- \rightarrow D^- D_s^+ \pi^+$

² From an amplitude analysis of $B^- \rightarrow D^- D_s^+ \pi^+$. A simultaneous fit to $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D_s^+ \pi^-$ and $B^- \rightarrow D^- D_s^+ \pi^+$ assuming isospin symmetry yields a mass of $2908 \pm 11 \pm 20$ MeV.

$T_{c\bar{s}0}^*(2900)^0$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$119 \pm 26 \pm 13$	³ AAIJ	23C LHCb	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D_s^+ \pi^-$

³ From an amplitude analysis of $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D_s^+ \pi^-$. A simultaneous fit to $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D_s^+ \pi^-$ and $B^- \rightarrow D^- D_s^+ \pi^+$ assuming isospin symmetry yields a width of $136 \pm 23 \pm 13$ MeV.

$T_{c\bar{s}0}^*(2900)^{++}$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$137 \pm 32 \pm 17$	⁴ AAIJ	23C LHCb	$B^- \rightarrow D^- D_s^+ \pi^+$

⁴ From an amplitude analysis of $B^- \rightarrow D^- D_s^+ \pi^+$. A simultaneous fit to $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D_s^+ \pi^-$ and $B^- \rightarrow D^- D_s^+ \pi^+$ assuming isospin symmetry yields a width of $136 \pm 23 \pm 13$ MeV.

$T_{c\bar{s}0}^*(2900)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad D_s^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad D_s^+ \pi^+$	seen

$T_{c\bar{s}0}^*(2900)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	AAIJ	23C	LHCB	$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D_s^+ \pi^-$
$\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	AAIJ	23C	LHCB	$B^- \rightarrow D^- D_s^+ \pi^+$

$T_{c\bar{s}0}^*(2900)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	23B	PR D108 012017	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	23C	PRL 131 041902	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)

NODE=M269

NODE=M269

NODE=M269M

NODE=M269M

NODE=M269M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M269M++

NODE=M269M++

NODE=M269M++;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M269W

NODE=M269W

NODE=M269W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M269W++

NODE=M269W++

NODE=M269W++;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M269215;NODE=M269

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

NODE=M269225

NODE=M269R01
NODE=M269R01NODE=M269R02
NODE=M269R02

NODE=M269

REFID=62091
REFID=62094

$T_{cc}(3875)^+$

$$I(J^P) = ?(??)$$

NODE=M265

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Observed with large significance by AAIJ 22E in the doubly-charmed
($C = 2$) decay mode $D^0 D^0 \pi^+$ using inclusive pp collisions at 7, 8,
and 13 TeV.

NODE=M265

 $T_{cc}(3875)^+$ T-Matrix Pole $\sqrt{s}$

NODE=M265TMP

VALUE (keV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(-360 \pm 40^{+4}_{-0}) - i(24 \pm 1^{+0}_{-7})$	117	¹ AAIJ	22Z LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^0 D^0 \pi^+ X$
$(-356^{+39}_{-38}) - i(28 \pm 1)$		² DU	22 RVUE	

NODE=M265TMP

¹ The fit uses a coupled channel model accounting for the $D^0 D^{*+}$ threshold. The real part is given relative to the $D^0 D^{*+}$ threshold.

NODE=M265TMP;LINKAGE=A

² The study provides fits results of three different coupled-channel calculations of increasing levels of sophistication to the LHCb data of AAIJ 22Z. We quote the result of the most advanced calculation with full three-body unitarity. The real part is given relative to the $D^0 D^{*+}$ threshold.

NODE=M265TMP;LINKAGE=B

 $T_{cc}(3875)^+$ MASS

NODE=M265M

OUR FIT value comes from the measurement of $m_{T_{cc}^+} - (m_{D^{*+}} + m_{D^0})$
below and $m_{D^{*+}} + m_{D^0}$ values.

NODE=M265M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
3874.75 ± 0.10 OUR FIT	
[3874.74 $\pm$ 0.10 MeV OUR 2025 FIT]	

NODE=M265M
NEW **$m_{T_{cc}^+} - (m_{D^{*+}} + m_{D^0})$**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.36 ± 0.04 OUR FIT				
$-0.360 \pm 0.040^{+0.004}_{-0.000}$	117	¹ AAIJ	22Z LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^0 D^0 \pi^+ X$
$-0.273 \pm 0.061^{+0.012}_{-0.015}$	117	² AAIJ	22E LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^0 D^0 \pi^+ X$

NODE=M265DM
NODE=M265DM

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ Fit uses coupled channel model accounting for $D^0 D^{*+}$ threshold.

NODE=M265DM;LINKAGE=B

² The fit uses a relativistic P -wave Breit-Wigner function without taking into account the $D^0 D^{*+}$ threshold. Parameters are shown to be biased in AAIJ 22Z. The significance for $m_{T_{cc}^+} - (m_{D^{*+}} + m_{D^0}) < 0$ is 4.3 σ .

NODE=M265DM;LINKAGE=A

 $T_{cc}(3875)^+$ WIDTH

NODE=M265W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.048 \pm 0.002^{+0.000}_{-0.014}$	117	¹ AAIJ	22Z LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^0 D^0 \pi^+ X$
$0.410 \pm 0.165^{+0.047}_{-0.057}$	117	² AAIJ	22E LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^0 D^0 \pi^+ X$

NODE=M265W

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ Fit uses coupled channel model accounting for $D^0 D^{*+}$ threshold.

NODE=M265W;LINKAGE=B

² The fit uses a relativistic P -wave Breit-Wigner function without taking into account the $D^0 D^{*+}$ threshold. The fit uses a relativistic P -wave Breit-Wigner function. Parameters are shown to be biased in AAIJ 22Z.

NODE=M265W;LINKAGE=A

 $T_{cc}(3875)^+$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M265215;NODE=M265

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad D^0 D^0 \pi^+$	seen

DESIG=1

$T_{cc}(3875)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^0 D^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	117	AAIJ	22E LHCb	$p p \rightarrow D^0 D^0 \pi^+ X$	

NODE=M265225

NODE=M265R01
NODE=M265R01 **$T_{cc}(3875)^+$ REFERENCES**

AAIJ	22E	NATP 18 751	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	22Z	NATC 13 3351	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
DU	22	PR D105 014024	M.-L. Du <i>et al.</i>	(VALE, IFIC, BOCH, KIAE+)

NODE=M265

REFID=61658
REFID=63218
REFID=63591

NODE=M210

 $T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{+-})$$

was $Z_c(3900)$, $X(3900)$

Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

NODE=M210

Charged $T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^\pm$ seen as a peak in the invariant mass distribution of the $J/\psi \pi^\pm$ system by BES III (ABLIKIM 13T) in $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ at c.m. energy of 4.26 GeV and by radiative return from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ from 9.46 to 10.86 GeV at Belle (LIU 13B). Partial wave analysis of ABLIKIM 17J determines $J^P = 1^+$ with more than 7σ significance. Neutral $T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^0$ seen in the $J/\psi \pi^0$ invariant mass distribution in $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 J/\psi$ at c.m. energies of 4.23, 4.26, and 4.36 GeV by BES III (ABLIKIM 15U) and at 4.17 GeV by XIAO 13A. Peaks in $(D\bar{D}^*)^{0,\pm}$ reported by BES III (ABLIKIM 14A, ABLIKIM 15AB) are assumed to be related.

 $T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)$ MASS

NODE=M210M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
3887.1 ± 2.6 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.			
$3893.1 \pm 2.2 \pm 3.0$		¹ ABLIKIM	20N	BES3	0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 J/\psi$
$3902.6^{+5.2+3.3}_{-5.0-1.4}$		^{2,3} ABAZOV	19	D0	$\pm$ 1.96 TeV $p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- X$
$3881.2 \pm 4.2 \pm 52.7$	6k	⁴ ABLIKIM	17J	BES3	$\pm$ $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
$3885.7^{+4.3}_{-5.7} \pm 8.4$		^{2,4} ABLIKIM	15AB	BES3	0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 (D\bar{D}^*)^0$
$3881.7 \pm 1.6 \pm 1.6$	1.2k	^{2,4} ABLIKIM	15AC	BES3	$\pm$ $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^\pm (D\bar{D}^*)^\mp$
$3883.9 \pm 1.5 \pm 4.2$	1.2k	^{2,4} ABLIKIM	14A	BES3	$\pm$ $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^\pm (D\bar{D}^*)^\mp$
$3894.5 \pm 6.6 \pm 4.5$	159	² LIU	13B	BELL	$\pm$ $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
$3886 \pm 4 \pm 2$	81	^{2,5} XIAO	13A		$\pm$ 4.17 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
$3904 \pm 9 \pm 5$	25	^{2,5} XIAO	13A	0	4.17 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 J/\psi$

NODE=M210M

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$3895.0 \pm 5.2^{+4.0}_{-2.7}$	502	^{2,6} ABAZOV	18B	D0	$\pm$ 1.96 TeV $p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- X$
$3894.8 \pm 2.3 \pm 3.2$	356	^{2,7} ABLIKIM	15U	BES3	0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 J/\psi$
$3899.0 \pm 3.6 \pm 4.9$	307	^{2,8} ABLIKIM	13T	BES3	$\pm$ $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$

¹ Pole mass obtained from a fit to a relativistic Breit-Wigner.

² Neglecting interference between the $T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)$ and other processes.

³ Measured in weak decays of b -flavored hadrons (nonprompt).

⁴ Pole mass obtained from a fit to a Flatte-like formula.

⁵ For $M^2(\pi^+ \pi^-) < 0.65 \text{ GeV}^2$. Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

⁶ The signal of the $T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)$ is correlated with a parent $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ system in the invariant mass range 4.2–4.7 GeV. Superseded by ABAZOV 19.

⁷ Superseded by ABLIKIM 20N.

⁸ Superseded by ABLIKIM 17J.

NODE=M210M;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M210M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M210M;LINKAGE=G


NODE=M210M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M210M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M210M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M210M;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M210M;LINKAGE=K



$T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
28.4± 2.6 OUR AVERAGE						
44.4± 5.2±14.0		¹ ABLIKIM	20N	BES3	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi$
32 +28 +26 -21 -7		^{2,3} ABAZOV	19	D0	±	1.96 TeV $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi X$ (non-prompt)
51.8± 4.6±36.0	6 k	⁴ ABLIKIM	17J	BES3	±	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
35 +11 -12 ±15		^{2,4} ABLIKIM	15AB	BES3	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0(D\bar{D}^*)^0$
26.6± 2.0± 2.1	1248	^{2,4} ABLIKIM	15AC	BES3	±	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^\pm(D\bar{D}^*)^\mp$
24.8± 3.3±11.0	1212	^{2,4} ABLIKIM	14A	BES3	±	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^\pm(D\bar{D}^*)^\mp$
63 ±24 ±26	159	² LIU	13B	BELL	±	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
37 ± 4 ± 8	81	^{2,5} XIAO	13A		±	4.17 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●						
29.6± 8.2± 8.2	356	^{2,6} ABLIKIM	15U	BES3	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi$
46 ±10 ±20	307	^{2,7} ABLIKIM	13T	BES3	±	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

¹ Pole width obtained from a fit to a relativistic Breit-Wigner.

² Neglecting interference between the $T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)$ and other processes.

³ Measured in weak decays of b -flavored hadrons (nonprompt).

⁴ Pole width obtained from a fit to a Flatte-like formula.

⁵ For $M^2(\pi^+\pi^-) < 0.65 \text{ GeV}^2$. Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

⁶ Superseded by ABLIKIM 20N.

⁷ Superseded by ABLIKIM 17J.

$T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $J/\psi\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $h_c\pi^\pm$	not seen
Γ_3 $\eta_c\pi^+\pi^-$	not seen
Γ_4 $\eta_c(1S)\rho(770)^\pm$	seen
Γ_5 $(D\bar{D}^*)^\pm$	seen
Γ_6 $D^0 D^{*-} + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_7 $D^- D^{*0} + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_8 $\omega\pi^\pm$	not seen
Γ_9 $J/\psi\eta$	not seen
Γ_{10} $D^+ D^{*-} + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_{11} $D^0 \bar{D}^{*0} + \text{c.c.}$	seen

NODE=M210W

NODE=M210W

NODE=M210W;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M210W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M210W;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M210W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M210W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M210W;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M210W;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M210215;NODE=M210

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=10

DESIG=11;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=8

DESIG=9

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

DESIG=6

DESIG=7

$T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M210225

$\Gamma(J/\psi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_1/Γ				
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
seen		ABLIKIM	20N	BES3	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi$
seen		1 ABAZOV	19	D0	$\pm$	$1.96 \text{ TeV } p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 J/\psi X \text{ (prompt)}$
seen		ABLIKIM	17J	BES3	$\pm$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 J/\psi$
seen	356	ABLIKIM	15U	BES3	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi$
not seen		2 ADOLPH	15D	COMP	$\pm$	$\gamma N \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^\pm N$
seen	307	ABLIKIM	13T	BES3	$\pm$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 J/\psi$
seen	25	3 XIAO	13A		0	$4.17 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi$

¹ But not seen in the "prompt" sample (no b-hadron enhancement).² ADOLPH 15D measure $B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^\pm) \sigma(\gamma N \rightarrow T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^\pm N)/\sigma(\gamma N \rightarrow J/\psi N) < 3.7 \times 10^{-3}$ at 90% CL.³ Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.NODE=M210R01
NODE=M210R01NODE=M210R01;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M210R01;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M210R01;LINKAGE=XI

$\Gamma(h_c\pi^\pm)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_2/Γ				
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
not seen		ABLIKIM	13X	BES3	$\pm$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M210R02
NODE=M210R02

$\Gamma(\eta_c\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_3/Γ				
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
not seen		1 VINOKUROVA 15	BELL	0	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+\eta_c\pi^+\pi^-$	

NODE=M210R11
NODE=M210R11¹ VINOKUROVA 15 reports $B(B^+ \rightarrow K^+Z_c(3900)^0) \times B(X \rightarrow \eta_c\pi^+\pi^-) < 4.7 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90% CL.

NODE=M210R11;LINKAGE=VI

$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S)\rho(770)^\pm)/\Gamma(J/\psi\pi)$		Γ_4/Γ_1				
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
2.3 ± 0.8	332	1 ABLIKIM	19BC	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta_c(1S)$	

NODE=M210R12
NODE=M210R12¹ Using $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^\mp(T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^\pm)$ cross section at 4.23 and 4.26 GeV from ABLIKIM 17J.

NODE=M210R12;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma((D\bar{D}^*)^\pm)/\Gamma(J/\psi\pi)$		Γ_5/Γ_1				
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
$6.2 \pm 1.1 \pm 2.7$		1 ABLIKIM	14A	BES3	$\pm$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^\pm(D\bar{D}^*)^\mp$

NODE=M210R03
NODE=M210R03¹ Assuming the same origin of the $(D\bar{D}^*)^\pm$ and $\pi^\pm J/\psi$ decay modes.

