$N(1710)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	10–20 %
Γ_2	$N\eta$	
Γ_3	ΛK	5–25 %
Γ_4	ΣK	
Γ_5	$N\pi\pi$	40–90 %
Γ_6	$\Delta\pi$	15–40 %
Γ_7	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, P-wave	
Γ_8	$N\rho$	5–25 %
Γ_9	$N\rho$, S=1/2, P-wave	
Γ_{10}	$N\rho$, S=3/2, P-wave	
Γ_{11}	$N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$	10–40 %
Γ_{12}	$p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	
Γ_{13}	$n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	

$N(1710)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.10 to 0.20 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.09±0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.20±0.04	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.12±0.04	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \to N(1710) \to N\eta$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.22	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$
+0.383	FELTESSE	75	DPWA	Soln A; see BAKER 79

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \to N(1710) \to \Lambda K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.12 to +0.18 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.16	BELL	83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
+0.14	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.12	[6] BAKER	78	DPWA	See SAXON 80
−0.05±0.03	[1] BAKER	77	IPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
−0.10	[1] BAKER	77	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
0.10	KNASEL	75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \to N(1710) \to \Sigma K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.034	LIVANOS	80	DPWA	$\pi p \to \Sigma K$
0.075 to 0.203	[7] DEANS	75	DPWA	$\pi N \to \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620)$ S_{31} coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \to N(1710) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, P-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
±0.16 to ±0.22 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.21±0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.17	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
+0.20	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \to N(1710) \to N\rho$, S=1/2, P-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_9)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
±0.09 to ±0.19 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.05±0.06	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
+0.19	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
−0.20	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \to N(1710) \to N\rho$, S=3/2, P-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{10})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.31	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \to N(1710) \to N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{11})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
±0.14 to ±0.22 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.04±0.05	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.26	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
−0.28	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1710)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$N(1710) \to p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.006±0.027 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.037±0.002	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.006±0.018	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.028±0.009	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.009±0.006	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.012±0.005	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.015±0.025	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
+0.001±0.039	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
+0.053±0.019	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(1710) \to n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.016±0.029 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.052±0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.000±0.018	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.001±0.003	FUJII	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.005±0.013	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.011±0.021	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
−0.017±0.020	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.028±0.045	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(1710)$ $\gamma p \to \Lambda K^+$ AMPLITUDES

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $p\gamma \to N(1710) \to \Lambda K^+$ — (M_{1-} amplitude)

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
−10.6 ±0.4	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
− 7.21	TANABE	89	DPWA

$p\gamma \to N(1710) \to \Lambda K^+$ phase angle θ — (M_{1-} amplitude)

VALUE (degrees)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
215 ±3	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
176.3	TANABE	89	DPWA

$N(1710)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] The two BAKER 77 entries are from an IPWA using the Barrelet-zero method and from a conventional energy-dependent analysis.

[2] LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[3] From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[4] See HOEHLER 93 and HOEHLER 94 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[5] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

[6] The overall phase of BAKER 78 couplings has been changed to agree with previous conventions.

[7] The range given for DEANS 75 is from the four best solutions.

$N(1710)$ REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

HOEHLER	94	πN Newsletter 10 (to be pub.)		(KARL)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	+Arndt, Roper, Workman	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CUTKOSKY	90	PR D42 235	+Wang	(CMU)
WORKMAN	90	PR C42 781		(VPI)
TANABE	89	PR C39 741	+Kohno, Bennhold	(MANZ)
Also	89	NC 102A 193	Kohno, Tanabe, Bennhold	(MANZ)
BELL	83	NP B222 389	+Blissett, Broome, Daley, Hart, Lintern+	(RL) IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	+Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93		(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	Arai, Fujii	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107		(GLAS)