$N(1680)$ F_{15}

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ Status: ∗∗∗∗

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

$N(1680)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1675 to 1690 (≈ 1680) OUR ESTIMATE				
1684± 4	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1680±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1684± 3	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1682	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1680	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1660	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1685	KNASEL	75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
1670	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1680)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
120 to 140 (≈ 130) OUR ESTIMATE				
139± 8	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
120±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
128± 8	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
121	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
119	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
150	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
155	KNASEL	75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
130	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1680)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1673	[3] HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1670	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
1667±5	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1680	ARNDT	85	DPWA	See ARNDT 91
1668 or 1674	[4] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1656 or 1653	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
135	[3] HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
116	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
110±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
120	ARNDT	85	DPWA	See ARNDT 91
132 or 137	[4] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
145 or 143	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1680)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
44	HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
37	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
34±2	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−17	HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
−14	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
−25±5	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

$N(1680)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	60–70 %
Γ_2	$N\eta$	
Γ_3	ΛK	
Γ_4	ΣK	
Γ_5	$N\pi\pi$	30–40 %
Γ_6	$\Delta\pi$	5–15 %
Γ_7	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, P-wave	6–14 %
Γ_8	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, F-wave	<2 %
Γ_9	$N\rho$	3–15 %
Γ_{10}	$N\rho$, S=1/2, F-wave	
Γ_{11}	$N\rho$, S=3/2, P-wave	<12 %
Γ_{12}	$N\rho$, S=3/2, F-wave	1–5 %
Γ_{13}	$N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$	5–20 %
Γ_{14}	$p\gamma$	0.21–0.35 %
Γ_{15}	$p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	
Γ_{16}	$p\gamma$, helicity=3/2	
Γ_{17}	$n\gamma$	0.02–0.04 %
Γ_{18}	$n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	
Γ_{19}	$n\gamma$, helicity=3/2	

$N(1680)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.6 to 0.7 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.70±0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.62±0.05	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.65±0.02	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \to N(1680) \to N\eta$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.0005 or 0.001	[5] CARRERAS	70	MPWA	t pole + resonance
0.0004	[5] BOTKE	69	MPWA	t pole + resonance
0.003 ±0.002	[5] DEANS	69	MPWA	t pole + resonance

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma(N\pi)$ — Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.027	HEUSCH	66	RVUE	π^0, η photoproduction

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \to N(1680) \to \Lambda K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

Coupling to ΛK not required in the analyses of BAKER 77, SAXON 80, or BELL 83.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.01	KNASEL	75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
−0.009±0.009	DEVENISH	74B		Fixed-t dispersion rel.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \to N(1680) \to \Sigma K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.001	[6] DEANS	75	DPWA	$\pi N \to \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620)$ S_{31} coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \to N(1680) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, P-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.31 to −0.21 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.26±0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.27	[1,7] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
−0.25	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.38	[8] NOVOSELLER	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$