NODE=M210R03;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(D^0 D^{*-} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_6/Γ				
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
seen		ABLIKIM	15AC	BES3	$\pm$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0 D^{*-} + \text{c.c.}$
seen		ABLIKIM	14A	BES3	$\pm$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0 D^{*-} + \text{c.c.}$

NODE=M210R09
NODE=M210R09

$\Gamma(D^- D^{*0} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_7/Γ				
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
seen		ABLIKIM	15AC	BES3	$\pm$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ D^- D^{*0} + \text{c.c.}$
seen		ABLIKIM	14A	BES3	$\pm$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ D^- D^{*0} + \text{c.c.}$

NODE=M210R10
NODE=M210R10

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^\pm)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_8/Γ				
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
not seen		ABLIKIM	15R	BES3	$\pm$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M210R00
NODE=M210R00

$\Gamma(J/\psi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_9/Γ				
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
not seen		ABLIKIM	15Q	BES3	0	$4.0\text{--}4.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\eta\pi^0$

NODE=M210R04
NODE=M210R04

$\Gamma(J/\psi\eta)/\Gamma(J/\psi\pi)$		Γ_9/Γ_1				
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
<0.15	90	ABLIKIM	15Q	BES3	0	$4.226 e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\eta\pi^0$

NODE=M210R05
NODE=M210R05

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.65	90	ABLIKIM	15Q	BES3	0	$4.257 e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\eta\pi^0$

OCCUR=2

$\Gamma(D^+ D^{*-} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{10}/Γ				
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
seen		ABLIKIM	15AB	BES3	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0(D\bar{D}^*)^0$

NODE=M210R06
NODE=M210R06

$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^{*0} + c.c.)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
seen	ABLIKIM	15AB BES3	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 (D \bar{D}^*)^0$

NODE=M210R07
 NODE=M210R07

 $\Gamma(D^+ D^{*-} + c.c.)/\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^{*0} + c.c.)$ Γ_{10}/Γ_{11}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.96 ± 0.18 ± 0.12	ABLIKIM	15AB BES3	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 (D \bar{D}^*)^0$

NODE=M210R08
 NODE=M210R08

 $T_{c\bar{c}1}(3900)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	20N	PR D102 012009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.) JP
ABAZOV	19	PR D100 012005	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19BC	PR D100 111102	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABAZOV	18B	PR D98 052010	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17J	PRL 119 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.) JP
ABLIKIM	15AB	PRL 115 222002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15AC	PR D92 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.) JP
ABLIKIM	15Q	PR D92 012008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15R	PR D92 032009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15U	PRL 115 112003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ADOLPH	15D	PL B742 330	C. Adolph <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
VINOKUROVA	15	JHEP 1506 132	A. Vinokurova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
Also		JHEP 1702 088 (errata.)	A. Vinokurava <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	14A	PRL 112 022001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.) JP
ABLIKIM	13T	PRL 110 252001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13X	PRL 111 242001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LIU	13B	PRL 110 252002	Z.Q. Liu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
XIAO	13A	PL B727 366	T. Xiao <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)

NODE=M210

REFID=60338
 REFID=59903
 REFID=60036
 REFID=59442
 REFID=57950
 REFID=56954
 REFID=56967
 REFID=56782
 REFID=56783
 REFID=56786
 REFID=56791
 REFID=56706
 REFID=57795
 REFID=55648
 REFID=55409
 REFID=55635
 REFID=55410
 REFID=55593

 $T_{c\bar{c}1}(4000)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^+)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
 was $Z_{c\bar{s}}(4000)$

Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on "Heavy Non- $q\bar{q}$ Mesons."

NODE=M259

NODE=M259

Seen by AAIJ 21E in $B^+ \rightarrow T_{c\bar{c}1}(4000)^+ \phi$ with $T_{c\bar{c}1}(4000)^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ using an amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance (accounting for systematic uncertainties) of 15σ . The $J^P = 1^+$ assignment is favored with high significance. ABLIKIM 21G also reports a $J^P = 1^+ T_{c\bar{c}s}$ state in this mass region using $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+(D_s^- D^{*0} + D_s^{*-} D^0)$ with a significance of 5.3σ . The incompatible values for the widths reported by AAIJ 21E and ABLIKIM 21G could either indicate the existence of two separate states or possibly be explained in a coupled channel model (see ORTEGA 21).

 $T_{c\bar{c}1}(4000)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3980–4010 OUR EVALUATION				
3988 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.7.				
3991 $^{+12}_{-10} \pm 9_{-17}$		¹ AAIJ	23AQ LHCB	$B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K_S^0$
3992.2 ± 1.7 ± 1.6		² ABLIKIM	22AE BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 (D_s^- D^{*+} + D_s^{*-} D^+)$
4003 ± 6 $^{+4}_{-14}$	24k	³ AAIJ	21E LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
3982.5 $^{+1.8}_{-2.6} \pm 2.1$		⁴ ABLIKIM	21G BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ (D_s^- D^{*0} + D_s^{*-} D^0)$

NODE=M259M

NODE=M259M
 → UNCHECKED ←

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K_S^0$ with a significance of 4.0σ . The mass difference with respect to the charged partner in AAIJ 21E is $-12^{+11}_{-10} \pm 6$ MeV.

² Pole mass for a mass-, width-dependent Breit-Wigner fit to the mass spectrum recoiling against K_S^0 at center of mass energies between 4.628 and 4.699 GeV, with a significance of 4.6σ .

³ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 15σ .

NODE=M259M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M259M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M259M;LINKAGE=A

⁴ Pole mass for a mass-dependent Breit-Wigner fit to the mass spectrum recoiling against K^+ at center of mass energies between 4.628 and 4.698 GeV, with a significance of 5.3 σ .

NODE=M259M;LINKAGE=B

$T_{c\bar{c}s1}(4000)$ WIDTH

NODE=M259W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

5-150 OUR EVALUATION

14 $\pm$ 4 OUR AVERAGE

Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

105	$+29$ -25	$+17$ -23	¹ AAIJ	23AQ LHCb	$B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K_S^0$
7.7	$+4.1$ -3.8	± 4.3	² ABLIKIM	22AE BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 (D_s^- D^{*+} + D_s^{*-} D^+)$
131	± 15	± 26	³ AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
12.8	$+5.3$ -4.4	± 3.0	⁴ ABLIKIM	21G BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ (D_s^- D^{*0} + D_s^{*-} D^0)$

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K_S^0$ with a significance of 4.0 σ .

² Pole width for a mass-, width-dependent Breit-Wigner fit to the mass spectrum recoiling against K_S^0 at center of mass energies between 4.628 and 4.699 GeV, with a significance of 4.6 σ .

³ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 15 σ .

⁴ Pole width for a mass-dependent Breit-Wigner fit to the mass spectrum recoiling against K^+ at center of mass energies between 4.628 and 4.698 GeV, with a significance of 5.3 σ .

NODE=M259W

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=M259W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M259W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M259W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M259W;LINKAGE=B

$T_{c\bar{c}s1}(4000)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M259215;NODE=M259

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $J/\psi K^+$	seen
Γ_2 $J/\psi K_S^0$	seen
Γ_3 $D_s^+ \bar{D}^{*0}$ or $D_s^{*+} \bar{D}^0$	seen
Γ_4 $D_s^+ D^{*-}$ or $D_s^{*+} D^-$	seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=4

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

$T_{c\bar{c}s1}(4000)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M259225

$\Gamma(J/\psi K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen 24k ¹ AAIJ 21E LHCb $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 15 σ .

NODE=M259R01

NODE=M259R01

NODE=M259R01;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(J/\psi K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen ¹ AAIJ 23AQ LHCb $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K_S^0$

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K_S^0$ with a significance of 4.0 σ .

NODE=M259R02

NODE=M259R02

NODE=M259R02;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \bar{D}^{*0} \text{ or } D_s^{*+} \bar{D}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen ¹ ABLIKIM 21G BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ (D_s^- D^{*0} + D_s^{*-} D^0)$

¹ Seen in the spectrum recoiling against K^+ in $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ (D_s^- D^{*0} + D_s^{*-} D^0)$ collisions at center of mass energies between 4.628 and 4.698 GeV, with a significance of 5.3 σ .

NODE=M259R00

NODE=M259R00

NODE=M259R00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(J/\psi K^+)/\Gamma(D_s^+ \bar{D}^{*0} \text{ or } D_s^{*+} \bar{D}^0)$ Γ_1/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<0.03 90 ABLIKIM 23BI BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- J/\psi$

NODE=M259R04

NODE=M259R04

$\Gamma(D_s^+ D^{*-} \text{ or } D_s^{*+} D^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

seen ¹ ABLIKIM 22AE BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 (D_s^- D^{*+} + D_s^{*-} D^+)$

¹ Seen in the mass spectrum recoiling against K_S^0 at center of mass energies between 4.628 and 4.699 GeV, with a significance of 4.6 σ .

NODE=M259R03

NODE=M259R03

NODE=M259R03;LINKAGE=A

$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4000)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	23AQ	PRL 131	131901	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23BI	PRL 131	211902	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22AE	PRL 129	112003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	21E	PRL 127	082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP
ABLIKIM	21G	PRL 126	102001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ORTEGA	21	PL B818	136382	P.G. Ortega, D.R. Entem, F. Fernandez	

NODE=M259

REFID=62429
REFID=62434
REFID=61877
REFID=61150
REFID=61065
REFID=61108

 $T_{c\bar{c}}(4020)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(\text{?}^-)$$

was $X(4020)$

Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

NODE=M213

NODE=M213

Charged $T_{c\bar{c}}(4020)$ seen by ABLIKIM 13X from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c(1P)$ at c.m. energy from 3.90 to 4.42 GeV as a peak in the invariant mass distribution of the $\pi^\pm h_c(1P)$ system, and by ABLIKIM 14B from $e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^\pm\pi^\mp$ events in $(D^*\bar{D}^*)^\pm$ mass. A neutral $T_{c\bar{c}}(4020)$ seen by ABLIKIM 14P at three c.m. energies in the same range in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0h_c(1P)$ as a peak in the larger of the two masses recoiling against a π^0 . ABLIKIM 15AA observes a 5.9σ signal in $(D^*\bar{D}^*)^0$ in $e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^0\pi^0$ events using collisions at two c.m. energies. Production rates and mass values support grouping neutral and charged $T_{c\bar{c}}(4020)$ together as manifestations of a single $I = 1$ particle.

 $T_{c\bar{c}}(4020)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
4024.1 ± 1.9 OUR AVERAGE					
4025.5 ^{+2.0} _{-4.7} ± 3.1	116	¹ ABLIKIM	15AA	BES3 0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^0\pi^0$
4026.3 ± 2.6 ± 3.7	401	¹ ABLIKIM	14B	BES3 ±	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^\pm\pi^\mp$
4023.9 ± 2.2 ± 3.8	61	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	14P	BES3 0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0h_c$
4022.9 ± 0.8 ± 2.7	253	¹ ABLIKIM	13X	BES3 ±	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c$

¹ Neglecting interference between the $T_{c\bar{c}}(4020)$ and non-resonant continuum.

² Assuming $J^P = 1^+$ and width of 7.9 ± 2.6 MeV.

NODE=M213M

NODE=M213M

NODE=M213M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M213M;LINKAGE=B

 $T_{c\bar{c}}(4020)$ WIDTH


VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
13 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE					
23.0 ± 6.0 ± 1.0	116	¹ ABLIKIM	15AA	BES3 0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^0\pi^0$
24.8 ± 5.6 ± 7.7	401	¹ ABLIKIM	14B	BES3 ±	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^\pm\pi^\mp$
7.9 ± 2.7 ± 2.6	253	¹ ABLIKIM	13X	BES3 ±	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c$

¹ Neglecting interference between the $T_{c\bar{c}}(4020)$ and non-resonant continuum.

NODE=M213W

NODE=M213W

NODE=M213W;LINKAGE=AB



$T_{c\bar{c}}(4020)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $h_c(1P)\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $D^*\bar{D}^*$	seen
Γ_3 $D\bar{D}^* + \text{c.c.}$	not seen
Γ_4 $\eta_c\pi^+\pi^-$	not seen
Γ_5 $\eta_c(1S)\rho(770)^\pm$	not seen
Γ_6 $J/\psi(1S)\pi^\pm$	not seen

NODE=M213215;NODE=M213

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=4

DESIG=3

DESIG=6;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4020)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(h_c(1P)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
seen	61	ABLIKIM	14P BES3	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 h_c$	
seen	253	ABLIKIM	13X BES3	$\pm$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- h_c$	

NODE=M213225

NODE=M213R01
NODE=M213R01

$\Gamma(D^*\bar{D}^*)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
seen	116	¹ ABLIKIM	15AA BES3	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^0\pi^0$	
seen	401	¹ ABLIKIM	14B BES3	$\pm$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^\pm\pi^\mp$	

NODE=M213R02
NODE=M213R02¹ Neglecting interference between the $T_{c\bar{c}}(4020)$ and non-resonant continuum.

NODE=M213R02;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(D\bar{D}^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_3/Γ
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
not seen		ABLIKIM	15AC BES3	$\pm$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^\pm(D\bar{D}^*)^\mp$	

NODE=M213R03
NODE=M213R03

$\Gamma(\eta_c\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						Γ_4/Γ
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN		COMMENT	
not seen		¹ VINOKUROVA 15	BELL		$B^+ \rightarrow K^+\eta_c\pi^+\pi^-$	

NODE=M213R00
NODE=M213R00¹ VINOKUROVA 15 reports $B(B^+ \rightarrow K^+T_{c\bar{c}}(4020)^0) \times B(T_{c\bar{c}} \rightarrow \eta_c\pi^+\pi^-) < 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90% CL.

NODE=M213R00;LINKAGE=VI

$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S)\rho(770)^\pm)/\Gamma(h_c(1P)\pi)$						Γ_5/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN		COMMENT	
<1.2	90	¹ ABLIKIM	19BC BES3		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta_c(1S)$	

NODE=M213R05
NODE=M213R05¹ Using $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^\mp(Z_c(4020)^\pm \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^\pm)$ cross section at 4.23, 4.26 and 4.36 GeV from ABLIKIM 13X.

NODE=M213R05;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^\pm)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ ABLIKIM	17J BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

¹ From Partial Wave Analysis assuming $J^P = 1^+$.

NODE=M213R04
 NODE=M213R04

NODE=M213R04;LINKAGE=A

 $T_{c\bar{c}}(4020)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	19BC	PR D100 111102	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17J	PRL 119 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15AA	PRL 115 182002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15AC	PR D92 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
VINOKUROVA	15	JHEP 1506 132	A. Vinokurova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
Also		JHEP 1702 088 (errat.)	A. Vinokurava <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	14B	PRL 112 132001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	14P	PRL 113 212002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13X	PRL 111 242001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)

NODE=M213

REFID=60036
 REFID=57950
 REFID=56951
 REFID=56967
 REFID=56706
 REFID=57795
 REFID=55654
 REFID=56118
 REFID=55635

NODE=M191

 $T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^+$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(?^?+)$
 I, G, C need confirmation.

