



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ***$$

NODE=S016

p MASS (atomic mass units u)

The mass is known more precisely in u (atomic mass units) than in MeV.
See the next data block.

NODE=S016AMU

NODE=S016AMU

VALUE (u)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.007276466521 ± 0.000000000053 OUR EVALUATION			2018 CODATA
1.007276466574 ± 0.000000000010	¹ FINK	21	SPEC Penning trap
1.007276466621 ± 0.000000000053	² TIESINGA	21	RVUE 2018 CODATA value
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.007276466598 ± 0.000000000033	³ HEISSE	19	SPEC Penning Trap
1.007276466583 ± 0.000000000032	⁴ HEISSE	17	SPEC See HEISSE 19
1.007276466879 ± 0.000000000091	MOHR	16	RVUE 2014 CODATA value
1.007276466812 ± 0.000000000090	MOHR	12	RVUE 2010 CODATA value
1.00727646677 ± 0.000000000010	MOHR	08	RVUE 2006 CODATA value
1.00727646688 ± 0.000000000013	MOHR	05	RVUE 2002 CODATA value
1.00727646688 ± 0.000000000013	MOHR	99	RVUE 1998 CODATA value
1.007276470 ± 0.0000000012	COHEN	87	RVUE 1986 CODATA value

NODE=S016AMU

→ UNCHECKED ←

¹ FINK 21 simultaneously measure the cyclotron frequencies of an H_2^+ ion and a deuteron in a coupled magnetron orbit. The proton mass is extracted using the precise deuteron mass value.

NODE=S016AMU;LINKAGE=E

² The 2018 CODATA combination in TIESINGA 21 includes data from HEISSE 17, but does not include updates in HEISSE 19, which superseded HEISSE 17. Consequently, we do not average HEISSE 19 and TIESINGA 21. Updating the 2018 CODATA combination to use HEISSE 19 would shift the central value for the proton mass upwards by less than half a standard deviation. Therefore, we take the 2018 CODATA result in TIESINGA 21 as the recommended value for the proton mass.

NODE=S016AMU;LINKAGE=C

³ The value is an update of HEISSE 17; the result is shifted by $1.5 \times 10^{-11} u$, corresponding to 0.45σ due to the corrected motional temperatures of the particles. The statistical and total systematic uncertainties are given as 16 and 29 in the last two digits.

NODE=S016AMU;LINKAGE=B

⁴ The statistical and systematic errors are 15 and 29 in the last two places of the value. Superseded by HEISSE 19.

NODE=S016AMU;LINKAGE=A

p MASS (MeV)

The mass is known more precisely in u (atomic mass units) than in MeV.
The conversion is: $1 u = 931.494\ 102\ 42(28) \text{ MeV}/c^2$ (2018 CODATA value, TIESINGA 21).

NODE=S016M

NODE=S016M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
938.27208816 ± 0.00000029 OUR EVALUATION			2018 CODATA
938.27208812 ± 0.00000029	¹ FINK	21	SPEC Penning trap
938.27208816 ± 0.00000029	TIESINGA	21	RVUE 2018 CODATA value
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
938.2720813 ± 0.0000058	MOHR	16	RVUE 2014 CODATA value
938.272046 ± 0.000021	MOHR	12	RVUE 2010 CODATA value
938.272013 ± 0.000023	MOHR	08	RVUE 2006 CODATA value
938.272029 ± 0.000080	MOHR	05	RVUE 2002 CODATA value
938.271998 ± 0.000038	MOHR	99	RVUE 1998 CODATA value
938.27231 ± 0.00028	COHEN	87	RVUE 1986 CODATA value
938.2796 ± 0.0027	COHEN	73	RVUE 1973 CODATA value

NODE=S016M

→ UNCHECKED ←

¹ FINK 21 quote the more precise mass in atomic mass units.

NODE=S016M;LINKAGE=B

$$|m_p - m_{\bar{p}}|/m_p$$

A test of CPT invariance. Note that the comparison of the $\bar{p}$ and p charge-to-mass ratio, given in the next data block, is much better determined.

NODE=S016DM

NODE=S016DM

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<7 × 10⁻¹⁰	90	¹ HORI	11	SPEC $\bar{p}e^-$ He atom
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<2 × 10 ⁻⁹	90	¹ HORI	06	SPEC $\bar{p}e^-$ He atom
<1.0 × 10 ⁻⁸	90	¹ HORI	03	SPEC $\bar{p}e^-$ ⁴ He, $\bar{p}e^-$ ³ He
<6 × 10 ⁻⁸	90	¹ HORI	01	SPEC $\bar{p}e^-$ He atom
<5 × 10 ⁻⁷		² TORII	99	SPEC $\bar{p}e^-$ He atom

NODE=S016DM

- ¹ HORI 01, HORI 03, HORI 06, and HORI 11 use the more-precisely-known constraint on the $\bar{p}$ charge-to-mass ratio of GABRIELSE 99 (see below) to get their results. Their results are not independent of the HORI 01, HORI 03, HORI 06, and HORI 11 values for $|q_p + q_{\bar{p}}|/e$, below.
- ² TORII 99 uses the more-precisely-known constraint on the $\bar{p}$ charge-to-mass ratio of GABRIELSE 95 (see below) to get this result. This is not independent of the TORII 99 value for $|q_p + q_{\bar{p}}|/e$, below.

NODE=S016DM;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S016DM;LINKAGE=B

$\bar{p}/p$ CHARGE-TO-MASS RATIO, $|\frac{q_{\bar{p}}}{m_{\bar{p}}}|/(\frac{q_p}{m_p})$

A test of *CPT* invariance. Listed here are measurements involving the *inertial* masses. For a discussion of what may be inferred about the ratio of $\bar{p}$ and p *gravitational* masses, see ERICSON 90; they obtain an upper bound of 10^{-6} – 10^{-7} for violation of the equivalence principle for $\bar{p}$'s.

NODE=S016CMR

NODE=S016CMR

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.000000000003 ± 0.000000000016 OUR AVERAGE			
1.000000000003 ± 0.000000000016	BORCHERT	22	TRAP Penning trap
1.000000000001 ± 0.000000000069	ULMER	15	TRAP Penning trap
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.99999999991 ± 0.00000000009	GABRIELSE	99	TRAP Penning trap
1.00000000015 ± 0.00000000011	¹ GABRIELSE	95	TRAP Penning trap
1.0000000023 ± 0.0000000042	² GABRIELSE	90	TRAP Penning trap
¹ Equation (2) of GABRIELSE 95 should read $M(\bar{p})/M(p) = 0.999\,999\,9985$ (11) (G. Gabrielse, private communication).			
² GABRIELSE 90 also measures $m_{\bar{p}}/m_{e^-} = 1836.152660 \pm 0.000083$ and $m_p/m_{e^-} = 1836.152680 \pm 0.000088$. Both are completely consistent with the 1986 CODATA (COHEN 87) value for m_p/m_{e^-} of 1836.152701 ± 0.000037 .			

NODE=S016CMR

NODE=S016CMR;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S016CMR;LINKAGE=A

$$(|\frac{q_{\bar{p}}}{m_{\bar{p}}}| - \frac{q_p}{m_p}) / \frac{q_p}{m_p}$$

A test of *CPT* invariance. Taken from the $\bar{p}/p$ charge-to-mass ratio, above.

NODE=S016DMM

NODE=S016DMM

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
(0.3 ± 1.6) × 10⁻¹¹ OUR EVALUATION	

NODE=S016DMM

→ UNCHECKED ←

$$|q_p + q_{\bar{p}}|/e$$

A test of *CPT* invariance. Note that the comparison of the $\bar{p}$ and p charge-to-mass ratios given above is much better determined. See also a similar test involving the electron.

NODE=S016DQ2

NODE=S016DQ2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<7 × 10⁻¹⁰	90	¹ HORI	11	SPEC $\bar{p}e^-$ He atom
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<2 × 10 ⁻⁹	90	¹ HORI	06	SPEC $\bar{p}e^-$ He atom
<1.0 × 10 ⁻⁸	90	¹ HORI	03	SPEC $\bar{p}e^-$ ⁴ He, $\bar{p}e^-$ ³ He
<6 × 10 ⁻⁸	90	¹ HORI	01	SPEC $\bar{p}e^-$ He atom
<5 × 10 ⁻⁷		² TORII	99	SPEC $\bar{p}e^-$ He atom
<2 × 10 ⁻⁵		³ HUGHES	92	RVUE

NODE=S016DQ2

NODE=S016DQ2;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S016DQ2;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S016DQ2;LINKAGE=A

- ¹ HORI 01, HORI 03, HORI 06, and HORI 11 use the more-precisely-known constraint on the $\bar{p}$ charge-to-mass ratio of GABRIELSE 99 (see above) to get their results. Their results are not independent of the HORI 01, HORI 03, HORI 06, and HORI 11 values for $|m_p - m_{\bar{p}}|/m_p$, above.
- ² TORII 99 uses the more-precisely-known constraint on the $\bar{p}$ charge-to-mass ratio of GABRIELSE 95 (see above) to get this result. This is not independent of the TORII 99 value for $|m_p - m_{\bar{p}}|/m_p$, above.
- ³ HUGHES 92 uses recent measurements of Rydberg-energy and cyclotron-frequency ratios.

$$|q_p + q_e|/e$$

See BRESSI 11 for a summary of experiments on the neutrality of matter. See also “*n* CHARGE” in the neutron Listings.

NODE=S016DQ

NODE=S016DQ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
<1 × 10⁻²¹	¹ BRESSI	11 Neutrality of SF ₆

NODE=S016DQ

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 3.2 \times 10^{-20}$	² SENGUPTA	00	binary pulsar
$< 0.8 \times 10^{-21}$	MARINELLI	84	Magnetic levitation
$< 1.0 \times 10^{-21}$	¹ DYLLA	73	Neutrality of SF ₆

¹ BRESSI 11 uses the method of DYLLA 73 but finds serious errors in that experiment that greatly reduce its accuracy. The BRESSI 11 limit assumes that $n \rightarrow p e^- \nu_e$ conserves charge. Thus the limit applies equally to the charge of the neutron.

² SENGUPTA 00 uses the difference between the observed rate of rotational energy loss by the binary pulsar PSR B1913+16 and the rate predicted by general relativity to set this limit. See the paper for assumptions.

NODE=S016DQ;LINKAGE=BR

NODE=S016DQ;LINKAGE=SE

ρ MAGNETIC MOMENT

See the "Quark Model" review.

NODE=S016MM

NODE=S016MM

NODE=S016MM

VALUE (μ_N)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.79284734463 $\pm$ 0.00000000082	TIESINGA	21	RVUE 2018 CODATA value
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2.79284734462 $\pm$ 0.00000000082	SCHNEIDER	17	TRAP Double Penning trap
2.7928473508 $\pm$ 0.00000000085	MOHR	16	RVUE 2014 CODATA value
2.792847356 $\pm$ 0.00000000023	MOHR	12	RVUE 2010 CODATA value
2.792847356 $\pm$ 0.00000000023	MOHR	08	RVUE 2006 CODATA value
2.792847351 $\pm$ 0.00000000028	MOHR	05	RVUE 2002 CODATA value
2.792847337 $\pm$ 0.00000000029	MOHR	99	RVUE 1998 CODATA value
2.792847386 $\pm$ 0.00000000063	COHEN	87	RVUE 1986 CODATA value

$\bar{\rho}$ MAGNETIC MOMENT

A few early results have been omitted.

NODE=S016MM1

NODE=S016MM1

NODE=S016MM1

VALUE (μ_N)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-2.7928473441 $\pm$ 0.00000000042	SMORRA	17	TRAP Hot/cold $\bar{\rho}$ frequencies, Penning traps
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-2.7928465 $\pm$ 0.0000023	NAGAHAMA	17	TRAP Single $\bar{\rho}$, Penning trap
-2.792845 $\pm$ 0.000012	DISCIACCA	13	TRAP Single $\bar{\rho}$, Penning trap
-2.7862 $\pm$ 0.0083	PASK	09	CNTR $\bar{\rho}$ He ⁺ hyperfine structure
-2.8005 $\pm$ 0.0090	KREISSL	88	CNTR $\bar{\rho}$ ²⁰⁸ Pb 11 $\rightarrow$ 10 X-ray
-2.817 $\pm$ 0.048	ROBERTS	78	CNTR
-2.791 $\pm$ 0.021	HU	75	CNTR Exotic atoms

$(\mu_p + \mu_{\bar{p}}) / \mu_p$

A test of *CPT* invariance.

NODE=S016MMD

NODE=S016MMD

NODE=S016MMD

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.002 $\pm$ 0.004	SMORRA	17	TRAP Hot/cold $\bar{\rho}$ frequencies, Penning traps
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.3 $\pm$ 0.8	NAGAHAMA	17	TRAP Single $\bar{\rho}$, Penning trap
0 $\pm$ 5	DISCIACCA	13	TRAP Single $\bar{\rho}$, Penning trap

ρ ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENT

A nonzero value is forbidden by both *T* invariance and *P* invariance.

NODE=S016EDM

NODE=S016EDM

NODE=S016EDM

VALUE (10^{-23} ecm)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.021	¹ SAHOO	17	Theory plus ¹⁹⁹ Hg atom EDM
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
< 0.54	¹ DMITRIEV	03	Theory plus ¹⁹⁹ Hg atom EDM
- 3.7 $\pm$ 6.3	CHO	89	NMR TI F molecules
< 400	DZUBA	85	THEO Uses ¹²⁹ Xe moment
130 $\pm$ 200	² WILKENING	84	
900 $\pm$ 1400	³ WILKENING	84	
700 $\pm$ 900	HARRISON	69	MBR Molecular beam

OCCUR=2

- ¹ SAHOO 17 and DMITRIEV 03 are not direct measurements of the proton electric dipole moment. They use theory to calculate this limit from the limit on the electric dipole moment of the ¹⁹⁹Hg atom.
- ² This WILKENING 84 value includes a finite-size effect and a magnetic effect.
- ³ This WILKENING 84 value is more cautious than the other and excludes the finite-size effect, which relies on uncertain nuclear integrals.

NODE=S016EDM;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S016EDM;LINKAGE=A
NODE=S016EDM;LINKAGE=B

p ELECTRIC POLARIZABILITY α_p

For a very complete review of the "polarizability of the nucleon and Compton scattering," see SCHUMACHER 05, updated in SCHUMACHER 19.

NODE=S016EPL

NODE=S016EPL

See LI 22D and therein for measurements of the mean square proton electric polarizability radius.

