−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
200±60	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
190 or 186	[1] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1940)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8±3	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
135±45	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

$\Delta(1940)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode
Γ_1	$N\pi$
Γ_2	ΣK
Γ_3	$N\pi\pi$
Γ_4	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, S-wave
Γ_5	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave
Γ_6	$N\rho$, S=3/2, S-wave
Γ_7	$N\gamma$, helicity=1/2
Γ_8	$N\gamma$, helicity=3/2

$\Delta(1940)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.18±0.12	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.18	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
0.05±0.02	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1940) \to \Sigma K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.015	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1940) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, S-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.11±0.10	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1940) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.27±0.16	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1940) \to N\rho$, S=3/2, S-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_6)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.25±0.10	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1940)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$\Delta(1940) \to N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE ($GeV^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.036±0.058	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$\Delta(1940) \to N\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE ($GeV^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.031±0.012	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$\Delta(1940)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

$\Delta(1940)$ REFERENCES

MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
CANDLIN	84	NP B238 477	+Lowe, Peach, Scotland+	(EDIN, RAL, LOWC)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123		(LBL) IJP
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	+Lasinski, Rosenfeld, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)

$\Delta(1950)$ F_{37}

$I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$ Status: ∗∗∗∗

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

$\Delta(1950)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1940 to 1960 (≈ 1950) OUR ESTIMATE				
1945 ± 2	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1950 ±15	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1913 ± 8	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1940	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1925 ±20	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$
$1855.0^{+11.0}_{-10.0}$	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
1902	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1912	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1925	[1] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1950)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
290 to 350 (≈ 300) OUR ESTIMATE				
300 ± 7	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
340 ±50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
224 ±10	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
306	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
330 ±40	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$
$157.2^{+22.0}_{-19.0}$	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
225	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
198	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
240	[1] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1950)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1878	[2] HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1884	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
1890±15	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1858	ARNDT	85	DPWA	See ARNDT 91
1924 or 1924	[3] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
230	[2] HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
238	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
260±40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
238	ARNDT	85	DPWA	See ARNDT 91
258 or 258	[3] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1950)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
47	HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
61	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
50±7	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−32	HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
−23	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
−33±8	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$