NODE=S032

D^0

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^-)$

D^0 MASS

NODE=S032M

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0, $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0}, $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=S032M

Given the recent addition of much more precise measurements, we have omitted all those masses published up through 1990. See any Review before 2015 for those earlier results.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1864.84 ±0.05 OUR FIT					
[1864.83 ± 0.05 MeV OUR 2020 FIT]					
1864.84 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE					
1864.845±0.025±0.057	63k	[1] TOMARADZE	14		$D^0 \to K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^-$
1864.75 ±0.15 ±0.11		AAIJ	13V	LHCB	$D^0 \to K^+ 2K^- \pi^+$
1864.841±0.048±0.063	4.3k	[2] LEES	13S	BABR	$e^+ e^-$ at $\Upsilon(4S)$
1865.30 ±0.33 ±0.23	0.1k	ANASHIN	10A	KEDR	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
1864.847±0.150±0.095	0.3k	CAWLFIELD	07	CLEO	$D^0 \to K^0_S \phi$

NODE=S032M

NEW

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. The largest source of error in the TOMARADZE 14 value is from the uncertainties in the K^- and K^0_S masses. The systematic error given above is the addition in quadrature of ±0.022 ± 0.053 MeV, where the second error is from those mass uncertainties.

NODE=S032M;LINKAGE=B

[2] The largest source of error in the LEES 13S value is from the uncertainty of the K^+ mass. The quoted systematic error is in fact ±0.043 + 3 (m_{K^+} − 493.677), in MeV.

NODE=S032M;LINKAGE=LE

$m_{D^\pm} - m_{D^0}$

NODE=S032DM

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0, $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0}, $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

NODE=S032DM

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.822±0.015 OUR FIT				
4.76 ±0.12 ±0.07	AAIJ	13V	LHCB	$D^+ \to K^+ K^- \pi^+$

NODE=S032DM

D^0 MEAN LIFE

NODE=S032T

Measurements with an error $> 10 \times 10^{-15}$ s have been omitted from the average.

NODE=S032T

VALUE (10^{-15} s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
410.1± 1.5 OUR AVERAGE					
409.6± 1.1± 1.5	210k	LINK	02F	FOCS	γ nucleus, ≈ 180 GeV
407.9± 6.0± 4.3	10k	KUSHNIR...	01	SELX	$K^- \pi^+$, $K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
413 ± 3 ± 4	35k	AITALA	99E	E791	$K^- \pi^+$
408.5± 4.1$^{+3.5}_{-3.4}$	25k	BONVICINI	99	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
413 ± 4 ± 3	16k	FRABETTI	94D	E687	$K^- \pi^+$, $K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
424 ±11 ± 7	5118	FRABETTI	91	E687	$K^- \pi^+$, $K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
417 ±18 ±15	890	ALVAREZ	90	NA14	$K^- \pi^+$, $K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
388 $^{+23}_{-21}$	641	[1] BARLAG	90C	ACCM	π^- Cu 230 GeV
480 ±40 ±30	776	ALBRECHT	88I	ARG	$e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV
422 ± 8 ±10	4212	RAAB	88	E691	Photoproduction
420 ±50	90	BARLAG	87B	ACCM	K^- and π^- 200 GeV

NODE=S032T

[1] BARLAG 90C estimate systematic error to be negligible.

NODE=S032T;LINKAGE=BL

See the related review(s):

$D^0 - \overline{D}^0$ Mixing

$|m_{D_1^0} - m_{D_2^0}| = x\,\Gamma$

NODE=S032D

The D_1^0 and D_2^0 are the mass eigenstates of the D^0 meson, as described in the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing,' above. The experiments usually present $x \equiv \Delta m/\Gamma$. Then $\Delta m = x\,\Gamma = x\,\hbar/\tau$. NODE=S032D

"OUR EVALUATION" comes from CPV allowing averages provided by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group, see the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing."

NODE=S032D

VALUE (10^{10} $\hbar$ s^{-1})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.95^{+0.41}_{-0.44}$ OUR EVALUATION					→ UNCHECKED ←
0.7 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.					
$0.66^{+0.41}_{-0.37}$		[1] AAIJ	19X	LHCB	$D^0 \to K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-$
		[2] AAIJ	18K	LHCB	pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
$-2.10 \pm 1.29 \pm 0.41$		[3] AAIJ	16V	LHCB	pp at 7 TeV
$3.7 \pm 2.9 \pm 1.5$		[4] LEES	16D	BABR	e^+e^-, 10.6 GeV
		[5] KO	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(nS)$
$1.37 \pm 0.46^{+0.18}_{-0.28}$		[6] PENG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(nS)$
		[7] AALTONEN	13AE	CDF	$p\overline{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
$0.39 \pm 0.56 \pm 0.35$		[8] DEL-AMO-SA..	10D	BABR	e^+e^-, 10.6 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
		[9] AAIJ	17AO	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 18K
		[10] AAIJ	13CE	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 17AO
		[11] AAIJ	13N	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 13CE
$6.4\ ^{+1.4}_{-1.7} \pm 1.0$		[12] AUBERT	09AN	BABR	e^+e^- at 10.58 GeV
$-2\ ^{+7}_{-6}$		[13] LOWREY	09	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
$1.98 \pm 0.73^{+0.32}_{-0.41}$		[14] ZHANG	07B	BELL	Repl. by PENG 14
< 7	95	[15] ZHANG	06	BELL	e^+e^-
-11 to $+22$		[14] ASNER	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV
< 11	90	BITENC	05	BELL	
< 30	90	CAWLFIELD	05	CLEO	
< 7	95	[15] LI	05A	BELL	See ZHANG 06
< 22	95	[16] LINK	05H	FOCS	γ nucleus
< 23	95	AUBERT	04Q	BABR	
< 11	95	[15] AUBERT	03Z	BABR	e^+e^-, 10.6 GeV
< 7	95	[17] GODANG	00	CLE2	e^+e^-
< 32	90	[18,19] AITALA	98	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
< 24	90	[20] AITALA	96C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
< 21	90	[19,21] ANJOS	88C	E691	Photoproduction

[1] AAIJ 19X D^0 come from D^{*+} and $\overline{B} \to D^0 \mu^- X$ decays (and c.c.) in pp collisions at 7 and 8 TeV. Measurement allows for CP violation (none seen). NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=M

[2] The result was established with D^0 from prompt and secondary D^*. Based on 5 fb^{-1} of data collected at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7, 8, 13 TeV. Assumes no CP violation. Reported $x'^2 = (3.9 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-5}$ and $y' = (5.28 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-3}$, where $x' = x\cos(\delta) + y\sin(\delta)$, $y' = y$ NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=L

[3] Model-independent measurement of the charm mixing parameters in the decay $D^0 \to K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-$ using 1.0 fb^{-1} of LHCb data at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=I

[4] Time-dependent amplitude analysis of $D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=J

[5] Based on 976 fb^{-1} of data collected at Υ(nS) resonances. Assumes no CP violation. Reported $x'^2 = (0.09 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-3}$ and $y' = (4.6 \pm 3.4) \times 10^{-3}$, where $x' = x\cos(\delta) + y\sin(\delta)$, $y' = y\cos(\delta) - x\sin(\delta)$ and δ is the strong phase between $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=G

[6] The time-dependent Dalitz-plot analysis of $D^0 \to K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-$ is emplored. Decay-time information and interference on the Dalitz plot are used to distinguish doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decays from mixing and to measure the relative phase between $D^0 \to K^{*+}\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^{*+}\pi^-$. This value allows CP violation and is sensitive to the sign of Δm. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=H

[7] Based on 9.6 fb^{-1} of data collected at the Tevatron. Assumes no CP violation. Reported $x'^2 = (0.08 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$ and $y' = (4.3 \pm 4.3) \times 10^{-3}$, where $x' = x\cos(\delta) + y\sin(\delta)$, $y' = y\cos(\delta) - x\sin(\delta)$ and δ is the strong phase between the $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=A

[8] DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10D uses 540,800±800 $K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-$ and 79,900±300 $K^0_S K^+K^-$ events in a time-dependent amplitude analysis of the D^0 and $\overline{D}^0$ Dalitz plots. No evidence was found for CP violation, and the values here assume no such violation. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=DE

[9] The result was established with D^0 from prompt and secondary D^*. Based on 3 fb^{-1} of data collected at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7, 8 TeV. Assumes no *CP* violation. Reported $x'^2 = (3.6 \pm 4.3) \times 10^{-5}$ and $y' = (5.23 \pm 0.84) \times 10^{-3}$, where $x' = x \cos(\delta) + y \sin(\delta)$, $y' = y \cos(\delta) - x \sin(\delta)$ and δ is the strong phase between the $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=K

[10] Based on 3 fb^{-1} of data collected at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7, 8 TeV. Assumes no *CP* violation. Reported $x'^2 = (5.5 \pm 4.9) \times 10^{-4}$ and $y' = (4.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$, where $x' = x \cos(\delta) + y \sin(\delta)$, $y' = y \cos(\delta) - x \sin(\delta)$ and δ is the strong phase between the $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=F

[11] Based on 1 fb^{-1} of data collected at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV in 2011. Assumes no *CP* violation. Reported $x'^2 = (-0.9 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$ and $y' = (7.2 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-3}$, where $x' = x \cos(\delta) + y \sin(\delta)$, $y' = y \cos(\delta) - x \sin(\delta)$ and δ is the strong phase between the $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=AI

[12] The AUBERT 09AN values are inferred from the branching ratio $\Gamma(D^0 \to K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ via $\overline{D}^0)/\Gamma(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+\pi^0)$ given near the end of this Listings. Mixing is distinguished from DCS decays using decay-time information. Interference between mixing and DCS is allowed. The phase between $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ is assumed to be small. The width difference here is y'', which is not the same as y_{CP} in the note on D^0–$\overline{D}^0$ mixing. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=AR

[13] LOWREY 09 uses quantum correlations in $e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$. See below for coherence factors and average relative strong phases for both $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+\pi^0$ and $D^0 \to K^-\pi^-2\pi^+$. A fit that includes external measurements of charm mixing parameters gets $\Delta m = (2.34 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{10}\ \hbar\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=LO

[14] The ASNER 05 and ZHANG 07B values are from the time-dependent Dalitz-plot analysis of $D^0 \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$. Decay-time information and interference on the Dalitz plot are used to distinguish doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decays from mixing and to measure the relative phase between $D^0 \to K^{*+}\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^{*+}\pi^-$. This value allows *CP* violation and is sensitive to the sign of Δm. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=AS

[15] The AUBERT 03Z, LI 05A, and ZHANG 06 limits are inferred from the D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing ratio $\Gamma(K^+\pi^-$ (via $\overline{D}^0))/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ given near the end of this D^0 Listings. Decay-time information is used to distinguish DCS decays from D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing. The limit allows interference between the DCS and mixing ratios, and also allows *CP* violation. AUBERT 03Z assumes the strong phase between $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ amplitudes is small; if an arbitrary phase is allowed, the limit degrades by 20%. The LI 05A and ZHANG 06 limits are valid for an arbitrary strong phase. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=AU

[16] This LINK 05H limit is inferred from the D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing ratio $\Gamma(K^+\pi^-$ (via $\overline{D}^0))/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ given near the end of this D^0 Listings. Decay-time information is used to distinguish DCS decays from D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing. The limit allows interference between the DCS and mixing ratios, and also allows *CP* violation. The strong phase between $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ is assumed to be small. If an arbitrary relative strong phase is allowed, the limit degrades by 25%. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=LI

[17] This GODANG 00 limit is inferred from the D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing ratio $\Gamma(K^+\pi^-$ (via $\overline{D}^0))/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ given near the end of this D^0 Listings. Decay-time information is used to distinguish DCS decays from D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing. The limit allows interference between the DCS and mixing ratios, and also allows *CP* violation. The strong phase between $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ is assumed to be small. If an arbitrary relative strong phase is allowed, the limit degrades by a factor of two. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=GD

[18] AITALA 98 allows interference between the doubly Cabibbo-suppressed and mixing amplitudes, and also allows *CP* violation in this term, but assumes that A_D=A_R=0. See the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing," above. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=AT

[19] This limit is inferred from R_M for $f = K^+\pi^-$ and $f = K^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$. See the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing," above. Decay-time information is used to distinguish doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decays from D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=C

[20] This limit is inferred from R_M for $f = K^+\ell^-\overline{\nu}_\ell$. See the note on "$D^0$-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing," above. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=FG

[21] ANJOS 88C assumes that $y = 0$. See the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing," above. Without this assumption, the limit degrades by about a factor of two. NODE=S032D;LINKAGE=DC



$(\Gamma_{D_1^0} - \Gamma_{D_2^0})/\Gamma = 2y$

NODE=S032DT

The D_1^0 and D_2^0 are the mass eigenstates of the D^0 meson, as described in the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing," above.

NODE=S032DT

Due to the strong phase difference between $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$, we exclude from the average those measurements of y' that are inferred from the D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing ratio $\Gamma(K^+\pi^-$ via $\overline{D}^0)$ / $\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)$ given near the end of this D^0 Listings.

Some early results have been omitted. See our 2006 *Review* (Journal of Physics **G33** 1 (2006)).

"OUR EVALUATION" comes from CPV allowing averages provided by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group, see the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing."

NODE=S032DT

→ UNCHECKED ←

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
$1.29^{+0.14}_{-0.18}$ OUR EVALUATION					
1.10 ± 0.19 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.					
$1.92\pm 1.82^{+1.29}_{-1.24}$	91k	[1] NAYAK	20	BELL	$D^0 \to K^0_S\omega$
$1.14\pm 0.26\pm 0.18$		[2] AAIJ	19	LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
1.48 ± 0.74	2.3M	[3] AAIJ	19X	LHCB	$D^0 \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$
		[4] AAIJ	18K	LHCB	pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
$0.06\pm 0.92\pm 0.26$		[5] AAIJ	16V	LHCB	pp at 7 TeV
$0.4\pm 1.8\pm 1.0$		[6] LEES	16D	BABR	e^+e^-, 10.6 GeV
$2.22\pm 0.44\pm 0.18$		[7] STARIC	16	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(\mathrm{nS})$
$-4.0\pm 2.6\pm 1.4$		[8] ABLIKIM	15D	BES3	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
		[9] KO	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(\mathrm{nS})$
$0.60\pm 0.30^{+0.10}_{-0.17}$		[10] PENG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(\mathrm{nS})$
		[11] AALTONEN	13AE	CDF	$p\overline{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
$1.44\pm 0.36\pm 0.24$		[12] LEES	13	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
$0.55\pm 0.63\pm 0.41$		[13] AAIJ	12K	LHCB	pp at 7 TeV
$1.14\pm 0.40\pm 0.30$		[14] DEL-AMO-SA..	10D	BABR	e^+e^-, 10.6 GeV
$0.22\pm 1.22\pm 1.04$		[15] ZUPANC	09	BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$-1.0\pm 2.0\ ^{+1.4}_{-1.6}$	18k	[16] ABE	02I	BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$-2.4\pm 5.0\pm 2.8$	3393	[17] CSORNA	02	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$6.84\pm 2.78\pm 1.48$	10k	[16] LINK	00	FOCS	γ nucleus
$+1.6\pm 5.8\pm 2.1$		[16] AITALA	99E	E791	$K^-\pi^+$, K^+K^-

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		[18] AAIJ	17AO	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 18K
		[19] AAIJ	13CE	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 17AO
		[20] AAIJ	13N	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 13CE
$2.32 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.36$		[21] AUBERT	09AI	BABR	See LEES 13
$-0.12^{+1.10}_{-1.28} \pm 0.68$		[22] AUBERT	09AN	BABR	e^+e^- at 10.58 GeV
$1.4^{+4.8}_{-5.4}$		[23] LOWREY	09	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
1.70 ± 1.52	12.7 ± 0.3k	[24] AALTONEN	08E	CDF	$p\overline{p}$, $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV
$2.06 \pm 0.66 \pm 0.38$		[25] AUBERT	08U	BABR	See AUBERT 09AI
$1.94 \pm 0.88 \pm 0.62$	4030 ± 90	[24] AUBERT	07W	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx 10.6$ GeV
$2.62 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.50$	160k	[26] STARIC	07	BELL	Repl. by STARIC 16
$0.74 \pm 0.50^{+0.20}_{-0.31}$	534k	[27] ZHANG	07B	BELL	Repl. by PENG 14
-0.7 ± 4.9	4k± 88	[24,28] ZHANG	06	BELL	e^+e^-
$-3.0^{+5.0}_{-4.8}{}^{+1.6}_{-0.8}$		[27] ASNER	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx 10$ GeV
-0.3 ± 5.7		[24,28] LI	05A	BELL	See ZHANG 06
$-5.2^{+18.4}_{-16.8}$		[24,28] LINK	05H	FOCS	γ nucleus
$1.6 \pm 0.8^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$	450k	[29] AUBERT	03P	BABR	See AUBERT 08U
$1.6^{+6.2}_{-12.8}$		[24,28] AUBERT	03Z	BABR	e^+e^-, 10.6 GeV
$-5.0^{+2.8}_{-3.2} \pm 0.6$		[24] GODANG	00	CLE2	e^+e^-

[1] NAYAK 20 reports $(1.92 \pm 1.82 \pm 1.24^{+0.34}_{-0.00}) \times 10^{-2}$ where the last uncertainty is due to possible presence of *CP*-even decays in the data sample. Extracts y_{CP}=($\Gamma_{CP+} - \Gamma_{CP-}$) / ($\Gamma_{CP+} + \Gamma_{CP-}$) in $D^0 \to K^0_S\omega$ versus $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0_S\omega$, by measuring the decay lifetime of $D^0 \to K^0_S\omega$ with $\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ versus $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$. We list $2y_{CP} = 2y$ ($= \Delta\Gamma/\Gamma$) in the absence of *CP* violation. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=P

[2] Based on 3 fb^{-1} of data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 7$, 8 TeV. Measures the lifetime difference between $D^0 \to K^-K^+$ and $D^0 \to \pi^-\pi^+$ (*CP* even) decays and $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$ (*CP* mixed) decays, or y_{CP}=($\Gamma_{CP+} - \Gamma_{CP-}$) / ($\Gamma_{CP+} + \Gamma_{CP-}$). The D^0 mesons are required to originate from semimuonic decays of B mesons. We list $2y_{CP} = \Delta\Gamma/\Gamma$. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=N

[3] AAIJ 19X D^0 come from D^{*+} and $\overline{B} \to D^0\mu^-X$ decays (and c.c.) in pp collisions at 7 and 8 TeV. Measurement allows for *CP* violation (none seen). NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=O

[4] The result was established with D^0 from prompt and secondary D^*. Based on 5 fb^{-1} of data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 7$, 8, 13 TeV. Assumes no *CP* violation. Reported $x'^2 = (3.9 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-5}$ and $y' = (5.28 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-3}$, where $x' = x\cos(\delta) + y\sin(\delta)$, $y' = y\cos(\delta) - x\sin(\delta)$ and δ is the strong phase between the $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=M

[5] Model-independent measurement of the charm mixing parameters in the decay $D^0 \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$ using 1.0 fb^{-1} of LHCb data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=J

[6] Time-dependent amplitude analysis of $D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=K

[7] An improved measurement of $\overline{D}^0 - D^0$ mixing and a search for *CP* violation in D^0 decays to *CP*-even final states K^+K^- and $\pi^+\pi^-$ using the final Belle data sample of 976 fb^{-1}. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=I

[8] ABLIKIM 15D uses quantum correlations in $e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=H

[9] Based on 976 fb^{-1} of data collected at Υ(nS) resonances. Assumes no *CP* violation. Reported $x'^2 = (0.09 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-3}$ and $y' = (4.6 \pm 3.4) \times 10^{-3}$, where $x' = x\cos(\delta) + y\sin(\delta)$, $y' = y\cos(\delta) - x\sin(\delta)$ and δ is the strong phase between $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=A

[10] The time-dependent Dalitz-plot analysis of $D^0 \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$ is emplored. Decay-time information and interference on the Dalitz plot are used to distinguish doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decays from mixing and to measure the relative phase between $D^0 \to K^{*+}\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^{*+}\pi^-$. This value allows *CP* violation and is sensitive to the sign of Δm. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=G

[11] Based on 9.6 fb^{-1} of data collected at the Tevatron. Assumes no *CP* violation. Reported $x'^2 = (0.08 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$ and $y' = (4.3 \pm 4.3) \times 10^{-3}$, where $x' = x\cos(\delta) + y\sin(\delta)$, $y' = y\cos(\delta) - x\sin(\delta)$ and δ is the strong phase between the $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=D

[12] Obtained $y_{CP} = (0.72 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.12)$% based on three effective D^0 lifetimes measured in $K^\mp\pi^\pm$, K^-K^+, and $\pi^-\pi^+$. We list $2y_{CP} = \Delta\Gamma/\Gamma$. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=LE

[13] Compared the lifetimes of D^0 decay to the *CP* eigenstate K^+K^- with D^0 decay to π^+K^-. The values here assume no *CP* violation. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=AA

[14] DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10D uses 540,800±800 $K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$ and 79,900±300 $K^0_S K^+ K^-$ events in a time-dependent amplitude analyses of the D^0 and $\overline{D}^0$ Dalitz plots. No evidence was found for CP violation, and the values here assume no such violation. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=DE

[15] ZUPANC 09 uses a method based on measuring the mean decay time of $D^0 \rightarrow K^0_S K^+ K^-$ events for different $K^+ K^-$ mass intervals. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=ZU

[16] LINK 00, AITALA 99E, and ABE 02I measure the lifetime difference between $D^0 \rightarrow K^- K^+$ (CP even) decays and $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ (CP mixed) decays, or y_{CP}= [Γ(CP+)−Γ(CP−)]/[Γ(CP+)+Γ(CP−)]. We list $2y_{CP}$=ΔΓ/Γ. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=LK

[17] CSORNA 02 measures the lifetime difference between $D^0 \rightarrow K^- K^+$ and $\pi^- \pi^+$ (CP even) decays and $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ (CP mixed) decays, or y_{CP}= [Γ(CP+)−Γ(CP−)]/[Γ(CP+)+Γ(CP−)]. We list $2y_{CP}$=ΔΓ/Γ. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=CK

[18] The result was established with D^0 from prompt and secondary D^*. Based on 3 fb^{-1} of data collected at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7, 8 TeV. Assumes no CP violation. Reported $x'^2 = (3.6 \pm 4.3) \times 10^{-5}$ and $y' = (5.23 \pm 0.84) \times 10^{-3}$, where $x' = x \cos(\delta) + y \sin(\delta)$, $y' = y \cos(\delta) - x \sin(\delta)$ and δ is the strong phase between the $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=L

[19] Based on 3 fb^{-1} of data collected at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7, 8 TeV. Assumes no CP violation. Reported $x'^2 = (5.5 \pm 4.9) \times 10^{-4}$ and $y' = (4.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$, where $x' = x \cos(\delta) + y \sin(\delta)$, $y' = y \cos(\delta) - x \sin(\delta)$ and δ is the strong phase between the $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=E

[20] Based on 1 fb^{-1} of data collected at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV in 2011. Assumes no CP violation. Reported $x'^2 = (-0.9 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$ and $y' = (7.2 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-3}$, where $x' = x \cos(\delta) + y \sin(\delta)$, $y' = y \cos(\delta) - x \sin(\delta)$ and δ is the strong phase between the $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=AI

[21] This combines the $y_{CP} = (\tau_{K\pi}/\tau_{KK})-1$ using untagged $K^- \pi^+$ and $K^- K^+$ events of AUBERT 09AI with the disjoint y_{CP} using tagged $K^- \pi^+$, $K^- K^+$, and $\pi^- \pi^+$ events of AUBERT 08U. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=AE

[22] The AUBERT 09AN values are inferred from the branching ratio $\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ via $\overline{D}^0)/\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)$ given near the end of this Listings. Mixing is distinguished from DCS decays using decay-time information. Interference between mixing and DCS is allowed. The phase between $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ is assumed to be small. The width difference here is y'', which is not the same as y_{CP} in the note on D^0–$\overline{D}^0$ mixing. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=AR

[23] LOWREY 09 uses quantum correlations in $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$. See below for coherence factors and average relative strong phases for both $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+$. A fit that includes external measurements of charm mixing parameters gets $2y = (1.62 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-2}$. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=LO

[24] The GODANG 00, AUBERT 03Z, LINK 05H, LI 05A, ZHANG 06, AUBERT 07W, and AALTONEN 08E limits are inferred from the D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing ratio $\Gamma(K^+ \pi^-$ (via $\overline{D}^0))/\Gamma(K^- \pi^+)$ given near the end of this D^0 Listings. Decay-time information is used to distinguish DCS decays from D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing. The limits allow interference between the DCS and mixing ratios, and all except AUBERT 07W and AALTONEN 08E also allow CP violation. The phase between $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ is assumed to be small. This is a measurement of y' and is not the same as the y_{CP} of our note above on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing." NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=GD

[25] This value combines the results of AUBERT 08U and AUBERT 03P. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=BE

[26] STARIC 07 compares the lifetimes of D^0 decay to the CP eigenstates $K^+ K^-$ and $\pi^+ \pi^-$ with D^0 decay to $K^- \pi^+$. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=ST

[27] The ASNER 05 and ZHANG 07B values are from the time-dependent Dalitz-plot analysis of $D^0 \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$. Decay-time information and interference on the Dalitz plot are used to distinguish doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decays from mixing and to measure the relative phase between $D^0 \rightarrow K^{*+} \pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^{*+} \pi^-$. This limit allows CP violation. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=AS

[28] The ranges of AUBERT 03Z, LINK 05H, LI 05A, and ZHANG 06 measurements are for 95% confidence level. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=AB

[29] AUBERT 03P measures $Y \equiv 2\tau^0 / (\tau^+ + \tau^-) - 1$, where τ^0 is the $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ (and $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$) lifetime, and τ^+ and τ^- are the D^0 and $\overline{D}^0$ lifetimes to CP-even states (here $K^- K^+$ and $\pi^- \pi^+$). In the limit of CP conservation, $Y = y \equiv \Delta\Gamma / 2\Gamma$ (we list $2y = \Delta\Gamma/\Gamma$). AUBERT 03P also uses $\tau^+ - \tau^-$ to get $\Delta Y = -0.008 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.002$. NODE=S032DT;LINKAGE=AU

|q/p|

NODE=S032QP

The mass eigenstates D^0_1 and D^0_2 are related to the $C = \pm 1$ states by $|D_{1,2}> = p\,|D^0> + q\,|\overline{D}^0>$. See the note on "$D^0$–$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing" above. NODE=S032QP

"OUR EVALUATION" comes from CPV allowing averages provided by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group. This would include as-yet-unpublished results, see the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing."

NODE=S032QP

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.92^{+0.12}_{-0.09}$ OUR EVALUATION		HFAG fit; see the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing."			→ UNCHECKED ←
$0.97^{+0.14}_{-0.12}$ OUR AVERAGE					
$1.05^{+0.22}_{-0.17}$	2.3M	[1] AAIJ	19X	LHCB	$D^0 \to K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$
		[2] AAIJ	18K	LHCB	pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
$0.90^{+0.16+0.08}_{-0.15-0.06}$		[3] PENG	14	BELL	$e^+ e^- \to \Upsilon(nS)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
		[4] AAIJ	13CE	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 18K
$0.86^{+0.30+0.10}_{-0.29-0.08}$		[5] ZHANG	07B	BELL	Repl. by PENG 14

OCCUR=2

[1] AAIJ 19X D^0 come from D^{*+} and $\overline{B} \to D^0 \mu^- X$ decays (and c.c.) in pp collisions at 7 and 8 TeV. NODE=S032QP;LINKAGE=D

[2] Based on 5 fb^{-1} of data collected at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7, 8, 13 TeV. Allowing for CP violation, the direct CP violation in mixing is reported $1.00 < |q/p| < 1.35$ at the 68.3% CL for the $D^0 \to K^+ \pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+ \pi^-$. NODE=S032QP;LINKAGE=C

[3] The time-dependent Dalitz-plot analysis of $D^0 \to K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$ is employed. Decay-time information and interference on the Dalitz plot are used to distinguish doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decays from mixing and to measure the relative phase between $D^0 \to K^{*+} \pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^{*+} \pi^-$. This value allows CP violation and is sensitive to the sign of Δm. NODE=S032QP;LINKAGE=B

[4] Based on 3 fb^{-1} of data collected at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7, 8 TeV. Allowing for CP violation, the direct CP violation in mixing is reported $0.75 < |q/p| < 1.24$ at the 68.3% CL for the $D^0 \to K^+ \pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+ \pi^-$. NODE=S032QP;LINKAGE=A

[5] The phase of p/q is $(-14^{+16}_{-18} \pm 5)^\circ$. The ZHANG 07B value is from the time-dependent Dalitz-plot analysis of $D^0 \to K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$. Decay-time information and interference on the Dalitz plot are used to distinguish doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decays from mixing and to measure the relative phase between $D^0 \to K^{*+} \pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^{*+} \pi^-$. This value allows CP violation. NODE=S032QP;LINKAGE=ZH

A_Γ

NODE=S032AG

A_Γ is the decay-rate asymmetry for CP-even final states $A_\Gamma = (\overline{\tau}_+ - \tau_+) / (\overline{\tau}_+ + \tau_+)$. NODE=S032AG

See the note on "D^0–$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing" above.

NODE=S032AG

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.125 ± 0.526 OUR EVALUATION					→ UNCHECKED ←
-0.31 ± 0.23 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
$-0.44 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.06$	21M	[1] AAIJ	20	LHCB	$D^0 \to K^+ K^-$
$0.25 \pm 0.43 \pm 0.07$	7M	[2] AAIJ	20	LHCB	$D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.3 \pm 2.0 \pm 0.7$		[3] STARIC	16	BELL	$e^+ e^- \to \Upsilon(nS)$
-1.2 ± 1.2	1.8M	[4] AALTONEN	14Q	CDF	$p\overline{p}$, $\sqrt{s}$ = 1.96 TeV
$0.9 \pm 2.6 \pm 0.6$	0.7M	LEES	13	BABR	$e^+ e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
$-5.9 \pm 5.9 \pm 2.1$		[5] AAIJ	12K	LHCB	pp at 7 TeV, 2010 data.
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$-0.30 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.10$	9.6M	[5] AAIJ	17AK	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 20
$0.46 \pm 0.58 \pm 0.12$	3.0M	[6] AAIJ	17AK	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 20
$-1.34 \pm 0.77 \; {}^{+0.26}_{-0.34}$	2.3M	[7] AAIJ	15AA	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 20
$-0.92 \pm 1.45 \; {}^{+0.25}_{-0.33}$	0.8M	[8] AAIJ	15AA	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 20
$-0.35 \pm 0.62 \pm 0.12$		[5] AAIJ	14AL	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 17AK
$0.33 \pm 1.06 \pm 0.14$		[6] AAIJ	14AL	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 17AK
$2.6 \pm 3.6 \pm 0.8$		AUBERT	08U	BABR	See LEES 13
$0.1 \pm 3.0 \pm 2.5$		STARIC	07	BELL	Repl. by STARIC 16
$8 \pm 6 \pm 2$		AUBERT	03P	BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

OCCUR=2

[1] Measured using $D^0 \to K^+ K^-$ decays, combines measurements with D^0 either from partially reconstructed semileptonic B hadron decays or from $D^{*+} \to D^0 \pi^+$. NODE=S032AG;LINKAGE=F

[2] Measured using $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays, combines measurements with D^0 either from partially reconstructed semileptonic B hadron decays or from $D^{*+} \to D^0 \pi^+$. NODE=S032AG;LINKAGE=G

[3] An improved measurement of $\overline{D}^0 - D^0$ mixing and a search for CP violation in D^0 decays to CP-even final states $K^+ K^-$ and $\pi^+ \pi^-$ using the final Belle data sample of 976 fb^{-1}. NODE=S032AG;LINKAGE=E

[4] Combined result from $D^0 \to K^+ K^-$ and $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$, with D^0 from $D^{*+} \to D^0 \pi^+$ (and cc). NODE=S032AG;LINKAGE=AA

[5] Measured using $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ decays (and cc). NODE=S032AG;LINKAGE=A
[6] Measured using $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays (and cc). NODE=S032AG;LINKAGE=B
[7] Measured using $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ decays, with D^0 from partially reconstructed semileptonic B hadron decays. NODE=S032AG;LINKAGE=C
[8] Measured using $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays, with D^0 from partially reconstructed semileptonic B hadron decays. NODE=S032AG;LINKAGE=D

$\phi^{K^0_S \pi\pi}$

NODE=S032D03

Parametrizes CP violation in the interference between D^0 mixing and decay. The mass eigenstates D^0_1 and D^0_2 are related to the $C = \pm 1$ states by $|D_{1,2}> = \mathrm{p}\,|D^0> + \mathrm{q}\,|\overline{D}^0>$. In the absence of CP violation in the decay, and using the usual phase convention where CP conservation implies q/p is real, $\phi^{K^0_S \pi\pi}$ is identical to the decay-mode-independent parameter $\phi = \arg(\mathrm{q/p})$. NODE=S032D03

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$-0.09^{+0.10}_{-0.13}$ OUR AVERAGE					
$-0.09^{+0.11}_{-0.16}$	2.3M	[1] AAIJ	19X	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.10 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.05^{+0.05}_{-0.07}$	1.2M	[2] PENG	14	BELL	$e^+ e^-$ at $\Upsilon(4S, 5S)$

[1] AAIJ 19X D^0 come from D^{*+} and $\overline{B} \rightarrow D^0 \mu^- X$ decays (and c.c.) in pp collisions at 7 and 8 TeV. NODE=S032D03;LINKAGE=A
[2] The last uncertainty is due to the amplitude model . NODE=S032D03;LINKAGE=B

cos δ

NODE=S032DKP

δ is the $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ relative strong phase. NODE=S032DKP

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.97±0.11 OUR AVERAGE				NODE=S032DKP
$1.02 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.06$	[1] ABLIKIM	14C	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0$, 3.77 GeV
$0.81^{+0.22}_{-0.18}{}^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$	[2] ASNER	12	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0$, 3.77 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1.03^{+0.31}_{-0.17} \pm 0.06$	[3] ASNER	08	CLEO	Repl. by ASNER 12

[1] Uses quantum correlations in $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$ to measure the asymmetry of the branching fraction of $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ in CP-odd and CP-even eigenstates to be (12.7 ± 1.3 ± 0.7)%. A fit that includes external measurements of charm mixing parameters finds the value quoted above. NODE=S032DKP;LINKAGE=A
[2] Uses quantum correlations in $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where decay rates of CP-tagged $K\pi$ final states depend on the strong phases between the decays of $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$. The measurements obtained $\sin(\delta) = -0.01 \pm 0.41 \pm 0.04$ and $|\delta| = (10^{+28}_{-53}{}^{+13}_{-00})^\circ$ as well. A fit that includes external measurements of charm mixing parameters finds $\cos(\delta) = 1.15^{+0.19}_{-0.17}{}^{+0.00}_{-0.08}$, $\sin(\delta) = 0.56^{+0.32}_{-0.31}{}^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$, and $|\delta| = (18^{+11}_{-17})^\circ$. NODE=S032DKP;LINKAGE=AN
[3] ASNER 08 uses quantum correlations in $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where decay rates of CP-tagged $K\pi$ final states depend on cos δ because of interfering amplitudes. The above measurement implies $|\delta| < 75^\circ$ with a confidence level of 95%. A fit that includes external measurements of charm mixing parameters finds $\cos\delta = 1.10 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.07$. See also the note on "$D^0$– $\overline{D}^0$ Mixing" p. 783 in our 2008 Review (PDG 08). NODE=S032DKP;LINKAGE=AS

$D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ COHERENCE FACTOR $R_{K\pi\pi^0}$

NODE=S032CF1

See the note on 'D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing' for the definition. $R_{K\pi\pi^0}$ can have any value between 0 and 1. A value near 1 indicates the decay is dominated by a few intermediate states with limited interference. NODE=S032CF1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.82±0.06	[1,2,3] EVANS	16		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at $\psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.82 ± 0.07	[1,3] LIBBY	14		Repl. by EVANS 16
$0.78^{+0.11}_{-0.25}$	[4] LOWREY	09	CLEO	Repl. by LIBBY 14

[1] Uses quantum correlations in $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where the decay rates of CP-tagged $K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ final states depend on $R_{K\pi\pi^0}$ and $\delta^{K\pi\pi^0}$. NODE=S032CF1;LINKAGE=A
[2] A combined fit with a recent LHCb $D^0 \overline{D}^0$ mixing results in AAIJ 16F is also reported to be 0.81 ± 0.06. NODE=S032CF1;LINKAGE=C
[3] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032CF1;LINKAGE=D
[4] LOWREY 09 uses quantum correlations in $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where the decay rates of CP-tagged $K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ final states depend on $R_{K\pi\pi^0}$ and $\delta^{K\pi\pi^0}$. A fit that includes external measurements of charm mixing parameters gets $R_{K\pi\pi^0} = 0.84 \pm 0.07$. NODE=S032CF1;LINKAGE=LO

$D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ AVERAGE RELATIVE STRONG PHASE $\delta^{K\pi\pi^0}$

NODE=S032SP1

The quoted value of δ is based on the same sign CP phase of D^0 and $\overline{D}^0$ convention. NODE=S032SP1

NODE=S032SP1

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
199^{+13}_{-14}	[1,2,3] EVANS	16		$e^+ e^- \to D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at $\psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
164^{+20}_{-14}	[1,3] LIBBY	14		Repl. by EVANS 16
239^{+32}_{-28}	[4] LOWREY	09	CLEO	Repl. by LIBBY 14

[1] Uses quantum correlations in $e^+ e^- \to D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where the decay rates of CP-tagged $K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ final states depend on $R_{K\pi\pi^0}$ and $\delta^{K\pi\pi^0}$. NODE=S032SP1;LINKAGE=A

[2] A combined fit with a recent LHCB $D^0\overline{D}^0$ mixing results in AAIJ 16F is also reported to be 198^{+14}_{-15} degree. NODE=S032SP1;LINKAGE=C

[3] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032SP1;LINKAGE=D

[4] LOWREY 09 uses quantum correlations in $e^+ e^- \to D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where the decay rates of CP-tagged $K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ final states depend on $R_{K\pi\pi^0}$ and $\delta^{K\pi\pi^0}$. NODE=S032SP1;LINKAGE=LO

A fit that includes external measurements of charm mixing parameters gets $\delta^{K\pi\pi^0} = (227^{+14}_{-17})^\circ$.

$D^0 \to K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+$ COHERENCE FACTOR $R_{K3\pi}$

NODE=S032CF2

See the note on 'D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing' for the definition. $R_{K3\pi}$ can have any value between 0 and 1. A value near 1 indicates the decay is dominated by a few intermediate states with limited interference. NODE=S032CF2

NODE=S032CF2

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.53^{+0.18}_{-0.21}$		[1,2,3] EVANS	16		$e^+ e^- \to D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at $\psi(3770)$, pp at 7,8 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.458 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.023$	0.9M,3k	[4] AAIJ	18AI	LHCB	amplitude models
$0.32^{+0.20}_{-0.28}$		[1,3] LIBBY	14		Repl. by EVANS 16
$0.36^{+0.24}_{-0.30}$		[5] LOWREY	09	CLEO	Repl. by LIBBY 14

[1] Uses quantum correlations in $e^+ e^- \to D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where the decay rates of CP-tagged $K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+$ final states depend on $R_{K3\pi}$ and $\delta^{K3\pi}$. NODE=S032CF2;LINKAGE=A

[2] A combined fit with a recent LHCB $D^0\overline{D}^0$ mixing results in AAIJ 16F is also reported, to be $0.43^{+0.17}_{-0.13}$. NODE=S032CF2;LINKAGE=D

[3] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032CF2;LINKAGE=F

[4] Calculated from amplitude models to $D^0 \to K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+$ and $D^0 \to K^+ \pi^+ 2\pi^-$ and cc. Reports $0.458 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.020$ value where the 3rd uncertainty is the model uncertainty. We combined both systematic uncertainties in quadrature. Because of the importance of model independence in the practical use of the coherence factor, we do not include model-derived results in the average. NODE=S032CF2;LINKAGE=E

[5] LOWREY 09 uses quantum correlations in $e^+ e^- \to D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where the decay rates of CP-tagged $K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+$ final states depend on $R_{K3\pi}$ and $\delta^{K3\pi}$. A fit that includes external measurements of charm mixing parameters gets $R_{K3\pi} = 0.33^{+0.26}_{-0.23}$. NODE=S032CF2;LINKAGE=LO

$D^0 \to K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+$ AVERAGE RELATIVE STRONG PHASE $\delta^{K3\pi}$

NODE=S032SP2

The quoted value of δ is based on the same sign CP phase of D^0 and $\overline{D}^0$ convention. NODE=S032SP2

NODE=S032SP2

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
125^{+22}_{-14}	[1,2,3] EVANS	16		$e^+ e^- \to D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at $\psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
255^{+21}_{-78}	[1,3] LIBBY	14		Repl. by EVANS 16
118^{+62}_{-53}	[4] LOWREY	09	CLEO	Repl. by LIBBY 14

[1] Uses quantum correlations in $e^+ e^- \to D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where the decay rates of CP-tagged $K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+$ final states depend on $R_{K3\pi}$ and $\delta^{K3\pi}$. NODE=S032SP2;LINKAGE=A

[2] A combined fit with a recent LHCb $D^0\overline{D}^0$ mixing results in AAIJ 16F is also reported to be $(128^{+28}_{-17})^\circ$. NODE=S032SP2;LINKAGE=C

[3] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032SP2;LINKAGE=D

[4] LOWREY 09 uses quantum correlations in $e^+ e^- \to D^0 \overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where the decay rates of CP-tagged $K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+$ final states depend on $R_{K3\pi}$ and $\delta^{K3\pi}$. NODE=S032SP2;LINKAGE=LO

A fit that includes external measurements of charm mixing parameters gets $\delta^{K3\pi} = (114^{+26}_{-23})^\circ$.