VALUE (10^{-4} fm ³)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11.5 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
12.7 ±0.8 ±0.1	¹ MORNACCHI 22	FIT	Fit of RCS data sets
10.65 ±0.35 ±0.36	MCGOVERN 13	RVUE	χ EFT + Compton scattering
12.1 ±1.1 ±0.5	² BEANE 03		EFT + γp
11.82 ±0.98 ^{+0.52} _{-0.98}	³ BLANPIED 01	LEGS	$p(\vec{\gamma}, \gamma), p(\vec{\gamma}, \pi^0), p(\vec{\gamma}, \pi^+)$
11.9 ±0.5 ±1.3	⁴ OLMOSDEL... 01	CNTR	γp Compton scattering
12.1 ±0.8 ±0.5	⁵ MACGIBBON 95	RVUE	global average
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
12.03 ^{+0.48} _{-0.54}	⁶ PASQUINI 19		fit of RCS data sets
11.7 ±0.8 ±0.7	⁷ BARANOV 01	RVUE	Global average
12.5 ±0.6 ±0.9	MACGIBBON 95	CNTR	γp Compton scattering
9.8 ±0.4 ±1.1	HALLIN 93	CNTR	γp Compton scattering
10.62 ^{+1.25+1.07} _{-1.19-1.03}	ZIEGER 92	CNTR	γp Compton scattering
10.9 ±2.2 ±1.3	⁸ FEDERSPIEL 91	CNTR	γp Compton scattering

NODE=S016EPL

OCCUR=2

- ¹ MORNACCHI 22 perform the first simultaneous extraction of the six leading-order proton polarizabilities using fixed-t subtracted dispersion relations and a bootstrap-based fitting technique.
- ² BEANE 03 uses effective field theory and low-energy γp and γd Compton-scattering data. It also gets for the isoscalar polarizabilities (see the erratum) $\alpha_N = (13.0 \pm 1.9^{+3.9}_{-1.5}) \times 10^{-4}$ fm³ and $\beta_N = (-1.8 \pm 1.9^{+2.1}_{-0.9}) \times 10^{-4}$ fm³.
- ³ BLANPIED 01 gives $\alpha_p + \beta_p$ and $\alpha_p - \beta_p$. The separate α_p and β_p are provided to us by A. Sandorfi. The first error above is statistics plus systematics; the second is from the model.
- ⁴ This OLMOSDELEON 01 result uses the TAPS data alone, and does not use the (re-evaluated) sum-rule constraint that $\alpha + \beta = (13.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ fm³. See the paper for a discussion.
- ⁵ MACGIBBON 95 combine the results of ZIEGER 92, FEDERSPIEL 91, and their own experiment to get a "global average" in which model errors and systematic errors are treated in a consistent way. See MACGIBBON 95 for a discussion.
- ⁶ PASQUINI 19 fit data sets for the unpolarized proton RCS cross section, using fixed-t subtracted dispersion relations and a bootstrap-based fitting technique.
- ⁷ BARANOV 01 combines the results of 10 experiments from 1958 through 1995 to get a global average that takes into account both systematic and model errors and does not use the theoretical constraint on the sum $\alpha_p + \beta_p$.
- ⁸ FEDERSPIEL 91 obtains for the (static) electric polarizability α_p , defined in terms of the induced electric dipole moment by $\mathbf{D} = 4\pi\epsilon_0\alpha_p\mathbf{E}$, the value $(7.0 \pm 2.2 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$ fm³.

NODE=S016EPL;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S016EPL;LINKAGE=B3

NODE=S016EPL;LINKAGE=SF

NODE=S016EPL;LINKAGE=OD

NODE=S016EPL;LINKAGE=MG

NODE=S016EPL;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S016EPL;LINKAGE=BV

NODE=S016EPL;LINKAGE=A

p MAGNETIC POLARIZABILITY β_p

The electric and magnetic polarizabilities are subject to a dispersion sum-rule constraint $\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta} = (14.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ fm³. Errors here are anticorrelated with those on $\bar{\alpha}_p$ due to this constraint.

NODE=S016MPL

NODE=S016MPL

See LI 22D and therein for measurements of the mean square proton magnetic polarizability radius.

VALUE (10^{-4} fm^3)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.31 ± 0.29 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
$2.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.1$	¹ MORNACCHI 22	FIT	Fit of RCS data sets
$1.77^{+0.52}_{-0.54}$	² PASQUINI 19	FIT	fit of RCS data sets
$3.15 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.36$	MCGOVERN 13	RVUE	χ EFT + Compton scattering
$3.4 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.1$	³ BEANE 03		EFT + γp
$1.43 \pm 0.98^{+0.52}_{-0.98}$	⁴ BLANPIED 01	LEGS	$p(\vec{\gamma}, \gamma), p(\vec{\gamma}, \pi^0), p(\vec{\gamma}, \pi^+)$
$1.2 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.5$	⁵ OLMOSDEL... 01	CNTR	γp Compton scattering
$2.1 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.5$	⁶ MACGIBBON 95	RVUE	global average
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$2.3 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.7$	⁷ BARANOV 01	RVUE	Global average
$1.7 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9$	MACGIBBON 95	CNTR	γp Compton scattering
$4.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 1.1$	HALLIN 93	CNTR	γp Compton scattering
$3.58^{+1.19+1.03}_{-1.25-1.07}$	ZIEGER 92	CNTR	γp Compton scattering
$3.3 \pm 2.2 \pm 1.3$	FEDERSPIEL 91	CNTR	γp Compton scattering

NODE=S016MPL

OCCUR=2

- ¹ MORNACCHI 22 perform the first simultaneous extraction of the six leading-order proton polarizabilities using fixed-t subtracted dispersion relations and a bootstrap-based fitting technique.
- ² PASQUINI 19 fit data sets for the unpolarized proton RCS cross section, using fixed-t subtracted dispersion relations and a bootstrap-based fitting technique.
- ³ BEANE 03 uses effective field theory and low-energy γp and γd Compton-scattering data. It also gets for the isoscalar polarizabilities (see the erratum) $\alpha_N = (13.0 \pm 1.9^{+3.9}_{-1.5}) \times 10^{-4} \text{ fm}^3$ and $\beta_N = (-1.8 \pm 1.9^{+2.1}_{-0.9}) \times 10^{-4} \text{ fm}^3$.
- ⁴ BLANPIED 01 gives $\alpha_p + \beta_p$ and $\alpha_p - \beta_p$. The separate α_p and β_p are provided to us by A. Sandorfi. The first error above is statistics plus systematics; the second is from the model.
- ⁵ This OLMOSDELEON 01 result uses the TAPS data alone, and does not use the (re-evaluated) sum-rule constraint that $\alpha + \beta = (13.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4} \text{ fm}^3$. See the paper for a discussion.
- ⁶ MACGIBBON 95 combine the results of ZIEGER 92, FEDERSPIEL 91, and their own experiment to get a "global average" in which model errors and systematic errors are treated in a consistent way. See MACGIBBON 95 for a discussion.
- ⁷ BARANOV 01 combines the results of 10 experiments from 1958 through 1995 to get a global average that takes into account both systematic and model errors and does not use the theoretical constraint on the sum $\alpha_p + \beta_p$.

NODE=S016MPL;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S016MPL;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S016MPL;LINKAGE=B3

NODE=S016MPL;LINKAGE=SF

NODE=S016MPL;LINKAGE=OD

NODE=S016MPL;LINKAGE=MG

NODE=S016MPL;LINKAGE=BV

 p SPIN POLARIZABILITY γ_{E1E1}

VALUE (10^{-4} fm^4)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-3.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4$	¹ MORNACCHI 22	FIT	Fit of RCS data sets

NODE=S016A00
NODE=S016A00

- ¹ MORNACCHI 22 perform the first simultaneous extraction of the six leading-order proton polarizabilities using fixed-t subtracted dispersion relations and a bootstrap-based fitting technique.

NODE=S016A00;LINKAGE=A

 p SPIN POLARIZABILITY γ_{M1M1}

VALUE (10^{-4} fm^4)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1$	¹ MORNACCHI 22	FIT	Fit of RCS data sets

NODE=S016A01
NODE=S016A01

- ¹ MORNACCHI 22 perform the first simultaneous extraction of the six leading-order proton polarizabilities using fixed-t subtracted dispersion relations and a bootstrap-based fitting technique.

NODE=S016A01;LINKAGE=A

 p SPIN POLARIZABILITY γ_{E1M2}

VALUE (10^{-4} fm^4)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-1.2 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.3$	¹ MORNACCHI 22	FIT	Fit of RCS data sets

NODE=S016A02
NODE=S016A02

- ¹ MORNACCHI 22 perform the first simultaneous extraction of the six leading-order proton polarizabilities using fixed-t subtracted dispersion relations and a bootstrap-based fitting technique.

NODE=S016A02;LINKAGE=A

 p SPIN POLARIZABILITY γ_{M1E2}

VALUE (10^{-4} fm^4)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4$	¹ MORNACCHI 22	FIT	Fit of RCS data sets

NODE=S016A03
NODE=S016A03

- ¹ MORNACCHI 22 perform the first simultaneous extraction of the six leading-order proton polarizabilities using fixed-t subtracted dispersion relations and a bootstrap-based fitting technique.

NODE=S016A03;LINKAGE=A

p CHARGE RADIUS

This is the rms electric charge radius, $\sqrt{\langle r_E^2 \rangle}$.

There are three kinds of measurements of the proton radius: via transitions in atomic hydrogen; via electron scattering off hydrogen; and via muonic hydrogen Lamb shift. Most measurements of the radius of the proton involve electron-proton interactions, the most recent of which is the electron scattering measurement $r_p = 0.831(14)$ fm (XIONG 19), and the atomic-hydrogen value, $r_p = 0.833(10)$ fm (BEZGINOV 19). These agree well with another recent atomic-hydrogen value $r_p = 0.8335(95)$ fm (BEYER 17), and with the best measurement using muonic hydrogen $r_p = 0.84087(39)$ fm (ANTOGNINI 13), that is far more precise.

The MOHR 16 value (2014 CODATA), obtained from the electronic results available at the time, was 0.8751(61) fm. This differs by 5.6 standard deviations from the muonic hydrogen value, leading to the so-called proton charge radius puzzle. See our 2018 edition (Physical Review **D98** 030001 (2018)) for a further discussion of interpretations of this puzzle. However, reflecting the new electronic measurements, the 2018 CODATA, TIESINGA 21, recommended value is 0.8414(19) fm, and the puzzle appears to be resolved.

See our 2014 edition (Chinese Physics **C38** 070001 (2014)) for values published before 2003.

VALUE (fm)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.8409 ± 0.0004 OUR AVERAGE			
0.833 ± 0.010	¹ BEZGINOV	19	LASR 2S-2P transition in H
0.831 ± 0.007 ± 0.012	² XIONG	19	SPEC $ep \rightarrow ep$ form factor
0.84087 ± 0.00026 ± 0.00029	ANTOGNINI	13	LASR μp -atom Lamb shift
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.847 ± 0.008	³ CUI	21	FIT use existing ep data
0.878 ± 0.011 ± 0.031	⁴ MIHOVILOVIC	21	ISR $ep \rightarrow ep$ reanalysis
0.877 ± 0.013	⁵ FLEURBAEY	18	LASR 1S-3S transition in H
0.8335 ± 0.0095	⁶ BEYER	17	LASR 2S-4P transition in H
0.8751 ± 0.0061	MOHR	16	RVUE 2014 CODATA value
0.895 ± 0.014 ± 0.014	⁷ LEE	15	SPEC Just 2010 Mainz data
0.916 ± 0.024	LEE	15	SPEC World data, no Mainz
0.8775 ± 0.0051	MOHR	12	RVUE 2010 CODATA, ep data
0.875 ± 0.008 ± 0.006	ZHAN	11	SPEC Recoil polarimetry
0.879 ± 0.005 ± 0.006	BERNAUER	10	SPEC $ep \rightarrow ep$ form factor
0.912 ± 0.009 ± 0.007	BORISYUK	10	reanalyzes old ep data
0.871 ± 0.009 ± 0.003	HILL	10	z-expansion reanalysis
0.84184 ± 0.00036 ± 0.00056	POHL	10	LASR See ANTOGNINI 13
0.8768 ± 0.0069	MOHR	08	RVUE 2006 CODATA value
0.844 +0.008 -0.004	BELUSHKIN	07	Dispersion analysis
0.897 ± 0.018	BLUNDEN	05	SICK 03 + 2γ correction
0.8750 ± 0.0068	MOHR	05	RVUE 2002 CODATA value
0.895 ± 0.010 ± 0.013	SICK	03	$ep \rightarrow ep$ reanalysis

¹ BEZGINOV 19 measures the $2S_{1/2}$ to $2P_{1/2}$ transition frequency in atomic hydrogen using the frequency-offset separated oscillatory field (FOSOF) technique. The result agrees well with the muonic hydrogen Lamb shift value.

² The XIONG 19 value from $ep \rightarrow ep$ scattering and supports the muonic hydrogen Lamb shift value.

³ CUI 21 employ a new mathematical procedure (statistical SPM, Schlessinger point method) based on form-unbiased interpolations of existing ep scattering data.

⁴ MIHOVILOVIC 21 reports a value of $0.878 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.031 \pm 0.002$ fm where the last uncertainty comes from the dependence on the model form factor function.

⁵ FLEURBAEY 18 measures the 1S-3S transition frequency in hydrogen and in combination with the 1S-2S transition frequency deduces the proton radius and the Rydberg constant.

⁶ The BEYER 17 result is 3.3 combined standard deviations below the MOHR 16 (2014 CODATA) value. The experiment measures the 2S-4P transition in hydrogen and gets the proton radius and the Rydberg constant.

⁷ Authors also provide values for combinations of all available data.

NODE=S016CR

NODE=S016CR

NODE=S016CR

OCCUR=2

NODE=S016CR;LINKAGE=E

NODE=S016CR;LINKAGE=F

NODE=S016CR;LINKAGE=G

NODE=S016CR;LINKAGE=H

NODE=S016CR;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S016CR;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S016CR;LINKAGE=A

p MAGNETIC RADIUS

This is the rms magnetic radius, $\sqrt{\langle r_M^2 \rangle}$.

VALUE (fm)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.851±0.026	¹ LEE	15	Combination of world and Mainz data
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.817±0.027	² CUI	21B FIT	use existing $e p$ data
0.87 ±0.02	EPSTEIN	14	Using $e p$, $e n$, $\pi\pi$ data
0.867±0.009±0.018	ZHAN	11 SPEC	Recoil polarimetry
0.777±0.013±0.010	BERNAUER	10 SPEC	$e p \rightarrow e p$ form factor
0.876±0.010±0.016	BORISYUK	10	Reanalyzes old $e p \rightarrow e p$ data
0.854±0.005	BELUSHKIN	07	Dispersion analysis

¹ In a consistent reanalysis LEE 2015 extract values separately for the Mainz 2010 data only (0.776+/-0.034+/-0.017) fm and for the world data without Mainz data (0.914+/-0.035) fm. The quoted value is a simple combination of the two, which ignores possible discrepancies and unknown correlations and should be considered with caution.