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
 was $X(4050)$

Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

NODE=M191

Observed by MIZUK 08 in the $\pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P)$ invariant mass distribution in $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P)$ decays. Not seen by LEES 12B in this same mode after accounting for $K\pi$ resonant mass and angular structure.

 $T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^+$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4051 \pm 14^{+20}_{-41}$	¹ MIZUK	08 BELL	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P)$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis with two Breit-Wigner amplitudes.

NODE=M191M

NODE=M191M

NODE=M191M;LINKAGE=MI

 $T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^+$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
82^{+21+47}_{-17-22}	¹ MIZUK	08 BELL	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P)$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis with two Breit-Wigner amplitudes.

NODE=M191W

NODE=M191W

NODE=M191W;LINKAGE=MI

 $T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^+$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P)$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \pi^\pm\psi(3770)$	not seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad \pi^\pm\chi_{c0}(1P)$	not seen
$\Gamma_4 \quad \pi^\pm\chi_{c2}(1P)$	not seen

NODE=M191215;NODE=M191

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

DESIG=3

DESIG=4

 $T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS $\Gamma(\pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen		¹ MIZUK	08 BELL	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen 16 ² ABLIKIM 21W BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{cJ}\pi^+\pi^+$ not seen ³ LEES 12B BABR $B \rightarrow K\pi\chi_{c1}(1P)$ ¹ With a product branching fraction measurement of $B(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^-T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^+) \times B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (3.0^{+1.5+3.7}_{-0.8-1.6}) \times 10^{-5}$.² ABLIKIM 21W measurement is limited by statistics.³ With a product branching fraction limit of $B(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^+K^-) \times B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\pi^+) < 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90% CL.

NODE=M191225

NODE=M191R01
 NODE=M191R01

NODE=M191R01;LINKAGE=MI

NODE=M191R01;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M191R01;LINKAGE=LE

$$\Gamma(\pi^\pm \chi_{c0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	18	¹ ABLIKIM	21W BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{cJ}\pi^+\pi^+$

¹ ABLIKIM 21W measurement is limited by statistics.

NODE=M191R02
NODE=M191R02

NODE=M191R02;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\pi^\pm \chi_{c2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	14	¹ ABLIKIM	21W BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{cJ}\pi^+\pi^+$

¹ ABLIKIM 21W measurement is limited by statistics.

NODE=M191R03
NODE=M191R03

NODE=M191R03;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\pi^\pm \psi(3770))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ ABLIKIM	19AR BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- D\bar{D}$

¹ From a measurement of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- D\bar{D})$ between $\sqrt{s} = 4.08$ and 4.6 GeV.

NODE=M191R00
NODE=M191R00

NODE=M191R00;LINKAGE=A

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4050)^+$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	21W	PR D103 052010	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AR	PR D100 032005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	12B	PR D85 052003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
MIZUK	08	PR D78 072004	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

NODE=M191

REFID=61221
REFID=59910
REFID=54042
REFID=52535

NODE=M223

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^+$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(?^-)$
 I, G, C need confirmation.

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

was $X(4055)^\pm$

Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

NODE=M223

Needs confirmation. Seen by WANG 15A in the $\psi(2S)\pi^+$ invariant mass distribution in $\psi(4360) \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$ decay.

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^+$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4054 ±3 ±1	¹ WANG	15A BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
4039.3 ± 6.0	² ABLIKIM	18K BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\psi(2S)$
4032.1 ± 2.4	³ ABLIKIM	17V BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$

¹ Statistical significance of 3.5 σ .

² Statistical error only, with significance of 5.9 σ (from a fit with a 19% CL). Identified as the same structure observed in ABLIKIM 17V in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ decays.

³ Statistical error only, with significance of 9.2 σ . From an unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ Dalitz plot from data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 4.416$ GeV for a $J^C = 1^+$ state. The fit does not match the detailed structure of the data, having a C.L. of only 8%.

NODE=M223M

NODE=M223M

OCCUR=2

NODE=M223M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M223M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M223M;LINKAGE=B

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^+$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
45 ±11 ±6	¹ WANG	15A BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
31.9 ± 14.8	² ABLIKIM	18K BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\psi(2S)$
26.1 ± 5.3	³ ABLIKIM	17V BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$

¹ Statistical significance of 3.5 σ .

² Statistical error only, with significance of 5.9 σ (from a fit with a 19% CL). Identified as the same structure observed in ABLIKIM 17V in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ decays.

³ Statistical error only, with significance of 9.2 σ . From an unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ Dalitz plot from data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 4.416$ GeV for a $J^C = 1^+$ state. The fit does not match the detailed structure of the data, having a C.L. of only 8%.

NODE=M223W

NODE=M223W

NODE=M223W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M223W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M223W;LINKAGE=B

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^+$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M223215;NODE=M223

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi^+ \psi(2S)$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \pi^+ \psi(3770)$	not seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M223225

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
seen	¹ WANG 15A BELL 10.58 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$
¹ Statistical significance of 3.5 σ .	

NODE=M223R01
NODE=M223R01

NODE=M223R01;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \psi(3770))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_2/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
not seen	¹ ABLIKIM 19AR BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- D \bar{D}$
¹ From a measurement of $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- D \bar{D})$ between $\sqrt{s} = 4.08$ and 4.6 GeV.	

NODE=M223R00
NODE=M223R00

NODE=M223R00;LINKAGE=A

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4055)^+$ REFERENCES

NODE=M223

ABLIKIM	19AR	PR D100 032005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18K	PR D97 052001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17V	PR D96 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
Also		PR D99 019903 (errat.)	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
WANG	15A	PR D91 112007	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

REFID=59910
REFID=58896
REFID=58029
REFID=59611
REFID=56839

NODE=M240

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4100)^+$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(?^{?+})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
was $X(4100)^\pm$

Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

NODE=M240

Reported by AAIJ 18AN in the $\eta_c(1S)\pi^+$ invariant mass distribution in $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)K^-\pi^+$ decays with a significance of 3.4 σ . $J^P = 0^+$ or 1^- assignment consistent with data.

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4100)^+$ MASS

NODE=M240M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4096 \pm 20^{+18}_{-22}$	AAIJ	18AN LHCB	$B^0 \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)K^+\pi^-$

NODE=M240M

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4100)^+$ WIDTH

NODE=M240W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$152 \pm 58^{+60}_{-35}$	AAIJ	18AN LHCB	$B^0 \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)K^+\pi^-$

NODE=M240W

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4100)^+$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M240215;NODE=M240

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi^+ \eta_c(1S)$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \pi^+ \psi(3770)$	not seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4100)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M240225

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
seen	¹ AAIJ 18AN LHCB $B^0 \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)K^+\pi^-$
¹ AAIJ 18AN quotes a fit fraction for $B^0 \rightarrow T_{c\bar{c}}(4100)^- K^+ \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)\pi^- K^+$ of $(3.3 \pm 1.1^{+1.2}_{-1.1})\%$ from an amplitude analysis.	

NODE=M240R01
NODE=M240R01

NODE=M240R01;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^+\psi(3770))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
not seen	¹ ABLIKIM	19AR BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- D\bar{D}$	
¹ From a measurement of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- D\bar{D})$ between $\sqrt{s} = 4.08$ and 4.6 GeV.				

NODE=M240R00
NODE=M240R00

NODE=M240R00;LINKAGE=A

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4100)^+$ REFERENCES

NODE=M240

REFID=59910
REFID=59335

NODE=M231

ABLIKIM	19AR PR D100 032005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	18AN EPJ C78 1019	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)

$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^+$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{+-})$
 I, G, C need confirmation.

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
was $Z_c(4200), X(4200)^\pm$

This state shows properties different from a conventional $q\bar{q}$ state.
A candidate for an exotic structure. See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

NODE=M231

Reported by CHILIKIN 14 in $J/\psi\pi^+$ at a significance of 6.2σ . As-
signments of $0^-, 1^-, 2^-$, and 2^+ excluded at $6.1\sigma, 7.4\sigma, 4.4\sigma$,
and 7.0σ level, respectively. Needs confirmation.

$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^+$ MASS

NODE=M231M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4196^{+31+17}_{-29-13}	CHILIKIN	14	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^- \pi^+$

NODE=M231M

$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^+$ WIDTH

NODE=M231W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$370 \pm 70^{+70}_{-132}$	CHILIKIN	14	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^- \pi^+$

NODE=M231W

$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^+$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M231215;NODE=M231

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad J/\psi\pi^+$	seen

DESIG=1

$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M231220

$\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	CHILIKIN	14	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^- \pi^+$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
possibly seen	¹ AAIJ	19R LHCb	$B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- J/\psi + \text{c.c.}$	
¹ From a model-independent analysis.				

NODE=M231R01
NODE=M231R01

NODE=M231R01;LINKAGE=C

$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)^+$ REFERENCES

NODE=M231

REFID=59776
REFID=56344

AAIJ	19R PRL 122 152002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
CHILIKIN	14 PR D90 112009	K. Chilikin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

NODE=M260

$T_{c\bar{c}s1}(4220)^+$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^+)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
was $Z_{cs}(4220)^+$

Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on "Heavy Non- $q\bar{q}$ Mesons."

NODE=M260

Seen by AAIJ 21E in $B^+ \rightarrow T_{c\bar{c}s1}(4220)^+ \phi$ with $T_{c\bar{c}s1}(4220)^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ using an amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance (accounting for systematic uncertainties) of 5.9σ . The $J^P = 1^+$ assignment is favored over 1^- with a significance of 2σ and other assignments are disfavored by 4.9σ .

$T_{c\bar{c}s1}(4220)^+$ MASS

NODE=M260M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4216 \pm 24^{+43}_{-30}$	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$

NODE=M260M

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.9σ .

NODE=M260M;LINKAGE=A

$T_{c\bar{c}s1}(4220)^+$ WIDTH

NODE=M260W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$233 \pm 52^{+97}_{-73}$	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$

NODE=M260W

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.9σ .

NODE=M260W;LINKAGE=A

$T_{c\bar{c}s1}(4220)^+$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M260215;NODE=M260

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad J/\psi K^+$	seen

DESIG=1

$T_{c\bar{c}s1}(4220)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M260225

$\Gamma(J/\psi K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	24k	¹ AAIJ	21E LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$	

NODE=M260R01
NODE=M260R01

¹ From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.9σ .

NODE=M260R01;LINKAGE=A

$T_{c\bar{c}s1}(4220)^+$ REFERENCES

NODE=M260

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP

REFID=61150

NODE=M216

$T_{c\bar{c}0}(4240)^+$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(0^{--})$
 I, G, C need confirmation.

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

was $R_{c0}(4240), X(4240)^\pm$

Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

NODE=M216

Spin and parity assigment $J^P = 0^-$ is favored over $1^-, 2^-$, and 2^+ by 8σ and over 1^+ by 1σ , according to the four-dimensional amplitude analysis of AAIJ 14AG.

$T_{c\bar{c}0}(4240)^+$ MASS

NODE=M216M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4239 \pm 18^{+45}_{-10}$	¹ AAIJ	14AG LHCB	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \psi(2S)$

NODE=M216M

¹ From a 4-dimensional analysis when a second, lower mass resonance is allowed in the $T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)$ fit, with significance 6σ including systematic variations.

NODE=M216M;LINKAGE=AA

$T_{c\bar{c}0}(4240)^+$ WIDTH

NODE=M216W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$220 \pm 47^{+108}_{-74}$	¹ AAIJ	14AG LHCB	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \psi(2S)$

NODE=M216W

¹ From a 4-dimensional analysis when a second, lower mass resonance is allowed in the $T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)$ fit, with significance 6σ including systematic variations.

NODE=M216W;LINKAGE=AA

$T_{c\bar{c}0}(4240)^+$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M216215;NODE=M216

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi^+ \psi(2S)$	seen

DESIG=1

$T_{c\bar{c}0}(4240)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M216225

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	¹ AAIJ	14AG LHCB	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \psi(2S)$	

NODE=M216R01
NODE=M216R01

¹ From a 4-dimensional analysis when a second, lower mass resonance is allowed in the $T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)$ fit. No partial branching fraction quoted.

NODE=M216R01;LINKAGE=AA

$T_{c\bar{c}0}(4240)^+$ REFERENCES

NODE=M216

AAIJ	14AG PRL 112 222002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)

REFID=55896

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^+$

 $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(?^{?+})$
 I, G, C need confirmation.

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

was $X(4250)^\pm$

Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

Observed by MIZUK 08 in the $\pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P)$ invariant mass distribution in $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P)$ decays. Not seen by LEES 12B in this same mode after accounting for $K\pi$ resonant mass and angular structure.

NODE=M192

NODE=M192

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^+$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4248^{+44+180}_{-29-35}$	¹ MIZUK	08	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P)$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis with two Breit-Wigner amplitudes.

NODE=M192M

NODE=M192M

NODE=M192M;LINKAGE=MI

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^+$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$177^{+54+316}_{-39-61}$	¹ MIZUK	08	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P)$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis with two Breit-Wigner amplitudes.

NODE=M192W

NODE=M192W

NODE=M192W;LINKAGE=MI

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^+$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P)$	seen

NODE=M192215;NODE=M192

DESIG=1

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	¹ MIZUK	08	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen ² LEES 12B BABR $B \rightarrow K\pi\chi_{c1}(1P)$

¹ With a product branching fraction measurement of $B(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^- T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^+) \times B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (4.0^{+2.3+19.7}_{-0.9-0.5}) \times 10^{-5}$.

² With a product branching fraction limit of $B(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^+ K^-) \times B(T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\pi^+) < 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90% CL.

NODE=M192225

NODE=M192R01
NODE=M192R01

NODE=M192R01;LINKAGE=MI

NODE=M192R01;LINKAGE=LE

$T_{c\bar{c}}(4250)^+$ REFERENCES

LEES	12B	PR D85 052003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
MIZUK	08	PR D78 072004	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

NODE=M192

REFID=54042
REFID=52535

$$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+$$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{+-})$$

G, C need confirmation.

was $Z_c(4430), X(4430)^\pm$

Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

First seen by CHOI 08 in $B \rightarrow K\pi^+\psi(2S)$ decays, confirmed by AAIJ 14AG, and confirmed in a model-independent way by AAIJ 15BH. Also seen by CHILIKIN 14 in $B \rightarrow K^+\pi J/\psi$ decays. J^P was determined by CHILIKIN 13 and AAIJ 14AG.

NODE=M195

NODE=M195

$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+$ MASS

NODE=M195M

NODE=M195M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4478^{+15}_{-18} OUR AVERAGE			
$4475 \pm 7^{+15}_{-25}$	¹ AAIJ	14AG LHCb	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\psi(2S)$
$4485 \pm 22^{+28}_{-11}$	¹ CHILIKIN	13 BELL	$B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
4443^{+15+19}_{-12-13}	² MIZUK	09 BELL	$B \rightarrow K\pi^+\psi(2S)$
$4433 \pm 4 \pm 2$	³ CHOI	08 BELL	$B \rightarrow K\pi^+\psi(2S)$
¹ From a four-dimensional amplitude analysis.			
² From a Dalitz plot analysis. Superseded by CHILIKIN 13.			
³ Superseded by MIZUK 09 and CHILIKIN 13.			