$D^0 \to K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+$, $R_{K3\pi}$ ($y \cos\delta^{K3\pi} - x \sin\delta^{K3\pi}$)

NODE=S032A82
NODE=S032A82

VALUE (10^{-3} TeV^{-1})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−3.0±0.7	42.5k	[1] AAIJ	16F	LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV

[1] From a time-dependent analysis of D mixing in $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$. This result uses external constraints on $R_M = 1/2\ (x^2 + y^2)$. Without such constraints, AAIJ 16F measure $(0.3 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-3}$, with a large correlation coefficient to R_M.

NODE=S032A82;LINKAGE=A

$D^0 \to K^0_S K^+ \pi^-$ COHERENCE FACTOR $R_{K^0_S K\pi}$

NODE=S032CF3
NODE=S032CF3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.70±0.08	[1] INSLER	12	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at 3.77 GeV

[1] Uses quantum correlations in $e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where the signal side D decays to $K^0_S K\pi$ and the tag-side D decays to $K\pi$, $K\pi\pi\pi$, $K\pi\pi^0$, and 10 additional CP-even, CP-odd, and mixed CP modes involving K^0_S or K^0_L.

NODE=S032CF3;LINKAGE=IN

$D^0 \to K^0_S K^+ \pi^-$ AVERAGE RELATIVE STRONG PHASE $\delta^{K^0_S K\pi}$

NODE=S032SP3

The quoted value of δ is based on the same sign CP phase of D^0 and $\overline{D}^0$ convention.

NODE=S032SP3
NODE=S032SP3

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.1±15.7	[1] INSLER	12	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at 3.77 GeV

[1] Uses quantum correlations in $e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where the signal side D decays to $K^0_S K\pi$ and the tag-side D decays to $K\pi$, $K\pi\pi\pi$, $K\pi\pi^0$, and 10 additional CP-even, CP-odd, and mixed CP modes involving K^0_S or K^0_L.

NODE=S032SP3;LINKAGE=IN

$D^0 \to K^* K$ COHERENCE FACTOR $R_{K^* K}$

NODE=S032CF4
NODE=S032CF4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.94±0.12	[1] INSLER	12	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at 3.77 GeV

[1] Uses quantum correlations in $e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where the signal side D decays to $K^0_S K\pi$ and the tag-side D decays to $K\pi$, $K\pi\pi\pi$, $K\pi\pi^0$., and 10 additional CP-even, CP-odd, and mixed CP modes involving K^0_S or K^0_L.

NODE=S032CF4;LINKAGE=IN

$D^0 \to K^* K$ AVERAGE RELATIVE STRONG PHASE $\delta^{K^* K}$

NODE=S032SP4

The quoted value of δ is based on the same sign CP phase of D^0 and $\overline{D}^0$ convention.

NODE=S032SP4
NODE=S032SP4

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−16.6±18.4	[1] INSLER	12	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at 3.77 GeV

[1] Uses quantum correlations in $e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where the signal side D decays to $K^0_S K\pi$ and the tag-side D decays to $K\pi$, $K\pi\pi\pi$, $K\pi\pi^0$, and 10 additional CP-even, CP-odd, and mixed CP modes involving K^0_S or K^0_L.

NODE=S032SP4;LINKAGE=IN

D^0 DECAY MODES

NODE=S032235;NODE=S032

Most decay modes (other than the semileptonic modes) that involve a neutral K meson are now given as K^0_S modes, not as $\overline{K}^0$ modes. Nearly always it is a K^0_S that is measured, and interference between Cabibbo-allowed and doubly Cabibbo-suppressed modes can invalidate the assumption that $2\,\Gamma(K^0_S) = \Gamma(\overline{K}^0)$.

NODE=S032

	Mode		Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level	
	Topological modes				NODE=S032;CLUMP=P
Γ_1	0-prongs	[a]	(15 ± 6) %		DESIG=332
Γ_2	2-prongs		(71 ± 6) %		DESIG=333
Γ_3	4-prongs	[b]	(14.6 ± 0.5) %		DESIG=334
Γ_4	6-prongs	[c]	(6.5 ± 1.3) $\times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=335
	Inclusive modes				NODE=S032;CLUMP=A
Γ_5	e^+ anything	[d]	(6.49 ± 0.11) %		DESIG=10
Γ_6	μ^+ anything		(6.8 ± 0.6) %		DESIG=27
Γ_7	K^- anything		(54.7 ± 2.8) %	S=1.3	DESIG=11
Γ_8	$\overline{K}^0$ anything + K^0 anything		(47 ± 4) %		DESIG=13
Γ_9	K^+ anything		(3.4 ± 0.4) %		DESIG=12
Γ_{10}	$K^*(892)^-$ anything		(15 ± 9) %		DESIG=340

Γ_{11}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0$ anything		(9 ± 4) %		DESIG=312
Γ_{12}	$K^*(892)^+$ anything		< 3.6 %	CL=90%	DESIG=341
Γ_{13}	$K^*(892)^0$ anything		(2.8 ± 1.3) %		DESIG=313
Γ_{14}	η anything		(9.5 ± 0.9) %		DESIG=21
Γ_{15}	η' anything		(2.48 ± 0.27) %		DESIG=342
Γ_{16}	ϕ anything		(1.08 ± 0.04) %		DESIG=249
Γ_{17}	invisibles		$< 9.4 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=433
	Semileptonic modes				NODE=S032;CLUMP=B
Γ_{18}	$K^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$				DESIG=196
Γ_{19}	$K^- e^+ \nu_e$		(3.541 ± 0.034) %	S=1.3	DESIG=46
Γ_{20}	$K^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$		(3.41 ± 0.04) %		DESIG=77
Γ_{21}	$K^*(892)^- e^+ \nu_e$		(2.15 ± 0.16) %		DESIG=129
Γ_{22}	$K^*(892)^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$		(1.89 ± 0.24) %		DESIG=307
Γ_{23}	$K^- \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$		$(1.6 {}^{+1.3}_{-0.5})$ %		DESIG=127
Γ_{24}	$\overline{K}^0 \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$		(1.44 ± 0.04) %		DESIG=128
Γ_{25}	$(\overline{K}^0 \pi^-)_{S-wave} e^+ \nu_e$		$(7.9 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=550
Γ_{26}	$K^- \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$		$(2.8 {}^{+1.4}_{-1.1}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=360
Γ_{27}	$K_1(1270)^- e^+ \nu_e$		$(7.6 {}^{+4.0}_{-3.1}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=361
Γ_{28}	$K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$		$< 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=183
Γ_{29}	$(\overline{K}^*(892)\pi)^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$		$< 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=184
Γ_{30}	$\pi^- e^+ \nu_e$		$(2.91 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=49
Γ_{31}	$\pi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$		$(2.67 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=308
Γ_{32}	$\pi^- \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$		$(1.45 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=549
Γ_{33}	$\rho^- e^+ \nu_e$		$(1.50 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.9	DESIG=320
Γ_{34}	$a(980)^- e^+ \nu_e,\ a^- \to \eta \pi^-$		$(1.33 {}^{+0.34}_{-0.30}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=495
Γ_{35}	$b_1(1235)^- e^+ \nu_e,\ b_1^- \to \omega \pi^-$		$< 1.12 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=561
	Hadronic modes with one $\overline{K}$				NODE=S032;CLUMP=C
Γ_{36}	$K^- \pi^+$		(3.946 ± 0.030) %	S=1.2	DESIG=1
Γ_{37}	$K^0_S \pi^0$		(1.239 ± 0.022) %		DESIG=9
Γ_{38}	$K^0_L \pi^0$		$(10.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=363
Γ_{39}	$K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$	[e]	(2.80 ± 0.18) %	S=1.1	DESIG=3
Γ_{40}	$K^0_S \rho^0$		$(6.3 {}^{+0.6}_{-0.8}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=17
Γ_{41}	$K^0_S \omega,\ \omega \to \pi^+ \pi^-$		$(2.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=285
Γ_{42}	$K^0_S (\pi^+ \pi^-)_{S-wave}$		$(3.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=384
Γ_{43}	$K^0_S f_0(980),\ f_0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$		$(1.20 {}^{+0.40}_{-0.23}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=199
Γ_{44}	$K^0_S f_0(1370),\ f_0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$		$(2.8 {}^{+0.9}_{-1.3}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=201
Γ_{45}	$K^0_S f_2(1270),\ f_2 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$		$(9 {}^{+10}_{-6}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=200
Γ_{46}	$K^*(892)^- \pi^+,\ K^{*-} \to K^0_S \pi^-$		$(1.64 {}^{+0.14}_{-0.17})$ %		DESIG=81
Γ_{47}	$K^*_0(1430)^- \pi^+,\ K^{*-}_0 \to K^0_S \pi^-$		$(2.67 {}^{+0.40}_{-0.33}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=203
Γ_{48}	$K^*_2(1430)^- \pi^+,\ K^{*-}_2 \to K^0_S \pi^-$		$(3.4 {}^{+1.9}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=286
Γ_{49}	$K^*(1680)^- \pi^+,\ K^{*-} \to K^0_S \pi^-$		$(4.4 \pm 3.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=279
Γ_{50}	$K^*(892)^+ \pi^-,\ K^{*+} \to K^0_S \pi^+$	[f]	$(1.13 {}^{+0.60}_{-0.34}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=179
Γ_{51}	$K^*_0(1430)^+ \pi^-,\ K^{*+}_0 \to K^0_S \pi^+$	[f]	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=95%	DESIG=382
Γ_{52}	$K^*_2(1430)^+ \pi^-,\ K^{*+}_2 \to K^0_S \pi^+$	[f]	$< 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=95%	DESIG=383

Γ_{53}	$K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$ nonresonant		$(2.5 \; {}^{+6.0}_{-1.6}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=33
Γ_{54}	$K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	[e]	(14.4 ± 0.5) %	S=2.0	DESIG=8
Γ_{55}	$K^- \rho^+$		(11.2 ± 0.7) %		DESIG=16
Γ_{56}	$K^- \rho(1700)^+$, $\rho^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$		$(8.2 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=271
Γ_{57}	$K^*(892)^- \pi^+$, $K^*(892)^- \to K^- \pi^0$		$(2.31 \; {}^{+0.40}_{-0.20})$ %		DESIG=83
Γ_{58}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^0$, $\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \to K^- \pi^+$		(1.95 ± 0.24) %		DESIG=82
Γ_{59}	$K^*_0(1430)^- \pi^+$, $K^{*-}_0 \to K^- \pi^0$		$(4.8 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=272
Γ_{60}	$\overline{K}^*_0(1430)^0 \pi^0$, $\overline{K}^{*0}_0 \to K^- \pi^+$		$(5.9 \; {}^{+5.0}_{-1.6}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=273
Γ_{61}	$K^*(1680)^- \pi^+$, $K^{*-} \to K^- \pi^0$		$(1.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=274
Γ_{62}	$K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ nonresonant		$(1.15 \; {}^{+0.60}_{-0.20})$ %		DESIG=32
Γ_{63}	$K^0_S 2\pi^0$		$(9.1 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$	S=2.2	DESIG=185
Γ_{64}	$K^0_S (2\pi^0)_{S-wave}$		$(2.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=390
Γ_{65}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^0$, $\overline{K}^{*0} \to K^0_S \pi^0$		$(8.1 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=202
Γ_{66}	$\overline{K}^*(1430)^0 \pi^0$, $\overline{K}^{*0} \to K^0_S \pi^0$		$(4 \pm 23) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=391
Γ_{67}	$\overline{K}^*(1680)^0 \pi^0$, $\overline{K}^{*0} \to K^0_S \pi^0$		$(1.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=392
Γ_{68}	$K^0_S f_2(1270)$, $f_2 \to 2\pi^0$		$(2.3 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=393
Γ_{69}	$2K^0_S$, one $K^0_S \to 2\pi^0$		$(3.2 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=394
Γ_{70}	$K^0_S 2\pi^0$ nonresonant				DESIG=186
Γ_{71}	$K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^-$	[e]	(8.22 ± 0.14) %	S=1.1	DESIG=2
Γ_{72}	$K^- \pi^+ \rho^0$ total		(6.86 ± 0.31) %		DESIG=116
Γ_{73}	$K^- \pi^+ \rho^0$ 3-body		$(6.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=23
Γ_{74}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \rho^0$, $\overline{K}^{*0} \to K^- \pi^+$		(1.01 ± 0.05) %		DESIG=86
Γ_{75}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \rho^0$ transverse, $\overline{K}^{*0} \to K^- \pi^+$		(1.2 ± 0.4) %		DESIG=73
Γ_{76}	$K^- a_1(1260)^+$, $a_1^+ \to \rho^0 \pi^+$		(4.32 ± 0.32) %		DESIG=181
Γ_{77}	$K_1(1270)^- \pi^+$, $K_1^- \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ total		$(3.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=475
Γ_{78}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ total, $\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \to K^- \pi^+$				DESIG=168
Γ_{79}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ 3-body, $\overline{K}^{*0} \to K^- \pi^+$				DESIG=85
Γ_{80}	$K_1(1270)^- \pi^+$, $K_1^- \to \overline{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^-$, $\overline{K}^{*0} \to K^- \pi^+$		$(6.6 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=182
Γ_{81}	$K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^-$ nonresonant		(1.81 ± 0.07) %		DESIG=68
Γ_{82}	$K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	[g]	(5.2 ± 0.6) %		DESIG=143
Γ_{83}	$K^0_S \eta$, $\eta \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		$(1.17 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=135;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{84}	$K^0_S \omega$, $\omega \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		$(9.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=110;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{85}	$K^- \pi^+ 2\pi^0$		(8.86 ± 0.23) %		DESIG=20
Γ_{86}	$K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		(4.3 ± 0.4) %		DESIG=41
Γ_{87}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $\overline{K}^{*0} \to K^- \pi^+$		(1.3 ± 0.6) %		DESIG=55;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{88}	$K^- \pi^+ \omega$, $\omega \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		(2.8 ± 0.5) %		DESIG=206;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{89}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \omega$, $\overline{K}^{*0} \to K^- \pi^+$, $\omega \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		$(6.5 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=207;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{90}	$K^0_S \eta \pi^0$		(1.01 ± 0.05) %		DESIG=292
Γ_{91}	$K^0_S a_0(980)$, $a_0 \to \eta \pi^0$		(1.20 ± 0.28) %		DESIG=293
Γ_{92}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \eta$, $\overline{K}^{*0} \to K^0_S \pi^0$		$(2.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=294
Γ_{93}	$K^- \pi^+ \eta$		(1.88 ± 0.05) %	S=1.4	DESIG=553

Γ_{94}	$K^*(892)^0\eta$, $K^{*0} \to K^-\pi^+$	$(8.9 \,^{+0.8}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=566
Γ_{95}	$a_0(980)^+K^-$, $a_0^+ \to \eta\pi^+$	$(7.4 \,^{+0.9}_{-0.7}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=567
Γ_{96}	$K_2^*(1980)^-\pi^+$, $K_2^{*-} \to K^-\eta$	$(2.2 \,^{+1.7}_{-1.9}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=560
Γ_{97}	$K^-\pi^+\pi^0\eta$	$(4.49 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=556
Γ_{98}	$K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-\eta$	$(2.80 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=557
Γ_{99}	$K^0_S 2\pi^0\eta$	$(1.76 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=558
Γ_{100}	$K^0_S 2\pi^+2\pi^-$	$(2.66 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=97
Γ_{101}	$K^0_S\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$, no $K^*(892)^-$	$(1.1 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=174
Γ_{102}	$K^*(892)^-2\pi^+\pi^-$, $K^*(892)^- \to K^0_S\pi^-$, no ρ^0	$(5 \pm 7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=175
Γ_{103}	$K^*(892)^-\rho^0\pi^+$, $K^*(892)^- \to K^0_S\pi^-$	$(1.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=176
Γ_{104}	$K^0_S 2\pi^+2\pi^-$ nonresonant	$< 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=177
Γ_{105}	$\overline{K}^0\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0(\pi^0)$			DESIG=43
Γ_{106}	$K^-3\pi^+2\pi^-$	$(2.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=288
	Fractions of some of the following modes with resonances have already appeared above as submodes of particular charged-particle modes. These nine modes below are all corrected for unseen decays of the resonances.			CLUMP=D;NODE=S032
Γ_{107}	$K^0_S\eta$	$(5.08 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=65
Γ_{108}	$K^0_S\omega$	(1.11 ± 0.06) %		DESIG=64
Γ_{109}	$K^0_S\eta'(958)$	$(9.49 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=187
Γ_{110}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(1.9 ± 0.9) %		DESIG=56
Γ_{111}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0\eta$	(1.41 ± 0.12) %		DESIG=66
Γ_{112}	$K^-\pi^+\omega$	(3.1 ± 0.6) %		DESIG=197
Γ_{113}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0\omega$	(1.1 ± 0.5) %		DESIG=57
Γ_{114}	$K^-\pi^+\eta'(958)$	$(6.43 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=189
Γ_{115}	$K^0_S\eta'(958)\pi^0$	$(2.52 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=501
Γ_{116}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0\eta'(958)$	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=190
	Hadronic modes with three K's			NODE=S032;CLUMP=S
Γ_{117}	$K^0_S K^+K^-$	$(4.42 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=31
Γ_{118}	$K^0_S a_0(980)^0$, $a_0^0 \to K^+K^-$	$(2.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=321
Γ_{119}	$K^-a_0(980)^+$, $a_0^+ \to K^+K^0_S$	$(5.9 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=322
Γ_{120}	$K^+a_0(980)^-$, $a_0^- \to K^-K^0_S$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=95%	DESIG=323
Γ_{121}	$K^0_S f_0(980)$, $f_0 \to K^+K^-$	$< 9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=95%	DESIG=324
Γ_{122}	$K^0_S\phi$, $\phi \to K^+K^-$	$(2.03 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=114
Γ_{123}	$K^0_S f_0(1370)$, $f_0 \to K^+K^-$	$(1.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=325
Γ_{124}	$3K^0_S$	$(7.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.4	DESIG=58
Γ_{125}	$K^+2K^-\pi^+$	$(2.25 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=219
Γ_{126}	$K^+K^-\overline{K}^*(892)^0$, $\overline{K}^{*0} \to K^-\pi^+$	$(4.5 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=283
Γ_{127}	$K^-\pi^+\phi$, $\phi \to K^+K^-$	$(4.0 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=275
Γ_{128}	$\phi\overline{K}^*(892)^0$, $\phi \to K^+K^-$, $\overline{K}^{*0} \to K^-\pi^+$	$(1.08 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=284
Γ_{129}	$K^+2K^-\pi^+$ nonresonant	$(3.4 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=282
Γ_{130}	$2K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$	$(5.9 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=309

Pionic modes

NODE=S032;CLUMP=E

Γ_{131}	$\pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.453 \pm 0.024) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.4	DESIG=5
Γ_{132}	$2\pi^0$	$(8.26 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=173
Γ_{133}	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(1.49 ± 0.06) %	S=2.1	DESIG=29
Γ_{134}	$\rho^+\pi^-$	(1.01 ± 0.04) %		DESIG=326
Γ_{135}	$\rho^0\pi^0$	$(3.86 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=327
Γ_{136}	$\rho^-\pi^+$	$(5.15 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=328
Γ_{137}	$\rho(1450)^+\pi^-,\ \rho^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0$	$(1.6 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=367
Γ_{138}	$\rho(1450)^0\pi^0,\ \rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$	$(4.5 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=368
Γ_{139}	$\rho(1450)^-\pi^+,\ \rho^- \to \pi^-\pi^0$	$(2.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=369
Γ_{140}	$\rho(1700)^+\pi^-,\ \rho^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0$	$(6.1 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=370
Γ_{141}	$\rho(1700)^0\pi^0,\ \rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$	$(7.4 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=371
Γ_{142}	$\rho(1700)^-\pi^+,\ \rho^- \to \pi^-\pi^0$	$(4.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=372
Γ_{143}	$f_0(980)\pi^0,\ f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=330
Γ_{144}	$f_0(500)\pi^0,\ f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.22 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=329
Γ_{145}	$f_0(1370)\pi^0,\ f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$	$(5.5 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=373
Γ_{146}	$f_0(1500)\pi^0,\ f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$	$(5.8 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=374
Γ_{147}	$f_0(1710)\pi^0,\ f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$	$(4.6 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=375
Γ_{148}	$f_2(1270)\pi^0,\ f_2 \to \pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.96 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=376
Γ_{149}	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ nonresonant	$(1.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=377
Γ_{150}	$3\pi^0$	$(2.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=314
Γ_{151}	$2\pi^+2\pi^-$	$(7.55 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=18
Γ_{152}	$a_1(1260)^+\pi^-,\ a_1^+ \to 2\pi^+\pi^-$ total	$(4.53 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=348
Γ_{153}	$a_1(1260)^+\pi^-,\ a_1^+ \to \rho^0\pi^+$ S-wave	$(3.13 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=349
Γ_{154}	$a_1(1260)^+\pi^-,\ a_1^+ \to \rho^0\pi^+$ D-wave	$(1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=350
Γ_{155}	$a_1(1260)^+\pi^-,\ a_1^+ \to \sigma\pi^+$	$(6.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=351
Γ_{156}	$a_1(1260)^-\pi^+,\ a_1^- \to \rho^0\pi^-$ S-wave	$(2.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=445
Γ_{157}	$a_1(1260)^-\pi^+,\ a_1^- \to \sigma\pi^-$	$(6.0 \pm 3.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=447
Γ_{158}	$\pi(1300)^+\pi^-,\ \pi(1300)^+ \to \sigma\pi^+$	$(5.1 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=448
Γ_{159}	$\pi(1300)^-\pi^+,\ \pi(1300)^- \to \sigma\pi^-$	$(2.3 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=449
Γ_{160}	$a_1(1640)^+\pi^-,\ a_1^+ \to \rho^0\pi^+$ D-wave	$(3.2 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=450
Γ_{161}	$a_1(1640)^+\pi^-,\ a_1^+ \to \sigma\pi^+$	$(1.8 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=451
Γ_{162}	$\pi_2(1670)^+\pi^-,\ \pi_2^+ \to f_2(1270)^0\pi^+,\ f_2^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$	$(2.0 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=452
Γ_{163}	$\pi_2(1670)^+\pi^-,\ \pi_2^+ \to \sigma\pi^+$	$(2.6 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=453
Γ_{164}	$2\rho^0$ total	$(1.85 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=352
Γ_{165}	$2\rho^0$, parallel helicities	$(8.3 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=353
Γ_{166}	$2\rho^0$, perpendicular helicities	$(4.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=354
Γ_{167}	$2\rho^0$, longitudinal helicities	$(1.27 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=355
Γ_{168}	$2\rho(770)^0$, S-wave	$(1.8 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=456
Γ_{169}	$2\rho(770)^0$, P-wave	$(5.3 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=457
Γ_{170}	$2\rho(770)^0$, D-wave	$(6.2 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=458
Γ_{171}	Resonant $(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^+\pi^-$ 3-body total	$(1.51 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=356
Γ_{172}	$\sigma\pi^+\pi^-$	$(6.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=357
Γ_{173}	$\sigma\rho(770)^0$	$(5.0 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=455
Γ_{174}	$f_0(980)\pi^+\pi^-,\ f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=358
Γ_{175}	$f_2(1270)\pi^+\pi^-,\ f_2 \to \pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=359

Γ_{176}	$2f_2(1270),\ f_2 \to \pi^+\pi^-$		$(1.6 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=459
Γ_{177}	$f_0(1370)\sigma,\ f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$		$(1.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=454
Γ_{178}	$\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$		(1.02 ± 0.09) %		DESIG=315
Γ_{179}	$\eta\pi^0$	[h]	$(6.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1	DESIG=316
Γ_{180}	$\omega\pi^0$	[h]	$(1.17 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=317
Γ_{181}	$\omega\eta$		$(1.98 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1	DESIG=496
Γ_{182}	$2\pi^+2\pi^-\pi^0$		$(4.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=95
Γ_{183}	$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	[h]	$(1.16 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=318
Γ_{184}	$\omega\pi^+\pi^-$	[h]	$(1.33 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=319
Γ_{185}	$\omega\pi^0\pi^0$		$< 1.10 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=562
Γ_{186}	$\eta 2\pi^0$		$(3.8 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=498
Γ_{187}	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$		$(3.23 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=559
Γ_{188}	$3\pi^+3\pi^-$		$(4.3 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=96
Γ_{189}	$\eta'(958)\pi^0$		$(9.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=378
Γ_{190}	$\eta'(958)\pi^+\pi^-$		$(4.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=379
Γ_{191}	2η		$(2.11 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-3}$	S=2.3	DESIG=380
Γ_{192}	$2\eta\pi^0$		$(7.3 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=499
Γ_{193}	3η		$< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=500
Γ_{194}	$\eta\eta'(958)$		$(1.01 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=381

Hadronic modes with a $K\overline{K}$ pair NODE=S032;CLUMP=F

Γ_{195}	K^+K^-		$(4.08 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.6	DESIG=7
Γ_{196}	$2K^0_S$		$(1.41 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1	DESIG=35
Γ_{197}	$K^0_S K^-\pi^+$		$(3.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1	DESIG=93
Γ_{198}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 K^0_S,\ \overline{K}^{*0} \to K^-\pi^+$		$(8.2 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=91
Γ_{199}	$K^*(892)^+ K^-,\ K^{*+} \to K^0_S\pi^+$		$(1.89 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=412
Γ_{200}	$\overline{K}^*(1410)^0 K^0_S,\ \overline{K}^{*0} \to K^-\pi^+$		$(1.3 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=417
Γ_{201}	$K^*(1410)^+ K^-,\ K^{*+} \to K^0_S\pi^+$		$(3.2 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=414
Γ_{202}	$(K^-\pi^+)_{S-wave} K^0_S$		$(6.0 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=420
Γ_{203}	$(K^0_S\pi^+)_{S-wave} K^-$		$(3.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=419
Γ_{204}	$a_0(980)^-\pi^+,\ a_0^- \to K^0_S K^-$		$(1.3 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=423
Γ_{205}	$a_0(1450)^-\pi^+,\ a_0^- \to K^0_S K^-$		$(2.5 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=424
Γ_{206}	$a_2(1320)^-\pi^+,\ a_2^- \to K^0_S K^-$		$(5 \pm 5) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=429
Γ_{207}	$\rho(1450)^-\pi^+,\ \rho^- \to K^0_S K^-$		$(4.6 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=425
Γ_{208}	$K^0_S K^+\pi^-$		$(2.17 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1	DESIG=123
Γ_{209}	$K^*(892)^0 K^0_S,\ K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-$		$(1.12 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=124
Γ_{210}	$K^*(892)^- K^+,\ K^{*-} \to K^0_S\pi^-$		$(6.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=413
Γ_{211}	$K^*(1410)^0 K^0_S,\ K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^+$		$(5 \pm 8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=418
Γ_{212}	$K^*(1410)^- K^+,\ K^{*-} \to K^0_S\pi^-$		$(2.6 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=415
Γ_{213}	$(K^+\pi^-)_{S-wave} K^0_S$		$(3.7 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=421
Γ_{214}	$(K^0_S\pi^-)_{S-wave} K^+$		$(1.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=422
Γ_{215}	$a_0(980)^+\pi^-,\ a_0^+ \to K^0_S K^+$		$(6 \pm 4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=426
Γ_{216}	$a_0(1450)^+\pi^-,\ a_0^+ \to K^0_S K^+$		$(3.2 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=427
Γ_{217}	$\rho(1700)^+\pi^-,\ \rho^+ \to K^0_S K^+$		$(1.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=428
Γ_{218}	$K^+K^-\pi^0$		$(3.42 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=243
Γ_{219}	$K^*(892)^+ K^-,\ K^*(892)^+ \to K^+\pi^0$		$(1.52 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=344

Γ_{220}	$K^*(892)^- K^+$, $K^*(892)^- \to K^- \pi^0$	$(5.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=345
Γ_{221}	$(K^+ \pi^0)_{S-wave} K^-$	$(2.43 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=364
Γ_{222}	$(K^- \pi^0)_{S-wave} K^+$	$(1.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=365
Γ_{223}	$f_0(980) \pi^0$, $f_0 \to K^+ K^-$	$(3.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=366
Γ_{224}	$\phi \pi^0$, $\phi \to K^+ K^-$	$(6.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=346
Γ_{225}	$K^+ K^- \pi^0$ nonresonant		DESIG=347
Γ_{226}	$2K^0_S \pi^0$	$< 5.9 \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=242
Γ_{227}	$K^+ K^- \eta$	$(5.9 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=554
Γ_{228}	$2K^0_S \eta$	$(1.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=555
Γ_{229}	$K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	$(6.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=563
Γ_{230}	$K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(2.47 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=63
Γ_{231}	$\phi(\pi^+ \pi^-)_{S-wave}$, $\phi \to K^+ K^-$	$(10 \pm 5) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=115
Γ_{232}	$(\phi \rho^0)_{S-wave}$, $\phi \to K^+ K^-$	$(6.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=134
Γ_{233}	$(\phi \rho^0)_{P-wave}$, $\phi \to K^+ K^-$	$(4.0 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=461
Γ_{234}	$(\phi \rho^0)_{D-wave}$, $\phi \to K^+ K^-$	$(4.2 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=395
Γ_{235}	$K^*(892)^0 \overline{K}^*(892)^0$, $K^{*0} \to K^\pm \pi^\mp$		DESIG=137
Γ_{236}	$K^+ K^- \rho^0$ 3-body		DESIG=220
Γ_{237}	$f_0(980) \pi^+ \pi^-$, $f_0 \to K^+ K^-$		DESIG=338
Γ_{238}	$(K^*(892)^0 \overline{K}^*(892)^0)_{S-wave}$, $K^{*0} \to K^\pm \pi^\mp$	$(2.24 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=396
Γ_{239}	$(K^*(892)^0 \overline{K}^*(892)^0)_{P-wave}$, $K^* \to K^\pm \pi^\mp$	$(1.20 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=462
Γ_{240}	$(K^*(892)^0 \overline{K}^*(892)^0)_{D-wave}$, $K^* \to K^\pm \pi^\mp$	$(4.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=463
Γ_{241}	$K^*(892)^0 K^\mp \pi^\pm$ 3-body, $K^{*0} \to K^\pm \pi^\mp$		DESIG=208
Γ_{242}	$K^*(892)^0 (K^- \pi^+)_{S-wave}$ 3-body, $K^{*0} \to K^+ \pi^-$	$(1.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=470
Γ_{243}	$(K^- \pi^+)_{P-wave}$, $(K^+ \pi^-)_{S-wave}$		DESIG=397
Γ_{244}	$K_1(1270)^\pm K^\mp$, $K_1^\pm \to K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$		DESIG=336
Γ_{245}	$K_1(1270)^+ K^-$, $K_1^+ \to K^{*0} \pi^+$	$(1.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=398
Γ_{246}	$K_1(1270)^+ K^-$, $K_1^+ \to K^*(1430)^0 \pi^+$, $K^{*0} \to K^+ \pi^-$	$(1.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=466
Γ_{247}	$K_1(1270)^+ K^-$, $K_1^+ \to \rho^0 K^+$	$(2.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=399
Γ_{248}	$K_1(1270)^+ K^-$, $K_1^+ \to \omega(782) K^+$, $\omega \to \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(1.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=467
Γ_{249}	$K_1(1270)^- K^+$, $K_1^- \to \overline{K}^{*0} \pi^-$		DESIG=400
Γ_{250}	$K_1(1270)^- K^+$, $K_1^- \to \rho^0 K^-$	$(1.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=401
Γ_{251}	$K_1(1400)^\pm K^\mp$, $K_1^\pm \to K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$		DESIG=337
Γ_{252}	$K_1(1400)^+ K^-$, $K_1^+ \to K^*(892)^0 \pi^+$, $K^{*0} \to K^+ \pi^-$	$(4.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=465
Γ_{253}	$K^*(1410)^+ K^-$, $K^{*+} \to K^{*0} \pi^+$		DESIG=402
Γ_{254}	$K^*(1410)^- K^+$, $K^{*-} \to \overline{K}^{*0} \pi^-$	$(7.0 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=403
Γ_{255}	$K_1(1680)^+ K^-$, $K_1^+ \to K^{*0} \pi^+$, $K^{*0} \to K^+ \pi^-$	$(8.9 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=468

Γ_{256}	$K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ non-resonant		$(2.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=469
Γ_{257}	$2K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$		$(5.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=215
Γ_{258}	$K^0_S K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$		$(1.32 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=564
Γ_{259}	$K^0_S K^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		$(6.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=565
Γ_{260}	$K^0_S K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^-$		$< 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=178
Γ_{261}	$K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		$(3.1 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=131
	Other $K\overline{K}X$ modes. They include all decay modes of the ϕ, η, and ω.				CLUMP=G;NODE=S032
Γ_{262}	$\phi \pi^0$		$(1.17 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=212
Γ_{263}	$\phi \eta$		$(1.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=213
Γ_{264}	$\phi \omega$		$< 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=214
	Radiative modes				NODE=S032;CLUMP=I
Γ_{265}	$\rho^0 \gamma$		$(1.82 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=245
Γ_{266}	$\omega \gamma$		$< 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=246
Γ_{267}	$\phi \gamma$		$(2.81 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=247
Γ_{268}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \gamma$		$(4.1 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=248
	Doubly Cabibbo suppressed (*DC*) modes or $\Delta C = 2$ forbidden via mixing (*C2M*) modes				NODE=S032;CLUMP=T
Γ_{269}	$K^+ \ell^- \overline{\nu}_\ell$ via $\overline{D}^0$		$< 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=241;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{270}	K^+ or $K^*(892)^+ e^- \overline{\nu}_e$ via $\overline{D}^0$		$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=311;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{271}	$K^+ \pi^-$	*DC*	$(1.50 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4}$	S=3.0	DESIG=50
Γ_{272}	$K^+ \pi^-$ via DCS		$(1.363 \pm 0.025) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=362
Γ_{273}	$K^+ \pi^-$ via $\overline{D}^0$		$< 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=95%	DESIG=6
Γ_{274}	$K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$ in $D^0 \to \overline{D}^0$		$< 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=95%	DESIG=339
Γ_{275}	$K^*(892)^+ \pi^-$, $K^{*+} \to K^0_S \pi^+$	*DC*	$(1.13 {}^{+0.60}_{-0.34}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=287;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{276}	$K^*_0(1430)^+ \pi^-$, $K^{*+}_0 \to K^0_S \pi^+$	*DC*	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=385;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{277}	$K^*_2(1430)^+ \pi^-$, $K^{*+}_2 \to K^0_S \pi^+$	*DC*	$< 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=386;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{278}	$K^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	*DC*	$(3.05 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=277
Γ_{279}	$K^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ via $\overline{D}^0$		$(7.6 {}^{+0.5}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=343
Γ_{280}	$K^+ \pi^+ 2\pi^-$ via DCS		$(2.49 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=432
Γ_{281}	$K^+ \pi^+ 2\pi^-$	*DC*	$(2.65 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=51
Γ_{282}	$K^+ \pi^+ 2\pi^-$ via $\overline{D}^0$		$(7.9 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=222
Γ_{283}	$K^+ \pi^-$ or $K^+ \pi^+ 2\pi^-$ via $\overline{D}^0$				DESIG=244
Γ_{284}	μ^- anything via $\overline{D}^0$		$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=26
	$\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current (*C1*) modes, Lepton Family number (*LF*) violating modes, Lepton (*L*) or Baryon (*B*) number violating modes				NODE=S032;CLUMP=H
Γ_{285}	$\gamma \gamma$	*C1*	$< 8.5 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=45
Γ_{286}	$e^+ e^-$	*C1*	$< 7.9 \times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=39
Γ_{287}	$\mu^+ \mu^-$	*C1*	$< 6.2 \times 10^{-9}$	CL=90%	DESIG=28
Γ_{288}	$\pi^0 e^+ e^-$	*C1*	$< 4 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=225
Γ_{289}	$\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	*C1*	$< 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=216
Γ_{290}	$\eta e^+ e^-$	*C1*	$< 3 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=226
Γ_{291}	$\eta \mu^+ \mu^-$	*C1*	$< 5.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=227
Γ_{292}	$\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$	*C1*	$< 7 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=262
Γ_{293}	$\rho^0 e^+ e^-$	*C1*	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=52
Γ_{294}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	*C1*	$(9.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=263
Γ_{295}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ (non-res)		$< 5.5 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=471
Γ_{296}	$\rho^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	*C1*	$< 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=53
Γ_{297}	$\omega e^+ e^-$	*C1*	$< 6 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=228
Γ_{298}	$\omega \mu^+ \mu^-$	*C1*	$< 8.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=229
Γ_{299}	$K^- K^+ e^+ e^-$	*C1*	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=266

Γ_{300}	$\phi e^+ e^-$	C1		$<$ 5.2	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=230
Γ_{301}	$K^- K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	C1		(1.54 $\pm$ 0.32)	$\times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=267
Γ_{302}	$K^- K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ (non-res)			$<$ 3.3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=473
Γ_{303}	$\phi \mu^+ \mu^-$	C1		$<$ 3.1	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=231
Γ_{304}	$\overline{K}^0 e^+ e^-$		[i]	$<$ 2.4	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=67
Γ_{305}	$\overline{K}^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$		[i]	$<$ 2.6	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=217
Γ_{306}	$K^- \pi^+ e^+ e^-$						DESIG=264
Γ_{307}	$K^- \pi^+ e^+ e^-$, 675 $<$ m_{ee} $<$ 875 MeV			(4.0 $\pm$ 0.5)	$\times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=551
Γ_{308}	$K^- \pi^+ e^+ e^-$, 1.005 $<$ m_{ee} $<$ 1.035 GeV			$<$ 5	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=552
Γ_{309}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ e^-$		[i]	$<$ 4.7	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=232
Γ_{310}	$K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	C1		$<$ 3.59	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=265
Γ_{311}	$K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$, 675 $<$ $m_{\mu\mu}$ $<$ 875 MeV			(4.2 $\pm$ 0.4)	$\times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=434
Γ_{312}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$		[i]	$<$ 2.4	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=233
Γ_{313}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	C1		$<$ 8.1	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=218
Γ_{314}	$\mu^\pm e^\mp$	LF	[j]	$<$ 1.3	$\times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=40
Γ_{315}	$\pi^0 e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	[j]	$<$ 8.0	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=234
Γ_{316}	$\eta e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	[j]	$<$ 2.25	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=235
Γ_{317}	$\pi^+ \pi^- e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	[j]	$<$ 1.71	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=268
Γ_{318}	$\rho^0 e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	[j]	$<$ 5.0	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=236
Γ_{319}	$\omega e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	[j]	$<$ 1.71	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=237
Γ_{320}	$K^- K^+ e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	[j]	$<$ 1.00	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=270
Γ_{321}	$\phi e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	[j]	$<$ 5.1	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=238
Γ_{322}	$\overline{K}^0 e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	[j]	$<$ 1.74	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=239
Γ_{323}	$K^- \pi^+ e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	[j]	$<$ 1.90	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=269
Γ_{324}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	[j]	$<$ 1.25	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=240
Γ_{325}	$2\pi^- 2e^+$	L		$<$ 9.1	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=253
Γ_{326}	$2\pi^- 2\mu^+$	L		$<$ 1.52	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=254
Γ_{327}	$K^- \pi^- 2e^+$	L		$<$ 5.0	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=255
Γ_{328}	$K^- \pi^- 2\mu^+$	L		$<$ 5.3	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=256
Γ_{329}	$2K^- 2e^+$	L		$<$ 3.4	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=257
Γ_{330}	$2K^- 2\mu^+$	L		$<$ 1.0	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=258
Γ_{331}	$\pi^- \pi^- e^+ \mu^+$	L		$<$ 3.06	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=259
Γ_{332}	$K^- \pi^- e^+ \mu^+$	L		$<$ 2.10	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=260
Γ_{333}	$2K^- e^+ \mu^+$	L		$<$ 5.8	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=261
Γ_{334}	$p e^-$	L,B	[k]	$<$ 1.0	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=387
Γ_{335}	$\overline{p} e^+$	L,B	[l]	$<$ 1.1	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=388
							NODE=S032;CLUMP=Z
Γ_{336}	Unaccounted decay modes			(35.0 $\pm$ 1.2) %		S=1.1	DESIG=19

[a] This value is obtained by subtracting the branching fractions for 2-, 4- and 6-prongs from unity. LINKAGE=TP0

[b] This is the sum of our $K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^-$, $K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $\overline{K}^0 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$, $K^+ 2K^- \pi^+$, $2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$, $2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$, and $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, branching fractions. LINKAGE=TP4

[c] This is the sum of our $K^- 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$ and $3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$ branching fractions. LINKAGE=TP6

[d] The branching fractions for the $K^- e^+ \nu_e$, $K^*(892)^- e^+ \nu_e$, $\pi^- e^+ \nu_e$, and $\rho^- e^+ \nu_e$ modes add up to 6.17 $\pm$ 0.17 %. LINKAGE=EAN

[e] The branching fraction for this mode may differ from the sum of the submodes that contribute to it, due to interference effects. See the relevant papers. LINKAGE=SDQ

[f] This is a doubly Cabibbo-suppressed mode. LINKAGE=DCS

[g] Submodes of the $D^0 \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ mode with a K^* and/or ρ were studied by COFFMAN 92B, but with only 140 events. With nothing new for 18 years, we refer to our 2008 edition, Physics Letters **B667** 1 (2008), for those results. LINKAGE=DKP

[h] This branching fraction includes all the decay modes of the resonance in the final state. LINKAGE=ADC

[i] This mode is not a useful test for a ΔC=1 weak neutral current because both quarks must change flavor in this decay. LINKAGE=FIX

[j] The value is for the sum of the charge states or particle/antiparticle states indicated. LINKAGE=SG

[k] This limit is for either D^0 or $\overline{D}^0$ to $p e^-$. LINKAGE=DPE

[l] This limit is for either D^0 or $\overline{D}^0$ to $\overline{p} e^+$. LINKAGE=PEP

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 66 branching ratios uses 128 measurements and one constraint to determine 33 parameters. The overall fit has a χ^2 = 143.7 for 96 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_{19}	0									
x_{20}	6	0								
x_{21}	0	0	0							
x_{30}	0	0	0	0						
x_{31}	0	0	0	0	0					
x_{36}	0	4	0	1	4	0				
x_{37}	0	1	0	1	1	0	15			
x_{39}	0	0	0	14	0	0	5	8		
x_{54}	0	1	0	0	1	0	22	3	1	
x_{71}	0	2	0	0	2	0	46	7	2	10
x_{82}	0	0	0	5	0	0	2	3	37	0
x_{86}	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	1	0	2
x_{93}	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	1
x_{107}	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	1
x_{108}	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0
x_{109}	0	0	0	2	0	0	9	2	12	2
x_{124}	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	2	21	0
x_{131}	0	2	0	0	2	0	42	6	2	9
x_{132}	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	2
x_{133}	0	1	0	0	1	0	19	3	1	81
x_{151}	0	1	0	0	1	0	30	4	2	6
x_{179}	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	1
x_{189}	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	1
x_{191}	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
x_{194}	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
x_{195}	0	2	0	0	2	0	49	7	3	11
x_{196}	0	0	0	1	0	0	7	47	4	2
x_{197}	0	0	0	5	0	0	3	3	34	1
x_{208}	0	0	0	5	0	0	3	3	34	1
x_{267}	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	2	1	2
x_{271}	0	1	0	0	1	0	16	3	1	3
x_{336}	−48	−4	−6	−18	−1	−1	−24	−8	−39	−50
	x_6	x_{19}	x_{20}	x_{21}	x_{30}	x_{31}	x_{36}	x_{37}	x_{39}	x_{54}

	x_{71}	x_{82}	x_{86}	x_{93}	x_{107}	x_{108}	x_{109}	x_{124}	x_{131}	x_{132}
x_{82}	1									
x_{86}	12	0								
x_{93}	3	0	0							
x_{107}	3	0	0	0						
x_{108}	0	12	0	0	0					
x_{109}	4	4	1	0	0	1				
x_{124}	1	8	0	0	0	1	2			
x_{131}	19	1	3	2	2	0	4	0		
x_{132}	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	
x_{133}	9	0	2	1	1	0	2	0	8	1
x_{151}	46	1	6	2	2	0	3	0	13	2
x_{179}	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
x_{189}	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
x_{191}	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
x_{194}	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
x_{195}	22	1	4	3	3	0	4	1	21	3
x_{196}	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	0
x_{197}	1	13	0	0	0	2	4	7	1	0
x_{208}	1	13	0	0	0	2	4	7	1	0
x_{267}	5	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	5	1
x_{271}	7	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	7	1
x_{336}	−23	−57	−36	−5	−2	−12	−9	−9	−10	−2

	x_{133}	x_{151}	x_{179}	x_{189}	x_{191}	x_{194}	x_{195}	x_{196}	x_{197}	x_{208}
x_{151}	6									
x_{179}	1	1								
x_{189}	1	1	0							
x_{191}	0	0	0	0						
x_{194}	0	1	0	0	0					
x_{195}	9	15	2	2	1	1				
x_{196}	1	2	0	0	0	0	3			
x_{197}	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1		
x_{208}	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	100	
x_{267}	2	3	0	0	0	0	18	1	0	0
x_{271}	3	5	1	1	0	0	9	1	0	0
x_{336}	−42	−13	−1	−2	−2	−2	−12	−4	−19	−19

	x_{267}	x_{271}
x_{271}	2	
x_{336}	−3	−4

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 3 branching ratios uses 3 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 =$ 0.0 for 0 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{total}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	−100		
x_3	−46	39	
x_4	0	0	0
	x_1	x_2	x_3

D^0 BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=S032240

Some older now obsolete results have been omitted from these Listings.