² CUI 21B employ a new mathematical procedure (statistical SPM, Schlessinger point method) based on form-unbiased interpolations of existing $e p$ scattering data.

NODE=S016MCR

NODE=S016MCR

NODE=S016MCR

NODE=S016MCR;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S016MCR;LINKAGE=B

p MEAN LIFE

A test of baryon conservation. See the “p Partial Mean Lives” section below for limits for identified final states. The limits here are to “anything” or are for “disappearance” modes of a bound proton (p) or (n). See also the 3ν modes in the “Partial Mean Lives” section. Table 1 of BACK 03 is a nice summary.

LIMIT (years)	PARTICLE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>0.96 × 10³⁰	p	90	¹ ALLEGA	22	SNO+ $p \rightarrow$ invisible
>0.9 × 10³⁰	n	90	² ALLEGA	22	SNO+ $n \rightarrow$ invisible
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
>1.3 × 10 ²⁴	p	90	³ AGOSTINI	24A	HPGE $p \rightarrow$ invisible
>1.5 × 10 ²⁴	n	90	⁴ AGOSTINI	24A	HPGE $n \rightarrow$ invisible
>3.6 × 10 ²⁹	p	90	⁵ ANDERSON	19A	SNO+ $p \rightarrow$ invisible
>2.5 × 10 ²⁹	n	90	⁵ ANDERSON	19A	SNO+ $n \rightarrow$ invisible
>5.8 × 10 ²⁹	n	90	⁶ ARAKI	06	KLND $n \rightarrow$ invisible
>2.1 × 10 ²⁹	p	90	⁵ AHMED	04	SNO $p \rightarrow$ invisible
>1.9 × 10 ²⁹	n	90	⁵ AHMED	04	SNO $n \rightarrow$ invisible
>1.8 × 10 ²⁵	n	90	⁷ BACK	03	BORX
>1.1 × 10 ²⁶	p	90	⁷ BACK	03	BORX
>3.5 × 10 ²⁸	p	90	⁸ ZDESENKO	03	$p \rightarrow$ invisible
>1 × 10 ²⁸	p	90	⁹ AHMAD	02	SNO $p \rightarrow$ invisible
>4 × 10 ²³	p	95	TRETYAK	01	$d \rightarrow n + ?$
>1.9 × 10 ²⁴	p	90	¹⁰ BERNABEI	00B	DAMA
>1.6 × 10 ²⁵	p, n	11,12	EVANS	77	
>3 × 10 ²³	p	12	DIX	70	CNTR
>3 × 10 ²³	p, n	12,13	FLEROV	58	

¹ ALLEGA 22 look for γ rays from the de-excitation of a residual $^{15}\text{N}^*$ following the disappearance of p in ^{16}O .

² ALLEGA 22 look for γ rays from the de-excitation of a residual $^{15}\text{O}^*$ following the disappearance of n in ^{16}O .

³ AGOSTINI 24A look for γ rays from the de-excitation of a residual $^{75}\text{As}^*$ following the disappearance of p in ^{76}Ge (through the transition chain $^{76}\text{Ge} \rightarrow ^{75}\text{Ga} \rightarrow ^{75}\text{Ge} \rightarrow ^{75}\text{As}$).

⁴ AGOSTINI 24A look for γ rays from the de-excitation of a residual $^{75}\text{As}^*$ following the disappearance of n in ^{76}Ge (through the transition chain $^{76}\text{Ge} \rightarrow ^{75}\text{Ge} \rightarrow ^{75}\text{As}$).

⁵ AHMED 04 and ANDERSON 19A look for γ rays from the de-excitation of a residual $^{15}\text{O}^*$ or $^{15}\text{N}^*$ following the disappearance of a neutron or proton in ^{16}O .

⁶ ARAKI 06 looks for signs of de-excitation of the residual nucleus after disappearance of a neutron from the s shell of ^{12}C .

⁷ BACK 03 looks for decays of unstable nuclides left after N decays of parent ^{12}C , ^{13}C , ^{16}O nuclei. These are “invisible channel” limits.

⁸ ZDESENKO 03 gets this limit on proton disappearance in deuterium by analyzing SNO data in AHMAD 02.

⁹ AHMAD 02 (see its footnote 7) looks for neutrons left behind after the disappearance of the proton in deuterons.

NODE=S016T

NODE=S016T

NODE=S016T

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=S016T;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S016T;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S016T;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S016T;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S016T;LINKAGE=A4

NODE=S016T;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=S016T;LINKAGE=BK

NODE=S016T;LINKAGE=ZO

NODE=S016T;LINKAGE=KH

- 10 BERNABEI 00B looks for the decay of a $^{128}_{53}\text{I}$ nucleus following the disappearance of a proton in the otherwise-stable $^{129}_{54}\text{Xe}$ nucleus.
- 11 EVANS 77 looks for the daughter nuclide ^{129}Xe from possible ^{130}Te decays in ancient Te ore samples.
- 12 This mean-life limit has been obtained from a half-life limit by dividing the latter by $\ln(2) = 0.693$.
- 13 FLEROV 58 looks for the spontaneous fission of a ^{232}Th nucleus after the disappearance of one of its nucleons.

$\bar{p}$ MEAN LIFE

Of the two astrophysical limits here, that of GEER 00D involves considerably more refinements in its modeling. The other limits come from direct observations of stored antiprotons. See also " $\bar{p}$ Partial Mean Lives" after " p Partial Mean Lives," below, for exclusive-mode limits. The best (life-time/branching fraction) limit there is 7×10^5 years, for $\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- \gamma$. We advance only the exclusive-mode limits to our Summary Tables.

LIMIT (years)	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
>5.0	90		SELLNER	17	TRAP Penning trap
>8 $\times 10^5$	90		¹ GEER	00D	$\bar{p}/p$ ratio, cosmic rays
>0.28			GABRIELSE	90	TRAP Penning trap
>0.08	90	1	BELL	79	CNTR Storage ring
>1 $\times 10^7$			GOLDEN	79	SPEC $\bar{p}/p$ ratio, cosmic rays
>3.7 $\times 10^{-3}$			BREGMAN	78	CNTR Storage ring
¹ GEER 00D uses agreement between a model of galactic $\bar{p}$ production and propagation and the observed $\bar{p}/p$ cosmic-ray spectrum to set this limit.					

p DECAY MODES

See the "Note on Nucleon Decay" in our 1994 edition (Phys. Rev. **D50**, 1173) for a short review.

The "partial mean life" limits tabulated here are the limits on τ/B_j , where τ is the total mean life and B_j is the branching fraction for the mode in question. For N decays, p and n indicate proton and neutron partial lifetimes.

Mode	Partial mean life (10^{30} years)	Confidence level
Antilepton + meson		
$\tau_1 \quad N \rightarrow e^+ \pi$	> 5300 (n), > 24000 (p)	90%
$\tau_2 \quad N \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi$	> 3500 (n), > 16000 (p)	90%
$\tau_3 \quad N \rightarrow \nu \pi$	> 1100 (n), > 390 (p)	90%
$\tau_4 \quad p \rightarrow e^+ \eta$	> 10000	90%
$\tau_5 \quad p \rightarrow \mu^+ \eta$	> 4700	90%
$\tau_6 \quad n \rightarrow \nu \eta$	> 158	90%
$\tau_7 \quad N \rightarrow e^+ \rho$	> 217 (n), > 720 (p)	90%
$\tau_8 \quad N \rightarrow \mu^+ \rho$	> 228 (n), > 570 (p)	90%
$\tau_9 \quad N \rightarrow \nu \rho$	> 19 (n), > 162 (p)	90%
$\tau_{10} \quad p \rightarrow e^+ \omega$	> 1600	90%
$\tau_{11} \quad p \rightarrow \mu^+ \omega$	> 2800	90%
$\tau_{12} \quad n \rightarrow \nu \omega$	> 108	90%
$\tau_{13} \quad N \rightarrow e^+ K$	> 17 (n), > 1000 (p)	90%
$\tau_{14} \quad p \rightarrow e^+ K_S^0$		
$\tau_{15} \quad p \rightarrow e^+ K_L^0$		
$\tau_{16} \quad N \rightarrow \mu^+ K$	> 26 (n), > 4500 (p)	90%
$\tau_{17} \quad p \rightarrow \mu^+ K_S^0$		
$\tau_{18} \quad p \rightarrow \mu^+ K_L^0$		
$\tau_{19} \quad N \rightarrow \nu K$	> 86 (n), > 5900 (p)	90%
$\tau_{20} \quad n \rightarrow \nu K_S^0$	> 260	90%
$\tau_{21} \quad p \rightarrow e^+ K^*(892)^0$	> 84	90%
$\tau_{22} \quad N \rightarrow \nu K^*(892)$	> 78 (n), > 51 (p)	90%

NODE=S016T;LINKAGE=BN

NODE=S016T;LINKAGE=E

NODE=S016T;LINKAGE=H

NODE=S016T;LINKAGE=F

NODE=S016TA

NODE=S016TA

NODE=S016TA

NODE=S016TA;LINKAGE=GE

NODE=S016225;NODE=S016

NODE=S016

NODE=S016;CLUMP=B

DESIG=6;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=7;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=10;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=22;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=23;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=24;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=14;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=15;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=25;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=12;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=13;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=26;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=8;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=50

DESIG=51

DESIG=9;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=52

DESIG=53

DESIG=11;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=99;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=34;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=18;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

Antilepton + mesons

τ_{23}	$p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	> 82	90%
τ_{24}	$p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$	> 147	90%
τ_{25}	$n \rightarrow e^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	> 52	90%
τ_{26}	$p \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	> 133	90%
τ_{27}	$p \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$	> 101	90%
τ_{28}	$n \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	> 74	90%
τ_{29}	$n \rightarrow e^+ K^0 \pi^-$	> 18	90%

NODE=S016;CLUMP=E

DESIG=56;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=57;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=58;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=48;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=59;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=60;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=61;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

Lepton + meson

τ_{30}	$n \rightarrow e^- \pi^+$	> 65	90%
τ_{31}	$n \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^+$	> 49	90%
τ_{32}	$n \rightarrow e^- \rho^+$	> 62	90%
τ_{33}	$n \rightarrow \mu^- \rho^+$	> 7	90%
τ_{34}	$n \rightarrow e^- K^+$	> 32	90%
τ_{35}	$n \rightarrow \mu^- K^+$	> 57	90%

NODE=S016;CLUMP=F

DESIG=29;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=30;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=31;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=32;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=33;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=35;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

Lepton + mesons

τ_{36}	$p \rightarrow e^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	> 30	90%
τ_{37}	$n \rightarrow e^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	> 29	90%
τ_{38}	$p \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	> 17	90%
τ_{39}	$n \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	> 34	90%
τ_{40}	$p \rightarrow e^- \pi^+ K^+$	> 75	90%
τ_{41}	$p \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^+ K^+$	> 245	90%

NODE=S016;CLUMP=G

DESIG=47;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=39;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=49;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=40;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=41;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=42;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

Antilepton + photon(s)

τ_{42}	$p \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$	> 670	90%
τ_{43}	$p \rightarrow \mu^+ \gamma$	> 478	90%
τ_{44}	$n \rightarrow \nu \gamma$	> 550	90%
τ_{45}	$p \rightarrow e^+ \gamma \gamma$	> 100	90%
τ_{46}	$n \rightarrow \nu \gamma \gamma$	> 219	90%

NODE=S016;CLUMP=C

DESIG=3;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=4;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=5;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=54;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=93;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

Antilepton + single massless

τ_{47}	$p \rightarrow e^+ X$	> 790	90%
τ_{48}	$p \rightarrow \mu^+ X$	> 410	90%

NODE=S016;CLUMP=H

DESIG=194;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=195;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

Three (or more) leptons

τ_{49}	$p \rightarrow e^+ e^+ e^-$	> 34000	90%
τ_{50}	$p \rightarrow e^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	> 9200	90%
τ_{51}	$p \rightarrow e^+ \nu \nu$	> 170	90%
τ_{52}	$n \rightarrow e^+ e^- \nu$	> 257	90%
τ_{53}	$n \rightarrow \mu^+ e^- \nu$	> 83	90%
τ_{54}	$n \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \nu$	> 79	90%
τ_{55}	$p \rightarrow \mu^+ e^+ e^-$	> 23000	90%
τ_{56}	$p \rightarrow \mu^- e^+ e^+$	> 19000	90%
τ_{57}	$p \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	> 10000	90%
τ_{58}	$p \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu \nu$	> 220	90%
τ_{59}	$p \rightarrow e^- \mu^+ \mu^+$	> 11000	90%
τ_{60}	$n \rightarrow 3\nu$	> 5×10^{-4}	90%
τ_{61}	$n \rightarrow 5\nu$		

NODE=S016;CLUMP=D

DESIG=16;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=45;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=36;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=27;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=37;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=28;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=55;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=196;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=17;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=38;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=46;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=21;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=86

Inclusive modes

τ_{62}	$N \rightarrow e^+ \text{ anything}$	> 0.6 (n, p)	90%
τ_{63}	$N \rightarrow \mu^+ \text{ anything}$	> 12 (n, p)	90%
τ_{64}	$N \rightarrow \nu \text{ anything}$		
τ_{65}	$N \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0 \text{ anything}$	> 0.6 (n, p)	90%
τ_{66}	$N \rightarrow 2 \text{ bodies, } \nu\text{-free}$		

NODE=S016;CLUMP=A

DESIG=1;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=2;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=20
DESIG=19;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
DESIG=191

$\Delta B = 2$ dinucleon modes

The following are lifetime limits per iron nucleus.

