Baryon Full Listings

Δ(1620)

Δ(1620) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
120 to 180 (≈ 150) OUR ESTIMATE				
154 ±37	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
140 ±20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
139 ±18	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
184	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
120	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
228.3±18.0	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$ (lower mass)
30.0± 6.4	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$ (higher mass)
161	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
180	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
120	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
150	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

Δ(1620) POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1608	[4] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1587	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
1600±15	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1599	ARNDT	85	DPWA	See ARNDT 91
1583 or 1583	[5] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1575 or 1572	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
116	[4] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
120	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
120±20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
120	ARNDT	85	DPWA	See ARNDT 91
143 or 149	[5] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
119 or 128	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

Δ(1620) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS |r|

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
19	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
15	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
15±2	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
− 95	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
−125	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
−110±20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

Δ(1620) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	20–30 %
Γ_2	$N\pi\pi$	70–80 %
Γ_3	$\Delta\pi$	30–60 %
Γ_4	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, *D*-wave	
Γ_5	$N\rho$	7–25 %
Γ_6	$N\rho$, *S*=1/2, *S*-wave	
Γ_7	$N\rho$, *S*=3/2, *D*-wave	
Γ_8	$N(1440)\pi$	
Γ_9	$N\gamma$	0.02–0.06 %
Γ_{10}	$N\gamma$, helicity=1/2	

Δ(1620) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.2 to 0.3 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.09± 0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.25± 0.03	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.35± 0.06	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.60	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$ (lower mass)
0.36	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$ (higher mass)

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the Δ(1620) S_{31} coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1620) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, *D*-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.36 to −0.28 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.24±0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.33±0.06	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
−0.39	[2,6] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
−0.40	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1620) \to N\rho$, *S*=1/2, *S*-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_6)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.12 to +0.22 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.15±0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
+0.40±0.10	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
+0.08	[2,6] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
+0.28	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1620) \to N\rho$, *S*=3/2, *D*-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.15 to −0.03 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.06±0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.13	[2,6] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1620) \to N(1440)\pi$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_8)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.11±0.05	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

Δ(1620) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

Δ(1620) → $N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE ($GeV^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.030±0.014 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.042±0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.035±0.010	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.010±0.015	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.022±0.007	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.026±0.008	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.021±0.020	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.126±0.021	TAKEDA	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.066	WADA	84	DPWA	Compton scattering
+0.034±0.028	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.005±0.016	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

Δ(1620) FOOTNOTES

[1] CHEW 80 reports two S_{31} resonances at somewhat higher masses than other analyses. Problems with this analysis are discussed in section 2.1.11 of HOEHLER 83.

[2] LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[3] From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[4] See HOEHLER 93 and HOEHLER 94 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of *N* and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[5] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

[6] LONGACRE 77 considers this coupling to be well determined.

Δ(1620) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

HOEHLER	94	πN Newsletter 10 (to be pub.)		(KARL)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	+Arndt, Roper, Workman	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
ARNDT	85	PR D32 1085	+Ford, Roper	(VPI)
WADA	84	NP B247 313	+Egawa, Imanishi, Ishii, Kato, Ukai+	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)
HOEHLER	83	Landolt-Boernstein 1/9B2		(KARLT)
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93		(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	Arai, Fujii	(INUS)