NODE=S032240

Topological modes

NODE=S032305

Γ(0-prongs)/Γ_{total} — Γ_1/Γ

NODE=S032S37

This value is obtained by subtracting the branching fractions for 2-, 4-, and 6-prongs from unity.

NODE=S032S37

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
0.15±0.06 OUR FIT	

NODE=S032S37

Γ(4-prongs)/Γ(2-prongs) — Γ_3/Γ_2

NODE=S032S35

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.207±0.016 OUR FIT					
0.207±0.016±0.004	226	ONENGUT	05	CHRS	ν_μ emulsion, $\overline{E}_\nu \approx 27$ GeV

NODE=S032S35

Γ(4-prongs)/Γ_{total} — Γ_3/Γ

NODE=S032S34

This is the sum of our $K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^-$, $K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $\overline{K}^0 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$, $K^+ 2K^- \pi^+$, $2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$, $2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$, and $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ branching fractions.

NODE=S032S34

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	
0.146±0.005 OUR FIT		
0.146±0.005	PDG	19

NODE=S032S34

Γ(6-prongs)/Γ_{total} — Γ_4/Γ

NODE=S032S36

This is the sum of our $K^- 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$ and $3\pi^+ 3\pi^-$ branching fractions.

NODE=S032S36

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	
6.5±1.3 OUR FIT		
6.5±1.3	PDG	19

NODE=S032S36

Inclusive modes

NODE=S032310

$\Gamma(e^+ \text{anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_5/Γ

NODE=S032R5

The branching fractions for the $K^- e^+ \nu_e$, $K^*(892)^- e^+ \nu_e$, $\pi^- e^+ \nu_e$, and $\rho^- e^+ \nu_e$ modes add up to 6.17 ± 0.17 %.

NODE=S032R5

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.49±0.11 OUR AVERAGE					
6.46±0.09±0.11	6584 ± 96	[1] ASNER	10	CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at 3774 MeV
6.3 ±0.7 ±0.4	290 ± 32	ABLIKIM	07G	BES2	$e^+ e^- \approx \psi(3770)$
6.46±0.17±0.13	2246 ± 57	ADAM	06A	CLEO	See ASNER 10
6.9 ±0.3 ±0.5	1670	ALBRECHT	96C	ARG	$e^+ e^- \approx$ 10 GeV
6.64±0.18±0.29	4609	KUBOTA	96B	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

NODE=S032R5

[1] Using the D^+ and D^0 lifetimes, ASNER 10 finds that the ratio of the D^+ and D^0 semileptonic widths is 0.985 ± 0.015 ± 0.024.

NODE=S032R5;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(\mu^+ \text{anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_6/Γ

NODE=S032S60

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.8±0.6 OUR FIT					
6.4±0.8 OUR AVERAGE					
6.8±1.5±0.8	79 ± 10	[1] ABLIKIM	08L	BES2	$e^+ e^- \approx \psi(3772)$
6.5±1.2±0.3	36	KAYIS-TOPAK.	05	CHRS	ν_μ emulsion
6.0±0.7±1.2	310	ALBRECHT	96C	ARG	$e^+ e^- \approx$ 10 GeV

NODE=S032S60

[1] ABLIKIM 08L finds the ratio of $D^+ \to \mu^+ X$ and $D^0 \to \mu^+ X$ branching fractions to be 2.59 ± 0.70 ± 0.25, in accord with the ratio of D^+ and D^0 lifetimes, 2.54 ± 0.02.

NODE=S032S60;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(K^- \text{anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_7/Γ

NODE=S032R1
NODE=S032R1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.547±0.028 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.				
0.578±0.016±0.032	2098 ± 59	ABLIKIM	07G	BES2	$e^+e^- \approx \psi(3770)$
$0.546^{+0.039}_{-0.038}$		[1] BARLAG	92C	ACCM	π^- Cu 230 GeV
0.609±0.032±0.052		COFFMAN	91	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
0.42 ±0.08		AGUILAR-...	87E	HYBR	πp, pp 360, 400 GeV
0.55 ±0.11	121	SCHINDLER	81	MRK2	e^+e^- 3.771 GeV
0.35 ±0.10	19	VUILLEMIN	78	LGW	e^+e^- 3.772 GeV

[1] BARLAG 92C computes the branching fraction using topological normalization.

NODE=S032R1;LINKAGE=BD



$[\Gamma(\overline{K}^0 \text{anything}) + \Gamma(K^0 \text{anything})]/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_8/Γ

NODE=S032R3
NODE=S032R3

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.47 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
0.476±0.048±0.030	250 ± 25	ABLIKIM	06U	BES2	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV
0.455±0.050±0.032		COFFMAN	91	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

$\Gamma(K^+ \text{anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_9/Γ

NODE=S032R2
NODE=S032R2

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.034±0.004 OUR AVERAGE					
0.035±0.007±0.003	119 ± 23	ABLIKIM	07G	BES2	$e^+e^- \approx \psi(3770)$
$0.034^{+0.007}_{-0.005}$		[1] BARLAG	92C	ACCM	π^- Cu 230 GeV
0.028±0.009±0.004		COFFMAN	91	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
$0.03\ ^{+0.05}_{-0.02}$		AGUILAR-...	87E	HYBR	πp, pp 360, 400 GeV
0.08 ±0.03	25	SCHINDLER	81	MRK2	e^+e^- 3.771 GeV

[1] BARLAG 92C computes the branching fraction using topological normalization.

NODE=S032R2;LINKAGE=BD

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^- \text{anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{10}/Γ

NODE=S032R4
NODE=S032R4

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.153±0.083±0.019	28 ± 15	ABLIKIM	06U	BES2	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \text{anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{11}/Γ

NODE=S032C27
NODE=S032C27

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.087±0.040±0.012	96 ± 44	ABLIKIM	05P	BES	$e^+e^- \approx$ 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ \text{anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{12}/Γ

NODE=S032R52
NODE=S032R52

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.036	90	ABLIKIM	06U	BES2	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{13}/Γ

NODE=S032C28
NODE=S032C28

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.028±0.012±0.004	31 ± 12	ABLIKIM	05P	BES	$e^+e^- \approx$ 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(\eta \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{14}/Γ

NODE=S032R6

This ratio includes η particles from η' decays.

NODE=S032R6
NODE=S032R6

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.5±0.4±0.8	4463 ± 197	HUANG	06B	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

$\Gamma(\eta' \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{15}/Γ

NODE=S032R01
NODE=S032R01

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.48±0.17±0.21	299 ± 21	HUANG	06B	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

$\Gamma(\phi \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{16}/Γ

NODE=S032S65
NODE=S032S65

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.08 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
1.091±0.027±0.035	4.1k	ABLIKIM	19AY	BES3	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV
1.05 ±0.08 ±0.07	368 ± 24	HUANG	06B	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$1.71\ ^{+0.76}_{-0.71}\ \pm 0.17$	9	BAI	00C	BES	$e^+e^- \to D\overline{D}^*, D^*\overline{D}^*$

$\Gamma(\text{invisibles})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{17}/Γ

NODE=S032P24
NODE=S032P24

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<9.4 × 10^{-5}	90	LAI	17	BELL	e^+e^- at Υ(nS), n=4,5

Semileptonic modes

NODE=S032315

$\Gamma(K^- e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{19}/Γ

NODE=S032R57
NODE=S032R57

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.541±0.034 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3. [(3.542 ± 0.035)% OUR 2020 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]					
3.503±0.029 OUR AVERAGE					
3.505±0.014±0.033	71k	[1] ABLIKIM	15X	BES3	2.92 fb^{-1}, 3.773 GeV
3.50 ±0.03 ±0.04	14.1k	[1] BESSON	09	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
3.45 ±0.10 ±0.19	1.3k	[2] WIDHALM	06	BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
3.82 ±0.40 ±0.27	104	ABLIKIM	04C	BES	e^+e^-, 3.773 GeV
3.4 ±0.5 ±0.4	55	ADLER	89	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3.56 ±0.03 ±0.09		[3] DOBBS	08	CLEO	See BESSON 09
3.44 ±0.10 ±0.10	1.3k	COAN	05	CLEO	See DOBBS 08

NEW

[1] See the form-factor parameters near the end of this D^0 Listing. NODE=S032R57;LINKAGE=BE

[2] The $\pi^- e^+ \nu_e$ and $K^- e^+ \nu_e$ results of WIDHALM 06 give $|\frac{V_{cd}}{V_{cs}} \cdot \frac{f_+^\pi(0)}{f_+^K(0)}|^2 = 0.042 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.003$. NODE=S032R57;LINKAGE=WI

[3] DOBBS 08 establishes $|\frac{V_{cd}}{V_{cs}} \cdot \frac{f_+^\pi(0)}{f_+^K(0)}| = 0.188 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.002$ from the D^+ and D^0 decays to $\overline{K} e^+ \nu_e$ and $\pi e^+ \nu_e$. NODE=S032R57;LINKAGE=DO

$\Gamma(K^- e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(K^- \pi^+)$ — Γ_{19}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032R66
NODE=S032R66

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.897±0.011 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.4. [0.897 ± 0.011 OUR 2020 FIT Scale factor = 1.4]					
0.930±0.013 OUR AVERAGE					
0.927±0.007±0.012	76k±323	[1] AUBERT	07BG	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.978±0.027±0.044	2510	[2] BEAN	93C	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.90 ±0.06 ±0.06	584	[3] CRAWFORD	91B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV
0.91 ±0.07 ±0.11	250	[4] ANJOS	89F	E691	Photoproduction

NEW

[1] The event samples in this AUBERT 07BG result include radiative photons. The $D^0 \to K^- e^+ \nu_e$ form factor at $q^2 = 0$ is $f_+(0) = 0.727 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.007$. NODE=S032R66;LINKAGE=AU

[2] BEAN 93C uses $K^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ as well as $K^- e^+ \nu_e$ events and makes a small phase-space adjustment to the number of the μ^+ events to use them as e^+ events. A pole mass of $2.00 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.18$ GeV/c^2 is obtained from the q^2 dependence of the decay rate. NODE=S032R66;LINKAGE=A

[3] CRAWFORD 91B uses $K^- e^+ \nu_e$ and $K^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ candidates to measure a pole mass of $2.1^{+0.4}_{-0.2}{}^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ GeV/c^2 from the q^2 dependence of the decay rate. NODE=S032R66;LINKAGE=B

[4] ANJOS 89F measures a pole mass of $2.1^{+0.4}_{-0.2} \pm 0.2$ GeV/c^2 from the q^2 dependence of the decay rate. NODE=S032R66;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(K^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{20}/Γ

NODE=S032R30
NODE=S032R30

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.41 ±0.04 OUR FIT					
3.41 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
3.413±0.019±0.035	47k	ABLIKIM	19B	BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV
3.45 ±0.10 ±0.21	1249 ± 43	WIDHALM	06	BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma(\mu^+ \text{anything})$ — Γ_{20}/Γ_6

NODE=S032B17
NODE=S032B17

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.50 ±0.04 OUR FIT					
0.472±0.051±0.040	232	KODAMA	94	E653	π^- emulsion 600 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.32 ±0.05 ±0.05	124	KODAMA	91	EMUL	pA 800 GeV

$\Gamma(K^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma(K^- \pi^+)$ — Γ_{20}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032B29
NODE=S032B29

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.864±0.012 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [0.863 ± 0.012 OUR 2020 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]					
0.84 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
0.852±0.034±0.028	1897	[1] FRABETTI	95G	E687	γBe $\overline{E}_\gamma$= 220 GeV
0.82 ±0.13 ±0.13	338	[2] FRABETTI	93I	E687	γBe $\overline{E}_\gamma$= 221 GeV
0.79 ±0.08 ±0.09	231	[3] CRAWFORD	91B	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV

NEW

[1] FRABETTI 95G extracts the ratio of form factors $f_-(0)/f_+(0) = -1.3^{+3.6}_{-3.4} \pm 0.6$, and measures a pole mass of $1.87^{+0.11+0.07}_{-0.08-0.06}$ GeV/c^2 from the q^2 dependence of the decay rate. NODE=S032B29;LINKAGE=A

[2] FRABETTI 93I measures a pole mass of $2.1^{+0.7+0.7}_{-0.3-0.3}$ GeV/c^2 from the q^2 dependence of the decay rate. NODE=S032B29;LINKAGE=B

[3] CRAWFORD 91B measures a pole mass of 2.00 ± 0.12 ± 0.18 GeV/c^2 from the q^2 dependence of the decay rate. NODE=S032B29;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^- e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{21}/Γ

NODE=S032C38
NODE=S032C38
NODE=S032C38

Both decay modes of the $K^*(892)^-$ are included.

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.15±0.16 OUR FIT					
2.16±0.15±0.08	219 ± 16	[1] COAN	05	CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$

[1] COAN 05 uses both $K^- \pi^0$ and $K^0_S \pi^-$ events. NODE=S032C38;LINKAGE=CO

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^- e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(\overline{K}^0 \pi^- e^+ \nu_e)$ — Γ_{21}/Γ_{24}

NODE=S032Q81
NODE=S032Q81

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
94.52±0.97±0.62	3.1k	ABLIKIM	19G	BES3	$K^0_S \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$ events

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^- e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-)$ — Γ_{21}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B81
NODE=S032B81
NODE=S032B81

Unseen decay modes of the $K^*(892)^-$ are included.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.77±0.07 OUR FIT					
0.76±0.12±0.06	152	[1] BEAN	93C	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] BEAN 93C uses $K^{*-} \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ as well as $K^{*-} e^+ \nu_e$ events and makes a small phase-space adjustment to the number of the μ^+ events to use them as e^+ events. NODE=S032B81;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma(K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-)$ — Γ_{22}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032Q01
NODE=S032Q01
NODE=S032Q01

Unseen decay modes of the $K^*(892)^-$ are included.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.674±0.068±0.026	175 ± 17	[1] LINK	05B	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

[1] LINK 05B finds that in $D^0 \to \overline{K}^0 \pi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ the $\overline{K}^0 \pi^-$ system is 6% in S-wave. NODE=S032Q01;LINKAGE=LI

$\Gamma(K^- \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{23}/Γ

NODE=S032B27
NODE=S032B27

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.016^{+0.013}_{-0.005}$±0.002	4	[1] BAI	91	MRK3	$e^+ e^- \approx$ 3.77 GeV

[1] BAI 91 finds that a fraction $0.79^{+0.15+0.09}_{-0.17-0.03}$ of combined D^+ and D^0 decays to $\overline{K} \pi e^+ \nu_e$ (24 events) are $\overline{K}^*(892) e^+ \nu_e$. BAI 91 uses 56 $K^- e^+ \nu_e$ events to measure a pole mass of 1.8 ± 0.3 ± 0.2 GeV/c^2 from the q^2 dependence of the decay rate. NODE=S032B27;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^0\pi^- e^+\nu_e)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{24}/Γ

NODE=S032B28
NODE=S032B28

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.44 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
1.434±0.029±0.032	3.1k	ABLIKIM	19G	BES3	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV
2.61 ±1.04 ±0.28	9 ± 3	ABLIKIM	06O	BES2	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV
2.8 $^{+1.7}_{-0.8}$ ±0.3	6	[1] BAI	91	MRK3	$e^+e^- \approx$ 3.77 GeV

[1] BAI 91 finds that a fraction $0.79^{+0.15+0.09}_{-0.17-0.03}$ of combined D^+ and D^0 decays to $\overline{K}\pi e^+\nu_e$ (24 events) are $\overline{K}^*(892)e^+\nu_e$.

NODE=S032B28;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma((\overline{K}^0\pi^-)_{S-wave}e^+\nu_e)/\Gamma(\overline{K}^0\pi^- e^+\nu_e)$ — Γ_{25}/Γ_{24}

NODE=S032Q82
NODE=S032Q82

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.51±0.97±0.62	3.1k	ABLIKIM	19G	BES3	$K^0_S\pi^- e^+\nu_e$ events

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^- e^+\nu_e)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{26}/Γ

NODE=S032R06
NODE=S032R06

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.8^{+1.4}_{-1.1}$±0.3	8	ARTUSO	07A	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(3770)$

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^- e^+\nu_e)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{27}/Γ

NODE=S032R07
NODE=S032R07

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$7.6^{+4.1}_{-3.0}$±0.9	8	[1] ARTUSO	07A	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(3770)$

[1] This ARTUSO 07A result is corrected for all decay modes of the $K_1(1270)^-$.

NODE=S032R07;LINKAGE=AR

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\nu_\mu)/\Gamma(K^-\mu^+\nu_\mu)$ — Γ_{28}/Γ_{20}

NODE=S032B74
NODE=S032B74

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.037	90	KODAMA	93B	E653	π^- emulsion 600 GeV

$\Gamma((\overline{K}^*(892)\pi)^-\mu^+\nu_\mu)/\Gamma(K^-\mu^+\nu_\mu)$ — Γ_{29}/Γ_{20}

NODE=S032B75
NODE=S032B75

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.043	90	[1] KODAMA	93B	E653	π^- emulsion 600 GeV

[1] KODAMA 93B searched in $K^-\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\nu_\mu$, but the limit includes other $(\overline{K}^*(892)\pi)^-$ charge states.

NODE=S032B75;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^- e^+\nu_e)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{30}/Γ

NODE=S032R60
NODE=S032R60

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.291±0.004 OUR FIT					
0.293±0.004 OUR AVERAGE					
0.295±0.004±0.003	6.3k	[1] ABLIKIM	15X	BES3	2.92 fb^{-1}, 3.773 GeV
0.288±0.008±0.003	1.3k	[1] BESSON	09	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
0.279±0.027±0.016	126	[2] WIDHALM	06	BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.299±0.011±0.009		[3] DOBBS	08	CLEO	See BESSON 09
0.262±0.025±0.008	117	COAN	05	CLEO	See DOBBS 08

[1] See the form-factor parameters near the end of this D^0 Listing.

NODE=S032R60;LINKAGE=BE

[2] The $\pi^- e^+\nu_e$ and $K^- e^+\nu_e$ results of WIDHALM 06 give $|\frac{V_{cd}}{V_{cs}}\cdot\frac{f_+^\pi(0)}{f_+^K(0)}|^2 = 0.042 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.003$.

NODE=S032R60;LINKAGE=WI

[3] DOBBS 08 establishes $|\frac{V_{cd}}{V_{cs}}\cdot\frac{f_+^\pi(0)}{f_+^K(0)}| = 0.188 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.002$ from the D^+ and D^0 decays to $\overline{K}e^+\nu_e$ and $\pi e^+\nu_e$.

NODE=S032R60;LINKAGE=DO

$\Gamma(\pi^- e^+\nu_e)/\Gamma(K^- e^+\nu_e)$ — Γ_{30}/Γ_{19}

NODE=S032S25
NODE=S032S25

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0822±0.0014 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
0.085 ±0.007 OUR AVERAGE					
0.082 ±0.006 ±0.005		[1] HUANG	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.101 ±0.020 ±0.003	91	[2] FRABETTI	96B	E687	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 200 GeV
0.103 ±0.039 ±0.013	87	[3] BUTLER	95	CLE2	< 0.156 (90% CL)

[1] HUANG 05 uses both e and μ events, and makes a small correction to the μ events to make them effectively e events. This result gives $|\frac{V_{cd}}{V_{cs}} \cdot \frac{f_+^\pi(0)}{f_+^K(0)}|^2 = 0.038^{+0.006}_{-0.007}{}^{+0.005}_{-0.003}$. NODE=S032S25;LINKAGE=HU

[2] FRABETTI 96B uses both e and μ events, and makes a small correction to the μ events to make them effectively e events. This result gives $|\frac{V_{cd}}{V_{cs}} \cdot \frac{f_+^\pi(0)}{f_+^K(0)}|^2 = 0.050 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.002$. NODE=S032S25;LINKAGE=B

[3] BUTLER 95 has 87 ± 33 $\pi^- e^+ \nu_e$ events. The result gives $|\frac{V_{cd}}{V_{cs}} \cdot \frac{f_+^\pi(0)}{f_+^K(0)}|^2 = 0.052 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.007$. NODE=S032S25;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^- e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(K^- \pi^+)$ — Γ_{30}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032C06
NODE=S032C06

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.38±0.12 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [$(7.37 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-2}$ OUR 2020 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]					
7.02±0.17±0.23	375k	[1] LEES	15F	BABR	347 fb^{-1}, 10.58 GeV

NEW

[1] See the form-factor parameters near the end of the D^0 Listing. NODE=S032C06;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{31}/Γ

NODE=S032R45
NODE=S032R45

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.267±0.012 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3.					
0.268±0.012 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.					
0.272±0.008±0.006	2.3k	ABLIKIM	18AE	BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV
0.231±0.026±0.019	106 ± 13	WIDHALM	06	BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\pi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma(K^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$ — Γ_{31}/Γ_{20}

NODE=S032S96
NODE=S032S96

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0784±0.0035 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.					
0.074 ±0.008 ±0.007	288 ± 29	[1] LINK	05	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

[1] LINK 05 finds the form-factor ratio $|f_0^\pi(0)/f_0^K(0)|$ to be 0.85 ± 0.04 ± 0.04 ± 0.01. NODE=S032S96;LINKAGE=LI

$\Gamma(\pi^- \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{32}/Γ

NODE=S032Q80
NODE=S032Q80

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.445±0.058±0.039	1.1k	[1] ABLIKIM	19C	BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV

[1] Seen 100% via $D^0 \to \rho(770)^- e^+ \nu_e$, and also reported as the branching fraction for $D^0 \to \rho(770)^- e^+ \nu_e$. NODE=S032Q80;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\rho^- e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{33}/Γ

NODE=S032C39
NODE=S032C39

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.50 ±0.12 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.9.					
1.445±0.058±0.039	1.1k	[1] ABLIKIM	19C	BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV
1.77 ±0.12 ±0.10	305 ± 21	[2,3] DOBBS	13	CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.94 ±0.39 ±0.13	31 ± 6	COAN	05	CLEO	See DOBBS 13

[1] This result is the same as the one reported for $D^0 \to \pi^- \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$ which ABLIKIM 19C found to proceed 100% via $D^0 \to \rho(770)^- e^+ \nu_e$. NODE=S032C39;LINKAGE=A

[2] DOBBS 13 finds $\Gamma(D^0 \to \rho^- e^+ \nu_e)$ / 2 $\Gamma(D^+ \to \rho^0 e^+ \nu_e) = 1.03 \pm 0.09^{+0.08}_{-0.02}$; isospin invariance predicts the ratio is 1.0. NODE=S032C39;LINKAGE=CL

[3] See the D^+ Listings for $D \to \rho e^+ \nu_e$ form factors. NODE=S032C39;LINKAGE=DO

$\Gamma(a(980)^- e^+ \nu_e,\ a^- \to \eta \pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{34}/Γ

NODE=S032P95
NODE=S032P95

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.33^{+0.33}_{-0.29}$±0.09	26	[1] ABLIKIM	18F	BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV

[1] Signal observed at 6.4 σ C.L. NODE=S032P95;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(b_1(1235)^- e^+ \nu_e,\ b_1^- \to \omega \pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{35}/Γ

NODE=S032Q99
NODE=S032Q99

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.12 × 10^{-4}	90	ABLIKIM	20AF	BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

Hadronic modes with a single $\overline{K}$

NODE=S032320

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{36}/Γ

NODE=S032R12
NODE=S032R12

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.946±0.030 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2. [(3.950 ± 0.031)% OUR 2020 FIT Scale factor = 1.2]					
3.909±0.034 OUR AVERAGE					
3.883±0.006±0.051	0.5M	[1] ABLIKIM	18W	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV
3.934±0.021±0.061		BONVICINI	14	CLEO	All CLEO-c runs
4.007±0.037±0.072	33.8k	AUBERT	08L	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
3.82 ±0.07 ±0.12		[2] ARTUSO	98	CLE2	CLEO average
3.90 ±0.09 ±0.12	5.4k	[3] BARATE	97C	ALEP	From Z decays
3.41 ±0.12 ±0.28	1.2k	[3] ALBRECHT	94F	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
3.62 ±0.34 ±0.44		[3] DECAMP	91J	ALEP	From Z decays
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3.891±0.035±0.069		[4] DOBBS	07	CLEO	See BONVICINI 14
3.91 ±0.08 ±0.09	10.3k	[4] HE	05	CLEO	See DOBBS 07
3.81 ±0.15 ±0.16	1.2k	[5] ARTUSO	98	CLE2	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
3.69 ±0.11 ±0.16		[6] COAN	98	CLE2	See ARTUSO 98
4.5 ±0.6 ±0.4		[7] ALBRECHT	94	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
3.95 ±0.08 ±0.17	4.2k	[3,8] AKERIB	93	CLE2	See ARTUSO 98
4.5 ±0.8 ±0.5	56	[3] ABACHI	88	HRS	e^+e^- 29 GeV
4.2 ±0.4 ±0.4	0.9k	ADLER	88C	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
4.1 ±0.6	0.3k	[9] SCHINDLER	81	MRK2	e^+e^- 3.771 GeV
4.3 ±1.0	130	[10] PERUZZI	77	LGW	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

OCCUR=3
OCCUR=2

[1] ABLIKIM 18W measured the combined $K^\mp\pi^\pm$ branching fraction to be 3.898%. We have subtracted off the doubly Cabibbo-suppressed branching fraction B($D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$) = (1.50 ± 0.07) × 10^{-4}, even though it is less than one-third of the uncertainty of the combined measurement, in order to treat this as a measurement of B($D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$). NODE=S032R12;LINKAGE=AB

[2] This combines the CLEO results of ARTUSO 98, COAN 98, and AKERIB 93. NODE=S032R12;LINKAGE=KL

[3] ABACHI 88, DECAMP 91J, AKERIB 93, ALBRECHT 94F, and BARATE 97C use $D^*(2010)^+ \to D^0\pi^+$ decays. The π^+ is both slow and of low p_T with respect to the event thrust axis or nearest jet (≈ D^{*+} direction). The excess number of such π^+'s over background gives the number of $D^*(2010)^+ \to D^0\pi^+$ events, and the fraction with $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$ gives the $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$ branching fraction. NODE=S032R12;LINKAGE=C

[4] DOBBS 07 and HE 05 use single- and double-tagged events in an overall fit. DOBBS 07 supersedes HE 05. NODE=S032R12;LINKAGE=HE

[5] ARTUSO 98, following ALBRECHT 94, uses D^0 mesons from $\overline{B}^0 \to D^*(2010)^+ X \ell^- \overline{\nu}_\ell$ decays. Our average uses the CLEO average of this value with the values of COAN 98 and AKERIB 93. NODE=S032R12;LINKAGE=KA

[6] COAN 98 assumes that $\Gamma(B \to \overline{D} X \ell^+\nu)/\Gamma(B \to X\ell^+\nu) = 1.0 - 3|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|^2 - 0.010 \pm 0.005$, the last term accounting for $\overline{B} \to D_s^+ K X \ell^- \overline{\nu}$. COAN 98 is included in the CLEO average in ARTUSO 98. NODE=S032R12;LINKAGE=KC

[7] ALBRECHT 94 uses D^0 mesons from $\overline{B}^0 \to D^{*+}\ell^-\overline{\nu}_\ell$ decays. This is a different set of events than used by ALBRECHT 94F. NODE=S032R12;LINKAGE=D

[8] This AKERIB 93 value includes radiative corrections; without them, the value is 0.0391 ± 0.0008 ± 0.0017. AKERIB 93 is included in the CLEO average in ARTUSO 98. NODE=S032R12;LINKAGE=B

[9] SCHINDLER 81 (MARK-2) measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \psi(3770))$ × branching fraction to be 0.24 ± 0.02 nb. We use the MARK-3 (ADLER 88C) value of σ = 5.8 ± 0.5 ± 0.6 nb. NODE=S032R12;LINKAGE=SP

[10] PERUZZI 77 (MARK-1) measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \psi(3770))$ × branching fraction to be 0.25 ± 0.05 nb. We use the MARK-3 (ADLER 88C) value of σ = 5.8 ± 0.5 ± 0.6 nb. NODE=S032R12;LINKAGE=PS

$\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{37}/Γ

NODE=S032S06
NODE=S032S06

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.239±0.022 OUR FIT [(1.240 ± 0.022)% OUR 2020 FIT]					
1.239±0.006±0.027	67k	ABLIKIM	18W	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.240±0.017±0.056	614	HE	08	CLEO	See MENDEZ 10

NEW

$\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^0)/[\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)+\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)]$ — $\Gamma_{37}/(\Gamma_{36}+\Gamma_{271})$

NODE=S032S18
NODE=S032S18

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
31.3±0.6 OUR FIT					
30.4±0.3±0.9	20k	MENDEZ	10	CLEO	e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

$\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{37}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B14
NODE=S032B14

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.443±0.028 OUR FIT					
0.44 ±0.02 ±0.05	1942 ± 64	PROCARIO	93B	CLE2	e^+e^- 10.36–10.7 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.34 ±0.04 ±0.02	92	[1] ALBRECHT	92P	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV
0.36 ±0.04 ±0.08	104	KINOSHITA	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \sim$ 10.7 GeV

[1] This value is calculated from numbers in Table 1 of ALBRECHT 92P. NODE=S032B14;LINKAGE=AP

$\Gamma(K^0_L\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{38}/Γ

NODE=S032S07
NODE=S032S07

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.998±0.049±0.048	1116	[1] HE	08	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

[1] The difference of HE 08 $D^0 \to K^0_S\pi^0$ and $K^0_L\pi^0$ branching fractions over the sum is $0.108 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.024$. This is consistent with U-spin symmetry and the Cabibbo angle. NODE=S032S07;LINKAGE=HE

$\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{39}/Γ

NODE=S032R21
NODE=S032R21

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.52±0.20±0.25	284 ± 22	[1] ALBRECHT	94F	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
3.2 ±0.3 ±0.5		ADLER	87	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
2.6 ±0.8	32 ± 8	[2] SCHINDLER	81	MRK2	e^+e^- 3.771 GeV
4.0 ±1.2	28	[3] PERUZZI	77	LGW	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

[1] See the footnote on the ALBRECHT 94F measurement of $\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ for the method used. NODE=S032R21;LINKAGE=E

[2] SCHINDLER 81 (MARK-2) measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \psi(3770)) \times$ branching fraction to be 0.30 ± 0.08 nb. We use the MARK-3 (ADLER 88C) value of $\sigma = 5.8 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.6$ nb. NODE=S032R21;LINKAGE=SP

[3] PERUZZI 77 (MARK-1) measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \psi(3770)) \times$ branching fraction to be 0.46 ± 0.12 nb. We use the MARK-3 (ADLER 88C) value of $\sigma = 5.8 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.6$ nb. NODE=S032R21;LINKAGE=PS

$\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{39}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032R28
NODE=S032R28

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.71±0.05 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
0.81±0.05±0.08	856 ± 35	FRABETTI	94J	E687	γBe $\overline{E}_\gamma$=220 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.85±0.40	35	AVERY	80	SPEC	$\gamma N \to D^{*+}$
1.4 ±0.5	116	PICCOLO	77	MRK1	e^+e^- 4.03, 4.41 GeV

$\Gamma(K^0_S\rho^0)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{40}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B59

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis. NODE=S032B59

NODE=S032B59

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.224^{+0.017}_{-0.023}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.7.				
0.210±0.016	[1] AUBERT	08AL	BABR	Dalitz fit, ≈ 487 k evts
$0.264\pm0.009^{+0.010}_{-0.026}$	MURAMATSU	02	CLE2	Dalitz fit, 5299 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.267\pm0.011^{+0.009}_{-0.028}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	See MURAMATSU 02
0.350±0.028±0.067	FRABETTI	94G	E687	Dalitz fit, 597 evts
0.227±0.032±0.009	ALBRECHT	93D	ARG	Dalitz fit, 440 evts
0.215±0.051±0.037	ANJOS	93	E691	γBe 90–260 GeV
0.20 ±0.06 ±0.03	FRABETTI	92B	E687	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma$= 221 GeV
0.12 ±0.01 ±0.07	ADLER	87	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

[1] The error on this AUBERT 08AL value includes both statistical and systematic uncertainties; the latter dominates. NODE=S032B59;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^0_S\omega,\ \omega\to\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{41}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032S95

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis. NODE=S032S95

NODE=S032S95

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0073±0.0020 OUR AVERAGE				
0.009 ±0.010	[1] AUBERT	08AL	BABR	Dalitz fit, ≈ 487 k evts
$0.0072\pm0.0018^{+0.0010}_{-0.0009}$	MURAMATSU	02	CLE2	Dalitz fit, 5299 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.0081\pm0.0019^{+0.0018}_{-0.0010}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	See MURAMATSU 02

[1] The error on this AUBERT 08AL value includes both statistical and systematic uncertainties; the latter dominates. NODE=S032S95;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^0_S(\pi^+\pi^-)_{S-wave})/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{42}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032C74

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis. The $(\pi^+\pi^-)_{S-wave}$ includes what in isobar models are the $f_0(980)$ and $f_0(1370)$; see the following two data blocks.

NODE=S032C74

NODE=S032C74

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.119±0.026	[1] AUBERT	08AL	BABR	Dalitz fit, ≈ 487 k evts

[1] The error on this AUBERT 08AL value includes both statistical and systematic uncertainties; the latter dominates.

NODE=S032C74;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^0_S f_0(980),\ f_0\to\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{43}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B76

Fit fraction from the Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032B76

NODE=S032B76

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.043\pm0.005^{+0.012}_{-0.006}$	MURAMATSU	02	CLE2	Dalitz fit, 5299 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.042\pm0.005^{+0.011}_{-0.005}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	See MURAMATSU 02
$0.068\pm0.016\pm0.018$	FRABETTI	94G	E687	Dalitz fit, 597 evts
$0.046\pm0.018\pm0.006$	ALBRECHT	93D	ARG	Dalitz fit, 440 evts

$\Gamma(K^0_S f_0(1370),\ f_0\to\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{44}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B78

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032B78

NODE=S032B78

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.099\pm0.011^{+0.028}_{-0.044}$	MURAMATSU	02	CLE2	Dalitz fit, 5299 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.098\pm0.014^{+0.026}_{-0.036}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	See MURAMATSU 02
$0.077\pm0.022\pm0.031$	FRABETTI	94G	E687	Dalitz fit, 597 evts
$0.082\pm0.028\pm0.013$	ALBRECHT	93D	ARG	Dalitz fit, 440 evts

$\Gamma(K^0_S f_2(1270),\ f_2\to\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{45}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B77

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032B77

NODE=S032B77

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.0032^{+0.0035}_{-0.0022}$ OUR AVERAGE				
$0.006\ \pm0.007$	[1] AUBERT	08AL	BABR	Dalitz fit, ≈ 487 k evts
$0.0027\pm0.0015^{+0.0037}_{-0.0017}$	MURAMATSU	02	CLE2	Dalitz fit, 5299 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.0036\pm0.0022^{+0.0032}_{-0.0019}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	See MURAMATSU 02
$0.037\ \pm0.014\ \pm0.017$	FRABETTI	94G	E687	Dalitz fit, 597 evts
$0.050\ \pm0.021\ \pm0.008$	ALBRECHT	93D	ARG	Dalitz fit, 440 evts

[1] The error on this AUBERT 08AL value includes both statistical and systematic uncertainties; the latter dominates.