τ_{67}	$pp \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+$	> 72.2	90%
τ_{68}	$pn \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$	> 170	90%
τ_{69}	$nn \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$	> 0.7	90%
τ_{70}	$nn \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$	> 404	90%
τ_{71}	$pp \rightarrow K^+ K^+$	> 170	90%
τ_{72}	$pp \rightarrow e^+ e^+$	> 5.8	90%
τ_{73}	$pp \rightarrow e^+ \mu^+$	> 3.6	90%
τ_{74}	$pp \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^+$	> 1.7	90%
τ_{75}	$pn \rightarrow e^+ \bar{\nu}$	> 260	90%
τ_{76}	$pn \rightarrow \mu^+ \bar{\nu}$	> 200	90%
τ_{77}	$pn \rightarrow \tau^+ \bar{\nu}_\tau$	> 29	90%
τ_{78}	$nn \rightarrow \text{invisible}$	> 1.4	90%
τ_{79}	$nn \rightarrow \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e$	> 1.4	90%
τ_{80}	$nn \rightarrow \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$	> 1.4	90%
τ_{81}	$pn \rightarrow \text{invisible}$	> 0.06	90%
τ_{82}	$pp \rightarrow \text{invisible}$	> 0.11	90%

 $\bar{p}$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Partial mean life (years)	Confidence level
τ_{83} $\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- \gamma$	$> 7 \times 10^5$	90%
τ_{84} $\bar{p} \rightarrow \mu^- \gamma$	$> 5 \times 10^4$	90%
τ_{85} $\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- \pi^0$	$> 4 \times 10^5$	90%
τ_{86} $\bar{p} \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^0$	$> 5 \times 10^4$	90%
τ_{87} $\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- \eta$	$> 2 \times 10^4$	90%
τ_{88} $\bar{p} \rightarrow \mu^- \eta$	$> 8 \times 10^3$	90%
τ_{89} $\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- K_S^0$	> 900	90%
τ_{90} $\bar{p} \rightarrow \mu^- K_S^0$	$> 4 \times 10^3$	90%
τ_{91} $\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- K_L^0$	$> 9 \times 10^3$	90%
τ_{92} $\bar{p} \rightarrow \mu^- K_L^0$	$> 7 \times 10^3$	90%
τ_{93} $\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- \gamma \gamma$	$> 2 \times 10^4$	90%
τ_{94} $\bar{p} \rightarrow \mu^- \gamma \gamma$	$> 2 \times 10^4$	90%
τ_{95} $\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- \omega$	> 200	90%

 p PARTIAL MEAN LIVES

The "partial mean life" limits tabulated here are the limits on τ/B_i , where τ is the total mean life for the proton and B_i is the branching fraction for the mode in question.

Decaying particle: p = proton, n = bound neutron. The same event may appear under more than one partial decay mode. Background estimates may be accurate to a factor of two.

Antilepton + meson **$\tau(N \rightarrow e^+ \pi)$**

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>24000	p	90	0	0.59	¹ TAKENAKA	20 SKAM
> 5300	n	90	0	0.41	ABE	17D SKAM
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>16000	p	90	0	0.61	ABE	17 SKAM
> 2000	n	90	0	0.27	NISHINO	12 SKAM
> 8200	p	90	0	0.3	NISHINO	09 SKAM
> 540	p	90	0	0.2	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 158	n	90	3	5	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 1600	p	90	0	0.1	SHIOZAWA	98 SKAM
> 70	p	90	0	0.5	BERGER	91 FREJ

NODE=S016;CLUMP=I

NODE=S016

DESIG=62;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=63;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=64;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=65;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=193;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=66;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=67;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=68;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=69;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=70;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=192;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=199;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=71;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=72;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=101;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=100;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=S016;CLUMP=M
 NODE=S016

DESIG=81;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=87;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=82;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=88;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=83;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=89;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=84;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=90;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=85;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=91;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=94;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=92;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=96;OUR LIM;→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=S016230

NODE=S016230

NODE=S016305

NODE=S016T06
 NODE=S016T06

OCCUR=2

> 70	<i>n</i>	90	0	≤ 0.1	BERGER	91	FREJ	OCCUR=2
> 550	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.7	² BECKER-SZ...	90	IMB3	
> 260	<i>p</i>	90	0	<0.04	HIRATA	89C	KAMI	
> 130	<i>n</i>	90	0	<0.2	HIRATA	89C	KAMI	OCCUR=2
> 310	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.6	SEIDEL	88	IMB	
> 100	<i>n</i>	90	0	1.6	SEIDEL	88	IMB	OCCUR=2
> 1.3	<i>n</i>	90	0		BARTELT	87	SOUD	
> 1.3	<i>p</i>	90	0		BARTELT	87	SOUD	OCCUR=2
> 250	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.3	HAINES	86	IMB	
> 31	<i>n</i>	90	8	9	HAINES	86	IMB	OCCUR=2
> 64	<i>p</i>	90	0	<0.4	ARISAKA	85	KAMI	
> 26	<i>n</i>	90	0	<0.7	ARISAKA	85	KAMI	OCCUR=2
> 82	<i>p</i> (free)	90	0	0.2	BLEWITT	85	IMB	
> 250	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.2	BLEWITT	85	IMB	OCCUR=2
> 25	<i>n</i>	90	4	4	PARK	85	IMB	OCCUR=2
> 15	<i>p, n</i>	90	0		BATTISTONI	84	NUSX	
> 0.5	<i>p</i>	90	1	0.3	³ BARTELT	83	SOUD	
> 0.5	<i>n</i>	90	1	0.3	³ BARTELT	83	SOUD	OCCUR=2
> 5.8	<i>p</i>	90	2		⁴ KRISHNA...	82	KOLR	
> 5.8	<i>n</i>	90	2		⁴ KRISHNA...	82	KOLR	OCCUR=2
> 0.1	<i>n</i>	90			⁵ GURR	67	CNTR	

¹ TAKENAKA 20 includes data of ABE 17, and thus supersedes ABE 17.

² This BECKER-SZENDY 90 result includes data from SEIDEL 88.

³ Limit based on zero events.

⁴ We have calculated 90% CL limit from 1 confined event.

⁵ We have converted half-life to 90% CL mean life.

NODE=S016T06;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=S016T06;LINKAGE=L
 NODE=S016T06;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=S016T06;LINKAGE=K
 NODE=S016T06;LINKAGE=G

$\tau(N \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi)$

T2

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>16000	<i>p</i>	90	1	0.94	¹ TAKENAKA	20 SKAM
> 3500	<i>n</i>	90	1	0.77	ABE	17D SKAM

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 7700	<i>p</i>	90	2	0.87	ABE	17	SKAM	
> 1000	<i>n</i>	90	1	0.43	NISHINO	12	SKAM	
> 6600	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.3	NISHINO	09	SKAM	
> 473	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.6	MCGREW	99	IMB3	
> 90	<i>n</i>	90	1	1.9	MCGREW	99	IMB3	OCCUR=2
> 81	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.2	BERGER	91	FREJ	
> 35	<i>n</i>	90	1	1.0	BERGER	91	FREJ	OCCUR=2
> 230	<i>p</i>	90	0	<0.07	HIRATA	89C	KAMI	
> 100	<i>n</i>	90	0	<0.2	HIRATA	89C	KAMI	OCCUR=2
> 270	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.5	SEIDEL	88	IMB	
> 63	<i>n</i>	90	0	0.5	SEIDEL	88	IMB	OCCUR=2
> 76	<i>p</i>	90	2	1	HAINES	86	IMB	
> 23	<i>n</i>	90	8	7	HAINES	86	IMB	OCCUR=2
> 46	<i>p</i>	90	0	<0.7	ARISAKA	85	KAMI	
> 20	<i>n</i>	90	0	<0.4	ARISAKA	85	KAMI	OCCUR=2
> 59	<i>p</i> (free)	90	0	0.2	BLEWITT	85	IMB	
> 100	<i>p</i>	90	1	0.4	BLEWITT	85	IMB	OCCUR=2
> 38	<i>n</i>	90	1	4	PARK	85	IMB	OCCUR=2
> 10	<i>p, n</i>	90	0		BATTISTONI	84	NUSX	
> 1.3	<i>p, n</i>	90	0		ALEKSEEV	81	BAKS	

¹ TAKENAKA 20 includes the data of ABE 17 and thus supersedes ABE 17.

NODE=S016T07;LINKAGE=A

$\tau(N \rightarrow \nu \pi)$

T3

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
> 390	<i>p</i>	90	52.8		ABE	14E SKAM
>1100	<i>n</i>	90	19.1		ABE	14E SKAM

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 16	<i>p</i>	90	6	6.7	WALL	00B	SOU2	
> 39	<i>n</i>	90	4	3.8	WALL	00B	SOU2	OCCUR=2
> 10	<i>p</i>	90	15	20.3	MCGREW	99	IMB3	
> 112	<i>n</i>	90	6	6.6	MCGREW	99	IMB3	OCCUR=2
> 13	<i>n</i>	90	1	1.2	BERGER	89	FREJ	
> 10	<i>p</i>	90	11	14	BERGER	89	FREJ	OCCUR=2
> 25	<i>p</i>	90	32	32.8	¹ HIRATA	89C	KAMI	

NODE=S016T10
 NODE=S016T10

> 100	<i>n</i>	90	1 3	HIRATA	89c	KAMI
> 6	<i>n</i>	90	73 60	HAINES	86	IMB
> 2	<i>p</i>	90	16 13	KAJITA	86	KAMI
> 40	<i>n</i>	90	0 1	KAJITA	86	KAMI
> 7	<i>n</i>	90	28 19	PARK	85	IMB
> 7	<i>n</i>	90	0	BATTISTONI	84	NUSX
> 2	<i>p</i>	90	≤ 3	BATTISTONI	84	NUSX
> 5.8	<i>p</i>	90	1	² KRISHNA...	82	KOLR
> 0.3	<i>p</i>	90	2	³ CHERRY	81	HOME
> 0.1	<i>p</i>	90		⁴ GURR	67	CNTR

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=S016T10;LINKAGE=HI

¹ In estimating the background, this HIRATA 89C limit (as opposed to the later limits of WALL 00B and MCGREW 99) does not take into account present understanding that the flux of ν_μ originating in the upper atmosphere is depleted. Doing so would reduce the background and thus also would reduce the limit here.

² We have calculated 90% CL limit from 1 confined event.

³ We have converted 2 possible events to 90% CL limit.

⁴ We have converted half-life to 90% CL mean life.

NODE=S016T10;LINKAGE=K

NODE=S016T10;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S016T10;LINKAGE=G

 $\tau(p \rightarrow e^+ \eta)$

74

LIMIT (10 ³⁰ years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>14000	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.42	¹ TANIUCHI	24 SKAM
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>10000	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.78	ABE	17D SKAM
> 4200	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.44	NISHINO	12 SKAM
> 81	<i>p</i>	90	1	1.7	WALL	00B SOU2
> 313	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.2	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 44	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.1	BERGER	91 FREJ
> 140	<i>p</i>	90	0	<0.04	HIRATA	89c KAMI
> 100	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.6	SEIDEL	88 IMB
> 200	<i>p</i>	90	5	3.3	HAINES	86 IMB
> 64	<i>p</i>	90	0	<0.8	ARISAKA	85 KAMI
> 64	<i>p</i> (free)	90	5	6.5	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 200	<i>p</i>	90	5	4.7	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 1.2	<i>p</i>	90	2		² CHERRY	81 HOME

NODE=S016T22

NODE=S016T22

¹ TANIUCHI 24 includes the ABE 17D dataset and thus supersedes ABE 17D entries.

² We have converted 2 possible events to 90% CL limit.

NODE=S016T22;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S016T22;LINKAGE=C

 $\tau(p \rightarrow \mu^+ \eta)$

75

LIMIT (10 ³⁰ years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>7300	<i>p</i>	90	2	0.93	¹ TANIUCHI	24 SKAM
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>4700	<i>p</i>	90	2	0.85	ABE	17D SKAM
>1300	<i>p</i>	90	2	0.49	NISHINO	12 SKAM
> 89	<i>p</i>	90	0	1.6	WALL	00B SOU2
> 126	<i>p</i>	90	3	2.8	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 26	<i>p</i>	90	1	0.8	BERGER	91 FREJ
> 69	<i>p</i>	90	1	<0.08	HIRATA	89c KAMI
> 1.3	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW
> 34	<i>p</i>	90	1	1.5	SEIDEL	88 IMB
> 46	<i>p</i>	90	7	6	HAINES	86 IMB
> 26	<i>p</i>	90	1	<0.8	ARISAKA	85 KAMI
> 17	<i>p</i> (free)	90	6	6	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 46	<i>p</i>	90	7	8	BLEWITT	85 IMB

NODE=S016T23

NODE=S016T23

¹ TANIUCHI 24 includes the ABE 17D dataset and thus supersedes ABE 17D entries.

OCCUR=2

NODE=S016T23;LINKAGE=A

 $\tau(n \rightarrow \nu \eta)$

76

LIMIT (10 ³⁰ years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>158	<i>n</i>	90	0	1.2	MCGREW	99 IMB3
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 71	<i>n</i>	90	2	3.7	WALL	00B SOU2
> 29	<i>n</i>	90	0	0.9	BERGER	89 FREJ
> 54	<i>n</i>	90	2	0.9	HIRATA	89c KAMI
> 16	<i>n</i>	90	3	2.1	SEIDEL	88 IMB
> 25	<i>n</i>	90	7	6	HAINES	86 IMB
> 30	<i>n</i>	90	0	0.4	KAJITA	86 KAMI
> 18	<i>n</i>	90	4	3	PARK	85 IMB
> 0.6	<i>n</i>	90	2		¹ CHERRY	81 HOME

NODE=S016T24

NODE=S016T24

¹ We have converted 2 possible events to 90% CL limit.

NODE=S016T24;LINKAGE=C

$\tau(N \rightarrow e^+ \rho)$

77

NODE=S016T14
NODE=S016T14

LIMIT (10 ³⁰ years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>720	p	90	2	0.64	ABE	17D SKAM
>217	n	90	4	4.8	MCGREW	99 IMB3
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 30	n	90	4	0.87	ABE	17D SKAM
>710	p	90	0	0.35	NISHINO	12 SKAM
> 70	n	90	1	0.38	NISHINO	12 SKAM
> 29	p	90	0	2.2	BERGER	91 FREJ
> 41	n	90	0	1.4	BERGER	91 FREJ
> 75	p	90	2	2.7	HIRATA	89C KAMI
> 58	n	90	0	1.9	HIRATA	89C KAMI
> 38	n	90	2	4.1	SEIDEL	88 IMB
> 1.2	p	90	0		BARTELT	87 SOUD
> 1.5	n	90	0		BARTELT	87 SOUD
> 17	p	90	7	7	HAINES	86 IMB
> 14	n	90	9	4	HAINES	86 IMB
> 12	p	90	0	<1.2	ARISAKA	85 KAMI
> 6	n	90	2	<1	ARISAKA	85 KAMI
> 6.7	p (free)	90	6	6	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 17	p	90	7	7	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 12	n	90	4	2	PARK	85 IMB
> 0.6	n	90	1	0.3	¹ BARTELT	83 SOUD
> 0.5	p	90	1	0.3	¹ BARTELT	83 SOUD
> 9.8	p	90	1		² KRISHNA...	82 KOLR
> 0.8	p	90	2		³ CHERRY	81 HOME

¹ Limit based on zero events.