NODE=M195M;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M195M;LINKAGE=MI
 NODE=M195M;LINKAGE=CH

$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+$ WIDTH

NODE=M195W

NODE=M195W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
181 ± 31 OUR AVERAGE			
$172 \pm 13^{+37}_{-34}$	¹ AAIJ	14AG LHCb	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\psi(2S)$
200^{+41+26}_{-46-35}	¹ CHILIKIN	13 BELL	$B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
107^{+86+74}_{-43-56}	² MIZUK	09 BELL	$B \rightarrow K\pi^+\psi(2S)$
45^{+18+30}_{-13-13}	³ CHOI	08 BELL	$B \rightarrow K\pi^+\psi(2S)$
¹ From a four-dimensional amplitude analysis.			
² From a Dalitz plot analysis. Superseded by CHILIKIN 13.			
³ Superseded by MIZUK 09 and CHILIKIN 13.			

NODE=M195W;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M195W;LINKAGE=MI
 NODE=M195W;LINKAGE=CH

$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M195215;NODE=M195

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi^+\psi(2S)$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \pi^+J/\psi$	seen

DESIG=1

DESIG=2

$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M195225

$\Gamma(\pi^+\psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	¹ AAIJ	14AG LHCb	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\psi(2S)$	
seen	² CHILIKIN	13 BELL	$B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	³ AUBERT	09AA BABR	$B \rightarrow K\pi^+\psi(2S)$	
seen	⁴ MIZUK	09 BELL	$B \rightarrow K\pi^+\psi(2S)$	

NODE=M195R01
 NODE=M195R01

¹ From a four-dimensional amplitude analysis. No product of branching fractions quoted.

² From a four-dimensional amplitude analysis. Measured a product of branching fractions

$$B(B^0 \rightarrow T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^- K^+) \times B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \pi^-) = (6.0^{+1.7+2.5}_{-2.0-1.4}) \times 10^{-5}.$$

³ AUBERT 09AA quotes $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+) \times B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \psi(2S)) < 4.7 \times 10^{-5}$ and $B(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^- T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+) \times B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \psi(2S)) < 3.1 \times 10^{-5}$ at 95% CL.

⁴ Measured a product of branching fractions $B(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^- T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+) \times B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \psi(2S)) = (3.2^{+1.8+5.3}_{-0.9-1.6}) \times 10^{-5}$. Superseded by CHILIKIN 13.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	1,2 CHILIKIN	14 BELL	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	³ AUBERT	09AA BABR	$B \rightarrow K \pi^+ J/\psi$
¹ CHILIKIN 14 reports $B(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+ K^-) \times B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+) = (5.4^{+4.0+1.1}_{-1.0-0.9}) \times 10^{-6}$.			
² A broad enhancement seen by AAIJ 19R in the decays $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ K^-$ at 4600 MeV can be due to an interplay of $T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)$, $T_{c\bar{c}1}(4200)$ and the fitting polynomials.			
³ AUBERT 09AA quotes $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+) \times B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ J/\psi) < 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ and $B(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^- T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+) \times B(T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ J/\psi) < 0.4 \times 10^{-5}$ at 95% CL.			

$T_{c\bar{c}1}(4430)^+$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	19R	PRL 122 152002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	15BH	PR D92 112009	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	14AG	PRL 112 222002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP
CHILIKIN	14	PR D90 112009	K. Chilikin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
CHILIKIN	13	PR D88 074026	K. Chilikin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.) JP
AUBERT	09AA	PR D79 112001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
MIZUK	09	PR D80 031104	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
CHOI	08	PRL 100 142001	S.-K. Choi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

$T_{b\bar{s}}(5568)^+$

$$I(J^P) = 1(?^?)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
was $X(5568)^\pm$

Seen as a peak in the $B_s \pi^\pm$ mass spectrum with a significance of more than 3σ by ABAZOV 16E and ABAZOV 18A in inclusive $p\bar{p}$ collisions at 1.96 TeV. Not seen by AAIJ 16AI, AABOUD 18L, AALTONEN 18A, and SIRUNYAN 18J. Needs confirmation.

$T_{b\bar{s}}(5568)^+$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5566.9^{+3.2+0.6}_{-3.1-1.2}	278	¹ ABAZOV	18A D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow B_s^0 \pi^\pm X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5567.8 ^{±2.9+0.9} _{-1.9}	133	² ABAZOV	16E D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow B_s^0 \pi^\pm X$

¹ From the combined analysis of $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^\pm \mu^\mp X$ decays.

² Assumes $T_{b\bar{s}}(5568)^\pm \rightarrow B_s \pi^\pm$ decay. If $T_{b\bar{s}}(5568)^\pm \rightarrow B_s^* \pi^\pm$ decay is assumed, the mass shifts upward by 49 MeV.

$T_{b\bar{s}}(5568)^+$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
18.6^{+7.9+3.5}_{-6.1-3.8}	278	¹ ABAZOV	18A D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow B_s \pi^\pm X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
21.9 ^{±6.4+5.0} _{-2.5}	133	ABAZOV	16E D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow B_s \pi^\pm X$

¹ From the combined analysis of $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^\pm \mu^\mp X$ decays.

NODE=M195R01;LINKAGE=AA
NODE=M195R01;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M195R01;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M195R01;LINKAGE=MI

NODE=M195R02
NODE=M195R02

NODE=M195R02;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M195R02;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M195R02;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M195

REFID=59776
REFID=57110
REFID=55896
REFID=56344
REFID=55551
REFID=52940
REFID=52960
REFID=52178

NODE=M232

NODE=M232

NODE=M232M

NODE=M232M

NODE=M232M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M232M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M232W

NODE=M232W

NODE=M232W;LINKAGE=B

$T_{b\bar{s}}(5568)^+$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M232215;NODE=M232

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad B_s \pi^+$	seen

DESIG=1

 $T_{b\bar{s}}(5568)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M232220

$\Gamma(B_s \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	145	¹ ABAZOV	18A D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow B_s^0 \pi^\pm X$	
seen	133	² ABAZOV	16E D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow B_s^0 \pi^\pm X$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
not seen		³ AABOUD	18L ATLS	$pp \rightarrow B_s^0 \pi^\pm X$	
not seen		⁴ AALTONEN	18A CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow B_s^0 \pi^\pm X$	
not seen		⁵ SIRUNYAN	18J CMS	$pp \rightarrow B_s^0 \pi^\pm X$	
not seen		⁶ AAIJ	16AI LHCB	$pp \rightarrow B_s^0 \pi^\pm X$	

NODE=M232R01
NODE=M232R01

OCCUR=2

¹ With B_s mesons reconstructed in decays to $D_s^\pm \mu^\mp X$.NODE=M232R01;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M232R01;LINKAGE=A² Seen in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at 1.96 TeV at a rate of $(8.6 \pm 1.9 \pm 1.4)\%$ relative to inclusive B_s production in the kinematic region $10 < p_T(B_s) < 30$ GeV/c, with B_s mesons reconstructed in decays to $J/\psi\phi$. An alternative possibility, $T_{b\bar{s}}(5568)^\pm \rightarrow B_s^* \pi^\pm$ with a missing γ , could not be ruled out.³ Not seen in 24.4 fb^{-1} of pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV with B_s mesons reconstructed in decays to $J/\psi\phi$. An upper limit on the production rate times branching fraction for $T_{b\bar{s}}(5568)^\pm \rightarrow B_s \pi^\pm$ relative to inclusive B_s production is less than 1.5% at $p_T(B_s) > 10$ GeV/c and less than 1.6% at $p_T(B_s) > 15$ GeV/c at 95% CL.

NODE=M232R01;LINKAGE=E

⁴ Not seen in 9.6 fb^{-1} of $p\bar{p}$ collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV with B_s mesons reconstructed in decays to $J/\psi\phi$. An upper limit on the production rate times branching fraction for $T_{b\bar{s}}(5568)^\pm \rightarrow B_s \pi^\pm$ relative to inclusive B_s production is less than 6.7% at 95% CL.

NODE=M232R01;LINKAGE=D

⁵ Not seen in 19.7 fb^{-1} of pp collisions data at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with B_s mesons reconstructed in decays to $J/\psi\phi$. An upper limit on the production rate times branching fraction for $T_{b\bar{s}}(5568)^\pm \rightarrow B_s \pi^\pm$ relative to inclusive B_s production is less than 1.1% at $p_T(B_s) > 10$ GeV/c and less than 1.0% at $p_T(B_s) > 15$ GeV/c at 95%CL.

NODE=M232R01;LINKAGE=C

⁶ Not seen in 3 fb^{-1} of pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV in a scan over the $T_{b\bar{s}}(5568)$ mass and width, with B_s mesons reconstructed in decays to $D_s^\pm \pi^\mp$ or $J/\psi\phi$. An upper limit on the production rate times branching fraction for $T_{b\bar{s}}(5568)^\pm \rightarrow B_s \pi^\pm$ relative to inclusive B_s production is less than 2.1% at $p_T(B_s) > 10$ GeV/c at 90% CL.

NODE=M232R01;LINKAGE=B

 $T_{b\bar{s}}(5568)^+$ REFERENCES

NODE=M232

AABOUD	18L	PRL 120 202007	M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AALTONEN	18A	PRL 120 202006	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV	18A	PR D97 092004	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	18J	PRL 120 202005	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AAIJ	16AI	PRL 117 152003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABAZOV	16E	PRL 117 022003	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)

REFID=58829
REFID=58828
REFID=58937
REFID=58827
REFID=57549
REFID=57453

NODE=M268

$$T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{c}}(6900)^0$$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(?^{?+})$$

was $X(6900)$

State incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure. See the review on "Heavy Non- $q\bar{q}$ Mesons."

NODE=M268

$T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{c}}(6900)^0$ MASS

NODE=M268M

NODE=M268M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6914±11 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.		
6927± 9± 4	¹ HAYRAPETY...24	CMS	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi X$
6910±10±10	² AAD	23BL ATLS	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi X$
6886±11±11	³ AAIJ	20AY LHCB	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
6960±50±30	⁴ AAD	23BL ATLS	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi\psi(2S)X$

OCCUR=2

NODE=M268M;LINKAGE=D

¹ In a model with three resonances described by interfering relativistic Breit-Wigner functions above background from non-resonant single and double parton scattering and a threshold enhancement. The other two resonances have masses $6652 \pm 10 \pm 12$, $7287^{+20}_{-18} \pm 5$ MeV and widths $124^{+32}_{-26} \pm 33$, $95^{+59}_{-40} \pm 19$ MeV, respectively.

² In a model with two resonances, one describing the broad structure above threshold (mass $6650 \pm 20^{+30}_{-20}$ MeV, width $440 \pm 50^{+60}_{-50}$ MeV) interfering with single parton scattering, and a non-interfering $T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{c}}(6900)$.


NODE=M268M;LINKAGE=B

³ In a model where the broad structure above threshold interferes with non-resonant single parton scattering. Without interference the mass is $6905 \pm 11 \pm 7$ MeV.

NODE=M268M;LINKAGE=A

⁴ Assuming a single resonance (could be another state). A 3σ signal is observed for an additional resonance with mass $7220 \pm 30^{+10}_{-40}$ MeV and width $90 \pm 60^{+60}_{-50}$ MeV.

NODE=M268M;LINKAGE=C



$T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{c}}(6900)^0$ WIDTH

NODE=M268W

NODE=M268W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
137± 21 OUR AVERAGE			
122 ⁺ ₋₂₁ ± 24 ± 18	¹ HAYRAPETY...24	CMS	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi X$
150± 30± 10	² AAD	23BL ATLS	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi X$
168± 33± 69	³ AAIJ	20AY LHCB	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
510±170 ⁺ ₋₁₀₀	⁴ AAD	23BL ATLS	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi\psi(2S)X$

OCCUR=2

- ¹ In a model with three resonances described by interfering relativistic Breit-Wigner functions above background from non-resonant single and double parton scattering and a threshold enhancement. The other two resonances have masses $6652 \pm 10 \pm 12$, $7287^{+20}_{-18} \pm 5$ MeV and widths $124^{+32}_{-26} \pm 33$, $95^{+59}_{-40} \pm 19$ MeV, respectively.
- ² In a model with two resonances, one describing the broad structure above threshold (mass $6650 \pm 20^{+30}_{-20}$ MeV, width $440 \pm 50^{+60}_{-50}$ MeV) interfering with single parton scattering, and a non-interfering $T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{c}}(6900)$.
- ³ In a model where the broad structure above threshold interferes with non-resonant single parton scattering. Without interference the width is 80 ± 38 MeV.
- ⁴ Assuming a single resonance (could be another state). A 3σ signal is observed for an additional resonance with mass $7220 \pm 30^{+10}_{-40}$ MeV and width $90 \pm 60^{+60}_{-50}$ MeV.

NODE=M268W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M268W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M268W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M268W;LINKAGE=D

 $T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{c}}(6900)^0$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M268215;NODE=M268

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $J/\psi J/\psi$	seen

DESIG=1

 $T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{c}}(6900)^0$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M268225

$\Gamma(J/\psi J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	HAYRAPETY...24	CMS	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi X$	
seen	AAD	23BL ATLS	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi X$	
seen	AAIJ	20AY LHCB	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi X$	

NODE=M268R00
NODE=M268R00 **$T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{c}}(6900)^0$ REFERENCES**

NODE=M268

HAYRAPETY... 24	PRL 132 111901	A. Hayrapetyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AAD	23BL PRL 131 151902	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAIJ	20AY SCIB 65 1983	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)

REFID=62649
REFID=62432
REFID=61631

NODE=M207

 $T_{bb\bar{1}}(10610)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^+ -)$$

was $Z_b(10610)$, $X(10610)$

Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

NODE=M207

Observed by BONDAR 12 in $\Upsilon(5S)$ decays to $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ($n = 1, 2, 3$) and $h_b(mP)\pi^+\pi^-$ ($m = 1, 2$). $J^P = 1^+$ is favored from angular analyses.

 $T_{bb\bar{1}}(10610)^\pm$ MASS

NODE=M207M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10607.2$\pm$2.0	¹ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
10608.5 $\pm$ 3.4 $^{+3.7}_{-1.4}$	² GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10608.1 $\pm$ 1.2 $^{+1.5}_{-0.2}$	² GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10607.4 $\pm$ 1.5 $^{+0.8}_{-0.2}$	² GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10611 $\pm$ 4 $\pm$ 3	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10609 $\pm$ 2 $\pm$ 3	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10608 $\pm$ 2 $\pm$ 3	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10605 $\pm$ 2 $^{+3}_{-1}$	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$
10599 $^{+6}_{-3}$ $^{+5}_{-4}$	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M207M

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=5

OCCUR=6

¹ Average of the BONDAR 12 measurements in separate channels.

² Correlated with the corresponding result from BONDAR 12.

³ Superseded by the average measurement of BONDAR 12.