NODE=S032B77;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^-\pi^+,\ K^{*-}\to K^0_S\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{46}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B58

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032B58

NODE=S032B58

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.588^{+0.034}_{-0.050}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.0.				
0.557 ± 0.028	[1] AUBERT	08AL	BABR	Dalitz fit, ≈ 487 k evts
$0.657\pm0.013^{+0.018}_{-0.040}$	MURAMATSU	02	CLE2	Dalitz fit, 5299 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.663\pm0.013^{+0.024}_{-0.043}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	See MURAMATSU 02
$0.625\pm0.036\pm0.026$	FRABETTI	94G	E687	Dalitz fit, 597 evts
$0.718\pm0.042\pm0.030$	ALBRECHT	93D	ARG	Dalitz fit, 440 evts
0.480 ± 0.097	ANJOS	93	E691	γBe 90–260 GeV
$0.56\ \pm0.04\ \pm0.05$	ADLER	87	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

[1] The error on this AUBERT 08AL value includes both statistical and systematic uncertainties; the latter dominates.

NODE=S032B58;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)^-\pi^+,\ K_0^{*-} \to K_S^0\pi^-)/\Gamma(K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{47}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B79

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032B79

NODE=S032B79

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.095^{+0.014}_{-0.010}$ OUR AVERAGE				
0.102 ± 0.015	[1] AUBERT	08AL	BABR	Dalitz fit, ≈ 487 k evts
$0.073\pm0.007^{+0.031}_{-0.011}$	MURAMATSU	02	CLE2	Dalitz fit, 5299 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.072\pm0.007^{+0.014}_{-0.013}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	See MURAMATSU 02
$0.109\pm0.027\pm0.029$	FRABETTI	94G	E687	Dalitz fit, 597 evts
$0.129\pm0.034\pm0.021$	ALBRECHT	93D	ARG	Dalitz fit, 440 evts

[1] The error on this AUBERT 08AL value includes both statistical and systematic uncertainties; the latter dominates.

NODE=S032B79;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^-\pi^+,\ K_2^{*-} \to K_S^0\pi^-)/\Gamma(K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{48}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B80

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032B80

NODE=S032B80

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.0120^{+0.0070}_{-0.0035}$ OUR AVERAGE				
$0.022\ \pm0.016$	[1] AUBERT	08AL	BABR	Dalitz fit, ≈ 487 k evts
$0.011\ \pm0.002\ ^{+0.007}_{-0.003}$	MURAMATSU	02	CLE2	Dalitz fit, 5299 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.011\ \pm0.002\ ^{+0.005}_{-0.003}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	See MURAMATSU 02

[1] The error on this AUBERT 08AL value includes both statistical and systematic uncertainties; the latter dominates.

NODE=S032B80;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^*(1680)^-\pi^+,\ K^{*-} \to K_S^0\pi^-)/\Gamma(K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{49}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032C26

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032C26

NODE=S032C26

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.016 ± 0.013 OUR AVERAGE				
0.007 ± 0.019	[1] AUBERT	08AL	BABR	Dalitz fit, ≈ 487 k evts
$0.022\pm0.004^{+0.018}_{-0.015}$	MURAMATSU	02	CLE2	Dalitz fit, 5299 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.023\pm0.005^{+0.007}_{-0.014}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	See MURAMATSU 02

[1] The error on this AUBERT 08AL value includes both statistical and systematic uncertainties; the latter dominates.

NODE=S032C26;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+\pi^-,\ K^{*+} \to K_S^0\pi^+)/\Gamma(K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{50}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032S94

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis. This is a doubly Cabibbo-suppressed mode.

NODE=S032S94

NODE=S032S94

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$4.0^{+2.0}_{-1.2}$ OUR AVERAGE				
4.6 ± 2.3	[1] AUBERT	08AL	BABR	Dalitz fit, ≈ 487 k evts
$3.4\pm1.3^{+4.1}_{-0.4}$	MURAMATSU	02	CLE2	Dalitz fit, 5299 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$3.4\pm1.3^{+3.6}_{-0.5}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	See MURAMATSU 02

[1] The error on this AUBERT 08AL value includes both statistical and systematic uncertainties; the latter dominates.

NODE=S032S94;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)^+\pi^-,\ K_0^{*+} \to K_S^0\pi^+)/\Gamma(K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{51}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032C72

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis. This is a doubly Cabibbo-suppressed mode.

NODE=S032C72

NODE=S032C72

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<5\times10^{-4}$	95	AUBERT	08AL	BABR	Dalitz fit, ≈ 487 k evts

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^+\pi^-,\ K_2^{*+} \to K_S^0\pi^+)/\Gamma(K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{52}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032C73

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis. This is a doubly Cabibbo-suppressed mode.

NODE=S032C73

NODE=S032C73

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.2\times10^{-3}$	95	AUBERT	08AL	BABR	Dalitz fit, ≈ 487 k evts

$\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$ nonresonant$)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{53}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B57

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis. Neither FRABETTI 94G nor ALBRECHT 93D (quoted in many of the earlier submodes of $K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$) sees evidence for a nonresonant component. NODE=S032B57

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.009\pm0.004^{+0.020}_{-0.004}$	MURAMATSU	02	CLE2	Dalitz fit, 5299 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.007\pm0.007^{+0.021}_{-0.006}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	See MURAMATSU 02
$0.263\pm0.024\pm0.041$	ANJOS	93	E691	γBe 90–260 GeV
$0.26\ \pm0.08\ \pm0.05$	FRABETTI	92B	E687	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma$= 221 GeV
$0.33\ \pm0.05\ \pm0.10$	ADLER	87	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

NODE=S032B57

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{54}/Γ

NODE=S032R19

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$14.57\pm0.12\pm0.38$		[1] DOBBS	07	CLEO	See BONVICINI 14
$14.9\ \pm0.3\ \pm0.5$	19k ±150	[1] HE	05	CLEO	See DOBBS 07
$13.3\ \pm1.2\ \pm1.3$	931	ADLER	88C	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
$11.7\ \pm4.3$	37	[2] SCHINDLER	81	MRK2	e^+e^- 3.771 GeV

NODE=S032R19

[1] DOBBS 07 and HE 05 use single- and double-tagged events in an overall fit. DOBBS 07 supersedes HE 05. NODE=S032R19;LINKAGE=HE

[2] SCHINDLER 81 (MARK-2) measures $\sigma(e^+e^-\rightarrow\ \psi(3770))\times$ branching fraction to be 0.68 ± 0.23 nb. We use the MARK-3 (ADLER 88C) value of $\sigma=5.8\pm0.5\pm0.6$ nb. NODE=S032R19;LINKAGE=SP

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{54}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032R47

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$3.65\ \pm0.13$ OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 2.1.				
$3.76\ \pm0.10$ OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.				
$3.802\pm0.022\pm0.073$		BONVICINI	14	CLEO	All CLEO-c runs
$3.81\ \pm0.07\ \pm0.26$	10k	BARISH	96	CLE2	$e^+e^-\approx\ \Upsilon(4S)$
$3.04\ \pm0.16\ \pm0.34$	931	[1] ALBRECHT	92P	ARG	$e^+e^-\approx$ 10 GeV
$2.8\ \pm0.14\ \pm0.52$	1050	KINOSHITA	91	CLEO	$e^+e^-\sim$ 10.7 GeV

NODE=S032R47

[1] This value is calculated from numbers in Table 1 of ALBRECHT 92P. NODE=S032R47;LINKAGE=AP



$\Gamma(K^-\rho^+)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0)$ — Γ_{55}/Γ_{54}

NODE=S032R48

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis. NODE=S032R48

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.78\ \pm0.04$ OUR AVERAGE				
$0.788\pm0.019\pm0.048$	KOPP	01	CLE2	Dalitz fit, $\approx$ 7,000 evts
$0.765\pm0.041\pm0.054$	FRABETTI	94G	E687	Dalitz fit, 530 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.647\pm0.039\pm0.150$	ANJOS	93	E691	γBe 90–260 GeV
$0.81\ \pm0.03\ \pm0.06$	ADLER	87	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

NODE=S032R48

$\Gamma(K^-\rho(1700)^+,\ \rho^+\to\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0)$ — Γ_{56}/Γ_{54}

NODE=S032C1

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032C1

NODE=S032C1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.057±0.008±0.009	KOPP	01	CLE2	Dalitz fit, ≈ 7,000 evts

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^-\pi^+,\ K^*(892)^-\to K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0)$ — Γ_{57}/Γ_{54}

NODE=S032S16

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032S16

NODE=S032S16

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.160^{+0.025}_{-0.013}$ OUR AVERAGE				
$0.161\pm0.007^{+0.027}_{-0.011}$	KOPP	01	CLE2	Dalitz fit, ≈ 7,000 evts
0.148±0.028±0.049	FRABETTI	94G	E687	Dalitz fit, 530 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.084±0.011±0.012	ANJOS	93	E691	γBe 90–260 GeV
0.12 ±0.02 ±0.03	ADLER	87	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0\pi^0,\ \overline{K}^*(892)^0\to K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0)$ — Γ_{58}/Γ_{54}

NODE=S032S17

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032S17

NODE=S032S17

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.135±0.016 OUR AVERAGE				
0.127±0.009±0.016	KOPP	01	CLE2	Dalitz fit, ≈ 7,000 evts
0.165±0.031±0.015	FRABETTI	94G	E687	Dalitz fit, 530 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.142±0.018±0.024	ANJOS	93	E691	γBe 90–260 GeV
0.13 ±0.02 ±0.03	ADLER	87	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

$\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)^-\pi^+,\ K_0^{*-}\to K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0)$ — Γ_{59}/Γ_{54}

NODE=S032C2

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032C2

NODE=S032C2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.033±0.006±0.014	KOPP	01	CLE2	Dalitz fit, ≈ 7,000 evts

$\Gamma(\overline{K}_0^*(1430)^0\pi^0,\ \overline{K}_0^{*0}\to K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0)$ — Γ_{60}/Γ_{54}

NODE=S032C3

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032C3

NODE=S032C3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.041\pm0.006^{+0.032}_{-0.009}$	KOPP	01	CLE2	Dalitz fit, ≈ 7,000 evts

$\Gamma(K^*(1680)^-\pi^+,\ K^{*-}\to K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0)$ — Γ_{61}/Γ_{54}

NODE=S032C4

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032C4

NODE=S032C4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.013±0.003±0.004	KOPP	01	CLE2	Dalitz fit, ≈ 7,000 evts

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0\ \text{nonresonant})/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0)$ — Γ_{62}/Γ_{54}

NODE=S032R51

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032R51

NODE=S032R51

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.080^{+0.040}_{-0.014}$ OUR AVERAGE					
$0.075\pm0.009^{+0.056}_{-0.011}$		KOPP	01	CLE2	Dalitz fit, ≈ 7,000 evts
0.101±0.033±0.040		FRABETTI	94G	E687	Dalitz fit, 530 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.036±0.004±0.018		ANJOS	93	E691	γBe 90–260 GeV
0.09 ±0.02 ±0.04		ADLER	87	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
0.51 ±0.22	21	SUMMERS	84	E691	Photoproduction

$\Gamma(K_S^0 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{63}/Γ

NODE=S032C01

NODE=S032C01

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.1 ±1.1 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.2.					
10.58±0.38±0.73	1259	LOWREY	11	CLEO	$e^+e^-\approx$ 3.77 GeV
8.34±0.45±0.42		ASNER	08	CLEO	$e^+e^-\to D^0\overline{D}^0$, 3.77 GeV

$\Gamma(K_S^0(2\pi^0)_{S-wave})/\Gamma(K_S^0 2\pi^0)$ — Γ_{64}/Γ_{63}

NODE=S032Q10

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032Q10

NODE=S032Q10

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
28.9±6.3±3.1	LOWREY	11	CLEO	Dalitz analysis, 1259 evts

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0\pi^0, \overline{K}^{*0} \to K^0_S\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^0)$ — Γ_{65}/Γ_{37}

NODE=S032B68

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032B68
NODE=S032B68

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
65.6± 5.3±2.5	LOWREY	11	CLEO	Dalitz analysis, 1259 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$55\ ^{+13}_{-10}\ \pm7$	PROCARIO	93B	CLE2	Dalitz plot fit, 122 evts

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(1430)^0\pi^0, \overline{K}^{*0} \to K^0_S\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^0_S2\pi^0)$ — Γ_{66}/Γ_{63}

NODE=S032Q11

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032Q11
NODE=S032Q11

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.49±0.45±2.51	LOWREY	11	CLEO	Dalitz analysis, 1259 evts

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(1680)^0\pi^0, \overline{K}^{*0} \to K^0_S\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^0_S2\pi^0)$ — Γ_{67}/Γ_{63}

NODE=S032Q12

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032Q12
NODE=S032Q12

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
11.2±2.7±2.5	LOWREY	11	CLEO	Dalitz analysis, 1259 evts

$\Gamma(K^0_S f_2(1270), f_2 \to 2\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^0_S2\pi^0)$ — Γ_{68}/Γ_{63}

NODE=S032Q13

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032Q13
NODE=S032Q13

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.48±0.91±0.78	LOWREY	11	CLEO	Dalitz analysis, 1259 evts

$\Gamma(2K^0_S, \text{one } K^0_S \to 2\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^0_S2\pi^0)$ — Γ_{69}/Γ_{63}

NODE=S032Q14

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032Q14
NODE=S032Q14

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.46±0.92±0.66	LOWREY	11	CLEO	Dalitz analysis, 1259 evts

$\Gamma(K^0_S2\pi^0\text{nonresonant})/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^0)$ — Γ_{70}/Γ_{37}

NODE=S032B70

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032B70
NODE=S032B70

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.37±0.08±0.04	PROCARIO	93B	CLE2	Dalitz plot fit, 122 evts

$\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{71}/Γ

NODE=S032R24
NODE=S032R24

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
8.30±0.07±0.20		[1] DOBBS	07	CLEO	See BONVICINI 14
8.3 ±0.2 ±0.3	15k	[1] HE	05	CLEO	See DOBBS 07
7.9 ±1.5 ±0.9		[2] ALBRECHT	94	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
6.80±0.27±0.57	1.4k	[3] ALBRECHT	94F	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
9.1 ±0.8 ±0.8	992	ADLER	88C	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
11.7 ±2.5	185	[4] SCHINDLER	81	MRK2	e^+e^- 3.771 GeV
6.2 ±1.9	44	[5] PERUZZI	77	LGW	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

[1] DOBBS 07 and HE 05 use single- and double-tagged events in an overall fit. DOBBS 07 supersedes HE 05. — NODE=S032R24;LINKAGE=HE

[2] ALBRECHT 94 uses D^0 mesons from $\overline{B}^0 \to D^{*+}\ell^-\overline{\nu}_\ell$ decays. This is a different set of events than used by ALBRECHT 94F. — NODE=S032R24;LINKAGE=D

[3] See the footnote on the ALBRECHT 94F measurement of $\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ for the method used. — NODE=S032R24;LINKAGE=E

[4] SCHINDLER 81 (MARK-2) measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \psi(3770)) \times$ branching fraction to be 0.68 ± 0.11 nb. We use the MARK-3 (ADLER 88C) value of $\sigma = 5.8 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.6$ nb. — NODE=S032R24;LINKAGE=SP

[5] PERUZZI 77 (MARK-1) measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \psi(3770)) \times$ branching fraction to be 0.36 ± 0.10 nb. We use the MARK-3 (ADLER 88C) value of $\sigma = 5.8 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.6$ nb. — NODE=S032R24;LINKAGE=PS

$\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{71}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032R29
NODE=S032R29

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.083±0.031 OUR FIT					
2.087±0.032 OUR AVERAGE					
2.106±0.013±0.032		BONVICINI	14	CLEO	All CLEO-c runs
$1.94\ \pm0.07\ ^{+0.09}_{-0.11}$		JUN	00	SELX	Σ^- nucleus, 600 GeV
1.7 ±0.2 ±0.2	1745	ANJOS	92C	E691	γBe 90–260 GeV
1.90 ±0.25 ±0.20	337	ALVAREZ	91B	NA14	Photoproduction
2.12 ±0.16 ±0.09		BORTOLETTO	88	CLEO	e^+e^- 10.55 GeV
2.17 ±0.28 ±0.23		ALBRECHT	85F	ARG	e^+e^- 10 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.0 ±0.9	48	BAILEY	86	ACCM	π^- Be fixed target
2.0 ±1.0	10	BAILEY	83B	SPEC	π^- Be $\rightarrow$ D^0
2.2 ±0.8	214	PICCOLO	77	MRK1	e^+e^- 4.03, 4.41 GeV

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\rho^0 \text{total})/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{72}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032B18
NODE=S032B18

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
83.5±3.5 OUR AVERAGE				
80 ±3 ±5	ANJOS	92C	E691	1745 $K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$ evts
85.5±3.2±3.0	COFFMAN	92B	MRK3	1281 ± 45 $K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$ evts

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\rho^0 \text{3-body})/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{73}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032R32
NODE=S032R32

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.4±2.0 OUR AVERAGE					
8.4±1.1±2.5	16k	ABLIKIM	17O	BES3	$D^0 \rightarrow K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$
5 ±3 ±2		ANJOS	92C	E691	1745 $K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$ evts
8.4±2.2±4.0		COFFMAN	92B	MRK3	1281 ± 45 $K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$ evts

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0\rho^0,\ \overline{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{74}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032R36
NODE=S032R36

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
12.3±0.6 OUR AVERAGE					
12.3±0.4±0.5	16k	ABLIKIM	17O	BES3	$D^0 \rightarrow K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$
13 ±2 ±2		ANJOS	92C	E691	1745 $K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$ evts

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0\rho^0 \text{transverse},\ \overline{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{75}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032B35
NODE=S032B35

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.142±0.016±0.05	1281	COFFMAN	92B	MRK3	$K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$ evts

$\Gamma(K^-a_1(1260)^+,\ a_1^+ \rightarrow \rho^0\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{76}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032B38
NODE=S032B38

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
53 ±4 OUR AVERAGE						
54.6±2.8± 3.7	16k	ABLIKIM	17O	BES3	$D^0 \rightarrow K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=2
47 ±5 ±10	1745	ANJOS	92C	E691	$K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$ evts	
49.2±2.4± 8.0	1281	COFFMAN	92B	MRK3	$K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$ evts	

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^-\pi^+,\ K_1^- \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^- \text{total})/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{77}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032P69
NODE=S032P69

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.8 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
4.66±0.05±0.39±0.24	891k	[1] AAIJ	18AI	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$
6.6 ±1.9 ±0.3	1281	COFFMAN	92B	MRK3	$K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$ evts

[1] The 3rd error is due to the uncertainty in the amplitude model composition.

NODE=S032P69;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^-\pi^+,\ K_1^- \rightarrow \overline{K}^*(892)^0\pi^-,\ \overline{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{80}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032B40
NODE=S032B40

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.8±0.2±0.2	16k	ABLIKIM	17O	BES3	$D^0 \rightarrow K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^- \text{nonresonant})/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{81}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032B43
NODE=S032B43

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
22.0 ±0.8 OUR AVERAGE					
22.04±0.28±2.09±1.51	891k	[1] AAIJ	18AI	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$
21.9 ±0.6 ±0.6	16k	[2] ABLIKIM	17O	BES3	$D^0 \rightarrow K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$
23 ±2 ±3	1.7k	ANJOS	92C	E691	$D^0 \rightarrow K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$
24.2 ±2.5 ±6.0	1.2k	COFFMAN	92B	MRK3	$D^0 \rightarrow K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] The 3rd error is due to the uncertainty in the amplitude model composition.

NODE=S032B43;LINKAGE=B

[2] In addition to the 14 ABLIKIM 17O branching ratios we have listed, the paper gives 15 more ratios for mostly non-resonant modes. Four of the 15 have less than 2-standard-deviation significance. Here are some of the omitted modes, with S, P, V, A, and T for scalar, pseudo-scalar, vector, axial-vector, and tensor spin sub-structures: $\pi^+(K^-\rho^0)_P$, $\pi^+(K^-\rho^0)_V$, $\pi^+(\overline{K}^{*0}\pi^-)_P$, $\pi^+(\overline{K}^{*0}\pi^-)_V$, $\pi^+(\pi^-(K^-\pi^+)_{S-wave})_A$, $(K^-\pi^+)_V\ (\pi^+\pi^-)_S$, $(K^-\pi^+)_T\ (\pi^+\pi^-)_S \ldots$

NODE=S032B43;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{82}/Γ

NODE=S032S23
NODE=S032S23

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.2±0.6 OUR FIT					
5.2±1.1±1.2	140	COFFMAN	92B	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$6.7^{+1.6}_{-1.7}$		[1] BARLAG	92C	ACCM	π^- Cu 230 GeV

[1] BARLAG 92C computes the branching fraction using topological normalization. NODE=S032S23;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{82}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B16
NODE=S032B16

Branching fractions for submodes of this mode with narrow resonances (the η, ω, η') are fairly well determined (see below). COFFMAN 92B gives fractions of K^* and ρ submodes, but with only 140 ± 28 events above background could not determine them with much accuracy. We omit those measurements here; they are in our 2008 Review (Physics Letters **B667** 1 (2008)).

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.85±0.20 OUR FIT					
1.86±0.23 OUR AVERAGE					
1.80±0.20±0.21	190	[1] ALBRECHT	92P	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV
2.8 ±0.8 ±0.8	46	ANJOS	92C	E691	γBe 90–260 GeV
1.85±0.26±0.30	158	KINOSHITA	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \sim$ 10.7 GeV

NODE=S032B16

[1] This value is calculated from numbers in Table 1 of ALBRECHT 92P. NODE=S032B16;LINKAGE=AP

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{85}/Γ

NODE=S032R25
NODE=S032R25

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.86±0.13±0.19	6.1k	ABLIKIM	19AK	BES3	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
17.7 ±2.9		[1] BARLAG	92C	ACCM	π^- Cu 230 GeV
14.9 ±3.7 ±3.0	24	[2] ADLER	88C	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
$20.9\ ^{+7.4}_{-4.3}$ ±1.2	9	[1] AGUILAR-...	87F	HYBR	πp, pp 360, 400 GeV

[1] AGUILAR-BENITEZ 87F and BARLAG 92C compute the branching fraction using topological normalization. They do not distinguish the presence of a third π^0, and thus are not included in the average. NODE=S032R25;LINKAGE=K

[2] ADLER 88C uses an absolute normalization method finding this decay channel opposite a detected $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ in pure $D\overline{D}$ events. NODE=S032R25;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{86}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032B85
NODE=S032B85

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.09±0.10 OUR FIT					
0.98±0.11±0.11	225	[1] ALBRECHT	92P	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV

[1] This value is calculated from numbers in Table 1 of ALBRECHT 92P. NODE=S032B85;LINKAGE=AP

$\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{86}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032R95
NODE=S032R95

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.52±0.05 OUR FIT					
0.56±0.07 OUR AVERAGE					
$0.55 \pm 0.07^{+0.12}_{-0.09}$	167	KINOSHITA	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \sim$ 10.7 GeV
0.57±0.06±0.05	180	ANJOS	90D	E691	Photoproduction

$\Gamma(K^0_S\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{90}/Γ

NODE=S032Q88
NODE=S032Q88

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.06±0.34±0.30	1.1k	ABLIKIM	20V	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(K^0_S\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^0)$ — Γ_{90}/Γ_{37}

NODE=S032S03
NODE=S032S03

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.46±0.07±0.06	155 ± 22	[1] RUBIN	04	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV

[1] The η here is detected in its $\gamma\gamma$ mode, but other η modes are included in the value given. NODE=S032S03;LINKAGE=RU

$\Gamma(K^0_S a_0(980),\ a_0 \to \eta\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^0_S\eta\pi^0)$ — Γ_{91}/Γ_{90}

NODE=S032S04

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis, with interference.

NODE=S032S04
NODE=S032S04

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.19±0.09±0.26	[1] RUBIN	04	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 155 evts

[1] In addition to $K^0_S a_0(980)$ and $\overline{K}^*(892)^0\eta$ modes, RUBIN 04 finds a fit fraction of $0.246 \pm 0.092 \pm 0.091$ for other, undetermined modes. NODE=S032S04;LINKAGE=RU

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0\eta,\ \overline{K}^{*0} \to K^0_S\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^0_S\eta\pi^0)$ — Γ_{92}/Γ_{90}

NODE=S032S05

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis, with interference.

NODE=S032S05

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.293±0.062±0.035	[1] RUBIN	04	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 155 evts

NODE=S032S05

[1] See the note on RUBIN 04 in the preceding data block.

NODE=S032S05;LINKAGE=RU

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{93}/Γ

NODE=S032Q89

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.88 ±0.05 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.				
1.853±0.025±0.031	6.1k	ABLIKIM	20V	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

NODE=S032Q89

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\eta)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{93}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032Q97

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
47.6±1.3 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.				
50.0±0.2±2.0	116k	[1] CHEN	20A	BELL	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$

NODE=S032Q97

[1] CHEN 20A reports 0.500 ± 0.002 ± 0.020 ± 0.003 from a measurement of $[\Gamma(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+\eta)/\Gamma(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+)] \times [B(\eta \to 2\gamma)]$ assuming $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$. The third reported uncertainty is the uncertainty from $B(\eta \to \gamma\gamma)$.

NODE=S032Q97;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\eta,\ K^{*0} \to K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\eta)$ — Γ_{94}/Γ_{93}

NODE=S032D13

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{47.61 \pm 1.32^{+0.24+3.64}_{-0.49-2.71}}$	[1] CHEN	20A	BELL	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$

NODE=S032D13

[1] The third uncertainty is due to the uncertainty from the Dalitz model .

NODE=S032D13;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_0(980)^+K^-,\ a_0^+ \to \eta\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\eta)$ — Γ_{95}/Γ_{93}

NODE=S032D14

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{39.28 \pm 1.50^{+1.58+4.38}_{-0.51-3.30}}$	[1] CHEN	20A	BELL	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$

NODE=S032D14

[1] The third uncertainty is due to the uncertainty from the Dalitz model .

NODE=S032D14;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*_2(1980)^-\pi^+,\ K^{*-}_2 \to K^-\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{96}/Γ

NODE=S032Q96

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{2.2^{+1.7}_{-1.9}}$	CHEN	20A	BELL	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$

NODE=S032Q96

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{97}/Γ

NODE=S032Q92

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.49±0.22±0.15	580	ABLIKIM	20V	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

NODE=S032Q92

$\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{98}/Γ

NODE=S032Q93

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.80±0.19±0.10	250	ABLIKIM	20V	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

NODE=S032Q93

$\Gamma(K^0_S 2\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{99}/Γ

NODE=S032Q94

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.76±0.23±0.13	65	ABLIKIM	20V	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

NODE=S032Q94

$\Gamma(K^0_S 2\pi^+2\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{100}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032R94

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.095±0.005±0.007	1283 ± 57	LINK	04D	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.07 ±0.02 ±0.01	11	[1] ALBRECHT	92P	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV
0.149±0.026	56	AMMAR	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV
0.18 ±0.07 ±0.04	6	ANJOS	90D	E691	Photoproduction

NODE=S032R94

[1] This value is calculated from numbers in Table 1 of ALBRECHT 92P.

NODE=S032R94;LINKAGE=AP

$\Gamma(K^0_S\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-, \text{no } K^*(892)^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S 2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{101}/\Gamma_{100}$

NODE=S032S89

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.40±0.24±0.07	LINK	04D	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

NODE=S032S89

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^-2\pi^+\pi^-,\ K^*(892)^- \to K^0_S\pi^-, \text{no } \rho^0)/\Gamma(K^0_S 2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{102}/\Gamma_{100}$

NODE=S032S90

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.17±0.28±0.02	LINK	04D	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

NODE=S032S90

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^-\rho^0\pi^+,\ K^*(892)^- \to K^0_S\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S 2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{103}/\Gamma_{100}$

NODE=S032S91
NODE=S032S91

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.60±0.21±0.09	LINK	04D	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(K^0_S 2\pi^+2\pi^-\text{nonresonant})/\Gamma(K^0_S 2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{104}/\Gamma_{100}$

NODE=S032S92
NODE=S032S92

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.46	90	LINK	04D	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(K^-3\pi^+2\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{106}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032S1
NODE=S032S1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.70±0.58±0.38	48 ± 10	LINK	04B	FOCS	γA, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(K^0_S\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{107}/Γ

NODE=S032C03
NODE=S032C03
NODE=S032C03

Unseen decay modes of the η are included.

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.08±0.13 OUR FIT					
[(5.09 ± 0.13) × 10^{-3} OUR 2020 FIT]					
5.13±0.07±0.12	9.5k	ABLIKIM	18W	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.42±0.15±0.28		ASNER	08	CLEO	See MENDEZ 10

NEW

$\Gamma(K^0_S\eta)/[\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)+\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)]$ — $\Gamma_{107}/(\Gamma_{36}+\Gamma_{271})$

NODE=S032S79
NODE=S032S79
NODE=S032S79

Unseen decay modes of the η are included.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
12.84±0.33 OUR FIT					
[(12.83 ± 0.33) × 10^{-2} OUR 2020 FIT]					
12.3 ±0.3 ±0.7	2864 ± 65	MENDEZ	10	CLEO	e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

NEW

$\Gamma(K^0_S\eta)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^0)$ — Γ_{107}/Γ_{37}

NODE=S032B65
NODE=S032B65
NODE=S032B65

Unseen decay modes of the η are included.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.32±0.04±0.03	225 ± 30	PROCARIO	93B	CLE2	$\eta \to \gamma\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^0_S\eta)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{107}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B66
NODE=S032B66
NODE=S032B66

Unseen decay modes of the η are included.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.14±0.02±0.02	80 ± 12	PROCARIO	93B	CLE2	$\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

$\Gamma(K^0_S\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{108}/Γ

NODE=S032C02
NODE=S032C02
NODE=S032C02

Unseen decay modes of the ω are included.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.11±0.06 OUR FIT				
1.12±0.04±0.05	ASNER	08	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$, 3.77 GeV

$\Gamma(K^0_S\omega)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{108}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032R67
NODE=S032R67
NODE=S032R67

Unseen decay modes of the ω are included.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.50±0.18±0.10	ALBRECHT	89D	ARG	e^+e^- 10 GeV

$\Gamma(K^0_S\omega)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{108}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B15
NODE=S032B15
NODE=S032B15

Unseen decay modes of the ω are included.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.396±0.032 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
0.33 ±0.09 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
0.29 ±0.08 ±0.05	16	[1] ALBRECHT	92P	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx 10$ GeV
0.54 ±0.14 ±0.16	40	KINOSHITA	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \sim 10.7$ GeV

[1] This value is calculated from numbers in Table 1 of ALBRECHT 92P. NODE=S032B15;LINKAGE=AP

$\Gamma(K^0_S\omega)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — Γ_{108}/Γ_{82}

NODE=S032B49
NODE=S032B49
NODE=S032B49

Unseen decay modes of the ω are included.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.215±0.026 OUR FIT				
0.220±0.048±0.0116	COFFMAN	92B	MRK3	1281 ± 45 $K^-2\pi^+\pi^-$ evts

$\Gamma(K^0_S\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{109}/Γ

NODE=S032P98
NODE=S032P98

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.49±0.32 OUR FIT					
9.49±0.20±0.36	3k	ABLIKIM	18W	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(K^0_S\eta'(958))/[\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)+\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)]$ — $\Gamma_{109}/(\Gamma_{36}+\Gamma_{271})$

NODE=S032B01

Unseen decay modes of the $\eta'(958)$ are included.

NODE=S032B01
NODE=S032B01

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
24.0±0.8 OUR FIT					
[$(23.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$ OUR 2020 FIT]					
24.3±0.8±1.1	1321 ± 42	MENDEZ	10	CLEO	e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

NEW

$\Gamma(K^0_S\eta'(958))/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{109}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B67

Unseen decay modes of the $\eta'(958)$ are included.

NODE=S032B67
NODE=S032B67

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.339±0.023 OUR FIT					
0.32 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
0.31 ±0.02 ±0.04	594	PROCARIO	93B	CLE2	$\eta' \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-, \rho^0\gamma$
0.37 ±0.13 ±0.06	18	[1] ALBRECHT	92P	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV

[1] This value is calculated from numbers in Table 1 of ALBRECHT 92P.

NODE=S032B67;LINKAGE=AP

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — Γ_{110}/Γ_{86}

NODE=S032R96

Unseen decay modes of the $\overline{K}^*(892)^0$ are included.

NODE=S032R96
NODE=S032R96

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.45±0.15±0.15	ANJOS	90D	E691	Photoproduction

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{111}/Γ

NODE=S032Q98
NODE=S032Q98

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.41\pm0.04^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$	[1] CHEN	20A	BELL	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$

[1] CHEN 20A reports $(1.41 \pm 0.04^{+0.12}_{-0.11} \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(D^0 \to \overline{K}^*(892)^0\eta)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta \to 2\gamma)]$ assuming $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$. The third reported uncertainty is the uncertainty from $B(\eta \to \gamma\gamma)$.

NODE=S032Q98;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0\eta)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{111}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032R69

Unseen decay modes of the $\overline{K}^*(892)^0$ and η are included.

NODE=S032R69
NODE=S032R69

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.58\pm0.19^{+0.24}_{-0.28}$	46	KINOSHITA	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \sim$ 10.7 GeV

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0\eta)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0)$ — Γ_{111}/Γ_{54}

NODE=S032B71

Unseen decay modes of the $\overline{K}^*(892)^0$ and η are included.

NODE=S032B71
NODE=S032B71

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.13\pm0.02\pm0.03$	214	PROCARIO	93B	CLE2	$\overline{K}^{*0}\eta \to K^-\pi^+/\gamma\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\omega)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{112}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032B87

Unseen decay modes of the ω are included.

NODE=S032B87
NODE=S032B87

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.78±0.12±0.10	99	[1] ALBRECHT	92P	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV

[1] This value is calculated from numbers in Table 1 of ALBRECHT 92P.

NODE=S032B87;LINKAGE=AP

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0\omega)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{113}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032B86

Unseen decay modes of the $\overline{K}^*(892)^0$ and ω are included.

NODE=S032B86
NODE=S032B86

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.28±0.11±0.04	17	[1] ALBRECHT	92P	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV

[1] This value is calculated from numbers in Table 1 of ALBRECHT 92P.

NODE=S032B86;LINKAGE=AP

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{114}/Γ

NODE=S032Q29
NODE=S032Q29

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.43±0.15±0.31	2.5k	ABLIKIM	18AC	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\eta'(958))/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{114}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032B72

Unseen decay modes of the $\eta'(958)$ are included.

NODE=S032B72

NODE=S032B72

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.093±0.014±0.019	286	PROCARIO	93B	CLE2	$\eta' \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$, $\rho^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^0_S\eta'(958)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{115}/Γ

NODE=S032Q31

NODE=S032Q31

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.52±0.22±0.15	289	ABLIKIM	18AC	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0\eta'(958))/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\eta'(958))$ — $\Gamma_{116}/\Gamma_{114}$

NODE=S032B73

Unseen decay modes of the $\overline{K}^*(892)^0$ are included.

NODE=S032B73

NODE=S032B73

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
<0.15	90	PROCARIO	93B	CLE2

Hadronic modes with three K's

NODE=S032322

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^+K^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{117}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032R35

NODE=S032R35

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.158±0.001±0.005	14k±116	AUBERT,B	05J	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.20 ±0.05 ±0.04	47	FRABETTI	92B	E687	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma$= 221 GeV
0.170±0.022	136	AMMAR	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV
0.24 ±0.08		BEBEK	86	CLEO	e^+e^- near $\Upsilon(4S)$
0.185±0.055	52	ALBRECHT	85B	ARG	e^+e^- 10 GeV

$\Gamma(K^0_S a_0(980)^0,\ a^0_0 \to K^+K^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+K^-)$ — $\Gamma_{118}/\Gamma_{117}$

NODE=S032C40

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis, with interference.

NODE=S032C40

NODE=S032C40

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.664±0.016±0.070	AUBERT,B	05J	BABR	Dalitz fit, 12540 ± 112 evts

$\Gamma(K^- a_0(980)^+,\ a^+_0 \to K^+K^0_S)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+K^-)$ — $\Gamma_{119}/\Gamma_{117}$

NODE=S032C41

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis, with interference.

NODE=S032C41

NODE=S032C41

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.134±0.011±0.037	AUBERT,B	05J	BABR	Dalitz fit, 12540 ± 112 evts

$\Gamma(K^+ a_0(980)^-,\ a^-_0 \to K^-K^0_S)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+K^-)$ — $\Gamma_{120}/\Gamma_{117}$

NODE=S032C42

This is a doubly Cabibbo-suppressed mode.

NODE=S032C42

NODE=S032C42

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.025	95	AUBERT,B	05J	BABR	Dalitz fit, 12540 ± 112 evts

$\Gamma(K^0_S f_0(980),\ f_0 \to K^+K^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+K^-)$ — $\Gamma_{121}/\Gamma_{117}$

NODE=S032C43

NODE=S032C43

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.021	95	AUBERT,B	05J	BABR	Dalitz fit, 12540 ± 112 evts

$\Gamma(K^0_S\phi,\ \phi \to K^+K^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+K^-)$ — $\Gamma_{122}/\Gamma_{117}$

NODE=S032C44

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis, with interference.

NODE=S032C44

NODE=S032C44

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.459±0.007±0.007	AUBERT,B	05J	BABR	Dalitz fit, 12540 ± 112 evts

$\Gamma(K^0_S f_0(1370),\ f_0 \to K^+K^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+K^-)$ — $\Gamma_{123}/\Gamma_{117}$

NODE=S032C45

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis, with interference.

NODE=S032C45

NODE=S032C45

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.038±0.007±0.023	[1] AUBERT,B	05J	BABR	Dalitz fit, 12540 ± 112 evts

[1] AUBERT,B 05J calls the mode $K^0_S f_0(1400)$, but insofar as it is seen here at all, it is certainly the same as $f_0(1370)$.