² We have calculated 90% CL limit from 0 confined events.

³ We have converted 2 possible events to 90% CL limit.

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=S016T14;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S016T14;LINKAGE=K

NODE=S016T14;LINKAGE=C

$\tau(N \rightarrow \mu^+ \rho)$

78

NODE=S016T15
NODE=S016T15

LIMIT (10 ³⁰ years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>570	p	90	1	1.30	ABE	17D SKAM
>228	n	90	3	9.5	MCGREW	99 IMB3
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 60	n	90	1	0.96	ABE	17D SKAM
>160	p	90	1	0.42	NISHINO	12 SKAM
> 36	n	90	0	0.29	NISHINO	12 SKAM
> 12	p	90	0	0.5	BERGER	91 FREJ
> 22	n	90	0	1.1	BERGER	91 FREJ
>110	p	90	0	1.7	HIRATA	89C KAMI
> 23	n	90	1	1.8	HIRATA	89C KAMI
> 4.3	p	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW
> 30	p	90	0	0.5	SEIDEL	88 IMB
> 11	n	90	1	1.1	SEIDEL	88 IMB
> 16	p	90	4	4.5	HAINES	86 IMB
> 7	n	90	6	5	HAINES	86 IMB
> 12	p	90	0	<0.7	ARISAKA	85 KAMI
> 5	n	90	1	<1.2	ARISAKA	85 KAMI
> 5.5	p (free)	90	4	5	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 16	p	90	4	5	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 9	n	90	1	2	PARK	85 IMB

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

$\tau(N \rightarrow \nu \rho)$

79

NODE=S016T25
NODE=S016T25

LIMIT (10 ³⁰ years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>162	p	90	18	21.7	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 19	n	90	0	0.5	SEIDEL	88 IMB

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 9	<i>n</i>	90	4	2.4	BERGER	89	FREJ	
> 24	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.9	BERGER	89	FREJ	OCCUR=2
> 27	<i>p</i>	90	5	1.5	HIRATA	89C	KAMI	
> 13	<i>n</i>	90	4	3.6	HIRATA	89C	KAMI	OCCUR=2
> 13	<i>p</i>	90	1	1.1	SEIDEL	88	IMB	OCCUR=2
> 8	<i>p</i>	90	6	5	HAINES	86	IMB	
> 2	<i>n</i>	90	15	10	HAINES	86	IMB	OCCUR=2
> 11	<i>p</i>	90	2	1	KAJITA	86	KAMI	
> 4	<i>n</i>	90	2	2	KAJITA	86	KAMI	OCCUR=2
> 4.1	<i>p</i> (free)	90	6	7	BLEWITT	85	IMB	
> 8.4	<i>p</i>	90	6	5	BLEWITT	85	IMB	OCCUR=2
> 2	<i>n</i>	90	7	3	PARK	85	IMB	OCCUR=2
> 0.9	<i>p</i>	90	2		¹ CHERRY	81	HOME	
> 0.6	<i>n</i>	90	2		¹ CHERRY	81	HOME	OCCUR=2

¹ We have converted 2 possible events to 90% CL limit.

NODE=S016T25;LINKAGE=C

$\tau(p \rightarrow e^+ \omega)$

710

NODE=S016T12
NODE=S016T12

<u>LIMIT</u> (10 ³⁰ years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>1600	<i>p</i>	90	1	1.35	ABE	17D SKAM

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 320	<i>p</i>	90	1	0.53	NISHINO	12	SKAM	
> 107	<i>p</i>	90	7	10.8	MCGREW	99	IMB3	
> 17	<i>p</i>	90	0	1.1	BERGER	91	FREJ	
> 45	<i>p</i>	90	2	1.45	HIRATA	89C	KAMI	
> 26	<i>p</i>	90	1	1.0	SEIDEL	88	IMB	
> 1.5	<i>p</i>	90	0		BARTELT	87	SOUD	
> 37	<i>p</i>	90	6	5.3	HAINES	86	IMB	
> 25	<i>p</i>	90	1	<1.4	ARISAKA	85	KAMI	
> 12	<i>p</i> (free)	90	6	7.5	BLEWITT	85	IMB	
> 37	<i>p</i>	90	6	5.7	BLEWITT	85	IMB	OCCUR=2
> 0.6	<i>p</i>	90	1	0.3	¹ BARTELT	83	SOUD	
> 9.8	<i>p</i>	90	1		² KRISHNA...	82	KOLR	
> 2.8	<i>p</i>	90	2		³ CHERRY	81	HOME	

¹ Limit based on zero events.

² We have calculated 90% CL limit from 0 confined events.

³ We have converted 2 possible events to 90% CL limit.

NODE=S016T12;LINKAGE=B
NODE=S016T12;LINKAGE=K
NODE=S016T12;LINKAGE=C

$\tau(p \rightarrow \mu^+ \omega)$

711

NODE=S016T13
NODE=S016T13

<u>LIMIT</u> (10 ³⁰ years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>2800	<i>p</i>	90	0	1.09	ABE	17D SKAM

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 780	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.48	NISHINO	12	SKAM	
> 117	<i>p</i>	90	11	12.1	MCGREW	99	IMB3	
> 11	<i>p</i>	90	0	1.0	BERGER	91	FREJ	
> 57	<i>p</i>	90	2	1.9	HIRATA	89C	KAMI	
> 4.4	<i>p</i>	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89	HPW	
> 10	<i>p</i>	90	2	1.3	SEIDEL	88	IMB	
> 23	<i>p</i>	90	2	1	HAINES	86	IMB	
> 6.5	<i>p</i> (free)	90	9	8.7	BLEWITT	85	IMB	
> 23	<i>p</i>	90	8	7	BLEWITT	85	IMB	OCCUR=2

$\tau(n \rightarrow \nu \omega)$

712

NODE=S016T26
NODE=S016T26

<u>LIMIT</u> (10 ³⁰ years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>108	<i>n</i>	90	12	22.5	MCGREW	99 IMB3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 17	<i>n</i>	90	1	0.7	BERGER	89	FREJ	
> 43	<i>n</i>	90	3	2.7	HIRATA	89C	KAMI	
> 6	<i>n</i>	90	2	1.3	SEIDEL	88	IMB	
> 12	<i>n</i>	90	6	6	HAINES	86	IMB	
> 18	<i>n</i>	90	2	2	KAJITA	86	KAMI	
> 16	<i>n</i>	90	1	2	PARK	85	IMB	
> 2.0	<i>n</i>	90	2		¹ CHERRY	81	HOME	

¹ We have converted 2 possible events to 90% CL limit.

NODE=S016T26;LINKAGE=C

$\tau(N \rightarrow e^+ K)$ **T13**NODE=S016T08
NODE=S016T08

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>1000	p	90	6	4.7	KOBAYASHI	05 SKAM
> 17	n	90	35	29.4	MCGREW	99 IMB3
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 85	p	90	3	4.9	WALL	00 SOU2
> 31	p	90	23	25.2	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 60	p	90	0		BERGER	91 FREJ
> 150	p	90	0	<0.27	HIRATA	89c KAMI
> 70	p	90	0	1.8	SEIDEL	88 IMB
> 77	p	90	5	4.5	HAINES	86 IMB
> 38	p	90	0	<0.8	ARISAKA	85 KAMI
> 24	p (free)	90	7	8.5	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 77	p	90	5	4	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 1.3	p	90	0		ALEKSEEV	81 BAKS
> 1.3	n	90	0		ALEKSEEV	81 BAKS

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

 $\tau(p \rightarrow e^+ K_S^0)$ **T14**NODE=S016T42
NODE=S016T42

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>120	p	90	1	1.3	WALL	00 SOU2
> 76	p	90	0	0.5	BERGER	91 FREJ

 $\tau(p \rightarrow e^+ K_L^0)$ **T15**NODE=S016T43
NODE=S016T43

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>51	p	90	2	3.5	WALL	00 SOU2
>44	p	90	0	≤ 0.1	BERGER	91 FREJ

 $\tau(N \rightarrow \mu^+ K)$ **T16**NODE=S016T09
NODE=S016T09

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>4500	p	90	1	3.08	¹ MATSUMOTO	22 SKAM
> 26	n	90	20	28.4	MCGREW	99 IMB3
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>3600	p	90	14	16.3	² MATSUMOTO	22 SKAM
>1600	p	90	13	13.2	REGIS	12 SKAM
>1300	p	90	3	3.9	KOBAYASHI	05 SKAM
> 120	p	90	0	<1.2	WALL	00 SOU2
> 120	p	90	4	7.2	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 54	p	90	0		BERGER	91 FREJ
> 120	p	90	1	0.4	HIRATA	89c KAMI
> 3.0	p	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW
> 19	p	90	3	2.5	SEIDEL	88 IMB
> 1.5	p	90	0		³ BARTELT	87 SOUD
> 1.1	n	90	0		BARTELT	87 SOUD
> 40	p	90	7	6	HAINES	86 IMB
> 19	p	90	1	<1.1	ARISAKA	85 KAMI
> 6.7	p (free)	90	11	13	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 40	p	90	7	8	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 6	p	90	1		BATTISTONI	84 NUSX
> 0.6	p	90	0		⁴ BARTELT	83 SOUD
> 0.4	n	90	0		⁴ BARTELT	83 SOUD
> 5.8	p	90	2		⁵ KRISHNA...	82 KOLR
> 2.0	p	90	0		CHERRY	81 HOME
> 0.2	n	90			⁶ GURR	67 CNTR

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=S016T09;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S016T09;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S016T09;LINKAGE=S
NODE=S016T09;LINKAGE=B
NODE=S016T09;LINKAGE=K
NODE=S016T09;LINKAGE=G

¹ MATSUMOTO 22 limit > 4500×10^{30} is derived from the latest dataset SKA IV phase (from 2008 to 2018) with 0.20 Mton-years of exposure.

² MATSUMOTO 22 limit > 3600×10^{30} is derived from a combination of all datasets SKA I, II, III and IV phase (from 1996 to 2018) with a total of 0.37 Mton-years of exposure. Note, the limit from only SKA IV is stronger, because there were some events observed in SKA II.

³ BARTELT 87 limit applies to $p \rightarrow \mu^+ K_S^0$.

⁴ Limit based on zero events.

⁵ We have calculated 90% CL limit from 1 confined event.

⁶ We have converted half-life to 90% CL mean life.

$\tau(p \rightarrow \mu^+ K_S^0)$ **717**NODE=S016T44
NODE=S016T44

LIMIT (10 ³⁰ years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-----------------------------------	----------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>150	p	90	0	<0.8	WALL	00 SOU2
> 64	p	90	0	1.2	BERGER	91 FREJ

 $\tau(p \rightarrow \mu^+ K_L^0)$ **718**NODE=S016T45
NODE=S016T45

LIMIT (10 ³⁰ years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-----------------------------------	----------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>83	p	90	0	0.4	WALL	00 SOU2
>44	p	90	0	≤ 0.1	BERGER	91 FREJ

 $\tau(N \rightarrow \nu K)$ **719**NODE=S016T11
NODE=S016T11

LIMIT (10 ³⁰ years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-----------------------------------	----------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------

>5900	p	90	0	1.0	ABE	14G SKAM
> 86	n	90	0	2.4	HIRATA	89C KAMI

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 540	p	90	0	0.9	ASAKURA	15 KLND
>2300	p	90	0	1.3	KOBAYASHI	05 SKAM
> 26	n	90	16	9.1	WALL	00 SOU2
> 670	p	90			HAYATO	99 SKAM
> 151	p	90	15	21.4	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 30	n	90	34	34.1	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 43	p	90	1	1.54	1 ALLISON	98 SOU2
> 15	n	90	1	1.8	BERGER	89 FREJ
> 15	p	90	1	1.8	BERGER	89 FREJ
> 100	p	90	9	7.3	HIRATA	89C KAMI
> 0.28	p	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW
> 0.3	p	90	0		BARTELT	87 SOUD
> 0.75	n	90	0		2 BARTELT	87 SOUD
> 10	p	90	6	5	HAINES	86 IMB
> 15	n	90	3	5	HAINES	86 IMB
> 28	p	90	3	3	KAJITA	86 KAMI
> 32	n	90	0	1.4	KAJITA	86 KAMI
> 1.8	p (free)	90	6	11	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 9.6	p	90	6	5	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 10	n	90	2	2	PARK	85 IMB
> 5	n	90	0		BATTISTONI	84 NUSX
> 2	p	90	0		BATTISTONI	84 NUSX
> 0.3	n	90	0		3 BARTELT	83 SOUD
> 0.1	p	90	0		3 BARTELT	83 SOUD
> 5.8	p	90	1		4 KRISHNA...	82 KOLR
> 0.3	n	90	2		5 CHERRY	81 HOME

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

¹ This ALLISON 98 limit is with no background subtraction; with subtraction the limit becomes $> 46 \times 10^{30}$ years.² BARTELT 87 limit applies to $n \rightarrow \nu K_S^0$.³ Limit based on zero events.⁴ We have calculated 90% CL limit from 1 confined event.⁵ We have converted 2 possible events to 90% CL limit.

NODE=S016T11;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S016T11;LINKAGE=S
NODE=S016T11;LINKAGE=B
NODE=S016T11;LINKAGE=K
NODE=S016T11;LINKAGE=C $\tau(n \rightarrow \nu K_S^0)$ **720**NODE=S016T75
NODE=S016T75

LIMIT (10 ³⁰ years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-----------------------------------	----------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------

>260	n	90	34	30	1 KOBAYASHI	05 SKAM
------	-----	----	----	----	-------------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 51	n	90	16	9.1	WALL	00 SOU2
------	-----	----	----	-----	------	---------

¹ We have doubled the $n \rightarrow \nu K^0$ limit given in KOBAYASHI 05 to obtain this $n \rightarrow \nu K_S^0$ limit.