NODE=M207M;LINKAGE=BO

NODE=M207M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M207M;LINKAGE=BN

$T_{b\bar{b}1}(10610)^0$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$10609 \pm 4 \pm 4$	¹ KROKOVNY 13	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)/\Upsilon(3S)\pi^0\pi^0$
¹ From a simultaneous fit to the KROKOVNY 13 Dalitz analysis of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)/\Upsilon(3S)\pi^0\pi^0$ decays with fixed width $\Gamma(T_{b\bar{b}1}(10610)^0) = 18.4$ MeV.			

NODE=M207M0

NODE=M207M0

NODE=M207M0;LINKAGE=A

 $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10610)^\pm$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
18.4 ± 2.4	¹ BONDAR 12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$18.5 \pm 5.3^{+6.1}_{-2.3}$	² GARMASH 15	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$20.8 \pm 2.5^{+0.3}_{-2.1}$	² GARMASH 15	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$18.7 \pm 3.4^{+2.5}_{-1.3}$	² GARMASH 15	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$22.3 \pm 7.7^{+3.0}_{-4.0}$	³ BONDAR 12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$24.2 \pm 3.1^{+2.0}_{-3.0}$	³ BONDAR 12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$17.6 \pm 3.0 \pm 3.0$	³ BONDAR 12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$11.4^{+4.5+2.1}_{-3.9-1.2}$	³ BONDAR 12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$
13^{+10+9}_{-8-7}	³ BONDAR 12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M207W

NODE=M207W

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=5

OCCUR=6

¹ Average of the BONDAR 12 measurements in separate channels.² Correlated with the corresponding result from BONDAR 12.³ Superseded by the average measurement of BONDAR 12.

NODE=M207W;LINKAGE=BO

NODE=M207W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M207W;LINKAGE=BN

 $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10610)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+$	$(5.4^{+1.9}_{-1.5}) \times 10^{-3}$
$\Gamma_2 \quad \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$	not seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+$	$(3.6^{+1.1}_{-0.8}) \%$
$\Gamma_4 \quad \Upsilon(2S)\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \quad \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+$	$(2.1^{+0.8}_{-0.6}) \%$
$\Gamma_6 \quad \Upsilon(3S)\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_7 \quad h_b(1P)\pi^+$	$(3.5^{+1.2}_{-0.9}) \%$
$\Gamma_8 \quad h_b(2P)\pi^+$	$(4.7^{+1.7}_{-1.3}) \%$
$\Gamma_9 \quad B^+\bar{B}^0$	not seen
$\Gamma_{10} \quad B^+\bar{B}^{*0} + B^{*+}\bar{B}^0$	$(85.6^{+2.1}_{-2.9}) \%$

NODE=M207215;NODE=M207

DESIG=1

DESIG=9

DESIG=2

DESIG=10

DESIG=3

DESIG=11

DESIG=4

DESIG=5

DESIG=8

DESIG=6

 $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10610)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$5.4^{+1.6+1.1}_{-1.3-0.8}$	¹ GARMASH	16	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^+ \bar{B}^{*0}, \pi^- \bar{B}^0 B^{*+}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M207225

NODE=M207R01

NODE=M207R01

¹ Assuming the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10610)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^+\bar{B}^{*0} + \bar{B}^0 B^{*+}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.

NODE=M207R01;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_2/Γ		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	KROKOVNY 13	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0$

NODE=M207R09

NODE=M207R09

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-2})

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $3.62^{+0.76+0.79}_{-0.59-0.53}$ ¹ GARMASH 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^+ \bar{B}^{*0}, \pi^- \bar{B}^0 B^{*+}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen

GARMASH 15 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$

seen

BONDAR 12 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$ ¹ Assuming the $T_{bb1}(10610)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^+ \bar{B}^{*0} + \bar{B}^0 B^{*+}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.NODE=M207R02
NODE=M207R02

NODE=M207R02;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

seen

¹ KROKOVNY 13 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^0\pi^0$ ¹ Combined significance in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)/\Upsilon(3S)\pi^0\pi^0$, including systematics, of 6.5σ .NODE=M207R10
NODE=M207R10

NODE=M207R10;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-2})

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $2.15^{+0.55+0.60}_{-0.42-0.43}$ ¹ GARMASH 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^+ \bar{B}^{*0}, \pi^- \bar{B}^0 B^{*+}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen

GARMASH 15 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$

seen

BONDAR 12 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$ ¹ Assuming the $T_{bb1}(10610)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^+ \bar{B}^{*0} + \bar{B}^0 B^{*+}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.NODE=M207R03
NODE=M207R03

NODE=M207R03;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

seen

¹ KROKOVNY 13 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^0\pi^0$ ¹ Combined significance in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)/\Upsilon(3S)\pi^0\pi^0$, including systematics, of 6.5σ .NODE=M207R11
NODE=M207R11

NODE=M207R11;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-2})

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $3.45^{+0.87+0.86}_{-0.71-0.63}$ ¹ GARMASH 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^+ \bar{B}^{*0}, \pi^- \bar{B}^0 B^{*+}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

possibly seen

² MIZUK 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$

seen

³ BONDAR 12 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$ ¹ Assuming the $T_{bb1}(10610)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^+ \bar{B}^{*0} + \bar{B}^0 B^{*+}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.² Using e^+e^- energies near the $\Upsilon(11020)$.³ Using e^+e^- energies near the $\Upsilon(10860)$.NODE=M207R04
NODE=M207R04

NODE=M207R04;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M207R04;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M207R04;LINKAGE=B $\Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-2})

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $4.67^{+1.24+1.18}_{-1.00-0.89}$ ¹ GARMASH 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^+ \bar{B}^{*0}, \pi^- \bar{B}^0 B^{*+}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

possibly seen

² MIZUK 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$

seen

³ BONDAR 12 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$ ¹ Assuming the $T_{bb1}(10610)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^+ \bar{B}^{*0} + \bar{B}^0 B^{*+}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.² Using e^+e^- energies near the $\Upsilon(11020)$.³ Using e^+e^- energies near the $\Upsilon(10860)$.NODE=M207R05
NODE=M207R05

NODE=M207R05;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M207R05;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M207R05;LINKAGE=B $\Gamma(B^+ \bar{B}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

not seen

GARMASH 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^+ \bar{B}^0$ NODE=M207R08
NODE=M207R08

$[\Gamma(B^+\bar{B}^{*0}) + \Gamma(B^{*+}\bar{B}^0)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{10}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$85.6^{+1.5+1.5}_{-2.0-2.1}$	357	¹ GARMASH	16	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^+ \bar{B}^{*0}, \pi^- B^{*+} \bar{B}^0$	

NODE=M207R00
NODE=M207R00

¹ Assuming the $T_{bb1}(10610)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^+ \bar{B}^{*0} + B^{*+} \bar{B}^0$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16. Using the mass and width of the $T_{bb1}(10610)$ from BONDAR 12.

NODE=M207R00;LINKAGE=A

$[\Gamma(B^+\bar{B}^{*0}) + \Gamma(B^{*+}\bar{B}^0)]/[\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+) + \Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+) + \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+) + \Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+) + \Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+)]$					$\Gamma_{10}/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_3+\Gamma_5+\Gamma_7+\Gamma_8)$
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	

NODE=M207R07
NODE=M207R07

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$5.93^{+0.99+1.01}_{-0.69-0.73}$	357	¹ GARMASH	16	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^+ \bar{B}^{*0}, \pi^- \bar{B}^0 B^{*+}$	

NODE=M207R07;LINKAGE=A

¹ Combined with the results of BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16. Not independent from $T_{bb1}(10610)$ branching fractions to $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^+ \bar{B}^{*0} + \bar{B}^0 B^{*+}$.

$T_{bb1}(10610)$ REFERENCES

GARMASH	16	PRL 116 212001	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
MIZUK	16	PRL 117 142001	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
GARMASH	15	PR D91 072003	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
KROKOVNY	13	PR D88 052016	P. Krokovny <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BONDAR	12	PRL 108 122001	A. Bondar <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

NODE=M207

REFID=57446
REFID=57465
REFID=56811
REFID=55588
REFID=53963

$T_{bb1}(10650)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{+-})$
 I, G, C need confirmation.

was $Z_b(10650)$, $X(10650)^\pm$

Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

NODE=M208

NODE=M208

Observed by BONDAR 12 in $\Upsilon(5S)$ decays to $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ($n = 1, 2, 3$) and $h_b(mP)\pi^+\pi^-$ ($m = 1, 2$). $J^P = 1^+$ is favored from angular analyses.

$T_{bb1}(10650)^+$ MASS

NODE=M208M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
10652.2$\pm$1.5	¹ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
10656.7 $\pm$ 5.0 $^{+1.1}_{-3.1}$	² GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	
10650.7 $\pm$ 1.5 $^{+0.5}_{-0.2}$	² GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=2
10651.2 $\pm$ 1.0 $^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	² GARMASH	15	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=3
10657 $\pm 6 \pm 3$	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=2
10651 $\pm 2 \pm 3$	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=3
10652 $\pm 1 \pm 2$	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=4
10654 $\pm 3 \pm 1_{-2}$	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=5
10651 $^{+2}_{-3} \pm 3_{-2}$	³ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=6

¹ Average of the BONDAR 12 measurements in separate channels.

² Correlated with the corresponding result from BONDAR 12.

³ Superseded by the average measurement of BONDAR 12.

NODE=M208M;LINKAGE=BO
NODE=M208M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M208M;LINKAGE=BN

$T_{bb1}(10650)^+$ WIDTH

NODE=M208W

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
11.5$\pm$ 2.2	¹ BONDAR	12	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	

NODE=M208W

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$12.1^{+11.3+2.7}_{-4.8-0.6}$	^{2} GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	
$14.2 \pm 3.7^{+0.9}_{-0.4}$	^{2} GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=2
$9.3 \pm 2.2^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	^{2} GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=3
$16.3 \pm 9.8^{+6.0}_{-2.0}$	^{3} BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=2
$13.3 \pm 3.3^{+4.0}_{-3.0}$	^{3} BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=3
$8.4 \pm 2.0 \pm 2.0$	^{3} BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=4
$20.9^{+5.4+2.1}_{-4.7-5.7}$	^{3} BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=5
$19 \pm 7^{+11}_{-7}$	^{3} BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=6

¹ Average of the BONDAR 12 measurements in separate channels.

² Correlated with the corresponding result from BONDAR 12.

³ Superseded by the average measurement of BONDAR 12.

NODE=M208W;LINKAGE=BO
NODE=M208W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M208W;LINKAGE=BN

$T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M208215;NODE=M208

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	
$\Gamma_1 \quad \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+$	$(1.7^{+0.8}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=1
$\Gamma_2 \quad \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+$	$(1.4^{+0.6}_{-0.4}) \%$	DESIG=2
$\Gamma_3 \quad \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+$	$(1.6^{+0.7}_{-0.5}) \%$	DESIG=3
$\Gamma_4 \quad h_b(1P)\pi^+$	$(8.4^{+2.9}_{-2.4}) \%$	DESIG=4
$\Gamma_5 \quad h_b(2P)\pi^+$	$(15 \pm 4) \%$	DESIG=5
$\Gamma_6 \quad B^+\bar{B}^0$	not seen	DESIG=8
$\Gamma_7 \quad B^+\bar{B}^{*0} + B^{*+}\bar{B}^0$	not seen	DESIG=6
$\Gamma_8 \quad B^{*+}\bar{B}^{*0}$	$(74^{+4}_{-6}) \%$	DESIG=7

$T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M208225

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.7^{+0.7+0.3}_{-0.6-0.2}$	¹ GARMASH	16	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^{*+}\bar{B}^{*0}$

NODE=M208R01
NODE=M208R01

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Assuming the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^{*+}\bar{B}^{*0}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.

NODE=M208R01;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.39^{+0.48+0.34}_{-0.38-0.23}$	¹ GARMASH	16	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^{*+}\bar{B}^{*0}$

NODE=M208R02
NODE=M208R02

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Assuming the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^{*+}\bar{B}^{*0}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.

NODE=M208R02;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.63^{+0.53+0.39}_{-0.42-0.28}$	¹ GARMASH	16	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^{*+}\bar{B}^{*0}$

NODE=M208R03
NODE=M208R03

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Assuming the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^{*+}\bar{B}^{*0}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.

NODE=M208R03;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-2})

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $8.41^{+2.43+1.49}_{-2.12-1.06}$ ¹ GARMASH 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^{*+} \bar{B}^{*0}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen

² MIZUK 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$

seen

³ BONDAR 12 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$ ¹ Assuming the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^{*+} \bar{B}^{*0}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.² Using e^+e^- energies near the $\Upsilon(11020)$.³ Using e^+e^- energies near the $\Upsilon(10860)$.NODE=M208R04
NODE=M208R04

NODE=M208R04;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M208R04;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M208R04;LINKAGE=B $\Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-2})

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $14.7^{+3.2+2.8}_{-2.8-2.3}$ ¹ GARMASH 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^{*+} \bar{B}^{*0}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

possibly seen

² MIZUK 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$

seen

³ BONDAR 12 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$ ¹ Assuming the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^{*+} \bar{B}^{*0}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.² Using e^+e^- energies near the $\Upsilon(11020)$.³ Using e^+e^- energies near the $\Upsilon(10860)$.NODE=M208R05
NODE=M208R05

NODE=M208R05;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M208R05;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M208R05;LINKAGE=B $\Gamma(B^+ \bar{B}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

not seen

GARMASH 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^+ \bar{B}^0$ NODE=M208R08
NODE=M208R08 $[\Gamma(B^+ \bar{B}^{*0}) + \Gamma(B^{*+} \bar{B}^0)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

not seen

GARMASH 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^+ \bar{B}^{*0}, \pi^- \bar{B}^0 B^{*+}$ NODE=M208R00
NODE=M208R00 $\Gamma(B^{*+} \bar{B}^{*0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ VALUE (units 10^{-2})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $73.7^{+3.4+2.7}_{-4.4-3.5}$

161

¹ GARMASH 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^{*+} \bar{B}^{*0}$ ¹ Assuming the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^{*+} \bar{B}^{*0}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16. Using the mass and width of the $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ from BONDAR 12.NODE=M208R06
NODE=M208R06

NODE=M208R06;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(B^{*+} \bar{B}^{*0})/[\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+) + \Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+) + \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+) + \Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+) + \Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+)]$ $\Gamma_8/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)$ VALUE (units 10^{-2})

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $2.80^{+0.69+0.54}_{-0.40-0.36}$

161

¹ GARMASH 16 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^- B^{*+} \bar{B}^{*0}$ ¹ Combined with the results of BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16. Not independent from $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ branching fractions to $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^{*+} \bar{B}^{*0}$.NODE=M208R07
NODE=M208R07

NODE=M208R07;LINKAGE=A

 $T_{b\bar{b}1}(10650)$ REFERENCES

GARMASH	16	PRL 116 212001	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
MIZUK	16	PRL 117 142001	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
GARMASH	15	PR D91 072003	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BONDAR	12	PRL 108 122001	A. Bondar <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

NODE=M208

REFID=57446
REFID=57465
REFID=56811
REFID=53963

Further States

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

This section contains states observed by a single group or states poorly established that thus need confirmation.

