$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1720) \to N\rho$, S=3/2, P-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{10})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.15	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1720) \to N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{11})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.19	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1720)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$N(1720) \to p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.027±0.024 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.012±0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.044±0.066	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.004±0.007	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.051±0.009	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.071±0.010	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.038±0.050	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
+0.111±0.047	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(1720) \to p\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.026±0.010 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.022±0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.024±0.006	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.040±0.016	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.058±0.010	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.011±0.011	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
−0.014±0.040	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.063±0.032	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(1720) \to n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.018±0.029 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.050±0.004	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.002±0.005	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.019±0.033	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.001±0.038	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
−0.003±0.034	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
+0.007±0.020	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(1720) \to n\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.033±0.059 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.017±0.004	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.015±0.019	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.139±0.039	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.134±0.044	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.018±0.028	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
+0.051±0.051	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(1720)$ $\gamma p \to \Lambda K^+$ AMPLITUDES

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $p\gamma \to N(1720) \to \Lambda K^+$ — (E_{1+} amplitude)

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
10.2 ±0.2	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
9.52	TANABE	89	DPWA

$p\gamma \to N(1720) \to \Lambda K^+$ phase angle θ — (E_{1+} amplitude)

VALUE (degrees)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
−124 ±2	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
−103.4	TANABE	89	DPWA

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $p\gamma \to N(1720) \to \Lambda K^+$ — (M_{1+} amplitude)

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
−4.5 ±0.2	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
3.18	TANABE	89	DPWA

$N(1720)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] The two BAKER 77 entries are from an IPWA using the Barrelet-zero method and from a conventional energy-dependent analysis.

[2] LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[3] From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[4] See HOEHLER 93 and HOEHLER 94 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[5] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

[6] The overall phase of BAKER 78 copulings has been changed to agree with previous conventions.

[7] The range given is from the four best solutions. DEANS 75 disagrees with $\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$ data of WINNIK 77 around 1920 MeV.

$N(1720)$ REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

HOEHLER	94	πN Newsletter 10 (to be pub.)		(KARL)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	+Arndt, Roper, Workman	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
WORKMAN	90	PR C42 781		(VPI)
TANABE	89	PR C39 741	+Kohno, Bennhold	(MANZ)
Also	89	NC 102A 193	Kohno, Tanabe, Bennhold	(MANZ)
ARNDT	85	PR D32 1085	+Ford, Roper	(VPI)
BELL	83	NP B222 389	+Blissett, Broome, Daley, Hart, Lintern+	(RL) IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93		(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	Arai, Fujii	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107		(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL) IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	+Baker, Bell, Blissett, Bloodworth+	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	+Brown, Clark, Davies, Depagter, Evans+	(RHEL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BAKER	78	NP B141 29	+Blissett, Bloodworth, Broome+	(RL, CAVE) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	+Crawford, Parsons	(GLAS)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	+Lasinski, Rosenfeld, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)
BAKER	77	NP B126 365	+Blissett, Bloodworth, Broome, Hart+	(RHEL) IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	+Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	Dolbeau, Triantis, Neveu, Cadiet	(SACL) IJP
WINNIK	77	NP B128 66	+Toaff, Revel, Goldberg, Berny	(HAIF) I
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	+Mitchell, Montgomery+	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
KNASEL	75	PR D11 1	+Lindquist, Nelson+	(CHIC, WUSL, OSU, ANL) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	+Rosenfeld, Lasinski, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC) IJP

$N(1900)$ P_{13}

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$ Status: *

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

$N(1900)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
≈ 1900 OUR ESTIMATE				
1879±17	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$N(1900)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
498±78	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$N(1900)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode
Γ_1	$N\pi$
Γ_2	$N\pi\pi$
Γ_3	$N\rho$, $S=1/2$, P-wave

$N(1900)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.26±0.06	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1900) \to N\rho$, $S=1/2$, P-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.34±0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$N(1900)$ REFERENCES

MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT)
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)