NODE=S016T75;LINKAGE=KO

 $\tau(p \rightarrow e^+ K^*(892)^0)$ **721**NODE=S016T34
NODE=S016T34

LIMIT (10 ³⁰ years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-----------------------------------	----------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------

>84	p	90	38	52.0	MCGREW	99 IMB3
-----	-----	----	----	------	--------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>10	p	90	0	0.8	BERGER	91 FREJ
>52	p	90	2	1.55	HIRATA	89C KAMI
>10	p	90	1	<1	ARISAKA	85 KAMI

$\tau(N \rightarrow \nu K^*(892))$ τ_{22} NODE=S016T18
NODE=S016T18

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>51	p	90	7	9.1	MCGREW	99 IMB3
>78	n	90	40	50	MCGREW	99 IMB3
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>22	n	90	0	2.1	BERGER	89 FREJ
>17	p	90	0	2.4	BERGER	89 FREJ
>20	p	90	5	2.1	HIRATA	89c KAMI
>21	n	90	4	2.4	HIRATA	89c KAMI
>10	p	90	7	6	HAINES	86 IMB
> 5	n	90	8	7	HAINES	86 IMB
> 8	p	90	3	2	KAJITA	86 KAMI
> 6	n	90	2	1.6	KAJITA	86 KAMI
> 5.8	p (free)	90	10	16	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 9.6	p	90	7	6	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 7	n	90	1	4	PARK	85 IMB
> 2.1	p	90	1		¹ BATTISTONI	82 NUSX

¹ We have converted 1 possible event to 90% CL limit.

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=S016T18;LINKAGE=B

Antilepton + mesons

NODE=S016310

 $\tau(p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$ τ_{23} NODE=S016T48
NODE=S016T48

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>82	p	90	16	23.1	MCGREW	99 IMB3
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>21	p	90	0	2.2	BERGER	91 FREJ

 $\tau(p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0 \pi^0)$ τ_{24} NODE=S016T49
NODE=S016T49

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>147	p	90	2	0.8	MCGREW	99 IMB3
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 38	p	90	1	0.5	BERGER	91 FREJ

 $\tau(n \rightarrow e^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ τ_{25} NODE=S016T50
NODE=S016T50

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>52	n	90	38	34.2	MCGREW	99 IMB3
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>32	n	90	1	0.8	BERGER	91 FREJ

 $\tau(p \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$ τ_{26} NODE=S016T38
NODE=S016T38

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>133	p	90	25	38.0	MCGREW	99 IMB3
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 17	p	90	1	2.6	BERGER	91 FREJ
> 3.3	p	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW

 $\tau(p \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^0 \pi^0)$ τ_{27} NODE=S016T51
NODE=S016T51

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>101	p	90	3	1.6	MCGREW	99 IMB3
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 33	p	90	1	0.9	BERGER	91 FREJ

 $\tau(n \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ τ_{28} NODE=S016T52
NODE=S016T52

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>74	n	90	17	20.8	MCGREW	99 IMB3
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>33	n	90	0	1.1	BERGER	91 FREJ

$\tau(n \rightarrow e^+ K^0 \pi^-)$ **T29**NODE=S016T53
NODE=S016T53

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>18	n	90	1	0.2	BERGER	91 FREJ

———— Lepton + meson ————

NODE=S016315

 $\tau(n \rightarrow e^- \pi^+)$ **T30**NODE=S016T29
NODE=S016T29

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>65	n	90	0	1.6	SEIDEL	88 IMB

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>55	n	90	0	1.09	BERGER	91B FREJ
>16	n	90	9	7	HAINES	86 IMB
>25	n	90	2	4	PARK	85 IMB

 $\tau(n \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^+)$ **T31**NODE=S016T30
NODE=S016T30

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>49	n	90	0	0.5	SEIDEL	88 IMB

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>33	n	90	0	1.40	BERGER	91B FREJ
> 2.7	n	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW
>25	n	90	7	6	HAINES	86 IMB
>27	n	90	2	3	PARK	85 IMB

 $\tau(n \rightarrow e^- \rho^+)$ **T32**NODE=S016T31
NODE=S016T31

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>62	n	90	2	4.1	SEIDEL	88 IMB

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>12	n	90	13	6	HAINES	86 IMB
>12	n	90	5	3	PARK	85 IMB

 $\tau(n \rightarrow \mu^- \rho^+)$ **T33**NODE=S016T32
NODE=S016T32

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>7	n	90	1	1.1	SEIDEL	88 IMB

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>2.6	n	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW
>9	n	90	7	5	HAINES	86 IMB
>9	n	90	2	2	PARK	85 IMB

OCCUR=2

 $\tau(n \rightarrow e^- K^+)$ **T34**NODE=S016T40
NODE=S016T40

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>32	n	90	3	2.96	BERGER	91B FREJ

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 0.23	n	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW
--------	---	----	---	-----	----------	--------

 $\tau(n \rightarrow \mu^- K^+)$ **T35**NODE=S016T41
NODE=S016T41

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>57	n	90	0	2.18	BERGER	91B FREJ

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 4.7	n	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW
-------	---	----	---	-----	----------	--------

———— Lepton + mesons ————

NODE=S016320

 $\tau(p \rightarrow e^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$ **T36**NODE=S016T37
NODE=S016T37

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>30	p	90	1	2.50	BERGER	91B FREJ

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 2.0	p	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW
-------	---	----	---	-----	----------	--------

$\tau(n \rightarrow e^- \pi^+ \pi^0)$ **T37**NODE=S016T57
NODE=S016T57

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>29	n	90	1	0.78	BERGER	91B FREJ

 $\tau(p \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$ **T38**NODE=S016T39
NODE=S016T39

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>17	p	90	1	1.72	BERGER	91B FREJ

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 7.8	p	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW
-------	---	----	---	-----	----------	--------

 $\tau(n \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^+ \pi^0)$ **T39**NODE=S016T58
NODE=S016T58

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>34	n	90	0	0.78	BERGER	91B FREJ

 $\tau(p \rightarrow e^- \pi^+ K^+)$ **T40**NODE=S016T59
NODE=S016T59

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>75	p	90	81	127.2	MCGREW	99 IMB3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>20	p	90	3	2.50	BERGER	91B FREJ
-----	---	----	---	------	--------	----------

 $\tau(p \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^+ K^+)$ **T41**NODE=S016T60
NODE=S016T60

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>245	p	90	3	4.0	MCGREW	99 IMB3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 5	p	90	2	0.78	BERGER	91B FREJ
-----	---	----	---	------	--------	----------

Antilepton + photon(s)

NODE=S016325

 $\tau(p \rightarrow e^+ \gamma)$ **T42**NODE=S016T03
NODE=S016T03

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>670	p	90	0	0.1	MCGREW	99 IMB3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>133	p	90	0	0.3	BERGER	91 FREJ
>460	p	90	0	0.6	SEIDEL	88 IMB
>360	p	90	0	0.3	HAINES	86 IMB
> 87	p (free)	90	0	0.2	BLEWITT	85 IMB
>360	p	90	0	0.2	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 0.1	p	90			¹ GURR	67 CNTR

OCCUR=2

¹ We have converted half-life to 90% CL mean life.

NODE=S016T03;LINKAGE=C

 $\tau(p \rightarrow \mu^+ \gamma)$ **T43**NODE=S016T04
NODE=S016T04

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>478	p	90	0	0.1	MCGREW	99 IMB3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>155	p	90	0	0.1	BERGER	91 FREJ
>380	p	90	0	0.5	SEIDEL	88 IMB
> 97	p	90	3	2	HAINES	86 IMB
> 61	p (free)	90	0	0.2	BLEWITT	85 IMB
>280	p	90	0	0.6	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 0.3	p	90			¹ GURR	67 CNTR

OCCUR=2

¹ We have converted half-life to 90% CL mean life.

NODE=S016T04;LINKAGE=C

 $\tau(n \rightarrow \nu \gamma)$ **T44**NODE=S016T05
NODE=S016T05

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>550	90				TAKHISTOV	15 SKAM

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 28	n	90	163	144.7	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 24	n	90	10	6.86	BERGER	91B FREJ
> 9	n	90	73	60	HAINES	86 IMB
> 11	n	90	28	19	PARK	85 IMB

$\tau(p \rightarrow e^+ \gamma \gamma)$ **745**NODE=S016T46
NODE=S016T46

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>100	p	90	1	0.8	BERGER	91 FREJ

 $\tau(n \rightarrow \nu \gamma \gamma)$ **746**NODE=S016T74
NODE=S016T74

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>219	n	90	5	7.5	MCGREW	99 IMB3

Antilepton + single massless

NODE=S016345

 $\tau(p \rightarrow e^+ X)$ **747**NODE=S016T00
NODE=S016T00

<u>VALUE</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>790	90	TAKHISTOV 15	SKAM

 $\tau(p \rightarrow \mu^+ X)$ **748**NODE=S016T61
NODE=S016T61

<u>VALUE</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>410	90	TAKHISTOV 15	SKAM

Three (or more) leptons

NODE=S016330

 $\tau(p \rightarrow e^+ e^+ e^-)$ **749**NODE=S016T16
NODE=S016T16

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>34000	p	90	0	0.58	TANAKA	20 SKAM

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 793	p	90	0	0.5	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 147	p	90	0	0.1	BERGER	91 FREJ
> 510	p	90	0	0.3	HAINES	86 IMB
> 89	p (free)	90	0	0.5	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 510	p	90	0	0.7	BLEWITT	85 IMB

OCCUR=2

 $\tau(p \rightarrow e^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)$ **750**NODE=S016T35
NODE=S016T35

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>9200	p	90	1	0.27	TANAKA	20 SKAM

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 359	p	90	1	0.9	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 81	p	90	0	0.16	BERGER	91 FREJ
> 5.0	p	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW

 $\tau(p \rightarrow e^+ \nu \nu)$ **751**NODE=S016T54
NODE=S016T54

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>170	p	90			¹ TAKHISTOV	14 SKAM

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 17	p	90	152	153.7	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 11	p	90	11	6.08	BERGER	91B FREJ

¹ Allowed events at 90% CL are 459.

NODE=S016T54;LINKAGE=A

 $\tau(n \rightarrow e^+ e^- \nu)$ **752**NODE=S016T27
NODE=S016T27

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>257	n	90	5	7.5	MCGREW	99 IMB3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 74	n	90	0	< 0.1	BERGER	91B FREJ
> 45	n	90	5	5	HAINES	86 IMB
> 26	n	90	4	3	PARK	85 IMB

 $\tau(n \rightarrow \mu^+ e^- \nu)$ **753**NODE=S016T55
NODE=S016T55

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>PARTICLE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
>83	n	90	25	29.4	MCGREW	99 IMB3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>47	n	90	0	< 0.1	BERGER	91B FREJ
-----	---	----	---	-------	--------	----------

$\tau(n \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \nu)$

754

NODE=S016T28
NODE=S016T28

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>79	n	90	100	145	MCGREW	99 IMB3
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>42	n	90	0	1.4	BERGER	91B FREJ
> 5.1	n	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW
>16	n	90	14	7	HAINES	86 IMB
>19	n	90	4	7	PARK	85 IMB

 $\tau(p \rightarrow \mu^+ e^+ e^-)$

755

NODE=S016T47
NODE=S016T47

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>23000	p	90	0	0.5	TANAKA	20 SKAM
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 529	p	90	0	1.0	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 91	p	90	0	≤ 0.1	BERGER	91 FREJ

 $\tau(p \rightarrow \mu^- e^+ e^+)$

756

NODE=S016T80
NODE=S016T80

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>19000	p	90	0	0.5	TANAKA	20 SKAM

 $\tau(p \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)$

757

NODE=S016T17
NODE=S016T17

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>10000	p	90	1	0.4	TANAKA	20 SKAM
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 675	p	90	0	0.3	MCGREW	99 IMB3
> 119	p	90	0	0.2	BERGER	91 FREJ
> 10.5	p	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW
> 190	p	90	1	0.1	HAINES	86 IMB
> 44	p (free)	90	1	0.7	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 190	p	90	1	0.9	BLEWITT	85 IMB
> 2.1	p	90	1		¹ BATTISTONI	82 NUSX

¹ We have converted 1 possible event to 90% CL limit.

OCCUR=2

NODE=S016T17;LINKAGE=B

 $\tau(p \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu \nu)$

758

NODE=S016T56
NODE=S016T56

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>220	p	90			¹ TAKHISTOV	14 SKAM
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 21	p	90	7	11.23	BERGER	91B FREJ

¹ Allowed events at 90% CL are 286.

NODE=S016T56;LINKAGE=A

 $\tau(p \rightarrow e^- \mu^+ \mu^+)$

759

NODE=S016T36
NODE=S016T36

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>11000	p	90	1	0.27	TANAKA	20 SKAM
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 6.0	p	90	0	0.7	PHILLIPS	89 HPW

 $\tau(n \rightarrow 3\nu)$

760

NODE=S016T21
NODE=S016T21

See also the “to anything” and “disappearance” limits for bound nucleons in the “ p Mean Life” data block just in front of the list of possible p decay modes. Such modes could of course be to three (or five) neutrinos, and the limits are stronger, but we do not repeat them here.

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>0.00049	n	90	2	2	¹ SUZUKI	93B KAMI
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>0.0023	n	90			² GLICENSTEIN	97 KAMI
>0.00003	n	90	11	6.1	³ BERGER	91B FREJ
>0.00012	n	90	7	11.2	³ BERGER	91B FREJ
>0.0005	n	90	0		LEARNED	79 RVUE

¹ The SUZUKI 93B limit applies to any of $\nu_e \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e$, $\nu_\mu \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$, or $\nu_\tau \nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$.² GLICENSTEIN 97 uses Kamioka data and the idea that the disappearance of the neutron’s magnetic moment should produce radiation.³ The first BERGER 91B limit is for $n \rightarrow \nu_e \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e$, the second is for $n \rightarrow \nu_\mu \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$.

NODE=S016T21

OCCUR=2

NODE=S016T21;LINKAGE=B
NODE=S016T21;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S016T21;LINKAGE=A

$\tau(n \rightarrow 5\nu)$ **T61**See the note on $\tau(n \rightarrow 3\nu)$ on the previous data block.

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-----------------------------	----------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.0017	n	90			¹ GLICENSTEIN 97	KAMI
---------	-----	----	--	--	-----------------------------	------

¹ GLICENSTEIN 97 uses Kamioka data and the idea that the disappearance of the neutron's magnetic moment should produce radiation.

NODE=S016T73

NODE=S016T73

NODE=S016T73

NODE=S016T73;LINKAGE=C

Inclusive modes $\tau(N \rightarrow e^+ \text{anything})$ **T62**

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-----------------------------	----------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------

>0.6	p, n	90			¹ LEARNED	79 RVUE
------	--------	----	--	--	----------------------	---------

¹ The electron may be primary or secondary.

NODE=S016335

NODE=S016T01

NODE=S016T01

NODE=S016T01;LINKAGE=D

 $\tau(N \rightarrow \mu^+ \text{anything})$ **T63**

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-----------------------------	----------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------

>12	p, n	90	2		^{1,2} CHERRY	81 HOME
-----	--------	----	---	--	-----------------------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 1.8	p, n	90			² COWSIK	80 CNTR
-------	--------	----	--	--	---------------------	---------

> 6	p, n	90			² LEARNED	79 RVUE
-----	--------	----	--	--	----------------------	---------

¹ We have converted 2 possible events to 90% CL limit.² The muon may be primary or secondary.