QUANTUM NUMBERS, MASSES, WIDTHS, AND BRANCHING RATIOS

X(360) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$360 \pm 7 \pm 9$	64 ± 18	2.3k	¹ ABRAAMYAN 09	CNTR	$2.75 \text{ d } C \rightarrow \gamma \gamma X$
¹ Not seen in $p C \rightarrow \gamma \gamma X$ at 5.5 GeV/c.					

NODE=M300

NODE=M300

NODE=M300K08
NODE=M300K08

NODE=M300K08;LINKAGE=AB

X(1070) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(0^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
1072 ± 1	3.5 ± 0.5		¹ VLADIMIRSK...08	40	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n + m\pi^0$
¹ Supersedes GRIGOR'EV 05.					

NODE=M300J07
NODE=M300J07

NODE=M300J07;LINKAGE=VL

X(1110) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(\text{even } ++)$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1107 ± 4	$111 \pm 8 \pm 15$		DAFTARI	87	DBC $0. \bar{p} n \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M300J30
NODE=M300J30

f₀(1200-1600) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1323 ± 8	237 ± 20		VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1480^{+100}_{-150}	1030^{+80}_{-170}	¹	ANISOVICH 03	SPEC	
1530^{+90}_{-250}	560 ± 40	²	ANISOVICH 03	SPEC	

NODE=M300J98
NODE=M300J98

OCCUR=2

¹ K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$, $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$, $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.

NODE=M300;LINKAGE=KM

² K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$, $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ at rest.

NODE=M300;LINKAGE=MK

X(1420) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 2^+(0^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1420 ± 20	160 ± 10		FILIPPI	00	OBLX $0 \bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M300J61
NODE=M300J61

X(1545) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
1545 ± 3	6.0 ± 2.5	¹	VLADIMIRSK...08	40	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n + m\pi^0$
¹ Supersedes VLADIMIRSKII 00.					

NODE=M300K07
NODE=M300K07

NODE=M300K07;LINKAGE=VL

X(1575) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(1^{--})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1576^{+49+98}_{-55-91}	$818^{+22+64}_{-23-133}$	¹	ABLIKIM	06s	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
¹ A broad peak observed at $K^+ K^-$ invariant mass. Mass and width above are its pole position. The observed branching ratio is $B(J/\psi \rightarrow X \pi^0) B(X \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (8.5 \pm 0.6^{+2.7}_{-3.6}) \times 10^{-4}$.					

NODE=M300J08
NODE=M300J08

NODE=M300J08;LINKAGE=AB

X(1600) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 2^+(2^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1600 ± 100	400 ± 200	¹	ALBRECHT 91F	ARG	$10.2 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

NODE=M300J99
NODE=M300J99

¹ Our estimate.

NODE=M300J99;LINKAGE=A

X(1650) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(?^-)$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1652 ± 7	< 50	100	PROKOSHKIN 96	GAM2	32,38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \eta n$

NODE=M300J62
NODE=M300J62

X(1730) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{?+})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1731.0 ± 1.2 ± 2.0	3.2 ± 0.8 ± 1.3	58	VLADIMIRSK...07	SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$

NODE=M300K06
NODE=M300K06

f₂(1750) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1755 ± 10	67 ± 12	870	¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M300JAM
NODE=M300JAM

Γ(K$\bar{K}$)					
VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
17 ± 5	870	² SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	

NODE=M300JA1
NODE=M300JA1

Γ(γγ)					
VALUE (keV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.13 ± 0.04	870	² SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	

NODE=M300JA2
NODE=M300JA2

Γ(ππ)					
VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1.3 ± 1.0	870	² SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	

NODE=M300JA3
NODE=M300JA3

Γ(ηη)					
VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2.0 ± 0.5	870	² SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	

NODE=M300JA4
NODE=M300JA4¹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.² From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV and using SU(3) relations.NODE=M300JAM;LINKAGE=SC
NODE=M300JA;LINKAGE=SC

X(1775) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(?^{-+})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1763 ± 20	192 ± 60	CONDO 91	SHF	$\gamma p \rightarrow (p\pi^+)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-)$	
1787 ± 18	118 ± 60	CONDO 91	SHF	$\gamma p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	

NODE=M300J60
NODE=M300J60

OCCUR=2

X(1850 - 3100) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(1^{--})$					
Γ(e ⁺ e ⁻)-B(X → hadrons) (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
< 120	90	¹ ANASHIN 11	KEDR	e ⁺ e ⁻ → hadrons	

NODE=M300K28
NODE=M300K28¹ This limit is center-of-mass energy dependent. We quote the most stringent one.

NODE=M300K28;LINKAGE=AN

X(1855) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1856.6 ± 5	20 ± 5	BRIDGES 86D	SPEC	0. $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi\pi N$	

NODE=M300J31
NODE=M300J31

X(1870) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(2^{??})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1870 ± 40	250 ± 30	ALDE 86D	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta X$	

NODE=M300J45
NODE=M300J45

a₃(1875) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(3^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1874 ± 43 ± 96	385 ± 121 ± 114	CHUNG 02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$	

NODE=M300J95
NODE=M300J95

$B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow f_2(1270)\pi)/B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow \rho\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.8 ± 0.2	¹ CHUNG	02	B852 $18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

¹ Using the observable fractions of 50.0% $\rho\pi$, 56.5% $f_2\pi$, and 11.8% $\rho_3\pi$.

NODE=M300B7
 NODE=M300B7

NODE=M300B;LINKAGE=C1

 $B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow \rho_3(1690)\pi)/B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow \rho\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.9 ± 0.3	¹ CHUNG	02	B852 $18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

¹ Using the observable fractions of 50.0% $\rho\pi$, 56.5% $f_2\pi$, and 11.8% $\rho_3\pi$.

NODE=M300B8
 NODE=M300B8

NODE=M300B8;LINKAGE=C1

 $h_1(1900) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{+-})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1908 \pm 6^{+8}_{-4}$	$175 \pm 13^{+7}_{-16}$	ABLIKIM	24CB	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$

NODE=M300A13
 NODE=M300A13

 $a_1(1930) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1930^{+30}_{-70}	155 ± 45	ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC $2.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta'$

NODE=M300J92
 NODE=M300J92

 $X(1935) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{-?})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1935 ± 20	215 ± 30	EVANGELIS...	79	OMEG $10,16 \pi^- p \rightarrow \bar{p} p n$

NODE=M300J33
 NODE=M300J33

 $\rho_2(1940) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(2^{--})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1940 ± 40	155 ± 40	¹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC $0.6-1.9 p \bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \pi^0, \omega \eta \pi^0, \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M300J85
 NODE=M300J85

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

NODE=M300J85;LINKAGE=AY

 $\omega_3(1945) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{--})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1945 ± 20	115 ± 22	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC $0.6-1.9 p \bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \eta, \omega \pi^0 \pi^0$

NODE=M300J65
 NODE=M300J65

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

NODE=M300J65;LINKAGE=AZ

 $a_2(1950) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1950^{+30}_{-70}	180^{+30}_{-70}	¹ ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC $1.96-2.41 p \bar{p}$

NODE=M300K24
 NODE=M300K24

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

NODE=M300K24;LINKAGE=AN

 $\omega(1960) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1960 ± 25	195 ± 60	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC $0.6-1.9 p \bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \eta, \omega \pi^0 \pi^0$

NODE=M300J79
 NODE=M300J79

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

NODE=M300J79;LINKAGE=AZ

 $b_1(1960) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{+-})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1960 ± 35	230 ± 50	¹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC $0.6-1.9 p \bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \pi^0, \omega \eta \pi^0, \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M300J67
 NODE=M300J67

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

NODE=M300J67;LINKAGE=AY

 $h_1(1965) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{+-})$

MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1965 ± 45	345 ± 75	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC $0.6-1.9 p \bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \eta, \omega \pi^0 \pi^0$

NODE=M300J64
 NODE=M300J64

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

NODE=M300J64;LINKAGE=AZ

$f_1(1970)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$			
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN		
1971±15	240 ± 45	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC	

NODE=M300J1
NODE=M300J1

$X(1970)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$			
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1970±10	40 ± 20	CHLIAPNIK...	80	HBC	32 $K^+ p \rightarrow 2K_S^0 2\pi X$

NODE=M300J46
NODE=M300J46

X(1975)		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(???)$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1973±15	80	30	CASO	70	HBC
11.2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \rho 2\pi$					

NODE=M300J47
NODE=M300J47

$\omega_2(1975)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(2^{--})$			
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1975±20	175 ± 25	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.NODE=M300J81
NODE=M300J81

NODE=M300J81;LINKAGE=AZ

$a_2(1990)$			$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$		
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2050±10±40	190 ± 22 ± 100	18k	¹ SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE
2003±10±19	249 ± 23 ± 32		LU	05	B852
					$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ 18 $\pi^-\rho \rightarrow$ $\omega\pi^-\pi^0\rho$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.NODE=M300J2
NODE=M300J2

NODE=M300J2;LINKAGE=SC

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) / \Gamma(\text{total})$					
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.11±0.04±0.05	18k	¹ SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.NODE=M300J2G
NODE=M300J2G

NODE=M300J2G;LINKAGE=SC

$\rho(2000)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$			
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2000±30	260 ± 45	¹ BUGG	04C	RVUE	Compilation
~ 1988	~ 244	HASAN	94	RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.NODE=M300J77
NODE=M300J77

NODE=M300;LINKAGE=AY

$f_2(2000)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$			
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2001±10	312 ± 32	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC	
~ 1996	~ 134	HASAN	94	RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

NODE=M300J25
NODE=M300J25

X(2000)		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(\text{?}^+)$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1964±35	225 ± 50	¹ ARMSTRONG	93D	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~ 2100	~ 500	¹ ANTIPOV	77	CIBS	– 25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\pi^- \rho_3$
2214±15	355 ± 21	² BALTAY	77	HBC	0 15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} 3\pi$
2080±40	340 ± 80	KALELKAR	75	HBC	+ 15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p\pi^+ \rho_3$

¹ Cannot determine spin to be 3.² BALTAY 77 favors $J^P = ,3^+$.NODE=M300K01
NODE=M300K01NODE=M300K01;LINKAGE=AA
NODE=M300K01;LINKAGE=B

$X(2000)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(4^{++})$			
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1998±3±5	<15	VLADIMIRSK...03	SPEC		$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 M M$

NODE=M300J97
NODE=M300J97

X(2000)	$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^--)$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$1992 \pm 12^{+15}_{-6}$	$132 \pm 22^{+17}_{-4}$	ABLIKIM	24CB BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$	

NODE=M300A14
NODE=M300A14

η(2010)	$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^-+)$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2010^{+35}_{-60}	270 ± 60	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC		

NODE=M300J5
NODE=M300J5

π_1(2015)	$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^-+)$						
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
$2014 \pm 20 \pm 16$	$230 \pm 32 \pm 73$	145k	LU	05	B852	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$	
$2001 \pm 30 \pm 92$	$333 \pm 52 \pm 49$	69k	KUHN	04	B852	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$	

NODE=M300J05
NODE=M300J05

a_0(2020)	$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{++})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2025 ± 30	330 ± 75	ANISOVICH	99C SPEC		

NODE=M300J6
NODE=M300J6

X(2020)	$I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(???)$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2015 ± 3	10 ± 4	FERRER	99	RVUE	$\pi p \rightarrow p \bar{p} \pi(\pi)$

NODE=M300J34
NODE=M300J34

h_3(2025)	$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{+-})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2025 ± 20	145 ± 30	¹ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	$0.6-1.9 p \bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \eta, \omega \pi^0 \pi^0$	

NODE=M300J78
NODE=M300J78

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

NODE=M300J78;LINKAGE=AZ

b_3(2030)	$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{+-})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2032 ± 12	117 ± 11	¹ ANISOVICH	02 SPEC	$0.6-1.9 p \bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \pi^0, \omega \eta \pi^0, \pi^+ \pi^-$	

NODE=M300J69
NODE=M300J69

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

NODE=M300J69;LINKAGE=AY

a_2(2030)	$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2030 ± 20	205 ± 30	¹ ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	$1.96-2.41 \bar{p} p$	

NODE=M300K23
NODE=M300K23

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

NODE=M300K23;LINKAGE=AN

a_3(2030)	$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(3^{++})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2031 ± 12	150 ± 18	¹ ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	$1.96-2.41 \bar{p} p$	

NODE=M300K20
NODE=M300K20

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

NODE=M300K20;LINKAGE=AN

η_2(2030)	$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^-+)$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$2030 \pm 5 \pm 15$	$205 \pm 10 \pm 15$	ANISOVICH	00E SPEC		

NODE=M300J8
NODE=M300J8

$B(a_2 \pi)_{L=0}/B(a_2 \pi)_{L=2}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.05 ± 0.03	¹ ANISOVICH	11	SPEC	$0.9-1.94 p \bar{p}$

NODE=M300B1
NODE=M300B1

¹ Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

NODE=M300B1;LINKAGE=AN

$B(a_0 \pi)/B(a_2 \pi)_{L=2}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.10 ± 0.08	¹ ANISOVICH	11	SPEC	$0.9-1.94 p \bar{p}$

NODE=M300B2
NODE=M300B2

¹ Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

NODE=M300B2;LINKAGE=AN

B($f_2 \eta$)/B($a_2 \pi$) $_{L=2}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.13 $\pm$ 0.06	¹ ANISOVICH 11	SPEC	0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$

¹ Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.NODE=M300B3
NODE=M300B3

NODE=M300B3;LINKAGE=AN

$f_3(2050)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(3^{++})$		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)			
2048 $\pm$ 8	213 $\pm$ 34	ANISOVICH 00J	SPEC	2.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$

NODE=M300J7
NODE=M300J7

$f_0(2060)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)			
$\sim$ 2050	$\sim$ 120	¹ OAKDEN 94	RVUE	0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
$\sim$ 2060	$\sim$ 50	¹ OAKDEN 94	RVUE	0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

NODE=M300J59
NODE=M300J59

OCCUR=2

NODE=M300J;LINKAGE=A

$\pi(2070)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{-+})$		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)			
2070 $\pm$ 35	310 $^{+100}_{-50}$	ANISOVICH 01F	SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

NODE=M300J91
NODE=M300J91

$X(2080)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(??)$		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)			
2080 $\pm$ 10	110 $\pm$ 20	KREYMER 80	STRC	13 $\pi^- d \rightarrow p\bar{p}n(n_s)$

NODE=M300J35
NODE=M300J35

$X(2080)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(3^{-?})$		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)			
2080 $\pm$ 10	190 $\pm$ 15	ROZANSKA 80	SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\bar{p}n$

NODE=M300J37
NODE=M300J37

$K_1(2085)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(1^{+?})$		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)			
2084 $^{+4}_{-2}$ $\pm$ 9	58 $^{+4}_{-3}$ $\pm$ 25	^{1,2} ABLIKIM 23BG	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow pK^-\bar{\Lambda}$
2075 $\pm$ 12 $\pm$ 5	90 $\pm$ 35 $\pm$ 9	³ ABLIKIM 04J	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^-\rho\bar{\Lambda}$

NODE=M300J01
NODE=M300J01¹ The reported mass and width are the pole positions in the complex (M, Γ) plane.² Signal observed with a statistical significance $>20\sigma$ comes from 3883 candidate events. Spin parity determined to be $J^P = 1^+$ with a statistical significance $>5\sigma$ over $0^-, 1^-, 2^+$ hypotheses, and in the range within 3.1–7.5 σ with respect to 2^- .³ From a fit in the region $M_{p\bar{\Lambda}} - M_p - M_{\Lambda} < 150$ MeV. S -wave in the $p\bar{\Lambda}$ system preferred.A similar near-threshold enhancement in the $p\bar{\Lambda}$ system is observed in $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{\Lambda}\bar{D}^0$ by CHEN 11F.NODE=M300J01;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M300J01;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M300J01;LINKAGE=AB

$a_1(2095)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS		
2096 $\pm$ 17 $\pm$ 121	451 $\pm$ 41 $\pm$ 81	69k KUHN 04	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$

NODE=M300J04
NODE=M300J04**B($a_1(2095) \rightarrow f_1(1285)\pi$) / B($a_1(2095) \rightarrow a_1(1260)$)**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.18 $\pm$ 0.64	69k	KUHN 04	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$

NODE=M300B03
NODE=M300B03

$\eta(2100)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS		
2050 $^{+30+75}_{-24-26}$	250 $^{+36+181}_{-30-164}$	¹ ABLIKIM 16N	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
2103 $\pm$ 50	187 $\pm$ 75	² BISELLO 89B	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$

NODE=M300J48
NODE=M300J48

- ¹ From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \phi \phi$, for which the primary signal is $\eta(2225) \rightarrow \phi \phi$, and that also finds significant signals for for 0^{-+} phase space, $f_0(2100)$, $f_2(2010)$, $f_2(2300)$, $f_2(2340)$, and a previously unseen 0^{-+} state $X(2500)$ ($M = 2470^{+15+101}_{-19-23}$ MeV, $\Gamma = 230^{+64+56}_{-35-33}$ MeV).
- ² ASTON 81B sees no peak, has 850 events in Ajinenko+Barth bins. ARESTOV 80 sees no peak.

