$p\gamma \to N(1650) \to \Lambda K^+$ phase angle θ (E_{0+} amplitude)

VALUE (degrees)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
−107 ±3	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
−107.8	TANABE	89	DPWA

$N(1650)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] The two BAKER 77 entries are from an IPWA using the Barrelet-zero method and from a conventional energy-dependent analysis.

[2] LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[3] From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[4] See HOEHLER 93 and HOEHLER 94 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[5] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

[6] BAKER 79 fixed this coupling during fitting, but the negative sign relative to the $N(1535)$ is well determined.

[7] The overall phase of BAKER 78 couplings has been changed to agree with previous conventions. Superseded by SAXON 80.

[8] The range given for DEANS 75 is from the four best solutions.

[9] LONGACRE 77 considers this coupling to be well determined.

$N(1650)$ REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

HOEHLER	94	πN Newsletter 10 (to be pub.)		(KARL)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	+Arndt, Roper, Workman	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
WORKMAN	90	PR C42 781		(VPI)
TANABE	89	PR C39 741	+Kohno, Bennhold	(MANZ)
Also	89	NC 102A 193	Kohno, Tanabe, Bennhold	(MANZ)
ARNDT	85	PR D32 1085	+Ford, Roper	(VPI)
WADA	84	NP B247 313	+Egawa, Imanishi, Ishii, Kato, Ukai+	(INUS)
BELL	83	NP B222 389	+Blissett, Broome, Daley, Hart, Lintern+	(RL) IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	+Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93		(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	Arai, Fujii	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107		(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	+Baton, Coutures, Kochowski, Neveu	(SACL) IJP
MUSETTE	80	NC 57A 37		(BRUX) IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	+Baker, Bell, Blissett, Bloodworth+	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
TAKEDA	80	NP B168 17	+Arai, Fujii, Ikeda, Iwasaki+	(TOKY, INUS)
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	+Brown, Clark, Davies, Depagter, Evans+	(RHEL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BAKER	78	NP B141 29	+Blissett, Bloodworth, Broome+	(RL, CAVE) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	+Crawford, Parsons	(GLAS)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	+Lasinski, Rosenfeld, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)
BAKER	77	NP B126 365	+Blissett, Bloodworth, Broome, Hart+	(RHEL) IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	+Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	Dolbeau, Triantis, Neveu, Cadiet	(SACL) IJP
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	+Fukushima, Horikawa, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK) IJP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	+Mitchell, Montgomery+	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
KNASEL	75	PR D11 1	+Lindquist, Nelson+	(CHIC, WUSL, OSU, ANL) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	+Rosenfeld, Lasinski, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC) IJP

$N(1675)$ D_{15}

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$ Status: ∗∗∗∗

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

$N(1675)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1670 to 1685 (≈ 1675) OUR ESTIMATE				
1676±2	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1675±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1679±8	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1666	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1685	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1670	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
1680	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1650	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1660	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1675)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
140 to 180 (≈ 150) OUR ESTIMATE				
159±7	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
160±20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
120±15	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
136	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
191	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
40	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
88	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$
192	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
130	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
150	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1675)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1656	[3] HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1655	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
1660±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1661	ARNDT	85	DPWA	See ARNDT 91
1663 or 1668	[4] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1649 or 1650	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
126	[3] HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
124	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
140±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
142	ARNDT	85	DPWA	See ARNDT 91
146 or 171	[4] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
127 or 127	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1675)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
23	HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
28	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
31±5	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−22	HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
−17	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
−30±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

$N(1675)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	40–50 %
Γ_2	$N\eta$	
Γ_3	ΛK	<1 %
Γ_4	ΣK	
Γ_5	$N\pi\pi$	50–60 %
Γ_6	$\Delta\pi$	50–60 %
Γ_7	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave	
Γ_8	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, G-wave	
Γ_9	$N\rho$	< 1–3 %
Γ_{10}	$N\rho$, S=1/2, D-wave	
Γ_{11}	$N\rho$, S=3/2, D-wave	
Γ_{12}	$N\rho$, S=3/2, G-wave	
Γ_{13}	$N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$	
Γ_{14}	$p\gamma$	0.005–0.014 %
Γ_{15}	$p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	
Γ_{16}	$p\gamma$, helicity=3/2	
Γ_{17}	$n\gamma$	0.07–0.11 %
Γ_{18}	$n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	
Γ_{19}	$n\gamma$, helicity=3/2	