[4] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.
[5] LONGACRE 77 considers this coupling to be well determined.
[6] Converted to our conventions using $M = 1528$ MeV, $\Gamma = 187$ MeV from NOELLE 78.

N(1520) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982). For very early references, see Reviews of Modern Physics **37** 633 (1965).

HOEHLER	94	πN Newsletter 10 (to be pub.)		(KARL)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	+Arndt, Roper, Workman	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
ARNDT	90B	PR C42 1864	+Workman, Li, Roper	(VPI)
ARNDT	85	PR D32 1085	+Ford, Roper	(VPI)
WADA	84	NP B247 313	+Egawa, Imanishi, Ishii, Kato, Ukai+	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	+Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93		(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	Arai, Fujii	(INUS)
BRATASHEV...	80	NP B166 525	Bratashevskij, Gorbenko, Derebchinskij+	(KFTI)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107		(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL) IJP
ISHII	80	NP B165 189	+Egawa, Kato, Miyachi+	(KYOT, INUS)
TAKEDA	80	NP B168 17	+Arai, Fujii, Ikeda, Iwasaki+	(TOKY, INUS)
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	+Brown, Clark, Davies, Depagter, Evans+	(RHEL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	+Crawford, Parsons	(GLAS)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	+Lasinski, Rosenfeld, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)
NOELLE	78	PTP 60 778		(NAGO)
BERENDS	77	NP B136 317	+Donnachie	(LEID, MCHS) IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	+Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	Dolbeau, Triantis, Neveu, Cadiet	(SACL) IJP
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	+Fukushima, Horikawa, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK) IJP
FELTESSE	75	NP B93 242	+Ayed, Bareyre, Borgeaud, David+	(SACL) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	+Rosenfeld, Lasinski, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC) IJP

$N(1535)\ S_{11}$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ Status: ∗∗∗∗

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

N(1535) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1520 to 1555 (≈ 1535) OUR ESTIMATE				
1534± 7	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1550±40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1526± 7	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1518	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1513	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1511	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1500	BERENDS	77	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1547± 6	BHANDARI	77	DPWA	Uses $N\eta$ cusp
1520	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1510	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

N(1535) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
100 to 250 (≈ 150) OUR ESTIMATE				
151±27	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
240±80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
120±20	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
84	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
136	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
180	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$
132	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
57	BERENDS	77	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
139±33	BHANDARI	77	DPWA	Uses $N\eta$ cusp
135	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
100	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

N(1535) POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1487	[3] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1499	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
1510±50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1461	ARNDT	85	DPWA	See ARNDT 91
1496 or 1499	[4] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1519± 4	BHANDARI	77	DPWA	Uses $N\eta$ cusp
1525 or 1527	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
110	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
260±80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
140	ARNDT	85	DPWA	See ARNDT 91
103 or 105	[4] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
140±32	BHANDARI	77	DPWA	Uses $N\eta$ cusp
135 or 123	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

N(1535) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
23	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
120±40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
−13	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
+15±45	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

N(1535) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	35–55 %
Γ_2	$N\eta$	30–55 %
Γ_3	$N\pi\pi$	1–10 %
Γ_4	$\Delta\pi$	<1 %
Γ_5	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave	
Γ_6	$N\rho$	<4 %
Γ_7	$N\rho$, S=1/2, S-wave	
Γ_8	$N\rho$, S=3/2, D-wave	
Γ_9	$N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$	<3 %
Γ_{10}	$N(1440)\pi$	<7 %
Γ_{11}	$p\gamma$	0.45–0.53 %
Γ_{12}	$p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	
Γ_{13}	$n\gamma$	0.34–0.48 %
Γ_{14}	$n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	

N(1535) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.35 to 0.55 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.51 ±0.05	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.50 ±0.10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.38 ±0.04	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.297±0.026	BHANDARI	77	DPWA	Uses $N\eta$ cusp

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1535) \to N\eta$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
+0.44 to +0.50 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.47±0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
+0.33	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$
+0.48	FELTESSE	75	DPWA	1488–1745 MeV

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620)\ S_{31}$ coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1535) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
−0.04 to +0.06 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.00±0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.00	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
+0.06	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$