NODE=M300J48;LINKAGE=A

X(2100) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(0^{??})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2100 ± 40	250 ± 40	ALDE	86D	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta X$

NODE=M300J49
NODE=M300J49

X(2110) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^{+}(3^{-?})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2110 ± 10	330 ± 20	EVANGELIS...	79	OMEG	10,16 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \bar{p} p n$

NODE=M300J36
NODE=M300J36

X(2120) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(0^{??})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2122.4 ± 6.7 ^{+4.7} _{-2.7}	83 ± 16 ⁺³¹ ₋₁₁	647	ABLIKIM	11C	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$

NODE=M300A07
NODE=M300A07

$\omega(2120)$ or $\phi(2120)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^{-}(?^{- -})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2119 ± 11 ± 15	69 ± 30 ± 5	¹ ABLIKIM	24AA	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ From a fit with more than 5σ to the Born cross section line shapes of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi$ and $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho(1450) \pi$.

NODE=M300K43
NODE=M300K43

NODE=M300K43;LINKAGE=A

$f_2(2140)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^{+}(2^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2141 ± 12	49 ± 28	389	GREEN	86	MPSF 400 $p A \rightarrow 4K X$

NODE=M300J50
NODE=M300J50

X(2150) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(2^{+?})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2150 ± 10	260 ± 10	ROZANSKA	80	SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \bar{p} n$

NODE=M300J38
NODE=M300J38

$a_2(2175)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^{-}(2^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2175 ± 40	310 ⁺⁹⁰ ₋₄₅	ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta'$

NODE=M300J88
NODE=M300J88

$\eta(2190)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^{+}(0^{-+})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2190 ± 50	850 ± 100	BUGG	99	BES	

NODE=M300J13
NODE=M300J13

$\omega_2(2195)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^{-}(2^{- -})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2195 ± 30	225 ± 40	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p \bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \eta, \omega \pi^0 \pi^0$

NODE=M300J82
NODE=M300J82

- ¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

NODE=M300J82;LINKAGE=AZ

X(2210) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2210 ⁺⁷⁹ ₋₂₁	203 ⁺⁴³⁷ ₋₈₇	EVANGELIS...	79B	OMEG	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
2207 ± 22	130	CASO	70	HBC	11.2 $\pi^- p$

NODE=M300J51
NODE=M300J51

$X_2(2210)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^{+}(2^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2210 ± 60	360 ± 120	¹ KLEMP	22	RVUE	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0, \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$

NODE=M300A05
NODE=M300A05

¹ Fit of the tensor partial waves from BES3 in the multipole basis. Might be a cluster of $J^{PC} = 2^{++}$ resonances. The ratio of decay widths $K K^- / \pi \pi$ is 0.23 ± 0.05 .

NODE=M300A05;LINKAGE=A

$h_1(2215)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{+-})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2215 ± 40	325 ± 55	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

NODE=M300J27
NODE=M300J27

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

NODE=M300J27;LINKAGE=AZ

$\rho_2(2225)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(2^{--})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2225 ± 35	335^{+100}_{-50}	¹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M300J70
NODE=M300J70

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

NODE=M300J70;LINKAGE=AY

$\rho_4(2230)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(4^{--})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2230 ± 25	210 ± 30	¹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M300J74
NODE=M300J74

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

NODE=M300J74;LINKAGE=AY

$b_1(2240)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{+-})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2240 ± 35	320 ± 85	¹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=M300J87
NODE=M300J87

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

NODE=M300J87;LINKAGE=AY

$f_2(2240)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2240 ± 15	241 ± 30	¹ ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC 1.92–2.41 $p\bar{p}$

NODE=M300K26
NODE=M300K26

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 2226 ~ 226 HASAN 94 RVUE $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi\pi$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99F, ANISOVICH 99J, ANISOVICH 99K, and ANISOVICH 00B. See also ANISOVICH 12.

NODE=M300K26;LINKAGE=AN

$b_3(2245)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{+-})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	
2245 ± 50	320 ± 70	¹ BUGG	04C	RVUE

NODE=M300K10
NODE=M300K10

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

NODE=M300K10;LINKAGE=AY

$\eta_2(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{-+})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	
2248 ± 20	280 ± 20	ANISOVICH	00I	SPEC
2267 ± 14	290 ± 50	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC

NODE=M300J17
NODE=M300J17

$\pi_4(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(4^{-+})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2250 ± 15	215 ± 25	ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC 2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

NODE=M300J73
NODE=M300J73

$\omega_4(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(4^{--})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2250 ± 30	150 ± 50	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

NODE=M300J84
NODE=M300J84

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

NODE=M300J84;LINKAGE=AZ

$\omega_5(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(5^--)$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2250 ± 70	320 ± 95	¹ BUGG	04	RVUE

NODE=M300K11
NODE=M300K11

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

NODE=M300;LINKAGE=AZ

$\omega_3(2255)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^--)$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2255 ± 15	175 ± 30	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

NODE=M300J66
NODE=M300J66

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

NODE=M300J66;LINKAGE=AZ

$a_4(2255)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(4^{++})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2237 ± 5	291 ± 12	UMAN	06	E835 5.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
2255 ± 40	330 ⁺¹¹⁰ ₋₅₀	¹ ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC 1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

NODE=M300K21
NODE=M300K21

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

NODE=M300K21;LINKAGE=AN

$a_2(2255)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2255 ± 20	230 ± 15	¹ ANISOVICH	01G	SPEC 1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

NODE=M300K22
NODE=M300K22

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, ANISOVICH 01F, and ANISOVICH 01G.

NODE=M300K22;LINKAGE=AN

$X(2260)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(4^{+?})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2260 ± 20	400 ± 100	EVANGELIS...	79	OMEG 10,16 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \bar{p}pn$

NODE=M300J40
NODE=M300J40

$\rho(2270)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2265 ± 40	325 ± 80	¹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
2280 ± 50	440 ± 110	ATKINSON	85	OMEG 20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=M300J86
NODE=M300J86

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

NODE=M300J86;LINKAGE=AY

$a_1(2270)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2270 ⁺⁵⁵ ₋₄₀	305 ⁺⁷⁰ ₋₄₀	ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC 2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

NODE=M300J72
NODE=M300J72

$h_3(2275)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{+-})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2275 ± 25	190 ± 45	¹ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

NODE=M300J28
NODE=M300J28

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

NODE=M300J28;LINKAGE=AZ

$a_3(2275)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(3^{++})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2275 ± 35	350 ⁺¹⁰⁰ ₋₅₀	¹ ANISOVICH	01G	SPEC 1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

NODE=M300K19
NODE=M300K19

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, ANISOVICH 01F, and ANISOVICH 01G.

NODE=M300K19;LINKAGE=AN

$\pi_2(2285)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{-+})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2285 ± 20 ± 25	250 ± 20 ± 25	¹ ANISOVICH	11	SPEC 0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$

NODE=M300K25
NODE=M300K25

¹ Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

NODE=M300K25;LINKAGE=AN

$\omega_3(2285) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^--)$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2278 ± 28	224 ± 50	¹ BUGG	04A	RVUE
2285 ± 60	230 ± 40	² ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ Partial wave analysis of the data on $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$ from BARNES 00.² From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.NODE=M300J83
NODE=M300J83NODE=M300J83;LINKAGE=BU
NODE=M300J83;LINKAGE=AZ

$\omega(2290) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^--)$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	
2290 ± 20	275 ± 35	¹ BUGG	04A	RVUE

¹ Partial wave analysis of the data on $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$ from BARNES 00.NODE=M300J02
NODE=M300J02

NODE=M300J02;LINKAGE=BU

$f_2(2295) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2293 ± 13	216 ± 37	¹ ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC 1.92–2.41 $p\bar{p}$

¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99F, ANISOVICH 99J, ANISOVICH 99K, and ANISOVICH 00B. See also ANISOVICH 12.NODE=M300K27
NODE=M300K27

NODE=M300K27;LINKAGE=AN

$f_3(2300) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(3^{++})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	
2334 ± 25	200 ± 20	¹ BUGG	04A	RVUE

¹ Partial wave analysis of the data on $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$ from BARNES 00.NODE=M300J19
NODE=M300J19

NODE=M300J19;LINKAGE=BU

$h_1(2300) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{+-})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	
2316 ± 9 ± 30	89 ± 15 ± 26	¹ ABLIKIM	25E	BES3

¹ From a partial wave analysis of $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \phi\eta\eta'$. Reported in $\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_1(2300)\eta'$ with 9.6 σ significance and in $\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_1(2300)\eta$ at 5.6 σ .NODE=M300A18
NODE=M300A18

NODE=M300A18;LINKAGE=A

$f_1(2310) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	
2310 ± 60	255 ± 70	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC

NODE=M300J23
NODE=M300J23

$\eta(2320) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	
2320 ± 15	230 ± 35	¹ ANISOVICH	00M	SPEC

¹ From the combined analysis of $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\eta$ from ANISOVICH 00M and $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ from ANISOVICH 00J.NODE=M300J18
NODE=M300J18

NODE=M300;LINKAGE=B

$\eta_4(2330) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(4^{-+})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2328 ± 38	240 ± 90	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC 2.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$

NODE=M300J22
NODE=M300J22

$\omega(2330) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2330 ± 30	435 ± 75	ATKINSON	88	OMEG 25–50 $\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^\pm \rho^0 \pi^\mp$

NODE=M300J53
NODE=M300J53

$T_{cs0}^*(2330) \quad I(J^P) = 1(0^+)$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2327 ± 13 ± 13	96 ± 16 $^{+170}_{-23}$	¹ AAIJ	24AQ	LHCB $D_{s1}(2460)^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

NODE=M300K52
NODE=M300K52

¹ From a K-matrix model analysis including $f_0(500)$ and $T_{c\bar{s}0}^*$. This particle has been seen by one experiment only.

NODE=M300K52;LINKAGE=A

X(2340) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2340 ± 20	180 ± 60	126	¹ BALTAY	75 HBC	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 5\pi$
¹ Dominant decay into $\rho^0 \rho^0 \pi^+$. BALTAY 78 finds confirmation in $2\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$ events which contain $\rho^+ \rho^0 \pi^0$ and $2\rho^+ \pi^-$.					

NODE=M300J54
NODE=M300J54

NODE=M300J;LINKAGE=B1

$\pi(2360)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^-+)$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2360 ± 25	300 $^{+100}_{-50}$	ANISOVICH 01F	SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta'$	

NODE=M300J90
NODE=M300J90

X(2360) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(4^{+?})$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2360±10	430 ± 30	ROZANSKA	80	SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \bar{p} n$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
2356± 7±15	304 ± 28 ± 54	¹ ABLIKIM	23AY	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow (\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}) \eta$
¹ Assuming $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$.					

NODE=M300J42
NODE=M300J42

NODE=M300J42;LINKAGE=A

X(2440) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(5^{-?})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2440 ± 10	310 ± 20	ROZANSKA 80	SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \bar{p} n$	

NODE=M300J43
NODE=M300J43

$a_6(2450)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(6^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2450 ± 130	400 ± 250	CLELAND 82B	SPEC	50 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$	

NODE=M300K12
NODE=M300K12

X(2540) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2539 ± 14 $^{+38}_{-14}$	274 $^{+77+126}_{-61-163}$	UEHARA 13	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	

NODE=M300K30
NODE=M300K30

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times B(K\bar{K})$					
VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
40 $^{+9+17}_{-7-40}$	UEHARA 13	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$		

NODE=M300K3G
NODE=M300K3G

X(2600) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2618.3 ± 2.0 $^{+16.3}_{-1.4}$	195 ± 5 $^{+26}_{-17}$	ABLIKIM 22G	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$	

NODE=M300A01
NODE=M300A01

$B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X(2600)) \times B(X(2600) \rightarrow f_0(1500) \eta') \times B(f_0(1500) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$					
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
3.09 ± 0.21 $^{+1.14}_{-0.77}$	¹ ABLIKIM 22G	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$		

NODE=M300A02
NODE=M300A02

¹ The $\pi^+ \pi^-$ mass spectrum is described by a coherent sum of two Breit-Wigner resonances, $f_0(1500)$ and a new X(1540) with mass $1540.2 \pm 7.0 \text{ MeV}$ and width $157 \pm 19 \text{ MeV}$.

NODE=M300A02;LINKAGE=A

$B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X(2600)) \times B(X(2600) \rightarrow X(1540) \eta') \times B(X(1540) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$					
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
2.69 ± 0.19 $^{+0.38}_{-1.21}$	¹ ABLIKIM 22G	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$		

NODE=M300A03
NODE=M300A03

¹ The $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum is described by a coherent sum of two Breit-Wigner resonances, $f_0(1500)$ and a new $X(1540)$ with mass $1540.2 \pm 7.0^{+36.3}_{-6.1}$ MeV and width $157 \pm 19^{+11}_{-77}$ MeV.

