−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
482	[1] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
464	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
400±160	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

$N(2190)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
45	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
54	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
25±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−44	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
−30±50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

$N(2190)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	10–20 %
Γ_2	$N\eta$	
Γ_3	ΛK	
Γ_4	ΣK	
Γ_5	$N\pi\pi$	
Γ_6	$N\rho$	
Γ_7	$N\rho$, S=3/2, D-wave	
Γ_8	$p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	
Γ_9	$p\gamma$, helicity=3/2	
Γ_{10}	$n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	
Γ_{11}	$n\gamma$, helicity=3/2	

$N(2190)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.1 to 0.2 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.22±0.01	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.12±0.06	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.14±0.02	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.16±0.04	HENDRY	78	MPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(2190) \to N\eta$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
+0.052	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(2190) \to \Lambda K$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.02	BELL	83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
−0.02	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(2190) \to \Sigma K$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.014 to 0.019	[2] DEANS	75	DPWA	$\pi N \to \Sigma K$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(2190) \to N\rho$, S=3/2, D-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.25±0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$N(2190)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$N(2190) \to p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE ($GeV^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.055	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.030	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(2190) \to p\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE ($GeV^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.081	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
+0.180	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(2190) \to n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE ($GeV^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.042	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.085	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(2190) \to n\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE ($GeV^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.126	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
+0.007	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(2190)$ $\gamma p \to \Lambda K^+$ AMPLITUDES

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $p\gamma \to N(2190) \to \Lambda K^+$ (E_{4-} amplitude)

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
2.5 ±1.0	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2.04	TANABE	89	DPWA

$p\gamma \to N(2190) \to \Lambda K^+$ phase angle θ (E_{4-} amplitude)

VALUE (degrees)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
− 4 ±9	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
−27.5	TANABE	89	DPWA

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $p\gamma \to N(2190) \to \Lambda K^+$ (M_{4-} amplitude)

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
−7.0 ±0.7	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
−5.78	TANABE	89	DPWA

$N(2190)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] See HOEHLER 93 and HOEHLER 94 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[2] The range given for DEANS 75 is from the four best solutions. Disagrees with $\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$ data of WINNIK 77 around 1920 MeV.

$N(2190)$ REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

HOEHLER	94	πN Newsletter 10 (to be pub.)	(KARL)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	(KARL)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002 +Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904 Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131 +Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
WORKMAN	90	PR C42 781	(VPI)
TANABE	89	PR C39 741 +Kohno, Bennhold	(MANZ)
Also	89	NC 102A 193 Kohno, Tanabe, Bennhold	(MANZ)
BELL	83	NP B222 389 +Blissett, Broome, Daley, Hart, Lintern+	(RL) IJP
PDG	82	PL 111B Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19 +Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839 Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL) IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522 +Baker, Bell, Blissett, Bloodworth+	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
BAKER	79	NP B156 93 +Brown, Clark, Davies, Depagter, Evans+	(RHEL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1 +Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3 Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253 +Crawford, Parsons	(GLAS)
HENDRY	78	PRL 41 222	(IND, LBL) IJP
Also	81	ANP 136 1 Hendry	(IND)
WINNIK	77	NP B128 66 +Toaff, Revel, Goldberg, Berny	(HAIF) I
DEANS	75	NP B96 90 +Mitchell, Montgomery+	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP