Λ(1890) P_{03}

$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{3}{2}^+)$ Status: ****

For results published before 1974 (they are now obsolete), see our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

The $J^P = 3/2^+$ assignment is consistent with all available data (including polarization) and recent partial-wave analyses. The dominant inelastic modes remain unknown.

Λ(1890) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1850 to 1910 (≈ 1890) OUR ESTIMATE				
1897 ± 5	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
1908 ± 10	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
1900 ± 5	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
1894 ± 10	HEMINGWAY	75	DPWA	$K^-p \to \overline{K}N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1856 or 1868	[1] MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
1900	[2] NAKKASYAN	75	DPWA	$K^-p \to \Lambda\omega$

Λ(1890) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
60 to 200 (≈ 100) OUR ESTIMATE				
74 ± 10	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
119 ± 20	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
72 ± 10	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
107 ± 10	HEMINGWAY	75	DPWA	$K^-p \to \overline{K}N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
191 or 193	[1] MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
100	[2] NAKKASYAN	75	DPWA	$K^-p \to \Lambda\omega$

Λ(1890) DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\overline{K}$	20–35 %
Γ_2	$\Sigma\pi$	3–10 %
Γ_3	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$	seen
Γ_4	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$, P-wave	
Γ_5	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$, F-wave	
Γ_6	$N\overline{K}^*(892)$	seen
Γ_7	$N\overline{K}^*(892)$, S=1/2, P-wave	
Γ_8	$\Lambda\omega$	

The above branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Λ(1890) BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\overline{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.20 to 0.35 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.20 ± 0.02	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
0.34 ± 0.05	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
0.24 ± 0.04	HEMINGWAY	75	DPWA	$K^-p \to \overline{K}N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.18 ± 0.02	GOPAL	77	DPWA	See GOPAL 80
0.36 or 0.34	[1] MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(1890) \to \Sigma\pi$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.09 ± 0.03	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
+0.15 or +0.14	[1] MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(1890) \to \Lambda\omega$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_8)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
seen	BACCARI	77	IPWA	$K^-p \to \Lambda\omega$
0.032	[2] NAKKASYAN	75	DPWA	$K^-p \to \Lambda\omega$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(1890) \to \Sigma(1385)\pi$, P-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.03	CAMERON	78	DPWA	$K^-p \to \Sigma(1385)\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(1890) \to \Sigma(1385)\pi$, F-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.126 ± 0.055	[3] CAMERON	78	DPWA	$K^-p \to \Sigma(1385)\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(1890) \to N\overline{K}^*(892)$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_6)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.07 ± 0.03	[3,4] CAMERON	78B	DPWA	$K^-p \to N\overline{K}^*$

Λ(1890) FOOTNOTES

[1] The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.
[2] Found in one of two best solutions.
[3] The published sign has been changed to be in accord with the baryon-first convention.
[4] Upper limits on the P_3 and F_3 waves are each 0.03.

Λ(1890) REFERENCES

PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159		(RHEL) IJP
ALSTON-...	78	PR D18 182	Alston-Garnjost, Kenney+	(LBL, MTHO, CERN) IJP
Also	77	PRL 38 1007	Alston-Garnjost, Kenney+	(LBL, MTHO, CERN) IJP
CAMERON	78	NP B143 189	+Franek, Gopal, Bacon, Butterworth+	(RHEL, LOIC) IJP
CAMERON	78B	NP B146 327	+Franek, Gopal, Kalmus, McPherson+	(RHEL, LOIC) IJP
BACCARI	77	NC 41A 96	+Poulard, Revel, Tallini+	(SACL, CDEF) IJP
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	+Ross, VanHorn, McPherson+	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
MARTIN	77	NP B127 349	+Pidcock, Moorhouse	(LOUC, GLAS) IJP
Also	77B	NP B126 266	Martin, Pidcock	(LOUC)
Also	77C	NP B126 285	Martin, Pidcock	(LOUC) IJP
HEMINGWAY	75	NP B91 12	+Eades, Harmsen+	(CERN, HEIDH, MPIM) IJP
NAKKASYAN	75	NP B93 85		(CERN) IJP

Λ(2000)

$I(J^P) = 0(?^?)$ Status: *

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

We list here all the ambiguous resonance possibilities with a mass around 2 GeV. The proposed quantum numbers are D_3 (BARBARO-GALTIERI 70 in $\Sigma\pi$), D_3+F_5, P_3+D_5, or P_1+D_3 (BRANDSTETTER 72 in $\Lambda\omega$), and S_1 (CAMERON 78B in $N\overline{K}^*$). The first two of the above analyses should now be considered obsolete. See also NAKKASYAN 75.

Λ(2000) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
≈ 2000 OUR ESTIMATE				
2030 ± 30	CAMERON	78B	DPWA	$K^-p \to N\overline{K}^*$
1935 to 1971	[1] BRANDSTET...	72	DPWA	$K^-p \to \Lambda\omega$
1951 to 2034	[1] BRANDSTET...	72	DPWA	$K^-p \to \Lambda\omega$
2010 ± 30	BARBARO-...	70	DPWA	$K^-p \to \Sigma\pi$

Λ(2000) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
125 ± 25	CAMERON	78B	DPWA	$K^-p \to N\overline{K}^*$
180 to 240	[1] BRANDSTET...	72	DPWA	(lower mass)
73 to 154	[1] BRANDSTET...	72	DPWA	(higher mass)
130 ± 50	BARBARO-...	70	DPWA	$K^-p \to \Sigma\pi$

Λ(2000) DECAY MODES

	Mode
Γ_1	$N\overline{K}$
Γ_2	$\Sigma\pi$
Γ_3	$\Lambda\omega$
Γ_4	$N\overline{K}^*(892)$, S=1/2, S-wave
Γ_5	$N\overline{K}^*(892)$, S=3/2, D-wave

Λ(2000) BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(2000) \to \Sigma\pi$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.20 ± 0.04	BARBARO-...	70	DPWA	$K^-p \to \Sigma\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(2000) \to \Lambda\omega$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.17 to 0.25	[1] BRANDSTET...	72	DPWA	(lower mass)
0.04 to 0.15	[1] BRANDSTET...	72	DPWA	(higher mass)

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(2000) \to N\overline{K}^*(892)$, S=1/2, S-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.12 ± 0.03	[2] CAMERON	78B	DPWA	$K^-p \to N\overline{K}^*$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(2000) \to N\overline{K}^*(892)$, S=3/2, D-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.09 ± 0.03	CAMERON	78B	DPWA	$K^-p \to N\overline{K}^*$