NODE=S016T02

NODE=S016T02

NODE=S016T02;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S016T02;LINKAGE=D

 $\tau(N \rightarrow \nu \text{anything})$ **T64**Anything = π, ρ, K , etc.

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-----------------------------	----------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.0002	p, n	90	0		LEARNED	79 RVUE
---------	--------	----	---	--	---------	---------

NODE=S016T20

NODE=S016T20

NODE=S016T20

 $\tau(N \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0 \text{anything})$ **T65**

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-----------------------------	----------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------

>0.6	p, n	90	0		LEARNED	79 RVUE
------	--------	----	---	--	---------	---------

NODE=S016T19

NODE=S016T19

 $\tau(N \rightarrow 2 \text{ bodies}, \nu\text{-free})$ **T66**

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	PARTICLE	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-----------------------------	----------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>1.3	p, n	90	0		ALEKSEEV	81 BAKS
------	--------	----	---	--	----------	---------

NODE=S016T33

NODE=S016T33

 $\Delta B = 2$ dinucleon modes $\tau(pp \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+)$ **T67**

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-----------------------------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------	---------

>72.2	90	2	4.45	GUSTAFSON	15 SKAM	per oxygen nucleus
-------	----	---	------	-----------	---------	--------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 0.7	90	4	2.34	BERGER	91B FREJ	per iron nucleus
-------	----	---	------	--------	----------	------------------

NODE=S016340

NODE=S016T62

NODE=S016T62

 $\tau(pn \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0)$ **T68**

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-----------------------------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------	---------

>170	90			GUSTAFSON	15 SKAM	per oxygen nucleus
------	----	--	--	-----------	---------	--------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 2.0	90	0	0.31	BERGER	91B FREJ	per iron nucleus
-------	----	---	------	--------	----------	------------------

NODE=S016T63

NODE=S016T63

 $\tau(nn \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$ **T69**

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	CL%	EVTS	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-----------------------------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------	---------

>0.7	90	4	2.18	BERGER	91B FREJ	τ per iron nucleus
------	----	---	------	--------	----------	-------------------------

NODE=S016T64

NODE=S016T64

$\tau(nn \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0)$ **T70**NODE=S016T65
NODE=S016T65

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
>404	90			GUSTAFSON	15 SKAM	per oxygen nucleus
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 3.4	90	0	0.78	BERGER	91B FREJ	per iron nucleus

 $\tau(pp \rightarrow K^+ K^+)$ **T71**NODE=S016T79
NODE=S016T79

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
>170	90	0	0.28	LITOS	14 SKAM	τ per oxygen nucleus

 $\tau(pp \rightarrow e^+ e^+)$ **T72**NODE=S016T66
NODE=S016T66

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
>5.8	90	0	<0.1	BERGER	91B FREJ	τ per iron nucleus

 $\tau(pp \rightarrow e^+ \mu^+)$ **T73**NODE=S016T67
NODE=S016T67

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
>3.6	90	0	<0.1	BERGER	91B FREJ	τ per iron nucleus

 $\tau(pp \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^+)$ **T74**NODE=S016T68
NODE=S016T68

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
>1.7	90	0	0.62	BERGER	91B FREJ	τ per iron nucleus

 $\tau(pn \rightarrow e^+ \bar{\nu})$ **T75**NODE=S016T69
NODE=S016T69

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
>260	90			TAKHISTOV	15 SKAM	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 2.8	90	5	9.67	BERGER	91B FREJ	τ per iron nucleus

 $\tau(pn \rightarrow \mu^+ \bar{\nu})$ **T76**NODE=S016T70
NODE=S016T70

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
>200	90			TAKHISTOV	15 SKAM	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 1.6	90	4	4.37	BERGER	91B FREJ	τ per iron nucleus

 $\tau(pn \rightarrow \tau^+ \bar{\nu}_\tau)$ **T77**NODE=S016T78
NODE=S016T78

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
>29	90			TAKHISTOV	15 SKAM	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 1	90			¹ BRYMAN	14 CHER	

¹BRYMAN 14 uses a MCGREW 99 limit on the $p \rightarrow e^+ \nu \nu$ lifetime to extract this value.

NODE=S016T78;LINKAGE=A

 $\tau(nn \rightarrow \text{invisible})$ **T78**NODE=S016T83
NODE=S016T83

<u>LIMIT</u> (10^{30} years)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>BKGD EST</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
>1.4	90			¹ ARAKI	06 KLND	$nn \rightarrow$ invisible
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>0.015	90			^{2,3} ALLEGA	22 SNO+	$nn \rightarrow$ invisible
>0.013	90			² ANDERSON	19A SNO+	$nn \rightarrow$ invisible
>0.000042	90			⁴ TRETYAK	04 CNTR	$nn \rightarrow$ invisible
>0.000049	90			⁵ BACK	03 BORX	$nn \rightarrow$ invisible
>0.000012	90			⁶ BERNABEI	00B DAMA	$nn \rightarrow$ invisible

¹ARAKI 06 looks for signs of de-excitation of the residual nucleus after disappearance of two neutrons from the s shell of ^{12}C .

NODE=S016T83;LINKAGE=AR

²ALLEGA 22 and ANDERSON 19A look for γ rays from the de-excitation of a residual $^{14}\text{O}^*$ following the disappearance of nn in ^{16}O .

NODE=S016T83;LINKAGE=A

³ALLEGA 22 replaces the previous SNO+ value of ANDERSON 19A.⁴TRETYAK 04 uses data from an old Homestake-mine radiochemical experiment on limits for invisible decays of ^{39}K to ^{37}Ar .NODE=S016T83;LINKAGE=B
NODE=S016T83;LINKAGE=TR⁵BACK 03 looks for decays of unstable nuclides left after NN decays of parent ^{12}C , ^{13}C , ^{16}O nuclei. These are "invisible channel" limits.

NODE=S016T83;LINKAGE=BK

⁶BERNABEI 00B looks for the decay of a ^{127}Xe nucleus following the disappearance of an nn pair in the otherwise-stable ^{129}Xe nucleus. The limit here applies as well to $nn \rightarrow \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$, $nn \rightarrow \nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$, or any "disappearance" mode.

NODE=S016T83;LINKAGE=BN

$\tau(nn \rightarrow \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e)$

779

NODE=S016T71
NODE=S016T71

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	CL%	EVTs	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>0.000012	90	5	9.7	BERGER	91B FREJ	τ per iron nucleus

 $\tau(nn \rightarrow \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu)$

780

NODE=S016T72
NODE=S016T72

See the proceeding data block. "Invisible modes" would include any multi-neutrino mode.

LIMIT (10^{30} years)	CL%	EVTs	BKGD EST	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
> 1.4 (CL=90%)						OUR LIMIT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.000006	90	4	4.4	BERGER	91B FREJ	τ per iron nucleus
-----------	----	---	-----	--------	----------	-------------------------

NODE=S016T72

→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\tau(pn \rightarrow \text{invisible})$

781

NODE=S016T77
NODE=S016T77
NODE=S016T77

This violates charge conservation as well as baryon number conservation.

VALUE (10^{30} years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>0.06	90	1,2 ALLEGA 22	SNO+

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.026	90	1 ANDERSON 19A	SNO+
>0.000021	90	3 TRETYAK 04	CNTR

¹ ALLEGA 22 and ANDERSON 19A look for γ rays from the de-excitation of a residual $^{14}\text{N}^*$ following the disappearance of pn in ^{16}O .² ALLEGA 22 replaces the previous SNO+ value of ANDERSON 19A.³ TRETYAK 04 uses data from an old Homestake-mine radiochemical experiment on limits for invisible decays of ^{39}K to ^{37}Ar .

NODE=S016T77;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S016T77;LINKAGE=B
NODE=S016T77;LINKAGE=TR $\tau(pp \rightarrow \text{invisible})$

782

NODE=S016T76
NODE=S016T76
NODE=S016T76

This violates charge conservation as well as baryon number conservation.

VALUE (10^{30} years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
>0.11	90	1 ALLEGA 22	SNO+

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.047	90	1 ANDERSON 19A	SNO+
>0.00005	90	2 BACK 03	BORX
>0.00000055	90	3 BERNABEI 00B	DAMA

¹ ALLEGA 22 look for γ rays from the de-excitation of a residual $^{14}\text{C}^*$ following the disappearance of pp in ^{16}O . Supersedes ANDERSON 19A result.² BACK 03 looks for decays of unstable nuclides left after NN decays of parent ^{12}C , ^{13}C , ^{16}O nuclei. These are "invisible channel" limits.³ BERNABEI 00B looks for the decay of a $^{127}_{52}\text{Te}$ nucleus following the disappearance of a pp pair in the otherwise-stable $^{129}_{54}\text{Xe}$ nucleus.

NODE=S016T76;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S016T76;LINKAGE=BK

NODE=S016T76;LINKAGE=BN

$$\Delta B = 1$$

NODE=S016350

 $\bar{p}$ PARTIAL MEAN LIVES

NODE=S016232

The "partial mean life" limits tabulated here are the limits on $\bar{\tau}/B_j$, where $\bar{\tau}$ is the total mean life for the antiproton and B_j is the branching fraction for the mode in question.

NODE=S016232

 $\tau(\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- \gamma)$

783

NODE=S016TB1
NODE=S016TB1

VALUE (years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
> 7×10^5	90	GEER 00	APEX	8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam
>1848	95	GEER 94	CALO	8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam

 $\tau(\bar{p} \rightarrow \mu^- \gamma)$

784

NODE=S016TB6
NODE=S016TB6

VALUE (years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
> 5×10^4	90	GEER 00	APEX	8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam
> 5.0×10^4	90	HU 98B	APEX	8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam

 $\tau(\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- \pi^0)$

785

NODE=S016TB2
NODE=S016TB2

VALUE (years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
> 4×10^5	90	GEER 00	APEX	8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam
>554	95	GEER 94	CALO	8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam

$\tau(\bar{p} \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^0)$ **786**NODE=S016TB7
NODE=S016TB7

VALUE (years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>5 × 10⁴	90	GEER	00	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>4.8 × 10 ⁴	90	HU	98B	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam

 $\tau(\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- \eta)$ **787**NODE=S016TB3
NODE=S016TB3

VALUE (years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
> 2 × 10⁴	90	GEER	00	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>171	95	GEER	94	CALO 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam

 $\tau(\bar{p} \rightarrow \mu^- \eta)$ **788**NODE=S016TB8
NODE=S016TB8

VALUE (years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>8 × 10³	90	GEER	00	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>7.9 × 10 ³	90	HU	98B	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam

 $\tau(\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- K_S^0)$ **789**NODE=S016TB4
NODE=S016TB4

VALUE (years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>900	90	GEER	00	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
> 29	95	GEER	94	CALO 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam

 $\tau(\bar{p} \rightarrow \mu^- K_S^0)$ **790**NODE=S016TB9
NODE=S016TB9

VALUE (years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>4 × 10³	90	GEER	00	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>4.3 × 10 ³	90	HU	98B	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam

 $\tau(\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- K_L^0)$ **791**NODE=S016TB5
NODE=S016TB5

VALUE (years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>9 × 10³	90	GEER	00	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>9	95	GEER	94	CALO 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam

 $\tau(\bar{p} \rightarrow \mu^- K_L^0)$ **792**NODE=S016TC1
NODE=S016TC1

VALUE (years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>7 × 10³	90	GEER	00	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>6.5 × 10 ³	90	HU	98B	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam

 $\tau(\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- \gamma \gamma)$ **793**NODE=S016TC3
NODE=S016TC3

VALUE (years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>2 × 10⁴	90	GEER	00	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam

 $\tau(\bar{p} \rightarrow \mu^- \gamma \gamma)$ **794**NODE=S016TC2
NODE=S016TC2

VALUE (years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>2 × 10⁴	90	GEER	00	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>2.3 × 10 ⁴	90	HU	98B	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam

 $\tau(\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- \omega)$ **795**NODE=S016TC5
NODE=S016TC5

VALUE (years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>200	90	GEER	00	APEX 8.9 GeV/c $\bar{p}$ beam

