Δ(1900) POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1780	[1] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1870±40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
2029 or 2025	[2] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
180±50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
164 or 163	[2] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

Δ(1900) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10±3	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+20±40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

Δ(1900) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	10–30 %
Γ_2	ΣK	
Γ_3	$N\pi\pi$	
Γ_4	$\Delta\pi$	
Γ_5	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave	
Γ_6	$N\rho$	
Γ_7	$N\rho$, S=1/2, S-wave	
Γ_8	$N\rho$, S=3/2, D-wave	
Γ_9	$N(1440)\pi$, S-wave	
Γ_{10}	$N\gamma$, helicity=1/2	

Δ(1900) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\qquad\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.1 to 0.3 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.41±0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.10±0.03	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.08±0.04	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.28	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1900) \to \Sigma K$ $\qquad(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.03	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.076	[3] DEANS	75	DPWA	$\pi N \to \Sigma K$
0.11	LANGBEIN	73	IPWA	$\pi N \to \Sigma K$ (sol. 1)
0.12	LANGBEIN	73	IPWA	$\pi N \to \Sigma K$ (sol. 2)

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1900) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave $\qquad(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.25±0.07	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1900) \to N\rho$, S=1/2, S-wave $\qquad(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.14±0.11	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1900) \to N\rho$, S=3/2, D-wave $\qquad(\Gamma_1\Gamma_8)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.37±0.07	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1900) \to N(1440)\pi$, S-wave $\qquad(\Gamma_1\Gamma_9)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.16±0.11	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

Δ(1900) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$\Delta(1900) \to N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.004±0.016	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.029±0.008	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.006 to −0.025	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

Δ(1900) FOOTNOTES

[1] See HOEHLER 93 and HOEHLER 94 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[2] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

[3] The value given is from solution 1; the resonance is not present in solutions 2, 3, or 4.

Δ(1900) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

HOEHLER	94	πN Newsletter 10 (to be pub.)		(KARL)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CANDLIN	84	NP B238 477	+Lowe, Peach, Scotland+	(EDIN, RAL, LOWC)
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123		(LBL) IJP
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107		(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT) IJP
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	+Lasinski, Rosenfeld, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	+Mitchell, Montgomery+	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
LANGBEIN	73	NP B53 251	+Wagner	(MUNI) IJP

$\Delta(1905)\ F_{35}$

$I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ Status: ∗∗∗∗

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

Δ(1905) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1870 to 1920 (≈ 1905) OUR ESTIMATE				
1881 ±18	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1910 ±30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1905 ±20	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1960 ±40	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$
$1787.0^{+6.0}_{-5.7}$	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
1880	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1892	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1830	[1] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

Δ(1905) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
280 to 440 (≈ 350) OUR ESTIMATE				
327 ± 51	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
400 ±100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
260 ± 20	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
270 ± 40	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$
$66.0^{+24.0}_{-16.0}$	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
193	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
159	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
220	[1] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

Δ(1905) POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1829	[2] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1794	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
1830±40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1830	ARNDT	85	DPWA	See ARNDT 91
1813 or 1808	[3] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$