NODE=S032C45;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(3K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{124}/Γ

NODE=S032P23

NODE=S032P23

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.5 ±0.7 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.				
7.21±0.33±0.44	597	ABLIKIM	17A	BES3	$e^+e^- \to \psi(3770)$

$\Gamma(3K^0_S)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{124}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B26
NODE=S032B26

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.70±0.26 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.					
3.2 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
3.58±0.54±0.52	170 ± 26	LINK	05A	FOCS	γBe, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV
2.78±0.38±0.48	61	ASNER	96B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
7.0 ±2.4 ±1.2	10 ± 3	FRABETTI	94J	E687	γBe, $\overline{E}_\gamma$=220 GeV
3.2 ±1.0	22	AMMAR	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV
3.4 ±1.4 ±1.0	5	ALBRECHT	90C	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV

$\Gamma(K^+2K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{125}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032B98
NODE=S032B98

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0027 ±0.0004 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
0.00257±0.00034±0.00024	143	LINK	03G	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV
0.0054 ±0.0016 ±0.0008	18	AITALA	01D	E791	π^- A, 500 GeV
0.0028 ±0.0007 ±0.0001	20	FRABETTI	95C	E687	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 200 GeV

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\overline{K}^*(892)^0,\ \overline{K}^{*0}\to K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^+2K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{126}/\Gamma_{125}$

NODE=S032S86
NODE=S032S86

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.20±0.07±0.02	LINK	03G	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\phi,\ \phi\to K^+K^-)/\Gamma(K^+2K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{127}/\Gamma_{125}$

NODE=S032C23
NODE=S032C23

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.18±0.06±0.04	LINK	03G	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

$\Gamma(\phi\overline{K}^*(892)^0,\ \phi\to K^+K^-,\ \overline{K}^{*0}\to K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^+2K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{128}/\Gamma_{125}$

NODE=S032S85
NODE=S032S85

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.48±0.06±0.01	LINK	03G	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

$\Gamma(K^+2K^-\pi^+\text{nonresonant})/\Gamma(K^+2K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{129}/\Gamma_{125}$

NODE=S032S87
NODE=S032S87

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.15±0.06±0.02	LINK	03G	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

$\Gamma(2K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{130}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032S97
NODE=S032S97

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.12±0.38±0.20	57 ± 10	LINK	05A	FOCS	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

Pionic modes

NODE=S032325

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{131}/Γ

NODE=S032P99
NODE=S032P99

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.453±0.024 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.4. [$(1.455 \pm 0.024)\times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2020 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]					
1.508±0.018±0.022	21k	ABLIKIM	18W	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

NEW

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{131}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032R26
NODE=S032R26

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.68 ±0.05 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3. [$(3.68 \pm 0.05)\times 10^{-2}$ OUR 2020 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]					
3.59 ±0.06 OUR AVERAGE					
3.594±0.054±0.040	7334 ± 97	ACOSTA	05C	CDF	$p\overline{p}$, $\sqrt{s}$ = 1.96 TeV
3.53 ±0.12 ±0.06	3453	LINK	03	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV
3.51 ±0.16 ±0.17	710	CSORNA	02	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
4.0 ±0.2 ±0.3	2043	AITALA	98C	E791	π^- A, 500 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3.62 ±0.10 ±0.08	2085 ± 54	RUBIN	06	CLEO	See MENDEZ 10
3.4 ±0.7 ±0.1	76 ± 15	ABLIKIM	05F	BES	$e^+e^- \approx \psi(3770)$
4.3 ±0.7 ±0.3	177	FRABETTI	94C	E687	γBe $\overline{E}_\gamma$= 220 GeV
3.48 ±0.30 ±0.23	227	SELEN	93	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
5.5 ±0.8 ±0.5	120	ANJOS	91D	E691	Photoproduction
5.0 ±0.7 ±0.5	110	ALEXANDER	90	CLEO	e^+e^- 10.5–11 GeV

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/[\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)+\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)]$ — $\Gamma_{131}/(\Gamma_{36}+\Gamma_{271})$

NODE=S032B02
NODE=S032B02

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.67±0.05 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3. [(3.67 ± 0.05) × 10^{-2} OUR 2020 FIT Scale factor = 1.3]					NEW
3.70±0.06±0.09	6210 ± 93	MENDEZ	10	CLEO	e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

$\Gamma(2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{132}/Γ

NODE=S032Q15
NODE=S032Q15

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.26±0.25 OUR FIT					
8.29±0.30 OUR AVERAGE					
8.24±0.21±0.30	6k	ABLIKIM	15F	BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773GeV
8.4 ±0.1 ±0.5	26k	LEES	12L	BABR	$e^+e^-\approx$ 10.58 GeV

$\Gamma(2\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{132}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032B83
NODE=S032B83

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.05±0.13±0.16	499 ± 32	RUBIN	06	CLEO	See MENDEZ 10
2.2 ±0.4 ±0.4	40	SELEN	93	CLE2	$e^+e^-\to\Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(2\pi^0)/[\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)+\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)]$ — $\Gamma_{132}/(\Gamma_{36}+\Gamma_{271})$

NODE=S032B03
NODE=S032B03

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.08±0.07 OUR FIT					
2.06±0.07±0.10	1567 ± 54	MENDEZ	10	CLEO	e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{133}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032C29
NODE=S032C29

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
37.7±1.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.2.					
34.4±0.5±1.2	11k±164	RUBIN	06	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0)$ — Γ_{133}/Γ_{54}

NODE=S032C52
NODE=S032C52

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.32±0.25 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.3.					
10.41±0.23 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.0.					
10.12±0.04±0.18	123k±490	ARINSTEIN	08	BELL	$e^+e^-\approx\Upsilon(4S)$
10.59±0.06±0.13	60k±343	AUBERT,B	06X	BABR	$e^+e^-\approx\Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\rho^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{134}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032C46
NODE=S032C46

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis, with interference. See GASPERO 08 and BHATTACHARYA 10A for isospin decompositions of the $D^0\to\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-$ Dalitz plot, both based on the amplitudes of AUBERT 07BJ. They quantify the conclusion that the final state is dominantly isospin 0.

NODE=S032C46

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
68.1±0.6 OUR AVERAGE				
67.8±0.0±0.6	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events
76.3±1.9±2.5	CRONIN-HEN..	05	CLEO	$e^+e^-\approx$ 10 GeV

$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{135}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032C47

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis, with interference.

NODE=S032C47
NODE=S032C47

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
25.9±1.1 OUR AVERAGE				
26.2±0.5±1.1	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events
24.4±2.0±2.1	CRONIN-HEN..	05	CLEO	$e^+e^-\approx$ 10 GeV

$\Gamma(\rho^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{136}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032C48

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis, with interference.

NODE=S032C48
NODE=S032C48

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
34.6±0.8 OUR AVERAGE				
34.6±0.8±0.3	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events
34.5±2.4±1.3	CRONIN-HEN..	05	CLEO	$e^+e^-\approx$ 10 GeV

$\Gamma(\rho(1450)^+\pi^-,\ \rho^+\to\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{137}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032S02

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032S02
NODE=S032S02

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.11±0.07±0.12	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events

$\Gamma(\rho(1450)^0\pi^0,\ \rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{138}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032S10

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032S10
NODE=S032S10

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.30±0.11±0.07	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events

$\Gamma(\rho(1450)^-\pi^+,\ \rho^- \to \pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{139}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032S29

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032S29
NODE=S032S29

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.79±0.22±0.12	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events

$\Gamma(\rho(1700)^+\pi^-,\ \rho^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{140}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032S30

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032S30
NODE=S032S30

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.1±0.7±0.7	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events

$\Gamma(\rho(1700)^0\pi^0,\ \rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{141}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032S32

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032S32
NODE=S032S32

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.0±0.6±1.0	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events

$\Gamma(\rho(1700)^-\pi^+,\ \rho^- \to \pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{142}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032S66

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032S66
NODE=S032S66

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.2±0.4±0.6	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events

$\Gamma(f_0(980)\pi^0,\ f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{143}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032C50

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032C50
NODE=S032C50

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.25±0.04±0.04	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events

$\Gamma(f_0(500)\pi^0,\ f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{144}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032C49

The $f_0(500)$ is the σ. This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032C49
NODE=S032C49

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.82±0.10±0.10	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events

$\Gamma(f_0(1370)\pi^0,\ f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{145}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032S67

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032S67
NODE=S032S67

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.37±0.11±0.09	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events

$\Gamma(f_0(1500)\pi^0,\ f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{146}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032S68

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032S68
NODE=S032S68

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.39±0.08±0.07	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events

$\Gamma(f_0(1710)\pi^0,\ f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{147}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032S69

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032S69
NODE=S032S69

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.31±0.07±0.08	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi^0,\ f_2 \to \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{148}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032S70

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032S70
NODE=S032S70

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.32±0.08±0.10	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\,\text{nonresonant})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{149}/\Gamma_{133}$

NODE=S032S75

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

NODE=S032S75
NODE=S032S75

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.84±0.21±0.12	AUBERT	07BJ	BABR	Dalitz fit, 45k events

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{150}/Γ

NODE=S032C32
NODE=S032C32

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.0±0.4±0.3		60	[1] ABLIKIM	18X	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<3.5	90		RUBIN	06	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

[1] Significance of signal reported by ABLIKIM 18X is 4.8σ.

NODE=S032C32;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{151}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032C30
NODE=S032C30

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
19.1±0.5 OUR FIT					
19.1±0.4±0.6	7331 ± 130	RUBIN	06	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{151}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032R37
NODE=S032R37

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.19±0.22 OUR FIT					
9.20±0.26 OUR AVERAGE					
9.14±0.18±0.22	6360±115	LINK	07A	FOCS	γBe, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
7.9 ±1.8 ±0.5	162	ABLIKIM	05F	BES	$e^+e^- \approx \psi(3770)$
9.5 ±0.7 ±0.2	814	FRABETTI	95C	E687	γBe, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 200$ GeV
10.2 ±1.3	345	AMMAR	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
11.5 ±2.3 ±1.6	64	ADAMOVICH	92	OMEG	π^- 340 GeV
10.8 ±2.4 ±0.8	79	FRABETTI	92	E687	γBe
9.6 ±1.8 ±0.7	66	ANJOS	91	E691	γBe 80–240 GeV

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)^+\pi^-,\ a_1^+ \to 2\pi^+\pi^- \text{total})/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{152}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032C53

This is the fit fraction from the coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032C53
NODE=S032C53

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
60.0±3.0±2.4	LINK	07A	FOCS	4-body fit, ≈ 5.7k evts

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)^+\pi^-,\ a_1^+ \to \rho^0\pi^+ S\text{-wave})/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{153}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032C54

This is the fit fraction from the coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032C54
NODE=S032C54

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
41.5±2.5 OUR AVERAGE				
38.1±2.3±3.6	[1] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, 7.3k 4π evts
43.3±2.5±1.9	LINK	07A	FOCS	4-body fit, ≈ 5.7k evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032C54;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)^+\pi^-,\ a_1^+ \to \rho^0\pi^+ D\text{-wave})/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{154}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032C55

This is the fit fraction from the coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032C55
NODE=S032C55

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.5±0.5±0.4	[1] LINK	07A	FOCS	4-body fit, ≈ 5.7k evts

[1] DARGENT 17 using 7.3k events find this contribution negligible.

NODE=S032C55;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)^+\pi^-,\ a_1^+ \to \sigma\pi^+)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{155}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032C56

This is the fit fraction from the coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032C56
NODE=S032C56

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.4±0.9 OUR AVERAGE				
10.2±1.4±3.3	[1] DARGENT	17		7.3k 4-body fit, 4π evts
8.3±0.7±0.6	LINK	07A	FOCS	4-body fit, ≈ 5.7k evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032C56;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)^-\pi^+,\ a_1^- \to \rho^0\pi^- S\text{-wave})/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{156}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032P36

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P36
NODE=S032P36

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
3.1±0.6±1.0	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P36;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)^-\pi^+,\ a_1^- \to \sigma\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{157}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032P38

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P38
NODE=S032P38

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
0.8±0.2±0.4	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P38;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi(1300)^+\pi^-,\ \pi(1300)^+ \to \sigma\pi^+)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{158}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032P39

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P39

NODE=S032P39

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
6.8±0.9±3.4	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P39;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi(1300)^-\pi^+,\ \pi(1300)^- \to \sigma\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{159}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032P41

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P41

NODE=S032P41

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
3.0±0.6±2.8	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P41;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_1(1640)^+\pi^-,\ a_1^+ \to \rho^0\pi^+\ D\text{-wave})/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{160}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032P42

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P42

NODE=S032P42

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
4.2±0.6±2.0	7.3k	[1,2] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.
[2] 4-body fit, 4π evts

NODE=S032P42;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S032P42;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(a_1(1640)^+\pi^-,\ a_1^+ \to \sigma\pi^+)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{161}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032P46

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P46

NODE=S032P46

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
2.4±0.7±1.7	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P46;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi_2(1670)^+\pi^-,\ \pi_2^+ \to f_2(1270)^0\pi^+,\ f_2^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{162}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032P47

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P47

NODE=S032P47

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
2.7±0.6±1.1	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P47;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi_2(1670)^+\pi^-,\ \pi_2^+ \to \sigma\pi^+)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{163}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032P48

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P48

NODE=S032P48

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
3.5±0.6±1.2	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P48;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(2\rho^0\text{ total})/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{164}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032C57

This is the fit fraction from the coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032C57

NODE=S032C57

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
24.5±1.3±1.0	LINK	07A	FOCS	4-body fit, $\approx$ 5.7k evts

$\Gamma(2\rho^0\text{, parallel helicities})/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{165}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032C58

This is the fit fraction from the coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032C58

NODE=S032C58

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.1±0.3±0.3	LINK	07A	FOCS	4-body fit, $\approx$ 5.7k evts

$\Gamma(2\rho^0\text{, perpendicular helicities})/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{166}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032C59

This is the fit fraction from the coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032C59

NODE=S032C59

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.4±0.6±0.5	LINK	07A	FOCS	4-body fit, $\approx$ 5.7k evts

$\Gamma(2\rho^0\text{, longitudinal helicities})/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{167}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032C60

This is the fit fraction from the coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032C60

NODE=S032C60

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
16.8±1.0±0.8	LINK	07A	FOCS	4-body fit, $\approx$ 5.7k evts

$\Gamma(2\rho(770)^0,\ S\text{-wave})/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{168}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032P51

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P51

NODE=S032P51

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
2.4±0.7±1.5	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P51;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(2\rho(770)^0,\ P\text{-wave})/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{169}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032P52

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P52
NODE=S032P52

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
7.0±0.5±1.6	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P52;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(2\rho(770)^0,\ D\text{-wave})/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{170}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032P53

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P53
NODE=S032P53

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
8.2±1.0±3.9	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P53;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\text{Resonant }(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^+\pi^-\text{ 3-body total})/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{171}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032C61

This is the fit fraction from the coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032C61
NODE=S032C61

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
20.0±1.2±1.0	LINK	07A	FOCS	4-body fit, $\approx$ 5.7k evts

$\Gamma(\sigma\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{172}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032C62

This is the fit fraction from the coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032C62
NODE=S032C62

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.2±0.9±0.7	LINK	07A	FOCS	4-body fit, $\approx$ 5.7k evts

$\Gamma(\sigma\rho(770)^0)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{173}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032P49

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P49
NODE=S032P49

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
6.6±1.0±3.2	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P49;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(f_0(1370)\sigma,\ f_0\to\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{177}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032P50

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P50
NODE=S032P50

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
21.2±1.8±6.7	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P50;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(f_0(980)\pi^+\pi^-,\ f_0\to\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{174}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032C63

This is the fit fraction from the coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032C63
NODE=S032C63

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.4±0.5±0.4	LINK	07A	FOCS	4-body fit, $\approx$ 5.7k evts

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi^+\pi^-,\ f_2\to\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{175}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032C64

This is the fit fraction from the coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032C64
NODE=S032C64

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.9±0.6±0.5	LINK	07A	FOCS	4-body fit, $\approx$ 5.7k evts

$\Gamma(2f_2(1270),\ f_2\to\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{176}/\Gamma_{151}$

NODE=S032P55

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P55
NODE=S032P55

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
2.1±0.5±2.3	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P55;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{178}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032C33
NODE=S032C33

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
25.8±1.5±1.8	2724 ± 166	RUBIN	06	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{179}/Γ

NODE=S032C65

Unseen decay modes of the η are included.

NODE=S032C65
NODE=S032C65

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.3±0.6 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
5.8±0.5±0.5	1.7k	ABLIKIM	18L	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
6.5±0.9±0.4	75	ABLIKIM	16D	BES3	See ABLIKIM 18L
6.4±1.0±0.4	156 ± 24	ARTUSO	08	CLEO	See MENDEZ 10

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{179}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032C34

Unseen decay modes of the η are included.

NODE=S032C34
NODE=S032C34

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$1.47\pm0.34\pm0.11$	62 ± 14	RUBIN	06	CLEO	See ARTUSO 08

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^0)/[\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)+\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)]$ — $\Gamma_{179}/(\Gamma_{36}+\Gamma_{271})$

NODE=S032B04

Unseen decay modes of the η are included.

NODE=S032B04
NODE=S032B04

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.60 ± 0.14 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
$1.74\pm0.15\pm0.11$	481 ± 40	MENDEZ	10	CLEO	e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{180}/Γ

NODE=S032C35

Unseen decay modes of the ω are included.

NODE=S032C35
NODE=S032C35

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.17\pm0.34\pm0.07$	45	ABLIKIM	16D	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{182}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032C31
NODE=S032C31

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$10.7\pm1.2\pm0.5$	1614 ± 171	RUBIN	06	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{183}/Γ

NODE=S032C36

Unseen decay modes of the η are included.

NODE=S032C36
NODE=S032C36

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
11.6 ± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE					NEW
$[(10.9\pm1.6)\times10^{-4}$ OUR 2020 AVERAGE]					
$10.6\pm1.8\pm0.7$	96	ABLIKIM	20AA	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV
$12.0\pm0.7\pm0.4$	450	ABLIKIM	20G	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV
$10.9\pm1.3\pm0.9$	257	ARTUSO	08	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

$\Gamma(\eta2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{186}/Γ

NODE=S032Q00
NODE=S032Q00

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$3.8\pm1.1\pm0.7$		42	[1] ABLIKIM	18X	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<23.8	90		ABLIKIM	20AA	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

[1] Significance of signal reported by ABLIKIM 18X is 3.8σ.

NODE=S032Q00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{187}/Γ

NODE=S032Q95
NODE=S032Q95

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$3.23\pm0.17\pm0.14$	510	ABLIKIM	20V	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{181}/Γ

NODE=S032P96
NODE=S032P96

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.98 ± 0.18 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
$2.15\pm0.17\pm0.15$	2.2k	ABLIKIM	18L	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV
$1.78\pm0.19\pm0.15$	600	[1] SMITH	18		e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P96;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{184}/Γ

NODE=S032S00
NODE=S032S00

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.33\pm0.16\pm0.12$	411	ABLIKIM	20AA	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{184}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032C37

Unseen decay modes of the ω are included.

NODE=S032C37
NODE=S032C37

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$4.1\pm1.2\pm0.4$	472 ± 132	RUBIN	06	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{185}/Γ

NODE=S032B00
NODE=S032B00

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.10\times10^{-3}$	90	ABLIKIM	20AA	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(3\pi^+3\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{188}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032S2
NODE=S032S2

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.23±0.59±1.35	149 ± 17	LINK	04B	FOCS	γA, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

$\Gamma(3\pi^+3\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-3\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{188}/\Gamma_{106}$

NODE=S032R79
NODE=S032R79

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.93±047±0.48	[1] LINK	04B	FOCS	γA, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

[1] This LINK 04B result is not independent of other results in these Listings.

NODE=S032R79;LINKAGE=LI

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{189}/Γ

NODE=S032C66

Unseen decay modes of the $\eta'(958)$ are included.

NODE=S032C66
NODE=S032C66

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.2±1.0 OUR FIT					
9.3±1.1±0.9	469 ± 56	ABLIKIM	18L	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
8.1±1.5±0.6	50 ± 9	ARTUSO	08	CLEO	See MENDEZ 10

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi^0)/[\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)+\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)]$ — $\Gamma_{189}/(\Gamma_{36}+\Gamma_{271})$

NODE=S032B05

Unseen decay modes of the $\eta'(958)$ are included.

NODE=S032B05
NODE=S032B05

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.32±0.25 OUR FIT					
2.3 ±0.3 ±0.2	159 ± 19	MENDEZ	10	CLEO	e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{190}/Γ

NODE=S032C67

Unseen decay modes of the $\eta'(958)$ are included.

NODE=S032C67
NODE=S032C67

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.5±1.6±0.5	21 ± 8	ARTUSO	08	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

$\Gamma(2\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{191}/Γ

NODE=S032C68

Unseen decay modes of the η are included.

NODE=S032C68
NODE=S032C68

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
21.1±1.9 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.3. [(21.1 ± 1.9) × 10^{-4} OUR 2020 FIT Scale factor = 2.2]					
22.0±0.7±0.6	3.4k	ABLIKIM	18L	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
16.7±1.4±1.3	255 ± 22	ARTUSO	08	CLEO	See MENDEZ 10

NEW

$\Gamma(2\eta)/[\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)+\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)]$ — $\Gamma_{191}/(\Gamma_{36}+\Gamma_{271})$

NODE=S032B06

Unseen decay modes of the η are included.

NODE=S032B06
NODE=S032B06

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.3±0.5 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.2. [(5.3 ± 0.5) × 10^{-2} OUR 2020 FIT Scale factor = 2.2]					
4.3±0.3±0.4	430 ± 29	MENDEZ	10	CLEO	e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

NEW

$\Gamma(2\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{192}/Γ

NODE=S032Q27
NODE=S032Q27

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.3±1.6±1.5	27	[1] ABLIKIM	18X	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

[1] Significance of signal reported by ABLIKIM 18X is 5.5σ.

NODE=S032Q27;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(3\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{193}/Γ

NODE=S032Q28
NODE=S032Q28

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.3 × 10^{-4}	90	ABLIKIM	18X	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(\eta\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{194}/Γ

NODE=S032C69

Unseen decay modes of the η and $\eta'(958)$ are included.

NODE=S032C69
NODE=S032C69

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.1±1.9 OUR FIT					
9.4±2.5±1.1	158 ± 41	ABLIKIM	18L	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
12.6±2.5±1.1	46 ± 9	ARTUSO	08	CLEO	See MENDEZ 10

$\Gamma(\eta\eta'(958))/[\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)+\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)]$ — $\Gamma_{194}/(\Gamma_{36}+\Gamma_{271})$

NODE=S032B07

Unseen decay modes of the η and $\eta'(958)$ are included.

NODE=S032B07

NODE=S032B07

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.5±0.5 OUR FIT					
2.7±0.6±0.3	66 ± 15	MENDEZ	10	CLEO	e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

Hadronic modes with a $K\overline{K}$ pair

NODE=S032330

$\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{195}/Γ

NODE=S032C70

NODE=S032C70

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.08 ±0.06 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.6.				
4.233±0.021±0.064	56k	ABLIKIM	18W	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.08 ±0.08 ±0.09	4.7k	BONVICINI	08	CLEO	See MENDEZ 10

$\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{195}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032R27

NODE=S032R27

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.1033±0.0013 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.6.				
0.1010±0.0016 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.				
0.122 ±0.011 ±0.004	242 ± 20	ABLIKIM	05F	BES	$e^+e^- \approx \psi(3770)$
0.0992±0.0011±0.0012	16k±200	ACOSTA	05C	CDF	$p\overline{p}$, $\sqrt{s}$=1.96 TeV
0.0993±0.0014±0.0014	11k	LINK	03	FOCS	γ nucleus, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV
0.1040±0.0033±0.0027	1900	CSORNA	02	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.109 ±0.003 ±0.003	3317	AITALA	98C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
0.116 ±0.007 ±0.007	1102	ASNER	96B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.109 ±0.007 ±0.009	581	FRABETTI	94C	E687	γBe $\overline{E}_\gamma$= 220 GeV
0.107 ±0.010 ±0.009	193	ANJOS	91D	E691	Photoproduction
0.117 ±0.010 ±0.007	249	ALEXANDER	90	CLEO	e^+e^- 10.5–11 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.107 ±0.029 ±0.015	103	ADAMOVICH	92	OMEG	π^- 340 GeV
0.138 ±0.027 ±0.010	155	FRABETTI	92	E687	γBe
0.16 ±0.05	34	ALVAREZ	91B	NA14	Photoproduction
0.10 ±0.02 ±0.01	131	ALBRECHT	90C	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV
0.122 ±0.018 ±0.012	118	BALTRUSAIT...	85E	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
0.113 ±0.030		ABRAMS	79D	MRK2	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV



$\Gamma(K^+K^-)/[\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)+\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)]$ — $\Gamma_{195}/(\Gamma_{36}+\Gamma_{271})$

NODE=S032B08

NODE=S032B08

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.29±0.13 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.6.				
10.41±0.11±0.12	13.8k	MENDEZ	10	CLEO	e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

$\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{195}/\Gamma_{131}$

NODE=S032R91

The unused results here are redundant with $\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ and $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ measurements by the same experiments. NODE=S032R91

NODE=S032R91

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.760±0.040±0.034	7334	ACOSTA	05C	CDF	$p\overline{p}$, $\sqrt{s}$=1.96 TeV
2.81 ±0.10 ±0.06		LINK	03	FOCS	γ nucleus, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV
2.96 ±0.16 ±0.15	710	CSORNA	02	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
2.75 ±0.15 ±0.16		AITALA	98C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
2.53 ±0.46 ±0.19		FRABETTI	94C	E687	γBe $\overline{E}_\gamma$= 220 GeV
2.23 ±0.81 ±0.46		ADAMOVICH	92	OMEG	π^- 340 GeV
1.95 ±0.34 ±0.22		ANJOS	91D	E691	Photoproduction
2.5 ±0.7		ALBRECHT	90C	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV
2.35 ±0.37 ±0.28		ALEXANDER	90	CLEO	e^+e^- 10.5–11 GeV

$\Gamma(2K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{196}/Γ

NODE=S032C71
NODE=S032C71

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.41±0.05 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
1.67±0.11±0.11	576	ABLIKIM	17A	BES3	$e^+e^- \to \psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.46±0.32±0.09	68 ± 15	BONVICINI	08	CLEO	See MENDEZ 10

$\Gamma(2K^0_S)/[\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)+\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)]$ — $\Gamma_{196}/(\Gamma_{36}+\Gamma_{271})$

NODE=S032B09
NODE=S032B09

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.357±0.013 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
0.41 ±0.04 ±0.02	215 ± 23	MENDEZ	10	CLEO	e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

$\Gamma(2K^0_S)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{196}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032R90

This is the same as $\Gamma(K^0\overline{K}^0)$ / $\Gamma(\overline{K}^0\pi^+\pi^-)$ because $D^0 \to K^0_S K^0_L$ is forbidden by CP conservation. NODE=S032R90

NODE=S032R90

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.506±0.034 OUR FIT					
1.20 ±0.22 OUR AVERAGE					
1.44 ±0.32 ±0.16	79 ± 17	LINK	05A	FOCS	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV
1.01 ±0.22 ±0.16	26	ASNER	96B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
3.9 ±1.3 ±1.3	20 ± 7	FRABETTI	94J	E687	γBe $\overline{E}_\gamma$=220 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.1 $^{+1.1}_{-0.8}$ ±0.2	5	ALEXANDER	90	CLEO	e^+e^- 10.5–11 GeV

$\Gamma(2K^0_S)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^0)$ — Γ_{196}/Γ_{37}

NODE=S032P66
NODE=S032P66

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.14 ±0.04 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
1.101±0.023±0.030	4.8k	DASH	17	BELL	At/near $\Upsilon(4S)$, $\Upsilon(5S)$

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{197}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032B1
NODE=S032B1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.084±0.013 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
0.08 ±0.03	[1] ANJOS	91	E691	γBe 80–240 GeV

[1] The factor 100 at the top of column 2 of Table I of ANJOS 91 should be omitted. NODE=S032B1;LINKAGE=AJ

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{262}/Γ

NODE=S032Q83
NODE=S032Q83

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.168±0.028±0.028	3.3k	ABLIKIM	19BI	BES3	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{263}/Γ

NODE=S032Q85
NODE=S032Q85

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.81±0.46±0.06	102	ABLIKIM	19BI	BES3	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{197}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B20
NODE=S032B20

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.118±0.017 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
0.119±0.021 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.				
0.108±0.019	61	AMMAR	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV
0.16 ±0.03 ±0.02	39	ALBRECHT	90C	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0 K^0_S,\ \overline{K}^{*0} \to K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{198}/\Gamma_{197}$

NODE=S032P00

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses. The fraction for the $K^0_S\pi^+$ mass between 792 and 992 MeV is 0.370 ± 0.003 ± 0.012.

NODE=S032P00

NODE=S032P00

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.47±0.15±0.23	113k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty.

NODE=S032P00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K^-,\ K^{*+} \to K^0_S\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{199}/\Gamma_{197}$

NODE=S032P01

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P01

NODE=S032P01

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
56.9±0.6±1.1	113k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty.

NODE=S032P01;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(1410)^0 K^0_S,\ \overline{K}^{*0} \to K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{200}/\Gamma_{197}$

NODE=S032P02

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P02

NODE=S032P02

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.8±0.5±5.6	113k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a uncertainty (which in this case dominates)

NODE=S032P02;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(1410)^+ K^-,\ K^{*+} \to K^0_S\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{201}/\Gamma_{197}$

NODE=S032P03

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P03

NODE=S032P03

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.6±1.1±5.4	113k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty (which in this case dominates).

NODE=S032P03;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma((K^-\pi^+)_{S-wave} K^0_S)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{202}/\Gamma_{197}$

NODE=S032P04

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P04

NODE=S032P04

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
18±2±8	113k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty (which in this case dominates).

NODE=S032P04;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma((K^0_S\pi^+)_{S-wave} K^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{203}/\Gamma_{197}$

NODE=S032P05

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P05

NODE=S032P05

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
11.7±1.0±2.3	113k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty.

NODE=S032P05;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_0(980)^-\pi^+,\ a_0^- \to K^0_S K^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{204}/\Gamma_{197}$

NODE=S032P06

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P06

NODE=S032P06

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.0±0.7±4.1	113k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty (which in this case dominates).

NODE=S032P06;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_0(1450)^-\pi^+,\ a_0^- \to K^0_S K^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{205}/\Gamma_{197}$

NODE=S032P07

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P07

NODE=S032P07

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.74±0.15±0.57	113k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty (which in this case dominates).

NODE=S032P07;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)^-\pi^+,\ a_2^- \to K^0_S K^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{206}/\Gamma_{197}$

NODE=S032P19
NODE=S032P19

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.15±0.06±0.14	113k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty.

NODE=S032P19;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\rho(1450)^-\pi^+,\ \rho^- \to K^0_S K^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{207}/\Gamma_{197}$

NODE=S032P08

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P08
NODE=S032P08

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.4±0.2±0.7	113k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty.

NODE=S032P08;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{208}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032B5
NODE=S032B5

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.05±0.025	[1] ANJOS	91	E691	γBe 80–240 GeV

[1] The factor 100 at the top of column 2 of Table I of ANJOS 91 should be omitted.

NODE=S032B5;LINKAGE=AJ

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{208}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B21
NODE=S032B21

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.098±0.020	55	AMMAR	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{208}/\Gamma_{197}$

NODE=S032Q26
NODE=S032Q26

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.654±0.007 OUR FIT					
0.654±0.007 OUR AVERAGE					
0.655±0.004±0.006	76k,113k	AAIJ	16N	LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
0.592±0.044±0.018		INSLER	12	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at 3.77 GeV

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^0_S,\ K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0 K^0_S,\ \overline{K}^{*0} \to K^-\pi^+)$ — $\Gamma_{209}/\Gamma_{198}$

NODE=S032B24
NODE=S032B24

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.356±0.034±0.007		[1] INSLER	12	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$, 3.77 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.010	90	AMMAR	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV

[1] Uses quantum correlations in $e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$, where the signal side D decays to $K^0_S K\pi$ and the tag-side D decays to $K\pi$, $K\pi\pi\pi$, $K\pi\pi^0$, and 10 additional CP-even, CP-odd, and mixed CP modes involving K^0_S or K^0_L.

NODE=S032B24;LINKAGE=IN

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^0_S,\ K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{209}/\Gamma_{208}$

NODE=S032P09

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P09
NODE=S032P09

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.17±0.21±0.47	76k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty.

NODE=S032P09;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^- K^+,\ K^{*-} \to K^0_S\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{210}/\Gamma_{208}$

NODE=S032P10

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P10
NODE=S032P10

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
28.8±0.4±1.5	76k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty.

NODE=S032P10;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(1410)^0 K^0_S,\ K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{211}/\Gamma_{208}$

NODE=S032P11

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P11
NODE=S032P11

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.2±0.6±3.7	76k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty (which in this case dominates).

NODE=S032P11;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(1410)^- K^+,\ K^{*-} \to K^0_S \pi^-)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+ \pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{212}/\Gamma_{208}$

NODE=S032P12

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P12
NODE=S032P12

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
11.9±1.5±9.1	76k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty (which in this case dominates).

NODE=S032P12;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma((K^+\pi^-)_{S-wave} K^0_S)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+ \pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{213}/\Gamma_{208}$

NODE=S032P13

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P13
NODE=S032P13

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
17±2±8	76k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty.

NODE=S032P13;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma((K^0_S \pi^-)_{S-wave} K^+)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+ \pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{214}/\Gamma_{208}$

NODE=S032P14

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P14
NODE=S032P14

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.3±0.9±2.3	76k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty.

NODE=S032P14;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_0(980)^+ \pi^-,\ a_0^+ \to K^0_S K^+)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+ \pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{215}/\Gamma_{208}$

NODE=S032P15

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P15
NODE=S032P15

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
26±2±18	76k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty (which in this case dominates).

NODE=S032P15;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(a_0(1450)^+ \pi^-,\ a_0^+ \to K^0_S K^+)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+ \pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{216}/\Gamma_{208}$

NODE=S032P16

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P16
NODE=S032P16

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.5±0.3±1.1	76k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty (which in this case dominates).

NODE=S032P16;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\rho(1700)^+ \pi^-,\ \rho^+ \to K^0_S K^+)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^+ \pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{217}/\Gamma_{208}$

NODE=S032P17

Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analyses.

NODE=S032P17
NODE=S032P17

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.53±0.11±0.23	76k	[1] AAIJ	16N	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

[1] AAIJ 16N gives results for two S-wave parameterisations. We take the values from the model with LASS parametrization, and the difference as a systematic uncertainty.

NODE=S032P17;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)$ — Γ_{218}/Γ_{54}

NODE=S032S28
NODE=S032S28

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.37±0.03±0.04	11k±122	AUBERT,B	06X	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.95±0.26	151	ASNER	96B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K^-,\ K^*(892)^+ \to K^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{219}/\Gamma_{218}$

NODE=S032R02

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis with interference.

NODE=S032R02
NODE=S032R02

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
44.4±0.8±0.6	AUBERT	07T	BABR	Dalitz fit II, 11k evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
46.1±3.1	[1] CAWLFIELD	06A	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 627 ± 30 evts

[1] The error on this CAWLFIELD 06A result is statistical only.

NODE=S032R02;LINKAGE=CA

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^- K^+,\ K^*(892)^- \to K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{220}/\Gamma_{218}$

NODE=S032R03

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis with interference.

NODE=S032R03
NODE=S032R03

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
15.9±0.7±0.6	AUBERT	07T	BABR	Dalitz fit II, 11k evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
12.3±2.2	[1] CAWLFIELD	06A	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 627 ± 30 evts

[1] The error on this CAWLFIELD 06A result is statistical only.

NODE=S032R03;LINKAGE=CA

$\Gamma((K^+ \pi^0)_{S-wave} K^-)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{221}/\Gamma_{218}$

NODE=S032S08

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis with interference.

NODE=S032S08
NODE=S032S08

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
71.1±3.7±1.9	[1] AUBERT	07T	BABR	Dalitz fit II, 11k evts

[1] The only major difference between fits I and II in the AUBERT 07T analysis is in this mode, where the fit-I fraction is (16.3 ± 3.4 ± 2.1)%.

NODE=S032S08;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma((K^- \pi^0)_{S-wave} K^+)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{222}/\Gamma_{218}$

NODE=S032S09

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis with interference.

NODE=S032S09
NODE=S032S09

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.9±0.9±1.0	AUBERT	07T	BABR	Dalitz fit II, 11k evts

$\Gamma(f_0(980)\pi^0,\ f_0 \to K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{223}/\Gamma_{218}$

NODE=S032S11

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis with interference.

NODE=S032S11
NODE=S032S11

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.5±1.1±1.2	[1] AUBERT	07T	BABR	Dalitz fit II, 11k evts

[1] When AUBERT 07T replace the $f_0(980)\pi^0$ mode with $a_0(980)\pi^0$, the fit fraction is a negligibly different (11.0 ± 1.5 ± 1.2)%.

NODE=S032S11;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^0,\ \phi \to K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{224}/\Gamma_{218}$

NODE=S032R04

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis with interference.

NODE=S032R04
NODE=S032R04

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
19.4±0.6±0.5	AUBERT	07T	BABR	Dalitz fit II, 11k evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
14.9±1.6	[1] CAWLFIELD	06A	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 627 ± 30 evts

[1] The error on this CAWLFIELD 06A result is statistical only.

NODE=S032R04;LINKAGE=CA

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0 \text{nonresonant})/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)$ — $\Gamma_{225}/\Gamma_{218}$

NODE=S032R05

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis with interference.

NODE=S032R05
NODE=S032R05

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.360±0.037	[1] CAWLFIELD	06A	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 627 ± 30 evts

[1] The error is statistical only. CAWLFIELD 06A also fits the Dalitz plot replacing this flat nonresonant background with broad S−wave $\kappa^\pm \to K^\pm \pi^0$ resonances. There is no significant improvement in the fit, and $K^{*\pm} K^\mp$ and $\phi\pi^0$ results are not much changed.

NODE=S032R05;LINKAGE=CW

$\Gamma(2K^0_S \pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{226}/Γ

NODE=S032S27
NODE=S032S27

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.00059	ASNER	96B	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{227}/Γ

NODE=S032Q90
NODE=S032Q90

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.59±0.18±0.05	13	ABLIKIM	20V	BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(2K^0_S \eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{228}/Γ

NODE=S032Q91
NODE=S032Q91

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.33±0.59±0.18	7	ABLIKIM	20V	BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{229}/Γ

NODE=S032D08
NODE=S032D08

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.9±0.7±0.4	132	ABLIKIM	20AC	BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{230}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032B9
NODE=S032B9

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.00±0.13 OUR AVERAGE					
2.95±0.11±0.08	2669 ± 101	[1] LINK	05G	FOCS	γBe, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
3.13±0.37±0.36	136 ± 15	AITALA	98D	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
3.5 ±0.4 ±0.2	244 ± 26	FRABETTI	95C	E687	γBe, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 200$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.4 ±1.8 ±0.5	19 ± 8	ABLIKIM	05F	BES	$e^+e^- \approx \psi(3770)$
4.1 ±0.7 ±0.5	114 ± 20	ALBRECHT	94I	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx 10$ GeV
3.14±1.0	89 ± 29	AMMAR	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV
$2.8\ ^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$		ANJOS	91	E691	γBe 80–240 GeV

[1] LINK 05G uses a smaller, cleaner subset of 1279 ± 48 events for the amplitude analysis that gives the results in the next data blocks. NODE=S032B9;LINKAGE=LI

$\Gamma(\phi(\pi^+\pi^-)_{S-wave},\ \phi\to K^+K^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{231}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q02

This is the fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032Q02
NODE=S032Q02

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.0±0.6±2.1	3k	[1] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ events
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
10.3±1.0±0.8	3k	[2] ARTUSO	12	CLEO	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ events
1 ±1	1.3k	LINK	05G	FOCS	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ events

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032Q02;LINKAGE=C
[2] See DARGENT 17 NODE=S032Q02;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma((\phi\rho^0)_{S-wave},\ \phi\to K^+K^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{232}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q03

This is the fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032Q03
NODE=S032Q03

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
28.1±1.3±1.7	2.9k	[1,2] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
38.3±2.5±3.8		[1,3] ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Fitting 2959 evts.
29 ±2 ±1		LINK	05G	FOCS	Fits 1279 ± 48 evts.

[1] ARTUSO 12 and DARGENT 17 use the same dataset, but ARTUSO 12 uses a formulation for the D-wave component that is in fact a mix of S- and D-wave, while DARGENT 17 uses a pure D-wave. This explains the discrepancy in their $\rho\phi$ S- and D-wave components. NODE=S032Q03;LINKAGE=A
[2] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032Q03;LINKAGE=C
[3] See DARGENT 17 NODE=S032Q03;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma((\phi\rho^0)_{P-wave},\ \phi\to K^+K^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{233}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032P56

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P56
NODE=S032P56

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
1.6±0.3±0.7	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032P56;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma((\phi\rho^0)_{D-wave},\ \phi\to K^+K^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{234}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q16
NODE=S032Q16

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.7±0.4±0.4	2.9k	[1,2] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3.4±0.7±0.6		[1,3] ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Fitting 2959 evts.

[1] ARTUSO 12 use a formulation for the D-wave component that is in fact a mix of S- and D-wave, while DARGENT 17 uses a pure D-wave. NODE=S032Q16;LINKAGE=A
[2] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032Q16;LINKAGE=C
[3] See DARGENT 17 NODE=S032Q16;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0,\ K^{*0}\to K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{235}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q07

This is the fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032Q07
NODE=S032Q07

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3±2±1	LINK	05G	FOCS	Fits 1279 ± 48 evts.

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\rho^0$ 3-body$)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{236}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q04

This is the fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032Q04
NODE=S032Q04

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2±2±2	LINK	05G	FOCS	Fits 1279 ± 48 evts.

$\Gamma(f_0(980)\pi^+\pi^-,\ f_0 \to K^+K^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{237}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q05

This is the fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032Q05
NODE=S032Q05

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
15±3±2	LINK	05G	FOCS	Fits 1279 ± 48 evts.

$\Gamma((K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0)_{S-wave},\ K^{*0} \to K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{238}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q17
NODE=S032Q17

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.06±0.35 OUR AVERAGE					
9.18±0.21±0.28	163k	AAIJ	19C	LHCB	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
4.5 ±0.8 ±2.0	3k	[1] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
6.1 ±0.8 ±0.9	3k	[2] ARTUSO	12	CLEO	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032Q17;LINKAGE=B
[2] See DARGENT 17 NODE=S032Q17;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma((K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0)_{P-wave},\ K^* \to K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{239}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032P62

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P62
NODE=S032P62

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.87±0.24 OUR AVERAGE					
4.90±0.16±0.18	163k	AAIJ	19C	LHCB	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
3.6 ±0.7 ±1.5	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032P62;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma((K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0)_{D-wave},\ K^* \to K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{240}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032P63

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P63
NODE=S032P63

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.89±0.13 OUR AVERAGE					
1.85±0.09±0.10	163k	AAIJ	19C	LHCB	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
4.0 ±0.6 ±0.7	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032P63;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0K^\mp\pi^\pm$ 3-body$, K^{*0} \to K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{241}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q06

This is the fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032Q06
NODE=S032Q06

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
11±2±1	LINK	05G	FOCS	Fits 1279 ± 48 evts.