NODE=M300A03;LINKAGE=A

$K_0^*(2600)$ $I(J^P) = 1/2(0^+)$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2662±59±201	480 ± 47 ± 72	¹ AAIJ	23AH LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$	

NODE=M300A09
NODE=M300A09

¹ From Dalitz plot analyses of $\eta_c(1S, 2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$

NODE=M300A09;LINKAGE=A

$X(2632)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2635.2±3.3		¹ EVDOKIMOV	04	SELX	$X(2632) \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta$
2631.6±2.1	< 17	² EVDOKIMOV	04	SELX	$X(2632) \rightarrow D_s^0 K^+$

NODE=M300J03
NODE=M300J03

¹ From a mass difference to D_s^+ of 666.9 ± 3.3 MeV.

OCCUR=2

² From a mass difference to D_s^0 of 767.0 ± 2.0 MeV.

NODE=M300J03;LINKAGE=EV
NODE=M300J03;LINKAGE=ED

$B(X(2632) \rightarrow D_s^0 K^+)/B(X(2632) \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta)$					
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN		
0.14±0.06		¹ EVDOKIMOV	04	SELX	

NODE=M300B01
NODE=M300B01

¹ Possible interpretation of this decay pattern is discussed by YASUI 07.

NODE=M300B01;LINKAGE=YA

$X(2680)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2676±27	150	CASO	70	HBC	$11.2 \pi^- p \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$

NODE=M300J55
NODE=M300J55

$X(2710)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(6^{+?})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2710±20	170 ± 40	ROZANSKA	80	SPRK	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow p \bar{p} n$

NODE=M300J44
NODE=M300J44

$X(2750)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(7^{-?})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2747±32	195 ± 75	DENNEY	83	LASS	$10 \pi^+ p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ p$

NODE=M300J56
NODE=M300J56

$f_0(3100)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(6^{++})$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
3100±100	700 ± 130	BINON	05	GAMS	$33 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta n$

NODE=M300J06
NODE=M300J06

$X(3250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$ 3-Body Decays					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
3250±8±20	45 ± 18	ALEEV	93	BIS2	$X(3250) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} K^+$
3265±7±20	40 ± 18	ALEEV	93	BIS2	$X(3250) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p K^-$

NODE=M300J57
NODE=M300J57

OCCUR=2

$X(3250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$ 4-Body Decays					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
3245±8±20	25 ± 11	ALEEV	93	BIS2	$X(3250) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} K^+ \pi^\pm$
3250±9±20	50 ± 20	ALEEV	93	BIS2	$X(3250) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p K^- \pi^\mp$
3270±8±20	25 ± 11	ALEEV	93	BIS2	$X(3250) \rightarrow K_S^0 p \bar{p} K^\pm$

NODE=M300J58
NODE=M300J58

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

$X(3350)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$					
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3350^{+10}_{-20} \pm 20$	$70^{+40}_{-30} \pm 40$	50 ± 10	¹ GABYSHEV	06A	BELL $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \bar{p} \pi^-$

NODE=M300J09
NODE=M300J09

¹ A similar enhancement in the $\Lambda_c^+ \bar{p}$ final state is also reported by BABAR collaboration in AUBERT 10H.

NODE=M300J09;LINKAGE=AU

$\psi(3760)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(1--)$		
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
$3751.9 \pm 3.8 \pm 2.8$	¹ ABLIKIM	24B0 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$	
~ 3740	¹ ABLIKIM	24E BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{light hadrons}$	
¹ Fit to cross sections for $\sqrt{s} = 3645$ to 3871 MeV.				

NODE=M300A10
NODE=M300A10

NODE=M300A10;LINKAGE=A

$\psi(3780)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(1^{--})$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$3778.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3$		¹ ABLIKIM	24B0 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
~ 3780		¹ ABLIKIM	24E BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{light hadrons}$
¹ Fit to cross sections for $\sqrt{s} = 3645$ to 3871 MeV.				

NODE=M300A11
NODE=M300A11

NODE=M300A11;LINKAGE=A

$\psi(3810)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(1^- -)$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$3804.5 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.9$		¹ ABLIKIM	24B0 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
~ 3806		¹ ABLIKIM	24E BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ light hadrons
¹ Fit to cross sections for $\sqrt{s} = 3645$ to 3871 MeV.				

NODE=M300A12
NODE=M300A12

NODE=M300A12;LINKAGE=A

$h_c(4000)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^+ -)$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
4000^{+17+29}_{-14-22}	184^{+71+97}_{-45-61}	1.6k	¹ AAIJ	24AB LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp K^+$
¹ From a simultaneous amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- K^+$, $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*-} D^+ K^+$ and their c.c.					

NODE=M300A15
NODE=M300A15

NODE=M300A15;LINKAGE=A

$\chi_{c1}(4010)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^+ +)$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$4012.5^{+3.6+4.1}_{-3.9-3.7}$	$62.7^{+7.0+6.4}_{-6.4-6.6}$	1.6k	¹ AAIJ	24AB	LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp K^+$
¹ From a simultaneous amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- K^+$, $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*-} D^+ K^+$ and their c.c.						

NODE=M300A17
NODE=M300A17

NODE=M300A17;LINKAGE=A

$h_c(4300)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^+ -)$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$4307.3^{+6.4+3.3}_{-6.6-4.1}$	58^{+28+28}_{-16-25}	1.6k	¹ AAIJ	24AB LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp K^+$
¹ From a simultaneous amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- K^+$, $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*-} D^+ K^+$ and their c.c.					

NODE=M300A16
NODE=M300A16

NODE=M300A16;LINKAGE=A

$\psi(4500)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^- -)$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4467.4^{+7.2+3.2}_{-5.4-2.7}$	$62.8^{+19.2+9.9}_{-14.4-7.0}$	¹ ABLIKIM	25B BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- h_c(1P)$
$4544.2 \pm 18.7 \pm 1.7$	$116.1 \pm 33.5 \pm 1.7$	² ABLIKIM	24D BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \gamma J/\psi$
$4469.1 \pm 26.2 \pm 3.6$	$246.3 \pm 36.7 \pm 9.4$	³ ABLIKIM	23X BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D^{*-} \pi^+$
$4484.7 \pm 13.3 \pm 24.1$	$111.1 \pm 30 \pm 15.2$	⁴ ABLIKIM	22AU BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- J/\psi$

NODE=M300K39
NODE=M300K39

- Using three coherent Breit-Wigner functions between 4.009 and 4.950 GeV.
- Assuming one single Breit-Wigner resonance in $\omega \chi_{c2}(1P)$ ($\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$). Measured $\Gamma_{ee} \cdot B(\omega \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 1.86 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.13$ eV.
- From a cross-section measurement of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D^{*-} \pi^+$ between 4.189 and 4.951 GeV, assuming a coherent sum of 3 Breit-Wigner resonances plus a continuum amplitude. $\Gamma(e^+ e^-) \cdot B(D^{*0} D^{*-} \pi^+) = 107\text{--}1744$ eV depending on solutions I – VIII with the same fit qualities. The two other resonances have masses (widths) 4209.6 ± 7.5 (81.6 ± 19.9) MeV and 4675.3 ± 29.7 (218.3 ± 73.5) MeV.
- ABLIKIM 22AU cross sections analysis of the process $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- J/\psi$ at c.m. energies 4.127–4.600 GeV from 15.6 fb^{-1} of data.

NODE=M300K39;LINKAGE=D
NODE=M300K39;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M300K39;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M300K39;LINKAGE=B

REFERENCES for Further States

NODE=M300

ABLIKIM	25B	PRL 135 071901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63240
ABLIKIM	25E	PRL 134 191901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63246
AAJ	24AB	PRL 133 131902	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=63014
AAJ	24AQ	SCIB 70 1432	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=63285
ABLIKIM	24AA	PR D110 032005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62680
ABLIKIM	24BO	PRL 133 241902	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63016
ABLIKIM	24CB	PR D110 112014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63033
ABLIKIM	24D	PRL 132 161901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62653
ABLIKIM	24E	PRL 132 191902	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62655
AAJ	23AH	PR D108 032010	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=62349
ABLIKIM	23AY	PR D107 112001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62066
ABLIKIM	23BG	PRL 131 151901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62431
ABLIKIM	23X	PRL 130 121901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62072
ABLIKIM	22AU	CP C46 111002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61896
ABLIKIM	22G	PRL 129 042001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61642
KLEMP	22	PL B830 137171	E. Klempt <i>et al.</i>	(BONN)	REFID=61646
ABLIKIM	16N	PR D93 112011	M. Ablikim	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57512
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55592
ANISOVICH	12	PR D85 014001	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=53961
ABLIKIM	11C	PRL 106 072002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53684
ANASHIN	11	PL B703 543	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=53932
ANISOVICH	11	EPJ C71 1511	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RAL, PNPI)	REFID=53631
CHEN	11F	PR D84 071501	P. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53814
AUBERT	10H	PR D82 031102	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53363
ABRAAMYAN	09	PR C80 034001	Kh.U. Abraamyan <i>et al.</i>		REFID=53100
VLADIMIRSK...	08	PAN 71 2129	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)	REFID=52681
VLADIMIRSK...	07	Translated from YAF 71 2166.	V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>		REFID=52058
YASUI	07	PAN 70 1706	S. Yasui, M. Oka		REFID=51907
ABLIKIM	06S	PR D76 034009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51451
GABYSHEV	06A	PRL 97 142002	N. Gabyshev <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51565
SCHEGELSKY	06	EPJ A27 199	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>		REFID=51186
SCHEGELSKY	06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>		REFID=51185
UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)	REFID=51063
VLADIMIRSK...	06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)	REFID=51191
BINON	05	Translated from YAF 69 515.	F. Binon <i>et al.</i>		REFID=50780
GRIGOR'EV	05	PAN 68 960	V.K. Grigor'ev <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)	REFID=50844
LU	05	Translated from YAF 68 1324.	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)	REFID=50459
ABLIKIM	04J	PRL 94 032002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50196
BUGG	04	PRL 93 112002	D.V. Bugg		REFID=49763
BUGG	04A	PL B595 556 (errat.)	D.V. Bugg		REFID=50158
BUGG	04C	EPJ C36 161	D.V. Bugg		REFID=50203
EVDOKIMOV	04	PRPL 397 257	A.V. Evdokimov <i>et al.</i>	(SELEX Collab.)	REFID=50337
KUHN	04	PRL 93 242001	J. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)	REFID=49773
ANISOVICH	03	PL B595 109	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49401
VLADIMIRSK...	03	EPJ A16 229	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49419
ANISOVICH	02	PAN 66 700	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48828
ANISOVICH	02B	Translated from YAF 66 729.	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48829
CHUNG	02	PL B542 8	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)	REFID=48837
ANISOVICH	01C	PL B542 19	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48325
ANISOVICH	01D	PR D65 072001	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48327
ANISOVICH	01E	PL B507 23	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48349
ANISOVICH	01F	PL B508 6	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48352
ANISOVICH	01G	PL B513 281	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48353
ANISOVICH	01H	PL B517 261	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47942
ANISOVICH	00B	PL B517 273	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47944
ANISOVICH	00D	NP A662 319	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47945
ANISOVICH	00E	PL B476 15	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47949
ANISOVICH	00I	PL B477 19	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47950
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 40	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)	REFID=48009
ANISOVICH	00M	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47965
BARNES	00	PL B496 145	P.D. Barnes <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48006
FILIPPI	00	PR C62 055203	A. Filippi <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Experiment)	REFID=47997
VLADIMIRSKII	00	PL B495 284	V.V. Vladimirovskii <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46903
ANISOVICH	99C	JETPL 72 486	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46902
ANISOVICH	99E	Translated from ZETFP 72 698.	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46926
ANISOVICH	99F	PL B452 173	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47416
ANISOVICH	99G	PL B452 187	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47472
ANISOVICH	99H	NP A651 253	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46938
ANISOVICH	99I	PL B471 271	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47404
ANISOVICH	99K	PL B468 309	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=47363
BUGG	99	PL B458 511	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>		REFID=45202
FERRER	99	EPJ C10 249	A. Ferrer <i>et al.</i>		REFID=45212
SEMEV	99	SPU 42 847	S.V. Semenov		REFID=45182
ADOMEIT	96	Translated from UFN 42 937.	J. Adomeit <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44103
KLOET	96	ZPHY C71 227	W.M. Kloet, F. Myhrer	(RUTG, NORD)	REFID=45210
PROKOSHIN	96	PR D53 6120	Y.D. Prokoshkin, V.D. Samoilenko	(SERP)	REFID=43668
HASAN	94	PD 41 247	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)	REFID=43596
OAKDEN	94	Translated from DANS 348 481.	M.N. Oakden, M.R. Pennington	(DURH)	REFID=41658
ALEEV	93	PL B334 215	A.N. Aleev <i>et al.</i>	(BIS-2 Collab.)	REFID=41588
ARMSTRONG	93D	NP A574 731	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=40575
ALBRECHT	91F	PAN 56 1358	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=40556
CONDO	91	Translated from YAF 56 100.	G.T. Condo <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC Hybrid Collab.)	REFID=40412
BISSELLO	89B	PL B307 399	G. Busetto <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=20765
ATKINSON	88	ZPHY C50 1	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)	REFID=21984
DAFTARI	87	PR D43 2787	I.K. Daftari <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA)	REFID=21872
ALDE	86D	PR D39 701	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)	REFID=22000
BRIDGES	86D	NP B269 485	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA, BNL, CASE+)	REFID=20754
GREEN	86	PRL B180 313	D.R. Green <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, ARIZ, FSU+)	REFID=21281
ATKINSON	85	PRL 56 1639	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)	REFID=11553
DENNEY	83	ZPHY C29 333	D.L. Denney <i>et al.</i>	(IOWA, MICH)	REFID=22312
CLELAND	82B	PR D28 2726	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)	REFID=21996
ASTON	81B	NP B208 228	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS+)	REFID=21970
ARESTOV	80	NP B189 205	Y.I. Arestov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)	REFID=21774
CHLIAPNIK...	80	IHEP 80-165	P.V. Chliapnikov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BRUX, MONS)	REFID=21966
KREYMER	80	ZPHY C3 285	A.E. Kreymer <i>et al.</i>	(IND, PURD, SLAC+)	REFID=21967
ROZANSKA	80	PR D22 36	M. Rozanska <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN)	REFID=21569
EVANGELIS...	79B	NP B162 505	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)	REFID=20728
EVANGELIS...	79B	NP B153 253	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)	REFID=20847
BALTAY	78	NP B154 381	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING)	REFID=21994
ANTIPOV	77	PR D17 52	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, GEVA)	REFID=21564
BALTAY	77	NP B119 45	C. Baltay, C.V. Cautis, M. Kalelkar	(COLU)	REFID=20590
BALTAY	75	PRL 39 591	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING)	
KALELKR	75	PRL 35 891	M.S. Kalelkar	(COLU)	
CASO	70	Thesis Nevis 207	C. Caso <i>et al.</i>	(GENO, HAMB, MILA, SACL)	
	70	LNC 3 707			