Λ(2000) FOOTNOTES

[1] The parameters quoted here are ranges from the three best fits; the lower state probably has $J \le 3/2$, and the higher one probably has $J \le 5/2$.
[2] The published sign has been changed to be in accord with the baryon-first convention.

Λ(2000) REFERENCES

CAMERON	78B	NP B146 327	+Franek, Gopal, Kalmus, McPherson+	(RHEL, LOIC)	IJP
NAKKASYAN	75	NP B93 85		(CERN)	IJP
BRANDSTET...	72	NP B39 13	Brandstetter, Butterworth+	(RHEL, CDEF, SACL)	
BARBARO-...	70	Duke Conf. 173	Barbaro-Galtieri	(LRL)	IJP

$\Lambda(2020)$ F_{07}

$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{7}{2}^+)$ Status: *

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

In LITCHFIELD 71, need for the state rests solely on a possibly inconsistent polarization measurement at 1.784 GeV/c. HEMINGWAY 75 does not require this state. GOPAL 77 does not need it in either $N\overline{K}$ or $\Sigma\pi$. With new $K^- n$ angular distributions included, DECLAIS 77 sees it. However, this and other new data are included in GOPAL 80 and the state is not required. BACCARI 77 weakly supports it.

Λ(2020) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
≈ 2020 OUR ESTIMATE				
2140	BACCARI	77	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Lambda\omega$
2117	DECLAIS	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
2100±30	LITCHFIELD	71	DPWA	$K^- p \to \overline{K}N$
2020±20	BARBARO-...	70	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Sigma\pi$

Λ(2020) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
128	BACCARI	77	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Lambda\omega$
167	DECLAIS	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
120±30	LITCHFIELD	71	DPWA	$K^- p \to \overline{K}N$
160±30	BARBARO-...	70	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Sigma\pi$

Λ(2020) DECAY MODES

	Mode
Γ_1	$N\overline{K}$
Γ_2	$\Sigma\pi$
Γ_3	$\Lambda\omega$

Λ(2020) BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.05	DECLAIS	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
0.05±0.02	LITCHFIELD	71	DPWA	$K^- p \to \overline{K}N$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(2020) \to \Sigma\pi$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
−0.15±0.02	BARBARO-...	70	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Sigma\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(2020) \to \Lambda\omega$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<0.05	BACCARI	77	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Lambda\omega$

Λ(2020) REFERENCES

GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159		(RHEL)	
BACCARI	77	NC 41A 96	+Poulard, Revel, Tallini+	(SACL, CDEF)	IJP
DECLAIS	77	CERN 77-16	+Duchon, Louvel, Patry, Seguinot+	(CAEN, CERN)	IJP
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	+Ross, VanHorn, McPherson+	(LOIC, RHEL)	
HEMINGWAY	75	NP B91 12	+Eades, Harmsen+	(CERN, HEIDH, MPIM)	IJP
LITCHFIELD	71	NP B30 125	+..., Lesquoy+	(RHEL, CDEF, SACL)	IJP
BARBARO-...	70	Duke Conf. 173	Barbaro-Galtieri	(LRL)	IJP

$\Lambda(2100)$ G_{07}

$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{7}{2}^-)$ Status: ****

Discovered by COOL 66 and by WOHL 66. Most of the results published before 1973 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

This entry only includes results from partial-wave analyses. Parameters of peaks seen in cross sections and in invariant-mass distributions around 2100 MeV used to be listed in a separate entry immediately following. It may be found in our 1986 edition Physics Letters **170B** (1986).

Λ(2100) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
2090 to 2110 (≈ 2100) OUR ESTIMATE				
2104±10	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
2106±30	DEBELLEFON	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
2110±10	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
2105±10	HEMINGWAY	75	DPWA	$K^- p \to \overline{K}N$
2115±10	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Sigma\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2094	BACCARI	77	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Lambda\omega$
2094	DECLAIS	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
2110 or 2089	[1] NAKKASYAN	75	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Lambda\omega$

Λ(2100) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
100 to 250 (≈ 200) OUR ESTIMATE				
157±40	DEBELLEFON	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
250±30	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
241±30	HEMINGWAY	75	DPWA	$K^- p \to \overline{K}N$
152±15	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Sigma\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
98	BACCARI	77	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Lambda\omega$
250	DECLAIS	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
244 or 302	[1] NAKKASYAN	75	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Lambda\omega$

Λ(2100) DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\overline{K}$	25–35 %
Γ_2	$\Sigma\pi$	~ 5 %
Γ_3	$\Lambda\eta$	<3 %
Γ_4	ΞK	<3 %
Γ_5	$\Lambda\omega$	<8 %
Γ_6	$N\overline{K}^*(892)$	10–20 %
Γ_7	$N\overline{K}^*(892)$, S=1/2, G-wave	
Γ_8	$N\overline{K}^*(892)$, S=3/2, D-wave	

The above branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Λ(2100) BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.25 to 0.35 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.34±0.03	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
0.24±0.06	DEBELLEFON	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
0.31±0.03	HEMINGWAY	75	DPWA	$K^- p \to \overline{K}N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.29	DECLAIS	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
0.30±0.03	GOPAL	77	DPWA	See GOPAL 80

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(2100) \to \Sigma\pi$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
+0.12±0.04	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
+0.11±0.01	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Sigma\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(2100) \to \Lambda\eta$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
−0.050±0.020	RADER	73	MPWA	$K^- p \to \Lambda\eta$