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0(K^-\pi^+)_{S-wave}$ 3-body$,\ K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{242}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032P65

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P65
NODE=S032P65

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
5.8±1.2±2.1	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032P65;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma((K^-\pi^+)_{P-wave},\ (K^+\pi^-)_{S-wave})/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{243}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q18
NODE=S032Q18

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
10.9±1.2±1.7	[1] ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Fitting 2959 evts.

[1] See DARGENT 17 NODE=S032Q18;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^{\pm} K^{\mp},\ K_1^{\pm} \to K^{\pm}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{244}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q08

This is the fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032Q08
NODE=S032Q08

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$33 \pm 6 \pm 4$	[1] LINK	05G	FOCS	Fits 1279 ± 48 evts.

[1] This LINK 05G value includes $K_1(1270)^{\pm} \to \rho^0 K^{\pm}$, $\to K_0^*(1430)^0\pi^{\pm}$, and $K^*(892)^0\pi^{\pm}$.

NODE=S032Q08;LINKAGE=LI

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^+ K^-,\ K_1^+ \to K^{*0}\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{245}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q19
NODE=S032Q19

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$5.5 \pm 1.4 \pm 3.4$	3k	[1] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$7.3 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.9$	3k	[2] ARTUSO	12	CLEO	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ events

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.
[2] See DARGENT 17

NODE=S032Q19;LINKAGE=B
NODE=S032Q19;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^+ K^-,\ K_1^+ \to K^*(1430)^0\pi^+,\ K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{246}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032P58

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P58
NODE=S032P58

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
$6.1 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.8$	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P58;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^+ K^-,\ K_1^+ \to \rho^0 K^+)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{247}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q20
NODE=S032Q20

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$9.1 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.9$	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$4.7 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.8$		[2] ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Fitting 2959 evts.

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.
[2] see DARGENT 17

NODE=S032Q20;LINKAGE=B
NODE=S032Q20;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^+ K^-,\ K_1^+ \to \omega(782)K^+,\ \omega \to \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{248}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032P59

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P59
NODE=S032P59

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
$0.6 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4$	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P59;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^- K^+,\ K_1^- \to \overline{K}^{*0}\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{249}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q21
NODE=S032Q21

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.9 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4$	[1] ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Fitting 2959 evts.

[1] See DARGENT 17

NODE=S032Q21;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^- K^+,\ K_1^- \to \rho^0 K^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{250}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q22
NODE=S032Q22

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$5.4 \pm 0.7 \pm 1.3$	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$6.0 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.6$		[2] ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Fitting 2959 evts.

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.
[2] See DARGENT 17

NODE=S032Q22;LINKAGE=B
NODE=S032Q22;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_1(1400)^{\pm} K^{\mp},\ K_1^{\pm} \to K^{\pm}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{251}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q09

This is the fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032Q09
NODE=S032Q09

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$22 \pm 3 \pm 4$	LINK	05G	FOCS	Fits 1279 ± 48 evts.

$\Gamma(K_1(1400)^+ K^-,\ K_1^+ \to K^*(892)^0\pi^+,\ K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{252}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032P60

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P60
NODE=S032P60

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
18.7 ±1.5 OUR AVERAGE					
19.08±0.60±1.46	163k	AAIJ	19C	LHCB	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
12.4 ±2.6 ±6.3	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P60;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(1410)^+ K^-,\ K^{*+} \to K^{*0}\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{253}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q23
NODE=S032Q23

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4.2±0.7±0.8	[1,2] ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Fitting 2959 evts.

[1] DARGENT 17 find $K^*(1410)^+\pi^-$ and $K^*(1680)^+\pi^-$, which both peak outside the $D^0 \to KK\pi\pi$ kinematic range, effectively indistinguishable; we list their result under $K^*(1680)^+\pi^-$.

NODE=S032Q23;LINKAGE=A

[2] See DARGENT 17

NODE=S032Q23;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(K^*(1410)^- K^+,\ K^{*-} \to \overline{K}^{*0}\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{254}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032Q24
NODE=S032Q24

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.82±0.19±0.39	163k	AAIJ	19C	LHCB	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.7 ±0.7 ±0.7	3k	[1] ARTUSO	12	CLEO	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] See DARGENT 17.

NODE=S032Q24;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_1(1680)^+ K^-,\ K_1^+ \to K^{*0}\pi^+,\ K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{255}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032P61

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P61
NODE=S032P61

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
3.6±0.8±1.0	2.9k	[1,2] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] DARGENT 17 find $K^*(1410)^+\pi^-$ and $K^*(1680)^+\pi^-$, which both peak outside the $D^0 \to KK\pi\pi$ kinematic range, effectively indistinguishable.

NODE=S032P61;LINKAGE=A

[2] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P61;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$ non-resonant$)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{256}/\Gamma_{230}$

NODE=S032P64

This is the fit fraction from a coherent amplitude analysis.

NODE=S032P64
NODE=S032P64

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
11.1±1.2±2.2	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032P64;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(2K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{257}/Γ

NODE=S032D09
NODE=S032D09

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.3±0.9±0.3	63	ABLIKIM	20AC	BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$\Gamma(2K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{257}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032B94
NODE=S032B94

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.3 ±0.8 OUR AVERAGE					
4.16±0.70±0.42	113 ± 21	LINK	05A	FOCS	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV
6.2 ±2.0 ±1.6	25	ALBRECHT	94I	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^-\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{258}/Γ

NODE=S032D11
NODE=S032D11

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.32±0.14±0.07	195	ABLIKIM	20AC	BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{259}/Γ

NODE=S032D12
NODE=S032D12

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.5±0.7±0.2	119	ABLIKIM	20AC	BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K_S^0 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{260}/\Gamma_{100}$

NODE=S032S93
NODE=S032S93

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.054	90	LINK	04D	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{261}/Γ

NODE=S032B32
NODE=S032B32

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0031±0.0020	[1] BARLAG	92C	ACCM	π^- Cu 230 GeV

[1] BARLAG 92C computes the branching fraction using topological normalization.

NODE=S032B32;LINKAGE=BD

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^+K^-)$ — $\Gamma_{262}/\Gamma_{195}$

NODE=S032C99
NODE=S032C99

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.194±0.006±0.009	1254	TAJIMA	04	BELL	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\phi\eta)/\Gamma(K^+K^-)$ — $\Gamma_{263}/\Gamma_{195}$

NODE=S032C98
NODE=S032C98

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.59±1.14±0.18	31	TAJIMA	04	BELL	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\phi\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{264}/Γ

NODE=S032B93
NODE=S032B93

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.0021	90	ALBRECHT	94I	ARG	$e^+e^-\approx$ 10 GeV

Radiative modes

NODE=S032333

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{265}/\Gamma_{131}$

NODE=S032P26
NODE=S032P26

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.25±0.21±0.05	500	NANUT	17	BELL	e^+e^- at Υ(nS), n=2,3,4,5

$\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{266}/Γ

NODE=S032S62
NODE=S032S62

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
$<2.4\times10^{-4}$	90	ASNER	98	CLE2

$\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{267}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032S98
NODE=S032S98

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.1 ±0.5 OUR FIT					
7.15±0.78±0.69	243 ± 25	AUBERT	08AZ	BABR	$e^+e^-\approx$ 10.6 GeV

$\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma(K^+K^-)$ — $\Gamma_{267}/\Gamma_{195}$

NODE=S032S88
NODE=S032S88

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.9 ±0.5 OUR FIT					
6.88±0.47±0.21	524	NANUT	17	BELL	e^+e^- at Υ(nS), n=2,3,4,5
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$6.31^{+1.70+0.30}_{-1.48-0.36}$	28	TAJIMA	04	BELL	See NANUT 17

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0\gamma)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{268}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032S99
NODE=S032S99

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.5 ±1.7 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 3.1.				
11.9 ±0.5 ±0.5	9.1k	NANUT	17	BELL	e^+e^- at Υ(nS), n=2,3,4,5
8.43±0.51±0.70	2.2k	AUBERT	08AZ	BABR	$e^+e^-\approx$ 10.6 GeV

Doubly Cabibbo-suppressed / Mixing modes

NODE=S032335

$\Gamma(K^+\ell^-\overline{\nu}_\ell \text{ via } \overline{D}^0)/\Gamma(K^-\ell^+\nu_\ell)$ — Γ_{269}/Γ_{18}

NODE=S032S26

This is a limit on R_M without the complications of possible doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decays that occur when using hadronic modes. For the limits on $|m_1 - m_2|$ and $(\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2)/\Gamma$ that come from the best mixing limit, see near the beginning of these D^0 Listings.

NODE=S032S26

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<6.1\times10^{-4}$	90	[1] BITENC	08	BELL	e^+e^-, 10.58 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<50\times10^{-4}$	90	[2] AITALA	96C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

NODE=S032S26

[1] The BITENC 08 right-sign sample includes about 15% of $D^0 \to K^-\pi^0\ell^+\nu_\ell$ and other decays.

NODE=S032S26;LINKAGE=BI

[2] AITALA 96C uses $D^{*+} \to D^0\pi^+$ (and charge conjugate) decays to identify the charm at production and $D^0 \to K^-\ell^+\nu_\ell$ (and charge conjugate) decays to identify the charm at decay.

NODE=S032S26;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^+ \text{ or } K^*(892)^+ e^- \overline{\nu}_e \text{ via } \overline{D}^0)/[\Gamma(K^- e^+ \nu_e) + \Gamma(K^*(892)^- e^+ \nu_e)]$ $\Gamma_{270}/(\Gamma_{19}+\Gamma_{21})$

NODE=S032S01

This is a limit on R_M without the complications of possible doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decays that occur when using hadronic modes. The experiments use $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$ (and charge conjugate) decays to identify the charm at production and the charge of the e to identify the charm at decay. These limits do not allow CP violation. For the limits on $|m_1 - m_2|$ and $(\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2)/\Gamma$ that come from the best mixing limit, see near the beginning of these D^0 Listings.

NODE=S032S01

NODE=S032S01

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.001	90	BITENC	05	BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx$ 10.6 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$-0.0013 < R < +0.0012$	90	AUBERT	07AB	BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx$ 10.58 GeV
<0.0078	90	CAWLFIELD	05	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \approx$ 10.6 GeV
<0.0042	90	AUBERT,B	04Q	BABR	See AUBERT 07AB

$\Gamma(K^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(K^- \pi^+)$ Γ_{271}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032R61

This is R, the time-integrated wrong-sign rate compared to the right-sign rate. See the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing," near the start of the D^0 Listings.

NODE=S032R61

The experiments here use the charge of the pion in $D^*(2010)^\pm \rightarrow (D^0 \text{ or } \overline{D}^0)\ \pi^\pm$ decay to tell whether a D^0 or a $\overline{D}^0$ was born. The $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ decay can occur directly by doubly Cabibbo-suppressed (DCS) decay, or indirectly by $D^0 \rightarrow \overline{D}^0$ mixing followed by $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ decay. Some of the experiments can use the decay-time information to disentangle the two mechanisms. Here, we list the experimental branching ratio, which if there is no mixing is the DCS ratio. See the next data block for values of the DCS ratio R_D, and the following data block for limits on the mixing ratio R_M. See the section on CP-violating asymmetries near the end of this D^0 Listing for values of A_D, and the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing" for limits on x' and y'.

Some early limits have been omitted from this Listing; see our 1998 edition (The European Physical Journal **C3** 1 (1998)) and our 2006 edition (Journal of Physics **G33** 1 (2006)).

NODE=S032R61

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.79±0.18 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 3.3.					
3.79±0.18 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 3.3. See the ideogram below.					
4.15±0.10	12.7±0.3k	[1] AALTONEN	08E	CDF	$p\overline{p}$, $\sqrt{s}$ = 1.96 TeV
3.53±0.08±0.04	4030 ± 90	[2] AUBERT	07W	BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx$ 10.6 GeV
3.77±0.08±0.05	4024 ± 88	[1] ZHANG	06	BELL	$e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.05±0.21±0.11	2.0 ± 0.1k	[3] ABULENCIA	06X	CDF	See AALTONEN 08E
$3.81 \pm 0.17^{+0.08}_{-0.16}$	845 ± 40	[2] LI	05A	BELL	See ZHANG 06
$4.29^{+0.63}_{-0.61} \pm 0.27$	234	[4] LINK	05H	FOCS	γ nucleus
3.57±0.22±0.27		[5] AUBERT	03Z	BABR	See AUBERT 07W
4.04±0.85±0.25	149	[6] LINK	01	FOCS	γ nucleus
$3.32^{+0.63}_{-0.65} \pm 0.40$	45	[1] GODANG	00	CLE2	$e^+ e^-$
$6.8\ ^{+3.4}_{-3.3}\ \pm 0.7$	34	[2] AITALA	98	E791	π^- nucl., 500 GeV

[1] GODANG 00, ZHANG 06, and AALTONEN 08E allow CP violation. NODE=S032R61;LINKAGE=ZH

[2] AITALA 98, LI 05A, and AUBERT 07W assume no CP violation. NODE=S032R61;LINKAGE=AT

[3] This ABULENCIA 06X result assumes no mixing. NODE=S032R61;LINKAGE=AB

[4] This LINK 05H result assumes no mixing but allows CP violation. If neither mixing nor CP violation is allowed, $R = (4.29 \pm 0.63 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=S032R61;LINKAGE=LI

[5] This AUBERT 03Z result allows CP violation. If CP violation is not allowed, $R = 0.00359 \pm 0.00020 \pm 0.00027$. NODE=S032R61;LINKAGE=AU

[6] This LINK 01 result assumes no mixing or CP violation. NODE=S032R61;LINKAGE=KL



$\Gamma(K^+\pi^-$ via DCS$)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ Γ_{272}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032R08

This is R_D, the doubly Cabibbo-suppressed ratio when mixing is allowed. NODE=S032R08

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.45 ±0.06 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.			
3.454±0.040±0.020	722k	[1] AAIJ	18K	LHCB	pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
3.53 ±0.13		[2] KO	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(nS)$
3.51 ±0.35		[3] AALTONEN	13AE	CDF	$p\overline{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
3.04 ±0.55	13k	AALTONEN	08E	CDF	$p\overline{p}$, $\sqrt{s}$ =1.96 TeV
3.03 ±0.16 ±0.10	4.0k	[4] AUBERT	07W	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx 10.6$ GeV
3.64 ±0.17	4.0k	[5] ZHANG	06	BELL	e^+e^-
5.17 $^{+1.47}_{-1.58}$ ±0.76	234	[6] LINK	05H	FOCS	γ nucleus
4.8 ±1.2 ±0.4	45	[7] GODANG	00	CLE2	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3.533±0.054	236k	[8] AAIJ	17AO	LHCB	See AAIJ 18K
3.568±0.066		[9] AAIJ	13CE	LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
3.52 ±0.15		[10] AAIJ	13N	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 13CE
2.87 ±0.37	0.8k	LI	05A	BELL	See ZHANG 06

[1] This AAIJ 18K value is for direct and indirect CP violation allowed. The value is the same if either one or the other is not allowed, but in each case the error then is $(0.028 \pm 0.014) \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=S032R08;LINKAGE=D

[2] Based on 976 fb^{-1} of data collected at Υ(nS) resonances. Assumes no CP violation. NODE=S032R08;LINKAGE=C

[3] Based on 9.6 fb^{-1} of data collected at the Tevatron. Assumes no CP violation. NODE=S032R08;LINKAGE=AA

[4] Result is the same whether or not CP violation is allowed. NODE=S032R08;LINKAGE=UB

[5] This ZHANG 06 assumes no CP violation. NODE=S032R08;LINKAGE=ZH

[6] This LINK 05H result allows CP violation. Allowing mixing but not CP violation, $R_D = (3.81^{+1.67}_{-1.63} \pm 0.92) \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=S032R08;LINKAGE=LI

[7] This GODANG 00 result allows CP violation. NODE=S032R08;LINKAGE=GO

[8] The result was established with D^0 from prompt and secondary D^* assuming no CPV or no direct CPV. NODE=S032R08;LINKAGE=A

[9] Based on 3 fb^{-1} of data collected at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7, 8 TeV. Assumes no CP violation. NODE=S032R08;LINKAGE=B

[10] Based on 1 fb^{-1} of data collected at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV in 2011. Assumes no CP violation. NODE=S032R08;LINKAGE=AI



$\Gamma(K^+\pi^-$ via $\overline{D}^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{273}/Γ_{36}

NODE=S032R9

NODE=S032R9

This is R_M in the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing" near the start of the D^0 Listings. The experiments here (1) use the charge of the pion in $D^*(2010)^\pm \to (D^0$ or $\overline{D}^0)\ \pi^\pm$ decay to tell whether a D^0 or a $\overline{D}^0$ was born; and (2) use the decay-time distribution to disentangle doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decay and mixing. For the limits on $|m_1 - m_2|$ and $(\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2)/\Gamma$ that come from the best mixing limit, see near the beginning of these D^0 Listings.

NODE=S032R9

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.00040	95	[1] ZHANG	06	BELL	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.00046	95	[2] LI	05A	BELL	See ZHANG 06
<0.0063	95	[3] LINK	05H	FOCS	γ nucleus
<0.0013	95	[4] AUBERT	03Z	BABR	e^+e^-, 10.6 GeV
<0.00041	95	[5] GODANG	00	CLE2	e^+e^-
<0.0092	95	[6] BARATE	98W	ALEP	e^+e^- at Z^0
<0.005	90	[7] ANJOS	88C	E691	Photoproduction

[1] This ZHANG 06 result allows *CP* violation, but the result does not change if *CP* violation is not allowed. NODE=S032R9;LINKAGE=ZH

[2] This LI 05A result allows *CP* violation. The limit becomes < 0.00042 (95% CL) if *CP* violation is not allowed. NODE=S032R9;LINKAGE=LA

[3] LINK 05H obtains the same result whether or not *CP* violation is allowed. NODE=S032R9;LINKAGE=LI

[4] This AUBERT 03Z result allows *CP* violation and assumes that the strong phase between $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ is small, and limits only $D^0 \to \overline{D}^0$ transitions via off-shell intermediate states. The limit on transitions via on-shell intermediate states is 0.0016. NODE=S032R9;LINKAGE=AU

[5] This GODANG 00 result allows *CP* violation and assumes that the strong phase between $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ is small, and limits only $D^0 \to \overline{D}^0$ transitions via off-shell intermediate states. The limit on transitions via on-shell intermediate states is 0.0017. NODE=S032R9;LINKAGE=GD

[6] This BARATE 98W result assumes no interference between the DCS and mixing amplitudes ($y' = 0$ in the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing" near the start of the D^0 Listings). When interference is allowed, the limit degrades to 0.036 (95%CL). NODE=S032R9;LINKAGE=F

[7] This ANJOS 88C result assumes no interference between the DCS and mixing amplitudes ($y' = 0$ in the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing" near the start of the D^0 Listings). When interference is allowed, the limit degrades to 0.019. NODE=S032R9;LINKAGE=AA

$\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$ in $D^0 \to \overline{D}^0)/\Gamma(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{274}/Γ_{39}

NODE=S032R75

NODE=S032R75

This is R_M in the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing" near the start of the D^0 Listings. The experiments here (1) use the charge of the pion in $D^*(2010)^\pm \to (D^0$ or $\overline{D}^0)\ \pi^\pm$ decay to tell whether a D^0 or a $\overline{D}^0$ was born; and (2) use the decay-time distribution to disentangle doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decay and mixing. For the limits on $|m_1 - m_2|$ and $(\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2)/\Gamma$ that come from the best mixing limit, see near the beginning of these D^0 Listings.

NODE=S032R75

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.0063	95	[1] ASNER	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV

[1] This ASNER 05 limit allows *CP* violation. If *CP* violation is not allowed, the limit is 0.0042 at 95% CL. NODE=S032R75;LINKAGE=AS

$\Gamma(K^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0)$ Γ_{278}/Γ_{54}

NODE=S032C24

The experiments here use the charge of the pion in $D^*(2010)^\pm \to (D^0$ or $\overline{D}^0)\ \pi^\pm$ decay to tell whether a D^0 or a $\overline{D}^0$ was born. The $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decay can occur directly by doubly Cabibbo-suppressed (DCS) decay, or indirectly by $D^0 \to \overline{D}^0$ mixing followed by $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decay. NODE=S032C24

NODE=S032C24

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.12±0.07 OUR AVERAGE					
2.01±0.11		[1] EVANS	16	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at $\psi(3770)$
2.14±0.08±0.08	763	[2] AUBERT,B	06N	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$2.29\pm0.15^{+0.13}_{-0.09}$	1.9k	TIAN	05	BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$4.3\ ^{+1.1}_{-1.0}\ \pm0.7$	38	BRANDENB...	01	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] A combined fit with a recent LHCb $D^0\overline{D}^0$ mixing results in AAIJ 16F is also reported to be $(2.00 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=S032C24;LINKAGE=A

[2] This AUBERT,B 06N result assumes no mixing. NODE=S032C24;LINKAGE=UA

$\Gamma(K^+\pi^-\pi^0 \text{ via } \overline{D}^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^0)$ Γ_{279}/Γ_{54}

NODE=S032R00

This is R_M in the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing" near the start of the D^0 Listings. The experiments here (1) use the charge of the pion in $D^*(2010)^\pm \to (D^0$ or $\overline{D}^0)\ \pi^\pm$ decay to tell whether a D^0 or a $\overline{D}^0$ was born; and (2) use the decay-time distribution to disentangle doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decay and mixing. For the limits on $|m_1 - m_2|$ and $(\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2)/\Gamma$ that come from the best mixing limit, see near the beginning of these D^0 Listings. NODE=S032R00

NODE=S032R00

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{5.25^{+0.25}_{-0.31}\pm0.12}$		AUBERT	09AN	BABR	e^+e^- at 10.58 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.54	95	[1] AUBERT,B	06N	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] This AUBERT,B 06N limit assumes no *CP* violation. The measured value corresponding to the limit is $(2.3^{+1.8}_{-1.4} \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$. If *CP* violation is allowed, this becomes $(1.0^{+2.2}_{-0.7} \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$. NODE=S032R00;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^+\pi^+2\pi^- \text{ via DCS})/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{280}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032P22

NODE=S032P22

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.03 ±0.07 OUR AVERAGE					
3.025±0.077	42k,11M	[1] AAIJ	16F	LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
3.03 ±0.13		[2] EVANS	16	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at $\psi(3770)$

[1] This result uses external input on the mixing parameters x, y. Without this input, the result is $(3.215 \pm 0.136) \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=S032P22;LINKAGE=A

[2] A combined fit with a recent LHCb $D^0\overline{D}^0$ mixing results in AAIJ 16F is also reported to be $(3.01 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=S032P22;LINKAGE=D

$\Gamma(K^+\pi^+2\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{281}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032R62

The experiments here use the charge of the pion in $D^*(2010)^\pm \to (D^0$ or $\overline{D}^0)\ \pi^\pm$ decay to tell whether a D^0 or a $\overline{D}^0$ was born. The $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ decay can occur directly by doubly Cabibbo-suppressed (DCS) decay, or indirectly by $D^0 \to \overline{D}^0$ mixing followed by $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ decay. Some of the experiments can use the decay-time information to disentangle the two mechanisms. Here, we list the experimental branching ratio, which if there is no mixing is the DCS ratio; in the next data block we give the limits on the mixing ratio. NODE=S032R62

Some early limits have been omitted from this Listing; see our 1998 edition (EPJ **C3** 1).

NODE=S032R62

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.22±0.05 OUR AVERAGE						
3.22±0.05		42k,11M	[1] AAIJ	16F	LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
3.24±0.08±0.07		3.3k	[2] WHITE	13	BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$4.4\ ^{+1.3}_{-1.2}\ \pm0.4$		54	[2] DYTMAN	01	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$2.5\ ^{+3.6}_{-3.4}\ \pm0.3$			[3] AITALA	98	E791	π^- nucl., 500 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$3.20 \pm 0.18 {}^{+0.18}_{-0.13}$		1.7k	[2] TIAN	05	BELL See WHITE 13
<18	90		[2] AMMAR	91	CLEO $e^+e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV
<18	90		[4] ANJOS	88C	E691 Photoproduction

[1] AAIJ 16F result comes from time-dependent analysis that uses external input on the mixing parameters x, y. Without this input, the result is $(3.29 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=S032R62;LINKAGE=A

[2] AMMAR 91 cannot and DYTMAN 01, TIAN 05 do not distinguish between doublyCabibbo-suppressed decay and D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing. NODE=S032R62;LINKAGE=G

[3] This AITALA 98result assumes no D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing (R_M in the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing").It becomes $-0.0020 {}^{+0.0117}_{-0.0106} \pm 0.0035$ whenmixing is allowed and decay-time information is used todistinguish doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decays from mixing. NODE=S032R62;LINKAGE=AT

[4] ANJOS 88C uses decay-time information to distinguish doubly Cabibbo-suppressed (DCS) decays from D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing. However, the result assumes no interference between the DCS and mixing amplitudes ($y' = 0$ in the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing" near the start of the D^0 Listings). When interference is allowed, the limit degrades to 0.033. NODE=S032R62;LINKAGE=F

$\Gamma(K^+\pi^+2\pi^- \text{ via } \overline{D}^0)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{282}/Γ_{71}

NODE=S032S83

This is a D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing limit. The experiments here (1) use the charge of the pion in $D^*(2010)^\pm \to (D^0 \text{ or } \overline{D}^0)\ \pi^\pm$ decay to tell whether a D^0 or a $\overline{D}^0$ was born; and (2) use the decay-time distribution to disentangle doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decay and mixing. For the limits on $|m_{D^0_1} - m_{D^0_2}|$ and $(\Gamma_{D^0_1} - \Gamma_{D^0_2})/\Gamma_{D^0}$ that come from the best mixing limit, see near the beginning of these D^0 Listings. NODE=S032S83

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.6±3.6		[1] AAIJ	16F	LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV

NODE=S032S83

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<500	90	[2] ANJOS	88C	E691	Photoproduction

[1] AAIJ 16F result comes from an unconstrained decay-time dependent fit to the wrong-sign to right-sign decay rates ratio as $(x^2 + y^2)/2$. NODE=S032S83;LINKAGE=B

[2] ANJOS 88C uses decay-time information to distinguish doubly Cabibbo-suppressed (DCS) decays from D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing. However, the result assumes no interference between the DCS and mixing amplitudes ($y' = 0$ in the note on "D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ Mixing" near the start of the D^0 Listings). When interference is allowed, the limit degrades to 0.007. NODE=S032S83;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^+\pi^- \text{ or } K^+\pi^+2\pi^- \text{ via } \overline{D}^0)/\Gamma(K^-\pi^+ \text{ or } K^-2\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{283}/Γ_0

NODE=S032R99

This is a D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing limit. For the limits on $|m_{D^0_1} - m_{D^0_2}|$ and $(\Gamma_{D^0_1} - \Gamma_{D^0_2})/\Gamma_{D^0}$ that come from the best mixing limit, see near the beginning of these D^0 Listings. NODE=S032R99 NODE=S032R99

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT

NODE=S032R99

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.0085	90	[1] AITALA	98	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
<0.0037	90	[2] ANJOS	88C	E691	Photoproduction

[1] AITALA 98 uses decay-time information to distinguish doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decays from D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing. The fit allows interference between the two amplitudes, and also allows CP violation in this term. The central value obtained is $0.0039 {}^{+0.0036}_{-0.0032} \pm 0.0016$. When interference is disallowed, the result becomes $0.0021 \pm 0.0009 \pm 0.0002$. NODE=S032R99;LINKAGE=AT

[2] This combines results of ANJOS 88C on $K^+\pi^-$ and $K^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ (via $\overline{D}^0$) reported in the data block above (see footnotes there). It assumes no interference. NODE=S032R99;LINKAGE=AN

$\Gamma(\mu^- \text{anything via } \overline{D}^0)/\Gamma(\mu^+ \text{anything})$ — Γ_{284}/Γ_6

NODE=S032R8

This is a D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing limit. See the somewhat better limits above. NODE=S032R8

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.0056	90	LOUIS	86	SPEC	π^- W 225 GeV

NODE=S032R8

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.012	90	BENVENUTI	85	CNTR	μC, 200 GeV
<0.044	90	BODEK	82	SPEC	π^-, pFe $\to$ D^0

Rare or forbidden modes

NODE=S032336

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{285}/Γ

NODE=S032S84

$D^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ is a flavor-changing neutral-current decay, forbidden in the Standard Model at the tree level. NODE=S032S84

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 8.5 × 10^{-7}	90	NISAR	16	BELL	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$, $\Upsilon(5S)$

NODE=S032S84

OCCUR=2

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 3.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	15F	BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV
$< 2.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	12L	BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx$ 10.58 GeV
$<29 \times 10^{-6}$	90	COAN	03	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{286}/Γ

NODE=S032R44
NODE=S032R44

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by first-order weak interaction combined with electromagnetic interaction.

NODE=S032R44

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<7.9 \times 10^{-8}$	90	PETRIC	10	BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.7 \times 10^{-7}$	90	LEES	12Q	BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx$ 10.58 GeV
$<1.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AUBERT,B	04Y	BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$<8.19 \times 10^{-6}$	90	PRIPSTEIN	00	E789	p nucleus, 800 GeV
$<6.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AITALA	99G	E791	$\pi^- N$ 500 GeV
$<1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$<1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ADLER	88	MRK3	$e^+ e^-$ 3.77 GeV
$<1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	88G	ARG	$e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV
$<2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	HAAS	88	CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV

$\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{287}/Γ

NODE=S032R7
NODE=S032R7

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by first-order weak interaction combined with electromagnetic interaction.

NODE=S032R7

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<6.2 \times 10^{-9}$	90	AAIJ	13AI	LHCB	pp at 7 TeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.6–8.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	[1] LEES	12Q	BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx$ 10.58 GeV
$<2.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	AALTONEN	10X	CDF	$p\overline{p}$, $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV
$<1.4 \times 10^{-7}$	90	PETRIC	10	BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$<2.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABT	04	HERB	pA, 920 GeV
$<1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AUBERT,B	04Y	BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$<2.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ACOSTA	03F	CDF	See AALTONEN 10X
$<1.56 \times 10^{-5}$	90	PRIPSTEIN	00	E789	p nucleus, 800 GeV
$<5.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AITALA	99G	E791	$\pi^- N$ 500 GeV
$<4.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ADAMOVICH	97	BEAT	π^- Cu, W 350 GeV
$<4.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ALEXOPOU...	96	E771	p Si, 800 GeV
$<3.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$<7.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ADAMOVICH	95	BEAT	See ADAMOVICH 97
$<4.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	KODAMA	95	E653	π^- emulsion 600 GeV
$<3.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[2] MISHRA	94	E789	-4.1 ± 4.8 events
$<7.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ALBRECHT	88G	ARG	$e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV
$<1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	LOUIS	86	SPEC	π^- W 225 GeV
$<3.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AUBERT	85	EMC	Deep inelast. $\mu^- N$

[1] LEES 12Q gives a 2-sided range. NODE=S032R7;LINKAGE=LE

[2] Here MISHRA 94 uses "the statistical approach advocated by the PDG." For an alternate approach, giving a limit of 9×10^{-6} at 90% confidence level, see the paper. NODE=S032R7;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{288}/Γ

NODE=S032S44
NODE=S032S44

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032S44

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	18P	BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<4.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{289}/Γ

NODE=S032B95
NODE=S032B95

A test for the ΔC=1 weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032B95

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	KODAMA	95	E653	π^- emulsion 600 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<5.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\eta e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{290}/Γ

NODE=S032S45

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032S45

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	18P	BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

NODE=S032S45

$\Gamma(\eta \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{291}/Γ

NODE=S032S46

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032S46

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<5.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

NODE=S032S46

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{292}/Γ

NODE=S032C14

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032C14

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	18P	BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<3.73 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

NODE=S032C14

$\Gamma(\rho^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{293}/Γ

NODE=S032R63

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032R63

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.24 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
$<4.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	HAAS	88	CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV

NODE=S032R63

[1] This FREYBERGER 96 limit is obtained using a phase-space model. The limit changes to $< 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ using a photon pole amplitude model.

NODE=S032R63;LINKAGE=FB

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{294}/Γ

NODE=S032C15

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032C15

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$9.64 \pm 0.48 \pm 1.10$	561	[1] AAIJ	17BG	LHCB	pp at 8 TeV

NODE=S032C15

[1] The second AAIJ 17BG error is the systematic 0.51×10^{-7} and normalization 0.97×10^{-7} mode errors added in quadrature.

NODE=S032C15;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^- (\text{non-res}))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{295}/Γ

NODE=S032P67

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<5.5 \times 10^{-7}$	90	[1] AAIJ	14B	LHCB	pp at 7 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<3.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

NODE=S032P67

[1] AAIJ 14B measures this branching-fraction limit relative to the $\pi^+ \pi^- \phi$, $\phi \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ fraction. The above limit excludes the resonant ϕ, ω, and ρ regions, and then fills those gaps with a phase-space model.

NODE=S032P67;LINKAGE=AA

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{296}/Γ

NODE=S032R64

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032R64

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<4.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$<2.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	KODAMA	95	E653	π^- emulsion 600 GeV
$<8.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	HAAS	88	CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV

NODE=S032R64

[1] This FREYBERGER 96 limit is obtained using a phase-space model. The limit changes to $< 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ using a photon pole amplitude model.

NODE=S032R64;LINKAGE=FB

$\Gamma(\omega e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{297}/Γ

NODE=S032S47
NODE=S032S47

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032S47

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	18P	BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] This FREYBERGER 96 limit is obtained using a phase-space model. The limit changes to $< 2.7 \times 10^{-4}$ using a photon pole amplitude model.

NODE=S032S47;LINKAGE=FB

$\Gamma(\omega \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{298}/Γ

NODE=S032S48
NODE=S032S48

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032S48

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<8.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] This FREYBERGER 96 limit is obtained using a phase-space model. The limit changes to $< 6.5 \times 10^{-4}$ using a photon pole amplitude model.

NODE=S032S48;LINKAGE=FB

$\Gamma(K^- K^+ e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{299}/Γ

NODE=S032C18
NODE=S032C18

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032C18

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	18P	BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<3.15 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

$\Gamma(K^- \pi^+ e^+ e^-, 675 < m_{ee} < 875 \text{ MeV})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{307}/Γ

NODE=S032Q86
NODE=S032Q86

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.0±0.5±0.2±0.1	68	[1,2] LEES	19A	BABR	$e^+ e^-$ near $\Upsilon(4S)$

[1] Observation with 9.7 σ significance. The last uncertainty is due to the uncertainty on the branching fraction of the normalization mode, $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$. The second uncertainty is other systematic and is dominated by the model parameterization.

NODE=S032Q86;LINKAGE=A

[2] LEES 19A also sets an upper limit for non-resonant regions, where long-distance effects are expected to be small: $< 3.1 \times 10^{-6}$ at 90% CL.

NODE=S032Q86;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(K^- \pi^+ e^+ e^-, 1.005 < m_{ee} < 1.035 \text{ GeV})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{308}/Γ

NODE=S032Q87
NODE=S032Q87

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<5 \times 10^{-7}$	90	[1] LEES	19A	BABR	$e^+ e^-$ near $\Upsilon(4S)$

[1] LEES 19A also sets an upper limit for non-resonant regions, where long-distance effects are expected to be small: $< 3.1 \times 10^{-6}$ at 90% CL.

NODE=S032Q87;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\phi e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{300}/Γ

NODE=S032S49
NODE=S032S49

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032S49

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<5.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<5.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

[1] This FREYBERGER 96 limit is obtained using a phase-space model. The limit changes to $< 7.6 \times 10^{-5}$ using a photon pole amplitude model.

NODE=S032S49;LINKAGE=FB

$\Gamma(K^- K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{301}/Γ

NODE=S032C19
NODE=S032C19

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032C19

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.54±0.27±0.18	34	[1] AAIJ	17BG	LHCB	pp at 8 TeV

[1] The second AAIJ 17BG error is the systematic 0.09×10^{-7} and normalization 0.16×10^{-7} mode errors added in quadrature.

NODE=S032C19;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^- K^+ \mu^+ \mu^- (\text{non-res}))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{302}/Γ

NODE=S032P68
NODE=S032P68

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<3.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

$\Gamma(\phi\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{303}/Γ

NODE=S032S50
NODE=S032S50

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032S50

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<3.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<4.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] This FREYBERGER 96 limit is obtained using a phase-space model. The limit changes to $< 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ using a photon pole amplitude model.

NODE=S032S50;LINKAGE=FB

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^0 e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{304}/Γ

NODE=S032R70
NODE=S032R70

Not a useful test for ΔC =1 weak neutral current because both quarks must change flavor.

NODE=S032R70

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	18P	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$<1.7 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ADLER	89C	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

[1] ABLIKIM 18P report a 90% C.L. limit on $D^0 \to K^0_S e^+e^-$ of 1.2×10^{-5} which is here interpreted in terms of $D^0 \to \overline{K}^0 e^+e^-$.

NODE=S032R70;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^0 \mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{305}/Γ

NODE=S032B96
NODE=S032B96

Not a useful test for ΔC =1 weak neutral current because both quarks must change flavor.

NODE=S032B96

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	KODAMA	95	E653	π^- emulsion 600 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<6.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{306}/Γ

NODE=S032C16
NODE=S032C16

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032C16

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	18P	BES3	see LEES 19A
$<3.85 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0 e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{309}/Γ

NODE=S032S51
NODE=S032S51

Not a useful test for ΔC =1 weak neutral current because both quarks must change flavor.

NODE=S032S51

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<4.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] This FREYBERGER 96 limit is obtained using a phase-space model. The limit changes to $< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ using a photon pole amplitude model.

NODE=S032S51;LINKAGE=FB

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{310}/Γ

NODE=S032C17
NODE=S032C17

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032C17

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<3.59 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\mu^+\mu^-, 675 < m_{\mu\mu} < 875 \text{ MeV})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{311}/Γ

NODE=S032P25
NODE=S032P25

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$4.17 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.40$	2.4k	[1] AAIJ	16I	LHCB	pp at 8 TeV

[1] AAIJ 16I uses $B(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-) = (8.287 \pm 0.043 \pm 0.200) \times 10^{-2}$ value for the normalization mode.

NODE=S032P25;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{312}/Γ

NODE=S032S52
NODE=S032S52

Not a useful test for $\Delta C=1$ weak neutral current because both quarks must change flavor.

NODE=S032S52

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.18 \times 10^{-3}$	90	[1] FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] This FREYBERGER 96 limit is obtained using a phase-space model. The limit changes to $< 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ using a photon pole amplitude model. NODE=S032S52;LINKAGE=FB

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{313}/Γ

NODE=S032B97
NODE=S032B97

A test for the ΔC=1 weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

NODE=S032B97

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<8.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	KODAMA	95	E653	π^- emulsion 600 GeV

$\Gamma(\mu^\pm e^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{314}/Γ

NODE=S032R46
NODE=S032R46

A test of lepton family number conservation.

NODE=S032R46

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-8}$	90	AAIJ	16H	LHCB	pp at 7, 8 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< 3.3 \times 10^{-7}$	90	LEES	12Q	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.58 GeV
$< 2.6 \times 10^{-7}$	90	PETRIC	10	BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$< 8.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	AUBERT,B	04Y	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$< 1.72 \times 10^{-5}$	90	PRIPSTEIN	00	E789	p nucleus, 800 GeV
$< 8.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AITALA	99G	E791	$\pi^- N$ 500 GeV
$< 1.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	88G	ARG	e^+e^- 10 GeV
$< 2.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	HAAS	88	CLEO	e^+e^- 10 GeV
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	BECKER	87C	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
$< 9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	PALKA	87	SILI	200 GeV πp
$<21 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[2] RILES	87	MRK2	e^+e^- 29 GeV

[1] This is the corrected result given in the erratum to FREYBERGER 96. NODE=S032R46;LINKAGE=C

[2] RILES 87 assumes B($D \to K\pi$) = 3.0% and has production model dependency. NODE=S032R46;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{315}/Γ

NODE=S032S53
NODE=S032S53

A test of lepton family number conservation. The value is for the sum of the two charge states.

NODE=S032S53

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<8.0 \times 10^{-7}$ (CL = 90%) [$<8.6 \times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]					
$<8.0 \times 10^{-7}$	90	LEES	20A	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<8.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\eta e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{316}/Γ

NODE=S032S54
NODE=S032S54

A test of lepton family number conservation. The value is for the sum of the two charge states.

NODE=S032S54

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.25 \times 10^{-6}$ (CL = 90%) [$<1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]					
$<22.5 \times 10^{-7}$	90	[1] LEES	20A	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] LEES 20A quotes separate limits B($D^0 \to \eta e^\pm \mu^\mp$, $\eta \to \gamma\gamma$) $< 24.0 \times 10^{-7}$ and B($D^0 \to \eta e^\pm \mu^\mp$, $\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$) $< 43.0 \times 10^{-7}$. NODE=S032S54;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{317}/Γ

NODE=S032C20
NODE=S032C20

A test of lepton family-number conservation.