p REFERENCES

NODE=S016

AGOSTINI	24A	EPJ C84 940	M. Agostini <i>et al.</i>	(GERDA Collab.)	REFID=63146
TANIUCHI	24	PR D110 112011	N. Taniuchi <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=63109
ALLEGA	22	PR D105 112012	A. Allega <i>et al.</i>	(SNO+ Collab.)	REFID=61752
BORCHERT	22	NAT 601 53	M.J. Borchert <i>et al.</i>	(BASE Collab.)	REFID=61494
LI	22D	NAT 611 265	R. Li <i>et al.</i>		REFID=61996
MATSUMOTO	22	PR D106 072003	R. Matsumoto <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=61946
MORNACCHI	22	PRL 129 102501	E. Mornacchi <i>et al.</i>	(MAINZ, REGE, PAVI+)	REFID=63009
CUI	21	PRL 127 092001	Z.-F. Cui <i>et al.</i>	(NJU, ECT, HZDR)	REFID=61354
CUI	21B	CPL 38 121401	Z.-F. Cui <i>et al.</i>	(NJU, ECT, HZDR)	REFID=61616
FINK	21	PRL 127 243001	D.J. Fink, E.G. Myers	(FSU)	REFID=61605
MIHOVILOVIC	21	EPJ A57 107	M. Mihovilovic <i>et al.</i>	(LJUB, MAINZ, MIT+)	REFID=61600
TIESINGA	21	RMP 93 025010	E. Tiesinga <i>et al.</i>	(NIST)	REFID=61422
TAKENAKA	20	PR D102 112011	A. Takenaka <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=60746
TANAKA	20	PR D101 052011	M. Tanaka <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=60274
ANDERSON	19A	PR D99 032008	M. Anderson <i>et al.</i>	(SNO+ Collab.)	REFID=59829
BEZGINOV	19	SCI 365 1007	N. Bezginov <i>et al.</i>	(YORKC, TINTO)	REFID=59978
HEISSE	19	PR A100 022518	F. Heisse <i>et al.</i>	(MPIK, GSI, MAINZ)	REFID=60248
PASQUINI	19	JP G46 104001	B. Pasquini, P. Pedroni, S. Sconfietti	(PAVI)	REFID=60249
SCHUMACHER	19	LHEP 4 4	M. Schumacher	(GOET)	REFID=61618
XIONG	19	NAT 575 147	W. Xiong <i>et al.</i>	(PRad Collab.)	REFID=59984
FLEURBAEY	18	PRL 120 183001	H. Fleurbaey <i>et al.</i>	(SORB)	REFID=59203
PDG	18	PR D98 030001	M. Tanabashi <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=59304
ABE	17	PR D95 012004	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=57638
ABE	17D	PR D96 012003	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=58011
BEYER	17	SCI 358 79	A. Beyer <i>et al.</i>	(MPQG Collab.)	REFID=58371
HEISSE	17	PRL 119 033001	F. Heisse <i>et al.</i>	(MPIK, GSI, MAINZ, RIKEN)	REFID=57785
NAGAHAMA	17	NATC 8 14084	H. Nagahama <i>et al.</i>	(RIKEN, TOKY, CERN+)	REFID=57759
SAHOO	17	PR D95 013002	B.K. Sahoo	(AHMEB)	REFID=57749
SCHNEIDER	17	SCI 358 1081	G. Schneider <i>et al.</i>	(MAINZ, RIKEN, +)	REFID=58373
SELLNER	17	NJP 19 083023	S. Sellner <i>et al.</i>	(RIKEN, MPIK, +)	REFID=58796
SMORRA	17	NAT 550 371	C. Smorra <i>et al.</i>	(RIKEN, CERN, +)	REFID=58374
MOHR	16	RMP 88 035009	P.J. Mohr, D.B. Newell, B.N. Taylor	(NIST)	REFID=57148
ASAKURA	15	PR D92 052006	K. Asakura <i>et al.</i>	(KamLAND Collab.)	REFID=56882
GUSTAFSON	15	PR D91 072009	J. Gustafson <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=56559
LEE	15	PR D92 013013	G. Lee, J.R. Arrington, R.J. Hill	(ANL, EFI+)	REFID=56603
TAKHISTOV	15	PRL 115 121803	V. Takhistov <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=56918
ULMER	15	NAT 524 196	S. Ulmer <i>et al.</i>	(RIKEN, CERN, MPIK, +)	REFID=57769
ABE	14E	PRL 113 121802	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=55892
ABE	14G	PR D90 072005	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=56136
BRYMAN	14	PL B733 190	D. Bryman	(BRCO)	REFID=56146
EPSTEIN	14	PR D90 074027	Z. Epstein, G. Paz, J. Roy	(UMD, WAYN)	REFID=56141
LITOS	14	PRL 112 131803	M. Litos <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=55847
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=55687
TAKHISTOV	14	PRL 113 101801	V. Takhistov <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=55894
ANTOGNINI	13	SCI 339 417	A. Antognini <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, ETH, UPMC+)	REFID=54969
DISCIACCA	13	PRL 110 130801	J. DiSciaccia <i>et al.</i>	(ATRAP Collab.)	REFID=54971
MCGOVERN	13	EPJ A49 12	J.A. McGovern, D.R. Phillips, H.W. Griesshammer		REFID=54970;ERROR=1
MOHR	12	RMP 84 1527	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor, D.B. Newell	(NIST)	REFID=53956
NISHINO	12	PR D85 112001	H. Nishino <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=54410
REGIS	12	PR D86 012006	C. Regis <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=54418
BRESSI	11	PR A83 052101	G. Bressi <i>et al.</i>	(LEGN, PAVII, PADO, TRST+)	REFID=53785
HORI	11	NAT 475 484	M. Hori <i>et al.</i>	(MPIG, TOKY, BUDA, +)	REFID=53784
ZHAN	11	PL B705 59	X. Zhan <i>et al.</i>	(JLAB-Hall A Collab.)	REFID=57160
BERNAUER	10	PRL 105 242001	J.C. Bernauer <i>et al.</i>	(MAMI A1 Collab.)	REFID=53570
Also		PR C90 015206	J.C. Bernauer <i>et al.</i>	(MAMI A1 Collab.)	REFID=55778
BORISYUK	10	NP A843 59	D. Borisyuk	(KIEV)	REFID=53322
HILL	10	PR D82 113005	R.J. Hill, G. Paz	(CHIC)	REFID=53580
POHL	10	NAT 466 213	R. Pohl <i>et al.</i>	(MPIQ, ENSP, COIM, +)	REFID=53681
NISHINO	09	PRL 102 141801	H. Nishino <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=52876
PASK	09	PL B678 55	T. Pask <i>et al.</i>	(Stefan Meyer Inst., Vienna, TOKY+)	REFID=52911
MOHR	08	RMP 80 633	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor, D.B. Newell	(NIST)	REFID=52197
BELUSHKIN	07	PR C75 035202	M.A. Belushkin, H.W. Hammer, U.-G. Meissner	(BONN+)	REFID=53687
ARAKI	06	PRL 96 101802	T. Araki <i>et al.</i>	(KamLAND Collab.)	REFID=51206
HORI	06	PRL 96 243401	M. Hori <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, TOKYO+)	REFID=52189
BLUNDEN	05	PR C72 057601	P.G. Blunden, I. Sick	(MANI, BASL)	REFID=51419
KOBAYASHI	05	PR D72 052007	K. Kobayashi <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=50905
MOHR	05	RMP 77 1	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor	(NIST)	REFID=49695
SCHUMACHER	05	PPNP 55 567	M. Schumacher	(GOET)	REFID=51658
AHMED	04	PRL 92 102004	S.N. Ahmed <i>et al.</i>	(SNO Collab.)	REFID=49661
TRETYAK	04	JETPL 79 106	V.I. Tretyak, V.Yu. Denisov, Yu.G. Zdesenko	(KIEV)	REFID=49964
Also		Translated from ZETFP 79 136.			
BACK	03	PL B563 23	H.O. Back <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino Collab.)	REFID=49448
BEANE	03	PL B567 200	S.R. Beane <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49517
Also		PL B607 320 (errat.)	S.R. Beane <i>et al.</i>		REFID=50472
DMITRIEV	03	PRL 91 212303	V.F. Dmitriev, R.A. Senkov	(NOVO)	REFID=49696
HORI	03	PRL 91 123401	M. Hori <i>et al.</i>	(CERN ASACUSA Collab.)	REFID=49678
SICK	03	PL B576 62	I. Sick	(BASL)	REFID=49807
ZDESENKO	03	PL B553 135	Yu.G. Zdesenko, V.I. Tretyak	(KIEV)	REFID=49662
AHMAD	02	PRL 89 011301	Q.R. Ahmad <i>et al.</i>	(SNO Collab.)	REFID=48642
BARANOV	01	PPN 32 376	P.S. Baranov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48156
Also		Translated from FECAY 32 699.			
BLANPIED	01	PR C64 025203	G. Blanpied <i>et al.</i>	(BNL LEGS Collab.)	REFID=48192
HORI	01	PRL 87 093401	M. Hori <i>et al.</i>	(CERN ASACUSA Collab.)	REFID=48249
OLMOSDEL...	01	EPJ A10 207	V. Olmos de Leon <i>et al.</i>	(MAMI TAPS Collab.)	REFID=48151
TRETYAK	01	PL B505 59	V.I. Tretyak, Yu.G. Zdesenko	(KIEV)	REFID=48128
BERNABEI	00B	PL B493 12	R. Bernabei <i>et al.</i>	(Gran Sasso DAMA Collab.)	REFID=47829
GEER	00	PRL 84 590	S. Geer <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL APEX Collab.)	REFID=47441
Also		PR D62 052004	S. Geer <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL APEX Collab.)	REFID=47696
Also		PRL 85 3546 (errat.)	S. Geer <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL APEX Collab.)	REFID=47798

GEER	00D	APJ 532 648	S.H. Geer, D.C. Kennedy		REFID=47799
SENGUPTA	00	PL B484 275	S. Sengupta		REFID=47662
WALL	00	PR D61 072004	D. Wall <i>et al.</i>	(Soudan-2 Collab.)	REFID=47598
WALL	00B	PR D62 092003	D. Wall <i>et al.</i>	(Soudan-2 Collab.)	REFID=47870
GABRIELSE	99	PRL 82 3198	G. Gabrielse <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46985
HAYATO	99	PRL 83 1529	Y. Hayato <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=47054
MCGREW	99	PR D59 052004	C. McGrew <i>et al.</i>	(IMB-3 Collab.)	REFID=46723
MOHR	99	JPCRD 28 1713	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor	(NIST)	REFID=47256
Also		RMP 72 351	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor	(NIST)	REFID=47373
TORII	99	PR A59 223	H.A. Torii <i>et al.</i>	(CERN PS-205 Collab.)	REFID=47327
ALLISON	98	PL B427 217	W.W.M. Allison <i>et al.</i>	(Soudan-2 Collab.)	REFID=46058
HU	98B	PR D58 111101	M. Hu <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL APEX Collab.)	REFID=46432
SHIOZAWA	98	PRL 81 3319	M. Shiozawa <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=46213
GLICENSTEIN	97	PL B411 326	J.F. Glicenstein	(SACL)	REFID=45731
GABRIELSE	95	PRL 74 3544	G. Gabrielse <i>et al.</i>	(HARV, MAINZ, SEOUL)	REFID=44202
MACGIBBON	95	PR C52 2097	B.E. MacGibbon <i>et al.</i>	(ILL, SASK, INRM)	REFID=44534
GEER	94	PRL 72 1596	S. Geer <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, UCLA, PSU)	REFID=43796
HALLIN	93	PR C48 1497	E.L. Hallin <i>et al.</i>	(SASK, BOST, ILL)	REFID=43513
SUZUKI	93B	PL B311 357	Y. Suzuki <i>et al.</i>	(Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=43436
HUGHES	92	PRL 69 578	R.J. Hughes, B.I. Deutch	(LANL, AARH)	REFID=42139
ZIEGER	92	PL B278 34	A. Zieger <i>et al.</i>	(MPCM)	REFID=43636
Also		PL B281 417 (errat.)	A. Zieger <i>et al.</i>	(MPCM)	REFID=43717
BERGER	91	ZPHY C50 385	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(FREJUS Collab.)	REFID=41514
BERGER	91B	PL B269 227	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(FREJUS Collab.)	REFID=41762
FEDERSPIEL	91	PRL 67 1511	F.J. Federspiel <i>et al.</i>	(ILL)	REFID=41597
BECKER-SZ...	90	PR D42 2974	R.A. Becker-Szendy <i>et al.</i>	(IMB-3 Collab.)	REFID=41460
ERICSON	90	EPL 11 295	T.E.O. Ericson, A. Richter	(CERN, DARM)	REFID=41233
GABRIELSE	90	PRL 65 1317	G. Gabrielse <i>et al.</i>	(HARV, MAINZ, WASH+)	REFID=41302
BERGER	89	NP B313 509	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(FREJUS Collab.)	REFID=40768
CHO	89	PRL 63 2559	D. Cho, K. Sangster, E.A. Hinds	(YALE)	REFID=41081
HIRATA	89C	PL B220 308	K.S. Hirata <i>et al.</i>	(Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=40813
PHILLIPS	89	PL B224 348	T.J. Phillips <i>et al.</i>	(HPW Collab.)	REFID=40822
KREISSL	88	ZPHY C37 557	A. Kreissl <i>et al.</i>	(CERN PS176 Collab.)	REFID=40594
SEIDEL	88	PRL 61 2522	S. Seidel <i>et al.</i>	(IMB Collab.)	REFID=40623
BARTELT	87	PR D36 1990	J.E. Bartelt <i>et al.</i>	(Soudan Collab.)	REFID=40487
Also		PR D40 1701 (errat.)	J.E. Bartelt <i>et al.</i>	(Soudan Collab.)	REFID=40850
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)	REFID=11616
HAINES	86	PRL 57 1986	T.J. Haines <i>et al.</i>	(IMB Collab.)	REFID=40302
KAJITA	86	JPSJ 55 711	T. Kajita <i>et al.</i>	(Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=40301
ARISAKA	85	JPSJ 54 3213	K. Arisaka <i>et al.</i>	(Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=40300
BLEWITT	85	PRL 55 2114	G.B. Blewitt <i>et al.</i>	(IMB Collab.)	REFID=11617
DZUBA	85	PL 154B 93	V.A. Dzuba, V.V. Flambaum, P.G. Silvestrov	(NOVO)	REFID=11618
PARK	85	PRL 54 22	H.S. Park <i>et al.</i>	(IMB Collab.)	REFID=11619
BATTISTONI	84	PL 133B 454	G. Battistoni <i>et al.</i>	(NUSEX Collab.)	REFID=11714
MARINELLI	84	PL 137B 439	M. Marinelli, G. Morpurgo	(GENO)	REFID=11621
WILKENING	84	PR A29 425	D.A. Wilkening, N.F. Ramsey, D.J. Larson	(HARV+)	REFID=11622
BARTELT	83	PRL 50 651	J.E. Bartelt <i>et al.</i>	(MINN, ANL)	REFID=11623
BATTISTONI	82	PL 118B 461	G. Battistoni <i>et al.</i>	(NUSEX Collab.)	REFID=11627
KRISHNA...	82	PL 115B 349	M.R. Krishnaswamy <i>et al.</i>	(TATA, OSKC+)	REFID=11628
ALEKSEEV	81	JETPL 33 651	E.N. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)	REFID=11630
		Translated from ZETFP 33 664.			
CHERRY	81	PRL 47 1507	M.L. Cherry <i>et al.</i>	(PENN, BNL)	REFID=11631
COWSIK	80	PR D22 2204	R. Cowsik, V.S. Narasimham	(TATA)	REFID=11632
BELL	79	PL 86B 215	M. Bell <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)	REFID=11633
GOLDEN	79	PRL 43 1196	R.L. Golden <i>et al.</i>	(NASA, PSLL)	REFID=11634
LEARNED	79	PRL 43 907	J.G. Learned, F. Reines, A. Soni	(UCI)	REFID=11635
BREGMAN	78	PL 78B 174	M. Bregman <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)	REFID=11636
ROBERTS	78	PR D17 358	B.L. Roberts	(WILL, RHEL)	REFID=11638
EVANS	77	SCI 197 989	J.C. Evans Jr., R.I. Steinberg	(BNL, PENN)	REFID=11639
HU	75	NP A254 403	E. Hu <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, YALE)	REFID=11642
COHEN	73	JPCRD 2 664	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)	REFID=10098
DYLLA	73	PR A7 1224	H.F. Dylla, J.G. King	(MIT)	REFID=11646
DIX	70	Thesis Case	F.W. Dix	(CASE)	REFID=11649
HARRISON	69	PRL 22 1263	G.E. Harrison, P.G.H. Sandars, S.J. Wright	(OXF)	REFID=11650
GURR	67	PR 158 1321	H.S. Gurr <i>et al.</i>	(CASE, WITW)	REFID=11652
FLEROV	58	DOKL 3 79	G.N. Flerov <i>et al.</i>	(ASCI)	REFID=11655