NODE=S032C20

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.71 \times 10^{-6}$ (CL = 90%) [$<1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]					
$<1.71 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	20B	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

$\Gamma(\rho^0 e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{318}/Γ

NODE=S032S55
NODE=S032S55

A test of lepton family number conservation. The value is for the sum of the two charge states.

NODE=S032S55

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<5.0 \times 10^{-7}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<4.9 \times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<5.0 \times 10^{-7}$	90	LEES	20A	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<6.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
$<4.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] This FREYBERGER 96 limit is obtained using a phase-space model. The limit changes to $< 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ using a photon pole amplitude model.

NODE=S032S55;LINKAGE=FB

$\Gamma(\omega e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{319}/Γ

NODE=S032S56
NODE=S032S56

A test of lepton family number conservation. The value is for the sum of the two charge states.

NODE=S032S56

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.71 \times 10^{-6}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<17.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	LEES	20A	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] This FREYBERGER 96 limit is obtained using a phase-space model. The same limit is obtained using a photon pole amplitude model.

NODE=S032S56;LINKAGE=FB

$\Gamma(K^- K^+ e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{320}/Γ

NODE=S032C22
NODE=S032C22
NODE=S032C22

A test of lepton family-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.00 \times 10^{-6}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<1.00 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	20B	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

$\Gamma(\phi e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{321}/Γ

NODE=S032S57
NODE=S032S57

A test of lepton family number conservation. The value is for the sum of the two charge states.

NODE=S032S57

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<5.1 \times 10^{-7}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<3.4 \times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<5.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	LEES	20A	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<4.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
$<3.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] This FREYBERGER 96 limit is obtained using a phase-space model. The limit changes to $< 3.3 \times 10^{-5}$ using a photon pole amplitude model.

NODE=S032S57;LINKAGE=FB

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^0 e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{322}/Γ

NODE=S032S58
NODE=S032S58

A test of lepton family number conservation. The value is for the sum of the two charge states.

NODE=S032S58

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.74 \times 10^{-6}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<17.4 \times 10^{-7}$	90	[1] LEES	20A	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] LEES 20A quotes $B(D^0 \to K^0_S e^\pm \mu^\mp) < 8.7 \times 10^{-7}$ at 90% CL.

NODE=S032S58;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^- \pi^+ e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{323}/Γ

NODE=S032C21
NODE=S032C21
NODE=S032C21

A test of lepton family-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.90 \times 10^{-6}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<5.53 \times 10^{-4}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<1.90 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	20B	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<5.53 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0 e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{324}/Γ

NODE=S032S59
NODE=S032S59

A test of lepton family number conservation. The value is for the sum of the two charge states.

NODE=S032S59

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.25 \times 10^{-6}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<8.3 \times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<12.5 \times 10^{-7}$	90	LEES	20A	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< 8.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
$< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] FREYBERGER	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] This FREYBERGER 96 limit is obtained using a phase-space model. The same limit is obtained using a photon pole amplitude model.

NODE=S032S59;LINKAGE=FB

$\Gamma(2\pi^- 2e^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{325}/Γ

NODE=S032C5
NODE=S032C5
NODE=S032C5

A test of lepton-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<9.1 \times 10^{-7}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<1.12 \times 10^{-4}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<9.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	LEES	20B	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.12 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

[1] Value includes decay to the charge conjugate state.

NODE=S032C5;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(2\pi^- 2\mu^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{326}/Γ

NODE=S032C6
NODE=S032C6
NODE=S032C6

A test of lepton-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.52 \times 10^{-6}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<2.9 \times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<1.52 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	20B	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<2.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

[1] Value includes decay to the charge conjugate state.

NODE=S032C6;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^- \pi^- 2e^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{327}/Γ

NODE=S032C7
NODE=S032C7
NODE=S032C7

A test of lepton-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<5.0 \times 10^{-7}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<2.06 \times 10^{-4}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2019 BEST LIMIT]				
$<5.0 \times 10^{-7}$	90	LEES	20B	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<2.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	19AL	BES3	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV
$<2.06 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

[1] Value includes decay to the charge conjugate state.

NODE=S032C7;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(K^- \pi^- 2\mu^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{328}/Γ

NODE=S032C8
NODE=S032C8
NODE=S032C8

A test of lepton-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<5.3 \times 10^{-7}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<3.9 \times 10^{-4}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<5.3 \times 10^{-7}$	90	LEES	20B	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<3.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

[1] Value includes decay to the charge conjugate state.

NODE=S032C8;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(2K^- 2e^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{329}/Γ

NODE=S032C9
NODE=S032C9
NODE=S032C9

A test of lepton-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<3.4 \times 10^{-7}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<1.52 \times 10^{-4}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<3.4 \times 10^{-7}$	90	LEES	20B	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.52 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

[1] Value includes decay to the charge conjugate state.

NODE=S032C9;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(2K^- 2\mu^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{330}/Γ

NODE=S032C10

A test of lepton-number conservation.

NODE=S032C10

NODE=S032C10

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<9.4 \times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<1.0 \times 10^{-7}$	90	LEES	20B	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<9.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

[1] Value includes decay to the charge conjugate state.

NODE=S032C10;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^-\pi^- e^+\mu^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{331}/Γ

NODE=S032C11

A test of lepton-number conservation.

NODE=S032C11

NODE=S032C11

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<3.06 \times 10^{-6}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<7.9 \times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<3.06 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	20B	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<7.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

[1] Value includes decay to the charge conjugate state.

NODE=S032C11;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^- e^+\mu^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{332}/Γ

NODE=S032C12

A test of lepton-number conservation.

NODE=S032C12

NODE=S032C12

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.10 \times 10^{-6}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<2.18 \times 10^{-4}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<2.10 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	20B	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<2.18 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

[1] Value includes decay to the charge conjugate state.

NODE=S032C12;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(2K^- e^+\mu^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{333}/Γ

NODE=S032C13

A test of lepton-number conservation.

NODE=S032C13

NODE=S032C13

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<5.8 \times 10^{-7}$ (CL = 90%)	[$<5.7 \times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2020 BEST LIMIT]				
$<5.8 \times 10^{-7}$	90	LEES	20B	BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<5.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] AITALA	01C	E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

[1] Value includes decay to the charge conjugate state.

NODE=S032C13;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(pe^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{334}/Γ

NODE=S032C04

A test of baryon- and lepton-number conservation.

NODE=S032C04

NODE=S032C04

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] RUBIN	09	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

[1] This RUBIN 09 limit is for either $D^0 \to pe^-$ or $\overline{D}^0 \to pe^-$ decay.

NODE=S032C04;LINKAGE=RU

$\Gamma(\overline{p}e^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{335}/Γ

NODE=S032C05

A test of baryon- and lepton-number conservation.

NODE=S032C05

NODE=S032C05

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] RUBIN	09	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

[1] This RUBIN 09 limit is for either $D^0 \to \overline{p}e^+$ or $\overline{D}^0 \to \overline{p}e^+$ decay.

NODE=S032C05;LINKAGE=RU

D^0 *CP*-VIOLATING DECAY-RATE ASYMMETRIES

NODE=S032243

This is the difference between D^0 and $\overline{D}^0$ partial widths for the decay to state f, divided by the sum of the widths:
$A_{CP}(f) = [\Gamma(D^0 \to f) - \Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \to \overline{f})] / [\Gamma(D^0 \to f) + \Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \to \overline{f})]$.

NODE=S032243

$A_{CP}(K^+K^-)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to K^+K^-$

NODE=S032A1

NODE=S032A1

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.07 ± 0.11 OUR AVERAGE					
$0.04 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.10$	4.56M	AAIJ	17M	LHCB	pp 7, 8 TeV
$-0.24 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.09$	476k	[1] AALTONEN	12B	CDF	$p\overline{p}$, $\sqrt{s}$=1.96 TeV
$0.00 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.13$	129k	[2] AUBERT	08M	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.6 GeV
$-0.43 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.11$	120k	[3] STARIC	08	BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

+2.0 ±1.2 ±0.6		[4] ACOSTA	05C	CDF	$p\overline{p}$, $\sqrt{s}$=1.96 TeV
0.0 ±2.2 ±0.8	3023	[4] CSORNA	02	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
−0.1 ±2.2 ±1.5	3330	[4] LINK	00B	FOCS	
−1.0 ±4.9 ±1.2	609	[4] AITALA	98C	E791	$-0.093 < A_{CP} < +0.073$ (90% CL)

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

−0.06±0.15±0.10	1.8M	[1] AAIJ	14AK	LHCB	See AAIJ 17M

[1] See also "D^0 CP-violating asymmetry differences" at the end of the CP-violating asymmetries. NODE=S032A1;LINKAGE=AA

[2] AUBERT 08M uses corrected numbers of events directly, not ratios with $K^{\mp}\pi^{\pm}$ events. NODE=S032A1;LINKAGE=AU

[3] STARIC 08 uses $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ decays to correct for detector-induced asymmetries. NODE=S032A1;LINKAGE=ST

[4] AITALA 98C, LINK 00B, CSORNA 02, and ACOSTA 05C measure $N(D^0 \to K^+K^-)/N(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+)$, the ratio of numbers of events observed, and similarly for the $\overline{D}^0$. NODE=S032A1;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(K^0_S K^0_S)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to K^0_S K^0_S$

NODE=S032A8
NODE=S032A8

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.4 ± 1.4 OUR AVERAGE					
2.3 ± 2.8 ±0.9	1.7k	AAIJ	18AV	LHCB	pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
− 0.02± 1.53±0.17	5.4k	[1] DASH	17	BELL	At/near $\Upsilon(4S)$, $\Upsilon(5S)$
−23 ±19	65	BONVICINI	01	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.6 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

− 2.9 ± 5.2 ±2.2	630	AAIJ	15AT	LHCB	see AAIJ 18AV

[1] The systematic uncertainty is dominated by the uncertainty on A_{CP} in the control channel $D^0 \to K^0_S\pi^0$. NODE=S032A8;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(\pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=S032A4
NODE=S032A4

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.13±0.14 OUR AVERAGE					
0.07±0.14±0.11		[1] AAIJ	17M	LHCB	pp 7, 8 TeV
0.22±0.24±0.11	215k	[2] AALTONEN	12B	CDF	$p\overline{p}$, $\sqrt{s}$=1.96 TeV
−0.24±0.52±0.22	63.7k	[3] AUBERT	08M	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.6 GeV
0.43±0.52±0.12	51k	[4] STARIC	08	BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
1.0 ±1.3 ±0.6		[5] ACOSTA	05C	CDF	$p\overline{p}$, $\sqrt{s}$=1.96 TeV
1.9 ±3.2 ±0.8	1136	[5] CSORNA	02	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
4.8 ±3.9 ±2.5	1177	[5] LINK	00B	FOCS	
−4.9 ±7.8 ±3.0	343	[5] AITALA	98C	E791	$-0.186 < A_{CP} < +0.088$ (90% CL)

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

−0.20±0.19±0.10	774k	[2,6] AAIJ	14AK	LHCB	See AAIJ 17M

[1] AAIJ 17M value combines $\Delta A_{CP}(\pi\pi, KK)$ from AAIJ 16D, $A_{CP}(KK)$ from AAIJ 17M, and $A_{CP}(\pi\pi)$ from AAIJ 14AK. NODE=S032A4;LINKAGE=C

[2] See also "D^0 CP-violating asymmetry differences" at the end of the CP-violating asymmetries. NODE=S032A4;LINKAGE=AA

[3] AUBERT 08M uses corrected numbers of events directly, not ratios with $K^{\mp}\pi^{\pm}$ events. NODE=S032A4;LINKAGE=AU

[4] STARIC 08 uses $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ decays to correct for detector-induced asymmetries. NODE=S032A4;LINKAGE=ST

[5] AITALA 98C, LINK 00B, CSORNA 02, and ACOSTA 05C measure $N(D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)/N(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+)$, the ratio of numbers of events observed, and similarly for the $\overline{D}^0$. NODE=S032A4;LINKAGE=A

[6] AAIJ 14AK uses $\Delta A_{CP}(\pi\pi, KK)$ and $A_{CP}(KK)$ reported in the same paper. NODE=S032A4;LINKAGE=B

$A_{CP}(\pi^0\pi^0)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$

NODE=S032A7
NODE=S032A7

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0 ±0.6 OUR AVERAGE					
−0.03±0.64±0.10	34k	NISAR	14	BELL	e^+e^- at/near Υ's
0.1 ±4.8	810	BONVICINI	01	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.6 GeV

$A_{CP}(\rho\gamma)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to \rho\gamma$

NODE=S032A00
NODE=S032A00

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.6±15.2±0.6	NANUT	17	BELL	e^+e^- at Υ(nS), n=2,3,4,5

$A_{CP}(\phi\gamma)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to \phi\gamma$

NODE=S032A83
NODE=S032A83

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−9.4±6.6±0.1	NANUT	17	BELL	e^+e^- at Υ(nS), n=2,3,4,5

$A_{CP}(\overline{K}^*(892)^0\gamma)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to \overline{K}^*(892)^0\gamma$

NODE=S032A84
NODE=S032A84

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$-0.3\pm2.0\pm0.0$	NANUT	17	BELL	e^+e^- at Υ(nS), n=2,3,4,5

$A_{CP}(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=S032A12
NODE=S032A12

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.3 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
0.43 ± 1.30	123k±490	ARINSTEIN	08	BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$0.31\pm0.41\pm0.17$	80 ± .3k	[1] AUBERT	08AO	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.6 GeV
$1\ ^{+9}_{-7}\ \pm5$		CRONIN-HEN..	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV

[1] AUBERT 08AO report their result using a different sign convention.

NODE=S032A12;LINKAGE=AU

$A_{CP}(\rho(770)^+\pi^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0 \to \rho^+\pi^-, \overline{D}^0 \to \rho^-\pi^+$

NODE=S032A25
NODE=S032A25

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$+1.2\pm0.8\pm0.3$	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(\rho(770)^0\pi^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to \rho^0\pi^0$

NODE=S032A26
NODE=S032A26

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$-3.1\pm2.7\pm1.2$	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(\rho(770)^-\pi^+ \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0 \to \rho^-\pi^+, \overline{D}^0 \to \rho^+\pi^-$

NODE=S032A27
NODE=S032A27

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$-1.0\pm1.6\pm0.7$	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(\rho(1450)^+\pi^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0 \to \rho(1450)^+\pi^-, \overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A28
NODE=S032A28

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0\pm50\pm50$	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(\rho(1450)^0\pi^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to \rho(1450)^0\pi^0$

NODE=S032A29
NODE=S032A29

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$-17\pm33\pm17$	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(\rho(1450)^-\pi^+ \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0 \to \rho(1450)^-\pi^+, \overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A30
NODE=S032A30

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$+6\pm8\pm3$	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(\rho(1700)^+\pi^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0 \to \rho(1700)^+\pi^-, \overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A31
NODE=S032A31

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$-5\pm13\pm5$	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(\rho(1700)^0\pi^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to \rho(1700)^0\pi^0$

NODE=S032A32
NODE=S032A32

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$+13\pm8\pm3$	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(\rho(1700)^-\pi^+ \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0 \to \rho(1700)^-\pi^+, \overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A33
NODE=S032A33

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$+8\pm10\pm5$	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(f_0(980)\pi^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to f_0(980)\pi^0$

NODE=S032A34
NODE=S032A34

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0\pm25\pm25$	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(f_0(1370)\pi^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to f_0(1370)\pi^0$

NODE=S032A35
NODE=S032A35

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$+25\pm13\pm13$	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(f_0(1500)\pi^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to f_0(1500)\pi^0$

NODE=S032A36
NODE=S032A36

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0\pm13\pm13$	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(f_0(1710)\pi^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to f_0(1710)\pi^0$

NODE=S032A37
NODE=S032A37

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0\pm17\pm17$	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(f_2(1270)\pi^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to f_2(1270)\pi^0$

NODE=S032A38
NODE=S032A38

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−4±4±4	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(\sigma(400)\pi^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to \sigma(400)\pi^0$

NODE=S032A39
NODE=S032A39

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+6±6±6	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

A_{CP}(nonresonant $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$) in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to$ nonresonant $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=S032A40
NODE=S032A40

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−13±19±13	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to 2\pi^+2\pi^-$

NODE=S032A71
NODE=S032A71

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
0.54±1.04±0.51	7.3k	[1,2] DARGENT	17	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

[1] Decay rate asymmetry integrated in decay time and across full 4π phase space. NODE=S032A71;LINKAGE=B
[2] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032A71;LINKAGE=C

$A_{CP}(a_1(1260)^+\pi^- \to 2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to a_1(1260)^+\pi^-, \overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A85
NODE=S032A85

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
4.7±2.6±4.9	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032A85;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(a_1(1260)^-\pi^+ \to 2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to a_1(1260)^-\pi^+, \overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A86
NODE=S032A86

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
13.7±13.8±11.4	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032A86;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(\pi(1300)^+\pi^- \to 2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to \pi(1300)^+\pi^-, \overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A87
NODE=S032A87

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
−1.6±12.9±6.7	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032A87;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(\pi(1300)^-\pi^+ \to 2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to \pi(1300)^-\pi^+, \overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A88
NODE=S032A88

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
−5.6±11.9±27.7	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032A88;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(a_1(1640)^+\pi^- \to 2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to a_1(1640)^+\pi^-, \overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A89
NODE=S032A89

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
8.6±17.8±19.3	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032A89;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(\pi_2(1670)^+\pi^- \to 2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to \pi_2(1670)^+\pi^-, \overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A91
NODE=S032A91

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
7.3±15.1±10.4	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032A91;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(\sigma f_0(1370) \to 2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to \sigma f_0(1370)$

NODE=S032A92
NODE=S032A92

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
−14.6±16.5±9.4	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032A92;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(\sigma\rho(770)^0 \to 2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to \sigma\rho(770)^0$

NODE=S032A93
NODE=S032A93

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
2.5±16.8±20.8	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032A93;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(2\rho(770)^0 \to 2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to 2\rho(770)^0$

NODE=S032A94
NODE=S032A94

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
−5.6±5.0±2.9	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032A94;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(2f_2(1270) \to 2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ in $D^0,\overline{D}^0 \to 2f_2(1270)$

NODE=S032A95
NODE=S032A95

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
−28.3±12.3±20.9	7.3k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 4π evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032A95;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$

NODE=S032D07
NODE=S032D07

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−5.5±5.2±2.4	510	ABLIKIM	20V	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

$A_{CP}(K^+K^-\pi^0)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^0$

NODE=S032A41
NODE=S032A41

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−1.00±1.67±0.25	11 ± 0.11k	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.6 GeV

$A_{CP}(K^*(892)^+K^- \to K^+K^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0 \to K^*(892)^+K^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A42
NODE=S032A42

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.9±1.2±0.4	[1] AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

[1] AUBERT 08AO report their result using a different sign convention.

NODE=S032A42;LINKAGE=AU

$A_{CP}(K^*(1410)^+K^- \to K^+K^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0 \to K^*(1410)^+K^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A43
NODE=S032A43

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−21±23±8	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}((K^+\pi^0)_{S-wave}K^- \to K^+K^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0 \to (K^+\pi^0)_S K^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A44
NODE=S032A44

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+7±15±3	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(\phi(1020)\pi^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^0)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to \phi(1020)\pi^0$

NODE=S032A45
NODE=S032A45

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+1.1±2.1±0.5	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(f_0(980)\pi^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^0)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to f_0(980)\pi^0$

NODE=S032A46
NODE=S032A46

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−3±19±1	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(a_0(980)^0\pi^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^0)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to a_0(980)^0\pi^0$

NODE=S032A47
NODE=S032A47

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−5±16±2	[1] AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

[1] This AUBERT 08AO value is obtained when the $a_0(980)^0$ replaces the $f_0(980)$ in the fit.

NODE=S032A47;LINKAGE=AU

$A_{CP}(f'_2(1525)\pi^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^0)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to f'_2(1525)\pi^0$

NODE=S032A48
NODE=S032A48

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0±50±150	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(K^*(892)^-K^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0 \to K^*(892)^-K^+$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A49
NODE=S032A49

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−5±4±1	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(K^*(1410)^-K^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0 \to K^*(1410)^-K^+$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A50
NODE=S032A50

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−17±28±7	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}((K^-\pi^0)_{S-wave}K^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0 \to (K^-\pi^0)_S K^+$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A51
NODE=S032A51

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−7±40±8	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	Table 1, −Col.5/2×Col.2

$A_{CP}(K^0_S\pi^0)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0_S\pi^0$

NODE=S032A3
NODE=S032A3

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.20±0.17 OUR AVERAGE					
−0.21±0.16±0.07	467k	[1] NISAR	14	BELL	e^+e^- at/near Υ's
0.1 ±1.3	9099	BONVICINI	01	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.6 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
−0.28±0.19±0.10	326k	KO	11	BELL	See NISAR 14
−1.8 ±3.0		BARTELT	95	CLE2	See BONVICINI 01

[1] After subtracting CPV in $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$ mixing, NISAR 14 gets $A_{CP} = (+0.12 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.07)\%$.

NODE=S032A3;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(K^0_S\eta)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to K^0_S\eta$

NODE=S032A52
NODE=S032A52

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.54±0.51±0.16	46k	KO	11	BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$A_{CP}(K^0_S\eta')$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to K^0_S\eta'$

NODE=S032A53
NODE=S032A53

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.98±0.67±0.14	27k	KO	11	BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$A_{CP}(K^0_S\phi)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \to K^0_S\phi$

NODE=S032A2
NODE=S032A2

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−2.8±9.4	BARTELT	95	CLE2	$-18.2 < A_{CP} < +12.6\%$ (90%CL)

$A_{CP}(K^\mp\pi^\pm)$ in $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+, \overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$

NODE=S032A23
NODE=S032A23

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.2 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE					
−0.01±0.91		AAIJ	18K	LHCB	pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
0.3 ±0.3 ±0.6		BONVICINI	14	CLEO	All CLEO-c runs
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
+0.5 ±0.4 ±0.9	150k	MENDEZ	10	CLEO	See BONVICINI 14
−0.4 ±0.5 ±0.9		DOBBS	07	CLEO	See BONVICINI 14

$A_{CP}(K^\pm\pi^\mp)$ in $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-, \overline{D}^0 \to K^-\pi^+$

NODE=S032A5
NODE=S032A5

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
− 0.9± 1.4 OUR AVERAGE					
− 1.7± 1.6		[1,2] AAIJ	17AO	LHCB	pp at 7,8 TeV
− 2.1± 5.2±1.5	4.0k	AUBERT	07W	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.6 GeV
+ 2.3± 4.7	4.0k	[3] ZHANG	06	BELL	e^+e^-
+18 ±14 ±4		[4] LINK	05H	FOCS	γ nucleus
+ 9.5± 6.1±8.3		[5] AUBERT	03Z	BABR	e^+e^-, 10.6 GeV
+ 2 $^{+19}_{-20}$ ±1	45	[6] GODANG	00	CLE2	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
− 0.7± 1.9		[1] AAIJ	13CE	LHCB	Repl. by AAIJ 17AO
− 8.0± 7.7	0.8k	[7] LI	05A	BELL	See ZHANG 06

[1] Based on 3 fb^{-1} of data collected at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7, 8 TeV. Allowing for *CP* violation, the direct *CP*-violation in mixing is reported for the $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-$. NODE=S032A5;LINKAGE=B

[2] The *CPV* is derived from $A_{CP} = (R^+_D - R^-_D)/(R^+_D + R^-_D)$. NODE=S032A5;LINKAGE=C

[3] This ZHANG 06 result allows mixing. NODE=S032A5;LINKAGE=ZH

[4] This LINK 05H result assumes no mixing. If mixing is allowed, it becomes $0.13^{+0.33}_{-0.25} \pm 0.10$. NODE=S032A5;LINKAGE=LI

[5] This AUBERT 03Z limit assumes no mixing. If mixing is allowed, the 95% confidence-level interval is $(-2.8 < A_D < 4.9)\times10^{-3}$. NODE=S032A5;LINKAGE=AU

[6] This GODANG 00 result assumes no D^0-$\overline{D}^0$ mixing and becomes $-0.43 < A_{CP} < +0.34$ at 95% CL. If mixing is allowd $A_{CP} = -0.01^{+0.16}_{-0.17} \pm 0.01$. NODE=S032A5;LINKAGE=A

[7] This LI 05A result allows mixing. NODE=S032A5;LINKAGE=LA

$A_{CP}(K^-\pi^+)$ in $D_{CP(\pm1)} \to K^\mp\pi^\pm$

NODE=S032A72

$A_{CP}(K^-\pi^+)$ = [B($D_{CP(-)} \to K^-\pi^+$ + c.c.) − B($D_{CP(+)} \to K^-\pi^+$ + c.c.)] / Sum NODE=S032A72

NODE=S032A72

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
12.7±1.3±0.7	[1] ABLIKIM	14C	BES3	$e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$, 3.77 GeV

[1] ABLIKIM 14C uses quantum correlations in $e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ at the $\psi(3770)$ to measure the asymmetry of the branching fraction of $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$ in *CP*-odd and *CP*-even eigenstates. It then extracts the strong-phase difference $\delta_{K\pi}$. NODE=S032A72;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(K^\mp\pi^\pm\pi^0)$ in $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+\pi^0, \overline{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=S032A6
NODE=S032A6

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.1±0.5 OUR AVERAGE				
0.1±0.3±0.4	BONVICINI	14	CLEO	All CLEO-c runs
−3.1±8.6	[1] KOPP	01	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.6 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.2±0.4±0.8	DOBBS	07	CLEO	See BONVICINI 14

[1] KOPP 01 fits separately the D^0 and $\overline{D}^0$ Dalitz plots and then calculates the integrated difference of normalized densities divided by the integrated sum. NODE=S032A6;LINKAGE=K

$A_{CP}(K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\pi^0)$ in $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^-\pi^+\pi^0$

NODE=S032A9
NODE=S032A9

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE					
-0.6 ± 5.3	1978 ± 104	TIAN	05	BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$+9\ ^{+25}_{-22}$	38	BRANDENB...	01	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$A_{CP}(K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=S032A10
NODE=S032A10

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.1 ±0.8 OUR AVERAGE					
$-0.05 \pm 0.57 \pm 0.54$	350k	[1] AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	
$-0.9 \pm 2.1\ ^{+1.6}_{-5.7}$	4854	[2] ASNER	04A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV

[1] This is the overall result of AALTONEN 12AD. Following are the 15 *CP* fit-fraction asymmetries from the amplitude analysis of the D^0 and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$ Dalitz plots. NODE=S032A10;LINKAGE=AL

[2] This is the overall result of ASNER 04A; *CP*-violating limits are also given below for each of the 10 resonant submodes found in an amplitude analysis of the D^0 and $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$ Dalitz plots. NODE=S032A10;LINKAGE=AS

$A_{CP}(K^{\mp}\pi^{\pm}\eta)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^{\mp}\pi^{\pm}\eta$

NODE=S032D04
NODE=S032D04

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−1.9±1.3±1.0	6.1k	ABLIKIM	20V	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

$A_{CP}(K^0_S\pi^0\eta)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0_S\pi^0\eta$

NODE=S032D05
NODE=S032D05

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−3.9±3.2±0.8	1.1k	ABLIKIM	20V	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

$A_{CP}(K^{\mp}\pi^{\pm}\pi^0\eta)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^{\mp}\pi^{\pm}\pi^0\eta$

NODE=S032D06
NODE=S032D06

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−7.9±4.8±2.5	580	ABLIKIM	20V	BES3	e^+e^-, 3773 MeV

$A_{CP}(K^*(892)^{\mp}\pi^{\pm} \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to K^{*-}\pi^+$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^{*+}\pi^-$

NODE=S032A13
NODE=S032A13

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.36±0.33±0.40	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	Dalitz fit, ∼ 350k evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$+2.5 \pm 1.9\ ^{+3.3}_{-0.8}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 4854 evts

$A_{CP}(K^*(892)^{\pm}\pi^{\mp} \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to K^{*+}\pi^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^{*-}\pi^+$

NODE=S032A14

This is a doubly Cabibbo-suppressed mode.

NODE=S032A14
NODE=S032A14

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+ 1.0± 5.7± 2.1	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	Dalitz fit, ∼ 350k evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-21 \pm 42 \pm 28$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 4854 evts

$A_{CP}(K^0_S\rho^0 \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to \overline{K}^0\rho^0$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0\rho^0$

NODE=S032A15
NODE=S032A15

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.05±0.50±0.08	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	Dalitz fit, ∼ 350k evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$+3.1 \pm 3.8\ ^{+2.7}_{-2.2}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 4854 evts

$A_{CP}(K^0_S\omega \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to \overline{K}^0\omega$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0\omega$

NODE=S032A16
NODE=S032A16

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−12.6± 6.0± 2.6	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	Dalitz fit, ∼ 350k evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-26 \pm 24\ ^{+22}_{-4}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 4854 evts

$A_{CP}(K^0_S f_0(980) \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to \overline{K}^0 f_0(980)$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0 f_0(980)$

NODE=S032A17
NODE=S032A17

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.4± 2.2± 1.6	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	Dalitz fit, ∼ 350k evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-4.7 \pm 11.0\ ^{+24.9}_{-8.8}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 4854 evts

$A_{CP}(K^0_S f_2(1270) \to K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to \overline{K}^0 f_2(1270)$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0 f_2(1270)$

NODE=S032A18
NODE=S032A18

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
− 4.0± 3.4± 3.0	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	Dalitz fit, ∼ 350k evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$+34 \pm 51 \; ^{+33}_{-79}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 4854 evts

$A_{CP}(K^0_S f_0(1370) \to K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to \overline{K}^0 f_0(1370)$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0 f_0(1370)$

NODE=S032A19
NODE=S032A19

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
− 0.5± 4.6± 7.7	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	Dalitz fit, ∼ 350k evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$+18 \pm 10 \; ^{+13}_{-22}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 4854 evts

$A_{CP}(K^0_S \rho^0(1450))$ in $D^0 \to \overline{K}^0 \rho^0(1450)$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0 \rho^0(1450)$

NODE=S032A55
NODE=S032A55

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−4.1±5.2±8.1	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	Dalitz fit, ∼ 350k evts

$A_{CP}(K^0_S f_0(600))$ in $D^0 \to \overline{K}^0 f_0(600)$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0 f_0(600)$

NODE=S032A56
NODE=S032A56

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−2.7±2.7±3.6	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	Dalitz fit, ∼ 350k evts

$A_{CP}(K^*(1410)^\mp \pi^\pm)$ in $D^0 \to K^*(1410)^- \pi^+$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^*(1410)^+ \pi^-$

NODE=S032A54
NODE=S032A54

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−2.3±5.7±6.4	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	Dalitz fit, ∼ 350k evts

$A_{CP}(K^*_0(1430)^\mp \pi^\pm \to K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to K^*_0(1430)^- \pi^+$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A20
NODE=S032A20

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.0± 2.4±3.8	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	Dalitz fit, ∼ 350k evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-0.2 \pm 11.3 \; ^{+8.8}_{-5.0}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 4854 evts

$A_{CP}(K^*_0(1430)^\pm \pi^\mp)$ in $D^0 \to K^*_0(1430)^+ \pi^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^*_0(1430)^- \pi^+$

NODE=S032A58

This is a doubly Cabibbo-suppressed mode.

NODE=S032A58
NODE=S032A58

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+12±11±10	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	Dalitz fit, ∼ 350k evts

$A_{CP}(K^*_2(1430)^\mp \pi^\pm \to K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to K^*_2(1430)^- \pi^+$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A21
NODE=S032A21

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+2.9± 4.0± 4.1	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	Dalitz fit, ∼ 350k evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-7 \pm 25 \; ^{+13}_{-26}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 4854 evts

$A_{CP}(K^*_2(1430)^\pm \pi^\mp)$ in $D^0 \to K^*_2(1430)^+ \pi^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^*_2(1430)^- \pi^+$

NODE=S032A59

This is a doubly Cabibbo-suppressed mode.

NODE=S032A59
NODE=S032A59

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−10±14±29	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	Dalitz fit, ∼ 350k evts

$A_{CP}(K^*(1680)^\mp \pi^\pm \to K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to K^*(1680)^- \pi^+$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A22
NODE=S032A22

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-36 \pm 19 \; ^{+10}_{-35}$	ASNER	04A	CLEO	Dalitz fit, 4854 evts

$A_{CP}(K^- \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+ \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$

NODE=S032A24
NODE=S032A24

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.2±0.3±0.4	BONVICINI	14	CLEO	All CLEO-c runs
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$+0.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.9$	DOBBS	07	CLEO	See BONVICINI 14

$A_{CP}(K^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to K^+ \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^- \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=S032A11
NODE=S032A11

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−1.8±4.4	1721 ± 75	TIAN	05	BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$A_{CP}(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=S032CPK

See also AAIJ 13BR for a search for CP violation in $D^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$ in binned phase space. No evidence of CP violation was found. NODE=S032CPK

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.3 ±1.7 OUR AVERAGE					
1.84±1.74±0.3	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17		e^+e^-
−8.2 ±5.6 ±4.7	828 ± 46	LINK	05E	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032CPK;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(K_1^*(1270)^+K^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to K_1^*(1270)^+K^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A97

Including the full $K_1^*(1270)^+$ phase space accessible in this decay chain, with its various resonance contributions. NODE=S032A97

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
− 2.3±1.7 OUR AVERAGE					
− 2.6±1.7± 0.2	163k	AAIJ	19C	LHCB	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
25.3±9.7±12.7	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032A97;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(K_1^*(1270)^+K^- \to K^{*0}\pi^+K^-)$ in $D^0 \to K_1^*(1270)^+K^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A60

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.7±10.4	ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Amplitude fit, 2959 evts.

NODE=S032A60

$A_{CP}(K_1^*(1270)^-K^+ \to \overline{K}^{*0}\pi^-K^+)$ in $D^0 \to K_1^*(1270)^-K^+$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A61

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−10.0±31.5	ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Amplitude fit, 2959 evts.

NODE=S032A61

$A_{CP}(K_1^*(1270)^-K^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to K_1^*(1270)^-K^+$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A98

Including the full $K_1^*(1270)^-$ phase space accessible in this decay chain, with its various resonance contributions. NODE=S032A98

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.7± 3.5 OUR AVERAGE					
3.3± 3.5± 0.5	163k	AAIJ	19C	LHCB	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
−50.4±12.0±16.1	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032A98;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(K_1^*(1270)^+K^- \to \rho^0K^+K^-)$ in $D^0 \to K_1^*(1270)^+K^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A62

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−6.5±16.9	ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Amplitude fit, 2959 evts.

NODE=S032A62

$A_{CP}(K_1^*(1270)^-K^+ \to \rho^0K^-K^+)$ in $D^0 \to K_1^*(1270)^-K^+$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A63

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+9.6±12.9	ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Amplitude fit, 2959 evts.

NODE=S032A63

$A_{CP}(K_1(1400)^+K^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to K_1(1400)^+K^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A99

Including the full $K_1(1400)^+$ phase space accessible in this decay chain, with its various resonance contributions. NODE=S032A99

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−4.4± 2.1 OUR AVERAGE					
−4.5± 2.1± 0.3	163k	AAIJ	19C	LHCB	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
9.2±15.2±20.3	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=S032A99;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(K^*(1410)^+K^- \to K^{*0}\pi^+K^-)$ in $D^0 \to K^*(1410)^+K^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A64

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−20.0±16.8	ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Amplitude fit, 2959 evts.

NODE=S032A64

$A_{CP}(K^*(1410)^-K^+ \to \overline{K}^{*0}\pi^-K^+)$ in $D^0 \to K^*(1410)^-K^+$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A65

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−1.1±13.7	ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Amplitude fit, 2959 evts.

NODE=S032A65

$A_{CP}(K^*(1680)^+K^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ in $D^0 \to K^*(1680)^+K^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032C00

Including the full $K^*(1680)^+$ phase space accessible in this decay chain, with its various resonance contributions.

NODE=S032C00

NODE=S032C00

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
−17.1±21.8±18.5	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032C00;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(K^{*0}\overline{K}^{*0})$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^{*0}\overline{K}^{*0}$

NODE=S032C07

Including S, P, D wave

NODE=S032C07

NODE=S032C07

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
−4.6±9.0±11.3	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032C07;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(K^{*0}\overline{K}^{*0}$ S-wave) in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^{*0}\overline{K}^{*0}$ S-wave

NODE=S032A66

NODE=S032A66

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−3.9± 2.2 OUR AVERAGE					
−4.3± 2.2±0.5	163k	AAIJ	19C	LHCB	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
+9.5±13.5	3k	ARTUSO	12	CLEO	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

$A_{CP}(\phi\rho^0)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to \phi\rho^0$

NODE=S032C08

Including S, P, D wave

NODE=S032C08

NODE=S032C08

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
1.5±4.6±8.0	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032C08;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(\phi\rho^0$ S-wave) in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to \phi\rho^0$ S-wave

NODE=S032A67

NODE=S032A67

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−2.7±5.3	ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Amplitude fit, 2959 evts.

$A_{CP}(\phi\rho^0$ D-wave) in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to \phi\rho^0$ D-wave

NODE=S032A68

NODE=S032A68

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−37.1±19.0	ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Amplitude fit, 2959 evts.

$A_{CP}(\phi(\pi^+\pi^-)_{S-wave})$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to \phi(\pi^+\pi^-)_{S-wave}$

NODE=S032A69

NODE=S032A69

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE					
5.8± 6.1± 0.8	163k	AAIJ	19C	LHCB	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
−4.0±18.0±44.6	3k	[1] DARGENT	17		4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
−8.6±10.4	3k	[2] ARTUSO	12	CLEO	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032A69;LINKAGE=B

[2] see DARGENT 17

NODE=S032A69;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(K^*(892)^0(K^-\pi^+)_{S-wave})$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^*(892)^0(K^-\pi^+)_{S-wave}$

NODE=S032C09

NODE=S032C09

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
−13.1±17.9±31.2	2.9k	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032C09;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$ non-resonant) in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$ non-resonant

NODE=S032C75

NODE=S032C75

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
+8.2±10.9±17.1	[1] DARGENT	17	4-body fit, 2.9k $KK\pi\pi$ evts

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032C75;LINKAGE=A

$A_{CP}((K^-\pi^+)_{P-wave}\,(K^+\pi^-)_{S-wave})$ in $D^0 \to (K^-\pi^+)_{P-wave}\,(K^+\pi^-)_{S-wave}$, $\overline{D}^0 \to$ c.c.

NODE=S032A70

NODE=S032A70

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+2.7±10.6	ARTUSO	12	CLEO	Amplitude fit, 2959 evts.

$A_{CP}(K^+K^-\mu^+\mu^-)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+K^-\mu^+\mu^-$

NODE=S032C77

NODE=S032C77

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0±11±2	110	AAIJ	18I	LHCB	pp at 7, 8, 13TeV

$A_{CP}(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$

NODE=S032C78

NODE=S032C78

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.9±3.8±0.7	1.1k	AAIJ	18I	LHCB	pp at 7, 8, 13TeV

D^0 CP-EVEN FRACTIONS

NODE=S032227

The CP-even fraction F_+, defined for self-conjugate final states, like the coherence factor is useful for measuring the unitary triangle angle γ in $B \to DK$ decays. A purely CP-even state has $F_+ = 1$, a CP-odd one has $F_+ = 0$. For details, see NAYAK 15.

NODE=S032227

CP-even fraction in $D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays

NODE=S032EFP
NODE=S032EFP

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
97.3±1.7	MALDE	15	Uses CLEO data
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
96.8±1.7±0.6	NAYAK	15	see MALDE 15

CP-even fraction in $D^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^0$ decays

NODE=S032EFK
NODE=S032EFK

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
73.2±5.5	MALDE	15	Uses CLEO data
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
73.1±5.8±2.1	NAYAK	15	see MALDE 15

CP-even fraction in $D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ decays

NODE=S032EFL
NODE=S032EFL

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
76.9±2.1±1.0	[1] HARNEW	18		Uses CLEO-c data
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
72.9±0.9±1.8	[1,2] DARGENT	17		from amplitude model
73.7±2.8	MALDE	15	CLEO	amplitude model independent

OCCUR=2

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032EFL;LINKAGE=B

[2] MALDE 15 and DARGENT 17 use different CLEO data sets, so in principle their results could be averaged. However, given the importance that model-independence has in the use of this value, we exclude the amplitude model-derived result from the average.

NODE=S032EFL;LINKAGE=A

CP-even fraction in $D^0 \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays

NODE=S032C79
NODE=S032C79

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
23.8±1.2±1.2	[1] RESMI	18	Uses CLEO-c data

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032C79;LINKAGE=A

CP-even fraction in $D^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$ decays

NODE=S032A96
NODE=S032A96

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
75.3±1.8±3.9	[1] DARGENT	17	from amplitude model

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=S032A96;LINKAGE=A

D^0 CP-VIOLATING ASYMMETRY DIFFERENCES

NODE=S032247

$\Delta A_{CP} \equiv A_{CP}(K^+K^-) - A_{CP}(\pi^+\pi^-)$

NODE=S032DCP

CP violation in these modes can come from the decay amplitudes (direct) and/or from mixing or interference of mixing and decay (indirect). The difference ΔA_{CP} is primarily sensitive to the direct component, and only retains a second-order dependence on the indirect component for measurements where the mean decay time of the K^+K^- and $\pi^+\pi^-$ samples are not identical. The results below are averaged assuming the indirect component can be neglected.

NODE=S032DCP

NODE=S032DCP

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.154±0.029	53M,17M	AAIJ	19D	LHCB	Time-integrated
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
−0.10 ±0.08 ±0.03	6.5M,2.2M	AAIJ	16D	LHCB	See AAIJ 19D
0.14 ±0.16 ±0.08	2.2M,0.8M	AAIJ	14AK	LHCB	See AAIJ 19D
0.49 ±0.30 ±0.14	0.56M,0.22M	AAIJ	13AD	LHCB	See AAIJ 14AK
−0.82 ±0.21 ±0.11	1.4M,0.4M	AAIJ	12G	LHCB	See AAIJ 16D
−0.46 ±0.31 ±0.12		AALTONEN	12B	CDF	See AALTONEN 12O
−0.62 ±0.21 ±0.10		AALTONEN	12O	CDF	Time-integrated
0.24 ±0.62 ±0.26		[1] AUBERT	08M	BABR	Time-integrated
−0.86 ±0.60 ±0.07	120k	STARIC	08	BELL	Time-integrated

[1] Calculated from the AUBERT 08M values of $A_{CP}(K^+K^-)$ and $A_{CP}(\pi^+\pi^-)$. The systematic error here combines the systematic errors in quadrature, and therefore somewhat over-estimates it.

NODE=S032DCP;LINKAGE=AU

D^0 TESTS OF LOCAL *CP*-VIOLATION (*CPV*)

NODE=S032241

We list model-independent searches for local *CP* violation in phase-space distributions of multi-body decays. NODE=S032241

Most of these searches divide phase space (Dalitz plot for 3-body decays, five-dimensional equivalent for 4-body decays) into bins, and perform a χ^2 test comparing normalised yields N_i, $\overline{N}_i$ in *CP*-conjugate bin pairs i: $\chi^2 = \Sigma_i(N_i - \alpha\,\overline{N}_i)/\sigma(N_i - \alpha\,\overline{N}_i)$. The factor $\alpha = (\Sigma_i N_i)/(\Sigma_i \overline{N}_i)$ removes the dependence on phase-space-integrated rate asymmetries. The result is used to obtain the probability (p-value) to obtain the measured χ^2 or larger under the assumption of CP conservation [AUBERT 08AO, BEDIAGA 09]. Alternative methods obtain p-values from other test variables based on unbinned analyses [WILLIAMS 11, AAIJ 14C]. Results can be combined using Fisher's method [MOSTELLER 48].

Local *CPV* in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

NODE=S032L01
NODE=S032L01

p-value (%)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
4.9 OUR EVALUATION					→ UNCHECKED ←
2.6	566k	[1] AAIJ	15A	LHCB	unbinned method
32.8	82k	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	χ^2

[1] Unusually, AAIJ 15A assigns an uncertainty on the p value of ±0.5%. This results from limited test statistics. NODE=S032L01;LINKAGE=A

Local *CPV* in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=S032L02
NODE=S032L02

p-value (%)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.6±0.2	1.0M	[1] AAIJ	17AE	LHCB	unbinned, *P*-odd
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.6±0.5	1.0M	[2,3] AAIJ	17AE	LHCB	unbinned, *P*-even
41	330k	[2,4] AAIJ	13BR	LHCB	χ^2, *P*-even

[1] This AAIJ 17AE value tests *CP* Violation in *P*-odd variables. NODE=S032L02;LINKAGE=B
[2] This value tests *CP* Violation in *P*-even variables. NODE=S032L02;LINKAGE=A
[3] Not included in average as correlation to *P*-odd measurement using the same data is unclear. NODE=S032L02;LINKAGE=C
[4] See AAIJ 17AE. NODE=S032L02;LINKAGE=D

Local *CPV* in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=S032L03
NODE=S032L03

p-value (%)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
96	350k	AALTONEN	12AD	CDF	χ^2

Local *CPV* in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^0$

NODE=S032L04
NODE=S032L04

p-value (%)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
16.6	11k	AUBERT	08AO	BABR	χ^2

Local *CPV* in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=S032L05
NODE=S032L05

p-value (%)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
9.1	57k	AAIJ	13BR	LHCB	χ^2

CP VIOLATING ASYMMETRIES OF *P*-ODD (*T*-ODD) MOMENTS

NODE=S032242

The *CP*-sensitive *P*-odd (*T*-odd) correlation in D^0, $\overline{D}^0$ decays. The D^0 and $\overline{D}^0$ are distinguished by the charge of the parent D^*: $D^{*+} \to D^0\pi^+$ and $D^{*-} \to \overline{D}^0\pi^-$. NODE=S032242

$A_{Tviol}(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ in D^0, $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

NODE=S032TV0
NODE=S032TV0

$C_T \equiv \vec{p}_{K^+} \cdot (\vec{p}_{\pi^+} \times \vec{p}_{\pi^-})$ is a parity-odd correlation of the K^+, π^+, and π^- momenta (evaluated in the D^0 rest frame) for the D^0. $\overline{C}_T \equiv \vec{p}_{K^-} \cdot (\vec{p}_{\pi^-} \times \vec{p}_{\pi^+})$ is the corresponding quantity for the $\overline{D}^0$. Then
$A_T \equiv [\Gamma(C_T > 0) - \Gamma(C_T < 0)] / [\Gamma(C_T > 0) + \Gamma(C_T < 0)]$, and
$\overline{A}_T \equiv [\Gamma(-\overline{C}_T > 0) - \Gamma(-\overline{C}_T < 0)] / [\Gamma(-\overline{C}_T > 0) + \Gamma(-\overline{C}_T < 0)]$, and
$A_{Tviol} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(A_T - \overline{A}_T)$. C_T and $\overline{C}_T$ are commonly referred to as *T*-odd moments, because they are odd under *T* reversal. However, the *T*-conjugate process $K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^- \to D^0$ is not accessible, while the *P*-conjugate process is.

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
2.9± 2.2 OUR AVERAGE					
5.2± 3.7± 0.7	110k	[1] KIM	19	BELL	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(1S)-\Upsilon(6S)$
1.8± 2.9± 0.4	171k	AAIJ	14BC	LHCB	$B \to D^0\mu^-X$
1.0± 5.1± 4.4	47k	DEL-AMO-SA..10		BABR	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.6 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
10 ±57 ±37	0.8k	LINK	05E	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

NODE=S032TV0

[1] KIM 19 also study *CP*-violating asymmetries in several other kinematic variables. No evidence for *CP* violation is found in any of them. NODE=S032TV0;LINKAGE=A

$A_{\mathrm{Tviol}}(K_S\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ in $D^0, \overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K_S\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ NODE=S032C76

NODE=S032C76

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$-0.28\pm1.38^{+0.23}_{-0.76}$	745k	[1] PRASANTH	17	BELL	e^+e^- at Υ(nS)'s

[1] PRASANTH 17 also measures A_{Tviol} in sub-regions of the $D^0 \rightarrow K_S\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ phase-space. No evidence of *T* violation is found. NODE=S032C76;LINKAGE=A

D^0 *CPT*-VIOLATING DECAY-RATE ASYMMETRIES NODE=S032244

$A_{CPT}(K^{\mp}\pi^{\pm})$ in $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+, \overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ NODE=S032CPT

A_{CPT}(t) is defined in terms of the time-dependent decay probabilities $P(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and $\overline{P}(\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-)$ by $A_{CPT}(t) = (\overline{P}-P)/(\overline{P}+P)$. For small mixing parameters $x \equiv \Delta m/\Gamma$ and $y \equiv \Delta\Gamma/2\Gamma$ (as is the case), and times t, A_{CPT}(t) reduces to [y *Re* ξ - x *Im* ξ] Γt, where ξ is the *CPT*-violating parameter. NODE=S032CPT

The following is actually y *Re* ξ - x *Im* ξ.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0083±0.0065±0.0041	LINK	03B	FOCS	γ nucleus, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

NODE=S032CPT

$D^0 \rightarrow K^*(892)^-\ell^+\nu_\ell$ FORM FACTORS NODE=S032260

$r_V \equiv V(0)/A_1(0)$ in $D^0 \rightarrow K^*(892)^-\ell^+\nu_\ell$ NODE=S032FRV

NODE=S032FRV

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.46±0.07 OUR AVERAGE					
1.46±0.07±0.02	3k	ABLIKIM	19G	BES3	$K^*(892)^-e^+\nu_e$
1.71±0.68±0.34		LINK	05B	FOCS	$K^*(892)^-\mu^+\nu_\mu$

$r_2 \equiv A_2(0)/A_1(0)$ in $D^0 \rightarrow K^*(892)^-\ell^+\nu_\ell$ NODE=S032FR2

NODE=S032FR2

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.68±0.06 OUR AVERAGE					
0.67±0.06±0.01	3k	ABLIKIM	19G	BES3	$K^*(892)^-e^+\nu_e$
0.91±0.37±0.10		LINK	05B	FOCS	$K^*(892)^-\mu^+\nu_\mu$

$D^0 \rightarrow K^-/\pi^-\ell^+\nu_\ell$ FORM FACTORS NODE=S032255

$f_+(0)$ in $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\ell^+\nu_\ell$ NODE=S032FK3

NODE=S032FK3

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.736 ±0.004 OUR AVERAGE					
0.7368±0.0026±0.0036	71k	ABLIKIM	15X	BES3	$\ell=e$, 2-parameter fit
0.727 ±0.007 ±0.009		AUBERT	07BG	BABR	$\ell=e$, 2-parameter fit

$f_+(0)|V_{cs}|$ in $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\ell^+\nu_\ell$ NODE=S032FK0

NODE=S032FK0

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.7166±0.0030 OUR AVERAGE					
0.7133±0.0038±0.0029	47k	ABLIKIM	19B	BES3	$\ell=\mu$, 2-parameter fit
0.7172±0.0025±0.0035	71k	[1] ABLIKIM	15X	BES3	$\ell=e$, 2-parameter fit
0.726 ±0.008 ±0.004		BESSON	09	CLEO	$\ell=e$, 3-parameter fit

[1] The 3-parameter fit yields 0.7195 ± 0.0035 ± 0.0041. NODE=S032FK0;LINKAGE=A

$r_1 \equiv a_1/a_0$ in $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\ell^+\nu_\ell$ NODE=S032FK1

NODE=S032FK1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−2.40±0.16 OUR AVERAGE					
−2.33±0.16±0.08	71k	[1] ABLIKIM	15X	BES3	$\ell=e$, 3-parameter fit
−2.65±0.34±0.08		BESSON	09	CLEO	$\ell=e$, 3-parameter fit

[1] The 2-parameter fit yields −2.23 ± 0.09 ± 0.06. NODE=S032FK1;LINKAGE=A

$r_2 \equiv a_2/a_0$ in $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\ell^+\nu_\ell$ NODE=S032FK2

NODE=S032FK2

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5 ±4 OUR AVERAGE					
3.4±3.9±2.4	71k	ABLIKIM	15X	BES3	$\ell=e$, 3-parameter fit
13 ±9 ±1		BESSON	09	CLEO	$\ell=e$, 3-parameter fit

$f_+(0)$ in $D^0 \to \pi^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

NODE=S032FP3
NODE=S032FP3

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.6372±0.0080±0.0044	6.3k	ABLIKIM	15X	BES3	$\ell=e$, 2-parameter fit

$f_+(0)\left|V_{cd}\right|$ in $D^0 \to \pi^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

NODE=S032FP0
NODE=S032FP0

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.1436±0.0026 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.					
0.1435±0.0018±0.0009	6.3k	[1] ABLIKIM	15X	BES3	$\ell=e$, 2-parameter fit
0.1374±0.0038±0.0024	5.3k	[2] LEES	15F	BABR	$\ell=e$, 3-parameter fit
0.152 ±0.005 ±0.001		BESSON	09	CLEO	$\ell=e$, 3-parameter fit

[1] The 3-parameter fit yields 0.1420 ± 0.0024 ± 0.0010. NODE=S032FP0;LINKAGE=A

[2] LEES 15F reports a value 0.1374 ± 0.0038 ± 0.0022 ± 0.0009, where the last uncertainty is due to the uncertainties of the $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$ branching fraction. NODE=S032FP0;LINKAGE=B



$r_1 \equiv a_1/a_0$ in $D^0 \to \pi^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

NODE=S032FP1
NODE=S032FP1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−1.97±0.28 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.					
−1.84±0.22±0.07	6.3k	[1] ABLIKIM	15X	BES3	$\ell=e$, 3-parameter fit
−1.31±0.70±0.43	5.3k	LEES	15F	BABR	$\ell=e$, 3-parameter fit
−2.80±0.49±0.04		BESSON	09	CLEO	$\ell=e$, 3-parameter fit

[1] The 2-parameter fit yields −2.04 ± 0.08 ± 0.03. NODE=S032FP1;LINKAGE=A



$r_1 \equiv a_1/a_0$ in $D^0 \to \pi^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

$r_2 \equiv a_1/a_0$ in $D^0 \to \pi^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

NODE=S032FP2
NODE=S032FP2

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.2±2.2 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.				
−1.4±1.5±0.5	6.3k	ABLIKIM	15X	BES3	$\ell=e$, 3-parameter fit
−4.2±4.0±1.9	5.3k	LEES	15F	BABR	$\ell=e$, 3-parameter fit
6 ±3 ±0		BESSON	09	CLEO	$\ell=e$, 3-parameter fit



$r_2 \equiv a_1/a_0$ in $D^0 \to \pi^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

Amplitude analyses

NODE=S032265

$D \to K\pi\pi\pi$, $D \to KK\pi\pi$ partial wave analyses

NODE=S032PWA

Amplitude analyses of D^0 decays to a variety of 4-body kaon or pion final states, fitting simultaneously different partial wave components.

NODE=S032PWA

NODE=S032PWA

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
	[1] AAIJ	19C	LHCB	$D^0 \to K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
	ABLIKIM	19AK	BES3	$D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$
	AAIJ	18AI	LHCB	$D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
	ABLIKIM	17O	BES3	$D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

[1] AAIJ 19C also provides measurements of CP violation in $D^0 \to K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$, with results compatible with CP symmetry.

NODE=S032PWA;LINKAGE=A

D^0 REFERENCES

NODE=S032

AAIJ	20	PR D101 012005	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=60049
ABLIKIM	20AA	PR D102 052003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60716
ABLIKIM	20AC	PR D102 052006	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60718
ABLIKIM	20AF	PR D102 112005	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60741
ABLIKIM	20G	PR D101 052009	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60272
ABLIKIM	20V	PRL 124 241803	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60569
CHEN	20A	PR D102 012002	Y.Q. Chen *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=60333
LEES	20A	PR D101 112003	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=60314
LEES	20B	PRL 124 071802	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=60533
NAYAK	20	PR D102 071102	M. Nayak *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=60724
AAIJ	19	PRL 122 011802	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=59547
AAIJ	19C	JHEP 1902 126	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=59636
AAIJ	19D	PRL 122 211803	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=59644
AAIJ	19X	PRL 122 231802	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=59793
ABLIKIM	19AK	PR D99 092008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59878
ABLIKIM	19AL	PR D99 112002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59885
ABLIKIM	19AY	PR D100 072006	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60013
ABLIKIM	19B	PRL 122 011804	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59549
ABLIKIM	19BI	PL B798 135017	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60140
ABLIKIM	19C	PRL 122 062001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59591
ABLIKIM	19G	PR D99 011103	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59598
KIM	19	PR D99 011104	J.B. Kim *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=59599
LEES	19A	PRL 122 081802	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=59763
PDG	19	RPP 2019 at pdg.lbl.gov	M. Tanabashi *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=59587
AAIJ	18AI	EPJ C78 443	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=59187
AAIJ	18AV	JHEP 1811 048	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=59359
AAIJ	18I	PRL 121 091801	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=58868
AAIJ	18K	PR D97 031101	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=58876
ABLIKIM	18AC	PR D98 092009	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59475
ABLIKIM	18AE	PRL 121 171803	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59528
ABLIKIM	18F	PRL 121 081802	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58867
ABLIKIM	18L	PR D97 052005	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58899
ABLIKIM	18P	PR D97 072015	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58926
ABLIKIM	18W	PR D97 072004	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58992
ABLIKIM	18X	PL B781 368	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59019
HARNEW	18	JHEP 1801 144	S. Harnew *et al.*	(BRIS, PNNL)	REFID=59247
RESMI	18	JHEP 1801 082	P.K. Resmi *et al.*		REFID=58790
SMITH	18	PR D98 051101	M.J. Smith, D. Cinabro, P. Naik	(LTU, WAYN+)	REFID=59435
AAIJ	17AE	PL B769 345	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57897
AAIJ	17AK	PRL 118 261803	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57940
AAIJ	17AO	PR D95 052004	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57968
Also		PR D96 099907 (errat.)	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=58312
AAIJ	17BG	PRL 119 181805	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=58272
AAIJ	17M	PL B767 177	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57768
ABLIKIM	17A	PL B765 231	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57707
ABLIKIM	17O	PR D95 072010	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57982
DARGENT	17	JHEP 1705 143	P. dArgent *et al.*	(HEID, BRIS)	REFID=58121
DASH	17	PRL 119 171801	N. Dash *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=58268
LAI	17	PR D95 011102	Y.-T. Lai *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=57642
NANUT	17	PRL 118 051801	T. Nanut *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=57659
PRASANTH	17	PR D95 091101	K. Prasanth *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=57987
AAIJ	16D	PRL 116 191601	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57189
AAIJ	16F	PRL 116 241801	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57192
AAIJ	16H	PL B754 167	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57205
AAIJ	16I	PL B757 558	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57223
AAIJ	16N	PR D93 052018	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57273
AAIJ	16V	JHEP 1604 033	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57327
ABLIKIM	16D	PRL 116 082001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57183
EVANS	16	PL B757 520	T. Evans *et al.*	(OXF, BRIS, MADRA)	REFID=57222
Also		PL B765 402 (errat.)	T. Evans *et al.*	(OXF, BRIS, MADRA)	REFID=58065
LEES	16D	PR D93 112014	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=57297
NISAR	16	PR D93 051102	N.K. Nisar *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=57260
STARIC	16	PL B753 412	M. Staric *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=57007
AAIJ	15A	PL B740 158	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=56270
AAIJ	15AA	JHEP 1504 043	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=56627
AAIJ	15AT	JHEP 1510 055	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=56859
ABLIKIM	15D	PL B744 339	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56506
ABLIKIM	15F	PR D91 112015	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56589
ABLIKIM	15X	PR D92 072012	M. Ablikiim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56897
LEES	15F	PR D91 052022	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=56553
MALDE	15	PL B747 9	S. Malde *et al.*	(BRIS, CERN, MADRA, OXF+)	REFID=56515
NAYAK	15	PL B740 1	M. Nayak *et al.*	(MADRA, OXF, CERN, CMU+)	REFID=56271
AAIJ	14AK	JHEP 1407 041	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55992
AAIJ	14AL	PRL 112 041801	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=56432
AAIJ	14B	PL B728 234	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55626
AAIJ	14BC	JHEP 1410 005	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=56172
AAIJ	14C	PL B728 585	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55630
AALTONEN	14Q	PR D90 111103	T. Aaltonen *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)	REFID=56326
ABLIKIM	14C	PL B734 227	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55767
BONVICINI	14	PR D89 072002	G. Bonvicini *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=55798
KO	14	PRL 112 111801	B.R. Ko *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55688
LIBBY	14	PL B731 197	J. Libby *et al.*	(MADRA, OXF, PNL, +)	REFID=55771
NISAR	14	PRL 112 211601	N.K. Nisar *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55854
PENG	14	PR D89 091103	T. Peng *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55814
TOMARADZE	14	PR D89 031501	A. Tomaradze *et al.*	(NWES, WAYN)	REFID=55785
AAIJ	13AD	PL B723 33	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55081
AAIJ	13AI	PL B725 15	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55088
AAIJ	13BR	PL B726 623	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55437
AAIJ	13CE	PRL 111 251801	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55616
AAIJ	13N	PRL 110 101802	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=54959
AAIJ	13V	JHEP 1306 065	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55034
AALTONEN	13AE	PRL 111 231802	T. Aaltonen *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)	REFID=55638
DOBBS	13	PRL 110 131802	S. Dobbs *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=55201
LEES	13	PR D87 012004	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54803
LEES	13S	PR D88 071104	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55453
WHITE	13	PR D88 051101	E. White *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55447
AAIJ	12G	PRL 108 111602	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=54046

AAIJ	12K	JHEP 1204 129	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=54115
AALTONEN	12AD	PR D86 032007	T. Aaltonen *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)	REFID=54369
AALTONEN	12B	PR D85 012009	T. Aaltonen *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)	REFID=54047
AALTONEN	12O	PRL 109 111801	T. Aaltonen *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)	REFID=54244
ARTUSO	12	PR D85 122002	M. Artuso *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=54413
ASNER	12	PR D86 112001	D.M. Asner	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=54796
INSLER	12	PR D85 092016	J. Insler *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=54409
Also		PR D94 099905 (errat.)	J. Insler *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=57723
LEES	12L	PR D85 091107	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54379
LEES	12Q	PR D86 032001	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54384
KO	11	PRL 106 211801	B.R. Ko *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=16647
LOWREY	11	PR D84 092005	N. Lowrey *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53879
WILLIAMS	11	PR D84 054015	M. Williams	(LOIC)	REFID=56416
AALTONEN	10X	PR D82 091105	T. Aaltonen *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)	REFID=53437
ANASHIN	10A	PL B686 84	V.V. Anashin *et al.*	(VEPP-4M KEDR Collab.)	REFID=53230
ASNER	10	PR D81 052007	D.M. Asner *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53219
BHATTACHAR..	10A	PR D81 096008	B. Bhattacharya, C.-W. Chiang, J.L. Rosner	(CHIC+)	REFID=53402
DEL-AMO-SA...	10	PR D81 111103	P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53324
DEL-AMO-SA...	10D	PRL 105 081803	P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53370
MENDEZ	10	PR D81 052013	H. Mendez *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53251
PETRIC	10	PR D81 091102	M. Petric *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53336
AUBERT	09AI	PR D80 071103	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53067
AUBERT	09AN	PRL 103 211801	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53082
BEDIAGA	09	PR D80 096006	I. Bediaga *et al.*	(CBPF, NDAM)	REFID=53161
BESSON	09	PR D80 032005	D. Besson *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52963
Also		PR D79 052010	J.Y. Ge *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52823
LOWREY	09	PR D80 031105	N. Lowrey *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53014
RUBIN	09	PR D79 097101	P. Rubin *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52813
ZUPANC	09	PR D80 052006	A. Zupanc *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53034
AALTONEN	08E	PRL 100 121802	T. Aaltonen *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)	REFID=52205
ABLIKIM	08L	PL B665 16	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52461
ARINSTEIN	08	PL B662 102	K. Arinstein *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52245
ARTUSO	08	PR D77 092003	M. Artuso *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52350
ASNER	08	PR D78 012001	D.M. Asner *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52412
AUBERT	08AL	PR D78 034023	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52361
AUBERT	08AO	PR D78 051102	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52363
AUBERT	08AZ	PR D78 071101	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52510
AUBERT	08L	PRL 100 051802	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52213
AUBERT	08M	PRL 100 061803	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52216
AUBERT	08U	PR D78 011105	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52244
BITENC	08	PR D77 112003	U. Bitenc *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52406
BONVICINI	08	PR D77 091106	G. Bonvicini *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52349
DOBBS	08	PR D77 112005	S. Dobbs *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52407
Also		PRL 100 251802	D. Cronin-Hennessy *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52443
GASPERO	08	PR D78 014015	M. Gaspero *et al.*	(ROMA, CINN, TELA)	REFID=52507
HE	08	PRL 100 091801	Q. He *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52215
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=52166
STARIC	08	PL B670 190	M. Staric *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52527
ABLIKIM	07G	PL B658 1	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52031
ARTUSO	07A	PRL 99 191801	M. Artuso *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52017
AUBERT	07AB	PR D76 014018	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51856
AUBERT	07BG	PR D76 052005	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51938
AUBERT	07BJ	PRL 99 251801	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52093
AUBERT	07T	PR D76 011102	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51726
AUBERT	07W	PRL 98 211802	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51754
CAWLFIELD	07	PRL 98 092002	C. Cawlfield *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51659
DOBBS	07	PR D76 112001	S. Dobbs *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52075
LINK	07A	PR D75 052003	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=51713
STARIC	07	PRL 98 211803	M. Staric *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51755
ZHANG	07B	PRL 99 131803	L.M. Zhang *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51926
ABLIKIM	06O	EPJ C47 31	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51228
ABLIKIM	06U	PL B643 246	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51495
ABULENCIA	06X	PR D74 031109	A. Abulencia *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)	REFID=51328
ADAM	06A	PRL 97 251801	N.E. Adam *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51559
AUBERT,B	06N	PRL 97 221803	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51491
AUBERT,B	06X	PR D74 091102	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51497
CAWLFIELD	06A	PR D74 031108	C. Cawlfield *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51153
HUANG	06B	PR D74 112005	G.S. Huang *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51590
PDG	06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=51004
RUBIN	06	PRL 96 081802	P. Rubin *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50981
WIDHALM	06	PRL 97 061804	L. Widhalm *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51251
ZHANG	06	PRL 96 151801	L.M. Zhang *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51105
ABLIKIM	05F	PL B622 6	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50681
ABLIKIM	05P	PL B625 196	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50879
ACOSTA	05C	PRL 94 122001	D. Acosta *et al.*	(FNAL CDF Collab.)	REFID=50588
ASNER	05	PR D72 012001	D.M. Asner *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50704
AUBERT,B	05J	PR D72 052008	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50824
BITENC	05	PR D72 071101	U. Bitenc *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50909
CAWLFIELD	05	PR D71 077101	C. Cawlfield *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50632
COAN	05	PRL 95 181802	T.E. Coan *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50933
CRONIN-HEN...	05	PR D72 031102	D. Cronin-Hennessy *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50571
HE	05	PRL 95 121801	Q. He *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50924
Also		PRL 96 199903 (errat.)	Q. He *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51211
HUANG	05	PRL 94 011802	G.S. Huang *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50367
KAYIS-TOPAK..	05	PL B626 24	A. Kayis-Topaksu *et al.*	(CERN CHORUS Collab.)	REFID=50880
LI	05A	PRL 94 071801	J. Li *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50574
LINK	05	PL B607 51	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=50468
LINK	05A	PL B607 59	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=50469
LINK	05B	PL B607 67	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=50470
LINK	05E	PL B622 239	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=50567
LINK	05G	PL B610 225	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=50599
LINK	05H	PL B618 23	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=50653
ONENGUT	05	PL B613 105	G. Onengut *et al.*	(CERN CHORUS Collab.)	REFID=50600
TIAN	05	PRL 95 231801	X.C. Tian *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=50952
ABLIKIM	04C	PL B597 39	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BEPC BES Collab.)	REFID=49985

ABT	04	PL B596 173	I. Abt *et al.*	(HERA B Collab.)	REFID=49981
ASNER	04A	PR D70 091101	D.M. Asner *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50238
AUBERT	04Q	PR D69 051101	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=49954
AUBERT,B	04Q	PR D70 091102	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50239
AUBERT,B	04Y	PRL 93 191801	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50267
LINK	04B	PL B586 21	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=49883
LINK	04D	PL B586 191	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=49888
RUBIN	04	PRL 93 111801	P. Rubin *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50076
TAJIMA	04	PRL 92 101803	O. Tajima *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=49723
ACOSTA	03F	PR D68 091101	D. Acosta *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)	REFID=49839
AUBERT	03P	PRL 91 121801	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=49541
AUBERT	03Z	PRL 91 171801	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=49688
COAN	03	PRL 90 101801	T.E. Coan *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=49297
LINK	03	PL B555 167	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=49254
LINK	03B	PL B556 7	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=49256
LINK	03G	PL B575 190	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=49684
ABE	02I	PRL 88 162001	K. Abe *et al.*	(KEK BELLE Collab.)	REFID=48665
CSORNA	02	PR D65 092001	S.E. Csorna *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=48661
LINK	02F	PL B537 192	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=48767
MURAMATSU	02	PRL 89 251802	H. Muramatsu *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=49081
Also		PRL 90 059901 (errat.)	H. Muramatsu *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=49385
AITALA	01C	PRL 86 3969	E.M. Aitala *et al.*	(FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=48121
AITALA	01D	PR D64 112003	E.M. Aitala *et al.*	(FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=48423
BONVICINI	01	PR D63 071101	G. Bonvicini *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=48101
BRANDENB...	01	PRL 87 071802	G. Brandenburg *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=48210
DYTMAN	01	PR D64 111101	S.A. Dytman *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=48419
KOPP	01	PR D63 092001	S. Kopp *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=48134
KUSHNIR...	01	PRL 86 5243	A. Kushnirenko *et al.*	(FNAL SELEX Collab.)	REFID=48138
LINK	01	PRL 86 2955	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=48108
BAI	00C	PR D62 052001	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BEPC BES Collab.)	REFID=47694
GODANG	00	PRL 84 5038	R. Godang *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=47519
JUN	00	PRL 84 1857	S.Y. Jun *et al.*	(FNAL SELEX Collab.)	REFID=47502
LINK	00	PL B485 62	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=47717
LINK	00B	PL B491 232	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=47794
Also		PL B495 443 (errat.)	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)	REFID=47918
PRIPSTEIN	00	PR D61 032005	D. Pripstein *et al.*	(FNAL E789 Collab.)	REFID=47460
AITALA	99E	PRL 83 32	E.M. Aitala *et al.*	(FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=47030
AITALA	99G	PL B462 401	E.M. Aitala *et al.*	(FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=47185
BONVICINI	99	PRL 82 4586	G. Bonvicini *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=47018
AITALA	98	PR D57 13	E.M. Aitala *et al.*	(FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=45779
AITALA	98C	PL B421 405	E.M. Aitala *et al.*	(FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=45931
AITALA	98D	PL B423 185	E.M. Aitala *et al.*	(FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=45930
ARTUSO	98	PRL 80 3193	M. Artuso *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=45972
ASNER	98	PR D58 092001	D.M. Asner *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=46452
BARATE	98W	PL B436 211	R. Barate *et al.*	(ALEPH Collab.)	REFID=46160
COAN	98	PRL 80 1150	T.E. Coan *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=45872
PDG	98	EPJ C3 1	C. Caso *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=45838
ADAMOVICH	97	PL B408 469	M.I. Adamovich *et al.*	(CERN BEATRICE Collab.)	REFID=45587
BARATE	97C	PL B403 367	R. Barate *et al.*	(ALEPH Collab.)	REFID=45451
AITALA	96C	PRL 77 2384	E.M. Aitala *et al.*	(FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=44928
ALBRECHT	96C	PL B374 249	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=44890
ALEXOPOU...	96	PRL 77 2380	T. Alexopoulos *et al.*	(FNAL E771 Collab.)	REFID=44927
ASNER	96B	PR D54 4211	D.M. Asner *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=44942
BARISH	96	PL B373 334	B.C. Barish *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=44679
FRABETTI	96B	PL B382 312	P.L. Frabetti *et al.*	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	REFID=44852
FREYBERGER	96	PRL 76 3065	A. Freyberger *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=44694
Also		PRL 77 2147 (erratum)	A. Freyberger *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=44808
KUBOTA	96B	PR D54 2994	Y. Kubota *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=44939
ADAMOVICH	95	PL B353 563	M.I. Adamovich *et al.*	(CERN BEATRICE Collab.)	REFID=44353
BARTELT	95	PR D52 4860	J.E. Bartelt *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=44481
BUTLER	95	PR D52 2656	F. Butler *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=44414
FRABETTI	95C	PL B354 486	P.L. Frabetti *et al.*	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	REFID=44359
FRABETTI	95G	PL B364 127	P.L. Frabetti *et al.*	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	REFID=44574
KODAMA	95	PL B345 85	K. Kodama *et al.*	(FNAL E653 Collab.)	REFID=44124
ALBRECHT	94	PL B324 249	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=43782
ALBRECHT	94F	PL B340 125	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=44043
ALBRECHT	94I	ZPHY C64 375	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=44156
FRABETTI	94C	PL B321 295	P.L. Frabetti *et al.*	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	REFID=43713
FRABETTI	94D	PL B323 459	P.L. Frabetti *et al.*	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	REFID=43745
FRABETTI	94G	PL B331 217	P.L. Frabetti *et al.*	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	REFID=43895
FRABETTI	94J	PL B340 254	P.L. Frabetti *et al.*	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	REFID=44065
KODAMA	94	PL B336 605	K. Kodama *et al.*	(FNAL E653 Collab.)	REFID=43969
MISHRA	94	PR D50 9	C.S. Mishra *et al.*	(FNAL E789 Collab.)	REFID=43860
AKERIB	93	PRL 71 3070	D.S. Akerib *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=43571
ALBRECHT	93D	PL B308 435	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=43377
ANJOS	93	PR D48 56	J.C. Anjos *et al.*	(FNAL E691 Collab.)	REFID=43332
BEAN	93C	PL B317 647	A. Bean *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=43584
FRABETTI	93I	PL B315 203	P.L. Frabetti *et al.*	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	REFID=43534
KODAMA	93B	PL B313 260	K. Kodama *et al.*	(FNAL E653 Collab.)	REFID=43469
PROCARIO	93B	PR D48 4007	M. Procario *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=43546
SELEN	93	PRL 71 1973	M.A. Selen *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=43509
ADAMOVICH	92	PL B280 163	M.I. Adamovich *et al.*	(CERN WA82 Collab.)	REFID=42024
ALBRECHT	92P	ZPHY C56 7	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=43149
ANJOS	92C	PR D46 1941	J.C. Anjos *et al.*	(FNAL E691 Collab.)	REFID=42102
BARLAG	92C	ZPHY C55 383	S. Barlag *et al.*	(ACCMOR Collab.)	REFID=42202
Also		ZPHY C48 29	S. Barlag *et al.*	(ACCMOR Collab.)	REFID=41313
COFFMAN	92B	PR D45 2196	D.M. Coffman *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41921
Also		PRL 64 2615	J. Adler *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41038
FRABETTI	92	PL B281 167	P.L. Frabetti *et al.*	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	REFID=42079
FRABETTI	92B	PL B286 195	P.L. Frabetti *et al.*	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	REFID=42124
ALVAREZ	91B	ZPHY C50 11	M.P. Alvarez *et al.*	(CERN NA14/2 Collab.)	REFID=41498
AMMAR	91	PR D44 3383	R. Ammar *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=41847
ANJOS	91	PR D43 635	J.C. Anjos *et al.*	(FNAL-TPS Collab.)	REFID=41390

ANJOS	91D	PR D44 3371	J.C. Anjos *et al.*	(FNAL-TPS Collab.)	REFID=41806
BAI	91	PRL 66 1011	Z. Bai *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41470
COFFMAN	91	PL B263 135	D.M. Coffman *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41541
CRAWFORD	91B	PR D44 3394	G. Crawford *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=41884
DECAMP	91J	PL B266 218	D. Decamp *et al.*	(ALEPH Collab.)	REFID=41614
FRABETTI	91	PL B263 584	P.L. Frabetti *et al.*	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	REFID=41570
KINOSHITA	91	PR D43 2836	K. Kinoshita *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=41500
KODAMA	91	PRL 66 1819	K. Kodama *et al.*	(FNAL E653 Collab.)	REFID=41477
ALBRECHT	90C	ZPHY C46 9	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=41223
ALEXANDER	90	PRL 65 1184	J. Alexander *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=41281
ALVAREZ	90	ZPHY C47 539	M.P. Alvarez *et al.*	(CERN NA14/2 Collab.)	REFID=41311
ANJOS	90D	PR D42 2414	J.C. Anjos *et al.*	(FNAL E691 Collab.)	REFID=41309
BARLAG	90C	ZPHY C46 563	S. Barlag *et al.*	(ACCMOR Collab.)	REFID=41097
ADLER	89	PRL 62 1821	J. Adler *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40769
ADLER	89C	PR D40 906	J. Adler *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40848
ALBRECHT	89D	ZPHY C43 181	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=40857
ANJOS	89F	PRL 62 1587	J.C. Anjos *et al.*	(FNAL E691 Collab.)	REFID=40783
ABACHI	88	PL B205 411	S. Abachi *et al.*	(HRS Collab.)	REFID=40398
ADLER	88	PR D37 2023	J. Adler *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40371
ADLER	88C	PRL 60 89	J. Adler *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40361
ALBRECHT	88G	PL B209 380	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=40650
ALBRECHT	88I	PL B210 267	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=40653
ANJOS	88C	PRL 60 1239	J.C. Anjos *et al.*	(FNAL E691 Collab.)	REFID=40548
BORTOLETTO	88	PR D37 1719	D. Bortoletto *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=40835
Also		PR D39 1471 (erratum)	D. Bortoletto *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=40834
HAAS	88	PRL 60 1614	P. Haas *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=40601
RAAB	88	PR D37 2391	J.R. Raab *et al.*	(FNAL E691 Collab.)	REFID=40355
ADLER	87	PL B196 107	J. Adler *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40357
AGUILAR-...	87E	ZPHY C36 551	M. Aguilar-Benitez *et al.*	(LEBC-EHS Collab.)	REFID=40402
Also		ZPHY C40 321	M. Aguilar-Benitez *et al.*	(LEBC-EHS Collab.)	REFID=40699
AGUILAR-...	87F	ZPHY C36 559	M. Aguilar-Benitez *et al.*	(LEBC-EHS Collab.)	REFID=40403
Also		ZPHY C38 520 (erratum)	M. Aguilar-Benitez *et al.*	(LEBC-EHS Collab.)	REFID=40694
BARLAG	87B	ZPHY C37 17	S. Barlag *et al.*	(ACCMOR Collab.)	REFID=40401
BECKER	87C	PL B193 147	J.J. Becker *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40365
Also		PL B198 590 (erratum)	J.J. Becker *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40366
PALKA	87	PL B189 238	H. Palka *et al.*	(ACCMOR Collab.)	REFID=40364
RILES	87	PR D35 2914	K. Riles *et al.*	(Mark II Collab.)	REFID=40363
BAILEY	86	ZPHY C30 51	R. Bailey *et al.*	(ACCMOR Collab.)	REFID=11537
BEBEK	86	PRL 56 1893	C. Bebek *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=11540
LOUIS	86	PRL 56 1027	W.C. Louis *et al.*	(PRIN, CHIC, ISU)	REFID=11541
ALBRECHT	85B	PL 158B 525	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=11528
ALBRECHT	85F	PL 150B 235	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=11527
AUBERT	85	PL 155B 461	J.J. Aubert *et al.*	(EMC Collab.)	REFID=11529
BALTRUSAIT...	85E	PRL 55 150	R.M. Baltrusaitis *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=11472
BENVENUTI	85	PL 158B 531	A.C. Benvenuti *et al.*	(BCDMS Collab.)	REFID=40517
SUMMERS	84	PRL 52 410	D.J. Summers *et al.*	(UCSB, CARL, COLO+)	REFID=11525
BAILEY	83B	PL 132B 237	R. Bailey *et al.*	(ACCMOR Collab.)	REFID=11518
BODEK	82	PL 113B 82	A. Bodek *et al.*	(ROCH, CIT, CHIC, FNAL+)	REFID=11514
SCHINDLER	81	PR D24 78	R.H. Schindler *et al.*	(Mark II Collab.)	REFID=11453
AVERY	80	PRL 44 1309	P. Avery *et al.*	(ILL, FNAL, COLU)	REFID=11498
ABRAMS	79D	PRL 43 481	G.S. Abrams *et al.*	(Mark II Collab.)	REFID=11492
VUILLEMIN	78	PRL 41 1149	V. Vuillemin *et al.*	(LGW Collab.)	REFID=11439
PERUZZI	77	PRL 39 1301	I. Peruzzi *et al.*	(LGW Collab.)	REFID=11435
PICCOLO	77	PL 70B 260	M. Piccolo *et al.*	(Mark I Collab.)	REFID=11436
MOSTELLER	48	Am.Stat. 3 No.5 30	R.A. Fisher, F. Mosteller		REFID=56417

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

RICHMAN	95	RMP 67 893	J.D. Richman, P.R. Burchat	(UCSB, STAN)	REFID=44678
ROSNER	95	CNPP 21 369	J. Rosner	(CHIC)	REFID=44566